

A LIOUVILLE TYPE THEOREM FOR ANCIENT LAGRANGIAN MEAN CURVATURE FLOWS

ARUNIMA BHATTACHARYA, MICAH WARREN, AND DANIEL WESER

ABSTRACT. We prove a Liouville type result for convex solutions of the Lagrangian mean curvature flow with restricted quadratic growth assumptions at antiquity on the solutions.

1. INTRODUCTION

A family of Lagrangian submanifolds $X(x, t) : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is said to evolve by mean curvature if

$$(X_t)^\perp = \Delta_g X = \vec{H},$$

where \vec{H} denotes the mean curvature vector of the Lagrangian submanifold. After a change of coordinates, one can locally write $X(x, t) = (x, Du(x, t))$ such that $\Delta_g X = J \nabla_g \Theta$ (see [HL82]): Here $g = I_n + (D^2 u)^2$ is the induced metric on $(x, Du(x))$, J is the almost complex structure on \mathbb{C}^n , and Θ is the Lagrangian angle given by

$$\Theta = \sum_{i=1}^n \arctan \lambda_i,$$

where λ_i are the eigenvalues of the Hessian $D^2 u$. This results in a local potential $u(x, t)$ evolving by the parabolic equation

$$(1.1) \quad u_t = \sum_{i=1}^n \arctan \lambda_i.$$

We are free to add any function that does not depend on x to the right-hand side, since this does not change the flow of the gradient graph of u . Thus, we will consider the equation

$$(1.2) \quad u_t = \sum_{i=1}^n \arctan \lambda_i - \Theta_0$$

for a fixed Θ_0 . In particular, under this flow, solutions to the special Lagrangian equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \arctan \lambda_i = \Theta_0$$

will be stationary.

Our main result in this paper is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let u be an entire ancient solution to (1.2). Suppose that u is convex and satisfies the following growth condition at antiquity: there exists an R_0 such that*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x, t)|}{|x|^2 + R_0} < \frac{1}{(6\sqrt{n} + 2)^2}.$$

Then u is a quadratic polynomial

In essence, this theorem states that if a convex ancient solution has the growth of a small quadratic polynomial for times far enough in the past, then the solution must in fact be a quadratic polynomial. Although the growth condition is somewhat restrictive, it applies to the scalar potential u , not to the gradient graph, and requires only convexity without additional constraints on the Hessian.

We derive a Hessian estimate for solutions of (1.1) via a pointwise approach assuming a quadratic growth condition at antiquity. The method used here follows a parabolic adaptation of Korevaar's maximum principle method as in Evan-Spruck [ES92]. A similar maximum principle estimate for the elliptic special Lagrangian equation was carried out in Warren-Yuan [WY08], inspired by Korevaar's [Kor86] estimate for minimal surface equations. As in [WY08], our method requires a smallness condition on the growth. Once a Hessian bound is established, Hölder regularity and the Liouville property follow from Nguyen-Yuan [NY11].

In 1968, Bombieri, De Giorgi, and Miranda [BDGM69] established an interior estimate bound for classical solutions of the minimal surface equation in \mathbb{R}^n . In the 1970s, Trudinger [Tru72] provided a new and simpler derivation of this estimate and partly developed in the process some new techniques applicable to the study of hypersurfaces in general. In the 1980s, Korevaar [Kor86] found a strikingly simple pointwise argument. Korevaar's technique was adapted to prove estimates for the parabolic version, namely mean curvature flow, by Ecker-Huisken [EH91], Evans-Spruck [ES92], Colding-Minicozzi [CMI04] in codimension one.

Mean curvature flow in higher codimensions including the Lagrangian mean curvature flow has been studied by many authors [CCY12, CCY13, SW02,

Wan02, TTW23, Wan24, LSS24]. However, in higher codimensions, an adaptation of Korevaar’s method with no restriction on the height remains elusive, as the diagonalization step is difficult to overcome. To diagonalize the differential of a vector-valued function by rotating the base, one requires that the gradients of each of the functions in the vector be mutually orthogonal, which fails in general. Interior Hessian estimates for the elliptic special Lagrangian equation and the variable phase Lagrangian mean curvature equation have been established via an integral approach in [BC03, BCGJ03, WY09a, WY09b, CWY09, WY10, WY14, CSY19, Bha21, Bha22, BMS24, Zho22, BW24], via a compactness approach in [Li19], via a doubling approach in [Sha24]. The Liouville result of [WY08] is generalized to remove the small quadratic constraint via a compactness method in [Din23]. A pointwise approach to proving interior Hessian estimates without any constraints on the growth remains an open question even for the elliptic special Lagrangian equation.

Acknowledgments. AB acknowledges the support of the Simons Foundation grant MP-TSM-00002933. DW acknowledges the support of the NSF RTG DMS-2135998 grant.

2. JACOBI INEQUALITY

Let g denote the induced metric of the embedding X , which in the graphical case takes the form $g = I_n + D^2u(\cdot, t)D^2u(\cdot, t)$. If we take a derivative of (1.2), we find

$$u_{tk} = g^{ij}u_{ijk},$$

so that, if we define the operator

$$L := \partial_t - g^{ij}\partial_{ij},$$

we see that equivalently

$$(2.1) \quad Lu_k = 0.$$

We will let $V = \sqrt{|\det g|}$ denote the volume element, and we will define $b = V^{1/n}$ to be the volume element raised to the power of $1/n$. In the following lemma, we show that a Jacobi inequality holds along the “heat flow” of b with respect to L , which will be a crucial ingredient in the proof of the main theorem.

Lemma 2.1. *For a convex solution of (1.1), the volume element $b = (\sqrt{|\det g|})^{1/n}$ satisfies*

$$(2.2) \quad Lb + 2\frac{|\nabla_g b|^2}{b} \leq 0.$$

Proof. We directly compute

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t b &= \frac{1}{2n} \operatorname{tr}(g^{-1} \partial_t g) b \\ \partial_i b &= \frac{1}{2n} \operatorname{tr}(g^{-1} \partial_i g) b \\ \partial_{ij} b &= \frac{1}{2n} \operatorname{tr}(g^{-1} \partial_{ij} g) b + \frac{1}{2n} \operatorname{tr}(\partial_j g^{-1} \partial_i g) b + \frac{\partial_i b \partial_j b}{b}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we find the initial expansion

$$(2.3) \quad Lb = \frac{1}{2n} \operatorname{tr}(g^{-1} Lg) b - \frac{1}{2n} g^{ij} \operatorname{tr}(\partial_j g^{-1} \partial_i g) b - \frac{|\nabla_g b|^2}{b}.$$

Now we will compute Lg . To do so, we fix a point p and rotate coordinates so that D^2u is diagonalized at p . (Note; a rotation in the domain of a scalar function generates a transpose rotation of the gradient of that function. The conjugation by these is what diagonalizes the Hessian.) We denote by λ_k the eigenvalues of $D^2u(p)$, so that $D^2u(p) = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$. Note that $\lambda_k \geq 0$ by our convexity hypothesis. In these coordinates at the point p , the metric g takes the form

$$g_{kl} = \delta_{kl} + Du_k \cdot Du_l = (1 + \lambda_k^2) \delta_{kl},$$

and thus

$$g^{kl} = (1 + \lambda_k^2)^{-1} \delta^{kl}.$$

Applying these identities at the point p , one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t g_{kl} &= (\lambda_k + \lambda_l) \partial_t u_{kl} \\ \partial_{ij} g_{kl} &= (\lambda_k + \lambda_l) u_{ijkl} + Du_{ik} \cdot Du_{jl} + Du_{jk} \cdot Du_{il},\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}Lg_{kl} &= (\lambda_k + \lambda_l) \partial_t u_{kl} - g^{ij} \left((\lambda_k + \lambda_l) u_{ijkl} + Du_{ik} \cdot Du_{jl} + Du_{jk} \cdot Du_{il} \right) \\ &= (\lambda_k + \lambda_l) \partial_t u_{kl} - g^{ii} (\lambda_k + \lambda_l) u_{iikl} + 2g^{ii} Du_{ik} \cdot Du_{il}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, at the point p we find

$$\begin{aligned}(2.4) \quad \operatorname{tr}(g^{-1} Lg) &= g^{kk} Lg_{kk} \\ &= 2g^{kk} \lambda_k \partial_t u_{kk} - 2g^{ii} g^{kk} \lambda_k u_{iikkk} - 2g^{ii} g^{kk} |Du_{ik}|^2 \\ &= 2g^{kk} \lambda_k Lu_{kk} - 2g^{ii} g^{kk} |Du_{ik}|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Now we compute Lu_{kk} . Differentiating $Lu_k = 0$, we obtain

$$Lu_{kk} = (\partial_k g^{ij}) u_{ijk}.$$

Differentiating the identity $\delta_a^c = g_{ab} g^{bc}$, one obtains at the point p that

$$(2.5) \quad \partial_k g^{ij} = -g^{ii} g^{jj} (\lambda_i + \lambda_j) u_{ijk},$$

so that

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} Lu_{kk} &= (\partial_k g^{ij})u_{ijk} \\ &= -g^{ii}g^{jj}(\lambda_i + \lambda_j)u_{ijk}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.4) and (2.6), we find at the point p that

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{tr}(g^{-1}Lg) &= 2g^{kk}\lambda_k Lu_{kk} - 2g^{ii}g^{kk}|Du_{ik}|^2 \\ &= -2g^{ii}g^{jj}g^{kk}(\lambda_i + \lambda_j)\lambda_k Lu_{ijk}^2 - 2g^{ii}g^{kk}|Du_{ik}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Next, using (2.5), we directly compute

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} g^{ij}\text{tr}(\partial_j g^{-1}\partial_i g) &= g^{ii}\partial_i g^{kl}\partial_i g_{kl} \\ &= -g^{ii}g^{kk}g^{ll}(\lambda_k + \lambda_l)^2 u_{ikl}^2, \end{aligned}$$

so that, inserting (2.7) and (2.8) into (2.3), we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} Lb &= \frac{1}{2n}\text{tr}(g^{-1}Lg)b - \frac{1}{2n}g^{ij}\text{tr}(\partial_j g^{-1}\partial_i g)b - \frac{|\nabla_g b|^2}{b} \\ &= \frac{b}{2n} \left(-2g^{ii}g^{jj}g^{kk}(\lambda_i + \lambda_j)\lambda_k u_{ijk}^2 - 2g^{ii}g^{kk}|Du_{ik}|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + g^{ii}g^{kk}g^{ll}(\lambda_k + \lambda_l)^2 u_{ikl}^2 \right) - \frac{|\nabla_g b|^2}{b} \\ &= \frac{b}{2n} \left(-2g^{ii}g^{jj}g^{kk}(\lambda_i + \lambda_j)\lambda_k u_{ijk}^2 - 2g^{ii}\delta^{jj}g^{kk}u_{ijk}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + g^{ii}g^{kk}g^{ll}(\lambda_k + \lambda_l)^2 u_{ikl}^2 \right) - \frac{|\nabla_g b|^2}{b} \\ &= \frac{b}{2n} \left(-2g^{ii}g^{jj}g^{kk}(\lambda_i + \lambda_j)\lambda_k u_{ijk}^2 - 2g^{ii}g^{jj}g^{kk}(1 + \lambda_j^2)u_{ijk}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + g^{ii}g^{kk}g^{ll}(\lambda_k + \lambda_l)^2 u_{ikl}^2 \right) - \frac{|\nabla_g b|^2}{b} \\ &= \frac{b}{2n} \left(-4g^{ii}g^{jj}g^{kk}\lambda_i\lambda_k u_{ijk}^2 - 2g^{ii}g^{jj}g^{kk}\lambda_j^2 u_{ijk}^2 - 2g^{ii}g^{jj}g^{kk}u_{ijk}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2g^{ii}g^{kk}g^{ll}\lambda_k^2 u_{ikl}^2 + 2g^{ii}g^{kk}g^{ll}\lambda_k\lambda_l u_{ikl}^2 \right) - \frac{|\nabla_g b|^2}{b} \\ &= \frac{b}{2n} \left(-2g^{ii}g^{jj}g^{kk}\lambda_i\lambda_k u_{ijk}^2 - 2g^{ii}g^{jj}g^{kk}u_{ijk}^2 \right) - \frac{|\nabla_g b|^2}{b}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we use Cauchy-Schwarz to see that

$$\frac{|\nabla_g b|^2}{b} = \frac{b}{n^2}g^{ii}\left(g^{kk}\lambda_k u_{ikk}\right)^2 \leq \frac{b}{n}g^{ii}g^{kk}g^{kk}\lambda_k^2 u_{ikk}^2,$$

which, by comparing with (2.9) and using the fact that $\lambda_k \geq 0$ by convexity, concludes the poof of the lemma. \square

3. OSCILLATION AND GRADIENT BOUNDS FORWARD IN TIME

In this section, we will prove interior oscillation and gradient bounds forward in time for convex solutions of (1.2) defined on parabolic cylinders of the form

$$\overline{B}_R(0) \times [0, \frac{1}{n}] \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}.$$

First, we prove an interior height bound forward in time for general solutions of (1.1).

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that u is a solution of (1.1) on $\overline{B}_R(0) \times [0, \frac{1}{n}]$. Then we have*

$$(3.1) \quad u(0, \frac{1}{n}) \leq \arctan\left(\frac{\pi}{R^2}\right) + \max_{\overline{B}_R(0) \times \{0\}} u(x, 0).$$

Proof. Up to subtracting a constant, we will assume that $\max u = 0$ at $t = 0$. Notice that by simply integrating equation (1.1) at each point on $\partial B_R(0)$ we may obtain the bound

$$(3.2) \quad u(x, t) \leq \frac{tn\pi}{2} \text{ on } \partial B_R(0) \times [0, \frac{1}{n}].$$

We will construct a supersolution to obtain the interior bound. Let

$$w = \frac{tn\pi}{2} \left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right)^2 + nt \arctan\left(\frac{tn\pi}{R^2}\right),$$

which satisfies

$$w_t - \sum \arctan \lambda_i \geq 0.$$

By (3.2), $w \geq u$ on the boundary, and hence also in the interior of $\overline{B}_R(0) \times [0, \frac{1}{n}]$. Thus

$$u(0, \frac{1}{n}) \leq w(0, \frac{1}{n}) \leq \arctan\left(\frac{\pi}{R^2}\right).$$

\square

Corollary 3.1. *Suppose for any Θ_0 the function u is a convex solution of (1.2) on*

$$\overline{B}_{2R+1}(0) \times [0, \frac{1}{n}] \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Then,

$$\max_{\overline{B}_1(0) \times [0, \frac{1}{n}]} |Du| \leq \frac{1}{R} \left[M + \arctan\left(\frac{\pi}{R^2}\right) \right]$$

where M is the oscillation of u at $t = 0$.

Proof. By subtracting a constant, we will assume that $\max_{\overline{B_{2R+1}(0)} \times [0, T]} u = 0$, so that $\min_{\overline{B_{2R+1}(0)} \times [0, T]} u = -M$. Adding $\Theta_0 t$, we may also assume that u is a solution of (1.1). From (3.1), we have

$$u(x, t) \leq \arctan\left(\frac{\pi}{R^2}\right) \text{ on } B_{R+1}(0) \times [0, \frac{1}{n}].$$

Since u is convex, we know $\Theta \geq 0$, and hence u can only increase along the flow of type (1.1). Therefore, we see that the oscillation is no more than $M + \arctan\left(\frac{\pi}{R^2}\right)$ on $B_{R+1}(0) \times [0, \frac{1}{n}]$. The conclusion follows by applying the convexity condition to any point on $B_1(0)$, noting that the derivative bound from convexity depends only on the oscillation of each time slice. However, since solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) only differ by a constant in each time slice, we also obtain the conclusion for solutions of (1.2). \square

4. HESSIAN BOUND

In this section, we prove an interior Hessian bound forward in time for convex solutions of (1.1) and (1.2). The first proposition applies to convex solutions with sufficiently small gradient $|Du|$, while the second applies to convex solutions with bounded oscillation.

Proposition 4.1. *Let u be a convex solution of (1.1) or (1.2) on $B_1(0) \times [0, \frac{1}{n}]$. Suppose that for some $\alpha, \gamma > 0$ we have*

$$\alpha > \frac{3n}{2},$$

$$\alpha\gamma < 1,$$

and

$$|Du|^2 < \gamma \text{ on } B_1(0) \times [0, \frac{1}{n}].$$

Then for any $K > 0$

$$\lambda_{\max}^2(0, \frac{1}{n}) \leq \frac{e^{2nK} \left(\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha-3n}\right)^n}{(e^{K(1-\alpha\gamma)} - 1)^{2n}}.$$

Proof. Let

$$b = V^{1/n}$$

$$\phi = [\alpha |Du|^2 - \alpha\gamma + nt(1 - |x|^2)]^+$$

$$f = e^{K\phi} - 1$$

$$\eta = f \circ \phi,$$

and consider the function

$$h = \eta b.$$

Notice that

$$-\alpha\gamma \leq \alpha |Du|^2 - \alpha\gamma \leq 0,$$

so ϕ is 0 when $t = 0$ (and thus so is η). Additionally, ϕ vanishes on the boundary $\partial B_1(0)$ for any time.

Now, since $-\alpha\gamma + 1 > 0$, the function η will be positive somewhere, and we let (x_0, t_0) be a point where a positive maximum for h occurs on $B_1(0) \times [0, \frac{1}{n}]$. Then we must have

$$Lh = h_t - g^{ij}h_{ij} \geq 0.$$

From spatial maximality, we obtain

$$\eta_i = -\frac{\eta b_i}{b},$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} Lh &= \eta_t b + \eta b_t - g^{ij}\eta_{ij}b - 2g^{ij}\eta_i b_j - \eta g^{ij}b_{ij} \\ &= (\eta_t - g^{ij}\eta_{ij})b + \eta \left(b_t + 2g^{ij}\frac{b_i}{b}b_j - g^{ij}b_{ij} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.2), we know that

$$\left(b_t + 2g^{ij}\frac{b_i}{b}b_j - g^{ij}b_{ij} \right) \leq 0,$$

so we conclude at (x_0, t_0) that

$$\eta_t - g^{ij}\eta_{ij} \geq 0.$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_i &= K e^{K\phi} \left(2\alpha \sum_{k=1}^n u_k u_{ki} - 2ntx_i \right) \\ \eta_{ij} &= K e^{K\phi} \left(2\alpha \sum_{k=1}^n u_{kj} u_{ki} + 2\alpha \sum_{k=1}^n u_{kij} u_k - 2nt\delta_{ij} \right) \\ &\quad + K^2 e^{K\phi} \left(2\alpha \sum_{k=1}^n u_k u_{ki} - 2ntx_i \right) \left(2\alpha \sum_{k=1}^n u_k u_{kj} - 2ntx_j \right) \\ \eta_t &= K e^{K\phi} \left(2\alpha \sum_{k=1}^n u_k u_{kt} + n(1 - |x|^2) \right), \end{aligned}$$

so at (x_0, t_0) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \frac{\eta_t - g^{ij}\eta_{ij}}{Ke^{K\phi}} &= \left(2\alpha \sum_{k=1}^n u_k u_{kt} + n(1 - |x|^2) \right) \\ &\quad - g^{ij} \left(2\alpha \sum_{k=1}^n u_{kj} u_{ki} + 2\alpha \sum_{k=1}^n u_{kij} u_k - 2nt\delta_{ij} \right) \\ &\quad - g^{ij} K \left(2\alpha \sum_{k=1}^n u_k u_{ki} - 2ntx_i \right) \left(2\alpha \sum_{k=1}^n u_k u_{kj} - 2ntx_j \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.1), discarding the final term, and diagonalizing the Hessian (which will change the direction of the gradient at (x_0, t_0) but not its magnitude) we obtain

$$0 \leq n(1 - |x|^2) - 2\alpha \sum \frac{\lambda_i^2}{1 + \lambda_i^2} + 2nt \sum \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_i^2},$$

which can be written as

$$2\alpha \sum \frac{\lambda_i^2}{1 + \lambda_i^2} \leq 3n.$$

Therefore, we conclude at (x_0, t_0) that any eigenvalue λ_i satisfies the bound

$$\frac{\lambda_i^2}{1 + \lambda_i^2} \leq \frac{3n}{2\alpha},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\lambda_i^2 \leq \frac{3n}{2\alpha - 3n}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} V(x_0, t_0) &= \prod (1 + \lambda_i^2)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left[1 + \left(\frac{3n}{2\alpha - 3n} \right) \right]^{n/2} \\ &= \left(\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha - 3n} \right)^{n/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$b(x_0, t_0) \leq \left(\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha - 3n} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Now, for all (x, t) we have $\phi \leq 1$ and hence

$$h(x_0, t_0) \leq e^K \left(\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha - 3n} \right)^{1/2},$$

from which it follows that

$$h(0, T) \leq e^K \left(\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha - 3n} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Finally, observe that

$$\phi(0, \frac{1}{n}) \geq -\alpha\gamma + 1,$$

which yields

$$b(0, \frac{1}{n}) \leq \frac{e^K \left(\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha - 3n} \right)^{1/2}}{e^{K(1-\alpha\gamma)} - 1}.$$

Therefore, the maximum eigenvalue λ_{\max} satisfies

$$1 + \lambda_{\max}^2 \leq \frac{e^{2nK} \left(\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha - 3n} \right)^n}{(e^{K(1-\alpha\gamma)} - 1)^{2n}}.$$

□

We now combine Proposition 4.1 with Corollary 3.1 to obtain a Hessian bound from the oscillation.

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose that u is a convex solution of (1.2) on $B_{2R+1}(0) \times [0, \frac{1}{n}]$ with*

$$R = 3\sqrt{n}$$

and u satisfies

$$-1 \leq u \leq 1$$

on $B_{2R+1} \times \{0\}$. Then

$$D^2u(0, \frac{1}{n}) \leq C(n).$$

Proof. By Corollary 3.1, we have the bound

$$\max_{B_1(0) \times [0, \frac{1}{n}]} |Du| \leq \frac{1}{3\sqrt{n}} \left[2 + \arctan \left(\frac{\pi}{9n} \right) \right].$$

We now apply the Hessian bound of Proposition 4.1 with

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \frac{1}{n} \\ \gamma &= \frac{1}{9n} \left(2 + \arctan \left(\frac{\pi}{9n} \right) \right)^2 < \frac{0.61}{n} \\ \alpha &= 1.6n \\ K &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

to conclude

$$\lambda_{\max}^2(0, \frac{1}{n}) \leq \frac{e(16)^n}{(e^{(1-\alpha\gamma)} - 1)^{2n}} = C(n).$$

□

5. PROOF OF THE LIOUVILLE THEOREM

To prove our main theorem we will show that for any (x_0, t_0) we have $D^2u(x_0, t_0) \leq C(n)$. Without loss of generality we may choose $t_0 = 0$. For fixed x_0 , consider

$$\tilde{u}_\lambda(x, t) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} u(\lambda(x - x_0), \lambda^2 t),$$

which restricts to a solution of (1.1) on $B_{6\sqrt{n}+1}(0) \times [-\frac{1}{n}, 0]$ with

$$(5.1) \quad \sup_{x \in B_{6\sqrt{n}+1}(0)} \left| \tilde{u}_\lambda(x, -\frac{1}{n}) \right| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \sup_{z \in B_{(6\sqrt{n}+1)(\lambda+|x_0|)}(0)} \left| u\left(z, -\lambda^2 \frac{1}{n}\right) \right|.$$

By the growth at antiquity condition, for λ large enough and $\varepsilon_0 < 1$ small enough we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z \in B_{(6\sqrt{n}+1)(\lambda+|x_0|)}(0)} \left| u\left(z, -\lambda^2 \frac{1}{n}\right) \right| &\leq \frac{1}{(6\sqrt{n} + 2 - \varepsilon_0)^2} \left(\left| (6\sqrt{n} + 1)^2 (\lambda + |x_0|) \right|^2 + R_0 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon_1} \lambda^2 \left[\left(1 + \frac{|x_0|}{\lambda} \right)^2 + \frac{R_0}{\lambda^2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

so, by choosing $\lambda \gg |x_0|, R_0$, we obtain from (5.1)

$$\sup_{x \in B_{6\sqrt{n}+1}(0)} \left| \tilde{u}_\lambda(x, -\frac{1}{n}) \right| \leq 1.$$

We finally apply Proposition 4.2 to conclude

$$D^2u(x_0, 0) = D^2u_\lambda(0, 0) \leq C(n).$$

Since this bound holds at any arbitrary point, it holds everywhere, and we may apply [NY11, Proposition 2.1], which states that any convex ancient entire solution with a uniform Hessian bound must be a quadratic polynomial. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [BC03] Jiguang Bao and Jingyi Chen, *Optimal regularity for convex strong solutions of special Lagrangian equations in dimension 3*, Indiana University mathematics journal (2003), 1231–1249.
- [BCGJ03] Jiguang Bao, Jingyi Chen, Bo Guan, and Min Ji, *Liouville property and regularity of a Hessian quotient equation*, American Journal of Mathematics **125** (2003), no. 2, 301–316.
- [BDGM69] Enrico Bombieri, Ennio De Giorgi, and Mario Miranda, *An a priori bound related to minimal non-parametric hypersurfaces*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis **32** (1969), no. 4, 255–267.

- [Bha21] Arunima Bhattacharya, *Hessian estimates for Lagrangian mean curvature equation*, Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations **60** (2021), no. 6, 1–23.
- [Bha22] ———, *A note on the two-dimensional Lagrangian mean curvature equation*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **318** (2022), no. 1, 43–50.
- [BMS24] Arunima Bhattacharya, Connor Mooney, and Ravi Shankar, *Gradient estimates for the Lagrangian mean curvature equation with critical and supercritical phase*, arXiv:2205.13096, American Journal of Mathematics, to appear (2024).
- [BW24] Arunima Bhattacharya and Jeremy Wall, *Hessian estimates for shrinkers, expanders, translators, and rotators of the Lagrangian mean curvature flow*, arXiv:2403.07235, Preprint (2024).
- [CCY12] Albert Chau, Jingyi Chen, and Yu Yuan, *Rigidity of entire self-shrinking solutions to curvature flows*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **2012** (2012), no. 664, 229–239.
- [CCY13] ———, *Lagrangian mean curvature flow for entire Lipschitz graphs II*, Mathematische Annalen **357** (2013), no. 1, 165–183.
- [CMI04] Tobias H. Colding and William P. Minicozzi II, *Sharp estimates for mean curvature flow of graphs*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **2004** (2004), no. 574, 187–195.
- [CSY19] Jingyi Chen, Ravi Shankar, and Yu Yuan, *Regularity for convex viscosity solutions of special lagrangian equation*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics (2019).
- [CWY09] Jingyi Chen, Micah Warren, and Yu Yuan, *A priori estimate for convex solutions to special Lagrangian equations and its application*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics **62** (2009), no. 4, 583–595.
- [Din23] Qi Ding, *Liouville type theorems and Hessian estimates for special Lagrangian equations*, Math. Ann. **386** (2023), no. 1-2, 1163–1200. MR 4585172
- [EH91] Klaus Ecker and Gerhard Huisken, *Interior estimates for hypersurfaces moving by mean-curvature*, Inventiones mathematicae **105** (1991), no. 1, 547–569.
- [ES92] Lawrence C Evans and Joel Spruck, *Motion of level sets by mean curvature III*, The Journal of Geometric Analysis **2** (1992), no. 2, 121–150.
- [HL82] Reese Harvey and H. Blaine Lawson, *Calibrated geometries*, Acta Math. **148** (1982), 47–157.
- [Kor86] Nicholas Korevaar, *An easy proof of the interior gradient bound for solutions to the prescribed mean-curvature equation*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, vol. 45, Amer Mathematical Soc 201 Charles st, Providence, RI 02940-2213, 1986, pp. 81–89.
- [Li19] Caiyan Li, *A compactness approach to Hessian estimates for special Lagrangian equations with supercritical phase*, Nonlinear Analysis **187** (2019), 434–437.
- [LSS24] Jason D Lotay, Felix Schulze, and Gábor Székelyhidi, *Ancient solutions and translators of lagrangian mean curvature flow*, Publications mathématiques de l’IHÉS (2024), 1–35.
- [NY11] Tu A Nguyen and Yu Yuan, *A priori estimates for Lagrangian mean curvature flows*, International Mathematics Research Notices **2011** (2011), no. 19, 4376–4383.
- [Sha24] Ravi Shankar, *Hessian estimates for special lagrangian equation by doubling*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.01034 (2024).

- [SW02] Knut Smoczyk and Mu-Tao Wang, *Mean curvature flows of Lagrangian submanifolds with convex potentials*, Journal of Differential Geometry **62** (2002), no. 2, 243–257.
- [Tru72] Neil S. Trudinger, *A new proof of the interior gradient bound for the minimal surface equation in n dimensions*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **69** (1972), no. 4, 821–823.
- [TTW23] Chung-Jun Tsai, Mao-Pei Tsui, and Mu-Tao Wang, *Entire solutions of two-convex Lagrangian mean curvature flows*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.09432 (2023).
- [Wan02] Mu-Tao Wang, *Long-time existence and convergence of graphic mean curvature flow in arbitrary codimension*, Inventiones mathematicae **148** (2002), no. 3, 525–543.
- [Wan24] Jingbo Wan, *Sharp interior gradient estimate for area decreasing graphical mean curvature flow in arbitrary codimension*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.05602 (2024).
- [WY08] Micah Warren and Yu Yuan, *A liouville type theorem for special lagrangian equations with constraints*, Communications in Partial Differential Equations **33** (2008), no. 5, 922–932.
- [WY09a] ———, *Explicit gradient estimates for minimal Lagrangian surfaces of dimension two*, Math. Z. **262** (2009), no. 4, 867–879. MR 2511754
- [WY09b] ———, *Hessian estimates for the sigma-2 equation in dimension 3*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **62** (2009), no. 3, 305–321. MR 2487850
- [WY10] ———, *Hessian and gradient estimates for three dimensional special Lagrangian equations with large phase*, American Journal of Mathematics **132** (2010), no. 3, 751–770.
- [WY14] Dake Wang and Yu Yuan, *Hessian estimates for special Lagrangian equations with critical and supercritical phases in general dimensions*, American Journal of Mathematics **136** (2014), no. 2, 481–499.
- [Zho22] Xingchen Zhou, *Hessian estimates to special Lagrangian equation on general phases with constraints*, Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations **61** (2022), no. 1, 1–14.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PHILLIPS HALL, THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHAPEL HILL, NC

Email address: arunimab@unc.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OR 97403

Email address: micahw@uoregon.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PHILLIPS HALL, THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHAPEL HILL, NC

Email address: weser@unc.edu