

In the name of Allah, the Beneficent, the Merciful.

AN OPEN SUBSET OF THE VARIETY OF n - DIMENSIONAL ALGEBRAS, CLASSIFICATION AND AUTOMORPHISMS

Ural Bekbaev

Turin Polytechnic University in Tashkent, Tashkent, Uzbekistan;
uralbekbaev@gmail.com

Abstract

Classification, up to isomorphism, of algebras from a subset of the variety of n - dimensional algebras is presented. It is shown that the considered subset is an open dense subset of the variety of n - dimensional algebras in the case of algebraically closed basic field. It is shown that these algebras have only trivial automorphism.

Keyword: algebra, isomorphism, matrix of structure constants.

MSC2010: 15A21, 15A63, 15A69, 17A45.

1. INTRODUCTION

A classification, up to isomorphism, of algebraic structures is one of the core problems of algebra. In particular, classification of all algebra structures on \mathbb{F}^n , over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{F} and natural n , is one of the such problems. It is believed that full solution of this problem for any \mathbb{F} and n is impossible. In the current paper we are going to show that if instead of all n -dimensional algebras one considers some dense subset of the variety of n -dimensional algebras then the classification problem can be solved positively.

It should be noted that this problem has been considered before in [1] and a way of solution has been offered. More exactly, it has been offered an algorithm to construct a matrix $P(A)$ with a nice property which helps classify , up to isomorphism, all algebras A for which $P(A)$ is invertible. Moreover some results regarding invariants of algebras, under assumption of existence of such a $P(A)$, have been proved as well. Unfortunately, further explorations have shown that the algorithm offered does not work in that form for n , except $n = 2$ case.

In $n = 2$ case the classification problem is solved completely for the set of all algebras over any basic field \mathbb{F} in [2]. In [3, 4] it is shown how to get description of automorphism groups, derivation algebras, classification of different classes of two-dimensional algebras by the use of such classification result.

In this paper we provide another algorithm for the construction of that matrix $P(A)$, show that it works well at least in general case. In this way this paper provides a classification, up to isomorphism, of all n dimensional algebras from an open, dense subset of the variety of n -dimensional algebras, describe their group of automorphisms.

It should be noted that there are many investigations dealing with classification of different types of n -dimensional PI-algebras, sometimes with some constraints, in the case of small values of n . There are investigations dealing with such problem for any value of n , for example, simple, semi-simple associative, Lie algebras and et cetera [5, 6]. But from topological point of view, in contrast to our case, they are small subsets of the variety of n -dimensional algebras, though

those algebras are important in applications. Here "small" subset means that its complement is a dense subset of the variety.

The paper is organised in the following way. In the next section some needed notions, notations and results are presented. Then the main result is described in another section.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Further the following notations are used. If \mathbb{F} is any field, $A = (a_{ij})$, $B = (b_{kl})$ are rectangular matrices over it then $A \otimes B$ stands for the tensor product $A \otimes B = (a_{ij}b_{kl})$. Let n, m be any natural numbers, $M(\mathbf{a}_1|\mathbf{a}_2|\dots|\mathbf{a}_n) = (\mathbf{a}_1/\mathbf{a}_2/\dots/\mathbf{a}_n)$ stand for the matrix consisting of the same size rows $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n \in \mathbb{F}^m$, where $(\mathbf{a}_1|\mathbf{a}_2|\dots|\mathbf{a}_n)$ stands for row matrix with 'components' $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n$. If A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are same size square matrices then $A = (A_1|A_2|\dots|A_n)$ stands for the corresponding row-block matrix, and $\overline{tr}(A) = (tr(A_1), tr(A_2), \dots, tr(A_n))$, where $tr(A_i)$ means the ordinary trace of the matrix A_i , I stands for n -order unit matrix, I_m for m -order unit matrix, $Card(\mathbb{F})$ stands for the cardinality of \mathbb{F} .

Proposition 2.1. *The equalities*

$$(\mathbf{b}_1|\mathbf{b}_2|\dots|\mathbf{b}_n) = (\mathbf{a}_1|\mathbf{a}_2|\dots|\mathbf{a}_n)(g \otimes h) \text{ and } (\mathbf{b}_1/\mathbf{b}_2/\dots/\mathbf{b}_n) = g^t(\mathbf{a}_1/\mathbf{a}_2/\dots/\mathbf{a}_n)h$$

are equivalent, where $\mathbf{a}_i \in \mathbb{F}^m$, $\mathbf{b}_j \in \mathbb{F}^m$ are row vectors, $g \in Mat(n \times k, \mathbb{F})$, $h \in Mat(m \times m, \mathbb{F})$.

Proof. Indeed, $\mathbf{b}_i = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{a}_k g_{ki} h = \sum_{k=1}^n g_{ki} \mathbf{a}_k h = (g^t A)_i h$, where $(g^t A)_i$ stands for the i^{th} row of matrix $g^t A$. \square

Proposition 2.2. *If $A' = gA(h \otimes g^{-1})$, where $A = (A_1|A_2|\dots|A_n)$, $g, A_i \in Mat(m, \mathbb{F})$, $h \in Mat(n \times k, \mathbb{F})$ then*

$$\overline{tr}(A') = (tr(A'_1), tr(A'_2), \dots, tr(A'_k)) = \overline{tr}(A)h, \text{ more generally, for any natural } k,$$

$$\overline{tr}((A')^{[k]}) = \overline{tr}(A^{[k]})h^{\otimes k}$$

is true, where by definition

$$A^{[1]} = A = (A_1|A_2|\dots|A_n), \quad A^{[k]} = (A_1 A^{[k-1]}|A_2 A^{[k-1]}|\dots|A_n A^{[k-1]}).$$

Proof. The equality $A' = gA(h \otimes g^{-1})$ implies that $A' = (A'_1|A'_2|\dots|A'_m)$ and $A'_i = \sum_{p=1}^n h_{pi} g A_p g^{-1}$ For all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Therefore $tr(A'_i) = \sum_{p=1}^n h_{pi} tr(A_p)$, that is $\overline{tr}(A') = \overline{tr}(A)h$. Similarly, $A'_{i_1} A'_{i_2} \dots A'_{i_k} = \sum_{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k=1}^n h_{p_1 i_1} h_{p_2 i_2} \dots h_{p_k i_k} g A_{p_1} A_{p_2} \dots A_{p_k} g^{-1}$ implies

$$tr(B_{i_1} B_{i_2} \dots B_{i_k}) = \sum_{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k=1}^n h_{p_1 i_1} h_{p_2 i_2} \dots h_{p_k i_k} tr(A_{p_1} A_{p_2} \dots A_{p_k})$$

for all $1 \leq i_j \leq m$. By introduction of notation $\overline{tr}((A')^{[k]}) = \overline{tr}(A^{[k]})h^{\otimes k}$, where $A^{[1]} = A = (A_1|A_2|\dots|A_n)$, $A^{[k]} = (A_1 A^{[k-1]}|A_2 A^{[k-1]}|\dots|A_n A^{[k-1]})$ one can represent all those equalities in the following $\overline{tr}((A')^{[k]}) = \overline{tr}(A^{[k]})h^{\otimes k}$ matrix form. \square

Let G be a group, V be a set $\tau : (G, V) \rightarrow V$, be a representation of G . Note that elements $v, w \in V$ are said to be G -equivalent, with respect to the representation τ , if $w = \tau(g, v)$ for some $g \in G$.

Assumption. There exists a non-empty G -invariant subset V_0 of V and a map $P : V_0 \rightarrow G$ such that $P(\tau(g, \mathbf{v})) = P(\mathbf{v})g^{-1}$ whenever $\mathbf{v} \in V_0$ and $g \in G$.

Lemma 2.3. Elements $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V_0$ are G -equivalent, that is $\mathbf{u} = \tau(g, \mathbf{v})$ for some $g \in G$, if and only if $\tau(P(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{u}) = \tau(P(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{v})$.

Proof. If $\mathbf{u} = \tau(g, \mathbf{v})$ then $\tau(P(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{u}) = \tau(P(\tau(g, \mathbf{v})), \tau(g, \mathbf{v})) =$

$$\tau(P(\mathbf{v})g^{-1}, \tau(g, \mathbf{v})) = \tau(P(\mathbf{v}), \tau(g^{-1}, \tau(g, \mathbf{v}))) = \tau(P(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{v}).$$

Visa versa, if $\tau(P(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{u}) = \tau(P(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{v})$ then

$$\tau(P(\mathbf{u})^{-1}P(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{v}) = \tau((P(\mathbf{u}))^{-1}, \tau(P(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{v})) = \tau((P(\mathbf{u}))^{-1}, \tau(P(\mathbf{u}), \mathbf{u})) = \mathbf{u}$$

that is $\mathbf{u} = \tau(g, \mathbf{v})$, where $g = P(\mathbf{u})^{-1}P(\mathbf{v}) \in G$. \square

3. THE MAIN RESULT

Let \mathbb{A} be an n -dimensional algebra over \mathbb{F} and $\mathbf{e} = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ be a fixed basis for \mathbb{A} as a vector space over \mathbb{F} . One can attach to the algebra \mathbb{A} a $n \times n^2$ -size matrix (called the matrix of structure constants, shortly MSC)

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^1 & a_{12}^1 & \dots & a_{1n}^1 & a_{21}^1 & a_{22}^1 & \dots & a_{2n}^1 & \dots & a_{n1}^1 & a_{n2}^1 & \dots & a_{nn}^1 \\ a_{11}^2 & a_{12}^2 & \dots & a_{1n}^2 & a_{21}^2 & a_{22}^2 & \dots & a_{2n}^2 & \dots & a_{n1}^2 & a_{n2}^2 & \dots & a_{nn}^2 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{11}^n & a_{12}^n & \dots & a_{1n}^n & a_{21}^n & a_{22}^n & \dots & a_{2n}^n & \dots & a_{n1}^n & a_{n2}^n & \dots & a_{nn}^n \end{pmatrix} = (A_1|A_2|\dots|A_n)$$

as follows

$$e_i \cdot e_j = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^k e_k, \text{ where } i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \text{ in other words } e_i \cdot \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{e}A_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where $A_i = (a_{ij}^k)_{k,j=1,2,\dots,n}$.

In reality there is one more (opposite) way of attaching such a matrix to \mathbb{A} , namely, let $\mathbf{e} \cdot e_k = \mathbf{e}A_k^o, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $A^o = (A_1^o|A_2^o|\dots|A_n^o)$, where, in above notations, $A_k^o = (a_{jk}^i)_{i,j=1,2,\dots,n}$. so

$$e_i \cdot \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{e}A_i, \quad \mathbf{e} \cdot e_i = \mathbf{e}A_i^o \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The product operation of \mathbb{A} with respect to this basis is written as follows

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{e}A(\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y}), \text{ as well as } \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{e}A^o(\mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{x})$$

for any $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}x, \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{e}y$, where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n, y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n$ are column coordinate vectors of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , respectively, $x \otimes y$ is the tensor(Kronecker) product of the vectors x and y . The behaviour of A and A^o with respect to a basis change looks like

$$\tau(g, A) = gA(g^{-1})^{\otimes 2}, \quad \tau(g, A^o) = gA^o(g^{-1})^{\otimes 2}$$

, where $g \in GL(n, \mathbb{F})$. Therefore further we look at $\mathcal{A} = Mat(n \times n^2, \mathbb{F})$ -the variety of n -dimensional algebras only with respect to this action of $G = GL(n, \mathbb{F})$.

Further it is assumed that a basis \mathbf{e} fixed and we do not make difference between an algebra \mathbb{A} and its MSC A , between a linear map and its matrix with respect to this basis.

One can consider the following left and right invariant, symmetric Killing forms over \mathbb{A} :

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}_{\mathbf{x}}^l \circ \text{ad}_{\mathbf{y}}^l) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}_{\mathbf{y}}^l \circ \text{ad}_{\mathbf{x}}^l), \text{ where } \text{ad}_{\mathbf{x}}^l : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}, \text{ad}_{\mathbf{x}}^l(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{xz},$$

$$\mathbf{B}^o(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}_{\mathbf{x}}^r \circ \text{ad}_{\mathbf{y}}^r) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}_{\mathbf{y}}^r \circ \text{ad}_{\mathbf{x}}^r), \text{ where } \text{ad}_{\mathbf{x}}^r : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}, \text{ad}_{\mathbf{x}}^r(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{zx}.$$

Note that due to the equalities

$$\mathbf{B}(e_i, e_j) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}_{e_i}^l \circ \text{ad}_{e_j}^l) = \text{tr}(A_i A_j), \quad \mathbf{B}^o(e_i, e_j) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}_{e_i}^r \circ \text{ad}_{e_j}^r) = \text{tr}(A_i^o A_j^o)$$

one has

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = x^t B(A)y, \quad \mathbf{B}^o(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = x^t B^o(A)y,$$

where $B(A) = (\text{tr}(A_i A_j))_{i,j}$, $B^o(A) = (\text{tr}(A_i^o A_j^o))_{i,j}$. Therefore, due to the Propositions 2.1,2.2, the following equalities

$$B(A') = (g^{-1})^t B(A) g^{-1}, \quad B^o(A') = (g^{-1})^t B^o(A) g^{-1}$$

whenever $A' = gA(g^{-1})^{\otimes 2}$, $g \in GL(n, \mathbb{F})$, are true.

Consider $\mathcal{A}_0 = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : \det(B(A)) \neq 0\}$ -an open, invariant subset of \mathcal{A} . If $A \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $A' = gA(g^{-1})^{\otimes 2}$, $g \in GL(n, \mathbb{F})$ then $B(A')^{-1} = gB(A)^{-1}g^t$, $B(A')^{-1}B^o(A') = gB(A)^{-1}B^o(A)g^{-1}$ and $(B(A')^{-1}B^o(A'))^k = g(B(A)^{-1}B^o(A))^k g^{-1}$. Therefore one has the following important equalities

$$(B(A')^{-1}B^o(A'))^k A' = g(B(A)^{-1}B^o(A))^k A(g^{-1})^{\otimes 2},$$

$$\overline{\text{tr}}((B(A')^{-1}B^o(A'))^k A') = \overline{\text{tr}}((B(A)^{-1}B^o(A))^k A)g^{-1},$$

where k is any integer. So for the matrix

$$P(A) = (\overline{\text{tr}}((B^{-1}(A)B^o(A))^0 A) / \overline{\text{tr}}((B^{-1}(A)B^o(A))A) / \dots / \overline{\text{tr}}((B^{-1}(A)B^o(A))^{n-1} A))$$

the equality

$$P(A') = P(A)g^{-1}$$

is true.

Example 3.1. Let $n = 1$, $A = (a)$. In this case $A = A^o$, $B(A) = B^o(A) = (a^2)$, $\mathcal{A}_0 = \{A = (a) : 0 \neq a \in \mathbb{F}\}$, $P(A) = (a)$.

Example 3.2. Let $n = 2$, $A = (A_1|A_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. In this case

$$A^o = (A_1^o|A_2^o) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B^o(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B^{-1}(A)B^o(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ -3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \overline{\text{tr}}(B(A)^{-1}B^o(A)A) = (-1 \ 6) \text{ and } P(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 6 \end{pmatrix},$$

so $P(A) \in \mathcal{A}_0$.

Lemma 3.3. The set $\{A \in \mathcal{A}_0 : \det(P(A)) \neq 0\}$ is not empty whenever $\text{Card}(F) > 2(n-1)$.

Proof. To prove let us use induction on n . According to the above Example 3.2 the Lemma is true in $n = 2$ case. Let $n > 2$ and $A'' = (A_2''|A_3''|\dots|A_n'')$ be MSC of a $(n-1)$ - dimensional algebra such that $\det(P(A'')) \neq 0$. Consider algebra with MSC $A = (A_1|A_2|\dots|A_n)$, where $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$,

$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{x}_i & A_2'' \end{pmatrix}$, where $i = 2, \dots, n$, $\mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$ are $(n-1)$ -dimensional column vectors with

variable components. In this case $A_1^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_1 A_i = 0$, $A_i A_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ A_i'' \mathbf{x}_j & A_i'' A_j'' \end{pmatrix}$ whenever

$i, j = 2, 3, \dots, n$, and therefore $B(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \text{tr}((A_2'')^2) & \dots & \text{tr}(A_2'' A_n'') \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & \text{tr}(A_2'' A_n'') & \dots & \text{tr}((A_n'')^2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & B(A'') \end{pmatrix}$.

$A_1^o = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & X'' \end{pmatrix}$, where X'' is matrix with columns $\mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$, $A_i^o = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_i''^o \end{pmatrix}$,

$(A_1^o)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & (X'')^2 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_1^o A_i^o = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X'' A_i''^o \end{pmatrix}$, $A_i^o A_j^o = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_i''^o A_j''^o \end{pmatrix}$ whenever $i, j = 2, 3, \dots, n$.

It means that $B^o(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \text{tr}((X'')^2) & \text{tr}(X'' A_2''^o) & \dots & \text{tr}(X'' A_n''^o) \\ \text{tr}(X'' A_2''^o) & \text{tr}((A_2''^o)^2) & \dots & \text{tr}(A_2''^o A_n''^o) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \text{tr}(X'' A_n''^o) & \text{tr}(A_n''^o A_2''^o) & \dots & \text{tr}((A_n''^o)^2) \end{pmatrix}$. One can choose X'' in

such a way that $\text{tr}(X'' A_2''^o) = \dots = \text{tr}(X'' A_n''^o) = 0$ and $\text{tr}((X'')^2) \neq 0$. In this case one can change X'' to tX'' , where t is a variable, to have

$$B^o(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + t^2 \text{tr}((X'')^2) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \text{tr}((A_2''^o)^2) & \dots & \text{tr}(A_2''^o A_n''^o) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & \text{tr}(A_n''^o A_2''^o) & \dots & \text{tr}((A_n''^o)^2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + t^2 \text{tr}((X'')^2) & 0 \\ 0 & B^o(A'') \end{pmatrix},$$

so $B^{-1}(A)B^o(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + t^2 \text{tr}((X'')^2) & 0 \\ 0 & (B(A''))^{-1} B^o(A'') \end{pmatrix}$,

$$(B^{-1}(A)B^o(A))^k = \begin{pmatrix} (1 + t^2 \text{tr}((X'')^2))^k & 0 \\ 0 & (B(A''))^{-1} B^o(A'')^k \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\overline{\text{tr}}((B(A)^{-1} B^o(A))^k A) = ((1 + t^2 \text{tr}((X'')^2))^k, \overline{\text{tr}}((B(A''))^{-1} B^o(A'')^k A''))$$

and $P(A)$ is $2(n-1)$ order polynomial with respect to t . It implies that in $\text{Card}(F) > 2(n-1)$ case there exists t for which the corresponding $P(A)$ is not singular. \square

Remark 3.4. *In reality, it is believed that the lemma is valid in arbitrary field F case.*

The following main result is an direct application of Lemma 2.3 to our case.

Theorem 3.5. *In non-empty \mathcal{A}_0 case two algebras $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}_0$ are isomorphic if and only if the equality*

$$P(A)A(P(A)^{-1})^{\otimes 2} = P(A')A'(P(A')^{-1})^{\otimes 2}$$

is valid.

Corollary 3.6. *In algebraic closed field F case \mathcal{A}_0 is an open dense subset of \mathcal{A}*

Corollary 3.7. *For every $A \in \mathcal{A}_0$ there exists only one $A' \in \mathcal{A}_0$ which is equivalent to A and $P(A') = I$, so $A \in \mathcal{A}_0$ for which $P(A) = I$ can be taken as canonical representatives of orbits from \mathcal{A}_0 .*

Proof. Indeed, if $A \in \mathcal{A}_0$ then $A \simeq A' = P(A)A(P(A)^{-1})^{\otimes 2}$ and $P(A') = P(P(A)A(P(A)^{-1})^{\otimes 2}) = P(A)P(A)^{-1} = I$. \square

Definition 3.8. *A linear map $\mathbf{g} : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ ($\mathbf{D} : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$) is said to be an automorphism (respectively, a derivation) if \mathbf{g} is invertible and for any $x, y \in \mathbb{A}$ the equality $\mathbf{g}(xy) = \mathbf{g}(x)\mathbf{g}(y)$ (respectively, $\mathbf{D}(xy) = \mathbf{D}(x)y + x\mathbf{D}(y)$) holds true.*

Note that in terms of its matrix g (respectively, D) it is nothing but

$$A = gA(g^{-1})^{\otimes 2}, \text{ (respectively, } DA = A(D \otimes I + I \otimes D)\text{)}.$$

We use notation $Aut(\mathbb{A})$ ($Der(\mathbb{A})$) for the set of all automorphisms (respectively, derivations) of the algebra \mathbb{A} .

Corollary 3.9. *If $A \in \mathcal{A}_0$ then $Aut(A) = \{I\}$.*

Proof. Indeed, if $A = gA(g^{-1})^{\otimes 2}$ then $P(A) = P(A)g^{-1}$ that is $g = I$. \square

Corollary 3.10. *If $A \in \mathcal{A}$ has property that $\overline{tr}(A) \neq 0$ or $\overline{tr}(A^o) \neq 0$ then $Der(A)$ has no invertible element. In particular, $Der(A)$ has no invertible element whenever $A \in \mathcal{A}_0$.*

Proof. If $\mathbf{D} : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ is a derivation with matrix (d_{ij}) then equalities $DA = A(D \otimes I + I \otimes D)$, $DA^o = A^o(D \otimes I + I \otimes D)$ are valid. They imply equalities $DA_i = A_iD + \sum_{k=1}^n A_k d_{ki}$, $DA_i^o = A_i^oD + \sum_{k=1}^n A_k^o d_{ki}$, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Taking traces results in $tr(DA_i) = tr(A_iD) + \sum_{k=1}^n tr(A_k)d_{ki}$, $tr(DA_i^o) = tr(A_i^oD) + \sum_{k=1}^n tr(A_k^o)d_{ki}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Due to $tr(DA_i) = tr(A_iD)$ one can represent these equalities in the following matrix forms $\overline{tr}(A)D = 0$, $\overline{tr}(A^o)D = 0$. Therefore if D is invertible then $\overline{tr}(A) = \overline{tr}(A^o) = 0$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] U. BEKBAEV, On classification of finite dimensional algebras, ArXiv: 1504.01194v3, pp. 1–8
- [2] U. BEKBAEV, Classification of two-dimensional algebras over any basic field, AIP Conference Proceedings 2880, 030001 (2023), pp. 1–9
- [3] H. AHMED, U. BEKBAEV, AND I. RAKHIMOV, The automorphism group and derivation algebras of two-dimensional algebras, 9 pages, Journal of Generalized Lie Theory and Applications, 2018, 12-1, doi 10.4172/1736-4337.1000290.
- [4] H. AHMED, U. BEKBAEV, AND I. RAKHIMOV, Identities on Two-Dimensional Algebras, Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics, 2020, Vol. 41, No. 9, pp. 1615–1629
- [5] Nicholson, William K. (1993), A short proof of the Wedderburn–Artin theorem. New Zealand J. Math. 22: pp. 83–86.
- [6] Block, Richard E.; Wilson, Robert Lee (1988), "Classification of the restricted simple Lie algebras", Journal of Algebra, 114 (1): pp. 115–259