

FREQUENTLY RECURRENT BACKWARD SHIFTS

RODRIGO CARDECCIA, SANTIAGO MURO

ABSTRACT. We study frequently recurrent unilateral and bilateral backward shift operators on Fréchet sequence spaces. We prove that if a backward shift admits a non-zero frequently recurrent vector, then it supports a dense set of such vectors, so that the operator is frequently recurrent. As a consequence, we provide two different characterizations for frequently recurrent backward shift operators and we show dense lineability of the set of the set of frequently recurrent vectors.

INTRODUCTION

The central notion in the dynamics of linear operators is that of hypercyclicity. A linear operator T on a Fréchet space is said to be hypercyclic if it possesses a dense orbit, i.e. the set $\{T^n x : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dense in the space for some $x \in X$. Furthermore, if an orbit visits each nonempty open set with visiting times that grow at most linearly (or equivalently, the sets of visiting times have positive lower density), then the operator is called frequently hypercyclic. Since its introduction in [2], frequent hypercyclicity has been a fundamental concept in the development of linear dynamics.

The class of weighted shift operators (unilateral and bilateral) constitutes one of the most fundamental classes of operators and serves as a rich source of examples and counterexamples in linear dynamics; see for example, [3, 6, 7, 9, 15, 16]. The characterization of hypercyclic weighted shifts is well-known, and in [6], a characterization of frequently hypercyclic weighted shifts was presented for operators on ℓ_p and c_0 (see also [9, 16] for extensions to more general Fréchet sequence spaces).

While recurrence is a classical topic in the study of dynamical systems only recently, in [17], a systematic research on recurrence for linear operators was initiated. This line of research has been intensive in recent years [1, 10, 11, 13, 17, 18, 19, 23, 24]. A vector x is (frequently) recurrent if the set of visiting times to each neighborhood of x is infinite (and has positive lower density). An operator is (frequently) recurrent if it has a dense set of (frequently) recurrent vectors.

Returning to weighted shifts, a result due to Chan and Seceleanu [14] implies that on $\ell_p(\mathbb{N})$ and $\ell_p(\mathbb{Z})$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$, every recurrent weighted shift is hypercyclic and moreover, the existence of a single non-zero recurrent vector is sufficient to establish hypercyclicity. In particular, we have a zero-one type

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A16, 47B37 37B20 37A46 47A35 .

Key words and phrases. Frequently recurrent operators, hypercyclic operators, weighted shifts.

Partially supported by ANPCyT PICT 2015-2224, UBACyT 20020130300052BA, PIP 11220130100329CO and CONICET.

law for the set of non-zero recurrent vectors of a weighted shift: it is either empty or dense in the space. This result was further extended to weighted shifts on general Fréchet sequence spaces, see [8, 22].

In this article, we will focus on frequently recurrent backward shifts from a zero-one law perspective. The main results of the article are as follows: if a backward shift supports a non-trivial frequently recurrent vector, then there must be a dense set of such vectors. Equivalently, the operator is frequently recurrent. Moreover, using the idea of the construction of the dense set of frequently recurrent vectors, and by an application of the zero-one law, we can provide two different characterizations for frequent recurrence. The first one is in the same vein as the characterization of frequently hypercyclic shifts obtained in [9], while the second one is related to the existence of orbits that frequently approximate “big” subsets of the space X . We summarize our main results in a single statement.

Theorem 0.1. *Let X be a Fréchet sequence space with unconditional basis $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ (resp. $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$) and let B be the backward shift operator. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) B supports a non-zero frequently recurrent vector.
- (2) B supports a frequently recurrent and cyclic vector.
- (3) B is frequently recurrent.
- (4) There are a sequence of numbers $(\varepsilon_p)_{p \geq 0} \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ with $\varepsilon_p \rightarrow 0$, a set of positive lower density A containing 0 and subsets $A_p \subset A$ of positive lower density such that, if $A = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \{N_k\}$,
 - i) for every $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\sum_{n \in A_p} e_{n+p}$ converges;
 - ii) for every $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and every $m \in A_q$,

$$\left\| \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_p, n > m} \sum_{j=0}^p e_{n-m+N_j} \right\|_q < \varepsilon_q, \quad \left(\text{resp. } \left\| \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_p, n \neq m} \sum_{j=0}^p e_{n-m+N_j} \right\|_q < \varepsilon_q \right).$$

- (5) There are a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$ of positive lower density and $x \in X$ with $\text{supp}(x) \subseteq A$, such that x is a frequently hypercyclic vector for $\mathfrak{B}_1(A) := \{x \in X : \text{supp}(x) \subseteq A \text{ and } |x_n| < 1 \text{ for every } n \geq 0\}$.

For the proof of condition (2) in above theorem (which is proved in Theorem 2.8) we have to use the zero-one law ((1) \Rightarrow (3)) together with the characterization (5) (which in turn uses (4)). We also note that (2) implies the existence of a dense vector space in which every non-zero vector is cyclic and frequently recurrent (i.e. they form a dense lineable set).

The structure of the paper is the following. In the first section we present some preliminaries. In Section 2 we prove the main theorem of the paper, Theorem 0.1, in the unilateral case. We will first prove the zero-one law Theorem 2.1 for frequently recurrent vectors of unilateral backward shifts, i.e. (1) \Leftrightarrow (3) in Theorem 0.1. To achieve this we will have to construct plenty frequently recurrent vectors. A careful look at this construction will allow us to characterize frequently recurrent backward shift operators in Theorem 2.3 ((4) in Theorem 0.1). Applying this characterization together with the zero-one law we show, in Theorem 2.7, a second characterization frequently recurrent backward shifts ((5) in Theorem 0.1). In Theorem 2.8 we apply all the previous results to obtain dense lineability. Since our

characterization (4) is weaker than the characterization of frequently hypercyclic from [9], it is natural to consider two classes of operators that are formally weaker than frequently hypercyclic but stronger than frequent recurrence. In Corollary 2.9 and Proposition 2.11 we study them and describe the dynamical notions that they determine.

Finally, in Section 3 we prove the main Theorem 0.1 in the bilateral setting.

1. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION

Through the paper X will denote a Fréchet sequence space over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} , i.e. X is a subspace of $\mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ or of $\mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that the functionals $X \ni x = (x_n)_n \mapsto x_n$ are continuous. The topology of X is defined by a sequence of seminorms $(\|\cdot\|_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. We always assume that for each $x \in X$, the mapping $p \mapsto \|x\|_p$ is non-decreasing. Equivalently, the topology of X may be defined via an F -norm, $\|\cdot\| = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{p+1}} \max\{1, \|\cdot\|_p\}$. In that case, $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ results a complete metric space with distance $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$. Sometimes it will be convenient to refer to $[x]_n$ as the n -th coordinate of x , that is x_n .

An unconditional basis in a Fréchet sequence space X is a basis which satisfies that $(a_n \cdot x_n)_n \in X$ whenever $a \in \ell_\infty$ and $x = (x_n)_n \in X$. This implies that for every $p \geq 0$ there are $m_p \geq p$ and a constant $C_p > 0$ such that

$$(1) \quad \|ax\|_p \leq C_p \|a\|_\infty \|x\|_{m_p}.$$

We will always assume that the C_p 's are increasing.

Recall that an operator T on X is said to be hypercyclic provided that it has a dense orbit. It is called recurrent if it has a dense set of recurrent vectors, that is, of vectors $x \in X$ such that $N_T(x, U) := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : T^n(x) \in U\}$ is infinite for each neighbourhood U of x .

A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$ is said to have positive lower density provided that

$$\underline{dens}(A) := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#A \cap [0, n]}{n+1} > 0$$

and positive upper density if

$$\overline{dens}(A) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#A \cap [0, n]}{n+1} > 0.$$

The lower density is finitely invariant: $\underline{dens}(A) > 0 = \underline{dens}(A \cap F)$ for every cofinite set F . The same holds for the upper density.

Given an operator T on X , a vector $x \in X$ is said to be *frequently recurrent* provided that for every open set U around x the set of hitting times $N_T(x, U)$ has positive lower density. If the set of frequently recurrent vectors is dense in the space, then we will say that the operator is frequently recurrent. A vector $x \in X$ is called *frequently hypercyclic* if $\underline{dens}(N_T(x, U)) > 0$ for every nonempty open set $U \subseteq X$. If T has a frequently hypercyclic vector then T is said to be frequently hypercyclic. We refer to the books [5, 21] for basic concepts on linear dynamics.

Although we will mostly work with frequently recurrent backward shift operators, it will be useful to have a characterization of frequent hypercyclicity at hand. The following theorem was proved by Bonilla and Grosse-Erdmann in [\[9\]](#).

Theorem 1.1 (Bonilla, Grosse-Erdmann). *Let X be a Fréchet sequence space with unconditional basis $(e_n)_{n \geq 0}$. Then B is frequently hypercyclic if and only if there are sets with positive lower density A_p and a sequence of positive numbers $\varepsilon_p \rightarrow 0$ such that*

- (1) For every $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{n \in A_p} e_{n+p} \in X$ and
- (2) for every $p, q, m \in A_q$ and $0 \leq j \leq p$

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in A_p, n > m} e_{n-m+j} \right\|_q < \min\{\varepsilon_p, \varepsilon_q\}.$$

We will always assume that the backward shift $B : X \rightarrow X$ is well defined (and hence continuous). Although we will mostly work with unweighted backward shifts all the results can be translated to the weighted setting via an easy conjugation argument, if $B_w : X \rightarrow X$ is a weighted backward shift then we consider $v_n = \prod_{l=1}^n w_l^{-1}$ and $X(v) = \{(x_n)_n : (x_n v_n)_n \in X\}$. It follows that $B : X(v) \rightarrow X(v)$ is a well defined backward shift. Moreover, $B : X(v) \rightarrow X(v)$ and $B_w : X \rightarrow X$ are conjugate via the isomorphism $\phi : X(v) \rightarrow X$, $(x_n)_n \rightarrow (x_n v_n)_n$. See [\[21, 8\]](#) for more details on the matter.

1.1. A separation Lemma for sets with positive lower density. We now present a Separation Lemma [1.2](#) for sets with positive lower density, which is a crucial for our proofs. The idea of considering sets with separation properties is not new in linear dynamics. For example, a key step to prove that the operators satisfying the frequent hypercyclicity criterion are indeed frequently hypercyclic is a separation lemma of the natural numbers [\[2, Lemma 2.2\]](#). In [\[7, Proposition 1\]](#) the authors extended this lemma to general families of the natural numbers by proving that if there exist an \mathcal{F} -hypercyclic operator, then the family \mathcal{F} must satisfy the separation lemma [\[2, Lemma 2.2\]](#). We finally mention that the proof of the characterization of frequently hypercyclic backward shift operators [\[9\]](#) uses several times that some sets A_p with positive lower density are separated, where the A_p are sets of hitting times of a frequently hypercyclic vector. These ideas have in common that the separation property obtained is a consequence of a dynamical property: If $x \in X$ is a frequently hypercyclic vector, and $(y_p)_p \subset X$ is a sequence of pairwise different vectors, then there are $\varepsilon_p > 0$ such that the sets $A_p := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|B^n(x) - y_p\| < \varepsilon_p\}$ satisfy some separation property. However, it is not possible to follow the same strategy for frequent recurrence because in this case the orbit is only known to approximate a single vector. We have to change the strategy and to look at this property as a consequence of a number theoretic result rather than a dynamical property. To achieve this we will use a result proved recently by Martin, Menet and Puig in [\[25\]](#) (see also [\[4\]](#), where the same lemma is applied).

Lemma 1.2 (Separation Lemma). *Let $(A_p)_p$ be a sequence of sets with positive lower density and (k_p) a sequence of natural numbers. Then there exist pairwise disjoint sets of positive lower density $(B_p)_p$, such that for every p , $B_p \subseteq A_p$ and such that for every $n \in B_p$, $m \in B_q$, $n \neq m$, we have that $|n - m| > k_p + k_q$.*

2. FREQUENTLY RECURRENT BACKWARD SHIFTS: THE UNILATERAL CASE

In this section we prove the main Theorem 0.1 which is an immediate consequence of Theorems 2.1 and 2.3 from Subsection 2.1 and Theorem 2.7 from Subsection 2.2. As an application we prove in Subsection 2.2 that the set of frequently recurrent vectors of a frequently recurrent backward shift is dense lineable.

2.1. A zero-one law and first characterization of frequent recurrence. We begin this subsection proving a zero-one law for frequently recurrent backward shift operators: the existence of one non-zero frequently recurrent vector implies that there is a dense set of such vectors. Then, by studying the construction of this dense set of vectors we can describe the necessary conditions to obtain frequent recurrence, and we show hence a characterization of frequently recurrent shifts in Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 2.1 (Zero-one law for frequent recurrent vectors). *Let X be a Fréchet sequence space with unconditional basis $(e_n)_{n \geq 0}$. If B supports a nontrivial frequently recurrent vector then B is frequently recurrent.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that for every $R \geq 0$, and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a frequently recurrent vector $y \in X$ such that $\|y - e_R\| < \varepsilon$, where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes an F -norm on X (see Section 1). Indeed, if U is a nonempty open set, let $z = \sum_{j=0}^R z_j e_j \in U$. If $y \in X$ be a frequently recurrent vector sufficiently close to e_R , the continuity of B and of the sum imply that $\sum_{j=0}^R z_j B^j(y)$ is a frequently recurrent vector in U .

So, let $x \neq 0$ be a frequently recurrent vector, $R \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $x_R \neq 0$ (otherwise consider $B^n(x)$ which is frequently recurrent). Let $\delta > 0$ such that $|x_R| > \delta$.

Since x is a recurrent vector, there is for every $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, a $q_p > p + R$ such that $|x_{q_p}| > \delta$. By the continuity of the coordinate functionals, there are for each $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $0 < \varepsilon_p < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{p+1}}$ and $k_p > p + 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad \|w\|_{k_p} < \varepsilon_p &\implies |w_j| < \frac{\delta}{4}, \text{ for every } 0 \leq j \leq q_p, \text{ and} \\ &\|x - \sum_{0 \leq j \leq k_p} x_j e_j\|_{m_p} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{p+1}} \frac{\delta}{8C_p}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_p and $\|\cdot\|_{m_p}$ are the unconditional constant basis and seminorm associated to $\|\cdot\|_p$ (see (1)).

We will now present sets A_p that will allow us to construct a frequent recurrent vector near e_R . For each p , A_p will be a set of positive lower density such that

$$(3) \quad A_p \subseteq \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|B^n(x) - x\|_{k_p+m_p} < \varepsilon_p \frac{\delta}{8C_p}\}.$$

Applying the Separation Lemma 1.2 we may assume that the A_p 's are pairwise disjoint and that for every $n \in A_p, m \in A_q$ with $n \neq m$ we have that

$$(4) \quad n - m > k_p + k_q + 2R > p + 1 + q + 1 + 2R.$$

Notice that if $n \in A_p$ then $\sum_{n \in A_p} e_{n+p+R}$ converges. Indeed, by (2) and (3) we have that $|x_{n+q_p} - x_{q_p}| < \frac{\delta}{4}$ and hence $|x_{n+q_p}| > \frac{\delta}{2}$. By unconditionality this implies that $\sum_{n \in A_p} e_{n+q_p}$ converges and by applying the backward shift $q_p - p + R$ times it follows that $\sum_{n \in A_p} e_{n+p+R}$ converges. By the finite invariance property of the sets of positive lower density, we may assume that $A_p \subseteq [p + R, \infty)$ and that, for every $0 \leq j \leq p + 1$,

$$(5) \quad \left\| \sum_{n \in A_p} e_{n+R+j} \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{(p+1)2^{p+1}}.$$

We will now proceed to construct a frequently recurrent vector near e_R by induction. The rough idea is to construct first a vector y^1 near e_R whose orbit is frequently near $y^0 := e_R$, and by induction a sequence of vectors $(y^k)_k$, contained in a small neighbourhood of e_R , and such that the orbit of y^k is frequently near y^{k-1} . Those vectors will be constructed so that they form a convergent sequence to a vector y , which will be a frequently recurrent vector near e_R . Each vector y^k will consist on carefully pasting together shifted copies of a truncation of the vector y^{k-1} (and hence of copies of e_R).

Let $y^1 = e_R + \sum_{n \in A_0} e_{n+R}$. The vector is well defined, because of (5). Notice also that

$$\|y^1 - e_R\| = \left\| \sum_{n \in A_0} e_{n+R} \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

For the second step let $N_1 = \min A_0$. Note that $N_1 + R$ is the index of the second nonzero coordinate of y^1 . We will add to y^1 infinitely many copies of a truncation $P_{N_1+R}(y^1)$ of y^1 :

$$y^2 = y^1 + \sum_{n \in A_{N_1}} \sum_{j=0}^{N_1+R} y_j^1 e_{n+j} = y^1 + \sum_{n \in A_{N_1}} e_{n+R} + e_{n+N_1+R} = e_R + \sum_{p=0}^1 \sum_{n \in A_{N_p}} \sum_{j=0}^p e_{n+N_j+R}.$$

Observe that, since $|n - m| > k_p + k_q + 2R$ whenever $n \in A_p, m \in A_q$ and $k_p > p$, the sums involved have disjoint support. Adopting the notation $N_0 = 0$, it follows by (5) that the sums are well defined and that

$$\|y^2 - y^1\| = \left\| \sum_{n \in A_{N_1}} \sum_{j=0}^1 e_{n+N_j+R} \right\| \leq 2 \frac{\varepsilon}{N_1 2^{N_1}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^2}.$$

Let us briefly sketch the third step. Let $N_2 = \min\{n \in A_{N_0} \cup A_{N_1} : n > N_1\}$, i.e. N_2 is either the first element of A_{N_1} or the second element of $A_0 = A_{N_0}$. Note that $N_2 + R$ is the index of the third nonzero coordinate of y^2 . Let us define y^3 , with three copies of e_R starting at each element of $n \in A_{N_2}$:

$$y^3 = y^2 + \sum_{n \in A_{N_2}} \sum_{j=0}^{N_2+R} y_j^2 e_{n+j} = y^2 + \sum_{n \in A_{N_2}} \sum_{j=0}^2 e_{n+N_j+R} = e_R + \sum_{p=0}^2 \sum_{n \in A_{N_p}} \sum_{j=0}^p e_{n+N_j+R}.$$

Then, proceeding as before, y^3 will be well defined and will satisfy, by (5), that $\|y^3 - y^2\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^3}$.

Let us now proceed to the inductive step. Suppose that we have defined N_1, \dots, N_{k-1} and y^1, \dots, y^k in the same way and that for every $1 \leq j \leq k$,

$$\|y^j - y^{j-1}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^j}.$$

Define $N_k := \min\{n > N_{k-1} : n \in \bigcup_{j=0}^{k-1} A_{N_j}\}$. Note that

$$(6) \quad N_0 + R, N_1 + R, \dots, N_k + R$$

are the indices of the first $k + 1$ non-zero coordinates of y^k . Note also, for later use, that with this definition,

$$(7) \quad \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} A_{N_j} = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \{N_j\}.$$

Let

$$(8) \quad y^{k+1} = y^k + \sum_{n \in A_{N_k}} \sum_{j=0}^{N_k+R} y_j^k e_{n+j} = y^k + \sum_{n \in A_{N_k}} \sum_{j=0}^k e_{n+N_j+R} = e_R + \sum_{p=0}^k \sum_{n \in A_{N_p}} \sum_{j=0}^p e_{n+N_j+R}.$$

Note that by [\(5\)](#), leg: sumas de e_j norma chica (zero one freq)

$$\|y^{k+1} - y^k\| = \left\| \sum_{n \in A_{N_k}} \sum_{j=0}^k e_{n+N_j+R} \right\| \leq \frac{(k+1)\varepsilon}{(N_k+1)2^{N_k+1}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+1}}.$$

This shows that $(y^k)_k$ is a Cauchy sequence so that the limit vector exists and that

$$y := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y^k = e_R + \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_{N_p}} \sum_{j=0}^p e_{n+N_j+R} = e_R + \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_{N_p}} \sum_{j=0}^{N_p+R} y_j e_{n+j}$$

is well defined and that $\|y - e_R\| < \varepsilon$. Note that by [\(6\)](#), leg: indices of the first copies we have

$$y = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e_{N_j+R}.$$

It remains to prove that y is a frequently recurrent vector. Fix $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in A_{N_q}$. Recall that by [\(4\)](#), leg separation zero one freq since $k_p > p$ for every p , we have that for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in A_{N_p}$, $|n - m| > N_p + N_q + 2R$. Thus, if $n < m$ and $n \in A_{N_p}$, then $n - m + N_j + N_q + R < 0$ for every $0 \leq j \leq p$. Hence,

$$B^m \left(\sum_{n \in A_{N_p}, n < m} \sum_{j=0}^p e_{n+N_j+R} \right) = \sum_{n \in A_{N_p}, n < m} \sum_{j=0}^p e_{n-m+N_j+R} = 0.$$

Noting that m belongs only to A_{N_q} , because the A_{N_p} 's are pairwise disjoint, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} B^m(y) - \sum_{j=0}^{N_q+R} y_j e_j &= B^m(y) - \sum_{j=0}^q e_{N_j+R} \\ &= \sum_{p \neq q} \sum_{n \in A_{N_p}, n > m} \sum_{j=0}^p e_{n-m+N_j+R} + \sum_{n \in A_{N_q}, n \geq m} \sum_{j=0}^q e_{n-m+N_j+R} - \sum_{j=0}^q e_{N_j+R} \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_{N_p}, n > m} \sum_{j=0}^p e_{n-m+N_j+R} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(9) \quad \left\| B^m(y) - \sum_{j=0}^{N_q+R} y_j e_j \right\|_q = \left\| \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_{N_p}, n > m} \sum_{j=0}^p e_{n-m+N_j+R} \right\|_q.$$

Note that for every $j \geq 0$, $N_j \in \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{p=0}^{\infty} A_p$. This implies that for every $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\|B^{N_j}x - x\|_{k_0} < \varepsilon_0$ and since $|x_R| > \delta$, we have by (2) that $|x_{N_j+R}| > \frac{\delta}{2}$. On the other hand, we have that for every $n \in A_{N_p}$, $\|B^n x - x\|_{k_p} < \varepsilon_{N_p}$ and hence by (2) we obtain for $0 \leq j \leq p$ and $n \in A_{N_p}$ that $|x_{n+N_j+R} - x_{N_j+R}| < \frac{\delta}{4}$. This implies that for every $n \in A_{N_p}$ and $0 \leq j \leq p$, $|x_{n+N_j+R}| > \frac{\delta}{4}$.

Since, by (4), $|n-m| > N_p + k_{N_q} + R$ whenever $n \in A_{N_p}$, we have $[\sum_{l=0}^{k_{N_q}} x_l e_l]_{n-m+N_j+R} = 0$ for each $0 \leq j \leq p$ and hence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (10) \quad \left\| \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_{N_p}, n > m} \sum_{j=0}^p e_{n-m+N_j+R} \right\|_q &= \left\| \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_{N_p}, n > m} \sum_{j=0}^p \frac{1}{x_{n+N_j+R}} \cdot x_{n+N_j+R} e_{n-m+N_j+R} \right\|_q \\ &= \left\| \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_{N_p}, n > m} \sum_{j=0}^p \frac{1}{x_{n+N_j+R}} \cdot [B^m(x) - \sum_{l=0}^{k_{N_q}} x_l e_l]_{n-m+N_j+R} e_{n-m+N_j+R} \right\|_q \\ &\leq \frac{4C_q}{\delta} \left(\|B^m(x) - x\|_{m_q} + \|x - \sum_{l=0}^{k_{N_q}} x_l e_l\|_{m_q} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using (9) together with (2) and the definition of A_{N_p} in (3), we conclude that for any $m \in A_{N_q}$,

$$(11) \quad \left\| B^m(y) - \sum_{j=0}^{N_q+R} y_j e_j \right\|_q \leq \frac{4C_q}{\delta} \left(\|B^m(x) - x\|_{k_{N_q} + m_{N_q}} + \|x - \sum_{l=0}^{k_{N_q}} x_l e_l\|_{m_{N_q}} \right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{N_q+1}}.$$

Finally let V be an open set around y . Then since $N_q \rightarrow \infty$ as $q \rightarrow \infty$, $\sum_{j=0}^{N_q+R} y_j e_j \rightarrow y$ and hence, for big enough $q \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows by (II) that $A_{N_q} \subseteq N(y, V)$. This shows that y is a frequently recurrent vector. \square

The following remark isolates the distinctive features of the frequently recurrent vectors that we have constructed.

Remark 2.2. We extract from the proof of Theorem 2.1 the following facts which will be of vital importance for the proof of the next Theorem. Taking $R = 0$ and considering $A = \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{k \geq 0} A_{N_k} = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \{N_k\}$ (see (7)), there is $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ such that

- for every k , $\sum_{n \in A_{N_k}} e_{n+N_k}$ converges (see (5)), and
- for every $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $m \in A_{N_q}$ (see (10) and (11)),

$$\left\| \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_{N_p}, n > m} \sum_{j=0}^p e_{n-m+N_j} \right\|_q < \varepsilon_q.$$

We can now provide our first characterization for frequently recurrent backward shifts.

Theorem 2.3 (First characterization of frequent recurrence). *Let X be a Fréchet sequence space with unconditional basis $(e_n)_{n \geq 0}$. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) B is frequently recurrent;
- (2) there are a sequence $\varepsilon_p \rightarrow 0$, a sequence $A = \{N_k : k \geq 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$ with $N_0 = 0$ and subsets $A_p \subseteq A$ of positive lower density such that
 - i) for every $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\sum_{n \in A_p} e_{n+p}$ converges;
 - ii) for every $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and every $m \in A_q$,

$$\left\| \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_p, n > m} \sum_{j=0}^p e_{n-m+N_j} \right\|_q < \varepsilon_q.$$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is a consequence of Theorem 2.1 and Remark 2.2.

For the converse, by Theorem 2.1 it suffices to construct a nonzero frequently recurrent vector near e_0 .

By the Separation Lemma 1.2 we may suppose that the sets A_p satisfy that

$$(12) \quad |n - m| > N_p + N_q,$$

whenever $n \in A_p$ and $m \in A_q$ and by the finite invariance property that for every p

$$A_p \subseteq [p + 1, \infty).$$

By the finite invariance property, we may suppose that $\left\| \sum_{n \in A_0} e_n \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Let $y^1 = e_0 + \sum_{n \in A_0} e_n$. Consider $N'_1 = \min\{n \in A_0\}$. Let $p_1 > N'_1$. In particular, $N_{p_1} > N'_1$. Applying $p_1 - N'_1$ times the backward shift we obtain that $\sum_{n \in A_{p_1}} e_n + e_{n+N'_1}$ converges and by the finite invariance property, we may suppose that $\|\sum_{n \in A_{p_1}} e_n + e_{n+N'_1}\| < \frac{1}{4}$. Let

$$y^2 = y^1 + \sum_{n \in A_{p_1}} \sum_{j=0}^{N'_1} y_j^1 e_j = y^1 + \sum_{n \in A_{p_1}} e_n + \sum_{n \in A_{p_1}} e_{n+N'_1}$$

If y^k and p_{k-1} have been constructed for $k \geq 0$ (with $p_0 = 0$), let $N'_k = \min\{n \in \bigcup_{0 \leq j \leq k-1} A_j : n > N'_{k-1}\}$ and let $p_k > N'_k$ (in particular, $N_{p_k} > N'_k$). Therefore, $\sum_{n \in A_{p_k}} e_{n+p_k}$ converges and applying the backward shift, $\sum_{n \in A_{p_k}} e_{n+N'_j}$ converges for every $j \leq k$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in A_{p_k}} e_n + e_{n+N'_1} + \dots + e_{n+N'_k} \right\| < \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}.$$

We define

$$y^{k+1} = y^k + \sum_{n \in A_{p_k}} \sum_{j=0}^{N'_k+1} y_j^k e_{n+j}.$$

Denoting $N'_0 = 0$, we consider

$$y = \lim_k y^k = e_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_{p_k}} \sum_{j=0}^k e_{n+N'_j},$$

which we claim to be frequently recurrent.

Note that y is well defined because for each k , $\|\sum_{n \in A_{p_k}} \sum_{j=0}^k e_{n+N'_j}\| < \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}$.

Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Let m_s and C_s the associated numbers to s according to the unconditionality of the basis (see (I)). Let $m \in A_{p_{m_s}}$. By ii) we have that

$$\left\| \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_p, n > m} \sum_{j=0}^p e_{n-m+N_j} \right\|_s \leq \left\| \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_p, n > m} \sum_{j=0}^p e_{n-m+N_j} \right\|_{m_s} < \varepsilon_{p_{m_s}}.$$

Noting that $\{N'_j\} \subseteq \{N_j\}$ we obtain by the unconditionality of the basis that

$$(13) \quad \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_{p_k}, n > m} \sum_{j=0}^{p_k} e_{n-m+N'_j} \right\|_s < C_s \varepsilon_{p_{m_s}}.$$

Note also that since $|n - m| > N_{p_k} + N_{p_{m_s}} > N'_k + N'_{m_s}$ for $n \in A_{p_k}$, we have that

$$B^m \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_{p_k}, n < m} \sum_{j=0}^k e_{n+N'_j} \right) = 0.$$

Hence, since $m \in A_{p_{m_s}}$, using (12) and (13), we finally get

$$\|B^m(y) - \sum_{j=0}^{N'_m} y_j e_j\|_s = \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_{p_k}, n > m} \sum_{j=0}^k e_{n-m+N'_j} \right\|_s < C_s \varepsilon_{p_{m_s}}.$$

□

Our characterization of frequent recurrence is similar to the characterization of frequent hypercyclicity from Theorem [1.1](#) obtained by Bonilla and Grosse-Erdmann in [\[9\]](#), but it is weaker in two aspects: while condition i) is the same on both characterizations, we require in ii) an upper bound which depends only on ε_q (and not on the minimum between ε_p and ε_q) and also this bound of ii) holds only for a subset of the natural numbers $A = \{N_k\}$, while in [\[9\]](#) the authors need $A = \mathbb{N}_0$. Of course, the case $A = \mathbb{N}_0$ is a sufficient condition for frequent recurrence.

Corollary 2.4. *Let X be a Fréchet sequence space with unconditional basis $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Suppose that there are sets A_p with positive lower density such that*

- i) for every $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\sum_{n \in A_p} e_{n+p}$ converges
- ii) For every $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and every $m \in A_q$,

$$\left\| \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_p, n > m} \sum_{j=0}^p e_{n-m+j} \right\|_q < \varepsilon_q.$$

Then B is frequently recurrent.

Weighted backwards shifts in c_0 provide a great source of counter-examples in linear dynamics [\[6, 9, 3, 7\]](#). It is therefore meaningful to enunciate Theorem [2.3](#) and Corollary [2.4](#) for the space c_0 .

Theorem 2.5. *Let $X = c_0$ and B_w be a weighted backward shift. Then B_w is frequently recurrent if and only if there is a set $A = \{N_j : j \geq 0\}$, with $N_0 = 0$, sets of positive lower density $A_p \subseteq A$ and a sequence $\varepsilon_p \rightarrow 0$ such that*

- (1) for each $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\lim_{n \in A_p} \prod_{l=1}^{n+p} w_l = 0$, and
- (2) for each $p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and every $n \in A_p$, $m \in A_q$ with $n > m$ we have that

$$\prod_{l=1}^{n-m+N_j} w_l \leq \varepsilon_q$$

for every $0 \leq j \leq p$.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $X = c_0$ and B_w be a weighted backward shift. Suppose that there are sets of positive lower density A_p and a sequence of positive numbers $\varepsilon_p \rightarrow 0$ such that*

- (1) for every $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\lim_{n \in A_p} \prod_{l=1}^{n+p} w_l = 0$

(2) for every $p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and every $n \in A_p, m \in A_q$ with $n > m$ we have that

$$\prod_{l=1}^{n-m+j} w_l \leq \varepsilon_q$$

for every $0 \leq j \leq p$.

Then B is frequently recurrent.

aplicacion

2.2. Second characterization of frequent recurrence and an application to dense lineability.

We will now show another equivalence for frequent recurrence in terms of the existence of orbits with wild behavior. As an application we will show that the set of frequently recurrent vectors is dense lineable. Given a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$ we consider $\mathfrak{B}_M(A)$ the set of vectors supported in A that have coordinates bounded by $M > 0$, i.e.

$$\mathfrak{B}_M(A) := \{x \in X : \text{supp}(x) \subseteq A \text{ and } |x_n| < M \text{ for every } n \geq 0\}.$$

We recall the following definition from [20]: given $T : X \rightarrow X$ and a subset $Y \subseteq X$ we say that $x \in X$ is a *frequently hypercyclic vector for Y* if for every open set U such that $U \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ we have that $N_T(x, U)$ has positive lower density.

fact S 1(A)

Theorem 2.7 (Second characterization of frequent recurrence). *Let X be a Fréchet sequence space with unconditional basis $(e_n)_{n \geq 0}$. Then B is frequently recurrent if and only if there are $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$ with $\underline{\text{dens}}(A) > 0$ and $x \in X$, supported in A , such that x is a frequently hypercyclic vector for $\mathfrak{B}_1(A)$.*

Proof. If there are A and $x \in X$ supported in A such that x is frequently hypercyclic for $\mathfrak{B}_1(A)$ then we have by unconditionality that $P_{\mathfrak{B}_1(A)}x := \sum_{n \in A} \min\{|x_n|, 1\} \text{sgn}(x_n) e_n$ is frequently hypercyclic for $\mathfrak{B}_1(A)$. Indeed, for any $y \in \mathfrak{B}_1(A)$, $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have,

$$\|B^m(P_{\mathfrak{B}_1(A)}x) - y\|_q \leq C_q \|B^m(x) - y\|_{m_q}.$$

Since $P_{\mathfrak{B}_1(A)}x$ belongs itself to $\mathfrak{B}_1(A)$ then it is a frequently recurrent vector. By the zero-one law Theorem 2.1 we deduce that the operator is frequently recurrent.

Suppose now that B is frequently recurrent. Let $A = \{N_j\}$, A_p and ε_p given by Theorem 2.3.

By the Separation Lemma 1.2 we may suppose that for every $p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and every $n \in A_p, m \in A_q$ with $n \neq m$ we have that $|n - m| > p + q$. By considering a subsequence of A_p and by finite invariance, we may suppose that for every $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\|\sum_{n \in A_p} e_{n+N_p}\| \leq \frac{1}{(p+1)^{2p+1}}$. This implies that the vector $z = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_p} \sum_{j=0}^{N_p} e_{n+N_j}$ is in X . Let $(y^p)_p$ be a dense sequence in $\mathfrak{B}_1(A)$ such that $\text{supp}(y^p) = \{0, N_1, \dots, N_p\}$.

Consider now the vector $y = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_p} \sum_{j=0}^{N_p} y_j^p e_{n+N_j}$. By unconditionality this vector is well defined. We see now that y is a frequently hypercyclic vector for $\mathfrak{B}_1(A)$. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $m \in A_{m_q}$, then

by condition (2)(ii) of Theorem [2.3](#) and [unconditionality](#), [Characterization frequent recurrence](#)

$$\|B^m(y) - y^q\|_q = \left\| \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_p, n > m} \sum_{j=0}^p y_j^p e_{n-m+N_j} \right\|_q \leq C_q \varepsilon_{m_q}.$$

□

We finish the subsection with an application of Theorem [2.7](#). Recall that a set $F \subset X$ is said to be dense lineable provided that $F \cup \{0\}$ contains a subspace which is dense in X . In the context of linear dynamics, it is immediate that the set of (frequently) hypercyclic vectors is dense lineable, because if x is a (frequently) hypercyclic vector then $\{P(T)(x) : P \text{ is a polynomial}\}$ is a dense space of (frequently) hypercyclic vectors. However, the same argument breaks for recurrence. In [\[24\]](#) the authors found a recurrent operator without a dense lineable set of recurrent vectors. It is still an open question whether the set of frequently recurrent vectors is dense lineable, see [\[19, Problem 6.1\]](#) and [\[24, Problem 4.2\]](#). We provide a positive answer for backward shift operators. We note also that in [\[19, Corollary 5.16\]](#) it was shown that if T supports a dense set of vectors such that $T^n x \rightarrow 0$ (in particular if T is a unilateral backward shift) and if the family \mathcal{F} is an upper right-invariant Furstenberg family \mathcal{F} , then the \mathcal{F} -recurrence of T implies dense lineability for the set of \mathcal{F} -recurrent vectors of T .

Theorem 2.8. *Let X be a Fréchet sequence space with unconditional basis $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. Then B is frequently recurrent if and only if there exists a cyclic and frequently recurrent vector.*

In particular, if B is frequently recurrent then

- (1) *the set of frequently recurrent vectors is dense lineable and moreover,*
- (2) *the set of frequently recurrent vectors of $B \times \dots \times B : X^n \rightarrow X^n$ is dense lineable for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. If B supports a cyclic and frequently recurrent vector, then the operator is frequently recurrent by the zero-one law Theorem [2.1](#). [\[0-1 law frequent recurrence\]](#)

Conversely suppose that B is frequently recurrent. Then there are, by Theorem [2.7](#), a set A , with $\text{dens}(A) > 0$, and $x \in X$ supported in A such that x is a frequently hypercyclic vector for $\mathfrak{B}_1(A)$. By the proof of Theorem [2.7](#) we can suppose that $x \in \mathfrak{B}_1(A)$. In particular, x is frequently recurrent. We claim that x is also a cyclic vector. [\[thm: caract S_1\(A\)\]](#)

Let $y = \sum_{j=0}^N y_j e_j$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ consider $A_n := A - n$ and let n_1, \dots, n_r such that $[0, N] \subseteq \bigcup_{l=1}^r A_{n_l}$. Thus, y can be written as $\sum_{l=1}^r y^l$, where each y^l is supported in A_{n_l} . Let $M = \|y\|_\infty$. We have then that for every $1 \leq l \leq r$, $MB^{n_l}(x)$ is a frequently hypercyclic vector for $\mathfrak{B}_M(A_{n_l})$, the set of vectors supported in A_{n_l} with coordinate less than M . Thus, there are k_1, \dots, k_r such that for every $1 \leq l \leq r$, $\|B^{k_l}(MB^{n_l}(x)) - y^l\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{r}$. It follows that $\|M \sum_{l=1}^r B^{n_l+k_l}(x) - y\| < \varepsilon$.

To prove (1) just observe that $\{P(B)(x) : P \in \mathbb{K}[x]\}$ is a dense subspace formed by frequently recurrent vectors. On the other hand it is well known that if an operator admits a cyclic and frequently recurrent

vector then the n -fold product of the operator is frequently recurrent and with a dense lineable set of frequently recurrent vectors, see [12, Proposition 3.8] or [19, Proposition 5.9]. \square

2.3. Two intermediate conditions. There are two natural cases in which the backward shift operator satisfies conditions that are formally weaker than frequent hypercyclicity but stronger than frequent recurrence. The first one is to consider the operators for which Theorem 2.3 holds for $A = \mathbb{N}_0$. Theorem 2.7 implies that, in this case, the backward shift operator is frequently hypercyclic for $\mathfrak{B}_1 = \mathfrak{B}_1(\mathbb{N}_0)$, the set of vectors with coordinates smaller than one.

Corollary 2.9. *Let X be a Fréchet sequence space with unconditional basis $(e_n)_{n \geq 0}$. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *There are sets A_p , $\text{dens}(A_p) > 0$ and a sequence $\varepsilon_p \rightarrow 0$ such that*
 - i) *for every $p \geq 0$, $\sum_{n \in A_p} e_{n+p} \in X$ and*
 - ii) *for every $q \geq 0$ and $m \in A_q$, $\|\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_p, n > m} \sum_{j=0}^p e_{n-m+j}\|_q < \varepsilon_q$.*
- (2) *B is frequently hypercyclic for \mathfrak{B}_1 .*

In a similar way to Theorem 2.8 we have the following.

Proposition 2.10. *Let X be a Fréchet sequence space. If B is frequently hypercyclic for \mathfrak{B}_1 then B supports a supercyclic and frequently recurrent vector.*

Proof. If B is frequently hypercyclic for \mathfrak{B}_1 , then the proof of Theorem 2.7 shows that there is a vector $x \in \mathfrak{B}_1$, which is frequently hypercyclic for \mathfrak{B}_1 . This vector is by definition, frequently recurrent and supercyclic. \square

The other case that is worth to consider is when condition ii) of Theorem 2.3 is smaller than $\min\{\varepsilon_p, \varepsilon_q\}$. Assuming that X is Banach we obtain an equivalent notion to frequent hypercyclicity.

Proposition 2.11. *Let X be a Banach space with unconditional basis $(e_n)_{n \geq 0}$. Suppose that there are a sequence $\varepsilon_p \rightarrow 0$, a set $A = \{N_k\}$ with $N_0 = 0$ and subsets $A_p \subseteq A$ of positive lower density such that*

- i) *for every $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\sum_{n \in A_p} e_{n+p}$ converges*
- ii) *For every $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and every $m \in A_q$,*

$$\left\| \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_p, n > m} \sum_{j=0}^p e_{n-m+N_j} \right\| < \min\{\varepsilon_p, \varepsilon_q\}.$$

Then B is frequently hypercyclic.

Proof. By Theorem 1.1 it suffices to prove that there are A_p of positive lower density satisfying i) and the stronger condition

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in A_p, n > m} e_{n-m+j} \right\| < \min\{\varepsilon_p, \varepsilon_q\}.$$

We will prove that this holds for a subsequence of A_p .

Indeed, considering a subsequence of A_p and using that the basis is unconditional, we may suppose $C\|B\|^{N_p}\varepsilon_p < \frac{1}{2^p}$. Hence, if $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in A_q$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n \in A_p, n > m} e_{n-m+j} \right\| &= \|B^{N_p-j} \left(\sum_{n \in A_p, n > m} e_{n-m+N_p} \right)\| \\ &\leq C\|B\|^{N_p} \left\| \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_p, n > m} \sum_{j=0}^p e_{n-m+N_j} \right\| \\ &\leq C\|B\|^{N_p} \min\{\varepsilon_p, \varepsilon_q\} \leq \min\left\{\frac{1}{2^p}, \frac{1}{2^q}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

An important open question, posed in [10], is whether every frequently recurrent backward shift is indeed frequently hypercyclic. For boundedly complete bases, there is an easy positive answer: by [16], every reiteratively hypercyclic backward shift operator over a boundedly complete basis is frequently hypercyclic, and by [12] every reiteratively recurrent backward shift operator is reiteratively hypercyclic. However, the proof breaks down for more general spaces.

Inspired in the results of this section we can split this problem into two different questions.

Question 1 Let B be frequently hypercyclic for the set of coordinates bounded by 1. Is B frequently hypercyclic?

Question 2 Let B be a frequently recurrent backward shift operator. Is B frequently hypercyclic for the set of coordinates bounded by 1?

3. BILATERAL BACKWARD SHIFTS

We focus now our attention to bilateral backward shifts and extend the main Theorem 0.1 to the bilateral setting. Although all the results in Section 2 have an extension to the bilateral case, we choose to mention only the main ones.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a Fréchet sequence space with unconditional basis $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. If B supports a non-trivial frequently recurrent vector then B is frequently recurrent.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $R \in \mathbb{Z}$, we show that there is a frequently recurrent vector $y \in X$ such that $\|y - e_R\| < \varepsilon$.

Let $x \neq 0$ be a frequently recurrent vector such that $x_R \neq 0$. Let $\delta > 0$ such that $|x_R| > \delta$.

Since x is a recurrent vector, there is for every $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ a $q_p > p + |R|$ such that $|x_{q_p}| > \delta$. By the continuity of the coordinate functionals, there are for each $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $0 < \varepsilon_p < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{p+1}}$ and $k_p > p + 1$ such

that

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \|w\|_{k_p} < \varepsilon_p &\implies |w_j| < \frac{\delta}{4}, \text{ for every } |j| \leq q_p, \text{ and} \\ \|x - \sum_{|j| \leq k_p} x_j e_j\|_{m_p} &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{p+1}} \frac{\delta}{10C_p}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_p and $\|\cdot\|_{m_p}$ are the unconditional constant basis and seminorm associated to $\|\cdot\|_p$.

For each $p \geq 0$ we consider

$$(15) \quad A_p \subseteq \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|B^n(x) - x\|_{k_p+m_p} < \frac{\delta\varepsilon_p}{10C_p}\},$$

where A_p has positive lower density. Applying the Separation Lemma [1.2](#) we may assume that the sets A_p are pairwise disjoint and that for every $n \in A_p$, $m \in A_q$ with $n \neq m$ we have that

$$(16) \quad n - m > k_p + k_q + 2|R| > p + 1 + q + 1 + 2|R|.$$

By [\(14\)](#) and [\(15\)](#) we have that $|x_{n+q_p} - x_{q_p}| < \frac{\delta}{4}$ and hence $|x_{n+q_p}| > \frac{\delta}{2}$ for each $n \in A_p$. By unconditionality this implies that $\sum_{n \in A_p} e_{n+q_p}$ converges and by applying the backward shift $q_p - p$ times it follows that $\sum_{n \in A_p} e_{n+p+1}$ converges. By the finite invariance property of the sets of positive lower density, we may assume that $A_p \subseteq [k_p + |R| + 1, \infty)$ and that, for every $|j| \leq p + 1$,

$$(17) \quad \left\| \sum_{n \in A_p} e_{n+j} \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{(p+1)2^{p+1}}.$$

Consider for each $k \geq 0$ the inductively defined sequence N_k given by $N_0 := 0$ and for $k \geq 1$,

$$N_k = \min\{n > N_{k-1} : n \in \bigcup_{j=0}^{k-1} A_{N_j}\}.$$

Let

$$y = e_R + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_{N_k}} \sum_{l=0}^k e_{n+N_l+R} = e_R + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_{N_k}} \sum_{j=0}^{N_k+R} y_j e_{n+j},$$

which we claim to be frequently recurrent.

Using [\(17\)](#) and applying the same arguments as in [\(8\)](#) it can be shown that y is the limit of a Cauchy sequence $(y^k)_k$ with $\|y^1 - e_R\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and satisfying $\|y^k - y^{k+1}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+1}}$. Hence

$$\|y - e_R\| < \varepsilon.$$

We will show now that y is frequently recurrent. Fix $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in A_{N_q}$.

Noting that m belongs only to A_{N_q} , because the sets A_{N_s} are pairwise disjoint, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} B^m(y) - \sum_{j=-|R|}^{N_q+|R|} y_j e_j &= B^m(y) - \sum_{l=0}^q e_{N_l+R} \\ &= e_{R-m} + \sum_{s \neq q} \sum_{n \in A_{N_s}} \sum_{l=0}^s e_{n-m+N_l+R} + \sum_{n \in A_{N_q}} \sum_{l=0}^q e_{n-m+N_l+R} - \sum_{l=0}^q e_{N_l+R} \\ &= e_{R-m} + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_{N_s}, n \neq m} \sum_{l=0}^s e_{n-m+N_l+R}. \end{aligned}$$

Each N_l belongs to $\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j$. This implies that for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|B^{N_l}x - x\|_{k_0} < \varepsilon_0$ and since $|x_R| > \delta$, we have $|x_{N_l+R}| > \frac{\delta}{2}$. On the other hand, we have that for every $n \in A_{N_s}$, $\|B^n x - x\|_{k_s} < \varepsilon_{N_s}$ and hence by (14), together with the fact that $N_l + |R| < q_{N_l}$, we obtain for $l \leq s$ and $n \in A_{N_s}$ that $|x_{n+N_l+R} - x_{N_l+R}| < \frac{\delta}{4}$. This implies that for every $n \in A_{N_s}$ and $l \leq s$, $|x_{n+N_l+R}| > \frac{\delta}{4}$.

Moreover, since by (16), $|n-m| > N_s + k_{N_q} + |R|$ whenever $n \in A_{N_s}$, it follows that the $|n-m+N_l+R| > k_{N_q}$ for each $0 \leq l \leq s$. Note also that since $\min A_{N_q} > k_{N_q} + |R|$ we have $R-m > k_{N_q}$, and thus,

(18)

$$\begin{aligned} \|B^m(y) - \sum_{j=-|R|}^{N_q+|R|} y_j e_j\|_q &= \left\| e_{R-m} + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_{N_s}, n \neq m} \sum_{l=0}^s e_{n-m+N_l+R} \right\|_q \\ &= \left\| \frac{1}{x_R} x_R e_{R-m} + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_{N_s}, n \neq m} \sum_{l=0}^s \frac{1}{x_{n+N_l+R}} x_{n+N_l+R} e_{n-m+N_l+R} \right\|_q \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{1}{x_R} [B^m(x) - \sum_{j=-k_{N_q}}^{k_{N_q}} x_j e_j]_{R-m} e_{R-m} \right\|_q \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_{N_s}, n \neq m} \sum_{l=0}^s \frac{1}{x_{n+N_l+R}} [B^m(x) - \sum_{j=-k_{N_q}}^{k_{N_q}} x_j e_j]_{n-m+N_l+R} e_{n-m+N_l+R} \right\|_q \\ &\leq \left(\frac{C_q}{\delta} + \frac{4C_q}{\delta} \right) \left(\|B^m(x) - x\|_{m_q} + \left\| x - \sum_{j=-k_{N_q}}^{k_{N_q}} x_j e_j \right\|_{m_q} \right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2q}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from (14) and (15). This implies that y is a frequently recurrent vector. \square

In the same way as in the unilateral case we can deduce a characterization for bilateral frequently recurrent backward shifts.

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a Banach space with unconditional basis $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) B is frequently recurrent and

(2) there are a sequence $\varepsilon_p \rightarrow 0$ a set $A = \{N_k\}$ with $N_0 = 0$ and subsets $A_p \subseteq A$ of positive lower density such that

i) for every $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\sum_{n \in A_p} e_{n+p}$ converges

ii) For every $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and every $m \in A_q$,

$$\left\| \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_p, n \neq m} \sum_{j=0}^p e_{n-m+N_j} \right\|_q < \varepsilon_q$$

Proof. As in the unilateral case, the implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) can be extracted from Theorem [3.1](#). 0-1 law frequent recurr

For the converse, by Theorem [3.1](#) 0-1 law frequent recurrence bil it suffices to construct a nonzero frequently recurrent vector.

By the finite invariance property we may suppose that for every $p \geq 0$, $A_p \subseteq [p+1, \infty)$, and by the Separation Lemma [1.2](#) separation lemma that, for every $n \in A_p$ and $m \in A_q$,

$$(19) \quad |n - m| > N_p + N_q.$$

By the finite invariance property, we may suppose that $\|\sum_{n \in A_0} e_n\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

We follow the ideas of the previous Theorem to construct a frequently recurrent vector near $y^0 = e_R$, where $R = N'_0 = \min\{n \in A_0\}$.

Let $p_0 > N'_0 = R$ and let $y^1 = y^0 + \sum_{n \in A_{p_0}} e_n$. Consider $N'_1 = \min\{n \in A_{p_0}\}$ and $p_1 > N'_1$. In particular, $N_{p_1} > N'_1$. Applying $p_1 - N'_1$ times the backward shift we obtain that $\sum_{n \in A_{p_1}} e_n + e_{n+N'_1}$ converges and by the finite invariance property, we may suppose that $\|\sum_{n \in A_{p_1}} e_n + e_{n+N'_1}\| < \frac{1}{4}$. Let

$$y^2 = y^1 + \sum_{n \in A_{p_1}} \sum_{j=0}^{N'_1} y_j^1 e_{n+j} = y^1 + \sum_{n \in A_{p_1}} e_n + \sum_{n \in A_{p_1}} e_{n+N'_1}.$$

If $y^1, \dots, y^k, p_0, \dots, p_{k-1}$ and N'_0, \dots, N'_{k-1} have been constructed, let $N'_k = \min\{n \in \bigcup_{0 \leq j \leq k-1} A_{p_j} : n > N'_{k-1}\}$ and let $p_k > N'_k$ (in particular, $N_{p_k} > N'_k$). Therefore, $\sum_{n \in A_{p_k}} e_{n+p_k}$ converges and applying the backward shift, $\sum_{n \in A_{p_k}} e_{n+N'_j}$ converges for every $0 \leq j \leq k$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that

$$(20) \quad \left\| \sum_{n \in A_{p_k}} e_n + e_{n+N'_1} + \dots + e_{n+N'_k} \right\| < \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}.$$

We define

$$y^{k+1} = y^k + \sum_{n \in A_{p_k}} \sum_{j=0}^{N'_k} y_j^k e_{n+j} = y^k + \sum_{n \in A_{p_k}} \sum_{j=0}^k e_{n+N'_j} = \sum_{l=0}^k \sum_{n \in A_{p_l}} \sum_{j=0}^l e_{n+N'_j},$$

and

$$y = \lim_k y^k = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_{p_l}} \sum_{j=0}^l e_{n+N'_j} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} e_{N'_l},$$

where the last equality holds since by the definition of N'_k it follows that $\bigcup_{l \geq 0} A_{p_l} = \{N'_j : j \geq 0\}$. Note that y is a well defined non-zero vector by [\(20\)](#). leg: suma en A_p chica bil

We claim that y is a frequently recurrent vector.

Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and m_s and C_s be the associated numbers to s according to the unconditionality of the basis (see (I)). Let $m \in A_{p_{m_s}}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} B^m(y) - \sum_{j=0}^{N'_{m_s}} y_j e_j &= \sum_{k \neq m_s} \sum_{n \in A_{p_k}} \sum_{j=0}^k e_{n-m+N'_j} + \sum_{n \in A_{p_{m_s}}} \sum_{j=0}^{m_s} e_{n-m+N'_j} - \sum_{j=0}^{m_s} e_{N'_j} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_{p_k}, n \neq m} \sum_{j=0}^k e_{n-m+N'_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence noting that $\{N'_j\} \subseteq \{N_j\}$ we obtain by the unconditionality of the basis and by *ii*) that

$$\|B^m(y) - \sum_{j=0}^{N'_{m_s}} y_j e_j\|_s = \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_{p_k}, n \neq m} \sum_{j=0}^k e_{n-m+N'_j} \right\|_s \leq C_s \left\| \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in A_p, n \neq m} \sum_{j=0}^p e_{n-m+N_j} \right\|_{m_s} < C_s \varepsilon_{p_{m_s}}.$$

Since $\sum_{j=0}^{N'_{m_s}} y_j e_j$ converges to y , this shows that y is a frequently recurrent vector. \square

Proceeding as in Theorems 2.7 and 2.8, but using now the zero-one law from Theorem 3.1 and the characterization for bilateral backward shifts in Theorem 3.2, we can also prove the following results.

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a Fréchet sequence space with unconditional basis $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Then B is frequently recurrent if and only if there are $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$ with $\text{dens}(A) > 0$ and $x \in X$ supported in A such that x is a frequently hypercyclic vector for $\mathfrak{B}_1(A)$.*

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a Fréchet sequence space with unconditional basis $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Then B is frequently recurrent if and only if there exists a cyclic and frequently recurrent vector.*

In particular, if B is frequently recurrent then

- (1) the set of frequently recurrent vectors is dense lineable and moreover,
- (2) the set of frequently recurrent vectors of $B \times \dots \times B : X^n \rightarrow X^n$ is dense lineable for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Abakumov and A. Abbar. Orbits of the backward shifts with limit points. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, page 128293, 2024.
- [2] F. Bayart and S. Grivaux. Frequently hypercyclic operators. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 358(11):5083–5117, 2006.
- [3] F. Bayart and S. Grivaux. Invariant Gaussian measures for operators on Banach spaces and linear dynamics. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 94(1):181–210, 2007.
- [4] F. Bayart, S. Grivaux, E. Matheron, and Q. Menet. Hereditarily frequently hypercyclic operators and disjoint frequent hypercyclicity. *Preprint*.
- [5] F. Bayart and E. Matheron. *Dynamics of linear operators*, volume 179 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [6] F. Bayart and I. Z. Ruzsa. Difference sets and frequently hypercyclic weighted shifts. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 35(3):691–709, 2015.

- [7] J. Bès, Q. Menet, A. Peris, and Y. Puig. Recurrence properties of hypercyclic operators. *Math. Ann.*, 366(1-2):545–572, 2016.
- [8] A. Bonilla, R. Cardeccia, K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, and S. Muro. Zero-one law of orbital limit points for weighted shifts. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.01641v2*, 2024.
- [9] A. Bonilla and K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann. Upper frequent hypercyclicity and related notions. *Rev. Mat. Complut.*, 31(3):673–711, 2018.
- [10] A. Bonilla, K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, A. López-Martínez, and A. Peris. Frequently recurrent operators. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 283(12):36, 2022. Id/No 109713.
- [11] R. Cardeccia and S. Muro. Arithmetic progressions and chaos in linear dynamics. *Integral Equations Oper. Theory*, 94(2):Paper No. 11, 18, 2022.
- [12] R. Cardeccia and S. Muro. Frequently recurrence properties and block families. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.13542*, 2022.
- [13] R. Cardeccia and S. Muro. Multiple recurrence and hypercyclicity. *Math. Scand.*, 128(3):589–610, 2022.
- [14] K. Chan and I. Seceleanu. Hypercyclicity of shifts as a zero-one law of orbital limit points. *J. Operator Theory*, 67(1):257–277, 2012.
- [15] S. Charpentier, R. Ernst, and Q. Menet. Γ -supercyclicity. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 270(12):4443–4465, 2016.
- [16] S. Charpentier, K. Grosse-Erdmann, and Q. Menet. Chaos and frequent hypercyclicity for weighted shifts. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, pages 1–37, 2019.
- [17] G. Costakis, A. Manoussos, and I. Parissis. Recurrent linear operators. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, 8(8):1601–1643, 2014.
- [18] S. Grivaux and A. López-Martínez. Recurrence properties for linear dynamical systems: an approach via invariant measures. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 169:155–188, 2023.
- [19] S. Grivaux, A. López-Martínez, and A. Peris. Questions in linear recurrence: From the $T \oplus T$ -problem to lineability. *arXiv:2212.03652*, 2022.
- [20] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann. Frequently hypercyclic bilateral shifts. *Glasg. Math. J.*, 61(2):271–286, 2019.
- [21] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann and A. Peris Manguillot. *Linear chaos*. Berlin: Springer, 2011.
- [22] S. He, Y. Huang, and Z. Yin. $J^{\mathcal{F}}$ -class weighted backward shifts. *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, 28(06):1850076, 2018.
- [23] A. López-Martínez. Recurrent subspaces in Banach spaces. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2024(11):9067–9087, 2024.
- [24] A. López-Martínez and Q. Menet. Two remarks on the set of recurrent vectors. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.07573*, 2023.
- [25] O. Martin, Q. Menet, and Y. Puig. Disjoint frequently hypercyclic pseudo-shifts. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 283(1):Paper No. 109474, 44, 2022.

INSTITUTO BALSEIRO, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE CUYO – C.N.E.A. AND CONICET, SAN CARLOS DE BARILOCHE, REPÚBLICA ARGENTINA

Email address: rodrigo.cardeccia@ib.edu.ar

FCEIA, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE ROSARIO AND CIFASIS, CONICET

Email address: muro@cifasis-conicet.gov.ar