

SUM AND INTEGRAL SUM GRAPHS - A SURVEY

LOWELL W. BEINEKE¹ AND V. VILFRED KAMALAPPAN²

*Dedicated to the memory of
Professor Frank Harary [11.03.1921 to 04.01.2005]*

ABSTRACT. Frank Harary introduced the concepts of sum and integral sum graphs. A graph G is a *sum graph* if the vertices of G can be labeled with distinct positive integers so that $e = uv$ is an edge of G if and only if the sum of the labels on vertices u and v is also a label in G . An *integral sum graph* is also defined just as sum graph, the difference being that the labels may be any distinct integers. In this survey article, the authors bring out several properties of sum and integral sum graphs obtained by different authors.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *graph labeling* is an assignment of integers to the vertices or edges, or both, subject to certain conditions [12]. Sum labeling and integral sum labeling are two such graph labeling problems introduced by Harary [16, 17] in 1990 and 1994. A graph G is a *sum graph* if the vertices of G can be labeled with distinct positive integers so that $e = uv$ is an edge of G if and only if the sum of the labels on vertices u and v is also a label in G . An *integral sum graph* is also defined just as sum graph, the difference being that the labels may be any distinct integers.

Slamet et al. [29] show an application of sum graph labellings to distribute secret information to a set of people so that only an authorized set of people can reconstruct the secret [29]. Sutton [30], in his Ph.D. thesis, introduced two methods of graph labellings that generalize the notion of sum graphs and have applications to storage and manipulation of relational database. Sum and integral sum labelings are also applied on the Data Storage and Compression in Computers, the Algorithm Speed and the Secret Sharing Scheme. Properties of sum and integral sum graphs are studied by many authors [4] - [8], [12], [16]-[46]. In this survey article, we bring out several properties of sum and integral sum graphs obtained by different authors.

We consider simple graphs throughout this paper. For all basic notation and definitions in graph theory, we follow [15, 11]. For additional reading on related graph labeling problems, please refer to [12, 33].

2. STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES OF INTEGRAL SUM GRAPHS G_n AND $G_{-m,n}$

For any non-empty set of integers S , $G^+(S)$ denotes the integral sum graph on the set S . Harary [17] also introduced families of sum graphs $G_n = G^+([1, n])$ and integral sum graphs $G_{-n,n} = G^+([-n, n])$ where $[r, s] = \{r, r + 1, \dots, s\}$, $r \leq s$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. The extension of Harary graphs to all intervals of integers

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C78, 05C15, 05C75.

Key words and phrases. Integral sum graph, edge sum class, integral sum edge chromatic number, covering number, independence number, chromatic number, clique number, perfect graph.

was introduced by Vilfred and Mary Florida in [38]: for any integers r and s with $-r \leq 0 < s$, let $G_{-r,s} = G^+([-r, s])$. In this section, we start with basic definitions and present a few structural properties of sum graphs G_n and integral sum graphs $G_{-r,s}$, $n, r, s \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.1. [16] *A graph G is a sum graph or \mathbb{N} -sum graph if the vertices of G can be labeled with distinct positive integers so that uv is an edge of G if and only if the sum of the labels on vertices u and v is also a label in $V(G)$.*

Definition 2.2. [17] *An integral sum graph or \mathbb{Z} -sum graph is also defined just as sum graph, the difference being that the labels may be any distinct integers.*





The *join* of two graphs A and B denoted by $A * B$ is the graph $A \cup B$ together with edges joining each vertex of A with all the vertices of B [11].

The following notations are used to keep formulas relatively brief [33]:

- (1) n will always denote a positive integer, and G_n is the sum graph $G^+([1, n])$.
- (2) We denote the number of edges of a graph G by $||G||$.
- (3) We denote the sum graph $G^+([1, n])$ by G_n^+ when it is labeled and by G_n when it is unlabeled.
- (4) Two unlabeled graphs are said to be *comparable* if one is a subgraph of the other, while two labeled graphs are *comparable* if one is a subgraph of the other with the labels preserved.

Clearly, any two Harary graphs G_m and G_n are comparable, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. In contrast, it is easy to check that labeled graphs G_3^+ and $G_2^+ * G^+(\{3\})$ are not comparable even though as unlabeled graph G_3 is a (spanning) subgraph of unlabeled graph $G_2 * G^+(\{3\})$.

Note that $G^+([-s, -1]) \cong G^+([1, s])$ so that a labeling with only negatives is the same as one with only positives. Furthermore, if every label in a sum graph is replaced by its negative, then the two graphs are isomorphic [33]. Clearly, $G_{-r,s} = K_1 * (G_{-r} * G_s)$, $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. The graph operation of the join, which we denote here by $*$, is both associative and commutative [38]. Integral sum graphs $G_{0,6}$, $G_{-1,5}$, $G_{-2,4}$, $G_{-3,3}$ are given in Figures 1-4.

Figure 1. $G_{0,6}$ Figure 2. $G_{-1,5}$ Figure 3. $G_{-2,4}$ Figure 4. $G_{-3,3}$

Now, at first, we present different properties of sum graphs.

Theorem 2.3. [33] The degree of the vertex with label i in G_n^+ is

$$\deg(i) = \begin{cases} n - i - 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \\ n - i & \text{if } \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{cases} \quad \square$$

Thus, the degree sequence of G_{2k} is $2k-2, 2k-3, \dots, k-1, k-1, \dots, 1, 0$, while that of G_{2k+1} is $2k-1, 2k-2, \dots, k, k, \dots, 1, 0$. By adding these numbers, we find the number of edges in G_n . The graph G_{2k} has $k(k-1)$ edges and the graph G_{2k+1} has k^2 edges. Combining these results, we get the next theorem.

Theorem 2.4. [33] The graph G_n has $\lfloor \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} \rfloor$ edges. \square

We now turn to the degrees of the vertices in the interval graphs $G_{-r,s}$.

Theorem 2.5. [21] In $G_{-r,s}$, the degree of the vertex with label i is

$$\deg i = \begin{cases} n + i & \text{if } -r \leq i \leq -\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - 1 \\ n + i - 1 & \text{if } -\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor \leq i \leq -1 \\ n - 1 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ n - i - 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor \\ n - i & \text{if } \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + 1 \leq i \leq s. \end{cases} \quad \square$$

By combining Theorem 2.4 with the property $G_{r,s} = K_1 * (G_r * G_s)$, we find $m(r, s) = ||G_{r,s}||$, the number of edges in $G_{r,s}$ as follows.

Theorem 2.6. [21] For $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, the number of edges in $G_{-r,s}$ is

$$rs + r + s + \lfloor \frac{(r+1)^2}{4} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{(s+1)^2}{4} \rfloor. \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.7. [33] For $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $S \subseteq [r, 2r]$, $G^+(S)$ is a totally disconnected.

Proof. Clearly, the sum of the labels of any two vertices in $G^+(S)$ is at least $r + (r + 1)$, which is greater than any of the other labels. Hence all vertices of $G^+(S)$ are isolated vertices only. \square

The followings are a few structural properties of sum graphs $G^+([s + 1, s + n])$ for $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. When $s = 0$, $G^+([s + 1, s + n]) = G^+([1, n]) = G_n^+$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here, and also later, it is convenient to say that a set of vertices is *subscript-labeled* if the label on each of the vertices is the same as its subscript.

Theorem 2.8. [33] Let $n \geq 3$, $S = [s + 1, s + n]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then,

- (a) for $s \geq n - 2$, $G^+(S)$ is totally disconnected and
- (b) for $s \leq n - 3$, $G^+(S) \cong G_{n-s} \cup K_s^c$.

Proof. Proof of Part (a) is similar to that of Theorem 2.7. That is if $s + 1 + s + 2 > n + s$, then no two vertices of $G^+(S) = G^+([s + 1, s + n])$ are adjacent. This implies, for $s + 3 > n$, all the vertices of $G^+(S)$ are isolated vertices only. Thus $G^+(S)$ is totally disconnected when $s \geq n - 2$, $n, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

For part (b), we note that when $(s + 1) + (s + 2) \leq s + n$, the graph $G^+(S)$ has edges. That is when $s + 3 \leq n$, $G^+(S)$ has edges. Let the vertices of $G^+(S)$ be $u_{s+1}, u_{s+2}, \dots, u_{s+n}$ and be subscript-labeled, and similarly for the vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-s} in G_{n-s} . Further, for $k = 1, 2, \dots, s$, let w_k be a vertex with label $n - s + k$, and let W_s be the totally disconnected graph with vertex-set $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_s\}$.

Define mapping $f : V(G^+(S)) \rightarrow V(G_{n-s}^+ \cup W_s)$ such that $f(u_{s+i}) = v_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-s$ and $f(u_{n+j}) = w_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$. This mapping is clearly a one-to-one correspondence between the sets of vertices of the two graphs. We now show that it is an isomorphism by looking at the neighborhoods of the vertices u_i in $G^+(S)$. The neighbors of u_{s+1} are $u_{s+2}, u_{s+3}, \dots, u_{n-1}$, the neighbors of u_{s+2} are $u_{s+1}, u_{s+3}, \dots, u_{n-2}$, and so on. In addition, for $n-s+1 \leq l \leq s+n$, u_l is of degree 0. It follows that for $i, j \leq n-s$, u_{s+i} is adjacent to u_{s+j} if and only if v_i is adjacent to v_j in G_{n-s}^+ . Furthermore, if $l > n-s$, then $f(u_l)$ is in W_s , so isolated vertices are mapped to isolated vertices. Consequently, f is an isomorphism. \square

For convenience, if graph F is a subgraph of graph G without the vertex labels, this will often be denoted by $F \subseteq_{\text{wvl}} G$. Similarly, if F is isomorphic to G without the vertex labels, we often write $F \cong_{\text{wvl}} G$. Following theorem is related to the previous theorem.




Theorem 2.9. [33] If $0 \leq s \leq n-3$ and $S = [s+1, s+n]$, then $G_{n-s}^+ \subseteq_{\text{wvl}} G^+(S)$. In particular, $G_{n-2}^+ \subseteq_{\text{wvl}} G^+([2, n]) \subseteq_{\text{wvl}} G_{n-1}^+$.




Proof. Theorem 2.8 implies, for $S = [s+1, s+n]$, $s \leq n-3$ and $n, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $G^+(S) \cong G_{n-s}^+ \cup K_s^c$ which implies, $G_{n-s}^+ \subseteq_{\text{wvl}} G^+(S)$. This implies, $G^+([2, n]) = G^+([1+1, 1+(n-1)]) \cong G_{n-2}^+ \cup W_1$ where W_1 consists of an isolated vertex w_1 . This establishes $G_{n-2}^+ \subseteq_{\text{wvl}} G^+([2, n])$. Also, G_{n-1} is the only maximal sum graph of order $n-1$ (on an interval $[1, n-1]$). This implies, $G^+([2, n]) \subseteq_{\text{wvl}} G_{n-1}^+$ \square

The *underlying graph* of an integral sum graph is obtained by removing all vertex labels. In G_n^+ , we call the vertices i and $n+1-i$ *supplementary* [33], $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Definition 2.10. [37] A graph G is an *anti-integral sum graph* or *anti- \mathbb{Z} -sum graph* if the vertices of G can be labeled with distinct integers so that $e = uv$ is an edge of G if and only if the sum of the labels on vertices u and v is not a vertex label in G .

Clearly, f is an integral sum labeling of graph G if and only if f is an anti-integral sum labeling of G^c . Indeed, many results on anti-sum graphs are simply analogues to the corresponding results on sum graphs and are stated without proof. A simple property of complements is that if v is a vertex of graph G , then $(G-v)^c \cong G^c - v$. Therefore, we add results on anti-sum graphs as consequences of results on sum graphs. Sum graph G_7 , anti-sum graph G_7^c and $G_7 \cup G_7^c = K_7$ are given in Figures 5 to 7. In Figures 8 to 10 graphs G_8 , G_8^c and $G_8 \cup G_8^c = K_8$ are given.

Figure 5. G_7 Figure 6. G_7^c Figure 7. $G_7 \cup G_7^c = K_7$

Figure 8. G_8 Figure 9. G_8^c Figure 10. $G_8 \cup G_8^c = K_8$

Definition 2.11. [11] A graph G is a split graph if its vertices can be partitioned into a clique and a stable set. A clique in a graph is a set of pair-wise adjacent vertices and an independent set or stable set in a graph is a set of pair-wise non-adjacent vertices.

Clearly, G_n and G_n^c are split graphs; $[1, m]$, $[1, m + 1]$, $[m + 1, 2m]$, $[m + 2, 2m + 1]$ are cliques and $[m + 1, 2m]$, $[m + 2, 2m + 1]$, $[1, m]$, $[1, m + 1]$ are stable sets in G_{2m} , G_{2m+1} , G_{2m}^c , G_{2m+1}^c , respectively.

Theorem 2.12. [33] If any pair of supplementary vertices are removed from

- (i) G_n , then the result is isomorphic to G_{n-2} without the vertex labels and
- (ii) G_n^c , then the result is isomorphic to G_{n-2}^c without the vertex labels.

Proof. (i) For convenience, the odd and even cases are considered separately, and because the two cases are so similar, we prove only the even case here. Let $n = 2s$ and let $V(G_{2s}) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2s}\}$ and $V(G_{2s-2}) = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2s-2}\}$, both subscript-labeled. In G_{2s} , the set $K = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s\}$ induces a clique and the set $L = \{v_{s+1}, v_{s+2}, \dots, v_{2s}\}$ an independent set, and similarly for the vertices in G_{2s-2} .

Let v_j and v_{2s+1-j} be a pair of supplementary vertices, and define the mapping $f : V(G_{2s} - \{v_j, v_{2s+1-j}\}) \rightarrow V(G_{2s-2})$ by $f(v_i) = w_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, j-1$; $f(v_i) = w_{i-1}$ for $i = j+1, j+2, \dots, 2s-j$; and $f(v_i) = w_{i-2}$ for $i = 2s-j+2, 2s-j+3, \dots, 2s$. This mapping is clearly bijective between the sets of vertices of the two graphs. Furthermore, the images of the clique K and the independent set L are also a clique and independent set. The preservation of the edges and non-edges between the two sets K and L can be established in a straightforward way using the definition of a sum graph. From this, it follows that $G_{2s} - \{v_j, v_{2s+1-j}\} \cong_{wvl} G_{2s-2}$. \square

The following is an extension of the above theorem.

Corollary 2.13. [33] Let $n > 2k \geq 2$. If k pairs of supplementary vertices are removed from

- (i) G_n , then the result is isomorphic to G_{n-2k} without the vertex labels and
- (ii) G_n^c , then the result is isomorphic to G_{n-2k}^c without the vertex labels. \square

Theorem 2.14. [28] For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_n^+ - \{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor\} \cong_{wvl} G_{n-1}^+$.

Proof. Proof is based on the principle of mathematical induction on n , the order of graph G_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $n = 1, 2$, the result is true. Assume the result for all $k < n$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. That is the result is true for all G_k such that $k < n$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, we consider graph G_n with odd and even cases of n separately and present proof only for the even case since proof for the two cases are similar. Let $n = 2m$ and the graph be G_{2m} , $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $V(G_{2m}) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2m}\}$ and $V(G_{2m-1}) = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2m-1}\}$

and the vertices of the two graphs be subscript-labeled. Our aim is to prove that $G_{2m} - \{v_m\} \cong_{wvl} G_{2m-1}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, the set $K = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$ is a clique and set $L = \{v_{m+1}, v_{m+2}, \dots, v_{2m}\}$ is an independent set in G_{2m} . Also, it is clear that the set $K_1 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{m-1}, v_{m+1}\}$ is a clique and set $L_1 = \{v_{m+2}, v_{m+3}, \dots, v_{2m}\}$ is an independent set in $G_{2m} - \{v_m\}$, and the set $K_2 = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m\}$ is a clique and set $L_2 = \{w_{m+1}, w_{m+2}, \dots, w_{2m-1}\}$ is an independent set in G_{2m-1} .

Define mapping $f : V(G_{2m} - \{v_m\}) \rightarrow V(G_{2m-1})$ by $f(v_i) = w_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ and $f(v_j) = w_{j-1}$, $m+1 \leq j \leq 2m$. Clearly, f preserves adjacency on the clique sets K_1 and K_2 as well as on the independent sets L_1 and L_2 . We now prove that f also preserves adjacency on every (v_i, v_j) where $v_i \in K_1$ and $v_j \in L_1$. For $v_i \in K_1$ and $v_j \in L_1$, $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ or $i = m+1$ and $m+2 \leq j \leq 2m$. Therefore, for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ and $m+2 \leq j \leq 2m$, $f((v_i, v_j)) = (f(v_i), f(v_j)) = (w_i, w_{j-1})$ and $f((v_{m+1}, v_j)) = (f(v_{m+1}), f(v_j)) = (w_m, w_{j-1})$. This implies, for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ or $i = m+1$ and $m+2 \leq j \leq 2m$, $f((v_i, v_j)) = (w_i, w_{j-1})$ where $(v_i, v_j) \in K_1 \times L_1$ and $(w_i, w_j) \in K_2 \times L_2$. Thus, f preserves adjacency.

Similarly, we can prove that $G_{2m+1} - \{v_{\lfloor 2m+1/2 \rfloor}\} \cong_{wvl} G_{2m}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Theorem 2.15. [28] For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_{0,n} - \{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor\} \cong_{wvl} G_n^c$.

Proof. For convenience, we consider odd and even cases of n , order of the graph G_n^c , separately and since the two cases are so similar, here we prove only for the even case. Let $n = 2m$ and the graph be G_{2m}^c , $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Our aim is to prove that $G_{0,2m} - \{m\} \cong_{wvl} G_{2m}^c$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $V(G_{0,2m} - \{m\}) = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{m-1}, v_{m+1}, \dots, v_{2m}\}$ and $V(G_{2m}^c) = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2m}\}$, both subscript-labeled. Clearly, in $G_{0,2m} - \{m\}$, the set $K_1 = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{m-1}, v_{m+1}\}$ is a clique and $L_1 = \{v_{m+2}, v_{m+3}, \dots, v_{2m}\}$ is an independent set and in G_{2m}^c , the set $K_2 = \{w_m, w_{m+1}, \dots, w_{2m}\}$ is a clique and $L_2 = \{w_{m-1}, w_{m-2}, \dots, w_1\}$ is an independent set.

Define the mapping $f : V(G_{0,2m} - \{m\}) \rightarrow V(G_{2m}^c)$ by $f(v_i) = w_{2m-i}$ for $i = 0$ to $m-1$ and $f(v_j) = w_{2m-j+1}$, for $j = m+1$ to $2m$. Then, the proof is similar to Theorem 2.14.

Similarly, we can prove that $G_{0,2m+1} - \{m\} \cong_{wvl} G_{2m+1}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Result 2.16. [42] [Algorithm to generate G_n]

When n is odd, starting with G_1 and using Theorem 2.12, we can generate G_3, G_5, \dots sum graphs of successive odd orders. When n is even, start with G_2 and use Theorem 2.12 to generate G_4, G_6, \dots sum graphs of successive even orders.

Proof. We consider odd and even cases of n separately. When n is odd, we start with G_1 with vertex u_1 (with sum label 1). From G_1 , move to G_3 by changing u_1 to u_2 , include u_1 and u_3 , join u_1 with u_2 . Sum label vertex u_i with i for $i = 1$ to 3 , then the resultant labeled graph is G_3 .

In general, at k^{th} stage, let G_{2k+1} be the sum graph with vertices $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{2k+1}$ with subscript-labeling as their sum labels, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, from G_{2k+1} , we can move to G_{2k+3} by changing u_i to v_{i+1} for $i = 1$ to $2k+1$, with G_{2k+1} include v_1 and v_{2k+3} , join v_1 with v_j for all j , $j = 1$ to $2k+2$ and consider sum label of vertex v_i with i for $i = 1$ to $2k+3$, then the resultant labeled graph is the sum graph G_{2k+3} . Continue the process until we obtain sum graph G_{2n+1} , $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Similar process is done in the case of generating G_{2n} and the only difference is that we have to start with G_2 instead of G_1 , $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Two graphs are said to be *non-comparable* if neither is a subgraph of the other. Clearly, any two Harary graphs G_m^+ and G_n^+ are comparable. In contrast, it is easy to check that labeled graphs G_3^+ and $G_2^+ * G^+(\{3\})$ are not comparable even though as unlabeled graphs G_3 is a (spanning) subgraph of $G_2 * G^+(\{3\})$ [44]. We present the following related results.

For convenience, if graph F is a subgraph of graph G without the vertex labels, this will often be denoted by $F \subseteq_{\text{wvl}} G$. Similarly, if F is isomorphic to G without the vertex labels, we often write $F \cong_{\text{wvl}} G$ and if F is an induced subgraph of G , this will sometimes be denoted by $F \leq G$ and by $F \leq_{\text{wvl}} G$.

Theorem 2.17. [33] For $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq s + 2$,

- (i) $G_s^+ \cup G^+([s + 1, n]) \leq G_n^+ \leq G_1^+ * G^+([2, n])$ and
- (ii) $G_1^+ \cup (G^+([2, n]))^c \leq (G_n^+)^c \leq (G_s^+)^c * G^+([s + 1, n])^c$.

Proof. (i) Clearly, $G_s^+ \cup G^+([s + 1, n])$ is a spanning subgraph of G_n^+ , $n \geq s + 2$ and $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, let us prove the other part. Let $V(G_n^+) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ and the vertices be subscript-labeled. Using the definition of sum labeling of graphs, $G_n^+ = G^+([2, n]) \cup K_1(u_1) \cup (u_1, u_2) \cup (u_1, u_3) \cup \dots \cup (u_1, u_{n-1})$ whereas the underlying graph of $G_1^+ * G^+([2, n]) = G^+([2, n]) \cup K_1(u_1) \cup (u_1, u_2) \cup (u_1, u_3) \cup \dots \cup (u_1, u_{n-1}) \cup (u_1, u_n)$.

(ii) Taking complement of the graphs in the relation (i), we get the result (ii). \square

Theorem 2.18. [37] Let $n \geq 4$ and $n > s \geq 2$ and $s, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

- (i) G_n^+ and $G_s^+ * G^+([s + 1, n])$ are non-comparable graphs;
- (ii) $(G_n^+)^c$ and $(G_s^+)^c \cup (G^+([s + 1, n]))^c$ are non-comparable graphs.

Proof. (i) For $n \geq 4$ and $n > s \geq 2$, vertices with label 1 and s are adjacent in G_n^+ but non-adjacent in G_s^+ and thereby they are non-adjacent in $G_s^+ * G^+([s + 1, n])$. This implies, G_n^+ cannot be a subgraph of $G_s^+ * G^+([s + 1, n])$. Also, vertices with label s and n are adjacent in $G_s^+ * G^+([s + 1, n])$ but non-adjacent in G_n^+ and thereby $G_s^+ * G^+([s + 1, n])$ cannot be a subgraph of G_n^+ . Hence the two graphs are non-comparable.

(ii) By considering complement of the graphs in (i), we get result (ii). \square

Theorem 2.19. [37] For $n \geq 5$, the underlying graphs of

- (i) G_n^+ and $G_2^+ * G^+([3, n])$ are non-comparable and for $n \geq 4$,
 $\|G_2^+ * G^+([3, n])\| = \|G^+([3, n])\| + 2(n - 2) = \|G_n^+\| + 2$;
- (ii) $(G_2^+)^c \cup (G^+([3, n]))^c$ and $(G_n^+)^c$ are non-comparable.

Proof. Here, we provide proof for (i). For $n \geq 4$, the sum graph $G_n^+ \setminus \{1, 2\} = G^+([3, n])$; $E(G_n^+) = E(G^+([3, n])) \cup \{(1, n - 1), (1, n - 2), \dots, (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}), (2, n - 2), (2, n - 3), \dots, (2, 3)\}$. And $E(G_2^+ * G^+([3, n])) = E(G^+([3, n])) \cup \{(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{n}), (1, n - 1), \dots, (1, 3), (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{n}), (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{n} - 1), (2, n - 2), \dots, (2, 3)\}$. Thus, for $n \geq 4$, $\|G_n^+\| + 2 = \|G_2^+ * G^+([3, n])\| = \|G^+([3, n])\| + 2(n - 2)$. And thereby, the underlying graph of G_n^+ cannot be a super graph of the underlying graph of $G_2^+ * G^+([3, n])$.

Claim. For $n \geq 5$, the underlying graph of $G_2^+ * G^+([3, n])$ cannot be a super graph of the underlying graph of G_n^+ .

For $n \geq 3$, in $G_2^+ * G^+([3, n])$, vertices 1 and 2 are nonadjacent and each of them makes a cycle of length 3 with each edges of $G^+([3, n])$. When $n = 5$ and $n = 6$, graphs $G_2^+ * G^+([3, 5])$ and $G_2^+ * G^+([3, 6])$ have no cycle of length 3 since G_2^+ , $G^+([3, 5])$ and $G^+([3, 6])$ are totally disconnected graphs, by Theorem 2.8 whereas

graph G_5^+ has one cycle of length 3 and graph G_6^+ has 2 cycles, each of length 3. Thus, the underlying graph of $G_2^+ * G^+([3, n])$ cannot be a super graph of the underlying graph of G_n^+ for $n = 5$ and 6.

For $n \geq 7$, number of cycles, each of length 3 and has 1 or 2 as one of its vertex label in $G_2^+ * G^+([3, n])$ is $2 \times ||G^+([3, n])||$. On the other hand, for $n \geq 7$, in G_n^+ , vertices 1 and 2 are adjacent, each of them makes a cycle of length 3 with each edges of subgraph $G^+([3, n])$ and edge (1, 2) makes cycles of length 3 with each vertices 3, 4, \dots , $n - 2$. Thus, for $n \geq 7$, G_n^+ has $n - 2 - 2 = n - 4$ (both 1 and 2 cannot be adjacent to n and $n - 1$) more number of cycles of length 3 than that of $G_2^+ * G^+([3, n])$ and thereby the underlying graph of $G_2^+ * G^+([3, n])$ cannot be a super graph of the underlying graph of G_n^+ . Hence, the claim is true and thereby the theorem is proved. \square

The next three theorems give additional connection between Harary's sum graphs and their complements, anti-sum graphs.

Theorem 2.20. [44] For $n \geq 2$, $G_n \cong_{\text{wvl}} G_n^c - \{(1, n), (2, n-1), \dots, (\lfloor n/2 \rfloor, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1)\}$.

Proof. Let $V(G_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and $V(G_n^c) = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$, with both graphs subscript-labeled, and let $H_n = G_n^c - \{(1, n), (2, n-1), \dots, (\lfloor n/2 \rfloor, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1)\}$. Define a mapping f from G_n to H_n with $f(v_i) = w_{n-i+1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Now, for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, v_i adjacent to v_j in G_n implies, $i + j \leq n$, which in turn implies, $(n - i + 1) + (n - j + 1) > n$, so that w_{n-i+1} is adjacent to w_{n-j+1} in H_n . Hence if two vertices are adjacent in G_n , their images under f are adjacent in H_n .

We now prove the converse, namely, that if two vertices are not adjacent in G_n , their images under f are not adjacent in H_n . To this end, let v_i and v_j be non-adjacent in G_n . Then $i + j \geq n + 1$, so $(n - i + 1) + (n - j + 1) \leq n + 1$. If $(n - i + 1) + (n - j + 1) \leq n$, then w_{n-i+1} is not adjacent to w_{n-j+1} in G_n^c and hence not in H_n . That leaves only the case where $(n - i + 1) + (n - j + 1) = n + 1$. But this implies that $i + j = n + 1$, which violates one of the hypotheses and completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.21. [44] For $n \geq 3$, the underlying graphs of $K_n, 2(G_n^+) \cup \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor P_2$ and $G_n^c \cup (G_n^c - \{(1, n), (2, n-1), \dots, (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, n+1 - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)\})$ are isomorphic.

Proof. Using Theorem 2.20, the underlying graphs of G_n and $G_n^c - \{(1, n), (2, n-1), \dots, (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, n+1 - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)\}$ are isomorphic. This implies that the underlying graphs of $K_n = G_n \cup G_n^c, 2G_n \cup \{(1, n), (2, n-1), \dots, (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, n+1 - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)\}, 2(G_n^+) \cup \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor P_2$ and $G_n^c \cup (G_n^c - \{(1, n), (2, n-1), \dots, (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, n+1 - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)\})$ are isomorphic. \square

Theorem 2.22. [44] The underlying graphs of $G_{0,n}$ and G_{n+1}^c are isomorphic, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Using the definition of anti-sum labeling, we obtain, $G_{n+1}^c = (((G_n^c \cup K_1(n+1)) - \{(1, n), (2, n-1), \dots, (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, n+1 - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)\}) \cup \{(1, n+1), (2, n+1), \dots, (n, n+1)\}) \cong (G_n^c - \{(1, n), (2, n-1), \dots, (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, n+1 - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)\}) \cup K_1(n+1) \cup \{(1, n+1), (2, n+1), \dots, (n, n+1)\}$ which is isomorphic to the underlying graph of $G_n \cup K_1(n+1) \cup \{(1, n+1), (2, n+1), \dots, (n, n+1)\} = G_n * K_1(n+1)$ where $K_1(n+1)$ represents a vertex with vertex label $n+1$ in the graph $G_n \cup K_1(n+1)$. This implies, the underlying graphs of $G_{n+1}^c, G_n * K_1(n+1)$ and $G_{0,n}$ are isomorphic since the underlying graphs of $G_n * K_1(n+1)$ and $G_{0,n}$ are isomorphic. Hence the result. \square

Theorem 2.23. [44] For $n \geq 3$, the underlying graphs of $G_{0,n} - \{0, n\}$ and $G_{0,n-2}$ are isomorphic.

Proof. Let $V(G_{0,n-2}) = \{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-2}\}$ and $V(G_{0,n}) = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ where i and j are integral sum labels of u_i and v_j , respectively, $0 \leq i \leq n-2$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$. Define mapping $f : V(G_{0,n-2}) \rightarrow V(G_{0,n})$ such that $f(u_i) = v_{i+1}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$. Now, u_i and u_j are adjacent in $G_{0,n-2}$ if and only if $i \neq j, 0 \leq i, j \leq n-2$ and $0+1 = 1 \leq i+j \leq n-2$ if and only if $i+1 \neq j+1, 1 \leq i+1, j+1 \leq n-1$ and $1+2 = 3 \leq (i+1) + (j+1) \leq n = (n-1) + 1$ if and only if v_{i+1} and v_{j+1} are adjacent in $G_{0,n} - \{0, n\}$ if and only if $f(u_i)$ and $f(u_j)$ are adjacent in $G_{0,n} - \{0, n\}$. This implies the mapping f is bijective, preserves adjacency and $f(G_{0,n} - \{0, n\}) = G_{0,n-2}$. \square

Theorem 2.24. [44] For $n \geq 5$, the underlying graphs of $G_{0,n} - (\{0, n, n-1, n-2\} \cup [n] \cup [n-1])$ and $G_{0,n-4}$ are isomorphic.

Proof. Using the definition of integral sum labeling we obtain isomorphic graphs of the underlying graphs of $G_{0,n} - (\{n, n-1\} \cup [n] \cup [n-1])$ and $G_{0,n-2}$ where $[k]$ in $G^+(S)$ denotes the set of all edges of $G^+(S)$ whose edge sum value is $k, k \in S$ [34]. Using Theorem 2.23, the underlying graphs of $G_{0,n-2} - \{0, n-2\}$ and $G_{0,n-4}$ are isomorphic. Hence the result. \square

Generalizing the above Theorem, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.25. [44] For $n \geq 3$, the underlying graphs of $G_{0,n} - \{0, n\}$ and $G_{0,n-2}$ are isomorphic and for $n \geq 2r+3$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, the underlying graphs of $G_{0,n} - (\{0, n, n-1, n-2, \dots, n-2r+1, n-2r\} \cup ([n] \cup [n-1] \cup \dots \cup [n-2r+1]))$ and $G_{0,n-2r-2}$ are isomorphic. \square

Theorem 2.26. [43] For $n \geq 5$, the underlying graphs of $G_{0,n} - \{0, 1, n-1, n\}$ and $G_{0,n-4}$ are isomorphic where u_j is the vertex of $G_{0,n}$ with integral sum label $j, j = 0, 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. Using Theorem 2.22, the underlying graphs of $G_{0,n}$ and G_{n+1}^c are isomorphic and from the structure of these graphs (Graphs $G_{0,6}$ and G_7^c are isomorphic without vertex labels and are given in Figures 1 and 6.), vertex with integral sum label j in $G_{0,n}$ and vertex with anti-integral sum label $n-j+1$ in G_{n+1}^c are of same degree and thereby the underlying graphs of $G_{0,n} - \{0, 1, n-1, n\}$ and $G_{n+1}^c - \{n+1, n, 2, 1\}$ are isomorphic, $0 \leq j \leq n$. Using Theorem 2.13, the underlying graphs of $G_{n+1}^c - \{1, 2, n, n+1\}$ and G_{n-3}^c are isomorphic and using Theorem 2.22, the underlying graphs of G_{n-3}^c and $G_{0,n-4}$ are isomorphic. This implies, the underlying graphs of $G_{0,n} - \{0, 1, n-1, n\}$ and $G_{0,n-4}$ are isomorphic. Hence the result. \square

Theorem 2.27. [43] For $n \geq 3$, the following pairs of underlying graphs of

- (i) $G_{0,n-1}^c$ and $G_{0,n-2} \cup K_1(n-1)$ are isomorphic and
- (ii) K_n and $G_{0,n-1} \cup (G_{0,n-2} \cup K_1(n-1))$ are isomorphic
where $K_1(n-1)$ is an isolated vertex with label $n-1$.

Theorem 2.28. [44] For all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $K_{m+n+1} \cong_{wvl} G_{-m,n} \cup G_{0,m-1} \cup G_{0,n-1}$.

Proof. Since $G_{-m,n} = K_1 * (G_{-m} * G_n)$, $G_{-m,n}^c = K_1(0) \cup (G_{-m} * G_n)^c = K_1(0) \cup G_{-m}^c \cup G_n^c \cong K_1(0) \cup G_{0,m-1} \cup G_{0,n-1}$ using Theorem ???. \square

Corollary 2.29. For $n \geq 2$, $K_{2n} \cong_{wvl} G_{-(n-1),n} \cup G_{0,n-2} \cup G_{0,n-1} \cong_{wvl} 2 \cdot G_n \cup n \cdot P_2$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $K_{2n+1} \cong_{wvl} G_{-n,n} \cup 2G_{0,n-1} \cong_{wvl} K_1(0) * (G_{-n} \cup G_n) \cup 2G_{0,n-1}$.

Proof. For $n \geq 2$, using Theorem 2.28, we obtain that the underlying graphs of K_{2n} and $G_{-(n-1),n} \cup G_{0,n-2} \cup G_{0,n-1}$ are isomorphic and the underlying graphs of K_{2n+1} and $G_{-n,n} \cup 2G_{0,n-1}$ ($\cong_{wvl} K_1(0) * (G_{-n} \cup G_n) \cup 2G_{0,n-1}$) are isomorphic and using Theorem 2.21, we obtain that the underlying graphs of K_{2n} and $G_{-(n-1),n} \cup G_{0,n-2} \cup G_{0,n-1} = 2G_n \cup n \cdot P_2$ are isomorphic. \square

3. MORE STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES OF $G_{-m,n}$

More structural properties of integral sum graphs $G_{0,n}$ and $G_{-m,n}$ are presented in this section, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 3.1. Let G be a connected graph with maximum degree $\Delta(G)$. Define $V_\Delta(G) = \{x \in V(G) : \deg(x) = \Delta(G)\}$.

Theorem 3.2. [7] Let f be an integral sum labeling of a non-trivial graph G of order n . Then, $f(x) \neq 0$ for every vertex x of G if and only if $\Delta(G) < n - 1$. \square

Lemma 3.3. [41] Let G be a connected graph and $x, u, v, w \in V(G)$. If f is an integral sum labeling of G with $f(u) = -f(x)$, then for each $v \in N(u)$ such that $f(u) + f(v) = f(w)$, either $w = x$ or $xw \in E(G)$.

Proof. Let f be an integral sum labeling of G . If $w \neq x$, then $f(u) + f(v) = f(w)$ implies, $f(x) + f(w) = f(v)$ which implies, $xw \in E(G)$, using the definition of integral sum labeling. Hence we get the result. \square

Theorem 3.4. [41] Let f be an integral sum labeling of a graph G of order $n \geq 4$. If G has at least two vertices of degree $n - 1$ each, then

- (i) there exists a vertex x of degree $n - 1$ such that $f(x) = 0$ and
- (ii) for every vertex $y \neq x$ and of degree $n - 1$, there exists a vertex y' with degree $< n - 1$ such that $f(y) + f(y') = 0$.

Proof. (i) The result follows directly from Theorem 3.2.

(ii) Let $V(G) = \{x, y, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-2}\}$, $d(y) = d(x) = n - 1$ and $f(x) = 0$. Now, if $f(y) + f(v_i) \neq 0$ for all i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2$, then without loss of generality, let us assume that $f(y) + f(v_i) = f(v_{i+1})$ since y is adjacent to all other vertices of G , $1 \leq i \leq n - 3$. Now, $f(y) + f(v_{n-2}) \neq f(y)$ since $f(v_{n-2}) \neq 0$. This implies, $f(y) + f(v_{n-2}) = 0$ or $f(v_j)$ for some j , $1 \leq j \leq n - 3$. Now, if $f(y) + f(v_{n-2}) = f(v_j)$ for some j , $1 \leq j \leq n - 3$, then by applying the relation $f(y) + f(v_i) = f(v_{i+1})$ repeatedly, we get, $f(v_j) = f(y) + f(v_{n-2}) = f(y) + (n - 2 - j)f(y) + f(v_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq n - 2$. This implies, $f(v_{n-2}) = (n - (n - 2) - 1)f(y) + f(v_{n-2})$. This implies, $f(y) = 0$ which is a contradiction. Hence the only possibility is $f(y) + f(v_{n-2}) = 0$ (which implies, $f(v_{n-2}) + f(v_i) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 3$.)

Claim. $d(v_{n-2}) < n - 1$.

If possible, let us assume that $d(v_{n-2}) = n - 1$. Then, v_{n-2} is adjacent to all other vertices in G . Now, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 3$, the relations $f(y) + f(v_{n-2}) = 0$ and $f(y) + f(v_i) = f(v_{i+1})$ imply, $f(v_{n-2}) + f(v_{i+1}) = f(v_i)$. And $f(v_{n-2}) + f(v_1) \neq 0$ since $f(v_{n-2}) + f(v_1) = 0$ implies, $f(v_1) = f(y)$. Also, for $1 \leq i \leq n - 3$, $f(v_{n-2}) + f(v_1) \neq f(v_i)$, otherwise we get, $f(v_1) = f(v_{i+1})$, a contradiction to f is an integral sum labeling of G . This implies, $f(v_{n-2}) + f(v_1) = f(y)$ which implies, $f(v_1) = 2f(y)$. Substituting the above relation repeatedly in $f(y) + f(v_i)$

$= f(v_{i+1})$, $1 \leq i \leq n-3$, we get, $f(v_{n-2}) = (n-1)f(y)$ which implies, $n.f(y) = 0$ since $f(y) + f(v_{n-2}) = 0$. This implies, $f(y) = 0$, a contradiction. Hence the claim is true. And by taking $y' = v_{n-2}$, we get the result. \square

Theorem 3.5. [41] For $n \geq 3$, let f be an integral sum labeling of a connected graph G of order n with at least two vertices each of degree $n-1$. If $y \in V(G)$ such that $d(y) = n-1$ and $f(y) \neq 0$, then for every vertex $v \in V(G)$, $f(v) = k.f(y)$ where $k \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-2\}$.

Proof. Using Theorem 3.4.(i), there exists a vertex, say, x of degree $n-1$ with $f(x) = 0$. Let $V(G) = \{x, y, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-2}\}$ with $d(y) = n-1$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $f(y) + f(v_i) = f(v_{i+1})$, $1 \leq i \leq n-3$. Suppose there exists a vertex $v_i \in V(G) \setminus \{x, y\}$ such that $f(v_i) \neq k.f(y)$ for every non-zero integer k , $1 \leq i \leq n-2$. Now, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-3$, $f(v_{i+1}) = f(y) + f(v_i)$ and so $f(v_i) = f(y) - f(v_{i+1})$ which implies, the label of every vertex of $V(G) \setminus \{x, y\}$ is not an integer multiple of $f(y)$, a contradiction to Theorem 2.4.(ii). Hence $f(v) = k.f(y)$ for every $v \in V(G)$ where k is an integer.

Claim. $-n-2 \leq k \leq 1$.

Already we have $f(x) = 0 = 0.f(y)$ and $f(y) = 1.f(y)$. Let $f(v_1) = k.f(y)$ for some non-zero integer k . Then using the relation $f(v_{i+1}) = f(y) + f(v_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-3$, we get, $f(v_j) = (k+j-1)f(y)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$. This implies, $f(v_{n-2}) = (k+n-3)f(y)$ which implies, $k+n-3 = -1$. This implies, $k = -(n-2)$, $f(v_1) = -(n-2).f(y)$, $f(v_{n-2}) = -f(y)$, $f(x) = 0.f(y)$ and $f(y) = 1.f(y)$. Thus for every vertex $v \in V(G)$, $f(v) = k.f(y)$ where $k \in \{0, 1, -1, -2, \dots, -(n-2)\}$. But $G^+(S) \cong_{wvl} G^+(-S)$ for any non-empty $S \subset \mathbb{Z}$. Hence the result. \square

Theorem 3.6. [41] Any integral sum graph G , except $G_{-1,1} \cong K_3$, has at the most two vertices of degree $|V(G)| - 1$.

Proof. All the three vertices of integral sum graph $G_{-1,1} \cong K_3$ are of degree $2 = |V(K_3)| - 1$. If the theorem is not true, then let G be an integral sum graph of order n with at least 3 of its vertices, each be of degree $n-1$, $n \geq 4$. Let f be an integral sum labeling of G . Then by Theorem 3.2, one of the vertex, say, x of G is of degree $n-1$ and $f(x) = 0$. Suppose, $y, z \in V(G) \setminus \{x\}$ such that $d(y) = d(z) = n-1$ and $f(y) \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Then, by Theorem 3.5, every vertex label of G must be an integer multiple of $f(y)$ and in particular, let $f(z) = k.f(y)$ where $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. This implies, $f(y) = \frac{f(z)}{k}$, a contradiction since $f(y)$ must be an integer. Hence the result follows. \square

Theorem 3.7. [41] For $n \geq 4$, integral sum graphs each of order n and with exactly two vertices of degree $n-1$ are unique upto isomorphism. (This integral sum graph of order n is denoted by $G_{\Delta n}$ and $G_{\Delta n} \cong G_{-1, n-2}$, $n \geq 4$.)

Proof. Let G be an integral sum graph of order n and with exactly two vertices of degree $n-1$, $n \geq 4$. Let $V(G) = \{v, v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-2}\}$ and $d(v_0) = d(v) = n-1$. Let f be an integral sum labeling of G with $f(v_0) = 0$ and $f(v) = k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then using Theorem 3.5, $f(v_i) = -ik$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-2$ and for $i \neq j$ and $0 \leq i, j \leq n-2$, vertices v_i and v_j are adjacent in G if and only if $f(v_i) + f(v_j) \geq -(n-2)k$ if and only if $i+j \leq n-2$, and this condition is independent of the choice of the integer k . This proves the uniqueness of unlabeled graph $G_{\Delta n}$. In the above labeling by taking $k = 1$, we get $G_{\Delta n} \cong G_{-1, n-2}$, $n \geq 4$. Hence we get the result. \square

Theorem 3.8. [41] For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_{-1,n} \cong G_{\Delta(n+2)}$ and for $2 \leq m \leq n$, $G_{-m,n}$ has exactly one vertex of degree $m+n$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $S = \{-1, 0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $G^+(S) = G_{-1,n}$. In this graph vertices with labels 0 and -1 are the only vertices which are adjacent to all other vertices when $n \geq 2$ and thereby each one is of degree $n+1 = |V(G_{1,n})| - 1$. Clearly, $G^+(S) = G_{-1,n} = G_{\Delta(n+2)}$ for $n \geq 2$. For $2 \leq m \leq n$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $S = \{-m, -(m-1), \dots, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $G^+(S) = G_{-m,n}$ and in this graph vertices with labels -1 and $-m$ are not adjacent whereas the vertex with vertex label 0 is the only vertex which is adjacent to all other vertices and thereby it is of degree $m+n = |V(G_{-m,n})| - 1$. Hence we get the result. \square

Theorem 3.9. [41] For $n \geq 3$, graph $G_{\Delta n}$ is Hamiltonian.

Proof. For $n \geq 3$, graph $G_{\Delta n} \cong G_{-1,n-2}$ using Theorem 3.7. In this graph vertices with labels 0 and -1 are adjacent and each is adjacent to all other vertices and hence $\delta(G_{\Delta n}) \geq 2$ and $G_{\Delta n}$ is a 2-connected graph for $n \geq 3$. This implies, $d(u) \geq 2$ for every vertex $u \in V(G_{\Delta n})$. Let f be an integral sum labeling of $G_{\Delta n}$; $v, v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-2}$ be its vertices and $-1, 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-2$ be the corresponding vertex labels, $n \geq 3$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, when n is even, consider the following sequence of vertices, $v, v_{n-2}, v_0, v_{n-3}, v_1, v_{n-4}, v_2, \dots, v_{\frac{n}{2}}, v_{\frac{n-4}{2}}, v_{\frac{n-2}{2}}, v$. This sequence contains all the n vertices of the graph $G_{\Delta n}$ for $n \geq 3$. Moreover any two consecutive elements of the sequence are adjacent vertices in $G_{\Delta n}$, follows from the definition of integral sum labeling. Hence, it is a Hamiltonian cycle of $G_{\Delta n}$. Similarly, when n is odd, the sequence of vertices $v, v_{n-2}, v_0, v_{n-3}, v_1, v_{n-4}, v_2, \dots, v_{\frac{n-5}{2}}, v_{\frac{n-1}{2}}, v_{\frac{n-3}{2}}, v$ is a Hamiltonian cycle of $G_{\Delta n}$. Hence, for $n \geq 3$, $G_{\Delta n}$ is a Hamiltonian graph. \square

Theorem 3.10. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, graph $G_{-m,n}$ is Hamiltonian whereas $G_{0,n}$ is non-Hamiltonian.

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, graph G_n contains an isolated vertex and thereby graph $G_{0,n} = K_1 * G_n$ contains a vertex of degree 1. This implies, graph $G_{0,n}$ is non-Hamiltonian for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In Theorem 3.9, it is proved that graph $G_{\Delta n} = G_{-1,n-2}$ is Hamiltonian for $n \geq 3$. Clearly, graphs $G_{-2,2}$ and $G_{-2,3}$ are Hamiltonian. Hamiltonian cycles of $G_{-2,2}$ and $G_{-2,3}$ are indicated by red dotted lines in Figures 11 and 12. Now, consider $G_{-m,n}$ for $m \geq 2$, $n \geq 3$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $w_0, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ be the vertices of $G_{-m,n}$ with integral sum labeling $0, -1, -2, \dots, -m, 1, 2, \dots, n$, respectively. Clearly, $w_0 v_{n-1} v_1 v_{n-3} \dots v_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} u_{-m} v_n u_{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \dots u_2 u_{m-2} u_1 u_{m-1} w_0$ is a Hamiltonian cycle in $G_{-m,n}$ for $m \geq 2$, $n \geq 3$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence the result. \square




Fig. 11. A Hamiltonian cycle of $G_{-2,2}$




Fig. 12. A Hamiltonian cycle of $G_{-2,3}$

Open Problem 3.11. Find the number of distinct Hamiltonian cycles that exist in the integral sum graph $G_{-m,n}$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Theorem 3.12. [42] Let $V(G_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} = V(G_n^c)$ where v_j be the vertex with integral sum labeling j in G_n and anti-integral sum labeling j in G_n^c , $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, (i) $G_{0,n} \cong G_{n+2} \setminus \{v_{n+2}\}$, (ii) $G_{n+2} \cong (G_n + \{v_{n+1}\}) \cup \{v_{n+2}\}$, (iii) $G_{n+2}^c \cong (G_n^c \cup \{v_{n+1}\}) * \{v_{n+2}\}$ and (iv) $G_{-1,n} \cong G_{n+4} \setminus \{v_{n+3}, v_{n+4}\}$, without the vertex labels.

Proof. Let $V(G_{0,n}) = \{u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$, $V(G_{-1,n}) = \{u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, u_{-1}\}$, and $V(G_j) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_j\}$ and the graphs be subscript-labeled, $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(i) We have $G_{-m,n} = K_1 * ((-G_m) * G_n)$ and $G_{0,n} = K_1 * G_n$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $f : V(G_{0,n}) \rightarrow V(G_{n+2} \setminus \{v_{n+2}\})$ such that $f(u_i) = v_{i+1}$ and $f((u, v)) = (f(u), f(v))$ for every $(u, v) \in E(G_{0,n})$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Now, for $x \neq y$, $(u_x, u_y) \in E(G_{0,n})$ if and only if $0 < x+y < n+1$ if and only if $2 < (x+1) + (y+1) < n+3$ if and only if $3 \leq (x+1) + (y+1) \leq n+2$ if and only if $(v_{x+1}, v_{y+1}) = (f(u_x), f(u_y)) \in E(G_{n+2} \setminus \{v_{n+2}\})$. This implies, f is a bijective mapping and preserves adjacency. Hence, $G_{0,n} \cong G_{n+2} \setminus \{v_{n+2}\}$, without the vertex labels.

(ii) Using (i), we obtain, $G_{n+2} \cong G_{0,n} \cup \{v_{n+2}\} \cong (G_n * K_1) \cup \{v_{n+2}\} \cong (G_n * \{v_{n+1}\}) \cup \{v_{n+2}\}$, without the vertex labels, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(iii) Using (ii), we get, $G_{n+2}^c \cong ((G_n * \{v_{n+1}\}) \cup \{v_{n+2}\})^c \cong (G_n * \{v_{n+1}\})^c * \{v_{n+2}\} \cong (G_n^c \cup \{v_{n+1}\}) * \{v_{n+2}\}$, without the vertex labels, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(iv) We have $G_{-1,n} \cong K_1(0) * (K_1(-1) * G_n) \cong K_1(-1) * (K_1(0) * G_n) \cong K_1(-1) * G_{0,n} \cong K_1(-1) * (G_{n+2} \setminus \{v_{n+2}\})$, without the vertex labels, using (i), $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using Corollary 2.13, graph $G_{n+4} \setminus \{v_1, v_2, v_{n+3}, v_{n+4}\}$ is isomorphic to G_n , without the vertex labels. And so $((G_{n+4} \setminus \{v_1, v_2, v_{n+3}, v_{n+4}\}) * K_1) * K_1 \cong G_{-1,n}$, without the vertex labels. Define $f : V(G_{-1,n}) \rightarrow V(G_{n+4} \setminus \{v_{n+3}, v_{n+4}\})$ such that $f(u_0) = v_1$, $f(u_{-1}) = v_2$, $f(u_i) = v_{i+2}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $f((u, v)) = (f(u), f(v))$ for every $(u, v) \in E(G_{-1,n})$. Now, let us consider images of edges incident at each point u_0 and u_{-1} , separately. In $G_{-1,n}$, integral sum labeling of u_0 and u_{-1} are 0 and -1, respectively, u_0 and u_{-1} are adjacent and each one is adjacent to u_j for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Now, $f((K_1(0), u_i)) = f((u_0, u_i)) = (f(u_0), f(u_i)) = (v_1, v_{i+2}) \in E(G_{n+4} \setminus \{v_{n+4}, v_{n+3}\})$ for every i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$; $f((K_1(0), u_{-1})) = f((u_0, u_{-1})) = (f(u_0), f(u_{-1})) = (v_1, v_2) \in E(G_{n+4} \setminus \{v_{n+3}, v_{n+4}\})$ and $f((u_{-1}, u_j)) = (f(u_{-1}), f(u_j)) = (v_2, v_{j+2}) \in E(G_{n+4} \setminus \{v_{n+3}, v_{n+4}\})$ for every j , $j = 1$ to n . Therefore, f is a bijective mapping preserving adjacency and hence, $G_{-1,n} \cong G_{n+4} \setminus \{v_{n+3}, v_{n+4}\}$, without the vertex labels. \square

4. ON THE NUMBER OF C_3 AND C_4 IN $G_{-m,n}$

Number of cycles of length 3 and 4 of graphs G_{2k} , G_{2k+1} , G_{2k}^c , G_{2k+1}^c and $G_{-m,n}$ are calculated separately in [35, 42] and are presented in this section, $k, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here, $|H|_G$ denotes the number of distinct sub-graphs, each isomorphic to H in the graph G . We have $G_{-m,n} = K_1 * (G_{-m} * G_n)$, $G_{-m,n}^c = K_1(0) \cup (G_{-m}^c) \cup G_n^c$, $|E(G_n)| = \frac{1}{2}(nC_2 - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)$, $|E(G_n^c)| = \frac{1}{2}(nC_2 + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)$, $|E(G_{2n})| = n^2 - n = |E(G_{2n-1}^c)|$ and $|E(G_{2n+1})| = n^2 = |E(G_{2n}^c)|$ where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the floor of x , $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ [38].

Theorem 4.1. [38] For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m + n \geq 3$,

$$\|G_{-m,n}\| = \frac{1}{4}(m^2 + n^2 + 3(m+n) + 4mn) - \frac{1}{2}(\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the floor of x , $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. In particular,

$$\|G_{0,n}\| = \frac{n(n+3)}{4} - \frac{1}{2}(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor), \|G_{-n,n}\| = \frac{3n(n+1)}{2} - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \text{ and}$$

$$\|G_{-(n-1),n}\| = \frac{n(3n-1)}{2}, n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad \square$$

Theorem 4.2. [38] For $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

- (i) $\|G_{-1,3}\| = \|G_{-2,2}\|$;
- (ii) $\|G_{-1,4m-1}\| < \|G_{-2m,2m}\| = \|(G_{-(2m-1),2m+1}\|$ for $m \geq 2$;
- (iii) $\|G_{-1,4m}\| < \|G_{-2m,2m+1}\|$,
 $\|G_{-1,4m+1}\| < \|G_{-2m,2m+2}\| < \|G_{-(2m+1),2m+1}\|$ and
- (iv) $G_{-2m,2m} \neq G_{-1,4m-1}$, $G_{-2m,2m} \neq G_{-(2m-1),2m+1}$,
 $G_{-(2m+1),2m+1} \neq G_{-1,4m+1}$ and $G_{-(2m+1),2m+1} \neq G_{-2m,2m+2}$. \square

Theorem 4.3. [35] For $n \geq 3$,

$$|C_3|_{G_n} = |C_3|_{G_{n-2}} + \|G_{n-2}\| \text{ and } |C_3|_{G_n^c} = |C_3|_{G_{n-2}^c} + \|G_{n-2}^c\|. \quad \square$$

Corollary 4.4. [35] For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

- (i) $|C_3|_{G_{-2m,2n}} = \frac{(m+n)(m^2+5mn+n^2-1)}{3}$;
- (ii) $|C_3|_{G_{-2m,2n+1}} = \frac{1}{6}(2(m^3+n^3) + 12mn(m+n) + 3(2m^2+n^2+4mn) + 4m+n)$;
- (iii) $|C_3|_{G_{-(2m+1),2n}} = \frac{1}{6}(2(m^3+n^3) + 12mn(m+n) + 3(m^2+2n^2+4mn) + m+4n)$;
- (iv) $|C_3|_{G_{-(2m+1),2n+1}} = \frac{(m+n)}{6}(2(m+n)^2 + 9(m+n) + 6mn + 13) + mn + 1$;
- (v) $|C_3|_{G_{-2m,2n}^c} = \frac{(m-1)m(2m-1)}{6} + \frac{(n-1)n(2n-1)}{6}$;
- (vi) $|C_3|_{G_{-2m,2n+1}^c} = \frac{(m-1)m(2m-1)}{6} + \frac{(n-1)n(n+1)}{3}$;
- (vii) $|C_3|_{G_{-(2m+1),2n}^c} = \frac{(m-1)m(m+1)}{3} + \frac{(n-1)n(2n-1)}{6}$ and
- (viii) $|C_3|_{G_{-(2m+1),2n+1}^c} = \frac{(m-1)m(m+1)}{3} + \frac{(n-1)n(n+1)}{3}$. \square

Theorem 4.5. [42] For $n \geq 2$,

- (i) $|C_4|_{G_{2n+2}} = |C_4|_{G_{2n}} + \frac{(n-1)n(7n-11)}{6} = \frac{(n-1)n(n+1)(7n-10)}{24}$ and
- (ii) $|C_4|_{G_{2n+2}^c} = |C_4|_{G_{2n}^c} + \frac{(n-1)n(7n+1)}{6} = \frac{(n-1)n(n+1)(7n+6)}{24}$. \square

Theorem 4.6. [42] For $n \geq 2$,

- (i) $|C_4|_{G_{2n+3}} = |C_4|_{G_{2n+1}} + \frac{(n-1)n(7n+1)}{6} = \frac{1}{24}(n-1)n(n+1)(7n+6) = |C_4|_{G_{2n+2}^c}$
and
- (ii) $|C_4|_{G_{2n+1}^c} = |C_4|_{G_{2n-1}^c} + \frac{(n-1)n(7n-11)}{6} = \frac{(n-1)n(n+1)(7n-10)}{24} = |C_4|_{G_{2n+2}}$. \square

Theorem 4.7. [42] For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

- (i) $|C_4|_{G_{0,2n}} = |C_4|_{G_{2n+2}} = \frac{(n-1)n(n+1)(7n-10)}{24}$,
- (ii) $|C_4|_{G_{0,2n+1}} = |C_4|_{G_{2n+3}} = \frac{(n-1)n(n+1)(7n+6)}{24}$,
- (iii) $|C_4|_{G_{-1,2n}} = |C_4|_{G_{2n+4}} = \frac{n(n+1)(n+2)(7n-3)}{24}$ and
- (iv) $|C_4|_{G_{-1,2n+1}} = |C_4|_{G_{2n+5}} = \frac{n(n+1)(n+2)(7n+13)}{24}$.

Proof. Result follows from Theorems 4.6 and 4.9. \square

Theorem 4.8. [42] For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

- (i) Number of P_3 s in G_{2n} such that each $P_3 = uvw$ with $uw \notin E(G_{2n})$ is $\frac{(n-1)n(2n-1)}{6}$,
 $u, v, w \in G_{2n}$ and
- (ii) Number of P_3 s in G_{2n+1} such that each $P_3 = uvw$ with $uw \notin E(G_{2n+1})$ is
 $\frac{(n-1)n(n+1)}{3}$, $u, v, w \in V(G_{2n+1})$. \square

Theorem 4.9. [42] For $2 \leq m, n$,

- (i) $|C_4|_{G_{-m,n}} = |C_4|_{G_m} + |C_4|_{G_n} + mC_2 \cdot nC_2 +$ number of C_4 s with K_1 as a vertex
in $G_{-m,n} = |C_4|_{G_m} + |C_4|_{G_n} + 3(|C_3|_{G_m} + |C_3|_{G_n}) + 2(n \cdot |E(-G_m)| + m \cdot |E(G_n)|)$

+ $mC_2.nC_2 + n.mC_2 + m.nC_2 +$ (number of P_3 s in $-G_m$, each $P_3 = uvw$ with $uw \notin E(-G_m)$) + (number of P_3 s in G_n , each $P_3 = uvw$ with $uw \notin E(G_n)$) and
(ii) $|C_4|_{G_{-m,n}^c} = |C_4|_{G_m^c} + |C_4|_{G_n^c}$. \square

Corollary 4.10. [42] For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad |C_4|_{G_{-2m,2n}} &= \frac{(m-1)m(7m^2+m-18)}{24} + \frac{(n-1)n(7n^2+n-18)}{24} \\ &\quad + mn(4mn + 6(m+n) - 11); \\ (ii) \quad |C_4|_{G_{-2m,2n+1}} &= \frac{(m-1)m(7m^2+m-18)}{24} + \frac{(n-1)n(7n^2+17n-2)}{24} \\ &\quad + m(4m-3)(2n+1) + mn(4mn + 2m + 6n + 1); \\ (iii) \quad |C_4|_{G_{-(2m+1),2n}} &= \frac{(m-1)m(7m^2+17m-2)}{24} + \frac{(n-1)n(7n^2+n-18)}{24} \\ &\quad + (2m+1)n(4n-3) + mn(4mn + 6m + 2n + 1); \\ (iv) \quad |C_4|_{G_{-(2m+1),2n+1}} &= \frac{(m-1)m(7m^2+17m-2)}{24} + \frac{(n-1)n(7n^2+17n-2)}{24} \\ &\quad + (mn+m+n)(2m+1)(2n+1) + 4mn(m+n) + 2(m^2+n^2); \\ (v) \quad |C_4|_{G_{-2m,2n}^c} &= \frac{(m-2)(m-1)m(7m-1)}{24} + \frac{(n-2)(n-1)n(7n-1)}{24}; \\ (vi) \quad |C_4|_{G_{-2m,2n+1}^c} &= \frac{(m-2)(m-1)m(7m-1)}{24} + \frac{(n-1)n(n+1)(7n-10)}{24}; \\ (vii) \quad |C_4|_{G_{-(2m+1),2n}^c} &= \frac{(m-1)m(m+1)(7m-10)}{24} + \frac{(n-2)(n-1)n(7n-1)}{24} \text{ and} \\ (viii) \quad |C_4|_{G_{-(2m+1),2n+1}^c} &= \frac{(m-1)m(m+1)(7m-10)}{24} + \frac{(n-1)n(n+1)(7n-10)}{24}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

From the above results, we obtain the following simple properties of natural numbers.

Proposition 4.11. [42] For $n \geq 2$,

- (i) $n(n+1)(7n-4)$, $n(n+1)(7n+8)$ and $n(7n^2+18n+5)$ are divisible by 6 and
- (ii) $(n+2)(7n-3)$, $(n+2)(7n+1)$, $(n+2)(7n+13)$, $(7n^2+15n-10)$ and $(7n^2+31n+22)$ are divisible by 12. \square

5. ON MAXIMAL INTEGRAL SUM GRAPHS

Maximal integral sum graph are defined and discussed in [38]. The main results in this section are (i) For $n \geq 4$, $G_{0,n}$ is not a maximal integral sum graph of order $n+1$; (ii) $G_{0,n}$ is a spanning subgraph of $G_{-1,n-1}$ without the vertex labels, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and (iii) For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_{-m,m}$ is the maximal integral sum graph of order $2m+1$ and for $m \geq 2$, $G_{-(m-1),m}$ is the maximal integral sum graph of order $2m$.

Definition 5.1. [38] *An integral sum graph or sum graph with underlying graph G is said to be maximal if G is not a spanning subgraph of the underlying graph of any other integral sum graph or sum graph, respectively.*

A sum-maximum integral sum graph of order n is a maximal integral sum graph of order n with maximum size.

Clearly, if integral sum graph $G^+(S)$ is such that $0 \in S$, then the vertex with label 0 has the maximum degree $|V(G^+(S))| - 1$ in $G^+(S)$, using Theorem 3.2. Hence, *a sum-maximum integral sum graph contains 0 as a vertex label.*

Now, we present a few results on isomorphism of complete multipartite graphs of same order. These results are used to compare integral sum graphs $G_{-m,n}$ of same order of $m+n+1$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here, comparing of integral sum graphs of same

order means comparing of integral sum graphs of same order without vertex labels until otherwise it is mentioned.

Lemma 5.2. [38] Let $1 \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq m_k$ and $1 \leq r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_n$. Then, $K_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k} \cong K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$ if and only if $k = n$ and $m_i = r_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. Given, $1 \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq m_k$ and $1 \leq r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_n$. Then,

$$K_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k} \cong K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n} \text{ if and only if } K_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k}^c \cong K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}^c$$

$$\text{if and only if } K_{m_1} \cup K_{m_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{m_k} \cong K_{r_1} \cup K_{r_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{r_n}$$

$$\text{if and only if } k = n \text{ and } m_1 = r_1, m_2 = r_2, \dots, m_n = r_n$$

since $1 \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq m_k$ and $1 \leq r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_n$. Hence the result. \square

Lemma 5.3. [38] Let $1 \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq m_k$ and $1 \leq r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_n$ where $m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_k = r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_n$. Then, K_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k} and K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n} are comparable if and only if $k = n$ and $m_i = r_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. In that case, the two graphs are same.

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $k \leq n$. Then,

K_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k} and K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n} are comparable if and only if $K_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k}^c$ and $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}^c$ are comparable if and only if $K_{m_1} \cup K_{m_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{m_k}$ and $K_{r_1} \cup K_{r_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{r_n}$ are comparable. If the result is not true, then let i be the smallest integer such that $m_1 = r_1, m_2 = r_2, \dots, m_{i-1} = r_{i-1}$ and $m_i < r_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$. Then, K_{m_i} is a proper subgraph of K_{r_i} and since $1 \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq m_k, 1 \leq r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_n$ and $m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_k = r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_n$, there exists $j > i$ such that $m_j > r_j, 2 \leq j \leq k$ and thereby K_{m_j} is a proper super graph of K_{r_j} . Now, $1 \leq i < j \leq k, m_i < r_i, m_i \leq m_j, r_i \leq r_j$ and $r_j < m_j$ which implies, K_{m_i} is a proper subgraph of K_{r_i} which is a subgraph of K_{r_j} which is a proper subgraph of $K_{m_j}, 1 \leq i < j \leq k$. This implies that $K_{m_i} \cup K_{m_j}$ and $K_{r_i} \cup K_{r_j}$ are non-comparable graphs and thereby $K_{m_1} \cup K_{m_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{m_k}$ and $K_{r_1} \cup K_{r_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{r_n}$ are non-comparable when $m_i < r_i, m_j > r_j$ and $1 \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq m_k, 1 \leq r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_n, m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_k = r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_n$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq k$. Hence, the result follows by the method of contradiction. \square

Lemma 5.4. [38] Let $k \leq n - k, r \leq n - r$ and $k \neq r, k, n, r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $K_{k, n-k}$ and $K_{r, n-r}$ are non-comparable graphs. \square

Theorem 5.5. [38] Let $k \leq n - k, r \leq n - r$ and $k, n, r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $G_{-k, n-k}$ and $G_{-r, n-r}$, without the vertex labels, are comparable if and only if $k = r$.

Proof. Using the definition of $G_{-m, n}, G_{-k, n-k}$ and $G_{-r, n-r}$ are comparable if and only if $K_1 * (G_{-k} * G_{n-k})$ and $K_1 * (G_{-r} * G_{n-r})$ are comparable if and only if $G_{-k} * G_{n-k}$ and $G_{-r} * G_{n-r}$ are comparable if and only if $G_{-k} \cup G_{n-k} \cup K_{k, n-k}$ and $G_{-r} \cup G_{n-r} \cup K_{r, n-r}$ are comparable if and only if $K_{k, n-k}$ and $K_{r, n-r}$ are comparable and $G_{-k} \cup G_{n-k}$ and $G_{-r} \cup G_{n-r}$ are comparable, $k \leq n - k$ and $r \leq n - r$ if and only if $k = r$, using Lemma 5.3. \square

Theorem 5.6. [38] $G_{0, n}$ is a spanning subgraph of $G_{-1, n-1}$ without the vertex labels, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Using Theorem 2.8, we have $G^+([2, n])$ is a subgraph of G_{n-1}^+ , without vertex labels. And using Theorem 2.17, G_n^+ is a spanning subgraph of $G_1^+ * G^+([2, n])$, without vertex labels. This implies, G_n^+ is a spanning subgraph of $G_{-1}^+ * G_{n-1}^+$, without vertex labels. This implies, $K_1 * G_n^+ = G_{0, n}$ is a spanning subgraph of $K_1 * (G_{-1}^+ * G_{n-1}^+) = G_{-1, n-1}$, without vertex labels. Hence the result. \square

Corollary 5.7. [38] For $n \geq 4$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_{0,n}$ is not a maximal integral sum graph of order $n + 1$. \square

Theorem 5.8. [38] Let $k \leq n - k$, $r \leq n - r$, $k \neq r$ and $k, n, r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $G_{-k,n-k}$ and $G_{-r,n-r}$, without the vertex labels, are non-comparable.

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $k < r$. Given, $k \leq n - k$, $r \leq n - r$, $k \neq r$ and $k, n, r \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies, $n - k > n - r$. Then, $G_{-k,n-k}$ and $G_{-r,n-r}$ are non-comparable if and only if $K_1 * (G_{-k} * G_{n-k})$ and $K_1 * (G_r * G_{n-r})$ are non-comparable if and only if $G_k * G_{n-k}$ and $G_{-r} * G_{n-r}$ are non-comparable if and only if $G_{-k} \cup G_{n-k} \cup K_{k,n-k}$ and $G_{-r} \cup G_{n-r} \cup K_{r,n-r}$ are non-comparable if and only if $K_{k,n-k}$ and $K_{r,n-r}$ are non-comparable or $G_{-k} \cup G_{n-k}$ and $G_{-r} \cup G_{n-r}$ are non-comparable which is true by Lemma 5.4, $k \leq n - k$, $r \leq n - r$, $k \neq r$ and $k, n, r \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence the result. \square

In [31], Tiwari and Tripathi obtained the following result on maximum size of integral sum graph of a given order as follows.

Theorem 5.9. [31] Let $M_n = \|G^+(S)\|$ denote the maximum size for integral sum graph $G^+(S)$ of order n . Then, $M_n = \left\lceil \frac{3(n-1)^2}{8} \right\rceil + \left\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rceil$. Moreover, there exists a sum graph of order n and size m , for each $m \leq M_n$, except for $m = M_n - 1$ when $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. \square

Now, we present results on maximal integral sum graphs. The following two lemmas are related to it.

Lemma 5.10. [38] Let $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $S = [-m, m]$. Then,

(i) $E(G^+(S \cup \{2m\})) = E(G^+(S)) \cup \{(0, 2m), (-m, 2m)\}$ and

$E(G^+(S \cup \{-2m\})) = E(G^+(S)) \cup \{(0, -2m), (m, -2m)\}$;

(ii) $E(G^+(S \cup \{2m + k\})) = E(G^+(S)) \cup \{(0, 2m + k)\}$ and

$E(G^+(S \cup \{-2m - k\})) = E(G^+(S)) \cup \{(0, -2m - k)\}$ and

(iii) for $1 \leq k < m$,

$\|G^+(S \cup \{m + k\})\| = \|G^+(S \cup \{-m - k\})\| = \|G^+(S)\| + 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{3(m-k+1)}{2} \right\rfloor$. \square

Lemma 5.11. [38] Let $h, k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $S = [-m, m]$. Then,

(1.1) $\|G^+(S \cup \{m + k, -m - k\})\| = \|G^+(S)\| + 3 + 2 \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{3(m-k+1)}{2} \right\rfloor$ for $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$;

(1.2) $\|G^+(S \cup \{m + k, -m - h\})\| = \|G^+(S)\| + 3 + \left\lfloor \frac{3(m-k+1)}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{3(m-h+1)}{2} \right\rfloor$
for $1 \leq k, h \leq m - 1$ and $k \neq h$;

(2.1) $\|G^+(S \cup \{2m, -m - k\})\| = \|G^+(S)\| + 4 + \left\lfloor \frac{3(m-k+1)}{2} \right\rfloor$ for $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$;

(2.2) $\|G^+(S \cup \{2m, -2m\})\| = \|G^+(S)\| + 5$;

(3.1) $\|G^+(S \cup \{m + k, -2m - k\})\| = \|G^+(S)\| + 3 + \left\lfloor \frac{3(m-k+1)}{2} \right\rfloor$ for $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$;

(3.2) $\|G^+(S \cup \{m + k, -2m - h\})\| = \|G^+(S)\| + 2 + \left\lfloor \frac{3(m-k+1)}{2} \right\rfloor$
for $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$ and $k < h$;

(3.3) $\|G^+(S \cup \{m + k, -2m - h\})\| = \|G^+(S)\| + 3 + \left\lfloor \frac{3(m-k+1)}{2} \right\rfloor$
for $1 \leq h < k \leq m - 1$;

(4.1) $\|G^+(S \cup \{2m, -3m\})\| = \|G^+(S)\| + 4$;

(4.2) $\|G^+(S \cup \{2m, -2m - k\})\| = \|G^+(S)\| + 4$ for $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$;

(4.3) $\|G^+(S \cup \{2m, -2m - k\})\| = \|G^+(S)\| + 3$ for $m < k$;

(5.1) $\|G^+(S \cup \{2m + k, -2m - h\})\| = \|G^+(S)\| + 3$

for $k - h = 0$ or $1 \leq k - h \leq m$ or $-m \leq k - h \leq -1$ and

(5.2) $\|G^+(S \cup \{2m + k, -2m - h\})\| = \|G^+(S)\| + 2$ for $m < k - h$ or $k - h < -m$. \square

Theorem 5.12. [38] Let $S = [-m, m]$, $2 \leq m$, $m, x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x, y \notin S$. Then, $\|G^+(S \cup \{x, y\})\|$ is maximum when $\{x, y\} = \{m + 1, -m - 1\}$.

Proof. Let M be the maximum value of $\|G^+(S \cup \{x, y\})\|$ for all possible values of x and y , $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using Lemma 5.11, value of M for different possible values of x and y under different cases is obtained as follows:

- (1.1) $x = m + k$ and $y = -m - k$ for $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$,
 $M = \|G^+(S)\| + 3 + 2 \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{3(m-k+1)}{2} \right\rfloor$ for $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$;
- (1.2) $x = m + k$, $y = -m - h$ for $1 \leq k, h \leq m - 1$ and $k \neq h$,
 $M = \|G^+(S)\| + 3 + \left\lfloor \frac{3(m-k+1)}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{3(m-h+1)}{2} \right\rfloor$
for $1 \leq k, h \leq m - 1$ and $k \neq h$;
- (2.1) $x = 2m$ and $y = -m - k$ for $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$,
 $M = \|G^+(S)\| + 4 + \left\lfloor \frac{3(m-k+1)}{2} \right\rfloor$ for $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$;
- (2.2) $x = 2m$ and $y = -2m$,
 $M = \|G^+(S)\| + 5$;
- (3.1) $x = m + k$ and $y = -2m - k$ for $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$,
 $M = \|G^+(S)\| + 3 + \left\lfloor \frac{3(m-k+1)}{2} \right\rfloor$ for $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$;
- (3.2) $x = m + k$ and $y = -2m - h$ for $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$ and $k < h$,
 $M = \|G^+(S)\| + 2 + \left\lfloor \frac{3(m-k+1)}{2} \right\rfloor$ for $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$ and $k < h$;
- (3.3) $x = m + k$ and $y = -2m - h$ for $1 \leq h < k \leq m - 1$,
 $M = \|G^+(S)\| + 3 + \left\lfloor \frac{3(m-k+1)}{2} \right\rfloor$ for $1 \leq h < k \leq m - 1$;
- (4.1) $x = 2m$ and $y = -3m$,
 $M = \|G^+(S)\| + 4$;
- (4.2) $x = 2m$ and $y = -2m - k$ for $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$,
 $M = \|G^+(S)\| + 4$ for $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$;
- (4.3) $x = 2m$ and $y = -2m - k$ for $m < k$,
 $M = \|G^+(S)\| + 3$ for $m < k$;
- (5.1) $x = 2m + k$ and $y = -2m - h$ for $k - h = 0$ or $1 \leq k - h \leq m$
or $-m \leq k - h \leq -1$,
 $M = \|G^+(S)\| + 3$
for $k - h = 0$ or $1 \leq k - h \leq m$ or $-m \leq k - h \leq -1$ and
- (5.2) $x = 2m + k$ and $y = -2m - h$ for $m < k - h$ or $k - h < -m$,
 $M = \|G^+(S)\| + 2$ for $m < k - h$ or $k - h < -m$. \square

Theorem 5.13. [38]

- (i) For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_{-m,m}$ is the maximal integral sum graph of order $2m + 1$ and
(ii) For $m \geq 2$, $G_{-(m-1),m}$ is the maximal integral sum graph of order $2m$.

Proof. At first, we prove the result for integral sum graphs of odd order using Principle of Mathematical induction on m when order of the integral sum graph is $2m + 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. When $m = 1$, $G_{-1,1}$ is the maximal integral sum graph of order 3 and when $m = 2$, $G_{-2,2}$ is the maximal integral sum graph of order 5. Hence, the result is true for $m = 1$ and $m = 2$. Assume that the result is true for $m = m$. That is $G_{-m,m}$ is the maximal integral sum graph of order $2m + 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We have $\|G_{-m,m}\| = 3 \frac{m(m+1)}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor$, using Theorem 2.6. Consider the case for $m = m + 1$. Let G be the maximal integral sum graph of order $2(m + 1) + 1 = 2m + 3$ such that $G = G^+(S)$ for some non-empty set S . We claim that $S = [-m - 1, m + 1]$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. That is $G = G_{-(m+1),m+1}$. Using Theorem 2.6, $\|G_{-(m+1),m+1}\| = 3 \frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \right\rfloor$. By our assumption, $\|G\| \geq \|G_{-(m+1),m+1}\|$. Also, since G is the maximal

integral sum graph of order $2(m+1)+1$, it contains $G_{-m,m}$, the maximal integral sum graph of order $2m+1$, as a subgraph. Otherwise, G cannot be the maximal integral sum graph of order $2(m+1)+1$. Thus, $-m, -m+1, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, m-1, m \in S$. Let $S = \{x, y, -m, -m+1, \dots, m-1, m\}$ where $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x, y \notin [-m, m]$. The graph G is the maximal integral sum graph of order $2m+3$ when $\{x, y\} = \{-m-1, m+1\}$, using Theorem 5.12. That is when $\{x, y\} = \{-m-1, m+1\}$, the graph $G = G^+((S \setminus \{x, y\}) \cup \{-m-1, m+1\}) = G_{-(m+1), m+1}$ is the maximal integral sum graph of order $2(m+1)+1$. Hence, the claim is true. Therefore, using Principle of Mathematical induction, the result is true for all $m, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Similarly, we can prove that $G_{-(m-1), m}$ is the maximal integral sum graph of order $2m, m-1 \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence the result. \square

Corollary 5.14. [38] For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the maximal integral sum graph of order n are

- (i) $G_{-2m, 2m}$ and $G_{-(2m-1), 2m+1}$ when $n = 4m+1$;
- (ii) $G_{-2m, 2m+1}$ when $n = 4m+2$;
- (iii) $G_{-(2m+1), 2m+1}$ when $n = 4m+3$ and
- (iv) $G_{-(m-1), m}$ when $n = 2m$. \square

Theorem 5.15. [38] For $n \geq 4$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_{0,n}$ is not a maximal integral sum graph of order $n+1$.

Proof. Clearly, $G_{0,3}$ is a spanning subgraph of $G_{-1,2}$, without vertex labels, and $G_{-1,2}$ is a maximal integral sum graph of order 4. By Theorem 5.6, without vertex labels, $G_{0,n}$ is a spanning subgraph of $G_{-1, n-1}$, which is of order $n+1, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence the result. \square

Theorem 5.16. [38] For $r, n, n-r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \leq n-r$, $G_{-r, n-r}$ is a maximal integral sum graph of order $n+1$.



Proof. By Corollary 5.14, $G_{-2m, 2m}$ and $G_{-(2m-1), 2m+1}, G_{-2m, 2m+1}, G_{-(2m+1), 2m+1}$, or $G_{-(2m-1), 2m}$ are maximal integral sum graph of order $n+1$ when $n+1 = 4m+1, 4m+2, 4m+3$ or $4m$, respectively. This implies that $G_{-r, n-r}$ cannot be a proper subgraph of an integral sum graph of order $n+1$. Hence, the result. \square

Figures 1 to 4 show integral sum graphs $G_{0,6}, G_{-1,5}, G_{-2,4}$ and $G_{-3,3}$.

Remark 5.17. So far we could find out maximal integral sum graphs of the form $G_{-m,n}$, having at least one of it's vertices is of degree $m+n, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. The first question is whether there exists any other type of maximal integral sum graph of order $n+1$ and without vertex or vertices of degree n , each? Secondly, though any proper spanning super graph of maximal integral sum graph is not an integral sum graph, the converse part is not known. That is whether a proper spanning subgraph of a maximal integral sum graph is an integral sum graph or not is unknown. It is easy to see that $K_1 * (K_1 \cup K_3), K_1 * (K_2 \cup K_3)$ and $K_1 * (K_3 \cup K_3)$ are not integral sum graphs, though they are spanning sub-graph of $G_{-1,3}, G_{-2,3}$ and $G_{-3,3}$, respectively. Figures 13 and 14 show graphs $K_1 * (K_2 \cup K_3)$ and $K_1 * (K_3 \cup K_3)$. See the following problem. Thirdly, for which graphs G and H , graphs $K_1 * (G \cup H)$ and $K_1 * (G * H)$ are integral sum graphs?

Problem 5.18. Show that $K_1 * (K_3 \cup K_3)$ is a spanning subgraph of $G_{-3,3}$ but not an integral sum graph.

Solution. Figures 4, 13 and 14 show graphs $G_{-3,3}$, $K_1 * (K_2 \cup K_3)$ and $K_1 * (K_3 \cup K_3)$. Let the vertices of $G_{-3,3}$ be subscript-labeled and $K_1 * (K_3 \cup K_3) = K_1(w) * (K_3(u_1, u_2, u_3) \cup K_3(v_1, v_2, v_3))$. If $K_1 * (K_3 \cup K_3)$ is an integral sum graph, then the integral sum labeling of vertex w must be 0 since w is the only vertex with degree 6 in the graph. Define an adjacency preserving bijective mapping $f : V(K_1 * (K_3 \cup K_3)) \rightarrow V(G_{-3,3})$ such that $f(w) = 0$, $f(u_1) = 1$, $f(u_2) = 2$, $f(u_3) = -3$, $f(v_1) = -1$, $f(v_2) = -2$ and $f(v_3) = 3$. From the mapping, $f(K_1 * (K_3 \cup K_3))$ is a subgraph of $G_{-3,3}$. And by simple algebraic calculation, we can show that $K_1 * (K_3 \cup K_3)$ is not an integral sum graph.

Figure 13. $K_1 * (K_2 \cup K_3)$ Figure 14. $K_1 * (K_3 \cup K_3)$

6. FAN, DUTCH WINDMILL, BANANA TREE, TRIANGULAR BOOK AND FAN WITH A HANDLE ARE INTEGRAL SUM GRAPHS

In this section, we present new families of integral sum graphs. A sufficient condition is obtained for the graph $G * v$ to be an integral sum graph when G is an integral sum graph and $v \notin V(G)$. Using the above condition, it is proved that for $n \geq 5$, fan graph $P_n * K_1$, Dutch m -windmill $K_3^{(m)} = m.K_2 * v$ and $G * v$ are integral sum graphs where graph G is the union of stars. We also prove that Banana trees, triangular book with book mark and fan with handle are integral sum graphs.

A *fan graph* F_{n-1} is the graph obtained by taking $n - 3$ concurrent chords at a vertex in a cycle C_n , $n \geq 3$ [39]. And it can be described as $F_n = P_n * K_1$ where P_n is a path on n vertices, $n \geq 2$. The vertex at which all the $n - 3$ chords are concurrent is called the *apex vertex*.

Definition 6.1. [20] Given a collection of graphs G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k and some fixed vertex $v_i \in V(G_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, define $Amal\{(G_i^{(k)}, v_i)\}$, the amalgamation of graphs G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k , as the graph obtained by taking the union of the G_i 's and identifying v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k .

Theorem 6.2. [39] Let f_i be an integral sum labeling of G_i with $\Delta(G_i) = |V(G_i)| - 1$ and $f_i(x_i) = 0, x_i \in V(G_i)$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then $Amal(G_i^{(n)}, x_i)$ is an integral sum graph.

Proof. Let $G = Amal(G_i^{(n)}, x_i)$. Here the amalgamation of the graphs G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n is done by identifying the vertices x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n where $f_i(x_i) = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Given, f_i is an integral sum labeling of G_i with $\Delta(G_i) = |V(G_i)| - 1$ and $f_i(x_i) = 0, x_i \in V(G_i)$ and so each G_i is a connected graph, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. In G , let $x = x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$. Define a vertex labeling function h on G as follows: $h(x) = 0$; $h(v) = f_1(v)$ if $v \in V(G_1)$ and for $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$, $h(v) = (M_{i-1} + 1)f_i(v)$ if $v \in V(G_i)$ where $M_{i-1} = \max \{2, |h(v)| : v \in V(G_{i-1})\}$.

If u and v are two different vertices belonging to one G_i , then $uv \in E(G_i)$ if and only if there exists $w \in V(G_i)$ such that $f_i(u) + f_i(v) = f_i(w)$ if and only if $(M_{i-1} + 1)f_i(u) + (M_{i-1} + 1)f_i(v) = (M_{i-1} + 1)f_i(w)$ if and only if $h(u) + h(v) = h(w)$. On the other hand, let u and v be two vertices belonging to two different G_i 's, say G_i and G_j , $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. It is easy to prove that $h(u) + h(v) \neq h(w)$ for any $w \in V(G_k) \setminus \{x\}$ by considering the following cases of k : (i) $1 \leq k < i$, (ii) $k = i$, (iii) $i < k < j$, (iv) $k = j$ and (v) $j < k \leq n$. Thus h is an integral sum labeling on G . Hence the result is proved. \square

Theorem 6.3. [39] [*Sufficient Condition for $G + v$ to be integral sum graph*] Let G be an integral sum graph and $v \notin V(G)$ be a new vertex. Suppose (i) $\Delta(G) < |V(G)| - 1$ and (ii) there exists at least one integral sum labeling f on G with $f(x) \neq -f(y)$ for all vertices x, y of G . Then $G * v$ is also an integral sum graph.

Proof. Let f be an integral sum labeling of G with $f(x) \neq -f(y)$ for every $x, y \in V(G)$. Since $\Delta(G) < |V(G)| - 1$, using Theorem 3.2, $f(x) \neq 0$ for every $x \in V(G)$. Define a vertex labeling g on $G * v$ such that $g(v) = 0$ and $g(x) = f(x)$ for every $x \in V(G)$. Given that for every $x, y \in V(G)$, $f(x) \neq -f(y)$ and hence $g(x) + g(y) \neq g(v) = 0$. Also, for every $x, y \in V(G * v \setminus \{v\})$, $xy \in E(G)$ if and only if there exists $w \in V(G)$ such that $f(x) + f(y) = f(w) \neq 0$ if and only if $g(x) + g(y) = g(w) \neq g(v)$, $x, y, w \in V(G * v \setminus \{v\})$ if and only if $xy \in E(G * v)$, $x, y \neq v$. Thus g is an integral sum labeling on $G * v$ and hence the theorem is proved. \square

Remark 6.4. For $n = 2, 3$ and 4 , the possible integral sum labeling of P_n are $S = \{0, a\}$, $\{0, a, b : a + b \neq 0\}$ and $\{a, -a, -2a, -3a\}$, $a \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus in these cases S contains either 0 or both a and $-a$, $a \in \mathbb{N}$. Next three theorems are proved using Theorem 6.3.

Theorem 6.5. [39] For $n \geq 5$, fan graph $P_n * K_1$ is an integral sum graph.

Proof. Let $G = P_n * K_1$ and $P_n = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n$ be the path on n vertices, $n \geq 2$. Define a vertex labeling f on P_n such that $f(u_1) = 1$, $f(u_2) = -1$ and $f(u_i) = f(u_{i-2}) - f(u_{i-1})$, $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$. Clearly, f is an integral sum labeling on P_n . Also, for $n \geq 5$, $\Delta(P_n) = 2 < |V(P_n)| - 1$, $f(x) \neq 0$, $f(x) \neq -f(y)$ for every $x, y \in V(P_n)$ and f is an integral sum labeling on P_n and hence applying Theorem 6.3, fan graph $P_n * K_1$ is an integral sum graph with the integral sum labeling g defined on $P_n * K_1$ as $g(K_1) = 0$ and $g(u_i) = f(u_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Figures 15 and 16 show graph $P_6 * K_1$ and its integral sum labeling. \square




Figure 15. Fan graph $P_5 * K_1$




Figure 16. Integral sum graph $P_5 * K_1$

Theorem 6.6. [39] *Dutch m -windmill $K_3^{(m)} = mK_2 * v$ is an integral sum graph where $v \notin V(mK_2)$.*

Proof. Harary [17] proved that matching are integral sum graph. Let matching $mK_2 = G(V, E)$ where $E(G) = \{u_i v_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and f be the vertex labeling defined on G as $f(u_1) = 1$, $f(v_1) = 3$; $f(u_2) = 4$, $f(v_2) = 8$; $f(u_3) = -11$, $f(v_3)$

$= 12$ and $f(v_i) = 2f(v_{i-1})$; $f(u_i) = f(u_{i-1}) - f(v_i)$, $i = 4, 5, \dots, m$. Clearly, f is an integral sum labeling of mK_2 and satisfies the condition (ii) of Theorem 6.3. Hence, using Theorem 6.3, graph $mK_2 * v = G * v$ is an integral sum graph with the integral sum labeling g defined on $G * v$ as $g(v) = 0$ and $g(u) = f(u)$, for all $u \in V(G)$. Figures 17 and 18 show 5-windmill $K_3^{(5)} = 5K_2 * v$ and its integral sum labeling. \square




Fig. 17. Windmill $5K_2 * K_1 = K_2^{(5)}$




Fig. 18. Integral sum graph $5K_2 * K_1$

Chen [7] defined a generalized star as a tree obtained from a star by extending each edge to a path and proved the following result.

Theorem 6.7. [40] Every generalized star is an integral sum graph.

Proof. Next theorem presents an integral sum labeling of generalized star graph. \square

Theorem 6.8. [40] Let $G = K_{1,n_1} \cup K_{1,n_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{1,n_t}$, $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $G * v$ is an integral sum graph.

Proof. At first we prove that G has an integral sum labeling which satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 6.3 and thereby prove that the graph $G * v$ is an integral sum graph using Theorem 6.3.

For $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_i$, let $u_{i,j}$ denote the end vertices of the i^{th} star K_{1,n_i} and v_i denote its central vertex. If $n_i = 1$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$, then G is a matching and hence is an integral sum graph. Now, without loss of generality, let us assume that $n_1 \geq 2$ and $t \geq 2$. Define a vertex labeling f on G as

$$f(v_1) = 2; f(u_{1,j}) = 2j - 1 \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n_1;$$

$$f(u_{2,1}) = 2n_1 + 1;$$

For $i = 2, 3, \dots, t - 1$,

$$f(v_i) = 2f(u_{i,1}),$$

$$f(u_{i,j}) = f(u_{i,1}) + (j - 1)f(v_i) = (2j - 1)f(u_{i,1}), j = 2, 3, \dots, n_i;$$

$$f(u_{i+1,1}) = f(v_i) + f(u_{i,n_i}) = 2f(u_{i,1}) + f(u_{i,n_i}) = (2n_i + 1)f(u_{i,1});$$

$$f(v_t) = 1 - f(u_{t,1}),$$

$$f(u_{t,j}) = f(u_{t,1}) - (j - 1)f(v_t), j = 2, 3, \dots, n_t.$$

In this labeling all the end vertices $u_{i,j}$ receive odd integers whereas all the central vertices v_i receive even integers, $i = 2, 3, \dots, t$ and $j = 2, 3, \dots, n_i$. It is easy to verify that the labeling f is an integral sum labeling of G and satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 6.3 and thereby $G * v$ is an integral sum graph with the integral sum labeling g defined on $G * v$ as follows $g(v) = 0$ and $g(u) = f(u)$,

$u \in V(G)$. Figure 19 shows graph $G = K_{1,3} \cup K_{1,5} \cup K_{1,6}$ with their vertices and Figure 20 shows graph $G * v$ and its integral sum labeling. \square




Figure 19. Graph $G = K_{1,3} \cup K_{1,5} \cup K_{1,6}$




Figure 20. Integral sum graph $G * v$ with integral sum labeling

Definition 6.9. [6] Let $G(V, E)$ be any graph. An edge uv of G is said to be f -proper if $f(u) + f(v) = f(w)$ for some $w \in V(G)$.

It is easy to prove that the labeling f is an an integral sum labeling of the graph G if and only if all edges of G are f -proper and all edges of G^c are not f -proper.

Definition 6.10. [40] A banana tree is a family of stars with a new vertex adjoined to one end vertex of each star.

Theorem 6.11. [40] Every banana tree T is an integral sum graph.

Proof. Let T be a banana tree corresponding to the family of stars $\{K_{1,n_1}, K_{1,n_2}, \dots, K_{1,n_t}\}$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Let v_i denote the central vertex and $u_{i,j}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_i$ denote the end vertices of the i^{th} star K_{1,n_i} and w be the new vertex joining one vertex $u_{i,1}$ of each star, $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$.

If $n_i \leq 2$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$, then T is a generalized star and hence is an integral sum graph using Theorem 6.7. Let $n_i > 2$ for at least one i , $1 \leq i \leq t$. Without loss of generality, let $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots \leq n_t$. This implies, $n_t \geq 3$. Also a banana tree with $t = 1$ is actually a general star which is an integral sum graph, using Theorem 6.7.

Let $y > x > 0$, $t \geq 2$, $n_0 = 0$ and $t, x, y \in \mathbb{N}$. Define a vertex labeling f on T as follows:

$$f(w) = x; f(u_{1,1}) = y; f(v_1) = f(w) + f(u_{1,1});$$

$$f(u_{1,j+1}) = f(u_{1,1}) + jf(v_1), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n_1-1.$$

For $i = 1, 2, \dots, t-2$,

$$f(u_{i+1,1}) = f(v_i) + f(u_{i,n_i}) \text{ and } f(v_{i+1}) = f(w) + f(u_{i+1,1}),$$

$$f(u_{i+1,j+1}) = f(u_{i+1,1}) + jf(v_{i+1}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n_{i+1}-1.$$

$$f(u_{t,1}) = f(v_{t-1}) + f(u_{t-1,n_{t-1}});$$

$$f(v_t) = f(u_{1,1}) - f(u_{t,1});$$

$$f(u_{t,2}) = f(w) + f(u_{t,1});$$

$$f(u_{t,j+2}) = f(u_{t,j+1}) - f(v_t), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n_t-2.$$

Now only v_t has negative value and all other vertices have positive values.

Figure 21 shows the banana tree corresponding to the family of stars $\{K_{1,3}, K_{1,5}, K_{1,6}\}$ with an integral sum labeling f given in the proof of the above theorem with $x = 1$ and $y = 2$. Here, $t = 3$, $n_0 = 0$, $n_1 = 3$, $n_2 = 5$ and $n_3 = 6$.




Figure 21. Graph $G * v = (K_{1,3} \cup K_{1,5} \cup K_{1,6}) * v$ with integral sum labeling

Claim. The labels of all the vertices in $T - w$ are of the form $ax + by$ where $a \in \{b, b-1\}$.

We have, $f(w) = x > 0$; $f(u_{1,1}) = y > x$. Now let us calculate the values of $f(u_{i,j})$ and $f(v_i)$, in terms of x and y , for all possible values of i and j , $1 \leq j \leq n_i$, $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $t \geq 2$.

All the values are calculated from the recurrence relations of $f(u_{i,j})$ and $f(v_i)$ defined earlier.

When $i = 1$, $f(u_{1,1}) = y$ and $f(v_1) = x + f(u_{1,1}) = x + y$ and

$$f(u_{1,j+1}) = f(u_{1,1}) + jf(v_1) = y + j(x + y) = (j + 1)(x + y) - x, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n_1-1.$$

When $i = 2$ (and $t > 2$),

$$f(u_{2,1}) = f(v_1) + f(u_{1,n_1}) = (n_1 + 1)(x + y) - x,$$

$$f(v_2) = x + f(u_{2,1}) = (n_1 + 1)(x + y) \text{ and}$$

$$f(u_{2,j+1}) = f(u_{2,1}) + jf(v_2) = (n_1 + 1)(j + 1)(x + y) - x, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n_2-1.$$

When $i = 3$ (and $t > 3$),

$$f(u_{3,1}) = f(v_2) + f(u_{2,n_2}) = (n_1 + 1)(n_2 + 1)(x + y) - x,$$

$$f(v_3) = x + f(u_{3,1}) = (n_1 + 1)(n_2 + 1)(x + y) \text{ and}$$

$$f(u_{3,j+1}) = f(u_{3,1}) + jf(v_3) = (n_1 + 1)(n_2 + 1)(j + 1)(x + y) - x, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n_3-1.$$

When $i = k$ (and $t > k \geq 2$),

$$f(u_{k,1}) = (n_1 + 1)(n_2 + 1) \dots (n_{k-1} + 1)(x + y) - x,$$

$$f(v_k) = (n_1 + 1)(n_2 + 1) \dots (n_{k-1} + 1)(x + y) \text{ and}$$

$$f(u_{k,j+1}) = (n_1 + 1)(n_2 + 1) \dots (n_{k-1} + 1)(j + 1)(x + y) - x,$$

$$j = 1, 2, \dots, n_k-1. \text{ Here } k = 1, 2, \dots, t-1.$$

In particular when $k = t - 1$ and $t \geq 3$, we get,

$$f(u_{t-1,1}) = (n_1 + 1)(n_2 + 1) \dots (n_{t-2} + 1)(x + y) - x,$$

$$f(v_{t-1}) = (n_1 + 1)(n_2 + 1)\dots(n_{t-2} + 1)(x + y) \text{ and}$$

$$f(u_{t-1,j+1}) = (n_1 + 1)(n_2 + 1)\dots(n_{t-2} + 1)(j + 1)(x + y) - x, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n_{t-1} - 1.$$

When $i = t$ and $t \geq 2$,

$$f(u_{t,1}) = f(v_{t-1}) + f(u_{t-1,n_{t-1}}) = (n_1 + 1)(n_2 + 1)\dots(n_{t-1} + 1)(x + y) - x,$$

$$f(v_t) = f(u_{1,1}) - f(u_{t,1}) = -((n_1 + 1)(n_2 + 1)\dots(n_{t-1} + 1) - 1)(x + y) \text{ and}$$

$$f(u_{t,2}) = x + f(u_{t,1}) = (n_1 + 1)(n_2 + 1)\dots(n_{t-1} + 1)(x + y) \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{for } j = 2, 3, \dots, n_t - 1, f(u_{t,j+1}) &= f(u_{t,j}) - f(v_t) = f(u_{t,2}) - (j - 1)f(v_t) \\ &= ((n_1 + 1)(n_2 + 1)\dots(n_{t-1} + 1)j - (j - 1))(x + y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus from the above, it is clear that the vertex labels of T , except w , are of the form either $a(x + y)$ or $a(x + y) - x$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence the claim is true.

Now, partition the vertex set $V(T)$ into A , B and C such that $V(T) = A \cup B \cup C$ where $A = \{w\}$, $B = \{u \in V(T) : f(u) = (b - 1)x + by\} = \{u_{t,1}\} \cup \{u_{i,j} : i = 1, 2, \dots, t - 1 \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \dots, n_i\}$ and $C = \{u \in V(T) : f(u) = bx + by\} = \{v_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, t\} \cup \{u_{t,j} : j = 2, 3, \dots, n_t \text{ and } t \geq 2\}$.

Thus, the set B contains all the end vertices of the stars $K_{1,n_1}, K_{1,n_2}, \dots, K_{1,n_{t-1}}$ and the first end vertex $u_{t,1}$ of the t^{th} star K_{1,n_t} while the set C contains the central vertices of all the stars and end vertices $u_{t,j}$'s of the t^{th} star K_{1,n_t} , except $u_{t,1}$. Clearly, all the edges of T are f -proper. Let $f(V) = \{f(u) : u \in V(T)\}$.

It remains to show that every edge $e = uv \in E(T^c)$ is not f -proper. Observe that v_t is the only vertex of T with negative label.

If $e = uv_t \in E(T^c)$, then $u \notin V(K_{1,n_t})$ and hence $f(u) < |f(v_t)|$. This implies that $f(u) + f(v_t) < 0$ and hence $e = uv_t \in E(T^c)$ is not f -proper.

Now, consider any edge $e = uv \in E(T^c)$ where both u and v have positive labels. The following four cases arise.

Case 1 $u \in A$ and $v \in B \cup C$.

In this case, $u = w$ and if $v \in C$, then $f(u) + f(v) = (a + 1)x + ay$ for some integer a and hence $f(u) + f(v) \notin f(V)$.

If $v \in B$, then $f(v) = (b - 1)x + by$ for some integer b . This implies, $f(u) + f(v) = bx + by > 0$. If $bx + by = f(z)$, then $z \in C \setminus \{v_t\}$ since $f(v_t) < 0$ and so $z = v_i$ or $u_{t,j}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, t - 1$ and $j = 2, 3, \dots, n_t$.

If z is the central vertex of any star, say $z = v_i$, $1 \leq i \leq t - 1$, then $v = u_{i,1}$ which is a contradiction to $e = uv \in E(T)$. On the other hand, if $z = u_{t,j}$ for any j , $2 \leq j \leq n_t$, then $v = u_{t,1}$ is the only possibility since $f(w) + f(u_{t,1}) = f(u_{t,2})$. This implies that $uv \in E(T)$ which is a contradiction to our assumption that $uv \in E(T^c)$. Thus, in both cases we get, $f(u) + f(v) \notin f(V)$.

Case 2 $u, v \in B$.

In this case, $f(u) + f(v) = (a - 2)x + ay$ for some integer a and hence $f(u) + f(v) \notin f(V)$.

Case 3 $u, v \in C$.

Here we have to consider the following three sub cases.

Case 3.1 u and v are end vertices ($\neq u_{t,1}$) of the t^{th} star.

In this case, obviously $f(u) + f(v)$ is not a label of the end vertex of the t^{th} star and hence $f(u) + f(v) \notin f(V)$.

Case 3.2 u and v are central vertices of two different stars.

In this case, let $u = v_r$ and $v = v_s$, $r < s$, say. Now, by construction $f(v_{r+1}) = f(u_{r+1,1}) + f(w) = f(u_{r,n_r}) + f(v_r) + f(w) = f(u_{r,1}) + n_r f(v_r) + f(w) = (n_r + 1)f(v_r)$ since $f(u_{r,1}) + f(w) = f(v_r)$, $r = 1, 2, \dots, t - 1$.

If $f(v_r) + f(v_s) = f(v_k)$ for some $k, r < s < k \leq t-1$, then by dividing on both sides by $f(v_s)$, we see that the left side is not an integer whereas the right side is an integer which is not possible and hence $f(u) + f(v) \notin f(V)$.

Also, $f(v_r) + f(v_s) \neq f(u_{t,j})$ for any $j, 2 \leq j \leq n_t$ and $r < s < t-1$. Thus, in this case also $f(u) + f(v) \notin f(V)$.

Case 3.3 $u = v_i$ and $v = u_{t,j}, 1 \leq i \leq t-1$ and $j = 2, 3, \dots, n_t$.

In this case, $f(v_i) < |f(v_t)| < f(u_{t,j})$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, t-1$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_t$ and hence $f(u) + f(v) \notin f(V)$.

Case 4 $u \in B$ and $v \in C$.

In this case either u and v are vertices of different stars or of the same star. Here, it is easy to see that $f(u) + f(v) \notin f(V)$ in the following sub cases:

- i) $u = u_{t,1}$ and $v = u_{t,j}, j = 2, 3, \dots, n_t$;
- ii) $u = u_{t,1}$ and $v = v_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, t-1$;
- iii) $u = u_{i,j}$ and $v = v_t, i = 1, 2, \dots, t-1$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_i$ and
- iv) $u = u_{i,j}$ and $v = u_{t,k}, i = 1, 2, \dots, t-1, j = 1, 2, \dots, n_i$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, n_t$.

Thus, in this case also, $e = uv \in E(T^c)$ is not f -proper.

Hence in all possible cases, every edge $e = uv \in E(T^c)$ is not f -proper. Hence T is an integral sum graph. \square

Next, we present the result that triangular book with book mark and fan with handle are integral sum graphs.

When k copies of C_n share a common edge, it will form an n -gon book of k pages and is denoted by $B(n, k)$. The common edge is called the *spine* or *base of the book*. A *triangular book* $B(3, n)$ consists of n triangles with a common edge and can be described as $ST(n) * K_1 = P_2 * nK_1$ where $ST(n)$ denotes the star with n leaves. $TB_n(u, v) = P_2(u, v) * nK_1$ denotes the triangular book $B(3, n)$ with the spine (u, v) . Clearly, $TB_0 = K_2$ represents a book without pages or he trivial book [43].

An n -gon book of k pages $B(n, k)$ with a pendant edge terminating from any one of the end vertices of the spine is called an n -gon book with a book mark. Triangular book $TB_n(u, v)$ with book mark (u, w) is denoted by $TB_n(u, v)(u, w)$ where w is the pendant vertex adjacent to u . These are used to decompose complete graph K_n [43].

If a fan graph F_n has a pendant edge attached with the apex vertex, then the graph is called a *fan with a handle* or a *palm fan* and is denoted by F_n^* [43]. Theorem 6.5 proves that fan graphs are integral sum. Next theorem shows that fan graph with a handle and triangular book with book mark are integral sum [43].

Theorem 6.12. [43] For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

- (i) $TB_n(u_0, v_0)(u_0, w_0)$ and
- (ii) F_n^* are integral sum graphs.

Proof. (i) $TB_n(u_0, v_0)(u_0, w_0)$ is of order $n+3$, size $2n+2$ and (u_0, w_0) is the pendant edge terminating at u_0 and let $V(TB_n(u_0, v_0)(u_0, w_0)) = \{w_0, u_0, v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. Define mapping $f : V(TB_n(u_0, v_0)(u_0, w_0)) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $f(u_0) = 0, f(v_0) = 2m, f(v_i) = 2mi + 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $f(w_0) = 2m(n+1) + 1, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Consider the integral sum graph $G^+(S)$ where $S = \{0, 2m, 2m+1, 4m+1, 6m+1, \dots, 2mn+1, 2m(n+1)+1 : m \in \mathbb{N}\} = f(V(TB_n(u_0, v_0)(u_0, w_0)))$. Our aim is to prove that f is an integral sum labeling of $TB_n(u_0, v_0)(u_0, w_0)$ and $G^+(S) = TB_n(u_0, v_0)(u_0, w_0)$.

$f(u_0) = 0$ implies, $f(u_0) + f(v_i) = f(v_i)$ and $f(u_0) + f(w_0) = f(w_0)$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$. This implies, u_0 is adjacent to w_0, v_0 and v_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$, $f(v_0) + f(v_i) = f(v_{i+1})$, $f(v_0) + f(v_n) = f(w_0)$, $f(v_0) + f(u_0) = f(v_0)$, $f(v_0) + f(w_0) \neq f(u_0), f(v_0), f(w_0), f(v_j)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. This implies, v_0 is adjacent to u_0 and v_i and non-adjacent to w_0 for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Also, $f(w_0) + f(u_0) = f(w_0)$ and $f(w_0) + f(v_j) \neq f(w_0), f(u_0), f(v_j)$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$. This implies, w_0 is a pendant vertex adjacent only to u_0 . For $i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$, $f(v_i) + f(w_0) \neq f(u_0), f(v_j)$. Also for $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$, $f(v_i) + f(v_j) \neq f(v_k)$ since $f(v_i) + f(v_j)$ is an even number and $f(v_k)$ is an odd number. This implies that v_i and v_j are non-adjacent in $TB_n(u_0, v_0)(u_0, w_0)$ when $i \neq j$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Thus v_j is adjacent only to u_0 and v_0 for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.




Figure 22. $TB_7(u_0, v_0)(u_0, w_0)$




Figure 23. Integral sum graph $TB_7(u_0, v_0)(u_0, w_0)$

From all the above conditions integral sum graph $G^+(S)$ is same as $TB_n(u_0, v_0)(u_0, w_0)$ and f is an integral sum labeling of $TB_n(u_0, v_0)(u_0, w_0)$ where $S = \{0, 2m, 2m + 1, 4m + 1, \dots, 2mn + 1, 2m(n + 1) + 1 : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

In Figure 22, we present graph $TB_6(u_0, v_0)(u_0, w_0)$ which is a triangular book $B(3, 6)$ with 6 pages, spine (u_0, v_0) and book mark (u_0, w_0) where w_0 is the pendant vertex adjacent to u_0 and in Figure 23, the graph with an integral sum labeling is shown.

(ii) $F_n = P_n + K_1$ and F_n^* is of order $n + 2$ and size $2n$ where P_n is a path on n vertices. Let $V(F_n^*) = \{u_0, v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ where u_0 is the pendant vertex, v_0 is the apex vertex and $d(v_0) = n + 1 = \Delta(F_n^*)$. Define mapping $f : V(F_n^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $f(v_0) = 0$, $f(v_1) = p_m$, the m^{th} Fibonacci number, $m \geq 2$, $f(v_i) = p_{m+i-1}$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$ and $f(u_0) = p_{m+n}$. Here, $f(v_0) = 0 < f(v_1) = p_m < f(v_2) = p_{m+1} < \dots < f(v_n) = p_{m+n-1} < f(u_0) = p_{m+n}$ and for $i - j \neq 1$ and $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$, $f(v_i) + f(v_j) \neq f(v_k)$. Also $f(v_i) + f(v_{i+1}) = f(v_{i+2})$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2$ and $f(v_{n-1}) + f(v_n) = f(u_0)$, $m \geq 2$. Hence the labeling f is an integral sum labeling of graph F_n^* and thereby F_n^* is an integral sum graph. In Figure 24, graph F_6^* , fan graph $F_6 = P_6 * K_1(v_0)$ with handle v_0u_0 is shown and in Figure 25, the graph with an integral sum labeling is shown. \square




Figure 24. Fan with a handle F_6^*




Figure 25. F_6^* with integral sum labeling

7. EDGE COLORING AND EDGE PARTITION OF INTEGRAL SUM GRAPHS

In this section, we obtain new families of integral sum graphs by removal of a few vertex labels from given integral sum graph $G_{-m,n}$, present their properties and edge partition and edge coloring of integral sum graphs based on induced edge sum labeling [36].

Let u_i denote the vertex whose label is i in $G_{-m,n} = G^+(S)$ where $S = \{-m, -m+1, \dots, n\}$, $i \in S$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. In $G_{-m,n}$, let $[e_i]_{G_{-m,n}}$ or simply $[e_i]$ denote the class (set) of edges, each with edge sum label i , $i \in S$. That is $[e_i]$ in $G_{-m,n} = \{u_p u_q / p+q = i, p, q \in S\}$, $i \in S$. We call $[e_i]$ as the *edge sum class* (with edge sum i), $i \in S$.

Clearly, $G_{-m,n} \setminus (\{u_{-i}\} \cup [e_{-i}])$, $G_{-m,n} \setminus (\{u_0\} \cup [e_0])$ and $G_{-m,n} \setminus (\{u_j\} \cup [e_j])$ are integral sum graphs, $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Definition 7.1. [36] Define $H_0^{-m,n} = G_{-m,n} \setminus (\{u_0\} \cup [e_0])$, $H_{-i,\emptyset}^{-m,n} = G_{-m,n} \setminus (\{u_{-i}\} \cup [e_{-i}])$, $H_{\emptyset,j}^{-m,n} = G_{-m,n} \setminus (\{u_j\} \cup [e_j])$, $H_{-i,j}^{-m,n} = G_{-m,n} \setminus (\{u_{-i}, u_j\} \cup [e_{-i}] \cup [e_j])$ and $H_{\emptyset,\emptyset}^{-m,n} = H_{\emptyset}^{-m,n} = G_{-m,n}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$.

In general, $H_{-X,Y}^{-m,n} = G_{-m,n} \setminus ((-X) \cup Y \cup [-X] \cup [Y])$ where $X \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $Y \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $[-X] = \cup_{i \in X} [e_{-i}]$ and $[Y] = \cup_{j \in Y} [e_j]$. Clearly, $H_{-X,Y}^{-m,n}$ and $H_{-Y,X}^{-n,m}$ are integral sum graphs and $H_{-X,Y}^{-m,n} \cong H_{-Y,X}^{-n,m}$, without the vertex labels, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 7.2. [36] For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for different possible values of i and r , integral sum graph $H_{-i,\emptyset}^{-r,n-r}$ has maximum number of edges when $i = r$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. \square

Theorem 7.3. [36] Let $G_{-m,n}$ be a given integral sum graph, $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then, integral sum graph $H_{-i,j}^{-m,n}$ has the maximum number of edges when $i = m$ and $j = n$. In particular, the maximal integral sum subgraph of order $n-1$ of $G_{-m,n-m}$ is $G_{-(m-1),n-m-1}$, $m, n-m \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Theorem 7.4. [36] Let $G^+(S)$ be an integral sum graph of order n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

- (i) $E(G^+(S)) = \cup_{i \in S} [e_i]$.
- (ii) Two edge sum classes are either equal or disjoint. That is for every $i, j \in S$, either $[e_i] = [e_j]$ or $[e_i] \cap [e_j] = \emptyset$.
- (iii) For $i, j \in S$ if $[e_i] \neq \emptyset$, $[e_j] \neq \emptyset$ and $i \neq j$, then $[e_i] \neq [e_j]$.
- (iv) A non-empty edge sum class is an independent set of edges of the integral sum graph. That is no two elements (edges) of an edge sum class have common vertex.
- (v) The number of distinct non-empty edge sum classes of $G^+(S)$ is less than or equal to n , the order of the graph.
- (vi) The number of distinct non-empty edge sum classes of $G^+(S)$ is equal to n if and only if every vertex label occurs as the induced sum of at least one edge in $G^+(S)$.

Proof. Properties (i), (ii) and (iii) follow from the definition of edge sum class. Property (iv) follows from the definition of integer sum labeling. Properties (v) and (vi) follow from the definition of integer sum labeling and from the fact that each edge belongs to an edge sum class, say, $[e_i]$, $i \in S$. \square

Theorem 7.5. [36] The set of all non-empty edge sum classes of an integral sum graph $G^+(S)$ partition the set of all edges of the graph. \square

Proof. The result follows from properties (i), (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 7.4. \square

Remark 7.6. We have seen that the set of all non-empty edge sum classes of an integral sum graph partition the edge set of the graph. For a given integral sum graph $G^+(S)$, the set of all edge sum classes is unique. Property (iv) helps us to consider an integral sum graph as edge sum color graph by applying same color to all edges in an edge sum class and different colors to different edge sum classes.

An assignment of colors to the vertices of a graph so that adjacent vertices have the distinct colors is called a *proper coloring* of the graph. A *color class* is the set of all vertices with any one color and that is an independent set. The *chromatic number* $\chi(G)$ of a graph G is the minimum number of colors required to color the vertices of G for which G has a proper coloring [15]. Similarly, an assignment of colors to the edges of a graph G in such a way that adjacent edges have distinct colors is termed as *proper edge coloring*. An *edge color class* is the set of all edges of G with any single color and it is an independent set of edges. The *edge chromatic number* $\chi'(G)$ is defined as the minimum number of colors required to color the edges of G for which G has a proper edge coloring [15].

Definition 7.7. Integral sum graph $G^+(S)$ is said to be an edge sum-perfect color graph if the edge sum classes are also a minimal edge color classes of $G^+(S)$. Otherwise, $G^+(S)$ is called an edge sum-non-perfect color graph.

Thus, $G_{-1,5}$ is an edge sum-non-perfect color graph whereas star graphs are edge sum-perfect color graphs.

Definition 7.8. [36] The number of non-empty distinct edge sum classes of an integral sum graph $G^+(S)$ is called the edge sum color number or chromatic integral sum number or chromatic edge sum number or chromatic sum number of $G^+(S)$ and is denoted by $\chi''(G^+(S))$.

It is clear that for a given integral sum graph $G^+(S)$, $\chi''(G^+(S)) =$ order of the graph $G^+(S)$ if and only if $[e_i] \neq \emptyset$ for every $i \in S$. In [36], it is shown that (i) for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\chi''(G_{-m,n}) = m + n + 1$, (ii) for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_{-1,1}$ and $G_{0,n}$ are edge sum-perfect color graphs, (iii) for $n \geq 2$, $G_{-1,n}$ are edge sum-non-perfect color graphs and (iv) for $2 \leq n \leq 5$, $G_{-n,n}$ are edge sum-non-perfect color graphs. And in [19], it is proved that for $3 \leq m + n$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\chi'(G_{-m,n}) = m + n$ and $G_{-m,n}$ are edge sum-non-perfect color graphs.

Open Problem 7.9. [36] Characterize integral sum graphs $G^+(S)$ such that

- (i) $\chi''(G^+(S)) =$ order of the graph $G^+(S)$;
- (ii) $G^+(S)$ is an edge sum-perfect color graph;
- (iii) $G^+(S)$ is an edge sum-non-perfect color graph;
- (iv) $\chi'(G^+(S)) = \chi''(G^+(S))$;
- (v) $\chi'(G^+(S)) < \chi''(G^+(S))$ and
- (vi) $\chi'(G^+(S)) > \chi''(G^+(S))$. \square

8. GRAPHS G_n , $G_{0,n}$ AND $G_{-r,n}$ ARE PERFECT GRAPHS, $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$

In [19], it is proved that the integral sum graphs G_n , $G_{0,n}$ and $G_{-r,n}$ are perfect graphs for $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$. We present these results in this section. We start with Vizing's theorem on the edge chromatic number of a graph.

Theorem 8.1. [15] (Vizing's Theorem) For any graph G , the edge chromatic number satisfies the inequalities, $\Delta(G) \leq \chi'(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$.

A simple graph G is *class 1* if $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$. It is *class 2* if $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G) + 1$.

The *clique* of a graph G is the maximal complete subgraph in G and its order is the *clique number* of G , denoted by $\omega(G)$ [15]. Clearly $\omega(K_n) = n$. Here we denote the clique of the graph G by C_G .

A graph G is *perfect* if for every induced subgraph of G , the clique number and the chromatic number have the same value. Equivalently, for every $A \subseteq V(G)$, $\chi(G[A]) = \omega(G[A])$ [11]. A graph G is 1-perfect if $\chi(G) = \omega(G)$ [11]. It is proved that graph G is perfect if and only if every induced subgraph of G is 1-perfect [10].

Theorem 8.2. [22] The complement of a perfect graph is perfect as well.

Several graphs are proved as perfect [2, 11, 14]. They include bipartite graphs and their line graphs, chordal graphs, comparability graphs, triangulated graphs, etc. Perfect graphs arise in the statistical competition of block designs and in graph coloring problems. Another application of perfect graphs is the optimal routing of garbage trucks related to urban science problems. The perfect graph is also closely related to perfect channels in communication theory [32].

A vertex and an edge of a graph are said to cover each other if they are incident [15]. A set of vertices (edges) which covers all the edges (vertices) of a graph G is called a *vertex cover* (*edge cover*) for G . *Vertex covering number* (*edge covering number*) is the minimum cardinality among all the vertex covers (edge covers) for G and is denoted by $\alpha_0(G)$ or α_0 ($\alpha_1(G)$ or α_1) [15].

A set of vertices (edges) in G is independent if none of them are adjacent. The maximum cardinality among all the vertex (edge) independent set is called its *vertex independence number* (*edge independence number*) and is denoted by $\beta_0(G)$ or β_0 ($\beta_1(G)$ or β_1) [15]. We denote the independent set of the graph G by I_G .

Theorem 8.3. [15] For any connected nontrivial graph G of order n , $\alpha_0(G) + \beta_0(G) = n = \alpha_1(G) + \beta_1(G)$.

Lemma 8.4. [19] For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the integral sum graph G_n has

- (i) Clique of G_n , $C_{G_n} = \{v_i \in V(G_n) : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil\}$ and $\omega(G_n) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$.
- (ii) Minimum vertex cover of $G_n = \{v_i \in V(G_n) : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1\}$
and $\alpha_0(G_n) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1$.
- (iii) Maximum independent set of G_n ,
 $I_{G_n} = \{v_i \in V(G_n) : i = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 2, \dots, n\}$
and $\beta_0(G_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$.
- (iv) Maximum matching of G_n
 $= \{v_i v_j \in E(G_n) : (i, j) = (1, n-1), (2, n-2), \dots, (\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil)\}$
and $\beta_1(G_n) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1$.
- (v) Any sum graph $G^+(S)$ has no edge cover since the vertex corresponding to the biggest label which is a natural number is an isolated vertex in $G^+(S)$.
In particular, G_n has no edge cover, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 8.5. [19] For every integral sum graph $G_{-r,n}$ and $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

- (i) Clique of $G_{-r,n}$,
 $C_{G_{-r,n}} = \{v_i \in V(G_{-r,n}) : i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil, -1, -2, \dots, -\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil\}$
and $\omega(G_{-r,n}) = 1 + \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$.

- (ii) Minimum vertex cover of $G_{-r,n}$

$$= \begin{cases} \left\{ v_i \in V(G_{-r,n}) : i = -r, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil - 1 \right\} & \text{if } r \leq n \\ \left\{ v_i \in V(G_{-r,n}) : i = -\left\lceil \frac{r}{2} \right\rceil + 1, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, n \right\} & \text{if } r \geq n, \end{cases}$$
and $\alpha_0(G_{-r,n}) = \min\{r, n\} + \left\lceil \frac{\max\{r, n\}}{2} \right\rceil$.
- (iii) Maximum independent set of $G_{-r,n}$

$$= \begin{cases} \left\{ v_i \in V(G_{-r,n}) : i = \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil, \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + 1, \dots, n-1, n \right\} & \text{if } r \leq n \\ \left\{ v_i \in V(G_{-r,n}) : i = -\left\lceil \frac{r}{2} \right\rceil, -\left(\left\lceil \frac{r}{2} \right\rceil + 1\right), \dots, -r \right\} & \text{if } r \geq n, \end{cases}$$
and $\beta_0(G_{-r,n}) = \left\lfloor \frac{\max\{r, n\}}{2} \right\rfloor + 1$.
- (iv) Minimum edge cover of $G_{-r,n}$

$$= \begin{cases} \left\{ v_i v_j \in E(G_{-r,n}) : i + j = n - r \right\} & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \\ \left\{ v_i v_j \in E(G_{-r,n}) : i + j = n - r \ \& \ (i, j) = \left(0, \frac{n-r}{2}\right) \right\} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \left\{ v_i v_j \in E(G_{-r,n}) : (i, j) = (-r, n), (-r+1, n-1), \dots, (0, n-r) \right\} & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \\ \left\{ v_i v_j \in E(G_{-r,n}) : (i, j) = (-r, n), (-r+1, n-1), \dots, (0, n-r) \ \& \ \left(0, \frac{n-r}{2}\right) \right\} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$
and $\alpha_1(G_{-r,n}) = \left\lceil \frac{r+n+1}{2} \right\rceil$ where $k = r + n + 1$.
- (v) Maximum matching of $G_{-r,n}$

$$= \{v_i v_j \in E(G_{-r,n}) : i + j = n - r\},$$
and $\beta_1(G_{-r,n}) = \left\lfloor \frac{r+n+1}{2} \right\rfloor$.

Remark 8.6. [19] *By putting $r = 0$ in Lemma 8.5, we obtain the corresponding properties of $G_{0,n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Remark 8.7. [19] *In the integral sum graph $G_{-r,n}$ of even order, every maximum matching is a perfect matching for $r, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.*

For any integral sum graph G_n , $G_{0,n}$ or $G_{-r,n}$, maximum independent set, minimum vertex cover, minimum edge cover, and maximum matching need not be unique but clique is unique, $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 8.8. [19] For a positive integer n , the sum graph G_n is perfect.

Proof. Let G_n be the sum graph of order n obtained by the labeling $f : V(G_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined by $f(v_i) = i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Let H be any induced subgraph of G_n . Our aim is to prove that $\chi(H) = \omega(H)$ for all subgraphs H of G_n where $\chi(H)$ is the chromatic number of H and $\omega(H)$ is its clique number.

G_n is a split graph with clique $C_{G_n} = \{v_i \in V(G_n) : i = 1, 2, \dots, \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil\}$ and the independent set $I_{G_n} = \{v_i \in V(G_n) : i = \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil, \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + 1, \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + 2, \dots, n\}$. To prove the theorem we consider the following three cases of H .

Case 1. $V(H) \subseteq V(C_{G_n})$.

Every induced subgraph of a clique is another clique of order fewer than or equal to the maximal clique. And thereby H itself a clique and $\chi(H) = \omega(H)$.

Case 2. $V(H) \subseteq V(I_{G_n})$.

Induced subgraph of an independent set is also an independent set and the clique number of any induced subgraph of an independent set is 1. This implies, $\chi(H) = \omega(H) = 1$ for every $V(H) \subseteq V(I_{G_n})$.

Case 3. $V(H) \subseteq V(C_{G_n}) \cup V(I_{G_n})$.

In this case, vertices of H belong to either C_{G_n} or I_{G_n} . Let $V(H) = V(C_H) \cup V(I_H)$ where $V(C_H) \subseteq C_{G_n}$ and $V(I_H) \subseteq I_{G_n}$, $V(C_H), V(I_H) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\omega(H) = k$. This implies that $|C_H| = k = \chi(C_H)$ where C_H is the clique in H . If H itself is a clique, then $H = C_H$ and thereby $\chi(H) = \omega(H) = k$. Hence the result is true in this case. If H is not a clique, let $\omega(H) = k$. This implies, $\chi(C_H) = |C_H| = k$.

For each vertex $v_j \in V(I_H)$, there exists atleast one $v_i \in V(C_H)$ such that $v_i v_j \notin E(H)$ (since $i + j > n$) so that there will not be a clique of higher order than that of C_H and thus v_i and v_j can be assigned with the same color. That is, the colors used in C_H are enough for coloring $V(I_H)$. This implies, $\chi(H) = \chi(C_H) = k$. Hence we get $\chi(H) = k = \omega(H)$.

Thus in all the three cases we obtain $\chi(H) = \omega(H)$ for every induced subgraph H of G_n and thereby graph G_n is perfect for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Illustration 8.9. [19] Consider the sum graph G_{13} as given in Figure 26. Vertices of G_{13} are subscript-labeled. Vertices $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6$ and v_7 (vertices joined by edges with blue color) form a clique in G_{13} and $\omega(G_{13}) = 7$. These vertices are colored by the colors c_1, c_2, \dots, c_7 . All the remaining vertices are nonadjacent to v_7 and so, they can be colored by c_7 . Or, the vertices $v_8, v_9, v_{10}, v_{11}, v_{12}$ and v_{13} are nonadjacent to the vertices v_6, v_5, v_4, v_3, v_2 and v_1 respectively, and can be colored by the corresponding colors c_1, c_2, \dots, c_7 . Therefore, the chromatic number of the sum graph G_{13} is 7. i.e., $\chi(G_{13}) = 7 = \omega(G_{13})$. Thus G_{13} is 1-perfect. Clearly every pair of nonadjacent vertices in G_{13} are also nonadjacent in any of its induced subgraphs. This shows that every induced subgraph of G_{13} is 1-perfect. This implies, graph G_{13} is 1-perfect and thereby G_{13} is perfect.

Corollary 8.10. [19] For every positive integer n , graph G_n^c is perfect.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorems 8.8 and 8.2. \square

Theorem 8.11. [19] For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

- (i) the chromatic number of G_n is $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$;
- (ii) the edge chromatic number of G_1 is 0 and for $n \geq 2$, the edge chromatic number of G_n is $n - 2$.
i.e., $\chi'(G_1) = 0$ and for $n \geq 2$, $\chi'(G_n) = n - 2$.

Proof. The sum graph G_n is obtained by the labeling $f : V(G_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined by $f(v_i) = i$, $i = 1$ to n .

(i) From Theorem 8.8, G_n is perfect and thereby the chromatic number of G_n is equal to its clique number. This implies, $\chi(G_n) = \omega(G_n) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. Hence we get the result (i). Vertex coloring and clique of G_{13} are shown in Figure 26.

(ii) For $n = 1$, $G_n = G_1$ and so the edge chromatic number $\chi'(G_1) = 0$.

For $n \geq 2$, the maximum degree of graph G_n is $\Delta(G_n) = n - 2$. Using Theorem 8.1, we have, $\Delta(G_n) \leq \chi'(G_n) \leq \Delta(G_n) + 1$. Here, we present the edge coloring of the sum graph G_n with exactly $n - 2$ colors so that $\chi'(G_n) = n - 2$ for $n \geq 2$.

Let c_k denote k^{th} color assigned to an edge and C_k denote the color class of edges each with color c_k in G_n , $n \geq 2$. Color the set of edges $\{v_i v_j \in E(G_n) : i + j = k + 2, 1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j\}$ of G_n with the color c_k .

It is clear from the above edge coloring that colors c_1 to c_{n-2} are assigned to the edges of G_n and no more edge colors are required. And all the colors of edges incident at each vertex v_i of sum graph G_n are all distinct since there are exactly $n - 2$ possibilities of j , $i \neq j$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ for which $i + j = k + 2$ in G_n , $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$. This implies, $\chi'(G_n) = n - 2$ for $n \geq 2$. Hence we get the result (ii). \square

Illustration 8.12. [19] Consider the sum graph G_{13} as given in Figure 27. Vertices of G_{13} are subscript-labeled. The vertices $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6$ and v_7 in G_{13} (vertices joined by edges with blue color) form a clique, $\omega(G_{13}) = 7$ and $\Delta(G_{13}) = 11$. Since




Fig. 26. G_{13} : vertex coloring & clique




Figure 27. G_{13} : edge coloring

G_{13} is perfect, $\chi(G_n) = \omega(G_{13})$ which implies, $\chi(G_n) = 7 = \lceil \frac{13}{2} \rceil$. As in the proof, color the set of edges $\{v_i, v_j \in E(G_n) : i + j = k + 2, 1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j\}$ of G_n with the color c_k . The colors of each edge of G_{13} is given as follows.

- $c_1 \rightarrow v_1v_2;$
- $c_2 \rightarrow v_1v_3;$
- $c_3 \rightarrow v_1v_4, v_2v_3;$
- $c_4 \rightarrow v_1v_5, v_2v_4;$
- $c_5 \rightarrow v_1v_6, v_2v_5, v_3v_4;$
- $c_6 \rightarrow v_1v_7, v_2v_6, v_3v_5;$
- $c_7 \rightarrow v_1v_8, v_2v_7, v_3v_6, v_4v_5;$
- $c_8 \rightarrow v_1v_9, v_2v_8, v_3v_7, v_4v_6;$
- $c_9 \rightarrow v_1v_{10}, v_2v_9, v_3v_8, v_4v_7, v_5v_6;$
- $c_{10} \rightarrow v_1v_{11}, v_2v_{10}, v_3v_9, v_4v_8, v_5v_7;$
- $c_{11} \rightarrow v_1v_{12}, v_2v_{11}, v_3v_{10}, v_4v_9, v_5v_8, v_6v_7.$

Edge coloring of G_{13} with 11 colors, using the method given in the proof of Theorem 8.11, is given in Figure 27. Here, $\chi'(G_{13}) = 11 = 13 - 2$.



Results are available for the composition of perfect graphs which allows different types of graph operations [9]. But the graph operation join is not available yet. Following is the result corresponding to join of two perfect graphs.

Theorem 8.13. [19] Join of two perfect graphs is also perfect.

Proof. Let G and F be two perfect graphs with $\chi(G) = \omega(G) = p$ and $\chi(F) = \omega(F) = q$. Also let $J = G * F$, the join of the graphs G and F . When we take the join of two graphs G and F , the clique in G together with clique in F form a higher clique of order $p + q$. i.e., $\omega(J) = p + q$. Let H be any induced subgraph of J . We have to prove that $\chi(H) = \omega(H)$.

Let $\omega(H) = k$ and let C_H be the clique in H of order k . Then $\omega(H) = |C_H| = k = \chi(C_H)$. That is C_H can be colored using k colors.

Let $D = V(H) \setminus V(C_H)$. For each $v_j \in D \cap V(G)$ and $v_y \in D \cap V(F)$, there exist $v_i \in V(C_H) \cap V(G)$ and $v_x \in V(C_H) \cap V(F)$ such that $v_iv_j, v_xv_y \notin E(H)$ so that there does not exist a clique of higher order than that of C_H . Hence, the colors of v_i and v_x can be assigned to v_j and v_y , respectively. And thereby the vertices of D can be colored using the colors of vertices of C_H . This implies, $\chi(H) = \chi(C_H) =$

Fig. 28. $G_{0,12}$: vertex coloring & cliqueFigure 29. $G_{0,12}$: edge coloring

$\omega(H)$. Thus, for every induced subgraph H of J , $\chi(H) = \omega(H)$. Thus the graph J is perfect. \square

Theorem 8.14. [19] For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the integral sum graph $G_{0,n}$ is perfect.

Proof. The integral sum graph $G_{0,n} = K_1 * G_n$ where $K_1 = G_1$ is labeled with 0. Then using Theorems 8.8 and 8.13, the graph $G_{0,n}$ is perfect, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Figure 28 shows the clique in $G_{0,12}$ and its vertex coloring.

Theorem 8.15. [19] For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the integral sum graph $G_{0,n}$ has

- (i) the chromatic number $\chi(G_{0,n}) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$;
- (ii) the edge chromatic number $\chi'(G_{0,n}) = n$.

Proof. The integral sum graph $G_{0,n}$ is obtained by the labeling $f : V(G_{0,n}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ defined by $f(v_i) = i$, $i = 0$ to n .

(i) We have, $G_{0,n} \cong K_1 * G_n$ which implies, $\chi(G_{0,n}) = \chi(K_1) + \chi(G_n) = 1 + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ using Theorem 8.11.

(ii) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the maximum degree of integral sum graph $G_{0,n}$ is $\Delta(G_{0,n}) = n$. Using Theorem 8.1, we get, $\Delta(G_{0,n}) \leq \chi'(G_{0,n}) \leq \Delta(G_{0,n}) + 1$. Now, we present a proper edge coloring of $G_{0,n}$ with n colors so that $\chi'(G_{0,n}) = n$.

Let c_k denote k^{th} color assigned to an edge and C_k denote the color class of edges, each with color c_k in $G_{0,n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Color all the edges in the set $\{v_i v_j \in E(G_{0,n}) : i + j = k, 0 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ with the same color c_k . Clearly, the edges of $G_{0,n}$ take at the most n colors since $1 \leq i + j \leq n$. Also, there are n edges incident at the vertex v_0 , and all these n edges take n distinct colors. That is, $\chi'(G_{0,n}) = n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Edge coloring of $G_{0,12}$ with 12 colors, using the method given in the proof of Theorem 8.15, is given in Figure 29. \square

Theorem 8.16. [19] For $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the integral sum graph $G_{-r,n}$ is perfect.

Proof. We have, $G_{-r,n} \cong K_1 * (G_{-r} * G_n) \cong K_1 * (G_n * G_{-r}) \cong (K_1 * G_n) * G_{-r} \cong G_{0,n} * G_{-r}$ since join operation is associative as well as commutative among undirected graphs. Graphs G_r and G_{-r} are isomorphic without vertex labeling and so both are perfect by Theorems 8.8 whereas $G_{0,n}$ is perfect by Theorem 8.14




Figure 30. $G_{-5,7}$: vertex coloring




Figure 31. $G_{-5,7}$ with edge coloring

for $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore using Theorem 8.13, graph $G_{o,n} * G_{-r}$ is perfect for $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies, graph $G_{-r,n} \cong G_{o,n} * G_{-r}$ is perfect for $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Illustration 8.17. [19] Consider the integral sum graph $G_{-5,7}$ as given in Figure 30. Vertices $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_0, v_{-1}, v_{-2}, v_{-3}$ (Vertices joined by the edges with magenta color) in $G_{-5,7}$ form a clique. Thus, $\omega(G_{-5,7}) = 8$. These vertices can be colored by 8 distinct colors, say $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6, c_7, c_8$, respectively. Vertices v_{-4} and v_{-5} are nonadjacent to v_{-3} and can be colored by c_1 , the color of v_1 . Vertices v_7, v_6 and v_5 are nonadjacent to v_4 and can be colored by c_4 . Therefore, $\chi(G_{-5,7}) = 8$. This implies, $G_{-5,7}$ is 1-perfect. Likewise we can show that every induced subgraph of $G_{-5,7}$ is 1-perfect. This implies, graph $G_{-5,7}$ is perfect. Edge coloring of $G_{-5,7}$ with 8 colors is shown in Figure 31.

Theorem 8.18. [34] For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

- (i) $\chi'(G_{-1,1}) = 3$.
- (ii) $\chi'(G_{-1,n}) = n + 1$ for $n \geq 2$.
- (iii) $\chi'(G_{-n,n}) = 2n$ for $2 \leq n \leq 6$.

In [34] (also see [36]), Vilfred proposed Theorem 8.19 (ii) as a conjecture and here we provide a proof.

Theorem 8.19. [19] For $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

- (i) the chromatic number of $G_{-r,n}$ is $\chi(G_{-r,n}) = 1 + \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$;
- (ii) the edge chromatic number of $G_{-r,n}$ is $\chi'(G_{-r,n}) = r + n$.

Proof. For $-r \leq i \leq n$ and $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $f : V(G_{-r,n}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $f(v_i) = i$ be the integral sum labeling of the graph $G_{-r,n}$.

- (i) For $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$, integral sum graph $G_{-r,n}$ is perfect by Theorem 8.16. Therefore $\chi(G_{-r,n}) = \omega(G_{-r,n}) = 1 + \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ follows from Lemma 8.5. Hence the result is true in this case. Figure 30 shows the vertex coloring and clique in $G_{-5,7}$.
- (ii) For $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the maximum degree of integral sum graph $G_{-r,n}$ is $\Delta(G_{-r,n}) = r + n$. And using Theorem 8.1, we get, $\Delta(G_{-r,n}) \leq \chi'(G_{-r,n}) \leq \Delta(G_{-r,n}) + 1$. Here we present a proper edge coloring of the integral sum graph $G_{-r,n}$ with $r + n$ colors so $\chi'(G_{-r,n}) = r + n$ for $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let c_k denote k^{th} color assigned to an edge and C_k denote the color class of edges, each with color c_k in $G_{-r,n}$, $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$, color the edges of $G_{-r,n}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
v_0v_j &\mapsto c_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n; \quad \text{i.e., edge } v_0v_j \text{ is taking color } c_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n; \\
v_0v_{-i} &\mapsto c_{i+n}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r; \\
v_{-i}v_j &\mapsto c_{i+j}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq n-1; \\
v_{-i}v_n &\mapsto c_{2i+n}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor; \\
v_{-i}v_n &\mapsto c_{i-\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}, \quad \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor < i \leq r, \quad n > \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor; \\
v_{-i}v_n &\mapsto c_{i-\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}, \quad \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor < i \leq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor + n - 1, \quad n \leq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor; \\
v_{-i}v_n &\mapsto c_{2(i-\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor)-n+1}, \quad \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor + n \leq i \leq r, \quad n \leq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor; \\
v_iv_j &\mapsto c_{i+j+r}, \quad 1 \leq i, j, i+j \leq n \text{ and } i < j \text{ and} \\
v_{-i}v_{-j} &\mapsto c_{i+j+n}, \quad 1 \leq i, j, i+j \leq r \text{ and } i < j.
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear from the above edge coloring that colors c_1 to c_{n+r} are assigned to the edges of $G_{-r,n}$ and no more edge colors are required. And also colors of edges at each vertex of $G_{-r,n}$ are all distinct by the following. We have $G_{-r,n} \cong K_1 * (G_{-r} * G_n)$ and $G_{-r} * G_n \cong G_{-r} \cup G_n \cup K_{r,n}$, $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In $G_{-r,n}$, colors c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n+r} are assigned to the $n+r$ edges incident at the vertex v_0 .

In $K_{-r,n}$, we get the following possible colors taken by its edges incident at each of its vertices under the coloring already assigned to the edges of $G_{-r,n}$.


(a) For $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, distinct colors $c_{j+1}, c_{j+2}, \dots, c_{j+r}$ are assigned to the r edges incident at v_j . And these colors are also different from color c_j of the edge v_0v_j at v_0 .

(b) For $n > \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$, distinct colors $c_{2+n}, c_{4+n}, \dots, c_{2(\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - 1) + n}, c_{2\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor + n}, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{r-\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}$ are assigned to the r edges incident at v_n and these colors are different from color c_n of the edge v_0v_n at v_n . Clearly, $2\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor + n \leq r+n$ and thus the colors assigned are from the $r+n$ colors only.

(c) For $n \leq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$, distinct colors $c_{2+n}, c_{4+n}, \dots, c_{2(\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - 1) + n}, c_{2\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor + n}, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor + n - 1}, c_{n+1}, c_{n+3}, \dots, c_{2\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - n + 1}$ are assigned to the r edges incident at v_n and these colors are different from color c_n of the edge v_0v_n at v_n . Clearly, $2\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor + n, 2\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - n + 1 \leq r+n$ and atmost $r+n$ colors are assigned.

(d) For $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$, distinct colors $c_{i+1}, c_{i+2}, \dots, c_{i+n-1}, c_{2i+n}$ are assigned to the n edges incident at v_{-i} . And all these colors are different from color c_{i+n} of the edge v_0v_{-i} at v_{-i} .

(e) For $n > \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$ and $\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor < i \leq r$, distinct colors $c_{i+1}, c_{i+2}, \dots, c_{i+n-1}, c_{i-\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}$ are assigned to the n edges incident at v_{-i} and all these colors are different from color c_{i+n} of the edge v_0v_{-i} at v_{-i} .

Figure 32. $G_{-8,3}$ with edge coloring.

(f) For $n \leq \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil$ and $\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor < i \leq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor + n - 1$, distinct colors $c_{i+1}, c_{i+2}, \dots, c_{i+n-1}, c_{i-\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}$ are assigned to the n edges incident at v_{-i} and all these colors are different from color c_{i+n} of the edge v_0v_{-i} at v_{-i} .

(g) For $n \leq \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil$ and $\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor + n \leq i \leq r$, distinct colors $c_{i+1}, c_{i+2}, \dots, c_{i+n-1}, c_{2(i-\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor)-n+1}$ are assigned to the n edges incident at v_{-i} and all these colors are different from color c_{i+n} of the edge v_0v_{-i} at v_{-i} .

Thus, in the above edge coloring of $G_{-r,n}$, edges of $K_{-r,n}$ take colors from the $r+n$ colors and colors of edges incident at each vertex of $K_{-r,n}$ are all distinct.

In G_n , for $1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j$ and $3 \leq i+j \leq n$, color of edge v_iv_j is c_{i+j+r} and thereby edges of G_n are taking colors only from the colors $c_{3+r}, c_{4+r}, \dots, c_{n+r}$; edge colors c_{i+j+r} at v_i are all distinct and also different from c_i of the edge v_0v_i at v_i as well as that of other possible colors of edges which incident at v_i in $G_{-r,n}$ where $i \neq j$ and $3 \leq i+j \leq n$. The same arguments also hold when i and j are interchanged.

In G_{-r} , for $1 \leq i, j \leq r, i \neq j$ and $3 \leq i+j \leq r$, color of edge $v_{-i}v_{-j}$ is c_{i+j+n} and thereby edges of G_{-r} are taking colors only from the colors $c_{3+n}, c_{4+n}, \dots, c_{r+n}$; edge colors c_{i+j+n} at v_{-i} are all distinct and also different from c_{i+n} of the edge v_0v_{-i} at v_{-i} as well as that of other possible colors of edges which incident at v_{-i} in $G_{-r,n}$ where $i \neq j$ and $3 \leq i+j \leq r$. The same arguments also hold when i and j are interchanged in this case.

Thus, in the above edge coloring, edges of $G_{-r,n}$ takes only $r+n$ number of colors and colors of edges incident at each vertex of $G_{-r,n}$ are all distinct and thereby $\chi'(G_{-r,n}) = r+n$ since $\Delta(G_{-r,n}) \leq \chi'(G_{-r,n}) \leq \Delta(G_{-r,n}) + 1$ by Theorem 8.1. \square

Illustration 8.20. [19] Consider the integral sum graph $G_{-8,3}$, the case where $n \leq \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil$. We know $\Delta(G_{-8,3}) = 11$. Using the algorithm given in the above proof, we can color the edges of $G_{-8,3}$ as follows.

- $c_1 \rightarrow v_0v_1, v_{-5}v_3;$
- $c_2 \rightarrow v_0v_2, v_{-1}v_1, v_{-6}v_3;$
- $c_3 \rightarrow v_0v_3, v_{-1}v_2, v_{-2}v_1;$
- $c_4 \rightarrow v_0v_{-1}, v_{-2}v_2, v_{-3}v_1, v_{-7}v_3;$

- $c_5 \rightarrow v_0v_{-2}, v_{-1}v_3, v_{-3}v_2, v_{-4}v_1;$
 $c_6 \rightarrow v_0v_{-3}, v_{-1}v_{-2}, v_{-4}v_2, v_{-5}v_1, v_{-8}v_3;$
 $c_7 \rightarrow v_0v_{-4}, v_{-1}v_{-3}, v_{-2}v_3, v_{-5}v_2, v_{-6}v_1;$
 $c_8 \rightarrow v_0v_{-5}, v_{-1}v_{-4}, v_{-2}v_{-3}, v_{-6}v_2, v_{-7}v_1;$
 $c_9 \rightarrow v_0v_{-6}, v_{-1}v_{-5}, v_{-2}v_{-4}, v_{-3}v_3, v_{-7}v_2, v_{-8}v_1;$
 $c_{10} \rightarrow v_0v_{-7}, v_{-1}v_{-6}, v_{-2}v_{-5}, v_{-3}v_{-4}, v_{-8}v_2;$
 $c_{11} \rightarrow v_0v_{-8}, v_{-1}v_{-7}, v_{-2}v_{-6}, v_{-3}v_{-5}, v_{-4}v_3, v_1v_2.$

The integral sum graph $G_{-5,7}$, the case where $n > \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil$, can be colored using 12 colors by the algorithm given in the above proof. That is $\chi'(G_{-5,7}) = 12 = 5 + 7$. In Figure 31, the edge coloring of $G_{-5,7}$ with 12 colors is given. Similarly, in Figure 32, edge coloring of $G_{-8,3}$ with 11 colors is shown. Here, $\chi'(G_{-8,3}) = 11 = 8 + 3$.

Theorem 8.21. [19] For positive integers r and n , integral sum graphs G_n , $G_{0,n}$ and $G_{-r,n}$ are of class 1.

Proof. Using Theorems 8.11, 8.15 and 8.19, we get $\chi'(G_n) = n - 2 = \Delta(G_n) =$ degree of the vertex with integral sum labeling 1 in G_n , $\chi'(G_{0,n}) = n = \Delta(G_{0,n}) =$ degree of the vertex with integral sum labeling 0 in $G_{0,n}$ and $\chi'(G_{-r,n}) = r + n = \Delta(G_{-r,n}) =$ degree of the vertex with integral sum labeling 0 in $G_{-r,n}$, $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

9. (a, d) -CONTINUOUS MONOTONIC DECOMPOSITION OF K_{n+1} AND $G_{0,n}$

In this section, we present the following results. (i) For $n \geq 3$, K_n admits (a, d) -Continuous Monotonic Subgraph Decomposition (CMSD) into triangular books for some a and d , $a, d \in \mathbb{N}$; (ii) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_{0,2n}$, $G_{0,4n+2}$ and $G_{0,4n+3}$ admit (a, d) -CMSD into triangular books with book mark for some a and d , $a, d \in \mathbb{N}$; (iii) $G_{0,4n+1}$ admits Ascending Subgraph Decomposition (ASD) but doesn't admit (a, d) -ASD and (a, d) -CMD into triangular books with book mark for any $a, d \in \mathbb{N}$; (iv) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_{0,4n+2}$, $G_{0,4n}$ and $G_{0,4n-1}$ admit (a, d) -CMSD into Fans with a handle for some a and d , $a, d \in \mathbb{N}$ and (v) $G_{0,4n+1}$ admits ASD into Fans with a handle and one P_2 but doesn't admit (a, d) -ASD and (a, d) -CMD into Fans with a handle for any $a, d \in \mathbb{N}$.

Throughout this section, vertices of K_n as well as of $G_{0,n-1}$ are considered as the vertices of an n -gon ordered in the anti-clockwise direction. Definitions of triangular book with a book mark and fan graph with a handle are presented just before Theorem 6.12 in Section 6.

Alavi [1] introduced the concept of *Ascending Subgraph Decomposition (ASD)* of a graph G with size $(n+1)C_2$ as the decomposition of G into n subgraphs G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n without isolated vertices such that each G_i is isomorphic to a proper subgraph of G_{i+1} and $|E(G_i)| = i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Nagarajan [24] generalized ASD to (a, d) -ASD of graph G with size $\frac{(2a+(n-1)d)n}{2}$ as the decomposition of G into n subgraphs G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n without isolated vertices such that each G_i is isomorphic to a proper subgraph of G_{i+1} and $|E(G_i)| = a + (i-1)d$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Clearly, ASD of a graph G and its $(1, 1)$ -ASD are the same.

Gnana Dhas [13] defined *(a, d) -Continuous Monotonic Decomposition* or (a, d) -CMD of a graph G of size $\frac{(2a+(n-1)d)n}{2}$ as the decomposition of G into n subgraphs G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n such that each G_i is connected and $|E(G_i)| = a + (i-1)d$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Clearly, CMD of a graph G and its $(1, 1)$ -CMD are the same.

Among the family of graphs some graphs may have (a, d) -ASD, some may have (a, d) -CMD, some may have both (a, d) -ASD and (a, d) -CMD and the others have neither (a, d) -ASD nor (a, d) -CMD. Huaitand [18] studied (a, d) -ASD of regular graphs and proved that every regular bipartite graph is ASD. Nagarajan [24] studied (a, d) -ASD of wheels. Finding graphs having either (a, d) -ASD or (a, d) -CMD is difficult and finding graphs having both (a, d) -ASD and (a, d) -CMD seem to be more difficult, $a, d \in \mathbb{N}$. While studying decomposition of integral sum graphs, we come across graphs having both (a, d) -ASD and (a, d) -CMD and this motivated us to define *CMSD* and (a, d) -CMSD of graphs as follows.

Definition 9.1. [43] *A decomposition of graph G that is both (a, d) -ASD and (a, d) -CMD is called a (a, d) -Continuous Monotonic Subgraph Decomposition or (a, d) -CMSD of G , $a, d \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, (a, d) -CMSD of graph G with size $\frac{(2a+(n-1)d)n}{2}$ is the decomposition of G into n subgraphs G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n without isolated vertices such that each G_i is connected and isomorphic to a proper subgraph of G_{i+1} and $|E(G_i)| = a + (i-1)d$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.*


Theorem 9.2. [43] For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \geq 2$, (i) K_{2m} admits $(1, 4)$ -CMSD into triangular books and (ii) K_{2m-1} admits $(3, 4)$ -CMSD into triangular books.

Proof. Let $V(K_n) = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. $|E(K_n)| = nC_2$.

(i) Let $n = 2m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $K_n = K_{2m}$ and a $(1, 4)$ -CMSD of K_{2m} into triangular books is obtained as follows.

$K_{2m} = TB_{2m-2}(0, 1) \cup TB_{2m-4}(2, 3) \cup \dots \cup TB_2(2m-4, 2m-3) \cup TB_0(2m-2, 2m-1)$ where $TB_{2m-2j}(2j-2, 2j-1)$ in K_{2m} represents triangular book with spine $(2j-2, 2j-1)$ and $(2j-2, 2j-1, 2j), (2j-2, 2j-1, 2j+1), \dots, (2j-2, 2j-1, 2m-1)$ as the $(2m-2j)$ number of triangular pages and is a connected subgraph, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. In K_{2m} , $(0, 1)$ is the spine for $TB_{2m-2}(0, 1)$, both the vertices 0 and 1 are adjacent to the remaining $2m-2$ vertices, $2, 3, \dots, 2m-1$ and each one is of degree $2m-1$ in $TB_{2m-2}(0, 1)$; $(2, 3)$ is the spine for $TB_{2m-4}(2, 3)$, both the vertices 2 and 3 are adjacent to the $2m-4$ vertices, $4, 5, \dots, 2m-1$ and each one is of degree $2m-1$ in $TB_{2m-2}(0, 1) \cup TB_{2m-4}(2, 3)$; $(4, 5)$ is the spine for $TB_{2m-6}(4, 5)$, both the vertices 4 and 5 are adjacent to the $2m-6$ vertices, $6, 7, \dots, 2m-1$ and each one is of degree $2m-1$ in $TB_{2m-2}(0, 1) \cup TB_{2m-4}(2, 3) \cup TB_{2m-6}(4, 5)$; \dots ; $(2m-4, 2m-3)$ is the spine for $TB_2(2m-4, 2m-3)$, both the vertices $2m-4$ and $2m-3$ are adjacent to the 2 vertices, $2m-2$ and $2m-1$ and each one is of degree $2m-1$ in $TB_{2m-2}(0, 1) \cup TB_{2m-4}(2, 3) \cup TB_{2m-6}(4, 5) \cup \dots \cup TB_2(2m-4, 2m-3)$; $(2m-2, 2m-1)$ is the spine for $TB_0(2m-2, 2m-1)$ which is a triangular book without pages and each one of the vertices $2m-2$ and $2m-1$ is of degree $2m-1$ in $TB_{2m-2}(0, 1) \cup TB_{2m-4}(2, 3) \cup TB_{2m-6}(4, 5) \cup \dots \cup TB_2(2m-4, 2m-3) \cup TB_0(2m-2, 2m-1) = K_{2m}$. Also $|E(TB_0(2m-2, 2m-1))| = 1 < |E(TB_2(2m-4, 2m-3))| = 5 < |E(TB_4(2m-6, 2m-5))| = 9 < \dots < |E(TB_{2m-4}(2, 3))| = 4m-7 < |E(TB_{2m-2}(0, 1))| = 4m-3$. And clearly, $TB_0(2m-2, 2m-1)$ is a connected subgraph of $TB_2(2m-4, 2m-3)$ which is a connected subgraph of $TB_4(2m-6, 2m-5)$ which is a connected subgraph of \dots which is a connected subgraph of $TB_{2m-4}(2, 3)$ which is a connected subgraph of $TB_{2m-2}(0, 1)$, without vertex labels. Thus, K_{2m} admits $(1, 4)$ -CMSD into triangular books for $m \geq 2$.


In different colors, $(1, 4)$ -CMSD of K_4 , K_6 and K_8 are shown in Figures 33, 34 and 35, respectively. Here, $K_4 = TB_2(0, 1) \cup TB_0(2, 3)$, $K_6 = TB_4(0, 1) \cup TB_2(2, 3) \cup TB_0(4, 5)$ and $K_8 = TB_6(0, 1) \cup TB_4(2, 3) \cup TB_2(4, 5) \cup TB_0(6, 7)$.

Fig. 33. (1,4)-CMSD of K_4 Fig. 34. (1,4)-CMSD of K_6 Fig. 35. (1,4)-CMSD of K_8

(ii) Consider K_{2m-1} . Then decomposition of K_{2m-1} into triangular books of (3, 4)–CMSD is obtained as follow.

$K_{2m+1} = TB_{2m-1}(0, 1) \cup TB_{2m-3}(2, 3) \cup \dots \cup TB_3(2m-4, 2m-3) \cup TB_1(2m-2, 2m-1)$ where $TB_{2m+1-2j}(2j-2, 2j-1)$ in K_{2m+1} represents triangular book with spine $(2j-2, 2j-1)$ and $(2j-2, 2j-1, 2j), (2j-2, 2j-1, 2j+1), \dots, (2j-2, 2j-1, 2m)$ as the $(2m+1-2j)$ number of triangular pages and is a connected subgraph, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. The above decomposition of K_{2m+1} is similar to the decomposition given in case (i) except K_{2m+1} admits (3, 4)–CMSD into triangular books since $|E(TB_1(2m-2, 2m-1))| = 3 < |E(TB_3(2m-4, 2m-3))| = 7 < |E(TB_5(2m-6, 2m-5))| = 11 < \dots < |E(TB_{2m-3}(2, 3))| = 4m-5 < |E(TB_{2m-1}(0, 1))| = 4m-1$ and $TB_1(2m-2, 2m-1)$ is a connected subgraph of $TB_3(2m-4, 2m-3)$ which is a connected subgraph of $TB_5(2m-6, 2m-5)$ which is a connected subgraph of \dots which is a connected subgraph of $TB_{2m-3}(2, 3)$ which is a connected subgraph of $TB_{2m-1}(0, 1)$, without vertex labels.

(3, 4)-CMSD of K_3, K_5 and K_7 are shown in different colors in Figures 36, 37 and 38, respectively. Here, (3,4)-CMSDs are $K_3 = TB_1(0, 1)$, $K_5 = TB_3(0, 1) \cup TB_1(2, 3)$ and $K_7 = TB_5(0, 1) \cup TB_3(2, 3) \cup TB_1(4, 5)$. Hence the result. \square

Fig. 36. (3, 4)-CMSD of K_3 Fig. 37. (3, 4)-CMSD of K_5 Fig. 38. (3, 4)-CMSD of K_7

Corollary 9.3. [43] K_n admits (a, d) -CMSD into triangular books for some a and d , $a, d \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Theorem 9.4. [43] For $n \geq 3$, K_n admits (1, 1)-CMSD into stars.

Proof. The (1, 1)-CMSD of K_n into stars is obtained as follows. $K_n = K_{1,1}(0; 1) \cup K_{1,2}(2; 0, 1) \cup K_{1,3}(3; 0, 1, 2) \cup \dots \cup K_{1,n-1}(n-1; 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-2) \cup K_{(1,n)}(n; 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1)$ where $K_{1,j}(j; 0, 1, \dots, j-1)$ is the star $K_{1,j}$ with internal vertex j and leaves $0, 1, \dots, j-1$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. \square

Theorem 9.5. [43] For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_{0,2m}$ admits (2, 2)-CMSD into triangular books with book mark.

Proof. In the sum graph G_n , $|E(G_n)| = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)$, $d(v_j) = n-1-j$ if $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$ and $d(v_j) = n-j$ if $\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 1 \leq j \leq n$ where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the floor of x

and $n \geq 2$. Therefore $|E(G_{0,2m})| = 2m + |E(G_{2m})| = 2m + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{2m(2m-1)}{2} - \lfloor \frac{2m}{2} \rfloor) = m(m+1)$ where $G_{0,2m} = K_1 * G_{2m}$. The proof of the theorem is similar to the proof given to Theorem 9.2. Let $V(G_{0,2m}) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2m}\}$ where j is the integral sum label of vertex v_j in the integral sum graph $G_{0,2m}$, $0 \leq j \leq 2m$. $(2, 2)$ -CMSD of $G_{0,2m}$ into triangular books with book mark is obtained as follows.

$G_{0,2m} = TB_0(0, 2m - 1)(0, 2m) \cup TB_1(1, 2m - 2; 0)(1, 2m - 1) \cup TB_2(2, 2m - 3; 0, 1)(2, 2m - 2) \cup TB_3(3, 2m - 4; 0, 1, 2)(3, 2m - 3) \cup \dots \cup TB_{m-1}(m - 1, m; 0, 1, 2, \dots, m - 2)(m - 1, m + 1)$ where $TB_j(j, 2m - (j + 1); 0, 1, 2, \dots, j - 1)(j, 2m - j)$ represents triangular book with spine $(j, 2m - (j + 1))$, book mark $(j, 2m - j)$ and leaves $0, 1, 2, \dots, j - 1$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$ and $TB_0(0, 2m - 1)(0, 2m)$ is the triangular book with spine $(0, 2m - 1)$, book mark $(0, 2m)$ and without any leaf. This implies $G_{0,2m}$ admits $(2, 2)$ -CMSD into triangular books with book mark since $|E(TB_0(0, 2m - 1)(0, 2m))| = 2 < |E(TB_1(1, 2m - 2; 0)(1, 2m - 1))| = 4 < |E(TB_2(2, 2m - 3; 0, 1)(2, 2m - 2))| = 6 < \dots < |E(TB_{m-2}(m - 2, m + 1)(m - 2, m + 2))| = 2m - 2 < |E(TB_{m-1}(m - 1, m; 0, 1, 2, \dots, m - 1)(m - 1, m + 1))| = 2m$ and $TB_0(0, 2m - 1)(0, 2m)$ is a connected subgraph of $TB_1(1, 2m - 2; 0)(1, 2m - 1)$ which is a connected subgraph of $TB_2(2, 2m - 3; 0, 1)(2, 2m - 2)$ which is a connected subgraph of $TB_3(3, 2m - 4; 0, 1, 2)(3, 2m - 3)$ which is a connected subgraph of \dots which is a connected subgraph of $TB_{m-1}(m - 1, m; 0, 1, 2, \dots, m - 2)(m - 1, m + 1)$. Hence the result is proved.

Graphs $G_{0,6}$, $G_{0,8}$ and $G_{0,10}$ are shown in different colors in their $(2, 2)$ -CMSD in Figures 39, 40 and 41, respectively. In these $(2, 2)$ -CMSDs, $G_{0,6} = TB_0(0, 5)(0, 6) \cup TB_1(1, 4; 0)(1, 5) \cup TB_2(2, 3; 0, 1)(2, 4)$, $G_{0,8} = TB_0(0, 7)(0, 8) \cup TB_1(1, 6; 0)(1, 7) \cup TB_2(2, 5; 0, 1)(2, 6) \cup TB_3(3, 4; 0, 1, 2)(3, 5)$ and $G_{0,10} = TB_0(0, 9)(0, 10) \cup TB_1(1, 8; 0)(1, 9) \cup TB_2(2, 7; 0, 1)(2, 8) \cup TB_3(3, 6; 0, 1, 2)(3, 7) \cup TB_4(4, 5; 0, 1, 2, 3)(4, 6)$. \square




Figure 39. $G_{0,6} = TB_0(0, 5)(0, 6) \cup TB_1(1, 4; 0)(1, 5) \cup TB_2(2, 3; 0, 1)(2, 4)$

Figure 40. $G_{0,8} = TB_0(0, 7)(0, 8) \cup TB_1(1, 6; 0)(1, 7) \cup TB_2(2, 5; 0, 1)(2, 6) \cup TB_3(3, 4; 0, 1, 2)(3, 5)$




Figure 41. $G_{0,10} = TB_0(0, 9)(0, 10) \cup TB_1(1, 8; 0)(1, 9) \cup TB_2(2, 7; 0, 1)(2, 8) \cup TB_3(3, 6; 0, 1, 2)(3, 7) \cup TB_4(4, 5; 0, 1, 2, 3)(4, 6)$

Theorem 9.6. [43] For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_{0,4n+1}$ doesn't admit (a, d) -ASD and (a, d) -CMD into triangular books with book mark for any $a, d \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have $|E(G_{0,4n+1})| = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{(4n+1)(4n+4)}{2} - \lfloor \frac{4n+1}{2} \rfloor) = (n+1)(4n+1) - n = (2n+1)^2$ and $|E(TB_k(u, v)(u, w))| = 2k+2$. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $G_{0,4n+1}$ admits (a, d) -ASD or (a, d) -CMD into triangular books with book mark for any $a, d \in \mathbb{N}$, then let $G_{0,4n+1} = TB_{k_1}^*(u_1, v_1) \cup TB_{k_2}^*(u_2, v_2) \cup \dots \cup TB_{k_m}^*(u_m, v_m)$ where $TB_{k_1}^*(u_1, v_1), TB_{k_2}^*(u_2, v_2), \dots, TB_{k_m}^*(u_m, v_m)$ are edge disjoint triangular books with book mark in $G_{0,4n+1}$, $u_i, v_i \in V(G_{0,4n+1})$, $0 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_m$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then $|E(G_{0,4n+1})| = |E(TB_{k_1}^*(u_1, v_1))| + |E(TB_{k_2}^*(u_2, v_2))| + \dots + |E(TB_{k_m}^*(u_m, v_m))|$ which implies, $(2n+1)^2 = (2k_1+2) + (2k_2+2) + \dots + (2k_m+2)$ which is not possible since the L.H.S. is an odd number whereas the R.H.S. is an even number. Hence the result is true by the method of contradiction. \square

Corollary 9.7. [43] For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_{0,4n+1}$ doesn't admit (a, d) -CMSD into triangular books with book mark for any $a, d \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 9.8. [43] For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

- (i) $G_{0,4n}$ admits $(6, 8)$ -CMSD into triangular books with book mark;
- (ii) $G_{0,4n+1}$ can be decomposed into triangular books with book mark;
- (iii) $G_{0,4n+2}$ admits $(2, 8)$ -CMSD into triangular books with book mark and
- (iv) $G_{0,4n+3}$ admits $(4, 8)$ -CMSD into triangular books with book mark.

Proof. Let $V(G_{0,n}) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and vertices of $G_{0,n}$ be subscript-labeled. In the sum graph G_n , $|E(G_n)| = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)$, $d(v_j) = n-1-j$ if $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$ and $d(v_j) = n-j$ if $\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 1 \leq j \leq n$ where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the floor of x and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Therefore $|E(G_{0,n})| = n + |E(G_n)| = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{n(n+3)}{2} - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)$. Consider the following four cases of n and the proof is similar to the proof given to Theorem 9.2.

Case (i) $n = 4m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

In this case, $(6, 8)$ -CMSD of $G_{0,n} = G_{0,4m}$ into triangular books with book mark is obtained as follows.

$G_{0,4m} = TB_{4m-2}(0, 1; 2, 3, \dots, 4m-1)(0, 4m) \cup TB_{4m-6}(2, 3; 4, 5, \dots, 4m-3)(2, 4m-2) \cup TB_{4m-10}(4, 5; 6, 7, \dots, 4m-5)(4, 4m-4) \cup TB_{4m-14}(6, 7; 8, 9, \dots, 4m-7)(6, 4m-6) \cup \dots \cup TB_6(2m-4, 2m-3; 2m-2, 2m-1, \dots, 2m+3)(2m-4, 2m+4) \cup TB_2(2m-2, 2m-1; 2m, 2m+1)(2m-2, 2m+2)$ where $TB_{4m-(2+4j)}(2j, 2j+1; 2j+2, 2j+3, \dots, 4m-2j-1)(2j, 4m-2j)$ represents triangular book in $G_{0,4m}$ with spine $(2j, 2j+1)$, *pendant vertex* with label $4m-2j$ and leaves $2j+2, 2j+3, \dots, 4m-2j-1$ and is a connected subgraph for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1$. In this decomposition all the edges of $G_{0,4m}$ are partitioned into the edges of triangular books with book mark and $|E(TB_2(2m-2, 2m-1; 2m, 2m+1)(2m-2, 2m+2))| = 6 < |E(TB_6(2m-4, 2m-3; 2m-2, 2m-1, \dots, 2m+3)(2m-4, 2m+4))| = 14 < |E(TB_{10}(2m-6, 2m-5; 2m-4, 2m-3, \dots, 2m+5)(2m-6, 2m+6))| = 22 < \dots < |E(TB_{4m-6}(2, 3; 4, 5, \dots, 4m-3)(2, 4m-2))| = 8m-10 < |E(TB_{4m-2}(0, 1; 2, 3, \dots, 4m-1)(0, 4m))| = 8m-2$ and $TB_{4m-2}(0, 1; 2, 3, \dots, 4m-1)(0, 4m)$ is a connected subgraph of $TB_{4m-6}(2, 3; 4, 5, \dots, 4m-3)(2, 4m-2)$ which is a connected subgraph of $TB_{4m-10}(4, 5; 6, 7, \dots, 4m-5)(4, 4m-4)$ which

is a connected subgraph of $TB_{4m-14}(6, 7; 8, 9, \dots, 4m - 7)(6, 4m - 6)$ which is a connected subgraph of \dots which is a connected subgraph of $TB_6(2m - 4, 2m - 3; 2m - 2, 2m - 1, \dots, 2m + 3)(2m - 4, 2m + 4)$ which is a connected subgraph of $TB_2(2m - 2, 2m - 1; 2m, 2m + 1)(2m - 2, 2m + 2)$. Thus, $G_{0,4m}$ admits (6, 8)-CMSD into triangular books with book mark.

(6, 8)-CMSD of $G_{0,4}, G_{0,8}$ and $G_{0,12}$ are shown in different colors in Figures 42, 43 and 44. Here, (6,8)-CMSD of the graphs are $G_{0,4} = TB_2(0, 1; 2, 3)(0, 4)$, $G_{0,8} = TB_6(0, 1; 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7)(0, 8) \cup TB_2(2, 3; 4, 5)(2, 6)$ and $G_{0,12} = TB_{10}(0, 1; 2, 3, \dots, 11)(0, 12) \cup TB_6(2, 3; 4, 5, \dots, 9)(2, 10) \cup TB_2(4, 5; 6, 7)(4, 8)$.




Fig. 42. $G_{0,4} = TB_2(0, 1; 2, 3)(0, 4)$




Fig. 43. $G_{0,8} = TB_6(0, 1; 2, 3, \dots, 7)(0, 8) \cup TB_2(2, 3; 4, 5)(2, 6)$

Case (ii): $n = 4m + 1, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

In this case, decomposition of $G_{0,4m+1}$ into triangular books with book mark is obtained as follows.




Figure 44. $G_{0,12} = TB_{10}(0, 1; 2, 3, \dots, 11)(0, 12) \cup TB_6(2, 3; 4, 5, \dots, 9)(2, 10) \cup TB_2(4, 5; 6, 7)(4, 8)$

$G_{0,4m+1} = TB_{4m-1}(0, 1; 2, 3, \dots, 4m)(0, 4m + 1) \cup TB_{4m-5}(2, 3; 4, 5, \dots, 4m - 2)(2, 4m - 1) \cup TB_{4m-9}(4, 5; 6, 7, \dots, 4m - 4)(4, 4m - 3) \cup TB_{4m-13}(6, 7; 8, 9, \dots, 4m - 6)(6, 4m - 5) \cup \dots \cup TB_7(2m - 4, 2m - 3; 2m - 2, 2m - 1, \dots, 2m + 4)(2m - 4, 2m + 5) \cup TB_3(2m - 2, 2m - 1; 2m, 2m + 1, 2m + 2)(2m - 2, 2m + 3) \cup TB_0(2m, 2m + 1)$ where $TB_{4m+1-(2+4j)}(2j, 2j + 1; 2j + 2, 2j + 3, \dots, 4m - 2j)(2j, 4m + 1 - 2j)$ represents triangular book in $G_{0,4m+1}$ with spine $(2j, 2j + 1)$, pendant vertex with label $4m + 1 - 2j$ and leaves $2j + 2, 2j + 3, \dots, 4m - 2j$ and is a connected subgraph for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$ and $TB_0(2m, 2m + 1)$ is a triangular book with spine $(2m, 2m + 1)$ and without any leaf. All the edges of $G_{0,4m+1}$ are covered under this decomposition and $|E(TB_0(2m, 2m + 1))| = 1 < |E(TB_3(2m - 2, 2m - 1; 2m, 2m + 1, 2m + 2)(2m - 2, 2m + 3))| = 8 < |E(TB_7(2m - 4, 2m - 3; 2m - 2, 2m - 1, \dots, 2m + 4)(2m - 4, 2m + 5))| = 16 < \dots < |E(TB_{4m-5}(2, 3; 4, 5, \dots, 4m - 2)(2, 4m - 1))| =$

$8m - 8 < |E(TB_{4m-1}(0, 1; 2, 3, \dots, 4m)(0, 4m + 1))| = 8m$ and $TB_0(2m, 2m + 1)$ is a connected subgraph of $TB_3(2m - 2, 2m - 1; 2m, 2m + 1, 2m + 2)(2m - 2, 2m + 3)$ which is a connected subgraph of $TB_7(2m - 4, 2m - 3; 2m - 2, 2m - 1, \dots, 2m + 4)(2m - 4, 2m + 5)$ which is a connected subgraph of ... which is a connected subgraph of $TB_{4m-5}(2, 3; 4, 5, \dots, 4m - 2)(2, 4m - 1)$ which is a connected subgraph of $TB_{4m-1}(0, 1; 2, 3, \dots, 4m)(0, 4m + 1)$, without vertex labels. Thus, $G_{0,4m+2}$ is decomposed into triangular books with book mark.

Decomposition of graphs $G_{0,5}$, $G_{0,9}$ and $G_{0,13}$ into triangular books with book mark are shown in different colors in Figures 45, 46 and 47, respectively. Here, the decomposition is $G_{0,5} = TB_3(0, 1; 2, 3, 4)(0, 5) \cup TB_0(2, 3)$, $G_{0,9} = TB_7(0, 1; 2, 3, \dots, 8)(0, 9) \cup TB_3(2, 3; 4, 5, 6)(2, 7) \cup TB_0(4, 5)$ and $G_{0,13} = TB_{11}(0, 1; 2, 3, \dots, 12)(0, 13) \cup TB_7(2, 3; 4, 5, \dots, 10)(2, 11) \cup TB_3(4, 5; 6, 7, 8)(4, 9) \cup TB_0(6, 7)$.




Figure 45. $G_{0,5}$
 $= TB_3(0, 1; 2, 3, 4)(0, 5) \cup TB_0(2, 3)$




Figure 46. $G_{0,9} = TB_7(0, 1; 2, 3, \dots, 8)(0, 9)$
 $\cup TB_3(2, 3; 4, 5, 6)(2, 7) \cup TB_0(4, 5)$




Figure 47. $G_{0,13} = TB_{11}(0, 1; 2, 3, \dots, 12)(0, 13) \cup TB_7(2, 3; 4, 5, \dots, 10)(2, 11)$
 $\cup TB_3(4, 5; 6, 7, 8)(4, 9) \cup TB_0(6, 7)$

Case (iii): $n = 4m + 2$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

In this case, $(2, 8)$ -CMSD of $G_{0,4m+2}$ into triangular books with book mark is obtained as follows.

$G_{0,4m+2} = TB_{4m}(0, 1; 2, 3, \dots, 4m+1)(0, 4m+2) \cup TB_{4m-4}(2, 3; 4, 5, \dots, 4m-1)(2, 4m) \cup TB_{4m-8}(4, 5; 6, 7, \dots, 4m-3)(4, 4m-2) \cup TB_{4m-12}(6, 7; 8, 9, \dots, 4m-5)(6, 4m-4) \cup \dots \cup TB_8(2m-4, 2m-3; 2m-2, 2m-1, \dots, 2m+5)(2m-4, 2m+6) \cup TB_4(2m-2, 2m-1; 2m, 2m+1, 2m+2, 2m+3)(2m-2, 2m+4) \cup TB_0(2m, 2m+1)(2m, 2m+2)$. Here $TB_{4m-4j}(2j, 2j+1; 2j+2, 2j+3, \dots, 4m-2j+1)(2j, 4m-2j+2)$ represents triangular book in $G_{0,4m+2}$ with spine $(2j, 2j+1)$, pendant vertex $4m-2j+2$ and leaves $2j+2, 2j+3, \dots, 4m-2j+1$ and is a connected subgraph for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ and $TB_0(2m, 2m+1)(2m, 2m+2)$ is a triangular book with spine

$(2m, 2m+1)$, pendant vertex with label $2m+2$ and without any leaf. In this decomposition, all the edges of $G_{0,4m+2}$ are partitioned into edges of triangular books with book mark and $|E(TB_0(2m, 2m+1)(2m, 2m+2))| = 2 < |E(TB_4(2m-2, 2m-1; 2m, 2m+1, 2m+2, 2m+3)(2m-2, 2m+4))| = 10 < |E(TB_8(2m-4, 2m-3; 2m-2, 2m-1, \dots, 2m+5)(2m-4, 2m+6))| = 18 < \dots < |E(TB_{4m-4}(2, 3; 4, 5, \dots, 4m-1)(2, 4m))| = 8m-6 < |E(TB_{4m}(0, 1; 2, 3, \dots, 4m+1)(0, 4m+2))| = 8m+2$ and $TB_0(2m, 2m+1)(2m, 2m+2)$ is a connected subgraph of $TB_4(2m-2, 2m-1; 2m, 2m+1, 2m+2, 2m+3)(2m-2, 2m+4)$ which is a connected subgraph of $TB_8(2m-4, 2m-3; 2m-2, 2m-1, \dots, 2m+5)(2m-4, 2m+6)$ which is a connected subgraph of \dots which is a connected subgraph of $TB_{4m-4}(2, 3; 4, 5, \dots, 4m-1)(2, 4m)$ which is a connected subgraph of $TB_{4m}(0, 1; 2, 3, \dots, 4m+1)(0, 4m+2)$, without vertex labels. Thus, $G_{0,4m+2}$ admits $(2, 8)$ -CMSD into triangular books with book mark.

$(2, 8)$ -CMSD of $G_{0,14} = TB_{12}(0, 1; 2, 3, \dots, 13)(0, 14) \cup TB_8(2, 3; 4, 5, \dots, 11)(2, 12) \cup TB_4(4, 5; 6, 7, 8, 9)(4, 10) \cup TB_0(6, 7)(6, 8)$ is shown in Figure 48.




Figure 48. $G_{0,14} = TB_{12}(0, 1; 2, 3, \dots, 13)(0, 14) \cup TB_8(2, 3; 4, 5, \dots, 11)(2, 12) \cup TB_4(4, 5; 6, 7, 8, 9)(4, 10) \cup TB_0(6, 7)(6, 8)$

Case (iv): $n = 4m + 3$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

In this case, $(4, 8)$ -CMSD of $G_{0,4m+3}$ into triangular books with book mark is obtained as follows.

$G_{0,4m+3} = TB_{4m+1}(0, 1; 2, 3, \dots, 4m+2)(0, 4m+3) \cup TB_{4m-3}(2, 3; 4, 5, \dots, 4m)(2, 4m+1) \cup TB_{4m-7}(4, 5; 6, 7, \dots, 4m-2)(4, 4m-1) \cup TB_{4m-11}(6, 7; 8, 9, \dots, 4m-4)(6, 4m-3) \cup \dots \cup TB_9(2m-4, 2m-3; 2m-2, 2m-1, \dots, 2m+6)(2m-4, 2m+7) \cup TB_5(2m-2, 2m-1; 2m, 2m+1, \dots, 2m+4)(2m-2, 2m+5) \cup TB_1(2m, 2m+1; 2m+2)(2m, 2m+3)$ where $TB_{4m+1-4j}(2j, 2j+1; 2j+2, 2j+3, \dots, 4m-2j+2)(2j, 4m-2j+3)$ represents triangular book in $G_{0,4m+3}$ with spine $(2j, 2j+1)$, pendant vertex $4m-2j+3$ and leaves $2j+2, 2j+3, \dots, 4m-2j+2$ and is a connected subgraph for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$. In this decomposition all the edges of $G_{0,4m+3}$ are partitioned into edges of triangular books with book mark and $|E(TB_1(2m, 2m+1; 2m+2)(2m, 2m+3))| = 4 < |E(TB_5(2m-2, 2m-1; 2m, 2m+1, \dots, 2m+4)(2m-2, 2m+5))| = 12 < |E(TB_9(2m-4, 2m-3; 2m-2, 2m-1, \dots, 2m+6)(2m-4, 2m+7))| = 20 < \dots < |E(TB_{4m-3}(2, 3; 4, 5, \dots, 4m)(2, 4m+1))| = 8m-4 < |E(TB_{4m+1}(0, 1; 2, 3, \dots, 4m+2)(0, 4m+3))| = 8m+4$ and $TB_1(2m, 2m+1; 2m+2)(2m, 2m+3)$ is a connected subgraph of $TB_5(2m-2, 2m-1; 2m, 2m+1, \dots, 2m+4)(2m-2, 2m+5)$ which is a connected subgraph of $TB_9(2m-4, 2m-3; 2m-2, 2m-1, \dots, 2m+6)(2m-4, 2m+7)$ which is a connected subgraph of \dots which is a connected subgraph of $TB_{4m-3}(2, 3; 4, 5, \dots, 4m)(2, 4m+1)$ which is a connected subgraph

of $TB_{4m+1}(0, 1; 2, 3, \dots, 4m+2)(0, 4m+3)$, without vertex labels. Thus, $G_{0,4m+3}$ admits (4, 8)-CMSD into triangular books with book mark. Hence we get the result.

(4, 8)-CMSD of $G_{0,15} = TB_{13}(0, 1; 2, 3, \dots, 14)(0, 15) \cup TB_9(2, 3; 4, 5, \dots, 12)(2, 13) \cup TB_5(4, 5; 6, 7, 8, 9, 10)(4, 11) \cup TB_1(6, 7; 8)(6, 9)$ is shown in Figure 49. \square




Figure 49. $G_{0,15} = TB_{13}(0, 1; 2, 3, \dots, 14)(0, 15) \cup TB_9(2, 3; 4, 5, \dots, 12)(2, 13) \cup TB_5(4, 5; 6, 7, 8, 9, 10)(4, 11) \cup TB_1(6, 7; 8)(6, 9)$

Theorem 9.9. [43] For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_{0,4m+1}$ does not admit (a, d) -ASD and (a, d) -CMD into Fans with a handle for any $a, d \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. If possible, let $G_{0,4m+1}$ admit (a, d) -ASD into Fans with a handle for some $a, d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, let $G_{0,4m+1} \cong F_{n_1}^* \cup F_{n_2}^* \cup \dots \cup F_{n_k}^*$ where $F_{n_1}^*, F_{n_2}^*, \dots, F_{n_k}^*$ are edge disjoint fans with handle for some $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $2 \leq n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k$. Then, $|E(G_{0,4m+1})| = |E(F_{n_1}^*)| + |E(F_{n_2}^*)| + \dots + |E(F_{n_k}^*)|$ which implies, $(2m+1)^2 = 2n_1 + 2n_2 + \dots + 2n_k$ which is a contradiction since the L.H.S. is an odd number whereas the R.H.S. is an even number. Hence the result. \square

Corollary 9.10. [43] For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_{0,4m+1}$ does not admit (a, d) -CMSD into Fans with a handle for any $a, d \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Theorem 9.11. [43] For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

- (i) $G_{0,4n+1}$ can be decomposed into Fans with a handle and one P_2 ;
- (ii) $G_{0,4n+2}$ admits (2, 8)-CMSD into Fans with a handle;
- (iii) $G_{0,4n-1}$ admits (4, 8)-CMSD into Fans with a handle; and
- (iv) $G_{0,4n}$ admits (6, 8)-CMSD into Fans with a handle.

Proof. For $n \geq 3$, F_{n-1}^* , fan with a handle has $n+1$ vertices and $2(n-1)$ edges. Let $V(G_{0,n}) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ where v_j is the vertex with integral sum label j in $G_{0,n}$, $0 \leq j \leq n$. In the sum graph G_n , $|E(G_n)| = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)$, $d(v_j) = n-1-j$ if $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$ and $d(v_j) = n-j$ if $\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 1 \leq j \leq n$ where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the floor of x . Now, consider decomposition of $G_{0,n}$ into Fans with a handle for different values of n separately.

In $G_{0,n}$, the subset $\{v_i v_j : i+j = n \text{ or } n-1, 0 \leq i, j \leq n\} \cup \{v_0 v_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2\}$ of $E(G_{0,n})$ forms F_{n-1}^* , fan graph with cycle $(v_0 v_{n-1} v_1 v_{n-2} \dots v_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor})$, pendant edge $v_0 v_n$ attached at the apex vertex v_0 and $n-3$ concurrent edges, $v_0 v_j s$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2, \dots, n-2$. Using the definition of integral sum labeling, $G_n - (\{v_n, v_{n-1}\} \cup \{v_i v_j : i+j = n \text{ or } n-1, 1 \leq i, j \leq n-2\}) = G_n - \{n, n-1, [n], [n-1]\} = G_{n-2}$. Also using Theorem 2.12, $G_{n-2} - \{v_1, v_{n-2}\}$ is

isomorphic to unlabeled graph G_{n-4} . Therefore $G_{0,n} - (\{v_0, v_n, v_{n-1}, v_{n-2}\} \cup \{v_i v_0 : i + j = n \text{ or } n - 1, 1 \leq i, j \leq n - 2\})$ is isomorphic to unlabeled graph $G_{0,n-4}$. This also follows from Theorem 1.6. Relabeling the vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-3} in the resultant graph $G_{0,n} - (\{v_0, v_n, v_{n-1}, v_{n-2}\} \cup \{v_i v_j : i + j = n \text{ or } n - 1, 1 \leq i, j \leq n - 2\})$ as v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-4} using the bijection $i \rightarrow i - 1$ among the vertex labels and continuing the same technique of choosing the vertex subset $\{v_0, v_{n-4}, v_{n-5}, v_{n-6}\}$ and the relabeled edge subset $\{v_i v_j : i + j = n - 4 \text{ or } n - 5 \text{ for } 0 \leq i, j \leq n - 4\} \cup \{v_0 v_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 6\}$ (in the relabeled graph) which form a fan F_{n-5}^* . The underlying graph of the subgraph $G_{0,n-4} - (\{v_0, v_{n-4}, v_{n-5}, v_{n-6}\} \cup \{v_i v_j : i + j = n - 4 \text{ or } n - 5, 1 \leq i, j \leq n - 6\})$ of the relabeled graph $G_{0,n-4}$ is isomorphic to the underlying graph of $G_{0,n-8}$. Continue the above process. And to complete the proof, we consider the following four cases of n .

Case (i): $n = 4m + 1, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

In this case, $G_{0,n} = G_{0,4m+1} = F_{4m}^* \cup F_{4(m-1)}^* \cup F_{4(m-2)}^* \cup \dots \cup F_8^* \cup F_4^* \cup P_2(m, m+1) = P_2(m, m+1) \cup (\bigcup_{j=0}^{m-1} F_{4(m-j)}^*)$ where $F_{4m}^*, F_{4(m-1)}^*, F_{4(m-2)}^*, \dots, F_8^*, F_4^*, P_2(m, m+1)$ are edge disjoint subgraphs of $G_{0,4m+1}$; here F_{4m}^* is the Fan with the handle (v_0, v_{4m+1}) , apex vertex v_0 and $P_{4m} = v_{4m} v_1 v_{4m-1} v_2 \dots v_{2m+2} v_{2m-1} v_{2m+1} v_{2m}$; $|E(G_{0,4m+1})| = 4m + 1 + |E(G_{4m+1})| = 4m + 1 + \frac{(4m+1)(4m)}{4} - \frac{2m}{2} = (2m + 1)^2$; $|E(P_2)| = 1 < |E(F_4^*)| = 8 < |E(F_8^*)| = 16 < \dots < |E(F_{n-5}^*)| = 2(n - 5) < |E(F_{n-1}^*)| = 2(n - 1) = 8m$; $|E(P_2)| + |E(F_4^*)| + |E(F_8^*)| + \dots + |E(F_{n-1}^*)| = 1 + 8 + 16 + \dots + 8m = 4m^2 + 4m + 1 = (2m + 1)^2$ and v_j is the vertex with integral sum label j in $G_{0,4m+1}, j \in [0, 4m + 1]$. Moreover, P_2 is a connected subgraph of F_4^* which is a connected subgraph of F_8^* which is a connected subgraph of \dots which is a connected subgraph of F_{n-5}^* which is a connected subgraph of F_{n-1}^* , without vertex labels. Thus $G_{0,4m+1}$ admits *CMSD* into Fans with a handle and one P_2 .

Decomposition of $G_{0,13}$ into Fans with a handle and one P_2 is given in Figure 50 and its subgraph decomposition is shown separately in Figures 50.1 to 50.4.








Figure 50. CMSD of $G_{0,13}$ in to Fans with a handle $\cup P_2$

Fig. 50.1. $G_{0,13}$ with Fans with a handleFig. 50.2. $G_{0,13}$ with Fans with a handleFigure 50.3 $G_{0,13}$ with Fans with a handleFigure 50.4 $G_{0,13}$ with one P_2

Case (ii): $n = 4m + 2$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

In this case, $G_{0,n} = G_{0,4m+2} = F_{4m+1}^* \cup F_{4(m-1)+1}^* \cup F_{4(m-2)+1}^* \cup \dots \cup F_5^* \cup P_3(m, m+1, m+2) = P_3(m, m+1, m+2) \cup (\bigcup_{j=0}^{m-1} F_{4(m-j)+1}^*)$ where F_{4m+1}^* , $F_{4(m-1)+1}^*$, $F_{4(m-2)+1}^*$, \dots , F_5^* , $P_3(m, m+1, m+2)$ are edge disjoint subgraphs of $G_{0,4m+2}$; F_{4m+1}^* is the Fan with the handle (v_0, v_{4m+2}) , apex vertex v_0 and $P_{4m+1} = v_{4m+1}v_1v_{4m}v_2 \dots v_{2m-1}v_{2m+2}v_{2m}v_{2m+1}$; $P_3(v_m, v_{m+1}, v_{m+2})$ is the path $v_mv_{m+1}v_{m+2}$ in $G_{0,4m+2}$ and v_j is the vertex with integral sum label j in $G_{0,4m+2}$, $j \in [0, 4m+2]$. Also $|E(G_{0,4m+2})| = 4m+2 + |E(G_{4m+2})| = 4m+2 + \frac{(4m+2)(4m+1)}{4} - \frac{(2m+1)}{2} = 4m^2 + 6m + 2 = 2(2m+1)(m+1)$, $|E(P_3)| = 2 < |E(F_5^*)| = 10 < |E(F_9^*)| = 18 < \dots < |E(F_{n-5}^*)| = 2(n-5) < |E(F_{n-1}^*)| = 2(n-1) = 2(4m+1) = 8m+2$ and $2+10+18+\dots+(2+8m) = 2(2m+1)(m+1)$. Thus $G_{0,4m+2}$ admits $(2, 8)$ -CMSD into Fans with a handle. Here P_3 is the trivial fan with a handle.

$(2, 8)$ -CMSD of $G_{0,10}$ into Fans with a handle is shown in Figure 51 and its subgraph decomposition is shown separately in Figures 51.1 to 51.3.

Case (iii): $n = 4m - 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

In this case, $G_{0,n} = G_{0,4m-1} = F_{4m-2}^* \cup F_{4m-6}^* \cup F_{4m-10}^* \cup \dots \cup F_6^* \cup F_2^* = \bigcup_{j=0}^{m-1} F_{4(m-j)-2}^*$, $|E(G_{0,4m-1})| = 4m-1 + |E(G_{4m-1})| = 4m-1 + \frac{(4m-1)(4m-2)}{4} - \frac{(2m-1)}{2} = 4m^2$, $|E(F_2^*)| = 4 < |E(F_6^*)| = 12 < |E(F_{10}^*)| = 20 < \dots < |E(F_{4m-6}^*)| = 2(4m-6) < |E(F_{4m-2}^*)| = 2(4m-2)$ where F_{4m-2}^* , $F_{4(m-1)-2}^*$, $F_{4(m-2)-2}^*$, \dots , F_6^* , F_2^* are edge disjoint subgraphs of $G_{0,4m-1}$; F_{4m-2}^* is the Fan with the handle (v_0, v_{4m-1}) and v_j is the vertex with integral sum label j in $G_{0,4m-1}$, $j \in [0, 4m-1]$. This implies $G_{0,4m-1}$ admits $(4, 8)$ -CMSD into Fans with a handle.




Fig. 51. (2, 8)-CMSD of $G_{0,10}$




Fig. 51.1. With black Fan with a handle




Fig. 51.2 With red Fan with a handle




Fig. 51.3. With green Fan with a handle





Figure 52. (4, 8)-CMSD of $G_{0,11}$ and black Fan with a handle in $G_{0,11}$

(4, 8)-CMSD of $G_{0,11}$ into Fans with a handle is shown in Figure 52 and its subgraph decomposition is shown separately in Figures 52.1 to 52.3.

Case (iv): $n = 4m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

In this case, $G_{0,n} = G_{0,4m} = F_{4m-1}^* \cup F_{4m-5}^* \cup F_{4m-9}^* \cup \dots \cup F_7^* \cup F_3^* =$

$$\bigcup_{j=0}^{m-1} F_{4(m-j)-1}^*, |E(G_{0,4m})| = 4m + |E(G_{4m})| = 4m + \frac{4m(4m-1)}{4} - \frac{2m}{2} = 2m(2m+1),$$

$$|E(F_3^*)| = 6 < |E(F_7^*)| = 14 < |E(F_{11}^*)| = 22 < \dots < |E(F_{4m-5}^*)| = 2(4m-5) < |E(F_{4m-1}^*)| = 2(4m-1) \text{ and } 6 + 14 + \dots + (6 + 8(m-1)) = 2m(2m+1)$$

where $F_{4m-1}^*, F_{4(m-1)-1}^*, F_{4(m-2)-1}^*, \dots, F_7^*, F_3^*$ are edge disjoint subgraphs of $G_{0,4m}$, F_{4m-1}^* is the Fan with the handle (v_0, v_{4m}) and v_j is the vertex with integral sum label j in $G_{0,4m}$, $j \in [0, 4m]$. Thus, $G_{0,4m}$ admits (6, 8)-CMSD into Fans with a handle.




Figure 52.2. Red Fan with a handle and green Fan with a handle in $G_{0,11}$

(6, 8)-CMSD of $G_{0,12}$ into Fans with a handle is shown in Figure 53 and its subgraph decomposition is shown separately in Figures 53.1 to 53.3.

Thus, in all the above four cases we could prove the result. \square




Figure 53.1 (6, 8)-CMSD of $G_{0,12}$ and black Fan with a handle in $G_{0,12}$




Figure 53.2. Red Fan with a handle green Fan with a handle in $G_{0,12}$

Theorem 9.12. [43] The necessary condition for the existence of (a, d) -CMSD of K_n into families of Fans with a handle is $n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. Let K_n admit (a, d) -CMSD into families of Fans with a handle for some $a, d \in \mathbb{N}$. And let $K_n = F_{n_1}^* \cup F_{n_2}^* \cup \dots \cup F_{n_k}^*$ where $F_{n_1}^*, F_{n_2}^*, \dots, F_{n_k}^*$ are edge disjoint Fans with a handle for some $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k$. Then $|E(K_n)| = |E(F_{n_1}^*)| + |E(F_{n_2}^*)| + \dots + |E(F_{n_k}^*)|$ which implies, $nC_2 = 2n_1 + 2n_2 + \dots + 2n_k$. This implies, $n(n-1) = 4(n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k)$ which implies $n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$. Hence we get the result. \square

Conjecture 1. [43] For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, K_{4n} admits $(2n, 2)$ -CMSD of Fans with a handle and K_{4n+1} admits $(2(n+1), 2)$ -CMSD of Fans with a handle. \square

The above conjecture is verified true for $n = 1$ and 2. Figures 54, 55, 56, 57 show $(2, 2)$ -CMSD, $(4, 2)$ -CMSD, $(4, 2)$ -CMSD, $(6, 2)$ -CMSD of K_n into Fans with a handle for $n = 4, 5, 8, 9$, respectively. Appearance of one F_i^* of decomposition of K_n is shown in K_n in each figure.




Figure 54. F_1^* in K_4 and F_2^* in K_4 Figure 55. F_2^* in K_5 and F_3^* in K_5




Figure 56.1. F_1^* in K_8 and F_2^* in K_8




Figure 56.2. F_3^* in K_8 and F_4^* in K_8




Figure 57.1. F_3^* in K_8 and F_4^* in K_9




Figure 57.2. F_5^* in K_8 and F_6^* in K_9

10. DECOMPOSITION OF G_n , G_n^c AND K_n USING ANTI- \mathbb{Z} -SUM LABELING

Here, we present results on decomposition of graphs K_n , G_n and G_n^c using both integral sum and anti-integral sum labelings.

Definition 10.1. [15] *A graph G is decomposable into the subgraphs G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n of G , if no G_i has isolated vertices and the edge set of G can be partitioned into the subsets $E(G_1), E(G_2), \dots, E(G_n)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Graph G is said to be H -decomposable, if $G_i \cong H$ for every i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If G is H -decomposable, then we say that H divides G and we write H/G .*

Theorem 10.2. [37] For $n \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & G_{2n}^+ \cong (G_{2n-1}^+ \cup G^+(\{2n\})) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n-1} (i, 2n-i)); \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & G_{2n}^+ \cong (G^+([1, n-1] \cup [n+1, 2n]) \cup G^+(\{n\})) \\ & \quad \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n-1} (i, n)) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} (i, n-i)); \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & G_{2n+1}^+ \cong (G_{2n}^+ \cup G^+(\{2n+1\})) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^n (i, 2n+1-i)) \text{ and} \\ \text{(iv)} \quad & G_{2n+1}^+ \cong (G^+([1, n] \cup [n+2, 2n+1]) \cup G^+(\{n+1\})) \\ & \quad \cup (\cup_{i=1}^n (i, n+1)) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (i, n+1-i)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 10.3. [37] For $n \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & (G_{2n}^+)^c \cong ((G_{2n-1}^+)^c * G^+(\{2n\})) - (\cup_{i=1}^{n-1} (i, 2n-i)); \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & (G_{2n}^+)^c \cong ((G^+([1, n-1] \cup [n+1, 2n]))^c * G^+(\{n\})) \\ & \quad - (((\cup_{i=1}^{n-1} (i, n)) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} (i, n-i))))); \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & (G_{2n+1}^+)^c \cong ((G_{2n}^+)^c * G^+(\{2n+1\})) - (\cup_{i=1}^n (i, 2n+1-i)) \text{ and} \\ \text{(iv)} \quad & (G_{2n+1}^+)^c \cong ((G^+([1, n] \cup [n+2, 2n+1]))^c * G^+(\{n+1\})) \\ & \quad - ((\cup_{i=1}^n (i, n+1)) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (i, n+1-i))). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 10.4. [37] For $n \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & G_{2n}^+ \cong (G^+([1, n-1] \cup [n+2, 2n]) \cup G^+(\{n, n+1\})) \\ & \quad \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n-1} ((n, i) \cup (n+1, i))) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} (i, n-i)) \\ & \quad \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (i, n+1-i)) \text{ and} \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & G_{2n+1}^+ \cong (G^+([1, n-1] \cup [n+2, 2n+1]) \cup (G^+(\{n, n+1\}))^c) \\ & \quad \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{n-1} ((n, i) \cup (n+1, i))) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} (i, n-i)) \\ & \quad \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (i, n+1-i)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 10.5. [37] For $n \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & (G_{2n}^+)^c \cong ((G^+([1, n-1] \cup [n+2, 2n]))^c * (G^+([n, n+1]))^c) \\ & \quad - ((\cup_{i=1}^{n-1} ((n, i) \cup (n+1, i))) \\ & \quad \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} (i, n-i)) \cup (\cup_{i=2}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (i, n+1-i))); \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & (G_{2n+1}^+)^c \cong ((G^+([1, n-1] \cup [n+2, 2n+1]))^c * G^+([n, n+1])) \\ & \quad - ((\cup_{i=1}^{n-1} ((n, i) \cup (n+1, i))) \\ & \quad \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} (i, n-i)) \cup (\cup_{i=2}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (i, n+1-i))). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 10.6. [37] For $n \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & G_{2n}^+ \cong K_1(n) \cup K_1(n+1) \\ & \quad \cup (\cup_{j=2}^n (K_1(n-j+1) \cup K_1(n+j))) \end{aligned}$$

$$(ii) G_{2n+1}^+ \cong \bigcup_{j=1}^n (K_1(n-j+1) \cup K_1(n+j) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} ((n-j+1, n-i+1) \cup (n-j+1, n+i)))) \text{ and} \\ \bigcup_{i=1}^j ((n-j+1, n-i+1) \cup (n-j+1, n+i))) \\ \bigcup K_1(2n+1). \quad \square$$

Theorem 10.7. [37] For $n \geq 2$,

$$(i) (G_{2n}^+)^c \cong P_2(n, n+1) \\ \bigcup (\bigcup_{j=2}^n (P_2(n-j+1, n+j) \bigcup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{2j-2} (n-j+i+1, n+j)))) \\ = P_2(n, n+1) \bigcup (\bigcup_{j=2}^n (P_2(n-j+1, n+j) \\ \bigcup (\bigcup_{i=1}^j ((n+j, n-i+1) \cup (n+j, n+j-i+1)))) \text{ and} \\ (ii) (G_{2n+1}^+)^c \cong K_1(n+1) \bigcup (\bigcup_{j=2}^{n+1} K_1(n+j) \cup K_1(n-j+2) \\ \bigcup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{2j-2} (n-j+i+1, n+j))) \\ = K_1(n+1) \bigcup (\bigcup_{j=2}^{n+1} K_1(n+j) \cup K_1(n-j+2) \\ \bigcup (\bigcup_{i=1}^j ((n+j, n-i+1) \cup (n+j, n+j-i+1)))). \quad \square$$

Using decomposition of graphs G_n^+ and $(G_n^+)^c$ as given above and using the relation, $K_n = G_n^+ \cup (G_n^+)^c$, we obtain the following decomposition results on K_n .

Theorem 10.8. [37] For $n \geq 2$,

$$(i) K_{2n} \cong G_{2n-1}^+ \cup (G_{2n-1}^+)^c \cup K_1(2n) \\ \bigcup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} ((n-i, 2n) \cup (n+i, 2n))) \cup (n, 2n); \\ (ii) K_{2n} \cong G^+([1, n-1] \cup [n+1, 2n]) \cup (G^+([1, n-1] \cup [n+1, 2n]))^c \\ \cup K_1(n) \bigcup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} ((n, n-i) \cup (n, n+i))) \cup (n, 2n); \\ (iii) K_{2n+1} \cong G_{2n}^+ \cup (G_{2n}^+)^c \cup K_1(2n+1) \\ \bigcup (\bigcup_{i=1}^n ((n+1-i, 2n+1) \cup (n+i, 2n+1))) \text{ and} \\ (iv) K_{2n+1} \cong G^+([1, n] \cup [n+2, 2n+1]) \\ \bigcup (G^+([1, n] \cup [n+2, 2n+1]))^c \cup K_1(n+1) \\ \bigcup (\bigcup_{i=1}^n ((n+1-i, n+1) \cup (n+1, n+1+i))). \quad \square$$

Theorem 10.9. [37] For $n \geq 2$,

$$(i) K_{2n} \cong G^+([1, n-1] \cup [n+2, 2n]) \\ \bigcup (G^+([1, n-1] \cup [n+2, 2n]))^c \bigcup P_2(n, n+1) \\ \bigcup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} ((n, n-i) \cup (n, n+1+i) \cup (n+1, n-i) \\ \cup (n+1, n+1+i))); \\ (ii) K_{2n+1} \cong G^+([1, n-1] \cup [n+2, 2n+1]) \\ \bigcup (G^+([1, n-1] \cup [n+2, 2n+1]))^c \\ \bigcup P_2(n, n+1) \cup (n, n+2) \cup (n+1, n+2) \\ \bigcup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} ((n, i) \cup (n, 2n+2-i) \cup (n+1, i) \\ \cup (n+1, 2n+2-i))). \quad \square$$

Theorem 10.10. [37] For $n \geq 2$,

$$(i) K_{2n} \cong P_2(n, n+1) \\ \bigcup (\bigcup_{j=2}^n (P_2(n-j+1, n+j) \\ \bigcup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} ((n-j+1, n-i+1) \cup (n-j+1, n+i) \\ \cup (n+j, n-i+1) \cup (n+j, n+j-i)))) \text{ and} \\ (ii) K_{2n+1} \cong K_1(n+1) \bigcup (\bigcup_{j=1}^n (P_2(n+1-j, n+1+j) \\ \bigcup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{2j-1} (n+1-j+i, n+1+j)))). \quad \square$$

Remark 10.11. The authors feel that the type of decomposition of graphs K_{2n+1} will help to settle open problems like Ringel's Tree Packing conjecture [12].

Acknowledgement The second author expresses his sincere thanks to the Central University of Kerala, Kasaragod, Kerala, India and St. Jude's College, Thoothoor, Kanyakumari District, Tamil Nadu, India for providing facilities to do this research work.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alavi, Y., Boals, A. J., Chartrand, G., Erdos, P. and Oellerman, O. R., *The Ascending Subgraph Decomposition problem*, Cong. Numer., **58** (1987), 7–14.
- [2] Berge, C., *Les problèmes de coloration en théorie des graphes*, Publ. Inst. Statist Univ. Paris, **9** (1960), 123-160.
- [3] Berge, C., *Farbung von Graphen. deren samtlich bzw. deren ungerade Kreise starr sind*, Z. Martin Luther Univ. Halle-Wittenberg, **10** (1961), 114-115.
- [4] Bergstrand, D., Harary, F., Hodges, K., Jennings, G., Kuklinski, L., and Wiener, J., *The sum numbering of a complete graph*, Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc., **12** (1989), 25-28.
- [5] Bergstrand, D., Hodges, K., Jennings, G., Kuklinski, L., Wiener, J., and Harary, F., *Product graphs are sum graphs*, Math. Magazine, **65** (1992), 262-264.
- [6] Chen, Z., *Harary's conjecture on integral sum graphs*, Discrete Math., **160** (1990), 241- 244.
- [7] Chen, Z., *Integral sum graphs from identification*, Discrete Math., **181** (1998), 77-90.
- [8] Chen, Z., *On integral sum graphs*, Discrete Math., **306** (2006), 19-25.
- [9] Cornuejols, G., and Cunningham, W.H., *Compositions for perfect graphs*, Discrete Math. **55** (1985), 245-254.
- [10] Coudert, O., *Exact Coloring of Real-Life Graphs is Easy*, DAC '97: Proceedings of the 34th annual Design Automation Conference (1997), 121-127.
- [11] Douglas B. West, *Introduction to graph theory*, Pearson Education, 2005.
- [12] Gallian, J. A., *A Dynamic Survey of Graph Labeling*, The electronic Journal of Combinatorics, 26th Ed. (01 Dec., 2023), DS6.
- [13] Gnanadhas, N., and Paulraj Joseph, J., *Continuous Monotonic Decomposition of Graphs*, Inter. Journal of Management and systems, **3** (2000), 333–344.
- [14] Hajnal, A., and Surányi, J., *Über die auflösung von graphen in vollständige teilgraphen*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Eotvos, Sect. Math., **1** (1958), 113-121.
- [15] Harary, F., *Graph Theory*, Addison-Wesley, 1969.
- [16] Harary, F., *Sum graphs and difference graphs*, Cong. Numer., **72** (1990), 101-108.
- [17] Harary, F., *Sum graphs over all integers*, Discrete Math., **124** (1994), 99-105.
- [18] Huaitang, C., and Kejie, M., *On the ascending subgraph decompositions of regular graphs*, Appl. Math. - A J. of Chinese Universities, **13** (1998), 165–170.
- [19] Julia K. Abraham, Sajidha P., Lowell W. Beineke, Vilfred, V., and Mary Florida, L., *Integral sum graphs G_n and $G_{-r,n}$ are perfect graphs*, AKCE Int. J of Graphs and Comb., Published by Taylors & Francis, **21(1)** (2024), 77-83.
- [20] Lee, S. M., Wui, I., and Yeh J., *On the Amalgamation of prime graphs*, Bull. Malaysian Math.Soc.(second series), **11** (1988), 59 - 67.
- [21] Lowell W. Beineke, Suresh M. Hegde, Vilfred Kamalappan, V., *A survey of two types of labelings of graphs*, Discrete Math. Lett., **6** (2021), 8-18.
- [22] Lovász, L., *Normal hypergraphs and the perfect graph conjecture*, Discrete Mathematics, **306** (2006), 867-875.
- [23] Miller, M., Ryan, J., and Slamin, *Integral sum numbers of cocktail party graphs and symmetric complete bipartite graphs*, Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl., **25** (1999), 23-28.
- [24] Nagarajan, A., and Navaneetha Krishnan, S., *The (a, d) -Ascending Subgraph Decomposition*, Tamkang journal of Mathematics, **37 (4)** (2006), 377–390.
- [25] Nagarajan, A., Navaneetha Krishnan, S., Subbulakshmi, M., and Mahadevan, G., *The (a, d) -continuous monotonic decomposition of graphs*, Int. J. Computa. Sci. & Math., **2 (3)** (2010), 341–361.
- [26] Nicholas, T., Somasundaram, S., and Vilfred, V., *Some results on sum graphs*, Journal of Comb., Infor. & Sys. Sci., **26** (2001), 135-142.
- [27] Nicholas, T., and Vilfred, V., *Sum Graph and Edge Reduced Sum Number*, Proce. of Nat. Seminar on Algebra and Discrete Math., Kerala University, (Oct. 2002), 87-97.

- [28] Shine Raj S. N., *On Laplacian eigenvalues of \mathbb{N} -sum graphs and \mathbb{Z} -sum graphs and few more properties*, Italian Journal of Pure and App. Math., **45 (2)** (2021), 1002-1007.
- [29] Slamet, S., Sugeng, K., and Miller, M., *Sum graph based access structure in a secret sharing scheme*, J. Prime Res. Math. **2** (2006), 113-119.
- [30] Sutton, M., *Summable graphs labellings and their applications*, Ph.D. Thesis, Dept. Computer Science, The University of Newcastle, US, 2001.
- [31] Tiwari, A., and Tripathi, A., *On the range of ize of sum graphs & integral sum graphs of a given order*, Discrete Appl. Math., **161** (2013), 2653-2661.
- [32] Tucker, A., *Perfect graphs and an application to optimizing municipal services*, Siam Review **15 (3)** (1973), 585-590.
- [33] Vilfred, K., Beineke, L., and Suryakala, A., *More properties of sum graphs*, Graph Theory Notes of New York, MAA, **66** (2014), 10-15.
- [34] Vilfred, V., and Mary Florida, L., *Integral sum graphs $H_{X,Y}^{R,T}$, edge sum class and edge sum color number*, Inter. Conf. on Mathematics in Engg. And Bussiness Management, held at Stella Maris College, Chennai, India (2012), 88-94.
- [35] Vilfred, V., Kala, R., and Suryakala, A., *Number of Triangles in Integral Sum Graphs $G_{m,n}$* , Int. J. of Algorithms, Computing and Mathematics, **4** (2011), 16-24.
- [36] Vilfred, V., Lowell W. Beineke, Mary Florida, L., and Julia K. Abraham, *A study on edge coloring and edge sum coloring of integral sum graphs* (2022) arXiv:2203.00409.
- [37] Vilfred, V., and Mary Florida, L., *Anti-integral sum graphs and decomposition of G_n , G_n^c and K_n* , Proce. of Int. Conf. on Applied Math. and Theoretical Comp. Sci., St. Xavier's Catholic Engg. College, Nagercoil, Tamil Nadu, India, (2013), 129-133.
- [38] Vilfred, K., and Mary Florida, L., *Integral sum graphs and maximal integral sum graphs*, Graph Theory Notes of New York, MAA, **63** (2012), 28-36.
- [39] Vilfred, K., and Nicholas, T., *Amalgamation of integral sum graphs, Fan and Dutch M-Windmill are integral sum graphs*, Graph Theory Notes of New York, MAA, **58** (2010), 51-54.
- [40] Vilfred, V., and Nicholas, T., *Banana trees and union of stars are integer sum graphs*, Ars Comb., **102** (2011), 79-85.
- [41] Vilfred, K., and Nicholas, T., *The integral sum graph $G_{\Delta n}$* , Graph Theory Notes of New York, MAA, **57** (2009), 43-47.
- [42] Vilfred, V., and Rubin Mary, K., *Number of cycles of length four in the Integral Sum Graphs $G_{m,n}$* , Int. Journal of Scientific and Innovative Mathematical Research, **2 (2)**, (2014), 366-37.
- [43] Vilfred, V., and Suryakala, A., *(a, d) -continuous monotonic subgraph decomposition of K_{n+1} and integral sum graphs $G_{0,n}$* , Tamkang Journal of Mathematics, **46 (1)** (2015), 31 - 49.
- [44] Vilfred, V., Suryakala, A., and Rubin Mary, K., *A Few More Properties of Sum and Integral Sum Graphs*, J. Indonesian Math. Soc., **20 (2)** (2014), 149-159.
- [45] Xu, B., *On integral sum graphs*, Discrete Math., **194** (1999), 285-294.
- [46] Zhibo Chen and McKeesport, *On integral sum graph with a saturated vertex*, Czechoslovak Math. J., **60 (135)** (2010), 669-674.

¹INDIANA-PURDUE UNIVERSITY, FORT WAYNE, INDIANA 46805, U.S.A.

Email address: beineke@pfw.edu

²DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CENTRAL UNIVERSITY OF KERALA, PERIYE, KASARAGOD, KERALA, INDIA - 671 316.

Email address: vilfredkamal@gmail.com