

# Generalized Triply Special Relativity models and their classical limit

Tea Martinić Bilac<sup>a</sup>, Stjepan Meljanac<sup>b</sup>, and Salvatore Mignemi<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Faculty of Science, University of Split, Rudjera Boškovića 33, 21000 Split, Croatia, E-mail: teamar@pmfst.hr

<sup>b</sup>Rudjer Bošković Institute, Theoretical Physics Division, Bijenička c. 54, HR 10002 Zagreb, Croatia, E-mail: meljanac@irb.hr

<sup>c</sup>Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Cagliari via Ospedale 72, 09124 Cagliari, Italy and INFN, Sezione di Cagliari, Cittadella Universitaria, 09042 Monserrato, Italy, E-mail: smignemi@unica.it

## Abstract

Triply Special Relativity is a deformation of Special Relativity based on three fundamental parameters, that describes a noncommutative geometry on a curved spacetime, preserving the Lorentz invariance and the principle of relativity. Its symmetries are generated by a 14-parameter nonlinear algebra.

In this paper, we discuss a generalization of the original model and construct its realizations on a canonical phase space. We also investigate in more detail its classical limit, obtained by replacing the commutators by Poisson brackets.

## 1 Introduction

Triply special relativity (TSR), also called Snyder-de Sitter (SdS) model, was proposed in 2004 as a generalization of the Snyder model [1] of noncommutative geometry [2] to curved spacetime [3]. Its name is due to the fact that it consists in a deformation of doubly special relativity (DSR) [4], a theory based on two fundamental constants, by a third parameter related to the curvature of spacetime. The resulting theory therefore incorporates three deformations of Newtonian mechanics by three fundamental coupling constants: special relativity, where the deformation is induced by the universality of the speed of light  $c$ , DSR, with a deformation induced by the Planck mass  $M_{Pl}$ , and a third one induced by a new length scale, related to the inverse of the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$ . The theory is constructed in such a way to maintain the relativity principle (all observers are equivalent) and the Lorentz invariance.

TSR presents some similarities with the Yang model [5], since it is based on the same symmetry group  $SO(1,5)$ , generated by an algebra that includes position, momentum and Lorentz generators, but is realized in a nonlinear way, so that one can get rid of the fifteenth generator of the  $o(1,5)$  algebra, whose physical interpretation is not obvious.

The introduction of this model was suggested by considerations coming from quantum gravity, where (at least in three dimensions), both the Planck mass and the cosmological constant play a role in the low-energy limit [3].

Among the properties that characterize TSR is a duality that interchanges positions and momenta [6], generalizing Born's old proposal [7]. It must also be remarked that the physical properties of the model depend on the choice of the sign of the deformation parameters. In particular, for positive signs of  $\alpha^2$  and  $\beta^2$ , a maximal mass, given by  $M_{Pl}$  and a maximal length (radius of the universe) are predicted, while no such bound is present if the coupling constants are negative. Notice that  $\alpha^2$  and  $\beta^2$  must have the same sign, to avoid complex structure constants. For simplicity, in the following we consider the case of positive parameters.

In the past, the theory has been studied under several points of view, both in its original formulation and in its classical limit, where commutators are replaced by Poisson brackets, obtaining a more manageable formalism. Some relevant references for the classical framework are [8], where the realization of TSR by an embedding in six-dimensional space was discussed, and [9], where a Hamiltonian formulation was given. In a quantum setting, the nonrelativistic model was applied to some physical systems in [10] and the derivation of its representations from those of the Snyder model were discussed in [10, 11]. An attempt to the construction of a quantum field theory was also made in [12].

In this paper, we consider nonlinear generalizations of the TSR model and their realizations on canonical phase space, first discussed in [13, 14], as a particular cases of the models introduced in [15].

Realizations of the Yang algebra were also studied on an extended phase space, that included tensorial degrees of freedom [16, 17], but we shall not consider this possibility.

## 2 Snyder model

We start by shortly reviewing the Snyder model [1], since many of the results valid in this case will be extended to TSR and its nonlinear generalizations in the next sections. We recall that it describes a noncommutative spacetime with a fundamental mass scale, but unbroken Lorentz invariance, exploiting a deformation of the Heisenberg algebra by a parameter  $\beta$ . Snyder's original formulation was generalized in [18] and the properties of its associated Hopf algebra were discussed in detail for example in [19].

The Snyder model is generated by the noncommutative coordinates  $\hat{x}_\mu$  and the

Lorentz generators  $M_{\mu\nu}$ , which obey the commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] &= i\beta^2 M_{\mu\nu}, & [M_{\mu\nu}, \hat{x}_\lambda] &= i(\eta_{\mu\lambda}\hat{x}_\nu - \eta_{\nu\lambda}\hat{x}_\mu), \\ [M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\rho\sigma}] &= i(\eta_{\mu\rho}M_{\nu\sigma} - \eta_{\mu\sigma}M_{\nu\rho} - \eta_{\nu\rho}M_{\mu\sigma} + \eta_{\nu\sigma}M_{\mu\rho}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\beta$  is a constant of the order of  $1/M_{Pl}$ , and  $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ .

The Heisenberg algebra is generated by coordinates  $x_\mu$  and momenta  $p_\mu$ , that satisfy

$$[x_\mu, x_\nu] = [p_\mu, p_\nu] = 0, \quad [x_\mu, p_\nu] = i\eta_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.2)$$

transforming as vectors under the action of the Lorentz algebra

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, x_\lambda] = i(\eta_{\mu\lambda}x_\nu - \eta_{\nu\lambda}x_\mu), \quad [M_{\mu\nu}, p_\lambda] = i(\eta_{\mu\lambda}p_\nu - \eta_{\nu\lambda}p_\mu). \quad (2.3)$$

General realizations of the Snyder model in terms of the Heisenberg algebra, linear in the coordinates  $x_\mu$ , are [18, 19, 20]

$$\hat{x}_\mu = x_\mu\varphi_1(u) + \beta^2 x \cdot p p_\mu\varphi_2(u), \quad (2.4)$$

with  $u = \beta^2 p^2$  and  $\varphi_1(0) = 1$ . The Jacobi identities are satisfied if

$$\varphi_2 = \frac{1 + 2\varphi_1\varphi_1'}{\varphi_1 - 2u\varphi_1'}, \quad \text{with} \quad \varphi_1' = \frac{d\varphi_1}{du}. \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$M_{\mu\nu} = x_\mu p_\nu - x_\nu p_\mu. \quad (2.6)$$

It follows that

$$[\hat{x}_\mu, p_\nu] = i(\eta_{\mu\nu}\varphi_1 + \beta^2 p_\mu p_\nu \varphi_2). \quad (2.7)$$

The original Snyder realization is obtained for  $\varphi_1(u) = \varphi_2(u) = 1$  and the important realization found by Maggiore [21] for  $\varphi_1(u) = \sqrt{1-u}$ ,  $\varphi_2(u) = 0$ .

### 3 Generalized TSR models

We pass now to define the generalized TSR models and their representations. These models were introduced in [13, 14].

Let us define new noncommutative momenta as

$$\hat{p}_\mu = p_\mu - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\hat{x}_\mu, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\alpha$  is a parameter of dimension  $1/L$ , whose square may be identified with the cosmological constant. They satisfy

$$[\hat{p}_\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] = i\alpha^2 M_{\mu\nu}, \quad [M_{\mu\nu}, \hat{p}_\lambda] = i(\eta_{\mu\lambda}\hat{p}_\nu - \eta_{\nu\lambda}\hat{p}_\mu), \quad (3.2)$$

$$[\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] = i(\eta_{\mu\nu}\varphi_1 + (\alpha^2 \hat{x}_\mu \hat{x}_\nu + \beta^2 \hat{p}_\mu \hat{p}_\nu + \alpha\beta \hat{x}_\mu \hat{p}_\nu + \alpha\beta \hat{p}_\mu \hat{x}_\nu)\varphi_2 - \alpha\beta M_{\mu\nu}), \quad (3.3)$$

where now  $\varphi_i = \varphi_i(\alpha^2 \hat{x}^2 + \beta^2 \hat{p}^2 + \alpha\beta \hat{x} \cdot \hat{p} + \alpha\beta \hat{p} \cdot \hat{x})$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . From (3.1) also follows that  $\beta p_\mu = \alpha \hat{x}_\mu + \beta \hat{p}_\mu$ .

Note that algebra generated by  $\hat{x}_\mu$ ,  $\hat{p}_\mu$  and  $M_{\mu\nu}$  defined in (2.1), (3.2) and (3.3) is invariant under similarity transformations  $\hat{x}_\mu \rightarrow S \hat{x}_\mu S^{-1}$ ,  $\hat{p}_\mu \rightarrow S \hat{p}_\mu S^{-1}$  and  $M_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow S M_{\mu\nu} S^{-1}$ . If  $[M_{\mu\nu}, S] = 0$  then  $M_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow M_{\mu\nu}$ .

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mu\nu} &= x_\mu p_\nu - x_\nu p_\mu = (\hat{x}_\mu \hat{p}_\nu - \hat{x}_\nu \hat{p}_\mu) \frac{1}{\varphi_1 - i\alpha\beta} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\hat{x}_\mu \hat{p}_\nu + \hat{p}_\nu \hat{x}_\mu - \hat{x}_\nu \hat{p}_\mu - \hat{p}_\mu \hat{x}_\nu) \frac{1}{\varphi_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Note that  $[M_{\mu\nu}, \varphi_i(u)] = 0$ , and using (3.4) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] &= i \left( \eta_{\mu\nu} \varphi_1 + (\alpha^2 \hat{x}_\mu \hat{x}_\nu + \beta^2 \hat{p}_\mu \hat{p}_\nu + \alpha\beta \hat{x}_\mu \hat{p}_\nu + \alpha\beta \hat{p}_\mu \hat{x}_\nu) \varphi_2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\alpha\beta}{2} (\hat{x}_\mu \hat{p}_\nu + \hat{p}_\nu \hat{x}_\mu - \hat{x}_\nu \hat{p}_\mu - \hat{p}_\mu \hat{x}_\nu) \frac{1}{\varphi_1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

For  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = 1$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] &= i (\eta_{\mu\nu} + \alpha^2 \hat{x}_\mu \hat{x}_\nu + \beta^2 \hat{p}_\mu \hat{p}_\nu + \alpha\beta \hat{x}_\mu \hat{p}_\nu + \alpha\beta \hat{p}_\mu \hat{x}_\nu) - \alpha\beta M_{\mu\nu} \\ &= i (\eta_{\mu\nu} + \alpha^2 \hat{x}_\mu \hat{x}_\nu + \beta^2 \hat{p}_\nu \hat{p}_\mu + \alpha\beta \hat{x}_\nu \hat{p}_\mu + \alpha\beta \hat{p}_\mu \hat{x}_\nu), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

that corresponds to the original TSR model.

For  $\varphi_1 = \sqrt{1 - \beta^2 p^2}$ ,  $\varphi_2 = 0$ , we get

$$[\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] = i \eta_{\mu\nu} \sqrt{1 - (\alpha^2 \hat{x}^2 + \beta^2 \hat{p}^2 + \alpha\beta \hat{x} \cdot \hat{p} + \alpha\beta \hat{p} \cdot \hat{x})} - \alpha\beta M_{\mu\nu} \quad (3.7)$$

that corresponds to a different model of TSR, i.e. a nonlinear algebra different from the algebra quadratic in  $\hat{x}_\mu$  and  $\hat{p}_\mu$ . Defining  $h = \sqrt{1 - (\alpha \hat{x}_\mu + \beta \hat{p}_\mu)^2}$ , one can write in this case,

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] &= i \eta_{\mu\nu} h - \alpha\beta M_{\mu\nu}, \\ [h, \hat{x}_\mu] &= \beta(\alpha \hat{x}_\mu + \beta \hat{p}_\mu), \quad [h, \hat{p}_\mu] = -\alpha(\alpha \hat{x}_\mu + \beta \hat{p}_\mu). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Hence, we have constructed a family of new nonlinear algebras corresponding to generalized TSR models different from the original one.

An alternative dual construction is to start from the de Sitter algebra

$$[\hat{p}_\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] = i\alpha^2 M_{\mu\nu} \quad (3.9)$$

and define noncommutative coordinates

$$\hat{x}_\mu = x_\mu - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \hat{p}_\mu \quad (3.10)$$

with dual realizations of  $\hat{p}_\mu$ ,

$$\hat{p}_\mu = p_\mu \varphi_1(v) + \alpha^2 p \cdot x x_\mu \varphi_2(v), \quad (3.11)$$

with  $v = \alpha^2 x^2$ .

One can also define Hermitian realizations for  $\hat{x}_\mu$  and  $\hat{p}_\mu$  obtained simply by

$$\hat{x}_\mu \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} (\hat{x}_\mu + \hat{x}_\mu^\dagger), \quad \hat{p}_\mu \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} (\hat{p}_\mu + \hat{p}_\mu^\dagger). \quad (3.12)$$

To summarize, a realization of the generalized TSR model satisfying (2.1), (3.2) and (3.5) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}_\mu &= x_\mu \varphi_1(u) + \beta^2 x \cdot p p_\mu \varphi_2(u), \\ \hat{p}_\mu &= p_\mu - \frac{\alpha}{\beta} (x_\mu \varphi_1(u) + \beta^2 x \cdot p p_\mu \varphi_2(u)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

and its dual realization is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p}_\mu &= p_\mu \varphi_1(v) + \alpha^2 p \cdot x x_\mu \varphi_2(v), \\ \hat{x}_\mu &= x_\mu - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} (p_\mu \varphi_1(v) + \alpha^2 p \cdot x x_\mu \varphi_2(v)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

A family of realizations interpolating between (3.13) and (3.14) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}_\mu &= \bar{x}_\mu \varphi_1(\beta^2 \bar{p}^2) + \beta^2 \bar{x} \cdot \bar{p} \bar{p}_\mu \varphi_2(\beta^2 \bar{p}^2) + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} a \bar{p}_\mu, \\ \hat{p}_\mu &= (1-a) \bar{p}_\mu - \frac{\alpha}{\beta} (\bar{x}_\mu \varphi_1(\beta^2 \bar{p}^2) + \beta^2 \bar{x} \cdot \bar{p} \bar{p}_\mu \varphi_2(\beta^2 \bar{p}^2)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$\bar{x}_\mu = x_\mu \cos \epsilon - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} p_\mu \sin \epsilon, \quad \bar{p}_\mu = p_\mu \cos \epsilon + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} x_\mu \sin \epsilon. \quad (3.16)$$

For  $\epsilon = 0$ ,  $a = 0$ , we get eq. (3.13), and for  $\epsilon = \frac{\pi}{2}$ ,  $a = 1$  the dual realization, eq. (3.14). For  $\epsilon = \frac{\pi}{4}$ ,  $a = \frac{1}{2}$  we get symmetric realizations,

$$\hat{p}_\mu = \hat{x}_\mu \Big|_{x \leftrightarrow p}, \quad \hat{x}_\mu = \hat{p}_\mu \Big|_{x \leftrightarrow p}. \quad (3.17)$$

Corresponding Hermitian realizations are obtained in all cases changing  $\hat{x}_\mu \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} (\hat{x}_\mu + \hat{x}_\mu^\dagger)$  and  $\hat{p}_\mu \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} (\hat{p}_\mu + \hat{p}_\mu^\dagger)$ . For the original TSR model another symmetric realization is obtained in sect. 5 of [13], see also [14].

The algebra generated by  $\hat{x}_\mu$  and  $\hat{p}_\mu$  and  $M_{\mu\nu}$  and all its realizations are invariant under Born duality,

$$\alpha \rightarrow -\beta, \quad \beta \rightarrow \alpha, \quad \hat{x}_\mu \rightarrow -\hat{p}_\mu, \quad \hat{p}_\mu \rightarrow \hat{x}_\mu, \quad M_{\mu\nu} \leftrightarrow M_{\mu\nu}. \quad (3.18)$$

We also recall that a different algebra can be obtained if both  $\alpha^2$  and  $\beta^2$  are negative. However, contrary for example to the Yang model,  $\alpha^2$  and  $\beta^2$  cannot have opposite signs.

For a particular realization of a given algebra, all other realizations can be obtained by similarity transformations, see [20].

## 4 Generalized TSR Poisson models

The generalized TSR models introduced above can be investigated in their classical limit, where the commutators are replaced by Poisson brackets. This is similar to what was done in [22, 23] for the Yang model and allows for a simpler investigation, avoiding problems related to the ordering of the operators.

The TSR Poisson model is generated with  $\hat{x}_\mu$ ,  $\hat{p}_\mu$  and Lorentz generators  $M_{\mu\nu}$ ,

$$\{M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\rho\sigma}\} = (\eta_{\mu\rho}M_{\nu\sigma} - \eta_{\mu\sigma}M_{\nu\rho} - \eta_{\nu\rho}M_{\mu\sigma} + \eta_{\nu\sigma}M_{\mu\rho}), \quad (4.1)$$

$$\{M_{\mu\nu}, \hat{x}_\lambda\} = (\eta_{\mu\lambda}\hat{x}_\nu - \eta_{\nu\lambda}\hat{x}_\mu), \quad \{M_{\mu\nu}, \hat{p}_\lambda\} = (\eta_{\mu\lambda}\hat{p}_\nu - \eta_{\nu\lambda}\hat{p}_\mu) \quad (4.2)$$

$$\{\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu\} = \beta^2 M_{\mu\nu}, \quad \{\hat{p}_\mu, \hat{p}_\nu\} = \alpha^2 M_{\mu\nu}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\{\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{p}_\nu\} = \eta_{\mu\nu}\varphi_1(u) + (\alpha\hat{x}_\mu + \beta\hat{p}_\mu)(\alpha\hat{x}_\nu + \beta\hat{p}_\nu)\varphi_2(u) - \alpha\beta M_{\mu\nu}, \quad (4.4)$$

where  $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$  is the Minkowski metric,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are real parameters and  $u = \beta^2 p^2$ . The TSR Poisson model is constructed from (2.1), (3.2) and (3.3) where we replace commutators  $[\ , \ ]$  with classical Poisson brackets  $\{ \ , \ }$  and we remove the factor  $i$ . The Jacobi identities for the Poisson brackets are satisfied if and only if (2.5) holds.

We look for realizations of  $\hat{x}_\mu$  and  $\hat{p}_\mu$  on a phase space with coordinates  $x_\mu$  and momenta  $p_\mu$  satisfying the canonical algebra

$$\{x_\mu, x_\nu\} = \{p_\mu, p_\nu\} = 0, \quad \{x_\mu, p_\nu\} = \eta_{\mu\nu}. \quad (4.5)$$

The general ansatz for  $\hat{x}_\mu$  and  $\hat{p}_\mu$  is

$$\hat{x}_\mu = x_\mu f + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} p_\mu g \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$\hat{p}_\mu = p_\mu \tilde{f} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} x_\mu \tilde{g}, \quad (4.7)$$

where  $f, g, \tilde{f}, \tilde{g}$  are functions of  $u, v, z$  and  $u = \beta^2 p^2$ ,  $v = \alpha^2 x^2$ ,  $z = \alpha\beta x \cdot p$ .

We find corresponding differential equations for  $f, g, \tilde{f}, \tilde{g}$ . From  $\{\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu\} = \beta^2 M_{\mu\nu}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} & -2f \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} - 2g \frac{\partial g}{\partial v} + 4z \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} \frac{\partial g}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \frac{\partial g}{\partial v} \right) + 2v \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \frac{\partial g}{\partial v} \right) \\ & + 2u \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \frac{\partial g}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} \right) + f \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} + g \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

and from  $\{\hat{p}_\mu, \hat{p}_\nu\} = \alpha^2 M_{\mu\nu}$  it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & -2\tilde{f} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial v} - 2\tilde{g} \frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial u} + 4z \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial u} \frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial v} \frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial u} \right) + 2v \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial z} \frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial v} \frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial z} \right) \\ & + 2u \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial u} \frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial z} \frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial u} \right) + \tilde{f} \frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial z} + \tilde{g} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial z} = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

The relation (4.4) yields following five equations:

$$f\tilde{f} - g\tilde{g} = \varphi_1(u), \quad (4.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\tilde{f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial v} - 2g\frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial v} + 4z\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}\frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial v}\right) + 2v\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}\frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial v}\right) \\ & + 2u\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial z}\right) + f\frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial z} - \tilde{g}\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = (f + \tilde{g})^2 \varphi_2(u), \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -2f\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial u} - 2\tilde{g}\frac{\partial g}{\partial u} + 4z\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial u}\frac{\partial g}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial v}\frac{\partial g}{\partial u}\right) + 2v\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial z}\frac{\partial g}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial v}\frac{\partial g}{\partial z}\right) \\ & + 2u\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial u}\frac{\partial g}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial z}\frac{\partial g}{\partial u}\right) + \tilde{f}\frac{\partial g}{\partial z} - g\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial z} = (\tilde{f} + g)^2 \varphi_2(u), \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -2\tilde{g}\frac{\partial f}{\partial u} - 2g\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial v} + 4z\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial v}\right) + 2v\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial v}\right) \\ & + 2u\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial z}\right) + f\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial z} + \tilde{f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = (f + \tilde{g})(\tilde{f} + g) \varphi_2(u) - 1, \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & 2f\frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial u} + 2\tilde{f}\frac{\partial g}{\partial v} + 4z\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial v}\frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial u}\frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial v}\right) + 2v\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial v}\frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial z}\frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial v}\right) \\ & + 2u\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial z}\frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial u}\frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial z}\right) - g\frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial z} - \tilde{g}\frac{\partial g}{\partial z} = (f + \tilde{g})(\tilde{f} + g) \varphi_2(u) + 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

In the limit when  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ , the algebra (4.1)-(4.4) becomes the Snyder Poisson algebra. Choosing  $\tilde{f} = 1$ ,  $\tilde{g} = 0$ , i.e.  $\hat{p}_\mu = p_\mu$  we get a realization of  $\hat{x}_\mu$

$$\hat{x}_\mu = x_\mu \varphi_1(u) + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} p_\mu z \varphi_2(u), \quad (4.15)$$

where  $\varphi_2(u)$  satisfies (2.5). Analogously in the limit when  $\beta \rightarrow 0$ , the algebra (4.1)-(4.4) becomes the dual Snyder Poisson algebra. Choosing  $f = 1$ ,  $g = 0$ , i.e.  $\hat{x}_\mu = x_\mu$  we get a realization of  $\hat{p}_\mu$

$$\hat{p}_\mu = p_\mu \varphi_1(v) + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} x_\mu z \varphi_2(v), \quad (4.16)$$

where  $\varphi_2(v)$  satisfies (2.5) with  $u \leftrightarrow v$ .

It is important to note that (4.8) is invariant under the interchange  $f \leftrightarrow g$ ,  $u \leftrightarrow v$ . This means that if  $\hat{x}_\mu = x_\mu f + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} p_\mu g$  is a realization satisfying (4.8), then  $\hat{x}_\mu = x_\mu g \mid_{u \leftrightarrow v} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} p_\mu f \mid_{u \leftrightarrow v}$  is also a realization satisfying (4.8). The same holds in (4.9) with  $\tilde{f} \leftrightarrow \tilde{g}$ ,  $u \leftrightarrow v$ . This applies to (4.10)-(4.14), but up to opposite  $\pm$  sign. It holds that (4.8) and (4.9) are related to each other by  $f \leftrightarrow \tilde{f}$ ,  $g \leftrightarrow \tilde{g}$ ,  $u \leftrightarrow v$ .

From the realization

$$\hat{x}_\mu = x_\mu \varphi_1(u) + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} p_\mu z \varphi_2(u) \quad (4.17)$$

it follows

$$f = \varphi_1(u), \quad g = z \varphi_2(u) \quad (4.18)$$

and

$$\tilde{f} = 1 - z \varphi_2(u), \quad \tilde{g} = -\varphi_1(u). \quad (4.19)$$

From the dual realization

$$\hat{p}_\mu = p_\mu \varphi_1(v) + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} x_\mu z \varphi_2(v) \quad (4.20)$$

it follows

$$\tilde{f} = \varphi_1(v), \quad \tilde{g} = z \varphi_2(v) \quad (4.21)$$

and

$$f = 1 - z \varphi_2(v), \quad g = -\varphi_1(v). \quad (4.22)$$

In particular, for  $\varphi_1(u) = \varphi_2(u) = 1$  it follows

$$f = 1, \quad g = z \quad (4.23)$$

and

$$\tilde{f} = 1 - z, \quad \tilde{g} = -1. \quad (4.24)$$

For the dual realization  $\varphi_1(v) = \varphi_2(v) = 1$  it follows

$$\tilde{f} = 1, \quad \tilde{g} = z \quad (4.25)$$

and

$$f = 1 - z, \quad g = -1. \quad (4.26)$$

A second special case is obtained from  $\varphi_1(u) = \sqrt{1-u}$ ,  $\varphi_2(u) = 0$ , where

$$f = \sqrt{1-u}, \quad g = 0 \quad (4.27)$$

and

$$\tilde{f} = 1, \quad \tilde{g} = -\sqrt{1-u}. \quad (4.28)$$

The dual realization  $\varphi_1(v) = \sqrt{1-v}$ ,  $\varphi_2(v) = 0$ , gives

$$\tilde{f} = \sqrt{1-v}, \quad \tilde{g} = 0, \quad (4.29)$$

and

$$f = 1, \quad g = -\sqrt{1-v}. \quad (4.30)$$

Besides the above solutions there are self dual solutions  $\tilde{f}(u, v, z) = f(v, u, z)$  and  $\tilde{g}(u, v, z) = g(v, u, z)$  intherchanging  $u \leftrightarrow v$ . We consider the special case where  $\varphi_1(u) = \varphi_2(u) = 1$ . Let  $f = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n$ ,  $g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n$ ,  $\tilde{f} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{P}_n$  and  $\tilde{g} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{R}_n$ , with

$$P_n = \sum_{k+l+m=n} c_{klm} u^k v^l z^m, \quad R_n = \sum_{k+l+m=n} d_{klm} u^k v^l z^m \quad (4.31)$$

and

$$\tilde{P}_n = \sum_{k+l+m=n} \tilde{c}_{klm} u^k v^l z^m, \quad \tilde{R}_n = \sum_{k+l+m=n} \tilde{d}_{klm} u^k v^l z^m. \quad (4.32)$$

Using equations (4.8)–(4.14) we find solutions for  $f, g, \tilde{f}$  and  $\tilde{g}$  up to second order:

$$\begin{aligned} f = & 1 + au - av + tu^2 + (a^2 + \frac{1}{2}b - t)v^2 + \frac{(1+2a)^2}{2}z^2 + \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{2}b^2 - a^2\right)uv \\ & + \left(s - 2a - 2ab - \frac{1}{2}\right)uz + (4ab + 3a + b + 1 - s)vz, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g = & bu + \frac{1}{2}v + (1+2a)z + wu^2 + rv^2 + sz^2 + \left(-\frac{1}{2}a + 2b + 6ab - 2r\right)uv \\ & + \left(a + b + 4a^2 + 4t\right)uz + \left(\frac{3}{4} + b^2 + 2a^2 + 3a\right)vz, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f} = & 1 - au + av + tv^2 + (a^2 + \frac{1}{2}b - t)u^2 + \frac{(1+2a)^2}{2}z^2 + \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{2}b^2 - a^2\right)uv \\ & + \left(s - 2a - 2ab - \frac{1}{2}\right)vz + (4ab + 3a + b + 1 - s)uz, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g} = & bv + \frac{1}{2}u + (1+2a)z + ru^2 + wv^2 + sz^2 + \left(-\frac{1}{2}a + 2b + 6ab - 2r\right)uv \\ & + \left(a + b + 4a^2 + 4t\right)vz + \left(\frac{3}{4} + b^2 + 2a^2 + 3a\right)uz, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a, b, r, s, t, w$  are real parameters. Note that for the choice of parameters  $a = b = t = r = w = 0$  and  $s = 1$  we get the solution

$$\begin{aligned} f = & 1 + \frac{uv}{8} + \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{uz}{2}, & g = & z + \frac{v}{2} + z^2 + \frac{3}{4}vz, \\ \tilde{f} = & 1 + \frac{uv}{8} + \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{vz}{2}, & \tilde{g} = & z + \frac{u}{2} + z^2 + \frac{3}{4}uz. \end{aligned}$$

which is related to the realization

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{x}_\mu &= x_\mu + \frac{\beta^2}{2} p_\mu p \cdot x + \frac{\alpha\beta}{4} p_\mu x^2 + \frac{\alpha^2\beta^2}{16} x_\mu x^2 p^2 + \frac{\alpha^2\beta^2}{4} x_\mu x \cdot p p \cdot x + \frac{\alpha\beta^3}{4} x_\mu x \cdot p p^2 \\ &+ \frac{\alpha\beta^3}{2} p_\mu p \cdot x x \cdot p + \frac{3\alpha^2\beta^2}{8} p_\mu x^2 x \cdot p + \text{h.c.},\end{aligned}\quad (4.33)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{p}_\mu &= p_\mu + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} x_\mu x \cdot p + \frac{\alpha\beta}{4} x_\mu p^2 + \frac{\alpha^2\beta^2}{16} p_\mu p^2 x^2 + \frac{\alpha^2\beta^2}{4} p_\mu p \cdot x x \cdot p + \frac{\alpha^3\beta}{4} p_\mu p \cdot x x^2 \\ &+ \frac{\alpha^3\beta}{2} x_\mu x \cdot p p \cdot x + \frac{3\alpha^2\beta^2}{8} x_\mu p^2 p \cdot x + \text{h.c.},\end{aligned}\quad (4.34)$$

in [13], but with commuting  $x$  and  $p$ , i.e.  $[x, p] = 0$ .

We also find a family of realizations interpolating between (4.17) and (4.20), which are given by

$$\hat{x}_\mu = \bar{x}_\mu \varphi_1(\beta^2 \bar{p}^2) + \beta^2 \bar{p}_\mu (\bar{x} \bar{p}) \varphi_2(\beta^2 \bar{p}^2) + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} a \bar{p}_\mu \quad (4.35)$$

and

$$\hat{p}_\mu = (1 - a) \bar{p}_\mu - \frac{\alpha}{\beta} (\bar{x}_\mu \varphi_1(\beta^2 \bar{p}^2) + \beta^2 \bar{p}_\mu (\bar{x} \bar{p}) \varphi_2(\beta^2 \bar{p}^2)), \quad (4.36)$$

where

$$\bar{x}_\mu = x_\mu \cos \epsilon - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} p_\mu \sin \epsilon, \quad \bar{p}_\mu = p_\mu \cos \epsilon + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} x_\mu \sin \epsilon. \quad (4.37)$$

If we fix  $\varphi_1(u)$  and  $\varphi_2(u)$  in (4.10)-(4.14), then for any solution for  $f, g, \tilde{f}, \tilde{g}$  of (4.8)-(4.14), a new family of solutions is generated by transformation in  $\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{p}_\mu$  (4.6), (4.7) given by  $x_\mu \rightarrow \bar{x}_\mu, p_\mu \rightarrow \bar{p}_\mu$ , where  $\bar{x}_\mu$  and  $\bar{p}_\mu$  are defined in (4.37).

There are also more general solutions, for example for  $f = 1$  from (4.8) we get

$$-2g \frac{\partial g}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} = 1 \quad (4.38)$$

which yields new additional solution  $g(u, v, z) = \frac{1}{2} (z - \sqrt{1 - 2v - z^2})$ .

Let us now expand  $g$  up to second order in  $v, z$ . Then we have  $g = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(v + z) + \frac{1}{4}(v^2 + z^2)$ . If we denote  $\tilde{g} = a_0 + a_1 u + a_2 v + a_3 z + a_4 u^2 + a_5 v^2 + a_6 z^2 + a_7 uv + a_8 uz + a_9 vz$ , then from (4.10) we have  $\tilde{f} = 1 + g\tilde{g}$ . Now, from (4.8) – (4.14) we find that

$$a_2 + a_3 = (1 + a_0)^2, \quad a_7 + a_8 = 2a_1 + 2a_0 a_1, \quad (4.39)$$

$$a_9 + 2a_5 - a_2 = 2a_2 + 2a_0 a_2, \quad a_9 + 2a_6 - a_2 = 2a_3 + 2a_0 a_3, \quad (4.40)$$

$$2a_1 + a_3 + \frac{1}{2}a_2 = 1 + 2a_0, \quad 4a_4 + \frac{1}{2}a_8 = -a_0 a_1, \quad (4.41)$$

$$2a_7 - 2a_2 + \frac{1}{2}a_3 + \frac{1}{2}a_9 = a_0 - a_0 a_2 + \frac{1}{2}a_0^2, \quad (4.42)$$

$$2a_8 + 2a_1 - \frac{3}{2}a_3 + a_6 = a_0 - a_0 a_3 + \frac{1}{2}a_0^2. \quad (4.43)$$

A simple solution is obtained for the choice of parameters  $a_0 = a_1 = a_8 = 0$ . In that case from (4.39)-(4.43) we find  $a_2 = 0$ ,  $a_3 = 1$ ,  $a_4 = 0$ ,  $a_5 = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $a_6 = \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $a_7 = 0$  and  $a_9 = -1$ , i.e. we have that

$$\tilde{f} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}z - \frac{1}{4}v^2 - \frac{1}{4}z^2 + vz, \quad (4.44)$$

and

$$\tilde{g} = z + \frac{1}{2}v^2 + \frac{3}{2}z^2 - vz, \quad (4.45)$$

i.e.  $\hat{p}_\mu = p_\mu \tilde{f} + (\alpha/\beta)x_\mu \tilde{g}$ .

## 5 Conclusions

TSR deforms relativistic quantum mechanics by two fundamental parameters, and is related to a model proposed long time ago by C.N. Yang.

In this paper, we have proposed a class of non linear algebras satisfying the Jacobi identities that generalize TSR, and discussed their realizations in terms of the Heisenberg algebra. Note that the methods proposed in [15] for Lie-algebra type models cannot be applied.

We have also considered the classical limit obtained by replacing commutators by Poisson brackets and discussed in detail its realizations on canonical phase space. A different approach to the representation of these models would be to realize the algebra on an extended phase space [17].

It is not clear whether is it possible to generalize the coalgebra structure, addition of momenta, star product and twist for these non linear algebra models.

It would be interesting to discuss the physical implications of these generalized models, including their nonrelativistic limit, for example on the deformation of the uncertainty relations or on some simple systems, as in [10]. A more ambitious task would be the construction of a quantum field theory in this framework, but this would require a departure from the standard formalism.

## Acknowledgements

S. Mignemi acknowledges support of Gruppo Nazionale di Fisica Matematica

## References

- [1] Snyder H.S., Quantized Space-Time, *Phys. Rev.* **71** 38 (1947).
- [2] Doplicher S., Fredenhagen K., Roberts J.E., *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **172**, 187 (1995)  
Majid S., in *Approaches to Quantum Gravity*, ed. D. Oriti, Cambridge Univ. Press (2009).

- Madore J., An introduction to noncommutative geometry and its physical applications, Cambridge Univ. Press (1995).
- Arzano M., Kowalski-Glikman J., Deformation of spacetime symmetries - Gravity, group-valued momenta, and noncommutative fields, Springer-Verlag (2021).
- [3] Kowalski-Glikman J., Smolin L., Triply Special Relativity, *Phys. Rev.* **D70** (2004) 065020
- [4] Amelino-Camelia G., Testable scenario for relativity with minimum length, *Phys. Lett.* **B510** 255 (2001).  
Magueijo J, Smolin L., Lorentz invariance with an invariant energy scale, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88** 190403 (2002).
- [5] Yang C.N., On Quantized Space-Time, *Phys. Rev.* **72** 874 (1947).
- [6] Guo H.G., Huang C.G., Wu H.T., Yang's Model as Triply Special Relativity and the Snyder's Model-de Sitter Special Relativity Duality, *Phys. Lett.* **B663** 270 (2008)
- [7] Born M., Reciprocity Theory of Elementary particles, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **21** 463 (1949).
- [8] Mignemi S., The Snyder-de Sitter model from six dimensions, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **26** 245020 (2009)
- [9] Banerjee R., Kumar K., Roychowdhury D., Symmetries of Snyder-de Sitter space and relativistic particle dynamics, *JHEP* **03** 060 (2011)
- [10] Mignemi S., Classical and quantum mechanics of the nonrelativistic Snyder model in curved space, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **29** 215019 (2012)
- [11] Mignemi S., Štrajcn R., Quantum mechanics on a curved Snyder space, *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2016** 1328284 (2016)
- [12] Franchino-Viñas S., Mignemi S., The Snyder-de Sitter scalar quantum field theory in 2D, *Nucl. Phys.* **B981**, 115871 (2022).
- [13] Meljanac S., Mignemi S., Generalizations of Snyder model to curved spaces, *Phys. Lett.* **B 833** (2022) 137289
- [14] Meljanac S., Mignemi S., Noncommutative Yang model and its generalizations, *J. Math. Phys.* **64** (2023) 023505
- [15] Meljanac S., Štrajcn R., Deformed Quantum Phase Spaces, Realizations, Star Products and Twists, *SIGMA* **18** (2022) 022
- [16] Lukierski J., Meljanac S., Mignemi S., Pachol A., Quantum perturbative solutions of extended Snyder and Yang models with spontaneous symmetry breaking, *Phys. Lett.* **B 847** 138261 (2023)

- [17] Martinić Bilac T., Meljanac S., Mignemi S., Hermitian realizations of the Yang model, *J. Math. Phys.* **64** 122302 (2023)
- [18] Battisti M.V., Meljanac S., Modification of Heisenberg uncertainty relations in noncommutative Snyder space-time geometry, *Phys. Rev.* **D79**, 067505 (2009).  
Battisti M.V., Meljanac S., Scalar Field Theory on Non-commutative Snyder Space-Time, *Phys. Rev.* **D82**, 024028 (2010).
- [19] S. Meljanac, D. Meljanac, S. Mignemi and R. Štrajn, Snyder-type spaces, twisted Poincaré algebra and addition of momenta, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A32**, 1750172 (2017).
- [20] Martinić Bilac T., Meljanac S., Realizations of the Extended Snyder Model, *SIGMA* **19**, 065 (2023).
- [21] Maggiore M., A Generalized uncertainty principle in quantum gravity, *Phys. Lett. B* **304**, 65 (1993)
- [22] Meljanac S., Mignemi S., Realizations of the Yang-Poisson model on canonical phase space, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A 38** 2350182 (2023)
- [23] Martinić-Bilac T., Meljanac S., Mignemi S., Generalized Yang Poisson models on canonical phase space, *SIGMA* **20**, 049 (2024).