## STELLAR SUBDIVISIONS, WEDGES AND BUCHSTABER NUMBERS

SUYOUNG CHOI AND HYEONTAE JANG

ABSTRACT. A seed is a PL sphere that is not obtainable by a wedge operation from any other PL sphere. In this paper, we study two operations on PL spheres, known as the stellar subdivision and the wedge, that preserve the maximality of Buchstaber numbers and polytopality. We construct a new polytopal toric colorable seed from these two operations. As a corollary, we prove that the toric colorable seed inequality established by Choi and Park is tight.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be an (n-1)-dimensional simplicial complex on  $[m] = \{1, 2, ..., m\}$  and (X, Y) a topological pair. The *polyhedral product*  $(\underline{X}, \underline{Y})^K$  is a subspace of  $X^m$  with respect to K:

$$(\underline{X},\underline{Y})^K \coloneqq \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in X^m \mid x_i \in Y \text{ when } i \notin \sigma \right\}.$$

One of main considerations is the moment-angle complex  $\mathcal{Z}_K \coloneqq (\underline{D^2}, \underline{S^1})^K$  of K, where  $D^2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq 1\}$  is the 2-dimensional disk, and  $S^1 = \partial D^2$  is its boundary circle. The canonical action of  $S^1$  on  $D^2$  induces the action of the *m*-dimensional torus  $T^m = S^1 \times \cdots \times S^1$  on  $\mathcal{Z}_K$ . The Buchstaber number s(K) of K is the maximum number r such that there is an r-dimensional subtorus H of  $T^m$  freely acting on  $\mathcal{Z}_K$ .

It is known that the following inequality holds [2, 8]:

$$1 \le s(K) \le m - n.$$

The case when K is a PL sphere with s(K) = m - n, particulary when it is a polytopal PL sphere, is of special interest because many significant toric spaces, including complete non-singular toric varieties, simply called *toric manifolds*, and their topological generalizations such as *quasitoric* manifolds [6] and topological toric manifolds [12], are formed in  $\mathcal{Z}_K/H$ , where K is a (polytopal) PL sphere and H is a free torus action of rank m - n on  $\mathcal{Z}_K$ . Hence, such PL spheres are said to be *toric colorable* and the characterization of toric colorable PL spheres is of considerable importance.

The breakthrough in addressing this problem is the approach by Choi and Park. The wedge is an operation on simplicial complexes that preserves the PL sphereness and polytopality, and a PL sphere K is called a seed if it cannot be obtained by a wedge operation from any other PL sphere. One advantageous property of the wedge operation in toric topology is that it preserves the maximality of the Buchstaber number [10] and does not change the difference of the number of vertices and the dimension. Therefore, since every toric colorable PL sphere can be obtained from toric colorable seeds by a sequence of wedges, it is enough to consider toric colorable seeds. In their paper [5], Choi and Park proved that for a fixed m-n, the number of (n-1)-dimensional toric colorable seeds K with m vertices is finite. More precisely, K must satisfy the inequality, which we refer to as the toric colorable seed inequality,

(1.1) 
$$m \le 2^{m-n} - 1.$$

This inequality transforms the problem of finding all PL spheres with a maximal Buchstaber number into a finite problem for each fixed  $p \coloneqq m - n$ . An interesting question is whether this inequality is tight. If the upper bound of (1.1) can be reduced, it would further transform the

Date: July 18, 2024.

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 57S12, 14M25.

Key words and phrases. Buchstaber number, Stellar subdivision, Wedge operation, toric topology, Inequality.

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea Grant funded by the Korean Government (NRF-2021R1A6A1A10044950).

problem into a smaller finite problem. It is known that the inequality is tight for p = 3 and 4. Denote by  $C^4(7)$  the cyclic polytope of dimension 4 with 7 vertices. The boundary complex of  $C^4(7)$  is a seed, and, by [7], its Buchstaber number is maximal. Hence, the inequality is tight for p = 3. According to [3], there are four PL spheres that confirms the tightness for p = 4 as well.

In this paper, we construct a new polytopal toric colorable seed from an old one using two operations, the stellar subdivision and the wedge on PL spheres, that preserve the maximality of Buchstaber numbers and polytopality. Refer Theorem 3.1. By using this result, we show that the inequality (1.1) is tight for all  $p \geq 3$ , even for the class of polytopal PL spheres.

**Corollary 3.2.** Assume that  $p \ge 3$ . For any  $m \le 2^p - 1$  and  $n \ge 2$  with p = m - n, there exists a polytopal (n-1)-dimensional seed K with m vertices such that s(K) = p.

From the above corollary, one can precisely determine the conditions on m and n for an (n-1)-dimensional seed K with m vertices to support quasitoric manifolds or topological toric manifolds. We can ask a stronger question, namely, the conditions on m and n for K to support a toric manifold. In this case, the inequality (1.1) is not tight. When p = 3, m can be at most 6, which is less than  $2^3 - 1 = 7$ , in this class due to [13].

**Problem 1.1.** Let K be the underlying complex of a non-singular complete fan with m rays in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Assume that K is a seed. For a fixed m - n, find the sharp upper bound of m.

# 2. BASIC OPERATIONS ON SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES

Let K be a simplicial complex on  $[m] = \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ . A non-empty element of K is called a *face* of K. Any singleton in K is often called a *vertex*, and a maximal face of K with respect to inclusion is called a *facet*. We often identify a vertex  $\{v\}$  of K with its unique element v as well as with the simplicial complex consisting of the unique vertex v. The *dimension* of a face  $\sigma$  is  $|\sigma| - 1$ , and K is said to be *pure* if the dimensions of all facets are the same. The *dimension* of pure simplicial complex K is the dimension of its facet. Throughout this paper, every simplicial complex is assumed to be pure.

For any face  $\sigma$  of K, the boundary complex of  $\sigma$  is the simplicial complex  $\partial \sigma = \{\tau \in K \mid \tau \subsetneq \sigma\}$ , the star of  $\sigma$  in K is the simplicial complex

$$\operatorname{St}_K(\sigma) = \{ \tau \in K \mid \tau \cup \sigma \in K \},\$$

and the *link* of  $\sigma$  in K is the simplicial complex

$$Lk_K(\sigma) = \{ \tau \in K \mid \tau \cup \sigma \in K, \sigma \cap \tau = \emptyset \}.$$

For another simplicial complex L whose vertex set is disjoint to that of K, the *join* of K and L is the simplicial complex

 $K * L = \{ \sigma \cup \tau \mid \sigma \in K, \tau \in L \}.$ 

The stellar subdivision of K at  $\sigma$  is the simplicial complex

(2.1) 
$$\operatorname{Ss}_{\sigma}(K) = K \setminus \operatorname{St}_{\sigma}(K) \cup v_{\sigma} * \partial \sigma * \operatorname{Lk}_{K}(\sigma)$$

where  $v_{\sigma}$  is a new vertex.

Let v be a vertex of K, and I the 1-dimensional simplicial complex with two vertices v and v'. The *wedge* of K at v is the simplicial complex

(2.2) 
$$\operatorname{Wed}_{v}(K) = I * \operatorname{Lk}_{K}(v) \cup \partial I * \{ \sigma \in K \mid v \notin \sigma \}.$$

The face  $\{v, v'\}$  of  $\operatorname{Wed}_v(K)$  is called a *wedged edge* of  $\operatorname{Wed}_v(K)$ . Note that  $\operatorname{Lk}_{\operatorname{Wed}_v(K)}(v') = K$ , and  $\operatorname{Lk}_{\operatorname{Wed}_v(K)}(v)$  is isomorphic to K by identifying v' and v. In this sense, v' can be regarded as a copy of v.

There is another construction of a wedge using minimal non-faces, known as the *J*-construction, established in [1]. The set of minimal non-faces of  $\operatorname{Wed}_v(K)$  is obtained by duplicating v as vand v' in each minimal non-face of K. In this construction, one can observe that wedge operations are associative and commutative up to suitable vertex identification. Then we can use the notation K(J) for a positive integer tuple  $J = (j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_m)$  to refer the simplicial complex obtained by a sequence of wedge operations with  $j_v$  copies  $v = v^{(0)}, v' = v^{(1)} \ldots, v^{(j_v-1)}$  of each vertex v. To clarify, we note that K is a subcomplex of K(J), that is, any face of K is a face of K(J). Moreover, choosing  $0 \le s_v \le j_v - 1$  for each  $v \in [m]$ , then for a face  $\sigma$  of K(J), the set  $\sigma^{(s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_m)}$  obtained by replacing  $v \in \sigma$  by  $v^{(s_v)}$  is also a face of K(J). In such a way, we denote the set  $\{1^{(s_1)}, 2^{(s_2)}, \ldots, m^{(s_m)}\}$  by  $[m]^{(s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_m)}$ . The assembled face of K(J) by  $(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m)$  denotes the set  $[m]^{(s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_m)} \setminus \{v \in [m] \mid j_v = 1\}$ . The following lemma shows that any assembled face is literally a face of K(J).

**Lemma 2.1.** Let K be a simplicial complex on [m], and  $J = (j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_m)$  a positive integer tuple. Choose  $0 \le s_v \le j_v - 1$  for each  $v \in [m]$ . Then  $[m]^{(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m)} \setminus \{v \in [m] \mid j_v = 1\}$  is a face of K(J).

*Proof.* If we prove the case  $s_1 = s_2 = \cdots = s_m = 0$ , then the statement holds by the above argument. In this case, it is enough to observe that for a vertex v and a face  $\sigma$  of K, the set  $\sigma \cup \{v\}$  is a face of  $\operatorname{Wed}_v(K)$  by the construction (2.2).

A simplicial complex K is called a PL sphere if there exists a subdivision of K such that it can be expressed as a subdivision of the boundary complex of a simplex. A PL sphere is called a seed if it is not a wedge of any other PL sphere. Then any PL sphere K is written as K = K'(J)for some seed K' and some positive integer tuple J.

**Proposition 2.2** ([9, 4, 3]). If K is a PL sphere, then both  $Ss_{\sigma}(K)$  and K(J) are also PL spheres for any  $\sigma \in K$  and any positive integer tuple J. In particular, if K is polytopal, then so are  $Ss_{\sigma}(K)$ and K(J).

For an (n-1)-dimensional PL sphere with m vertices, the *Picard number* of K is defined as Pic(K) := m - n. Note that the dimension of  $Ss_{\sigma}(K)$  is n - 1, and the dimension of  $Wed_v(K)$  of K is n, while both complexes have m + 1 vertexes. Therefore, the stellar subdivision increases the Picard number by 1, whereas the wedge operation preserves the Picard number:

$$\operatorname{Pic}(K) = \operatorname{Pic}(K(J)) = \operatorname{Pic}(\operatorname{Ss}_{\sigma}(K)) - 1.$$

A PL sphere is said to be *toric colorable* if its Buchstaber number is equal to its Picard number.

**Proposition 2.3** ([10, 11]). Let K be a PL sphere. If K is toric colorable, then both  $Ss_{\sigma}(K)$  and K(J) are also toric colorable for any  $\sigma \in K$  and any positive integer tuple J:

$$Pic(K) = s(K) = s(K(J)) = s(Ss_{\sigma}(K)) - 1.$$

The remainder of this section is devoted to the introduction to the suspension operation. If the vertex v was a ghost vertex, then the equation (2.2) becomes  $\partial I * K$ . This is called the *suspension* of K. The pair  $\{v, v'\}$  of vertices of  $\partial I * K$  is called a *suspended pair* of  $\partial I * K$ , and the vertices v and v' are called *suspended vertices*.

**Proposition 2.4.** [5] Let K be a PL sphere. For two vertices v and w of K, every facet of K contains v or w if and only if K is the wedge with a wedged edge  $\{v, w\}$  or the suspension with a suspended pair  $\{v, w\}$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** Let K be a seed, J a positive integer tuple, and v, w two distinct vertices of K. If every facet of K(J) contains  $v^{(s_v)}$  or  $w^{(s_w)}$  for some  $0 \le s_v \le j_v - 1$  and  $0 \le s_w \le j_w - 1$ , then  $\{v, w\}$  is a suspended pair of K.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $s_v = s_w = 0$ . For any vertex  $\neq v, w$  of K(J), every facet of the link of the vertex still contains  $v = v^{(0)}$  or  $w = w^{(0)}$ . The repeated link operations with vertices  $u^{(s_u)}$  for all  $u \in [m]$  and  $s_u \geq 1$  gives K, and then every facet of K contains v or w. By Proposition 2.4,  $\{v, w\}$  is a suspended pair of K since K has no wedged edge.

The set of minimal non-faces of the join of two simplicial complexes is the union of the sets of minimal non-faces of those. Then one can easily observe that join and wedge operations are associative and commutative. Hence we can write a PL sphere K as

(2.3) 
$$K = \partial I_1(J_1) * \partial I_2(J_2) * \cdots * \partial I_\ell(J_\ell) * L(J_{\ell+1}),$$

where each  $I_k$  is a 1-simplex for  $1 \leq k \leq \ell$ , and L is a seed without a suspended pair. A PL sphere is said to be *non-suspended* if it is not the suspension of any other PL sphere. The interpretation (2.3) of *J*-constructions involving suspensions explains the following.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let K be a PL sphere. Then

- K is a suspension if and only if so is its wedge at any vertex v of K not contained in a suspended pair, and
- K is a seed if and only if so is the suspension of K.

# 3. MAIN CONSTRUCTION

Let K be a seed on [m] and  $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_m)$  a positive integer tuple. Recall that K is a subcomplex of K(J). Then the wedged edge  $\{v, v'\}$  is a face of  $\operatorname{Wed}_v(K)$ , and each assembled face of K(J) is a face of K(J) by Lemma 2.1. Therefore, both  $\operatorname{Ss}_{\{v,v'\}}(\operatorname{Wed}_v(K))$  and  $\operatorname{Ss}_{\sigma}(K(J))$  are well-defined.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let K be a seed on [m] and  $J = (j_1, j_2, ..., j_m)$  a positive integer tuple such that  $j_v \leq 2$  for all  $v \in [m]$ . For any assembled face  $\sigma$  of K(J), a new seed is obtained in two ways depending on the cardinality of  $\sigma$ .

- (1) If  $\sigma = \{v\}$  for a vertex v of K, then  $Ss_{\{v,v'\}}(K(J))$  is a suspended seed.
- (2) If  $|\sigma| > 1$  and K is non-suspended, then  $Ss_{\sigma}(K(J))$  is a non-suspended seed.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume  $\sigma = \{v \mid j_v = 2\}$ . First, let  $\sigma = \{v\}$ . Since  $Ss_{\{v,v'\}}(Wed_v(K))$  is isomorphic to the suspension of K, it is a seed by Proposition 2.6.

Next, let  $|\sigma| > 1$  and let K be non-suspended. Suppose that  $Ss_{\sigma}(K(J))$  has two vertices x and y such that every facet of  $Ss_{\sigma}(K(J))$  contains x or y. By Proposition 2.4,  $\{x, y\}$  is a wedged edge or a suspended pair of  $Ss_{\sigma}(K(J))$ .

From the construction (2.1) of stellar subdivisions, one can observe that the facet set  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $Ss_{\sigma}(K(J))$  is partitioned into two subsets

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F} \cap K(J) \setminus \operatorname{St}_{\sigma}(K(J))$$
 and  $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{F} \cap v_{\sigma} * \partial \sigma * \operatorname{Lk}_{K(J)}(\sigma)$ 

The subset  $\mathcal{F}_1$  consists of the facets of K(J) not containing  $\sigma$ , and the subset  $\mathcal{F}_2$  is involved in the facets of K(J) that contain  $\sigma$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}$  be the set consisting of facets of K(J) containing  $\sigma$ . Then the facet set of K(J) is  $\mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_{\sigma}$ . Since every facet in  $\mathcal{F}_2$  contains x or y, there are four possibilities:

(1) 
$$x = v_{\sigma}$$
,  
(2)  $x, y \in \sigma$ ,  
(3)  $x \in \sigma$  and  $y \notin \sigma$ , and  
(4)  $x, y \notin \sigma$ .

We want to prove that all of these four cases lead to contradictions, so there is no such pairs of vertices x and y.

## Case 1: $x = v_{\sigma}$ .

There is no facet in  $\mathcal{F}_1$  containing x, so every facet in  $\mathcal{F}_1$  contains y. For any  $z \in \sigma$  with  $z \neq y$ , every facet of K(J) contains y or z since the facets in  $\mathcal{F}_1$  contain y, and the facets in  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}$ contain  $\sigma \ni z$ . If  $y \in \sigma$ , then every facet of K(J) contains y, but it is impossible that every facet of a PL sphere shares one vertex. Thus, y is not contained in  $\sigma$ .

Suppose that  $y \neq z'$  for any  $z \in \sigma$ . Then  $\operatorname{Lk}_{K(J)}(\{z' \mid z \in \sigma\}) = K$  contains y or z for any  $z \in \sigma$ as we discussed in the proof of Lemma 2.5. By Proposition 2.6, K is a suspension or a wedge, but we assumed that K is a non-suspended seed. Thus, there exists  $y_0 \in \sigma$  such that  $y = y'_0$ . By Lemma 2.5,  $\{y_0, z\}$  is a suspended pair of K for any other vertices  $z \in \sigma$ . The assumption that  $|\sigma| > 1$  ensures the existence of such z, and this contradicts to the assumption that K is non-suspended.

# Case 2: $x, y \in \sigma$ .

The face  $\sigma$  of K(J) contains  $\{x, y\}$ . Then  $\{x, y\}$  is a wedged edge of K(J) since any facet in  $\mathcal{F}_1$  contains x or y, and any facet in  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma}$  contains  $\{x, y\}$ . Note that  $\sigma$  is also a face of K. Then x and y are two distinct vertices of K, and then  $\{x, y\}$  is a suspended pair of K by Lemma 2.5, but K is assumed to have no suspended pair.

**Case 3**:  $x \in \sigma$  and  $y \notin \sigma$ .

Note that  $\sigma \setminus \{x\}$  is a facet of the boundary complex  $\partial \sigma$ . Then for any facet  $\tau$  of  $\operatorname{Lk}_{K(J)}(\sigma)$ , the set  $\{v_{\sigma}\} \cup \sigma \setminus \{x\} \cup \tau$  is a facet in  $\mathcal{F}_2$  not containing x. Hence it have to contain y, but there is a facet  $\tau$  of  $\operatorname{Lk}_{K(J)}(\sigma)$  not containing y since it is a PL sphere, which contradicts to the assumption that every facet of  $\operatorname{Ss}_{\sigma}(K(J))$  contains x or y.

**Case 4**: 
$$x, y \notin \sigma$$
.

Every facet in  $\operatorname{Lk}_{K(J)}(\sigma)$  contains x or y. Since it is a PL sphere, both x and y have to appear in  $\operatorname{Lk}_{K(J)}(\sigma)$  as its vertices. By the assumption that  $j_v \leq 2$  for any vertex v of K and the definition of  $\sigma$ ,  $\operatorname{Lk}_{K(J)}(\sigma)$  is isomorphic to K. By Proposition 2.4,  $\operatorname{Lk}_{K(J)}(\sigma)$  is a wedge or a suspension, which contradicts to the assumption that  $\operatorname{Lk}_{K(J)}(\sigma) \cong K$  is a non-suspended seed.

**Corollary 3.2.** Assume that  $p \ge 3$ . For any  $m \le 2^p - 1$  and  $n \ge 2$  with p = m - n, there exists a polytopal (n-1)-dimensional seed K with m vertices such that s(K) = p.

*Proof.* We prove by induction on  $p \ge 3$  that there exists a polytopal toric colorable seed with m vertices for any  $p + 2 \le m \le 2^p - 1$ , and the one with  $m = 2^p - 1$  is non-suspended. Note that the condition  $p + 2 \le m \le 2^p - 1$  is equivalent to  $2 \le n \le 2^p - p - 1$ .

For p = 3, the face structures of a pentagon for n = 2, a crosspolytope for n = 3, and  $C^{4}(7)$  for n = 4 are toric colorable seeds. These are all polytopal [14], and the one with n = 4 is non-suspended.

Assume that the statement holds for some  $p \ge 3$ . Let  $K_n$  be an (n-1)-dimensional polytopal toric colorable seed of Picard number p for  $2 \le n \le 2^p - p - 1$ . Now, it is enough to show the existence of a polytopal toric colorable seed of Picard number p + 1 for  $2 \le n \le 2^{p+1} - p - 2$ .

For n = 2, note that the face complex of an (n + p + 1)-gon is a polytopal toric colorable seed of Picard number p + 1.

For  $3 \le n \le 2^p - p$ , by (1) of Theorem 3.1,  $Ss_{\{v,v'\}}(Wed_v(K_{n-1}))$  for any vertex  $v \in K_{n-1}$  is an (n-1)-dimensional seed of Picard number p+1 that is polytopal by Proposition 2.2 and toric colorable by Proposition 2.3.

For  $2^p - p + 1 \le n \le 2^{p+1} - p - 2$ , we consider  $K_{2^p - p - 1}$  that is non-suspended by the induction hypothesis. Note that  $K_{2^p - p - 1}$  has  $2^p - 1$  vertices. Let  $\sigma = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, k\}$  for  $2 \le k \le 2^p - 1$ , and J the positive integer tuple whose first k components are 2 and the other components are 1. Then the Picard number and the dimension of  $L_k := S_{s\sigma}(K_{2^p - p - 1}(J))$  are p + 1 and  $2^p - p + k - 2$ , respectively. For each  $2 \le k \le 2^p - 1$ ,  $L_k$  is indeed an example what we want for  $n = 2^p - p + k - 1$ since  $L_k$  is a non-suspended seed by (2) of Theorem 3.1, polytopal by Proposition 2.2, and toric colorable by Proposition 2.3.

**Remark 3.3.** If we restrict the assumption of the previous corollary to  $p \ge 4$ , then all the resulting polytopal seeds can be constructed to be non-suspended as follows. First, one can easily observe that there exists at least one (n-1)-dimensional polytopal toric colorable non-suspended seed for each n = 2, 3, and for the dimensions, all PL spheres are toric colorable. Then for a Picard number p, if there exists an (n-1)-dimensional polytopal toric colorable non-suspended seed with m vertices for each  $n \ge 2$  and  $m \le 2^p - 1$  with p = m - n, then we can replace the suspension process in the proof of Corollary 3.2 with (2) of Theorem 3.1 by constructing an (n+1)-dimensional non-suspended seed of Picard number p+1. The obstruction is that for p = 3 and n = 3, the only toric colorable seed is the boundary of crosspolytope, which is suspended. However, we can construct an (n + 1)-dimensional non-suspended seed of Picard number 4 from the boundary of a pentagon by applying (2) of Theorem 3.1 with J = (2, 2, 2, 1, 1).

### References

- A. Bahri, M. Bendersky, F. R. Cohen, and S. Gitler, Operations on polyhedral products and a new topological construction of infinite families of toric manifolds, Homology Homotopy Appl. 17 (2015), no. 2, 137–160.
- [2] Victor M. Buchstaber and Taras E. Panov, *Toric topology*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 204, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.

## S. CHOI AND H. JANG

- [3] Suyoung Choi, Hyeontae Jang, and Mathieu Vallée, The characterization of (n-1)-spheres with n+4 vertices having maximal Buchstaber number, J. Reine Angew. Math. **811** (2024), 267–292.
- [4] Suyoung Choi and Hanchul Park, Wedge operations and torus symmetries, Tohoku Math. J. (2) 68 (2016), no. 1, 91–138.
- [5] \_\_\_\_\_, Wedge Operations and Torus Symmetries II, Canad. J. Math. 69 (2017), no. 4, 767–789.
- [6] Michael W. Davis and Tadeusz Januszkiewicz, Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions, Duke Math. J. 62 (1991), no. 2, 417–451.
- [7] N. Yu. Erokhovets, Moment-angle manifolds of simple n-dimensional polytopes with n+3 facets, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 66 (2011), no. 5(401), 187–188.
- [8] \_\_\_\_\_, Buchstaber invariant theory of simplicial complexes and convex polytopes, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 286 (2014), no. 1, 128–187.
- [9] G. Ewald and G. C. Shephard, Stellar subdivisions of boundary complexes of convex polytopes, Math. Ann. 210 (1974), 7–16.
- [10] Günter Ewald, Spherical complexes and nonprojective toric varieties, Discrete Comput. Geom. 1 (1986), no. 2, 115–122.
- [11] \_\_\_\_\_, Combinatorial convexity and algebraic geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 168, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [12] Hiroaki Ishida, Yukiko Fukukawa, and Mikiya Masuda, Topological toric manifolds, Mosc. Math. J. 13 (2013), no. 1, 57–98, 189–190.
- [13] Peter Kleinschmidt and Bernd Sturmfels, Smooth toric varieties with small Picard number are projective, Topology 30 (1991), no. 2, 289–299.
- [14] P. Mani, Spheres with few vertices, J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A 13 (1972), 346–352.

Department of mathematics, Ajou University, 206, World Cup-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon 16499, Republic of Korea

## Email address: schoi@ajou.ac.kr

Department of mathematics, Ajou University, 206, World Cup-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon 16499, Republic of Korea

Email address: a24325@ajou.ac.kr