

# Asymptotic behaviour of the heat equation in an exterior domain with general boundary conditions I. The case of integrable data.

Joaquín Domínguez-de-Tena<sup>\*,1</sup>  
Aníbal Rodríguez-Bernal<sup>\*,2</sup>

July 18, 2024

Departamento de Análisis Matemático y Matemática Aplicada  
Universidad Complutense de Madrid  
28040 Madrid, Spain  
and  
Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas  
CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM<sup>3</sup>, Spain

<sup>1</sup>E-mail: joadomin@ucm.es

<sup>2</sup>E-mail: arober@ucm.es

**Key words and phrases:** Heat equation, exterior domain, mass loss, asymptotic profiles, asymptotic behaviour, decay rates, Dirichlet, Neumann, Robin boundary conditions.

**Mathematical Subject Classification 2020:** 35K05, 35B40, 35B30, 35E15

## Abstract

In this work, we study the asymptotic behaviour of solutions to the heat equation in exterior domains, i.e., domains which are the complement of a smooth compact set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Different homogeneous boundary conditions are considered, including Dirichlet, Robin, and Neumann conditions for integrable initial data in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . After taking into account the loss of mass of the solution through the boundary, depending on the boundary conditions, we describe the asymptotic spatial distribution of the remaining mass in terms of the Gaussian and of a suitable asymptotic profile function. We show that our results have optimal time rates.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we consider the heat equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ B(u) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ u = u_0 & \text{in } \Omega \times \{0\}, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

---

<sup>\*</sup>Partially supported by Projects PID2019-103860GB-I00 and PID2022-137074NB-I00, MICINN and GR58/08 Grupo 920894, UCM, Spain

<sup>3</sup>Partially supported by ICMAT Severo Ochoa Grant CEX2019-000904-S funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033

in a connected unbounded exterior domain  $\Omega$ , that is, the complement of a compact set  $\mathcal{C}$  that we denote the *hole*, which is the closure of a bounded smooth set; hence,  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \mathcal{C}$ . We will assume, without loss of generality, that  $0 \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}$ , the interior of the hole, and observe that  $\mathcal{C}$  may have different connected components, although  $\Omega$  is connected. The boundary conditions, to be made precise in Section 2, include Dirichlet, Neumann and Robin one, of the form  $B(u) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + bu = 0$  with  $b > 0$ .

If we consider nonnegative initial data in  $L^1(\Omega)$ , which are the most interesting from the physical and probabilistic interpretation of the heat equation, then we see that the hole and the boundary conditions imply that there is some loss of mass of the solution through the boundary of the hole in the case of Dirichlet and Robin boundary conditions. Naturally, there is no loss for Neumann one. Actually, integrating the equation in  $\Omega$ , we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) dx = \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x, t) dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}(x, t) dx.$$

So, since  $u \geq 0$ , for Dirichlet boundary conditions we have  $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$  and then  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \leq 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  and then  $\int_{\Omega} u(x, t) dx$  decreases in time although we have no quantitative estimate of the decay. The same argument holds for Robin boundary conditions  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + bu = 0$  with  $b > 0$ , while for Neumann,  $b = 0$ , the mass is conserved. This is in sharp contrast with the case  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$  where the mass of every solution is conserved and is due to the presence of the hole and the boundary conditions.

Therefore in [DR24a] the problem of understanding and determining the amount of mass lost for any given solution was addressed. It turned out that the answer depends on the dimension. Indeed, the exact amount of mass lost for each initial data  $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$  can be computed as follows: there exists a nonnegative function,  $\Phi$ , denoted the *asymptotic profile*, determined by the domain and boundary conditions alone, such that the amount of mass not lost through the hole by a solution with initial data  $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ , that is, the *asymptotic mass* of the solution, is given by

$$m_{u_0} := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) dx = \int_{\Omega} u_0(x) \Phi(x) dx. \quad (1.2)$$

Of course,  $\Phi \equiv 1$  for Neumann boundary conditions in any dimensions (hence no loss of mass at all for any solution), while for Robin or Dirichlet boundary conditions, if  $N \leq 2$  then  $\Phi \equiv 0$ . That is, all mass is lost through the boundary. On the other hand, if  $N \geq 3$ , then

$$1 - \frac{C}{|x|^{N-2}} \leq \Phi(x) \leq 1 \quad x \in \Omega$$

Hence, if  $N \geq 3$ , then there is a certain remaining mass, while if  $N \leq 2$ , all the mass is lost through the hole. The function  $\Phi$  is a harmonic function in  $\Omega$ ,  $\Phi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^\infty(\Omega)$  and satisfies the boundary conditions  $B(\Phi) \equiv 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . It can be constructed either as the monotonically decreasing limit

$$\Phi(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(x, t; 1_{\Omega}) \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (1.3)$$

that is, the solution of (1.1) with  $u_0 = 1_{\Omega}$ , or as the monotonically decreasing limit

$$\Phi(x) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \phi_R(x) \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\phi_R$  are harmonic in  $\Omega_R := \Omega \cap B(0, R)$  and satisfy  $B(\phi_R)(x) = 0$  for  $x \in \partial\Omega$  and  $\phi_R(x) = 1$  if  $|x| = R$ , see [DR24a] Section 3. Finally, rates of convergence in (1.2) were also given in [DR24a].

Since it can be shown that the supremum of the solution tends to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , see (2.8), we see then that, as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , the asymptotic mass of the initial data is diffused to infinity, that is to

the region  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, in this paper we address the question of the spatial distribution of the asymptotic mass of the solution.

For the case of no hole, that is,  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$  this problem has been addressed in, e.g., [DZ92], or the first chapter in the book [GG10] or in [Váz17]. All these results exploit the explicit form of the heat kernel in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and the outcome is that the mass of the solutions distributes in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  as the total mass of the initial data times the Gaussian heat kernel in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . In [DZ92], the authors describe fine asymptotics of the solution in terms of finite momentums of the initial datum, by showing that the more momentums the initial data has, the more asymptotic terms can be determined in terms of the momentums and derivatives of the heat kernel. For the case of an exterior domain, related results have been obtained for Dirichlet boundary conditions for porous media equations in [BQV07] for porous media or for non-local diffusion problems in [CEQW12]. For the heat equation we are only aware of the results in [Her98], again for Dirichlet boundary conditions, which we will discuss in Remark 4.9.

As in [DZ92, GG10, Váz17] we are going to show that the Gaussian still describes the asymptotic spatial distribution of mass with some corrections. First, as we have seen, we have a phenomenon of loss of mass. Therefore, the Gaussian will be multiplied by the asymptotic mass  $m_{u_0}$  in (1.2). Second, we will also have to take into account the boundary conditions on the hole and therefore the asymptotic profile,  $\Phi$  as in (1.3), (1.4), will show up in the estimate as well. To be more precise, our main result for integrable initial data, that will be proved in Section 5, see Theorem 5.1, is the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume  $N \geq 3$ , or  $N = 2$  and we do not have Neumann boundary conditions, and  $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ . Let  $u(x, t)$  be the solution of (1.1). Then, for any  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{N}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})} \|u(\cdot, t) - m_{u_0} \Phi(\cdot) G(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 0,$$

where  $m_{u_0} = \int_{\Omega} \Phi(x) u_0(x) dx$  is the asymptotic mass and  $G(x, t) = \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{N}{2}}}$  is the Gaussian.

This will be obtained from interpolation from the extreme cases  $p = 1$  in Section 3 and  $p = \infty$  in Section 4, for which we will also prove that the decay rate is optimal, see Theorems 3.6 and 4.7.

Besides these results, in Section 2 we make precise the boundary conditions in (1.1) and introduce some preliminary results on the solutions and on the asymptotic profile  $\Phi$ . Also, we included some short appendixes where we collected some technical auxiliary results needed for some of the proofs. In a forthcoming paper [DR24b], we analyse the case of initial data in  $L^p(\Omega)$  with  $1 < p \leq \infty$ .

In the work in preparation [CGQ24], for Dirichlet boundary conditions, using entropy methods and assuming that  $u_0$  has some finite momentums, similar results to Theorem 1.1 are obtained together with finer convergence rates depending on the momentum of the initial data.

Throughout this paper, we adopt the convention of using  $c$  and  $C$  to represent various constants which may change from line to line, and whose concrete value is not relevant for the results.

## 2 Notations and some preliminary results

All along this paper we consider an exterior domain  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \mathcal{C}$  as in the Introduction, that is, the complement of a compact set  $\mathcal{C}$ , the *hole*, which is the closure of a bounded smooth set and we will assume  $\partial\Omega$  is of class  $C^{2,\alpha}$  for some  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . We will also assume, without loss of generality,  $0 \in \mathring{\mathcal{C}}$ , the interior of the hole, and observe that  $\mathcal{C}$  may have different connected components, although  $\Omega$  is connected.

In  $\Omega$  we consider the heat equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ B_\theta(u) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, \infty) \\ u = u_0 & \text{in } \Omega \times \{0\}, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where we consider Dirichlet, Robin or Neumann homogeneous boundary conditions on  $\partial\Omega$ , written in the form

$$B_\theta(u) := \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\theta(x)\right)\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\theta(x)\right)u, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\theta : \partial\Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is of class  $C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$  for some  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and satisfies either one of the following cases in each connected component of  $\partial\Omega$ :

- (i) Dirichlet conditions:  $\theta \equiv 0$
- (ii) Mixed Neumann and Robin conditions:  $0 < \theta \leq 1$ .

In particular, if  $\theta \equiv 1$  we recover Neumann boundary conditions. In general, we will refer to these as homogeneous  $\theta$ -boundary conditions. Note that, by suitably choosing  $\theta(x)$ , (2.2) includes all boundary conditions of the form  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + b(x)u = 0$ . The restriction  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$  makes  $b(x) \geq 0$  which is the standard dissipative condition. The reason for these notations will be seen in the results below about monotonicity of solutions with respect to  $\theta$ , see (2.5) and (2.6).

In general we will use a superscript  $\theta$  to denote anything related to (2.1). For example, the semigroup of solutions to (2.1) will be denoted by  $S^\theta(t)$  and the associated kernel by  $k^\theta(x, y, t)$ . Sometimes, we will add as subscript  $\Omega$  to indicate the dependence of these objects in the domain.

Then, from the results in [DR24a], (2.1) defines a semigroup of solutions as  $u(t; u_0) = S^\theta(t)u_0$  for several classes of initial data. Actually the semigroup  $\{S^\theta(t)\}_{t>0}$  is an order preserving semigroup of contractions in  $L^p(\Omega)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  which is  $C^0$  if  $p \neq \infty$  and analytic if  $1 < p < \infty$ . In particular, for any  $u_0$  in those spaces,

$$\left| S^\theta(t)u_0(x) \right| \leq S^\theta(t)|u_0|(x), \quad x \in \Omega, t > 0.$$

Also, for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,

$$\int_\Omega f S^\theta(t)g = \int_\Omega g S^\theta(t)f \quad \text{for all } f \in L^p(\Omega), g \in L^q(\Omega)$$

where  $q$  is the conjugate of  $p$ , that is  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Hence, for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the semigroup in  $L^q(\Omega)$  is the adjoint of the semigroup in  $L^p(\Omega)$ . In particular, the semigroup in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  is weak-\* continuous.

In addition, in  $L^p(\Omega)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ , the generator of the semigroup is the Laplacian with domain

$$D^p(\Delta_\theta) = \{u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) : B_\theta(u) = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$$

and is a sectorial operator, see [DDH+04] and [DR24a] for a simple proof when  $p = 2$ . Therefore, for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  the semigroup above provides the unique solution of (2.1), see e.g. Section 4.1 in [Paz10].

If  $p = \infty$ , as in [Lun95] Corollary 3.1.21 and Corollary 3.1.24, the generator is the Laplacian with domain

$$D^\infty(\Delta_\theta) = \left\{ u \in \bigcap_{p \geq 1} W_{loc}^{2,p}(\bar{\Omega}) : u, \Delta u \in L^\infty(\Omega), B_\theta(u) = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \right\} \quad (2.3)$$

and is also a sectorial operator with a non dense domain.

Note that, by the Sobolev embeddings,  $D^\infty(\Delta_\theta) \subset C^{1+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

Moreover, the semigroup has an integral positive kernel, that is,  $k^\theta : \Omega \times \Omega \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that for all  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $u_0 \in L^p(\Omega)$ ,

$$S^\theta(t)u_0(x) = \int_{\Omega} k^\theta(x, y, t)u_0(y)dy, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0. \quad (2.4)$$

In addition,  $k^\theta(x, y, t) = k^\theta(y, x, t)$ , a property that reflects the selfadjointness of the semigroup.

If we consider  $S^{\theta_1}(t)$  and  $S^{\theta_2}(t)$  the semigroups above for different  $\theta$ -boundary conditions we have that if  $0 \leq \theta_1 \leq \theta_2 \leq 1$  then for  $u_0 \geq 0$  we have

$$S^{\theta_1}(t)u_0 \leq S^{\theta_2}(t)u_0 \quad t > 0, \quad (2.5)$$

or equivalently, the corresponding heat kernels satisfy

$$0 < k^{\theta_1}(x, y, t) \leq k^{\theta_2}(x, y, t) \quad x, y \in \Omega, \quad t > 0. \quad (2.6)$$

In particular, for any  $\theta$ -boundary conditions we have Gaussian upper bounds for the heat kernel of the form

$$0 < k^\theta(x, y, t) \leq C \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4ct}}}{t^{N/2}} \quad x, y \in \Omega, \quad t > 0 \quad (2.7)$$

for some constants  $c, C > 0$ , since they hold for Neumann boundary conditions (see [Gyr07] and also [GS11] Theorem 3.10), that is for  $\theta \equiv 1$ , and (2.6), see [DR24a] Section 2.

The bounds above imply, by using Young's inequality for convolutions,

**Corollary 2.1.** *For any  $u_0 \in L^p(\Omega)$  and  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$  we have*

$$\left\| S^\theta(t)u_0 \right\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq \frac{C}{t^{\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})}} \|u_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \quad t > 0. \quad (2.8)$$

Concerning regularity of solutions and the kernels, we can state the following result.

**Theorem 2.2.** *The semigroup  $S^\theta(t)$  has the following properties.*

(i) *For  $u_0 \in L^p(\Omega)$ , with  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $u(x, t) = S^\theta(t)u_0(x)$  is a  $C^\infty(\Omega \times (0, \infty)) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, \infty))$  solution of the heat equation, that is*

$$\begin{cases} u_t(x, t) - \Delta u(x, t) = 0 & \forall (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ B_\theta(u)(x, t) = 0 & \forall x \in \partial\Omega, \forall t > 0. \end{cases}$$

(ii) *The integral kernel is analytic in time. Furthermore,  $k^\theta(\cdot, y, \cdot)$  belongs to  $C^\infty(\Omega \times (0, \infty)) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, \infty))$  and satisfies the heat equation for any fixed  $y$ .*

**Proof.** For simplicity, we drop the superscript  $\theta$  along this proof.

(i) From Corollary 2.1 we have that  $S(t) : L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L^\infty(\Omega)$  is continuous for  $t > 0$ . Since  $S(t) = S(t/2)S(t/2)$ , to study the regularity when  $u_0 \in L^p(\Omega)$  it is enough to study the regularity when  $u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

Now, from the analyticity of the semigroup in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  and the results of [Lun95], see (2.3), we have that  $S(t) : L^\infty(\Omega) \rightarrow D^\infty(-\Delta_\theta)$  is continuous. In particular, by the Sobolev embeddings we have from (2.3) that  $S(t) : L^\infty(\Omega) \rightarrow C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  for any  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Then, using Lemma 3.1 of [ACDR04] we obtain that, for any  $u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $t \mapsto S(t)u_0$  is analytic in  $C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ , so, in particular,  $u : (x, t) \mapsto S(t)u_0(x)$  belongs to  $C^1(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, \infty))$ .

In the same way, since for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t \mapsto \partial_t^k S(t)u_0 = -(-\Delta)^k S(t)u_0$  is analytic in  $C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$  then we get  $u \in C^\infty(\Omega \times (0, \infty))$  using classical parabolic Schauder regularity (See for example [QS19] Theorem 48.2).

(ii) Now, given  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ , we use that  $S(t)\varphi = S(t/2)(S(t/2)\varphi)$ . Then, for every  $x \in \Omega$  and  $t > 0$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} k(x, y, t)\varphi(y)dy = \int_{\Omega} k(x, z, t/2) \int_{\Omega} k(z, y, t/2)\varphi(y)dydz = \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{\Omega} k(x, z, t/2)k(z, y, t/2)dz \right) \varphi(y)dy$$

As this is true for any  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ , we have  $k(x, y, t) = S(t/2)k(\cdot, y, t/2)(x)$ , so from part (i), as  $k(\cdot, y, t/2)$  is in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  we obtain  $k(\cdot, y, \cdot) \in C^1(\bar{\Omega} \times (0, \infty)) \cap C^\infty(\Omega \times (0, \infty))$ . ■

Now we give estimates on the derivatives of the kernel and of the solutions that will be needed further below. We start with estimates of time derivatives of the kernel.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $k^\theta(x, y, t)$  be the heat kernel in  $\Omega$  with homogeneous  $\theta$ -boundary conditions on  $\partial\Omega$ . Then*

$$\left| \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n} k^\theta(x, y, t) \right| \leq C_1 \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{C_2 t}}}{t^{\frac{N}{2}+n}} \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega, \quad \forall t > 0, \quad (2.9)$$

for some constants  $C_1 > 0$  and  $C_2 > 0$  which depend on  $\Omega$ ,  $\theta$  and  $n$ .

**Proof.** From (2.7) we have

$$k^\theta(x, x, t/2) \leq a, \quad k^\theta(y, y, t/2) \leq b, \quad k^\theta(x, y, s) \leq a^{1/2}b^{1/2}c$$

for all  $s \in (t/2, 3t/2)$ , with  $a = b = \frac{C}{t^{N/2}}$ ,  $c = e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{Ct}}$ . Therefore, [Dav97] Theorem 4, implies

$$\left| \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n} k^\theta(x, y, t) \right| \leq C \frac{a^{1/2}b^{1/2}c^{3/4}}{t^n} = C_1 \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{C_2 t}}}{t^{N/2+n}}.$$

■

Now we give estimates for solutions.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $u(x, t) = S^\theta(t)u_0(x)$  be the solution for the heat equation with homogeneous  $\theta$ -boundary conditions, and initial datum  $u_0 \in L^p(\Omega)$  with  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Then, if we denote  $d_x = d(x, \partial\Omega)$ , we have*

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{k+|\beta|}}{\partial t^k \partial x^\beta} u(x, t) \right| \leq \frac{C_{\beta,k} \|u_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)}}{t^{\frac{N}{2p}+k} \min(t^{1/2}, d_x)^{|\beta|}} \quad \forall t > 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega,$$

for any multi-index  $\beta$  and non-negative integer  $k$ , where  $C_{\beta,k}$  depends on  $\beta$ ,  $k$  and the domain  $\Omega$ .

**Proof.** It is enough to prove the result when  $u_0 \geq 0$ . Otherwise the positive and negative part of  $u_0$  and apply the Theorem to each part. We proceed by induction in  $|\beta|$ .

For  $|\beta| = 0$ , recall that

$$\frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n} u(x, t) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^n k^\theta}{\partial t^n}(x, y, t) u_0(y) dy$$

and, using (2.9),

$$\left| \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n} u(x, t) \right| \leq \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial^n k^\theta}{\partial t^n}(x, y, t) \right| u_0(y) dy \leq \frac{C}{t^n} \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4ct}}}{t^{N/2}} u_0(y) dy \leq C \frac{\|u_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)}}{t^{\frac{N}{2p}+n}},$$

where we have used Young's convolution inequality in the last inequality. This proves the theorem with  $|\beta| = 0$ .

Now by induction in  $|\beta|$ , assume

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{k+|\beta|}}{\partial t^k \partial x^\beta} u(x, t) \right| \leq \frac{C_{\beta, k} \|u_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)}}{t^{\frac{N}{2p} + k} \min(t^{1/2}, d_x)^{|\beta|}} \quad \forall t > 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad (2.10)$$

for some multi-index  $\beta$ . Now, we use that  $v = \frac{\partial^{k+|\beta|}}{\partial t^k \partial x^\beta} u$  is a solution of the heat equation in  $\Omega$ . Hence, given  $(x_0, t_0) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$ , we choose  $R = \min(t_0^{1/2}, d_{x_0})$  and apply Schauder estimates in Theorem C.1 with  $Q = B(x_0, R/2) \times [t_0 - R^2/2, t_0]$ , so that

$$\frac{R}{2} |\partial_{x_i} v(x_0, t_0)| \leq C \|v\|_{L^\infty(Q)}. \quad (2.11)$$

Using the induction hypothesis (2.10), jointly with the fact that if  $(x, t) \in Q$  we have  $t \geq t_0 - R^2/2 \geq t_0/2$  and  $d_x \geq d_{x_0} - d(x, x_0) \geq d_{x_0} - R/2 \geq d_{x_0}/2$ , we obtain

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty(Q)} \leq \frac{C \|u_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)}}{t_0^{\frac{N}{2p} + k} \min(t_0^{1/2}, d_{x_0})^{|\beta|}}. \quad (2.12)$$

Therefore, combining (2.11) and (2.12) we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^{k+|\beta|}}{\partial t^k \partial x^\beta} u(x_0, t_0) \right| \leq \frac{C \|u_0\|_{L^p(\Omega)}}{t_0^{\frac{N}{2p} + k} \min(t_0^{1/2}, d_{x_0})^{|\beta|+1}},$$

which is the desired result for an additional spatial derivative. Hence, by induction we obtain the general result.

■

**Remark 2.5.** *The previous estimates are not always sharp. A good discussion of the decay rates of the derivatives of the heat kernel can be found in [IK07] and the references therein.*

Finally we present some estimates on the decay rates for the derivatives of the asymptotic profile,  $\Phi^\theta$ , defined as in (1.3), (1.4). Recall that if  $\theta \equiv 1$  then  $\Phi^1 \equiv 1$  and if  $N = 2$  and  $\theta \neq 1$ , that is except for Neumann boundary conditions, then  $\Phi^\theta \equiv 0$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $N \geq 3$  and  $\Phi^\theta$  its asymptotic profile for  $\theta$ -boundary conditions. Then, there exists  $C > 0$  such that*

$$1 - \frac{C}{|x|^{N-2}} \leq \Phi^\theta(x) \leq 1 \quad \forall x \in \Omega. \quad (2.13)$$

*In addition, for any multi-index  $|\beta| \neq 0$ , if  $\Phi^\theta \in C^{|\beta|}(\overline{\Omega})$  (which is true if  $\partial\Omega$  and  $\theta$  are sufficiently regular), there exists  $C_\beta > 0$  such that*

$$\left| D^\beta \Phi^\theta(x) \right| \leq \frac{C_\beta}{|x|^{N-2+|\beta|}} \quad x \in \Omega. \quad (2.14)$$

**Proof.** The proof of (2.13) can be found in [DR24a] Proposition 4.8. To prove (2.14) for  $\beta \neq 0$ , we will prove (2.14) for  $\psi = 1 - \Phi^\theta$ . By hypothesis we have that  $\psi$  is  $C^{|\beta|}(\overline{\Omega})$ . Therefore, we only have to prove (2.14) for  $|x|$  large and for this we will use induction in  $\beta$ . For  $\beta = 0$ , from (2.13), we already have  $|\psi| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{N-2}}$ . Now, assume the result is true for any multi-index  $\beta$ . We have that



$v := D^\beta \psi$  is a harmonic function in  $\Omega$ . Let  $R > 0$  such that  $\partial\Omega \subset B(0, R)$ . Given  $|x| \geq 2R$ , with  $B_1 = B(x, |x|/4)$  and  $B_2 = B(x, |x|/2) \subset \Omega$  we use the classical Schauder estimates of Theorem C.2 to obtain

$$\frac{|x|}{4} |\partial_{x_i} v(x)| \leq C \|v\|_{L^\infty(B_2)} \leq \frac{C}{\left(\frac{|x|}{2}\right)^{N-2+|\beta|}},$$

where  $C > 0$  is independent of  $x$ . Then,

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} D^\beta \psi(x) \right| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{N-2+|\beta|+1}}.$$

As this is true for any  $x_i$ , we have proved the induction hypothesis.

The fact that  $\Phi^\theta \in C^{|\beta|}(\overline{\Omega})$  if  $\partial\Omega$  and  $\theta$  are sufficiently regular, derives from higher order regularity estimates up to the boundary for harmonic functions (see for example [Mik78] Section IV.2). ■

### 3 Asymptotic behavior in the $L^1(\Omega)$ norm

As mentioned before, integrable data is the most interesting case from the physical and probabilistic interpretation of the heat equation. As we already know the exact amount of mass lost through the hole, see (1.2), our goal is to describe how the remaining mass distributes spatially in  $\Omega$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . As mentioned in the Introduction, for the case of  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$  this problem has been addressed in, e.g., [DZ92], or the first chapter in the book [GGS10] or in [Váz17]. All these results exploit the explicit form of the heat kernel in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and the outcome is that the mass of the solutions distributes in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  as a multiple of the Gaussian heat kernel in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . In [DZ92], the authors describe fine asymptotics of the solution in terms of the momentums of the initial datum, by showing that the more momentums the initial data has, the more asymptotic terms can be determined in terms of the momentums and derivatives of the heat kernel.

Without any assumptions on the momentums of the initial data, the result in references [DZ92, GGS10, Váz17] for the problem in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is the following one, that states that the mass of the solution,  $M$ , distributes in space, in a first order approximation, as by  $M$  times the Gaussian.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $M = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_0(x) dx$ . Then, if we denote  $u(t) = S_{\mathbb{R}^N}(t)u_0$ , the solution of the heat equation in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with initial data  $u_0$ , we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - MG(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

where  $G(x, t) = \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{N}{2}}}$  is the Gaussian.

Furthermore,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{N/2} \|u(t) - MG(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

and for every  $1 < p < \infty$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{N}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})} \|u(t) - MG(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

We are going to prove a similar result for an exterior domain with homogeneous  $\theta$ -boundary conditions. However, as we have seen, we have a phenomenon of loss of mass. Therefore, we will have to substitute  $M$  by the asymptotic mass  $m_{u_0}$  in (1.2). We will also have into account the



boundary conditions on the hole and therefore the asymptotic profile,  $\Phi^\theta$  as in (1.3), (1.4), will show up in the estimate as well.

In this section we will prove a result in the  $L^1(\Omega)$  norm as in (3.1). In Section 4 we will proof a result in the  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  norm as in (3.2) and then in Section 5, by interpolation, as in (3.3), see Theorem 5.1 below.

First of all, we will prove the following lemma which states that the  $\theta$ -heat kernel in  $\Omega$  and the kernel in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  are similar in  $L^1(\Omega)$  when the source point  $y$  is far away from the hole. The Lemma is stated for  $N \geq 3$ . Notice that (3.4) below is also true for  $N \leq 2$  but gives no interesting information because the asymptotic profile is  $\Phi^0 \equiv 0$ . Also, recall that the heat kernel in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is given by  $k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t) = G(x - y, t)$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Assume  $N \geq 3$  and let  $k^\theta(x, y, t)$  be the heat kernel for  $\theta$ -boundary conditions and  $k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t)$  the heat kernel in the whole space. Then*

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| k^\theta(x, y, t) - k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t) \right| dx \leq 2(1 - \Phi^0(y)) + \int_{\mathcal{C}} k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t) dx \quad y \in \Omega, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $\Phi^0$  is the asymptotic profile of  $\Omega$  for Dirichlet boundary conditions. In particular

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \left| k^\theta(x, y, t) - k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t) \right| dx \leq 2(1 - \Phi^0(y)) \quad y \in \Omega. \quad (3.5)$$

Furthermore, for all  $x \in \Omega$ ,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \left| k^\theta(x, y, t) - k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t) \right| dx = \limsup_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \left| k^\theta(x, y, t) - k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t) \right| dx = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

**Proof.** If we denote  $k^0(x, y, t)$  the heat kernel with Dirichlet boundary conditions, we have, using (2.6), that

$$k^\theta(x, y, t) \geq k^0(x, y, t) \quad x, y \in \Omega, \quad t > 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Then, if for fixed  $y \in \Omega$ , we denote  $\Omega^-(t) := \{x \in \Omega : k^\theta(x, y, t) \leq k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t)\}$  and  $\Omega^+(t) = \Omega \setminus \Omega^-(t)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega^-(t)} (k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t) - k^\theta(x, y, t)) dx &\stackrel{(3.7)}{\leq} \int_{\Omega^-(t)} (k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t) - k^0(x, y, t)) dx \\ &\stackrel{(A.1)}{\leq} \int_{\Omega} (k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t) - k^0(x, y, t)) dx \leq 1 - \int_{\Omega} k^0(x, y, t) dx. \end{aligned}$$

From the symmetry of the kernel and (1.3) we have that  $\int_{\Omega} k^0(x, y, t) dx = \int_{\Omega} k^0(y, x, t) dx = S^0(t)1_{\Omega}(y)$  decays monotonically in  $t$  to  $\Phi^0(y)$ .

Then, we have that

$$\int_{\Omega^-(t)} (k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t) - k^\theta(x, y, t)) dx \leq 1 - \Phi^0(y), \quad \forall t > 0, \quad \forall y \in \Omega. \quad (3.8)$$

In addition, as  $\int_{\Omega} k^\theta(x, y, t) dx = S^\theta(t)1_{\Omega} \leq 1$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} k^\theta(x, y, t) dx \leq 1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t) dx = \int_{\Omega} k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t) dx + \int_{\mathcal{C}} k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t) dx,$$

then

$$\int_{\Omega} (k^{\theta}(x, y, t) - k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t)) dx \leq \int_{\mathcal{C}} k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t) dx =: A(y, t). \quad (3.9)$$

Hence, (3.9) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega^+(t)} (k^{\theta}(x, y, t) - k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t)) dx &= \int_{\Omega} (k^{\theta}(x, y, t) - k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t)) dx - \int_{\Omega^-(t)} (k^{\theta}(x, y, t) - k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t)) dx \\ &\stackrel{(3.9)}{\leq} A(y, t) + \int_{\Omega^-(t)} (k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t) - k^{\theta}(x, y, t)) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} |k^{\theta}(x, y, t) - k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t)| dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega^+(t)} (k^{\theta}(x, y, t) - k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t)) dx + \int_{\Omega^-(t)} (k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t) - k^{\theta}(x, y, t)) dx \\ &\stackrel{(3.10)}{\leq} 2 \int_{\Omega^-(t)} (k^{\theta}(x, y, t) - k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t)) dx + A(y, t) \stackrel{(3.8)}{\leq} 2(1 - \Phi^0(y)) + A(y, t), \end{aligned}$$

which is (3.4). Therefore, (3.5) follows because  $\int_{\mathcal{C}} k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t) dx \rightarrow 0$  when  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

To obtain (3.6) we have  $|\int_{\mathcal{C}} k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t) dx| \leq |\mathcal{C}| \|k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(\cdot, y, t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq |\mathcal{C}| (4\pi t)^{-N/2}$ , so we obtain that  $A(y, t)$  decays in  $t$  uniformly in  $y \in \Omega$ . Furthermore, as  $\lim_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} \Phi^0(y) = 1$  (See Proposition 2.6), we obtain (3.6) from (3.4). ■

As a consequence of the previous lemma, if the initial datum is supported far away from the hole, its asymptotic behaviour is similar to the Gaussian, as the following lemma shows.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $N \geq 3$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, there exists an  $R > 0$  such that, for any  $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$  with  $\text{supp}(u_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0, R) \subset \Omega$  and  $M = \int_{\Omega} u_0$ , there exists a  $T \geq 0$  such that*

$$\|S^{\theta}(t)u_0 - MG(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \quad \forall t > T. \quad (3.11)$$

**Proof.** As  $N \geq 3$ , we have that  $\Phi^{\theta}(x) \rightarrow 1$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can use Lemma 3.2 to prove that there exists a  $T_0 > 0$  and an  $R > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t) - k^{\theta}(x, y, t)| dx \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad t \geq T_0, \quad (3.12)$$

for every  $|y| \geq R$ .

Now, let  $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$  such that  $\text{supp}(u_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0, R)$  and let  $\int_{\Omega} u_0 = M$ . Then, for  $t \geq T_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |S_{\mathbb{R}^N}(t)u_0(x) - S^{\theta}(t)u_0(x)| dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t) - k^{\theta}(x, y, t)| |u_0(y)| dy dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0, R)} \int_{\Omega} |k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t) - k^{\theta}(x, y, t)| |u_0(y)| dx dy \stackrel{(3.12)}{\leq} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0, R)} |u_0(y)| dy \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Now, using Theorem 3.1, we have that there exists a  $T \geq T_0$  such that, extending  $u_0$  by zero outside  $\Omega$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} |S_{\mathbb{R}^N}(t)u_0(x) - MG(x, t)| dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |S_{\mathbb{R}^N}(t)u_0(x) - MG(x, t)| dx \stackrel{\text{Thm 3.1}}{\leq} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \quad \forall t \geq T. \quad (3.14)$$

Finally, combining (3.14) and (3.13) we obtain (3.11) ■

Let us now state the asymptotic result for a general  $u_0$  which is analogous to (3.1).

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$  and  $m_{u_0} := \int_{\Omega} \Phi^\theta(x) u_0(x) dx$  the asymptotic mass of the solution of the heat equation (2.1) with  $\theta$ -boundary conditions. Then if  $N \geq 3$  or if  $N = 2$  and  $\theta \neq 1$ , that is, except for Neumann boundary conditions, we have that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\| S^\theta(t) u_0 - m_{u_0} G(\cdot, t) \right\|_{L^1(\Omega)} = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

Hence, the mass of the solution decays asymptotically to  $m_{u_0}$  and distributes in space, in a first order approximation, as described by  $m_{u_0}$  times the Gaussian.

**Proof.** If  $N = 2$  and  $\theta \neq 1$  from [DR24a] Theorem 4.9, we have  $\Phi^\theta = 0$  and then  $m_{u_0} = 0$ . From (1.2) this implies that if  $u_0 \geq 0$ , then the solution converges to 0 in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . By splitting  $u_0$  in the positive and negative parts, we get  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S^\theta(t) u_0 = 0$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ , which proves (3.15).

For  $N \geq 3$  the idea of the proof is the following: we will let the time pass so that the solution has lost most of its mass through the hole and the remaining mass is far away from the hole so we can neglect the mass close to it and use Lemma 3.3 with the rest. The fact that we will let time pass, will make the solution lose its mass, so the asymptotic mass will appear.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Firstly, we take the  $R > 0$  from Lemma 3.3. Now, we denote  $u(x, t) = S^\theta(t) u_0$ . Due to (2.8), we know that  $u(t)$  decays in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Therefore, there exists a  $T_0 \geq 0$  such that

$$\int_{B(0, R) \cap \Omega} |u(x, t)| dx \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall t \geq T_0. \quad (3.16)$$

Secondly, we take  $m_{u_0}$  the asymptotic of  $u_0$  as in (1.2). Then, there exists a  $T_1 \geq T_0$  such that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} u(t) - m_{u_0} \right| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall t \geq T_1. \quad (3.17)$$

Now we define  $v(t) := S^\theta(t)(\chi_R u(T_1))$  where  $\chi_R$  is the characteristic function of  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0, R)$ . Due to (3.16) we have that

$$\|v(0) - u(T_1)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} = \|u(T_1)\|_{L^1(\Omega \setminus B(0, R))} \leq \varepsilon. \quad (3.18)$$

Therefore, as  $S^\theta(t)$  is a contraction semigroup in  $L^1(\Omega)$ ,

$$\|v(t) - u(t + T_1)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (3.19)$$

In addition, if we combine (3.18) with (3.17) we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} v(0) - m_{u_0} \right| \leq 2\varepsilon. \quad (3.20)$$

As  $v(0)$  is supported outside  $B(0, R)$ , we can use Lemma 3.3 with  $v(0)$  in combination with the fact that  $\|v(0)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \|u(T_1)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$  to obtain that, for some  $T_2 \geq 0$ ,

$$\|v(t) - M_{v_0} G(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \quad \forall t \geq T_2 \quad (3.21)$$

where  $M_{v_0} = \int_{\Omega} v(0)$ . Combining (3.20) and (3.21) we obtain

$$\|v(t) - m_{u_0} G(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq 2\varepsilon + \varepsilon \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \quad \forall t \geq T_2. \quad (3.22)$$

Combining now (3.22) with (3.19) we obtain

$$\|u(t + T_1) - m_{u_0}G(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq 3\varepsilon + \varepsilon\|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \quad \forall t \geq T_2. \quad (3.23)$$

To conclude we need to prove that  $G(\cdot, t)$  and  $G(\cdot, t + T_1)$  are close in  $L^1(\Omega)$  for large times. This is done in Lemma 3.5 below. Then from Lemma 3.5 with  $d = T_1$ , we obtain that there exists a  $T_3 \geq T_2$  such that

$$\|G(\cdot, t + T_1) - G(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall t \geq T_3,$$

so then

$$\|m_{u_0}G(\cdot, t + T_1) - m_{u_0}G(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon|m_{u_0}| \quad \forall t \geq T_3. \quad (3.24)$$

Therefore, combining (3.23) and (3.24) and denoting  $T = T_1 + T_3$ ,

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - m_{u_0}G(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq 3\varepsilon + \varepsilon|m_{u_0}| + \varepsilon\|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \quad \forall t \geq T.$$

As  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, the theorem is proved. ■

Here we prove the lemma used in the previous proof.

**Lemma 3.5.** *The Gaussian  $G(x, t) = \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{N}{2}}}$  satisfies, for any  $d > 0$ ,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G(x, t) - G(x, t + d)| dx = 0.$$

**Proof.** Adding and subtracting  $\frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4(t+d)}}}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G(x, t) - G(x, t + d)| dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}} - \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4(t+d)}}}{(4\pi(t+d))^{N/2}} \right| dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}} - \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4(t+d)}}}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}} \right| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4(t+d)}}}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}} - \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4(t+d)}}}{(4\pi(t+d))^{N/2}} \right| dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4(t+d)}}}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}} - \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}} \right) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4(t+d)}}}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}} - \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4(t+d)}}}{(4\pi(t+d))^{N/2}} \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{a}} = (\pi a)^{N/2}$ , we obtain

$$= 2 \left( \left( \frac{t+d}{t} \right)^{N/2} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0$$

when  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , which concludes the proof. ■

The following theorem demonstrates the optimality of Theorem 3.4. Its proof is inspired by [Sou99], where Dirichlet boundary conditions are considered.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$  a monotonically decreasing continuous function such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = 0$ . Then, there exist an initial value  $0 \leq u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$  with  $\|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} = 1$  and  $T > 0$  such that,*

$$\left\| S^\theta(t)u_0 - m_{u_0}G(\cdot, t) \right\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \geq g(t) \quad \forall t > T. \quad (3.25)$$

**Proof.** We first consider  $\lambda > 0$  the first Dirichlet eigenvalue for the Laplacian operator in the unit ball  $B$  and its associated positive eigenfunction  $\psi \geq 0$ , normalized such that  $\|\psi\|_{L^1(B)} = 1$ . Then  $\left(\frac{\lambda}{R^2}, R^{-N}\psi\left(\frac{\cdot}{R}\right)\right)$  is an eigenvalue-eigenfunction pair of  $\Delta$  in  $B(0, R)$  with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions normalized with  $L^1(B(0, R))$ -norm equal to 1.

Now we choose  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $g(t_n) = \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}$ . Then we consider the following initial datum made up by rescaled copies of  $\psi$  in disjoint balls with large radius and far away centres:

$$u_0(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} R_n^{-N} \psi\left(\frac{x - x_n}{R_n}\right) \chi_{B(x_n, R_n)}(x) \geq 0,$$

where  $\chi_{B(x_n, R_n)}$  is the characteristic function of the  $B(x_n, R_n)$  and  $R_n > 1$  and  $x_n$  are chosen so that

- (i)  $e^{-\frac{\lambda}{R_n^2} t_{n+1}} \geq 3/4$  (this is possible taking  $R_n$  large enough)
- (ii)  $B(x_n, R_n) \subset \Omega$  with  $|x_n| > R_n + 1$  (this is possible taking  $|x_n|$  large enough)
- (iii)  $e^{-\frac{|x_n| - R_n}{4t_{n+1}}} \leq \frac{(4\pi t_n)^{N/2}}{2^{n+2}|B(0, R_n)|}$  (this is possible taking  $|x_n|$  large enough).

Therefore,  $\|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} = 1$  and for  $x \in B(x_n, R_n)$  and  $t \in [t_n, t_{n+1}]$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= (S^\theta(t)u_0)(x) \stackrel{(2.6)}{\geq} (S^0(t)u_0)(x) \geq \left( S^0(t) \frac{1}{2^n R_n^N} \psi\left(\frac{\cdot - x_n}{R_n}\right) \chi_{B(x_n, R_n)} \right)(x) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Thm. A.2}}{\geq} \frac{S_{B(x_n, R_n)}^0(t) \psi\left(\frac{\cdot - x_n}{R_n}\right)}{2^n R_n^N}(x) = e^{-\frac{\lambda}{R_n^2} t} \frac{\psi(x)}{2^n R_n^N} \geq e^{-\frac{\lambda}{R_n^2} t_{n+1}} \frac{\psi(x)}{2^n R_n^N} \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

where  $S_{B(x_n, R_n)}^0(t_n)$  above is the heat semigroup in the ball  $B(x_n, R_n)$  with Dirichlet boundary conditions. So, in particular, for any  $t \in [t_n, t_{n+1}]$

$$\int_{\Omega} u(x, t) dx \stackrel{(3.26)}{\geq} \frac{e^{-\frac{\lambda}{R_n^2} t_{n+1}}}{2^n} \stackrel{(i)}{\geq} \frac{3}{2^{n+2}} \quad (3.27)$$

In addition, from (ii), for  $x \in B(x_n, R_n)$  we have  $|x|^2 \geq |x| \geq |x_n| - R_n > 1$  and using (iii), for any  $t \in [t_n, t_{n+1}]$  we obtain

$$\int_{B(x_n, R_n)} G(x, t) dx \leq \int_{B(x_n, R_n)} \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t_{n+1}}}}{(4\pi t_n)^{N/2}} dx \leq \int_{B(x_n, R_n)} \frac{e^{-\frac{|x_n| - R_n}{4t_{n+1}}}}{(4\pi t_n)^{N/2}} dx \stackrel{(iii)}{\leq} \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}. \quad (3.28)$$

Therefore, using  $0 \leq m_{u_0} \leq 1$  as well as (3.27) and (3.28) we get

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - m_{u_0}G(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \geq \int_{B(x_n, R_n)} (u(x, t) - G(x, t)) dx \geq \frac{3}{2^{n+2}} - \frac{1}{2^{n+2}} = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \geq g(t_{n-1}) \geq g(t)$$

which proves (3.25) for every  $t \geq T := t_1$ . ■

## 4 Asymptotic behavior in the $L^\infty(\Omega)$ norm

In this section we will focus on obtaining an asymptotic result in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  for the solution, for initial data in  $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$  as in (3.2), by using the technique of *matching asymptotics*. For this, first we prove the result in some time-dependent domain *far away from the hole*, see Theorem 4.1. Then later we will prove the result in a complementary time-dependent domain *near the hole*, see Theorem 4.4. Combining both we will obtain the main Theorem 4.6. This procedure is similar to and inspired by the arguments in [BQV07], [CEQW16].

So we start with the analysis when we look far away from the hole, that is, when  $|x|^2$  is comparable to or greater than  $t$ . To do this, we will use some rescaling and compactness arguments based on [Váz17]. The estimates obtained in Theorem 2.4 will be crucial for this. The main result is the one that follows. Notice that as the estimate is far from the hole there is no reflection in the estimate of the  $\theta$ -boundary condition other than the asymptotic mass of the solution.

**Theorem 4.1 (Behaviour far from the hole).** *Let  $N \geq 3$ ,  $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$  and  $u(x, t) = S^\theta(t)u_0(x)$  the solution of the heat equation with some homogeneous  $\theta$ -boundary conditions on  $\partial\Omega$ . Then, for any  $\delta > 0$ ,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{N}{2}} \|u(\cdot, t) - m_{u_0} G(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\{|x|^2 \geq \delta t\})} = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $m_{u_0} = \int_\Omega \Phi^\theta(x) u_0(x) dx$  is the asymptotic mass. Hence, the solution behaves far away from the hole as a Gaussian times the asymptotic mass of the solution.

In addition, we have convergence of the derivatives, that is for any multi-index  $\alpha$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{N+|\alpha|}{2}} \|D^\alpha u(\cdot, t) - m_{u_0} D^\alpha G(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\{|x|^2 \geq \delta t\})} = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

**Proof.** We follow the steps of [Váz17]. We firstly assume that  $u_0$  is positive and  $u_0 \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ . Recall that we also assume that  $0 \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**Step 1:** We define, for  $\lambda > 0$

$$u_\lambda(x, t) := \lambda^N u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t).$$

It is straightforward to check that  $(u_\lambda)_t - \Delta u_\lambda = 0$  in  $\frac{\Omega}{\lambda} \times (0, \infty)$  and  $u_\lambda(x, 0) = \lambda^N u_0(\lambda x)$  for  $x \in \frac{\Omega}{\lambda}$ . Notice that as we take  $\lambda > 0$  large, we concentrate the hole to a point.

**Step 2:** Here we use the estimates from Theorem 2.4 to obtain uniform bounds for  $u_\lambda$  and its derivatives for  $\lambda$  large.

First, take  $\delta_1 > 0$  and  $t \geq \delta_1$ . Then for all  $x \in \frac{\Omega}{\lambda}$  we have from (2.8)

$$|u_\lambda(x, t)| = \left| \lambda^N u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t) \right| \stackrel{(2.8)}{\leq} \frac{C \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)}}{\delta_1^{N/2}} \quad \forall t \geq \delta_1.$$

Second, take  $\delta_2 > 0$  and we can find  $M > 1$  such that  $\mathcal{C} \subset B(0, \frac{M\delta_2}{2})$ . Hence, if  $|x| \geq \delta_2$  and  $\lambda \geq M$ , then  $|\lambda x| \geq M\delta_2$  and then  $\lambda x \in \Omega$ .

Thus, for every  $t \geq \delta_1$ ,  $|x| \geq \delta_2$  and  $\lambda \geq M$ , we get from Theorem 2.4

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial^{k+|\beta|}}{\partial t^k \partial x^\beta} u_\lambda(x, t) \right| &= \lambda^{N+|\beta|+2k} \left| \frac{\partial^{k+|\beta|}}{\partial t^k \partial x^\beta} u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t) \right| \stackrel{\text{Thm 2.4}}{\leq} \frac{\lambda^{N+|\beta|+2k} C_{\beta,k} \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)}}{(\lambda^2 t)^{N/2+k} \min(\lambda t^{1/2}, d(\lambda x, \partial\Omega))^{|\beta|}} \\ &\stackrel{(t \geq \delta_1)}{\leq} \frac{\lambda^{|\beta|} C_{\beta,k} \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)}}{\delta_1^{N/2+k} \min(\lambda \delta_1^{1/2}, d(\lambda x, \partial\Omega))^{|\beta|}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Now we use that  $d(\lambda x, \partial\Omega) \geq d(\lambda x, \partial B(0, \frac{M\delta_2}{2})) \geq \lambda|x| - \frac{M\delta_2}{2} \geq \frac{\lambda|x|}{2}$ , so

$$\min(\lambda\delta_1^{1/2}, d(\lambda x, \partial\Omega)) \geq \min(\lambda\delta_1^{1/2}, \lambda|x|/2) \geq \lambda \min(\delta_1^{1/2}, M\delta_2/2). \quad (4.4)$$

Hence, combining (4.3) and (4.4) we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{k+|\beta|}}{\partial t^k \partial x^\beta} u_\lambda(x, t) \right| \leq \frac{C_{\beta, k} \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)}}{\delta_1^{N/2+k} \min(\delta_1^{1/2}, M\delta_2/2)^{|\beta|}}.$$

So, we have uniform estimates of the derivatives of  $u_\lambda$  for  $t \geq \delta_1$  and  $|x| \geq \delta_2$  where  $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$  are arbitrary and  $\lambda$  sufficiently large.

**Step 3:** From the uniform estimates of  $u_\lambda$  and its derivatives, we have uniform convergence on compact sets of  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \times (0, \infty)$  of a subsequence and all its derivatives to a limit function  $u_\lambda \rightarrow u_\infty$ . Furthermore, as the derivatives converge, we also have that  $(u_\infty)_t - \Delta u_\infty = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \times (0, \infty)$ .

**Step 4:** As we assumed that  $u_0$  is positive and  $u_0 \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ , there exists a  $M > 0$  such that, extending  $u_0$  by zero outside  $\Omega$ ,

$$MG(x, 1) \geq u_0(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Hence, by time monotonicity of the heat semigroup in  $\mathbb{R}^N$

$$MG(x, t+1) \geq u_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, t) \quad \forall (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \infty). \quad (4.5)$$

where  $u_{\mathbb{R}^N}$  is the solution of the heat equation in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with initial datum  $u_0$  extended by zero outside  $\Omega$ . Using then (2.7),

$$u(x, t) \stackrel{(2.7)}{\leq} C \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4ct}}}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}} u_0(y) dy \leq C u_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, ct) \stackrel{(4.5)}{\leq} CMG(x, c(t+1)) \quad \forall (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty).$$

Then, using the self-similarity of  $G$ , that is,  $G(x, t) = \lambda^N G(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)$ , for  $x \in \frac{\Omega}{\lambda}$  and  $t > 0$  we have

$$u_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda^N u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t) \leq CM \lambda^N G(\lambda x, c(\lambda^2 t + 1)) = CMG(x, ct + \frac{c}{\lambda^2}). \quad (4.6)$$

So, passing to the limit when  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$u_\infty(x, t) \leq CMG(x, ct) \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \times (0, \infty). \quad (4.7)$$

**Step 5:** As  $u_\infty$  is a bounded solution of the heat equation in  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$  with  $N \geq 3$ , we can use Theorem B.1 to remove the singularity to get that  $u_\infty$  is in fact a solution of the heat equation in  $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty)$ .

**Step 6:** In this step we are going to identify the initial datum of  $u_\infty$ . First of all, we know from (1.2) that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) dx = \int_{\Omega} \Phi^\theta(x) u_0(x) dx = m_{u_0}.$$

Then, for  $t > 0$ ,

$$\int_{\frac{\Omega}{\lambda}} u_\lambda(x, t) dx = \int_{\frac{\Omega}{\lambda}} \lambda^N u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t) dx = \int_{\Omega} u(x, \lambda^2 t) dx \rightarrow m_{u_0} \quad (4.8)$$

when  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ .



Therefore, from the uniform convergence of  $\{u_\lambda\}$  on compact sets of  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$  and the bound (4.6) then Lebesgue's theorem gives that for  $t > 0$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\infty(x, t) dx = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\frac{\Omega}{\lambda}} u_\lambda(x, t) dx \stackrel{(4.8)}{=} m_{u_0} \quad (4.9)$$

which, in particular, gives that  $\{u_\infty(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is bounded.

Hence, for any sequence  $t_n \rightarrow 0$ , we can find a subsequence (that we denote the same) such that  $u_\infty(t_n) \xrightarrow{*} \mu$  weakly in the sense of measures to a bounded measure  $\mu$ , that is

$$\lim_{t_n \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\infty(x, t_n) \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi d\mu \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Estimate (4.7) guarantees that  $\mu$  is concentrated in 0, so it is a Dirac distribution whose mass is determined by (4.9), and therefore  $\mu = m_{u_0} \delta$ . Since the limit is independent of the weakly convergent subsequence then the whole sequence converges to  $\mu$ . From the uniqueness of bounded solutions for the heat equation with bounded measures as initial data (see for example [RR18] Theorem 4.1), we have that

$$u_\infty(x, t) = m_{u_0} G(x, t) \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty).$$

In particular, the limit function  $u_\infty$  in Step 3 is independent of the subsequence of  $\{u_\lambda\}$  and therefore the whole family  $\{u_\lambda\}$  converges to  $u_\infty$ .

**Step 7:** Now we obtain (4.1). From the uniform convergence  $u_\lambda \rightarrow u_\infty$  in compact sets of  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \times (0, \infty)$ , for  $t = 1$  and  $\delta_1 \leq |x| \leq \delta_2$  we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|u_\lambda(\cdot, 1) - m_{u_0} G(\cdot, 1)\|_{L^\infty(\{\delta_1 \leq |x| \leq \delta_2\})} = 0.$$

But from (4.6),  $\{u_\lambda\}_\lambda$  are uniformly small for  $|x| \geq \delta_2$  and  $\lambda \geq 1$  as they decay exponentially since

$$u_\lambda(x, 1) \stackrel{(4.6)}{\leq} CMG(x, c + \frac{c}{\lambda^2}) = \frac{M}{(4\pi c(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2}))^{N/2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4c(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2})}} \leq Ce^{-\frac{|x|^2}{c}},$$

for  $\lambda \geq 1$ . Thus, we have uniform convergence for  $|x| \geq \delta_1$  and

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|u_\lambda(\cdot, 1) - m_{u_0} G(\cdot, 1)\|_{L^\infty(\{\delta_1 \leq |x|\})} = 0,$$

which, rewritten in terms of the definition of  $u_\lambda$ , is

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \lambda^N u(\lambda \cdot, \lambda^2) - m_{u_0} G(\cdot, 1) \right\|_{L^\infty(\{\delta_1 \leq |x|\})} = 0,$$

and using the self-similarity of  $G$  this gives

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^N \left\| u(\lambda \cdot, \lambda^2) - m_{u_0} G(\lambda \cdot, \lambda^2) \right\|_{L^\infty(\{\delta_1 \leq |x|\})} = 0.$$

Then, renaming  $t = \lambda^2$  and  $y = \lambda x = t^{1/2} x$ , we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{N/2} \left\| u(\cdot, t) - m_{u_0} G(\cdot, t) \right\|_{L^\infty(\{\delta_1 \leq |y| t^{-1/2}\})} = 0,$$

which is (4.1).

**Step 8:** If  $0 \leq u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ , given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can consider an approximation  $0 \leq u_0^\varepsilon \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$  such that  $\|u_0 - u_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon$ . Then, Corollary 2.1 gives

$$t^{N/2} \left\| S^\theta(t)(u_0 - u_0^\varepsilon) \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \stackrel{(2.8)}{\leq} C \|u_0 - u_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon, \quad t > 0. \quad (4.10)$$

Furthermore,

$$\left| m_{u_0} - m_{u_0^\varepsilon} \right| = \left| \int_\Omega \Phi^\theta(u_0 - u_0^\varepsilon) \right| \leq \int_\Omega |u_0 - u_0^\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon, \quad t > 0$$

and therefore

$$t^{N/2} \left\| (m_{u_0} - m_{u_0^\varepsilon}) G(\cdot, t) \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C \left| m_{u_0} - m_{u_0^\varepsilon} \right| \leq C\varepsilon, \quad t > 0. \quad (4.11)$$

So using (4.10) and (4.11) and adding and subtracting  $u^\varepsilon(\cdot, t) = S^\theta(t)u_0^\varepsilon$  and  $m_{u_0^\varepsilon}G(\cdot, t)$  we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{N}{2}} \|u(\cdot, t) - m_{u_0}G(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\{|x|^2 \geq \delta t\})} \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{N}{2}} \|u^\varepsilon(\cdot, t) - m_{u_0^\varepsilon}G(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\{|x|^2 \geq \delta t\})} + 2C\varepsilon = 2C\varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, we have (4.1).

**Step 9:** The case in which  $u_0$  is not positive just follows by the decomposition in its negative and positive part. Using  $u_0 = u_0^+ - u_0^-$  and apply the Theorem individually for each  $u_0^\pm$ . Note that  $m_{u_0} = m_{u_0^+} - m_{u_0^-}$  by (1.2). Then, using the triangle inequality of the norm we obtain the result as with  $u(\cdot, t) = S^\theta(t)u_0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\frac{N}{2}} \|u(\cdot, t) - m_{u_0}G(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\{|x|^2 \geq \delta t\})} &= t^{\frac{N}{2}} \left\| S^\theta(t)u_0^+ - S^\theta(t)u_0^- - (m_{u_0^+} - m_{u_0^-})G(\cdot, t) \right\|_{L^\infty(\{|x|^2 \geq \delta t\})} \\ &\leq t^{\frac{N}{2}} \left\| S^\theta(t)u_0^+ - m_{u_0^+}G(\cdot, t) \right\|_{L^\infty(\{|x|^2 \geq \delta t\})} + t^{\frac{N}{2}} \left\| S^\theta(t)u_0^- - m_{u_0^-}G(\cdot, t) \right\|_{L^\infty(\{|x|^2 \geq \delta t\})} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Step 10:** Now we obtain the convergence of the derivatives. Let us proceed by induction on the order of the multi-index  $\alpha$ . Assume we have, for any  $\delta \geq 0$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{N+|\alpha|}{2}} \|D^\alpha u(\cdot, t) - m_{u_0}D^\alpha G(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\{|x|^2 \geq \delta t\})} = 0.$$

Let us prove that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{N+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} D^\alpha u(\cdot, t) - m_{u_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} D^\alpha G(\cdot, t) \right\|_{L^\infty(\{|x|^2 \geq \delta t\})} = 0.$$

Take  $\delta > 0$  and  $|x_0|^2 \geq \delta t_0$ . As  $u - m_{u_0}G$  is a solution of the heat equation in  $\Omega$ , we can use Theorem C.1 with  $Q = \{(x, t) : t \geq t_0/2, |x|^2 \geq \frac{\delta}{2}t\}$ . Then, we obtain

$$d_{(x_0, t_0)} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} D^\alpha u(x_0, t_0) - m_{u_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} D^\alpha G(x_0, t_0) \right| \leq \|D^\alpha u(\cdot, t) - m_{u_0}D^\alpha G(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(Q)}$$

where  $d_{(x_0, t_0)} = \inf\{(|x_0 - x|^2 + |t_0 - t|)^{1/2} : (x, t) \in \partial Q\} = \min\left(\sqrt{\frac{t_0}{2}}, \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{2}t_0}\right) = C\sqrt{t_0}$ . Therefore, taking the supremum over  $(x_0, t_0)$  such that  $|x_0|^2 \geq \delta t_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{N+|\alpha|+1}{2}} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} D^\alpha u(\cdot, t) - m_{u_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} D^\alpha G(\cdot, t) \right\|_{L^\infty(\{|x|^2 \geq \delta t\})} \\ &\leq C \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{N+|\alpha|}{2}} \sup_{s \geq t/2} \|D^\alpha u(\cdot, s) - m_{u_0}D^\alpha G(\cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty(\{|x|^2 \geq \frac{\delta}{2}s\})} \\ &\leq C \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{s \geq t/2} s^{\frac{N+|\alpha|}{2}} \|D^\alpha u(\cdot, s) - m_{u_0}D^\alpha G(\cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty(\{|x|^2 \geq \frac{\delta}{2}s\})} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by the induction hypothesis. ■

Now we will obtain the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions near the hole. To do this, we will do a comparison argument with suitable sub and supersolutions. Later we do the matching of the asymptotic behavior far and near the hole.

We first need the following two lemmas that will allow us to construct sub and super solutions close to the hole. The first one is immediate from the expression for the Laplacian for a radial function.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $0 < \gamma < 1$  and  $z(x) := \frac{1}{|x|^\gamma}$ . Then*

$$-\Delta z(x) = \gamma(N - 2 - \gamma) \frac{z(x)}{|x|^2}.$$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Assume  $N \geq 3$ . Then, for any  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , there exists  $\delta, c, m > 0$  and a regular function  $Z : \bar{\Omega} \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that, for  $z(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^\gamma}$  as in Lemma 4.2, we have*

- (i)  $Z(x, t) > 0$  for  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$  and  $t > 0$ .
- (ii)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{N}{2}} Z(x, t) = 0$  uniformly in  $\bar{\Omega}$ .
- (iii)  $\frac{\partial Z}{\partial n}(x, t) \geq \frac{m}{1+t^{N/2+1}}$  for every  $x \in \partial\Omega$  and  $t > 0$ .
- (iv)  $Z_t(x, t) - \Delta Z(x, t) \geq ct^{-\frac{N+\gamma}{2}} \frac{z(x)}{|x|^2}$  for  $(x, t)$  such that  $|x|^2 \leq \delta t$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\Phi^0$  be the asymptotic profile of  $\Omega$  for Dirichlet boundary conditions and define  $\Psi := 1 - \Phi^0 \geq 0$ . Since all the points of the boundary are maximum points for  $\Psi$ , by Hopf lemma we have that  $\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial n}|_{\partial\Omega} > 0$ .

Now let  $0 < \gamma < 1$  and define

$$Z(x, t) = t^{-\frac{N+\gamma}{2}} (z(x) + \kappa\Psi(x)) > 0$$

where  $\kappa > 0$  is to be chosen below. Then  $Z$  satisfies (i) and (ii) since  $t^{\frac{N}{2}} Z(x, t) = t^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} (z(x) + \kappa\Psi(x))$  and  $(z(x) + \kappa\Psi(x))$  is bounded in  $\Omega$ .

Now, we can choose  $\kappa$  sufficiently large such that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}(z(x) + \kappa\Psi(x)) > m > 0$  for  $x \in \partial\Omega$ . Therefore  $\frac{\partial Z}{\partial n}|_{\partial\Omega} \geq \frac{m}{1+t^{N/2+1}}$  because  $\gamma < 1$ , so  $Z$  satisfies (iii).

Now, let us check (iv). Using Lemma 4.2 and the definition of  $\Psi$  we have

$$Z_t - \Delta Z = t^{-\frac{N+\gamma}{2}} \left( \gamma(N - 2 - \gamma) \frac{z(x)}{|x|^2} - \left( \frac{N + \gamma}{2} \right) \frac{z(x) + \kappa\Psi(x)}{t} \right).$$

As  $\gamma < 1$  and  $N \geq 3$ , we have  $C = \gamma(N - 2 - \gamma) > 0$ . Therefore, denoting  $D = \frac{N+\gamma}{2}$ ,

$$Z_t - \Delta Z = t^{-\frac{N+\gamma}{2}} \left( C \frac{z(x)}{|x|^2} - D \frac{z(x) + \kappa\Psi(x)}{t} \right).$$

From the estimates on  $\Phi^0$  of Proposition 2.6, as  $\gamma < 1$  and  $N \geq 3$ , then  $N - 2 \geq 1 > \gamma$  and we have that there exists a  $C_2 > 0$  such that  $\Psi(x) \leq C_2 z(x)$  for every  $x \in \Omega$ . Thus, choosing  $D_2 = D(1 + \kappa C_2)$ ,

$$Z_t - \Delta Z \geq t^{-\frac{N+\gamma}{2}} \left( C \frac{1}{|x|^2} - D_2 \frac{1}{t} \right) z(x).$$

Hence, choosing  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small such that  $c = C - \delta D_2 > 0$ , for  $|x|^2 \leq \delta t$  we have

$$Z_t - \Delta Z \geq ct^{-\frac{N+\gamma}{2}} \frac{z(x)}{|x|^2}.$$

■

Now we prove the asymptotic result close to the hole. For this we will use comparison principle in variable domains, Theorem A.3, with suitable sub and supersolutions constructed with the help of  $Z$  in Lemma 4.3. Notice that property (iii) in Lemma 4.3 will be used to cope with  $\theta$ -boundary conditions other than Dirichlet.

**Theorem 4.4 (Behaviour near the hole).** *Let  $N \geq 3$ ,  $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$  and  $u(x, t) = S^\theta(t)u_0(x)$  the solution of the heat equation with homogeneous  $\theta$ -boundary conditions on  $\partial\Omega$ . Then, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{N}{2}} \left\| u(\cdot, t) - m_{u_0} \Phi^\theta(\cdot) G(\cdot, t) \right\|_{L^\infty(\{|x|^2 \leq \delta t\})} = 0,$$

where  $m_{u_0} = \int_\Omega \Phi^\theta(x) u_0(x) dx$  is the asymptotic mass of  $u$ . Hence, the solution behaves near the hole as a Gaussian with the asymptotic mass times the asymptotic profile  $\Phi^\theta$ .

**Proof.** We define

$$v^+(x, t) := \Phi^\theta(x) G(x, t) + \sigma Z(x, t) \geq 0 \quad (4.12)$$

where  $Z(x, t)$  and  $\delta > 0$  are as in Lemma 4.3 and now we choose  $\gamma < 1/2$ . Let us see that  $v^+$  is a supersolution of the heat equation in some variable domain. First, from Lemma 4.3 and using that  $\Phi^\theta$  is harmonic and  $G$  satisfies the heat equation, we get

$$\frac{\partial v^+}{\partial t} - \Delta v^+ \geq c\sigma t^{-\frac{N+\gamma}{2}} \frac{z(x)}{|x|^2} - 2 \left| \nabla \Phi^\theta \right| \left| \nabla G(\cdot, t) \right|. \quad (4.13)$$

Now, from the explicit form of  $G(x, t)$ , we have that, for  $|x|^2 \leq \delta t$ ,

$$\left| \nabla G(x, t) \right| \leq \frac{C}{t^{\frac{N+1}{2}}}. \quad (4.14)$$

Furthermore, from the estimates of the asymptotic profile  $\Phi^\theta(x)$  of Proposition 2.6 we have

$$\left| \nabla \Phi^\theta(x) \right| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{N-1}}. \quad (4.15)$$

Therefore, combining (4.13), (4.14) and (4.15) and using that  $|x|^2 \leq \delta t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial v^+}{\partial t} - \Delta v^+ &\geq \frac{c\sigma t^{-\frac{N+\gamma}{2}}}{|x|^{2+\gamma}} - \frac{C t^{-\frac{N+1}{2}}}{|x|^{N-1}} = t^{-\frac{N+\gamma}{2}} \left( \frac{c\sigma}{|x|^{2+\gamma}} - \frac{C}{t^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}} |x|^{N-1}} \right) \\ &\stackrel{|x|^2 \leq \delta t}{\geq} t^{-\frac{N+\gamma}{2}} \left( \frac{c\sigma}{|x|^{2+\gamma}} - \frac{C \delta^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}}{|x|^{N-\gamma}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, as  $\gamma < 1/2$ , we have  $(N - \gamma) - (2 + \gamma) = N - 2 - 2\gamma > 0$ , and then, as  $0 \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have  $|x|^{-(2+\gamma)} \geq C |x|^{-(N-\gamma)}$  for  $C > 0$  large enough and for all  $x \in \Omega$ . Therefore, we can choose  $\sigma$  large enough so that

$$\frac{\partial v^+}{\partial t} - \Delta v^+ \geq 0 \quad |x|^2 \leq \delta t, \quad t > 0. \quad (4.16)$$

Therefore,  $v^+$  is a supersolution in the region  $|x|^2 \leq \delta t$ .

Now, we will show that we can compare  $v^+$  and  $u$  at  $\partial\Omega$  and at  $|x|^2 = \delta t$  for  $t \geq T$  large enough. First, at  $\partial\Omega$ , we prove that, choosing  $\sigma > 0$  sufficiently large,

$$B_\theta(v^+)(x, t) > 0 = B_\theta(u)(x, t) \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t > 0. \quad (4.17)$$

Indeed,

$$B_\theta(v^+) = B_\theta(\Phi^\theta)G(\cdot, 0) + \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\theta\right)\Phi^\theta \frac{\partial G(\cdot, 0)}{\partial n} + \sigma B_\theta(Z).$$

Now,  $B_\theta(\Phi^\theta) = 0$  and, since  $0 \notin \partial\Omega$ ,

$$\left| \frac{\partial G(\cdot, 0)}{\partial n}(x, 0, t) \right| \leq |\nabla G(x, t)| \leq \frac{|x| e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}}{2t(4\pi t)^{N/2}} \leq \frac{C}{1 + t^{N/2+1}} \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t > 0.$$

Then in the Dirichlet part of the boundary where  $\theta = 0$  we have, by (i) in Lemma 4.3,  $B_\theta(v^+) = \sigma B_\theta(Z) > 0$ . On the rest of the boundary, using property (iii) from Lemma 4.3, we have

$$B_\theta(v^+) \geq \left( \sigma \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\theta\right)m - C \right) \frac{1}{1 + t^{N/2+1}} > 0$$

provided  $\sigma$  is large enough, because, as  $\partial\Omega$  is compact and  $\theta \in C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$ ,  $\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}\theta)$  is bounded below by a positive constant in each connected component of  $\partial\Omega$  in which we do not have Dirichlet conditions.

Now, let us compare  $v^+$  and  $u$  at  $|x|^2 = \delta t$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we use Theorem 4.1 with  $\delta$  from Lemma 4.3 and then, for sufficiently large  $T > 0$ ,

$$u(x, t) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)m_{u_0}G(x, t) \quad \text{for } |x|^2 = \delta t, \quad t \geq T.$$

Then, as  $\Phi^\theta(x) \rightarrow 1$  when  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\sigma Z(x, t) \geq 0$ , choosing  $T$  large enough we have that

$$u(x, t) < (1 + 2\varepsilon)m_{u_0}\Phi^\theta(x)G(x, t) \leq (1 + 2\varepsilon)m_{u_0}v^+(x, t) \quad \text{for } |x|^2 = \delta t, \quad t \geq T \quad (4.18)$$

for any value of  $\sigma > 0$ .

Finally, to be able to use Theorem A.3 with  $v^+$  and  $u$ , we need to compare the functions at some fixed time  $T$ . To do that, observe that given  $T > 0$  as above, since  $u(\cdot, T)$  is bounded and  $Z$  is strictly positive if  $|x|^2 \leq \delta T$ , we can then fix  $\sigma$  large enough so that

$$u(x, T) < (1 + 2\varepsilon)m_{u_0}v^+(x, T) \quad \forall |x|^2 \leq \delta T. \quad (4.19)$$

Now, from (4.16), (4.17), (4.18) and (4.19) we can apply Theorem A.3 with  $t_1 = T$ , any  $t_2 \geq t_1$ ,  $\Omega_{[T, t_2]} := \{x \in \Omega, t \in [T, t_2] : |x|^2 \leq \delta t\}$ ,  $S_2 = \partial\Omega \times [T, t_2]$  and  $S_1 = \{(x, t) : t \in [T, t_2], |x|^2 = \delta t\}$ . to obtain

$$u(x, t) \leq (1 + 2\varepsilon)m_{u_0}v^+(x, t) \quad \forall x \in \Omega \quad |x|^2 \leq \delta t \quad \forall t \in [T, t_2]. \quad (4.20)$$

In addition, as  $t_2$  was arbitrary, (4.20) holds for all  $t \geq T$ . Therefore, for  $|x|^2 \leq \delta t$ ,  $t \geq T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\frac{N}{2}}(u(x, t) - m_{u_0}\Phi^\theta(x)G(x, t)) &\stackrel{(4.20)}{\leq} t^{\frac{N}{2}}((1 + 2\varepsilon)m_{u_0}v^+(x, t) - m_{u_0}\Phi^\theta(x)G(x, t)) \\ &\stackrel{(4.12)}{=} t^{\frac{N}{2}}(2\varepsilon m_{u_0}\Phi^\theta(x)G(x, t) + (1 + 2\varepsilon)m_{u_0}\sigma Z(x, t)) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon m_{u_0} + (1 + 2\varepsilon)\sigma m_{u_0}t^{\frac{N}{2}}Z(x, t) \rightarrow C\varepsilon m_{u_0} \end{aligned}$$

when  $t \rightarrow \infty$  due to (ii) in Lemma 4.3. So, as  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary, we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left( t^{\frac{N}{2}} \sup_{\{|x|^2 \leq \delta t\}} \{u(x, t) - m_{u_0} \Phi(x) G(x, t)\} \right) \leq 0.$$

A similar argument can be carried out with a subsolution

$$v^-(x, t) := \Phi^\theta(x) G(x, t) - \sigma Z(x, t)$$

to obtain the corresponding result for  $\liminf$ . This concludes the proof. ■

**Remark 4.5.** Note that in Theorem 4.4 we are not obtaining the convergence of the derivatives as in (4.2) in Theorem 4.1. In fact, in general, this result is not true near the hole due to the boundary conditions. For example, if we consider the case of Neumann boundary conditions, we have  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  while  $\frac{\partial G}{\partial n}$  is of order  $t^{-\frac{N+1}{2}}$  so (4.2) can not be true near the hole.

Now we can prove the main result in this section which is the analogous to (3.2). For this, for  $N \geq 3$ , we match the results in Theorems 4.1 and 4.4. Observe that here both the asymptotic mass of the solution and the asymptotic profile of the problem intervene.

**Theorem 4.6 (Convergence in the sup norm).** Let  $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$  and  $u(x, t) = S^\theta(t)u_0$  be the solution of the heat equation with homogeneous  $\theta$ -boundary conditions on  $\partial\Omega$ .

Then if  $N \geq 3$  or if  $N = 2$  and  $\theta \neq 1$ , that is, except for Neumann boundary conditions,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{N}{2}} \left\| u(\cdot, t) - m_{u_0} \Phi^\theta(\cdot) G(\cdot, t) \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = 0, \quad (4.21)$$

where  $m_{u_0} = \int_\Omega \Phi^\theta(x) u_0(x) dx$  is the asymptotic mass. Hence, the solution behaves as a Gaussian times the asymptotic mass of the solution and the asymptotic profile.

**Proof.** Assume first  $N \geq 3$ . Taking  $\delta > 0$  from Theorem 4.4 in Theorem 4.1 we get for  $|x|^2 \geq \delta t$ , adding and subtracting  $m_{u_0} G(\cdot, t)$ ,

$$t^{\frac{N}{2}} \left| u(x, t) - m_{u_0} \Phi^\theta(x) G(x, t) \right| \leq t^{\frac{N}{2}} |u(x, t) - m_{u_0} G(x, t)| + |m_{u_0}| t^{\frac{N}{2}} G(x, t) (1 - \Phi^\theta(x)).$$

The first term is uniformly small for large times by Theorem 4.1 and

$$|m_{u_0}| t^{\frac{N}{2}} G(x, t) (1 - \Phi^\theta(x)) \leq C |m_{u_0}| (1 - \Phi^\theta(x))$$

which is as small as we want for all large  $t$ , since  $|x|^2 \geq \delta t$  and as  $N \geq 3$ ,  $\Phi^\theta(x) \rightarrow 1$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , see Proposition 2.6. Then, we just combine Theorems 4.1 and 4.4 to obtain the result.

Now, if  $N = 2$  and  $\theta \neq 1$ , that is, except for Neumann boundary conditions, as in Theorem 3.4 we have  $\Phi^\theta = 0$ ,  $m_{u_0} = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S^\theta(t)u_0 = 0$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . Then using Corollary 2.1 and the semigroup property, we get

$$\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \left\| S^\theta(t)u_0 \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C \left\| S^\theta\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)u_0 \right\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , which proves (4.21). ■

The following result shows the optimality of Theorem 4.6.

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$  a monotonically decreasing continuous function such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = 0$ . Then, for any homogeneous  $\theta$ -boundary condition, there exist an initial value  $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ , with  $\|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} = 1$ , and a sequence of times  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$  such that

$$t_n^{N/2} \left\| S^\theta(t_n)u_0 - m_{u_0} \Phi^\theta(\cdot)G(\cdot, t_n) \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \geq g(t_n).$$

The proof of the theorem is mainly based on the following general proposition, based on the Banach-Steinhaus theorem.

**Proposition 4.8.** Let  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a family of linear bounded operators between the Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ . Assume that the norms of the operators are globally bounded below and locally bounded above, that is,  $0 < m \leq \|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and given  $T_0 \geq 0$ , there exist some constant  $M(T_0)$ , such that  $\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} \leq M(T_0)$  for every  $t \leq T_0$ .

Then, for any continuous function  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = 0$ , there exists  $u_0 \in X$  such that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|T(t)u_0\|_Y}{g(t)} = \infty.$$

**Proof.** Let us argue by contradiction. Assume that, for every  $u_0 \in X$ ,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|T(t)u_0\|_Y}{g(t)} \leq C_{u_0}.$$

In particular, as  $\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}$  are locally bounded in  $t \geq 0$  and  $g$  is continuous, choosing  $C_{u_0}$  larger if necessary,

$$\frac{\|T(t)u_0\|_Y}{g(t)} \leq C_{u_0} \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty).$$

Then, the uniform boundedness principle implies that there exists a  $C > 0$  such that

$$\frac{\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}}{g(t)} \leq C \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty)$$

But, then as  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = 0$ , there exists  $T > 0$  such that

$$\|T(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} \leq Cg(T) < m$$

which is a contradiction. ■

**Proof of Theorem 4.7.** We consider the linear operators

$$\begin{aligned} T(t) : \quad L^1(\Omega) &\longrightarrow L^\infty(\Omega) \\ u_0 &\longmapsto t^{\frac{N}{2}} \left( S^\theta(t)u_0 - m_{u_0} G(\cdot, t) \right) \end{aligned}$$

which are also uniformly bounded because, using Corollary 2.1

$$\|T(t)u_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq t^{\frac{N}{2}} \left\| S^\theta(t)u_0 \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} t^{\frac{N}{2}} \|G(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \stackrel{(2.8)}{\leq} C \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)}.$$

In addition, let us prove that  $\|T(t)\| \geq c$  for some positive constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $t$ . First, we consider  $(\lambda, \psi)$  the first eigenvalue and eigenfunction of  $\Delta$  in the unit ball  $B$  with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions with  $\psi \geq 0$  and normalized such that  $\|\psi\|_{L^1(B)} = 1$ . Then



$\left(\frac{\lambda}{R^2}, R^{-N}\psi\left(\frac{\cdot}{R}\right)\right)$  is an eigenvalue-eigenfunction pair of  $\Delta$  in  $B(0, R)$  with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions normalized with  $L^1(B(0, R))$ -norm equal to 1. Now, for fixed  $t > 0$ , we choose  $R = \sqrt{t}$  and  $x_0 \in \Omega$  with  $|x_0|$  large enough so that

$$t^{N/2}G(x_0, t) \leq \frac{e^{-\lambda\psi(0)}}{2}$$

Then, if we choose  $u_0(x) = \chi_{B(x_0, R)}R^{-N}\psi\left(\frac{x-x_0}{R}\right) \geq 0$ , we have  $\|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} = 1$  and using  $0 < m_{u_0} \leq 1$  and comparison Theorems A.1 and A.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(t)u_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} &\geq T(t)u_0(x_0) \geq t^{N/2}S^\theta(t)u_0(x_0) - \frac{e^{-\lambda\psi(0)}}{2} \stackrel{\text{Thm A.1}}{\geq} t^{N/2}S^0(t)u_0(x_0) - \frac{e^{-\lambda\psi(0)}}{2} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Thm A.2}}{\geq} t^{N/2}S_{B(x_0, R)}^0(t)u_0(x_0) - \frac{e^{-\lambda\psi(0)}}{2} = e^{-\lambda\psi(0)} - \frac{e^{-\lambda\psi(0)}}{2} = \frac{e^{-\lambda\psi(0)}}{2} \end{aligned}$$

where  $S_{B(x_0, R)}^0(t)$  above is the heat semigroup in the ball  $B(x_0, R)$  with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Therefore, as  $\|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} = 1$ , we obtain that  $\|T(t)\| \geq \frac{e^{-\lambda\psi(0)}}{2}$  for every  $t \geq 0$ .

Hence, we can use Proposition 4.8 to  $\{T(t)\}$  to obtain the result. ■

**Remark 4.9.** *One of the few results in an exterior domain of which we are acquainted with are those in [Her98], which describe the behaviour of solutions with homogeneous Dirichlet conditions for initial data which behaves like  $|x|^{-\alpha}$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . In particular, the following lemma is stated.*

**Lemma 4.10** ([Her98], Lemma 3.2 a)). *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a regular exterior domain with  $N \geq 3$ . Let  $u : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a solution of*

$$\begin{cases} u_t(x, t) - \Delta u(x, t) = 0 & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ u(x, t) = 0 & x \in \partial\Omega, t \in (0, \infty) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \end{cases}$$

where  $u_0(x) \sim A|x|^{-\alpha}$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $A > 0$  and  $\alpha > N$ . Then, when  $t \gg 1$ ,

$$u(x, t) = \frac{\Phi^0(x)}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} u_0(y) dy \right) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} (1 + o(1)) \quad x \in \Omega, |x|^2 \leq Ct \log(t) \quad (4.22)$$

where  $\Phi^0(x)$  is the asymptotic profile for homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions.

However, the asymptotic behaviour that Lemma 4.10 describes seems not to be taking into account the loss of mass through the hole as the whole mass  $\int_{\Omega} u_0(y) dy$  appears explicitly in the estimate. This can be checked with the explicit radial solution of the heat equation in  $\Omega$  with Dirichlet boundary conditions when  $\Omega := \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B(0, 1)$

$$u(x, t) = e^{-\frac{(|x|-1)^2}{4(t+1)}} \cdot \frac{(|x|-1)}{4|x|(t+1)^{3/2}} \quad x \in \Omega := \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B(0, 1), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (4.23)$$

In Figure 1 we present a comparison of the exact solution (4.23), the expected asymptotic behaviour in Theorem 4.6 and the expected behaviour for  $t = 100$  predicted by Lemma 4.10, (4.22). The picture depicts the height of the functions in terms of the radial coordinate  $|x| \geq 1$ , at time  $t = 100$ . As the figure shows, the exact solution (4.23) seems not to coincide with the behaviour predicted by Lemma 4.10, but rather with the one in Theorem 4.6.




Figure 1: Comparison between [Her98] asymptotics and the one in Theorem 4.6.

Notice that the initial datum for (4.23) is  $u_0(x) = e^{-\frac{(|x|-1)^2}{4}} \cdot \frac{(|x|-1)}{4|x|}$  which decays faster than the required decay  $|x|^{-\alpha}$  when  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  in Lemma 4.10.

However, a small perturbation  $u_0^\varepsilon(x) = u_0(x) + \varepsilon |x|^{-(N+1)}$  is under the hypothesis of Lemma 4.10. Hence, Lemma 4.10 would imply that

$$u_\varepsilon(x, t) = \frac{\Phi^0(x)}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} u_0^\varepsilon(y) dy \right) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} (1 + o(1))$$

when  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Furthermore, due to (2.8) we have

$$\left| S^0(t)u_0^\varepsilon(x) - S^0(t)u_0(x) \right| \leq \|u_0^\varepsilon - u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} t^{-N/2} \leq Ct^{-N/2}\varepsilon, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0$$

and then we would have  $u(x, t) = \frac{\Phi^0(x)}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} u_0(y) dy \right) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} (1 + o(1) + O(\varepsilon))$  when  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and  $|x|^2 \leq Ct$  which clearly does not happen as Figure 1 shows.

## 5 Asymptotic behavior in the $L^p(\Omega)$ norm

Finally, combining Theorem 4.6 with Theorem 3.4, we obtain an asymptotic result in  $L^p(\Omega)$  by interpolation. Observe that this result is the analogous to Theorem 3.1 in an exterior domain.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$  and  $u(x, t) = S^\theta(t)u_0$  be the solution of the heat equation with homogeneous  $\theta$ -boundary conditions on  $\partial\Omega$ .*

*Then if  $N \geq 3$  or if  $N = 2$  and  $\theta \neq 1$ , that is, except for Neumann boundary conditions, for any  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{N}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})} \left\| u(\cdot, t) - m_{u_0} \Phi^\theta(\cdot) G(\cdot, t) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 0,$$

where  $m_{u_0} = \int_{\Omega} \Phi^\theta(x) u_0(x) dx$  is the asymptotic mass.

**Proof.** Assume first  $N \geq 3$ . First we prove the case  $p = 1$  by using Theorem 3.4 and using that, as  $N \geq 3$  then  $\Phi^\theta(x) \rightarrow 1$  when  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , see Proposition 2.6. For this, notice that adding and subtracting  $m_{u_0}G(\cdot, t)$

$$\left\| u(t) - m_{u_0}\Phi^\theta(\cdot)G(\cdot, t) \right\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \left\| u(t) - m_{u_0}G(\cdot, t) \right\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \left\| m_{u_0}(1 - \Phi^\theta(\cdot))G(\cdot, t) \right\|_{L^1(\Omega)}.$$

By Theorem 3.4 the first term goes to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  while for the second, given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we choose  $R > 0$  such that for  $|x| \geq R$ ,  $0 \leq 1 - \Phi^\theta(x) \leq \varepsilon$ . Then  $G(\cdot, t)$  decays in time to 0 in  $L^1(\Omega \cap B(0, R))$  just because of the decay in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  and  $\Omega \cap B(0, R)$  is a bounded set. Therefore, splitting the integral for  $|x| \leq R$  and  $|x| \geq R$  we have

$$\left\| m_{u_0}(1 - \Phi^\theta(\cdot))G(\cdot, t) \right\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq |m_{u_0}| \left\| G(\cdot, t) \right\|_{L^1(\Omega \cap \{|x| \leq R\})} + |m_{u_0}|\varepsilon \rightarrow |m_{u_0}|\varepsilon$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we get the result for  $p = 1$ .

Now we denote  $f(x, t) = u(x, t) - m_{u_0}\Phi^\theta(x)G(x, t)$ , so we have already proved that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|f(t)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} = 0$ . In addition, by Theorem 4.6 we have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{N/2} \|f(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = 0$ . Therefore, using interpolation we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{N}{2}(p-1)} \|f(t)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left( (t^{\frac{N}{2}} \|f(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)})^{p-1} \|f(t)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \right) = 0,$$

which is the result.

Now, if  $N = 2$  and  $\theta \neq 1$ , that is, except for Neumann boundary conditions, as in Theorem 3.4 we have  $\Phi^\theta = 0$ ,  $m_{u_0} = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S^\theta(t)u_0 = 0$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . Then using Corollary 2.1 and the semigroup property, we get

$$\left( \frac{t}{2} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-1)} \left\| S^\theta(t)u_0 \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C \left\| S^\theta\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)u_0 \right\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , which proves the result. ■

## Acknowledgments

We would like to express our sincere gratitude to Fernando Quirós (UAM, Spain) for our conversations on the topic of exterior domains and asymptotic behaviour. His guidance and discussions have greatly contributed to the development of this work. We are also thankful to José A. Cañizo and Institute of Mathematics of the University of Granada (IMAG, Spain) for their warm hospitality during the visit of the first author there. The engaging discussions on the aforementioned topic as well as on their work in progress have been helpful for a wider understanding of the topic covered on this document. In addition, special thanks go to Raúl Ferreira (UCM, Spain) for his comments on the relationship between asymptotic profiles and harmonic measure as well as to Pablo Hidalgo-Palencia (ICMAT, Spain) for the subsequent discussions and clarifications regarding this matter. I appreciate the support and collaboration of these individuals, which have significantly enriched the content and quality of this work.

## Appendix A Comparison Principles

Now we present some monotonicity results for the solutions of the parabolic problems above with respect to the function  $\theta$  and, in the case of Dirichlet boundary conditions, with respect to the

domain  $\Omega$ . But first, we need to define, given some  $\theta$ -boundary conditions as in (2.2), the Dirichlet, and Robin/Neumann part of  $\partial\Omega$ . We define the Dirichlet part of  $\partial\Omega$  as

$$\partial^D\Omega := \{x \in \partial\Omega : \theta(x) = 0\},$$

the Robin/Neumann part of  $\partial\Omega$  as

$$\partial^R\Omega := \{x \in \partial\Omega : 0 < \theta(x) \leq 1\}.$$

The conditions imposed on  $\theta$  imply that  $\partial^D\Omega$  is a union of connected components of  $\partial\Omega$ .

Now we present some monotonicity results. The proof of the following theorem can be found in [DR24a].

**Theorem A.1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a domain with compact boundary and let  $u_{10}, u_{20} \in L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $f_1, f_2 \in L^1((0, T), L^2(\Omega))$  and  $g_1, g_2 \in L^1((0, T), L^2(\partial\Omega))$  with  $T > 0$ . Finally, assume  $u_1, u_2 \in C^1((0, T), H_\theta^1(\Omega)) \cap C([0, T], L^2(\Omega))$  are such that they are weak solutions of the problems*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_i - \Delta u_i = f_i & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T) \\ B_\theta(u_i) = g_i & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T) \\ u_i = u_{i,0} := u_i(0) & \text{in } \Omega \times \{0\}, \end{cases}$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ , in the sense that  $u_i = g_i$  on  $\partial^D\Omega \times (0, T)$  and for any  $\varphi \in C([0, T], H_\theta^1(\Omega))$ ,

$$\int_\Omega (u_i)_t \varphi + \int_\Omega \nabla u_i \nabla \varphi + \int_{\partial^R\Omega} \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\theta\right) u_i \varphi = \int_{\partial^R\Omega} \frac{g_i}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\theta\right)} \varphi + \int_\Omega f_i \varphi \quad t \in (0, T).$$

Then, if  $f_1 \geq f_2$ ,  $g_1 \geq g_2$  and  $u_{1,0} \geq u_{2,0}$ , we have

$$u_1 \geq u_2 \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \in (0, T).$$

For Dirichlet boundary conditions ( $\theta \equiv 0$ ) we can also state a monotonicity result with respect to the domain.

**Theorem A.2.** *Let  $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  domains and  $0 \leq u_i \in L^p(\Omega_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  with  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  such that  $0 \leq u_1 \leq u_2|_{\Omega_1}$ . Then, if we denote  $S_{\Omega_i}^0(t)$  the heat semigroup with zero Dirichlet boundary conditions in  $\Omega_i$ , we have that:*

$$S_{\Omega_1}^0(t)u_1 \leq S_{\Omega_2}^0(t)u_2 \quad \text{in } \Omega_1, \quad t > 0.$$

Therefore, the heat kernels satisfy

$$k_{\Omega_1}^0(x, y, t) \leq k_{\Omega_2}^0(x, y, t), \quad x, y \in \Omega_1, \quad t > 0.$$

In particular, for any exterior domain with Dirichlet boundary conditions, we have the Gaussian bound

$$0 < k_\Omega^0(x, y, t) \leq k_{\mathbb{R}^N}(x, y, t) = \frac{e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4\pi t}}}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}} \quad x, y \in \Omega, \quad t > 0. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

**Proof.** Assume the initial data is smooth. Then,  $u_1(t) = S_{\Omega_1}^0(t)u_1$  and  $u_2(t) = S_{\Omega_2}^0(t)u_2$  satisfy the heat equation in  $\Omega_1$ ,  $u_2(t)|_{\partial\Omega_1} \geq u_1(t)|_{\partial\Omega_1} = 0$  and the initial data satisfy  $u_2|_{\partial\Omega_1} \geq u_1$ . Hence, using Theorem A.1 we obtain  $S_{\Omega_1}^0(t)u_1 \leq S_{\Omega_2}^0(t)u_2$ .

Therefore for every  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_1)$ , we have that, for  $x \in \Omega_1$

$$\int_{\Omega_2} k_{\Omega_2}^0(x, y, t) \varphi(y) dy = S_{\Omega_2}^0(t) \varphi(x) \geq S_{\Omega_1}^0(t) \varphi(x) = \int_{\Omega_1} k_{\Omega_1}^0(x, y, t) \varphi(y) dy,$$

so then  $k_{\Omega_2}^0(x, y, t) \geq k_{\Omega_1}^0(x, y, t)$  for every  $x, y \in \Omega_1$  and  $t > 0$ . This immediately implies the result for non-smooth initial data by (2.4). ■

The following theorem is helpful to compare solutions of parabolic equations in time-dependent domains. It allows  $\theta$ -boundary conditions and Dirichlet conditions in disjoint boundaries. The proof of this result in a more general setting can be found in [Fri08] Chapter 2, Theorems 1, 16 and 17.

**Theorem A.3** (Comparison Principle for Variable Domains). *Let  $\Omega_{[t_0, t_1]} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$  be a space-time domain. Denote  $\Omega_s = \{(x, t) \in \Omega_{[t_0, t_1]} : t = s\}$ . Assume the boundary of  $\Omega_{[t_0, t_1]}$  consists on the closure of a  $N$ -dimensional domain  $\Omega_{t_0}$  lying on  $t = t_0$ , the closure of a  $N$ -dimensional domain  $\Omega_{t_1}$  lying on  $t = t_1$  and a (not necessarily connected)  $N$ -dimensional manifold  $S$  lying on the strip  $t_0 \leq t \leq t_1$ . Assume also that there is a curve  $\gamma$  in  $\Omega_{[t_0, t_1]}$  which connects  $\Omega_{t_0}$  and  $\Omega_{t_1}$  and whose  $t$  coordinate is nondecreasing. Consider that  $S = S_1 \cup S_2$  where  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are distinct connected manifolds. Assume  $S_2$  is independent of time, that is  $S_2 = \Gamma \times (t_0, t_1)$ . Furthermore, consider some  $\theta$ -boundary conditions (as in (2.2)) on  $\Gamma$ . Then, for any  $u_1, u_2 \in C^{2,1}(\Omega_{[t_0, t_1]})$  such that*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} - \Delta u_1 > 0 & \text{in } \Omega_{[t_0, t_1]}, \\ \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} - \Delta u_2 \leq 0 & \text{in } \Omega_{[t_0, t_1]}, \\ u_1 > u_2 & \text{in } \Omega_{t_0} \cup S_1, \\ B_\theta(u_1(t)) > B_\theta(u_2(t)) & \text{on } \Gamma, \forall t \in (t_0, t_1), \end{cases}$$

we have that

$$u_1 > u_2 \quad \text{in } \Omega_{[t_0, t_1]}.$$

## Appendix B Removable Singularities

Here we present a result which allows us to remove singularities in some cases when we have solutions of the heat equation in the whole space except in a point. This topic is further studied in [Aro64].

**Theorem B.1.** *Let  $N \geq 2$  and  $u \in L^\infty([t_0, t_1], L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}))$  a bounded solution of the heat equation in  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \times [t_0, t_1]$ , that is,  $u \in C_{x,t}^{(2,1)}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \times [t_0, t_1])$  such that*

$$u_t(x, t) - \Delta u(x, t) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \quad \forall t \in [t_0, t_1],$$

then,  $u$  can be extended so that  $u \in C^{(2,1)}(\mathbb{R}^N \times [t_0, t_1])$  and it is a solution of the heat equation in the whole space

$$u_t(x, t) - \Delta u(x, t) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \forall t \in [t_0, t_1].$$

**Proof.** It is just enough to apply Theorem 1 from [Aro64] with the set  $K = \{0\} \times [t_0, t_1]$  which is a  $(2, \infty)$ -null set just because a point has zero capacity in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  for  $N \geq 2$ .

This is easily seen considering the functions:

$$\psi_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - |x|^\alpha & \forall |x| \leq 1 \\ 0 & \forall |x| \geq 1 \end{cases},$$

because  $\psi_n(0) = 1$ ,  $\psi_n \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  (for  $N \geq 2$ ) and

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \psi_\alpha|^2 = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \int_{B(0,1)} \alpha^2 |x|^{2\alpha-2} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha^2}{2\alpha + n - 2} \omega_{N-1} = 0,$$

where  $\omega_{N-1}$  is the volume of the  $(N-1)$ -dimensional unit ball. ■

## Appendix C Schauder Estimates

Here we present some parabolic Schauder estimates, which allow us to estimate the derivatives of a solution of the heat equation just with the  $L^\infty$  norm of the solutions. These are classical results which can be found, for example, in [Fri08] Chapter 3 Theorem 5.

**Theorem C.1.** *Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  a domain,  $Q := K \times [T_1, T_2]$  and  $v \in L^\infty(Q) \cap C^\infty(Q)$  be a solution of the heat equation. Define, for any  $(x, t) \in Q$  the parabolic distance  $d_{(x,t)} = \inf\{|x - \bar{x}|^2 + |t - \bar{t}|\}^{1/2} : (\bar{x}, \bar{t}) \in \partial Q \setminus \{(x, T_2) : x \in \Omega\}$ . Then,*

$$d_{(x,t)} |Dv(x, t)| + d_{(x,t)}^2 |D^2v(x, t)| \leq C \|v\|_{L^\infty(Q)} \quad \forall (x, t) \in Q,$$

where  $C$  is independent of  $v$ ,  $x$ ,  $t$ ,  $K$ ,  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ ,  $Dv$  represent any first order spatial derivative of  $v$  and  $D^2v$  any second order spatial derivative of  $v$ .

In the same way, in the elliptic framework, we have also Schauder estimates. The proof of the following results can be found for example in [GT15] Theorem 4.6:

**Theorem C.2.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$  such that*

$$\Delta u(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

Then, for  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and any two concentric balls  $B_1 := B(x_0, R)$  and  $B_2 := B(x_0, 2R) \subset\subset \Omega$ , we have

$$R |Du|_{B_1} + R^2 |D^2u|_{B_1} \leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_2)},$$

where we denote  $|Du|_{B_1} = \max_i \|D_i u\|_{L^\infty(B_1)}$ ,  $|D^2u|_{B_1} = \max_{i,j} \|D_{ij} u\|_{L^\infty(B_1)}$  and  $C$  is a constant independent on  $u$ ,  $x_0$  and  $R$ .

## References

- [ACDR04] J. M. Arrieta, J. W. Cholewa, T. Dlotko, and A. Rodríguez-Bernal. Linear parabolic equations in locally uniform spaces. *Mathematical Models & Methods in Applied Sciences*, 14(2):253–293, 2004. Cited ↑ in page: 5
- [Aro64] D. G. Aronson. Removable singularities for linear parabolic equations. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 17(1):79–84, January 1964. Cited ↑ in page: 27
- [BQV07] C. Brändle, F. Quirós, and J. L. Vazquez. Asymptotic behaviour of the porous media equation in domains with holes. *Interfaces and Free Boundaries*, 9, January 2007. Cited ↑ in page: 3, 14
- [CEQW12] C. Cortázar, M. Elgueta, F. Quirós, and N. Wolanski. Asymptotic behavior for a nonlocal diffusion equation in domains with holes. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 205:673–697, 2012. Cited ↑ in page: 3

- [CEQW16] C. Cortázar, M. Elgueta, F. Quirós, and N. Wolanski. Asymptotic behavior for a one-dimensional nonlocal diffusion equation in exterior domains. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 48(3):1549–1574, 2016. Cited ↑ in page: [14](#)
- [CGQ24] J. Cañizo, A. Gárriz and F. Quirós. Asymptotic estimates for the Dirichlet heat equation in exterior domains. *In preparation*, 2024. Cited ↑ in page: [3](#)
- [Dav97] E. B. Davies. Non-Gaussian aspects of heat kernel behaviour. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 55(1):105–125, 1997. Cited ↑ in page: [6](#)
- [DDH<sup>+</sup>04] R. Denk, G. Dore, M. Hieber, J. Prüss, and A. Venni. New thoughts on old results of RT Seeley. *Mathematische Annalen*, 328(4):545–583, 2004. Cited ↑ in page: [4](#)
- [DR24a] J. Domínguez-de-Tena and A. Rodríguez-Bernal. On the loss of mass for the heat equation in an exterior domain with general boundary conditions. *São Paulo Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, page 20, 2024. Cited ↑ in page: [2](#), [4](#), [5](#), [7](#), [11](#), [26](#)
- [DR24b] J. Domínguez-de-Tena and A. Rodríguez-Bernal. Asymptotic behaviour of the heat equation in an exterior domain with general boundary conditions II. The case of bounded and  $L^p$  data. *In preparation*, 2024. Cited ↑ in page: [3](#)
- [DZ92] J. Duoandikoetxea and E. Zuazua. Moments, masses de Dirac et décomposition de fonctions. *Comptes rendus de l'Académie des sciences. Série 1, Mathématique*, 315(6):693–698, 1992. Cited ↑ in page: [3](#), [8](#)
- [Fri08] A. Friedman. *Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type*. Courier Dover Publications, 2008. Cited ↑ in page: [27](#), [28](#)
- [GGs10] M. H. Giga, Y. Giga, and J. Saal. *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations. Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions and Self-Similar Solutions*. January 2010. Cited ↑ in page: [3](#), [8](#)
- [GS11] P. Gyrya and L. Saloff-Coste. *Neumann and Dirichlet Heat Kernels in Inner Uniform Domains*. Number 336 in Astérisque. Société mathématique de France, 2011. Cited ↑ in page: [5](#)
- [GT15] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger. *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, volume 224. Springer, 2015. Cited ↑ in page: [28](#)
- [Gyr07] P. Gyrya. *Heat Kernel Estimates for Inner Uniform Subsets of Harnack-type Dirichlet Space*. PhD thesis, Cornell University, 2007. Cited ↑ in page: [5](#)
- [Her98] L. A. Herraiz. A Nonlinear Parabolic Problem in an Exterior Domain. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 142(2):371–412, January 1998. Cited ↑ in page: [3](#), [23](#), [24](#)
- [IK07] K. Ishige and Y. Kabeya. Decay rates of the derivatives of the solutions of the heat equations in the exterior domain of a ball. *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, 59, July 2007. Cited ↑ in page: [7](#)
- [Lun95] A. Lunardi. *Analytic Semigroups and Optimal Regularity in Parabolic Problems*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser, Basel Heidelberg, repr. of the 1995 ed edition, 1995. Cited ↑ in page: [4](#), [5](#)
- [Mik78] V. Mikhailov. *Partial Differential Equations*. MIR Publishers, 1978. Cited ↑ in page: [8](#)



- [Paz10] A. Pazy. *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*. Number 44 in Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer, New York, NY, 3.[print] edition, 2010. Cited  $\uparrow$  in page: [4](#)
- [QS19] P. D. P. Quittner and P. D. P. Souplet. *Superlinear Parabolic Problems: Blow-up, Global Existence and Steady States*. Birkhäuser Advanced Texts Basler Lehrbücher. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2019. Cited  $\uparrow$  in page: [6](#)
- [RR18] J. Robinson and A. Rodríguez-Bernal. Optimal existence classes and nonlinear-like dynamics in the linear heat equation in Rd. *Advances in Mathematics*, 334:488–543, August 2018. Cited  $\uparrow$  in page: [16](#)
- [Sou99] P. Souplet. Geometry of unbounded domains, Poincaré inequalities and stability in semilinear parabolic equations. *Communications in partial differential equations*, 24(5-6):545–551, 1999. Cited  $\uparrow$  in page: [12](#)
- [Váz17] J. L. Vázquez. Asymptotic behaviour methods for the Heat Equation. Convergence to the Gaussian. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.10034*, 2017. Cited  $\uparrow$  in page: [3](#), [8](#), [14](#)