

An Approximation for the 32-point Discrete Fourier Transform

R. J. Cintra*

Abstract

This brief note aims at condensing some results on the 32-point approximate DFT and discussing its arithmetic complexity.

Keywords

discrete Fourier transform (DFT), approximate DFT, fast algorithms

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	32-point Approximate DFT	2
2.1	Definition	2
2.2	Fast Algorithm	2
2.3	Arithmetic Complexity	2
A	Explicit numerical form of $\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{32}^*$	3
B	Factorization (Fast Algorithm) of $\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{32}^*$	4

1 Introduction

The discrete Fourier transform (DFT) is a well-known and major tool in signal processing ubiquitously applied in a vast number of contexts [10, 11]. The N -point DFT is a linear transform given by

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{F}_N \cdot \mathbf{x}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{x} = [x[0] \ x[1] \ \cdots \ x[N-1]]^\top$ is the vector representation of the input signal with time-domain samples, $\mathbf{X} = [X[0] \ X[1] \ \cdots \ X[N-1]]^\top$ is the frequency-domain transformed signal, and \mathbf{F}_N is the discrete Fourier transform matrix whose elements are given by $[\mathbf{F}_N]_{n,k} = \omega_N^{nk}$, $n, k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, $\omega_N = \exp(-j2\pi/N)$, and $j = \sqrt{-1}$ [9].

Computed by definition, the DFT is an operation in $O(N^2)$ [5]. Fast algorithms for the DFT—fast Fourier transforms—can reduce the multiplicative complexity to $O(N \log N)$ [1, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14]. However, in technological contexts of (i) low energy autonomy and/or (ii) reduced computational capacity—*relative to the amount of input data to be processed*—the computational complexity reductions offered by fast algorithms might not be enough.

Moreover, typically the DFT is not applied as an isolated system block, but as a part of larger systems. In general terms, for a given system, the overall system performance is partially explained by the interplay of accuracy and error propagation of its constituent parts. Therefore, computational efforts aiming at an accurate calculation of the DFT might be rendered ineffective due to the error injection from other system blocks whose error floor might dominate the system performance.

*R. J. Cintra is with the Signal Processing Group, UFPE, Brazil. E-mail: rjdc@de.ufpe.br

In view of the above, an approach to further reducing the computational cost the DFT calculation is by means of matrix approximation theory. In the context of discrete transforms, an approximate transform is a transformation capable of preserving contextually relevant mathematical properties of a given *exact* discrete transform, while exhibiting a substantially smaller computational complexity. Literature lists many approximations for discrete transforms [8], including the discrete cosine transform, the discrete Tchebichef transform, the discrete Hartley transform, and the discrete Fourier transform [3]. Judiciously designed approximations can lead to transformations of null multiplicative complexity.

In the next section, an approximation for the 32-point DFT is detailed.

2 32-point Approximate DFT

2.1 Definition

A mathematical framework for the derivation of DFT approximations was proposed by Cintra [3]; being a 32-point approximate DFT and its associate fast algorithm defined in [12]. Cintra and collaborators have applied the 32-point approximation in the context of beam-forming [6, 7].

The 32-point approximate DFT was obtained from the minimization of the Frobenius norm between low-complexity candidate matrices and the exact 32-point DFT matrix. Candidate matrices were obtained by (i) scaling the exact DFT matrix by a real-valued parameter (expansion factor [2]) and (ii) submitting the resulting scaled (expanded) matrix to the element-wise rounding-off operation. The range of the expansion factor was tailored in such a way that the final rounding-off operation would result in trivial multiplicands in the set $\{0, \pm 1, \pm j, \pm 1 \pm j\}$. Such multiplicands contribute to null multiplicative complexity.

Mathematically, we have the following integer optimization problem [3]:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{32}^* &= \underset{\hat{f}_{k,n}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad \|\mathbf{F}_{32} - \mathbf{S} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{32}\|_{\mathbb{F}} \\ &\text{subject to} \quad \hat{f}_{k,n} \in \{0, \pm 1\} \times \{0, \pm 1\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $\hat{f}_{k,n}$, $k, n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, are the elements of the approximate candidate matrix $\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{32}$, $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{F}}$ denotes the matrix Frobenius norm, and \mathbf{S} is a normalization matrix to ensure that the basis vectors of $\mathbf{S} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{F}}_{32}$ have energy equal or near to one. The explicit numerical form of $\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{32}^*$ is given in Appendix A.

2.2 Fast Algorithm

The fast algorithm for 32-point approximate DFT can be derived by the factorization of $\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{32}^*$ into sparse, low-complexity matrices. The following factorization holds true:

$$\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{32}^* = \mathbf{W}_8 \cdot \mathbf{W}_7 \cdot \mathbf{W}_6 \cdot \mathbf{W}_5 \cdot \mathbf{W}_4 \cdot \mathbf{W}_3 \cdot \mathbf{W}_2 \cdot \mathbf{W}_1, \tag{3}$$

where the matrix terms \mathbf{W}_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, 8$, are shown in Appendix B. The above factorization was introduced in [12] and, to the best of our knowledge, it is the only factorization for $\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{32}^*$ available in the literature.

2.3 Arithmetic Complexity

Table 1 summarizes the arithmetic complexity of $\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{32}^*$ and its fast algorithm. The arithmetic complexity is defined as the number of operation counts required by the matrix transformation. Table 2 shows the arithmetic complexity for each individual matrix term of the $\hat{\mathbf{F}}_{32}^*$ factorization. The matrix \mathbf{W}_8 does not contribute to the arithmetic operation count because it corresponds to sign-changing and data-swapping (real- and imaginary-part interchanging) operations only. The data interchange is a consequence of the multiplication by j .

References

- [1] R. E. BLAHUT, *Fast Algorithms for Signal Processing*, Cambridge University Press, June 2010.
- [2] V. BRITANAK, P. YIP, AND K. R. RAO, *Discrete Cosine and Sine Transforms*, Academic Press, 2007.
- [3] R. J. CINTRA, *An integer approximation method for discrete sinusoidal transforms*, *Circuits, Systems, and Signal Processing*, 30 (2011), pp. 1481–1501.
- [4] E. FEIG AND S. WINOGRAD, *On the multiplicative complexity of discrete cosine transforms*, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 38 (1992), pp. 1387–1391.
- [5] M. T. HEIDEMAN, *Multiplicative Complexity, Convolution, and the DFT*, Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [6] A. MADANAYAKE, V. ARIYARATHNA, S. MADISHETTY, S. PULIPATI, R. J. CINTRA, D. COELHO, R. OLIVEIRA, F. M. BAYER, L. BE-LOSTOTSKI, S. MANDAL, AND T. S. RAPPAPORT, *Towards a low-SWaP 1024-beam digital array: A 32-beam subsystem at 5.8 GHz*, *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 68 (2020), pp. 900–912.
- [7] ———, *Towards a low-SWaP 1024-beam digital array: A 32-beam subsystem at 5.8 GHz*, *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 68 (2020), pp. 900–912.
- [8] H. OCHOA-DOMÍNGUEZ AND K. R. RAO, *Discrete Cosine Transform*, CRC Press, 2019.
- [9] A. V. OPPENHEIM, R. W. SCHAFER, AND J. BUCK, *Discrete-time Signal Processing*, Prentice Hall International Editions, Prentice Hall, 1999.
- [10] A. V. OPPENHEIM AND G. C. VERGHESE, *Signals, Systems & Inference*, Prentice-Hall Signal Processing Series, Pearson, 2016.
- [11] J. G. PROAKIS AND D. G. MANOLAKIS, *Digital Signal Processing*, Prentice Hall International Editions, Pearson Prentice Hall, 2007.
- [12] D. M. SUÁREZ VILLAGRÁN, *Discrete Fourier transform approximations with applications in detection and estimation*, Master's thesis, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Recife, Brazil, Feb. 2015. Advisors: Dr R J Cintra and Dr F M Bayer.
- [13] S. WINOGRAD, *On computing the discrete Fourier transform*, *Mathematics of Computation*, 32 (1978), pp. 175–199.
- [14] S. WINOGRAD, *Arithmetic Complexity of Computations*, vol. 33 of CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, SIAM, 1980.