

Fundamental limits on the electron-phonon coupling and superconducting T_c

Dmitrii V. Semenov^{1*}, Boris L. Altshuler² and
Emil A. Yuzbashyan^{3*}

^{1*}Center for High Pressure Science & Technology Advanced Research (HPSTAR), Bldg. 8E, ZPark, 10 Xibeiwang East Rd, Beijing, Haidian 100193, China.

²Physics Department, Columbia University, 538 West 120th Street, New York, NY 10027, USA.

^{3*}Department of Physics and Astronomy, Center for Materials Theory, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): dmitrii.semenok@hpstar.ac.cn;
eyuzbash@physics.rutgers.edu;

Abstract

We establish fundamental upper bounds on the electron-phonon interaction strength and superconducting transition temperature T_c in metals stemming from an intrinsic instability of the equilibrium between electrons and the crystal lattice with respect to strong interaction. Our theory explains why the largest observed electron-phonon couplings $\lambda \leq 4$ as well as the mechanism of metastable superconductivity with higher T_c . We conclude based on our theory and analysis of experimental data that room-temperature superconductivity is feasible but only in hydrogen compounds.

1 Introduction

The interaction of electrons with lattice vibrations (phonons) is at the heart of the theory of metals. It determines superconducting T_c , electrical and thermal conductivities, and many other physical properties[1, 2]. Existing theoretical frameworks, such as the Bardeen-Cooper-Schiffer (BCS)[3], Migdal[4], and Eliashberg[5] theories, provide no limits on the electron-phonon interaction and, as a result, on T_c . In this




Fig. 1 The evolution of superconducting materials in 20th and 21st centuries as exemplified by metals and intermetallic alloys (grey squares), which are well described by the BCS theory, unconventional superconductors (orange circles) and hydrides (blue rhombi). The inset shows the distribution of superconducting transition temperatures for the hydrides of various metals. Shown in grey are the metals whose polyhydrides have not been investigated for superconductivity.

paper, we show that there are in fact intrinsic upper bounds on both these quantities dictated by the stability of the metal with respect to the electron-lattice interaction. We compare our results with experimental data and argue that room-temperature superconductivity is entirely realistic but only in hydrogen-rich compounds.

The question, “What is the maximum possible superconducting T_c ?” has remained unanswered since the discovery of superconductivity by Onnes in 1911[6], despite remarkable progress in this area[7–12]. At the same time, the T_c of real materials never exceeded 133 K at atmospheric pressure and 160 K at elevated pressures (~ 30 GPa) in more than a hundred years (1911-2011) of experimental experience. It is believed that metallic hydrogen is a superconductor with one of the highest critical temperatures[13, 14]. This is because T_c is proportional to lattice vibration frequencies, which are highest in this material since hydrogen is the lightest element. Unfortunately, producing metallic hydrogen requires pressures in excess of 450 GPa[15, 16], at the limit of reach of current experimental techniques for transport measurements. There is, however, an ingenious solution – alloying hydrogen with other elements[17]. This provides an effective chemical pressure thus reducing the external pressure necessary to produce a stable metal.

And indeed, compressed polyhydrides became the leaders in the quest for the highest T_c since the discovery of record superconductivity in 2014 and 2018 in the

cubic hydrides of sulfur (H_3S , $\max T_c = 200$ K) and lanthanum (LaH_{10} , $\max T_c = 250$ K). This “hydride revolution” gave rise to justified hopes of room-temperature superconductivity in the near future, see Fig. 1. Moreover, several incorrect reports of T_c exceeding room temperature in the ternary systems C-S-H[18, 19], Y-Pd-H[19], and Lu-N-H[20] emerged but were quickly refuted. At the present moment, the maximum well reproduced critical temperature is $T_c = 250$ K in LaH_{10} , $(\text{La}, \text{Y})\text{H}_{10}$ [21–23], $(\text{La}, \text{Sc})\text{H}_{10}$ [24], and other ternary compounds containing lanthanum. At the same time, the question whether room-temperature superconductivity is attainable remains open.

Here we will approach this problem from a different perspective. Our recent studies within the Migdal-Eliashberg (ME) theory revealed that the metallic and superconducting states are unstable with respect to strong electron-phonon interaction[25]. A traditional measure of the strength of this interaction is the electron-phonon coupling constant λ defined as the sum of the couplings λ_k to the individual lattice vibration modes. This characterization of the interaction strength with a single number is not unique, and we introduce below another metric ξ – the *stability parameter* of the metal. It corresponds to the contribution of the electron-phonon interaction to the electronic specific heat and is also a sum of λ_k but with unequal weights.

Indeed, we will see that the dynamical stability of a metal requires $\xi < \xi_* = 1$. The metallic state ceases to be the global minimum of the free energy at a smaller value $\xi_c < \xi_*$ via a first order phase transition and is no longer even a local minimum when $\xi > \xi_*$. For a fixed phonon spectrum, λ and ξ are directly proportional to each other, so that this condition translates into $\lambda < \lambda_c < \lambda_*$. The value of λ_* is smallest, $\lambda_* = 3.69$, for dispersionless (Einstein) phonons. When λ exceeds λ_c (ξ exceeds $\xi_c < 1$), the crystal structure of the metal becomes unstable and is either destroyed or undergoes a reconstruction lowering the value of λ below λ_c . This result provides an effective tool to investigate the maximum transition temperature of electron-phonon superconductors thanks to an upper bound on T_c within the ME theory in terms of λ and the average square phonon frequency[8, 26].

2 Analysis of experimental data

Presently, superconductors with highest critical temperatures are the polyhydrides. They also have some of the highest values of the electron-phonon interaction constant $\lambda \sim 2-3$ and of the stability parameter $\xi \sim 0.2-0.5$ (Table 1). Interestingly, the values of λ for hydrides lie approximately within the same range as for soft superconducting metals Pb and Bi and their alloys, even though the critical temperatures are tens of times larger. This begs the question: Can the electron-phonon interaction be arbitrarily large or is it inherently bounded from above?

Hydride superconductors or superhydrides (Figs. 2a and 3) can be synthesized only at high pressure P , about 100 – 200 GPa, in special diamond anvil cells. Subsequent lowering of the pressure leads to a domelike dependence of T_c on P characteristic of hydrides[21, 22, 27–29]. Increasing P does not lead to the destruction of the polyhydrides but is accompanied by an increase of phonon frequencies, decrease of the

Table 1 Various superconducting metals, compounds, and compressed polyhydrides and the values of T_c , electron-phonon coupling constant λ , stability parameter ξ , and average logarithmic (ω_{\log}) and maximum (ω_{\max}) phonon frequencies for them.

Compound	T_c , K	λ	ξ	ω_{\log} , K	ω_{\max} , K
<i>Experimental Materials</i>					
Ga (amorph.)[34]	8.6	2.25	0.16	62	302
Pb (amorph.)[34]	7.2	1.91	0.29	35	155
Bi (amorph.)[34]	6.1	1.84 – 2.46	0.19	42	163
Hg[34]	4.2	1.0 – 1.6	0.14	86	165
Nb[34]	9.2	0.82 – 1.05	0.2	163	325
Nb ₃ Sn[35]	17.9	1.6 – 1.8	0.27	142	422
MgB ₂ [36]	40	0.87	0.16	680	1624
PbBi (amorph.)[37]	7.0	3.0	0.26	33.3	150
PbBi ₃ (amorph.)[37]	6.8	2.78	0.23	33.4	154
H ₃ S (157 GPa)[29]	190	1.84	0.32	1078	2704
LaH ₁₀ (214 GPa)[21, 22]	245	2.06	0.43	1340	3145
YH ₆ (165 GPa)[38]	224	1.71	0.39	1333	2450
ThH ₉ (150 GPa)[39]	146	1.73	0.32	957	3362
ThH ₁₀ (170 GPa)[39, 40]	161	1.65	0.31	1116 – 1470	3122
YH ₉ (205 GPa)[27, 41]	235	2.66	0.36	916 – 1054	3167
(La,Y)H ₁₀ (180 GPa)[24]	253	3.87	0.47	868	3119
(La,Ce)H ₉ (123 GPa)[32, 42]	190	2.27	0.33	582 – 915	2894
<i>Theoretical Calculations</i>					
CaH ₆ (172 GPa)[47]	215	2.69	0.58*	950	2877
LaH ₁₆ (250 GPa)[44]	141	1.89	0.31	1511	3481
ScH ₁₂ (200 GPa)[45]	325	2.85	0.47	1189	2959
Li ₂ MgH ₁₆ (250 GPa)[46]	473	3.30	0.49	1111	3783
MgH ₆ [47]	263	3.29	0.58	1408	3719
Hydrogen (<i>I41/amd</i> , 500 GPa)[48]	374	2.85	0.45	1616	4089

* Theoretical calculations for $P = 150$ GPa without accounting for the anharmonicity.

electron-phonon interaction strength, and, most importantly, decrease of T_c . An appropriate measure of the magnitude of phonon frequencies at these λ [26] is the average logarithmic frequency ω_{\log} defined as $\ln \omega_{\log} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_k \lambda_k \ln \omega_k$.

The situation seen when decreasing the pressure is almost the opposite – phonons soften, while λ and ξ grow (Fig. 3b). It may seem that decreasing the pressure further and thus increasing λ , we can make T_c arbitrarily large, since $T_c \propto \sqrt{\lambda}$ at large λ according to the ME theory[26, 30]. In reality, this turns out to be impossible, since the growth of the electron-phonon interaction in hydrides inevitably leads to the distortion of the crystal structure, lowering of its symmetry, diffusion and partial loss of hydrogen, and, as a result, to an abrupt lowering of the superconducting T_c (Fig. 3a). Good examples of this process are the decompression of D₃S[29, 31], LaH₁₀[21], YH₉[27], and CeH₉[28] as well as recent studies of ternary lanthanum-cerium superhydrides (La,Ce)H₉[32, 33].




Fig. 2 Distribution of superconducting materials in the $\lambda - \omega_{\log}$ plane. (a) Open red circles and filled blue rhombi show theoretically predicted and experimentally synthesized hydrides, respectively. Filled grey squares correspond to simple metals, alloys, and MgB_2 . Dashed grey lines are defined by the equation $T_c^{\max} \equiv 0.182\omega_{\log}\sqrt{\lambda} = \text{const.}$ (b) Comparison of experimentally observed and theoretically calculated critical temperatures T_c for various superconductors with $T_c^{\max} = 0.182\omega_{\log}\sqrt{\lambda}$. Observe that for all experimentally realized materials $T_c < T_c^{\max}$.

3 Fundamental limit on the electron-phonon interaction strength in metals

When does a metal stop being a metal as the pull between conduction electrons and phonons increases? In a new study[25], we discovered that the specific heat of conduction electrons (C_{el}) turns negative when the electron-phonon coupling constant exceeds a certain threshold λ_* . The precise value of λ_* depends on the phonon spectrum and, in particular, $\lambda_* = 3.69$ for Einstein phonons. Stability analysis of the kinetic equations (Supplemental Material) shows that when $C_{\text{el}} < 0$, the metal is unstable with respect to an infinitesimal difference between the electron and phonon temperatures, T_{el} and T_{ph} , respectively. Specifically, if initially $T_{\text{el}} > T_{\text{ph}}$, T_{el} will grow exponentially and the system will never equilibrate. This indicates that metals with $\lambda > \lambda_*$ cannot exist in nature even in a metastable state.

This result goes beyond effective electron-phonon models, such as Holstein or Frölich Hamiltonians. By not renormalizing phonons and instead taking the Eliashberg function from ab initio calculations or experiment[26, 49], we avoid artificial phonon softening at bare electron-phonon coupling $\lambda_0 \approx 0.5$ [4, 5, 50], which is a result of double counting the static electronic contribution (overscreening) inherit in such models[51–53]. Moreover, this spurious lattice instability does not provide a sharp upper limit on the *physical* electron-phonon coupling λ , because $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ as $\lambda_0 \rightarrow 0.5$. In contrast, our result does furnish such a limit for arbitrary phonon spectrum without any phonon softening. In particular, our upper bound cannot be overcome by invoking many phonon modes with comparable couplings[10] as this does not affect the ME expression for C_{el} .




Fig. 3 Instability and decomposition of polyhydrides with decreasing pressure. (a) Experimentally observed dependence of the critical temperature T_c on pressure for certain polyhydrides and deuterium sulfide (D_3S). As the pressure is lowered below its critical value P_c , T_c abruptly decreases. This is accompanied by a distortion of the crystal structure and partial loss of hydrogen. (b) Dependence of the stability parameter ξ on pressure for several experimentally synthesized hydrides. The $\xi = 0.5$ line marks the supposed first order phase transition, i.e., upon crossing this line, the destruction of the structure is merely a function of time and the height of the kinetic barrier. Filled symbols correspond to experimental data, empty ones are theoretical calculations. The point $\xi = 0.96$ (LaH_{10}) is an extrapolation obtained by multiplying the Eliashberg function $\alpha^2F(\omega)$ for LaH_{10} at 129 GPa by a factor of 1.5. (c) Decomposition of LaH_{10} upon lowering the pressure below $P_c = 138$ GPa accompanied by a distortion of its cubic structure, partial loss of hydrogen (H_2) and formation of lower hydrides, such as LaH_9 .

As noted above, the stability requirement $C_{el} \geq 0$ is equivalent to a certain upper limit on the electron-phonon interaction. To see this, consider a well-known expression for the electronic specific heat at temperature T [54–56] (in units $\hbar = k_B = 1$),

$$C_{el} = \frac{2}{3} \pi^2 \nu_0 T \left[1 - \int_0^\infty g\left(\frac{\omega}{2\pi T}\right) \frac{2\alpha^2 F(\omega)}{\omega} d\omega \right], \quad (1)$$

where ν_0 is the density of states at the Fermi energy, $g(x) = 6x + 12x^2 \text{Im}\psi'(ix) + 6x^3 \text{Re}\psi''(ix)$, and $\psi(x)$ is the digamma function. The combination $\alpha^2 F(\omega)$ is the

significantly lower, suggesting that $\xi_c = 0.5$ for hydrides and $\xi_c < 0.5$ for conventional metals. We attribute $\xi = 0.58$ in CaH_6 and MgH_6 to the fact that these are not actually existing hydrides at these pressures. Moreover, if our conjecture that $\xi_c \leq 0.5$ holds, these two materials can only exist in a metastable state.

The condition $\xi < \xi_* = 1$ implies also an upper bound $\lambda < \lambda_*$ on the standard interaction parameter λ defined as

$$\lambda = \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{2\alpha^2 F(\omega)}{\omega} = \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k. \quad (4)$$

The value of λ_* varies with the shape of the Eliashberg function with a minimum $\lambda_* = 3.69$ attained for Einstein phonons (see Supplemental Material). For Debye phonons ($\alpha^2 F \propto \omega^2$ for $\omega \leq \omega_D$ and zero otherwise), $\lambda_* = 4.72$. For the Eliashberg function of the same shape as that for a material with given ξ and λ (i.e., differing from it only by an overall scale), we have (Supplemental Material)

$$\lambda_* = \frac{\lambda}{\xi}, \quad \lambda_c = \frac{\lambda \xi_c}{\xi} = \lambda_* \xi_c. \quad (5)$$

In particular, using Table 1, we obtain $\lambda_* = 7.39$ and (assuming $\xi_c = 0.5$) $\lambda_c = 3.69$ for YH_9 at 205 GPa and $\lambda_c = 2.36$ for Debye phonons. The values of λ_c for all experimentally realized hydrides are in the range from 2.19 for YH_6 to 4.12 for $(\text{La,Y})\text{H}_{10}$. This indicates that stability must be the reason why λ in actually existing metals is limited to $\lambda < 4$.

We argued previously that in the new state the system lowers its energy by either opening a gap or, at least, lowering the density of states at the Fermi energy[25]. We also saw that the superconducting state is more resilient against perturbations than the normal state as it already has a gap. Therefore, we expect robust metastable superconductivity when λ is quenched from $\lambda < \lambda_c$ to $\lambda > \lambda_c$ while the system is superconducting. This creates an opportunity of attaining *metastable* superconductivity with substantially higher T_c in polyhydrides at lower pressures than usual, since λ generally increases with decreasing P , see Fig. 2. Moreover, metastable superconductivity of this type has likely been observed in pressure-quenched FeSe [57], but a more thorough analysis of these experiments is necessary to establish this with certainty.

4 Fundamental limit on T_c in phonon mediated superconductors

In the formal limit $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ of the ME theory, T_c asymptotically approaches $0.18\sqrt{\lambda\langle\omega^2\rangle}$, while at finite λ it falls below this asymptote[8, 26, 58], i.e.,

$$T_c < 0.18\sqrt{\lambda\langle\omega^2\rangle}. \quad (6)$$

This provides an upper bound on T_c in terms of λ and the average square frequency $\langle\omega^2\rangle = \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty \alpha^2 F(\omega)\omega d\omega$.

The requirement $C_{\text{el}} > 0$ imposes a constraint $\lambda < \lambda_*$ on λ . Let ω_{max} be the maximum available phonon frequency. Spreading out the phonon spectral function to frequencies below ω_{max} increases λ_* , while decreasing $\langle \omega^2 \rangle$ and results in an overall decrease of T_c (Supplemental Material). Therefore, the critical temperature for Einstein phonons (T_c^E) with maximal $\lambda = \lambda_* = 3.69$ and frequency ω_{max} provides a *rigorous* upper bound on T_c for stable and metastable superconducting metals,

$$T_c < 0.32 \omega_{\text{max}}, \quad (7)$$

where we used a standard algorithm[26, 59] to numerically compute $T_c^E = 0.3175 \omega_{\text{max}}$. We define a metal as a good conductor with a Fermi energy E_F much larger than typical phonon frequencies. This is the only essential assumption that goes into the Eliashberg theory from which we derived Eq. (7).

Recall that metals are stable for $\lambda < \lambda_c$ and metastable for $\lambda_c < \lambda < \lambda_*$. Assuming $\xi_c = 1/2$ (see above), Eq. (5) obtains $\lambda_c = \lambda_*/2$. Similarly calculating $T_c^E = 0.1995 \omega_{\text{max}}$ for Einstein phonons with $\lambda = 3.69/2$, we determine an upper bound on T_c for stable metals,

$$T_c < 0.20 \omega_{\text{max}}. \quad (8)$$

Metals with $0.20 \omega_{\text{max}} < T_c < 0.32 \omega_{\text{max}}$ can therefore only exist in a metastable state.

Table 1 lists the values of ω_{max} we extracted for various materials from their Eliashberg functions. In the Debye model ω_{max} is equal to the Debye frequency ω_D . However, since real solids do not conform to the Debye model, ω_D is not uniquely defined. In particular, its value depends on the quantity used to extract it (e.g., the phonon specific heat vs resistivity). We therefore prefer to use the edge of the phonon spectrum (Eliashberg function) as ω_{max} whenever possible. Note that both our upper bounds (7) and (8) are significantly more generous than the heuristic bound of $0.1\omega_D$ proposed in [9]. However, observe that, for example, (La,Ce)H₉₋₁₀ at 123 GPa with $T_c = 190$ K and $\omega_D = 1107$ K, extracted from the temperature dependence of the electrical resistance, violates the latter bound, so it apparently does not hold for compressed hydrides. Indeed, to fall below the $0.1\omega_D$ bound, (La,Ce)H₉₋₁₀ must have a Debye frequency of about 2000 K, which exceeds all calculated and experimental values of ω_D ever obtained for synthesized superhydrides (see Table S4 in Supplemental Material).

Our results suggest that room-temperature superconductivity is achievable in metals with $\omega_{\text{max}} > 1500$ K and $\lambda \geq 2$, which up until now has only been observed in hydrides at high pressure. Moreover, since we have condensed the entire phonon weight to $\omega = \omega_{\text{max}}$ to maximize T_c , the maximum of the Eliashberg function cannot be far below $\omega = 1500$ K in a room-temperature superconductor.

We can obtain an absolute numerical upper bound on T_c by observing that the maximum phonon frequency cannot exceed the *ionic* plasma frequency and that interactions will only renormalize ω_{max} down[60]. The ionic plasma frequency is inversely proportional to the square root of the ionic mass, and we therefore expect it to be the highest in the metallic hydrogen. According to recent ab-initio calculations of the Eliashberg function for solid atomic hydrogen at 500 GPa[48], $\omega_{\text{max}} = 3000 \text{ cm}^{-1} =$

4320 K for harmonic phonons (as the ones in the Eliashberg theory), see also [11]. However, this Eliashberg function has a sharp maximum below $\omega_0 = 3000$ K dropping very quickly from the maximum to zero at ω_{\max} . In addition, theoretical estimates of the Debye frequency for the metallic hydrogen are in the range 3000–3500 K [14, 61]. It is therefore safe to replace ω_{\max} in Eqs. (7) and (8) with $\omega_0 = 3000$ K, and we obtain the following absolute upper bounds on T_c in stable and metastable metals:

$$T_c^{\text{stable}} < \frac{600 \text{ K}}{\sqrt{A}}, \quad T_c^{\text{metastable}} < \frac{950 \text{ K}}{\sqrt{A}}, \quad (9)$$

where A is the atomic mass (in atomic units) of the lightest element in the material.

It is important to note that ω_{\max} grows (roughly linearly) with pressure, see, e.g., [11] and Fig. S1a in Supplemental Material. For the above bounds, we used ω_{\max} at 500 GPa – the current upper limit for transport measurements. However, there is a negative correlation between ω_{\max} and other characteristic phonon frequencies and the electron-phonon interaction strength (Figs. 2 and S1b), which we did not take into account in our analysis, such that increasing the pressure beyond 500 GPa will likely result in an overall decrease rather than increase of T_c .

5 Conclusion

We established intrinsic upper bounds on the electron-phonon interaction strength and superconducting T_c in metals. Materials where this interaction exceeds a certain threshold cannot exist in nature in a metallic state, similar to the states of the Van der Waals gas with negative compressibility. Just as in the case of the Van der Waals gas, there is an absolute instability (negative electronic specific heat) that signals a first order phase transition without immediately telling us what the new phase is. However, based on additional considerations [25] and experiment, we believe that the tendency is towards a lattice reconstruction.

It is generally known that superconducting $T_c = \kappa\omega_{\max}$, where ω_{\max} is the maximum or some other characteristic phonon frequency and the coefficient κ is a monotonically increasing function of the strength of the electron-phonon interaction (overall height of the Eliashberg function). As a result, a fundamental bound on T_c is impossible without a limit on the interaction strength. Prior work suggested that κ might be somehow limited by stability, but was unsuccessful in furnishing definitive evidence of an instability as well as in determining its character and criteria for it. Our work fills this crucial gap and provides the precise stability limit.

It is clear from the bounds (9) that stable room-temperature superconductivity can only be achieved in hydrogen ($A = 1$) and deuterium ($A = 2$) compounds. Substitution of hydrogen with deuterium typically decreases T_c by a factor of roughly 1.4 [21, 27–29, 38, 62] (isotope effect) consistent with Eq. (9). Helium-3 and 4 are extremely unlikely candidates [63], and, in addition, $T_c < 300$ K already for $A = 4$. Similarly, the T_c for phonon mediated superconductivity in cuprates (lightest element – ^{16}O) is limited by 150 K, which is coincidentally about the record T_c for these materials.

At the same time, there are no fundamental reasons why T_c cannot exceed the room temperature in hydrides, at least at a sufficiently high pressure. All we need

to engineer such superconductors, is $\lambda = 2 - 3$ that primarily comes from phonons with frequencies near or above 1500 K. Moreover, we saw that the upper bound on T_c increases 1.59 times for metastable metals and that even further increase is possible if the pressure (electron-phonon coupling) is quenched while the material is in the superconducting state as in recent FeSe experiments[57].

Dmitri V. Semenov's research was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC, grant No. 1231101238) and Beijing Natural Science Foundation (grant No. IS23017).

References

- [1] A. A. Abrikosov, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Metals* (Dover Publications, 1988).
- [2] G. Grimvall, *The Electron-Phonon Interaction in Metals* (North-Holland Pub. Co., 1981).
- [3] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrieffer, Theory of Superconductivity, *Phys. Rev.* **108**, 1175 (1957).
- [4] A. B. Migdal, Interaction between Electrons and Lattice Vibrations in a Normal Metal, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **34**, 1438 (1958) [*Sov. Phys.-JETP* **7**, 996 (1958)].
- [5] G. M. Eliashberg, Interactions between Electrons and Lattice Vibrations in a Superconductor, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **38**, 966 (1960) [*Sov. Phys.-JETP* **11**, 696 (1960)].
- [6] H. K. Onnes, *KNAW Proceedings* **13** II, 1274 (1911).
- [7] M. L. Cohen and P. W. Anderson, Comments on the Maximum Superconducting Transition Temperature, *AIP Conference Proceedings* **4**, 17 (1972).
- [8] P. B. Allen and B. Mitrovic, *Theory of superconducting T_c* , in *Solid State Physics*, edited by H. Ehrenreich, F. Seitz, and D. Turnbull (Academic, New York, 1982), Vol. 37, p. 1.
- [9] I. Esterlis, S. A. Kivelson and D. J. Scalapino, A bound on the superconducting transition temperature, *npj Quant Mater* **3**, 59 (2018).
- [10] J. S. Hofmann, D. Chowdhury, S. A. Kivelson and E. Berg, Heuristic bounds on superconductivity and how to exceed them, *npj Quantum Materials* **7**, 83 (2022).
- [11] K. Trachenko, B. Monserrat, M. Hutcheon, C. J. Pickard, Upper bounds on the highest phonon frequency and superconducting temperature from fundamental physical constants, [arXiv:2406.08129](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.08129) (2024).

- [12] M. V. Sadovskii, Upper limit for superconducting transition temperature in Eliashberg-McMillan theory, [arXiv:2407.03602 \(2024\)](#).
- [13] E. Wigner and H. B. Huntington, On the Possibility of a Metallic Modification of Hydrogen, *J. Chem. Phys.* **3**, 764 (1935).
- [14] N. W. Ashcroft, Metallic Hydrogen: A High-Temperature Superconductor? *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **21**, 1748 (1968).
- [15] M. I. Erements *et al.*, Universal diamond edge Raman scale to 0.5 terapascal and implications for the metallization of hydrogen, *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 907 (2023).
- [16] P. Loubeyre, F. Occelli and P. Dumas, Synchrotron infrared spectroscopic evidence of the probable transition to metal hydrogen, *Nature* **577**, 631 (2020).
- [17] E. Zurek, R. Hoffmann, N. W. Ashcroft and A. O. Lyakhov, A little bit of lithium does a lot of hydrogen, *PNAS* **106**, 17640 (2009).
- [18] E. Snider *et al.*, RETRACTED ARTICLE: Room-temperature superconductivity in a carbonaceous sulfur hydride, *Nature* **586**, 373, (2020).
- [19] E. Snider *et al.*, RETRACTED ARTICLE: Synthesis of Yttrium Superhydride Superconductor with a Transition Temperature up to 262 K by Catalytic Hydrogenation at High Pressures, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 117003 (2021).
- [20] N. Dasenbrock-Gammon *et al.*, RETRACTED ARTICLE: Evidence of near-ambient superconductivity in a N-doped lutetium hydride, *Nature* **615**, 244 (2023).
- [21] A. P. Drozdov *et al.*, Superconductivity at 250 K in lanthanum hydride under high pressures, *Nature* **569**, 528 (2019).
- [22] M. Somayazulu *et al.*, Evidence for Superconductivity above 260 K in Lanthanum Superhydride at Megabar Pressures, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 027001 (2019).
- [23] D. Sun *et al.*, High-temperature superconductivity on the verge of a structural instability in lanthanum superhydride, *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 6863 (2021).
- [24] D. V. Semenov *et al.*, Superconductivity at 253 K in lanthanum-yttrium ternary hydrides, *Mater. Today* **48**, 18 (2021).
- [25] E. A. Yuzbashyan and B. L. Altshuler, Breakdown of the Migdal-Eliashberg theory and a theory of lattice-fermionic superfluidity, *Phys. Rev. B* **106**, 054518 (2022).
- [26] P. B. Allen and R. C. Dynes, Transition temperature of strong-coupled superconductors reanalyzed, *Phys. Rev. B* **12**, 905 (1975).

- [27] P. Kong *et al.*, Superconductivity up to 243 K in the yttrium-hydrogen system under high pressure, *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 5075 (2021).
- [28] W. Chen *et al.*, High-Temperature Superconducting Phases in Cerium Superhydride with a Tc up to 115 K below a Pressure of 1 Megabar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 117001 (2021).
- [29] A. P. Drozdov, M. I. Erements, I. A. Troyan, V. Ksenofontov and S. I. Shylin, Conventional superconductivity at 203 Kelvin at high pressures in the sulfur hydride system, *Nature* **525**, 73 (2015).
- [30] R. Combescot, Strong-coupling limit of Eliashberg theory, *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 11625 (1995).
- [31] V. S. Minkov, V. B. Prakapenka, E. Greenberg and M. I. Erements, A Boosted Critical Temperature of 166 K in Superconducting D₃S Synthesized from Elemental Sulfur and Hydrogen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **59**, 18970 (2020).
- [32] W. Chen *et al.*, Enhancement of superconducting critical temperature realized in La-Ce-H system at moderate pressures, *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 2660 (2023).
- [33] J. Bi *et al.*, Giant enhancement of superconducting critical temperature in substitutional alloy (La,Ce)H₉, *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 5952, (2022).
- [34] P. B. Allen, Electron-phonon coupling constants, in Handbook of Superconductivity, edited by C. P. Poole, Jr. (Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 2000) Ch. 9, Sec. G, pp. 478-483.
- [35] G. R. Stewart, Superconductivity in the A15 structure, *Phys. C* **514**, 28 (2015).
- [36] Y. Kong, O. Dolgov, O. Jepsen and O. Andersen, Electron-phonon interaction in the normal and superconducting states of MgB₂, *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 020501 (2001).
- [37] T. T. Chen, J. D. Leslie and H. J. T. Smith, Electron tunneling study of amorphous Pb-Bi superconducting alloys, *Physica* **55**, 439 (1971).
- [38] I. A. Troyan *et al.*, Anomalous High-Temperature Superconductivity in YH₆, *Adv. Mater.* **33**, 2006832 (2021).
- [39] D. V. Semenov *et al.*, Superconductivity at 161 K in thorium hydride ThH₁₀: Synthesis and properties, *Mater. Today* **33**, 36 (2020).
- [40] A. G. Kvashnin, D. V. Semenov, I. A. Kruglov, I. A. Wrona and A. R. Oganov, High-Temperature Superconductivity in Th-H System at Pressure Conditions, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **10**, 43809 (2018).
- [41] D. V. Semenov, Computational design of new superconducting materials and their targeted experimental synthesis, Doctoral program in materials science and

- engineering thesis, Skoltech (2022).
- [42] J. Bi *et al.*, Efficient route to achieve superconductivity improvement via substitutional La-Ce alloy superhydride at high pressure, [arXiv:2204.04623](#) (2022).
 - [43] P. Hou, Z. Huo and D. Duan, Quantum and Anharmonic Effects in Superconducting Im-3m CaH₆ Under High Pressure: A First-Principles Study, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **127**, 23980 (2023).
 - [44] I. A. Kruglov *et al.*, Superconductivity of LaH₁₀ and LaH₁₆ polyhydrides, *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 024508 (2020).
 - [45] Q. Jianga *et al.*, Prediction of Room-Temperature Superconductivity in Quasi atomic H₂-Type Hydrides at High Pressure, [arXiv: 2302.02621](#) (2023).
 - [46] Y. Sun, J. Lv, Y. Xie, H. Liu and Y. Ma, Route to a Superconducting Phase above Room Temperature in Electron-Doped Hydride Compounds under High Pressure. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 097001 (2019).
 - [47] X. Feng, J. Zhang, G. Gao, H. Liu and H. Wang, Compressed Sodalite-like MgH₆ as a Potential High-temperature Superconductor, *RSC Adv.* **5**, 59292 (2015).
 - [48] D. Dangić, L. Monacelli, R. Bianco, F. Mauri and I. Errea, Large impact of phonon lineshapes on the superconductivity of solid hydrogen, *Commun. Phys.* **7**, 150 (2024).
 - [49] F. Marsiglio and J.P. Carbotte, *Electron-Phonon Superconductivity*, in K. H. Bennemann and J. B. Ketterson, (eds) *Superconductivity*. (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2008).
 - [50] A. A. Abrikosov, L. P. Gorkov, and I. E. Dzyaloshinski, *Methods of Quantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics* (Dover, New York, 1975).
 - [51] E.G. Brovman and Yu. Kagan, The phonon spectrum of metals, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **52**, 557 (1967) [*Sov. Phys.–JETP* **25**, 365 (1967)].
 - [52] B. T. Gelikman, Adiabatic perturbation theory for metals and the problem of lattice stability, *Usp. Fiz. Nauk* **115**, 403 (1975) [*Sov. Phys.–Usp.* **18**, 190 (1975)].
 - [53] J. Berges, N. Girotto, T. Wehling, N. Marzari, and S. Poncé, Phonon Self-Energy Corrections: To Screen, or Not to Screen, *Phys. Rev. X* **13**, 041009 (2023).
 - [54] R. E. Prange and L. P. Kadanoff, Transport Theory for Electron-Phonon Interactions in Metals, *Phys. Rev.* **134**, A566 (1964).
 - [55] W. Lee and D. Rainer, Comment on Eliashberg’s free energy of a strong-coupling metal, *Z. Physik B - Condensed Matter* **73**, 149 (1988).

- [56] S.V. Shulga, O.V. Dolgov and I. I. Mazin, Electron-phonon coupling and specific heat in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$, [Physica C **192**, 41 \(1992\)](#).
- [57] L. Deng *et al.*, Pressure-induced high-temperature superconductivity retained without pressure in FeSe single crystals, [PNAS **118**, e2108938118 \(2021\)](#).
- [58] M. H. Kiessling, B. L. Altshuler and E. A. Yuzbashyan, unpublished.
- [59] E. A. Yuzbashyan, M. H. Kiessling and B. L. Altshuler, Superconductivity near a quantum critical point in the extreme retardation regime, [Phys. Rev. B **106**, 064502 \(2022\)](#).
- [60] P.V. Lebedev-Stepanov, Plasma frequency approach to estimate the Debye temperature of the ionic crystals and metal alloys, [J. Phys. Chem. Solids **75** 903 \(2014\)](#).
- [61] V.L. Ginzburg, *The Physics of a Lifetime* (Springer-Verlag, 2001).
- [62] A. P. Durajski, R. Szczesniak, Y. Li, C. Wang, and J.-H. Cho, Isotope effect in superconducting lanthanum hydride under high compression, [Phys. Rev. B **101**, 214501\(2020\)](#).
- [63] C. Liu, I. Errea, C. Ding *et al.*, Excitonic insulator to superconductor phase transition in ultra-compressed helium, [Nat Commun **14**, 4458 \(2023\)](#).

Supplemental Material

1 Stability analysis

To evaluate the stability of a metal with respect to electron-phonon interactions, we use the standard kinetic equation for the electron distribution function $f(E, t)$ [S1, S2],

$$\left(1 - \frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial E}\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial E} = a_{\text{ep}} I_{\text{ep}}(E) + a_{\text{ee}} I_{\text{ee}}(E), \quad (\text{S1})$$

where we added nonnegative constant coefficients a_{ep} and a_{ee} for convenience. The electron self-energy is

$$\Sigma = \int dE' \int_0^\infty d\omega \alpha^2 F(\omega) \frac{f(E' + \omega) - f(E' - \omega)}{E - E'}, \quad (\text{S2})$$

and the electron-phonon collision integral is

$$I_{\text{ep}}(E) = -2\pi \int_0^\infty d\omega \alpha^2 F(\omega) \{N_0(T_{\text{ph}})[2f - f_+ - f_-] + f(f_+ - f_-) + f - f_+\}, \quad (\text{S3})$$

where $f \equiv f(E, t)$, $f_\pm \equiv f(E \pm \omega, t)$, and

$$N_0(T_{\text{ph}}) = \frac{1}{e^{\omega/T_{\text{ph}}} - 1} \quad (\text{S4})$$

is the equilibrium Bose (phonon) distribution at temperature T_{ph} . The kinetic equation (S1) assumes spatially uniform initial conditions and, as usual, that phonons remain in thermal equilibrium, see below and, e.g., Ref. [S2], which also provides an explicit expression for the electron-electron collision integral I_{ee} .

We will prove that the metal is unstable when $C_{\text{el}} < 0$ by contradiction. Let

$$f(E, t = 0) = f_0(T) \equiv \frac{1}{e^{E/T} + 1} \quad (\text{S5})$$

be the equilibrium Fermi distribution with temperature T that is slightly higher than the phonon temperature T_{ph} . Experimentally, initial conditions of this type are created by heating the electrons with an ultrashort laser pulse [S3–S5]. Note, however, that we do not assume the two-temperature model, but merely chose an initial condition of the form (S5). The true fermion distribution $f(E, t)$ will generally become nonthermal in the course of the actual time evolution of the system.

Suppose the system is stable with respect to the electron-phonon interaction ($a_{\text{ep}} = 1$, $a_{\text{ee}} = 0$). Since the phonon specific heat is much larger than that of the electrons, $C_{\text{ph}}/|C_{\text{el}}| \sim E_F/\omega_D \gg 1$, the change in the phonon temperature and, more generally, the deviation of the phonon distribution from the equilibrium Bose distribution $N_0(T_{\text{ph}})$ is negligible. Phonons serve as a thermal bath for the electrons, and

electrons equilibrate at temperature T_{ph} up to corrections of order ω_D/E_F , which are beyond the accuracy of the Eliashberg theory anyway.

Linearizing the kinetic equation and $f(E, t)$ around the equilibrium at $T = T_{\text{ph}}$ with the help of the usual substitution[S6],

$$f(E, t) = f_0 + \delta f \equiv f_0(T_{\text{ph}}) + \frac{f_0(T_{\text{ph}}) [1 - f_0(T_{\text{ph}})]}{T_{\text{ph}}} \varphi(E, t), \quad (\text{S6})$$

we obtain after some algebra

$$\int dE' A(E, E') \dot{\varphi}(E', t) = - \int dE' [a_{\text{ep}} \lambda M_{\text{ep}}(E, E') + a_{\text{ee}} M_{\text{ee}}(E, E')] \varphi(E', t), \quad (\text{S7})$$

where $A(E, E')$, $M_{\text{ep}}(E, E')$, and $M_{\text{ee}}(E, E')$ are *real symmetric* integration kernels (matrices) and $\dot{\varphi} \equiv \partial\varphi/\partial t$. Letting $\varphi(E, t) = e^{-\gamma t} \psi(E)$, we reduce the problem to a generalized eigenvalue equation of the form $\gamma A\psi = M\psi$. Matrices M_{ep} and M_{ee} are positively defined, because they are independent of λ and the system is obviously stable ($\gamma > 0$) in the weak coupling limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. The assumption that the system is stable with respect to the electron-phonon interaction (i.e., for $M = \lambda M_{\text{ep}}$) implies that A is positively defined as well. Since a linear combination of two positively defined real symmetric matrices with positive coefficients is similarly positively defined, it follows that it must also be stable for $M = a_{\text{ep}} \lambda M_{\text{ep}} + a_{\text{ee}} M_{\text{ee}}$ for any $a_{\text{ep}} > 0$ and $a_{\text{ee}} > 0$.

Therefore, if the metal is stable with respect to the electron-phonon interaction, Eq. (S1) must also be linearly stable for any choice of $a_{\text{ep}} > 0$ and $a_{\text{ee}} > 0$. Let $a_{\text{ee}} \gg a_{\text{ep}} > 0$. Then, electron-electron collisions dominate, and the electron distribution thermalizes essentially instantaneously with temperature $T(t)$, i.e., $f(E, t) = f_0(T(t))$, corresponding to the instantaneous energy density $\epsilon(t)$ of the electronic subsystem. However, since electron-electron collisions conserve $\epsilon(t)$ (this follows formally from $\int dE E I_{\text{ee}}(E) = 0$), the latter is able to relax only through electron-phonon collisions. Multiplying Eq. (S1) by E , integrating over E , and using $f(E, t) = f_0(T(t))$, we obtain

$$C_{\text{el}} \frac{dT}{dt} = 2\pi a_{\text{ep}} \int_0^\infty d\omega \alpha^2 F(\omega) [N_0(T_{\text{ph}}) - N_0(T)], \quad (\text{S8})$$

where

$$C_{\text{el}} = \int dE E \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{\partial \Sigma_0}{\partial E} \right) \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial T} + \frac{\partial \Sigma_0}{\partial T} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial E} \right\} \quad (\text{S9})$$

is the electronic specific heat. Here Σ_0 and f_0 are the equilibrium electron self-energy and distribution function at temperature T . Eq. (S9) for C_{el} was derived by Prange and Kadanoff in 1964[S1] and later shown to be equivalent to Eq. (1) [S7, S8].

The right hand side of Eq. (S8) is negative when $T > T_{\text{ph}}$ indicating that the heat flows from hotter electrons to the colder phonon bath. Linearizing this equation in $(T - T_{\text{ph}})$, we see that T grows exponentially when $C_{\text{el}} < 0$. Note that Eq. (S8) does not describe the actual dynamics of the system but is a consequence of the assumption that it is stable with respect to the electron-phonon interaction. Thus, $C_{\text{el}} < 0$ is a sufficient condition of the instability.

2 Derivation of upper bounds on the electron-phonon interaction constant λ and T_c

It is helpful to disentangle the notions of the shape, height (overall scale), and support (spread) of the Eliashberg function $\alpha^2 F(\omega)$ from each other. To this end, we introduce a normalized *shape* function

$$P(\omega) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{2\alpha^2 F(\omega)}{\omega}. \quad (\text{S10})$$

By the definition of λ in Eq. (4) and since $\alpha^2 F(\omega) \geq 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty P(\omega) d\omega = 1, \quad P(\omega) \geq 0, \quad (\text{S11})$$

so we can think of $P(\omega)$ as the distribution function of phonon frequencies. It determines the shape of the Eliashberg function, while λ controls its overall height. Note that we can specify λ and $P(\omega)$ independently of each other.

Now it is straightforward to determine the upper bound λ_* on the electron-phonon coupling λ from the stability condition (3),

$$\lambda_* = \frac{1}{\max_T \left\{ \int_0^\infty g\left(\frac{\omega}{2\pi T}\right) P(\omega) d\omega \right\}}. \quad (\text{S12})$$

The function $g(x)$ reaches its single maximum $g_{\max} = 0.2709$ at $x_{\max} = 0.3273$. The upper bound λ_* is smallest, $\lambda_* = 1/g_{\max} = 3.6915$, for Einstein phonons, i.e., for $P(\omega) = \delta(\omega - \omega_E)$, because in this case the denominator of Eq. (S12) assumes its maximum possible value g_{\max} . Similarly, Eq. (S12) provides λ_* for other phonon spectra, e.g., for the Debye model [$P(\omega) = 2\omega/\omega_D^2$ for $\omega < \omega_D$ and zero otherwise] or for the same spectrum [same $P(\omega)$] as that for any of the materials in Table 1. Furthermore, the definition of the stability parameter ξ in Eq. (3) together with Eq. (S12) imply Eq. (5).

To bound T_c , we need to bound both λ and the phonon spectrum. Suppose the highest phonon frequency is ω_{\max} and let $x = \omega/\omega_{\max}$. Then,

$$\lambda\langle\omega^2\rangle = \omega_{\max}^2 \frac{\int_0^1 x^2 P(x) dx}{\max_\tau \left\{ \int_0^1 g\left(\frac{x}{\tau}\right) P(x) dx \right\}}. \quad (\text{S13})$$

By analyzing the variational derivative of the right hand side of this equation with respect to $P(x)$, we find that it is maximal for $P(x) = \delta(x - 1)$, i.e., for Einstein phonons with frequency $\omega_E = \omega_{\max}$. Taking this into account, we immediately obtain Eq. (7) from Eq. (6).

3 Extended Data




Fig. S1 Statistical analysis of the results of DFT calculations of electron-phonon interaction parameters in metals, polyhydrides, and other compounds. (a) Correlation between the logarithmic average phonon frequency ω_{\log} in Kelvin and pressure P in GPa. For about 95% of the compounds ω_{\log} lies below the line $500 + 5P$. (b) Correlation between ω_{\log} in Kelvin and the electron-phonon coupling parameter λ . For about 95% of the compounds ω_{\log} in Kelvin falls below the line $2500 - 500\lambda$. Red areas indicate unlikely combinations of ω_{\log} , P and λ . Data for the plot are from Refs. [S12, S13, S22, S49–S53].

Table S1 Data for Fig. 1: evolution of superconducting materials in 20th – 21st centuries.

Material	Year of discovery	Critical temperature, K
<i>Low-temperature superconductors</i> [S9]		
Hg	1911	4.2
Pb	1914	7.3
Nb	1933	9.7
NbN	1941	16
Nb ₃ Sn	1952	18.3
V ₃ Si	1953	17.1
Nb ₃ Ge	1973	23.2
NbTi	1962	9.2
CaC ₆ [S10]	2005	11.5
MgB ₂	2001	40
<i>Unconventional superconductors</i> [S11]		
KWO ₃	1967	6
LiTi ₂ O ₄	1973	1.2
BaPbBiO ₃	1975	13
La ₂ BaCuO ₄	1986	30
YBa ₂ Cu ₃ O ₇	1987	90
BaKBiO ₃	1988	20
BiSrCaCu ₂ O ₆	1988	105
TlBa ₂ Ca ₂ Cu ₃ O ₉	1989	110
HgBa ₂ CaCu ₂ O ₆	1993	120
GdFeAsO	2008	53.5
SrFFeAs	2009	72
<i>Superhydrides</i> [S9, S12]		
LaH ₁₀	2018	250
ThH ₉	2020	146
ThH ₁₀	2020	161
YH ₆	2021	224
YH ₉	2021	243
CeH ₉	2021	100
CeH ₁₀	2021	115
BaH ₁₂	2021	20
YH ₄	2022	82
(La,Y)H ₁₀	2021	253
Lu ₄ H ₂₃	2023	70
SnH ₄	2022	74
PH ₃	2015	100
SbH ₄	2023	115
(La,Ce)H _{9–10}	2023	190
NbH ₃	2024	42

Table S2 Parameters of the electron-phonon interaction in metals, polyhydrides and, other compounds. We used this data to draw Fig. 2.

Compound	Pressure, GPa	EPC strength (λ)	ω_{\log} , K
<i>Theoretical predictions</i>			
ScH ₉ [S13]	300	1.94	1156
VH ₈ [S14, S15]	200	1.13	876
CrH ₃ [S16]	81	0.95	568
ZrH ₆ [S17, S18]	295	1.7	914
ZrH ₁₀ [S19]	250	1.77	1068
NbH ₄ [S20, S21]	300	0.82	938
SrH ₆ [S22]	100	1.65	1316
HfH ₁₀ [S19]	250	2.77	677
TaH ₆ [S23]	300	1.56	1151
TiH ₁₄ [S22, S24]	200	0.81	1063
Hf ₃ H ₁₃ [S22]	100	1.1	497
RaH ₁₂ [S22]	200	1.36	998
MgH ₆ [S25]	300	3.29	1450
CaYH ₁₂ [S26]	200	2.2	1230
MgCaH ₁₂ [S27]	200	2.53	1400
YH ₁₀ [S28, S29]	250	2.58	1282
ScH ₁₂ [S30]	200	2.85	1189
Li ₂ MgH ₁₆ [S31]	250	3.3	1111
ScH ₄ [S13, S32]	250	0.81	1892
<i>Metals and alloys (experiment)</i>			
Nb[S33]	0	1.05	229
V[S33]	0	0.83	330
Sn[S33]	0	0.72	165
Ta[S33]	0	0.73	213
Hg[S33]	0	1.3	86
a-Ga[S33]	0	2.25	62
a-Pb*[S33]	0	1.91	35
a-Bi[S33]	0	2.46	42
Nb ₃ Sn[S33]	0	1.7	142
MgB ₂ **[S34]	0	0.87	680
a-PbBi[S35]	0	3.0	33.3
a-PbBi ₃ [S35]	0	2.78	33.4
<i>Hydrides (experiment)</i>			
(La,Y)H ₁₀ [S36]	180	3.87	868
(La,Ce)H ₉₋₁₀ [S37]	123	2.27	915
H ₃ S[S38]	157	1.84	1080
LaH ₁₀ [S39]	163	2.67	1118
YH ₉ [S12]	200	2.75	885
YH ₆ [S40]	170	2.24	1330
ThH ₁₀ [S41]	170	1.91	1210
ThH ₉ [S41]	150	1.73	960
YH ₄ [S40]	155	1.1	1080
CeH ₉ [S42]	110	1.46	650
CeH ₁₀ [S43]	100	2.0	1000
SnH ₄ [S44]	190	1.24	890
CaH ₆ [S45]	170	2.69	950

* Amorphous film of Pb

** Given for comparison

Table S3 Values of the stability parameter ξ , electron-phonon coupling constant λ , and the average logarithmic frequency ω_{\log} for several hydrides at different pressures P .

Compound	P , GPa	ξ	λ	ω_{\log} , K
CeH ₉	100	0.175		
	120	0.165		
	150	0.152		
	200	0.131		
LaH ₁₀	$\sim 50^*$	0.96	5.32	
	129	0.64	3.62	887
	163	0.53	2.67	1119
	214	0.43	2.06	1340
	264	0.37	1.73	1469
H ₃ S	135	0.42		
	157	0.39		
ThH ₁₀	100	0.46	2.57	1048
	200	0.32	1.58	1184
	300	0.25	1.33	1150
CaH ₆	150 ^{**}	0.58	2.97	964
	160	0.41	1.96	1204
	170	0.40	1.89	1419
	180	0.39	1.83	1249
	190	0.39	1.84	1333

* Extrapolation obtained by scaling the Eliashberg function at 129 GPa by a factor of 1.5. Extrapolated $T_c = 353$ K.

** Without accounting for the anharmonicity

Table S4 Debye temperatures (T_D) for some superconducting metals, intermetallic compounds and compressed polyhydrides.

Compound	T_c , K	EPC parameter (λ)	T_D , K
Hg[S33]	4.16	1.0 – 1.6	72
Nb[S33]	9.22	0.82 – 1.05	277
Nb ₃ Sn[S46]	17.9	1.6 -1.8	270
ThH ₁₀ (170 GPa)	161	1.65	1350
YH ₉ (205 GPa)[S12, S47]	235	2.66	1275
(La,Ce)H ₉ (123 GPa)[S37, S48]	190	2.27	1107

References

- [S1] R. E. Prange and L. P. Kadanoff, Transport Theory for Electron-Phonon Interactions in Metals, *Phys. Rev.* **134**, A566 (1964).
- [S2] J. Rammer and H. Smith, Quantum field-theoretical methods in transport theory of metals, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **58**, 323 (1986).
- [S3] J. Hohlfeld, S.-S. Wellershoff, J. Güdde, U. Conrad, V. Jähnke, E. Matthias, Electron and lattice dynamics following optical excitation of metals, *Chem. Phys.* **252**, 237 (2000).
- [S4] M. Lisowski, P.A. Loukakos, U. Bovensiepen, J. Stähler, C. Gahl and M. Wolf, Ultra-fast dynamics of electron thermalization, cooling and transport effects in Ru(001), *Appl Phys A* **78**, 165 (2004).
- [S5] B. Y. Mueller and B. Rethfeld, Relaxation dynamics in laser-excited metals under nonequilibrium conditions, *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 035139 (2013).
- [S6] L. P. Pitaevskii and E.M. Lifshitz, Physical Kinetics: Volume 10 (Butterworth-Heinemann; 1st edition, 1981).
- [S7] W. Lee and D. Rainer, Comment on Eliashberg's free energy of a strong-coupling metal, *Z. Physik B - Condensed Matter* **73**, 149 (1988).
- [S8] S.V. Shulga, O.V. Dolgov and I.I. Mazin, Electron-phonon coupling and specific heat in YBa₂Cu₃O₇, *Physica C* **192**, 41 (1992).
- [S9] I. A. Troyan *et al.*, High-temperature superconductivity in hydrides, *Phys. Usp.* **65**, 748 (2022).
- [S10] T. E. Weller, M. Ellerby, S. S. Saxena, R. P. Smith and N. T. Skipper, Superconductivity in the intercalated graphite compounds C₆Yb and C₆Ca, *Nat. Phys.* **1**, 39 (2005).
- [S11] A. M. Luiz, A simple model to estimate the optimal doping of p-Type oxide superconductors, *Mater. Res-Ibero-Am J.* **11** (2008).
- [S12] D. V. Semenok, Computational design of new superconducting materials and their targeted experimental synthesis, Doctoral program in materials science and engineering thesis, Skoltech (2022).
- [S13] X. Ye, N. Zarifi, E. Zurek, R. Hoffmann and N. W. Ashcroft, High Hydrides of Scandium under Pressure: Potential Superconductors, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **122**, 6298 (2018).
- [S14] X. Li and F. Peng, Superconductivity of pressure-stabilized vanadium hydrides, *Inorg. chem.* **56**, 13759 (2017).

- [S15] E. Tikhonov, J. Feng, Y. Wang and Q. Feng, High-pressure stability and superconductivity of vanadium hydrides, *Physica B: Condensed Matter* **651**, 414603 (2023).
- [S16] S. Yu *et al.*, Pressure-driven formation and stabilization of superconductive chromium hydrides, *Scientific reports* **5**, 17764 (2015).
- [S17] K. Abe, High-pressure properties of dense metallic zirconium hydrides studied by ab initio calculations, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 134103 (2018).
- [S18] X.-F. Li, Z.-Y. Hu and B. Huang, Phase diagram and superconductivity of compressed zirconium hydrides, *Phys. Chem. Phys.* **19**, 3538 (2017).
- [S19] H. Xie *et al.*, Hydrogen Pentagraphenelike Structure Stabilized by Hafnium: A High-Temperature Conventional Superconductor, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 217001 (2020).
- [S20] A. P. Durajski, Phonon-mediated superconductivity in compressed NbH₄ compound, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **87**, 1 (2014).
- [S21] G. Gao, G. *et al.*, Theoretical study of the ground-state structures and properties of niobium hydrides under pressure, *Phys. Rev. B* **88** (2013).
- [S22] D. V. Semenok, I. A. Kruglov, I. A. Savkin, A. G. Kvashnin and A. R. Oganov, On Distribution of Superconductivity in Metal Hydrides, *Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci.* **24**, 100808 (2020).
- [S23] Q. Zhuang *et al.*, Pressure-stabilized superconductive ionic tantalum hydrides, *Inorg. Chem.* **56**, 3901 (2017).
- [S24] J. Zhang, *et al.*, High-temperature superconductivity in the Ti-H system at high pressures, *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 134108 (2020).
- [S25] X. Feng, J. Zhang, G. Gao, H. Liu and H. Wang, Compressed Sodalite-like MgH₆ as a Potential High-temperature Superconductor. *RSC Adv.* **5**, 59292 (2015).
- [S26] X. Liang, *et al.*, Potential high-T_c superconductivity in CaYH₁₂ under pressure, *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 100505 (2019).
- [S27] W. Sukmas, P. Tsuppayakorn-aek, U. Pinsook and T. Bovornratanaraks, Near-room-temperature superconductivity of Mg/Ca substituted metal hexahydride under pressure, *J. Alloys Compd.* **849**, 156434 (2020).
- [S28] C. Heil, S. Di Cataldo, G. B. Bachelet and L. Boeri, Superconductivity in sodalite-like yttrium hydride clathrates, *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 220502(R) (2019).
- [S29] H. Liu, I. I. Naumov, R. Hoffmann, N. W. Ashcroft and R. J. Hemley, Potential high-T_c superconducting lanthanum and yttrium hydrides at high pressure,

PNAS **114**, 5 (2017).

- [S30] Q. Jiang *et al.*, Prediction of Room-Temperature Superconductivity in Quasi atomic H₂-Type Hydrides at High Pressure, [arXiv: 2302.02621 \(2023\)](#).
- [S31] Y. Sun, J. Lv, Y. Xie, H. Liu, and Y. Ma, Route to a Superconducting Phase above Room Temperature in Electron-Doped Hydride Compounds under High Pressure, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 097001 \(2019\)](#).
- [S32] K. Abe, Hydrogen-rich scandium compounds at high pressures, [Phys. Rev. B **96**, 144108 \(2017\)](#).
- [S33] P. B. Allen, Electron-phonon coupling constants, in Handbook of Superconductivity, edited by C. P. Poole, Jr. (Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 2000) Ch. 9, Sec. G, pp. 478-483.
- [S34] Y. Kong, O. Dolgov, O. Jepsen and O. Andersen, Electron-phonon interaction in the normal and superconducting states of MgB₂, [Phys. Rev. B **64**, 020501 \(2001\)](#).
- [S35] T. T. Chen, J. D. Leslie and H. J. T. Smith, Electron tunneling study of amorphous Pb-Bi superconducting alloys, [Physica **55**, 439 \(1971\)](#).
- [S36] D. V. Semenov *et al.*, Superconductivity at 253 K in lanthanum-yttrium ternary hydrides, [Mater. Today **48**, 18 \(2021\)](#).
- [S37] W. Chen *et al.*, Enhancement of superconducting critical temperature realized in La-Ce-H system at moderate pressures, [Nat. Commun. **14**, 2660 \(2023\)](#).
- [S38] I. Errea *et al.*, Quantum hydrogen-bond symmetrization in the superconducting hydrogen sulfide system, [Nature **532**, 81 \(2016\)](#).
- [S39] I. Errea *et al.*, Quantum crystal structure in the 250-kelvin superconducting lanthanum hydride, [Nature **578**, 66 \(2020\)](#).
- [S40] I. A. Troyan *et al.*, Anomalous High-Temperature Superconductivity in YH₆, [Adv. Mater. **33**, 2006832, \(2021\)](#).
- [S41] D. V. Semenov *et al.*, Superconductivity at 161 K in thorium hydride ThH₁₀: Synthesis and properties, [Mater. Today **33**, 36 \(2020\)](#).
- [S42] W. Chen *et al.*, High-Temperature Superconducting Phases in Cerium Superhydride with a T_c up to 115 K below a Pressure of 1 Megabar, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 117001, \(2021\)](#).
- [S43] B. Li, *et al.*, Predicted high-temperature superconductivity in cerium hydrides at high pressures, [J. Appl. Phys. **126**, 235901 \(2019\)](#).

- [S44] I. A. Troyan *et al.*, Non-Fermi-Liquid Behavior of Superconducting SnH₄, *Adv. Sci.* **2303622**, 1 (2023).
- [S45] P. Hou, Z. Huo and D. Duan, Quantum and Anharmonic Effects in Superconducting Im-3m CaH₆ Under High Pressure: A First-Principles Study, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **127**, 23980 (2023).
- [S46] G. R. Stewart, Superconductivity in the A15 structure, *Phys. C* **514**, 28 (2015).
- [S47] P. Kong *et al.*, Superconductivity up to 243 K in the yttrium-hydrogen system under high pressure, *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 5075 (2021).
- [S48] J. Bi *et al.*, Giant enhancement of superconducting critical temperature in substitutional alloy (La,Ce)H₉, *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 5952 (2022).
- [S49] Y. Sun, S. Sun, X. Zhon and H. Liu, Prediction for high superconducting ternary hydrides below megabar pressure, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **34**, 505404 (2022).
- [S50] P. Song, Z. Hou, K. Nakano, K. Hongo and R. Maezono, Potential high- T_c superconductivity in YCeH_{*x*} and LaCeH_{*x*} under pressure, *Mat. Today Phys.* **28**, 100873 (2022).
- [S51] M. G. Gebreyohannes, C. A. Geffe and P. Singh, Computational prediction of new stable superconducting magnesium hydrides at high-pressures, *Phys. C* **599**, 1354052 (2022).
- [S52] U. Pinsook, In search for near-room-temperature superconducting critical temperature of metal superhydrides under high pressure: A review, *J. Met., Mater. Miner.* **30**, 31 (2020).
- [S53] P. Song, *et al.*, (La,Th)H₁₀: Potential High- T_c (242 K) Superconductors Stabilized Thermodynamically below 200 GPa, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **128**, 2656 (2024).