

# Square values of several polynomials over a finite field

Kaloyan Slavov

July 16, 2024

## Abstract

Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  be polynomials in  $n$  variables with coefficients in a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We estimate the number of points  $\underline{x}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  such that each value  $f_i(\underline{x})$  is a nonzero square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The error term is especially small when the  $f_i$  define smooth projective quadrics with nonsingular intersections. We improve the error term in a recent work by Asgarli–Yip on mutual position of smooth quadrics.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field with  $q$  odd, and let  $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a polynomial which is not a perfect square in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . It is well-known that as  $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$  is chosen uniformly at random, the probability that  $f(\underline{x})$  is a nonzero square (respectively, a non-square) in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is  $1/2 + O(q^{-1/2})$ , where the implied constant depends only on  $\deg f$ .

Consider now a finite field  $k$  with  $\text{char } k \neq 2$  and several polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . For a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q \supset k$ , as  $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$  is chosen uniformly at random, let  $E_i$  (for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ) be the event that  $f_i(\underline{x})$  is a nonzero square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We are interested in a necessary and sufficient condition on  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  for the events  $E_1, \dots, E_m$  to be “independent” (up to an error). More precisely,

*Question 1.* Find a necessary and sufficient condition on  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  for the following statement to hold: for a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q \supset k$  and a subset  $S \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ , as  $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$  is chosen uniformly at random, the probability that  $f_i(\underline{x})$  is a nonzero square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for  $i \in S$  and a non-square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for  $i \notin S$  is  $1/2^m + O(q^{-1/2})$ .

*Question 2.* Under additional assumptions on  $f_1, \dots, f_m$ , improve the error bound  $O(q^{-1/2})$ .

The motivation for studying the above questions is a classical discrete geometry problem about mutual position of conics (see [1] for references and latest results). Let  $C$  be a smooth conic in the projective plane  $\mathbb{P}^2$  over a finite field. In analogy with conics over the reals, one says that a point  $P \in \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  not on  $C$  is external to  $C$  if there exist two  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -tangent lines

---

2020 *Mathematics subject classification.* Primary 14G15, 11T06; Secondary 14G05.

*Key words and phrases.* square values, polynomials over a finite field, quadratic forms, Lang–Weil bound.

to  $C$  passing through  $P$ , and internal to  $C$  if there exist no  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -tangent lines to  $C$  through  $P$ . Consider two distinct smooth conics  $C$  and  $D$ . G. Korchmáros asks for an estimation of the number of points in  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  that are external to  $C$  but internal to  $D$ . Asgarli–Yip [1] consider more generally internal and external points to a smooth quadric  $C = \{f_1 = 0\}$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  with  $n$  even and prove that a point  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  not on  $C$  is external (respectively, internal) to  $C$  if and only if the value of a certain nonzero constant multiple of  $f_1$  at  $P$  is a square (respectively, a non-square) in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Thus, given two distinct smooth quadrics  $C = \{f_1 = 0\}$  and  $D = \{f_2 = 0\}$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , the problem is to estimate the number of points  $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  such that  $f_1(\underline{x})$  is a nonzero square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  but  $f_2(\underline{x})$  is a non-square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . To this end, Asgarli–Yip consider the hypersurface  $\{f_1 f_2 = 0\}$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  and apply an advanced result of A. Rojas-León on estimates for singular multiplicative character sums. Our first main result Theorem 3 below provides a simpler proof of [1, Theorem 1.3] and improves the implied constant in the error bound (see Corollary 9). Moreover, Theorem 7 (which is the  $m = 2$  case of our second main result) improves significantly the error bound in the estimation under an additional assumption that  $C \cap D$  is smooth (or has singular locus of dimension at most  $n - 3$ ).

Our approach to Question 1 is based on the Lang–Weil bound [5] for the number of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points on the variety  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+m}$  defined as the vanishing of  $f_i(\underline{x}) - s_i^2$  for  $i \in S$  and  $f_i(\underline{x}) - \nu s_i^2$  for  $i \notin S$  (where  $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_q$  is a non-square). We determine a condition for  $X$  to be geometrically irreducible.

For Question 2, we consider  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  homogeneous of degree 2. We build a complete intersection  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+m}$  of dimension  $n$ . Under assumptions that  $\{f_i = 0\}$  and their intersections are nonsingular (or have low-dimensional singular loci),  $X$  is nonsingular (or has a low-dimensional singular locus). Then we apply the bound of Hooley and Katz ([3], [4]) for  $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$  with small error term. In contrast, the character sums approach of Asgarli–Yip is based on taking the product of the given polynomials  $f_i$  from the onset: this creates a hypersurface whose singular locus is inevitably of large dimension, and additional assumptions on the  $f_i$  cannot improve the error bound.

For a collection  $\{g_i\}$  of polynomials (respectively, homogeneous polynomials),  $V(\{g_i\})$  denotes the vanishing locus  $\cap \{g_i = 0\}$  in the appropriate affine (respectively, projective) space.

## 1.1 Answer to Question 1

It turns out that the obvious necessary condition (i) for (ii) in the theorem below to hold is also sufficient.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $n, m \geq 1$ . Let  $k$  be a finite field with  $\text{char } k \neq 2$ , and let  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *If  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m \in \{0, 1, -1\}$  and  $\lambda \in k^*$  are such that  $\lambda \prod_{i=1}^m f_i^{\varepsilon_i}$  is a square in  $k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , then  $\varepsilon_1 = \dots = \varepsilon_m = 0$ ;*
- (ii) *Let  $\mathbb{F}_q \supset k$  be a finite field. Let  $S$  be a subset of  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ . Then the number of  $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  such that  $f_i(\underline{x})$  is a nonzero square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for  $i \in S$  and a*

non-square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for  $i \notin S$  is  $q^n/2^m + O(q^{n-1/2})$ .

*Remark 4.* When  $m = 2$ , condition (i) above is simply that the square-free parts of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are both of degree at least 1 and are not constant multiples of each other. Also, note that (i) holds whenever  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  are irreducible and pairwise non-associate. On the other hand, (i) rules out, for example, a dependence of the form  $f_1 = g_2g_3$ ,  $f_2 = g_1g_3$ ,  $f_3 = g_1g_2$ . However, in case of such a dependence, if we are interested say in the number of  $\underline{x}$  such that all  $f_1, f_2$ , and  $f_3$  assume nonzero square values at  $\underline{x}$ , we can simply discard  $f_3$  and apply the theorem just to the set  $\{f_1, f_2\}$ .

*Remark 5.* Suppose (i) and (ii) hold. The implied constant in the  $O$ -notation in (ii) depends only on  $n, m$ , and the degrees of  $f_1, \dots, f_m$ , but not on  $q$ . In fact, let  $d_i := \max(\deg(f_i), 2)$ , and set  $d := \prod_{i=1}^m d_i$ . Let  $S \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ , and let  $N_S(f_1, \dots, f_m)$  be the number of points  $\underline{x}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  such that  $f_i(\underline{x})$  is a nonzero square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for  $i \in S$  and a non-square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for  $i \notin S$ . An inspection of the proof of Theorem 3 along with an application of [2, Theorem 7.1] shows that

$$\left| N_S(f_1, \dots, f_m) - \frac{q^n}{2^m} \right| \leq \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2^m} q^{n-1/2} + C_{d_1, \dots, d_m} q^{n-1}$$

for a constant  $C_{d_1, \dots, d_m}$  that can be determined explicitly from the proof of Theorem 3 and the results in [2].

*Remark 6.* In Theorem 3, we can add condition (iii): There exists a finite field  $k_1 \supset k$  such that for every  $i = 1, \dots, m$  there exist  $\underline{u}, \underline{v}$  in  $k_1^n$  such that  $f_i(\underline{u})f_i(\underline{v})$  is a non-square in  $k_1$  and  $f_j(\underline{u})f_j(\underline{v})$  is a nonzero square in  $k_1$  for all  $j \neq i$ . It is easy to see that (iii)  $\implies$  (i) and (ii)  $\implies$  (iii); thus, (iii) is also equivalent to (i) and (ii).

## 1.2 Improved error bound under additional assumptions

Specialize from now on to the case when  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  belong to the space  $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]_2$  of homogeneous polynomials in  $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  of degree 2. For  $f \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]_2$  and  $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , the notion of whether  $f(\underline{x})$  is a nonzero square (respectively, a non-square) in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is well-defined.

Since our second main result — Theorem 8 — involves a number of parameters, we first state an illustrative special case. Set  $\dim \emptyset = -1$ .

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $k$  be a finite field with  $\text{char } k \neq 2$ . Let  $f_1, f_2 \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]_2$  be irreducible and non-associate. Define*

$$\sigma := \max(\dim V(f_1)_{\text{sing}}, \dim V(f_2)_{\text{sing}}, \dim V(f_1, f_2)_{\text{sing}}).$$

*Let  $\mathbb{F}_q \supset k$  be a finite field, and let  $S \subset \{1, 2\}$ . Then the number  $N_S(f_1, f_2)$  of  $\underline{x}$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  such that  $f_i(\underline{x})$  is a nonzero square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for  $i \in S$  and a non-square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for  $i \notin S$  satisfies*

$$N_S(f_1, f_2) = \frac{q^n - q^{n-1}}{4} + O(q^{(n+\sigma+1)/2}).$$

Consider  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]_2$  that satisfy the assumption in Theorem 3(i). For any  $1 \leq r \leq m$  and any  $r$ -element subset  $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$  of  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ , define

$$T(f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_r}) = \{\underline{x} \in V(f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_r}) \mid \text{rk Jac}(f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_r})(\underline{x}) < r\}$$

and let  $\sigma_{i_1, \dots, i_r} = \dim T(f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_r})$ . Notice that

$$\sigma_{i_1, \dots, i_r} = \begin{cases} \dim V(f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_r})_{\text{sing}}, & \text{if } V(f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_r}) \text{ has pure dimension } n - r; \\ \dim V(f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_r}), & \text{if } \dim V(f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_r}) > n - r. \end{cases}$$

Set  $\sigma = \max(\sigma_{i_1, \dots, i_r})$ , with maximum over all  $1 \leq r \leq m$  and all  $r$ -element subsets  $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$  of  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ .

Let  $l = \max(n - m - \sigma - 1, 0)$ . Note that  $l \leq n - 1$ . Moreover, if  $l \geq 1$ , then  $n - m \geq \sigma + 2 \geq 1$ , so  $m \leq n - 1$ .

For  $i \geq 0$ , denote

$$\pi_i(q) = \#\mathbb{P}^i(\mathbb{F}_q) = q^i + \dots + q + 1.$$

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $k$  be a finite field with  $\text{char } k \neq 2$ . Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]_2$  satisfy the assumption in Theorem 3(i). Define  $\sigma$  and  $l$  as above. Suppose that for any  $1 \leq r \leq \min(l + 1, m)$  and any  $r$ -element subset  $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$  of  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ , we have  $V(f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_{r-1}}) \not\subset V(f_{i_r})$ .*

*Let  $\mathbb{F}_q \supset k$  be a finite field, and let  $S \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Then the number  $N_S(f_1, \dots, f_m)$  of  $\underline{x}$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  such that  $f_i(\underline{x})$  is a nonzero square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for  $i \in S$  and a non-square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for  $i \notin S$  satisfies*

$$N_S(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{0 \leq r \leq \min(m, l)} (-1)^r \binom{m}{r} \pi_{n-r}(q) + O(q^\gamma), \quad (1)$$

where

$$\gamma = \max\left(\frac{n + \sigma + 1}{2}, n - l - 1\right).$$

### 1.3 An application to mutual position of quadrics

Theorem 3 and Remarks 4, 5, combined with [1, Lemma 2.4] imply

**Corollary 9.** *Let  $C$  and  $D$  be distinct smooth quadrics in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  (with  $n$  even). Then the number  $N$  of points  $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  external to  $C$  but internal to  $D$  satisfies*

$$\left| N - \frac{q^n}{4} \right| \leq \frac{3}{2} q^{n-1/2} + C q^{n-1},$$

with an effectively computable constant  $C$  depending only on  $n$ .

Here the factor  $3/2$  of  $q^{n-1/2}$  improves the  $3.8^{n+1}$  in [1] (see lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 in [1]).

The improved error bound in Theorem 7 gives

**Corollary 10.** *Let  $C$  and  $D$  be distinct smooth quadrics in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  (with  $n$  even). Let  $\sigma := \dim(C \cap D)_{\text{sing}}$ . Then the number of points  $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  external to  $C$  but internal to  $D$  equals  $(q^n - q^{n-1})/4 + O(q^{(n+\sigma+1)/2})$ .*

## 2 Proofs of the results.

The proof of Theorem 3 is based on the following

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $k$  be a field, and let  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Suppose that for  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m \in \{0, 1, -1\}$ , the product  $\prod_{i=1}^m f_i^{\varepsilon_i}$  is a square in  $k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  only when  $\varepsilon_1 = \dots = \varepsilon_m = 0$ . Then the  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ -algebra*

$$k[x_1, \dots, x_n, s_1, \dots, s_m]/(f_1 - s_1^2, \dots, f_m - s_m^2)$$

is an integral domain.

*Proof.* Set  $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  for brevity. Let  $R_0 = k[\underline{x}]$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , define

$$R_i := k[\underline{x}, s_1, \dots, s_i]/(s_1^2 - f_1(\underline{x}), \dots, s_i^2 - f_i(\underline{x})).$$

We prove by induction on  $i \in \{0, \dots, m\}$  that  $R_i$  is an integral domain and for every  $\varepsilon_{i+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_m \in \{0, 1, -1\}$ ,

$$\text{if } \prod_{j=i+1}^m f_j^{\varepsilon_j} \text{ is a square in } \text{Frac}(R_i), \text{ then } \varepsilon_{i+1} = \dots = \varepsilon_m = 0.$$

This clearly holds for  $i = 0$ . Suppose that  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and the statement above holds for  $i - 1$ . Note that

$$R_i \simeq R_{i-1} \oplus R_{i-1}s_i \quad \text{as } R_{i-1}\text{-modules.}$$

Suppose that  $(a + bs_i)(c + ds_i) = 0$  in  $R_i$ , with  $a, b, c, d \in R_{i-1}$ ,  $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$ ,  $(c, d) \neq (0, 0)$ . Then  $ac + bdf_i = 0$  and  $ad + bc = 0$  in  $R_{i-1}$ . Therefore  $c^2 - d^2f_i = 0$ . Then  $f_i$  would be a square in  $\text{Frac}(R_{i-1})$ , contrary to the inductive hypothesis. Thus  $R_i$  is an integral domain.

Since  $f_i$  is not a square in  $F_{i-1} := \text{Frac}(R_{i-1})$ , the  $F_{i-1}$ -algebra  $F_i := F_{i-1}[s_i]/(s_i^2 - f_i)$  is a field; the map  $R_i \rightarrow F_i$  then identifies  $F_i$  with  $\text{Frac}(R_i)$ . Note that  $F_i \simeq F_{i-1} \oplus F_{i-1}s_i$  as  $F_{i-1}$ -vector spaces.

Finally, suppose that for some  $\varepsilon_{i+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_m \in \{0, 1, -1\}$ , we have that  $\prod_{j=i+1}^m f_j^{\varepsilon_j}$  is a square in  $\text{Frac}(R_i)$ . Then we can write

$$\prod_{j=i+1}^m f_j^{\varepsilon_j} = \left( \frac{u}{v} + \frac{u_1}{v_1} s_i \right)^2 = \frac{u^2}{v^2} + \frac{u_1^2}{v_1^2} f_i + 2 \frac{uu_1}{vv_1} s_i \quad \text{for some } u, v, u_1, v_1 \in R_{i-1} \text{ with } v, v_1 \neq 0.$$

Since LHS belongs to  $F_{i-1}$ , we deduce  $u = 0$  or  $u_1 = 0$ . If  $u = 0$ , then with  $\varepsilon_i = -1$ , we would have that  $\prod_{j=i}^m f_j^{\varepsilon_j} = (u_1/v_1)^2$  is a square in  $F_{i-1}$ , which contradicts the inductive hypothesis. Therefore in fact  $u_1 = 0$ . Now  $\prod_{j=i+1}^m f_j^{\varepsilon_j} = (u/v)^2$  is a square in  $\text{Frac}(R_{i-1})$ ; hence, indeed,  $\varepsilon_{i+1} = \dots = \varepsilon_m = 0$  by the inductive hypothesis.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.* We first prove (ii)  $\implies$  (i). Suppose (ii) holds. Suppose  $\lambda \prod_{i=1}^m f_i^{\varepsilon_i}$  is a square in  $k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  for some  $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1, -1\}$  and some  $\lambda \in k^*$ . Suppose that  $\varepsilon_i \neq 0$  for some  $i$ .

Using (ii), we can find a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q \supset k$  such that  $\lambda$  is a square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and such that there exists an  $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$  such that  $f_i(\underline{x})$  is a non-square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  while  $f_j(\underline{x})$  is a nonzero square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for each  $j \neq i$ . Then  $\lambda \prod_{j=1}^m f_j(\underline{x})^{\varepsilon_j}$  would be a non-square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , which is a contradiction.

We now prove (i)  $\implies$  (ii). Suppose that (i) holds. Then  $f_i \neq 0$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Let  $\mathbb{F}_q \supset k$  be a finite field, and let  $S \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ .

Pick a non-square  $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , consider the polynomial  $g_i$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[\underline{x}, s_1, \dots, s_m]$  defined by

$$g_i = \begin{cases} f_i(\underline{x}) - s_i^2 & \text{if } i \in S; \\ f_i(\underline{x}) - \nu s_i^2 & \text{if } i \notin S. \end{cases}$$

Consider the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -variety

$$X := \{g_1 = 0, \dots, g_m = 0\} \subset \mathbb{A}_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^n \times \mathbb{A}_{s_1, \dots, s_m}^m;$$

it comes with a projection  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^n$ . Note that  $\varphi$  is finite and surjective. In particular,  $\dim X = n$ .

We claim that  $X$  is geometrically irreducible. Over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ , note that  $X$  becomes isomorphic to  $\{f_1(\underline{x}) - s_1^2 = 0, \dots, f_m(\underline{x}) - s_m^2 = 0\}$ . Thus, we have to establish that the  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ -algebra

$$\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[\underline{x}, s_1, \dots, s_m]/(f_1(\underline{x}) - s_1^2, \dots, f_m(\underline{x}) - s_m^2)$$

is an integral domain. We check that the hypothesis in Lemma 11 is satisfied for the field  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$  and the polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_m$ . Indeed, suppose that  $\beta := \prod f_i^{\varepsilon_i}$  is a square in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  for some  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m \in \{0, 1, -1\}$ . By Lemma 12 below, there exists a  $\lambda \in k^*$  such that  $\lambda\beta$  is a square in  $k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . But then (i) implies  $\varepsilon_1 = \dots = \varepsilon_m = 0$ .

The restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $X - \cup_{i=1}^m V(s_i)$  is  $2^m : 1$  on  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points. Therefore the number  $N_S(f_1, \dots, f_m)$  of  $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$  such that  $f_i(\underline{x})$  is a nonzero square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for  $i \in S$  and a non-square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for  $i \notin S$  satisfies

$$N_S(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \frac{1}{2^m} \# \left( X - \bigcup_{i=1}^m V(s_i) \right) (\mathbb{F}_q)$$

For each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , note that  $X \cap V(s_i)$  is a proper closed subset of  $X$  and therefore has dimension  $n - 1$ . Thus  $\#(X \cap V(s_i))(\mathbb{F}_q) = O(q^{n-1})$ .

The Lang–Weil bound [5] (or the version [2, Theorem 7.1] with an explicit error term) implies

$$\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) = q^n + O(q^{n-1/2}).$$

Therefore

$$N_S(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \frac{1}{2^m} \#X(\mathbb{F}_q) + O(q^{n-1}) = \frac{q^n}{2^m} + O(q^{n-1/2}). \quad \square$$

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $k$  be a finite field, and let  $\beta \in k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Suppose that  $\beta$  is a square in  $\overline{k}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Then there exists a  $\lambda \in k^*$  such that  $\lambda\beta$  is a square in  $k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $\beta = f/g$  with  $f, g \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . We can assume that  $f$  and  $g$  are square-free in the UFD  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . We claim that  $f$  and  $g$  remain square-free in the UFD  $\bar{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Indeed, the  $k$ -algebra  $A := k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f)$  is reduced; since  $k$  is a perfect field, the  $\bar{k}$ -algebra  $A \otimes_k \bar{k}$  is also reduced, or, equivalently,  $f$  is square-free in  $\bar{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Similarly for  $g$ .

By assumption,  $f/g = u^2/v^2$  in  $\bar{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , for some  $u, v \in \bar{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ; in other words,  $fv^2 = gu^2$  in  $\bar{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . The square-free part of an element in a UFD is unique up to scaling by a unit. Therefore  $f = \lambda g$  for some  $\lambda \in \bar{k}$ . But then  $\lambda = f/g$  belongs to  $\bar{k} \cap k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = k$ . In particular,  $\lambda\beta = \lambda^2$  is a square in  $k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 8.* Let  $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_q$  be a non-square. Consider

$$g_i = \begin{cases} f_i(x_0, \dots, x_n) - s_i^2 & \text{if } i \in S; \\ f_i(x_0, \dots, x_n) - \nu s_i^2 & \text{if } i \notin S. \end{cases}$$

Consider the projective variety  $X = V(g_1, \dots, g_m) \subset \mathbb{P}_{[x_0: \dots: x_n: s_1: \dots: s_m]}^{n+m}$ . As in the proof of Theorem 3,  $X$  is geometrically irreducible and comes with a finite surjective morphism  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{[x_0: \dots: x_n]}^n$  of degree  $2^m$ . In particular,  $\dim X = n$  and  $X$  is a complete intersection in  $\mathbb{P}^{n+m}$ . The restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^m V(s_i)$  is  $2^m: 1$  on  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -points. Therefore

$$N_S(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \frac{1}{2^m} \# \left( X - \bigcup_{i=1}^m V(s_i) \right) (\mathbb{F}_q). \quad (2)$$

We will compute the right-hand side of (2) by using the Inclusion-Exclusion Principle. For  $0 \leq r \leq m$  and an  $r$ -element subset  $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$  of  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ , set  $X_{i_1, \dots, i_r} = X \cap V(s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_r})$ .

**Lemma 13.** *We have  $\dim(X_{\text{sing}}) \leq \sigma$ .*

*Proof.* Notice that

$$\text{Jac}(g_1, \dots, g_m) = (\text{Jac}(f_1, \dots, f_m) \mid D),$$

where  $D$  is the diagonal matrix whose  $(i, i)$ -entry is  $-2s_i$  if  $i \in S$  or  $-2\nu s_i$  if  $i \notin S$ . For  $0 \leq r \leq m$  and an  $r$ -element subset  $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$  of  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ , set

$$X_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^+ = X_{i_1, \dots, i_r} \cap \{s_j \neq 0 \text{ for each } j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}\}.$$

Then  $X$  is the union of the locally closed  $X_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^+$ , and we need to establish that  $X_{\text{sing}} \cap X_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^+$  has dimension at most  $\sigma$ . The Jacobian criterion for  $X$  implies

$$X_{\text{sing}} \cap X_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^+ \subset \varphi^{-1}(T_{i_1, \dots, i_r});$$

since  $\varphi$  is a finite map and  $\dim T_{i_1, \dots, i_r} \leq \sigma$ , the conclusion follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 14.** *Let  $0 \leq r \leq \min(m, l)$ , and let  $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$  be an  $r$ -element subset of  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ . Then  $X_{i_1, \dots, i_r}$  is geometrically irreducible of dimension  $n - r$  (in particular, it is a complete intersection in  $\mathbb{P}^{n+m}$ ) and  $\dim(X_{i_1, \dots, i_r})_{\text{sing}} \leq \sigma$ . For  $l + 1 \leq r \leq m$ , we have  $\dim X_{i_1, \dots, i_r} \leq n - l - 1$ .*

*Proof.* The case  $l = 0$  is clear, so suppose  $l = n - m - \sigma - 1 > 0$ . We prove by induction on  $r$  that for any  $r$ -element subset  $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$  of  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ , the following hold in turn: (1)  $X_{i_1, \dots, i_r}$  has pure dimension  $n - r$ ; (2)  $\dim(X_{i_1, \dots, i_r})_{\text{sing}} \leq \sigma$ ; (3)  $X_{i_1, \dots, i_r}$  is geometrically irreducible. These hold for  $r = 0$ . Suppose  $1 \leq r \leq \min(m, l)$  and (1)–(3) hold for  $r - 1$ . Consider  $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$  of cardinality  $r$ . First note that  $X_{i_1, \dots, i_r} \subsetneq X_{i_1, \dots, i_{r-1}}$  since there exists a point  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n$  such that  $f_{i_r}(P) \neq 0$  while  $f_j(P) = 0$  for  $j \in \{i_1, \dots, i_{r-1}\}$ . Therefore  $X_{i_1, \dots, i_r} = X_{i_1, \dots, i_{r-1}} \cap V(s_{i_r})$  has pure dimension  $n - r$ . Next, view  $X_{i_1, \dots, i_r}$  as a complete intersection in  $\mathbb{P}^{n+m-r}$  defined by  $m$  equations, namely  $f_j(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 0$  for  $j \in \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$  and  $g_j = 0$  for  $j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ . Write down the Jacobian matrix for these equations and note that  $(X_{i_1, \dots, i_r})_{\text{sing}}$  identifies with  $X_{\text{sing}} \cap V(s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_r})$ ; therefore,  $\dim(X_{i_1, \dots, i_r})_{\text{sing}} \leq \dim X_{\text{sing}} \leq \sigma$ . Finally, suppose  $Y, Z$  are two irreducible components of  $X_{i_1, \dots, i_r}$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ . Each of them has dimension  $n - r$ . Then  $\dim(Y \cap Z) \geq n - m - r$ . But  $Y \cap Z$  is contained in  $(X_{i_1, \dots, i_r})_{\text{sing}}$ . Therefore  $n - m - r \leq \sigma$ , giving  $r \geq n - m - \sigma = l + 1$ . This contradiction establishes (3). For  $r = l + 1$ , the part of the argument concerning the dimension drop still applies, establishing the second part of the statement.  $\square$

Let  $0 \leq r \leq m$  and let  $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$  be an  $r$ -element subset of  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ . If  $r \geq l + 1$ , then  $\dim X_{i_1, \dots, i_r} \leq n - l - 1$  and  $\#X_{i_1, \dots, i_r}(\mathbb{F}_q) = O(q^{n-l-1})$  is absorbed into the error term  $O(q^\gamma)$  in (1). For  $0 \leq r \leq \min(m, l)$ , we can apply the bound of Hooley and Katz ([3], [4])

$$\#X_{i_1, \dots, i_r}(\mathbb{F}_q) = \pi_{n-r}(q) + O(q^{(n-r+\sigma+1)/2}).$$

Substituting these into the Inclusion-Exclusion expansion of (2) gives (1), since the error terms are absorbed into the error term  $O(q^\gamma)$  (note that  $(n - r + \sigma + 1)/2 \leq (n + \sigma + 1)/2 \leq \gamma$ ).  $\square$

## References

- [1] S. Asgarli, C. H. Yip, Mutual position of two smooth quadrics over finite fields, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2404.06754>.
- [2] A. Cafure, G. Matera, Improved explicit estimates on the number of solutions of equations over a finite field, *Finite Fields and Their Applications* 12 (2006) 155–185.
- [3] C. Hooley, On the number of points on a complete intersection over a finite field, *J. Number Theory* 38 (1991), 338–358.
- [4] N. M. Katz, Number of points of singular complete intersections, Appendix to [3], 355–358.
- [5] S. Lang, A. Weil, Number of points of varieties in finite fields. *Amer. J. Math.*, 76 (1954) 819–827.