

COMPUTING COMPONENT GROUPS OF STABILIZERS OF NILPOTENT ORBIT REPRESENTATIVES

EMANUELE DI BELLA AND WILLEM A. DE GRAAF

ABSTRACT. We describe computational methods for computing the component group of the stabilizer of a nilpotent element in a complex simple Lie algebra. Our algorithms have been implemented in the language of the computer algebra system GAP4. Occasionally we need Gröbner basis computations; for these we use the systems MAGMA and SINGULAR. The resulting component groups have been made available in the GAP4 package SLA.

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of the nilpotent orbits of simple Lie algebras has seen tremendous developments over the past decades. For overviews we refer to the book by Collingwood and McGovern ([6]) and the survey paper by Jantzen ([16]). In many contexts an important role is played by the stabilizer of a nilpotent element. Examples are the Springer correspondence ([6, §10.1], [16, §13]) and the theory of W -algebras (see, for example, [18]). In characteristic 0 the identity component of this stabilizer is determined by its Lie algebra, which is the centralizer in the Lie algebra and thus can be determined by linear algebra. So what remains is to determine the component group.

For the Lie algebras of classical type these component groups can be determined by general considerations, see [16, §3] and Section 3 below. For the Lie algebras of exceptional type the component groups have first been determined by Alekseevskii, [1] and later by Sommers, [20]. These references provide methods to determine the isomorphism type of the component group, not directly the component group itself. More precisely, with the construction in [20] it is possible to determine an element in each conjugacy class of the component group; but these elements lie in the stabilizer of different nilpotent elements in the same orbit. In order to perform explicit computations with the component group one often needs explicit generators of the component group of the stabilizer of one fixed nilpotent element. In [17] such generators have been determined by impressive hand calculations for the nilpotent orbits in the Lie algebras of exceptional type. However, these use the specific form of the chosen representative and their description depends on a particular choice of the multiplication table of the simple Lie algebra at hand. Thus they are not immediately usable for a different representative or a Lie algebra given by a different multiplication table.

In this paper we develop computational methods to obtain the component group of the centralizer of a nilpotent element in a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . For the classical types there is a straightforward method that directly translates the theoretical construction, see Section 3. In Section 5 we devise a method for the exceptional types using the double centralizer of an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple. Our method gives an independent construction of the component group, that is, it does not depend on the prior knowledge of the size or isomorphism type of the component group. It is based on the following observation: it is a basic fact that every nilpotent element is contained in an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple and that the component group of the centralizer of the nilpotent

element is equal to the component group of the centralizer of the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple (see Section 2.2). Let \mathfrak{c} denote the sum of the centralizer of such an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple and its double centralizer (that is, the centralizer of the centralizer). Let V denote a complement to \mathfrak{c} that is perpendicular to \mathfrak{c} with respect to the Killing form. Then by direct case by case computation we established that V is a direct sum of pairwise non-isomorphic \mathfrak{c} -modules. We then exploit the symmetries that exist among the highest weights of the irreducible summands of V along with the symmetries of \mathfrak{c} (that is, its automorphisms) to construct all elements of the component group of the centralizer of the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple.

A technical ingredient, described in Section 4, is an algorithm for finding an explicit automorphism conjugating two nilpotent elements that lie in the same orbit. We start with a section with preliminary material on semisimple Lie algebras and nilpotent orbits.

We have implemented our algorithms in the computational algebra system GAP4, [9], with the help of the SLA package, [10]. For the algorithm of Sections 4, 5 we need Gröbner basis computations. For these we have used the systems MAGMA, [4] and SINGULAR, [8]. For an introduction into the technique of Gröbner bases we refer to [7]. For the exceptional types we have constructed the component groups for all nilpotent orbits, using the representatives given in [11]. The component groups have been made available in the latest release (version 1.6.2) of the SLA package, [10].

Throughout the paper we use basic notions of the theory of Lie algebras and algebraic groups. For introductions into these we refer to the books [2], [13], [14].

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Automorphisms of a semisimple Lie algebra. Let \mathfrak{g} be a semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} and fix a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} . Let $\Phi \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ be the root system of \mathfrak{g} with respect to \mathfrak{h} . By $\ell = \dim \mathfrak{h}$ we denote the rank of \mathfrak{g} . For a root $\alpha \in \Phi$ we denote the corresponding root space by \mathfrak{g}_α , that is

$$\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [h, x] = \alpha(h)x \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{h}\}.$$

Let $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\} \subset \Phi$ be a basis of simple roots. For $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ we write $\langle \alpha, \beta^\vee \rangle = \frac{2(\alpha, \beta)}{(\beta, \beta)}$. The matrix $(\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle)_{i,j=1}^\ell$ is called the Cartan matrix of Φ .

There are nonzero $h_i \in \mathfrak{h}$, $x_{\alpha_i} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i}$, $x_{-\alpha_i} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad [h_i, h_j] = 0, [x_{\alpha_i}, x_{-\alpha_j}] = \delta_{ij}h_i, [h_j, x_{\pm\alpha_i}] = \pm \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle x_{\pm\alpha_i}.$$

These generate \mathfrak{g} and any set of elements of \mathfrak{g} satisfying (2.1) is called a *canonical generating set* of \mathfrak{g} .

Let $\hat{h}_i^k, \hat{x}_{\pm\beta_i}^k$ for $k = 1, 2$ be two canonical generating sets. That means that we only require that both sets satisfy the relations (2.1) with \hat{h}_i^k in place of h_i and $\hat{x}_{\pm\beta_i}^k$ in place of $x_{\pm\alpha_i}$. Then mapping $h_i^1 \mapsto h_i^2, \hat{x}_{\pm\beta_i}^1 \mapsto \hat{x}_{\pm\beta_i}^2$ extends to a unique automorphism of \mathfrak{g} ([15, Chapter IV, Theorem 3]).

Now let $h_i, x_{\pm\alpha_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ be a fixed canonical generating set, with $x_{\pm\alpha_i} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\pm\alpha_i}$ as above. Let π be a permutation of $\{1, \dots, \ell\}$ such that $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = \langle \alpha_{\pi(i)}, \alpha_{\pi(j)}^\vee \rangle$ for all i, j . Then it follows that there is a unique automorphism σ_π of \mathfrak{g} such that $\sigma_\pi(h_i) = h_{\pi(i)}, \sigma_\pi(x_{\pm\alpha_i}) = x_{\pm\alpha_{\pi(i)}}$. Such an automorphism is called a *diagram automorphism* of \mathfrak{g} . It is clear that $\sigma_{\pi_1\pi_2} = \sigma_{\pi_1}\sigma_{\pi_2}$. Hence we get a finite group of diagram automorphisms of \mathfrak{g} denoted Γ .

An $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ is said to be nilpotent if the map $\text{adx} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, with $\text{adx}(y) = [x, y]$, is nilpotent. If $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent then $\exp(\text{adx})$ is an automorphism of \mathfrak{g} . Let G be the group generated by all such automorphisms. Then G is a connected algebraic

subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ whose Lie algebra is equal to $\mathrm{ad}\mathfrak{g} = \{\mathrm{ad}y \mid y \in \mathfrak{g}\}$. The group G is called the *inner automorphism group* of \mathfrak{g} . Furthermore we have

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) = G \rtimes \Gamma$$

([15, §IX.4], [19, §4, Theorem 1]). Hence G is the identity component of the algebraic group $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ and its components are $G\gamma$ where γ runs over Γ .

Remark 2.1. Let σ be an automorphism of finite order of \mathfrak{g} . Let $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \sigma(x) = x\}$. Then σ is inner if and only if \mathfrak{g}_0 has a Cartan subalgebra that is also a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . (This is well known; it can be shown by observing that σ is semisimple, hence if σ is inner it must lie in a maximal torus of G , whose Lie algebra is a Cartan subalgebra which is pointwise fixed by σ). We see that for finite order automorphisms we have a straightforward algorithm for deciding whether they are inner or not.

There is a more general algorithm to check whether a given element of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ lies in a connected algebraic subgroup H of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, using only the Lie algebra of H . This method is outlined in [3, Remark 5.8]. We need this algorithm because some of our constructions may give too many elements of the component group, and we need a method to test whether two elements are equal modulo the identity component.

2.2. Nilpotent orbits. Let $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ be nilpotent. Let G be the inner automorphism group of \mathfrak{g} . Then the orbit $G \cdot e$ consists of nilpotent elements and is therefore called a *nilpotent orbit* of \mathfrak{g} . We refer to [6], [16] for overviews of the theory of such orbits. The Jacobson-Morozov theorem states that for a nilpotent $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ there are h, f such that

$$[h, e] = 2e, [h, f] = -2f, [e, f] = h.$$

The triple (h, e, f) is called an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple.

Let h_1, \dots, h_ℓ be the elements of a canonical generating set, as in Section 2.1. Let $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the \mathbb{Q} -vector space spanned by h_1, \dots, h_ℓ . Then $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is equal to the space of all $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ having rational eigenvalues. The element $\mathrm{ad}h$ has integral eigenvalues, and therefore $h \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Let $e, e' \in \mathfrak{g}$ be nilpotent lying in \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triples $(h, e, f), (h', e', f')$. Then e, e' lie in the same G -orbit if and only if there is a $\sigma \in G$ such that $\sigma(h) = h', \sigma(e) = e', \sigma(f) = f'$ if and only if there is a $\sigma \in G$ with $\sigma(h) = h'$ ([12, Theorem 8.1.4]). We also remark that there are efficient algorithms to decide whether e, e' lie in the same G -orbit (see [12, §8.2]).

Let $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ be nilpotent lying in an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple (h, e, f) . Let $Z_G(e) = \{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma(e) = e\}$ denote the stabilizer of e in G . Similarly we set $Z_G(h, e, f) = \{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma(h) = h, \sigma(e) = e, \sigma(f) = f\}$. Then we have

$$Z_G(e) = Z_G(h, e, f) \rtimes U$$

where U is a unipotent group. Moreover, representatives of the component group of $Z_G(h, e, f)$ are also representatives of the component group of $Z_G(e)$ ([6, Lemma 3.7.3]).

Set $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(h, e, f) = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [x, h] = [x, e] = [x, f] = 0\}$. Then $\{\mathrm{ad}x \mid x \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(h, e, f)\}$ is the Lie algebra of $Z_G(h, e, f)$ (this is well-known, it follows for example from [12, Corollary 4.2.8]). Occasionally we also say that $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(h, e, f)$ is the Lie algebra of $Z_G(h, e, f)$.

2.3. Irreducible modules of reductive Lie algebras. Here we recall some well known facts on irreducible representations of reductive Lie algebras. First we recall that a complex Lie algebra \mathfrak{c} is *reductive* if $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{c}' \oplus \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{c})$ where $\mathfrak{c}' = [\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{c}]$ is semisimple and $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{c})$ is the centre of \mathfrak{c} (cf. [14, §19.1]).

Let \mathfrak{c} be a reductive Lie algebra and $\rho : \mathfrak{c} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ a finite dimensional representation such that $\rho(x)$ is semisimple for all $x \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{c})$. Then V is completely reducible, that is, it is a direct sum of irreducible \mathfrak{c} -modules (see [15, Chapter III, Theorem 10]).

Let $\rho : \mathfrak{c} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be irreducible such that $\rho(x)$ is semisimple for all $x \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{c})$. The structure theory of irreducible representations of semisimple Lie algebras (see, e.g., [14, §20]) generalizes directly to the case of reductive Lie algebras. Here we give a short overview. Let \mathfrak{a} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{c} then $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}' \oplus \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{c})$, where \mathfrak{a}' is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{c}' . We consider the root system of \mathfrak{c}' with respect to \mathfrak{a}' and let $h_1, \dots, h_r, x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_r$ be a canonical generating set (here $h_i \in \mathfrak{a}'$, x_i, y_i are root vectors corresponding to the simple roots, respectively the negative simple roots). Let h_{r+1}, \dots, h_ℓ be a basis of $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{c})$, so that h_1, \dots, h_ℓ is a basis of \mathfrak{a} . For $\mu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ we set

$$V_\mu = \{v \in V \mid \rho(h)v = \mu(h)v \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{a}\}.$$

If $V_\mu \neq 0$ then we say that μ is a *weight* of V and V_μ is the corresponding *weight space*. Any element of V_μ is called a *weight vector* of weight μ . A weight λ of V is said to be a highest weight if $\rho(x_i)V_\lambda = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Because ρ is irreducible, V has a unique highest weight λ and $\dim V_\lambda = 1$. A nonzero $v_0 \in V_\lambda$ is called a *highest weight vector* of V . Fixing such a v_0 we have that the elements

$$\rho(y_{i_1}) \cdots \rho(y_{i_k})v_0 \text{ for } k \geq 0, 1 \leq i_j \leq r$$

span V .

Let now ρ be not necessarily irreducible, such that $\rho(x)$ is semisimple for all $x \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{c})$. The above description of a spanning set of an irreducible module gives a straightforward method to find a decomposition of V as a direct sum of irreducible modules. This works as follows. First we compute the space $V_0 = \{v \in V \mid \rho(x_i)v = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r\}$. Secondly, we decompose V_0 as a direct sum of weight spaces. Thirdly, for each weight space we fix a basis. Those basis vectors are exactly the highest weight vectors of the different irreducible modules in the decomposition.

We say that ρ (or the \mathfrak{c} -module V) is *multiplicity free* if the dimension of each weight space in V_0 is 1. This is the same as saying that V decomposes as a sum of pairwise non-isomorphic irreducible modules. In that case this decomposition is uniquely determined.

2.4. Notation. Throughout this paper we will freely use the notation introduced in this section. In particular \mathfrak{g} is a simple Lie algebra with Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} and root system Φ with fixed set of simple roots $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\}$. We let $\text{ad} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the adjoint map of \mathfrak{g} and G will be the inner automorphism group of \mathfrak{g} . If \mathfrak{u} is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and $x \in \mathfrak{u}$ then by $\text{ad}_x : \mathfrak{u} \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}$ we will denote the restriction of ad_x to \mathfrak{u} .

For an algebraic group H we denote its identity component by H° ; hence its component group is H/H° .

3. SIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS OF CLASSICAL TYPE

In this section we let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra of classical type, that is, of type A_ℓ ($\ell \geq 1$), B_ℓ ($\ell \geq 2$), C_ℓ ($\ell \geq 3$), D_ℓ ($\ell \geq 4$). For the Lie algebras of type A_ℓ the stabilizer $Z_G(h, e, f)$ is always connected (see [20, §3.5]) so we deal with the Lie algebras of type B, C, D . For these algebras we describe an algorithm to obtain the component group of $Z_G(h, e, f)$ closely following the construction in [16, §3.1].

We will focus on the Lie algebras of type B_ℓ and D_ℓ ; in Remark 3.2 we indicate what has to be changed for type C_ℓ . We will first work with the groups $O(n, \varphi)$

(defined below) instead of the inner automorphism group G . Later we will pass to G .

Let V be an n -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} . Let $\varphi : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a nondegenerate bilinear form. Set

$$\mathcal{G}(V, \varphi) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(V) \mid \varphi(gv, gw) = \varphi(v, w) \text{ for all } v, w \in V\}.$$

This is an algebraic subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ with Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g}(V, \varphi) = \{x \in \mathrm{End}(V) \mid \varphi(xv, w) + \varphi(v, xw) = 0 \text{ for all } v, w \in V\}.$$

The group $\mathcal{G}(V, \varphi)$ acts on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(V, \varphi)$ by $g \cdot x = gxg^{-1}$. This yields a map from $\mathcal{G}(V, \varphi)$ to the automorphism group of $\mathfrak{g}(V, \varphi)$.

If φ is symmetric (that is $\varphi(v, w) = \varphi(w, v)$ for all $v, w \in V$) then $\mathcal{G}(V, \varphi) = \mathrm{O}(V, \varphi)$ is the orthogonal group and we write $\mathfrak{o}(V, \varphi) = \mathfrak{g}(V, \varphi)$ for its Lie algebra. If φ is alternating (that is, $\varphi(v, w) = -\varphi(w, v)$ for all $v, w \in V$) then we write $\mathrm{Sp}(V, \varphi) = \mathcal{G}(V, \varphi)$ which is the symplectic group. In that case we write $\mathfrak{sp}(V, \varphi) = \mathfrak{g}(V, \varphi)$.

In the remainder of this section we let φ be symmetric. If $n \geq 5$ is odd then $\mathfrak{o}(V, \varphi)$ is simple of type B_ℓ where $n = 2\ell + 1$. If $n \geq 8$ is even then it is simple of type D_ℓ where $n = 2\ell$.

The group $\mathrm{O}(V, \varphi)$ has two connected components. The identity component is $\mathrm{SO}(V, \varphi) = \{g \in \mathrm{O}(V, \varphi) \mid \det(g) = 1\}$. The second component is $\{g \in \mathrm{O}(V, \varphi) \mid \det(g) = -1\}$. We now describe a simple method to find an element in this second component. Let v_1, \dots, v_n be a basis of V . Let $w_n \in V$ be such that $\varphi(w_n, w_n) \neq 0$. This element can be found as follows: if there is an i with $\varphi(v_i, v_i) \neq 0$ then we set $w_n = v_i$. Otherwise there are $i < j$ with $\varphi(v_i, v_j) \neq 0$ and we set $w_n = v_i + v_j$. Let $w_1, \dots, w_{n-1} \in V$ be a basis of the subspace $\{v \in V \mid \varphi(w_n, v) = 0\}$. Then w_1, \dots, w_n is a basis of V . Let $g \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be the map that sends $w_i \mapsto w_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $w_n \mapsto -w_n$. Then $\varphi(gw_i, gw_j) = \varphi(w_i, w_j)$ (for $i, j \leq n-1$ and $i = j = n$ this is clear, for $i \leq n-1, j = n$ both are 0). Hence $g \in \mathrm{O}(V, \varphi)$ and $\det(g) = -1$.

Let (h, e, f) be an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple in $\mathfrak{o}(V, \varphi)$ and let \mathfrak{a} be the subalgebra spanned by them. Then \mathfrak{a} acts on V and V splits as a direct sum of irreducible \mathfrak{a} -modules, $V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_m$. Write $d_i = \dim V_i$. From the representation theory of \mathfrak{sl}_2 ([14, §II.7]) it follows that each V_i has a unique (up to nonzero scalar multiples) element \hat{v}_i such that $f \cdot \hat{v}_i = 0$, $h \cdot \hat{v}_i = (-d_i + 1)\hat{v}_i$, and $\{e^k \cdot \hat{v}_i \mid 0 \leq k \leq d_i - 1\}$ forms a basis of V_i , and $e^{d_i} \cdot \hat{v}_i = 0$. For $s \geq 1$ we let M_s be the space spanned by all \hat{v}_i such that $d_i = s$. Note that the direct sum decomposition of V is not unique in general, but the space M_s is uniquely determined.

Write $\hat{G} = \mathrm{O}(V, \varphi)$. Let $g \in Z_{\hat{G}}(h, e, f)$ then g stabilizes each space M_s . Moreover $g \cdot (e^k \cdot \hat{v}_i) = e^k(g \cdot \hat{v}_i)$. It follows that the action of g on each M_s determines g . So we get an injective homomorphism

$$(3.1) \quad Z_{\hat{G}}(h, e, f) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(M_1) \times \mathrm{GL}(M_2) \cdots$$

On the space M_s define a bilinear form ψ_s by $\psi_s(v, w) = \varphi(v, e^{s-1}w)$. In [16, §3.7] it is shown that ψ_s is symmetric if s is odd and alternating if s is even. So for s odd we get the orthogonal group $\mathrm{O}(M_s, \psi_s)$ and for s even we get the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(M_s, \psi_s)$. Now [16, §3.8, Proposition 2] states that the above injective homomorphism is an isomorphism onto

$$(3.2) \quad \prod_{s \text{ odd}} \mathrm{O}(M_s, \psi_s) \times \prod_{s \text{ even}} \mathrm{Sp}(M_s, \psi_s).$$

For t odd let \hat{g}_t be a fixed element in the non-identity component of $\mathrm{O}(M_t, \psi_t)$. Above we have outlined how to find such an element. Let g_t be the preimage of

$1 \times \cdots \times 1 \times \hat{g}_t \times 1 \times \cdots \times 1$ (where in the product decomposition (3.2) we put a 1 for all $s \neq t$). Then from the considerations above it follows that the component group of $Z_{\hat{G}}(h, e, f)$ is the elementary abelian 2-group generated by g_t for all odd t .

Setting $\text{SO}(V, \varphi) = \{g \in \text{O}(V, \varphi) \mid \det(g) = 1\}$ we have that $\text{SO}(V, \varphi)$ is connected and the map $\text{O}(V, \varphi) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{so}(V, \varphi))$ restricts to a surjective map $\text{SO}(V, \varphi) \rightarrow G$ (where, as usual, G is the identity component of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{so}(V, \varphi))$). It follows that the component group of $\{g \in Z_{\hat{G}}(h, e, f) \mid \det(g) = 1\}$ surjects to the component group of $Z_G(h, e, f)$.

This leads to an immediate algorithm for computing the component group of $Z_G(h, e, f)$ of an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple in $\mathfrak{o}(V, \varphi)$, which we summarize in the following steps:

- (1) Let \mathfrak{a} be the subalgebra spanned by h, e, f . Compute the direct sum decomposition of the \mathfrak{a} -module $V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_m$.
- (2) In each V_i compute the unique (up to scalar multiples) \hat{v}_i such that $f \cdot \hat{v}_i = 0$.
- (3) For $s \geq 1$ let M_s be the space spanned by the \hat{v}_i such that $\dim V_i = s$.
- (4) For each odd s compute the reflection $\hat{g}_s \in \text{O}(M_s, \psi_s)$ with determinant -1 .
- (5) Construct $g_s \in \text{O}(V, \varphi)$ in the following way:
 - (a) For each i with $\dim V_i = s$ compute a basis of V_i of the form $\hat{v}_i, e \cdot \hat{v}_i, \dots, e^{s-1} \cdot \hat{v}_i$.
 - (b) Set $g_s \cdot (e^k \hat{v}_i) = e^k \cdot (\hat{g}_s \cdot \hat{v}_i)$.
 - (c) Let g_s be the identity on the V_j with $\dim V_j \neq s$.
- (6) Let \mathcal{H} be the group generated by all g_s , for s odd. Compute $\mathcal{H}_1 = \{g \in \mathcal{H} \mid \det(g) = 1\}$.
- (7) For $g \in \mathcal{H}_1$ compute the automorphism $\sigma_g \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{o}(V, \varphi))$ given by $\sigma_g(x) = gxg^{-1}$.
- (8) Compute the centralizer $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(h, e, f)$ where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}(V, \varphi)$. By the algorithm indicated in Remark 2.1 remove the σ_g that lie in the identity component of $Z_G(h, e, f)$ where G is the identity component of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$.

We have implemented this algorithm in the language of the computational algebra system GAP4. It works without particular problems. For example, for the simple Lie algebra of type B_{10} which is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{o}(21, \varphi)$ the program needed 182 seconds to compute the component groups for all 195 nilpotent orbits.

Example 3.1. Here we show how the algorithm works for a simple Lie algebra of type B_2 . Let $V = \mathbb{C}^5$ and $\varphi_A(v, w) = {}^t vAw$, where $v, w \in \mathbb{C}^5$ and A is the 5×5 matrix such that $A_{i, 5-i+1} = 1$ and $A_{i, j} = 0$ elsewhere. Observe that φ_A is symmetric, since A is a symmetric matrix, so that $\hat{G} = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{C}^5, \varphi_A) = \text{O}(\mathbb{C}^5, \varphi_A)$ and its Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{C}^5, \varphi_A) = \mathfrak{so}(\mathbb{C}^5, \varphi_A)$, which is indeed of type B_2 . We fix the following \mathfrak{sl}_2 triple:

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

At this point we can easily compute the action of the nilpotent element e on the canonical basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_5\}$ of \mathbb{C}^5 : $ev_5 = -v_3$, $ev_3 = v_1$, $ev_1 = 0$, $ev_4 = 0$ and $ev_2 = 0$. Under the action of the triple, \mathbb{C}^5 decomposes as $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ and v_2, v_4, v_5 are the corresponding minimal vectors. We then have $M_1 = \langle v_2, v_4 \rangle$ and $M_3 = \langle v_5 \rangle$ and we fix the respective bases $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{v_2, v_4\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_3 = \{v_5\}$. At this point we need to compute the reflections: since M_3 is 1-dimensional, \hat{g}_3 will just map $v_5 \rightarrow -v_5$; for \hat{g}_1 we start observing that $\varphi_A|_{M_1}(v_2, v_2) = \varphi_A|_{M_1}(v_4, v_4) = 0$. Then we set $w_1 := v_2 - v_4$ and $w_2 := v_2 + v_4$ and consider the map that sends $w_1 \rightarrow w_1$, $w_2 \rightarrow -w_2$. In this way, it is straightforward to get that \hat{g}_3 has to be the 2×2

matrix with zero diagonal and -1 elsewhere (it is just the matrix associated to the endomorphism with respect to \mathcal{B}_1 , it can be computed by basic linear algebra techniques). At this point we can use the isomorphism (3.1), (3.2):

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z_{\widehat{G}}(h, e, f) &\xrightarrow{\sim} O(M_1, \varphi_A|_{M_1}) \times O(M_3, \varphi_A|_{M_3}) \\
 h_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} &\longleftarrow \hat{g}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \times id_{M_3} = (1) \\
 h_3 := \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} &\longleftarrow id_{M_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \times \hat{g}_3 = (-1)
 \end{aligned}$$

We get that $\langle h_1, h_3 \rangle = \{id, h_1, h_3, h_1 h_3\} \simeq C_2 \times C_2$ is the component group of $Z_{\widehat{G}}(h, e, f)$. Following the final steps of our algorithm, it is then straightforward to see that $\{id, h_1 h_3\} \simeq C_2$ is the component group of $Z_G(h, e, f)$ (just observe that $h_1 h_3$ is the only non trivial element with determinant 1).

Remark 3.2. Suppose that φ is alternating, and hence the group we consider is $\mathrm{Sp}(V, \varphi)$. Then everything works in the same way. The main difference is that in this case ψ_s is symmetric if s is even and alternating if s is odd. So we get the same algorithm, except that the roles of s even/odd are interchanged.

4. CONJUGACY OF \mathfrak{sl}_2 -TRIPLES

In this section we let \mathfrak{g} be an arbitrary complex semisimple Lie algebra. We use the notation introduced in Section 2. In particular, we let \mathfrak{h} denote a fixed Cartan subalgebra and denote the corresponding root system by Φ . We also fix a basis of simple roots $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\}$.

Throughout this section we let (h_i, e_i, f_i) , $i = 1, 2$, be two \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triples in \mathfrak{g} where e_1, e_2 lie in the same G -orbit. As remarked in Section 2.2 this implies that there exist $\sigma \in G$ with $\sigma(h_1) = h_2$, $\sigma(e_1) = e_2$, $\sigma(f_1) = f_2$. In this section we describe computational methods to find such a σ . We note that it suffices to find σ with $\sigma(h_1) = h_2$ and $\sigma(e_1) = e_2$ because then automatically $\sigma(f_1) = f_2$ ([12, Lemma 8.1.1]).

First we assume that $h_1, h_2 \in \mathfrak{h}$.

The Weyl group W of Φ acts on \mathfrak{h} in the following way. For $\alpha \in \Phi$ there exists a unique $h_\alpha \in [\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}]$ such that $[h_\alpha, x_{\pm\alpha}] = \pm 2x_{\pm\alpha}$. Define $s_\alpha^\vee : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ by $s_\alpha^\vee(h) = h - \alpha(h)h_\alpha$. Then the group generated by the s_α^\vee is isomorphic to W (cf. [12, Remark 2.9.9]). This action can also be realized differently. Let $h_i, x_{\pm\alpha_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ be a canonical generating set of \mathfrak{g} . For $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ define $w_{\alpha_i}(t) = \exp(tad_{x_{\alpha_i}}) \exp(-t^{-1}ad_{x_{-\alpha_i}}) \exp(tad_{x_{\alpha_i}})$ and set $\dot{s}_{\alpha_i} = w_{\alpha_i}(1)$. Then from [12, Lemma 5.2.13] it follows that $\dot{s}_{\alpha_i}(h) = s_{\alpha_i}^\vee(h)$ for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. We also remark that the action of W preserves the space $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Q}$.

Let $h \in \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Q}$ then there is a unique \hat{h} in the W -orbit of h such that $\alpha_i(h) \geq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. (This is shown in the same way as the corresponding statement for weights, see [14, Lemma 13.2A]). This element can be found in the following way. We define a sequence h_1, \dots, h_r . Set $h_1 = h$ and for $k \geq 1$ we do the following. If $\alpha_i(h_k) \geq 0$ for all i then we set $r = k$ and we stop. Otherwise we

set $h_{k+1} = s_{\alpha_i}^\vee(h_k)$ where i is such that $\alpha_i(h_k) < 0$. We also set $k := k + 1$ and continue. A first observation is that this sequence is always finite. Indeed, we have a partial order on $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{Q}$ defined by $u \leq u'$ if $u' - u = \sum a_i h_i$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $a_i \geq 0$ for all i . It follows that $h_{k+1} > h_k$ for $k \geq 1$. Since the Weyl group is finite and we compute an increasing series in the partial order, the sequence has to be finite. It is clear that $\hat{h} = h_r$ is the element that we require.

Two elements of \mathfrak{h} are G -conjugate if and only if they are W -conjugate ([12, Corollary 5.8.5]). So h_1, h_2 are W -conjugate. By the above procedure we can compute $w_1, w_2 \in W$ such that $\hat{h}_i = w_i(h)$. By the uniqueness of the \hat{h}_i it follows $\hat{h}_1 = \hat{h}_2$. Then $w_2^{-1}w_1(h_1) = h_2$. Because the action of the simple reflection s_{α_i} is induced by \dot{s}_{α_i} and every $w \in W$ is a product of simple reflections, we can thus find a $\tau \in G$ such that $\tau(h_1) = h_2$.

Set $h'_1 = \tau(h_1) = h_2$, $e'_1 = \tau(e_1)$, $f'_1 = \tau(f_1)$. We want to find an element in G that stabilizes h_2 and maps e'_1 to e_2 . For that we consider the group $Z_G(h_2) = \{g \in G \mid g(h_2) = h_2\}$. This group is connected by [21, Corollary 3.11]. Its Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}(h_2) = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [x, h_2] = 0\}$. Let $\Psi = \{\alpha \in \Phi \mid \alpha(h_2) = 0\}$. Then Ψ is a root subsystem of Φ and

$$\mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}(h_2) = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Psi} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}(h_2)$ is reductive. Now we consider the Bruhat decomposition of $Z_G(h_2)$. This is described as follows. Let W_0 be the Weyl group of Ψ . Let $\Pi = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$ be a fixed basis of simple roots of Ψ . Let $x_{\pm\beta_i}$ be the root vectors in a canonical generating set of (the semisimple part of) $\mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}(h_2)$. For $1 \leq i \leq r$ define \dot{s}_{β_i} as above. For $w \in W_0$ let $w = s_{\beta_{i_1}} \cdots s_{\beta_{i_k}}$ be a fixed reduced expression and define $\dot{w} = \dot{s}_{\beta_{i_1}} \cdots \dot{s}_{\beta_{i_k}}$. Let $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$ be the positive roots of Ψ (corresponding to Π). Let U be the subgroup of $Z_G(h_2)$ consisting of all

$$\exp(s_1 \operatorname{ad} x_{\beta_1}) \cdots \exp(s_m \operatorname{ad} x_{\beta_m}) \text{ for } s_1, \dots, s_m \in \mathbb{C}.$$

For $w \in W_0$ let Ψ_w be the set of all β_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, such that $w(\beta_i)$ is a negative root. Write $\Psi_w = \{\beta_{i_1}, \dots, \beta_{i_n}\}$. Then U_w is defined to be the subgroup of $Z_G(h_2)$ consisting of all

$$\exp(u_1 \operatorname{ad} x_{\beta_{i_1}}) \cdots \exp(u_n \operatorname{ad} x_{\beta_{i_n}}) \text{ for } u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbb{C}.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ define $h_i(t) = w_{\alpha_i}(t)w_{\alpha_i}(1)^{-1}$. Let $H = \{h_1(t_1) \cdots h_\ell(t_\ell) \mid t_i \in \mathbb{C}^\times\}$. Then H is a maximal torus in $Z_G(h_2)$ with Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} . We have that $Z_G(h_2)$ is the disjoint union of the sets

$$C_w = UH\dot{w}U_w$$

where w runs over W_0 (see e.g., [12, Proposition 5.1.10, Theorem 5.8.3]). Now in order to find our element of $Z_G(h_2)$ we run over W_0 . For each $w \in W_0$ we write $g \in C_w$ as

$$g = \exp(s_1 \operatorname{ad} x_{\beta_1}) \cdots \exp(s_m \operatorname{ad} x_{\beta_m}) h_1(t_1) \cdots h_\ell(t_\ell) \dot{w} \cdot \exp(u_1 \operatorname{ad} x_{\beta_{i_1}}) \cdots \exp(u_n \operatorname{ad} x_{\beta_{i_n}})$$

where we take the s_i , u_j , t_k to be indeterminates of a polynomial ring. Then the equation $g(e_1) = e_2$ is tantamount to a set of polynomial equations in these indeterminates. We add auxiliary indeterminates a_1, \dots, a_ℓ and the polynomial equations $a_i t_i = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ that express the invertibility of the t_i . With the technique of Gröbner bases we check whether these have a solution. If they do, we find one, for example by computing a Gröbner basis with respect to a lexicographical order and solving the corresponding triangular system (for an introduction into this

technique we refer to [7, §3.1]). For at least one w a solution must exist because e'_1 and e_2 are $Z_G(h_2)$ -conjugate.

So by composing τ with the element previously found we obtain a $\sigma \in G$ with $\sigma(h_1) = h_2$, $\sigma(e_1) = e_2$. But then necessarily also $\sigma(f_1) = f_2$ by [12, Lemma 8.1.1].

The second case occurs when h_1, h_2 do not lie in \mathfrak{h} . Then we first find Cartan subalgebras \mathfrak{h}_i containing h_i . We compute the root systems of \mathfrak{g} with respect to the \mathfrak{h}_i , and corresponding canonical sets of generators. Mapping these canonical generators to our fixed set of canonical generators yields automorphisms of \mathfrak{g} mapping $\mathfrak{h}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$. By the algorithm indicated in Remark 2.1 we can check whether these are inner. If they are not we compose with a diagram automorphism that stabilizes \mathfrak{h} . This way we obtain elements of G mapping h_i into \mathfrak{h} . Subsequently we continue as above.

Remark 4.1. Let $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(h, e, f) = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [x, h] = [x, e] = [x, f] = 0\}$. This is the Lie algebra of $Z_G(h, e, f)$. So if $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(h, e, f) = 0$ then $Z_G(h, e, f)$ is a finite group, equalling the component group. In that case we can find the component group by applying the above algorithm with $(h_i, e_i, f_i) = (h, e, f)$ for $i = 1, 2$ and finding all solutions of the resulting polynomial equations.

We can also consider the group $A = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ and try to find the component group of $Z_A(h, e, f)$. We have that $G = A^\circ$ so $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(h, e, f)$ is also the Lie algebra of $Z_A(h, e, f)$. Therefore if $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(h, e, f) = 0$ also $Z_A(h, e, f)$ is a finite group. We can find it by an extension of the previous method in the following way. By listing the diagram automorphisms of \mathfrak{g} we can find $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r \in A$ such that the components of A precisely are $G\sigma_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Fix an i with $1 \leq i \leq r$. Write $h' = \sigma_i(h)$, $e' = \sigma_i(e)$, $f' = \sigma_i(f)$. By computing weighted Dynkin diagrams (see [12, §8.2]) we can check whether e, e' are G -conjugate. If they are not we discard σ_i . Otherwise by the above methods we compute the set of all $\phi \in G$ such that $\phi(h', e', f') = (h, e, f)$ (note that this is a finite set because the stabilizer of such a triple is finite by our assumption). Then $\phi\sigma_i$ where ϕ runs over the previously computed set, is the set of all elements of $G\sigma_i$ stabilizing (h, e, f) .

Example 4.2. Let \mathfrak{g} be the simple Lie algebra of type F_4 and $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ a representative of the nilpotent orbit with Bala-Carter label $F_4(a_3)$ (see [6, §8.4]). Let (h, e, f) be an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple. Then $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(h, e, f) = 0$. The centralizer $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(h)$ is of type $A_1 + A_2 + T_1$ (where the T_1 indicates a 1-dimensional centre). So $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(h)$ has four positive roots and $|W_0| = 12$. Hence the method of this section yields 12 systems of polynomial equations in 16 indeterminates. It took MAGMA 552 seconds to compute the Gröbner bases of all systems with respect to a lexicographical order. From these Gröbner bases the solutions are readily determined. There are 24 solutions and the stabilizer of (h, e, f) is isomorphic to S_4 .

Example 4.3. Let \mathfrak{g} be the simple Lie algebra of type E_8 and $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ a representative of the nilpotent orbit with Bala-Carter label $E_8(a_7)$. Let (h, e, f) be an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple. Then $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(h, e, f) = 0$. The centralizer $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(h)$ is of type $A_3 + A_4 + T_1$ (where the T_1 indicates a 1-dimensional centre). So $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(h)$ has 16 positive roots and $|W_0| = 2880$. Hence the method of this section yields 2880 systems of polynomial equations in 48 indeterminates. With two exceptions MAGMA could not compute the Gröbner bases of these polynomial systems. For this reason we resort to different methods.

The first of these is based on the operations of factorization and reduction. For a set P of multivariate polynomials denote the set of their common zeros in \mathbb{C} by $Z(P)$. By the division algorithm (see [7, §2.3]) it is straightforward to compute a set P' such that no leading monomial of an element of P' divides a leading monomial of another element of P' and such that P, P' generate the same ideal. We say that P' is a *reduction* of P . We have that $Z(P) = Z(P')$.

Let Q be a set of polynomials; we want to determine $Z(Q)$. Throughout our procedure we let A be a set of sets of polynomials such that $Z(Q)$ is the union of all $Z(Q')$ for $Q' \in A$. Let $P \in A$ and suppose that there is an $f \in P$ with a nontrivial factorization $f = f_1^{e_1} \cdots f_k^{e_k}$ with f_i irreducible. Then we replace P in A with reductions of the sets $P_i = (P \setminus \{f\}) \cup \{f_i\}$. If an element of A contains a nonzero constant then we discard it. We continue this process until no further factorizations are possible. This way we can decide for many of our 2880 polynomial systems that they have no common zeros. More precisely, after performing this procedure we are left with 507 sets of polynomials to be checked.

Another idea is to look for elements of order 2 (in this case the component group is isomorphic to S_5 which is generated by elements of order 2). We construct elements of the form

$$A = \exp(s_1 \operatorname{adx}_{\beta_1}) \cdots \exp(s_m \operatorname{adx}_{\beta_m}) h_1(t_1) \cdots h_\ell(t_\ell) \dot{w} \\ \exp(u_1 \operatorname{adx}_{\beta_{i_1}}) \cdots \exp(u_n \operatorname{adx}_{\beta_{i_n}}).$$

The inverse of such an element is

$$A^{-1} = \exp(-u_n \operatorname{adx}_{\beta_{i_n}}) \cdots \exp(-u_1 \operatorname{adx}_{\beta_{i_1}}) \dot{w}^{-1} h_1(t_1^{-1}) \cdots h_\ell(t_\ell^{-1}) \\ \exp(-s_m \operatorname{adx}_{\beta_m}) \cdots \exp(-s_1 \operatorname{adx}_{\beta_1}).$$

The condition that A be of order 2 is the same as $A = A^{-1}$ yielding a set of polynomial equations in the same indeterminates for each $w \in W_0$. To these sets we applied the factorization procedure as outlined above. We then added these sets to the original sets for the same $w \in W$. Like this we found one polynomial set whose solutions yielded six elements of order two. These elements generate a group of order 120. Since in this case the stabilizer is known to be of order 120, we are done.

5. USING DOUBLE CENTRALIZERS

In this section we outline a computational method for determining the component group of a $Z_G(h, e, f)$. For this we use the centralizer $\mathfrak{c}_1 = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(h, e, f)$ and the double centralizer $\mathfrak{c}_2 = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{c}_1)$. The sum $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{c}_1 + \mathfrak{c}_2$ is reductive in \mathfrak{g} . We write $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{c} \oplus V$, where V is a \mathfrak{c} -module (see below for its definition). The method is based on the observation that for the restriction of $\sigma \in Z_G(h, e, f)$ to \mathfrak{c} there are a finite number of possibilities (modulo $Z_G(h, e, f)^\circ$). A second observation is that the \mathfrak{c} -module V is multiplicity free. This forces a σ to permute the simple summands of V . Finally, from its restriction to \mathfrak{c} and the way it permutes the simple summands of V we can construct σ .

Throughout we let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra of exceptional type. Secondly, we assume that e is such that the component group is nontrivial. It is known for which nilpotent orbits that happens and those are the cases of interest. Section 6 has tables listing data on the algebras \mathfrak{c}_1 , \mathfrak{c}_2 and the module V used to underpin the method described in this section. However, we remark that this method also works for the cases where the component group is trivial, see Remark 5.9.

Let $\kappa : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denote the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} , that is, $\kappa(x, y) = \operatorname{Tr}(\operatorname{adx} \cdot \operatorname{ady})$. It is a fundamental fact that κ is non-degenerate ([14, Theorem 5.1]). We say that a subalgebra $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is *reductive in \mathfrak{g}* if \mathfrak{g} is completely reducible as \mathfrak{u} -module. We start with a lemma containing some well known facts on such subalgebras.

Lemma 5.1. *Let \mathfrak{u} be reductive in \mathfrak{g} .*

- $\mathfrak{u} = [\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{u}] \oplus \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{u})$ where $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{u})$ is the centre of \mathfrak{u} ; moreover, for $x \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{u})$ the map adx is semisimple.

- Let $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{u}) = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [x, y] = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \mathfrak{u}\}$ be the centralizer of \mathfrak{u} in \mathfrak{g} . Then the restriction of κ to $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{u})$ is non-degenerate and $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{u})$ is reductive in \mathfrak{g} .

Proof. For the decomposition of \mathfrak{u} see [12, Proposition 2.12.2]. The fact that adx is semisimple for $x \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{u})$ follows from [15, Chapter III, Theorem 10]. The second part is shown in the same way as the first part of the proof of [12, Lemma 8.3.9]. \square

Now fix a nilpotent $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ lying in the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple (h, e, f) . Let $\mathfrak{c}_1 = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(h, e, f) = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [x, h] = [x, e] = [x, f] = 0\}$. Here we suppose that $\dim \mathfrak{c}_1 > 0$. (If $\dim \mathfrak{c}_1 = 0$ then we can determine $Z_G(h, e, f)$ as in Remark 4.1). By the previous lemma \mathfrak{c}_1 is reductive in \mathfrak{g} . Set $\mathfrak{c}_2 = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{c}_1)$. Again by the previous lemma \mathfrak{c}_2 is reductive in \mathfrak{g} . For $i = 1, 2$ set $\mathfrak{c}'_i = [\mathfrak{c}_i, \mathfrak{c}_i]$ which is the semisimple part of \mathfrak{c}_i . By $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{c}_i)$ we denote the centre of \mathfrak{c}_i , so that $\mathfrak{c}_i = \mathfrak{c}'_i \oplus \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{c}_i)$.

Lemma 5.2. *We have $h, e, f \in \mathfrak{c}_2$, $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{c}_2) = \mathfrak{c}_1$ and $\mathfrak{c}_1 \cap \mathfrak{c}_2 = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{c}_1) = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{c}_2)$.*

Proof. The first statement is obvious. It is equally obvious that $\mathfrak{c}_1 \subset \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{c}_2)$. On the other hand, if $x \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{c}_2)$ then $[x, h] = [x, e] = [x, f] = 0$ as $h, e, f \in \mathfrak{c}_2$, implying $x \in \mathfrak{c}_1$. We conclude that $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{c}_2) = \mathfrak{c}_1$. We have

$$\mathfrak{c}_1 \cap \mathfrak{c}_2 = \{x \in \mathfrak{c}_1 \mid [x, \mathfrak{c}_1] = 0\} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{c}_1).$$

Similarly

$$\mathfrak{c}_1 \cap \mathfrak{c}_2 = \{x \in \mathfrak{c}_2 \mid [x, \mathfrak{c}_2] = 0\} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{c}_2).$$

\square

In the sequel we set $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{c}_1) = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{c}_2)$. The Lie algebra of the stabilizer $Z_G(h, e, f) = \{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma(h) = h, \sigma(e) = e, \sigma(f) = f\}$ is $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{c}_1} = \{\text{adx} \mid x \in \mathfrak{c}_1\}$. Hence $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{t}} = \{\text{adx} \mid x \in \mathfrak{t}\}$ is the Lie algebra of a central torus T of $Z_G(h, e, f)$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\sigma \in Z_G(h, e, f)$. Then σ stabilizes \mathfrak{c}'_1 , \mathfrak{c}'_2 and \mathfrak{t} .*

Proof. Since the Lie algebra of $Z_G(h, e, f)$ is $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{c}_1}$ we have $\sigma(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{c}_1})\sigma^{-1} = \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{c}_1}$. But $\sigma(\text{adx})\sigma^{-1} = \text{ad}\sigma(x)$. As the adjoint representation of \mathfrak{g} is faithful it follows for $x \in \mathfrak{c}_1$ that $\sigma(x) \in \mathfrak{c}_1$. For $x \in \mathfrak{c}_1$ and $y \in \mathfrak{c}_2$ we have $[x, \sigma(y)] = \sigma([\sigma^{-1}(x), y]) = 0$ as $\sigma^{-1}(x) \in \mathfrak{c}_1$ by the first part. It follows that $\sigma(y) \in \mathfrak{c}_2$. So σ stabilizes \mathfrak{c}_1 and \mathfrak{c}_2 . Because σ is an automorphism it stabilizes \mathfrak{c}'_i and $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{c}_i)$ as well. \square

Let $z \in \mathfrak{t}$, $x, y \in \mathfrak{c}_1$. Then $\kappa([x, y], z) = \kappa(x, [y, z]) = 0$ (for the first equality see [14, §4.3]). It follows that $\kappa(\mathfrak{c}'_1, \mathfrak{t}) = 0$. Since the restriction of κ to \mathfrak{c}_1 is non-degenerate (Lemma 5.1) also its restrictions to \mathfrak{c}'_1 , \mathfrak{t} are non-degenerate. Similarly we see that the restriction of κ to \mathfrak{c}'_2 is non-degenerate. Set $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{c}_1 + \mathfrak{c}_2 = \mathfrak{c}'_1 \oplus \mathfrak{c}'_2 \oplus \mathfrak{t}$; then \mathfrak{c} is reductive in \mathfrak{g} and the restriction of κ to \mathfrak{c} is non-degenerate.

Write $\mathfrak{c}^{\perp} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \kappa(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \mathfrak{c}\}$. As κ is non-degenerate on \mathfrak{c} we have $\mathfrak{c} \cap \mathfrak{c}^{\perp} = 0$ so that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{c} \oplus \mathfrak{c}^{\perp}$.

Lemma 5.4. *We have $[\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{c}^{\perp}] \subset \mathfrak{c}^{\perp}$.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in \mathfrak{c}$ and $z \in \mathfrak{c}^{\perp}$. Then $\kappa(x, [y, z]) = \kappa([x, y], z) = 0$. Hence $[y, z] \in \mathfrak{c}^{\perp}$. \square

Let $V = \mathfrak{c}^{\perp}$ which is a \mathfrak{c} -module by Lemma 5.4. For $x \in \mathfrak{c}$ and $v \in V$ we write $x \cdot v = [x, v]$.

The next lemma is proved by explicit computation, going through the list of nilpotent orbits of the Lie algebras of exceptional type and for each case calculating V and its decomposition as a \mathfrak{c} -module. We have performed these computations in the computer algebra system GAP4, using the package SLA. For the cases where the stabilizer has a nontrivial component group we have listed the highest weights of the irreducible summands in the tables in Section 6.

Lemma 5.5. *Let \mathfrak{g} be of exceptional type. Then V is multiplicity free.*

Next we show how to determine a finite set \mathcal{S} of automorphisms of \mathfrak{g} , stabilizing \mathfrak{c}'_1 , \mathfrak{t} , \mathfrak{c}'_2 and such that each component of $Z_G(h, e, f)$ has an element lying in \mathcal{S} .

First consider \mathfrak{c}'_1 and write $A_1 = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{c}'_1)$. This is a semisimple Lie algebra, so A_1 is described as in Section 2.1. In particular, we fix diagram automorphisms $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p$ of \mathfrak{c}'_1 such that $\theta_i A_1^\circ$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ are the connected components of A_1 .

Lemma 5.6. *Each component of $Z_G(h, e, f)$ has an element whose restriction to \mathfrak{c}'_1 is equal to one of the θ_i .*

Proof. The identity component A_1° has Lie algebra $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{c}'_1} \mathfrak{c}'_1 = \{\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{c}'_1} x \mid x \in \mathfrak{c}'_1\}$. The identity component $Z_G(h, e, f)^\circ$ has Lie algebra $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{c}_1} = \{\text{ad} x \mid x \in \mathfrak{c}_1\}$. Now $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{c}'_1} \mathfrak{c}'_1$ is isomorphic to the subalgebra $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{c}'_1} = \{\text{ad} x \mid x \in \mathfrak{c}'_1\}$ of $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{c}_1}$. Let C'_1 denote the connected algebraic subgroup of $Z_G(h, e, f)^\circ$ whose Lie algebra is $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{c}'_1}$. We have a morphism $C'_1 \rightarrow A_1^\circ$, mapping $\phi \in C'_1$ to its restriction to \mathfrak{c}'_1 (note that by Lemma 5.3 ϕ stabilizes \mathfrak{c}'_1). We have that A_1° is generated by elements $\exp(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{c}'_1} x)$ where $x \in \mathfrak{c}'_1$ is nilpotent. Such an element is the restriction of $\exp(\text{ad} x) \in C'_1$. Hence the map $C'_1 \rightarrow A_1^\circ$ is surjective. Let $\sigma \in Z_G(h, e, f)$ then the restriction σ' of σ to \mathfrak{c}'_1 lies in A_1 . Hence there is a $\phi' \in A_1^\circ$ such that $\sigma' \phi'$ is equal to θ_i for an i with $1 \leq i \leq p$. Let $\phi \in C'_1$ map to ϕ' . Then the restriction of $\sigma \phi$ to \mathfrak{c}'_1 is equal to θ_i . Furthermore, $\sigma \phi$ lies in the same component of $Z_G(h, e, f)$ as σ . \square

We want to compute one element of each component of $Z_G(h, e, f)$. Therefore we may assume that the restriction of such an element to \mathfrak{c}'_1 is equal to one of the θ_i .

Note that $h, e, f \in \mathfrak{c}'_2$. Furthermore, $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(h, e, f) = \mathfrak{c}_1$, so that $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{c}'_2}(h, e, f) = 0$. Let $A_2 = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{c}'_2)$. Let $Z_{A_2}(h, e, f)$ denote the stabilizer of h, e, f in A_2 . The Lie algebra of $Z_{A_2}(h, e, f)$ is $\{\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{c}'_2} x \mid x \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(h, e, f) \cap \mathfrak{c}'_2\}$. Hence this Lie algebra is trivial, and it follows that $Z_{A_2}(h, e, f)$ is finite. Therefore we can determine $Z_{A_2}(h, e, f)$ as seen in Remark 4.1. Since the restriction of an element of $Z_G(h, e, f)$ to \mathfrak{c}'_2 lies in $Z_{A_2}(h, e, f)$ we can determine a finite set of automorphisms η_1, \dots, η_q of \mathfrak{c}'_2 such that the restriction of a $\sigma \in Z_G(h, e, f)$ to \mathfrak{c}'_2 is equal to one of the η_i .

Let $h_1, \dots, h_s, x_1, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_s$ be a canonical generating set of the semisimple subalgebra $\mathfrak{c}' = \mathfrak{c}'_1 \oplus \mathfrak{c}'_2$. Here the h_i span a fixed Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{c}' , the x_i are root vectors of \mathfrak{c}' corresponding to the simple positive roots, and the y_i are root vectors corresponding to the simple negative roots. As seen in Section 2.3 we can compute a direct sum decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_m$, where each V_i is irreducible. Moreover, because of Lemma 5.5 this decomposition is uniquely determined. For each V_i we fix a highest weight vector v_i .

Write $d = \dim \mathfrak{t}$ (from the tables in Section 6 we see that $d \leq 2$ if the component group of $Z_G(h, e, f)$ is nontrivial). Fix a basis h_{s+1}, \dots, h_{s+d} of \mathfrak{t} . From the tables in Section 6 we can read off the values ν_{ij} with $h_i \cdot v_j = \nu_{ij} v_j$, $1 \leq i \leq s+d$, $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Fix a θ_u and an η_v . Next we study properties of the $\sigma \in G$ whose restrictions to \mathfrak{c}'_1 , \mathfrak{c}'_2 are θ_u , η_v respectively. We say that such a σ is a (u, v) -extension. We remark that for given u, v the set of all (u, v) -extensions could be empty. First we show that we can determine the restriction to \mathfrak{t} of any (u, v) -extension. Note that any (u, v) -extension automatically lies in $Z_G(h, e, f)$.

Remark 5.7. It may happen that $\dim \mathfrak{c}'_1 = 0$ (for an example see Example 5.10). In that case there is no θ_u . However, everything that follows works unchanged and instead of (u, v) -extensions we use the term (v) -extensions.

Fix u and v and let $\sigma \in G$ be a (u, v) -extension. Set $\bar{h}_i = \sigma(h_i)$, $\bar{x}_i = \sigma(x_i)$ and $\bar{y}_i = \sigma(y_i)$. Except \bar{h}_i for $s+1 \leq i \leq s+d$ these elements can be computed

from the knowledge of u, v because we know the restrictions of σ to $\mathfrak{c}'_1, \mathfrak{c}'_2$. For $1 \leq j \leq m$ let \bar{v}_j be a nonzero element of V_j with $\bar{x}_i \cdot \bar{v}_j = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then \bar{v}_j is a highest weight vector of V_j with respect to the new canonical generating set consisting of the $\bar{h}_i, \bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_i$. Hence, up to scalar multiples, it is uniquely determined. Since the decomposition of V is unique, we must have that $\sigma(v_j)$ is a nonzero scalar multiple of some \bar{v}_l . We have $h_i \cdot v_j = \nu_{ij} v_j$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Applying σ we see that $\bar{h}_i \cdot \sigma(v_j) = \nu_{ij} \sigma(v_j)$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Let P_{uv} be the set of permutations π of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\bar{h}_i \cdot \bar{v}_{\pi(j)} = \nu_{ij} \bar{v}_{\pi(j)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$. There can be more than one such permutation, but if there is no such permutation then we can immediately conclude that there are no (u, v) -extensions. On the other hand if there is a (u, v) -extension σ then $\sigma(v_j) = \lambda_j \bar{v}_{\pi(j)}$ for some $\pi \in P_{uv}$ and nonzero $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$.

Now suppose that $P_{uv} \neq \emptyset$ and let $\pi \in P_{uv}$. Let σ be a (u, v) -extension with $\sigma(v_j) = \lambda_j \bar{v}_{\pi(j)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. We call such a σ a (u, v, π) -extension. We write $\bar{h}_{s+i} = \sigma(h_{s+i}) = \sum_{k=1}^d a_{ik} h_{s+k}$. The elements of \mathfrak{t} are central in \mathfrak{c} and therefore they act as scalar multiplication on irreducible \mathfrak{c} -modules (this is Schur's lemma, cf. [14, §6.1]). It follows that $h_{s+i} \cdot \bar{v}_j = \nu_{s+i,j} \bar{v}_j$ for $1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq j \leq m$. Now $h_{s+i} \cdot v_j = \nu_{s+i,j} v_j$ upon application of σ implies that $\bar{h}_{s+i} \cdot \bar{v}_j = \nu_{s+i, \pi^{-1}(j)} \bar{v}_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. We see that $\sum_{k=1}^d a_{ik} \nu_{s+k,j} = \nu_{s+i, \pi^{-1}(j)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$. The values of the $\nu_{s+i,j}$ can be read off from the tables in Section 6. From that we see that the vectors $(\nu_{s+1,j}, \dots, \nu_{s+d,j})$ for $1 \leq j' \leq m$ span \mathbb{C}^d . Hence either the equations give a unique solution for the a_{ik} , or no solution. In the latter case we conclude that there are no (u, v, π) -extensions. In the former case we can uniquely compute the restriction of any (u, v, π) -extension to \mathfrak{t} .

Let σ be a (u, v, π) -extension. Let $\phi \in T$; then the restriction of ϕ to \mathfrak{c} is the identity. Because V is multiplicity free we have that $\phi(v_j)$ is a scalar multiple of v_j for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Hence $\sigma\phi$ also is a (u, v, π) -extension. We see that if there are (u, v, π) -extensions and $\dim T \geq 1$ then there are infinitely many such extensions. Our next goal is to determine a finite set of (u, v, π) -extensions such that every (u, v, π) -extension is equal, modulo $Z_G(h, e, f)^\circ$, to an element of this set.

Now we consider the group

$$H = \{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma(x) = x \text{ for all } x \in \mathfrak{c}\}.$$

This is an algebraic subgroup of G with Lie algebra $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{c})$. But the latter is $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ by Lemma 5.2. It follows that $H^\circ = T$. If $\sigma \in H$ then $\sigma(v_i)$ is a highest weight vector with the same weight as v_i . So because V is multiplicity free it follows that $\sigma(v_i) = \chi_i(\sigma)v_i$, where $\chi_i : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is a character of H . The differential $d\chi_i : \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a linear map; it can be read off from the tables in Section 6. From those tables it is also easily seen that in all cases there are d of such characters $\chi_{i_1}, \dots, \chi_{i_d}$ such that the intersection of the kernels of the $d\chi_{i_l}, 1 \leq l \leq d$ is 0 (if $d = 1$ this just means that there is an i_1 such that $d\chi_{i_1}$ is nonzero). This implies that $K = \{\sigma \in H \mid \chi_{i_l}(\sigma) = 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq l \leq d\}$ is a finite group.

Lemma 5.8. *Let σ be a (u, v, π) -extension. Then there is a $\phi \in T$ such that $\sigma\phi(v_{i_l}) = \bar{v}_{\pi(i_l)}$ for $1 \leq l \leq d$.*

Proof. Define $\xi : T \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^d$ by $\xi(h) = (\chi_{i_1}(h), \dots, \chi_{i_d}(h))$. Since the intersections of the kernels of the $d\chi_{i_l}$ is zero, we have that $d\xi : \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$ is bijective. It follows that $\xi(T)$ is an algebraic subgroup of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^d$ whose Lie algebra is \mathbb{C}^d . In particular the dimension of $\xi(T)$ is d , which implies that $\xi(T) = (\mathbb{C}^*)^d$.

We have that $\sigma(v_j) = \lambda_j \bar{v}_{\pi(j)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$, where the $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$ are nonzero. Let $\phi \in T$ be such that $\xi(\phi) = (\lambda_{i_1}^{-1}, \dots, \lambda_{i_d}^{-1})$. Then $\sigma\phi$ has the desired property. \square

Let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in G$ be two (u, v, π) -extensions and write $\sigma_i(v_j) = \lambda_j^i \bar{v}_{\pi(j)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Suppose that $\lambda_{i_l}^r = 1$ for $1 \leq l \leq d$ and $r = 1, 2$. Then $\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1$ lies in H and $\chi_{i_l}(\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1) = 1$ for $1 \leq l \leq d$. Hence $\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1$ lies in K which is a finite group. We conclude that there is a finite number of (u, v, π) -extensions σ with $\sigma(v_{i_l}) = \bar{v}_{\pi(i_l)}$ for $1 \leq l \leq d$.

We remark that the sets

$$\{h_1, \dots, h_{s+d}, x_1, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_s, v_1, \dots, v_m\}$$

$$\{\bar{h}_1, \dots, \bar{h}_{s+d}, \bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_s, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_s, \bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_m\}$$

generate \mathfrak{g} . So a $\sigma \in G$ with $\sigma(h_i) = \bar{h}_i$, $\sigma(x_i) = \bar{x}_i$, $\sigma(y_i) = \bar{y}_i$, $\sigma(v_j) = \lambda_j \bar{v}_j$ is uniquely determined. Furthermore, we can compute the matrix of σ with respect to a given basis of \mathfrak{g} . This matrix has entries that are polynomials in the λ_j . We compute those polynomials. Then the requirement that σ be an automorphism yields polynomial equations in the λ_j . We compute these equations, where we now view the λ_i as indeterminates. Furthermore we set $\lambda_j = 1$ (that is, we add the equations $\lambda_j - 1 = 0$) for $j \in \{i_1, \dots, i_d\}$. Finally we add equations of the form $\lambda_i \hat{\lambda}_i = 1$, where the $\hat{\lambda}_j$ are auxiliary indeterminates (these equations make sure that the value of λ_j is nonzero). As seen above these equations have a finite number of solutions. We determine these solutions, using the technique based on computing a Gröbner basis. Doing this for all u, v, π we obtain a finite set of automorphisms of G such that every component has one element in the set. By the method referred to in Remark 2.1 we get rid of pairs of automorphisms that are equal modulo $Z_G(h, e, f)^\circ$.

Remark 5.9. Let e be a nilpotent element of \mathfrak{g} lying in an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple (h, e, f) such that the component group of $Z_G(h, e, f)$ is trivial. We consider the subalgebras \mathfrak{c}'_1 , \mathfrak{t} , \mathfrak{c}'_2 . By direct computation we have verified the following statements. If \mathfrak{g} is of type G_2 or F_4 then $\dim \mathfrak{t} = 0$. If \mathfrak{g} is of type E_6 then $\dim \mathfrak{t} \leq 1$. Furthermore, in all cases where $\dim \mathfrak{t} = 1$ we can find a χ_{i_1} such that $d\chi_{i_1}$ is nonzero (notation as above). If \mathfrak{g} is of type E_7 or E_8 then $\dim \mathfrak{t} = 0$. This means that the method outlined in this section also works when the component group is trivial.

Example 5.10. Here we look at the simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of type E_6 and the nilpotent orbit with label $D_4(a_1)$. In this case $\mathfrak{c}_1 = \mathfrak{t}$ which is of dimension 2. Hence $\mathfrak{c}'_1 = 0$. Furthermore \mathfrak{c}'_2 is semisimple of type D_4 . For the Dynkin diagram of \mathfrak{c}'_2 we use the following enumeration of the vertices:

$$\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ | \\ 1 \text{ --- } 2 \text{ --- } 3 \end{array}$$

In GAP4 we have explicitly determined the \mathfrak{c} -module V and its decomposition. It turns out that V splits as a direct sum of six irreducible modules with highest weights

$$\begin{array}{l} V_1 : \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ | \\ 1 \text{ --- } 0 \text{ --- } 0 \end{array} \quad (-2, 0) \qquad V_2 : \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ | \\ 1 \text{ --- } 0 \text{ --- } 0 \end{array} \quad (2, 0) \\ V_3 : \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 0 \text{ --- } 0 \text{ --- } 0 \end{array} \quad (-1, -3) \qquad V_4 : \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 0 \text{ --- } 0 \text{ --- } 0 \end{array} \quad (1, 3) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & 0 & \\
 & | & \\
 V_5 : & 0 \text{ --- } 0 \text{ --- } 1 & (-1,3)
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & 0 & \\
 & | & \\
 V_6 : & 0 \text{ --- } 0 \text{ --- } 1 & (1,-3)
 \end{array}$$

Here the eigenvalues of the Cartan elements of \mathfrak{c}'_2 are shown on the Dynkin diagram of \mathfrak{c}'_2 . This is followed by a vector of length 2 containing the eigenvalues of the elements of a fixed basis of \mathfrak{t} on the highest weight vector.

With the method indicated in Remark 4.1 it is straightforward to compute $Z_{A_2}(h, e, f)$ where $A_2 = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{c}'_2)$. The symmetry group of the Dynkin diagram is exactly the group S_X permuting the vertices in $X = \{1, 3, 4\}$. For each diagram automorphism σ_τ of \mathfrak{c}'_2 , with $\tau \in S_X$, there is exactly one inner automorphism ϕ such that $\phi(\sigma_\tau(h), \sigma_\tau(e), \sigma_\tau(f)) = (h, e, f)$. Hence $Z_{A_2}(h, e, f)$ is of order 6 and is isomorphic to S_X .

We consider $\tau = (1, 3, 4) \in S_X$ and let η_1 be the corresponding element of $Z_{A_2}(h, e, f)$. We want to determine the possible extensions of η_1 to automorphisms of \mathfrak{g} . That is, we want to determine the (1)-extensions (cf. Remark 5.7). We fix canonical generators h_i, x_i, y_i $1 \leq i \leq 4$ of \mathfrak{c}'_2 and let $\bar{h}_i = \eta_1(h_i)$, $\bar{x}_i = \eta_1(x_i)$, $\bar{y}_i = \eta_1(y_i)$. Let v_j for $1 \leq j \leq 6$ denote a fixed highest weight vector of V_j and let \bar{v}_j be a nonzero element of V_j such that $\bar{x}_i \cdot \bar{v}_j = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$. If $h_i \cdot v_j = \nu_{i,j} v_j$ then $\bar{h}_i \cdot \bar{v}_j = \nu_{\tau^{-1}(i),j} \bar{v}_j$. It follows that if $\sigma \in G$ extends η_1 then $\sigma(v_j) = \lambda_j \bar{v}_{\pi(j)}$ where π is a permutation of $\{1, \dots, 6\}$ mapping

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 &\mapsto 5 \text{ or } 6 \\
 2 &\mapsto 5 \text{ or } 6 \\
 3 &\mapsto 1 \text{ or } 2 \\
 4 &\mapsto 1 \text{ or } 2 \\
 5 &\mapsto 3 \text{ or } 4 \\
 6 &\mapsto 3 \text{ or } 4
 \end{aligned}$$

So we have eight possible permutations.

Let $\sigma \in G$ extend τ and suppose that $\sigma(v_1) = \lambda_1 \bar{v}_5$, $\sigma(v_3) = \lambda_3 \bar{v}_1$ and $\sigma(v_5) = \lambda_5 \bar{v}_4$. Let h_5, h_6 be the basis elements of \mathfrak{t} with respect to which the above weights have been computed. As remarked above, Schur's lemma implies that h_5, h_6 have the same eigenvalues on \bar{v}_i as on v_i . Write $\sigma(h_5) = ah_5 + bh_6$, $\sigma(h_6) = ch_5 + dh_6$. Applying σ to the equality $h_5 \cdot v_1 = -2v_1$ we see that $(ah_5 + bh_6) \cdot \bar{v}_5 = -2\bar{v}_5$, implying $-a + 3b = -2$. Applying σ to $h_5 \cdot v_3 = -v_3$ we obtain $-2a = -1$ and we see that $a = \frac{1}{2}$, $b = -\frac{1}{2}$. But applying σ to $h_5 \cdot v_5 = -v_5$ we get $-a - 3b = -1$ and we have a contradiction. The conclusion is that this permutation does not work.

We apply the above procedure to all eight permutations. It turns out that only one of them yields a solution for a, b, c, d namely

$$\pi : 1 \mapsto 6, 2 \mapsto 5, 3 \mapsto 2, 4 \mapsto 1, 5 \mapsto 3, 6 \mapsto 4.$$

In this case $a = -\frac{1}{2}$, $b = \frac{1}{2}$, $c = -\frac{3}{2}$, $d = -\frac{1}{2}$.

So we try to find $\sigma \in G$ with $\sigma(h_i) = \bar{h}_i$, $\sigma(x_i) = \bar{x}_i$, $\sigma(y_i) = \bar{y}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$, $\sigma(h_5) = ah_5 + bh_6$, $\sigma(h_6) = ch_5 + dh_6$ (with a, b, c, d as above) and $\sigma(v_j) = \lambda_j \bar{v}_{\pi(j)}$ with π as above. In other words, we try to find all (1, π)-extensions.

We have written an ad-hoc program in GAP4 for computing the polynomial equations that the λ_i have to satisfy in order that σ be an automorphism. It took the program somewhat more than 13 seconds to compute these equations. The ensuing Gröbner basis computation terminated almost immediately. Setting $\lambda_1 = \lambda_3 = 1$ the equations yield exactly one solution.

Doing this for all elements of $Z_{A_2}(h, e, f)$ we obtain exactly six elements of $Z_G(h, e, f)$ and it follows that the component group is isomorphic to S_X .

6. TABLES FOR THE EXCEPTIONAL TYPES

In this section we give some data relative to the nilpotent orbits of the Lie algebras of exceptional type, whose stabilizers have nontrivial component group (Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, 5). The first column of these tables displays the Bala Carter label of the orbit (see [6, Chapter 8]). The second and fourth columns have respectively the isomorphism type of the subalgebras \mathfrak{c}'_1 and \mathfrak{c}'_2 . Here a notation like $2A_2$ means a direct sum of two subalgebras, both of type A_2 . The third column has the dimension of the centre \mathfrak{t} . The fifth column has the highest weights of the \mathfrak{c} -module V . Here the notation works as follows. The canonical generators of \mathfrak{c}'_1 are h_i, x_i, y_i with $1 \leq i \leq a$. The basis elements of \mathfrak{t} are h_i with $a+1 \leq i \leq a+d$. The canonical generators of \mathfrak{c}'_2 are h_i, x_i, y_i with $a+d+1 \leq i \leq r$. The weights are written as $(w_1; w_2; w_3)$ where w_1 has the eigenvalues of the h_i , $1 \leq i \leq a$ on the highest weight vector, w_2 (written in boldface) has the eigenvalues of the h_i , $a+1 \leq i \leq a+d$ on the highest weight vector, w_3 has the eigenvalues of the h_i , $a+d+1 \leq i \leq r$ on the highest weight vector. If $\dim \mathfrak{c}'_1 = 0$ or $\dim \mathfrak{t} = 0$ then we just write $(w_2; w_3)$, respectively $(w_1; w_3)$. The eigenvalues of direct summands of \mathfrak{c}'_1 , \mathfrak{c}'_2 are separated by commas. For the enumeration of the nodes of the Dynkin diagrams of the simple Lie algebra we follow the conventions in [5, Planche I-IX]. All weights are of multiplicity one (i.e., each weight belongs to a unique irreducible summand of V). The last column has the isomorphism type of the component group of the stabilizer (which is contained in the tables of [6, Chapter 8], [20]). The data in the second, third, fourth and fifth columns have been computed with GAP, with the help of the SLA package.

Table 1: Nilpotent orbits in the Lie algebra of type G_2 whose stabilizer has a nontrivial component group

label	\mathfrak{c}'_1	$\dim \mathfrak{t}$	\mathfrak{c}'_2	A
$G_2(a_1)$	0	0	\mathfrak{g}	S_3

Table 2: Nilpotent orbits in the Lie algebra of type F_4 whose stabilizer has a nontrivial component group

label	\mathfrak{c}'_1	$\dim \mathfrak{t}$	\mathfrak{c}'_2	weights of V	A
A_1	A_3	0	A_1	$(100; 1), (001; 1), (010; 2)$	S_2
A_2	A_2	0	A_2	$(20; 01), (02; 10)$	S_2
B_2	$2A_1$	0	B_2	$(1,1; 10), (1,0; 01), (0,1; 01)$	S_2
$C_3(a_1)$	A_1	0	C_3	$(1; 001)$	S_2
$F_4(a_3)$	0	0	\mathfrak{g}		S_4
$F_4(a_2)$	0	0	\mathfrak{g}		S_2
$F_4(a_1)$	0	0	\mathfrak{g}		S_2

Table 3: Nilpotent orbits in the Lie algebra of type E_6 whose stabilizer has a nontrivial component group

label	\mathfrak{c}'_1	$\dim \mathfrak{t}$	\mathfrak{c}'_2	weights of V	A
-------	-------------------	---------------------	-------------------	----------------	-----

A_2	$2A_2$	0	A_2	$(10,01; 01), (01,10; 10)$	S_2
$D_4(a_1)$	0	2	D_4	$(-2,0; 1000), (2,0; 1000)$ $(-1,-3; 0010), (1,3; 0010)$ $(-1,3; 0001), (1,-3; 0001)$	S_3
$E_6(a_3)$	0	0	\mathfrak{g}		S_2

Table 4: Nilpotent orbits in the Lie algebra of type E_7 whose stabilizer has a nontrivial component group

label	\mathfrak{c}'_1	$\dim \mathfrak{t}$	\mathfrak{c}'_2	weights of V	A
A_2	A_5	0	A_2	$(00010; 10), (01000; 01)$	S_2
$A_2 + A_1$	A_3	1	$A_1 + A_2$	$(000;4;0,01), (000;-4;0,10)$ $(010;-2;0,01), (010;2;0,10)$ $(001;-3;1,00), (100;3;1,00)$ $(001;1;1,01), (100;-1;1,10)$	S_2
$D_4(a_1)$	$3A_1$	0	D_4	$(1,1,0; 1000), (1,0,1; 0010), (0,1,1; 0001)$	S_3
$D_4(a_1) + A_1$	$2A_1$	0	$A_1 + D_4$	$(1,1; 0,1000), (1,0; 1,0001), (0,1; 1,0010)$	S_2
$A_3 + A_2$	A_1	1	$A_2 + A_3$	$(0;-4;01,000), (0;4;10,000)$ $(1;-3;00,001), (1;3;00,100)$ $(0;2;01,010), (0;-2;10,010)$ $(1;-1;01,100), (1;1;10,001)$	S_2
A_4	A_2	1	A_4	$(00;-3;0001), (00;3;1000)$ $(01;2;0001), (10;-2;1000)$ $(01;-1;0010), (10;2;0100)$	S_2
$A_4 + A_1$	0	2	$A_1 + A_4$	$(3,-1; 1,0000), (-3,1; 1,0000)$ $(0,-2; 0,1000), (0,2; 0,0001)$ $(-2,2; 0,1000), (2,-2; 0,0001)$ $(1,1; 1,1000), (-1,-1; 1,0001)$ $(-2,0; 0,0100), (2,0; 0,0010)$ $(1,-1; 1,0100), (-1,1; 1,0010)$	S_2
$D_5(a_1)$	A_1	1	D_5	$(0;2;100000), (0;-2;100000)$ $(1;-1;000010), (0;1;00001)$	S_2
$E_6(a_3)$	A_1	0	F_4	$(2; 0001)$	S_2
$E_7(a_5)$	0	0	\mathfrak{g}		S_3
$E_6(a_1)$	0	1	E_6	$(-2; 000001), (2; 100000)$	S_2
$E_7(a_3)$	0	0	\mathfrak{g}		S_2

Table 5: Nilpotent orbits in the Lie algebra of type E_8 whose stabilizer has a nontrivial component group

label	\mathfrak{c}'_1	$\dim \mathfrak{t}$	\mathfrak{c}'_2	weights of V	A
A_2	E_6	0	A_2	$(100000; 10), (000001; 01)$	S_2
$A_2 + A_1$	A_5	0	$A_1 + A_2$	$(10000; 1,10), (00001; 1,01)$ $(01000; 0,01), (00010; 0,10)$ $(00100; 1,00)$	S_2
$2A_2$	$2G_2$	0	A_1	$(10,10; 2), (10,00; 4), (00,10; 4)$	S_2
$D_4(a_1)$	D_4	0	D_4	$(1000; 1000), (0010; 0010), (0001; 0001)$	S_3
$D_4(a_1) + A_1$	$3A_1$	0	$A_1 + D_4$	$(1,1,0; 0,1000), (1,0,1; 0,0010), (0,1,1; 0,0001)$ $(1,0,0; 1,0001), (0,1,0; 1,0010), (0,0,1; 1,1000)$ $(1,1,1; 1,0000)$	S_3
$A_3 + A_2$	B_2	1	$A_2 + B_2$	$(00;-4;01,00), (00;4;10,00)$	S_2

A_4	A_4	0	A_4	(00;2;01,10), (00;-2;10,10) (10;2;01,00), (10;-2;10,00) (01;3;00,01), (01;-3;00,01) (01;-1;01,01), (01;1;10,01) (10;0;00,10) (1000;0100), (0001;0010) (0010;1000), (0100;0001)	S_2
$D_4(a_1) + A_2$	A_2	0	A_1	(30;4), (03;4), (22;2), (11;6)	S_2
$A_4 + A_1$	A_2	1	$A_1 + A_4$	(00;-6;0,0001), (00;6;0,1000) (01;-5;1,0000), (10;5;1,0000) (10;-4;0,1000), (01;4;0,0001) (00;-3;1,0100), (00;3;1,0010) (10;-1;1,0001), (01;1;1,1000) (01;-2;0,0010), (10;2;0,0100)	S_2
$D_5(a_1)$	A_3	0	D_5	(100;00010), (001;00001) (010;10000)	S_2
$A_4 + 2A_1$	A_1	1	$A_1 + A_4$	(1;-5;1,0000), (1;5;1,0000) (0;-4;0,0100), (0;4;0,0010) (2;-2;0,1000), (2;2;0,0001) (0;-2;2,1000), (0;2;2,0001) (1;-3;1,0001), (1;3;1,1000) (1;-1;1,0010), (1;1;1,0100) (2;0;2,0000)	S_2
$D_4 + A_2$	A_2	0	$A_2 + G_2$	(20;10,00), (02;01,00) (10;01,10), (01;10,10) (11;00,10)	S_2
$E_6(a_3)$	G_2	0	F_4	(10;0001)	S_2
$D_6(a_2)$	$2A_1$	0	D_6	(10;000010), (01;000001) (11;000001)	S_2
$E_6(a_3) + A_1$	A_1	0	$A_1 + F_4$	(3;1,0000), (2;0,0001), (1;1,0001)	S_2
$E_7(a_3)$	A_1	0	E_7	(1;0000001)	S_3
$E_8(a_7)$	0	0	\mathfrak{g}		S_5
$D_6(a_1)$	$2A_1$	0	D_6	(1,1;100000), (1,0;000010), (0,1;000001)	S_2
$E_7(a_4)$	A_1	0	E_7	(1;0000001)	S_2
$E_6(a_1)$	A_2	0	E_6	(10;000001), (01;100000)	S_2
$D_5 + A_2$	0	1	$A_2 + D_5$	(-4;01,00000), (4;10,00000) (-3;00,00001), (3;00,00010) (-2;10,10000), (2;01,10000) (-1;01,00010), (1;10,00001) (-2;1000000), (2;1000000) (-1;0000010), (1;0000001)	S_2
$D_7(a_2)$	0	1	D_7	(-3;1,000000), (3;1,000000) (-2;0,000001), (2;0,100000) (-1;1,100000), (1;1,000001)	S_2
$E_6(a_1) + A_1$	0	1	$A_1 + E_6$	(1;0000001)	S_2
$E_7(a_3)$	A_1	0	E_7		S_2
$E_8(b_6)$	0	0	\mathfrak{g}		S_3
$D_7(a_1)$	0	1	D_7	(-2;100000), (2;1000000) (-i;000001), (i;01000010)	S_2
$E_8(a_6)$	0	0	\mathfrak{g}		S_3
$E_8(b_5)$	0	0	\mathfrak{g}		S_3
$E_8(a_5)$	0	0	\mathfrak{g}		S_2
$E_8(b_4)$	0	0	\mathfrak{g}		S_2

$E_8(a_4)$	0	0	\mathfrak{g}		S_2
$E_8(a_3)$	0	0	\mathfrak{g}		S_2

REFERENCES

- [1] A. V. Alekseevskii. Component groups of centralizers of unipotent elements in semisimple algebraic groups. *Akad. Nauk Gruzin. SSR Trudy Tbiliss. Mat. Inst. Razmadze*, 62:5–27, 1979. Collection of articles on algebra, 2.
- [2] Armand Borel. *Linear algebraic groups*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, second edition, 1991.
- [3] Mikhail Borovoi and Willem A. de Graaf. Computing Galois cohomology of a real linear algebraic group. [arXiv:2308.04962 \[math.RT\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.04962), 2023.
- [4] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust. The Magma algebra system. I. The user language. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 24(3-4):235–265, 1997. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993).
- [5] N. Bourbaki. *Groupes et Algèbres de Lie, Chapitres 4, 5 et 6*. Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [6] David H. Collingwood and William M. McGovern. *Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras*. Van Nostrand Reinhold Mathematics Series. Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, 1993.
- [7] David A. Cox, John Little, and Donal O’Shea. *Ideals, varieties, and algorithms*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, fourth edition, 2015. An introduction to computational algebraic geometry and commutative algebra.
- [8] Wolfram Decker, Gert-Martin Greuel, Gerhard Pfister, and Hans Schönemann. SINGULAR 4-0-2 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations, 2015.
- [9] The GAP Group. *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.11.1*, 2021.
- [10] W. A. de Graaf and T. GAP Team. SLA, computing with simple Lie algebras, Version 1.6.2. <https://gap-packages.github.io/sla/>, Jul 2024. GAP package.
- [11] Willem A. de Graaf. Computing with nilpotent orbits in simple Lie algebras of exceptional type. *LMS J. Comput. Math.*, 11:280–297 (electronic), 2008.
- [12] Willem A. de Graaf. *Computation with linear algebraic groups*. Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2017.
- [13] James E. Humphreys. *Linear Algebraic Groups*. Springer Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1975.
- [14] James E. Humphreys. *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, volume 9 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1978. Second printing, revised.
- [15] Nathan Jacobson. *Lie algebras*. Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 10. Interscience Publishers (a division of John Wiley & Sons), New York-London, 1962.
- [16] Jens Carsten Jantzen. Nilpotent orbits in representation theory. In *Lie theory*, volume 228 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 1–211. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2004.
- [17] R. Lawther and D. M. Testerman. Centres of centralizers of unipotent elements in simple algebraic groups. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 210(988), 2011.
- [18] Ivan Losev. Finite-dimensional representations of W -algebras. *Duke Math. J.*, 159(1):99–143, 2011.
- [19] Arkady L. Onishchik. *Lectures on Real Semisimple Lie Algebras and Their Representations*. European Mathematical Society, Zürich, 2004.
- [20] Eric Sommers. A generalization of the Bala-Carter theorem for nilpotent orbits. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (11):539–562, 1998.
- [21] Robert Steinberg. Torsion in reductive groups. *Advances in Math.*, 15:63–92, 1975.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI TRENTO, ITALY
 Email address: emanuele.dibella@unitn.it

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI TRENTO, ITALY
 Email address: willem.degraaf@unitn.it