

Feynman path integrals for discrete-variable systems: Walks on Hamiltonian graphs

Amir Kalev^{1,2} and Itay Hen^{3,2}

¹*Information Sciences Institute, University of Southern California, Arlington, VA 22203, USA*

²*Department of Physics and Astronomy, and Center for Quantum Information Science & Technology,
University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California 90089, USA*

³*Information Sciences Institute, University of Southern California, Marina del Rey, CA 90292, USA*

We propose a natural, parameter-free, discrete-variable formulation of Feynman path integrals. We show that for discrete-variable quantum systems, Feynman path integrals take the form of walks on the graph whose weighted adjacency matrix is the Hamiltonian. By working out expressions for the partition function and transition amplitudes of discretized versions of continuous-variable quantum systems, and then taking the continuum limit, we explicitly recover Feynman's continuous-variable path integrals. We also discuss the implications of our result.

I. INTRODUCTION

Feynman's path integral formulation of quantum mechanics [1, 2] is rightfully considered a cornerstone of modern theoretical physics. While equivalent to the Schrödinger formulation, the path integral view has introduced a novel way of understanding quantum phenomena and as such it has had a profound impact on theoretical physics, providing a deeper understanding of quantum mechanics, enabling calculations in fields ranging from particle physics to condensed matter physics [3, 4]. The importance of this formulation lies in several key aspects. First and foremost, Feynman's approach provides a more intuitive understanding of quantum mechanics compared to traditional formulations. Rather than focusing on wave functions and operators, it emphasizes the concept of paths. Each possible path a particle can take contributes to the overall probability amplitude, and the interference of these paths determines the behavior of quantum systems. This intuitive picture has proved invaluable in teaching and conceptualizing quantum mechanics.

In the limit of large action (equivalently, small Planck's constant), the path integral formulation recovers classical physics through the principle of least action providing a deeper insight into the classical limit of quantum systems. Feynman's path integral formulation also played a crucial role in the development of quantum field theory, providing a framework for calculating scattering amplitudes and understanding the behavior of quantum fields in terms of particle interactions.

While the path integral formulation is extremely powerful and can be applied to a wide range of physical systems, including those with complex interactions and boundary conditions, the discrete-variable analog of path integrals, namely a path integral formulation for finite-dimensional or discrete quantum systems, has long been a rather elusive con-

cept – a limitation that was recognized already by Feynman [1]. The main reason for the above deficiency is that Feynman path integral formulation relies on the existence of a *classical* description of the system, namely on the system Lagrangian, which most discrete-variables quantum systems, most notably spin systems, do not naturally have. Over the years multiple attempts to close this gap have been made (see, e.g., Refs [5, 6]) with the main idea being finding continuous classical analogs for spin operators [4]. Examples include the use of spin coherent states [7–9] or discrete time representations in combination with Grassmann variables non-orthogonal coherent states [10] for specific models. These too however have mostly been found to be either model specific, impractical or riddled with problems [11]. And so, an all-encompassing canonical formulation of Feynman path integrals applicable to finite-dimensional systems as a whole has remained missing.

Here we propose an altogether different natural formulation of Feynman path integrals for discrete quantum systems. In our approach, which does not rely on the existence of a classical description of the system, the analogs of Feynman paths are walks on a graph induced by the Hamiltonian of the system, and path integrals take the form of sums over all relevant walks on said graph. We explicitly show that our *walk sum* formulation is capable of recovering the appropriate Feynman path integrals in the continuum limit.

The main workhorse in our derivation is the off-diagonal series expansion for matrix functions – a power-series expansion for matrix functions in the off-diagonal strength of the input matrix – recently introduced in the context of quantum Monte Carlo simulations [12–14]. Under this expansion, matrix elements of matrix functions are represented as sums over terms each of which corresponding to a walk on the Hamiltonian graph – the graph for which

the Hamiltonian serves as a weighted adjacency matrix [15].

In what follows, we first derive the discrete version of path integrals and in the sections that follow, we analyze it for a discretized continuous-variable one-dimensional quantum system and show that in the continuum limit, it recovers Feynman path integral of the partition function and transition amplitude. Finally, we offer conclusions and discuss the implications of our result.

II. THE OFF-DIAGONAL SERIES EXPANSION AND WALK SUMS

To arrive at a discrete-variable formulation of Feynman path integrals, we consider a finite-dimensional (or countable infinite-dimensional) Hamiltonian, H and write it in permutation matrix representation (PMR) form [12, 13, 16]:

$$H = \sum_{j=0}^M D_j P_j = D_0 + \sum_{j=1}^M D_j P_j. \quad (1)$$

In PMR, the Hamiltonian is written as a sum of well-defined operators represented in some basis \mathcal{B} whose basis states we denote $\{|z\rangle\}$. Each operator D_j , $j = 0, \dots, M$ is a diagonal operator in \mathcal{B} while each P_j , $j = 0, \dots, M$ is a distinct $[\text{Tr}(P_j P_k) = 0$ for $j \neq k$] permutation operator [17] whose matrix representation in the \mathcal{B} basis has exactly one entry of 1 in each row and each column and all other elements are zero. One may always choose the matrices P_j such that none of them, nor any product thereof, have fixed points (that is, no nonzero diagonal elements) with the exception of the identity matrix $P_0 = \mathbb{1}$. The diagonal matrix D_0 is the diagonal component of H . Furthermore, each term $D_j P_j$ obeys $D_j P_j |z\rangle = d_j(z') |z'\rangle$ where $d_j(z')$ is a possibly complex-valued coefficient and $|z'\rangle \neq |z\rangle$ is a basis state. Casting Hamiltonians in PMR form can always be done efficiently [13].

Next, consider the an arbitrary Taylor-expandable function of H , namely $f(H)$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(H) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} H^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} (D_0 + \sum_j D_j P_j)^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{i}_n} \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} S_{\mathbf{i}_n}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $f^{(n)}(0)$ is the n -th derivative of $f(\cdot)$ at zero. In the above expression, $S_{\mathbf{i}_n}$ denotes all operator

sequences of length n consisting of (ordered) products of basic operators D_0 and $D_j P_j$. The index $\mathbf{i}_n = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ is a multiple index where each individual index i_j (with $j = 1 \dots n$) ranges from 0 to M . In Refs. [12–14, 16] it was shown that the matrix element of $f(H)$ between two (basis) states $|z_{\text{in}}\rangle$ and $|z_{\text{out}}\rangle$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z_{\text{out}} | f(H) | z_{\text{in}} \rangle & \quad (3) \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{i}_q} D_{\mathbf{i}_q} f[E_{z_0}, \dots, E_{z_q}] \langle z_{\text{out}} | P_{\mathbf{i}_q} | z_{\text{in}} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $P_{\mathbf{i}_q} = P_{i_q} \dots P_{i_1}$ denotes a sequence of length q permutation operators, $E_{z_i} = \langle z_i | D_0 | z_i \rangle$ (where $|z_0\rangle \equiv |z_{\text{in}}\rangle$), and

$$D_{\mathbf{i}_q} = \prod_{j=1}^q d_{z_j}^{(i_j)}, \quad \text{where } d_{z_j}^{(i_j)} = \langle z_j | D_{i_j} | z_j \rangle, \quad (4)$$

with $|z_j\rangle = P_{i_j} \dots P_{i_2} P_{i_1} |z_{\text{in}}\rangle$.

Note that $|z_j\rangle$ should have been denoted in principle by $|z_{\mathbf{i}_j}\rangle$ however we are using a simplified notation so as not to overburden the notation. The coefficients $d_{z_j}^{(i_j)}$ may be viewed as the ‘hopping strengths’ of P_{i_j} with respect to $|z_j\rangle$, and the sequence of basis states $\{|z_j\rangle\}$ may be viewed as a ‘walk’ in the graph of basis states induced by the Hamiltonian [12, 16, 18]. In addition, the term $f[E_{z_0}, \dots, E_{z_q}]$ is the *divided differences* of $f(\cdot)$ over the multi-set of diagonal element (energies) $[E_{z_0}, \dots, E_{z_q}]$ [13, 19, 20].

Since P_j for all j is a permutation operator with no fixed point, $P_{\mathbf{i}_q}$ is a permutation operator, and therefore the expression $\langle z_{\text{out}} | P_{\mathbf{i}_q} | z_{\text{in}} \rangle$ evaluates either to 1 or to zero. Thus, we can recast Eq. (3) as

$$\langle z_{\text{out}} | f(H) | z_{\text{in}} \rangle = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{i}_q: \text{in} \rightarrow \text{out}} D_{\mathbf{i}_q} f[E_{z_0}, \dots, E_{z_q}]. \quad (5)$$

where in the summation above, $\mathbf{i}_q: \text{in} \rightarrow \text{out}$, is shorthand for a sum over all sequences (or walks) \mathbf{i}_q such that $\langle z_{\text{out}} | P_{\mathbf{i}_q} | z_{\text{in}} \rangle = 1$. Equation (5) captures the mathematical essence of the PMR formalism. It implies that any matrix element of a function of the Hamiltonian, $\langle z_{\text{out}} | f(H) | z_{\text{in}} \rangle$, can be written as a sum over all possible walks on the Hamiltonian graph that connect $|z_{\text{in}}\rangle$ with $|z_{\text{out}}\rangle$. The weight of each walk is given by a product of hop strengths, $D_{\mathbf{i}_q}$, and the corresponding divided differences of $f(\cdot)$ with ‘classical’ energy inputs. This result is illustrated in Fig. 1(a).

We next argue that the above representation is a natural discrete-variable of Feynman path integral, wherein matrix elements are represented as sum over




Figure 1. (a) **Matrix elements as walks over the Hamiltonian graph.** A matrix element $\langle z_{\text{out}} | f(H) | z_{\text{in}} \rangle$ is calculated by summing up divided-difference contributions from all walks leading from $|z_{\text{in}}\rangle$ to $|z_{\text{out}}\rangle$ along the edges of the Hamiltonian graph. Here, each node represents a basis state and each (directed) edge – an off-diagonal Hamiltonian matrix element. (b) **Walks on the Hamiltonian graph as the continuum limit is approached.** In the continuum limit, walks become Feynman paths.

terms corresponding to all viable paths (more precisely, walks) between the appropriate endpoints. Let us now write an explicit ‘walk sum’ expression for the canonical partition functions and for transition amplitudes under time evolution. To do that, let us now write an explicit walk sum expression for the canonical partition functions and for transition amplitudes under time evolution. For the canonical partition function, the walk sum is obtained by taking $f(\cdot) = e^{-\beta(\cdot)}$ summing together all closed walks, that start and end at the same state to encapsulate the trace operation. Equation (5) reduces in this case to

$$Z = \sum_z \langle z | e^{-\beta H} | z \rangle = \sum_{z,q} \sum_{\mathbf{i}_q: z \rightarrow z} D_{\mathbf{i}_q} e^{-\beta[E_{z_0}, \dots, E_{z_q}]}, \quad (6)$$

where the sum over $\mathbf{i}_q: z \rightarrow z$ above is a sum over all closed walks (to be compared against Feynman’s closed paths in the case of partition function representation). For quantum transition amplitudes, the walk sum is obtained by taking $f(\cdot) = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}t(\cdot)}$, in which case the matrix function becomes a quantum time-evolution operator with t representing time (we assume for simplicity that the Hamiltonian is time independent; a generalization of the off-diagonal formulation to the time-dependent also exists [21]). In this case, the matrix element expresses the transition amplitude from $|z_{\text{in}}\rangle$ to $|z_{\text{out}}\rangle$ after time t under the Hamiltonian H , explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\text{in} \rightarrow \text{out}} &= \langle z_{\text{out}} | e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}tH} | z_{\text{in}} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{i}_q: \text{in} \rightarrow \text{out}} D_{\mathbf{i}_q} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}t[E_{z_0}, \dots, E_{z_q}]}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

We thus find that transition amplitudes may be computed by summing divided-difference ‘phases’ for all walks on the Hamiltonian graph between the two states (this is to be compared against Feynman path integral which prescribe summing over the phases of all paths connecting the two states).

We next show how the familiar continuous-variable Feynman path integral formulation is naturally recovered in the continuum limit, from the above sum over walks on the Hamiltonian graph.

III. THE FEYNMAN PATH INTEGRAL AS THE CONTINUUM LIMIT OF THE WALK SUM FORMULATION

Consider a single particle of mass m moving in one dimension under the influence of an arbitrary potential (it should be clear that the extension to multiple dimensions and more complex scenarios can be derived in the exact same manner). The Hamiltonian of the system is given by

$$H = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}V(\hat{x}) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V(\hat{x}), \quad (8)$$

where \hat{x} and \hat{p} are the position and momentum operators of the particle, respectively. Using the Feynman path integral formulation, the canonical partition function for the above Hamiltonian can be written as [3]

$$Z = \oint \mathcal{D}x(t) e^{-\int_0^\beta dt (\frac{m}{2} \dot{x}(t)^2 + V(x(t)))}, \quad (9)$$

where $\oint \mathcal{D}x(t)$ stands for an integral over all closed continuous paths on the real line obeying $x(0) = x(\beta)$. Similarly, the transition amplitude between to arbitrary endpoints $x(0)$ and $x(t)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{in} \rightarrow \text{out}} = \int_{x(0)=x_{\text{in}}}^{x(t)=x_{\text{out}}} \mathcal{D}x(t) e^{i \int_0^t d\tau (\frac{m}{2} \dot{x}(\tau)^2 - V(x(\tau)))}. \quad (10)$$

To recover the above expressions from their discrete-variable counterparts in the continuum limit, let us discretize the system Hamiltonian in the standard manner. We replace the real-numbers line with a discrete set of equally spaced points, with some spacing a . The position states now become countable. We denote this set of states by $\{|j\rangle\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ where $|j\rangle$ corresponds to the location $x_j = ja$. The discretized version of the Hamiltonian, Eq. (8), can therefore be written as [22, 23] (we fix $\hbar = 1$ for

convenience):

$$H_a = -\frac{1}{2ma^2} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (|j+1\rangle\langle j| - 2|j\rangle\langle j| + |j-1\rangle\langle j|) + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} V(ja)|j\rangle\langle j|. \quad (11)$$

In PMR form, H_a is given by

$$H_a = -\frac{1}{2ma^2} (P_+ + P_-) + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} E_j^{(a)} |j\rangle\langle j|, \quad (12)$$

where $E_j^{(a)} = V(ja) + \frac{1}{ma^2}$ and $P_{\pm} = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |j \pm 1\rangle\langle j|$ are permutation operators that shift the position of the particle by one spacing in \pm direction.

Following the derivations of the previous section, the ‘walk sum’ of the partition function corresponding to H_a is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_a &= \text{Tr}[e^{-\beta H_a}] \\ &= \sum_{j,q} \sum_{\mathbf{i}_q: j \rightarrow j} \left(-\frac{1}{2ma^2}\right)^q e^{-\beta[E_0^{(a)}, \dots, E_q^{(a)}]} \\ &= \sum_{j,q} \sum_{\mathbf{i}_q: j \rightarrow j} \left(-\frac{1}{2ma^2}\right)^q e^{-\frac{\beta}{ma^2}} e^{-\beta[V(j_0a), \dots, V(j_qa)]}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where in the last step we used the divided-differences property [12, 13] $e^{[E_0+\Delta, \dots, E_q+\Delta]} = e^{\Delta} e^{[E_0, \dots, E_q]}$, and $\mathbf{i}_q = (i_1, \dots, i_q)$ with $i_k = \pm, k \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, $j_k = j_0 + \sum_{\ell=1}^k i_{\ell}$, and where for convenience we have defined $j_0 \equiv j$. We further note that the summation over all closed-loop paths $\sum_{\mathbf{i}_q: j \rightarrow j}$ is equivalent to requiring that $j_q = j_0$, and therefore we can write

$$Z_a = \sum_{j,q} \sum_{\mathbf{i}_q} \left(-\frac{1}{2ma^2}\right)^q e^{-\frac{\beta}{ma^2}} e^{-\beta[V(j_0a), \dots, V(j_qa)]} \times \delta(j_q, j_0), \quad (14)$$

where $\delta(j_q, j_0)$ is a Kronecker delta function (with a slight abuse of notation). We now show explicitly that in the continuum limit $a \rightarrow 0$, the walk sum representation of Z_a approaches the Feynman path integral partition function, Eq. (9).

To begin, for reasons that will become apparent shortly, let us rewrite Z_a as

$$Z_a = \sum_{j,q} \left[\sum_{\mathbf{i}_q} \frac{1}{2^q} \right] \left[\frac{\left(\frac{\beta}{ma^2}\right)^q}{q!} e^{-\frac{\beta}{ma^2}} \right] \times \left[\frac{q!}{(-\beta)^q} e^{-\beta[V(j_0a), \dots, V(j_qa)]} \right] \delta(j_q, j_0). \quad (15)$$

Next, we denote $q_{\star} \equiv \frac{\beta}{ma^2}$ and observe that for large q the dependence of $q_{\star}^q/q!$ on q becomes sharply peaked at $q \approx q_{\star}$, with the rest of the q values decaying rapidly [24]. Therefore, we can write

$$\frac{\left(\frac{\beta}{ma^2}\right)^q}{q!} e^{-\frac{\beta}{ma^2}} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi q_{\star}}} e^{-\frac{(q-q_{\star})^2}{2q_{\star}}} \approx \delta(q, q_{\star}), \quad (16)$$

and thus,

$$Z_a \approx \sum_j \left[\sum_{\mathbf{i}_{q_{\star}}} \frac{1}{2^{q_{\star}}} \right] \left[\frac{q_{\star}!}{(-\beta)^{q_{\star}}} e^{-\beta[V(j_0a), \dots, V(j_{q_{\star}}a)]} \right] \times \delta(j_{q_{\star}}, j_0), \quad (17)$$

Next, we notice that we can interpret the term $\sum_{\mathbf{i}_{q_{\star}}} \frac{1}{2^{q_{\star}}}$ above as a probability measure over all possible walks of q_{\star} steps. Considering the q_{\star} steps as n concatenated segments of N steps each ($q_{\star} = nN$, with n and N chosen such that they are both proportional to $1/a$)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{i}_{q_{\star}}} \frac{1}{2^{q_{\star}}} &= \left(\sum_{i_1=\pm 1} \dots \sum_{i_n=\pm 1} \frac{1}{2^n} \right) \\ &\times \left(\sum_{i_{n+1}=\pm 1} \dots \sum_{i_{2n}=\pm 1} \frac{1}{2^n} \right) \dots \\ &\times \left(\sum_{i_{(N-1)n+1}=\pm 1} \dots \sum_{i_{nN}=\pm 1} \frac{1}{2^n} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

We can view each term above, $\sum_{i_{n(k-1)+1}=\pm 1} \dots \sum_{i_{nk}=\pm 1} \frac{1}{2^n}$ (with $k = 1, \dots, N$), as a summation over n i.i.d. random steps $i_j = \pm 1$. There are 2^n such n -step paths in each term, each of which occurring with probability 2^{-n} . Equivalently, we can write the sum over n consecutive steps as a sum over all possible displacements defined as $\tilde{s}_k = \sum_{\ell=n(k-1)+1}^{nk} i_{\ell} = j_{nk} - j_{n(k-1)}$, where \tilde{s}_k takes values in the set $s \equiv \{-n, -n+2, \dots, n-2, n\}$ with probability $\frac{1}{2^n} \binom{n}{\frac{\tilde{s}_k}{2} + \frac{n}{2}}$:

$$\sum_{i_{n(k-1)+1}=\pm 1} \dots \sum_{i_{nk}=\pm 1} \frac{1}{2^n} = \sum_{\tilde{s}_k \in s} \frac{1}{2^n} \binom{n}{\frac{\tilde{s}_k}{2} + \frac{n}{2}}. \quad (19)$$

At this point it is useful to switch from \tilde{s}_k to a summation variable $\tilde{j}_k \equiv j_{nk} = j_{n(k-1)} + \tilde{s}_k \in s + j_{n(k-1)}$. The random variable \tilde{j}_k represents the dimensionless displacement of the particle with respect to its previous position after n discrete steps. Taking the starting point to be $\tilde{j}_0 = 0$ for simplicity, we can

write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i_{q_*}} \frac{1}{2^{q_*}} &= \left(\sum_{\tilde{j}_1 \in s} \frac{1}{2^n} \binom{n}{\frac{\tilde{j}_1+n}{2}} \right) \\ &\times \left(\sum_{\tilde{j}_2 \in s+\tilde{j}_1} \frac{1}{2^n} \binom{n}{\frac{\tilde{j}_2-\tilde{j}_1+n}{2}} \right) \cdots \\ &\times \left(\sum_{\tilde{j}_N \in s+\tilde{j}_{N-1}} \frac{1}{2^n} \binom{n}{\frac{\tilde{j}_N-\tilde{j}_{N-1}+n}{2}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Since the expectation value of i_j is zero and its variance is one, for all j , it immediately follows from the central limit theorem that for large n (we remind the reader that n increases as $1/a$ where a tends to 0)

$$\sum_{\tilde{j}_k \in s+\tilde{j}_{k-1}} \frac{1}{2^n} \binom{n}{\frac{\tilde{j}_k-\tilde{j}_{k-1}+n}{2}} \xrightarrow{d} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n}} \int dj_k e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(j_k-j_{k-1})^2}{n}} \quad (21)$$

where j_k is the continuous counterpart of \tilde{j}_k , with $k = 1, \dots, N$, and \xrightarrow{d} denotes convergence in distribution. This is illustrated in Fig. 2.




Figure 2. **The displacement of a many-step i.i.d. random walk may be approximated by a (continuous) normal variable.** Left: Displacement as a function of number of steps for a thousand i.i.d. random walkers. The total number of steps for each walker is $n = 1000$, where each step displaces the walker by one unit either up or down. Right: A histogram of the final displacement values of the random walkers depicted in the left panel. In the limit of infinitely-long walks, the distribution approaches a normal one with mean zero and variance n .

The integrated probability measure thus converges in distribution to a product of Gaussian dis-

tributions:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i_{q_*}} \frac{1}{2^{q_*}} &\xrightarrow{d} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n}} \int dj_1 e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(j_1-j_0)^2}{n}} \right) \\ &\times \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n}} \int dj_2 e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(j_2-j_1)^2}{n}} \right) \cdots \\ &\times \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n}} \int dj_N e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(j_N-j_{N-1})^2}{n}} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi n} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \left[\prod_{k=1}^N \int dj_k \right] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^N (j_k-j_{k-1})^2}{n}}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Switching to the (continuous) dimensional displacement $x_k = j_k a$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i_{q_*}} \frac{1}{2^{q_*}} &\xrightarrow{d} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi n a^2} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \left[\prod_{k=1}^N \int dx_k \right] e^{-\frac{\sum_{k=1}^N (x_k-x_{k-1})^2}{2n a^2}} \\ &= \left(\frac{m}{2\pi \Delta t} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \left[\prod_{k=1}^N \int dx_k \right] e^{-\frac{m}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{x_k-x_{k-1}}{\Delta t} \right)^2 \Delta t}, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where in the last equation we identified $\Delta t = m n a^2$. This choice ensures that $N \Delta t = q_* m a^2 = \beta$. Upon choosing $n \sim N \sim \frac{1}{a}$, in the continuum limit $a \rightarrow 0$ we have $\Delta t \sim a \rightarrow 0$ and both n and N tend to ∞ . Thus in the continuum limit of $a \rightarrow 0$, the right-hand-side of Eq. (23), together with imposing the boundary condition $j_0 = j_0$ and summing over all starting points j_0 , recovers the famous Feynman path integral of a free quantum mechanical particle [3]

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \sum_j \sum_{i_{q_*}} \frac{1}{2^{q_*}} \xrightarrow{d} \oint \mathcal{D}x(t) e^{-\frac{m}{2} \int_0^\beta \dot{x}(t)^2 dt}. \quad (24)$$

So far, we have not considered the potential term in Z_a . In the Appendix, we show that in the limit of large q_* one can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(q_*)!}{(-\beta)^{q_*}} e^{-\beta[V(j_0 a), \dots, V(j_{q_*} a)]} &\approx \exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{q_*} \sum_{k=0}^{q_*} V(j_k a)\right) \\ &\approx \exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{q_*} \sum_{k=1}^{q_*} V(x_k)\right) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where in the absence of a potential this term is identically 1. The right-hand-side of the above equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\frac{\beta}{q_*} \sum_{k=1}^{q_*} V(x_k)} &= \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta t}{n} \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{\ell=1}^n V(x_{(k-1)n+\ell})\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\sum_{\ell=1}^n V(x_{(k-1)n+\ell})}{n}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

and since in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we can replace the average $\frac{\sum_{\ell=1}^n V(x_{(k-1)n+\ell})}{n}$ by $V(x_k)$, in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, we directly obtain

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \exp \left(-\Delta t \sum_{k=1}^N V(x_k) \right) = e^{-\int_0^\beta dt V(x(t))}. \quad (27)$$

Together, Eqs. (24) and (27) complete our derivation of the Feynman path integral for the partition function of a one dimensional quantum particle as a continuous limit of the the proposed walk sum of the corresponding discrete quantum system. We have thus demonstrated that the proposed walk sum formalism may serve as a natural extension of the Feynman path integral formalism to discrete-variable quantum systems [see Fig. 1(b)].

Analogously, we can readily derive the Feynman path integral for the transition amplitude, Eq. (10) from its discrete version. To do that, let us consider without loss of generality the case where the particle is localized at the origin at $t = 0$, that is, $x_{\text{in}} \equiv x(0) = 0$. Following the derivation for the partition function already obtained, one can similarly arrive at the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \langle x_{\text{out}} | e^{-\beta H_a} | x_{\text{in}} \rangle & \quad (28) \\ &= \int_{x(0)=x_{\text{in}}}^{x(\beta)=x_{\text{out}}} \mathcal{D}x(t) e^{-\int_0^\beta dt \left(\frac{m}{2} \dot{x}(t)^2 + V(x(t)) \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying a Wick rotation $\beta \rightarrow it$ [25], we immediately obtain the desired result for transition amplitudes

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \langle x_{\text{out}} | e^{-itH_a} | x_{\text{in}} \rangle & \quad (29) \\ &= \int_{x(0)=x_{\text{in}}}^{x(t)=x_{\text{out}}} \mathcal{D}x(t) e^{i \int_0^t d\tau \left(\frac{m}{2} \dot{x}(\tau)^2 - V(x(\tau)) \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We proposed, for the first time to the authors' knowledge, a universal, parameter-free discrete-variable analog to Feynman's path integral formulation. We demonstrated that for discrete systems path integrals take the form of walks on the Hamiltonian graph. We further showed that Feynman path integrals can in fact be derived from the proposed discrete analog in the continuum limit. The methods introduced here also offer a universal discretization scheme for continuous-variable quantum systems.

We hope that formulation presented here, aside from being a complement to Feynman's representation of quantum mechanics, will be leveraged in the future to shed new light on quantum phenomena in much the same way as Feynman's original integrals are used to obtain important insights about continuous-variable quantum systems.

One direct application of walk sums is that they lend themselves easily to numerical methods. Quantum Monte Carlo simulations of quantum many-body systems [13, 26, 27] and other computational techniques [14] based on the idea of walk sums have already proved themselves useful for studying the properties of complex large-scale quantum many-body systems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

AK acknowledges support for this project by NSF award #2210374. IH acknowledges support by the Office of Advanced Scientific Computing Research of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No DE-SC0024389.

-
- [1] R. P. Feynman, A. R. Hibbs, and D. F. Styer, *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals: Emended Edition (Dover Books on Physics)* (Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, 2005).
 - [2] R. P. Feynman, Space-time approach to non-relativistic quantum mechanics, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **20**, 367 (1948).
 - [3] J. Zinn-Justin, *Path Integrals in Quantum Mechanics* (Oxford University Press, 2010).
 - [4] A. Altland and B. Simons.
 - [5] N. Karchev, Path integral representation for spin systems (2012), [arXiv:1211.4509 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1211.4509).
 - [6] T. Nussle, S. Nicolis, and J. Barker, Numerical simulations of a spin dynamics model based on a path integral approach, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **5**, 043075 (2023).
 - [7] J. R. Klauder, The action option and a feynman quantization of spinor fields in terms of ordinary c-numbers, *Annals of Physics* **11**, 123 (1960).
 - [8] T. Jolicœur and O. Golinelli, Physics of integer-spin antiferromagnetic chains: Haldane gaps and edge states, *Comptes Rendus Chimie* **22**, 445 (2019), forty years of exchange interactions on the occasion of the 75th birthday of Michel Verdaguer.
 - [9] A. Garg, E. Kochetov, K.-S. Park, and M. Stone, *Spin coherent-state path integrals and the instanton calculus* (2001), [arXiv:cond-mat/0111139 \[cond-mat\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0111139).
 - [10] H. Grinberg, Path integral of spin models, *Physics Letters A* **311**, 133 (2003).
 - [11] J. Shibata and S. Takagi, A note on (spin-)

- coherent-state path integral, *International Journal of Modern Physics B* **13**, 107 (1999), <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217979299000096>.
- [12] T. Albash, G. Wagenbreth, and I. Hen, Off-diagonal expansion quantum monte carlo, *Phys. Rev. E* **96**, 063309 (2017).
- [13] L. Gupta, T. Albash, and I. Hen, Permutation matrix representation quantum monte carlo, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2020**, 073105 (2020).
- [14] L. Barash, S. Güttel, and I. Hen, Calculating elements of matrix functions using divided differences, *Computer Physics Communications* **271**, 108219 (2022).
- [15] I. Hen, Determining quantum monte carlo simulability with geometric phases, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **3**, 023080 (2021).
- [16] I. Hen, Off-diagonal series expansion for quantum partition functions, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2018**, 053102 (2018).
- [17] D. Joyner, *Adventures in group theory. Rubik's cube, Merlin's machine, and other mathematical toys* (Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press, 2008).
- [18] I. Hen, Resolution of the sign problem for a frustrated triplet of spins, *Phys. Rev. E* **99**, 033306 (2019).
- [19] E. T. Whittaker and G. Robinson, Divided differences, in *The Calculus of Observations: A Treatise on Numerical Mathematics* (New York: Dover, New York, 1967).
- [20] C. de Boor, Divided differences, *Surveys in Approximation Theory* **1**, 46 (2005).
- [21] A. Kalev and I. Hen, An integral-free representation of the dyson series using divided differences, *New Journal of Physics* **23**, 103035 (2021).
- [22] T. B. Boykin and G. Klimeck, The discretized schrödinger equation and simple models for semiconductor quantum wells, *European Journal of Physics* **25**, 503 (2004).
- [23] V. E. Tarasov, Exact discretization of schrödinger equation, *Physics Letters A* **380**, 68 (2016).
- [24] L. J. Curtis, Simple formula for the distortions in a Gaussian representation of a Poisson distribution, *American Journal of Physics* **43**, 1101 (1975), https://pubs.aip.org/aapt/ajp/article-pdf/43/12/1101/11782166/1101_1_online.pdf.
- [25] G. C. Wick, Properties of bethe-salpeter wave functions, *Phys. Rev.* **96**, 1124 (1954).
- [26] L. Barash, A. Babakhani, and I. Hen, Quantum monte carlo algorithm for arbitrary spin-1/2 hamiltonians, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **6**, 013281 (2024).
- [27] E. Akaturk and I. Hen, Quantum monte carlo algorithm for bose-hubbard models on arbitrary graphs, *Phys. Rev. B* **109**, 134519 (2024).
- [28] B. Baxter and R. Brummelhuis, Functionals of exponential brownian motion and divided differences (2010), [arXiv:1006.1996 \[math.NA\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1006.1996).

APPENDIX: THE DIVIDED DIFFERENCE EXPONENTIAL IN THE LARGE-NUMBER-OF-INPUTS LIMIT

Consider a (multi-)set of $q + 1$ real-valued inputs x_0, \dots, x_q with mean $\mu = (\sum_{i=0}^q x_i)/(q + 1)$ and variance $\sigma^2 = \sum_{i=0}^q (x_i - \mu)^2/(q + 1)$. We first prove¹ that for $q \gg \sigma^2$ the following holds.

$$q!e^{[x_0, \dots, x_q]} = e^{\left(\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2(q+2)} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right)\right)}. \quad (30)$$

To do that, we use the Hermite-Genocchi formulation of divided differences [28]

$$f[x_0, \dots, x_q] = \int_{\Omega} f^{(q)}\left(\sum_{i=0}^q t_i x_i\right) dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_q, \quad (31)$$

where $f(\cdot)$ is arbitrary Taylor-expandable function, $f^{(q)}(\cdot)$ denotes the q -th derivate of $f(\cdot)$, the volume of integration Ω is defined by $t_i > 0$ for all i , $\sum_{i=1}^q t_i < 1$, and $t_0 = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^q t_i$. For the exponential function the above reads

$$e^{[x_0, \dots, x_q]} = \int_{\Omega} e^{\sum_{i=0}^q t_i x_i} dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_q, \quad (32)$$

First, we note that $e^{[x_0 - \mu, \dots, x_q - \mu]} = e^{-\mu} e^{[x_0, \dots, x_q]}$ which can be shown directly from the Hermite-Genocchi formulation

$$\begin{aligned} e^{[x_0, \dots, x_q]} &= e^{\mu} \int_{\Sigma} e^{\sum_{i=0}^q t_i (x_i - \mu)} dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_q \\ &= e^{[x_0 - \mu, \dots, x_q - \mu]}, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where we have used the fact that $\sum_{i=0}^q t_i = 1$. Due to the above, we may assume without loss of generality that $\mu = 0$ for what follows.

Expanding the integrand in the Hermite-Genocchi formulation in a Taylor series, we find that

$$e^{[x_0, \dots, x_q]} = \int_{\Omega} \left(1 + s_q + \frac{s_q^2}{2} + \dots\right) dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_q, \quad (34)$$

where $s_q = \sum_{i=0}^q t_i x_i$. In addition, it is easy to work out

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i=0}^q x_i t_i\right)^m dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_q \\ &= \frac{m!}{(q+m)!} \sum_{\substack{k_0 + \dots + k_q = m \\ 0 \leq k_j \leq m}} \prod_{j=0}^q x_j^{k_j}, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

¹ A special thanks is extended to Lev Barash for the main proof detailed in this appendix.

where $m \geq 0$. Specifically:

$$\int_{\Omega} dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_q = \frac{1}{q!},$$

$$\int_{\Omega} s_q dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_q = \frac{\mu}{n!} = 0,$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{s_q^2}{2} dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_q = \frac{1}{2(q+2)!} \left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^q x_i \right)^2 + \sum_{i=0}^n x_i^2 \right) \quad (38)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{s_q^3}{6} dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_q = \frac{1}{(q+3)!} \left(\sum_i x_i^3 + \sum_{i < j} (x_i^2 x_j + x_i x_j^2) + \sum_{i < j < k} x_i x_j x_k \right).$$

Hence, it follows from Eq. (34) that

$$q! e^{[x_0 - \mu, \dots, x_q - \mu]} = 1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{2(q+2)} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right), \quad (40)$$

or, expressed differently

$$q! e^{[x_0, \dots, x_q]} \approx e^{\mu} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{2(q+2)} \right). \quad (41)$$

(37) Next, from the Hermite-Genocchi formulation for $f(\cdot) = e^{-\beta(\cdot)}$, one can easily show that

$$e^{[-\beta x_0, \dots, -\beta x_q]} = \frac{1}{(-\beta)^q} e^{-\beta[x_0, \dots, x_q]} \quad (42)$$

(39) Putting the above together, we find that for any fixed variance σ , in the limit of $q \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$q! e^{[-\beta x_0, \dots, -\beta x_q]} = \frac{q!}{(-\beta)^q} e^{-\beta[x_0, \dots, x_q]} \quad (43)$$

$$\approx e^{\mu} = e^{-\frac{\beta}{q+1} \sum_{j=0}^q x_j},$$

as asserted in the main text.