

Short Simple Geodesic Loops on a 2-Sphere

Isabel Beach

July 18, 2024

Abstract

The classic Lusternik–Schnirelmann theorem states that there are three distinct simple periodic geodesics on any Riemannian 2-sphere M . It has been proven by Y. Liokumovich, A. Nabutovsky and R. Rotman that the shortest three such curves have lengths bounded in terms of the diameter d of M . We show that at any point p on M there exist at least two distinct simple geodesic loops (geodesic segments that start and end at p) whose lengths are respectively bounded by $8d$ and $14d$.

1 Introduction

A geodesic loop based at a point p in a Riemannian manifold M is a geodesic $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ with $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = p$. At each point p on a Riemannian 2-sphere M , there are infinitely many distinct geodesic loops based at p , as shown by J. P. Serre in [13]. Length bounds for geodesic loops have been studied by many authors, including S. Sabourau in [12] and F. Balacheff, H. Parlier and Sabourau in [1]. In [8, 9, 11], A. Nabutovsky and R. Rotman produced length bounds for these geodesic loops in terms of the geometric properties of M , culminating in the result that on a Riemannian manifold M with diameter d diffeomorphic to S^2 , there are k distinct geodesic loops based at any $p \in M$ of length at most $20kd$ for every positive integer k [9]. Building on this work, H. Y. Cheng improved the bound to $6kd$ in general and to $5kd$ on a generic set of metrics on S^2 when k is odd [2]. Rotman also proved in [11] that the shortest geodesic loop at any point on a closed manifold of dimension n has length at most $2nd$. Thus the shortest two non-trivial geodesic loops at any point on a Riemannian 2-sphere are known to have lengths at most $4d$ and $12d$.

Similarly, a closed (or periodic) geodesic is a geodesic curve $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ that is also periodic, and hence has an image that can be parameterized by a

geodesic loop. In this context, Rotman and Nabutovsky proved a quantitative version of the Lusternik–Schnirelmann theorem, showing that on a Riemannian 2-sphere of diameter d there are always two simple closed geodesics of lengths at most $5d$ and $10d$ [10]. With Y. Liokumovich, they upgraded this result to prove the existence of a third simple closed geodesic of length at most $20d$ [5]. Note that because these results deal with closed geodesics, they do not produce curves with a specified base point.

In this paper, we are interested in the existence of simple geodesic loops of bounded length. We will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let p be a point in an analytic Riemannian 2-sphere M of diameter d . Then there at least two simple geodesic loops based at p of length at most $8d$ and $14d$.*

This differs from previous results regarding geodesic loops because it concerns simple curves. Consequently, the two loops obtained in the proof of our theorem will have distinct images. This is in contrast to the work of Cheng, Nabutovsky and Rotman, which does not preclude the possibility that the k short geodesic loops are given by iterating the same closed geodesic k times. This result also differs from the quantitative Lusternik–Schnirelmann theorem in that it produces simple loops based at any point in M .

Our proof is built on the Lusternik–Schnirelmann proof of the existence of three simple geodesics on the 2-sphere while also applying the quantitative techniques used by Nabutovsky and Rotman. We follow the versions of the Lusternik–Schnirelmann proof given by J. Hass and P. Scott in [3] and W. Klingenberg in [4]. In order to produce three simple closed geodesics, the standard technique is to use representatives of three non-trivial \mathbb{Z}_2 homology classes in the space of unparameterized, unoriented simple closed curves in M . In the cited proofs, one then iteratively applies a shortening process to the curves in the image of each cycle. A subsequence of curves from each cycle will then eventually converge to a non-trivial closed geodesic. Using the fact that these three homology classes are related by the cup product, it can be shown that either the three limiting geodesics obtained in this manner are distinct, or that there are infinitely many such geodesics.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2.1, for any fixed $p \in M$ we develop a curve shortening procedure that depends on p and is based on the disk flow of J. Hass and P. Scott [3]. We call our new process the modified disk flow at p . Instead of unparameterized simple closed curves, we will apply this process to what we call the p -admissible curves. Roughly speaking, these are parameterized closed curves based at p that can be approximated by simple curves (see Definition 1). We will prove that the modified disk flow preserves the set of p -admissible curves (Lemma 3) and does not increase the lengths

of these curves (Lemma 4). Importantly, we will show that the image of a p -admissible curve under this process converges (up to a subsequence) to a union of simple geodesic loops based at p (Lemma 5). We then describe how to extend this procedure to families of p -admissible curves (Lemma 9) so that we can apply it simultaneously to all curves in the image of a cycle.

Next, in Section 2.2 we discuss how to construct a continuous map of S^2 into M of non-zero degree that sends the meridian curves on S^2 to curves on M that start at p and end at some other point q . We call this map a short meridional slicing of M , and we will use these curves to form two homology cycles in the space of p -admissible curves. We first explain how to shorten a closed curve consisting of a pair of minimizing geodesics by applying the modified disk flow and exploiting the structure of the cut locus (Lemma 12). We then pick a point q at locally maximal distance from p and divide M into disks bounded by minimizing geodesics connecting p to q using Berger's Lemma. Using our previous lemma, we contract the boundaries of these disks and turn the resulting homotopies into a short meridional slicing of M (Lemma 15). Critically, the lengths of these curves will be bounded by the diameter of M .

Finally, in Section 2.3, we prove the existence of a pair of short simple geodesic loops at p . We first show how to convert our short meridional slicing into a sweepout of M by short p -admissible curves based at p . After some minor modifications, we will then have two non-trivial cycles: a one-dimensional cycle formed by the slicing loops, and a two-dimensional cycle formed by pairs of slicing loops. We conclude by applying the modified disk flow to the images of the cycles (Lemma 16). Because these cycles are non-trivial, some subsequence of curves in each image converges to a union of short, non-trivial simple geodesic loops based at p . Following the Lusternik–Schnirelmann proof, we then show that there are at least two distinct such loops.

2 Results

2.1 The Modified Disk Flow

Our first step will be to create our curve shortening procedure. The original disk flow developed by Hass and Scott in [3] proceeds on a compact manifold M as follows. Supposing we wish to shorten a closed curve γ , the disk flow produces a family of curves γ_t for $t \geq 0$ with $\gamma_0 = \gamma$. First, cover M by a finite collection of totally normal metric balls $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^n$ (i.e., metric balls with the property that every point in their closure is connected by a unique

minimizing geodesic that lies in the ball itself). In addition, the collection of metric balls with the same centres but half the radii should also cover M . We will consider the balls B_i consecutively, starting at B_1 . If $\gamma \cap B_1$ is empty, we do nothing. If instead γ lies entirely in B_1 , then it can be contracted to a point curve in B_1 . Otherwise, consider each arc of $\gamma \cap B_1$ that connects two points on ∂B_1 . Because B_1 is totally normal, there is a unique geodesic connecting the endpoints of each such arc. Moreover, this geodesic, excluding its endpoints, lies in B_1 . We redefine γ to be the curve obtained by replacing the arcs of $\gamma \cap B_1$ with these geodesics. We then repeat this process in each consecutive ball. The resulting curve is defined to be γ_1 . We define γ_t for $t \in (0, 1)$ to be a suitable homotopy between γ and γ_1 – namely, a homotopy that does not increase the number of self-intersections. We then iterate this entire procedure to define γ_t for $t \in [n, n + 1]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by repeating the above with γ_n in place of γ . Finally, there is a subsequence of the γ_t whose limit is a (possibly trivial) closed geodesic. Moreover, this geodesic is necessarily shorter than γ .

When straightening in B_i , if the original arcs of $\gamma \cap B_i$ do not intersect then neither will the minimizing geodesic segments they are replaced with. This is because two minimizing geodesic segments cannot mutually intersect more than once in their interiors, and they cannot intersect non-transversely. Moreover, since our interpolating homotopy does not increase the number of self-intersections, the disk flow preserves simple curves. Thus it is possible to obtain a simple closed geodesic by starting with a simple curve.

This flow can also be extended to multi-parameter families of curves. However, discontinuities in the disk flow can occur when one curve being shortened is tangent to the boundary of one of the balls in the cover and a nearby curve lies entirely within said ball. While this situation can be avoided for a single curve by slightly altering the cover, once we pass to a family of curves we cannot necessarily arrange the disks to avoid tangencies in every curve. Instead, each discontinuity is fixed by “filling in the gap” with an interpolating homotopy.

Because we are interested in finding loops based at p , we want to modify the disk flow so that it fixes the base point of the curve we are shortening. Although it would be ideal to deal only with simple curves based at p , we will need to examine what we call p -admissible curves.

Definition 1 (p -Admissible Curves). *Let $p \in M$. We call a curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ p -admissible if the following conditions hold.*

1. $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = p$
2. γ has no self-intersections except perhaps at the point p .

3. *There exists a homotopy of arbitrarily small width between γ and a simple closed curve.*

In particular, such curves have no transverse self-intersections.

We now describe how we will alter the disk flow to create what we call the modified disk flow at p . Note that we will only define this flow on p -admissible curves. We cover M by balls B_i as before. However, we additionally require that the first ball B_1 in our cover is centred at p , and that no other ball contains p . We will only make modifications to the flow in B_1 . In order to fix $p = \gamma(0)$, we would like to replace the arc of γ that contains $t = 0$ with the two geodesics that connect p to the arc's endpoints (we call such geodesics “rays” because they emanate from the centre of the metric ball). However, unlike in the standard disk flow, this can create new transverse self-intersections since it is possible that another geodesic segment crosses both geodesic rays. Therefore we make the following compromise. First, the arc in B_1 containing $t = 0$ is always replaced by a pair of geodesic rays. Secondly, if replacing an arc in B_1 by a minimizing geodesic would create transverse self-intersections with the pair of rays incident to $\gamma(0)$, we instead replace it with the pair of geodesic rays that connect its endpoints to p . All other arcs are replaced by genuine minimizing geodesics as in the original disk flow (see Figure 1).




Figure 1: An example of the modified disk flow in B_1 . The dashed arc contains the point corresponding to $t = 0$.

The above determines γ_1 , which we call the image of γ under the modified disk flow. As before, we define γ_t for $t \in (0, 1)$ to be a suitable homotopy between $\gamma = \gamma_0$ and γ_1 . The homotopy γ_t is in fact the same as in the original

disk flow, despite the fact that we allow geodesic rays. The original description of the homotopy can be found in Lemma 1.6 of [3]. For completeness, we briefly reprove it here for p -admissible curves.

Lemma 1. *A p -admissible curve can be homotoped to its image under the modified disk flow through p -admissible curves.*

Proof. For brevity, let the image of γ under the modified disk flow at p be denoted by η (i.e, $\eta = \gamma_1$). It is enough to define a homotopy in B_i between $\gamma \cap B_i$ and $\eta \cap B_i$ for each i . Enumerate the arcs of $\gamma \cap B_i$ and call them γ_i^j , and let the corresponding arcs of $\eta \cap B_i$ be called η_i^j . To ensure our homotopy is through p -admissible curves, we want to homotope γ_i^j to η_i^j through arcs that do not intersect each other except perhaps non-transversely at p .

If the region bounded by $\gamma_i^j * -\eta_i^j$ contained no other arcs of γ , we could homotope γ_i^j to η_i^j without creating intersections. By p -admissibility, if any other γ_i^k crosses η_i^j , $k \neq j$, necessarily it crosses an even number of times (excluding a possible tangency at p). Thus the region bounded by $\gamma_i^j * -\eta_i^j$ is divided into regions bounded by an arc of η_i^j and an arc of γ . Call these regions digons. Of all the digons cobounded by arcs of η_i^j , consider one that does not contain any other digon cobounded by an arc of η_i^j . We can homotope the other bounding arc of this digon to an arc of η_i^j without intersecting anything other arc of γ (see Figure 2), thus removing this digon. Then we slide the arc slightly so it lies outside the bounds of $\gamma_i^j * -\eta_i^j$ and does not intersect η_i^j . Repeat this process until all the digons cobounded by η_i^j inside $\gamma_i^j * -\eta_i^j$ have been removed. We can then homotope γ_i^j to η_i^j without introducing intersection points. Repeat this process for every j . \square

As before, we define γ_t for $t \in [n, n+1]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by iterating the modified disk flow procedure described above.

Now that we have defined our procedure, we prove a lemma that clarifies some of its behaviour.

Lemma 2. *Let γ be a p -admissible curve in M . Suppose the arc γ_0 of $\gamma \cap B_1$ containing $\gamma(0)$ intersects ∂B_1 in two points. Suppose there is another arc of $\gamma \cap B_1$, say γ' . Let B' be the arc of ∂B_1 connecting the endpoints of γ' that does not contain the endpoints of γ_0 . The arc B' subtends an angle θ in ∂B_1 . Under the modified disk flow, γ' will be replaced by a minimizing geodesic if and only if $\theta \leq \pi$.*

Proof. Note that such an arc B' exists because γ is p -admissible.

Denote the geodesic rays connecting p to the endpoints of γ_0 by α and β . We need to determine when the minimizing geodesic η connecting the endpoints of γ' crosses $\alpha \cup \beta$. Denote the geodesic rays connecting p to




Figure 2: Eliminating a digon in order to homotope an arc to the corresponding geodesic segment. The dashed line is η_i^j and the solid line is an arc of γ .

the endpoints of γ' by α' and β' . If $\theta \leq \pi$, then $\alpha' \cup \beta' \cup B'$ bounds a convex region, and hence the closure of this region contains η . On the other hand, this region does not contain $\alpha \cup \beta$, so η will not intersect them (except perhaps non-transversely at p if $\theta = \pi$). Thus γ' will be replaced by η under the modified disk flow. Alternatively, if $\theta > \pi$, then the complement of the region bounded by $\alpha \cup \beta$ is convex, and hence the complement contains both η and $\alpha \cup \beta$. Necessarily, η must cross both α and β transversely. Thus γ' will be replaced by two rays through p under the modified disk flow. \square

The rest of this section is dedicated to proving certain properties of the modified disk flow. In order to successfully apply the Lusternik–Schnirelmann proof, we require the following.

1. The modified disk flow must preserve p -admissibility.
2. Under repeated application of the modified disk flow, a curve must converge (up to a subsequence) to either the point curve p , to a union of at least two distinct simple geodesic loops at p , or to a single prime simple geodesic loop at p .
3. Under the modified disk flow, a curve's length is strictly decreased unless it is a union of geodesic loops at p .
4. The modified disk flow can be extended to one- and two-parameter families of p -admissible curves.

5. A family of p -admissible curves must be homotopic via p -admissible curves to its image under the modified disk flow.

We first prove that the modified disk flow preserves p -admissibility.

Lemma 3. *Let γ be a p -admissible curve in M . Then the image of γ under the modified disk flow is also p -admissible.*

Proof. Because we are replacing arcs with minimizing geodesics, the original disk flow does not create transverse intersections. This is shown in Theorem 1.8 of [3]. Therefore, because p -admissible curves have self-intersections only in B_1 and the only differences between the original and modified disk flows occur in B_1 , we will only consider what happens in B_1 . If γ lies entirely in $\overline{B_1}$, then its image under the disk flow is the point p . Otherwise, the arc γ_0 of $\gamma \cap B_1$ containing $\gamma(0)$ intersects ∂B_1 in two distinct points. Denote the two geodesic rays that connect these two points to p by α and β .

Apply the modified disk flow to γ in B_1 . Because γ is p -admissible, if we obtain a transverse self-intersection after the modified disk flow it must be due to a minimizing geodesic crossing a pair of rays. By Lemma 2, every ray pair obtained by applying the modified disk flow bounds a convex sector of B_1 that contains α and β . Therefore a minimizing geodesic that crosses any ray pair transversely must also cross α and β transversely (see Figure 3). This contradicts the fact that in such a case we would have replaced the original arc corresponding to this minimizing geodesic with a pair of rays through p instead. Thus there can be no transverse self-intersections in B_1 .

Suppose we obtain a non-transverse self-intersection. After applying the modified disk flow, $\gamma \cap B_1$ consists of minimizing geodesic arcs connecting either a pair of points of ∂B_1 or p and a point of ∂B_1 . Therefore a non-transverse intersection occurs only when two geodesic segments of γ coincide, meet at a point on ∂B_1 , or meet at p . By definition, non-transverse intersections at p are allowed for a p -admissible curve. The other two cases occur when two arcs of the original $\gamma \cap B_1$ share either one or both endpoints on ∂B_1 , which does not occur because γ only has self-intersections at p . Thus γ remains p -admissible after applying the modified disk flow. \square

The remaining properties of a single curve under the modified disk flow will be proved directly in a manner similar to the proof for the original disk flow (Theorem 1.8 of [3]). Next we prove that the modified disk flow does not increase the lengths of curves.

Lemma 4. *Let γ be a p -admissible curve in M . Then the image of γ under the modified disk flow is not longer than γ .*




Figure 3: A minimizing geodesic η that crosses the pair of rays α' and β' must also cross the inner pair of rays α and β .

Proof. The standard disk flow does not increase lengths since arcs are always being replaced by minimizing geodesics with the same endpoints. Thus the only way we might make γ longer is when we replace an arc in B_1 with two rays through p that do not form a minimizing geodesic. Any arc of $\gamma \cap B_1$ that passes through p is not lengthened by replacing it with the two rays that connect its endpoints to p . Therefore we only need to consider an arc γ' with endpoints on ∂B_1 that does not pass through p .

Suppose that under the modified disk flow γ' gets replaced by two rays α', β' that do not form a minimizing geodesic. Let γ_0 be the arc of $\gamma \cap B_1$ containing $\gamma(0)$. As in Lemma 3, α' and β' bound a convex sector of angle strictly less than π that contains the endpoints of γ_0 . Therefore we can pick a minimizing geodesic η that passes through p and connects two points on ∂B_1 that lie outside of this sector. Necessarily, the endpoints of γ' and γ_0 lie on the same side of η . The arcs γ_0 and η both pass through p , while γ' does not pass through p but also does not cross γ_0 . Therefore γ' must intersect η at least twice (see Figure 4, left). Therefore replacing an arc of γ' with an arc of η that passes through p creates a curve no longer than γ' that passes through p (see Figure 4, right). We have now reduced to our preliminary case, and the result follows. \square

We now show that a subsequence of the curves obtained by applying the modified disk flow will converge to either a point curve or some number of simple geodesic loops based at p . Again, we follow the proof structure for the corresponding theorem for the original disk flow.




Figure 4: Left: there exists a diameter that lies outside the sector given by the endpoints of γ_0 and intersects γ' at least twice. Right: the curve obtained by replacing a section of γ' with a portion of a diameter, which is shorter than γ' .

Lemma 5. *Let γ be a p -admissible loop and let γ_t , $t \in [0, \infty)$, denote the family obtained by applying the modified disk flow. Then the following hold.*

1. *A subsequence of the curves γ_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}$, either converges to the point curve p or to a union of simple geodesic loops γ_∞ at p . In the latter case, $L(\gamma_\infty) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} L(\gamma_i) \leq L(\gamma)$.*
2. *$L(\gamma_{i+1}) = L(\gamma_i)$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if γ_i is a point curve or a union of simple geodesic loops at p .*

Proof. Lemma 4 proves that the lengths of the curves γ_i is non-increasing, and hence uniformly bounded by $L(\gamma)$. Therefore we can apply the Arzèla–Ascoli theorem to conclude the existence of a convergent subsequence with uniform limit γ_∞ satisfying $L(\gamma_\infty) \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} L(\gamma_t)$. If $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} L(\gamma_t)$ is zero, then γ_∞ is necessarily a point curve and $L(\gamma_\infty) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} L(\gamma_i)$ as claimed.

Otherwise, assume $0 < \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} L(\gamma_t)$. We will first show that γ_∞ is a piecewise geodesic curve with vertices only at p (i.e., a union of geodesic loops at p). Suppose this is not the case. Then there are two possibilities. The first option is that γ_∞ contains a piecewise geodesic subarc with a vertex at some $x \in \partial B_i$ (note that $x \neq p$). The point x lies in the interior of some B_j , $j \neq i$. Then γ_∞ can be strictly shortened by applying the modified disk flow again, since the non-geodesic arc in B_j containing x will be replaced by

a minimizing geodesic. The other possibility is that γ_∞ has a non-geodesic subarc lying entirely inside some B_i that is not formed of two minimizing geodesic rays through p . Once again, another application of the modified disk flow will produce a strictly shorter curve.

In either case, our new curve is of length $L(\gamma_\infty) - \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. We will show that this contradicts the fact that $L(\gamma_\infty) \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} L(\gamma_t)$. Choose k large enough so that γ_k is within distance $\epsilon/10$ of γ_∞ in the sup norm. Then an application of the modified disk flow must also strictly shorten γ_k , say by some $\delta > 0$, since it is very close to γ_∞ . By definition we have $L(\gamma_k) = L(\gamma_{k+1}) + \delta$. Conversely, we know that $L(\gamma_l) - L(\gamma_\infty) < \delta$ for any sufficiently large l , and in particular for k . In combination, we have that $L(\gamma_{k+1}) < L(\gamma_\infty)$, contradicting the fact that $L(\gamma_\infty) \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} L(\gamma_t)$. Thus γ_∞ must be a union of geodesic loops at p .

Note that the loops in the limit are also simple. This is because γ_t is p -admissible for all $t < \infty$, and hence has only non-transverse self-intersections at p . Therefore the same is true of γ_∞ . Because geodesic segments never have non-transverse self-intersections, each loop of γ_∞ is simple. Of course, γ_∞ may have self-intersections at p .

We now address the second claim. If $L(\gamma_i) = 0$, then γ_i is a point curve and hence γ_j is a point curve for all $j > i$. If $L(\gamma_i) > 0$ and γ_i is not of the desired form, then, as in the proof of the first claim, an application of the modified disk flow will produce a strictly shorter curve. However, this contradicts the fact that $L(\gamma_i) = L(\gamma_{i+1})$. \square

From now on, we will let γ_∞ denote the limit of a choice of such a subsequence γ_i from the statement of Lemma 5. Our ultimate goal is to find two geometrically distinct geodesic loops based at p . Thus we want to prove that if γ_∞ is non-trivial, it is not given by iterating a single loop multiple times. This is shown in the following two lemmas.

Lemma 6. *Let γ be a p -admissible loop. If γ_∞ is given by iterating only the (simple) geodesic loops η and $-\eta$, then in fact either $\gamma_\infty = \eta^a$ or $\gamma_\infty = -\eta^a$ for some $a \geq 1$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, orient η so that the first loop of γ_∞ is η . We will show that no arc of γ_∞ is given by $\eta * -\eta$, and hence that $\gamma_\infty = \eta^a$ for some $a \geq 1$. Suppose instead that some arc converged to $\eta * -\eta$. Consider an arc of the form $\eta|_{[0,s]} * -\eta|_{[0,s]}$ for some small s . No such arc is geodesic and no such arc is arbitrarily well-approximated by a geodesic. Therefore the arcs of γ_i that converge to $\eta|_{[0,s]} * -\eta|_{[0,s]}$ (or at least the portion lying in $B_1 \setminus \cup_{i>1} B_i$) must consist of pairs of geodesic rays through p . However, the fact that they are rays at all means that they must bound a convex

sector that encloses the arc of $\gamma_i \cap B_1$ passing through $\gamma_i(0)$. Let this sector have angle θ_i . Necessarily, θ_i must tend to 0, which we will show leads to a contradiction. We will consider two cases: when the final loop of γ_∞ is η , and when it is $-\eta$.

If the final loop of γ_∞ is η , then the angle at $\gamma_\infty(0)$ is greater than zero since it equals the angle of η at p . Therefore for sufficiently large i the angle at $\gamma_i(0)$ is bounded from below by some $c > 0$ (see Figure 5, left). Then θ_i must be at least as large as c because the corresponding sector contains the arc of γ_∞ through p . In particular, the θ_i cannot tend to zero, which is a contradiction.

On the other hand, if the final loop of γ_∞ is $-\eta$, then the angle at $\gamma_\infty(0)$ is zero. In this case, consider an arc of $\eta * -\eta$ in B_1 of the form $\eta|_{[r,1]} * -\eta|_{[r,1]}$ for some small $r > 0$. As before, this arc is not geodesic and so the arcs of γ_i that converge to it must also consist of pairs of geodesic rays through p whose angles at p tend to 0 (see Figure 5, right). Therefore these rays must also bound an acute sector containing the arc of γ_∞ through $\gamma_\infty(0)$. However, this sector is disjoint from the previous one by p -admissibility, so they cannot both contain the arc of γ_∞ through $\gamma_\infty(0)$. Thus we once again obtain a contradiction. \square




Figure 5: Left: the sector bounded by γ_i when the final loop of γ_∞ is η . Right: the two sector bounded by γ_i when the final loop of γ_∞ is $-\eta$.

Lemma 7. *Let γ be a p -admissible loop. If $\gamma_\infty = \eta^a$ for some geodesic loop η , then $a = 1$ (i.e., γ_∞ is prime).*

Proof. Let r be small enough that $B_r(p)$ is contained in $B_1 \setminus \cup_{i>1} B_i$ and $B_r(p) \cap \eta$ consists of exactly one arc. This is possible because η is simple by Lemma 5. Pick $\epsilon < r/2$ and let i be large enough that γ_i is within distance $\epsilon/2$ of γ_∞ in the sup norm. Because γ_i is p -admissible, we can apply a homotopy of very small width to make γ_i into a simple curve that still lies in an ϵ -neighbourhood of η . Consider the arcs of $\gamma_i \cap B_r(p)$. We will call these arcs Γ_i^j , $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, ordered as follows. Letting $\partial B_r(p)$ be positively oriented, choose some arc of $\gamma_i \cap B_r(p)$ that, with $\partial B_r(p)$, cobounds a region of $B_r(p)$ whose interior contains no other arc of γ_i . This follows from the fact that γ_i is simple. Call this arc Γ_i^1 . Number the other arcs of $\gamma_i \cap B_r(p)$ in the order in which they are encountered when following ∂B_1 from the endpoint of Γ_i^1 . This ordering is well-defined because γ_i has no transverse self-intersections and self-intersects only at p . Moreover, let x_j be the initial point of the arc Γ_i^j and let y_j be the end point, according to the orientation of γ_i . These points are necessarily arranged along ∂B_1 in the cyclic order $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k, y_k, y_{k-1}, \dots, y_2, y_1$.




Figure 6: Separating the endpoints of arcs Γ_i^j by $\gamma_{x_1, y_m} \cup \eta_{x_1, y_m}$ (left) and $\gamma_{x_l, y_1} \cup \eta_{x_l, y_1}$ (right).

Because $B_r(p) \cap \eta$ only contains the arc of η passing through p , for sufficiently small ϵ every Γ_i^j represents an arc of γ_i that is converging to an arc of the form $\eta|_{[1-s, 1]} * \eta|_{[0, s]}$ for some s . Moreover, every arc of γ_i that converges to a copy of η corresponds to exactly one arc Γ_i^j . We will now prove that $k = 1$, and hence that $\gamma_\infty = \eta$. Following γ_i forward from y_1 , we next encounter some x_l . Let the arc of γ_i joining these two points be denoted γ_{y_1, x_l} , noting that this arc lies entirely outside of B_1 . Going backwards along

γ_i , x_1 is followed by some y_m . Let the arc of γ_i joining these two points be denoted γ_{y_m, x_1} , which we note again lies outside B_1 . If ρ_{x_l, y_1} is the minimizing geodesic in B_1 connecting x_l and y_1 , then x_1 is separated from any y_i with $i > 1$ and any x_j with $j > l$ by the closed curve $\gamma_{y_1, x_l} * \rho_{x_l, y_1}$ (see Figure 6, left). Similarly, y_1 is separated from any x_i with $i > 1$ and any y_j with $j > m$ by the closed curve $\gamma_{y_m, x_1} * \rho_{x_1, y_m}$ (see Figure 6, right). However, this means that the arcs γ_{y_1, x_l} and γ_{y_m, x_1} must either cross, which they cannot by p -admissibility, or they coincide (i.e., they are the same portion of γ). Thus we must have $x_l = x_1$ and $y_m = y_1$, meaning that $k = 1$ and hence that $\gamma_\infty = \eta$. \square

Consequently, we can find a subsequence of curves with decreasing lengths that converges to either a point or a union of simple geodesic loops. If we obtain loops, then either at least two of our loops have distinct images or we obtain a single prime loop.

We now show how to apply the modified disk flow to one- or two-parameter families of p -admissible curves. A k -parameter family of p -admissible curves is a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow \Pi_p(M)$, where X is a k -dimensional manifold and $\Pi_p(M)$ is the space of parameterized p -admissible curves. As in the original disk flow, if a curve in a family is ever tangent to one of the circles ∂B_i , there may be discontinuities in the disk flow process. This is because, under the (modified) disk flow, an arc with a tangency will be replaced by a pair of geodesic segments, while a nearby arc that lies entirely within the disk will be replaced by only one geodesic segment. Thus, following [3], after we apply the modified disk flow we must replace the tangent arc with a family of arcs that interpolates between the pair of geodesics and the single geodesic (see Figure 7). In the case of a curve with two tangent points, there may be a similar discontinuity when there are curves with only one tangent point nearby. Note that, following Lemma 3.1 of [3], our covering can be modified slightly so that no curve in a one-parameter family has more than one tangency point, and no curve in a two-parameter family has more than two tangency points.

In order to fill in discontinuities, we need to interpolate between a minimizing geodesic and a piecewise minimizing geodesic with the same endpoints. This pair of curves collectively bounds a union of convex polygons with geodesic edges. Thus we now show how to homotope from one edge of the boundary of a convex polygon to the other edges while fixing endpoints.

Lemma 8. *Any edge of a convex geodesic polygon can be homotoped to the remaining edges through a length-non-increasing homotopy with fixed endpoints. Moreover, this homotopy depends continuously on the polygon.*




Figure 7: A family of curves in a disk before and after applying the (modified) disk flow. One of the curves is tangent to the boundary. To fix the resulting discontinuity, we must interpolate between the solid and dashed curves bounding the grey triangle.




Figure 8: An example of an interpolating homotopy between edges of a geodesic triangle.

Proof. Let $\rho_{a,b}$ denote the unique minimizing geodesic connecting any two points a and b in the polygon. If we want our homotopy to end at the single edge $\rho_{x,y}$, let $u(t) : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ be a parameterization of the remaining edges of the polygon in question. Then we can simply take our homotopy to be $t \mapsto u|_{[0,t]} * \rho_{u(t), u(1-t)} * u|_{[1-t,1]}$ (see Figure 8 for an example case). Note that these homotopies are p -admissible, since these convex polygons necessarily do not contain any other arcs of the curve in their interiors. Moreover, these homotopies are independent of the orientation of the underlying curve, vary continuously with respect to the original curves. They are also length non-increasing, since we are replacing arcs of u by minimizing geodesics. \square

We now define the modified disk flow on one- or two-parameter families of p -admissible curves. Given a family $f : X \rightarrow \Pi_p(M)$, we will define a homotopy $f_t : X \rightarrow \Pi_p(M)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, between $f = f_0$ and some f_1 . We call

f_1 the image of f under an application of the modified disk flow.

Lemma 9. *The modified disk flow can be extended to continuous one- and two-parameter families of p -admissible curves.*

Proof. Consider the family $f : X \rightarrow \Pi_p(M)$, where X is a one- or two-dimensional manifold. As in the original disk flow, discontinuities occur when a curve with one or more tangencies is arbitrarily close to a curve with fewer tangencies. For ease, let $\rho_{a,b}$ denote the unique minimizing geodesic connecting any two points a, b in the ball B_i . There are only a finite number of possible tangency point configurations (see Figure 9). If we want to interpolate between a single tangency and no tangency, or between a single and double tangency, we need to homotope between $\rho_{x,y}$ and $\rho_{x,a} * \rho_{a,y}$ for some $x, y, a \in B_i$. Similarly, to interpolate between a double tangency and no tangency we need to homotope between $\rho_{x,y}$ and $\rho_{x,a} * \rho_{a,b} * \rho_{b,y}$ for some $x, y, a, b \in B_i$.




Figure 9: Possible tangency configurations for one- and two-parameter families of p -admissible curves. To fixed the resulting discontinuities, we must homotope the solid arcs with tangencies to the corresponding dashed arcs.

In the first case, $\rho_{x,a} * \rho_{a,y} * -\rho_{x,y}$ always bounds a single convex geodesic polygon. In the second case, it is possible that $\rho_{x,y}$ and $\rho_{a,b}$ intersect at some point c . When this happens, we can instead homotope $\rho_{x,c}$ to $\rho_{x,a} * \rho_{a,c}$ and $\rho_{c,y}$ to $\rho_{c,b} * \rho_{b,y}$. As before, $\rho_{x,a} * \rho_{a,c} * -\rho_{x,c}$ and $\rho_{c,b} * \rho_{b,y} * -\rho_{y,c}$ each bound a convex geodesic polygon. If there is no such intersection, then $\rho_{x,a} * \rho_{a,b} * \rho_{b,y} * -\rho_{x,y}$ itself bounds a convex geodesic polygon. Thus, in every possible case, our desired homotopy is obtained by homotoping one edge of

a convex geodesic polygon to the other edges. We can do this by applying Lemma 8.

We define f_1 , the image of f under the modified disk flow, by applying the modified disk flow to every curve $f(x), x \in X$, individually and then making the following modifications. A point in the parameter space X corresponding to a curve with a single tangency is replaced by an interval parameterizing one of the above homotopies. A point corresponding to a curve with a double tangency is replaced by a polygon parameterizing the following family. One vertex of the polygon is mapped to the curve with two tangencies, and the other vertices are mapped to adjacent curves with one tangency. The edges parameterize the homotopy from Lemma 8 interpolating between pairs of vertices. The family of curves parameterized by the polygon's boundary can be homotoped to a constant map using the homotopies in Lemma 8. Thus this map is nullhomotopic in the space of p -admissible curves and therefore can be extended to the interior of the polygon. For further details, see the proof of Lemma 3.8 in [3]. Note that these homotopies do not introduce self-intersections, since the regions we are "filling in" necessarily do not contain any other arcs of the curve. Moreover, they are independent of the parameterization and orientation of the underlying curve.

Now we will show that no discontinuities arise from our modifications to the disk flow. As long as a continuous family of arcs (not tangent to B_1) are all either replaced by rays or all replaced by geodesics, then the resultant curves also form a continuous family because geodesics in B_1 vary continuously as a function of their endpoints. Thus we only need to examine the transition between these two cases. Recall that the curves in our family are denoted by $f(x), x \in X$. Let $f(x)_0$ denote the arc of $f(x) \cap B_1$ through $p = (f(x))(0)$ and let $\alpha(x)$ and $\beta(x)$ denote the geodesic rays connecting p to the endpoints of $f(x)_0$. Let the other arcs of $f(x) \cap B_1$ be denoted $f(x)_i$ for $i > 0$. Then, as in Lemma 3, the rays connecting p to the endpoints of $f(x)_i$ bound a sector of B_1 containing $\alpha(x) \cup \beta(x)$. This sector has angle $\theta(x)_i$, and by Lemma 2 $f(x)_i$ is replaced by a minimizing geodesic if and only if $\theta(x)_i \geq \pi$. Otherwise, it is replaced by two rays. Note that the rays $\alpha(x)$ and $\beta(x)$ change continuously with x (assuming the absence of tangencies), as does each $\theta(x)_i$. Therefore the family of arcs $f(x)_i$ can only transition between being replaced by rays and replaced by geodesics when $\theta(x)_i$ passes through π . However, a pair of geodesic rays meeting at an angle π is also a minimizing geodesic, so the transition is in fact continuous.

The above process defines a continuous final family f_1 . We now define the family f_t for times $0 < t < 1$. As before, we can define it in each disk B_i individually. First, suppose $i \neq 0$. For any curve $f(x)$ in our family, the arcs $f(x) \cap B_i$ are simple and disjoint. Extend them to a continuous family of

disjoint simple arcs sweeping out B_i and apply the modified disk flow to these arcs individually. The resulting arcs are also simple and disjoint. Therefore this gives rise to a diffeomorphism of the disk that fixes the boundary. For B_1 , we have to work a little harder to produce a diffeomorphism because the modified disk flow can map disjoint arcs to arcs that intersect at p and vice versa. However, by Lemma 3 our family is formed from p -admissible curves both before and after applying the modified disk flow. Therefore we can continuously perturb any curve and its image inside B_1 so that they both consist of simple, disjoint arcs. Then we can once again extend these arcs to a sweepout of B_i and obtain a diffeomorphism for each curve.

These diffeomorphisms depend continuously on the curve $f(x)$, since the image by the modified disk flow depends continuously on the original curve by Lemma 9. Thus we have a continuous map of the parameter space X into $\text{Diff}(D, \text{rel } \partial)$, the space of boundary-fixing diffeomorphisms of the disk. Because $\text{Diff}(D, \text{rel } \partial)$ is contractible, this map is homotopic to the constant map that sends every point of X to the identity diffeomorphism. Call this homotopy $F : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Diff}(D, \text{rel } \partial)$. We thus obtain a homotopy from the image family f_1 to the original family $f = f_0$ by the map $f_t(x) \rightarrow (F(x, t))(f(x))$ for $x \in X, t \in [0, 1]$, which completes our definition of the modified disk flow on families. \square

2.2 Short Meridional Slicings

We now need to find suitable families of curves to which we can apply the modified disk flow. In the standard Lusternik–Schnirelmann proof, one can use cycles made of meridians on the round sphere. While we could simply take the images of those curves under a diffeomorphism, this would not provide us with a length bound. Instead, we do the following. If p is the point where we want to base our geodesic loops, pick a point q that is at locally maximal distance from p in M . These two points are analogous to the poles on the sphere. By Berger’s Lemma, for any $v \in T_q M$ there is a minimizing geodesic γ from q to p with $\langle \gamma'(0), v \rangle \geq 0$. Therefore we can pick either two or three such geodesics, denoted τ_i and cyclically indexed, such that $\langle \tau'_i(0), \tau'_{i+1}(0) \rangle \geq 0$. Our meridional curves will be given by interpolating between these geodesics.

We will construct these interpolations in two steps. First, we will contract the curves $-\tau_i * \tau_{i+1}$ through loops based at p , creating homotopies whose lengths are bounded in terms of the diameter d . We then apply the following lemma, due to M. Maeda [6], to convert this homotopy to a homotopy between τ_i and τ_{i+1} through curves whose lengths are also bounded.

Lemma 10 (M. Maeda, 1994 [6]). *Suppose γ_1 and γ_2 are arcs with shared endpoints p and q . Suppose also that $\gamma_1 * -\gamma_2$ can be homotoped to p via loops based at p of length at most L . Then γ_1 can be homotoped to γ_2 through curves of length at most $L(\gamma_1) + L$. \square*

If the homotopy from $\gamma_1 * -\gamma_2$ to p given in the statement of the lemma is denoted by Γ_t , then the homotopy from γ_1 to γ_2 is given explicitly by applying the homotopy $-\Gamma_t * \gamma_1$, $t \in [0, 1]$, followed by the homotopy $\gamma_2 * -\gamma_1 \mid_{[0,1-t]} * \gamma_1 \mid_{[0,1-t]}$, $t \in [0, 1]$.

First, however, we need to determine how to contract the loops $-\tau_i * \tau_{i+1}$. If we use the modified disk flow, fixing p , the homotopy may stall at a union of geodesic loops instead of a point curve. Indeed, it is possible there are only two geodesics, τ_1, τ_2 , which cover two halves of a single closed geodesic through p . Such a curve will be fixed by the disk flow. Therefore a more involved algorithm is needed.

For brevity, we utilize the following definition.

Definition 2 (Geodesic Digons). *A closed region bounded by a closed curve consisting of the concatenation of two (minimizing) geodesic segments is called a (minimizing) geodesic digon. The two endpoints of the segments are called vertices.*

In particular, the loops we want to contract are the boundaries of minimizing geodesic digons with vertices at p and q , and with an acute angle at q . The behaviour of the angles at p and q will help us control the behaviour of the modified disk flow.

We will also use the fact that q lies in the cut locus of p , allowing us to exploit the structure of the cut locus. In particular, we can take advantage of the following theorem.

Theorem 2 (S. B. Myers, 1935 [7]). *Suppose M is a Riemannian 2-sphere with an analytic metric. Then for any $x \in M$, the cut locus of x consists of either a single point or a finite embedded tree. If the cut locus is a single point, this point is conjugate on every geodesic connecting it to x . If instead the cut locus is a tree, then the degree of any point y equals the number of minimizing geodesics connecting x to y . Moreover, M consists of a disk with geodesic polar co-ordinates centred at x glued along the cut locus of x . \square*

Because the cut locus is finite, we will be able to induct on the number of vertices, say v , that are contained in our digon, which we will call Δ . Using Theorem 2, we will show that either q is at a vertex of the cut locus of p , or we can homotope Δ to a digon that contains at most $v - 1$ vertices of the cut locus. In the latter case, we proceed by induction on v . If q is at

a vertex, then some number of edges of the cut locus incident to q lie in Δ (or else Δ would be easily contractible to p). The number of these branches corresponds to the number of minimizing geodesic digons with vertices at p and q that Δ covers. We will choose a suitable “interior” digon, called Δ' , with the property that the angle of Δ' at q is still at most π . We then apply the modified disk flow to the boundary of Δ' . By the following lemma, any loops obtained from applying the modified disk flow lie within Δ' .

Lemma 11. *Suppose Ω is a closed region bounded by a piecewise geodesic curve $\partial\Omega$ that passes through p . Suppose every interior angle at every vertex of $\partial\Omega$, except perhaps the one at p , is at most π . Then any simple curve γ in Ω (including $\partial\Omega$ if it is simple) remains in Ω under the modified disk flow.*

Proof. Let the family obtained by applying the modified disk flow to γ be denoted by γ_t . We need to ensure that for every t , γ_t lies within Ω . It is enough to check that γ remains in Ω after applying the disk flow once. In each ball B_i with $i > 1$, $\Omega \cap B_i$ is a convex region containing $\gamma \cap B_i$. Therefore when each arc of $\gamma \cap B_i$ is replaced by a minimizing geodesic segment, this segment will necessarily lie in $\Omega \cap B_i$.

Now consider B_1 . If the interior angle of $\partial\Omega$ at p is at most π , then $\Omega \cap B_1$ is also convex and the result holds. Suppose instead the angle at p is greater than π . As before, let γ_0 denote the arc of $\gamma \cap B_1$ through $\gamma(0)$ and denote the two rays connecting p to the endpoints of γ_0 by α and β . Consider the closed sector S in $\Omega \cap B_1$ bounded by α and β (since $p \in \partial\Omega$, there is a unique such sector). Then $(\Omega \cap B_1) \setminus S$ consists of two other sectors S' and S'' with vertex at p . Suppose there is another arc of $\gamma \cap B_1$, say γ' . Because γ is simple, γ' does not pass through p and hence must lie in exactly one of the three sectors. As in Lemma 2, γ' bounds a fourth sector with vertex at p that does not contain the endpoints of γ_0 , and γ' is only replaced by a minimizing geodesic if that sector has an acute angle at p (i.e., if it is convex). If γ is replaced by two geodesic rays through p , then its image under the modified disk flow will necessarily lie in Ω . Otherwise, the fourth sector has an acute angle. Because γ' does not pass through p , this sector is strictly contained in either S, S' or S'' . Therefore γ' lies in a convex sector within Ω , and hence the minimizing geodesic connecting the endpoints of γ' lies entirely within $\Omega \cap B_1$, as desired. \square

Therefore we either succeed in contracting $\partial\Delta'$ or we encounter some simple geodesic loops in Δ' based at p of length at most $L(\partial\Delta') \leq 2d$. If M contains two such loops, then Theorem 1 is proved. Therefore we can assume that either we can contract $\partial\Delta'$ or Δ' contains the only such loop. Then any digon satisfying the hypotheses of Lemma 11 that lies outside of Δ' is

contractible via the disk flow. Therefore if we can contract the loop in Δ' , we can homotope Δ to $\Delta \setminus \Delta'$ via Lemma 10. Then $\Delta \setminus \Delta'$, and hence Δ itself, is easily contractible. We detail this process in the following lemma.

Lemma 12. *Let Δ be a minimizing geodesic digon with vertices p and q . Suppose also the interior angle of $\partial\Delta$ at q is at most π . Then either there are at least two simple geodesic loops at p of length at most $2d$ in the interior of Δ or $\partial\Delta$ can be contracted to p through loops based at p of length at most $6d$.*

Proof. Assume that Δ contains at most one such loop. Recall by Theorem 2 that the cut locus of p has the structure of an embedded tree or a single point. We will consider a point to be a tree consisting of a single vertex. Therefore, suppose that the closure of Δ contains exactly v vertices of the cut locus of p . We will proceed by induction on v and the number of loops contained in Δ , which we denote by m , to show that Δ can be contracted through loops based at p of length bounded by some function $c(v, m)$. Note that $v \geq 1$, since q lies on the cut locus of p , and $0 \leq m \leq 1$ by assumption.

Note that if q lies within an edge of the cut locus (i.e., it is not a vertex), then $\partial\Delta$ can be homotoped to a minimizing geodesic digon with vertices at p and some cut locus vertex $q' \in \Delta$. This is possible since minimizing geodesics vary continuously as their endpoint moves within an edge of the cut locus. Thus we can assume that q lies on a vertex.

Our base case is when $v = 1$. Since q is a vertex, the cut locus intersects $\partial\Delta$ only at q . Therefore $\partial\Delta$ can be contracted through curves of length at most $c(1, m) = 2d$ by perturbing it off of q and applying the modified disk flow.

Suppose $v > 1$. Note that the cut locus must be a tree in this case. Therefore by Theorem 2, the total number of minimizing geodesic segments connect p and q depends on the degree of q . By the same result, at least one edge of the cut locus incident to q is contained in Δ . If only one edge lies in Δ , then Δ can be homotoped to a new digon (contained in Δ) by moving its two bounding geodesics along this edge until they reach the next vertex contained in Δ . This new digon contains $v - 1$ vertices, so by induction it can be contracted through loops of length $c(v - 1, m)$.

Suppose instead that there are at least two edges of the cut locus incident to q within Δ . Then the geodesics emanating from between these edges split Δ into minimizing geodesic digons whose interiors are disjoint. Exactly two of these are bounded by one of the edges of $\partial\Delta$ and some other minimizing geodesic. Because the angles between adjacent minimizing geodesics at each vertex must sum to 2π , at least one of these two digons has an angle at q that is at most π . Call this digon Δ' . Apply the modified disk flow to $\partial\Delta'$.

If $\partial\Delta'$ contracts to a point, then we can apply Lemma 10 to homotope one of the edges of $\partial\Delta'$ to the other via curves of length at most $3d$. Therefore $\partial\Delta$ can be homotoped to $\partial(\Delta \setminus \Delta')$ through curves of length at most $4d$, since $\partial\Delta'$ and $\partial\Delta$ share an edge. Because Δ' contains an edge of the cut locus and hence at least one vertex in its interior, $\Delta \setminus \Delta'$ contains at most $v - 1$ vertices of the cut locus. Thus by induction this digon can be contracted through loops of length $c(v - 1, m)$. Thus Δ is contractible through loops of length at most $\max\{4d, c(v - 1, m)\}$.

If $m = 1$, then the other possibility is that the modified disk flow will contract $\partial\Delta'$ to the unique simple geodesic loop based at p of length at most $2d$, which we will call γ . We need to contract this loop in order to finish our contraction of Δ . Because γ is a geodesic loop (and hence minimizing for at most half its length), it must also intersect the cut locus of p . Follow γ from $\gamma(0)$ to its first intersection point with the cut locus, say $\gamma(t_0)$. By definition, the arc $\gamma|_{[0, t_0]}$ is minimizing. If $\gamma|_{[t_0, 1]}$ is also minimizing, then γ itself bounds a minimizing geodesic digon in Δ' with vertices at p and $\gamma(t_0)$. Moreover, this digon has angle π at $\gamma(t_0)$ and does not contain any short geodesic loops in its interior. Therefore by induction we can contract γ through curves of length at most $c(v - 1, 0)$. Consequently, by Lemma 10 $\partial\Delta$ can be homotoped to $\partial(\Delta \setminus \Delta')$ through curves of length at most $2d + c(v - 1, 0)$, and then $\Delta \setminus \Delta'$ can be contracted through curves of length at most $c(v - 1, 0)$.

On the other hand, suppose $\gamma|_{[t_0, 1]}$ is not minimizing. If $\gamma(t_0)$ is a leaf vertex, then as before we can contract γ through curves of length at most $2d$. Otherwise, there is some other minimizing geodesic η connecting p to $\gamma(t_0)$. We claim that η splits the region enclosed by γ into two digons (see Figure 10, left). Because η is a minimizing geodesic, the only other potential possibility is that η lies outside the region enclosed by γ but inside Δ' (see Figure 10, right). In this case, consider the region bounded by η , $\gamma|_{[t_0, 1]}$ and $\partial\Delta$. This region is bounded by a piecewise geodesic with all interior angles acute except perhaps for one of the angles at p . Therefore by Lemma 11 the image of $\partial\Delta'$ under the modified disk flow must have remained in this region. This contradicts the fact that the image converged to γ . Thus η must split the region bounded by γ into two digons. The angles formed by this pair of digons at $\gamma(t_0)$ are both acute since they lie within the region bounded by γ . Moreover, neither of these digons contain a simple geodesic loop at p of length at most $2d$. Therefore by Lemma 11 the boundaries of each digon can be shortened to a point through loops of length at most $2d$. As before, we can then contract γ (and hence Δ') through loops of length at most $4d$ and then homotope $\partial\Delta$ to $\partial(\Delta \setminus \Delta')$ through loops of length at most $6d$. Then $\Delta \setminus \Delta'$ can be contracted via curves of length at most $c(v - 1, 0)$.

In summary, we have $c(1, 0) = 2d$ and $c(v, 0) = \max\{4d, c(v - 1, 0)\}$, and




Figure 10: The two possible ways a minimizing geodesic η (dashed) can intersect the geodesic loop γ within the digon Δ' .

hence $c(v, 0) = 4d$. Moreover, $c(1, 1) = 2d$ and

$$c(v, 1) = \max\{6d, c(v-1, 1), 2d + c(v-1, 0)\} = \max\{c(v-1, 1), 6d\}.$$

Thus we obtain $c(v, m) = 6d$. \square

Now we know how to contract digons, and hence we know how to contract the disks we obtain by applying Berger's lemma. Therefore we are almost ready to construct our meridional slicing. We will be forming our curve families from pairs of meridional curves, and we need the resultant curves to be simple. We will do this by ensuring that our meridional slicing is "monotone".

Definition 3 (Monotone Slicings). *We call a meridional slicing Γ_t monotone if the curves Γ_t are simple and $\Gamma_t \cap \Gamma_s = \{p, q\}$ for $s \neq t$.*

The existence of a monotone meridional slicing with endpoints at p and q is given by the following three lemmas, the first of which is presented without proof.

Lemma 13. *Let γ be a simple piecewise geodesic curve. Let the family of curves given by applying the modified disk flow to γ be denoted γ_t . Then γ_t is homotopic to a monotone homotopy through p -admissible curves starting at γ and ending at γ_1 . Moreover, the image of the new family lies within the image of the original family. \square*

Lemma 14. *The contracting homotopy described in Lemma 12 can be made monotone.*

Proof. The homotopy in Lemma 12 is given by a concatenation of homotopies of digons and geodesic loops. Because any two minimizing geodesics emanating from p do not intersect except at p and perhaps a point on the cut locus, the homotopy formed by moving a pair of minimizing geodesics down an edge of the cut locus of p is monotone. Moreover, the image of the homotopy lies between the digons formed at the vertices of the edge. Therefore it is enough to check that when shortening a digon in our process, the resulting homotopy is monotone and its image lies within the digon. This holds by Lemmas 11 and 13. \square

Now we combine the results of this section to form our meridional slicing.

Lemma 15. *Given $p \in M$, pick any q at locally maximum distance from p in M . Suppose there is at most one geodesic loop based at p of length at most $2d$ in M . Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a monotone meridional slicing Γ_t of M through curves with endpoints at p and q of length at most $7d + \epsilon$.*

Proof. First we find two or three minimizing digons with vertices at p and q as detailed in the introduction to this section. Because q is at locally maximal distance from p , Berger's lemma ensures that given any $v \in T_q M$ there is a minimizing geodesic γ connecting q to p with $\langle \gamma'(0), v \rangle \geq 0$. In particular, there are either two or three minimizing geodesics τ_i from q to p of length at most d , ordered cyclically, so that each pair $\tau_i * -\tau_{i+1}$ bounds a disk D_i and the closure of these disks cover M . Moreover, because the angle formed at q between each adjacent pair is at most π , at least two of these disks must be convex. If there is a third disk it may have an obtuse angle at p . Without loss of generality, order the disks so that D_1 and D_2 are both convex.

Contract each $\partial D_i = -\tau_i * \tau_{i+1}$ through loops based at p via Lemma 12, obtaining a homotopy H_t^i with $L(H_t^i) \leq 6d$. By Lemma 14, we can assume that this homotopy is monotone. Moreover, the curves H_t^i are contained in D_i by Lemma 11. We now use these homotopies to construct a meridional slicing of M , although we will have to be careful to maintain monotonicity. One way to homotope τ_i to τ_{i+1} is to follow the curves $\tau_i * H_t^i$ from $\tau_i = \tau_i * \{p\}$ to $\tau_i * -\tau_i * \tau_{i+1}$, and then contract $\tau_i * -\tau_i$ along itself as in Lemma 10. However, we need to alter this homotopy to be monotone.

Pick $\epsilon > 0$ small enough that $B_\epsilon(p)$ is a totally normal metric ball. Let $f^i(t)$ be the first time that H_t^i intersects $\partial B_{\epsilon(t+1)/2}(p)$, so that $P_t^i = H_t^i|_{[f^i(t), 1]}$ is a curve from some point on $\partial B_{\epsilon(t+1)/2}(p)$ to p . The curve P_t^i will form the second half of the meridian Γ_t . The first half will be given by a curve σ_t^i from

q to $H_t^i(f^i(t))$, defined so that the curves σ_t^i do not mutually intersect except at q and do not intersect any H_s^i (except perhaps at their endpoints if $s = t$).

First we define a curve T_t^i from q to $\partial B_\epsilon(p)$, which will form the first portion of σ_t^i . Because τ_i is minimizing between p and q , $\tau_i \cap \partial B_\epsilon(p)$ does not lie on the cut locus of q . Therefore, since the cut locus of q is a tree (or a mere point), there is some arc Σ^i of $\partial B_\epsilon(p) \cap D_i$ starting at $\tau_i \cap \partial B_\epsilon(p)$ that does not lie in the cut locus of q . Parameterize this arc by $[0, 1]$ and let T_t^i be the minimizing geodesic connecting q to $\Sigma^i(t)$, ordered so that T_0^i is an arc of τ_i (see Figure 11, left). Necessarily, the curves T_t^i are simple, lie in $D_i \setminus B_\epsilon(p)$ and do not mutually intersect except at q .

Now we connect T_t^i to $H_t^i(f^i(t))$. Let $\rho_t^i : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ be a portion of a radial geodesic in $B_\epsilon(p)$ that connects $T_t^i(1) \in \partial B_\epsilon(p)$ to a point on $\partial B_{\epsilon(t+1)/2}(p)$ (see Figure 11, centre). Let $\eta_t^i : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ be the arc of $\partial B_{\epsilon(t+1)/2}(p) \cap D_i$ that starts at $\rho_t^i(1)$ and ends at the first point of $\partial B_{\epsilon(t+1)/2}(p) \cap H_t^i$ it meets (see Figure 11, right). The curve σ_t^i is then defined as $\sigma_t^i = T_t^i * \rho_t^i * \eta_t^i$. We stress that, again, these curves are simple and do not mutually intersect except at their endpoints.

We are now ready to build the portion of Γ_t given by homotoping τ_i to τ_{i+1} . Recall that $P_t^i = H_t^i|_{[f^i(t), 1]}$ and fix a small $\delta > 0$. First, homotope τ_i to $\sigma_\delta^i * P_\delta^i$ via some monotone homotopy, choosing δ and ϵ so that this homotopy passes through reasonably short curves. Then use the homotopy $t \mapsto \sigma_t^i * P_t^i$ to pass from $\sigma_\delta^i * P_\delta^i$ to $\sigma_{1-\delta}^i * P_{1-\delta}^i$. For small enough δ and ϵ , the curve $\sigma_{1-\delta}^i * P_{1-\delta}^i$ is very close to $\tau_i * -\tau_{i+1}$. Therefore we can apply some monotone homotopy through reasonably short curves to contract one ‘‘arm’’ of $\sigma_{1-\delta}^i * P_{1-\delta}^i$ (the portion approximating $\tau_i * -\tau_{i+1}$), leaving a curve that lies very close to τ_{i+1} . We then homotope this curve to τ_{i+1} . Our desired monotone meridional slicing Γ_t is given by using these homotopies to pass from τ_1 to τ_2 , then to τ_3 if it exists, and then back to τ_1 . Given any constant $c > 0$, we can choose ϵ and δ small enough that the curves Γ_t are bounded in length by $d + 6d + c$. Moreover, because the homotopies between τ_i and τ_{i+1} are monotone and lie in disjoint disks D_i , a generic point on M lies in exactly one curve in this family. Thus this family represents a degree ± 1 map into M , and hence is indeed a meridional slicing. \square

2.3 Counting Loops

We will now use the modified disk flow to prove the existence of two short simple geodesic loops at p in analogy with the proofs of the Lusternik–Schnirelmann theorem given in [3, 4].




Figure 11: Left: Defining T_t^i . Centre: Defining ρ_t^i . Right: Defining η_t^i . The solid line is a typical curve in the meridional slicing.

Proof of Theorem 1. Because we want our geodesic loops to have distinct images, we would like to identify any two curves that differ only in parameterization or orientation. However, the modified disk flow depends on a curve's parameterization – specifically, on the choice of which arc through p contains the image of $t = 0$. Therefore we will follow [4] and consider both parameterized and unparameterized curves in our proof. Let $\Pi_p M$ be the space of parameterized, oriented p -admissible curves in M . Let π be the projection map that sends a parameterized curve to the corresponding unparameterized curve and define $\Sigma_p M = \pi(\Pi_p M)$. We will also make use of the orientation reversing map $R : \Pi_p M \rightarrow \Pi_p M$ defined by $R(\gamma) = -\gamma$.

We are interested in chains in $\Pi_p M$ and cycles in $\Sigma_p M$ with \mathbb{Z}_2 coefficients. If M does not admit two simple geodesic loops at p of length at most $2d$, then by Lemma 15 we have a monotone meridional slicing Γ_t , of M through curves starting at p and ending at some q . Moreover, $L(\Gamma_t)$ is at most $7d$ plus some arbitrarily small constant $c > 0$. We will use Γ_t to define two chains in $\Pi_p M$ which we call u_0 and u_1 . Our cycles in $\Sigma_p M$ will be the images under π of these chains.

For convenience, we will extend Γ_t periodically to all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Define $u_0 : ([0, 1], \{0, 1\}) \rightarrow (\Pi_p M, \{p\})$ as follows. Fix some small $\epsilon > 0$. For $t \in [\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]$, take $u_0(t) = \Gamma_t * -\Gamma_{-t}$. For $t \in [0, \epsilon)$, let u_0 be a monotone

homotopy from p to $\Gamma_\epsilon * -\Gamma_{-\epsilon}$ that increases lengths by at most some small δ , where we take $\epsilon > 0$ small enough that this is possible. Similarly, for $t \in (1 - \epsilon, 1]$, let u_0 be a monotone homotopy from $\Gamma_{1-\epsilon} * -\Gamma_{-1+\epsilon}$ to p that increases lengths by at most δ . The second map $u_1 : ([0, 1], \{0, 1\}) \times [0, 1/2] \rightarrow (\Pi_p M, \{p\})$ is essentially given by rotating u_0 through angle π . Specifically, for $(t, s) \in [\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon] \times [0, 1/2]$, take $u_1(t, s) = \Gamma_{s+t} * -\Gamma_{-s-t}$. When $t \in [0, \epsilon)$, let u_1 be a monotone homotopy from p to $\Gamma_{s+\epsilon} * -\Gamma_{-s-\epsilon}$ that increases lengths by at most δ , and when $t \in (1 - \epsilon, 1]$ let u_1 be a monotone homotopy from $\Gamma_{s+1-\epsilon} * -\Gamma_{-s-1+\epsilon}$ to p . Moreover, we define both homotopies in a way that makes u_1 continuous. Finally, for notational convenience we reparameterize u_0 and u_1 to have domains $t \in [-1, 1]$ and $(t, s) \in [-1, 1] \times [0, 1]$ respectively.

Define the \mathbb{Z}_2 -cycles $v_i = \pi(u_i)$. We are concerned with the following two classes of cycles in $\Sigma_p M$. First, let V_0 be the smallest class of \mathbb{Z}_2 -cycles of the form $v : ([-1, 1], \{\pm 1\}) \rightarrow (\Sigma_p M, \{p\})$ that contains v_0 and contains all \mathbb{Z}_2 -cycles that are homotopic to v_0 through \mathbb{Z}_2 -cycles. By this we mean if $v \in V_0$ then there exists a continuous map $h : ([-1, 1], \{\pm 1\}) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow (\Pi_p M, \{p\})$ such that $h(\cdot, 0) = u_0$ and $v = \pi(h(\cdot, 1))$. Similarly, V_1 is the smallest class of cycles $v : ([-1, 1], \{\pm 1\}) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow (\Sigma_p M, \{p\})$ that contains v_1 and contains all \mathbb{Z}_2 -cycles v that are homotopic to v_1 , in the sense that there is a map $h : ([-1, 1], \{\pm 1\}) \times [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow (\Pi_p M, \{p\})$ such that $h(\cdot, \cdot, 0) = u_1$, $v = \pi(h(\cdot, \cdot, 1))$, and $h(t, 0, \cdot) = R(h(-t, 1, \cdot))$ for each $t \in [-1, 1]$.

We want to find geodesic loops whose lengths realize the min-max values $l_i = \min_{v \in V_i} \max_{\tau} \{L(v(\tau))\}$. Note that $l_0 \leq l_1$ because $u_0(t) = u_1(t, 0)$ and hence any homotopy of v_1 determines a homotopy of v_0 . Secondly, we claim that $l_0 > 0$. Let $f : S^2 \rightarrow M$ be any map that is not nullhomotopic (e.g., the identity map). Suppose u_0^τ is a homotopy of u_0 in the above sense. We want to parameterize S^2 by u_0 and then convert this homotopy to a homotopy f^τ of f . Every point in S^2 lies on some curve $u_0(t)$. First, perturb u_0 slightly near q so that only one curve crosses q and that all curves intersect only at p . Then for $x \neq p$ the choice of curve containing x is unique, so if $x = (u_0(t))(s)$, we define $f^\tau(x) = u_0^\tau(t)(s)$. Our map is also well-defined on p via $f^\tau(p) = p$. Now suppose that $l_0 = 0$, so that u_0 can be homotoped so that its image lies in an arbitrarily small disk around p . Then u_0 can be homotoped via some u_0^τ to the constant map p , and hence f can be homotoped via some f^τ to the constant map p . This is a contradiction of the fact that f is not nullhomotopic, and hence we see that $l_0 > 0$.

In addition, our definition of u_0 and u_1 shows that $l_i \leq 2 \max_t \{L(\Gamma_t)\} = 14d + \delta$ for any $\delta > 0$, and hence $l_i \leq 14d$. In fact, $l_0 \leq 8d$. This is because V_1 contains a cycle defined similarly to v_0 except using $\Gamma_0 * -\Gamma_{-t}$ in place of $\Gamma_t * -\Gamma_t$, where without loss of generality Γ_0 is a shortest curve in the family Γ_t (and hence of length at most d by construction).

Before we can prove anything else useful about l_i and any corresponding critical points, we need the following intermediate lemma (c.f. Lemma 3.12 in [3]).

Lemma 16. *Let K be the (possibly empty) set of unions of non-trivial simple geodesic loops at p of total length $l > 0$. Given any open neighbourhood W of K in $\Pi_p M$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any p -admissible curve γ with $L(\gamma) < l + \delta$, either the modified disk flow decreases the length of γ by at least 2δ or $\gamma \in W$.*

Proof. Suppose the conclusion did not hold. Then we could find some p -admissible loops γ_m so that

1. $L(\gamma_m) < l + 1/m$
2. $L(\gamma'_m) > l - 1/m$
3. $\gamma_m \notin W$

where γ'_m is obtained by applying the modified disk flow to γ_m once. Because their lengths are uniformly bounded, there is a subsequence of the γ_m that converges to some γ_∞ . As in the proof of Lemma 5, the modified disk flow must fix the length of γ_∞ , or else for some large m we would be able to contradict the assertion that $L(\gamma'_m) > l - 1/m$. Therefore by Lemma 5, γ_∞ is either a point curve or a union of simple geodesic loops at p . Moreover, by the same argument we must have $L(\gamma_\infty) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} L(\gamma_m) = l$, since if γ_∞ was much shorter than the γ_m for large m , then γ'_m is much shorter than γ_m . Note that this means that γ_∞ cannot be a point curve, because in this case we could find some γ_m of arbitrarily small length, and hence some γ'_m of arbitrarily small length. Therefore γ_∞ must be a union of simple geodesic loops, so since $L(\gamma_\infty) = l$, γ_∞ is an element of K . In particular, $\gamma_\infty \in W$ and hence $\gamma_m \in W$ for sufficiently large m . This is a contradiction of our choice of γ_m . \square

Using this lemma, we will show that there exists a union of simple geodesic loops at p with collective length realizing the min-max value l_i . Suppose there was no such set of loops. Define $\Pi_p^l M$ as the set of elements of $\Pi_p M$ of length at most l . Then by Lemma 16, there is some $\epsilon > 0$ such that the modified disk flow maps $\Pi_p^{l_i+\epsilon} M$ into $\Pi_p^{l_i-\epsilon} M$ (since we can take $W = \emptyset$). Consider a cycle $v \in V_i$ whose image consists of curves of length at most $l_i + \epsilon$. Then by the definition of V_i there is a continuous map h such that $h(\cdot, 0) = u_i$, $v = \pi(h(\cdot, 1))$, and each $h(\cdot, t)$ is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -cycle in $\Pi_p M$. Define $u = h(\cdot, 1)$. Then by Lemma ?? u will be mapped under the modified disk flow to a homotopic

map u' whose image consists of curves of length at most $l_i - \epsilon$. However, because $\pi(u) \in V_i$ we have $\pi(u') \in V_i$. This is because the outcome of the modified disk flow homotopy does not depend on the orientation of the curve it is applied to, and point curves are fixed, so the homotopy from u to u' satisfies the requisite properties for $\pi(u')$ to be in V_i . This contradicts the definition of l_i , so the desired loops must exist.

Recall that we desire two distinct simple geodesic loops. If the union of loops realizing the value l_0 contains at least two distinct loops in its image, then there are two distinct simple geodesic loops at p whose lengths sum to at most l_0 . Therefore the shorter has length at most $l_0/2$, and the longer has length at most l_0 . Otherwise, the critical value l_0 is realized by a single prime simple geodesic loop of length l_0 . In this case we consider the union of loops realizing the value l_1 . Again, if there are at least two distinct loops in its image, then there are two distinct simple geodesic loops at p whose lengths are at most $l_1/2$ and l_1 , although it is possible that one of these loops is the same as the one that realizes the critical value l_0 . The case of concern is when both l_0 and l_1 are realized by a single loop. If $l_0 \neq l_1$, then these loops must be distinct (since they are prime) and we are done. Suppose instead $l = l_0 = l_1$ and we obtain identical prime loops of length l . If there are any simple geodesic loops at p of length strictly less than l , again we are done because this loop must be distinct from the (prime) loop of length l . If this is not the case, then we claim that there are in fact infinitely many distinct simple geodesic loops at p of length l . We now prove this assertion.

Let W be an open neighbourhood of the set of simple geodesic loops at p of length l . Note that this time we do not need to consider unions of geodesic loops, since we have assumed there are no geodesic loops of length less than l . Using Lemma 16, choose ϵ so that the modified disk flow sends $\Pi_p^{l+\epsilon}M$ into $W \cup \Pi_p^{l-\epsilon}M$. Then we apply the modified disk flow to a chain of curves of length at most $l + \epsilon$ that projects into V_1 , obtaining a map $u : ([-1, 1], \{\pm 1\}) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow (\Pi_p M, \{p\})$ such that $v = \pi(u) \in V_1$ and the image of u lies in $W \cup \Pi_p^{l-\epsilon}M$.

Pick a homotopically non-trivial curve $c(t) = (c_1(t), c_2(t))$ where $c_1 : [0, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ and $c_2 : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $c_1(0) = -c_1(1)$, $c_2(0) = 0$ and $c_2(1) = 1$. We claim that $\text{img } c \cap u^{-1}(W)$ is non-empty. Because there are no simple geodesic loops at p of length strictly less than l , the modified disk flow eventually maps every curve in $\Pi_p^{l-\epsilon}M$ to the point curve p . Therefore if $\text{img } c \cap u^{-1}(W) = \emptyset$, $u \circ c$ would map into $\Pi_p^{l-\epsilon}M$ and hence we could use the modified disk flow to homotope $u \circ c$ to the constant map p . Thus $v \circ c$ would be nullhomologous. However, we also claim that $v \circ c$ is homologous to $v(\cdot, 0)$, which is not nullhomologous. Let $H : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [-1, 1] \times [0, 1]$ be

defined by

$$H(s, t) = (s(c_1(t) + 1) - 1, c_2(t))$$

We claim that $\partial(\pi(u \circ H)) = v(\cdot, 0) + v \circ c$, proving our claim. Note that H is just the straight-line homotopy between the curves $(-1, c_2(t))$ and $c(t)$. Thus its boundary consists of the maps $t \mapsto (-1, c_2(t))$, $t \mapsto c(t)$, $s \mapsto (s(c_1(0) + 1) - 1, 0)$ and $s \mapsto (s(1 - c_1(0)) - 1, 1)$. Since $u(-1, \cdot) = p$, the image of $t \mapsto (-1, c_2(t))$ under u is the point curve p . Because $u(t, 0) = R(u(-t, 1))$, the image of $s \mapsto (s(1 - c_1(0)) - 1, 1)$ under s is the same as the image under u of $s \mapsto (s(c_1(0) - 1) + 1, 0)$, up to orientation. With \mathbb{Z}_2 coefficients, the chains $u(s(c_1(0) - 1) + 1, 0)$ and $u(s(c_1(0) + 1) - 1, 0)$ sum to $u(\cdot, 0)$. Therefore $\partial(\pi(u \circ H)) = v(\cdot, 0) + v \circ c$, so $v(\cdot, 0)$ is homologous to $v \circ c$ in \mathbb{Z}_2 coefficients. This is a contradiction, and hence $c \cap u^{-1}(W) \neq \emptyset$.

Consequently, in fact $u^{-1}(W)$ supports a \mathbb{Z}_2 1-cycle, since otherwise we can assume $\partial(u^{-1}(W))$ consists of closed curves and we could concatenate arcs of $c \cap (u^{-1}(W))^c$ with arcs of $\partial(u^{-1}(W))$ to form a closed curve that does not intersect $u^{-1}(W)$. This is a contradiction unless this curve bounds a disk, in which case the original curve can be pushed entirely into $u^{-1}(W)$. The 1-cycle supported in $u^{-1}(W)$ is the image under π of a map $k(t) = (k_1(t), k_2(t))$, where $k_1 : [0, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ and $k_2 : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $k_1(0) = -k_1(1)$, $k_2(0) = 0$ and $k_2(1) = 1$. If there are only finitely many simple geodesic loops at p of length l , then we can choose W small enough that each connected component contains only curves homotopic to a particular parameterized geodesic loop. Then the image of $u \circ k$ lies entirely in one such connected component. However, the curves $(u \circ k)(0) = u(k_1(0), 0)$ and $(u \circ k)(1) = u(k_1(1), 1) = R(u(-k_1(0), 0))$ are the same geodesic with opposite orientation. Therefore these curves cannot both lie in the same component of W , so we obtain a contradiction.

This proves that there must be two distinct simple geodesic loops at p of length at most $\max\{l_0, l_1/2\}$ and l_1 . Because $l_0 \leq 8d$ and $l_1 \leq 14d$, this proves our main theorem. \square

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Alexander Nabutovsky and Regina Rotman for their invaluable guidance. This work was supported in part by the NSERC Canada Graduate Scholarships Doctoral grant number 456419176. The author also gratefully acknowledges support from the Institute for Advanced Study.

References

- [1] F. Balacheff, H. Parlier, and S. Sabourau. Short loop decompositions of surfaces and the geometry of Jacobians. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 22(1):37–73, 2012.
- [2] H. Y. Cheng. Curvature-free linear length bounds on geodesics in closed Riemannian surfaces. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 375(7):5217–5237, 2022.
- [3] J. Hass and P. Scott. Shortening curves on surfaces. *Topology*, 33(1):25–43, 1994.
- [4] W. Klingenberg. *Lectures on Closed Geodesics*, volume 230 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer–Verlag, 1978.
- [5] Y. Liokumovich, A. Nabutovsky, and R. Rotman. Lengths of three simple periodic geodesics on a Riemannian 2-sphere. *Mathematische Annalen*, 367:831–855, 2017.
- [6] M. Maeda. The length of a closed geodesic on a compact surface. *Kyushu Journal of Mathematics*, 48(1):9–18, 1994.
- [7] S. B. Myers. Connections between differential geometry and topology. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 21(04):225–227, 1935.
- [8] A. Nabutovsky and R. Rotman. Length of geodesics on a two-dimensional sphere. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 131(2):545–569, 2009.
- [9] A. Nabutovsky and R. Rotman. Linear bounds for lengths of geodesic loops on Riemannian 2-spheres. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 89(2):217–232, 2011.
- [10] A. Nabutovsky and R. Rotman. Lengths of simple periodic geodesics on two-dimensional Riemannian spheres. *Journal of Topology and Analysis*, 03(04):423–432, 2011.
- [11] R. Rotman. The length of a shortest geodesic loop at a point. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 78(3):497–519, 2008.
- [12] S. Sabourau. Filling radius and short closed geodesics of the 2-sphere. *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*, 132(1):105–136, 2004.

- [13] J.-P. Serre. Homologie singulière des espaces fibrés. *Annals of Mathematics*, 54(3):425–505, 1951.