# Conformational tuning of magnetic interactions in coupled nanographenes

Gonçalo Catarina,<sup>\*,†</sup> Elia Turco,<sup>†</sup> Nils Krane,<sup>†</sup> Max Bommert,<sup>†</sup> Andres

Ortega-Guerrero,<sup>†</sup> Oliver Gröning,<sup>†</sup> Pascal Ruffieux,<sup>\*,†</sup> Roman Fasel,<sup>†,‡</sup> and Carlo

A. Pignedoli<sup>\*,†</sup>

†nanotech@surfaces Laboratory, Empa—Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology, 8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Chemistry, Biochemistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Bern, 3012 Bern, Switzerland

E-mail: goncalo.catarina@empa.ch; pascal.ruffieux@empa.ch; carlo.pignedoli@empa.ch

#### Abstract

Phenalenyl ( $C_{13}H_9$ ) is an open-shell spin-1/2 nanographene. Using scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) inelastic electron tunneling spectroscopy (IETS), covalentlybonded phenalenyl dimers have been shown to feature conductance steps associated with singlet-triplet excitations of a spin-1/2 dimer with antiferromagnetic exchange. Here, we address the possibility of tuning the magnitude of the exchange interactions by varying the dihedral angle between the two molecules within a dimer. Theoretical methods, ranging from density functional theory calculations to many-body model Hamiltonians solved within different levels of approximation, are used to explain STM-IETS measurements of twisted phenalenyl dimers on a h-BN/Rh(111) surface. By means of first-principles calculations, we also propose strategies to induce sizable twist angles in surface-adsorbed phenalenyl dimers via functional groups, including a photoswitchable scheme. This work paves the way toward tuning magnetic couplings in carbon-based spin chains and two-dimensional lattices.

## Introduction

Atomically precise graphene nanostructures, known as nanographenes, have emerged as promising materials for electronic, optic, and magnetic applications.<sup>1</sup> A remarkable example is the case of graphene nanoribbons,<sup>2</sup> whose geometry-dependent bandgap<sup>3,4</sup> and topology<sup>5,6</sup> hold potential for integration in electronic devices.<sup>7</sup> Additionally, advances in on-surface synthesis have ignited the field of carbon-based magnetism,<sup>8</sup> enabling the fabrication of a variety of open-shell nanographene molecules, such as [n]-triangulenes<sup>9–13</sup> (with n = 2, 3, 4, 5, 7), Clar's goblet,<sup>14</sup> and [5]-rhombene.<sup>15</sup>

In recent years, concerted efforts across various disciplines have promoted significant progress in the field of magnetic nanographenes. With insights from theory,<sup>16–18</sup> the openshell character of nanographenes has been demonstrated through inelastic electron tunneling spectroscopy (IETS) with a scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) tip. This has enabled the observation of, e.g., spin excitations in [3]-triangulene dimers<sup>19</sup> and zero-bias Kondo resonances in [2]-triangulenes.<sup>9</sup> Furthermore, rationally designed precursors have facilitated the on-surface synthesis of diverse nanographene spin systems, ranging from small clusters<sup>19–26</sup> to one-dimensional chains<sup>27,28</sup> and two-dimensional lattices.<sup>29–31</sup>

To fully harness the exciting opportunities presented by open-shell nanographenes, it is crucial to gain control over their magnetic exchange couplings. For instance, in the realm of quantum simulation,<sup>32</sup> nanographene spin systems with tunable magnetic interactions hold promise for two main reasons. First, nanographene spin chains have already been shown to realize interacting model Hamiltonians that feature exotic physics such as spin fractionalization.<sup>27</sup> Second,  $\pi$ -magnetism allows for larger couplings than those of alternative platforms like cold atoms,<sup>33,34</sup> quantum dots,<sup>35,36</sup> or atomic spins on surfaces.<sup>37,38</sup> Noteworthy results have been achieved in the pursuit of tuning exchange interactions in nanographenes. Precise spin manipulation has been accomplished via STM in Clar's goblet chains,<sup>28,39</sup> where controlled passivation of spin sites was obtained via tip-induced dehydrogenation. Tip proximity has been found to alter the magnetic ground state of non-planar diradical nanographenes with anchoring end groups, presumably due to structural changes.<sup>40</sup> Nitrogen substitution,<sup>41–44</sup> influence of surface,<sup>25,41,45</sup> and designer molecular structure<sup>46–51</sup> have been investigated for their impact on the magnetic properties.

In this work, we explore the potential to manipulate magnetic interactions based on the conformation of covalently-coupled nanographenes. Specifically, we consider [2]-triangulene (also known as phenalenyl) dimers and study how the exchange interaction J varies with the dihedral angle  $\theta$  between the two molecules (Fig. 1c). This is motivated by our experimental data obtained from phenalenyl dimers on a h-BN/Rh(111) surface, which show signatures of a twist between the two phenalenyl units, supported by our theoretical analysis. Nevertheless, the theoretical methodologies presented herein extend beyond this specific case and are particularly relevant for non-planar nanographene spin systems. Moreover, we propose designer phenalenyl dimers—with anchoring functional groups—that, according to first-principles calculations, are predicted to display sizable twist angles when adsorbed on the prototypical Au(111) surface. Among these functional groups, one is based on a photoswitchable compound, offering the potential for light-induced control of the magnetic coupling.

Along this research line, it is worth to recall that planar phenalenyl dimers have been probed by scanning tunneling spectroscopy (STS), revealing characteristics of a spin-1/2Heisenberg dimer with antiferromagnetic exchange couplings of J = 41 meV on Au(111) and J = 48 meV on NaCl/Au(111).<sup>25</sup> Further theoretical understanding of the exchange mechanisms in this system has been provided by Jacob and Fernández-Rossier employing multi-configurational methods to solve extended Hubbard models.<sup>52</sup> Additionally, Yu and Heine have studied the magnetic interactions of twisted phenalenyl dimers in gas phase using ab initio methods.<sup>53</sup>

## Experiments

Here, we report the synthesis and magnetic characterization of phenalenyl dimers on a h-BN/Rh(111) surface.<sup>54</sup> A submonolayer coverage of 2H-diphenalenyl precursors was sublimed on an epitaxially grown h-BN/Rh(111) surface kept at room temperature. We find that the molecules exhibit affinity for adsorbing along the pore rims of the superstructure, consistent with previous reports for other flat molecules, such as phthalocyanines.<sup>55,56</sup> By sequential tip-induced atomic manipulations, the additional hydrogens on the two  $-CH_2-$  sites were cleaved and the target magnetic phenalenyl dimer was achieved (see SI-I for details). STM imaging clearly shows the appearance of frontier orbital density lobes and nodal planes after manipulation (Fig. S1). The successful synthesis of phenalenyl dimers is confirmed by STS through the detection of inelastic conductance steps associated with singlet-triplet spin excitations, indicating that the open-shell S = 0 ground state (where S denotes the total spin) is retained on h-BN/Rh(111). Compared to the magnetic properties previously reported on Au(111) and NaCl/Au(111),<sup>25</sup> we identify three significant differences. First, the conductance steps associated with the spin excitations are remarkably sharp, owing to the absence of states near the Fermi energy of the h-BN/metal substrate<sup>57</sup> and the decoupling nature of h-BN. Second, after manipulation, different molecules display distinct STM topographies. Third, molecules with different STM appearances exhibit distinct spin excitation energies.

Three representative cases are shown in Fig. 1, where, for each STM topography (panel a), the corresponding low-bias dI/dV spectrum (where I and V denote the tunneling current and bias voltage, respectively) is presented (panel b). By fitting the  $d^2I/d^2V$  spectra,<sup>18</sup> we obtain effective antiferromagnetic exchange couplings of 17, 33, and 47 meV. The molecule labeled as **1** displays symmetrical orbital density features and the observed  $J_1 = 47$  meV is nearly identical to the value reported for the dimer adsorbed on a flat NaCl/Au(111)



Figure 1: (a) STM images of three phenalenyl dimers  $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3})$  adsorbed on a h-BN/Rh(111) surface, featuring different topographies. Yellow dashed lines highlight the hexagonal pore geometry. Tunneling parameters: V = 1 V, I = 10 pA. Scale bars: 0.5 nm. (b) High-resolution low-bias STS of  $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}$ , and  $\mathbf{3}$ . Circles in (a) indicate the position where the corresponding dI/dV spectrum was measured. To extract the spin excitation energy of each dI/dV spectrum, the corresponding  $d^2I/dV^2$  spectrum was obtained from numerical differentiation and fitted using a perturbative model<sup>18</sup> (blue lines). Open feedback parameters:  $(\mathbf{1}) V = -100 \text{ mV}, I = 150 \text{ pA}; (\mathbf{2}) V = 100 \text{ mV}, I = 100 \text{ pA}; (\mathbf{3}) V = 100 \text{ mV}, I = 250 \text{ pA}.$  Lock-in modulation:  $V_{rms} < 1 \text{ mV}.$  (c) Ball-and-stick representation of a twisted phenalenyl dimer where  $\theta$  is the dihedral angle between the phenalenyls. (d) Effective Heisenberg model for twisted phenalenyl dimers, where  $J(\theta)$  denotes the angle-dependent antiferromagnetic exchange coupling between the two spin-<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> phenalenyl units. The exchange couplings indicate differremention to those extracted from the fits shown in (b).

surface.<sup>25</sup> For this reason, herein we assume **1** is planar. Dimers **2** and **3** reveal a pronounced asymmetry in their STM topography, with one phenalenyl unit featuring two and three protruding lobes, respectively, as opposed to the characteristic four-lobed appearance.<sup>25</sup> Among the seven dimers analyzed, we observed that all were stably adsorbed on the pore rim, with slight variations in their adsorption configurations. Three of them feature similar STM topography and IETS as **3** (Fig. S2), which appears to be the preferred adsorption configuration.

Considering the highly corrugated h-BN/Rh(111) superstructure and the observed differences in STM topography and spin excitation energies, we hypothesize that, due to conformation, the carbon-carbon single bond between the two constituent units of the dimer allows for a twist, i.e., a dihedral angle  $\theta$  between the two phenalenyls (Fig. 1c). We now resort to theory to verify our experimental conjecture and validate the picture of a spin-1/2 Heisenberg dimer with  $\theta$ -dependent antiferromagnetic exchange coupling (Fig. 1d).

## Effective tight-binding model

We describe nanographenes using a tight-binding model for the p orbitals of the carbon atoms that do not form  $sp^2$  hybridization. Assuming charge neutrality, we consider one electron per orbital. Within the nearest-neighbor approximation, we find that phenalenyl monomers host one zero-energy state (with significant energy separation from the remaining states<sup>58</sup>), as expected due to their sublattice imbalance.<sup>59</sup> As shown in Fig. 2a, the corresponding wave function is localized at the edge sites of the majority sublattice.<sup>58</sup> Therefore, in a phenalenyl dimer, despite the lack of sublattice imbalance, the nearest-neighbor tight-binding approximation yields two zero-energy states since first-neighbor hopping does not allow for their intermolecular hybridization.

Intermolecular hybridization of zero-energy states, crucial for the magnetic properties of phenalenyl dimers,  $^{25,52}$  occurs if we introduce third-neighbor (or beyond) hopping terms. In the case of twisted phenalenyl dimers, we consider  $t_a$  and  $t_b$ , as illustrated in Fig. 2b. For planar phenalenyls, it is customary  $^{52,58}$  to only account for  $t_a$ , which corresponds to a third-neighbor hopping. However, when dealing with twisted dimers, an analysis of the distances (Fig. 2c) suggests the inclusion of both  $t_a$  and  $t_b$ , as these hopping terms connect equidistant sites for  $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ . The presence of intermolecular hybridization results in a splitting between the zero-energy states, which, at the non-interacting level, translates into a gap between the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital



Figure 2: (a) Representation of the zero-energy wave function of phenalenyl, as obtained with a nearest-neighbor tight-binding model. Blue and red indicate the sign of the  $p_z$  orbitals. (b) Effective tight-binding model for twisted phenalenyl dimers.  $\tau_1$  denotes a nearest-neighbor hopping term that, in contrast to  $t_1$ , depends on the twist angle  $\theta$  between phenalenyls.  $t_a$ and  $t_b$  represent  $\theta$ -dependent hoppings that allow for hybridization between each phenalenyl's zero-energy state. (c) Distance between sites connected by  $t_a$  and  $t_b$ , as a function of  $\theta$ .  $d_{\rm CC}$ is the carbon-carbon distance. (d) HOMO-LUMO gap, as a function of  $\theta$ , obtained with spin-unpolarized DFT (black circles). Green line denotes a fit to Eq. (1), derived for the model depicted in (b), with Slater-Koster parameterization of  $t_a$  and  $t_b$ .

(LUMO). Using an effective theory,<sup>58</sup> we can derive an analytical expression for the HOMO-LUMO gap,

$$\Delta_{\text{HOMO-LUMO}} = \frac{2}{3} |t_a - t_b|, \qquad (1)$$

whose validity is attested in SI-II.

To capture the angle dependence of  $t_a$  and  $t_b$ , we use a two-center Slater-Koster approximation,<sup>60</sup> together with the assumption of an exponential decay of the hopping terms with the distance (SI-III). This approach is reasonable provided that screening due to non-participant atoms can be disregarded. However, discrepancies in the literature regarding

hopping parameters beyond the standard first-neighbor hopping ( $t_1 = 2.7$  eV, which we adopt in this work) pose challenges in estimating the amplitudes and decay rates of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  *p-p* bonds, upon which  $t_a$  and  $t_b$  rely. For that matter, we conduct spin-unpolarized density functional theory (DFT) calculations for the HOMO-LUMO gap of twisted phenalenyl dimers (see SI-IV for details of DFT calculations), to which we fit Eq. (1) (Fig. 2d). The agreement between the effective theory and the first-principles calculations, along with the obtained fitting parameters (see SI-III), validates the use of the tight-binding model depicted in Fig. 2b. Finally, it must be noted that, while it does not impact the HOMO-LUMO gap, the first-neighbor hopping between the two phenalenyl units ( $\tau_1$ ) also has an angle dependence, which we model analogously to  $t_a$  and  $t_b$ .

## Interacting theory and estimation of experimental twist angles

#### Validation of Hubbard model and spin decontamination

As a first step toward elucidating the experimental spin excitations shown in Fig. 1b, we carry out spin-polarized DFT calculations. We find that twisted phenalenyl dimers have a singlet antiferromagnetic ground state, followed by a triplet ferromagnetic first excited state. In Fig. 3a, we show the energy difference between the two magnetic configurations, as a function of  $\theta$ . These results, complemented by the corresponding spin densities (SI-V), corroborate the established picture<sup>25,52,53</sup>—in line with Ovchinnikov-Lieb rules<sup>61,62</sup>—that the low-energy properties of these molecules are well described by an antiferromagnetic spin-<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> dimer, where each effective spin ( $\hat{S}_{\ell}$ ,  $\ell = 1, 2$ ) arises from single occupancy of the zero-energy wave function of the respective phenalenyl monomer. Moreover, comparison with mean-field (MF) Hubbard model calculations (Fig. 3a; see SI-V for details and additional results) shows that the effective tight-binding model derived in the previous section, together with electron-



Figure 3: (a) Energy difference between triplet ferromagnetic and singlet antiferromagnetic DFT solutions of phenalenyl dimers, as a function of  $\theta$  (black circles). Orange triangles denote results obtained within a MF Hubbard approximation, for  $U = t_1$ . Right axis labels the exchange coupling after spin decontamination, as given by Eq. (6). Gray star marks the experimental value of J obtained by STM-IETS for  $\theta = 0$  (molecule **1** in Fig. 1). (b) Exchange coupling of planar phenalenyl dimer, as a function of U, obtained with different methods to solve the effective Hubbard model. Black dash-dotted line marks the DFT result. Gray dashed line represents the experimental estimation. (c) Exchange coupling of planar phenalenyl dimer, as a function of  $U_1$ , obtained by solving the nearest-neighbor extended Hubbard model via DMRG. Dashed circle indicates the parameters adopted in (d,e). (d) DMRG calculation of the exchange coupling of phenalenyl dimers, as a function of  $\theta$ . Green stars mark the estimated twist angles that match with the measured exchange couplings shown in Fig. 1b. (e) Natural orbitals with occupation number close to 1, calculated by DMRG for the ground state of a phenalenyl dimer with  $\theta = 19^{\circ}$ . Circle size scales with the modulus of the wave function, with blue and red indicating its sign.

electron interactions in the form of a Hubbard repulsion U, is a reasonable starting point for describing the magnetic properties of this system.

Bearing in mind the Heisenberg model for a spin-1/2 dimer,

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = J\hat{\boldsymbol{S}}_1 \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{S}}_2,\tag{2}$$

where J > 0 is the antiferromagnetic exchange coupling, we define J as the energy difference between the S = 0 ground state and the S = 1 first excited state. However, in singlereference theories such as DFT or MF Hubbard, spin contamination implies that the energy of the antiferromagnetic solution (with total spin projection  $S_z = 0$ ) differs from that of the multi-reference S = 0 ground state. To demonstrate this, we adopt the low-energy picture mentioned above and write the ground state wave function of phenalenyl dimers as

$$|\mathrm{GS}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\uparrow\rangle_{Z_1}|\downarrow\rangle_{Z_2} - |\downarrow\rangle_{Z_1}|\uparrow\rangle_{Z_2}), \tag{3}$$

where  $|\cdot\rangle_{Z_{\ell}}$  denotes the occupation of the zero-energy state of each phenalenyl monomer  $(\ell = 1, 2)$ . As for the first excited state, the triplet wave function reads

$$|1\text{ES}\rangle = \begin{cases} |\downarrow\rangle_{Z_1}|\downarrow\rangle_{Z_2}, & S_z = -1\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle_{Z_1}|\downarrow\rangle_{Z_2} + |\downarrow\rangle_{Z_1}|\uparrow\rangle_{Z_2}), & S_z = 0\\ |\uparrow\rangle_{Z_1}|\uparrow\rangle_{Z_2}, & S_z = +1 \end{cases}$$
(4)

Therefore, we see that while a single-determinant ferromagnetic solution can accurately describe the  $S_z = \pm 1$  multiplets of the first excited state, the same is not possible for the ground state. Specifically, a single-reference theory will find an antiferromagnetic solution given by

$$|AFM\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle_{Z_1}|\downarrow\rangle_{Z_2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|GS\rangle + |1ES, S_z = 0\rangle).$$
(5)

Using this insight, in Fig. 3a we eliminate the spin contamination problem in the estimation

of the exchange couplings by defining

$$J = 2(E_{\rm FM} - E_{\rm AFM}),\tag{6}$$

where  $E_{\rm FM/AFM}$  denotes the total energy of the single-reference ferromagnetic/antiferromagnetic solution.

#### Planar phenalenyl dimers: refining the theoretical description

We now focus on the results obtained for planar ( $\theta = 0$ ) phenalenyl dimers. It is apparent that DFT calculations lead to an overestimation of J, by more than a factor of two, compared to experiments (Fig. 3a). One possible explanation for this disparity could be the absence of a substrate in our ab initio methodology, which may significantly alter the screening of electron-electron interactions. Another possibility could be the need of employing DFT+U frameworks to accurately simulate carbon-based magnetic systems.<sup>63</sup> As a crude approximation, these effects can be captured by adjusting the value of U in a Hubbard model. In Fig. 3b, we show our MF Hubbard calculations as a function of U. We observe that, regardless of U, the calculated J remains largely overestimated. Therefore, we conclude that theories such as MF Hubbard and DFT, which consider averaged interactions, are not suitable for quantitatively describing the exchange couplings in this system.

Following previous work,  $^{25,26,46,51,52,59,64}$  we adopt an alternative approach wherein the effective Hubbard model is solved within a complete active space (CAS) approximation (see SI-VI for details). This multi-reference method treats interactions to their full extent, but a truncation in the number of single-particle states used to span the many-body basis is required. In Fig. 3b, we present our results for two active spaces. We observe that, for  $U \leq t_1$ , our CAS calculations are stable with respect to the choice of the active space, but do not account for the experimental value of J. In this limit, exchange interactions are dominated by Anderson's kinetic superexchange mechanisms<sup>52,65</sup> involving the molecular orbitals that

host the effective spins (HOMO and LUMO), whose contribution is given by  $J_{\rm kin} \simeq \frac{8|t_a-t_b|^2}{3U}$ for  $U \gg |t_a - t_b|$ . As U increases, Coulomb-driven superexchange mechanisms, <sup>52</sup> of order  $\mathcal{O}(U^2/t_1)$ , become more relevant. Their contributions, which can be ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic, involve molecular orbitals beyond HOMO and LUMO, and are known to be unstable with the size of the active space.<sup>52</sup> This justifies the deviations observed between our CAS(4,4) and CAS(10,10) results for  $U \gtrsim t_1$  and casts doubt on the validity of the CAS approximation in this regime.

Given the limitations of the CAS method, we employ the density matrix renormalization group (DMRG)<sup>66</sup> to solve the effective Hubbard Hamiltonian. Using the DMRG protocol outlined in SI-VII, the results obtained can be regarded as nearly exact solutions of the model. As shown in Fig. 3b, our DMRG estimates of J are considerably closer to the experimental reference value for  $U \sim 2t_1$ . This suggests that the system may reside in a regime of strong interactions where the convergence of CAS calculations is challenging. Therefore, we attest DMRG as a crucial method for accurately describing the magnetic interactions of phenalenyl dimers.

Although DMRG yields improved agreement with experiments, as shown in Fig. 3b, the theoretical values of J remain overestimated. To address this discrepancy, we investigate the role of long-range interactions, inspired by prior studies.<sup>25,52</sup> Specifically, we augment the effective Hubbard Hamiltonian with a term that incorporates Coulomb repulsion  $V_1$  between nearest-neighbor electrons,

$$\hat{H}_{V_1} = \frac{V_1}{2} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j, \tag{7}$$

where  $\hat{n}_i$  denotes the number operator for an electron at site *i*. Considering that the repulsion between two electrons at sites *i* and *j*,  $V_{ij}$ , is expected to decay inversely with distance according to Coulomb's law, Eq. (7) captures the dominant contribution of the general inter-site Coulomb Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_V = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} V_{ij} \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j$ . The decision to focus solely on the dominant term is driven by two main factors. First, a realistic determination of  $V_{ij}$ , including surface effects, poses a significant theoretical challenge that we defer to future work. Consequently, we treat  $V_1$  as a variable parameter, imposing an upper bound of 0.5*U*, consistent with findings from gas-phase ab initio calculations.<sup>52</sup> Second, the simplified approach is also favored due to the efficiency of DMRG calculations for Hamiltonians with short-range interactions.<sup>67,68</sup> In Fig. 3c, we demonstrate that perfect agreement with experiments can be achieved within a physically plausible range of  $(U, V_1)$  parameters. We abstain from determining the exact point on the phase diagram corresponding to our h-BN/Rh(111) surface and simply adopt the parameters marked by the dashed circle:  $U = 2.7t_1$  and  $V_1 = 0.4U$ . Additionally, it is worth noting that while our theory does not account for substrate-induced renormalizations of the spin excitation energies,<sup>69</sup> these are expected to be small due to the presence of the h-BN decoupling layer.

#### Angle-dependent exchange couplings

Using the model parameters derived above, we compute the exchange couplings as a function of  $\theta$  (Fig. 3d). These calculations allow us to identify the twist angles compatible with the STM-IETS measurements shown in Fig. 1b, thus supporting our experimental conjecture. In particular, we attribute the different conductance step energies observed for the three phenalenyl dimers on different positions of the corrugated h-BN/Rh(111) surface to a geometric conformation in which the molecules exhibit dihedral angles of  $\theta_1 = 0^\circ$  ( $J_1 = 47 \text{ meV}$ ),  $\theta_2 = 19^\circ$  ( $J_2 = 33 \text{ meV}$ ), and  $\theta_3 = 32^\circ$  ( $J_3 = 17 \text{ meV}$ ).

#### Natural orbitals and diradical character

To confirm the effective spin description of twisted phenalenyl dimers, we take the (S = 0)ground state wave function obtained by DMRG for  $\theta = \theta_2 = 19^\circ$  and compute the corresponding natural orbitals (see SI-VIII for details and additional results). We find two natural orbitals with occupancies near unity, NO<sub>Z</sub> and NO<sub>Z</sub>\* (Fig. 3e), while the remaining feature occupation numbers close to 0 or 2. Moreover, NO<sub>Z/Z</sub>\* closely resemble the bonding/antibonding combinations of the zero-energy states of each phenalenyl monomer. These



Figure 4: DFT geometry optimization of phenalenyl dimers on Au(111) with the following functional groups attached to two opposite edges: (a) tert-butyl ( $C_4H_9$ ), resulting in a dihedral angle  $\theta = 12^{\circ}$  between the phenalenyl molecules; (b) phenyldiazenyl ( $C_6H_5N_2$ ) in *trans* and *cis* configurations, leading to  $\theta = 2^{\circ}$  and  $\theta = 21^{\circ}$ , respectively.

results, in alignment with CAS self-consistent field (CASSCF) calculations (SI-IX), suggest that the main contribution to the many-body wave function is an open-shell configuration akin to Eq. (3). Using DMRG, we have validated this diradical picture, obtaining ~ 71% fidelity between the ground state and a many-body state where natural orbitals featuring occupancies near 0 (2) are considered empty (doubly-occupied), and the singly-occupied orbitals  $NO_{Z_{1/2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(NO_Z \pm NO_{Z^*})$  combine to form a singlet.

## Inducing twist angles via anchoring functional groups

We now conduct first-principles geometry optimizations to investigate the possibility of inducing twist angles in phenalenyl dimers on Au(111) via anchoring functional groups. We consider tert-butyl (C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>) and phenyldiazenyl (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>N<sub>2</sub>) compounds covalently bonded to two opposite edges of the dimer. Our results for tert-butyl (Fig. 4a) show that the system lowers its energy by adopting a geometry with a dihedral angle of  $\theta = 12^{\circ}$  between phenalenyls. For phenyldiazenyl (Fig. 4b), we find that *trans* and *cis* isomers lead to  $\theta = 2^{\circ}$ and  $\theta = 21^{\circ}$ , respectively. Importantly, additional spin-polarized DFT calculations (SI-X) indicate that both functional groups do not significantly alter the magnetic properties of the dimer, aside from the  $\theta$ -dependent exchange couplings. The previous results put forward a strategy for tuning the magnetic couplings in phenalenyl dimers through geometric conformations induced by functional groups. The case of phenyl-diazenyl is particularly noteworthy as it draws inspiration from azobenzene, whose *cis* and *trans* isomers are known to be photoswitchable.<sup>70</sup> This opens the opportunity of dynamically tuning magnetic interactions with light, offering a novel approach to the design of light-responsive organic magnetic materials.

## Conclusion

Motivated by STM and STS measurements of twisted phenalenyl dimers on h-BN/Rh(111), we studied the twist-angle dependence of the exchange couplings in this diradical system. Our theoretical investigation demonstrated that DMRG is a pivotal method for accurately describing the experimental results. Based on our benchmarks, which we aim to extend to other systems in future work, we expect the methodology presented here to find widespread use in the modeling of open-shell nanographenes. Furthermore, we proposed a strategy to reversibly switch the magnetic couplings of phenalenyl dimers with light, potentially enabling their use in quantum technology applications.

## Acknowledgement

We thank João C. G. Henriques and Michal Juríček for fruitful discussions. This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (Grants No. CRSII5\_205987 and 200020\_212875), the NCCR MARVEL, a National Centre of Competence in Research funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (Grant No. 205602), H2020-MSCA-ITN (ULTI-MATE, No. 813036), and the Werner Siemens Foundation (CarboQuant). We acknowledge computational support from the Swiss Supercomputing Centre (CSCS) under the project ID s1267. For the purpose of Open Access (which is required by our funding agencies), the authors have applied a CC BY public copyright license to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

## Supporting Information Available

Experimental details, theoretical methods, and supplementary calculations, including additional Refs. 71–92.

## References

- Gu, Y.; Qiu, Z.; Müllen, K. Nanographenes and Graphene Nanoribbons as Multitalents of Present and Future Materials Science. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2022, 144, 11499–11524.
- (2) Cai, J.; Ruffieux, P.; Jaafar, R.; Bieri, M.; Braun, T.; Blankenburg, S.; Muoth, M.; Seitsonen, A. P.; Saleh, M.; Feng, X.; Müllen, K.; Fasel, R. Atomically precise bottomup fabrication of graphene nanoribbons. *Nature* **2010**, *466*, 470–473, Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- (3) Son, Y.-W.; Cohen, M. L.; Louie, S. G. Energy Gaps in Graphene Nanoribbons. *Physical Review Letters* 2006, 97, 216803, Publisher: American Physical Society.
- (4) Chen, Y.-C.; De Oteyza, D. G.; Pedramrazi, Z.; Chen, C.; Fischer, F. R.; Crommie, M. F. Tuning the Band Gap of Graphene Nanoribbons Synthesized from Molecular Precursors. ACS Nano 2013, 7, 6123–6128.
- (5) Cao, T.; Zhao, F.; Louie, S. G. Topological Phases in Graphene Nanoribbons: Junction States, Spin Centers, and Quantum Spin Chains. *Physical Review Letters* 2017, 119, 076401, Publisher: American Physical Society.
- (6) Gröning, O.; Wang, S.; Yao, X.; Pignedoli, C. A.; Borin Barin, G.; Daniels, C.; Cupo, A.; Meunier, V.; Feng, X.; Narita, A.; Müllen, K.; Ruffieux, P.; Fasel, R. Engi-

neering of robust topological quantum phases in graphene nanoribbons. *Nature* **2018**, *560*, 209–213, Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.

- Wang, H.; Wang, H. S.; Ma, C.; Chen, L.; Jiang, C.; Chen, C.; Xie, X.; Li, A.-P.; Wang, X. Graphene nanoribbons for quantum electronics. *Nature Reviews Physics* 2021, *3*, 791–802.
- (8) Oteyza, D. G. d.; Frederiksen, T. Carbon-based nanostructures as a versatile platform for tunable π-magnetism. Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 2022, 34, 443001, Publisher: IOP Publishing.
- (9) Turco, E.; Bernhardt, A.; Krane, N.; Valenta, L.; Fasel, R.; Juríček, M.; Ruffieux, P. Observation of the Magnetic Ground State of the Two Smallest Triangular Nanographenes. JACS Au 2023, 3, 1358–1364.
- (10) Pavliček, N.; Mistry, A.; Majzik, Z.; Moll, N.; Meyer, G.; Fox, D. J.; Gross, L. Synthesis and characterization of triangulene. *Nature Nanotechnology* 2017, *12*, 308–311, Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- (11) Mishra, S.; Beyer, D.; Eimre, K.; Liu, J.; Berger, R.; Gröning, O.; Pignedoli, C. A.; Müllen, K.; Fasel, R.; Feng, X.; Ruffieux, P. Synthesis and Characterization of π-Extended Triangulene. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2019, 141, 10621– 10625, Publisher: American Chemical Society.
- (12) Su, J. et al. Atomically precise bottom-up synthesis of π-extended [5]triangulene. Science Advances 2019, 5, eaav7717, Publisher: American Association for the Advancement of Science.
- (13) Mishra, S.; Xu, K.; Eimre, K.; Komber, H.; Ma, J.; Pignedoli, C. A.; Fasel, R.; Feng, X.; Ruffieux, P. Synthesis and characterization of [7]triangulene. *Nanoscale* 2021, 13, 1624– 1628, Publisher: The Royal Society of Chemistry.

- (14) Mishra, S.; Beyer, D.; Eimre, K.; Kezilebieke, S.; Berger, R.; Gröning, O.; Pignedoli, C. A.; Müllen, K.; Liljeroth, P.; Ruffieux, P.; Feng, X.; Fasel, R. Topological frustration induces unconventional magnetism in a nanographene. *Nature Nanotechnol*ogy **2020**, 15, 22–28, Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- (15) Mishra, S.; Yao, X.; Chen, Q.; Eimre, K.; Gröning, O.; Ortiz, R.; Di Giovannantonio, M.; Sancho-García, J. C.; Fernández-Rossier, J.; Pignedoli, C. A.; Müllen, K.; Ruffieux, P.; Narita, A.; Fasel, R. Large magnetic exchange coupling in rhombus-shaped nanographenes with zigzag periphery. *Nature Chemistry* **2021**, *13*, 581–586, Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- (16) Fernández-Rossier, J. Theory of Single-Spin Inelastic Tunneling Spectroscopy. *Physical Review Letters* 2009, 102, 256802, Publisher: American Physical Society.
- (17) Ternes, M.; Heinrich, A. J.; Schneider, W.-D. Spectroscopic manifestations of the Kondo effect on single adatoms. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **2009**, *21*, 053001.
- (18) Ternes, M. Spin excitations and correlations in scanning tunneling spectroscopy. New Journal of Physics 2015, 17, 063016, Publisher: IOP Publishing.
- (19) Mishra, S.; Beyer, D.; Eimre, K.; Ortiz, R.; Fernández-Rossier, J.; Berger, R.; Gröning, O.; Pignedoli, C. A.; Fasel, R.; Feng, X.; Ruffieux, P. Collective All-Carbon Magnetism in Triangulene Dimers\*\*. *Angewandte Chemie* 2020, 132, 12139–12145, \_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/ange.202002687.
- (20) Su, J.; Fan, W.; Mutombo, P.; Peng, X.; Song, S.; Ondráček, M.; Golub, P.; Brabec, J.; Veis, L.; Telychko, M.; Jelínek, P.; Wu, J.; Lu, J. On-Surface Synthesis and Characterization of [7]Triangulene Quantum Ring. *Nano Letters* **2021**, *21*, 861–867.
- (21) Hieulle, J.; Castro, S.; Friedrich, N.; Vegliante, A.; Lara, F. R.; Sanz, S.;
  Rey, D.; Corso, M.; Frederiksen, T.; Pascual, J. I.; Peña, D. On-Surface

Synthesis and Collective Spin Excitations of a Triangulene-Based Nanostar. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2021**, *60*, 25224–25229, \_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/anie.202108301.

- (22) Mishra, S.; Fatayer, S.; Fernández, S.; Kaiser, K.; Peña, D.; Gross, L. Nonbenzenoid High-Spin Polycyclic Hydrocarbons Generated by Atom Manipulation. ACS Nano 2022, 16, 3264–3271, Publisher: American Chemical Society.
- (23) Cheng, S.; Xue, Z.; Li, C.; Liu, Y.; Xiang, L.; Ke, Y.; Yan, K.; Wang, S.; Yu, P. On-surface synthesis of triangulene trimers via dehydration reaction. *Nature Communications* **2022**, *13*, 1705.
- (24) Du, Q.; Su, X.; Liu, Y.; Jiang, Y.; Li, C.; Yan, K.; Ortiz, R.; Frederiksen, T.; Wang, S.;
  Yu, P. Orbital-symmetry effects on magnetic exchange in open-shell nanographenes. *Nature Communications* 2023, 14, 4802.
- (25) Krane, N.; Turco, E.; Bernhardt, A.; Jacob, D.; Gandus, G.; Passerone, D.; Luisier, M.; Juríček, M.; Fasel, R.; Fernández-Rossier, J.; Ruffieux, P. Exchange Interactions and Intermolecular Hybridization in a Spin-1/2 Nanographene Dimer. *Nano Letters* 2023, 23, 9353–9359, Publisher: American Chemical Society.
- (26) Turco, E.; Wu, F.; Catarina, G.; Krane, N.; Ma, J.; Fasel, R.; Feng, X.; Ruffieux, P. Magnetic Excitations in Ferromagnetically Coupled Spin-1 Nanographenes. 2024; http://arxiv.org/abs/2407.00728, arXiv:2407.00728 [cond-mat].
- (27) Mishra, S.; Catarina, G.; Wu, F.; Ortiz, R.; Jacob, D.; Eimre, K.; Ma, J.; Pignedoli, C. A.; Feng, X.; Ruffieux, P.; Fernández-Rossier, J.; Fasel, R. Observation of fractional edge excitations in nanographene spin chains. *Nature* **2021**, *598*, 287–292, Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- (28) Zhao, C.; Catarina, G.; Zhang, J.-J.; Henriques, J. C. G.; Yang, L.; Ma, J.; Feng, X.; Gröning, O.; Ruffieux, P.; Fernández-Rossier, J.; Fasel, R. Tunable topological

phases in nanographene-based spin-1/2 alternating-exchange Heisenberg chains. 2024; http://arxiv.org/abs/2402.13590, arXiv:2402.13590 [cond-mat, physics:physics, physics:quant-ph].

- (29) Delgado, A.; Dusold, C.; Jiang, J.; Cronin, A.; Louie, S. G.; Fischer, F. R. Evidence for excitonic insulator ground state in triangulene Kagome lattice. 2023; http://arxiv. org/abs/2301.06171, arXiv:2301.06171 [cond-mat].
- (30) Catarina, G.; Henriques, J. C. G.; Molina-Sánchez, A.; Costa, A. T.; Fernández-Rossier, J. Broken-symmetry magnetic phases in two-dimensional triangulene crystals. *Physical Review Research* 2023, 5, 043226, Publisher: American Physical Society.
- (31) Frezza, F.; Matěj, A.; Sánchez-Grande, A.; Carrera, M.; Mutombo, P.; Kumar, M.; Curiel, D.; Jelínek, P. On-Surface Synthesis of a Radical 2D Supramolecular Organic Framework. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2024, 146, 3531–3538, Publisher: American Chemical Society.
- (32) Georgescu, I.; Ashhab, S.; Nori, F. Quantum simulation. *Reviews of Modern Physics* 2014, 86, 153–185, Publisher: American Physical Society.
- (33) Mazurenko, A.; Chiu, C. S.; Ji, G.; Parsons, M. F.; Kanász-Nagy, M.; Schmidt, R.; Grusdt, F.; Demler, E.; Greif, D.; Greiner, M. A cold-atom Fermi–Hubbard antiferromagnet. *Nature* **2017**, *545*, 462–466, Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- (34) Sompet, P.; Hirthe, S.; Bourgund, D.; Chalopin, T.; Bibo, J.; Koepsell, J.; Bojović, P.;
  Verresen, R.; Pollmann, F.; Salomon, G.; Gross, C.; Hilker, T. A.; Bloch, I. Realizing the symmetry-protected Haldane phase in Fermi–Hubbard ladders. *Nature* 2022, 606, 484–488, Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- (35) Mortemousque, P.-A.; Chanrion, E.; Jadot, B.; Flentje, H.; Ludwig, A.; Wieck, A. D.; Urdampilleta, M.; Bäuerle, C.; Meunier, T. Coherent control of individual electron spins

in a two-dimensional quantum dot array. *Nature Nanotechnology* **2021**, *16*, 296–301, Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.

- (36) Kiczynski, M.; Gorman, S. K.; Geng, H.; Donnelly, M. B.; Chung, Y.; He, Y.; Keizer, J. G.; Simmons, M. Y. Engineering topological states in atom-based semiconductor quantum dots. *Nature* 2022, 606, 694–699, Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- (37) Choi, D.-J.; Lorente, N.; Wiebe, J.; von Bergmann, K.; Otte, A. F.; Heinrich, A. J. Colloquium: Atomic spin chains on surfaces. *Reviews of Modern Physics* 2019, 91, 041001, Publisher: American Physical Society.
- (38) Wang, H.; Fan, P.; Chen, J.; Jiang, L.; Gao, H.-J.; Lado, J. L.; Yang, K. Realizing topological quantum magnets with atomic spins on surfaces.
- (39) Zhao, C.; Huang, Q.; Valenta, L.; Eimre, K.; Yang, L.; Yakutovich, A. V.; Xu, W.; Ma, J.; Feng, X.; Juríček, M.; Fasel, R.; Ruffieux, P.; Pignedoli, C. A. Tailoring Magnetism of Graphene Nanoflakes via Tip-Controlled Dehydrogenation. *Physical Review Letters* 2024, 132, 046201.
- (40) Vegliante, A.; Fernandez, S.; Ortiz, R.; Vilas-Varela, M.; Baum, T.; Friedrich, N.; Romero-Lara, F.; Aguirre, A.; Vaxevani, K.; Wang, D.; Garcia, C.; van der Zant, H. S. J.; Frederiksen, T.; Peña, D.; Pascual, J. I. Tuning the Spin Interaction in Non-planar Organic Diradicals Through Mechanical Manipulation. 2024; http://arxiv.org/abs/ 2402.08641, arXiv:2402.08641 [cond-mat, physics:physics].
- (41) Wang, T.; Berdonces-Layunta, A.; Friedrich, N.; Vilas-Varela, M.; Calupitan, J. P.; Pascual, J. I.; Peña, D.; Casanova, D.; Corso, M.; De Oteyza, D. G. Aza-Triangulene: On-Surface Synthesis and Electronic and Magnetic Properties. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2022**, *144*, 4522–4529.

- (42) Zhu, G. et al. Collective Quantum Magnetism in Nitrogen-Doped Nanographenes. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2023, 145, 7136–7146.
- (43) Yu, H.; Sun, J.; Heine, T. Predicting Magnetic Coupling and Spin-Polarization Energy in Triangulene Analogues. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* **2023**, 19, 3486–3497.
- (44) Henriques, J. C. G.; Jacob, D.; Molina-Sánchez, A.; Catarina, G.; Costa, A. T.; Fernández-Rossier, J. Beyond spin models in orbitally-degenerate open-shell nanographenes. 2023; http://arxiv.org/abs/2312.04938, arXiv:2312.04938 [condmat].
- (45) Mishra, S.; Vilas-Varela, M.; Lieske, L.-A.; Ortiz, R.; Fatayer, S.; Rončević, I.; Albrecht, F.; Frederiksen, T.; Peña, D.; Gross, L. Bistability between π-diradical openshell and closed-shell states in indeno[1,2-a]fluorene. *Nature Chemistry* 2024, 1–7, Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- (46) Biswas, K. et al. Steering Large Magnetic Exchange Coupling in Nanographenes near the Closed-Shell to Open-Shell Transition. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2023, 145, 2968–2974, Publisher: American Chemical Society.
- (47) Jacobse, P. H.; Daugherty, M. C.; Cerņevičs, K.; Wang, Z.; McCurdy, R. D.; Yazyev, O. V.; Fischer, F. R.; Crommie, M. F. Five-Membered Rings Create Off-Zero Modes in Nanographene. ACS Nano 2023, 17, 24901–24909.
- (48) Fernández-Rossier, J.; Palacios, J. J. Magnetism in Graphene Nanoislands. Physical Review Letters 2007, 99, 177204.
- (49) Ortiz, R.; Giedke, G.; Frederiksen, T. Magnetic frustration and fractionalization in oligo(indenoindenes). *Physical Review B* 2023, 107, L100416.

- (50) Sandoval-Salinas, M. E.; Bernabeu-Cabañero, R.; Pérez-Jiménez, A. J.; San-Fabián, E.; Sancho-García, J. C. Electronic structure of rhombus-shaped nanographenes: system size evolution from closed- to open-shell ground states. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* 2023, 25, 11697–11706.
- (51) Henriques, J.; Ferri-Cortés, M.; Fernández-Rossier, J. Designer Spin Models in Tunable Two-Dimensional Nanographene Lattices. Nano Letters 2024, 24, 3355–3360.
- (52) Jacob, D.; Fernández-Rossier, J. Theory of intermolecular exchange in coupled spin-1
  2 nanographenes. *Physical Review B* 2022, 106, 205405.
- (53) Yu, H.; Heine, T. Magnetic Coupling Control in Triangulene Dimers. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2023, 145, 19303–19311.
- (54) Corso, M.; Auwärter, W.; Muntwiler, M.; Tamai, A.; Greber, T.; Osterwalder, J. Boron Nitride Nanomesh. Science 2004, 303, 217–220.
- (55) Iannuzzi, M.; Tran, F.; Widmer, R.; Dienel, T.; Radican, K.; Ding, Y.; Hutter, J.; Gröning, O. Site-selective adsorption of phthalocyanine on h-BN/Rh(111) nanomesh. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **2014**, *16*, 12374–12384.
- (56) Liu, L.; Dienel, T.; Widmer, R.; Gröning, O. Interplay between Energy-Level Position and Charging Effect of Manganese Phthalocyanines on an Atomically Thin Insulator. ACS Nano 2015, 9, 10125–10132.
- (57) Auwärter, W. Hexagonal boron nitride monolayers on metal supports: Versatile templates for atoms, molecules and nanostructures. Surface Science Reports 2019, 74, 1–95.
- (58) Ortiz, R.; Catarina, G.; Fernández-Rossier, J. Theory of triangulene two-dimensional crystals. 2D Materials 2022, 10, 015015, Publisher: IOP Publishing.

- (59) Ortiz, R.; Boto, R. A.; García-Martínez, N.; Sancho-García, J. C.; Melle-Franco, M.; Fernández-Rossier, J. Exchange Rules for Diradical π-Conjugated Hydrocarbons. Nano Letters 2019, 19, 5991–5997.
- (60) Slater, J. C.; Koster, G. F. Simplified LCAO Method for the Periodic Potential Problem.
   *Physical Review* 1954, 94, 1498–1524, Publisher: American Physical Society.
- (61) Ovchinnikov, A. A. Multiplicity of the ground state of large alternant organic molecules with conjugated bonds. *Theoretica chimica acta* 1978, 47, 297–304.
- (62) Lieb, E. H. Two theorems on the Hubbard model. *Physical Review Letters* 1989, 62, 1201–1204, Publisher: American Physical Society.
- (63) Meena, R.; Li, G.; Casula, M. Ground-state properties of the narrowest zigzag graphene nanoribbon from quantum Monte Carlo and comparison with density functional theory. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **2022**, *156*, 084112.
- (64) Saleem, Y.; Steenbock, T.; Alhadi, E. R. J.; Pasek, W.; Bester, G.; Potasz, P. Superexchange Mechanism in Coupled Triangulenes Forming Spin-1 Chains. *Nano Letters* 2024, 24, 7417–7423.
- (65) Anderson, P. W. New Approach to the Theory of Superexchange Interactions. *Physical Review* 1959, 115, 2–13.
- (66) White, S. R. Density matrix formulation for quantum renormalization groups. *Physical Review Letters* 1992, 69, 2863–2866, Publisher: American Physical Society.
- (67) Schollwöck, U. The density-matrix renormalization group. *Reviews of Modern Physics* **2005**, 77, 259–315, Publisher: American Physical Society.
- (68) Catarina, G.; Murta, B. Density-matrix renormalization group: a pedagogical introduction. The European Physical Journal B 2023, 96, 111.

- (69) Jacob, D.; Ortiz, R.; Fernández-Rossier, J. Renormalization of spin excitations and Kondo effect in open-shell nanographenes. *Physical Review B* 2021, 104, 075404, Publisher: American Physical Society.
- (70) Henzl, J.; Mehlhorn, M.; Gawronski, H.; Rieder, K.-H.; Morgenstern, K. Reversible Isomerization Single Molecule. cis-trans of a Azobenzene Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2006,45. 603 - 606, \_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/anie.200502229.
- (71) Catarina, G.; Fernández-Rossier, J. Hubbard model for spin-1 Haldane chains. *Physical Review B* 2022, 105, L081116, Publisher: American Physical Society.
- (72) Trambly de Laissardière, G.; Mayou, D.; Magaud, L. Localization of Dirac Electrons in Rotated Graphene Bilayers. *Nano Letters* 2010, 10, 804–808, Publisher: American Chemical Society.
- (73) Rozhkov, A.; Sboychakov, A.; Rakhmanov, A.; Nori, F. Electronic properties of graphene-based bilayer systems. *Physics Reports* **2016**, *648*, 1–104.
- (74) Giannozzi, P. et al. QUANTUM ESPRESSO: a modular and open-source software project for quantum simulations of materials. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* 2009, 21, 395502.
- (75) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple. *Physical Review Letters* **1996**, *77*, 3865–3868, Publisher: American Physical Society.
- (76) Prandini, G.; Marrazzo, A.; Castelli, I. E.; Mounet, N.; Marzari, N. Precision and efficiency in solid-state pseudopotential calculations. *npj Computational Materials* 2018, 4, 72, http://materialscloud.org/sssp.

- (77) Yakutovich, A. V.; Eimre, K.; Schütt, O.; Talirz, L.; Adorf, C. S.; Andersen, C. W.;
  Ditler, E.; Du, D.; Passerone, D.; Smit, B.; Marzari, N.; Pizzi, G.; Pignedoli, C. A.
  AiiDAlab an ecosystem for developing, executing, and sharing scientific workflows.
  Computational Materials Science 2021, 188, 110165.
- (78) Pizzi, G.; Cepellotti, A.; Sabatini, R.; Marzari, N.; Kozinsky, B. AiiDA: automated interactive infrastructure and database for computational science. *Computational Materials Science* **2016**, *111*, 218–230.
- (79) Hutter, J.; Iannuzzi, M.; Schiffmann, F.; VandeVondele, J. cp2k: atomistic simulations of condensed matter systems. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Molecular Science 2014, 4, 15–25.
- (80) VandeVondele, J.; Hutter, J. Gaussian basis sets for accurate calculations on molecular systems in gas and condensed phases. *The Journal of chemical physics* 2007, 127, 114105.
- (81) Goedecker, S.; Teter, M.; Hutter, J. Separable dual-space Gaussian pseudopotentials. *Physical Review B* 1996, 54, 1703.
- (82) Grimme, S.; Antony, J.; Ehrlich, S.; Krieg, H. A consistent and accurate ab initio parametrization of density functional dispersion correction (DFT-D) for the 94 elements H-Pu. *The Journal of chemical physics* **2010**, *132*, 154104.
- (83) Hanke, F.; Björk, J. Structure and local reactivity of the Au (111) surface reconstruction. *Physical Review B* 2013, *87*, 235422.
- (84) Fishman, M.; White, S.; Stoudenmire, E. The ITensor Software Library for Tensor Network Calculations. SciPost Physics Codebases 2022, 004.
- (85) Schollwöck, U. The density-matrix renormalization group in the age of matrix product states. Annals of Physics 2011, 326, 96–192.

- (86) Lain, L.; Torre, A.; Bochicchio, R. C.; Ponec, R. On the density matrix of effectively unpaired electrons. *Chemical Physics Letters* 2001, 346, 283–287.
- (87) Löwdin, P.-O. Quantum Theory of Many-Particle Systems. II. Study of the Ordinary Hartree-Fock Approximation. *Physical Review* 1955, 97, 1490–1508.
- (88) Head-Gordon, M. Characterizing unpaired electrons from the one-particle density matrix. Chemical Physics Letters 2003, 372, 508–511.
- (89) Neese, F. The ORCA program system. WIREs Computational Molecular Science 2012, 2, 73–78.
- (90) Neese, F. Software update: The ORCA program system—Version 5.0. WIREs Computational Molecular Science 2022, 12, e1606.
- (91) Guo, Y.; Sivalingam, K.; Valeev, E. F.; Neese, F. SparseMaps—A systematic infrastructure for reduced-scaling electronic structure methods. III. Linear-scaling multireference domain-based pair natural orbital N-electron valence perturbation theory. *The Journal* of Chemical Physics **2016**, 144, 094111.
- (92) Neese, F.; Wennmohs, F.; Hansen, A.; Becker, U. Efficient, approximate and parallel Hartree–Fock and hybrid DFT calculations. A 'chain-of-spheres' algorithm for the Hartree–Fock exchange. *Chemical Physics* 2009, 356, 98–109, Moving Frontiers in Quantum Chemistry:.