

# GENERAL ALGORITHM OF ASSIGNING RASTER FEATURES TO VECTOR MAPS AT ANY RESOLUTION OR SCALE\*

NAN XU<sup>†</sup>, MARK STEVENSON<sup>†‡</sup>, KERRY A. NICE<sup>§</sup>, AND SACHITH SENEVIRATNE<sup>§¶</sup>

**Abstract.** The fusion of multi-source data is essential for a comprehensive analysis of geographic applications. Due to distinct data structures, the fusion process tends to encounter technical difficulties in terms of preservation of the intactness of each source data. Furthermore, a lack of generalized methods is a problem when the method is expected to be applicable in multiple resolutions, sizes, or scales of raster and vector data, to what is being processed. In this study, we propose a general algorithm of assigning features from raster data (concentrations of air pollutants) to vector components (roads represented by edges) in city maps through the iterative construction of virtual layers to expand geolocation from a city centre to boundaries in a 2D projected map. The construction follows the rule of perfect squares with a slight difference depending on the oddness or evenness of the ratio of city size to raster resolution. We demonstrate the algorithm by applying it to assign accurate  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $NO_2$  concentrations to roads in 1692 cities globally for a potential graph-based pollution analysis. This method could pave the way for agile studies on urgent climate issues by providing a generic and efficient method to accurately fuse multiple datasets of varying scales and compositions.

**Key words.** general algorithm, perfect squares, raster vector data fusion, air pollution, remote sensing, city maps

**AMS subject classifications.** 11Y55 11Y16 11Z05

**1. Introduction.** The fusion of multi-source remote sensing data can be classified at three different levels, pixel-level, feature-level or decision-level[7]. Feature-level fusion combines extracted features from distinct data sources for an integrated view. Due to the heterogeneous nature of these sources, approach towards combination are normally constrained by the characteristics of the original and target data. The most regularly used forms of data in geographic information systems are *raster data* and *vector data*[2]. Raster data are grid-parameterized at a defined resolution and often used to represent concentrations of air pollutants. Vector data, such as graphs generated from *OpenStreetMap(OSM)*[4], are composed of nodes and edges with attributes to represent road networks. A fusion process to integrate *rasters* into *vectors* requires assigning grid-values to graph components, nodes or edges, in vector maps as a new feature. The task can be labour-intensive and time-consuming since the source data is usually different in resolutions or sizes, and the required calculation increases greatly with the increased scale of analysis. Therefore, a general algorithm is proposed to make the fusion of these two datasets at any resolution or scale possible.

In order to read  $PM_{2.5}$  values on each road in 1692 cities by checking *OSM*, the fusion between raster feature and vector maps first needs to be established, that is, to assign  $PM_{2.5}$  to roads represented as edges in *OSM* in an efficient and accurate way. Two problems will be encountered: 1) how to rasterize geographic city areas (vector maps) to the grids at the same resolution as raster pollution data and assign

---

\*Submitted to the editors May 25, 2024.

<sup>†</sup>Department of Infrastructure Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and IT, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia [naxu@student.unimelb.edu.au](mailto:naxu@student.unimelb.edu.au)

<sup>‡</sup>Centre for Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia [mark.stevenson@unimelb.edu.au](mailto:mark.stevenson@unimelb.edu.au)

<sup>§</sup>Transport, Health and Urban Systems Research Lab, Faculty of Architecture, Building and Planning, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia [kerry.nice@unimelb.edu.au](mailto:kerry.nice@unimelb.edu.au)

<sup>¶</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and IT, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia [sachith.seneviratne@unimelb.edu.au](mailto:sachith.seneviratne@unimelb.edu.au)

as expected and 2) how to make the method work with any resolution of pollution data in any sized city or number of cities.

The algorithm was developed first to find a general way of splitting the city maps into grids through perfect squares, integer sequence A000290[3], and subsequently choose an appropriate method to assign data to ensure the resulting maps preserve all original features on nodes and edges in the combined new map. These two parts are illustrated as Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 respectively in section 2. The generalization is explained in Theorem 2.3 in the same section.




FIG. 1. Map Rasterisation using Perfect Squares: step is even(left) or odd(right) number

**2. Main results.** We assume the city maps are all projected 2D squares with different sizes to be divided into smaller grids equivalent to the raster data in terms of resolution. Nodes and edges in vector maps are all indicated as geographic locations, with longitude and latitude.

The algorithm proceeds into two sub-algorithms based on the odd or even steps it takes, moving from side to side. As shown in Figure 1, the number of steps  $s$  are calculated by dividing city size  $A$  by the target resolution  $r$ . For example, if resolution  $r$  is 500 and city size  $A$  is 3000, the number of steps  $s$  is 6, which leads to even-number sub-algorithm where less iterations are required to grow spatially from the centre to the boundaries through virtually constructed layers, compared with the odd-number layers where  $A$  is 2500 and then  $s$  is 5, instead.

$$(2.1) \quad s = \left\lceil \frac{A}{r} \right\rceil$$

The number of target grids  $G$  is

$$(2.2) \quad G = s^2$$

For even-numbered sub-algorithm, the number of total iterations  $I_{total e}$  is

$$(2.3) \quad I_{total e} = \sqrt{\frac{G}{4}} = \frac{s}{2}$$

For odd-numbered sub-algorithm,  $I_{total o}$  is

$$(2.4) \quad I_{total o} = \sqrt{G} - 1 = s - 1$$

The number of total iterations is represented as total layers in Figure 1, where  $I_{total e}$  is 3 and  $I_{total o}$  is 4. The last layer in gray is defined as real since it produces the final list of centers of target grids. Layers above the last are named as virtual since they

are used to expand spatially in a gradually repetitive way to arrive at the last layer. So, the number of virtual iterations  $I_{vire(o)}$  is

$$(2.5) \quad I_{vire(o)} = I_{totale(o)} - 1$$

The single-side sizes of real grids in the last layer is,

$$(2.6) \quad B_{reale(o)} = \left\lfloor \frac{A}{s} \right\rfloor$$

And the single-side sizes of virtual grids (colored squares in [Figure 1](#))  $B_{vire}$  is

$$(2.7) \quad B_{vire} = 2 \times B_{reale}$$

for  $s$  is even;  $B_{viro}$  is

$$(2.8) \quad B_{viro} = B_{reale}$$

for  $s$  is odd.

**THEOREM 2.1** (Map Rasterisation using Perfect Squares). *If  $I_{totale(o)} > 1$ , the number of distinct vertices  $V_{vire(o)}$  of constructed virtual grids with the areas of  $B_{vire(o)}^2$  expands through  $I_{vire(o)}$  iterations as perfect squares started from 4. The number of distinct vertices  $V_{reale(o)}$  of real grids with the areas of  $B_{reale(o)}^2$  differ in the calculations of even or odd steps  $s$ . If  $I_{totale(o)} = 1$ , no virtual grid is required and  $V_{reale(o)}$  is 4. If  $I_{totale(o)} = 0$ , no rasterisation is required. If  $I_{totale(o)} > 1$ :*

$$V_{vire(o)} = \{4, 9, 16, \dots, (n+2)^2\}, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, I_{vire(o)} - 1$$

for  $s$  is even:

$$V_{reale} = 4 \times (n+2)^2$$

for  $s$  is odd:

$$V_{reale} = ((n+1)+2)^2$$

$V_{reale(o)}$  should be equal to the number of target grids  $G$ ,

$$V_{reale(o)} == G$$

The iterations proceed by converting centres to vertices repeatedly, and then the vertices are used as new centres to generate new vertices without duplicates, continuing until the centres of the target grids are all obtained—resulting in a list of geolocations indicated as latitudes and longitudes  $G(lat, lon)$ . Next, raster data  $D_r$ , as features as concentrations of air pollutants  $P$ , is to be assigned into vector maps  $D_v$  based on these geolocations. The mapping of two sets of geolocations is processed through bounding boxes with the areas of  $B_{reale(o)}^2$ .

**THEOREM 2.2** (Assign Raster Features to Vector Maps). *Suppose raster data  $D_r$ , including the feature of interest  $P$ , is continuous and present everywhere, as in vector map data  $D_v$ , the geographic locations  $G(lat, lon)$  of the created centres in [Theorem 2.1](#) are used to retrieve pollution data  $P$  in  $D_r$  at resolution  $B_{reale(o)}$  and is converted as  $B_{geo}$  to align units with  $G(lat, lon)$ . Then,  $P$  extends  $D_v$ .*

$$P(lat, lon) = D_r(P(lat', lon'))$$

in which,

$$lat' \in [lat - \frac{1}{2}B_{geo}, lat + \frac{1}{2}B_{geo}], lon' \in [lon - \frac{1}{2}B_{geo}, lon + \frac{1}{2}B_{geo}].$$

Vector map data is updated as,

$$D_v^{(1)} = D_v^{(0)} \cup P$$

The algorithm can be easily generalized on two levels: 1) raster data can be at any resolution, accommodating observations at any scale, and vector maps can be any size for varied urban morphologies of cities, globally. 2) large-scale analysis can be achieved by increased iterations.

**THEOREM 2.3** (General Algorithm at Any Resolution or Scale). *Apply Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 to any resolution of raster data by changing  $r$ , any size of vector maps by changing  $A$  in (2.1) followed with updated (2.2)–(2.8), and to any number of cities via required number of iterations.*

**3. Algorithm.** The algorithm for single city is stated in Algorithm 3.3 and Algorithm 3.1 to realize Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 respectively. The generalization of Theorem 2.3 for any number of cities is conducted through Algorithm 3.2. Raw codes can be found in Figure SM1 and Figure SM2 in the supplementary material.

---

**Algorithm 3.1** for Theorem 2.2

---

```

Define  $data_{vector} := D_v, data_{raster} := D_r, value_{feature} := P, attributes_{edges} :=$ 
 $E_{attr}, nodes_{global} := N_{glb}, edges_{global} := E_{glb}, nodes_{local} := N_{loc}, edges_{local} := E_{loc}$ 
 $N_{glb}, E_{glb} \leftarrow D_v^{(0)}(center_{vector}, A)$ 
 $E_{sum} = []$ 
for  $pos \in latlon$  do
   $N_{loc}, E_{loc} \leftarrow D_v(pos, B_{reale(o)})$ 
   $P := D_r(pos, B_{geo})$ 
   $E_{attr} |_{E_{loc}} \leftarrow P$ 
  Update  $E_{loc}$ 
   $E_{sum} := E_{sum} \cup E_{loc}$ 
end for
Check if  $\#E_{glb} == \#E_{sum}$ 
 $D_v^{(1)} \leftarrow N_{glb}, E_{sum}$ 
return  $D_v^{(1)}$ 

```

---



---

**Algorithm 3.2** for Theorem 2.3

---

```

Define  $list_{city} := C, D_r$ 
for  $city \in C$  do
   $center_{vector}, A \leftarrow city$ 
   $r \leftarrow D_r$ 
  Run Algorithm 3.3
  Run Algorithm 3.1
end for

```

---

**Algorithm 3.3** for **Theorem 2.1**


---

```

Define  $center_{vector} := (lat, lon)$ ,  $size_{vector} := A$ ,  $resolution_{raster} := r$ 
 $s := \lfloor \frac{A}{r} \rfloor$ 
 $G := s^2$ 
 $latlon := [(lat, lon)]$ 
while  $\#s > 1$  do
  if  $s$  is even then
     $I_{totale} := \sqrt{\frac{G}{4}} := \frac{s}{2}$ 
     $list_{latlon} := [(lat, lon)]$ 
    while  $\#I_{totale} > 1$  do
       $I_{vire} := I_{totale} - 1$ ,  $B_{reale} := \lfloor \frac{A}{s} \rfloor$ ,  $B_{vire} := 2 \times B_{reale}$ 
      Define  $list_{latlon} = [ ]$ 
       $[north_0^{(0)}, east_0^{(0)}, west_0^{(0)}, south_0^{(0)}] \Leftarrow center_{vector}, B_{vire}$ 
       $list_{latlon} := list_{latlon} \cup (north_0^{(0)}, east_0^{(0)}) \cup (north_0^{(0)}, west_0^{(0)})$ 
       $\cup (south_0^{(0)}, east_0^{(0)}) \cup (south_0^{(0)}, west_0^{(0)})$ 
      for  $i \leftarrow 0$  to  $I_{vire}$  do
        Define  $list_{latlon} = [ ]$ 
        for  $k \leftarrow 0$  to  $4^i$  do
           $[north_{4k}^{(i+1)}, east_{4k}^{(i+1)}, west_{4k}^{(i+1)}, south_{4k}^{(i+1)}] \Leftarrow (north_k^{(i)}, east_k^{(i)}), B_{vire}$ 
           $[north_{4k+1}^{(i+1)}, east_{4k+1}^{(i+1)}, west_{4k+1}^{(i+1)}, south_{4k+1}^{(i+1)}] \Leftarrow (north_k^{(i)}, west_k^{(i)}), B_{vire}$ 
           $[north_{4k+2}^{(i+1)}, east_{4k+2}^{(i+1)}, west_{4k+2}^{(i+1)}, south_{4k+2}^{(i+1)}] \Leftarrow (south_k^{(i)}, east_k^{(i)}), B_{vire}$ 
           $[north_{4k+3}^{(i+1)}, east_{4k+3}^{(i+1)}, west_{4k+3}^{(i+1)}, south_{4k+3}^{(i+1)}] \Leftarrow (south_k^{(i)}, west_k^{(i)}), B_{vire}$ 
        end for
         $list_{latlon} := list_{latlon} \cup (north_{0,1,\dots,4^{i+1}-1}^{(i+1)}, east_{0,1,\dots,4^{i+1}-1}^{(i+1)})$ 
         $list_{latlon} := list_{latlon} \cup (north_{0,1,\dots,4^{i+1}-1}^{(i+1)}, west_{0,1,\dots,4^{i+1}-1}^{(i+1)})$ 
         $list_{latlon} := list_{latlon} \cup (south_{0,1,\dots,4^{i+1}-1}^{(i+1)}, east_{0,1,\dots,4^{i+1}-1}^{(i+1)})$ 
         $list_{latlon} := list_{latlon} \cup (south_{0,1,\dots,4^{i+1}-1}^{(i+1)}, west_{0,1,\dots,4^{i+1}-1}^{(i+1)})$ 
      end for
      Remove duplicates in  $list_{latlon}$ 
    end while
  else if  $s$  is odd then
     $I_{totalo} := \sqrt{G} - 1 := s - 1$ 
    while  $\#I_{totalo} > 1$  do
       $I_{viro} := I_{totalo} - 1$ ,  $B_{realo} := \lfloor \frac{A}{s} \rfloor$ ,  $B_{viro} := B_{realo}$ 
      Apply the same part of the codes as in even by replacing  $I_{vire}, B_{vire}, B_{reale}$ 
      with  $I_{viro}, B_{viro}, B_{realo}$ 
    end while
  end if
  Define  $latlon = [ ]$ 
  for  $item \in list_{latlon}$  do
     $[north, east, west, south] \Leftarrow item, B_{reale(o)}$ 
     $latlon := latlon \cup (north, east) \cup (north, west)$ 
     $\cup (south, east) \cup (south, west)$ 
  end for
  Remove duplicates in  $latlon$ 
  Check if  $\#latlon == G$ 
end while
return  $latlon$ 

```

---

**4. Experimental results.** We applied the stated algorithms to the fusion of two raster datasets of  $PM_{2.5}$ [6] and  $NO_2$ [1], and vector map data generated from  $OSM$ [4] for 1692<sup>1</sup> global cities. The city list including information of centers and sizes has been used in the previous study from our group[5]. Figure 2 shows  $PM_{2.5}$  as a new attribute being added into edges columns of  $OSM$ [4] through intermediate step Figure SM4. As a result,  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $NO_2$  are on each road in 1692 cities as sampled in Figure 3.

u	v	key	osmid	highway	oneway	reversed	length	geometry	PM25	bridge	name	service	junction	access	width
5367922925	5367922921	0	556460807	service	False	False	158.519	LINestring (102.26213 -3.80733, 102.26181 -3.8...	15.9	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
	5367922929	0	556460807	service	False	True	143.456	LINestring (102.26213 -3.80733, 102.26229 -3.8...	15.9	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
	5367922112	0	556460805	service	False	True	51.385	LINestring (102.26213 -3.80733, 102.26245 -3.8...	15.9	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
5367922921	5367922925	0	556460807	service	False	True	158.519	LINestring (102.26096 -3.80652, 102.26134 -3.8...	15.9	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
7607740154	9435388692	0	[814548578, 814548579, 814548580]	service	False	True	1685.845	LINestring (102.26437 -3.81000, 102.26251 -3.6...	15.9	yes	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
2441409530	2441409518	0	235780173	residential	False	False	47.498	LINestring (102.28072 -3.77342, 102.28086 -3.7...	16.6	NaN	NaN	alley	NaN	yes	NaN
2441409518	2441409564	0	236070074	residential	False	False	184.046	LINestring (102.28097 -3.77307, 102.28104 -3.7...	16.6	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN

FIG. 2.  $PM_{2.5}$  added into  $OSM$

**5. Discussion.** All discussed centers and vertices are indicated as decimals, and duplicates are identified on the same appropriate decimal places. In this study, 3 decimal places work for most cities, but 4 is required for  $\sim 1\%$  cases in the 1692 cities. Trial number of places was set to avoid failure. Alternatively, trail iterations to find that  $\sim 1\%$  run first and a new number is then set for specific items. Correctness checks on whether  $G == \#latlon$  are conducted after every iteration of Algorithm 3.3 with output as in Figure SM3. The algorithm could be improved by encoding decimals with integers for duplicates-check followed by the same-reverse-path decoding.

Furthermore, we initially tried to merge the  $E_{loc}$  to  $E_{sum}$  based on graphs with the process visualized in Figure SM5. Edges that cross boundaries are cut into segments. The method is however found to produce breaks on edges in the merged graphs and unsuitable as the breaks changed the topology of the original graphs. Therefore, we treated edges as frame-data to rasterise and remerge, as in Algorithm 3.1, instead. All original properties on graphs and attributes from nodes and edges are preserved.

Finally, we assumed all cities are represented as square vector maps in our study,

<sup>1</sup> $NO_2$  is only available for 1689 cities resulted from its source data.




FIG. 3. Sample images of  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $NO_2$  shown on each road in 1692 cities

but they are normally not square in reality. This algorithm should then be followed by additional steps to process variant shapes of boundaries.

**6. Conclusions.** The feature-level fusion of raster and vector data can be efficiently achieved at any resolution, size or scale by utilizing the proposed algorithms, by which the technique was represented has application when integrating multiple sources of data at large scale is required. In this example, we illustrate the technique using data related to air pollution across cities, globally, thereby providing valuable insights on climate change and its dynamic trajectory. The technique is low-cost and convenient to adapt to other forms of fusions on pixel or decision levels.

**Acknowledgments.** The first author is supported by Melbourne Research Scholarship.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. COOPER, *Tropomi-derived ground level no2 concentrations (2019 annual mean) (v1.0.0) [data set]*. zenodo., 2022, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5484304>.
- [2] Y. HUANG, G. ZHOU, T. YUE, H. YAN, W. ZHANG, X. BAO, Q. PAN, AND J. NI, *Vector and raster data layered fusion and 3d visualization*, The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, XLII-3/W10 (2020), pp. 1127–1134, <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-XLII-3-W10-1127-2020>.
- [3] N.J.A.SLOANE, *The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences (oeis)*, 1964, <https://oeis.org/A000290> (accessed 2024/05/07).
- [4] OPENSTREETMAP CONTRIBUTORS, *Planet dump retrieved from https://planet.osm.org*, 2017, <https://www.openstreetmap.org> (accessed 2024/05/07).
- [5] J. THOMPSON, M. STEVENSON, J. S. WIJNANDS, K. A. NICE, G. D. ASCHWANDEN, J. SILVER, M. NIEUWENHUIJSEN, P. RAYNER, R. SCHOFIELD, R. HARIHARAN, AND C. N. MORRIS,

- SON, *A global analysis of urban design types and road transport injury: an image processing study*, *The Lancet Planetary Health*, 4 (2020), pp. E32–E42, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(19\)30263-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(19)30263-3).
- [6] A. VAN DONKELAAR, *V5gl03.hybridpm25.global.201901-201912*, 2022, <https://wustl.app.box.com/v/ACAG-V5GL03-GWRPM25/file/1039415083462> (accessed 2023/10/07).
- [7] J. ZHANG, *Multi-source remote sensing data fusion: status and trends*, *International Journal of Image and Data Fusion*, 1 (2010), pp. 5–24, <https://doi.org/10.1080/19479830903561035>.



## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS: GENERAL ALGORITHM OF ASSIGNING RASTER FEATURES TO VECTOR MAPS AT ANY RESOLUTION OR SCALE\*

NAN XU<sup>†</sup>, MARK STEVENSON<sup>†§‡</sup>, KERRY A. NICE<sup>§</sup>, AND SACHITH SENEVIRATNE<sup>¶</sup>

**SM1. Raw codes.** Here we include the raw codes for [Algorithm 3.3](#) and [Algorithm 3.1](#) in [Figure SM1](#) and [Figure SM2](#) respectively for your reference. Variable names are slightly different on both sides.

**SM2. Supplementary figures.** Sample of output in [Figure SM3](#) is the result of checking whether  $G == \#latlon$  after [Algorithm 3.3](#). Error is corrected by modifying the number of decimal places from 3 to 4.

[Figure SM4](#) is a sample output of *map rasterisation* into 36 grids with centres listed left in the  $(lat, lon)$  format.  $PM2.5$  values are queried from the right original raster data.

The graphs in [Figure SM5](#) visualize the process of [Algorithm 3.1](#) if graph-approach is used. The original graph (*bottom-left*) is rasterised into 4 smaller graphs(*bottom-right*) according to the boundary boxes(*top*).

---

\*Submitted to the editors May 25, 2024.

<sup>†</sup>Department of Infrastructure Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and IT, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia [naxu@student.unimelb.edu.au](mailto:naxu@student.unimelb.edu.au)

<sup>‡</sup>Centre for Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia [mark.stevenson@unimelb.edu.au](mailto:mark.stevenson@unimelb.edu.au)

<sup>§</sup>Transport, Health and Urban Systems Research Lab, Faculty of Architecture, Building and Planning, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia [kerry.nice@unimelb.edu.au](mailto:kerry.nice@unimelb.edu.au)

<sup>¶</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and IT, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia [sachith.seneviratne@unimelb.edu.au](mailto:sachith.seneviratne@unimelb.edu.au)

Algorithm		
For i in cities:		
step0	get centre and one-side distance of city(i), suppose it's a square. e.g., centre_location={-3.8, 102.27}, dist=3000. get climate data resolution. e.g., emission_res=500.	
step1	Calculate the steps: steps=round(dist/emission_res)=6; grids= steps^2=36.	
step2	Check steps is even or odd value:	
	If steps%2==0:	If steps%2!=0:
step3	Calculate the number of total and virtual iterations: If grids>=4: Total_iter=(grids/4)*0.5; Virtual_iter=Total_iter-1 Else: total_iter=1	Total_iter=steps-1; Virtual_iter=Total_iter-1
	Calculate virtual and real layers block distances: dist_real=dist/steps; dist_virtual=2*dist_real	dist_real=dist/steps; dist_virtual=dist_real
step4	Calculate the corner vertex's locations from the centre: corner_point_0 = north, south, east , west	
step5	Enter virtual iterations if virtual_iter>0: The algorithm has 2 base modules for each iteration. And 1 module to utilize the 2 base modules for any times of iterations.	
	<b>Base module 1:</b> def virtualalteration(start_center_point,dist,acc): pointlist=[] for i in range(4): p = (round(start_center_point[i//2],acc),round(start_center_point[2+%%2],acc)) next_center_point = ox.utils_geo.bbox_from_point(p, dist) pointlist.append(next_center_point) return pointlist #define function removeDuplicates	<b>Complete module:</b> def recuriteration(start_center_point,dist,virtual_iter,acc): next_point=virtualalteration(start_center_point,dist,acc) next_point=removeDuplicates(next_point) if virtual_iter > 2: for j in range(virtual_iter-2): pplist=[] for x in range(len(next_point)): p=virtualalteration(next_point[x],dist,acc) pplist.extend(p) next_point=pplist next_point=removeDuplicates(next_point) elif virtual_iter ==1: next_point= [start_center_point] return next_point
	Second-to-the-last-layer-centers=recuriteration(corner_point_0 ,dist_virtual, virtual_iter, acc=3)	
step6	Last-layer-centers=virtualalteration(Second-to-the-last-layer-centers,dist_real,acc=3) Last-layer-centers=removeDuplicates(Last-layer-centers)	
step7	Check if the number of last-layers-centers == the number of target grids	

FIG. SM1. Codes for Map Rasterisation described in *Theorem 2.1*

Algorithm (Continued)		
For i in cities:		
step8	G = ox.load_graphml(city(i)) ds = xr.open_dataset(nc file) pm=pd.Series()	
	<b>Base module 3:</b> def FINDPM25VALUE(CenterPoint,dist): north, south, east, west = ox.utils_geo.bbox_from_point(CenterPoint, dist) min_lon = round(west,2) min_lat = round(south,2) max_lon = round(east,2) max_lat = round(north,2) cropped_ds = ds.sel(lat=slice(min_lat-0.01,max_lat+0.01), lon=slice(min_lon-0.01,max_lon+0.01)) df = cropped_ds.to_dataframe() return df.iloc[0]['GWRPM25']	<b>Complete module:</b> for pos in range(len(latlon)): value = round(float(FINDPM25VALUE(latlon[pos],dist_real)),1) north, south, east, west = ox.utils_geo.bbox_from_point(latlon[pos], dist_real) minx=round(west,2) miny=round(south,2) maxx=round(east,2) maxy=round(north,2) bounds=(minx-0.01, miny-0.01, maxx+0.01, maxy+0.01) mask=box(*bounds) gdf_nj=gpd.clip(gdf_n,mask) gdf_ej=gpd.clip(gdf_e,mask) pnc = pd.Series(value,index=gdf_ej.index) pm = pd.concat([pnc,pm])  index = pm.index pm=pm[~index.duplicated(keep="first")] gdf_e=gdf_e.assign(PM25=pm) G0=ox_graph_from_gdfs(gdf_n,gdf_e) if G0.number_of_nodes()==G.number_of_nodes() and G0.number_of_edges()==G.number_of_edges(): print("MultiGraph match: ",city(i))

FIG. SM2. Codes for Assigning Data described in *Theorem 2.2*

```
[RIGHT] No. 338 grids number: 100 length of latlon: 100
[RIGHT] No. 339 grids number: 64 length of latlon: 64
[RIGHT] No. 350 grids number: 64 length of latlon: 64
[RIGHT] No. 361 grids number: 64 length of latlon: 64
[WRONG] No. 372 if # of centers is not equal to 1/4 grids, dist: 8160 ,total steps: 8
# of centers for the real layer: 34 ,grids: 64
[WRONG] corrected!
[RIGHT] No. 372 grids number: 64 length of latlon: 64
```

FIG. SM3. Sample of output with correction of accuracy setting

Split blocks searched pollution values from the right		GWRPM25		Original raster climate data: PM2.5						
lon	lat	lon	lat	lon	lat	lon	lat			
(-3.8225, 102.2475) : nan	(-3.7955, 102.2745) : 16.1	102.245003	-3.825	NaN	102.264999	-3.825	NaN	102.285004	-3.825	16.100000
(-3.8225, 102.2565) : nan	(-3.7955, 102.2835) : 16.3		-3.815	NaN		-3.815	16.100000		-3.815	15.900000
(-3.8225, 102.2655) : nan	(-3.7955, 102.2925) : 16.5		-3.805	NaN		-3.805	15.900000		-3.805	16.000000
(-3.8225, 102.2745) : 16.1	(-3.7865, 102.2475) : 16.6		-3.795	16.500000		-3.795	16.000000		-3.795	16.299999
(-3.8225, 102.2835) : 16.1	(-3.7865, 102.2565) : 16.2		-3.785	16.600000		-3.785	16.299999		-3.785	16.500000
(-3.8225, 102.2925) : 15.9	(-3.7865, 102.2655) : 16.3		-3.775	NaN		-3.775	16.600000		-3.775	16.600000
(-3.8135, 102.2475) : nan	(-3.7865, 102.2745) : 16.5	102.254997	-3.825	NaN	102.275002	-3.825	16.100000	102.294998	-3.825	15.900000
(-3.8135, 102.2565) : nan	(-3.7865, 102.2835) : 16.5		-3.815	NaN		-3.815	16.000000		-3.815	16.000000
(-3.8135, 102.2655) : 16.1	(-3.7865, 102.2925) : 16.6		-3.805	16.000000		-3.805	15.900000		-3.805	16.299999
(-3.8135, 102.2745) : 16.0	(-3.7775, 102.2475) : nan		-3.795	15.900000		-3.795	16.100000		-3.795	16.500000
(-3.8135, 102.2835) : 15.9	(-3.7775, 102.2565) : 16.6		-3.785	16.200001		-3.785	16.500000		-3.785	16.600000
(-3.8135, 102.2925) : 16.0	(-3.7775, 102.2655) : 16.6		-3.775	16.600000		-3.775	16.700001		-3.775	16.600000
(-3.8045, 102.2475) : nan	(-3.7775, 102.2745) : 16.7									
(-3.8045, 102.2565) : 16.0	(-3.7775, 102.2835) : 16.6									
(-3.8045, 102.2655) : 15.9	(-3.7775, 102.2925) : 16.6									
(-3.8045, 102.2745) : 15.9										
(-3.8045, 102.2835) : 16.0										
(-3.8045, 102.2925) : 16.3										
(-3.7955, 102.2475) : 16.5										
(-3.7955, 102.2565) : 15.9										
(-3.7955, 102.2655) : 16.0										

FIG. SM4. Sample of output of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2




FIG. SM5. Visualization of vector components rasterisation and remerging