

# VOLUME PRESERVING SPACETIME MEAN CURVATURE FLOW IN INITIAL DATA SETS AND APPLICATIONS TO GENERAL RELATIVITY

JACOPO TENAN

**ABSTRACT.** We consider a volume preserving curvature evolution of surfaces in an asymptotically Euclidean initial data set with positive ADM-energy. The speed is given by a nonlinear function of the mean curvature which generalizes the spacetime mean curvature recently considered by Cederbaum-Sakovich. Following a classical approach by Huisken-Yau, we show that the flow starting from suitably round initial surfaces exists for all times and converges to a constant (spacetime) curvature limit. This provides an alternative construction of the ST-CMC foliation by Cederbaum-Sakovich and has applications in the definition of center of mass of an isolated system in General Relativity.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider a triple  $(M, \bar{g}, \bar{K})$ , where  $(M, \bar{g})$  is a smooth complete three-dimensional Riemannian manifold and  $\bar{K}$  a symmetric  $(0, 2)$ -tensor field on  $M$ . We assume that there is a compact set  $C \subset M$  and a global diffeomorphism  $M \setminus C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bar{B}_1(\vec{0})$ , such that the metric on  $M \setminus C$  is asymptotically euclidean and  $\bar{K}$  decays at a suitable rate at infinity. These triples arise in General Relativity as spacelike hypersurfaces of a Lorentzian spacetime  $(M, \bar{g})$  modelling an isolated gravitating system, with  $\bar{K}$  the second fundamental form of  $M$ .

In this description, it is important to give an appropriate definition of the center of mass of the system which is physically meaningful. A classical definition was provided by Beig-Ó Murchadha [5] as the limit of a flux integral on Euclidean spheres with increasing radius. A different approach was introduced by Huisken and Yau [25], who proved the existence of a unique constant mean curvature (CMC)-foliation of the outer part of  $M$ , and defined the center of mass as the limit of the Euclidean barycenter of the leaves of the foliation as the radius tends to infinity. The result in [25] considered manifolds  $M$  which are asymptotically Schwarzschild and with strong decay assumptions. The construction was then generalized by various authors (e.g. [39], [33], [32]) culminating in the work of Nerz [35] who considered asymptotically flat spaces with optimal decay assumptions. Under suitable symmetry assumptions, known as Regge-Teitelboim conditions, it was proved that the CMC and the Beig-Ó Murchadha centers of mass both exist and coincide. On the other hand, on an asymptotically flat manifold where the Regge-Teitelboim condition are not satisfied, these centers of mass may not be well-defined. In particular, Cederbaum and Nerz [11] constructed explicit examples, including suitable spacelike slices of the Schwarzschild manifold, where both these objects do not converge.

For this reason, Cederbaum and Sakovich [10] have introduced a new notion of center of mass based on foliations involving a modified curvature. For a smooth surface  $\Sigma \hookrightarrow M$ , let us denote by  $H$  the usual mean curvature, by  $g = \iota^* \bar{g}$  the induced metric on  $\Sigma$ , and by  $P = \text{tr}_g(\bar{K})$  the trace of  $\bar{K}$  on  $\Sigma$ . We then call the quantity  $\mathcal{H} = \sqrt{H^2 - P^2}$ , the *spacetime mean curvature* of  $\Sigma$ . We observe that  $\mathcal{H}$  equals the (Minkowski) length of the mean curvature vector of the 2-codimension surface  $\Sigma$ . In [10] Cederbaum and Sakovich prove the existence of a constant spacetime mean curvature (CSTMC) foliation of the outer part of  $M$ , and define a corresponding CSTMC-center of mass as the limit of the barycenters of the leaves. It is proved that the CSTMC-center of mass exists under more general assumptions compared to the previous ones, and the quantities are explicitly compared in the case where they all exist.

From a spacelike point of view, the equation satisfied by each CSTMC surface looks like a prescribed mean curvature equation. A similar equation was present in the work of Metzger [33],

who constructed surfaces satisfying the so called *constant expansion equation*  $\Theta_{\pm} := H \pm P \equiv \text{const}$ . Instead, each leaf in the foliation constructed by Cederbaum and Sakovich in [10] satisfies the equation

$$(1.1) \quad \text{const} \equiv \mathcal{H} = \sqrt{H^2 - P^2} = \sqrt{H+P}\sqrt{H-P} =: \sqrt{\Theta_+}\sqrt{\Theta_-}$$

The two equations are different if the right hand side is strictly positive, as in the case of our interest. On the other hand, it is interesting to notice that they coincide if the right-hand side is zero, in which case we recover a well-known class called *trapped surfaces*, or MOTS, which has been studied by various authors (in example [1] or [15]).

The existence of the foliations described above has been obtained by different methods through the various papers. The original approach by Huisken and Yau considered the volume preserving mean curvature flow starting from an euclidean sphere with sufficiently large radius, showed global existence of the flow and obtained the CMC surface as the limit for large times. This flow was no longer studied in the context of asymptotically flat manifolds, except for the work of Corvino and Wu [12]. The foliations were constructed instead by strategies based on the implicit function theorem ([39],[32]), a continuity method ([33], [35], [10]) or a Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction [16].

The aim of this paper is to consider again the flow approach of Huisken-Yau and to extend it in the context of spacetime mean curvature. We study here a volume preserving flow where the mean curvature is replaced by the spacetime mean curvature. As in [25], we show that for a suitable class of initial data the flow exists for all times and converges to a CSTMC limit. This provides an alternative construction of the foliation obtained in [10], and has an independent interest in the analysis the behaviour of curvature flows in asymptotically flat spaces. We recall that the Ricci flow plays an important role in the mathematics of high energy theoretical physics, see [7]. In our opinion, extrinsic curvature flows like the ones considered in this paper coupled with a Ricci flow evolution of a background metric may prove to be useful in physical applications. A further motivation for our study comes from the recent work of Huisken and Wolff, where the authors studied a spacetime version of the inverse mean curvature flow, see [26].

**1.1. Results of the paper.** Let us describe our results in more detail. We consider an initial data set  $(M, \bar{g}, \bar{K}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{J})$  which is  $C^2_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ -asymptotically flat (see the next section for the definitions) and introduce a family of nonlinear volume preserving flows with speed given by  $\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}$ , where  $\mathcal{H} = (H^q - |P|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}$  for a general power  $q \geq 2$ , and  $\bar{h}$  is the integral average of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Observe that, for  $q = 2$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$  is the space time mean curvature described above. As initial data for the flow, we take a CMC surface belonging to the foliation constructed by Nerz. As in [25], the evolution is parametrized by a non-physical time parameter and takes place in a fixed spacelike slice, but it has a speed that takes into account the spacetime texture of the initial data set. We introduce a suitable class of round surfaces and prove that the solution to our flow remains in this class for all times. This allows us to prove global existence of the flow and convergence to a limit surface of  $(M, \bar{g})$  which satisfies, for  $q \geq 2$  fixed, the equation

$$(1.2) \quad (H^q - |P|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \equiv \text{const}$$

If  $q = 2$ , we recover the spacetime constant mean curvature foliation of [10] for  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . In this case, we will see that the difference between the euclidean barycenter of the initial (CMC) surface and the final (ST-CMC) surface is bounded, but does not necessarily converge when the radius goes to infinity. There are sufficient conditions that ensure the convergence of the two centers, see [10, Lemma 10] and [10, Theorem 5]. For  $q > 2$ , we still obtain foliation whose leaves satisfy (1.2). We also find that, for  $q \geq 4$ , the euclidean barycenter of the foliation satisfying (1.2) converges to the CMC-barycenter of Nerz. However, as seen in Section 6, there are choices of  $q$ , for example  $q \in [3, 4)$ , such that the behaviour of the barycenters may differ from the CMC case. We conjecture that, in these cases, a characterization similar to that of [10, Theorem 5] can be given. We now state the main theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Fix  $q \geq 2$ . Let  $(M, \bar{g}, \bar{K}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{J})$  be a  $C^2_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ -asymptotically flat initial data set, with ADM-energy  $E > 0$ , and suppose that  $\frac{1}{2} \geq \delta > 0$ . Consider the CMC-foliation  $\{\Sigma^\sigma\}_\sigma$  of the*

3-manifold  $(M, \bar{g})$  constructed by Nerz in [35]. Given an immersion  $\iota : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$ , with  $\dim \Sigma = 2$ , we set  $\mathcal{H} := (H^q - |P|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}$ , where  $H = H_\Sigma$ ,  $P = \text{tr}_g(\bar{K})$  and  $g = \iota^*\bar{g}$ . Moreover,  $\bar{h}$  is defined as the integral mean of  $\mathcal{H}$ . In particular, we indicate with  $\iota^\sigma : \Sigma^\sigma \hookrightarrow M$ . Then, there exists a radius  $\sigma_0$  depending on universal constants and  $q$  such that for every  $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$  the Cauchy system

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial F_t^\sigma}{\partial t} = -(\mathcal{H}_t^\sigma - \bar{h}_t^\sigma)\nu_t^\sigma \\ F_0^\sigma = \iota^\sigma \end{cases}$$

admits a solution existing for every  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , where  $F_t^\sigma : \Sigma^\sigma \hookrightarrow M$  is the (evolving) immersion and  $\nu_t^\sigma$  is the (outer) normal of  $F_t^\sigma(\Sigma^\sigma)$ . Moreover,  $\Sigma_{st}^\sigma := F_t^\sigma(\Sigma^\sigma)$  converges exponentially fast to a surface  $\Sigma_{st}^\sigma$  satisfying the prescribed mean curvature equation

$$(1.4) \quad H_{\Sigma_{st}^\sigma}^q = |P|_{\Sigma_{st}^\sigma}^q + \bar{h}_{\Sigma_{st}^\sigma}^q$$

for some constant  $\bar{h}_{\Sigma_{st}^\sigma} > 0$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author acknowledges support from the MIUR Excellence Department Project, CUP E83C18000100006, and the MUR Excellence Department Project MatMod@TOV, CUP E83C23000330006, awarded to the Department of Mathematics, University of Rome Tor Vergata, and from the MUR Prin 2022 Project "Contemporary perspectives on geometry and gravity" CUP E53D23005750006. The author is a member of the group GNAMPA of INdAM (Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica).

The author would like to thank his Ph.D. advisor Carlo Sinestrari for suggesting the topic, his constant supervision and the helpful comments during the writing of this paper.

#### 2. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

The main object of this note will be curvature flows in a Riemannian setting. They have been treated widely in literature, see [21], [23]. Here, we focus on the 3-dimensional case, but the definitions are general.

**Definition 2.1** (Curvature flow). Let  $(M, \bar{g})$  be a 3-dimensional manifold, and let  $\iota : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$  be a close hypersurface. In general, a *curvature flow* in  $(M, \bar{g})$  is a solution  $\{F_t\}_{t \in [0, T)}$ ,  $T > 0$  of the equation

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F_t(\cdot) = -f(\cdot, t)\nu(\cdot, t) \\ F_0 = \iota \end{cases}$$

where  $F_t : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$  are smooth immersions, smoothly depending on the parameter  $t \in [0, T)$ . We typically set  $\Sigma_t := F_t(\Sigma)$ , the evolving surface at time  $t$ , and  $\nu(\cdot, t)$  is the outer normal to  $\Sigma_t$ , while  $f = f(\cdot, t)$  is called *speed* of the flow. We can also think to  $\Sigma$  as an intrinsic manifold, equipped with the metric (induced by the flow)  $g(t) := F_t^*\bar{g}$  at the time  $t \in [0, T)$ .

Here  $f(\cdot, t)$  is, generically, a function of  $(x, t) \in \Sigma \times [0, T)$ . However, in the typical case, we will consider functions which  $x$ -dependence relies on the principal curvatures of  $\Sigma_t$ , and often of the whole mean curvature, i.e.  $f = f(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, x, t)$  and in our case  $f = f(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2, x, t)$ , where  $\kappa_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are the principal curvatures of  $\Sigma$ . In general, short-time existence and uniqueness of solutions of system (2.1) are guaranteed provided that the speed satisfies

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial \kappa_i} > 0, \quad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

This is proved, in example, in [17, Chapter 2]. We list now some examples.

- (i) The speed  $f(x, t) = H(x, t)$  ( $\partial_{\kappa_i} f = 1$ ) give raise to the *mean curvature flow*, introduced by Huisken in [20], and also studied in uncountable papers in literature. For the Riemannian case, see the aforementioned [21].

(ii) We will mainly deal with *volume preserving flows*. This means that

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{\Sigma} f(\cdot, t) d\mu_t = 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T)$$

where  $d\mu_t$  is the volume form of  $(\Sigma, g(t))$ . This condition implies that, if we can find for every  $t \in [0, T)$  an open set  $\Omega_t$  such that  $\Sigma_t$  is 2-faced and  $\Sigma_t = \partial\Omega_t$ , in the sense that  $\Omega_t$  is enclosed by  $\Sigma_t$ , then  $\text{Vol}_{\bar{g}}(\Omega_t)$  is constant in time.

(iii) The *volume preserving mean curvature flow*, introduced by Huisken in [22], is based on the choice  $f(\cdot, t) = H(\cdot, t) - h(t)$ , where

$$(2.4) \quad h(t) := \frac{1}{|\Sigma_t|} \int_{\Sigma} H(\cdot, t) d\mu_t$$

where  $|\Sigma_t| = \mu_t(\Sigma)$ . This flow has also been treated in [25], [12], [9].

(iv) Finally, we can do choices of the speed  $f$  which are non-linear in  $H = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$ . A fully non-linear flow will be the main object of this note. However, in order to define this flow, we have to introduce and review some geometric objects.

Before passing to more geometric definitions, we list some properties of curvature flows that hold for general flows. The proof of the following Lemma is standard, see in example [23].

**Lemma 2.2** (Evolution of  $g(t)$ ,  $d\mu_t$  and curvatures). *Let  $(M, \bar{g})$  be a 3-dimensional manifold, and let  $\iota : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$  be a closed hypersurface. Suppose that  $\{F_t\}_{t \in [0, T)}$  is a solution to the equation (2.1), with initial datum  $\Sigma$ . Then they hold the equations*

- (i)  $\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial t} = -2fh_{ij}$ ;
- (ii)  $\frac{\partial g^{ij}}{\partial t} = 2fh^{ij}$ ;
- (iii)  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(d\mu_t) = -fHd\mu_t$ ;
- (iv)  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}h_{ij} = \nabla_i \nabla_j f + f(-h_{ik}h_j^k + \overline{\text{Riem}}_{i3j3})$ ;
- (v)  $\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = \Delta f + f(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu))$

As stated in Definition 2.1, we will deal with Riemannian curvature flows. However, the construction of the speed we will use is based on some notions which can be completely understood if our 3-manifold is a (spacelike) submanifold of a 4-dimensional Lorentzian spacetime.

**Definition 2.3** (Spacetime). A *spacetime* is a connected 4-manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  equipped with a  $(0, 2)$ -type tensor field  $\mathbf{g}$  which is non-degenerate and *Lorentzian*, i.e. with signature  $(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ . Moreover, it is supposed that  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$  satisfies the so called *Einstein (constraint) equations*

$$(2.5) \quad \mathbf{Ric} - \left(\frac{\mathbf{S}}{2}\right) \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{T}$$

where  $\mathbf{Ric} = \mathbf{Ric}(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the Ricci tensor of  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$ ,  $\mathbf{S} = \text{tr}_{\mathbf{g}} \mathbf{Ric}$  and  $\mathbf{T}$  is a fixed smooth  $(0, 2)$ -type tensor called *energy-momentum tensor*.

As remarked above, we will not work in this spacetime setting, but we will deal with the *initial data set* corresponding to the given spacetime.

**Definition 2.4** (Initial data set). Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$  be a spacetime and consider a spacelike 3-submanifold  $\mathbf{j} : M \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ , i.e. the induced metric  $\bar{\mathbf{g}} := \mathbf{j}^* \mathbf{g}$  is a Riemannian metric on  $M = M^3$ . Choose the timelike unit normal  $\mathbf{v}$  for  $(M, \bar{\mathbf{g}})$ . An *initial data set* is a tuple  $(M, \bar{\mathbf{g}}, \bar{\mathbf{K}}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\mathbf{J}})$  such that  $\bar{\mathbf{K}}$  is the *second fundamental form* induced on  $(M, \bar{\mathbf{g}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$  and

$$(2.6) \quad \bar{\mu} := \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) \in C^\infty(M; \mathbb{R}), \quad \bar{\mathbf{J}} := \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{v}, \cdot) \in \Gamma(T^*M)$$

Through the Gauss-Mainardi-Codazzi equations, it can be proved that (2.5) implies the validity of the system

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{\mathbf{S}} - |\bar{\mathbf{K}}|^2 + (\text{tr}_{\bar{\mathbf{g}}} \bar{\mathbf{K}})^2 = 2\bar{\mu} \\ \bar{\nabla} \cdot (\bar{\mathbf{K}}) - \bar{d}(\text{tr}_{\bar{\mathbf{g}}} \bar{\mathbf{K}}) = \bar{\mathbf{J}} \end{cases}$$

It is also well known that system (2.7) implies the validity of (2.5), see [8].

*Remark 2.5.* Observe that, thanks to these equations,  $\bar{\mathbb{J}}$  is the divergence of the bilinear form  $-\bar{\varrho} := \bar{\mathbb{K}} - \text{tr}_{\bar{\mathbb{g}}}\bar{\mathbb{K}}$ . The tensor  $\bar{\varrho}$  is called *conjugate momentum tensor*.

In order to model an isolated physical system (of a certain *energy*, as we will see), we introduce the following definition.

**Definition 2.6** ( $C^2_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ -asymptotically flat initial data set). Let  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . A  $C^2_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ -*asymptotically flat* initial data set is a tuple  $(M, \bar{\mathbb{g}}, \bar{\mathbb{K}}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\mathbb{J}})$  such that the pair  $(M, \bar{\mathbb{g}})$  is a  $C^2_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ -asymptotically flat, i.e. there exist a diffeomorphism  $\bar{x} : M \setminus C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathbb{B}_1(\vec{0})$ , for some compact subset  $C \subseteq M$ , and a constant  $\bar{c} > 0$  such that

$$(2.8) \quad |\bar{\mathbb{g}}_{\alpha\beta} - \delta_{\alpha\beta}| + |\bar{x}'|\bar{\partial}_\gamma\bar{\mathbb{g}}_{\alpha\beta}| + |\bar{x}'|^2|\bar{\partial}_\gamma\bar{\partial}_\varepsilon\bar{\mathbb{g}}_{\alpha\beta}| \leq \bar{c}|\bar{x}'|^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta}$$

where  $\bar{\mathbb{g}}_{\alpha\beta} := (\bar{\mathbb{g}})_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $\bar{\partial}_\gamma$  is the partial derivative in  $M$ , and moreover they hold

$$(2.9) \quad |\bar{\mathbb{K}}_{\alpha\beta}| + |\bar{x}'|\bar{\partial}_\gamma\bar{\mathbb{K}}_{\alpha\beta}| \leq \bar{c}|\bar{x}'|^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta}, \quad |\bar{\mu}| + |\bar{\mathbb{J}}| \leq \bar{c}|\bar{x}'|^{-3-\delta}$$

where  $\bar{\mathbb{K}}_{\alpha\beta} = (\bar{\mathbb{K}})_{\alpha\beta}$ . Moreover a couple  $(M, \bar{\mathbb{g}})$  is said to be  $C^2_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ -asymptotically flat if (2.8) holds.

We will often use the notation  $O(|\bar{x}'|^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta})$  to represent the right hand side of equation (2.8), with the straightforward adaptation in case of other orders, if we are not interested to the exact constant that appears in front of  $|\bar{x}'|^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta}$ . However, in these cases, we require that this constant depends just on the ambiental quantities, such as  $\bar{c}$  or  $\delta$ .

*Remark 2.7* (Mass condition). When dealing with asymptotically flat manifolds, we typically assume  $\bar{\mathbb{S}} \in L^1(M, \bar{\mathbb{g}})$  in order to deal with fundamental objects such as the ADM-mass of the system, where  $\bar{\mathbb{S}}$  is the scalar curvature of  $(M, \bar{\mathbb{g}})$ , see [35], [10]. In particular, we call *mass condition* the hypothesis

$$(2.10) \quad |\bar{\mathbb{S}}| \leq \bar{c}|\bar{x}'|^{-3-\delta}$$

in an asymptotically flat manifold. See [35]. We also remark that, going back to the original work of Huisken and Yau [25] the Schwarzschild model satisfies  $\bar{\mathbb{S}}_{\text{Schw}} \equiv 0$ , and thus the mass condition is the generalization of this fact. However, the mass condition is not just a reminiscence of the Schwarzschild model. In fact, if we suppose that our asymptotically flat manifold is a spacelike submanifold of a spacetime, this decay is a consequence of the first Einstein constrains equation:

$$(2.11) \quad |\bar{\mathbb{S}}| \leq |\bar{\mathbb{K}}|^2 + |\text{tr}_{\bar{\mathbb{g}}}\bar{\mathbb{K}}|^2 + 2|\bar{\mu}| = O(|\bar{x}'|^{-3-2\delta})$$

This allows us to do the following definition.

**Definition 2.8** (ADM-energy). Let  $(M, \bar{\mathbb{g}})$  be a  $C^2_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ -asymptotically flat 3-manifold that satisfies the *mass condition*. The ADM-*energy* is defined as

$$(2.12) \quad E := - \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R}{8\pi} \int_{\bar{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{S}_R(\vec{0}))} \bar{\mathbb{G}}(\nu_R, \nu_R) d\mu_R$$

where  $\bar{\mathbb{G}} := \bar{\text{Ric}} - \left(\frac{\bar{\mathbb{S}}}{2}\right)\bar{\mathbb{g}}$  is the (spacelike) Einstein tensor,  $\bar{x}^{-1}(\mathbb{S}_R(\vec{0}))$  is the euclidean sphere in  $(M, \bar{\mathbb{g}})$ , and  $\nu_R$  and  $d\mu_R$  are, respectively, its normal vector and its volume form in  $(M, \bar{\mathbb{g}})$ .

**2.9. 2-surfaces and and spacetime.** We start considering 2-surfaces in initial data set. In the following, by a 2-surface or a *hypersurface* of an asymptotically flat 3-manifold  $(M, \bar{\mathbb{g}})$  we mean a closed and connected immersed 2-faced hypersurface  $\iota : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M \setminus C$ . Since  $M \setminus C$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathbb{B}_1(\vec{0})$ , the hypersurface  $\Sigma$  inherits two Riemannian metrics: a *physical* metric  $g := \iota^*\bar{\mathbb{g}}$  and an *euclidean* metric  $g^e := \iota^*\bar{\mathbb{g}}^e$ , where  $\bar{\mathbb{g}}^e$  is the euclidean metric on  $M$ . From now on, we will use the apex  $e$  each time the quantity is computed with respect to the euclidean metric, and we will omit the apex if it is computed using the physical metric. Then, fixed an outer normal versor  $\nu : \Sigma \rightarrow TM$ , we represent with  $A = \{h_{ij}\}$ ,  $H$  and  $d\mu$ , respectively, the second fundamental form, the mean curvature and the volume form of  $\Sigma$  with respect to  $g$ . On the other hand, if  $\nu^e : \Sigma \rightarrow TM$  is the outer normal field of  $\Sigma$  with respect to  $\bar{\mathbb{g}}^e$ , we represent the same quantities with  $A^e = \{h_{ij}^e\}$ ,  $H^e$  and  $d\mu^e$ . Observe that, when we are on a hypersurface  $\Sigma$ , we use the latin

indexes  $i, j, k, l$ , etc, to distinguish from the ambient coordinates, which are indicated with the greek indexes  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \epsilon$ , etc. Finally, we define

$$(2.13) \quad h := \frac{1}{|\Sigma|} \int_{\Sigma} H \, d\mu, \quad h^e := \frac{1}{|\Sigma^e|} \int_{\Sigma} H^e \, d\mu^e$$

which are, respectively, the mean of the mean curvature computed with respect to the physical and the euclidean metric. Here  $|\Sigma| = \int_{\Sigma} d\mu$  and  $|\Sigma^e| = \int_{\Sigma} d\mu^e$ .

We now start considering these immersed hypersurfaces as submanifolds of codimension-2 immersed in the 4-dimensional spacetime.

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $(M, \bar{g}, \bar{K}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{J})$  be  $C^2_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ -asymptotically flat initial data set. Let  $\iota : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$  be a hypersurface of  $M$ , with induced metric  $g := \iota^* \bar{g}$ . We define over  $\Sigma$  the *timelike mean curvature* as  $P := \text{tr}_g(\bar{K}) := g^{ij} \bar{K}_{ij}$ . Let moreover  $H$  be the (spacelike) mean curvature of  $\Sigma$ , i.e. the Riemannian one. Then, we define the *spacetime mean curvature* of  $\Sigma$ , if it exists, as

$$(2.14) \quad \mathcal{H} := \sqrt{H^2 - P^2}$$

This is essentially the 2-Minkowski length of the vector  $(\vec{H}, P)$ , where  $\vec{H}$  is the *vector mean curvature* of  $\Sigma$ . In this case, we set

$$(2.15) \quad \bar{h} := \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{H} \, d\mu$$

**2.11.  $p$ -norms and volume preserving flows.** We conclude this Section finally defining the flow we will deal with throughout the paper. Not surprisingly, we will deal with a volume preserving flow which has the difference  $\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}$  as speed. This definition is motivated by the spacetime foliation constructed by Cederbaum and Sakovich in [10], which we will recover as a consequence of our flow. We remark that, moreover, the reciprocal of  $\mathcal{H}$  recently appeared as a speed in literature in the *inverse spacetime mean curvature flow* introduced by Huisken and Wolff in [26].

We will consider a whole family of curvature flows. Consider the  $q$ -Minkowsky norm  $|\cdot|_q, q \geq 2$ , defined on a 4-tangent space  $TM \times \mathbb{R}$  by  $|\mathbf{y}|_q := (|y_{\text{splk}}|^q - |y_{\text{tmlk}}|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}$ , where  $\mathbf{y} = (y_{\text{splk}}, y_{\text{tmlk}}) \in TM \times \mathbb{R}$ . We will deal with Cauchy problems of the following form

$$(2.16) \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = - \left( |\vec{\mathcal{H}}(t)|_q - \int_{\Sigma} |\vec{\mathcal{H}}(t)|_q \, d\mu_t \right) \nu_t$$

where  $\vec{\mathcal{H}} = (\vec{H}, P)$ , and  $\vec{H}$  is the vector mean curvature. For sake of brevity, we will write  $\mathcal{H}_q = |\vec{\mathcal{H}}|_q$  and  $\bar{h}_q$  will be its integral mean. However, when  $q$  will be fixed, we will simply use  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\bar{h}$ . We will recover the result of Cederbaum and Sakovich [10] when  $q = 2$ . The exponent  $q$  will play an important role in the non-linearity of the flow. In particular, we will see that, if  $q \geq 4$ , the flow acts like a deformation of Nerz's CMC-foliation which does not move the center of mass (in Riemannian sense). However, the foliation obtained is a family of surfaces (foliating the end of the ambient manifold) which satisfies the following *prescribed mean-curvature* equation

$$(2.17) \quad H^q = |P|^q + \text{const}$$

**Definition 2.12** (Volume preserving spacetime mean curvature flows). Consider the initial data set  $(M, \bar{g}, \bar{K}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{J})$  and suppose it is  $C^2_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ -asymptotically flat. Let  $\iota : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$  be a closed hypersurface of  $M$ . A *volume preserving  $p$ -spacetime mean curvature flow* in  $(M, \bar{g})$  is a solution  $\{F_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ ,  $T > 0$  to the equation

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F_t(\cdot) = (\bar{h} - \mathcal{H}) \nu \\ F_0 = \iota \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathcal{H} \equiv \mathcal{H}_q$  and  $\bar{h} \equiv \bar{h}_q$ , for  $q \geq 2$ .

Observe that, differently from the Riemannian volume preserving mean curvature flow, this flow is not area decreasing. This means that a long time solution does not necessarily satisfy  $f \xrightarrow{L^2} 0$  as in the Riemannian case. We will bypass this obstacle observing that a (Riemannian) CMC-foliation is a better family of initial data for the Cauchy problem (2.18) than the euclidean spheres (which

possibly neither satisfy the *starting condition* for the flow, i.e. the speed is not well defined at  $t = 0$ , as we will see in the next Subsection) in  $(M, \bar{g})$ . It comes out that they are more similar to the euclidean spheres in the euclidean context or in an asymptotically Schwarzschildian manifold (as in [25]). The methods employed here also give another proof of the result of Huisken-Yau [25] in the case  $\bar{g}_S^m + \bar{P} = (1 + \frac{m}{24})^4 \delta_{\text{eucl}} + \bar{P} \in C_1^2$ , i.e.  $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $m > 0$ .

### 3. CMC-SURFACES

The wider generalization of the milestone-result of Huisken and Yau [25] on the foliation of asymptotically flat 3-manifolds has been proved by Nerz in [35]. This author proved the following existence theorem for CMC-foliation. Observe that this theorem is also the starting point of the work of Cederbaum and Sakovich [10], which we will recover at the end as an application of our result.

**Theorem 3.1** (Nerz, Thm. 5.1 [35]). *Let  $(M, \bar{g}, \vec{x})$  be a 3-dimensional  $C_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}^2$ -asymptotically flat Riemannian manifold and assume that its mass is non-vanishing, i.e.  $\bar{m} := m_{\text{ADM}}(M, \bar{g}) \neq 0$ . There is a constant  $\sigma_0 = \sigma_0(|\bar{m}|, \delta, \bar{c})$  and a compact set  $\bar{L} \subseteq M$  and a diffeomorphism  $\bar{\Phi} : (\sigma_0, \infty) \times \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow M \setminus \bar{L}$  such that each of the surface  $\Sigma^\sigma := \bar{\Phi}(\sigma, \mathbb{S}^2)$  has constant mean curvature  $H_{\Sigma^\sigma} \equiv \frac{2}{\sigma}$ . Moreover, there exists a constant  $c > 0$ , independent of  $\sigma$ , such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \|\mathring{A}\|_{H^1(\Sigma^\sigma)} \leq c\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta}, \quad |\vec{z}_{\Sigma^\sigma}| \leq c\sigma^{1-\delta}$$

and the ratio  $\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{\Sigma^\sigma}}$  is sufficiently near to 1.

*Remark 3.2.* (i) The condition on the ratio  $\sigma/\sigma_\Sigma$  can be quantified as  $\left| \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{\Sigma^\sigma}} - 1 \right| < 1 - \sqrt{\frac{7}{8}}$ , if  $\sigma_0$  is chosen sufficiently large. See [35, Proof of Prop. 4.4].  
 (ii) In [35, Prop. 4.4], Nerz also proves that on each leaf  $\Sigma^\sigma$  of the foliation it holds the Sobolev-type inequality  $\|f\|_{L^2(\Sigma^\sigma)} \leq c_S \sigma^{-1} \|f\|_{W^{1,1}(\Sigma^\sigma)}$  for some  $c_S > 0$  universal Sobolev constant and every  $f \in W^{1,1}(\Sigma^\sigma)$ . This implies, see [10, Lemma 12], that

$$(3.2) \quad \|\mathring{A}\|_{L^4(\Sigma^\sigma)} \leq 2c_S \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathring{A}\|_{H^1(\Sigma^\sigma)} \leq 2c_S c \sigma^{-1-\delta}$$

In the rest of this Section, we will analyze the spacetime nature of the CMC-foliation constructed by Nerz. The aim will be that of using this foliation as initial data for our flow. We start checking that the definition of spacetime mean curvature is well-posed under some hypothesis that we will later call *roundness*-type hypothesis. The following Lemma collects the main results in this sense and it gives a preview of the fact that CMC-surfaces are good candidates as initial data for our flow.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $(M, \bar{g}, \bar{K}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{J})$  be a  $C_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}^2$ -asymptotically flat initial data set. Let  $\iota : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$  be a closed hypersurface in  $M$  with induced metric  $g := \iota^* \bar{g}$ . Let  $\sigma > 1$  be a fixed "radius" such that*

$$(3.3) \quad 2\sigma \geq \inf_{x \in \Sigma} |\vec{x}(x)| \geq \frac{\sigma}{2}, \quad \frac{1}{\sigma} \leq H \leq \frac{\sqrt{5}}{\sigma}$$

Then, the following hold

- (i) For every  $x \in \Sigma$  we have  $P = O(\sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta})$ ;
- (ii)  $\|P\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Sigma)} = O(\sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta})$ ;
- (iii)  $\mathcal{H}_x$  is well defined for every  $x \in \Sigma$ ;
- (iv) There exists  $c_q > 0$  such that they hold the inequalities

$$(3.4) \quad \sup_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{H} - H| \leq c_q \sigma^{-1-\frac{1}{2}q-q\delta}, \quad |h - \bar{h}| \leq \bar{c}_q \sigma^{-1-\frac{1}{2}q-q\delta}$$

- (v) There exists a constant  $c_{\text{in}} = c_{\text{in}}(\bar{c}) > 0$  such that if  $H$  is constant on  $\Sigma$ , i.e.  $H \equiv h$ , then  $\|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Sigma)} \leq c_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-1-\frac{1}{2}q-q\delta}$ .

*Proof.* Points (i) and (ii) follows from the fact that

$$(3.5) \quad |P_x| \leq 2|\bar{\mathbf{K}}|_{\bar{g}} = O(\sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta})$$

Moreover, by definition, we have

$$(3.6) \quad (\nabla P)_i = g^{ik} \nabla_k P = g^{ik} \nabla_k (g^{jl} \bar{\mathbf{K}}_{jl}) = g^{ik} (\nabla_k g^{jl} \bar{\mathbf{K}}_{jl} + g^{jl} \nabla_k \bar{\mathbf{K}}_{jl})$$

and then, since  $|\partial_k g^{jl}| \leq \bar{c} \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta}$  and (using also the decay on the Christoffel symbols)  $|\nabla_k \bar{\mathbf{K}}_{jl}| \leq \bar{c} \sigma^{-\frac{5}{2}-\delta}$ , we have

$$(3.7) \quad |\nabla P| = O(\sigma^{-\frac{5}{2}-\delta})$$

Finally, we observe that, since  $H \simeq \frac{2}{\sigma}$ ,  $P^2$  is strictly smaller than  $H^2$ , and so  $\mathcal{H}$  is well-defined for every  $x \in \Sigma$ . Point (iv) is a consequence of the computation

$$(3.8) \quad |\mathcal{H} - H| = |(H^q - |P|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} - (H^q)^{\frac{1}{p}}| \leq \sup_{\xi \in [H^q - |P|^q, H^q]} \frac{|P|^q}{q|\xi|^{1-\frac{1}{q}}}$$

Since  $P = O(\sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta})$  and  $H \simeq \frac{2}{\sigma}$ , it holds that  $|\xi|^{\frac{1}{q}-1} \leq \sigma^{q-1}$ , and thus  $|\mathcal{H} - H| \leq c_q \sigma^{-1-\frac{1}{2}q-\delta q}$ . Moreover

$$(3.9) \quad |\bar{h} - h| \leq \sup_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{H} - H| \leq c_q \sigma^{-1-\frac{1}{2}q-\delta q}$$

Finally, if  $H \equiv h$ , set  $s > 0$  such that  $h = \frac{2}{s}$ ,  $s \simeq \sigma$ . Then by definition

$$(3.10) \quad |\mathcal{H} - h| = \left| \mathcal{H} - \frac{2}{s} \right| = \left| \left( \left( \frac{2}{s} \right)^q - |P|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} - \frac{2}{s} \right| \leq \sup_{x \in \Sigma} \frac{|P|^q}{q \left( \left( \frac{2}{s} \right)^q \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}} \leq c_q \sigma^{-1-\frac{1}{2}q-\delta q}$$

Since  $|h - \bar{h}|$  has the same decay, we have the first part of the claim. We conclude considering the identity  $\mathcal{H}^q = H^q - |P|^q$ . This implies

$$(3.11) \quad \mathcal{H}^{p-1} \nabla \mathcal{H} = H^{q-1} \nabla H - |P|^{q-1} \left( \frac{P}{|P|} \right) \nabla P$$

But if  $H \equiv h$ , this reduces to  $\mathcal{H}^{q-1} \nabla \mathcal{H} = -P|P|^{q-2} \nabla P$ , so that

$$(3.12) \quad |\nabla \mathcal{H}| \leq \mathcal{H}^{1-q} |P|^{q-1} |\nabla P| \leq c_q \sigma^{-2-\frac{1}{2}q-\delta q}$$

□

We end this Section with some well known properties of *round* spacelike surfaces. At this level, we have not defined what a round surface is in our context yet. We postpone this definition to the next Section. For the moment, we just consider an hypersurface of an asymptotically flat manifold which satisfies some integral conditions which, more closely, are part of the definitions of round surface (in the Riemannian context) that one can find in literature (see [35], [33], [32], [25]). The Lemma contains well-known results, which however we will use hugely. For sake of completeness, we review this facts. Before stating the result, we fix some notation.

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $(M, \bar{g})$  be a  $C^2_{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}$ -asymptotically flat manifold, and consider an hypersurface  $\iota : \Sigma \rightarrow M$  with induced metric  $g = \iota^* \bar{g}$ . Then we set

$$(3.13) \quad r_{\Sigma} := \min_{x \in \Sigma} |\bar{x}(x)|, \quad R_{\Sigma} := \max_{x \in \Sigma} |\bar{x}(x)|, \quad \sigma_{\Sigma} := \sqrt{\frac{|\Sigma|_g}{4\pi}}$$

These *radii* are called *euclidean radius*, *euclidean diameter* and *area radius*, respectively. We will be particularly interested in estimating  $r_{\Sigma}$  and  $\sigma_{\Sigma}$  along the flow and also in finding a bound for the ratio  $\frac{\sigma_{\Sigma}}{r_{\Sigma}}$ . This is because a negative power on  $\Sigma$  can be estimated as  $|\bar{x}|^{-\alpha} \leq r_{\Sigma}^{-\alpha} = O(\sigma_{\Sigma}^{-\alpha})$  if the aforementioned ratio is bounded.

**Lemma 3.5** (Spacelike-round surfaces). *Let  $(M, \bar{g}, \vec{x})$  be a  $C^2_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ -asymptotically flat manifold. Let  $\iota : \Sigma \rightarrow M$  be a hypersurface, and let  $g := \iota^* \bar{g}$  be the induced metric. Fix  $\sigma > 1$  and a weight  $\eta > 0$ . Suppose that*

$$(3.14) \quad \|\mathring{A}\|_{L^4(\Sigma, \mu)} \leq B_1 \sigma^{-1-\delta}, \quad \frac{7}{2} \pi \sigma^2 \leq |\Sigma|_g \leq 5\pi \sigma^2, \quad \frac{2}{3} \leq \frac{r_\Sigma}{\sigma_\Sigma} \leq \frac{R_\Sigma}{\sigma_\Sigma} \leq \frac{3}{2}$$

$$(3.15) \quad \eta \sigma^{-4} \|H - h\|_{L^4(\Sigma)}^4 + \|\nabla H\|_{L^4(\Sigma)}^4 \leq B_2 \sigma^{-8-4\delta}, \quad |A| \leq \sqrt{\frac{5}{2\sigma^2}}, \quad |\vec{z}_\Sigma| \leq B_{\text{cen}} \sigma^{1-\delta}$$

Then there exists  $\sigma_0 = \sigma_0(B_1, B_2, B_{\text{cen}}, \bar{c}, \delta, \eta) > 0$  such that whenever  $\sigma > \sigma_0$  the following conclusions hold:

(i) *There exists  $c_s > 0$  such that it holds*

$$(3.16) \quad \|\psi\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{c_s}{\sigma} \|\psi\|_{W^{1,1}(\Sigma)}, \quad \forall \psi \in W^{1,1}(\Sigma)$$

and, for every  $p > 2$ ,

$$(3.17) \quad \|\psi\|_{L^\infty} \leq 2^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p-2}} c_s \sigma^{-\frac{2}{p}} \|\psi\|_{W^{1,p}}, \quad \forall \psi \in W^{1,p}(\Sigma)$$

Then it follows that there exists a constant  $c(B_2, \eta) > 0$  such that

$$(3.18) \quad \|H - h\|_{L^\infty} \leq c(B_2, \eta) \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta}$$

(ii) *It holds the estimate*

$$(3.19) \quad \left| h - \frac{2}{\sigma_\Sigma} \right| \leq c(B_1, B_2, \bar{c}) \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta}$$

and the principal curvatures  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2$  satisfy

$$(3.20) \quad \frac{1}{2\sigma} < \kappa_i < \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2\sigma}$$

for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .

(iii) *There exists a constant  $B_\infty = B_\infty(B_1, B_2, \eta, c_s, \delta, \bar{c})$  such that  $\|\mathring{A}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} \leq B_\infty \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta}$ .*

(iv) *There exists  $c = c(\delta, \bar{c}, B_\infty, B_2, \eta)$  such that  $\Sigma^e = \text{graph}(f)$ , with  $f : \mathbb{S}_{\sigma_\Sigma}(\vec{z}_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\|f\|_{W^{2,\infty}} \leq c \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}$  and  $\vec{z}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$  is a vector of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  such that*

$$(3.21) \quad |\vec{z}_0 - \vec{z}_\Sigma| \leq c(B_1, \bar{c}, \delta) \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}$$

(v) *There exists  $c_s > 0$ , a Sobolev constant, such that the following inequality holds*

$$(3.22) \quad \int_\Sigma (\psi - \bar{\psi})^4 d\mu_g \leq c_s \sigma^4 \int_\Sigma |\nabla \psi|^4 d\mu_g, \quad \forall \psi \in W^{1,4}(\Sigma)$$

where  $\bar{\psi} := \int_\Sigma \psi d\mu_g$ .

(vi) *It holds  $\|A\|_{L^4(\Sigma)} \leq 4^{\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{5/2} \pi^{\frac{1}{4}} \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  and thus there exists a constant  $c_{\text{Per}} = c_{\text{Per}}(\delta, \bar{c})$  such that*

$$(3.23) \quad \|H - h\|_{L^4(\Sigma)} \leq c_{\text{Per}} \|\mathring{A}\|_{L^4(\Sigma)} + c_{\text{Per}} \sigma^{-1-\delta}$$

The proof is well-known and combines the results of [35, Prop. 4.1], [35, Prop. 4.4], [35, Cor. E.1], [10, Lemma 12], [37, Thm. 1.1]. See also Appendix A.

## 4. THE VOLUME PRESERVING SPACETIME MEAN CURVATURE FLOW

The definition of round sphere is completely understood in euclidean spaces and it has several declensions in asymptotically flat spaces, starting from the seminal work of [25]. In the spacetime context, this definition has fewer conjugations than the aforementioned case. [10, Definition 4] is rather a classification for surfaces which mean curvature satisfies a "constraint", that is  $H \equiv \text{const}$  in the case of CMC-surface (see [35]) and  $H$  prescribed in the case of [10]. We want to adapt the definition also to perturbations of this kind of surfaces.

**Definition 4.1** (Spacetime round surfaces). Consider an initial data set  $(M, \bar{g}, \bar{K}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{J})$  and suppose it is  $C^{\frac{2}{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}}$ -asymptotically flat. Let  $\iota : \Sigma \rightarrow M$  be a hypersurface, and set  $g := \iota^*\bar{g}$ . Fix  $\sigma > 1$ ,  $\eta > 0$ , with  $\sigma$  large and  $\eta$  to be fixed in terms of some universal constants. We say that the surface  $(\Sigma, g)$  is *spacetime round*, and we write quantitatively  $(\Sigma, g) \in \mathcal{B}_\sigma^\eta(B_1, B_2)$ , with  $B_1, B_2 > 0$ , if the following inequalities are satisfied

$$(4.1) \quad \|\mathring{A}\|_{L^4(\Sigma, \mu)} < B_1 \sigma^{-1-\delta}, \quad \frac{7}{2} \pi \sigma^2 < |\Sigma|_g < 5\pi \sigma^2, \quad \frac{2}{3} < \frac{r_\Sigma}{\sigma_\Sigma} \leq \frac{R_\Sigma}{\sigma_\Sigma} < \frac{3}{2}$$

$$(4.2) \quad \eta \sigma^{-4} \|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{L^4(\Sigma)}^4 + \|\nabla \mathcal{H}\|_{L^4(\Sigma)}^4 < B_2 \sigma^{-8-4\delta}, \quad |A| < \sqrt{\frac{5}{2\sigma^2}}$$

*Remark 4.2* (The class is non-empty). In fact, consider the family  $\{\Sigma^\sigma\}_{\sigma \geq \sigma_0}$  given by Theorem 3.1. Then Remark 3.2 implies that

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{7\pi\sigma^2}{2} < |\Sigma_\sigma| \equiv 4\pi\sigma_\Sigma^2 < 5\pi\sigma^2$$

Moreover, [35, Prop. 4.4] implies that  $\Sigma_\sigma^e := \vec{x}(\Sigma_\sigma) = \text{graph}(f)$ , for some  $f : \mathbb{S}_{\sigma_\Sigma}(\vec{z}^\sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\|f\|_{W^{2,\infty}} \leq c\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}$ , where

$$(4.4) \quad \vec{z}^\sigma := \int_{\Sigma_\sigma} \vec{x} \, d\mu^\sigma$$

Thus, if  $\sigma$  is large enough,

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{\sigma_{\Sigma_\sigma}}{2} < \sigma_{\Sigma_\sigma} - |\vec{z}^\sigma| - c\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \leq |\vec{x}| = |\vec{z}^\sigma + \sigma_{\Sigma_\sigma} \nu_\sigma^e + f \nu_\sigma^e| < \frac{3}{2} \sigma_{\Sigma_\sigma}$$

which implies the requirement on  $r_{\Sigma_\sigma}$  and  $R_{\Sigma_\sigma}$ .

The conditions in the norm  $L^4(\Sigma, \mu)$  are also implied. Finally, Nerz's bootstrap [35, Prop. 4.1] implies that  $\|\mathring{A}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_\sigma)} \leq c(\bar{c}, \delta) \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta}$ , and thus

$$(4.6) \quad |A|^2 = |\mathring{A}|^2 + \frac{H^2}{2} \leq c(\bar{c}, \delta) \sigma^{-3-2\delta} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$$

since  $H \equiv 2/\sigma$ . This implies the latter condition if  $\sigma_0$  is chosen possibly larger. Thus, there exist  $\sigma_0$  such that  $\Sigma^\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_\sigma^\eta(B_1, B_2)$  if  $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$  and  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are chosen sufficiently large. Moreover, the family  $\{\Sigma^\sigma\}_{\sigma \geq \sigma_0}$  consists in special round surfaces, since they also satisfy

$$(4.7) \quad \|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Sigma_\sigma)} \leq c_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-\frac{q}{2}-q\delta}$$

See Lemma 3.3. We will see that all these conditions will be preserved if these data are chosen as initial data of the flow.

The following Lemma is a sort of continuation of the evolution equations in Lemma 2.2. We collect here some important formulas which hold in a more general context: our approach can then be adapted to more generality, but it is straightforward that the "asymptotic linearity" of the Cauchy system plays a role.

*Remark 4.3.* Consider the two-variables function  $\Phi(\rho, \gamma)$ . In the following we will denote with  $\Phi'$  the derivative of  $\Phi$  computed with respect to the variable  $\rho$ , that is  $\Phi' := \partial_\rho \Phi|_{(\rho, \gamma) = (H, P)}$ . On

the other hand, we will denote with  $\partial_t \Phi$  the derivative of  $\Phi$  in time due to the dependence on  $P = P(t)$ , that is  $\partial_t \Phi := \partial_t (\Phi(\rho, P(t)))|_{\rho=H}$ . Thus

$$(4.8) \quad \partial_t (\Phi(H, P)) = \Phi' \partial_t H + \partial_t \Phi$$

This notation is particularly useful since we will mainly take trace of the term  $\Phi'$ , and estimate  $\partial_t \Phi$  as an unicum.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $(M, \bar{g})$  be a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold and let  $\iota : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$  be a hypersurface. Consider the evolution led by the following Cauchy system*

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = - \left( \Phi(H(\cdot, t), P(\cdot, t)) + \frac{1}{|\Sigma_t|} \int_{\Sigma} \Phi(H, P) d\mu_t \right) \nu(\cdot, t) \\ F(0, \cdot) = \iota(\cdot) \end{cases}$$

for  $t \in [0, T]$ , where  $H(\cdot, t)$  is the mean curvature of  $\Sigma$  at time  $t$ , with respect to the normal versor  $\nu(\cdot, t)$  and  $P : \Sigma \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth function which can depend on the metric  $g(t)$  of  $\Sigma_t$ . Suppose moreover that there exists a smooth function  $\alpha : (0, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\Phi(s, \gamma) = s\alpha\left(\frac{\gamma}{s}\right)$  such that

$$(4.10) \quad |\alpha - 1| \leq \frac{s^2}{2}, \quad |\dot{\alpha}| \leq \frac{3s}{2}, \quad |\ddot{\alpha}| \leq 2$$

Define the following reminder tensor

$$(4.11) \quad T_{ij} := \nabla_i \nabla_j H \beta \left( \frac{|P|}{H} \right) + \nabla_j H \beta' \left( \frac{|P|}{H} \right) \nabla_i \left( \frac{|P|}{H} \right) + \nabla_i H \beta' \left( \frac{|P|}{H} \right) \nabla_j \left( \frac{|P|}{H} \right) +$$

$$(4.12) \quad + H \beta'' \left( \frac{|P|}{H} \right) \nabla_i \left( \frac{|P|}{H} \right) \nabla_j \left( \frac{|P|}{H} \right) + H \beta' \left( \frac{|P|}{H} \right) \nabla_i \nabla_j \left( \frac{|P|}{H} \right)$$

where  $\beta = \beta(s) := \alpha(s) - 1$ . We will use the notation  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \equiv \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g$  for the pairing of two bilinear forms. Then the following evolutive equations hold:

- (i)  $\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = \Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) (|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu))$
- (ii)  $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} = \Phi' (\Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) (|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu))) + \partial_t \Phi$
- (iii)

$$(4.13) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\overset{\circ}{A}|^2 = \Delta |\overset{\circ}{A}|^2 - 2 |\nabla \overset{\circ}{A}|^2 + \frac{2\bar{h}}{H} |A|^4 - 2\bar{h} \text{tr}(A^3) + 2|A|^2 \left( 1 - \frac{\bar{h}}{H} \right) \left( |A|^2 - \frac{H^2}{2} \right) +$$

$$(4.14) \quad + 2|A|^2 \left( \frac{H^2}{2} - \frac{H\mathcal{H}}{2} \right) + 2(\mathcal{H} - H) \text{tr}(A^3) +$$

$$(4.15) \quad + 2\overset{\circ}{h}_{ij} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) \overline{\text{Riem}}_{3i3j} - 4|\overset{\circ}{A}|^2 \overline{\text{Riem}}_{1212} +$$

$$(4.16) \quad - 2 \left( \nabla_j (\overline{\text{Ric}}_{i\varepsilon} \nu^\varepsilon) + \nabla_l (\overline{\text{Rm}}_{\varepsilon ij l} \nu^\varepsilon) \right) \overset{\circ}{h}_{ij} + \langle T, \overset{\circ}{A} \rangle$$

$$(4.17) \quad \text{(iv) } \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 = \Delta |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 - 2 |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}|^2 + 2(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) h^{ij} \nabla_i \mathcal{H} \nabla_j \mathcal{H} +$$

$$(4.18) \quad + 2(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)) |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 - 2 \text{Ric}^\Sigma(\nabla \mathcal{H}, \nabla \mathcal{H}) +$$

$$(4.18) \quad + 2(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) \langle \nabla |A|^2, \nabla \mathcal{H} \rangle + 2(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) \langle \nabla (\overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)), \nabla \mathcal{H} \rangle$$

$$(4.19) \quad + 2g^{ij} \nabla_i \left( (\Phi' - 1) (\Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) (|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu))) \right) \nabla_j \mathcal{H} +$$

$$+ 2g^{ij} \nabla_i \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right) \nabla_j \mathcal{H}$$

where  $\text{Ric}^\Sigma$  is the Ricci tensor of  $\Sigma$ .

The proof is standard, and it mainly relies on the computations in [22] and [23]. Observe that the tensor  $T$  is the remainder of the Hessian of the function  $\Phi$ , which, due to the introduction of the auxiliary functions  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , is given by  $\text{Hess}(H)$  plus the tensor  $T$ .

*Remark 4.5.* In the case of a volume preserving  $p$ -spacetime mean curvature flow we have

$$(4.20) \quad \Phi(H, P) = (H^q - |P|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} = H \left( 1 - \left( \frac{|P|}{H} \right)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

so that  $\alpha(s) = (1 - s^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}$ . Observe now that

$$(4.21) \quad |\alpha(s) - 1| \leq c_q s^q, \quad |\dot{\alpha}(s)| \leq c_q s^{q-1}, \quad |\ddot{\alpha}(s)| \leq c_q s^{q-2}$$

Since typically  $s \in (0, 1)$ , for every  $q \geq 2$  the hypothesis (4.10) are satisfied.

The Lemma above leads to the following maximum principle. In order to prove this result, we need some technical computation that we postpone to Appendix C.

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $(M, \bar{g}, \bar{K}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{J})$  be a  $C^2_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ -asymptotically flat initial data set. Let  $\iota : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$  be an immersed hypersurface, set  $\sigma > 1$  and  $g = \iota^* \bar{g}$ . Let  $(\Sigma, F_t)$  be a solution to the volume preserving spacetime mean curvature flow with initial datum  $F_0 = \iota$ . Suppose that the flow exists on a compact interval of time  $[0, T]$ , with  $T > 0$ , and that the following conditions hold.*

(i) Point-wise roundness: For every  $t \in [0, T]$ , it holds

$$(4.22) \quad |A(t)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}} \sigma^{-1}, \quad \kappa_i(t) \geq \frac{1}{2\sigma}$$

where  $\kappa_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  are the principal curvatures of  $\Sigma_t$ .

(ii) Accurate control on the curvatures: For every  $t \in [0, T]$  it holds  $\text{osc}_\infty(H(t)) \leq \frac{1}{2(5+\sqrt{5})\sqrt{5}\sigma}$ ;

(iii) Comparability of the radii: For every  $t \in [0, T]$ , it holds

$$(4.23) \quad \frac{\sigma}{r_{\Sigma}(t)} \leq \frac{3}{2}, \quad (7/2)\pi\sigma^2 \leq |\Sigma_t| \equiv 4\pi\sigma_{\Sigma_t}^2 \leq 5\pi\sigma^2$$

Then there exist a constant  $c_4 = c(\bar{c}) > 0$  and a constant  $\sigma_0 = \sigma_0(\delta, \bar{c}) > 0$  such that if  $\sigma > \sigma_0$  then it holds the following differential inequality

$$(4.24) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_4(t) \leq -2 \int_{\Sigma} |\dot{A}|^2 |\nabla \dot{A}|^2 d\mu_t - \left( \frac{1}{8\sqrt{5}\sigma^2} \right) \mathcal{E}_4(t) + c_4 \sigma^{-6-4\delta}$$

Finally we have the following qualitative behavior. If  $\mathcal{E}_4(0) < B_1 \sigma^{-4-4\delta}$  and  $B_1 > 2\sqrt{10}c_4$ , then  $\mathcal{E}_4(t) < B_1 \sigma^{-4-4\delta}$  for every  $t \in [0, T]$ .

*Proof.* First of all, we underline that in the following proof we will use constants  $c_4$  and  $C$  which can change from line to line. Consider the evolution equation

$$(4.25) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\dot{A}|^2 = \Delta |\dot{A}|^2 - 2|\nabla \dot{A}|^2 + \frac{2\dot{h}}{H} |A|^4 - 2\dot{h} \text{tr}(A^3) + 2|A|^2 \left( 1 - \frac{\dot{h}}{H} \right) \left( |A|^2 - \frac{H^2}{2} \right) +$$

$$(4.26) \quad + 2|A|^2 \left( \frac{H^2}{2} - \frac{H\Phi(H)}{2} \right) + 2(\Phi(H) - H) \text{tr}(A^3) +$$

$$(4.27) \quad + 2\dot{h}_{ij} (\Phi(H) - \dot{h}) \overline{\text{Riem}}_{3i3j} - 4|\dot{A}|^2 \overline{\text{Riem}}_{1212} +$$

$$(4.28) \quad - 2(\nabla_j (\overline{\text{Ric}}_{i\varepsilon} \nu^\varepsilon) + \nabla_l (\overline{\text{Rm}}_{\varepsilon ij l} \nu^\varepsilon)) \dot{h}_{ij} + \langle T, \dot{A} \rangle$$

Since the behavior of  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\dot{h}$  are comparable to that of  $H$  and  $h$  because of the structure of the ambiental setting, we can instead consider the evolution

$$(4.29) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} |\dot{A}|^4 d\mu_t = 2 \int_{\Sigma} |\dot{A}|^2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\dot{A}|^2 \right) d\mu_t + \int_{\Sigma} |\dot{A}|^4 H(h - H) d\mu_t$$

where

$$(4.30) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\mathring{A}|^2 = \Delta |\mathring{A}|^2 - 2|\nabla \mathring{A}|^2 + \frac{2h}{H} \{|A|^4 - H \operatorname{tr}(A^3)\} + 2|A|^2 \left( \frac{H-h}{H} \right) |\mathring{A}|^2 +$$

$$(4.31) \quad + 2(H-h) \mathring{h}_{ij} \overline{\operatorname{Rm}}_{kilj} \nu^k \nu^l - 2(h_i^l \overline{\operatorname{Rm}}_{kjl} + h^{lk} \overline{\operatorname{Rm}}_{lij}) h_{mn} +$$

$$(4.32) \quad - 2(\nabla_j (\overline{\operatorname{Ric}}_{i\varepsilon} \nu^\varepsilon) + \nabla_l (\overline{\operatorname{Rm}}_{\varepsilon ij} \nu^\varepsilon)) \mathring{h}_{ij}$$

plus the terms some lower order terms, as in example

$$(4.33) \quad \int_{\Sigma} |A|^2 (H^2 - \mathcal{H}^2) |\mathring{A}|^2 d\mu_t, \quad \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - H) \operatorname{tr}(A^3) |\mathring{A}|^2 d\mu_t$$

and analogous terms, that give a right remainder since  $H^2 - \mathcal{H}^2 = O(\sigma^{-3-2\delta})$  and  $H - \mathcal{H} = O(\sigma^{-2-2\delta})$ , using the Young's inequality; and finally the addend

$$(4.34) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \langle T, \mathring{A} \rangle |\mathring{A}|^2 d\mu_t$$

which will be treated in Appendix C. First of all, we remark that the latter addend of equation (4.29) can be estimated as

$$(4.35) \quad \leq \sqrt{5} \sigma^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2(5 + \sqrt{5})\sqrt{5}\sigma} \right) \int_{\Sigma} |\mathring{A}|^4 d\mu_t \leq \frac{1}{2(5 + \sqrt{5})\sigma^2} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathring{A}|^4 d\mu_t$$

since the hypothesis implies that  $|H| \leq \sqrt{5}\sigma^{-1}$ . Thus, we start to estimate the remaining terms, i.e. the first addend in equation (4.29).

(i) The integral

$$(4.36) \quad \int_{\Sigma} |\mathring{A}|^2 \Delta |\mathring{A}|^2 d\mu_t \leq - \left\| \nabla \left( |\mathring{A}|^2 \right) \right\|_2^2$$

has a well-posed sign.

(ii) Also the integral term

$$(4.37) \quad -4 \int_{\Sigma} |\mathring{A}|^2 |\nabla \mathring{A}|^2 d\mu_t$$

has a sign. It is an important negative term (while the previous one, i.e. point (i) can be neglected in the following computations).

(iii) We have

$$(4.38) \quad |A|^4 - H \operatorname{tr}(A^3) = -2\kappa_1 \kappa_2 |\mathring{A}|^2$$

By the hypothesis, we obtain

$$(4.39) \quad \frac{h}{H} \geq \frac{(2\sigma)^{-1}}{\sqrt{5}\sigma^{-1}} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{5}}$$

and so

$$(4.40) \quad \frac{4h}{H} (|A|^4 - H \operatorname{tr}(A^3)) |\mathring{A}|^2 = -8 \frac{h}{H} \kappa_1 \kappa_2 |\mathring{A}|^4 \leq -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}\sigma^2} |\mathring{A}|^4$$

This term is crucial for the evolution.

(iv) For the fourth term, we observe that

$$(4.41) \quad \left| 2|A|^2 \frac{H-h}{H} \right| \leq 5\sigma^{-2} \left( \frac{1}{2(5 + \sqrt{5})\sqrt{5}\sigma} \right) \frac{1}{|H|} \leq \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2(5 + \sqrt{5})\sigma^2}$$

since  $H = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 \geq \sigma^{-1}$ .

(v) We now have to bound

$$(4.42) \quad 2 \int_{\Sigma} \left| (H - h) h_{ij} \overset{\circ}{\overline{\text{Rm}}}_{3i3j} \right| |\overset{\circ}{A}|^2 d\mu_t \leq c \sigma^{-\frac{7}{2}-\delta} \int_{\Sigma} |\overset{\circ}{A}|^3 d\mu_t$$

Using the Young inequality, if  $\sigma$  is sufficiently large depending on  $c$  and  $\bar{c}$ , we get

$$(4.43) \quad c \sigma^{-\frac{7}{2}-\delta} \int_{\Sigma} |\overset{\circ}{A}|^3 d\mu_t \leq c \int_{\Sigma} \left( \frac{3\varepsilon \sigma^{-2}}{4} |\overset{\circ}{A}|^4 + C_{\varepsilon} 4^{-1} \left( \sigma^{-\frac{7}{2}-\delta+\frac{3}{2}} \right)^4 \right) d\mu_t$$

Thus, the first addend is of the form  $\varepsilon \sigma^{-2} \|\overset{\circ}{A}\|_4^4$ , and there is a reminder of the form  $C_{\varepsilon} \sigma^{-6-4\delta}$ .

(vi) A direct computation shows that this term has the form  $4|\overset{\circ}{A}|^2 |\overline{\text{Rm}}_{1212}|$  and thus the estimate follows easily.

(vii) For the latter term, we use integration by parts in order to reduce the order of the derivatives. Multiplying the expression by  $|\overset{\circ}{A}|^2$ , we get the term

$$(4.44) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \left( \nabla_j (\overline{\text{Ric}}_{i\varepsilon} \nu^{\varepsilon}) + \nabla_l (\overline{\text{Rm}}_{\varepsilon ijl} \nu^{\varepsilon}) \right) h_{ij} |\overset{\circ}{A}|^2 d\mu_t$$

We do the computations for the first addend. The other term is analogous.

$$(4.45) \quad \left| \int_{\Sigma} \nabla_j (\overline{\text{Ric}}_{i\varepsilon} \nu^{\varepsilon}) h_{ij} |\overset{\circ}{A}|^2 d\mu \right| = \left| - \int_{\Sigma} (\overline{\text{Ric}}_{i\varepsilon} \nu^{\varepsilon}) \nabla_j h_{ij} |\overset{\circ}{A}|^2 d\mu - \int_{\Sigma} (\overline{\text{Ric}}_{i\varepsilon} \nu^{\varepsilon}) h_{ij} \nabla_j |\overset{\circ}{A}|^2 d\mu \right| \leq$$

$$(4.46) \quad \leq c \int_{\Sigma} |\overline{\text{Ric}}| |\nabla \overset{\circ}{A}| |\overset{\circ}{A}|^2 d\mu$$

for some universal constant  $c$  and using the inequality  $|\nabla |\overset{\circ}{A}|| \leq |\nabla \overset{\circ}{A}|$ . The latter term can be estimated as

$$(4.47) \quad c \sigma^{-\frac{5}{2}-\delta} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \overset{\circ}{A}| |\overset{\circ}{A}|^2 d\mu_t \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \overset{\circ}{A}|^2 |\overset{\circ}{A}|^2 d\mu_t + C(\varepsilon) c^2 \sigma^{-5-2\delta} \int_{\Sigma} |\overset{\circ}{A}|^2 d\mu_t$$

Proceeding in a way similar to point (v), we get a term  $\varepsilon \sigma^{-2} \|\overset{\circ}{A}\|_4^4$  and a reminder  $C_{\varepsilon} \sigma^{-6-4\delta}$ .

From these estimates, it follows that, choosing  $\varepsilon$  small enough, there exists a constant  $C = C(\bar{c}) > 0$  such that

$$(4.48) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|\overset{\circ}{A}\|_{L^4(\Sigma, \mu_t)}^4 \leq -2 \int_{\Sigma} |\overset{\circ}{A}|^2 |\nabla \overset{\circ}{A}|^2 d\mu_t - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{5}\sigma^2} \|\overset{\circ}{A}\|_{L^4(\Sigma, \mu_t)}^4 + C \sigma^{-6-4\delta}$$

Finally, suppose that  $\mathcal{E}_4(t) < B_1 \sigma^{-4-4\delta}$  is not true for every  $t \in [0, T]$ . Then, there exists  $t_0 > 0$  a first time such that  $\mathcal{E}_4(t_0) = B_1 \sigma^{-4-4\delta}$  and so  $D = \sigma^{4+4\delta} \mathcal{E}_4(t_0) = B_1 > \mathcal{E}_4(0) \sigma^{4+4\delta}$ . Since for every  $t \in [0, t_0]$  we had  $\mathcal{E}_4(t) < B_1 \sigma^{-4-4\delta}$ , then

$$(4.49) \quad 0 \leq \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=t_0} \mathcal{E}_4(t) \leq -2 \int_{\Sigma} |\overset{\circ}{A}|^2 |\nabla \overset{\circ}{A}|^2 d\mu_{t_0} - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{5}\sigma^2} \mathcal{E}_4(t_0) + C \sigma^{-6-4\delta}$$

It follows that  $D \leq 4\sqrt{5}C < B_1$ , which is a contraddiction.  $\square$

**4.7. Preserving the almost constancy of the curvature.** In this Subsection the exponent  $q \geq 2$  plays a role. We can in fact observe that, until now, the results are independent of  $q$  (which however plays a role in the constants).

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $(M, \bar{g}, \bar{K}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{J})$  be an asymptotically flat initial data set and consider a 2-surface  $\Sigma \hookrightarrow M$ . Let  $\Phi(H, P) := (H^q - |P|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}$ . Then they hold*

$$(i) \quad \Phi' = \frac{1}{\left(1 - \left(\frac{|P|}{H}\right)^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \text{ and}$$

$$(4.50) \quad |\Phi' - 1| \leq c_q \left| \frac{P}{H} \right|^q = O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}q - q\delta})$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{Since } \Phi' = \left(1 - \left(\frac{|P|}{H}\right)^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}-1} = \left(\frac{H}{\mathcal{H}}\right)^{q-1} \text{ it follows that}$$

$$(4.51) \quad \nabla \Phi' = (q-1) \left(\frac{H}{\mathcal{H}}\right)^{q-2} \nabla \left(\frac{H}{\mathcal{H}}\right) = (q-1) \left(\frac{H}{\mathcal{H}}\right)^{q-2} \frac{(\nabla H)\mathcal{H} - H(\nabla \mathcal{H})}{\mathcal{H}^2}$$

Using moreover that

$$(4.52) \quad \nabla \mathcal{H} = \left(\frac{H}{\mathcal{H}}\right)^{q-1} \nabla H - \frac{|P|^{q-2} P}{\mathcal{H}^{q-1}} \nabla P$$

we get

$$(4.53) \quad \nabla \Phi' = (q-1) \left(\frac{H}{\mathcal{H}}\right)^{q-2} \left( -\frac{|P|^q}{\mathcal{H}^2 H^{q-1}} \nabla \mathcal{H} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}} \frac{|P|^{q-2} P}{H^{q-1}} \nabla P \right)$$

$$(iii) \quad \text{By the fact that } \Phi = (\rho^q - |P|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \text{ it follows}$$

$$(4.54) \quad \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} = -q(\rho^q - |P|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}-1} (\partial_t P) P |P|^{q-2}$$

$$(iv) \quad \text{Since } \Phi' = (1-s)^{\frac{1}{q}-1} \Big|_{s=\left(\frac{|P|}{H}\right)^q}, \text{ and the Taylor expansion of } \Phi' \text{ is given by}$$

$$(4.55) \quad (1-s)^{\frac{1}{q}-1} = 1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) s + O(s^2)$$

for  $s$  small enough, we have  $(1-s)^{\frac{1}{q}-1} - 1 \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{q} - \epsilon\right) s$ . Choosing  $\epsilon$  so that  $\underline{c}_q := 1 - \frac{1}{q} - \epsilon > 0$  and choosing  $s = \frac{|P|^q}{H^q}$  we get

$$(4.56) \quad \Phi' - 1 \geq \underline{c}_q \frac{|P|^q}{H^q} \geq \frac{\underline{c}_q \sigma^q |P|^q}{5^{\frac{q}{2}}} =: \underline{c}'_q \sigma^q |P|^q$$

for  $\sigma$  large enough.

We now compute the variation (in time) of the integral mean  $\bar{h} = \bar{h}(t)$  along the flow. This quantity is important in order to study the evolution of  $\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}$  in integral norms with exponent which differs from 2, as we will see in the following. This Lemma employs some techniques learned in [30].

**Lemma 4.9** (Rate of change of  $\bar{h}(t)$ ). *Let  $(M, \bar{g}, \bar{K}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{J})$  be a  $C^2_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ -asymptotically initial data set. Let  $\iota: \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$  be an immersed hypersurface, with  $g = \iota^* \bar{g}$ . Let  $(\Sigma, F_t)$  be a solution to the volume preserving spacetime mean curvature flow with initial datum  $F_0 = \iota$ . Suppose that the flow exists on a compact interval of time  $[0, T]$ , with  $T > 0$ , and that the following conditions hold.*

(i) Point-wise roundness: For every  $t \in [0, T]$ , it holds

$$(4.57) \quad |A(t)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}} \sigma^{-1}, \quad \kappa_i(t) \geq \frac{1}{2\sigma}$$

where  $\kappa_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  are the principal curvatures of  $\Sigma_t$ .

(ii) Almost CMC-surface: there exist constants  $B_\infty$  and  $c_{\text{in}} > 0$  such that, for every  $t \in [0, T]$ , it holds

$$(4.58) \quad \text{osc}_\infty(H(t)) \leq B_\infty \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta}, \quad \left\| \overset{\circ}{A}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} \leq B_\infty \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta}, \quad \|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{H^1(\Sigma)} \leq c'_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-\frac{q}{2}-q\delta}$$

(iii) Comparability of the radii: For every  $t \in [0, T]$ , it holds

$$(4.59) \quad \frac{\sigma_{\Sigma_t}}{r_\Sigma(t)} \leq \frac{3}{2}, \quad \frac{7}{2} \pi \sigma^2 \leq |\Sigma_t| \leq 5 \pi \sigma^2$$

Then there exist constants  $\sigma_0 = \sigma_0(B_\infty, \bar{c}, \delta, p, c_{\text{in}}) > 0$  and  $c(c_q, c_{\text{in}}, \bar{c}) > 0$  such that, if  $\sigma > \sigma_0$ ,

$$(4.60) \quad |\dot{h}(t)| \leq c(c_q, c'_{\text{in}}, \bar{c}) \sigma^{-\frac{7}{2} - \frac{1}{2}q - \delta - \delta q}$$

for every  $t \in [0, T]$ .

*Proof.* First of all remember that hypothesis (ii) implies also that  $|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}| \leq c(B_\infty) \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2} - \delta}$ . By definition we get at first that

$$(4.61) \quad |\Sigma_t| \dot{h}(t) = \int_\Sigma \mathcal{H} d\mu_t \implies \frac{d}{dt} (|\Sigma_t| \bar{h}) = \bar{h} \int_\Sigma H(\bar{h} - \mathcal{H}) d\mu_t + |\Sigma_t| \dot{\bar{h}}$$

Thus, it follows that

$$(4.62) \quad |\Sigma_t| \dot{h}(t) = \underbrace{\int_\Sigma \left( \Phi' \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right) d\mu_t}_{(i)} + \underbrace{\int_\Sigma \mathcal{H} H(\bar{h} - \mathcal{H}) d\mu_t}_{(ii)} - \underbrace{\bar{h} \int_\Sigma H(\bar{h} - \mathcal{H}) d\mu_t}_{(iii)} =$$

Now, we deal with each term in the sum.

(i) We split the first term in two parts. At first we have

$$(4.63) \quad \int_\Sigma \Phi' (\Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu))) d\mu =$$

$$(4.64) \quad = \int_\Sigma (\Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu))) d\mu +$$

$$(4.65) \quad + \int_\Sigma (\Phi' - 1) (\Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu))) d\mu =$$

and using Lemma 4.8

$$(4.66) \quad = \int_\Sigma (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) \left( |A|^2 + \frac{H^2}{2} + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu) \right) d\mu + \int_\Sigma (\Phi' - 1) \Delta \mathcal{H} d\mu + O(\sigma^{-\frac{3}{2} - 2 - q\delta}) \int_\Sigma |\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}| d\mu$$

using integration by parts

$$(4.67) \quad \leq \int_\Sigma (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) \left( |A|^2 + \frac{H^2}{2} + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu) \right) d\mu - \int_\Sigma \nabla \Phi' \nabla \mathcal{H} d\mu + O(\sigma^{-\frac{3}{2} - 1 - q\delta}) \|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_2$$

We now have three terms. For the moment, we leave the first as it is. For the other two terms:

(a) Using Lemma 4.8 and the fact that

$$(4.68) \quad \left| \frac{P^p}{\mathcal{H}^2 H^{p-1}} \right| \leq c_q \sigma^{1 - \frac{1}{2}q - q\delta}, \quad \left| \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}} \frac{|P|^{q-2} P}{H^{q-1}} \right| \leq c_q \sigma^{\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}q - \delta(q-1)}$$

we get

$$(4.69) \quad \left| \int_\Sigma \nabla \Phi' \nabla \mathcal{H} d\mu \right| \leq c_q \sigma^{1 - \frac{1}{2}q - q\delta} \|\nabla \mathcal{H}\|_2^2 + c_q \sigma^{\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}q - \delta(q-1)} \int_\Sigma |\nabla \mathcal{H}| |\nabla P| d\mu \leq$$

$$(4.70) \quad \leq c_q c_{\text{in}}^2 \sigma^{-1 - \frac{3}{2}q - 3q\delta} + c_q \sigma^{\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}q - \delta(q-1)} \left( \int_\Sigma \frac{|\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2}{2} d\mu + \int_\Sigma \frac{|\nabla P|^2}{2} d\mu \right) \leq$$

$$(4.71) \quad \leq c_q c_{\text{in}}^2 \sigma^{-1 - \frac{3}{2}q - 3q\delta} + (c_q/2) c_{\text{in}}^2 \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2}q - 3q\delta + \delta} + c_q \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}q - \delta q - \delta}$$

(b) Since  $\|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_2 \leq c_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2} - q\delta}$ , we get

$$(4.72) \quad O(\sigma^{-\frac{3}{2} - 1 - q\delta}) \|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_2 \leq c_q c_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-q - 1 - 2q\delta}$$

Combining the pieces, we have that

$$(4.73) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \Phi' (\Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu))) \, d\mu \leq$$

$$(4.74) \quad \leq \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) \left( |A|^2 + \frac{H^2}{2} + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu) \right) \, d\mu + c_q c_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{q}{2} - \delta q - \delta}$$

We now deal with

$$(4.75) \quad \left| \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \, d\mu \right| \leq \int_{\Sigma} \left| \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right| \, d\mu$$

Using Lemma 4.8 combined with the evolution

$$(4.76) \quad \partial_t P = \partial_t g^{ij} \overline{\text{K}}(e_i, e_j) + g^{ij} \partial_t (\overline{\text{K}}(e_i, e_j)) =$$

$$(4.77) \quad = 2(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) h^{ij} \overline{\text{K}}_{ij} + \overline{\nabla}_{\gamma} \overline{\text{K}}_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial F^{\gamma}}{\partial t} e_i^{\alpha} e_j^{\beta} + 2g^{ij} \overline{\text{K}}(\partial_t e_i, e_j) =$$

where the term in the middle is the derivative of  $\overline{\text{K}}$  with respect to the point  $F_t$ . Moreover

$$(4.78) \quad \partial_t e_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \right) =$$

$$(4.79) \quad = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} ((\bar{h} - \mathcal{H})\nu) = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial x_i} \nu + (\bar{h} - \mathcal{H}) \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial x_i}$$

Using the Weingarten identity, in normal coordinates, see in example [23],  $\left| \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial x_i} \right| \leq |h^{ij}|$ ,

and the fact that  $\overline{\nabla}_{\gamma} \overline{\text{K}}_{\alpha\beta} = O(\sigma^{-\frac{5}{2} - \delta})$  and  $\partial_t F = -(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})\nu$ , we get

$$(4.80) \quad \left| \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right| \leq c_q \sigma^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}q - \delta q + \delta} |\partial_t P| \leq c_q \sigma^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}q - \delta q + \delta} \left( \sigma^{-\frac{5}{2} - \delta} |\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}| + \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2} - \delta} |\nabla \mathcal{H}| \right)$$

Integrating and using Young's inequality, we get

$$(4.81) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \left| \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right| \, d\mu \leq c_q \sigma^{-2 - \frac{1}{2}q - \delta q} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}| \, d\mu + c_q \sigma^{-1 - \frac{1}{2}q - \delta q} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}| \, d\mu \leq$$

$$(4.82) \quad \leq c_q \sigma^{-1 - \frac{1}{2}q - \delta q} \|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_2 + c_q \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}q - \delta q} \|\nabla \mathcal{H}\|_2 \leq c_q c_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-1 - q - 2\delta q}$$

(ii) We deal now with the term in (ii). This term can be written as

$$(4.83) \quad \int_{\Sigma} (H + \mathcal{H} - H)H(\bar{h} - \mathcal{H}) \, d\mu = \int_{\Sigma} H^2(\bar{h} - \mathcal{H}) \, d\mu + \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - H)H(\bar{h} - \mathcal{H}) \, d\mu \leq$$

$$(4.84) \quad \leq \int_{\Sigma} H^2(\bar{h} - \mathcal{H}) + c_q \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}q - 2 - q\delta} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}| \, d\mu \leq$$

$$(4.85) \quad \leq \int_{\Sigma} H^2(\bar{h} - H) \, d\mu + c_q \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}q - 1 - q\delta} \|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_2 \leq \int_{\Sigma} H^2(\bar{h} - H) \, d\mu + c_q c_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-q - 1 - 2\delta q}$$

Combining now (4.73) with this estimate, we get

$$(4.86) \quad |(i) + (ii)| \leq \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)) \, d\mu - \int_{\Sigma} \frac{H^2}{2} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) \, d\mu + c_q c_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{q}{2} - \delta q - \delta} \leq$$

and using the estimate on  $\|A\|_{L^\infty}$ , we get

$$(4.87) \quad \leq - \int_{\Sigma} \frac{H^2}{2} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) \, d\mu + \bar{c} \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2} - \delta} \|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_2 + c_q c_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{q}{2} - \delta q - \delta} \leq$$

$$(4.88) \quad \leq - \int_{\Sigma} \frac{H^2}{2} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) \, d\mu + \bar{c} c_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2} - \delta - \frac{q}{2} - \delta q} + c_q c_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-1 - q - 2\delta q}$$

The right order in the latter line is  $\bar{c}_{\text{in}}\sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{2}q-\delta-q\delta}$  since  $q \geq 2$  and  $\delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Consider moreover that, since  $H = H - \mathcal{H} + \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$(4.89) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \frac{H^2}{2}(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) \, d\mu = \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\mathcal{H}^2}{2}(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) \, d\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} (H - \mathcal{H})^2(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) \, d\mu + \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{H}(H - \mathcal{H})(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) \, d\mu$$

$$(4.90) \quad = \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\mathcal{H}^2}{2}(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) \, d\mu + O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}q-1-q\delta}\|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_2) = \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\mathcal{H}^2}{2}(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) \, d\mu + O(c_{\text{in}}\sigma^{-q-1-2q\delta})$$

Then the larger order is again  $c_{\text{in}}\sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{2}q-\delta-q\delta}$ .

(iii) Finally, this third term equals

$$(4.91) \quad \bar{h} \int_{\Sigma} H(\bar{h} - \mathcal{H}) \, d\mu = \bar{h} \int_{\Sigma} (H - \bar{h})(\bar{h} - \mathcal{H}) \, d\mu =$$

$$(4.92) \quad = \bar{h} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})(\bar{h} - \mathcal{H}) \, d\mu + \bar{h} \int_{\Sigma} (H - \mathcal{H} - \bar{h} + \bar{h})(\bar{h} - \mathcal{H}) \, d\mu =$$

$$(4.93) \quad = -\bar{h} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 \, d\mu + O(c_{\text{in}}\sigma^{-q-1-2q\delta})$$

Since it holds the algebraic identity

$$(4.94) \quad -\frac{\mathcal{H}^2}{2}(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) + \bar{h}(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^3 + \frac{\bar{h}^2}{2}(\bar{h} - \mathcal{H})$$

integrating and combining all the pieces together, we get

$$(4.95) \quad |\Sigma_t| |\dot{\bar{h}}| \leq \left| -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^3 \, d\mu \right| + c_q c_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{2}q-\delta-\delta q} \leq$$

$$(4.96) \quad \leq B_{\infty} \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta} c_{\text{in}}^2 \sigma^{-q-2q\delta} + c_q c_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{2}q-\delta-\delta q}$$

The larger order is  $c_q c_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{2}q-\delta-\delta q}$  that involves universal constants only. The thesis follows dividing by  $|\Sigma_t| \geq (7/2)\pi\sigma^2$ , which implies

$$(4.97) \quad |\dot{\bar{h}}| \leq c_q c_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-\frac{7}{2}-\frac{1}{2}q-\delta-\delta q}$$

□

We now use this estimate in order to say something about the oscillation of  $\mathcal{H}$  with respect to its integral mean. In particular, we focus our attention to the case of the  $L^4$ -norm. This choice will be clear at the light of Lemma 4.12.

**Lemma 4.10** ( $L^4$ -oscillations). *Let  $(M, \bar{g}, \bar{K}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{J})$  be a  $C^{\frac{7}{2}+\delta}$ -asymptotically initial data set. Let  $\iota : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$  be an immersed hypersurface, with  $g = \iota^*\bar{g}$ . Let  $(\Sigma, F_t)$  be a solution to the volume preserving spacetime mean curvature flow with initial datum  $F_0 = \iota$ . Suppose that the flow exists on a compact interval of time  $[0, T]$ , with  $T > 0$ , and that the following conditions hold.*

(i) Point-wise roundness: *For every  $t \in [0, T]$ , it holds*

$$(4.98) \quad |A(t)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}}\sigma^{-1}, \quad \kappa_i(t) \geq \frac{1}{2\sigma}$$

where  $\kappa_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  are the principal curvatures of  $\Sigma_t$ .

(ii) Almost CMC-surface: *there exist constants  $B_{\infty}$  and  $c_{\text{in}} > 0$  such that, for every  $t \in [0, T]$ , it holds*

$$(4.99) \quad \text{osc}_{\infty}(H(t)) \leq B_{\infty} \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta}, \quad \left\| \overset{\circ}{A}(t) \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Sigma)} \leq B_{\infty} \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta}, \quad \|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{H^1(\Sigma)} \leq c_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-\frac{q}{2}-q\delta}$$

(iii) Comparability of the radii: *For every  $t \in [0, T]$ , it holds*

$$(4.100) \quad \frac{\sigma_{\Sigma_t}}{r_{\Sigma}(t)} \leq \frac{3}{2}, \quad \frac{7}{2}\pi\sigma^2 \leq |\Sigma_t| \leq 5\pi\sigma^2$$

Then there exist a constant  $C = C(\bar{c}) > 0$ , a constant  $c(c_q, c_{\text{in}}, \bar{c}) > 0$  and a radius  $\sigma_0 = \sigma_0(B_\infty, c_{\text{in}}, \bar{c}, \delta, p) > 1$  such that, if  $\sigma > \sigma_0$ ,

$$(4.101) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \text{osc}_4(\mathcal{H}(t)) \leq - \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu_t + C\sigma^{-2} \text{osc}_4(\mathcal{H}(t)) + c(c_q, c_{\text{in}}, \bar{c}) B_\infty \sigma^{-5 - \frac{3}{2}q - 2\delta - 3\delta q}$$

*Proof.* We consider the evolution

$$(4.102) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^4 d\mu_t = \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^4 d\mu_t + \int_{\Sigma} H(\bar{h} - \mathcal{H})(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^4 d\mu_t =$$

$$(4.103) \quad = 4 \int_{\Sigma} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial t} - \dot{h} \right) (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^3 d\mu_t - \int_{\Sigma} H(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^5 d\mu_t$$

Since  $\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = \Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu))$ , we rewrite the evolution as

$$(4.104) \quad = 4 \underbrace{\int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^3 \left( \Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)) - \dot{h} \right) d\mu}_{(i)} +$$

$$(4.105) \quad + 4 \underbrace{\int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^3 (\Phi'(H, t) - 1) (\Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu))) d\mu}_{(ii)} +$$

$$(4.106) \quad - \underbrace{\int_{\Sigma} H(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^5 d\mu + \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^3 d\mu}_{(iii)}$$

(i) This first term (i) gives two contributions. Using integration by parts, the first is given by

$$(4.107) \quad -12 \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 + 5\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^4 d\mu$$

The second follows from the estimate in Lemma 4.9, and it is bounded by

$$(4.108) \quad |\dot{h}| \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}|^3 \leq c(c_q, c_{\text{in}}, \bar{c}) \sigma^{-\frac{7}{2} - \frac{1}{2}q - \delta - \delta q} \left( B_\infty \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2} - \delta} \right) \|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_2^2 \leq$$

$$(4.109) \quad \leq c(c_q, c_{\text{in}}, \bar{c}) B_\infty \sigma^{-5 - \frac{3}{2}q - 2\delta - 3\delta q}$$

(ii) The first addend can be bounded, using Lemma 4.8, as

$$(4.110) \quad \left| \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^3 (\Phi' - 1) \Delta \mathcal{H} d\mu \right| \leq \left| O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}q - q\delta}) \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu + \right.$$

$$(4.111) \quad \left. - \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^3 \nabla \Phi' \nabla \mathcal{H} d\mu \right| \leq$$

and using again Lemma 4.8,

$$(4.112) \quad \leq O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}q - q\delta}) \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu + c_q \int_{\Sigma} (\sigma^{q+1} |P|^q |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 + \sigma^q |P|^{q-1} |\nabla P| |\nabla \mathcal{H}|) |\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}|^3 d\mu$$

using that  $|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}| = O(\sigma^{-\frac{3}{2} - \delta})$  in the second addend,

$$(4.113) \quad \leq O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}q - q\delta}) \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu + c_q \int_{\Sigma} \sigma^q |P|^{q-1} |\nabla P| |\nabla \mathcal{H}| |\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}|^3 d\mu \leq$$

$$(4.114) \quad \leq O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}q - q\delta}) \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu + c_q \int_{\Sigma} \sigma^{-\frac{q}{2} - 1 - q\delta} |\nabla \mathcal{H}| |\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}|^3 d\mu \leq$$

and using the Young's inequality

$$(4.115) \leq O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}q-q\delta}) \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H}-\bar{h})^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu + c_q \sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{H}-\bar{h}|^4 d\mu + c_q \int_{\Sigma} \sigma^{-q-2q\delta} (\mathcal{H}-\bar{h})^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu$$

The remaining addend is bounded again by  $5\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H}-\bar{h})^4 d\mu$ .

(iii) Also the addend of (iii) can be bounded in this way. The second addend follows similarly using inequality (4.80) and Young's inequality.  $\square$

A similar estimate, but independent of the evolution of  $\bar{h}$ , can be also given for  $\nabla \mathcal{H}$ . The next step will be to combine these estimates together.

**Lemma 4.11** ( $L^4$ -oscillations of  $\nabla \mathcal{H}$ ). *Let  $(M, \bar{g}, \bar{K}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{J})$  be a  $C^2_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ -asymptotically initial data set. Let  $\iota : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$  be an immersed hypersurface, with  $g = \iota^* \bar{g}$ . Let  $(\Sigma, F_t)$  be a solution to the volume preserving spacetime mean curvature flow with initial datum  $F_0 = \iota$ . Suppose that the flow exists on a compact interval of time  $[0, T]$ , with  $T > 0$ , and that the following conditions hold.*

(i) Point-wise roundness: *For every  $t \in [0, T]$ , it holds*

$$(4.116) \quad |A(t)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}} \sigma^{-1}, \quad \kappa_i(t) \geq \frac{1}{2\sigma}$$

where  $\kappa_i, i \in \{1, 2\}$  are the principal curvatures of  $\Sigma_t$ .

(ii) Almost CMC-surface: *there exists a constant  $B_\infty$  such that, for every  $t \in [0, T]$ , it holds*

$$(4.117) \quad \text{osc}_\infty(H(t)) \leq B_\infty \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta}$$

(iii) Comparability of the radii: *For every  $t \in [0, T]$ , it holds*

$$(4.118) \quad \frac{\sigma_{\Sigma_t}}{r_{\Sigma}(t)} \leq \frac{3}{2}, \quad \frac{7}{2} \pi \sigma^2 \leq |\Sigma_t| \leq 5\pi \sigma^2$$

Then there exists a constant  $c = c(\bar{c}) > 0$  such that

$$(4.119) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^4 d\mu_t \leq - \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}|^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu + c\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^4 d\mu + c\sigma^{-6} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H}-\bar{h})^4 d\mu$$

*Proof.* Observe that

$$(4.120) \quad \partial_t |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 = \partial_t (g^{ij} \nabla_i \mathcal{H} \nabla_j \mathcal{H}) = 2(\mathcal{H}-\bar{h}) h^{ij} \nabla_i \mathcal{H} \nabla_j \mathcal{H} + g^{ij} \nabla_i (\partial_t \mathcal{H}) \nabla_j \mathcal{H}$$

and since

$$(4.121) \quad \partial_t \mathcal{H} = \Phi'(H) (\Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H}-\bar{h})(|A|^2 + \bar{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu))) + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}$$

It follows that

$$(4.122) \quad \partial_t |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 = 2(\mathcal{H}-\bar{h}) h^{ij} \nabla_i \mathcal{H} \nabla_j \mathcal{H} +$$

$$(4.123) \quad + 2g^{ij} \nabla_i \left( \Phi'(H) (\Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H}-\bar{h})(|A|^2 + \bar{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu))) + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right) \nabla_j \mathcal{H} =$$

$$(4.124) \quad = \underbrace{2(\mathcal{H}-\bar{h}) h^{ij} \nabla_i \mathcal{H} \nabla_j \mathcal{H}}_{(i)} +$$

$$(4.125) \quad + \underbrace{2g^{ij} \nabla_i \left( (\Phi'(H) - 1) (\Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H}-\bar{h})(|A|^2 + \bar{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu))) \right)}_{(ii)} \nabla_j \mathcal{H} + \underbrace{2g^{ij} \nabla_i \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right)}_{(iii)} \nabla_j \mathcal{H}$$

$$(4.126) \quad + \underbrace{2g^{ij} \nabla_i (\Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H}-\bar{h})(|A|^2 + \bar{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)))}_{(iv)} \nabla_j \mathcal{H}$$

Consider now the evolution

$$(4.127) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^4 d\mu_t = 2 \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (|\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2) d\mu_t + \underbrace{\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^4 H(\mathfrak{h} - \mathcal{H}) d\mu_t}_{(v)}$$

We now analyze the terms that appear in equation (4.127).

- (i) Integrating against  $|\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2$  we get a term of the form  $c\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^4 d\mu_t$ ;
- (ii) Using integration by parts we get

$$(4.128) \quad -4 \int_{\Sigma} g^{ij} (\Phi' - 1) (\Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H} - \mathfrak{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)) \nabla_i \nabla_j \mathcal{H} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2) d\mu +$$

$$(4.129) \quad -4 \int_{\Sigma} g^{ij} (\Phi' - 1) (\Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H} - \mathfrak{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)) \nabla_j \mathcal{H} (2|\nabla \mathcal{H}| |\nabla_i \nabla \mathcal{H}|)) d\mu$$

By Lemma 4.8, fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$  arbitrarily small, we can choose  $\sigma_0$  so large that  $|\Phi' - 1| \leq \varepsilon$ , and thus

$$(4.130) \quad \leq \varepsilon \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}|^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu + c\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{H} - \mathfrak{h}| |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}| |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu \leq$$

and, using the (parametric) Young's inequality,

$$(4.131) \quad \leq 2\varepsilon \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}|^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu + \frac{c^2 \sigma^{-4}}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \mathfrak{h})^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu \leq$$

$$(4.132) \quad \leq 2\varepsilon \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}|^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu + c\sigma^{-6} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \mathfrak{h})^4 d\mu + c\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^4 d\mu$$

- (iii) Using inequality (4.80), we find

$$(4.133) \quad \left| \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right| \leq c_q \sigma^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}q - \delta q + \delta} \left( \sigma^{-\frac{5}{2} - \delta} |\mathcal{H} - \mathfrak{h}| + \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2} - \delta} |\nabla \mathcal{H}| \right)$$

Thus, we compute

$$(4.134) \quad \left| \int_{\Sigma} \nabla \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{H} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu \right| =$$

$$(4.135) \quad = \left| - \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \Delta \mathcal{H} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu - \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \nabla_i \mathcal{H} \nabla_j |\nabla \mathcal{H}| (2|\nabla \mathcal{H}|) d\mu \right| \leq$$

$$(4.136) \quad \leq c_q \int_{\Sigma} \sigma^{-2 - \frac{1}{2}q - \delta q} |\mathcal{H} - \mathfrak{h}| |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}| |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu + c_q \int_{\Sigma} \sigma^{-1 - \frac{1}{2}q - \delta q} (|\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}| |\nabla \mathcal{H}|) |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2$$

and using the Young's inequality

$$(4.137) \quad \leq c_q \sigma^{-4} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \mathfrak{h})^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu + c_q \int_{\Sigma} \sigma^{-q - 2\delta q} |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}|^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu +$$

$$(4.138) \quad + c_q \sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^4 d\mu + c_q \int_{\Sigma} \sigma^{-q - 2\delta q} |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}|^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu$$

Using again the largeness of  $\sigma_0$  and the Young's inequality we get

$$(4.139) \quad 2\varepsilon \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}|^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu + c_q \sigma^{-6} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathfrak{h} - \mathcal{H})^4 d\mu + c_q \sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^4 d\mu$$

- (iv) The crucial term is now given by

$$(4.140) \quad 2 \int_{\Sigma} g^{ij} \nabla_i (\Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H} - \mathfrak{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)) \nabla_j \mathcal{H} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2) d\mu =$$

$$(4.141) \quad = 2 \int_{\Sigma} g^{ij} \nabla_i (\Delta \mathcal{H}) \nabla_j \mathcal{H} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu +$$

$$(4.142) \quad +2 \int_{\Sigma} g^{ij} \nabla_i ((\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu))) \nabla_j \mathcal{H} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu$$

Using Bonchner's formula in equation (4.141), we get

$$(4.143) \quad (4.141) = \int_{\Sigma} \left( \Delta |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 - 2 |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}|^2 - 2 \text{Ric}^{\Sigma}(\nabla \mathcal{H}, \nabla \mathcal{H}) \right) |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu \leq$$

using that  $|\text{Ric}^{\Sigma} - \text{Ric}^{\Sigma^e}| \leq c\sigma^{-\frac{5}{2}-\delta}$  and  $|\text{Ric}^{\Sigma^e}| \leq c|\kappa_{g^e}^{\Sigma^e}| \leq c\sigma^{-2}$ , where  $\kappa_{g^e}^{\Sigma^e} = \kappa_1^e \kappa_2^e$ ,

$$(4.144) \quad \leq - \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2|^2 d\mu - 2 \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}|^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 + c\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^4 d\mu$$

On the other hand, using integration by parts in equation (4.142), we get

$$(4.145) \quad (4.142) = -2 \int_{\Sigma} g^{ij} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)) \nabla_i \nabla_j \mathcal{H} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu +$$

$$(4.146) \quad -2 \int_{\Sigma} g^{ij} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)) \nabla_j \mathcal{H} \nabla_i |\nabla \mathcal{H}| (2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|) d\mu \leq$$

$$(4.147) \quad \leq c\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}| |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}| |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu \leq$$

and, using Young's inequality, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we get

$$(4.148) \quad \leq \varepsilon \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}|^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu + c^2 \sigma^{-4} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu \leq$$

$$(4.149) \quad \leq \varepsilon \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}|^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu + c\sigma^{-6} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^4 d\mu + c\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^4 d\mu$$

(v) Finally, this follows as point (i).

Putting the pieces together, if  $\varepsilon$  is small, we get

$$(4.150) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^4 d\mu_t \leq c\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^4 d\mu + c\sigma^{-6} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^4 d\mu$$

□

In the next result, we will also use the following

**Lemma 4.12.** *Let  $(M, \bar{g}, \bar{K}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{J})$  be a  $C_{\frac{3}{2}+\delta}^2$ -asymptotically flat initial data set. Let  $\Sigma \hookrightarrow M$  be an immersed hypersurface, with induced metric  $g$  and  $(7/2)\pi\sigma^2 \leq |\Sigma| \leq 5\pi\sigma^2$ . Then, there exists  $c_0 > 0$  universal constant such that, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$(4.151) \quad -\sigma^{-4} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu_g \leq -\frac{2\varepsilon}{\sigma^2 c_{\text{wght}}} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^4 d\mu + \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}|^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu_g$$

*Proof.* We find that, since  $\nabla \mathcal{H} = \nabla(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})$ , and using normal coordinates

$$(4.152) \quad \sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^4 d\mu = \sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} g^{ij} \nabla_i (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) \nabla_j \mathcal{H} g^{kl} \nabla_k \mathcal{H} \nabla_l \mathcal{H} d\mu_g =$$

$$(4.153) \quad = -\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) (\Delta \mathcal{H}) g^{kl} \nabla_k \mathcal{H} \nabla_l \mathcal{H} d\mu_g +$$

$$(4.154) \quad -2\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) g^{ij} \nabla_j \mathcal{H} g^{kl} \nabla_i \nabla_k \mathcal{H} \nabla_l \mathcal{H} d\mu_g \leq$$

$$(4.155) \quad \leq c_0 \int_{\Sigma} \left( \frac{(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2}{2\varepsilon\sigma^4} + \frac{|\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}|^2 \varepsilon}{2} \right) |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2$$

where  $c_0 > 0$  is a constant possibly depending on the dimension of the problem and the choice  $p = 4$ . We used also the (parametric) Young inequality. Multiplying by  $2\varepsilon > 0$ , and dividing by  $c_0$ , we find the thesis. □

We finally combine these results together and we obtain some *preserved roundness* estimates.

**Lemma 4.13.** *Let  $(M, \bar{g}, \bar{K}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{J})$  be a  $C^2_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ -asymptotically initial data set. Let  $\iota : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$  be an immersed hypersurface, with  $g = \iota^*\bar{g}$ . Let  $(\Sigma, F_t)$  be a solution to the volume preserving spacetime mean curvature flow with initial datum  $F_0 = \iota$ . Suppose that the flow exists on a compact interval of time  $[0, T]$ , with  $T > 0$ , and that the following conditions hold.*

(i) Point-wise roundness: For every  $t \in [0, T]$ , it holds

$$(4.156) \quad |A(t)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}}\sigma^{-1}, \quad \kappa_i(t) \geq \frac{1}{2\sigma}$$

where  $\kappa_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  are the principal curvatures of  $\Sigma_t$ .

(ii) Almost CMC-surface: there exist constants  $B_\infty$  and  $c_{\text{in}} > 0$  such that, for every  $t \in [0, T]$ , it holds

$$(4.157) \quad \text{osc}_\infty(H(t)) \leq B_\infty\sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta}, \quad \left\| \overset{\circ}{A}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} \leq B_\infty\sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta}, \quad \|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{H^1} \leq c'_{\text{in}}\sigma^{-\frac{q}{2}-q\delta}$$

(iii) Comparability of the radii: For every  $t \in [0, T]$ , it holds

$$(4.158) \quad \frac{\sigma_{\Sigma_t}}{r_{\Sigma_t}} \leq \frac{3}{2}, \quad \frac{7}{2}\pi\sigma^2 \leq |\Sigma_t| \leq 5\pi\sigma^2$$

Then there exist a constant  $\eta = \eta(c_{\text{wght}}) > 0$ , where  $c_{\text{wght}}$  is given in Lemma 4.12, and

- **$L^\infty$ -sphericity:** a constant  $c(B_1, c_{\text{wght}}, \delta, \bar{c})$  and  $\sigma_0 = \sigma_0(B_\infty, B_1, c_{\text{in}}, \delta, \bar{c}) > 1$  such that if  $B_2 > c(B_1, c_{\text{wght}}, \delta, \bar{c})$  and  $(\Sigma \xrightarrow{\iota} M, \iota^*\bar{g})$  is such that  $\|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{W^{1,4}} < B_2\sigma^{-1-\delta}$  then  $\|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{W^{1,4}(\Sigma, \mu_t)} < B_2\sigma^{-1-\delta}$  for every  $t \in [0, T]$ . This also implies that we can choose  $B_\infty = C(B_2)$ .
- **$L^\infty$ -compatibility with spacelike CMCness:** a constant  $c = c(c_{\text{in}}, c'_{\text{in}}, B_\infty)$  and  $\sigma_0 = \sigma_0(B_\infty, q, c_{\text{in}}, \bar{c}, \delta)$  such that if  $B_{\text{in}} > c(c_{\text{in}}, c'_{\text{in}}, B_\infty)$  and  $(\Sigma \xrightarrow{\iota} M, \iota^*\bar{g})$  is such that  $a(0) < B_{\text{in}}\sigma^{-3-\frac{3}{2}q-2\delta-3\delta q}$  then  $a(t) < B_{\text{in}}\sigma^{-3-\frac{3}{2}q-2\delta-3\delta q}$  for every  $t \in [0, T]$ , where

$$(4.159) \quad a(t) := \frac{c_{\text{wght}}^2 C^2}{12} \sigma^{-4} \|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_4^4 + \|\nabla \mathcal{H}\|_4^4$$

for some  $C > 0$ .

*Proof.* At first, we consider  $a_k(t) := k\sigma^{-4} \|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{L^4(\Sigma, \mu_t)}^4 + \|\nabla \mathcal{H}\|_{L^4(\Sigma, \mu_t)}^4$ , with  $k > 0$  to be fixed. Using the previous Lemmas, we have that

$$(4.160) \quad \dot{a}_k(t) := \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_\Sigma |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^4 d\mu_t + k\sigma^{-4} \int_\Sigma (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^4 d\mu_t \right) \leq$$

$$(4.161) \quad -4 \int_\Sigma |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}| |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu_t + C\sigma^{-6} \int_\Sigma (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^4 d\mu_t + C\sigma^{-2} \int_\Sigma |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^4 d\mu_t +$$

$$(4.162) \quad -12k\sigma^{-4} \int_\Sigma (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu_t + Ck\sigma^{-6} \int_\Sigma (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^4 d\mu_t + kc(c_q, c_{\text{in}}, \bar{c}) B_\infty \sigma^{-9-\frac{3}{2}q-2\delta-3\delta q}$$

Remember moreover that, by Lemma 4.12 in Appendix C, multiplied by  $12k$ , we get

$$(4.163) \quad -\frac{12k}{\sigma^4} \int_\Sigma (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 \leq -\frac{24k\varepsilon}{\sigma^2 c_{\text{wght}}} \int_\Sigma |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^4 + 12k\varepsilon^2 \int_\Sigma |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}|^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2$$

Thus, applying this inequality to equations (4.161), (4.162), we have

$$(4.164) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_\Sigma |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^4 d\mu_t + k\sigma^{-4} \int_\Sigma (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^4 d\mu_t \right) \leq$$

$$(4.165) \quad \leq \left( C - \frac{24k\varepsilon}{c_{\text{wght}}} \right) \sigma^{-2} \int_\Sigma |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^4 + (12k\varepsilon^2 - 4) \int_\Sigma |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}|^2 |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 +$$

$$(4.166) \quad + Ck\sigma^{-6} \int_\Sigma (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^4 d\mu_t + kc(c_q, c_{\text{in}}, \bar{c}) B_\infty \sigma^{-9-\frac{3}{2}q-2\delta-3\delta q}$$

We thus solve the system

$$(4.167) \quad \begin{cases} C - \frac{24k\varepsilon}{c_{\text{wght}}} = -C \\ 12k\varepsilon^2 = 1 \end{cases}$$

i.e.  $k = \frac{c_{\text{wght}}^2 C^2}{12}$  and  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{c_{\text{wght}} C}$ . Roughly speaking,  $\varepsilon \simeq k^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . For this reason, we can not send  $k$  to infinity, but we have to choose  $k$  large enough, but fixed, since it also appears in (4.166). In other words, the system cannot be solved if we include in it also the term  $Ck$  of (4.166). Then, we have to get rid of  $\|H - h\|_{L^4}^4$  in the right hand side. Two different approaches to this step produces the two claims.

- **$L^\infty$ -sphericity:** Lemma 3.5 implies that

$$(4.168) \quad \int_{\Sigma} (H - h)^4 d\mu_t \leq c_{\text{Per}}^4 \left( \|\mathring{A}\|_{L^4(\Sigma, \mu_t)}^4 + \sigma^{-4-4\delta} \right) \leq c_{\text{Per}}^4 (B_1^4 + 1) \sigma^{-4-4\delta}$$

Observe moreover that

$$(4.169) \quad cB_\infty \sigma^{-9-\frac{3}{2}q-2\delta-3\delta q} \leq \sigma^{-10-4\delta}$$

since  $q \geq 2$ , if  $\sigma_0 \geq c(B_\infty, c, q, \delta)$ , and  $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$ . This implies that

$$(4.170) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla H|^4 d\mu_t + \frac{c_{\text{wght}}^2 C^2}{12} \sigma^{-4} \int_{\Sigma} (H - h)^4 d\mu_t \right) \leq$$

$$(4.171) \quad \leq -C\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla H|^4 + C \left( \frac{c_{\text{wght}}^2 C^2}{12} \right) c_{\text{Per}}^4 (B_1^4 + 1) \sigma^{-10-4\delta} + \left( \frac{c_{\text{wght}}^2 C^2}{12} \right) \sigma^{-10-4\delta}$$

Then, suppose that it is not true that  $a(t) < B_2 \sigma^{-8-4\delta}$  for every  $t \in [0, T]$ . Then, there exists a first time  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $a(t_0) = B_2 \sigma^{-8-4\delta} > a(t)$  for every  $t \in [0, t_0]$ . Then we have that  $\dot{a}(t_0) \geq 0$ , and so, by (4.170)-(4.171),

$$(4.172) \quad C\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla H|^4 d\mu_{t_0} \leq Cc_{\text{Per}}^4 (B_1^4 + 1) \left( \frac{c_{\text{wght}}^2 C^2}{12} \right) \sigma^{-10-4\delta} + \left( \frac{c_{\text{wght}}^2 C^2}{12} \right) \sigma^{-10-4\delta}$$

Then

$$(4.173) \quad \eta_w \sigma^{-4} \int_{\Sigma} (H - h)^4 d\mu_{t_0} + \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla H|^4 d\mu_{t_0} \leq c(B_1, c_{\text{Per}}, c_{\text{wght}}, \delta, \bar{c}) \sigma^{-8-4\delta}$$

So, if  $B_2 > c(B_1, c_{\text{Per}}, c_{\text{wght}}, \delta, \bar{c})$  we have a contradiction and thus  $a(t) < B_2 \sigma^{-8-4\delta}$  for every  $t \in [0, T]$ . This also implies that  $\|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{L^\infty} \leq c(B_2, \eta_w) \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta}$  for every  $t \in [0, T]$  and thus we can choose  $B_\infty = c(B_2, \eta_w)$ .

- Suppose that  $a(0) < B_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-3-\frac{3}{2}q-2\delta-3\delta q}$ . This is true since at  $t = 0$  we have  $\|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \leq c_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-1-\frac{3}{2}-q\delta}$ , and thus  $\|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{W^{1,4}} \leq c_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{2}-q\delta}$ , which implies the assumption for  $B_{\text{in}}$  large.

Suppose now that the strict inequality does not hold for every  $t \in [0, T]$  and thus there exists a first time  $t_0 \in (0, T]$  such that  $B_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-3-\frac{3}{2}q-2\delta-3\delta q} = a(t_0) > a(t)$  for every  $t \in [0, t_0]$ . Thus  $t \mapsto a(t)$  has a maximum in  $t = t_0$  and so

$$(4.174) \quad 0 \leq \dot{a}(t_0) \leq -C\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^4 d\mu_{t_0} + C\sigma^{-6} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^4 d\mu_{t_0} + C(c_{\text{in}}) B_\infty \sigma^{-9-\frac{3}{2}q-2\delta-3\delta q}$$

Using now [10, Lemma 12], i.e. the Sobolev immersion, we have that  $\|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_4 \leq c_S \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{H^1}$ , where  $c_S > 0$  is a fixed Sobolev constant. Thus, using the hypothesis,  $\|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_4 \leq C(c'_{\text{in}}) \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{2}-q\delta}$ . This is true for every  $t \in [0, t_0]$  and in particular for  $t = t_0$ . Thus

$$(4.175) \quad C\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^4 d\mu_{t_0} \leq C(c'_{\text{in}}) \sigma^{-8-2q-4q\delta} + C(c_{\text{in}}) B_\infty \sigma^{-9-\frac{3}{2}q-2\delta-3\delta q}$$

Observe that, since  $q \geq 2$ , then  $9 + \frac{3}{2}q + 2\delta + 3\delta q \leq 8 + 2q + 4q\delta$  for every  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Thus

$$(4.176) \quad \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^4 d\mu_{t_0} \leq C(c_{\text{in}}, c'_{\text{in}}) B_{\infty} \sigma^{-7 - \frac{3}{2}q - 2\delta - 3\delta q}$$

that is  $\|\nabla \mathcal{H}\|_4(t_0) \leq C(c_{\text{in}}, c'_{\text{in}}) B_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{4}} \sigma^{-\frac{7}{4} - \frac{3}{8}q - \frac{\delta}{2} - \frac{3\delta q}{4}}$ . Now, since

$$(4.177) \quad \frac{1}{2} + \frac{p}{2} + p\delta > \frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{8}q + \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{3\delta q}{4}$$

we find  $\|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{W^{1,4}(\Sigma, \mu_{t_0})} \leq C(c_{\text{in}}, c'_{\text{in}}) B_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{4}} \sigma^{-\frac{3}{4} - \frac{3}{8}q - \frac{\delta}{2} - \frac{3\delta q}{4}}$ . Choosing  $B_{\text{in}} > C(c_{\text{in}}, c'_{\text{in}}) B_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{4}}$  we have the thesis. As a consequence, Sobolev's inequality says that

$$(4.178) \quad \|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{\infty} \leq c_S \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{W^{1,4}} \leq c(B_{\text{in}}) \sigma^{-\frac{5}{4} - \frac{3}{8}q - \frac{\delta}{2} - \frac{3\delta q}{4}}$$

□

*Remark 4.14.* Consider the integral

$$(4.179) \quad \left| \int_{\Sigma} H(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^3 d\mu \right| \leq 5\sigma^{-1} \|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{\infty} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 d\mu \leq c(B_{\text{in}}) \sigma^{-\frac{9}{4} - \frac{3}{8}q - \frac{\delta}{2} - \frac{3\delta q}{4}} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 d\mu$$

Moreover

$$(4.180) \quad \frac{9}{4} + \frac{3}{8}q + \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{3\delta q}{4} > 3 \iff \frac{3}{8}q + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3q}{4}\right) \delta > \frac{3}{4}$$

which is true for every  $q \geq 2$  and  $\delta > 0$ , and thus, for  $\sigma$  large,

$$(4.181) \quad \left| \int_{\Sigma} H(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^3 d\mu \right| \leq \varepsilon \sigma^{-3} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 d\mu$$

## 5. $H^1$ -PRESERVEDNESS

We obtained the results of the previous Section supposing that  $\|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{H^1(\Sigma)}$  is controlled for every  $t \in [0, T]$ . We now show that the  $L^2$ -control on the initial CMC-surface, i.e. the control on  $\|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}$ , implies the desired control on the  $H^1$ -norm for every  $t \in [0, T]$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $(M, \bar{g}, \bar{K}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{J})$  be a  $C^2_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ -asymptotically initial data set. Let  $\iota : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$  be an immersed hypersurface, with  $g = \iota^* \bar{g}$ . Let  $(\Sigma, F_t)$  be a solution to the volume preserving spacetime mean curvature flow with initial datum  $F_0 = \iota$ . Suppose that the flow exists on a compact interval of time  $[0, T]$ , with  $T > 0$ , and that the following conditions hold.*

(i) Point-wise roundness: For every  $t \in [0, T]$ , it holds

$$(5.1) \quad |A(t)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}} \sigma^{-1}$$

(ii) Comparability of the radii: For every  $t \in [0, T]$ , it holds

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{\sigma_{\Sigma_t}}{r_{\Sigma}(t)} \leq \frac{3}{2}, \quad \frac{7}{2} \pi \sigma^2 \leq |\Sigma_t| \leq 5\pi \sigma^2$$

Then, there exist constants  $c = c(\bar{c}) > 0$  and  $\sigma_0 = \sigma_0(\bar{c}) > 1$  such that if  $\sigma > \sigma_0$  then

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 d\mu_t \leq -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu_t + c\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 d\mu_t$$

*Proof.* We compute the evolution of  $\|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{L^2(\Sigma, \mu_t)}^2$ , obtaining

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 d\mu_t = \int_{\Sigma} 2(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial t} - \dot{\bar{h}} \right) d\mu + \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 H(\bar{h} - \mathcal{H}) d\mu =$$

$$(5.5) \quad = 2 \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial t} - \int_{\Sigma} H(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^3$$

since  $\bar{h}$  is the integral mean of  $\mathcal{H}$ . The evolution is then given by

$$(5.6) \quad = 2 \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) \Phi'(H) (\Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu))) + 2 \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) d\mu - \int_{\Sigma} H(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^3$$

$$(5.7) \quad = 2 \underbrace{\int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) (\Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)))}_{(i)} +$$

$$(5.8) \quad + 2 \underbrace{\int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) (\Phi'(H) - 1) (\Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)))}_{(ii)}$$

$$(5.9) \quad + 2 \underbrace{\int_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) - \int_{\Sigma} H(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^3}_{(iii)}$$

We estimate each line.

(i) This first term is bounded by

$$(5.10) \quad -2\|\nabla \mathcal{H}\|_2^2 + c\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 d\mu$$

using hypothesis (i).

(ii) The second term of addend (ii) follows as point (i), so we have to compute

$$(5.11) \quad \left| \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) (\Phi'(H) - 1) \Delta \mathcal{H} d\mu \right| =$$

$$(5.12) \quad = \left| - \int_{\Sigma} (\Phi'(H) - 1) |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 - \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) \nabla \Phi' \nabla \mathcal{H} \right| \leq$$

and using Lemma 4.8

$$(5.13) \quad \leq O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}q - q\delta}) \|\nabla \mathcal{H}\|_2^2 + c_q \int_{\Sigma} (\sigma^{q+1} |P|^q |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 + \sigma^q |P|^{q-1} |\nabla P| |\nabla \mathcal{H}|) |\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}| d\mu$$

$$(5.14) \quad \leq O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}q - q\delta}) \|\nabla \mathcal{H}\|_2^2 + c_q \int_{\Sigma} \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}q + 1 - q\delta} |\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}| |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 + c_q \int_{\Sigma} \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}q - 1 - q\delta} |\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}| |\nabla \mathcal{H}| d\mu$$

and using the Young's inequality in the third addend we get

$$(5.15) \quad \leq \varepsilon \|\nabla \mathcal{H}\|_2^2 + c\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 d\mu$$

(iii) The second addend follows easily. The term involving  $\partial_t \Phi$  follows from equation (4.80)

$$(5.16) \quad \left| \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right| \leq c_q \sigma^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}q - \delta q + \delta} \left( \sigma^{-\frac{5}{2} - \delta} |\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}| + \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2} - \delta} |\nabla \mathcal{H}| \right)$$

and thus

$$(5.17) \quad \left| \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} d\mu \right| \leq c_q \left( \sigma^{-2 - \frac{1}{2}q - \delta q} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 d\mu + \sigma^{-1 - \frac{1}{2}q - \delta q} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}| |\nabla \mathcal{H}| d\mu \right)$$

$$(5.18) \quad \leq c\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 d\mu + \varepsilon \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu$$

if  $\sigma$  is large.

Thus, combining the pieces and choosing  $\sigma$  sufficiently large,

$$(5.19) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 d\mu_t \leq -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu + c\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 d\mu$$

□

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $(M, \bar{g}, \bar{K}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{J})$  be a  $C^2_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ -asymptotically initial data set. Let  $\iota : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$  be an immersed hypersurface, with  $g = \iota^*\bar{g}$ . Let  $(\Sigma, F_t)$  be a solution to the volume preserving spacetime mean curvature flow with initial datum  $F_0 = \iota$ . Suppose that the flow exists on a compact interval of time  $[0, T]$ , with  $T > 0$ , and that the following conditions hold.*

(i) Point-wise roundness: For every  $t \in [0, T]$ , it holds

$$(5.20) \quad |A(t)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}}\sigma^{-1}$$

(ii) Comparability of the radii: For every  $t \in [0, T]$ , it holds

$$(5.21) \quad \frac{\sigma_{\Sigma_t}}{r_{\Sigma}(t)} \leq \frac{3}{2}, \quad \frac{7}{2}\pi\sigma^2 \leq |\Sigma_t| \leq 5\pi\sigma^2$$

Then, there exist constants  $c = c(\bar{c}) > 0$  and  $\sigma_0 = \sigma_0(\bar{c}) > 1$  such that if  $\sigma > \sigma_0$  then

$$(5.22) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu_t \leq -\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}\|_2^2 + c\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu + c\sigma^{-4} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 d\mu$$

Moreover, suppose that  $\|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{L^2(\Sigma, \mu_t)} \leq c_{\text{in}}\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}q-q\delta}$  for every  $t \in [0, T]$ . Then, there exists a constant  $c_{\text{in}}^*$ , depending only on the universal constant  $c_{\text{in}}$ , such that

$$(5.23) \quad \|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Sigma_t)} < c_{\text{in}}^*\sigma^{-1-2\delta} \quad \forall t \in [0, T]$$

*Proof.* First of all, observe that

$$(5.24) \quad \partial_t |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 = \partial_t (g^{ij} \nabla_i \mathcal{H} \nabla_j \mathcal{H}) = 2(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})h^{ij} \nabla_i \mathcal{H} \nabla_j \mathcal{H} + g^{ij} \nabla_i (\partial_t \mathcal{H}) \nabla_j \mathcal{H}$$

and since

$$(5.25) \quad \partial_t \mathcal{H} = \Phi'(H) (\Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu))) + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}$$

It follows that

$$(5.26) \quad \partial_t |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 = 2(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})h^{ij} \nabla_i \mathcal{H} \nabla_j \mathcal{H} +$$

$$(5.27) \quad + 2g^{ij} \nabla_i \left( \Phi'(H) (\Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu))) + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right) \nabla_j \mathcal{H} =$$

$$(5.28) \quad = \underbrace{2(\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})h^{ij} \nabla_i \mathcal{H} \nabla_j \mathcal{H}}_{(i)} +$$

$$(5.29) \quad + \underbrace{2g^{ij} \nabla_i \left( (\Phi'(H) - 1) (\Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu))) \right) \nabla_j \mathcal{H}}_{(ii)} + \underbrace{2g^{ij} \nabla_i \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right) \nabla_j \mathcal{H}}_{(iii)}$$

$$(5.30) \quad + \underbrace{2g^{ij} \nabla_i (\Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu))) \nabla_j \mathcal{H}}_{(iv)}$$

Since

$$(5.31) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu_t = \int_{\Sigma} \partial_t |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu_t + \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 H(\bar{h} - \mathcal{H}) d\mu_t \leq$$

$$(5.32) \quad \leq \int_{\Sigma} \partial_t |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu_t + c\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu_t$$

we analyze each term in the evolution of  $\partial_t |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2$ .

(i) It follows easily from the fact that  $|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}||h^{ij}| \leq c\sigma^{-2}$ ;

(ii) Integration by parts implies that

$$(5.33) \quad = -2 \int_{\Sigma} g^{ij} (\Phi'(H) - 1) (\Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu))) \nabla_i \nabla_j \mathcal{H} \, d\mu_t$$

$$(5.34) \quad \leq O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}q - q\delta}) \|\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}\|_2^2 + O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}q - 2 - q\delta}) \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}| |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}| \, d\mu_t \leq$$

$$(5.35) \quad \leq O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}q - q\delta}) \|\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}\|_2^2 + c\sigma^{-4} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 \, d\mu_t$$

using the Young's inequality.

(iii) Using integration by parts for this term and inequality (4.80), we get

$$(5.36) \quad \left| -2 \int_{\Sigma} g^{ij} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \nabla_i \nabla_j \mathcal{H} \, d\mu \right| \leq$$

$$(5.37) \quad \leq c_q \left( \sigma^{-2 - \frac{1}{2}q - \delta q} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}| |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}| \, d\mu + \sigma^{-1 - \frac{1}{2}q - \delta q} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}| |\nabla \mathcal{H}| \, d\mu \right) \leq$$

$$(5.38) \quad \leq c\sigma^{-4} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 \, d\mu + c\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 \, d\mu + O(\sigma^{-p - 2p\delta}) \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}|^2 \, d\mu$$

(iv) This is the main term. We can rewrite it as

$$(5.39) \quad 2 \int_{\Sigma} g^{ij} \nabla_i (\Delta \mathcal{H}) \nabla_j \mathcal{H} \, d\mu + 2 \int_{\Sigma} g^{ij} \nabla_i ((\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu))) \nabla_j \mathcal{H} \, d\mu =$$

which using the Bochner's identity and integration by part equals

$$(5.40) \quad = -2 \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}|^2 \, d\mu - 2 \int_{\Sigma} \text{Ric}(\nabla \mathcal{H}, \nabla \mathcal{H}) \, d\mu +$$

$$(5.41) \quad -2 \int_{\Sigma} g^{ij} ((\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu))) \nabla_i \nabla_j \mathcal{H} \, d\mu \leq$$

$$(5.42) \quad \leq -2 \|\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}\|_2^2 + c\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 \, d\mu + c\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}| |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}| \, d\mu \leq$$

$$(5.43) \quad \leq -2 \|\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}\|_2^2 + c\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 \, d\mu + c\sigma^{-4} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 \, d\mu + \varepsilon \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}|^2 \, d\mu$$

using the Young's inequality.

Choosing  $\varepsilon$  small and  $\sigma$  large, we have inequality (5.22). Consider now the evolution of

$$(5.44) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 \, d\mu_t + k\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 \, d\mu_t \right) \leq$$

$$(5.45) \quad \leq -\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla^2 \mathcal{H}\|_2^2 + c\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 \, d\mu + c\sigma^{-4} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 \, d\mu_t +$$

$$(5.46) \quad -\frac{k\sigma^{-2}}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 \, d\mu_t + ck\sigma^{-4} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 \, d\mu_t \leq$$

$$(5.47) \quad \leq \left( c - \frac{k}{2} \right) \sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 \, d\mu_t + c(k+1)\sigma^{-4} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 \, d\mu_t$$

We then choose  $k = 4c$ , so that

$$(5.48) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 \, d\mu_t + 4c\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 \, d\mu_t \right) \leq -c\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 \, d\mu_t + c(4c+1)\sigma^{-4} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 \, d\mu_t$$

Suppose now that at  $t = 0$  we have

$$(5.49) \quad \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu_0 + 4c\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 d\mu_0 < c_{\text{in}}^* \sigma^{-q-2-2q\delta}$$

*Remark 5.3.* This is true for a CMC since  $\|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Sigma)} \leq c_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-1-\frac{q}{2}-q\delta}$ , so that  $\|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Sigma)} \leq c_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-\frac{q}{2}-q\delta}$ , and thus (5.49) is true if  $c_{\text{in}}^* > c(\bar{c}, c_{\text{in}})$ .

Suppose that there exists a first time  $t_0 \in (0, T]$  such that the evolving quantity exceeds  $c_{\text{in}}^* \sigma^{-q-2-2q\delta}$ . Since at this time we have a local maximum, we have that the derivative of the quantity, at that time, is non-negative. Then

$$(5.50) \quad c\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu_{t_0} \leq c(4c+1)\sigma^{-4} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 d\mu_{t_0} \leq c(4c+1)c_{\text{in}}^2 \sigma^{-q-6-2p\delta}$$

using the hypothesis on  $\|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_2$ . It follows that

$$(5.51) \quad \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu_{t_0} + 4c\sigma^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 d\mu_{t_0} \leq (4c+1)c_{\text{in}}^2 \sigma^{-q-4-2q\delta} + 4cc_{\text{in}}^2 \sigma^{-q-4-2q\delta}$$

Then, if  $c_{\text{in}}^* > c(c_{\text{in}})$ , this leads to an absurd, and so (5.49) can never be false.  $\square$

We observe now that this preservedness of the norms leads to a more precise information. In particular, the initial information on  $\|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{L^2}$  is automatically preserved under specific ambiental hypothesis.

## 6. FROM THE STABILITY OPERATOR TO DYNAMICAL STABILITY

In the previous Section, we showed that a certain  $L^\infty$ -flatness is preserved along the flow. We now prove that this preservedness implies the preservation of the initial roundness of the CMC-initial data.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $(M, \bar{g}, \bar{K}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{J})$  be a  $C^2_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ -asymptotically flat initial data set. Fix  $p \geq 2$  and suppose that  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Suppose that  $E > 0$ . Consider a hypersurface  $\iota : \Sigma \rightarrow M$ , with induced metric  $g = \iota^* \bar{g}$ . Let  $(\Sigma, F_t)$  be a solution to the volume preserving spacetime mean curvature flow with  $F_0 = \iota$ . Suppose that the flow exists on a compact interval of time  $[0, T]$ , with  $T > 0$  and that  $(\Sigma, g(t)) \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma^\eta(B_1, B_2)$  for every  $t \in [0, T]$ , where  $\eta$  is fixed by the ambient, and in particular  $\|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{L^2(\Sigma, \mu_0)} < c_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-\frac{q}{2}-\delta p}$ . Then, there exists  $\sigma_0 = \sigma_0(\bar{c}, c_{\text{in}}, E, \delta, B_1, B_2) > 1$  such that, if  $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$ , then it holds the inequality*

$$(6.1) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 d\mu_t \leq -\frac{E}{3\sigma^3} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 d\mu_t \quad \forall t \in [0, T]$$

This has few consequences:

- (i)  $\|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{L^2(\Sigma, \mu_t)} < c_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-\frac{q}{2}-q\delta}$  for every  $t \in [0, T]$ .
- (ii) if  $T = \infty$  moreover  $\|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{L^2(\Sigma, \mu_t)}$  converges exponentially to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* As in the previous similar computation, we have

$$(6.2) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})^2 d\mu_t =$$

$$(6.3) \quad = 2 \underbrace{\int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}) (\Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)))}_{(ii)} +$$

$$(6.4) \quad + 2 \underbrace{\int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})(\Phi' - 1) (\Delta \mathcal{H} + (\mathcal{H} - \bar{h})(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)))}_{(iii)}$$

$$(6.5) \quad \underbrace{+ \int_{\Sigma} \partial_t \Phi(\mathcal{H} - \hbar) - \int_{\Sigma} H(\mathcal{H} - \hbar)^3}_{(i)}$$

(i) The first addend is easily bounded using equation (4.80), so that

$$(6.6) \quad \left| \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right| \leq c_q (\sigma |P|)^{q-1} |\partial_t P| \leq c_q (\sigma |P|)^{q-1} \left( \sigma^{-\frac{5}{2}-\delta} |\mathcal{H} - \hbar| + \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta} |\nabla \mathcal{H}| \right)$$

and thus

$$(6.7) \quad \left| \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \hbar) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} d\mu \right| \leq c_q \left( \sigma^{-2-\frac{1}{2}q-\delta q} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \hbar)^2 d\mu + \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta} \int_{\Sigma} (\sigma |P|)^{q-1} |\mathcal{H} - \hbar| |\nabla \mathcal{H}| d\mu \right)$$

using the Young's inequality, since  $2 + \frac{q}{2} + \delta q > 3$ ,

$$(6.8) \quad \leq \frac{\varepsilon E}{\sigma^3} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \hbar)^2 d\mu + \varepsilon \int_{\Sigma} (\sigma |P|)^{2q-2} |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2$$

Observe that  $2q - 2 = q + (q - 2)$  and  $q - 2 \geq 0$ . This implies that  $|\sigma P|^{2q-2} \leq \bar{c} \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}q+2-\delta q+2\delta} |\sigma P|^q$ . For the second addend, we have that, using Lemma 4.13, that  $\|\mathcal{H} - \hbar\|_{\infty} \leq c(B_{\text{in}}) \sigma^{-\frac{5}{4}-\frac{3}{8}q-\frac{\delta}{2}-\frac{3\delta q}{4}}$ , and so

$$(6.9) \quad \left| \int_{\Sigma} H(\mathcal{H} - \hbar)^3 d\mu \right| \leq 5B_3 \sigma^{-\frac{9}{4}-\frac{3}{8}q-\frac{3q\delta}{4}-\frac{\delta}{2}} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \hbar)^2 d\mu \leq \frac{\varepsilon E}{\sigma^3} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \hbar)^2 d\mu$$

since  $q \geq 2$  and so  $\frac{9}{4} + \frac{3}{8}q \geq \frac{9}{4} + \frac{3}{4} = 3$ .

(ii) This term is

$$(6.10) \quad \int_{\Sigma} (L^H(\mathcal{H} - \hbar)) (\mathcal{H} - \hbar) d\mu \leq -\frac{5E}{\sigma^3} \|\mathcal{H} - \hbar\|_{L^2}^2$$

using the spectral theory in Appendix B, since  $\|\mathcal{H} - \hbar\|_2 \leq c_{\text{in}} \sigma^{-\frac{q}{2}-q\delta}$ , for  $q \geq 2$ .

(iii) We estimate this latter term. As above, we find

$$(6.11) \quad \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \hbar) (\Phi' - 1) \Delta \mathcal{H} \leq$$

$$(6.12) \quad \leq - \int_{\Sigma} (\Phi' - 1) |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 + \int_{\Sigma} (\sigma^{q+1} |P|^q |\nabla \mathcal{H}| + \sigma^q |P|^{q-1} |\nabla P|) |\mathcal{H} - \hbar| |\nabla \mathcal{H}|$$

and since  $|\mathcal{H} - \hbar| \leq \varepsilon \sigma^{-1}$  because of Lemma 4.13,

$$(6.13) \quad \leq - \int_{\Sigma} (\Phi' - 1) |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 + \varepsilon \int_{\Sigma} \sigma^q |P|^q |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 + c_q \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta} \int_{\Sigma} (\sigma |P|)^{q-1} |\mathcal{H} - \hbar| |\nabla \mathcal{H}| d\mu$$

$$(6.14) \quad \leq - \int_{\Sigma} (\Phi' - 1) |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu + c \sigma^{-3-2\delta} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \hbar)^2 d\mu + \varepsilon \int_{\Sigma} (\sigma |P|)^q |\nabla \mathcal{H}|^2 d\mu$$

where again  $((\sigma |P|)^{q-1})^2 \leq c(\sigma |P|)^q$ . The remaining term can be bounded using that  $|\Phi' - 1| |A|^2 \leq c \sigma^{-2-\frac{q}{2}-q\delta}$  and  $2 + \frac{q}{2} + q\delta > 3$ .

Since, by Lemma 4.8,  $\Phi' - 1 \geq \underline{c}_q \sigma^q |P|^q$ , we can choose  $\sigma$  so large and  $\varepsilon$  so small that we obtain

$$(6.15) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \hbar)^2 d\mu_t \leq -\frac{E}{\sigma^3} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \hbar)^2 d\mu_t$$

By the Gronwall lemma, the value at time  $t > 0$  is strictly smaller than the value at  $t = 0$ . Moreover, if  $T = \infty$ , then  $\|\mathcal{H} - \hbar\|_2$  converges exponentially to zero.  $\square$

**$C^\infty$ -convergence and conclusions.** The preservedness of the class implies that the flow exists for every  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . In fact, the speed, the second fundamental form, and their derivatives remain bounded until the moment we leave the class: the second fundamental form by definition of the class, its derivatives using a bootstrapping argument. Thus, by preservedness, the flow exists immortally. We also proved that the  $L^2$ -norm of the speed of the flow goes to zero exponentially. The following *heuristic* consideration shows that this is also true in  $L^\infty$ -norm.

In fact, consider a function  $f$  on a surface  $\Sigma$  sufficiently near the sphere, that satisfies  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq Ld(x, y)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for some  $L > 0$ . This is true in the case of our speed thanks to the Morrey's inequality and the fact that  $\|\nabla \mathcal{H}\|_{L^4}$  is controlled. Then, consider  $x_0 \in \Sigma$  such that  $|f(x_0)| = \|f\|_\infty$  and the ball of  $\Sigma$  given by  $B^\Sigma := B^\Sigma\left(x_0, \frac{\|f\|_\infty^2}{4L^2}\right)$ . We can estimate

$$(6.16) \quad \int_{B^\Sigma} f^2 d\mu \geq \int_{B^\Sigma} \left(\frac{\|f\|_\infty}{2}\right)^2 d\mu = \frac{\|f\|_\infty^2}{4} \mu(B^\Sigma)$$

Now, if  $\Sigma$  is sufficiently close to a sphere,  $\mu(B^\Sigma) \simeq 4\pi \left(\frac{\|f\|_\infty^2}{4L^2}\right)^2$  and so

$$(6.17) \quad \|f\|_2^2 = \int_{B^\Sigma} f^2 d\mu \geq \frac{\pi}{16L^4} \|f\|_\infty^6$$

At this point, equation (6.17) implies that also  $\|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma, \mu_t)}$  converges exponentially to zero. Thus, for every  $t > t_0$ ,

$$(6.18) \quad |F(x, t) - F(x, t_0)| = \left| \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau} d\tau \right| \leq \int_{t_0}^t |\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}| d\tau \leq \bar{c}_\sigma \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\frac{E}{\sigma^3}\tau} d\tau$$

for some  $\bar{c}_\sigma > 0$ , possibly exploding when  $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus,  $F(\cdot, t)$  is bounded uniformly in  $t$  and every sequence  $\{F(\cdot, t_n)\}_n$  satisfies the Cauchy property, thanks to the integrability of the speed. Thus, also  $H(\cdot, t)$  and  $h(t)$  are bounded, uniformly in  $t$ . So, these quantities have a limit, and since  $\mathcal{H} - \bar{h} \rightarrow 0$ , the spacetime curvature of the limit of  $F(\cdot, t)$  is constant, and it is constantly equal to the limit of  $\bar{h}(t)$ . We call the limit surface  $\Sigma_{st}^\sigma$ , for the corresponding fixed  $\sigma$ . Thus we proved Theorem 1.2.

## 7. BARYCENTERS AND CENTERS OF MASS

We conclude the proof of the main theorem showing that the evolving surfaces do not off center for all times.

**Definition 7.1.** We say that a surface  $\Sigma$  is *well-centered* if it satisfies

$$(7.1) \quad \frac{2}{3} \leq \frac{r_\Sigma}{\sigma_\Sigma} \leq \frac{R_\Sigma}{\sigma_\Sigma} \leq \frac{3}{2}$$

*Remark 7.2.* (i) The definition could be also given replacing  $\frac{2}{3}$  and  $\frac{3}{2}$ , respectively, with  $1 - \theta$  and  $1 + \theta$ ,  $\theta$  small. However, for our purposes, our choice is enough.

(ii) Observe that Nerz's surfaces satisfies  $|\bar{z}_{\Sigma_\sigma}| \leq c\sigma^{1-\delta}$ , which implies (7.1) for  $\sigma$  sufficiently large.

In the following, we will consider the flow  $(\Sigma^\sigma, F_t(\cdot))$ ,  $\Sigma_t^\sigma := F_t(\Sigma^\sigma)$ , introduced above, with  $t \in [0, T]$ . Since the initial datum depends on  $\sigma$ , for this section we will use the notation

$$(7.2) \quad \bar{z}_t^\sigma := \int_{\Sigma_t^\sigma} F_t(x) d\mu_t^\sigma(x)$$

where the integral has to be meant in the Banach space  $TM$  with the norm induced by the Riemannian metric.

**Lemma 7.3.** *Let  $(M, \bar{g}, \bar{K}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{J})$  be a  $C^2_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ -asymptotically flat initial data set. Suppose that its energy is  $E > 0$ . Consider a hypersurface  $\iota : \Sigma \rightarrow M$ , with induced metric  $g = \iota^*\bar{g}$ . Let  $(\Sigma, F_t)$  be a solution to the volume preserving spacetime mean curvature flow with  $F_0 = \iota$ . Suppose that the flow exists on a compact interval of time  $[0, T]$ , with  $T > 0$  and that  $(\Sigma, g(t)) \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_\sigma^\eta(B_1, B_2)$  for every  $t \in [0, T]$ , where  $\eta$  is fixed by the ambient. Suppose that at the initial time it is satisfied the condition  $\|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{L^2(\Sigma, \mu_0)} < c_{\text{in}}\sigma^{-\frac{q}{2}-\delta q}$ . Then, there exists  $\sigma_0 = \sigma_0(\bar{c}, c_{\text{in}}, E, \delta, B_1, B_2, q) > 1$  such that, if  $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$ , then*

$$(7.3) \quad \frac{2}{3} < \frac{r_{\Sigma_t}}{\sigma_{\Sigma_t}} \leq \frac{R_{\Sigma_t}}{\sigma_{\Sigma_t}} < \frac{3}{2}$$

for every  $t \in [0, T]$ .

*Proof.* Consider the evolution

$$(7.4) \quad \partial_t (|\Sigma_t| \bar{z}(t)) = \partial_t \int_\Sigma F_t(x) d\mu_t = \int_\Sigma \partial_t F_t(x) d\mu_t + \int_\Sigma F_t(x) H(\bar{h} - \mathcal{H}) d\mu_t =$$

$$(7.5) \quad = \int_\Sigma (\bar{h} - \mathcal{H}) \nu d\mu_t + \int_\Sigma F_t(x) H(\bar{h} - \mathcal{H}) d\mu_t$$

Moreover  $\partial_t (|\Sigma_t| \bar{z}(t)) = \langle \partial_t (|\Sigma_t| \bar{z}(t)), \frac{\bar{z}(t)}{|\bar{z}(t)|} \rangle_{\bar{g}}$ . It follows that

$$(7.6) \quad \partial_t (|\Sigma_t| \bar{z}(t)) \leq |\Sigma_t|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)} + \left( \int_\Sigma |F_t(x) H|^2 d\mu_t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)} \leq$$

and using Lemma 6.1

$$(7.7) \quad \leq \left( \sqrt{5\pi}\sigma + (3\sqrt{5}/2)\sigma \right) \|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{L^2(\Sigma, \mu_t)} \leq 15\sigma \|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{L^2(\Sigma, \mu_0)} e^{-\frac{Et}{3\sigma^3}} \leq$$

$$(7.8) \quad \leq 15c_{\text{in}}\sigma^{1-\frac{q}{2}-q\delta} e^{-\frac{Et}{3\sigma^3}}$$

Integrating between over  $[0, t]$  we get

$$(7.9) \quad |\Sigma_t| \bar{z}(t) - |\Sigma_0| \bar{z}(0) \leq 15c_{\text{in}}\sigma^{1-\frac{q}{2}-p\delta} \int_0^t e^{-\frac{Et}{3\sigma^3}} dt = 15c_{\text{in}}\sigma^{1-\frac{q}{2}-q\delta} \left( \frac{3\sigma^3}{E} \right) \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{Et}{3\sigma^3}} \right)$$

Since  $|\bar{z}(0)| \leq c\sigma^{1-\delta}$  we find

$$(7.10) \quad \frac{7\pi}{2} |\bar{z}(t)| \leq 5\pi(c\sigma^{1-\delta}) + \frac{45c_{\text{in}}}{E} \sigma^{2-\frac{q}{2}-q\delta}$$

Since we have, using a generic constant  $c = c(E, c_{\text{in}}, q)$ ,

$$(7.11) \quad \sigma_{\Sigma_t} - c\sigma^{1-\delta} - c\sigma^{2-\frac{q}{2}-q\delta} \leq |F_t(x)| = |\bar{z}(t) + \sigma_{\Sigma_t} \nu + f_t \nu_t| \leq \sigma_{\Sigma_t} + c\sigma^{1-\delta} + c\sigma^{2-\frac{q}{2}-q\delta}$$

This implies that, for  $\sigma$  large,

$$(7.12) \quad \frac{2}{3} < \frac{\min_\Sigma |F_t(x)|}{\sigma_{\Sigma_t}} \leq \frac{\max_\Sigma |F_t(x)|}{\sigma_{\Sigma_t}} < \frac{3}{2}$$

since  $2 - \frac{q}{2} - q\delta < 1$ , that is  $\frac{q}{2} + q\delta > 1$ , being  $q \geq 2$  and  $\delta > 0$ .  $\square$

The previous computation also proves the following Corollary, which links the barycenter of the flow with the center of mass of the manifold as defined in [35] and [10].

**Corollary 7.4.** *Let  $(M, \bar{g}, \bar{K}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{J})$  be a  $C^2_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ -asymptotically flat initial data set. Fix  $p \geq 2$  and  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Consider the CMC-foliation  $\{\Sigma^\sigma\}_\sigma$  constructed by Nerz in [35] and its deformation obtained through volume preserving  $p$ -spacetime mean curvature flows  $\{\Sigma_{\text{st}}^\sigma\}_\sigma$ . Suppose moreover that it exists the spacelike center of mass  $\vec{C}_{\text{CMC}}$ . Then*

(i) *If  $q > \frac{2}{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$  then*

$$(7.13) \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \bar{z}_{\Sigma_{\text{st}}^\sigma} = \vec{C}_{\text{CMC}}$$

(ii) If  $q \leq \frac{2}{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}$ , then for every  $\alpha > 2 - \frac{q}{2} - q\delta > 0$  we have

$$(7.14) \quad \frac{\vec{z}_{\Sigma_\sigma} - \vec{z}_{\Sigma_\sigma^{\text{st}}}}{\sigma^\alpha} = O(1)$$

*Proof.* Using the formulas in [12, Remark 3.1] and the fact that  $||\vec{z}(t)| - |\vec{z}(0)|| = \left| \int_0^t \partial_t |\vec{z}(t)| dt \right| \leq \int_0^t |\partial_t |\vec{z}(t)|| dt \leq \int_0^t |\partial_t \vec{z}(t)| dt$ , since  $\partial_t |\vec{z}(t)| = \partial_t \vec{z}(t) \cdot \frac{\vec{z}(t)}{|\vec{z}(t)|}$ , we get

$$(7.15) \quad \partial_t \vec{z}(t) = \frac{\int_\Sigma (\tilde{h} - \mathcal{H}) \{ \nu + H(F_t - \vec{z}(t)) \} d\mu_t}{|\Sigma_t|}$$

which imply

$$(7.16) \quad ||\vec{z}(t)| - |\vec{z}(0)|| \leq c \int_0^t |\Sigma_t|^{-1} \|\mathcal{H} - \tilde{h}\|_{L^1(\Sigma_t)} dt \leq c \int_0^t |\Sigma_t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{H} - \tilde{h}\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)} dt \leq$$

$$(7.17) \quad \leq c\sigma^{-1 - \frac{q}{2} - q\delta} \int_0^t e^{-\frac{Et}{3\sigma^3}} dt \leq c\sigma^{2 - \frac{q}{2} - q\delta} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{Et}{3\sigma^3}}\right)$$

Since by definition  $\vec{z}(0) = \vec{z}_{\Sigma^\sigma}$  and  $\vec{z}_{\text{st}}^\sigma := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \vec{z}(t)$  (which exists since the flow converges, see Theorem 1.2), letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$  in (7.16) we get

$$(7.18) \quad |\vec{z}_{\text{st}}^\sigma - \vec{z}_{\Sigma^\sigma}| \leq c\sigma^{2 - \frac{q}{2} - q\delta}$$

where  $\vec{z}_{\text{st}}^\sigma$  is the euclidean barycenter of the leaf  $\Sigma_{\text{st}}^\sigma$  constructed, while  $\vec{z}_{\Sigma^\sigma}$  is the euclidean barycenter of the leaf  $\Sigma^\sigma$  of the CMC-foliation constructed by Nerz in [35]. Now, if  $2 - \frac{q}{2} - q\delta < 0$ , i.e.  $q > \frac{2}{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}$ , taking the limit for  $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$  we have that, since

$$(7.19) \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \vec{z}_{\Sigma^\sigma} =: \vec{C}_{\text{CMC}}$$

we have constructed a prescribed mean curvature foliation with the same center of mass of Nerz. On the other hand, if  $2 \leq q \leq \frac{2}{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}$  the same conclusion does not hold, and we have point (ii). For more, see the following Remark 7.5.  $\square$

*Remark 7.5.* (i) Since  $\frac{1}{2} + \delta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ , we have that

$$(7.20) \quad 2 \leq \frac{2}{\frac{1}{2} + \delta} < 4$$

Thus, if  $q \geq 4$  we recover the center  $\vec{C}_{\text{CMC}}$  for every  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ .

(ii) For  $q = 2$ , we recover the foliation constructed in [10]. In this cases, the right hand side of equation (7.18) is divergent, and the theory developed by Cederbaum and Sakovich in [10] let us to conclude that  $\{\vec{z}_{\text{st}}^\sigma\}_\sigma$  converges if and only if converges the correction term

$$(7.21) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}_r^2} \frac{x^i \left( \sum_{k,l} \pi_{kl} x^k x^l \right)^2}{r^3} d\mu^e$$

under the additional hypothesis that  $|\bar{K}| \leq \bar{c}|\bar{x}|^{-2}$ .

(iii) Finally, if  $p \in [3, 4)$ ,  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , the divergent condition in (7.14) holds.

#### APPENDIX A. ALMOST UMBILIC SURFACES

**Theorem A.1** (Theorem 1.1 [13], Theorem [33]). *There exists a universal constant  $c_{\text{DM}} > 0$  such that for each hypersurface  $\Sigma^e \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  with  $|\Sigma^e| = 4\pi\sigma^2$  it holds the estimate*

$$(A.1) \quad \|A^e - \sigma^{-1}g^e\|_{L^2(\Sigma^e)} \leq c_{\text{DM}} \|A^{\circ e}\|_{L^2(\Sigma^e)}$$

If in addition  $\|\mathring{A}\|_{L^2(\Sigma^e)} < 8\pi$ , then  $\Sigma^e$  is topologically a sphere and there exists a conformal parametrization  $\psi : \mathbb{S}_\sigma(\vec{z}) \rightarrow \Sigma^e$ , with  $\vec{z} := |\Sigma^e|^{-1} \int_{\Sigma^e} \vec{x} d\mu^e$ , such that  $\psi^* g^e = u \mathring{g}_{\mathbb{S}_\sigma}$  for some scalar function  $u : \mathbb{S}_\sigma(\vec{z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and

$$(A.2) \quad \|\psi - \text{id}\|_{H^2(\mathbb{S}_\sigma(\vec{z}))} \leq c_{\text{DM}} \sigma^2 \|\mathring{A}\|_{L^2(\Sigma^e)}$$

where  $\text{id}$  is the identity on  $\mathbb{S}_\sigma(\vec{z})$  and  $\mathring{g}_{\mathbb{S}_\sigma}$  is the round metric on spheres.

**Lemma A.2** (Nerz's bootstrap, [35]). *Suppose that  $(M, \bar{g})$  is a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold, and let  $(\Sigma, g) \hookrightarrow (M, \bar{g})$  be a closed hypersurface. Fix  $k > 1$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $c_1, c_2 > 0$ ,  $p > 2$ . Suppose that*

$$(A.3) \quad \|\overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \cdot)|_g\|_{L^p(\Sigma)} \leq \frac{c_1}{(\sigma_\Sigma)^{k+1-\frac{2}{p}}}, \quad \|\overline{\text{Ric}}|_g\|_{L^p(\Sigma)} \leq \frac{c_2}{(\sigma_\Sigma)^{\varepsilon+2-\frac{2}{p}}}$$

where  $\sigma_\Sigma$  is the area radius. Suppose moreover that there exists a constant  $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(A.4) \quad \|H - \mathfrak{h}\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma)} \leq \frac{c_1}{\sigma_\Sigma^{k-\frac{2}{p}}}, \quad \left| \mathfrak{h} - \frac{2}{\sigma_\Sigma} \right| \leq \frac{c_2}{\sigma_\Sigma^{1+\varepsilon}}$$

where  $H$  is the mean curvature of  $\Sigma$ . Suppose finally that on  $\Sigma$  it holds the inequality

$$(A.5) \quad \|\psi\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq \frac{c_s}{\sigma_\Sigma} \|\psi\|_{W^{1,1}(\Sigma)}, \quad \forall \psi \in W^{1,1}(\Sigma)$$

for some  $c_s > 0$ . Then, there exist constants  $\sigma_0(k, \varepsilon, c_1, c_2, c_s, p)$  and  $c(k, \varepsilon, c_2, c_s, p)$  such that, if  $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$ ,

$$(A.6) \quad \|\mathring{A}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq \frac{2}{9c_s} \implies \|\mathring{A}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} + \sigma_\Sigma^{-1} \|\mathring{A}\|_{H^1(\Sigma)} \leq \frac{c_1 c}{\sigma_\Sigma^k}$$

*Remark A.3.* Hypothesis (A.3) is implied by the asymptotic assumptions if  $k = \frac{3}{2} + \delta$  and  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} + \delta$  and  $\sigma \simeq r$ .

**Corollary A.4.** *Let  $\tilde{\Sigma}^e \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a closed hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and  $|\tilde{\Sigma}^e| = 4\pi\sigma^2$ . Suppose that there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that*

$$(A.7) \quad \epsilon := \left\| \mathring{A}^e \right\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\Sigma}^e)} + \left\| \tilde{H}^e - \frac{2}{\sigma} \right\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\Sigma}^e)} \leq c\sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta}$$

Then there exist  $\sigma_0(c) > 0$  and  $\tilde{c} = \tilde{c}(c) > 0$  such that if  $\sigma > \sigma_0$  then there exist a point  $\vec{z}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and a function  $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{S}_\sigma(\vec{z}_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\tilde{\Sigma}^e = \text{graph}(\tilde{f})$  and  $\|\tilde{f}\|_{W^{2,\infty}(\tilde{\Sigma}^e)} \leq \tilde{c}\sigma^2\epsilon$ .

*Proof.* We set  $\Sigma^e = \sigma^{-1}\tilde{\Sigma}^e$  and we use [35, Cor. E.1, E.2] as stated above. In fact  $|\Sigma^e| = 4\pi$ . Then, since  $\epsilon \leq c\sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta}$  and

$$(A.8) \quad \epsilon_1 = \|\mathring{A}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^e)} + \|H^e - 2\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^e)} = \sigma\epsilon \leq c\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta}$$

it follows that if  $\sigma > \sigma_0(c, c_{\text{DM-N}})$  then  $\epsilon_1 \leq c_{\text{DM-N}}$  and thus there exists  $f : \mathbb{S}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(A.9) \quad \|f\|_{W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{S}_1)} \leq C\epsilon_1 \leq C\sigma\epsilon$$

Notice moreover that, since  $\tilde{\Sigma}^e = \sigma\Sigma^e$ , it is the graph of a function  $\tilde{f} := \sigma f$ , which (even if it is defined on  $\mathbb{S}_1$ ) has to be meant over  $\mathbb{S}_\sigma(\vec{z})$ , where  $\vec{z} = \sigma\vec{z}_0$ . Then

$$(A.10) \quad \|\tilde{f}\|_{W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{S}_1)} = \sigma\|f\|_{W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{S}_1)} \leq C\sigma^2\epsilon$$

which is the thesis.  $\square$

$p$ -supercritical regularity (à la De Lellis-Muller). Finally, the following result is a generalization of DeLellis-Muller's result, proposed by Perez in his Ph.D. thesis [37]. This is a bit different from the results proposed until now in this Section. It implies that, if the a surface is round in  $L^p$ -sense (with  $p > n$ ), then the oscillation of the mean curvature are controlled. This would be a fundamental step in the definition of our *roundness class*. We state Perez's result and then we precise these considerations.

**Theorem A.5** (Thm. 1.1, [37]). *Let  $n \geq 2$ ,  $p \in (n, \infty)$  and  $c_0 > 0$ . There exists  $c_{\text{Per}} = c_{\text{Per}}(n, p, c_0) > 0$  such that if  $\Sigma^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is a smooth, closed and connected hypersurface with induced metric  $g_e$  and such that*

- (i)  $\text{Vol}_{g_e}(\Sigma) = 1$ ;
- (ii)  $\|A\|_{L^p(\Sigma, \mu^e)} \leq c_0$

then

$$(A.11) \quad \min_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \|A^e - \lambda g_e\|_{L^p(\Sigma, \mu^e)} \leq c_{\text{Per}} \|\mathring{A}^e\|_{L^p(\Sigma, \mu^e)}$$

This estimate looks implicit, if written in the current form. In fact, it essentially gives a bound on  $\|A^e - \lambda^\Sigma g_e\|_{L^p(\Sigma, \mu^e)}$ , for some  $\lambda^\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ . However,  $\lambda^\Sigma$  strictly depends on  $\Sigma$ , and so it is not a universal value. We replace this specific real number with geometric quantities, which obviously depend (tautologically) on the geometry of the hypersurface, but in an explicit (extrinsic) way.

First of all, consider the inequality  $|A^e - \lambda^\Sigma g_e|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} (H^e - 2\lambda^\Sigma)^2$ , which is a consequence of Cauchy-Schwarz. This implies that

$$(A.12) \quad \|H^e - 2\lambda^\Sigma\|_{L^p(\Sigma, \mu^e)} \leq \sqrt{2} c_{\text{Per}} \|\mathring{A}^e\|_{L^4(\Sigma, \mu^e)}$$

Using now the identity  $H^e - 2\lambda^\Sigma = H^e - h^e + h^e - 2\lambda^\Sigma$ , we get

$$(A.13) \quad \|H^e - h^e\|_{L^p(\Sigma, \mu^e)} \leq \|H^e - 2\lambda^\Sigma\|_{L^p(\Sigma, \mu^e)} + \|h^e - 2\lambda^\Sigma\|_{L^p(\Sigma, \mu^e)} \leq$$

and using the definition of integral mean and the Hölder's inequality, we have

$$(A.14) \quad \leq \|H^e - 2\lambda^\Sigma\|_{L^p(\Sigma, \mu^e)} + |h^e - 2\lambda^\Sigma|_{\Sigma} \leq \|H^e - 2\lambda^\Sigma\|_{L^p(\Sigma, \mu^e)} + |\Sigma|_e^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \int_{\Sigma} |H - 2\lambda^\Sigma| d\mu^e$$

$$(A.15) \quad \leq \|H^e - 2\lambda^\Sigma\|_{L^p(\Sigma, \mu^e)} + |\Sigma|_e^{\frac{1}{p}-1} |\Sigma|_e^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \|H^e - 2\lambda^\Sigma\|_{L^p(\Sigma, \mu^e)} \leq 2 \|H^e - 2\lambda^\Sigma\|_{L^p(\Sigma, \mu^e)}$$

Finally we get

$$(A.16) \quad \|H^e - h^e\|_{L^p(\Sigma, \mu^e)} \leq 2\sqrt{2} c_{\text{Per}} \|\mathring{A}^e\|_{L^p(\Sigma, \mu^e)}$$

We finally re-write Theorem A.5 in an asymptotically flat version, at the light of the estimates on  $|H - H^e|$  and  $|A - A^e|$ .

**Theorem A.6** (Thm. 1.1, [37]). *Let  $(M, \bar{g})$  be a  $C_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}^2$ -asymptotically flat manifold and consider a hypersurface  $\Sigma \hookrightarrow M$ , with induced metric  $g$ . Then there exist  $\sigma_0(\bar{c}, \delta) > 0$  and  $c_q(\bar{c}, \delta, p) > 0$  such that if  $\sigma_\Sigma > \sigma_0$  then*

$$(A.17) \quad \|H - h\|_{L^p(\Sigma)}^p \leq c_p \|\mathring{A}\|_{L^p(\Sigma)}^p + c_p \sigma_\Sigma^{-p-\delta p}$$

If  $(M, \bar{g}) = (\mathbb{R}^3, \delta_{\text{eucl}})$ , the second addend would not appear. Its presence is due to the asymptotically flatness of the ambient manifold. See the proof of Lemma 3.5 for more details.

## APPENDIX B. SPECTRAL THEORY FOR THE STABILITY OPERATOR

This Section is devoted to the study of the spectral theory of an elliptic operator, called *stability operator* on surfaces immersed in an asymptotically flat ambient manifold. These results are well-known for constant mean curvature surfaces, see [35] and [10]. We reprove it in the case of *almost constant mean curvature surfaces* (aCMC-surfaces).

**Definition B.1.** Let  $(M, \bar{g}, \bar{K}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{J})$  a  $C^2_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ -asymptotically flat initial data set. Consider a hypersurface  $\iota : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$ , with induced metric  $g = \iota^*\bar{g}$ . Let  $\sigma_\Sigma$  be the area radius and fix  $\sigma > 1$ . We say that  $\Sigma$  is *spectral-compatible* if there exist  $c_\infty > 0$  and  $c_2 > 0$  such that

$$(B.1) \quad c_\infty^{-1} \leq \frac{\sigma_\Sigma}{\sigma} \leq c_\infty, \quad \sup_\Sigma |H - h| \leq c_\infty \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta}, \quad \|\mathcal{H} - \bar{h}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq c_2 \sigma^{-1-2\delta}$$

**B.2. Brief review of the Laplace-Beltrami operator.** It is well-known that the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a closed surface  $\Sigma$  has a zero eigenvalue (achieved by constant functions) and the first non-zero eigenvalue is variationally characterized by

$$(B.2) \quad \lambda_1^\Sigma = \inf \left\{ \int_\Sigma |\nabla v|^2 : \|v\|_2 = 1, \int_\Sigma v \, d\mu = 0 \right\}$$

We now ask *What happens if we consider  $-\Delta$  on a round hypersurface of an asymptotically flat manifold?* As we expect, being a round  $\Sigma$  a closed hypersurface,  $\lambda_0 = 0$ . For the next eigenvalues, we obtain that they are near to the eigenvalues of the sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , modulo an error. The following statement is an approximated version of [10, Lemma 2].

**Lemma B.3** (Eigenvalues of the Laplace-Beltrami operator). *Let  $(M, \bar{g})$  be a  $C^2_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ -asymptotically flat manifold and let  $\iota : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$  be an immersed surface, where  $g = \iota^*\bar{g}$ , and fix  $\sigma > 1$ . Suppose that  $\Sigma$  is a round surface, in the sense of Definition 4.1, where we use  $c > 0$  as a unique constant of roundness. Suppose moreover that  $\sigma \sigma_\Sigma^{-1} \leq 3/2$  and  $c^{-1} \leq \frac{\sigma_\Sigma}{\sigma} \leq c$ . Then there exist two constants  $\tilde{c} = \tilde{c}(c) > 0$  and  $\sigma_0 = \sigma_0(c) > 1$ , such that if  $\sigma > \sigma_0$  there is a complete orthonormal system in  $L^2(\Sigma)$  consisting of the eigenfunctions  $\{f_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  such that*

$$(B.3) \quad -\Delta f_i = \lambda_i f_i, \quad \text{with } 0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$$

Moreover, for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  it holds

$$(B.4) \quad \left| \lambda_i - \frac{2}{\sigma_\Sigma^2} \right| \leq \tilde{c} \sigma^{-\frac{5}{2}-\delta}, \quad \|f_i - f_i^\delta\|_{W^{2,2}(\Sigma)} \leq \tilde{\sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta}, \quad \left\| \overset{\circ}{\text{Hess}}(f_i) \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq \tilde{c} \sigma^{-\frac{5}{2}-\delta}$$

where  $f_i^\delta$  is the function  $\sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi\sigma^4}} x_i$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  restricted to the sphere  $\mathbb{S}_{\sigma_\Sigma}$ , and moreover

$$(B.5) \quad \int_\Sigma \left| \langle \nabla f_i, \nabla f_j \rangle - \frac{3\delta_{ij}}{\sigma_\Sigma^2 |\Sigma|_g} + \frac{f_i f_j}{\sigma_\Sigma^2} \right| d\mu_g \leq \tilde{c} \sigma^{-\frac{5}{2}-\delta}$$

On the other hand, for  $i > 3$  we have

$$(B.6) \quad \lambda_i > \frac{5}{\sigma_\Sigma^2}$$

*Remark B.4.* It is important to keep in mind that  $\|f_i\|_{H^2(\Sigma)} \leq \tilde{c}$ , see the proof of [10, Lemma 2]. Note moreover that in the proof of [10], the hypothesis that the (spacetime) mean curvature is constant is used in order to replace the area radius with the so called *curvature radius*. With our notation,  $\sigma$  is proportional to the area radius.

**B.5. Estimates on the translational eigenvalues.** This Lemma improves the "rough" estimate of the previous lemma, which was just a generalization of the well known estimates on the spheres to surfaces which are, euclideanly, pseudo-spheres. The word "translational" which appears in the title of the Subsection means, at the moment, just "relative to the first three eigenfunctions".

**Lemma B.6** (Eigenvalues of the Laplace-Beltrami operator II). *Let  $(M, \bar{g}, \bar{K}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{J})$  be a  $C^2_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ -asymptotically flat initial data set which satisfies the mass hypothesis and let  $\iota : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$  be an immersed surface, where  $g = \iota^*\bar{g}$ . Suppose that  $\Sigma$  is a round surface, in the sense of Definition 4.1, where we use  $c > 0$  as a unique constant of roundness. Suppose moreover that  $\sigma \sigma_\Sigma^{-1} \leq 3/2$  and  $\Sigma$  is compatible. Set moreover  $m_{\mathcal{H}}(\Sigma)$  to be the Hawking mass of  $\Sigma$ . Then there exist two constants  $\tilde{c} = \tilde{c}(c) > 0$  and  $\sigma_0 = \sigma_0(c) > 1$ , such that if  $\sigma > \sigma_0$  the complete orthonormal system in  $L^2(\Sigma)$  given in Lemma B.3 is such that the first three eigenvalues, i.e.  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ , satisfy*

$$(B.7) \quad \left| \lambda_i - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} - \frac{6m_{\mathcal{H}}(\Sigma)}{\sigma_\Sigma^3} - \int_\Sigma \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu) f_i^2 \, d\mu_g \right| \leq \tilde{c} \sigma^{-3-\delta}, \quad i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$$

and the relative eigenfunctions  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  satisfy

$$(B.8) \quad \left| \int_{\Sigma} \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu) f_i f_j \right| \leq \tilde{c} \sigma^{-3-\delta}, \quad i \neq j, \quad i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$$

*Proof.* Using the Bochner formula generalized to a non-quadratic scalar product, we get

$$(B.9) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta \langle \nabla f_i, \nabla f_j \rangle =$$

$$(B.10) \quad = \langle \overset{\circ}{\text{Hess}} f_i, \overset{\circ}{\text{Hess}} f_j \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i \lambda_j f_i f_j - \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_i + \lambda_j - S^{\Sigma}) \langle \nabla f_i, \nabla f_j \rangle$$

Integrating this expression over the compact manifold  $\Sigma$ , using the properties of the eigenfunctions, we get

$$(B.11) \quad 0 = \int_{\Sigma} \langle \overset{\circ}{\text{Hess}} f_i, \overset{\circ}{\text{Hess}} f_j \rangle d\mu_g +$$

$$(B.12) \quad + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i \lambda_j \delta_{ij} - \frac{\lambda_i + \lambda_j}{2} \int_{\Sigma} \langle \nabla f_i, \nabla f_j \rangle d\mu_g + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} S^{\Sigma} \langle \nabla f_i, \nabla f_j \rangle d\mu_g$$

Since

$$(B.13) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \langle \nabla f_i, \nabla f_j \rangle d\mu_g = \lambda_i \delta_{ij} = \lambda_j \delta_{ij}$$

we get that, in (B.12),

$$(B.14) \quad \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i \lambda_j \delta_{ij} - \frac{\lambda_i + \lambda_j}{2} \int_{\Sigma} \langle \nabla f_i, \nabla f_j \rangle d\mu_g = \frac{\lambda_i \lambda_j}{2} \delta_{ij} - \frac{\lambda_i^2}{2} \delta_{ij} - \frac{\lambda_j^2}{2} \delta_{ij} = -\frac{\lambda_i^2}{2} \delta_{ij}$$

Using moreover that  $\|\overset{\circ}{\text{Hess}} f_i\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq C \sigma^{-\frac{5}{2}-\delta}$ , as proved in [10, Lemma 2], we get

$$(B.15) \quad \left| \lambda_i^2 \delta_{ij} - \int_{\Sigma} S^{\Sigma} \langle \nabla f_i, \nabla f_j \rangle d\mu_g \right| \leq C \sigma^{-5-2\delta}$$

On the other hand, using Gauss' formula, we have

$$(B.16) \quad S^{\Sigma} = \overline{S} - 2\overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu) - |\overset{\circ}{A}|^2 + \frac{H^2}{2} =$$

$$(B.17) \quad = \overline{S} - 2\overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu) + \frac{1}{2} (H - \mathcal{H} + \mathcal{H})^2 + O(\sigma^{-3-\delta}) =$$

$$(B.18) \quad = \overline{S} - 2\overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu) + \frac{(H - \mathcal{H})^2}{2} + \frac{\mathcal{H}^2}{2} + (H - \mathcal{H})\mathcal{H} + O(\sigma^{-3-\delta}) =$$

which is equal, using that  $H - \mathcal{H} = O(\sigma^{-2-2\delta})$  and  $\mathcal{H} = O(\sigma^{-1})$ ,

$$(B.19) \quad = \overline{S} - 2\overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu) + \frac{(\mathcal{H} - \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{h})^2}{2} + O(\sigma^{-3-\delta}) =$$

$$(B.20) \quad = \overline{S} - 2\overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu) + \frac{\mathfrak{h}^2}{2} + \frac{(\mathcal{H} - \mathfrak{h})^2}{2} + \mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{H} - \mathfrak{h}) + O(\sigma^{-3-\delta})$$

Thus, setting  $\mathcal{R} := \frac{(\mathcal{H} - \mathfrak{h})^2}{2} + \mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{H} - \mathfrak{h}) + O(\sigma^{-3-\delta})$ , we get

$$(B.21) \quad \left| \lambda_i^2 \delta_{ij} - \int_{\Sigma} S^{\Sigma} \langle \nabla f_i, \nabla f_j \rangle d\mu_g \right| =$$

$$(B.22) \quad = \left| \lambda_i^2 \delta_{ij} - \int_{\Sigma} \left( (\overline{S} - 2\overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)) + \left( \frac{\mathfrak{h}^2}{2} + \mathcal{R} \right) \right) \langle \nabla f_i, \nabla f_j \rangle d\mu_g \right| =$$

and letting inequality (B.5) appear, we get

$$(B.23) \quad = \left| \lambda_i^2 \delta_{ij} - \frac{\mathfrak{h}^2}{2} \lambda_i \delta_{ij} - \int_{\Sigma} (\overline{S} - 2\overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)) \left( \frac{3\delta_{ij}}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^2 |g|} - \frac{f_i f_j}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^2} \right) d\mu_g + \right.$$

$$(B.24) \quad - \int_{\Sigma} (\bar{S} - 2\overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)) \left( \langle \nabla f_i, \nabla f_j \rangle - \frac{3\delta_{ij}}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^2 |\Sigma|_g} + \frac{f_i f_j}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^2} \right) d\mu_g + \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{R} \langle \nabla f_i, \nabla f_j \rangle d\mu$$

Using now that  $\|f_i\|_{H^2(\Sigma)} = O(1)$ , and so  $\|\nabla f_i\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq \frac{C}{\sigma}$ , and that  $\bar{S} - 2\overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu) = O(\sigma^{-\frac{5}{2}-\delta})$ ,

$$(B.25) \quad \left| \left( \lambda_i^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \lambda_i \right) \delta_{ij} - \int_{\Sigma} (\bar{S} - 2\overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)) \left( \frac{3\delta_{ij}}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^2 |\Sigma|_g} - \frac{f_i f_j}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^2} \right) d\mu_g \right| \leq$$

$$(B.26) \quad \leq O(\sigma^{-\frac{5}{2}-\delta}) \left\| \langle \nabla f_i, \nabla f_j \rangle - \frac{3\delta_{ij}}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^2 |\Sigma|_g} + \frac{f_i f_j}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^2} \right\|_{L^1(\Sigma)} + \left| \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{R} \langle \nabla f_i, \nabla f_j \rangle d\mu \right| + O(\sigma^{-5-\delta})$$

We use now equation (B.5) to estimate the first addend. For the second addend, we consider

$$(B.27) \quad \left| \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{R} \langle \nabla f_i, \nabla f_j \rangle d\mu \right| = \left| \int_{\Sigma} \left( \frac{(\mathcal{H} - \hbar)^2}{2} + \hbar(\mathcal{H} - \hbar) + O(\sigma^{-3-\delta}) \right) \langle \nabla f_i, \nabla f_j \rangle d\mu \right|$$

and observe that  $\left| \int_{\Sigma} \langle \nabla f_i, \nabla f_j \rangle d\mu \right| \leq C\sigma^{-2}$ , which bounds the third term. The other two terms are of the form  $O(\sigma^{-1})$  times  $(\mathcal{H} - \hbar)$  times  $\langle \nabla f_i, \nabla f_j \rangle$ . Then, they are bounded by, using that  $\|f_i\|_{W^{1,4}} \leq \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f_i\|_{H^2}$ , and so  $\|\nabla f_i\|_4 \leq C\sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ ,

$$(B.28) \quad O(\sigma^{-1}) \|\mathcal{H} - \hbar\|_{L^2} \|\nabla f_i\|_{L^4} \|\nabla f_j\|_{L^4} \leq C\sigma^{-4} (c_2 \sigma^{-1-2\delta}) = O(\sigma^{-5-\delta})$$

Thus we obtained

$$(B.29) \quad \left| \left( \lambda_i^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \lambda_i \right) \delta_{ij} - \int_{\Sigma} (\bar{S} - 2\overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)) \left( \frac{3\delta_{ij}}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^2 |\Sigma|_g} - \frac{f_i f_j}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^2} \right) d\mu_g \right| \leq C\sigma^{-5-\delta}$$

Now, if  $i \neq j$ , then

$$(B.30) \quad \left| \int_{\Sigma} (2\overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu) - \bar{S}) \left( \frac{f_i f_j}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^2} \right) d\mu_g \right| \leq C\sigma^{-5-\delta}$$

that implies, using the additional hypothesis  $|\bar{S}| \leq \bar{c}\sigma^{-3-\delta}$ ,

$$(B.31) \quad \left| \int_{\Sigma} \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu) f_i f_j \right| \leq C\sigma^{-3-\delta}$$

On the other hand, if  $i = j$ , then

$$(B.32) \quad \left| \left( \lambda_i^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \lambda_i \right) - \int_{\Sigma} (\bar{S} - 2\overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)) \left( \frac{3}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^2 |\Sigma|_g} - \frac{f_i^2}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^2} \right) d\mu_g \right| \leq C\sigma^{-5-\delta}$$

Using the definition of area radius we get

$$(B.33) \quad \left| \left( \lambda_i^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \lambda_i \right) - \frac{3}{4\pi\sigma_{\Sigma}^4} \int_{\Sigma} (\bar{S} - 2\overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)) d\mu_g - \frac{2}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^2} \int_{\Sigma} \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu) f_i^2 d\mu_g \right| \leq C\sigma^{-5-\delta}$$

also using that  $|\bar{S}| \leq \bar{c}\sigma^{-3-\delta}$ . In order to let the ADM-energy appear, we remark that

$$(B.34) \quad \frac{3}{4\pi\sigma_{\Sigma}^4} \int_{\Sigma} (\bar{S} - 2\overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)) d\mu_g = \left( \frac{12}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^5} \right) \left( \frac{\sigma_{\Sigma}}{16\pi} \right) \int_{\Sigma} (\bar{S} - 2\overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)) d\mu_g =$$

and using the definition of Hawking mass and the Gauss-Bonnet theorem

$$(B.35) \quad = \frac{12}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^5} (m_{\mathcal{H}}(\Sigma) + O(\sigma^{-2\delta}))$$

Thus

$$(B.36) \quad \left| \lambda_i^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \lambda_i - \frac{12m_{\mathcal{H}}(\Sigma)}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^5} - \frac{2}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^2} \int_{\Sigma} \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu) f_i^2 d\mu_g \right| \leq C\sigma^{-5-\delta}$$

Dividing by  $\lambda_i$ , we have

$$(B.37) \quad \left| \lambda_i - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} - \frac{12m_{\mathcal{H}}(\Sigma)}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^5 \lambda_i} - \frac{2}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^2 \lambda_i} \int_{\Sigma} \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu) f_i^2 d\mu_g \right| \leq \frac{C\sigma^{-5-\delta}}{\lambda_i}$$

and using that  $\frac{\lambda_i \sigma_\Sigma^2}{2} = 1 + O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta})$ , see Lemma B.3, then

$$(B.38) \quad \left| \lambda_i - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} - \frac{6m_{\mathcal{H}}(\Sigma)}{\sigma_\Sigma^3} - \int_\Sigma \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu) f_i^2 d\mu_g \right| \leq C\sigma^{-3-\delta}$$

since

$$(B.39) \quad \frac{12m_{\mathcal{H}}(\Sigma)}{\sigma_\Sigma^5 \lambda_i} = \frac{6m_{\mathcal{H}}(\Sigma)}{\sigma_\Sigma^3} \left(1 + O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta})\right), \quad O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta}) \left| \int_\Sigma \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu) f_i^2 d\mu_g \right| \leq C\sigma^{-3-2\delta}$$

since  $\|f_i\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2 = 1$  and because of the decay of  $\overline{\text{Ric}}$ . Then the thesis follows.  $\square$

*Remark B.7.* Observe that equation (B.7) gives the following bound. Since  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $|m_{\mathcal{H}}(\Sigma)| \leq 2|E|$ ,  $\overline{\text{Ric}} = O(|\bar{x}|^{-\frac{5}{2}-\delta})$  and  $\|f_i\|_2 = 1$ , then

$$(B.40) \quad \left| \lambda_i - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \right| = O(\sigma^{-\frac{5}{2}-\delta})$$

with a constant possibly depending on  $|E|$ .

As we will see in a moment, it will be useful to decompose the Hilbert space  $L^2(\Sigma) \equiv L^2(\Sigma, \mu_g)$  into two linear subspaces.

**Definition B.8** (Translational part). Let  $\Sigma$  be a closed surface with metric  $g$  and volume form  $d\mu_g$ , associated to the measure  $\mu_g$ . Consider the Hilbert-space  $L^2(\Sigma, \mu_g)$  equipped with the scalar product

$$(B.41) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_2 := \int_\Sigma fg d\mu_g$$

which induces the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}$ . Consider the spectral basis of  $-\Delta$  in this space, i.e.  $\{f_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$  with corresponding eigenvalues

$$(B.42) \quad 0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$$

Consider a function  $w \in L^2(\Sigma)$ . Then we define

$$(B.43) \quad w^0 := \langle w, f_0 \rangle_2 f_0 = \int_\Sigma w d\mu_g, \quad w^t := \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle w, f_i \rangle_2 f_i$$

We call  $w^0$  the *mean part* of  $w$ , and  $w^t$  the *translational part* of  $w$ .

*Remark B.9.* (i) First of all, as already remarked,  $f_0 \equiv |\Sigma|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . It is then clear that if  $w$  has zero mean, then  $w^0 = 0$ .

(ii) The so called *translational part* of a function  $w$  is the projection of  $w$  on the finite-dimensional space  $\text{span}\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ , the eigenfunctions of  $-\Delta$  obtained as a perturbation of the coordinate functions on the sphere. For this reason...

(iii) Finally, we set

$$(B.44) \quad w^d := w - w^t$$

the so called *difference part*, which obviously also contains the information about  $w^0$ . Since  $w^t$  and  $w^d$  are spanned by distinct eigenvalues of  $-\Delta$ , which are orthonormal, it follows that  $\langle w^t, w^d \rangle_2 = 0$ .

**B.10. The stability operator.** We give the definition of (spacelike) *stability operator*. This will be the main operator we will use in our computation. However, the spacetime version of this operator will be introduced in the next Chapter, when we will consider evolutive equations.

**Definition B.11** (Spacelike stability operator). Fix a hypersurface  $(\Sigma, g)$  of  $(M, \bar{g})$ . The *stability operator* is a map from  $H^2(\Sigma)$  to  $L^2(\Sigma)$  defined as

$$(B.45) \quad L^H f := \Delta f + f(|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu))$$

for every  $f \in H^2(\Sigma)$ .

**Lemma B.12** (Stability operator and traslational part). *Let  $(M, \bar{g}, \bar{K}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{J})$  be a  $C^2_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ -asymptotically flat initial data set and let  $\iota : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$  be an immersed surface with  $g = \iota^*\bar{g}$ . Suppose that  $\Sigma$  is a round surface, in the sense of Definition 4.1, where we use  $c > 0$  as a unique constant of roundness. Suppose moreover that  $\sigma r_{\Sigma}^{-1} \leq 3/2$  and that  $\Sigma$  is compatible. Set moreover  $m_{\mathcal{H}}(\Sigma)$  to be the Hawking mass of  $\Sigma$ . Then there exist two constants  $\tilde{c} = \tilde{c}(c, \bar{c}) > 0$  and  $\sigma_0 = \sigma_0(c, \bar{c}) > 1$ , such that if  $\sigma > \sigma_0$  then, for every  $w, v \in H^2(\Sigma)$  we have*

$$(B.46) \quad \left| \int_{\Sigma} (L^H w^t) v^t \, d\mu + \frac{6m_{\mathcal{H}}(\Sigma)}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^3} \int_{\Sigma} w^t v^t \, d\mu \right| \leq \tilde{c}(c, \bar{c}) \frac{\|w\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}}{\sigma^{3+\delta}}$$

*Proof.* We, first of all, remark that  $w^t \in \text{span}\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ . So, it is enough to prove that

$$(B.47) \quad \int_{\Sigma} (L^H f_i) f_j \, d\mu + \frac{6m_{\mathcal{H}}(\Sigma)}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^3} \delta_{ij} = O(\sigma^{-3-\delta})$$

for every  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , where  $O(\sigma^{-3-\delta})$  depends on  $c$  and  $\bar{c}$ . If this is true, then

$$(B.48) \quad \int_{\Sigma} (L^H w^t) v^t \, d\mu = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \langle w, f_i \rangle_2 \langle v, f_j \rangle_2 \int_{\Sigma} (L^H f_i) f_j \, d\mu =$$

$$(B.49) \quad = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \langle w, f_i \rangle_2 \langle v, f_j \rangle_2 \left( -\frac{6m_{\mathcal{H}}(\Sigma)}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^3} \delta_{ij} + O(\sigma^{-3-\delta}) \right) =$$

$$(B.50) \quad = -\frac{6m_{\mathcal{H}}(\Sigma)}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^3} \int_{\Sigma} w^t v^t \, d\mu + O(\sigma^{-3-\delta} \|w\|_2 \|v\|_2)$$

Thus, we prove (B.47). We compute the integral

$$(B.51) \quad \int_{\Sigma} (L^H f_i) f_j \, d\mu = \int_{\Sigma} (\Delta f_i + (|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)) f_i) f_j \, d\mu =$$

$$(B.52) \quad = -\lambda_i \delta_{ij} + \int_{\Sigma} (|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)) f_i f_j \, d\mu$$

Moreover, we decompose

$$(B.53) \quad |A|^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{2} + \frac{(\mathcal{H} - \hbar)^2}{2} + \hbar(\mathcal{H} - \hbar) + O(\sigma^{-3-\delta})$$

It follows that, using  $\|f_i\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} = 1$ ,

$$(B.54) \quad \int_{\Sigma} |A|^2 f_i f_j \, d\mu = \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \hbar)^2 f_i f_j \, d\mu + \hbar \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{H} - \hbar) f_i f_j \, d\mu + O(\sigma^{-3-\delta}) =$$

$$(B.55) \quad = \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \delta_{ij} + O(\sigma^{-3-\delta})$$

using that

$$(B.56) \quad \sigma^{-1} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathcal{H} - \hbar| |f_i| |f_j| \, d\mu \leq \sigma^{-1} \|\mathcal{H} - \hbar\|_2 \|f_i\|_4 \|f_j\|_4 \leq \tilde{c} \sigma^{-3-2\delta}$$

since  $\|f_i\|_4 \leq \|f_i\|_{W^{1,4}} \leq \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f_i\|_{H^2}$ . Thus, if  $i = j$ , we have that, using Lemma B.6,

$$(B.57) \quad \int_{\Sigma} (L^H f_i) f_i \, d\mu = -\lambda_i + \frac{\hbar^2}{2} + \int_{\Sigma} \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu) f_i^2 \, d\mu + O(\sigma^{-3-\delta}) =$$

$$(B.58) \quad = -\frac{6m_{\mathcal{H}}(\Sigma)}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^3} + O(\sigma^{-3-\delta})$$

If instead  $i \neq j$ , then

$$(B.59) \quad \int_{\Sigma} (L^H f_i) f_j \, d\mu = \int_{\Sigma} \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu) f_i f_j \, d\mu + O(\sigma^{-3-\delta}) = O(\sigma^{-3-\delta})$$

using again Lemma B.6. This ends the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma B.13** (Decomposition of the stability operator). *Set  $\mathcal{L}^H := -L^H$  and let  $w \in H^2(\Sigma)$  be such that  $w^0 = 0$ . Then*

$$(B.60) \quad \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{L}^H w) w \, d\mu = \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{L}^H w^t) w^t \, d\mu + 2 \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{L}^H w^t) w^d \, d\mu + \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{L}^H w^d) w^d \, d\mu$$

This is a straightforward computation.

*Remark B.14.* Keep in mind that

$$(B.61) \quad \mathcal{L}^H w^t = \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle w, f_i \rangle_2 \mathcal{L}^H f_i$$

and moreover we can estimate

$$(B.62) \quad \left| \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{L}^H w^t) w^d \, d\mu \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon \|\mathcal{L}^H w^t\|_2^2}{2} + \frac{\|w^d\|_2^2}{2\epsilon}$$

with  $\epsilon > 0$  to be fixed.

**Lemma B.15.** *Set  $\mathcal{L}^H := -L^H$  and let  $w \in H^2(\Sigma)$  be such that  $w^0 = 0$ . Then*

(i) *The traslational part satisfies*

$$(B.63) \quad \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{L}^H w^t) w^t \, d\mu \geq \frac{6m_{\mathcal{H}}(\Sigma)}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^3} \|w^t\|_2^2 + O(\sigma^{-3-\delta}) \|w\|_2^2$$

*thanks to Lemma B.12.*

(ii) *The remaining part satisfies*

$$(B.64) \quad \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{L}^H w^d) (w^d) \, d\mu \geq \frac{7}{4\sigma_{\Sigma}^2} \int_{\Sigma} (w^d)^2 \, d\mu$$

*Proof.* We estimate the reminder term, obtaining

$$(B.65) \quad \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{L}^H w^d) (w^d) \, d\mu =$$

$$(B.66) \quad = \int_{\Sigma} (-\Delta w^d - (|A|^2 + \overline{\text{Ric}}(\nu, \nu)) w^d) w^d \, d\mu =$$

$$(B.67) \quad = \int_{\Sigma} w^d (-\Delta w^d) \, d\mu - \int_{\Sigma} \left( \frac{\hbar^2}{2} + \frac{(\mathcal{H} - \hbar)^2}{2} + \hbar(\mathcal{H} - \hbar) + O(\sigma^{-\frac{5}{2}-\delta}) \right) (w^d)^2 \, d\mu$$

We observe that

$$(B.68) \quad \hbar = h + O(\sigma^{-2-2\delta}) = \left( \frac{2}{\sigma_{\Sigma}} + O(\sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta}) \right) + O(\sigma^{-2-2\delta}) = \frac{2}{\sigma_{\Sigma}} + O(\sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta})$$

and  $(\mathcal{H} - \hbar)^2 = O(\sigma^{-3-2\delta}) = \hbar(\mathcal{H} - \hbar)$ , which together with the fact that  $w^d = w^d - w^0 \in \text{span}\{f_k : k \geq 4\}$  and equation (B.6), it implies

$$(B.69) \quad \geq \left( \frac{5}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^2} - \frac{2}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^2} + O(\sigma^{-\frac{5}{2}-\delta}) \right) \int_{\Sigma} (w^d)^2 \, d\mu \geq \frac{7}{4\sigma_{\Sigma}^2} \int_{\Sigma} (w^d)^2 \, d\mu$$

where we also used the equivalence of the radii  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma_{\Sigma}$ .  $\square$

Putting the pieces together, and estimating the mixed term as in (B.62), choosing in particular  $\epsilon > 0$  so that  $\epsilon^{-1} = \frac{1}{4\sigma_{\Sigma}^2}$ , we find

$$(B.70) \quad \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{L}^H w) w \, d\mu \geq \frac{6m_{\mathcal{H}}(\Sigma)}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^3} \|w^t\|_2^2 + O(\sigma^{-3-\delta}) \|w\|_2^2 + \frac{7}{4\sigma_{\Sigma}^2} \int_{\Sigma} (w^d)^2 \, d\mu +$$

$$(B.71) \quad -4\sigma_{\Sigma}^2 \|\mathcal{L}^H w^t\|_2^2 - \frac{\|w^d\|_2^2}{4\sigma_{\Sigma}^2}$$

We use now the following estimate.

**Lemma B.16.**

$$(B.72) \quad \|\mathcal{L}^H w^t\|_2^2 \leq O(\sigma^{-5-2\delta}) \|w\|_2^2$$

*Proof.* We remark that

$$(B.73) \quad \|\mathcal{L}^H w^t\|_2 \leq \left\| -\Delta w^t - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} w^t \right\|_2 + \left\| \frac{(\mathcal{H} - \hbar)^2}{2} w^t + \hbar(\mathcal{H} - \hbar) w^t \right\|_2 + O(\sigma^{-\frac{5}{2}-\delta}) \|w\|_2$$

The first term is equal to

$$(B.74) \quad \left\| -\Delta w^t - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} w^t \right\|_2^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \langle w, f_i \rangle_2 \langle w, f_j \rangle_2 \left( \lambda_i \lambda_j \delta_{ij} - \lambda_i \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \delta_{ij} - \lambda_j \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \delta_{ij} + \frac{\hbar^4}{4} \delta_{ij} \right)$$

When  $i = j$  we obtain  $|\lambda_i - \frac{\hbar^2}{2}|^2 = O(\sigma^{-5-2\delta})$ , thanks to Remark B.7. The middle term can be bounded as follows. First of all observe that, in both addends, we are in the case

$$(B.75) \quad \|(\mathcal{H} - \hbar) w^t\|_2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 |\langle w, f_i \rangle_2| \|(\mathcal{H} - \hbar) f_i\|_2 \leq C \|w\|_2 \sum_{i=1}^3 \|(\mathcal{H} - \hbar) f_i\|_2$$

On the other hand

$$(B.76) \quad \|(\mathcal{H} - \hbar) f_i\|_2 \leq \|\mathcal{H} - \hbar\|_\infty \|f_i\|_2 \leq \tilde{c}(c_\infty) \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta}$$

Thus

$$(B.77) \quad \left\| \frac{(\mathcal{H} - \hbar)^2}{2} w^t + \hbar(\mathcal{H} - \hbar) w^t \right\|_2 \leq 10\sigma^{-1} \|(\mathcal{H} - \hbar) w^t\|_2 \leq \tilde{c}(c_\infty) \sigma^{-\frac{5}{2}-\delta} \|w\|_2$$

We finally get  $\|\mathcal{L}^H w^t\|_2^2 \leq O(\sigma^{-5-2\delta}) \|w\|_2^2$ .  $\square$

Finally, choosing  $\sigma$  so large that  $|m_{\mathcal{H}}(\Sigma) - E| \leq \tilde{c}\sigma^{-\delta}$ , in the case of  $E > 0$ , we have  $m_{\mathcal{H}}(\Sigma) \geq \frac{E}{2}$ , and thus

$$(B.78) \quad \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{L}^H w) w \, d\mu \geq \frac{3E}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^3} \|w^t\|_2^2 + O(\sigma^{-3-\delta}) \|w\|_2^2 + \frac{3}{2\sigma_{\Sigma}^2} \|w^d\|_2^2$$

Observing now that  $\|w\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2 = \|w^t\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2 + \|w^d\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2$  and choosing  $\sigma$  so large that  $\frac{3}{2\sigma_{\Sigma}^2} \geq \frac{3E}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^3}$ , we get

$$(B.79) \quad \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{L}^H w) w \, d\mu \geq \frac{5E}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^3} \|w\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2$$

It follows that

$$(B.80) \quad \inf \left\{ \int_{\Sigma} (\mathcal{L}^H w) w \, d\mu : \|w\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} = 1, \int_{\Sigma} w \, d\mu = 0 \right\} \geq \frac{5E}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^3}$$

and so

$$(B.81) \quad \int_{\Sigma} (L^H w) w \, d\mu \leq -\frac{5E}{\sigma_{\Sigma}^3} \|w\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2 \leq -\frac{8E}{\sqrt{5}\sigma^3} \|w\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2$$

for every  $w \neq 0$ ,  $\int_{\Sigma} w \, d\mu = 0$ .

## APPENDIX C. ADDITIONAL COMPUTATIONS

### C.1. Computations on the tensor $T$ .

**Lemma C.2.** *Let  $(M, \bar{g}, \bar{K}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{J})$  be a  $C_{\frac{1}{2}+\delta}^2$ -asymptotically flat initial data set. Let  $p \geq 2$  and consider a hypersurface  $\iota : \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$  such that*

(i) Point-wise roundness: *For every  $t \in [0, T]$ , it holds*

$$(C.1) \quad |A(t)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}} \sigma^{-1}, \quad \kappa_i(t) \geq \frac{1}{2\sigma}$$

where  $\kappa_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  are the principal curvatures of  $\Sigma_t$ .

- (ii) Accurate control on the curvatures: For every  $t \in [0, T]$  it holds  $\text{osc}_\infty(H(t)) \leq \frac{1}{2(5+\sqrt{5})\sqrt{5}\sigma}$ ;  
 (iii) Comparability of the radii: For every  $t \in [0, T]$ , it holds

$$(C.2) \quad \frac{\sigma}{r_\Sigma(t)} \leq \frac{3}{2}, \quad (7/2)\pi\sigma^2 \leq |\Sigma_t| \equiv 4\pi\sigma_{\Sigma_t}^2 \leq 5\pi\sigma^2$$

and let  $T$  and  $\beta$  be as in Lemma 4.4. Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  small. Then there exist  $c_4 > 0$  (independent of  $\varepsilon$ ) and  $\sigma_0 = \sigma_0(\varepsilon, \bar{c}, \delta, B_\infty)$  such that for every  $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$  it holds

$$(C.3) \quad \left| \int_\Sigma \langle T, \mathring{A} \rangle |\mathring{A}|^2 d\mu \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma^2} \int_\Sigma |\mathring{A}|^4 d\mu + \varepsilon \int_\Sigma |\mathring{A}|^2 |\nabla \mathring{A}|^2 d\mu + c\sigma^{-6-4\delta}$$

*Proof.* Remember that

$$(C.4) \quad \langle T, \mathring{A} \rangle = \left\{ \nabla_i \nabla_j H \beta \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) + 2\nabla_j H \beta' \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) \nabla_i \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) \right\} \mathring{h}_{ij} +$$

$$(C.5) \quad + \left\{ H \beta'' \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) \nabla_i \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) \nabla_j \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) + H \beta' \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) \nabla_i \nabla_j \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) \right\} \mathring{h}_{ij}$$

We deal with each term in order.

- (i) First of all we deal with

$$(C.6) \quad \int_\Sigma (\nabla_i \nabla_j H) \beta \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) \mathring{h}_{ij} |\mathring{A}|^2 d\mu_t$$

Integrating by parts, we get

$$(C.7) \quad \int_\Sigma \nabla_j H \beta' \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) \nabla_i \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) \mathring{h}_{ij} |\mathring{A}|^2 d\mu_t + \int_\Sigma (\nabla_j H) \beta \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) \nabla_i (\mathring{h}_{ij} |\mathring{A}|^2) d\mu_t$$

Since  $\nabla_i (\mathring{h}_{ij} |\mathring{A}|^2) = \nabla_i \mathring{h}_{ij} |\mathring{A}|^2 + 2\mathring{h}_{ij} \mathring{A} |\nabla_i \mathring{A}|$ , and we study everything in absolute value, we find

$$(C.8) \quad O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta}) \int_\Sigma \nabla_j H \left| \frac{(\nabla_i P)H - P\nabla_i H}{H^2} \right| |\mathring{A}|^3 d\mu_t + O(\sigma^{-1-2\delta}) \int_\Sigma |\nabla H| |\mathring{A}|^2 |\nabla \mathring{A}| d\mu_t$$

using also the hypothesis on  $\beta$ . The first addend is in turn bounded by

$$(C.9) \quad O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta}) \int_\Sigma \frac{|\nabla H| |\nabla P|}{H} |\mathring{A}|^3 d\mu_t + O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta}) \int_\Sigma \frac{|\nabla H|^2 |P|}{H^2} |\mathring{A}|^3 d\mu_t$$

Using Young's inequality we get, for the first addend of (C.9),

$$(C.10) \quad O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta}) \int_\Sigma \left( \frac{|\nabla H|^2}{2} + \frac{|\nabla P|^2}{2H^2} |\mathring{A}|^2 \right) |\mathring{A}|^2 d\mu_t \leq$$

$$(C.11) \quad \leq O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta}) \int_\Sigma |\nabla H|^2 |\mathring{A}|^2 d\mu_t + O(\sigma^{-\frac{7}{2}-3\delta}) \int_\Sigma |\mathring{A}|^4 d\mu_t$$

Using that, in an asymptotically flat manifold,  $|\nabla H|^2 \leq \bar{c} |\nabla \mathring{A}|^2 + \bar{c}\sigma^{-5-2\delta}$ , see [21], we have that the first addend is absorbed. The other term can be again dealt with the Young's inequality, and will be absorbed by the negative leading term  $-\sigma^{-2}\mathcal{E}_p(t)$ .

The other addend of (C.9) can be estimated as

$$(C.12) \quad O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta}) \int_\Sigma \frac{|\nabla H|^2 |P|}{H^2} |\mathring{A}|^3 d\mu_t \leq$$

$$(C.13) \quad \leq O(\sigma^{-2\delta}) \int_\Sigma |\nabla H|^2 |\mathring{A}|^3 d\mu_t \leq O(\sigma^{-2\delta}) \int_\Sigma (|\nabla \mathring{A}|^2 + \sigma^{-5-2\delta}) |\mathring{A}|^3 d\mu_t \leq$$

$$(C.14) \quad \leq O(\sigma^{-2\delta}) \int_\Sigma |\nabla \mathring{A}|^2 |\mathring{A}|^2 d\mu_t + \bar{c}\sigma^{-5-4\delta} \int_\Sigma |\mathring{A}|^3 d\mu_t$$

The first term is absorbed, the second can be estimated using Young's inequality. Also the second addend in (C.8) can be estimated using Young's inequality and the inequality  $|\nabla H|^2 \leq \bar{c}|\nabla \mathring{A}|^2 + \bar{c}\sigma^{-5-2\delta}$  of [21].

(ii) We deal now with

$$(C.15) \quad \left| \int_{\Sigma} (\nabla_i H) \beta' \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) \nabla_j \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) h_{ij} \mathring{A}^2 d\mu_t \right| \leq$$

$$(C.16) \quad \leq O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta}) \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla H| \left| \frac{(\nabla P)H - (\nabla H)P}{H^2} \right| |\mathring{A}|^3 d\mu_t \leq$$

$$(C.17) \quad \leq O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta}) \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla H| \frac{|\nabla P|}{H} |\mathring{A}|^3 d\mu_t + O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta}) \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla H|^2 \frac{|P|}{H^2} |\mathring{A}|^3 d\mu_t \leq$$

$$(C.18) \quad \leq O(\sigma^{-2-2\delta}) \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla H| |\mathring{A}| |\mathring{A}|^2 d\mu_t + O(\sigma^{-2\delta}) \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla H|^2 |\mathring{A}|^3 d\mu_t$$

The second addend can be treated as in (i), while the first addend, using the Young's inequality, becomes

$$(C.19) \quad O(\sigma^{-2-2\delta}) \int_{\Sigma} \left( \frac{|\nabla H|^2}{2} + \frac{|\mathring{A}|^2}{2} \right) |\mathring{A}|^{p-2} d\mu_t$$

In turn, the first addend of (C.19) can be treated as in (i), while the second is absorbed for  $\sigma$  large.

(iii) We deal with

$$(C.20) \quad \left| \int_{\Sigma} H \beta'' \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) \nabla_i \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) \nabla_j \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) h_{ij} \mathring{A}^2 d\mu_t \right| \leq$$

$$(C.21) \quad \leq O(1) \int_{\Sigma} H \left| \frac{(\nabla P)H - (\nabla H)P}{H^2} \right|^2 |\mathring{A}|^3 d\mu_t =$$

$$(C.22) \quad = O(1) \int_{\Sigma} H \left( \frac{|\nabla P|^2 H^2 + |\nabla H|^2 P^2 - 2HP \nabla H \cdot \nabla P}{H^4} \right) |\mathring{A}|^3 d\mu_t \leq$$

$$(C.23) \quad \leq \int_{\Sigma} \frac{|\nabla P|^2}{H} |\mathring{A}|^3 d\mu_t + \int_{\Sigma} \frac{|\nabla H|^2 P^2}{H^3} |\mathring{A}|^3 d\mu_t + 2 \left| \int_{\Sigma} \frac{P \nabla H \cdot \nabla P}{H^2} |\mathring{A}|^3 d\mu_t \right| \leq$$

$$(C.24) \quad \leq O(\sigma^{-4-2\delta}) \int_{\Sigma} |\mathring{A}|^3 d\mu_t + O(\sigma^{-2\delta}) \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla H|^2 |\mathring{A}|^2 |\mathring{A}| d\mu_t + 2 \int_{\Sigma} \frac{|P| |\nabla H| |\nabla P|}{H^2} |\mathring{A}|^3 d\mu_t$$

For the first term, we use Young's inequality. The second follows from (i) using that  $|\mathring{A}| = O(B_{\infty} \sigma^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta}) = O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ . The latter term can be treated as in (C.11).

(iv) Finally, we deal with

$$(C.25) \quad \left| \int_{\Sigma} H \beta' \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) \nabla_i \nabla_j \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) h_{ij} \mathring{A}^2 d\mu_t \right| \leq$$

$$(C.26) \quad \leq \left| \int_{\Sigma} \nabla_i H \beta' \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) \nabla_j \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) h_{ij} \mathring{A}^2 d\mu_t + \int_{\Sigma} H \beta'' \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) \nabla_i \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) \nabla_j \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) h_{ij} \mathring{A}^2 d\mu_t \right| +$$

$$(C.27) \quad + \left| \int_{\Sigma} H \beta' \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) \nabla_j \left( \frac{P}{H} \right) \nabla_i \left( h_{ij} \mathring{A}^2 \right) d\mu_t \right| \leq$$

Then, we bound these three terms as

$$(C.28) \quad O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta}) \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla H| \left| \frac{(\nabla P)H - (\nabla H)P}{H^2} \right| |\mathring{A}|^3 d\mu_t +$$

$$(C.29) \quad +O(1) \int_{\Sigma} H \left| \frac{(\nabla P)H - (\nabla H)P}{H^2} \right|^2 |\mathring{A}|^3 d\mu_t$$

$$(C.30) \quad +O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta}) \int_{\Sigma} H \left| \frac{(\nabla P)H - (\nabla H)P}{H^2} \right| \left| \nabla |\mathring{A}| \right| |\mathring{A}|^2 d\mu_t$$

using again that  $\nabla_i \left( h_{ij} |\mathring{A}|^2 \right) = \nabla_i h_{ij} |\mathring{A}|^2 + 2h_{ij} |\mathring{A}| \nabla_i |\mathring{A}|$ . The addend in (C.28) can be dealt as in (i), while the one in (C.29) as point (iii). The addend in (C.30) can be estimated as

$$(C.31) \quad O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta}) \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla P| \left| \nabla |\mathring{A}| \right| |\mathring{A}|^2 d\mu_t + O(\sigma^{-1-2\delta}) \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla H| \left| \nabla |\mathring{A}| \right| |\mathring{A}|^2 d\mu_t$$

Using Young's inequality we get

$$(C.32) \quad O(\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta}) \int_{\Sigma} \left( \frac{|\nabla P|^2}{2} + \frac{|\nabla \mathring{A}|^2}{2} \right) |\mathring{A}|^2 d\mu_t + O(\sigma^{-1-2\delta}) \int_{\Sigma} \left( \frac{|\nabla H|^2}{2} + \frac{|\nabla \mathring{A}|^2}{2} \right) |\mathring{A}|^2 d\mu_t$$

Distributing the multiplications, the terms with  $|\nabla \mathring{A}|^2$  are absorbed, while the remaining terms are

$$(C.33) \quad O(\sigma^{-\frac{11}{2}-3\delta}) \int_{\Sigma} |\mathring{A}|^2 d\mu_t + O(\sigma^{-1-2\delta}) \int_{\Sigma} \left( |\nabla \mathring{A}|^2 + \sigma^{-5-2\delta} \right) |\mathring{A}|^2 d\mu_t$$

The latter first and second addend are absorbed. The latter term can be treated using again the Young's inequality. □

## REFERENCES

- [1] Andersson, Lars, Michael Eichmair, and Jan Metzger: *Jang's equation and its applications to marginally trapped surfaces*. Complex Analysis and Dynamical Systems IV: Part 2 (2011): 13-46.
- [2] Andrews, Ben, et al: *Extrinsic geometric flows*. Vol. 206. American mathematical society, 2022.
- [3] Arnowitt, Richard, Stanley Deser, and Charles W. Misner: *Coordinate invariance and energy expressions in general relativity*. Physical Review 122.3 (1961): 997.
- [4] Aubin, Thierry: *Some nonlinear problems in Riemannian geometry*. Springer Science and Business Media, 1998.
- [5] Beig, Robert, Murchadha, Niall Ó: *The Poincaré group as the symmetry group of canonical general relativity*. Annals of Physics 174.2 (1987): 463-498.
- [6] Bray, Hubert Lewis: *The Penrose inequality in general relativity and volume comparison theorems involving scalar curvature*. stanford university, 1997.
- [7] Carfora, Mauro, and Annalisa Marzuoli: *Ricci Flow Conjugation of Initial Data Sets*. Einstein Constraints and Ricci Flow: A Geometrical Averaging of Initial Data Sets. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, 2023.
- [8] Choquet-Bruhat, Yvonne: *General relativity and the Einstein equations*. OUP Oxford, 2008.
- [9] Cabezas-Rivas, Esther, and Vicente Miquel: *Volume preserving mean curvature flow in the hyperbolic space*. Indiana University mathematics journal (2007): 2061-2086.
- [10] Cederbaum, Carla, and Anna Sakovich: *On center of mass and foliations by constant spacetime mean curvature surfaces for isolated systems in General Relativity*. Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations 60.6 (2021): 214.
- [11] Cederbaum, Carla, and Christopher Nerz: *Explicit Riemannian manifolds with unexpectedly behaving center of mass*. Annales Henri Poincaré. Vol. 16. No. 7. Basel: Springer Basel, 2015.
- [12] Corvino, Justin, and Haotian Wu: *On the center of mass of isolated systems*. Classical and Quantum Gravity 25.8 (2008): 085008.
- [13] De Lellis, Camillo, and Stefan Müller: *Optimal rigidity estimates for nearly umbilical surfaces*. Journal of Differential Geometry 69.1 (2005): 075-110.
- [14] De Lellis, Camillo, and Stefan Müller: *A  $C^0$  estimate for nearly umbilical surfaces*. Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations 26.3 (2006): 283-296.
- [15] Eichmair, Michael, et al.: *The spacetime positive mass theorem in dimensions less than eight*. Journal of the European Mathematical Society 18.1 (2015): 83-121.
- [16] Eichmair, Michael, and Thomas Koerber: *Foliations of asymptotically flat 3-manifolds by stable constant mean curvature spheres*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.12081 (2022).
- [17] Gerhardt, Claus: *Curvature problems*. International Press of Boston; First Edition (December 20, 2006)

- [18] Hebey, Emmanuel: *Nonlinear analysis on manifolds: Sobolev spaces and inequalities: Sobolev spaces and inequalities*. Vol. 5. American Mathematical Soc., 2000.
- [19] Huang, Lan-Hsuan: *Foliations by stable spheres with constant mean curvature for isolated systems with general asymptotics*. Communications in Mathematical Physics 300.2 (2010): 331-373.
- [20] Huisken, Gerhard: *Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres*. Journal of Differential Geometry 20.1 (1984): 237-266.
- [21] Huisken, Gerhard: *Contracting convex hypersurfaces in riemannian-manifolds by their mean-curvature*. Inventiones mathematicae 84.3 (1986): 463-480.
- [22] Huisken, Gerhard: *The volume preserving mean curvature flow*. (1987): 35-48.
- [23] Huisken, Gerhard and Polden, Alexander: *Geometric evolution equations for hypersurfaces*, Calculus of variations and geometric evolution problems (Cetraro, 1996), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999, pp. 45-84.
- [24] Huisken, Gerhard, and Tom Ilmanen: *The inverse mean curvature flow and the Riemannian Penrose inequality*. Journal of Differential Geometry 59.3 (2001): 353-437.
- [25] Huisken, Gerhard, and Shing-Tung Yau: *Definition of center of mass for isolated physical systems and unique foliations by stable spheres with constant mean curvature*. Inventiones mathematicae 124.1-3 (1996): 281-311.
- [26] Huisken, Gerhard, and Markus Wolff: *On the evolution of hypersurfaces along their inverse spacetime mean curvature*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.05709 (2022).
- [27] Ionescu, Alexandru, and Sergiu Klainerman. *Rigidity results in general relativity: a review*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1501.01587 (2015).
- [28] Christodoulou, Demetrios, and Sergiu Klainerman: *The Global Nonlinear Stability of the Minkowski Space* (PMS-41): Princeton Mathematical Series. (2014).
- [29] Lee, John M.: *Introduction to Riemannian manifolds*. Vol. 2. Cham: Springer, 2018.
- [30] Li, Haozhao: *The volume-preserving mean curvature flow in Euclidean space*. Pacific journal of mathematics 243.2 (2009): 331-355.
- [31] Huang, Lan-Hsuan: *On the center of mass and constant mean curvature surfaces of asymptotically flat initial data sets*.
- [32] Huang, Lan-Hsuan: *Foliations by stable spheres with constant mean curvature for isolated systems with general asymptotics*. Communications in Mathematical Physics 300.2 (2010): 331-373.
- [33] Metzger, Jan: *Foliations of asymptotically flat 3-manifolds by 2-surfaces of prescribed mean curvature*. Journal of Differential Geometry 77.2 (2007): 201-236.
- [34] Miao, Pengzi, and Luen-Fai Tam: *Evaluation of the ADM mass and center of mass via the Ricci tensor*. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 144.2 (2016): 753-761.
- [35] Nerz, Christopher: *Foliations by stable spheres with constant mean curvature for isolated systems without asymptotic symmetry*. Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations 54.2 (2015): 1911-1946.
- [36] Nerz, Christopher: *Foliations by spheres with constant expansion for isolated systems without asymptotic symmetry*. Journal of Differential Geometry 109.2 (2018): 257-289.
- [37] Perez, Daniel Raoul: *On nearly umbilical hypersurfaces*. Diss. University of Zurich, 2011.
- [38] Sudarsky, Daniel, and Robert M. Wald. *Mass formulas for stationary Einstein-Yang-Mills black holes and a simple proof of two staticity theorems*. Physical Review D 47.12 (1993): R5209.
- [39] Ye, Rugang: *Foliation by constant mean curvature spheres on asymptotically flat manifolds*. arXiv preprint dg-ga/9709020 (1997).

(Jacopo Tenan) UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI ROMA "TOR VERGATA", VIA DELLA RICERCA SCIENTIFICA, 00133, ROMA, ITALY

Email address: [tenan@mat.uniroma2.it](mailto:tenan@mat.uniroma2.it)