

A proximal-gradient inertial algorithm with Tikhonov regularization: strong convergence to the minimal norm solution

Szilárd Csaba László *

July 16, 2024

Abstract. We investigate the strong convergence properties of a proximal-gradient inertial algorithm with two Tikhonov regularization terms in connection to the minimization problem of the sum of a convex lower semi-continuous function f and a smooth convex function g . For the appropriate setting of the parameters we provide strong convergence of the generated sequence (x_k) to the minimum norm minimizer of our objective function $f + g$. Further, we obtain fast convergence to zero of the objective function values in a generated sequence but also for the discrete velocity and the sub-gradient of the objective function. We also show that for another settings of the parameters the optimal rate of order $\mathcal{O}(k^{-2})$ for the potential energy $(f + g)(x_k) - \min(f + g)$ can be obtained.

Key Words. inertial algorithm, proximal-gradient algorithm, convex optimization, Tikhonov regularization, strong convergence, optimal rate

AMS subject classification. 34G25, 47J25, 47H05, 90C26, 90C30, 65K10

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be a real Hilbert space endowed with the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\| \cdot \| = \sqrt{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$. Consider the optimization problem

$$\inf_{x \in \mathcal{H}} f(x) + g(x) \quad (\text{P})$$

where $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is a convex lower semi-continuous and $g : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex, continuously Fréchet differentiable function, with L -Lipschitz continuous gradient. We assume that the set of minimizers of $f + g$, that is $\operatorname{argmin}(f + g)$, is nonempty. In order to introduce a proximal-gradient algorithm associated to the optimization problem (P) consider a sequence $(t_k)_{k \geq 0}$ with $t_0 = 1$ and that for $k \geq 1$ satisfies the condition

$$t_{k-1} < t_k < \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4t_{k-1}^2}}{2}. \quad (\text{T})$$

Let $(t_k)_{k \geq 0}$ a sequence that satisfies (T) and consider the following inertial proximal-gradient algorithm. Let $x_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ and for all $k \geq 1$ set

$$\begin{cases} y_k = x_k + \frac{(t_k-1)(t_{k-1}-1)}{t_{k-1}^2} (x_k - x_{k-1}) - \frac{-t_k^2 + t_k + t_{k-1}^2}{t_{k-1}^2 t_k} x_k \\ x_{k+1} = \operatorname{prox}_{sf}(y_k - s \nabla g(y_k) - s \epsilon_k y_k). \end{cases} \quad (\text{TIREPROG})$$

Here $\operatorname{prox}_{sf} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, $\operatorname{prox}_{sf}(x) = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in \mathcal{H}} \{f(y) + \frac{1}{2s} \|y - x\|^2\}$, denotes the proximal point operator of the convex function sf . We assume that $s \in (0, \frac{1}{L})$ and that $(\epsilon_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is a non-increasing positive

*Technical University of Cluj-Napoca, Department of Mathematics, Memorandumului 28, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, e-mail: szilard.laszlo@math.utcluj.ro. This work was supported by a grant of the Ministry of Research, Innovation and Digitization, CNCS-UEFISCDI, project number PN-III-P1-1.1-TE-2021-0138, within PNCDI III.

sequence that satisfies $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \epsilon_k = 0$, hence the term $s\epsilon_k y_k$ in (TIREPROG) is a Tikhonov regularization term. A comprehensive analysis of condition (T) will be carried out in section 1.2. We mention however, that according to the definition of t_k , the inertial parameter $\frac{(t_k-1)(t_{k-1}-1)}{t_{k-1}^2}$ is non-negative and the parameter $\frac{-t_k^2+t_k+t_{k-1}^2}{t_{k-1}^2 t_k}$, (which will play the role of another Tikhonov regularization parameter in algorithm (TIREPROG)), is positive for all $k \geq 1$.

1.1 Motivation and related works

The condition (T) imposed on the sequence (t_k) is inspired by Nesterov's convex gradient method [25] associated to the optimization problem $\inf_{x \in \mathcal{H}} g(x)$, where the objective function g is convex, smooth and has an L -Lipschitz continuous gradient, that is: $x_0 = x_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ and for all $k \geq 1$

$$\begin{cases} y_k = x_k + \frac{t_k-1}{t_{k+1}}(x_k - x_{k-1}) \\ x_{k+1} = y_k - s\nabla g(y_k). \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

According to [25], the sequences generated by (1) satisfy $g(x_k) - \min g = \mathcal{O}(k^{-2})$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, provided the stepsize $s \in (0, \frac{1}{L}]$ and the sequence (t_k) is defined via the recursion $t_1 = 1$, $t_{k+1} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4t_k^2}}{2}$, for all $k \geq 1$. We underline that the rate $g(x_k) - \min g = \mathcal{O}(k^{-2})$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ obtained by Nesterov is optimal in the class of convex smooth functions with Lipschitz continuous gradient. However the convergence of the sequences generated by (1), (at least in the weak topology of \mathcal{H}), is still an open problem.

The results of Nesterov were extended by Beck and Teboulle to the optimization problem (P), (see [13]), where the following proximal-gradient inertial algorithm, named (FISTA), was considered: $x_0 = x_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ and for all $k \geq 1$

$$\begin{cases} y_k = x_k + \frac{t_k-1}{t_{k+1}}(x_k - x_{k-1}) \\ x_{k+1} = \text{prox}_{sf}(y_k - s\nabla g(y_k)). \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Also in (2) one has $s \in (0, \frac{1}{L}]$ and the sequence (t_k) satisfies the recursion $t_1 = 1$, $t_{k+1} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4t_k^2}}{2}$, for all $k \geq 1$. According to [13], for the sequence generated by (2) the rate $(f + g)(x_k) - \min(f + g) = \mathcal{O}(k^{-2})$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ holds. Also in this case, the convergence of the sequences generated by (2) is still a widely open problem.

Fortunately, in order to obtain the optimal rate of order $\mathcal{O}(k^{-2})$ in (1) or (2) it is enough to assume that the sequence (t_k) satisfies the condition (T). More precisely, if one consider $t_k = r(k-1)$, $r \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then (t_k) satisfies condition (T), i.e., one has $t_k < t_{k+1} < \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4t_k^2}}{2}$, therefore in the literature t_k is usually taken in the form $t_k = \frac{k-1}{\alpha-1}$, $\alpha \geq 3$. In that case algorithm (2) becomes: $x_0 = x_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ and for $k \geq 1$

$$x_{k+1} = \text{prox}_{sf} \left(x_k + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{k}\right) (x_k - x_{k-1}) - s\nabla g \left(x_k + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{k}\right) (x_k - x_{k-1}) \right) \right). \quad (3)$$

According to [12, 17] the sequences generated by (3) converge in the weak topology of \mathcal{H} to a minimizer of $f + g$ and $(f + g)(x_k) - \min(f + g) = o(k^{-2})$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, provided $\alpha > 3$. Note that for $\alpha = 3$ one has only the rate $(f + g)(x_k) - \min(f + g) = \mathcal{O}(k^{-2})$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ and, also in this case it is not known whether the generated sequences converge.

In [5] the authors considered a FISTA type algorithm with a Tikhonov regularization term, that is,

$$\begin{cases} y_k = x_k + \frac{t_k-1}{t_{k+1}}(x_k - x_{k-1}) \\ x_{k+1} = \text{prox}_{sf}(y_k - s\nabla g(y_k) - s\epsilon_k y_k). \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Here (ϵ_k) is a non-increasing positive sequence that goes to zero. In case (t_k) satisfies condition (T), (even with equality on the right hand side), then according to [5] the ergodic strong convergence result

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \left\| \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\epsilon_i}{t_{i+1}} x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\epsilon_i}{t_{i+1}}} - x^* \right\| = 0$$

holds, where x^* is the minimum norm minimizer of $f + g$. Fast convergence of the discrete velocity $\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|$ is also obtained, but no convergence result for the potential energy $(f + g)(x_k) - \min(f + g)$ is provided. According to [5], obtaining both the fast convergence of the potential energy $(f + g)(x_k) - \min(f + g)$ and the convergence of the generated sequences toward the minimum norm solution is a difficult challenge, because these two requirements are somewhat incompatible.

In this paper we answer positively to this challenge, by obtaining even something more: both "full" strong convergence of the generated sequences to the minimum norm solution, that is, $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_k - x^*\| = 0$, and fast rates for the decay $(f + g)(x_k) - \min(f + g)$. Therefore, in this paper we associated to the optimization problem (P) the algorithm (TIREPROG), which is a proximal-gradient algorithm with two Tikhonov regularization terms, and our goal is to provide conditions such that the sequences generated by this algorithm converge, in the strong topology of \mathcal{H} , to the minimum norm minimizer of the objective function $f + g$. At the same time we aim to preserve (as much as possible) the rate $\mathcal{O}(k^{-2})$ for the potential energy $(f + g)(x_k) - \min(f + g)$. That is the reason why, inspired from [23] and [21], we considered in our inertial proximal-gradient algorithm two Tikhonov regularization terms. Though considering two Tikhonov regularization terms increase the complexity of our algorithm, the use of both Tikhonov regularization terms is essential in order to obtain strong convergence of the generated sequences to the minimum norm minimizer of the objective function, as some numerical experiments show. Indeed, as we mentioned before, the term $s\epsilon_k y_k$ is a Tikhonov regularization term since ϵ_k is a nonincreasing positive sequence that goes to 0 as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. At the same time, under some extra assumptions on (t_k) that will assure strong convergence of the generated sequences, the term $\frac{-t_k^2 + t_k + t_{k-1}^2}{t_{k-1}^2 t_k} x_k$ can be considered as a Tikhonov regularization term since $\frac{-t_k^2 + t_k + t_{k-1}^2}{t_{k-1}^2 t_k}$ is a positive sequence that goes to 0 as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, see Remark 5.

We emphasize that the introduction of the Tikhonov regularization terms in the classical proximal-gradient algorithm will assure the strong convergence of the generated sequences to the element of minimal norm from $\operatorname{argmin}(f + g)$, (for similar results see [1, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 14, 16, 18, 19, 22, 23, 27, 28]). Our analysis reveals that the inertial parameter and the Tikhonov regularization parameters, (actually t_k and ϵ_k), are strongly correlated. This fact is in concordance with some recent results from the literature concerning the strong convergence of the trajectories of some continuous second order dynamical systems to a minimal norm minimizer of a convex function or to the minimal norm zero of a maximally monotone operator [1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 11, 14, 15, 16, 20, 22, 24]. Due to this correlation, the inertial parameter in (TIREPROG) is $\frac{(t_k-1)(t_{k-1}-1)}{t_{k-1}^2}$ which is quite different from the inertial parameter used in (2), that is $\frac{t_k-1}{t_{k+1}}$, however in order to obtain the desired rates, both inertial parameters must go to 1 as $k \rightarrow +\infty$.

We underline that the forms of the inertial parameter $\frac{(t_k-1)(t_{k-1}-1)}{t_{k-1}^2}$ and the Tikhonov regularization parameter $\frac{-t_k^2 + t_k + t_{k-1}^2}{t_{k-1}^2 t_k}$ are crucial in order to obtain our strong convergence result.

In [11] the following inertial-proximal algorithm was considered in connection to the smooth optimization problem $\inf_{x \in \mathcal{H}} f(x)$: $x_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ and for all $k \geq 1$ set

$$x_{k+1} = \operatorname{prox}_f \left(x_k + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{k} \right) (x_k - x_{k-1}) - \frac{c}{k^2} x_k \right). \quad (5)$$

where $\alpha > 3$ and $c > 0$. To our best knowledge this is the first inertial algorithm in the literature for which both the strong convergence result $\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_k - x^*\| = 0$ for the generated sequences and

fast convergence of the potential energy $f(x_k) - \min f$ and discrete velocity $\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|$ were obtained. Note that (5) can be obtained via implicit discretization from the following dynamical system studied in [11, 7].

$$\ddot{x}(t) + \frac{\alpha}{t}\dot{x}(t) + \nabla f(x(t)) + \epsilon(t)x(t) = 0, \quad x(t_0) = u_0, \quad \dot{x}(t_0) = v_0, \quad (6)$$

where $t \geq t_0 > 0, \alpha \geq 3, (u_0, v_0) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ and the Tikhonov regularization parameter $\epsilon(t)$ is a nonincreasing positive function satisfying $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \epsilon(t) = 0$. However the rates are not entirely preserved, since in (5) one obtains only the rates $f(x_k) - \min f = o(k^{-2s})$ and $\|x_k - x_{k-1}\| = o(k^{-s})$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, where $s \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, meanwhile according to [11], related to the dynamical system (6) the rates $f(x(t)) - \min f = \mathcal{O}(t^{-2})$ and $\|\dot{x}(t)\| = o(t^{-1})$ are obtained, where $x(t)$ is a trajectory generated by the dynamical system (6).

In order to show the strong convergence result $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_k - x^*\| = 0$ but also the correlation among the stepsize, inertial parameter and Tikhonov regularization parameter, in [23] the author assumed that the objective function f in $\inf_{x \in \mathcal{H}} f(x)$ is proper, convex and lower semicontinuous only and associated to this optimization problem the following inertial-proximal algorithm: $x_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ and for all $k \geq 1$ set

$$x_{k+1} = \text{prox}_{\lambda_k f} \left(x_k + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^q} \right) (x_k - x_{k-1}) - \frac{c}{k^p} x_k \right), \quad (7)$$

where $\alpha, q, c, p > 0$ and (λ_k) is a sequence of positive real numbers. According to [23], in case the stepsize $\lambda_k \equiv 1$ and $0 < q < 1, 1 < p < q + 1$ then $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_k - x^*\| = 0$, where x^* is the minimal norm element from $\text{argmin} f$. Further, $\|x_k - x_{k-1}\| \in \mathcal{O}(k^{-\frac{q+1}{2}})$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ and $f(x_k) - \min f = \mathcal{O}(k^{-p})$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. If $q + 1 < p \leq 2$ and for $p = 2$ one has $c > q(1 - q)$, then (x_k) converges weakly to a minimizer of f . Further, $f(x_k) - \min f = \mathcal{O}(k^{-q-1})$ and $\|x_k - x_{k-1}\| = \mathcal{O}(k^{-\frac{q+1}{2}})$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$.

Concerning the case of inertial gradient type algorithms associated to an optimization problem with smooth objective function with L-Lipschitz continuous gradient, in [21] the authors considered a Nesterov type algorithm with two Tikhonov regularization terms, that is, for the starting points $x_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ and for all $k \geq 1$ set

$$\begin{cases} y_k = x_k + b_{k-1}(x_k - x_{k-1}) - c_k x_k \\ x_{k+1} = y_k - s \nabla f(y_k) - s \epsilon_k y_k. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

We emphasize that in (8) the stepsize satisfies $0 < s < \frac{1}{L}$ and ϵ_k is a nonincreasing positive sequence that goes to 0 just as in (TIREPROG), however, the inertial parameter b_{k-1} and the Tikhonov regularization parameter c_k depend by ϵ_k and have complex forms. In [21], strong convergence of the generated sequences (x_k) and (y_k) to the minimum norm minimizer of f has been obtained, further fast convergence rates for the potential energies $f(x_k) - \min f, f(y_k) - \min f$, of order $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon_k)$, fast rates for the discrete velocity and value of the gradient in a generated sequence of order $o(\sqrt{\epsilon_k})$ were provided.

The scope of this paper is to obtain similar results for the sequences generated by (TIREPROG), that is, beside obtaining strong convergence to the minimum norm minimizer of our objective function $f + g$, we ought to provide fast convergence rates for the potential energy $(f + g)(x_k) - \min(f + g)$ and discrete velocity $\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|$.

1.2 On condition (T) and a model result

Let us discuss about condition (T). Since $t_0 = 1$ and $t_{k-1} < t_k$ for all $k \geq 1$ we conclude that the sequence $(t_k)_{k \geq 0}$ is increasing and $t_k > 1$ for all $k \geq 1$. Hence, the sequence $(t_k)_{k \geq 0}$ has a limit greater than 1. Note that if we would allow equality in the right hand side of (T), that is, t_k is defined by the recursion $t_k = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4t_{k-1}^2}}{2}$ then the limit of t_k is $+\infty$ and that is the case of Nesterov's algorithm or

FISTA. However, in order to define (TIREPROG) we need the parameter $\frac{-t_k^2+t_k+t_{k-1}^2}{t_{k-1}^2 t_k} \neq 0$, hence we cannot allow the equality in (T).

Nevertheless, if we assume that beside (T) one has $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} t_k = +\infty$ then it is obvious that $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t_k}{t_{k-1}} = 1$, since (T) provides

$$1 < \frac{t_k}{t_{k-1}} < \frac{1}{2t_{k-1}} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{4t_{k-1}^2}}.$$

Consequently, the inertial parameter in algorithm (TIREPROG), i.e. $\frac{(t_{k-1}-1)(t_k-1)}{t_{k-1}^2}$, goes to 1 as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Further, the parameter $\frac{-t_k^2+t_k+t_{k-1}^2}{t_{k-1}^2 t_k}$ goes to 0 as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, hence indeed in this case $\frac{-t_k^2+t_k+t_{k-1}^2}{t_{k-1}^2 t_k} x_k$ is a Tikhonov regularization term.

From a practical point of view, the most important sequences that satisfy (T) are of the form $t_k = (ak+1)^q$, $k \geq 0$ where we assume that $\frac{1}{2} \leq q \leq 1$ and $0 < a \leq \frac{1}{2q}$. Indeed, in this case $t_0 = 1$ and $t_k > 1$ for all $k \geq 1$. Further, since the function $\phi(x) = (ax+1)^q$ is increasing on the interval $[0, +\infty)$, it is obvious that $t_k > t_{k-1}$ for all $k \geq 1$.

Let us show that $t_k < \frac{1+\sqrt{1+4t_{k-1}^2}}{2}$ for all $k \geq 1$, that is

$$-(ak+1)^{2q} + (ak+1)^q + (ak+1-a)^{2q} > 0 \text{ for all } k \geq 1.$$

If $q = \frac{1}{2}$ then the claim follows directly. Otherwise, consider the function $\phi(x) = (ax+1)^{2q}$, which, since $q < \frac{1}{2}$, is strictly convex on the interval $[0, +\infty)$. For $x \geq 1$, by using the gradient inequality, one has $\phi(x-1) - \phi(x) > -\phi'(x)$ or equivalently, $(ax+1-a)^{2q} - (ax-a)^{2q} > -2qa(ax+1)^{2q-1}$. Further, since $a \leq \frac{1}{2q}$ and $q \leq 1$ one has $(ax+1)^q \geq 2qa(ax+1)^{2q-1}$, hence $(ax+1-a)^{2q} - (ax-a)^{2q} + (ax+1)^q > 0$ and the claim follows.

So take $t_k = (ak+1)^q$, $k \geq 0$ with $\frac{1}{2} \leq q \leq 1$ and $0 < a \leq \frac{1}{2q}$ and let $\epsilon_k = \frac{c}{k^p}$, $c, p > 0$. Then in this particular case (TIREPROG) has the form: $x_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ and for all $k \geq 1$

$$\begin{cases} y_k = x_k + \frac{((ak+1)^q-1)((ak+1-a)^q-1)}{(ak+1-a)^{2q}}(x_k - x_{k-1}) - \frac{-(ak+1)^{2q}+(ak+1)^q+(ak+1-a)^{2q}}{(ak+1-a)^{2q}(ak+1)^q}x_k \\ x_{k+1} = \text{prox}_{sf}(y_k - s\nabla g(y_k) - s\frac{c}{k^p}y_k). \end{cases} \quad (\text{TIREPROG-p})$$

As a model result we present the strong convergence results of the generated sequences to the minimum norm minimizer of $f+g$ and fast rates concerning the function values in the generated sequences, obtained for this particular choice of the parameters.

Theorem 1. For $s < \frac{1}{L}$ let $(x_k)_{k \geq 0}, (y_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be the sequences generated by Algorithm (TIREPROG-p).

(i) If $\frac{1}{2} \leq q < 1$ and $p < 2q$ then (x_k) converges strongly to x^* , where $\{x^*\} = \text{pr}_{\text{argmin}(f+g)}(0)$ is the minimum norm minimizer of our objective function $f+g$. Moreover $\|x_k - y_k\| = o(\sqrt{\epsilon_k})$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, hence (y_k) also converges strongly to x^* .

Further, the following estimates hold.

$(f+g)(x_k) - \min(f+g) = \mathcal{O}(k^{-p})$, as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, $\|x_k - x_{k-1}\| = o(k^{-\frac{p}{2}})$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, and there exists $u_k \in \partial f(x_k) + \nabla g(x_k)$ such that $\|u_k\| = o(k^{-\frac{p}{2}})$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$.

(ii) If $\frac{1}{2} \leq q \leq 1$ and $p \geq 2q$ and if $a = \frac{1}{2}$, $q = 1$ then $p > 2$, then $(f+g)(x_k) - \min(f+g) = \mathcal{O}(k^{-2q})$, as $k \rightarrow +\infty$.

Remark 2. Note that we cannot allow $q = 1$ or $p \geq 2q$ in Theorem 1 (i). That is the reason why, in concordance to the previously presented results concerning continuous dynamical systems and algorithms, neither Algorithm (TIREPROG-p) will provide the rate $(f + g)(x_k) - \min(f + g) = O(k^{-2})$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ when we obtain the strong convergence of the generated sequences. However, when p is very close to 2, then the convergence rate of the values $(f + g)(x_k) - \min(f + g) = O(k^{-p})$, as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, is practically as good as the rate $(f + g)(x_k) - \min(f + g) = O(k^{-2})$, as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, obtained for Nesterov's algorithm or FISTA, and in contrast to the latter we obtain strong convergence of the generated sequences to the minimum norm minimizer of our objective function.

Nevertheless, according to (ii) we are able to obtain the rate $(f + g)(x_k) - \min(f + g) = O(k^{-2})$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ in case $q = 1$ and $p \geq 2$. Unfortunately in this case concerning the iterates of (TIREPROG-p) no convergence results are covered by our analysis.

1.3 The outline of the paper

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we prove the main result of the paper. We obtain strong convergence of the sequences generated by Algorithm (TIREPROG) and also fast convergence of the potential energy and discrete velocity. We give a special attention to the case when the optimal rate of order $O(k^{-2})$ for the potential energy $(f + g)(x_k) - \min(f + g)$ can be obtained. In section 3 we consider the parameters in a simple form and discuss the conditions these parameters must satisfy in order to obtain strong convergence of the generated sequences to the minimum norm minimizer. We also discuss the correlation between the parameters t_k and ϵ_k . Further, in section 4 via some numerical experiments we show that Algorithm (TIREPROG) indeed assures the convergence of the generated sequences to a minimal norm solution and has a very good behavior compared to some well known algorithms from the literature. We also show that the use of two Tikhonov regularization terms in our algorithm is essential for obtaining the strong convergence of the generated sequences to the minimum norm minimizer of the objective function. Finally, we conclude our paper and we outline some perspectives.

2 Strong convergence and fast rates

Let us reformulate (TIREPROG) in a more convenient form. To this purpose, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ consider the strongly convex function $g_k(x) = g(x) + \frac{\epsilon_k}{2}\|x\|^2$. Then, $\nabla g_k(x) = \nabla g(x) + \epsilon_k x$, hence (TIREPROG) can equivalently be written as: $x_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ and for all $k \geq 1$

$$\begin{cases} y_k = x_k + \frac{(t_k-1)(t_{k-1}-1)}{t_{k-1}^2}(x_k - x_{k-1}) - \frac{-t_k^2+t_k+t_{k-1}^2}{t_{k-1}^2 t_k} x_k \\ x_{k+1} = \text{prox}_{sf}(y_k - s\nabla g_k(y_k)). \end{cases} \quad (\text{TIREPROG-str})$$

Note that ∇g_k is also Lipschitz continuous, with Lipschitz constant $L_k = L + \epsilon_k$ and taking into account that ϵ_k is non-increasing and $\epsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ we have that for all $0 < s < \frac{1}{L}$ there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\epsilon_k \leq \frac{1}{s} - L$ for all $k \geq k_0$, hence the stepsize s satisfies the following property.

$$(S) \quad s \in \left(0, \frac{1}{L + \epsilon_{k_0}}\right] \subseteq \left(0, \frac{1}{L + \epsilon_k}\right] \subset \left(0, \frac{1}{L}\right), \text{ for all } k \geq k_0.$$

Remark 3. If we apply Lemma 13, (see Appendix), to the function $f + g_k$ and stepsize $s \in \left(0, \frac{1}{\epsilon_k + L}\right]$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} (f + g_k)(\text{prox}_{sf}(y - s\nabla g_k(y))) &\leq (f + g_k)(x) - \frac{1}{s} \langle y - \text{prox}_{sf}(y - s\nabla g_k(y)), x - y \rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2s} \|\text{prox}_{sf}(y - s\nabla g_k(y)) - y\|^2 - \frac{s}{2} \|\nabla g_k(y) - \nabla g_k(x)\|^2, \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The following general result holds.

Theorem 4. For a sequence $(t_k)_{k \geq 0}$ satisfying (T) and the stepsize $s < \frac{1}{L}$ let $(x_k)_{k \geq 0}, (y_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be the sequences generated by Algorithm (TIREPROG).

Let k_0 such that $s \in \left(0, \frac{1}{L + \epsilon_{k_0}}\right]$. Assume that $\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{-t_k^2 + t_k + t_{k-1}^2}{t_k} > 0$ and the sequence $(t_k^2 \epsilon_k)_{k \geq k_0 - 1}$ is increasing. Assume further, that $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} t_k^2 \epsilon_k = +\infty$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t_k(\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1})}{\epsilon_k} = 0$.

Then, (x_k) converges strongly to x^* , where $\{x^*\} = \text{pr}_{\text{argmin}(f+g)}(0)$ is the minimum norm minimizer of our objective function $f + g$. Moreover $\|x_k - y_k\| = o(\sqrt{\epsilon_k})$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, hence (y_k) also converges strongly to x^* .

Further, the following estimates hold.

$F_k(x_k) - F_k(\bar{x}_k) = o(\epsilon_k)$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, where $F_k(x) = (f + g_k)(x) = f(x) + g(x) + \frac{\epsilon_k}{2}\|x\|^2$ and \bar{x}_k is the unique minimizer of F_k .

$$(f + g)(x_k) - \min(f + g) = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon_k), \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$\|x_k - x_{k-1}\| = o(\sqrt{\epsilon_k}) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty,$$

and there exists $u_k \in \partial f(x_k) + \nabla g(x_k)$ such that

$$\|u_k\| = o(\sqrt{\epsilon_k}) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Proof. I. Lyapunov analysis Assume that $k \geq k_0$. Consider the energy functional

$$E_k = 2st_{k-1}^2(F_k(x_k) - F_k(\bar{x}_k)) + \|\eta_k - x^*\|^2, \quad k \geq k_0,$$

where $\eta_k = \frac{t_{k-1}^2}{t_k-1}y_k - \frac{t_{k-1}^2}{t_k}x_k$, $k \geq 1$. We show that $E_k = o(t_k^2 q_k)$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$.

Indeed, since $s \in \left(0, \frac{1}{L + \epsilon_{k_0}}\right]$, we get that s satisfies (S), hence (9) can be used for every $k \geq k_0$. We take $y = y_k$, $x = x_k$ in (9) and we get

$$(f + g_k)(x_{k+1}) - (f + g_k)(x_k) \leq -\frac{1}{s} \langle y_k - x_{k+1}, x_k - y_k \rangle - \frac{1}{2s} \|x_{k+1} - y_k\|^2 - \frac{s}{2} \|\nabla g_k(y_k) - \nabla g_k(x_k)\|^2. \quad (10)$$

Now we take $y = y_k$, $x = x^*$ in (9) and we get

$$(f + g_k)(x_{k+1}) - (f + g_k)(x^*) \leq -\frac{1}{s} \langle y_k - x_{k+1}, x^* - y_k \rangle - \frac{1}{2s} \|x_{k+1} - y_k\|^2 - \frac{s}{2} \|\nabla g_k(y_k) - \nabla g_k(x^*)\|^2. \quad (11)$$

Consider the non-negative sequences $(p_k)_{k \geq 0}, (q_k)_{k \geq 0}$ defined by $p_k = 2s(t_k^2 - t_k)$ and $q_k = 2st_k$. We multiply (10) with p_k and (11) with q_k and add to get

$$\begin{aligned} (p_k + q_k)F_k(x_{k+1}) - p_k F_k(x_k) - q_k F_k(x^*) &\leq -\frac{s}{2} p_k \|\nabla g_k(y_k) - \nabla g_k(x_k)\|^2 - \frac{s}{2} q_k \|\nabla g_k(y_k) - \nabla g_k(x^*)\|^2 \\ &+ \left\langle \frac{1}{s} (y_k - x_{k+1}), (p_k + q_k)y_k - p_k x_k - q_k x^* - \frac{1}{2} (p_k + q_k)(y_k - x_{k+1}) \right\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

for all $k \geq k_0$. In what follows we deal with the left-hand side of (12). By taking $x = x_{k+1}$, $y = x_k$, $z = x^*$ and $z_k^* = \epsilon_k x^* \in \partial F_k(x^*)$ in Lemma 14 we get

$$\begin{aligned} (p_k + q_k)F_k(x_{k+1}) - p_k F_k(x_k) - q_k F_k(x^*) &\geq (p_k + q_k)(F_{k+1}(x_{k+1}) - F_{k+1}(\bar{x}_{k+1})) \\ &- (p_{k-1} + q_{k-1})(F_k(x_k) - F_k(\bar{x}_k)) + (p_{k-1} + q_{k-1} - p_k)(F_k(x_k) - F_k(\bar{x}_k)) \\ &+ (p_k + q_k) \frac{\epsilon_{k+1} - \epsilon_k}{2} \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2 + q_k \epsilon_k \langle x^*, \bar{x}_{k+1} - x^* \rangle + p_k \frac{\epsilon_k}{2} \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2 + q_k \frac{\epsilon_k}{2} \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - x^*\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

for all $k \geq k_0$.

Combining (12) and (13) and taking into account the form of p_k and q_k we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2st_k^2(F_{k+1}(x_{k+1}) - F_{k+1}(\bar{x}_{k+1})) - 2st_{k-1}^2(F_k(x_k) - F_k(\bar{x}_k)) + 2s(t_{k-1}^2 - t_k^2 + t_k)(F_k(x_k) - F_k(\bar{x}_k)) \leq \\
& \langle y_k - x_{k+1}, 2t_k^2 y_k - 2(t_k^2 - t_k)x_k - 2t_k x^* - t_k^2(y_k - x_{k+1}) \rangle - s^2(t_k^2 - t_k) \|\nabla g_k(y_k) - \nabla g_k(x_k)\|^2 \\
& - s^2 t_k \|\nabla g_k(y_k) - \nabla g_k(x^*)\|^2 - s(t_k^2 - t_k) \epsilon_k \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2 - st_k \epsilon_k \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - x^*\|^2 \\
& + st_k^2(\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1}) \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2 + 2st_k \epsilon_k \langle x^*, x^* - \bar{x}_{k+1} \rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

for all $k \geq k_0$.

Now, according to Lemma 17 one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle y_k - x_{k+1}, 2t_k^2 y_k - 2(t_k^2 - t_k)x_k - 2t_k x^* - t_k^2(y_k - x_{k+1}) \rangle = \|\eta_k - x^*\|^2 - \|\eta_{k+1} - x^*\|^2 \\
& - \frac{-t_k^2 + t_k + t_{k-1}^2}{t_{k-1}^2} \|\eta_k - x^*\|^2 - \frac{t_k^2 - t_k}{t_{k-1}^2} \cdot \frac{-t_k^2 + t_k + t_{k-1}^2}{t_{k-1}^2} \|\eta_k\|^2 + \frac{-t_k^2 + t_k + t_{k-1}^2}{t_{k-1}^2} \|x^*\|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

for all $k \geq k_0$. By injecting (15) in (14) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& (2st_k^2(F_{k+1}(x_{k+1}) - F_{k+1}(\bar{x}_{k+1})) + \|\eta_{k+1} - x^*\|^2) - (2st_{k-1}^2(F_k(x_k) - F_k(\bar{x}_k)) + \|\eta_k - x^*\|^2) \\
& + \frac{t_{k-1}^2 - t_k^2 + t_k}{t_{k-1}^2} (2st_{k-1}^2(F_k(x_k) - F_k(\bar{x}_k)) + \|\eta_k - x^*\|^2) \leq \\
& - s^2(t_k^2 - t_k) \|\nabla g_k(y_k) - \nabla g_k(x_k)\|^2 - s^2 t_k \|\nabla g_k(y_k) - \nabla g_k(x^*)\|^2 \\
& - s(t_k^2 - t_k) \epsilon_k \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2 - st_k \epsilon_k \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - x^*\|^2 - \frac{t_k^2 - t_k}{t_{k-1}^2} \cdot \frac{-t_k^2 + t_k + t_{k-1}^2}{t_{k-1}^2} \|\eta_k\|^2 \\
& + \frac{-t_k^2 + t_k + t_{k-1}^2}{t_{k-1}^2} \|x^*\|^2 + st_k^2(\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1}) \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2 + 2st_k \epsilon_k \langle x^*, x^* - \bar{x}_{k+1} \rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

for all $k \geq k_0$.

By neglecting the non-positive terms $-s^2(t_k^2 - t_k) \|\nabla g_k(y_k) - \nabla g_k(x_k)\|^2$, $-s^2 t_k \|\nabla g_k(y_k) - \nabla g_k(x^*)\|^2$, $-s(t_k^2 - t_k) \epsilon_k \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2$ and $-\frac{t_k^2 - t_k}{t_{k-1}^2} \cdot \frac{-t_k^2 + t_k + t_{k-1}^2}{t_{k-1}^2} \|\eta_k\|^2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& (2st_k^2(F_{k+1}(x_{k+1}) - F_{k+1}(\bar{x}_{k+1})) + \|\eta_{k+1} - x^*\|^2) - (2st_{k-1}^2(F_k(x_k) - F_k(\bar{x}_k)) + \|\eta_k - x^*\|^2) \\
& + \frac{-t_k^2 + t_k + t_{k-1}^2}{t_{k-1}^2} (2st_{k-1}^2(F_k(x_k) - F_k(\bar{x}_k)) + \|\eta_k - x^*\|^2) \leq \\
& - st_k \epsilon_k \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - x^*\|^2 + \frac{-t_k^2 + t_k + t_{k-1}^2}{t_{k-1}^2} \|x^*\|^2 + st_k^2(\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1}) \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2 + 2st_k \epsilon_k \langle x^*, x^* - \bar{x}_{k+1} \rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

for all $k \geq k_0$.

Now, by denoting $\alpha_k = \frac{-t_k^2 + t_k + t_{k-1}^2}{t_{k-1}^2}$ as in the proof of Lemma 17, in terms of the energy functional $E_k = 2st_{k-1}^2(F_k(x_k) - F_k(\bar{x}_k)) + \|\eta_k - x^*\|^2$, (17) reads as

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{k+1} - E_k + \alpha_k E_k & \leq -st_k \epsilon_k \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - x^*\|^2 + \alpha_k \|x^*\|^2 + st_k^2(\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1}) \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2 + 2st_k \epsilon_k \langle x^*, x^* - \bar{x}_{k+1} \rangle \\
& = \alpha_k \|x^*\|^2 + st_k^2(\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1}) \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2 + st_k \epsilon_k (\|x^*\|^2 - \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

for all $k \geq k_0$.

II. The rate of E_k . Consider now the sequence $\pi_k = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=k_0}^k (1-\alpha_i)}$ and observe that since $\alpha_i < 1$ for all $i \geq k_0$ we have that $(\pi_k)_{k \geq k_0}$ is an increasing sequence.

Let us multiply (18) with π_k , $k > k_0$ to get

$$\pi_k E_{k+1} - \pi_{k-1} E_k \leq \pi_k \alpha_k \|x^*\|^2 + st_k^2 (\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1}) \pi_k \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2 + st_k \epsilon_k \pi_k (\|x^*\|^2 - \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2), \text{ for all } k > k_0. \quad (19)$$

By summing up (19) from $k = k_0 + 1$ to $k = n > k_0 + 1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_n E_{n+1} &\leq \sum_{k=k_0+1}^n \pi_k \alpha_k \|x^*\|^2 + \sum_{k=k_0+1}^n st_k^2 (\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1}) \pi_k \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2 + \sum_{k=k_0+1}^n st_k \epsilon_k \pi_k (\|x^*\|^2 - \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2) \quad (20) \\ &+ \pi_{k_0} E_{k_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\pi_k \alpha_k = \pi_k - \pi_{k-1}$, hence $\sum_{k=k_0+1}^n \pi_k \alpha_k \|x^*\|^2 = \pi_n \|x^*\|^2 - \pi_{k_0} \|x^*\|^2$. Consequently, (20) is equivalent to

$$E_{n+1} \leq \|x^*\|^2 + \frac{\sum_{k=k_0+1}^n st_k^2 (\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1}) \pi_k \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2}{\pi_n} + \frac{\sum_{k=k_0+1}^n st_k \epsilon_k \pi_k (\|x^*\|^2 - \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2)}{\pi_n} + \frac{C}{\pi_n}, \quad (21)$$

where $C = \pi_{k_0} E_{k_0} - \pi_{k_0} \|x^*\|^2$.

Next we show that the right hand side of (21) is of order $o(t_n^2 \epsilon_n)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Indeed, according to the hypotheses $t_n^2 \epsilon_n \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and we know that (π_n) is increasing, hence $\frac{\pi_n \|x^*\|^2 + C}{\pi_n} = o(t_n^2 \epsilon_n)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Further, since according to the hypotheses $\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t_{n-1}^2}{t_n} \alpha_n = \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{-t_n^2 + t_n + t_{n-1}^2}{t_n} > 0$, the sequence $(t_n^2 \epsilon_n \pi_n)$ is increasing and $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} t_n^2 \epsilon_n \pi_n = +\infty$, by using the fact that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (\|x^*\|^2 - \|\bar{x}_{n+1}\|^2) = 0$, via the Cesàro-Stolz theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=k_0+1}^n st_k \epsilon_k \pi_k (\|x^*\|^2 - \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2)}{t_n^2 \epsilon_n \pi_n} &= s \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t_n \epsilon_n \pi_n (\|x^*\|^2 - \|\bar{x}_{n+1}\|^2)}{t_n^2 \epsilon_n \pi_n - t_{n-1}^2 \epsilon_{n-1} \pi_{n-1}} \\ &= s \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\|x^*\|^2 - \|\bar{x}_{n+1}\|^2}{t_n - \frac{t_{n-1}^2 \epsilon_{n-1} \pi_{n-1} (1-\alpha_n)}{t_n \epsilon_n \pi_n}} = s \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\|x^*\|^2 - \|\bar{x}_{n+1}\|^2}{\frac{t_n^2 \epsilon_n - t_{n-1}^2 \epsilon_{n-1}}{t_n \epsilon_n} + \alpha_n \frac{t_{n-1}^2 \epsilon_{n-1}}{t_n \epsilon_n}} \leq s \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\|x^*\|^2 - \|\bar{x}_{n+1}\|^2}{\alpha_n \frac{t_{n-1}^2 \epsilon_{n-1}}{t_n \epsilon_n}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, according to the hypotheses $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t_n (\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1})}{\epsilon_n} = 0$, hence for some $M > 0$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=k_0+1}^n st_k^2 (\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1}) \pi_k \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2}{t_n^2 \epsilon_n \pi_n} &= s \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t_n^2 (\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1}) \pi_n \|\bar{x}_{n+1}\|^2}{t_n^2 \epsilon_n \pi_n - t_{n-1}^2 \epsilon_{n-1} \pi_{n-1}} \\ &= s \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1}) \|\bar{x}_{n+1}\|^2}{\epsilon_n - \frac{t_{n-1}^2 \epsilon_{n-1} \pi_{n-1}}{t_n^2 \pi_n}} = s \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1}) \|\bar{x}_{n+1}\|^2}{\frac{t_n^2 \epsilon_n - t_{n-1}^2 \epsilon_{n-1}}{t_n^2} + \alpha_n \frac{t_{n-1}^2 \epsilon_{n-1}}{t_n^2}} \\ &= s \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\|\bar{x}_{n+1}\|^2}{\frac{t_n^2 \epsilon_n - t_{n-1}^2 \epsilon_{n-1}}{t_n \epsilon_n} + \alpha_n \frac{t_{n-1}^2 \epsilon_{n-1}}{t_n \epsilon_n}} \cdot \frac{t_n (\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1})}{\epsilon_n} \leq M \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t_n (\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1})}{\epsilon_n} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from (21) we get

$$E_{n+1} = o(t_n^2 \epsilon_n) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (22)$$

III. Strong Convergence and Rates

Now using (22) we derive the strong convergence of (x_n) and (y_n) to x^* and the convergence rates concerning the potential energies, discrete velocity and values of the gradient stated in the conclusion of the theorem.

Indeed, taking into account the form of E_n , (22) leads to $(2st_n^2(F_{n+1}(x_{n+1}) - F_{n+1}(\bar{x}_{n+1}))) = o(t_n^2\epsilon_n)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. In other words, $F_n(x_n) - F_n(\bar{x}_n) = o(\epsilon_n)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and by using (47), that is

$$(f + g)(x_n) - (f + g)(x^*) \leq F_n(x_n) - F_n(\bar{x}_n) + \frac{\epsilon_n}{2} \|x^*\|^2,$$

we get

$$(f + g)(x_n) - \min(f + g) = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon_n) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

In order to show strong convergence, we use (46), that is

$$F_n(x_n) - F_n(\bar{x}_n) \geq \frac{\epsilon_n}{2} \|x_n - \bar{x}_n\|^2,$$

and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_n - x^*\|^2 &\leq 2 \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (\|x_n - \bar{x}_n\|^2 + \|\bar{x}_n - x^*\|^2) \\ &\leq 4 \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F_n(x_n) - F_n(\bar{x}_n)}{\epsilon_n} + 2 \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\bar{x}_n - x^*\|^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Concerning the convergence rates for the discrete velocity $\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|$ we conclude the following. From the definition of E_n and the fact that $E_n = o(t_n^2\epsilon_n)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ we have that

$$\|\eta_n - x^*\| = o(t_n\sqrt{\epsilon_n}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Now, using the definition of η_n and the form of y_n we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_n - x^* &= \frac{t_{n-1}^2}{t_n - 1} \left(x_n + \frac{(t_n - 1)(t_{n-1} - 1)}{t_{n-1}^2} (x_n - x_{n-1}) - \frac{-t_n^2 + t_n + t_{n-1}^2}{t_{n-1}^2 t_n} x_n \right) - \frac{t_{n-1}^2}{t_n} x_n - x^* \\ &= (t_{n-1} - 1)(x_n - x_{n-1}) + x_n - x^*, \end{aligned}$$

hence $(t_{n-1} - 1)\|x_n - x_{n-1}\| = o(t_n\sqrt{\epsilon_n})$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Now, since $(t_n^2\epsilon_n)$ is increasing, and (ϵ_n) is non-increasing we deduce that (t_n) is increasing. Further, since $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} t_n^2\epsilon_n = +\infty$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \epsilon_n = 0$ we obtain that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} t_n = +\infty$. Consequently, $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t_{n-1} - 1}{t_n} = 1$.

Therefore,

$$\|x_n - x_{n-1}\| = o(\sqrt{\epsilon_n}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

From here and the fact that $y_n = x_n + \frac{(t_n - 1)(t_{n-1} - 1)}{t_{n-1}^2} (x_n - x_{n-1}) - \frac{-t_n^2 + t_n + t_{n-1}^2}{t_{n-1}^2 t_n} x_n$, we deduce at once that $\|y_n - x_n\| = o(\sqrt{\epsilon_n})$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, hence in particular

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} y_n = x^*.$$

Let us show the estimate concerning the sub-gradients, that is, there exists $u_n \in \partial f(x_n) + \nabla g(x_n)$ such that

$$\|u_n\| = o(\sqrt{\epsilon_n}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

To this purpose, we reformulate (TIREPROG) in terms of the resolvent operator of ∂f , i.e.

$$x_{n+1} + s\partial f(x_{n+1}) \ni y_n - s\nabla g(y_n) - s\epsilon_n y_n.$$

In other words, there exists $u_{n+1} \in \partial f(x_{n+1}) + \nabla g(x_{n+1})$ such that

$$su_{n+1} = (y_n - x_{n+1}) + s(\nabla g(x_{n+1}) - \nabla g(y_n)) - s\epsilon_n y_n.$$

Now, by using the L -Lipschitz continuity of ∇g we get

$$\|\nabla g(x_{n+1}) - \nabla g(y_n)\| \leq \|\nabla g(y_n) - \nabla g(x_n)\| + \|\nabla g(x_n) - \nabla g(x_{n+1})\| \leq L\|y_n - x_n\| + L\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|,$$

hence $\|\nabla g(y_n) - \nabla g(x_{n+1})\| = o(\sqrt{\epsilon_n})$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Further, $y_n - x_{n+1} = (y_n - x_n) + (x_n - x_{n+1})$, hence $\|y_n - x_{n+1}\| = o(\sqrt{\epsilon_n})$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Consequently, $\|(y_n - x_{n+1}) + s(\nabla g(x_{n+1}) - \nabla g(y_n)) - s\epsilon_n y_n\| = o(\sqrt{\epsilon_n})$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, that is,

$$\|u_{n+1}\| = o(\sqrt{\epsilon_n}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

□

Remark 5. According to the hypotheses of Theorem 4 in order to obtain strong convergence of the sequences generated by (TIREPROG) we need to assume that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} t_n^2 \epsilon_n = +\infty.$$

Beside the strong convergence of the generated sequences we obtained $(f + g)(x_n) - \min(f + g) = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon_n)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. As we have seen in the proof of Theorem 4, the above mentioned assumption leads to $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} t_n = +\infty$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t_{n-1}-1}{t_n} = 1$. Consequently, the assumption $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} t_n^2 \epsilon_n = +\infty$ implies that the inertial parameter in Algorithm (TIREPROG), that is $\frac{(t_n-1)(t_{n-1}-1)}{t_{n-1}^2}$ goes to 1 as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ just as in FISTA. Further, the parameter $\frac{-t_n^2 + t_n + t_{n-1}^2}{t_{n-1}^2 t_n}$ is indeed a Tikhonov regularization parameter, since is non-negative and goes to 0 as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

However, in case

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} t_n^2 \epsilon_n < +\infty$$

even faster rates can be obtained for the potential energy $(f + g)(x_n) - \min(f + g)$. More precisely, if the right hand side of (21) is bounded from above, then there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$E_{n+1} \leq M, \text{ for all } n \geq k_0 + 1.$$

The latter relation may assure the rate $(f + g)(x_n) - \min(f + g) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t_n^2}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ just as the case of FISTA. Unfortunately, in that case we cannot obtain any convergence result concerning the sequences generated by (TIREPROG).

The following result holds.

Theorem 6. For a sequence $(t_k)_{k \geq 0}$ satisfying (T) and the stepsize $s < \frac{1}{L}$ let $(x_k)_{k \geq 0}$ be the sequence generated by Algorithm (TIREPROG).

Let $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s \in \left(0, \frac{1}{L + \epsilon_{k_0}}\right]$ and consider the sequences $\alpha_k = \frac{-t_k^2 + t_k + t_{k-1}^2}{t_{k-1}^2}$ and $\pi_k = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=k_0}^k (1 - \alpha_i)}$ as in the proof of Theorem 4.

Assume further that one of the set of the following conditions holds.

- (a) $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \pi_k = +\infty$, $\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t_k^2(\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1})}{\alpha_k} < +\infty$ and $\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t_k \epsilon_k}{\alpha_k} < +\infty$;
- (b) $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \pi_k < +\infty$, $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} t_k^2(\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1}) < +\infty$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} t_k \epsilon_k < +\infty$.

Then, $F_k(x_k) - F_k(\bar{x}_k) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t_{k-1}^2}\right)$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, where $F_k(x) = (f + g_k)(x) = f(x) + g(x) + \frac{\epsilon_k}{2}\|x\|^2$ and \bar{x}_k is the unique minimizer of F_k , and

$$(f + g)(x_k) - \min(f + g) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t_{k-1}^2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon_k), \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Proof. We use the notations from the proof of Theorem 4. Then (21) gives us

$$E_{n+1} \leq \|x^*\|^2 + \frac{\sum_{k=k_0+1}^n st_k^2(\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1})\pi_k \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2}{\pi_n} + \frac{\sum_{k=k_0+1}^n st_k \epsilon_k \pi_k (\|x^*\|^2 - \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2)}{\pi_n} + \frac{C}{\pi_n}, \quad (23)$$

for all $n > k_0 + 1$, where $C = \pi_{k_0} E_{k_0} - \pi_{k_0} \|x^*\|^2$.

Note that if (b) holds, then from (23) we get that there exists $M > 0$ such that $E_{n+1} \leq M$ consequently, by taking into account the form of E_{n+1} , we get $F_{n+1}(x_{n+1}) - F_{n+1}(\bar{x}_{n+1}) \leq \frac{M}{2st_n^2}$, hence

$$F_n(x_n) - F_n(\bar{x}_n) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t_{n-1}^2}\right) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Now, by using (47), that is

$$(f+g)(x_n) - (f+g)(x^*) \leq F_n(x_n) - F_n(\bar{x}_n) + \frac{\epsilon_n}{2} \|x^*\|^2,$$

we get

$$(f+g)(x_n) - \min(f+g) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t_{n-1}^2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon_n) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

It remained to show that if case (a) holds, then the right hand side of (23) is bounded. Note that $(\pi_n)_{n \geq k_0}$ is increasing and due to our assumption we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \pi_n = +\infty$, further $\pi_n - \pi_{n-1} = \pi_n \alpha_n$, hence, by Cesàro-Stolz theorem we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=k_0+1}^n st_k^2(\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1})\pi_k \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2}{\pi_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{st_n^2(\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1})\pi_n \|\bar{x}_{n+1}\|^2}{\pi_n \alpha_n} < +\infty$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=k_0+1}^n st_k \epsilon_k \pi_k (\|x^*\|^2 - \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2)}{\pi_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{st_n \epsilon_n \pi_n (\|x^*\|^2 - \|\bar{x}_{n+1}\|^2)}{\pi_n \alpha_n} < +\infty.$$

Consequently, there exists $M > 0$ such that $E_{n+1} \leq M$ and the rest of the proof goes analogously as in the case (b). \square

3 Particular choices of t_k and ϵ_k .

In this section we consider some particular choices of t_k and ϵ_k in Theorem 4 and Theorem 6. More precisely we take $t_k = (ak+1)^q$, $k \geq 0$ with $\frac{1}{2} \leq q \leq 1$ and $0 < a \leq \frac{1}{2q}$ and let $\epsilon_k = \frac{c}{k^p}$, $c, p > 0$. As we seen in section 1.2, in this case t_k satisfies (T) and (TIREPROG) has the form: $x_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ and for all $k \geq 1$

$$\begin{cases} y_k = x_k + \frac{((ak+1)^q - 1)((ak+1-a)^q - 1)}{(ak+1-a)^{2q}}(x_k - x_{k-1}) - \frac{-(ak+1)^{2q} + (ak+1)^q + (ak+1-a)^{2q}}{(ak+1-a)^{2q}(ak+1)^q} x_k \\ x_{k+1} = \text{prox}_{sf}(y_k - s\nabla g(y_k) - s\frac{c}{k^p} y_k). \end{cases} \quad (\text{TIREPROG-p})$$

Concerning the strong convergence of the iterates of (TIREPROG-p) the following result holds.

Theorem 7. *Assume that $\frac{1}{2} \leq q < 1$ and $p < 2q$. For the stepsize $s < \frac{1}{L}$ let $(x_k)_{k \geq 0}$, $(y_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be the sequences generated by Algorithm (TIREPROG-p).*

Then, (x_k) converges strongly to x^ , where $\{x^*\} = \text{pr}_{\text{argmin}(f+g)}(0)$ is the minimum norm minimizer of our objective function $f+g$. Moreover $\|x_k - y_k\| = o(\sqrt{\epsilon_k})$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, hence (y_k) also converges strongly to x^* .*

Further, the following estimates hold.

$F_k(x_k) - F_k(\bar{x}_k) = o(k^{-p})$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, where $F_k(x) = (f + g_k)(x) = f(x) + g(x) + \frac{c}{2k^p} \|x\|^2$ and \bar{x}_k is the unique minimizer of F_k .

$$(f + g)(x_k) - \min(f + g) = \mathcal{O}(k^{-p}), \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$\|x_k - x_{k-1}\| = o\left(k^{-\frac{p}{2}}\right) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty,$$

and there exists $u_k \in \partial f(x_k) + \nabla g(x_k)$ such that

$$\|u_k\| = o\left(k^{-\frac{p}{2}}\right) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Proof. We just need to show that the conditions from the hypotheses of Theorem 4 hold.

First, let $\bar{k} = \text{int}\left(\frac{cs}{1-sL}\right) + 1$, where $\text{int}(x)$ denotes the integer part of x . Then $s \in \left(0, \frac{1}{L+\epsilon_k}\right]$ for all $k \geq \bar{k}$. Consider now $k_0 = \max\left(\bar{k}, \text{int}\left(\frac{p}{a(2q-p)}\right) + 2\right)$. It remained to show that

1. $\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{-t_k^2 + t_k + t_{k-1}^2}{t_k} > 0$.
2. $(t_k^2 \epsilon_k)_{k \geq k_0-1}$ is increasing.
3. $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} t_k^2 \epsilon_k = +\infty$.
4. $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t_k(\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1})}{\epsilon_k} = 0$.

Note that since $q < 1$ one has

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{-t_k^2 + t_k + t_{k-1}^2}{t_k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \left(1 + \frac{(ak+1-a)^{2q} - (ak+1)^{2q}}{(ak+1)^q}\right) = 1.$$

Further, since $p < 2q$ one has

$$t_k^2 \epsilon_k = c(ak+1)^{2q} k^{-p}$$

is an increasing sequence for all $k \geq \text{int}\left(\frac{p}{a(2q-p)}\right) + 1$. To see this just consider the real valued real function $\phi(x) = c(ax+1)^{2q} x^{-p}$ and observe that $\phi'(x) = c(ax+1)^{2q-1} x^{-p-1} (2qax - p(ax+1)) > 0$ if $x > \frac{p}{a(2q-p)}$.

Moreover, since $p < 2q$ one has $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} t_k^2 \epsilon_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{c(ak+1)^{2q}}{k^p} = +\infty$.

Finally, since $q < 1$ one has $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t_k(\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1})}{\epsilon_k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{c(ak+1)^q (k+1)^p - kp}{k+1} \frac{1}{(k+1)^{p-1}} = 0$. \square

Concerning the case $p \geq 2q$ the following result holds.

Theorem 8. Assume that $\frac{1}{2} \leq q \leq 1$ and $p \geq 2q$ and in case $q = 1$, $a = \frac{1}{2}$ one has $p > 2$. For the stepsize $s < \frac{1}{L}$ let $(x_k)_{k \geq 0}$ be the sequence generated by Algorithm (TIREPROG-p).

Then,

$$(f + g)(x_k) - \min(f + g) = \mathcal{O}(k^{-2q}), \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Proof. Let $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s \in \left(0, \frac{1}{L+\epsilon_{k_0}}\right]$ and consider the sequences

$$\alpha_k = \frac{-t_k^2 + t_k + t_{k-1}^2}{t_{k-1}^2} = \frac{(ak+1-a)^{2q} - (ak+1)^{2q} + (ak+1)^q}{(ak+1-a)^{2q}}, \quad k \geq k_0$$

and

$$\pi_k = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=k_0}^k (1 - \alpha_i)} = \frac{(ak_0+1-a)^q}{(ak+1)^q} \prod_{i=k_0}^k \frac{(ai+1-a)^q}{(ai+1)^q - 1}.$$

Note that if $q < 1$ then $\alpha_k = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k^q}\right)$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. More precisely, there exists $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that $\frac{C_1}{k^q} \leq \alpha_k \leq \frac{C_2}{k^q}$ for all $k \geq \bar{k}$ and \bar{k} big enough. Consequently, by using the facts that

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{i=k_0}^{+\infty} (1 - \alpha_i)} \geq \prod_{i=k_0}^{+\infty} (1 + \alpha_i) \geq \sum_{i=k_0}^{+\infty} \alpha_i \geq \sum_{i=\bar{k}}^{\bar{k}-1} \alpha_i + \sum_{i=\bar{k}}^{+\infty} \frac{C_1}{i^q} = +\infty.$$

Hence, for $q < 1$ one has $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \pi_k = +\infty$ and we have to show that all the assumptions (a) in the hypotheses of Theorem 6 hold. More precisely, we need to show that $\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t_k^2(\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1})}{\alpha_k} < +\infty$ and $\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t_k \epsilon_k}{\alpha_k} < +\infty$.

But $\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1} = \mathcal{O}(k^{-p-1})$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, hence $\frac{t_k^2(\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1})}{\alpha_k} = \mathcal{O}(k^{3q-p-1})$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ and consequently $\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t_k^2(\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1})}{\alpha_k} < +\infty$ provided $3q - p - 1 \leq 0$. But due to our assumption $2q \leq p$ and $q < 1$ hence $3q - 1 < 2q \leq p$ and the conclusion follows.

Further, $\frac{t_k \epsilon_k}{\alpha_k} = \mathcal{O}(k^{2q-p})$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, consequently $\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t_k \epsilon_k}{\alpha_k} < +\infty$.

Now, in case $q = 1$ we get

$$\alpha_k = \frac{(ak + 1 - a)^2 - (ak + 1)^2 + ak + 1}{(ak + 1 - a)^2} = \frac{(a - 2a^2)k + (a - 1)^2}{(ak + 1 - a)^2}, \quad k \geq k_0$$

therefore if $a < \frac{1}{2}$ one has $\alpha_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{-1})$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ and when $a = \frac{1}{2}$ one has $\alpha_k = \frac{1}{(k+1)^2}$.

For $a < \frac{1}{2}$ proceeding as before we get

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{i=k_0}^{+\infty} (1 - \alpha_i)} \geq \prod_{i=k_0}^{+\infty} (1 + \alpha_i) \geq \sum_{i=k_0}^{+\infty} \alpha_i = +\infty,$$

hence, $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \pi_k = +\infty$. Further, we have $\frac{t_k^2(\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1})}{\alpha_k} = \mathcal{O}(k^{2-p})$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, and using the fact that $p \geq 2$ we get $\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t_k^2(\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1})}{\alpha_k} < +\infty$. Similarly, $\frac{t_k \epsilon_k}{\alpha_k} = \mathcal{O}(k^{2-p})$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, hence $\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t_k \epsilon_k}{\alpha_k} < +\infty$.

Consequently, for $a < \frac{1}{2}$ and $q = 1$ the conditions assumed in (a) in the hypotheses of Theorem 6 hold.

For $a = \frac{1}{2}$ we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \pi_k = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=k_0}^{+\infty} (1 - \alpha_i)} = \prod_{i=k_0}^{+\infty} \frac{(i+1)^2}{i(i+2)} = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{k+1}{k_0} \cdot \frac{k_0+1}{k+2} < +\infty.$$

Let us show that the other assumptions stated at (b) in the hypotheses of Theorem 6 hold, that is $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} t_k^2(\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1}) < +\infty$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} t_k \epsilon_k < +\infty$.

Note that since $t_k^2(\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1})$, $t_k \epsilon_k = \mathcal{O}(k^{1-p})$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ and $p > 2$ the claims follow. \square

Remark 9. Note that in case $q = 1$ we are able to obtain the rate $\mathcal{O}(k^{-2})$ for the potential energy $(f + g)(x_k) - \min(f + g)$, which makes (TIREPROG-p) comparable with the famous FISTA algorithm. The optimal rate is attained for all $p \geq 2$, provided $a < \frac{1}{2}$. The case $a = \frac{1}{2}$ is special in the sense that in order to obtain the optimal rate one must assume that $p > 2$. Nevertheless, in case $a = \frac{1}{2}$ our algorithm (TIREPROG-p) has the simple form:

$$\begin{cases} x_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{H}, \text{ for } k \geq 1 \\ y_k = x_k + \frac{(k-1)k}{(k+1)^2} (x_k - x_{k-1}) - \frac{2}{(k+1)^2(k+2)} x_k \\ x_{k+1} = \text{prox}_{sf} \left(y_k - s \nabla g(y_k) - s \frac{c}{k^p} y_k \right). \end{cases} \quad (\text{TIREPROG-spec})$$

We emphasize that (TIREPROG-spec) can easily be implemented and may outperform FISTA as some numerical experiments show.

4 Numerical experiments

In this section we consider some numerical experiments in order to sustain the theoretical results obtained in the paper.

In our first experiment we analyze the speed of convergence of the potential energy $(f + g)(x_n) - \min(f + g)$, for different choices of the inertial parameter and the Tikhonov regularization parameters in (TIREPROG-p) and we show that indeed algorithm (TIREPROG-p) has a superior behaviour compared to the famous FISTA algorithm.

To this purpose, let us consider the convex non-smooth function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(x, y) = \sqrt{(x^2 + y^2)^3}$. Then, the proximal operator of sf , $s > 0$ is given by $\text{prox}_{sf} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\text{prox}_{sf}(x, y) = \left(\frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 + 12s\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}}x, \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 + 12s\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}}y \right).$$

Consider further the function $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g(x, y) = (4x - 3y)^2$. Then, g is smooth and convex and its gradient is Lipschitz continuous, having Lipschitz constant $L = 40\sqrt{2}$.


Further $f + g$ has the global minimum at $x^* = (0, 0)$, hence $\min(f + g) = (f + g)(x^*) = 0$. For simplicity in the following experiments concerning Algorithm (TIREPROG-p) we take everywhere $s = 0.017$, (which will always satisfy $s < \frac{1}{L}$), and fix the starting points $x_0 = x_1 = (1, -1)$. In our following experiment we consider everywhere the Tikhonov regularization parameter $c = 1$.

First, we consider both the cases $p < 2q$ and $p \geq 2q$ with the following values:

$$(a, q, p) \in \{(0.9, 0.5, 0.9), (0.9, 0.5, 2.1), (0.66, 0.75, 1.4), (0.66, 0.75, 2.1), (0.45, 1, 2), (0.45, 1, 2.5)\}.$$

We run Algorithm (TIREPROG-p) until the potential energy $(f + g)(x_n) - \min(f + g)$ is less than 10^{-20} , the results are shown in Figure 1a.

According to our results, in case $q = 1$ the optimal rate of order $\mathcal{O}(n^{-2})$ can be obtained. Therefore, we also consider the special case of our algorithm, i.e. (TIREPROG-str), and for comparison purposes we consider the FISTA algorithm (2), with $t_k = 0.5k + 1$. We run the algorithms until the potential energy $(f + g)(x_n) - \min(f + g)$ is less than 10^{-25} , the results are shown in Figure 1b.



(a) Different choices of the parameters in (TIREPROG-p)

(b) The case $q = 1$ in (TIREPROG-p)

Figure 1: From a numerical point of view $q = 1$ is not the best choice. Nevertheless, in case $q = 1$ (TIREPROG-p) might have a better behaviour than FISTA.

In our second experiment our aim is to show that in order to obtain convergence to the minimum norm minimizer of our objective function we need both Tikhonov regularization terms in (TIREPROG). Hence, we consider the function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(x + 2y)^2$ with

$$\text{prox}_{sf}(x, y) = \left(\frac{4s+1}{5s+1}x - \frac{2s}{5s+1}y, -\frac{2s}{5s+1}x + \frac{s+1}{5s+1}y \right).$$

Further, let $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g(x, y) = \frac{1}{4}(x + 2y)^2$ which is convex with L -Lipschitz continuous gradient. Note that the Lipschitz constant of ∇g is $L = \sqrt{10}$. Obviously $\min(f + g) = 0$ and the set of minimizers of $f + g$ is $\text{argmin}(f + g) = \left\{ \left(x, -\frac{x}{2} \right) : x \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$, hence the minimum norm minimizer is $x^* = (0, 0)$.

We take $s = 0.031$ in algorithm (TIREPROG-p) which always satisfies $s < \frac{1}{L}$. Further we consider the starting points $x_0 = x_1 = (-1, 1)$ and we fix $a = 0.5$, $q = 0.95$, $c = 3$ and $p = 1.7$. Note that $a < \frac{1}{2q}$ and $p < 2q$. We run (TIREPROG-p) with $n = 10^3$ iterations by considering the following instances.

a. We consider both Tikhonov regularization terms in algorithm (TIREPROG-p), that is,

$$\begin{cases} y_k = x_k + \frac{((ak+1)^q-1)((ak+1-a)^q-1)}{(ak+1-a)^{2q}}(x_k - x_{k-1}) - \frac{-(ak+1)^{2q}+(ak+1)^q+(ak+1-a)^{2q}}{(ak+1-a)^{2q}(ak+1)^q}x_k \\ x_{k+1} = \text{prox}_{sf}(y_k - s\nabla g(y_k) - s\frac{c}{k^p}y_k). \end{cases}$$

b. We renounce to the Tikhonov regularization term $\frac{-(ak+1)^{2q}+(ak+1)^q+(ak+1-a)^{2q}}{(ak+1-a)^{2q}(ak+1)^q}x_k$ in algorithm (TIREPROG-p), that is,

$$\begin{cases} y_k = x_k + \frac{((ak+1)^q-1)((ak+1-a)^q-1)}{(ak+1-a)^{2q}}(x_k - x_{k-1}) \\ x_{k+1} = \text{prox}_{sf}(y_k - s\nabla g(y_k) - s\frac{c}{k^p}y_k). \end{cases}$$

c. We renounce to the Tikhonov regularization term $s\frac{c}{k^p}y_k$ in algorithm (TIREPROG-p), that is,

$$\begin{cases} y_k = x_k + \frac{((ak+1)^q-1)((ak+1-a)^q-1)}{(ak+1-a)^{2q}}(x_k - x_{k-1}) - \frac{-(ak+1)^{2q}+(ak+1)^q+(ak+1-a)^{2q}}{(ak+1-a)^{2q}(ak+1)^q}x_k \\ x_{k+1} = \text{prox}_{sf}(y_k - s\nabla g(y_k)). \end{cases}$$

d. We renounce to both Tikhonov regularization terms in algorithm (TIREPROG-p), that is,


$$\begin{cases} y_k = x_k + \frac{((ak+1)^q-1)((ak+1-a)^q-1)}{(ak+1-a)^{2q}}(x_k - x_{k-1}) \\ x_{k+1} = \text{prox}_{sf}(y_k - s\nabla g(y_k)). \end{cases}$$

The results are depicted at Figure 2 (a)-(d), where the first component of x_n is depicted by blue and the second component of x_n is depicted by red.


As we can see, in the absence of one of the Tikhonov regularization terms we do not have convergence to the minimum norm minimizer of the objective function $f + g$. Therefore, according to the Figure 2, the presence of both Tikhonov regularization terms in our algorithm (TIREPROG) is fully justified.

5 Conclusions, perspectives


To our best knowledge, Algorithm (TIREPROG) and in particular Algorithm (TIREPROG-p) are the first inertial proximal-gradient type algorithms considered in the literature, that assure strong convergence to the minimum norm minimizer of the sum of a convex, possibly non-smooth and a smooth convex function, and at the same time provide fast convergence of the function values and discrete velocity. As we have emphasized in the paper, these algorithms can be seen as FISTA type algorithms with two Tikhonov regularization terms. Further, we have shown that in order to obtain our strong convergence results we need both Tikhonov regularization terms in (TIREPROG). Despite of the complex structure




(a) Convergence to the minimum norm solution



(b) Dropping the first Tikhonov term



(c) Dropping the second Tikhonov term



(d) Dropping both Tikhonov terms

Figure 2: Renouncing to one of the Tikhonov regularization terms in (TIREPROG-p) there is no convergence to the minimum norm solution anymore.

of the inertial parameter and the Tikhonov regularization parameters, our algorithms can easily be implemented, therefore are suitable for use in practical problems arising in image processing and machine learning. Moreover, there are settings of the parameters when the optimal rate $\mathcal{O}(k^{-2})$ for the function values can be obtained, hence our algorithm can be a valuable substitute for FISTA.

A challenging related research is to consider the optimization problem having as objective the sum of a convex function and a smooth convex function, where the latter is composed with a linear operator and design an algorithm, (having in mind the ADMM algorithm with some Tikhonov regularization terms), in order to find the minimum norm solution.

6 Declarations

Availability of data and materials

In this manuscript only the datasets generated by authors were analysed.

Competing interests

The authors have no competing interests.

7 Appendix

A The descent lemma and its consequences

In order to obtain strong convergence for the sequence x_k generated by Algorithm (TIREPROG) we need some preliminary results. The first one is the Descent Lemma [26].

Lemma 10. Let $g : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function, with L_g -Lipschitz continuous gradient. Then,

$$g(x) \leq g(y) + \langle \nabla g(y), x - y \rangle + \frac{L_g}{2} \|y - x\|^2, \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Further, we need the following property of smooth, convex functions, see [26].

Lemma 11. Let $g : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex smooth function, with L_g -Lipschitz continuous gradient. Then,

$$\frac{1}{2L_g} \|\nabla g(y) - \nabla g(x)\|^2 + \langle \nabla g(y), x - y \rangle + g(y) \leq g(x), \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{H}.$$

The following modified descent lemma, which in particular contains Lemma 1 from [6], has been proved in [21]

Lemma 12. Let $g : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex smooth function, with L_g -Lipschitz continuous gradient. Then,

$$g(y - s\nabla g(y)) \leq g(x) + \langle \nabla g(y), y - x \rangle + \left(\frac{L_g}{2} s^2 - s \right) \|\nabla g(y)\|^2 - \frac{1}{2L_g} \|\nabla g(y) - \nabla g(x)\|^2, \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (24)$$

Assume further that $s \in \left(0, \frac{1}{L_g}\right]$. Then,

$$g(y - s\nabla g(y)) \leq g(x) + \langle \nabla g(y), y - x \rangle - \frac{s}{2} \|\nabla g(y)\|^2 - \frac{s}{2} \|\nabla g(y) - \nabla g(x)\|^2, \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (25)$$

Now, based on Lemma 10, Lemma 11 and Lemma 12 we give the following result which can be seen as an extension of Lemma 2.3 from [13].

Lemma 13. Let $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a lower semi-continuous convex function and let $g : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex smooth function, with L_g -Lipschitz continuous gradient. Then, for every $s > 0$ and every $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} (f + g)(\text{prox}_{sf}(y - s\nabla g(y))) &\leq (f + g)(x) - \frac{1}{s} \langle y - \text{prox}_{sf}(y - s\nabla g(y)), x - y \rangle \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{L_g}{2} - \frac{1}{s} \right) \|\text{prox}_{sf}(y - s\nabla g(y)) - y\|^2 - \frac{1}{2L_g} \|\nabla g(y) - \nabla g(x)\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Assume further that $s \in \left(0, \frac{1}{L_g}\right]$. Then, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} (f + g)(\text{prox}_{sf}(y - s\nabla g(y))) &\leq (f + g)(x) - \frac{1}{s} \langle y - \text{prox}_{sf}(y - s\nabla g(y)), x - y \rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2s} \|\text{prox}_{sf}(y - s\nabla g(y)) - y\|^2 - \frac{s}{2} \|\nabla g(y) - \nabla g(x)\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Proof. Indeed, by taking $x = \text{prox}_{sf}(y - s\nabla g(y))$ in Lemma 10, we get

$$g(\text{prox}_{sf}(y - s\nabla g(y))) \leq g(y) + \langle \nabla g(y), \text{prox}_{sf}(y - s\nabla g(y)) - y \rangle + \frac{L_g}{2} \|\text{prox}_{sf}(y - s\nabla g(y)) - y\|^2, \forall y \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (28)$$

From Lemma 11 we have

$$g(y) \leq g(x) + \langle \nabla g(y), y - x \rangle - \frac{1}{2L_g} \|\nabla g(y) - \nabla g(x)\|^2, \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (29)$$

Combining (28) and (29) we get

$$g(\text{prox}_{sf}(y - s\nabla g(y))) \leq g(x) + \langle \nabla g(y), \text{prox}_{sf}(y - s\nabla g(y)) - x \rangle + \frac{L_g}{2} \|\text{prox}_{sf}(y - s\nabla g(y)) - y\|^2 \quad (30)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2L_g} \|\nabla g(y) - \nabla g(x)\|^2, \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Now, by using the fact that $\text{prox}_{sf}(z) = (I + s\partial f)^{-1}(z)$, we get that $\frac{1}{s}(z - \text{prox}_{sf}(z)) \in \partial f(\text{prox}_{sf}(z))$ for all $z \in \mathcal{H}$. Consequently, the sub-gradient inequality yields

$$f(x) \geq f(\text{prox}_{sf}(z)) + \left\langle \frac{1}{s}(z - \text{prox}_{sf}(z)), x - \text{prox}_{sf}(z) \right\rangle, \forall x, z \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Now by taking $z = y - s\nabla g(y)$ in the previous inequality, we get for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ that

$$f(\text{prox}_{sf}(y - s\nabla g(y))) \leq f(x) - \frac{1}{s} \langle y - s\nabla g(y) - \text{prox}_{sf}(y - s\nabla g(y)), x - \text{prox}_{sf}(y - s\nabla g(y)) \rangle. \quad (31)$$

Now adding (30) and (31) we get for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ that

$$(f + g)(\text{prox}_{sf}(y - s\nabla g(y))) \leq (f + g)(x) - \frac{1}{s} \langle y - \text{prox}_{sf}(y - s\nabla g(y)), x - \text{prox}_{sf}(y - s\nabla g(y)) \rangle \quad (32)$$

$$+ \frac{L_g}{2} \|\text{prox}_{sf}(y - s\nabla g(y)) - y\|^2 - \frac{1}{2L_g} \|\nabla g(y) - \nabla g(x)\|^2$$

$$= (f + g)(x) - \frac{1}{s} \langle y - \text{prox}_{sf}(y - s\nabla g(y)), x - y \rangle$$

$$+ \left(\frac{L_g}{2} - \frac{1}{s} \right) \|\text{prox}_{sf}(y - s\nabla g(y)) - y\|^2 - \frac{1}{2L_g} \|\nabla g(y) - \nabla g(x)\|^2,$$

which is nothing else than (26).

Assume that $0 < s \leq \frac{1}{L_g}$. Then,

$$\frac{L_g}{2} - \frac{1}{s} \leq -\frac{1}{2s} \quad \text{and} \quad -\frac{1}{2L_g} \leq -\frac{s}{2},$$

hence (26) leads to (27). □

B Three pillars that sustain our results

In this section we present three lemmas that are essential in order to prove our main result concerning the strong convergence of the sequences generated by (TIREPROG) to the minimum norm minimizer of our objective function $f + g$.

For $k \geq 1$ let us denote $g_k(\cdot) = g(\cdot) + \frac{\epsilon_k}{2} \|\cdot\|^2$ and $F_k(\cdot) = f(\cdot) + g_k(\cdot)$. Then, both g_k and F_k are ϵ_k -strongly convex functions. Moreover, since g is smooth, g_k is also smooth and $\nabla g_k(x) = \nabla g(x) + \epsilon_k x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$, further ∇g_k is also Lipschitz continuous having its Lipschitz constant $L + \epsilon_k$.

In what follows we denote the unique minimizer of the strongly convex function F_k by \bar{x}_k .

In order to obtain symmetry that allows us to apply telescopic sums the next result shows to be crucial.

Lemma 14. Consider $x, y, z \in \mathcal{H}$ and let $(p_k)_{k \geq 1}, (q_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be positive sequences. For every $k \geq 1$ consider $z_k^* \in \partial F_k(z)$. Then, for all $k \geq 1$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} (p_k + q_k)F_k(x) - p_k F_k(y) - q_k F_k(z) &\geq (p_k + q_k)(F_{k+1}(x) - F_{k+1}(\bar{x}_{k+1})) \\ &\quad - (p_{k-1} + q_{k-1})(F_k(y) - F_k(\bar{x}_k)) \\ &\quad + (p_{k-1} + q_{k-1} - p_k)(F_k(y) - F_k(\bar{x}_k)) \\ &\quad + (p_k + q_k) \frac{\epsilon_{k+1} - \epsilon_k}{2} \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2 + q_k \langle z_k^*, \bar{x}_{k+1} - z \rangle \\ &\quad + p_k \frac{\epsilon_k}{2} \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2 + q_k \frac{\epsilon_k}{2} \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - z\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First of all note that

$$\begin{aligned} (p_k + q_k)F_k(x) - p_k F_k(y) - q_k F_k(z) &= (p_k + q_k)(F_k(x) - F_{k+1}(\bar{x}_{k+1})) + (p_k + q_k)F_{k+1}(\bar{x}_{k+1}) \\ &\quad - p_k(F_k(y) - F_k(\bar{x}_k)) - p_k F_k(\bar{x}_k) - q_k F_k(z) \end{aligned}$$

Note that by using the fact that (ϵ_k) is non-increasing we have

$$F_k(x) = F_{k+1}(x) + \frac{\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1}}{2} \|x\|^2 \geq F_{k+1}(x),$$

consequently it holds

$$\begin{aligned} (p_k + q_k)F_k(x) - p_k F_k(y) - q_k F_k(z) &= (p_k + q_k)(F_k(x) - F_{k+1}(\bar{x}_{k+1})) + (p_k + q_k)F_{k+1}(\bar{x}_{k+1}) \\ &\quad - p_k(F_k(y) - F_k(\bar{x}_k)) - p_k F_k(\bar{x}_k) - q_k F_k(z) \geq (p_k + q_k)(F_{k+1}(x) - F_{k+1}(\bar{x}_{k+1})) \\ &\quad - (p_{k-1} + q_{k-1})(F_k(y) - F_k(\bar{x}_k)) + (p_{k-1} + q_{k-1} - p_k)(F_k(y) - F_k(\bar{x}_k)) \\ &\quad + p_k(F_{k+1}(\bar{x}_{k+1}) - F_k(\bar{x}_k)) + q_k(F_{k+1}(\bar{x}_{k+1}) - F_k(z)). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Let us further estimate the terms $p_k(F_{k+1}(\bar{x}_{k+1}) - F_k(\bar{x}_k))$ and $q_k(F_{k+1}(\bar{x}_{k+1}) - F_k(z))$ in (33).

On one hand, according to (46) one has $F_k(\bar{x}_{k+1}) - F_k(\bar{x}_k) \geq \frac{\epsilon_k}{2} \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2$ hence

$$\begin{aligned} p_k(F_{k+1}(\bar{x}_{k+1}) - F_k(\bar{x}_k)) &= p_k \left(F_k(\bar{x}_{k+1}) - F_k(\bar{x}_k) + \frac{\epsilon_{k+1} - \epsilon_k}{2} \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2 \right) \\ &\geq p_k \frac{\epsilon_k}{2} \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2 + p_k \frac{\epsilon_{k+1} - \epsilon_k}{2} \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2 \geq p_k \frac{\epsilon_{k+1} - \epsilon_k}{2} \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

On the other hand, by using the sub-gradient inequality we get for every $z_k^* \in \partial F_k(z)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} q_k(F_{k+1}(\bar{x}_{k+1}) - F_k(z)) &= q_k \left(F_k(\bar{x}_{k+1}) - F_k(z) + \frac{\epsilon_{k+1} - \epsilon_k}{2} \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2 \right) \geq \\ &q_k \langle z_k^*, \bar{x}_{k+1} - z \rangle + q_k \frac{\epsilon_k}{2} \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - z\|^2 + q_k \frac{\epsilon_{k+1} - \epsilon_k}{2} \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2 \geq \\ &q_k \langle z_k^*, \bar{x}_{k+1} - z \rangle + q_k \frac{\epsilon_{k+1} - \epsilon_k}{2} \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Consequently, (33), (34) and (35) lead to

$$\begin{aligned} (p_k + q_k)F_k(x) - p_k F_k(y) - q_k F_k(z) &\geq \\ (p_k + q_k)(F_{k+1}(x) - F_{k+1}(\bar{x}_{k+1})) &- (p_{k-1} + q_{k-1})(F_k(y) - F_k(\bar{x}_k)) \\ + (p_{k-1} + q_{k-1} - p_k)(F_k(y) - F_k(\bar{x}_k)) &+ (p_k + q_k) \frac{\epsilon_{k+1} - \epsilon_k}{2} \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\|^2 + q_k \langle z_k^*, \bar{x}_{k+1} - z \rangle \\ + p_k \frac{\epsilon_k}{2} \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k\|^2 + q_k \frac{\epsilon_k}{2} \|\bar{x}_{k+1} - z\|^2. & \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

□

Remark 15. Note that in case we deal only with the convex function $F = f + g$, i.e., we take the parameter $\epsilon_k \equiv 0$, then some similar result to those obtained in Lemma 14 holds. Indeed, in that case one can simply write for every $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{argmin} F$ that

$$(p_k + q_k)F(x) - p_k F(y) - q_k F(z) = (p_k + q_k)(F(x) - F(\bar{x})) - (p_{k-1} + q_{k-1})(F(y) - F(\bar{x})) \\ + (p_{k-1} + q_{k-1} - p_k)(F(y) - F(\bar{x})) + q_k(F(\bar{x}) - F(z))$$

and by the sub-gradient inequality one has $q_k(F(\bar{x}) - F(z)) \geq q_k \langle z^*, \bar{x} - z \rangle$ for all $z^* \in \partial F(z)$. Hence,

$$(p_k + q_k)F(x) - p_k F(y) - q_k F(z) \geq (p_k + q_k)(F(x) - F(\bar{x})) - (p_{k-1} + q_{k-1})(F(y) - F(\bar{x})) \\ + (p_{k-1} + q_{k-1} - p_k)(F(y) - F(\bar{x})) + q_k \langle z^*, \bar{x} - z \rangle.$$

Nevertheless, in case there are no Tikhonov regularization terms, as in case of FISTA, it is enough to consider the following identity:

$$(p_k + q_k)F(x) - p_k F(y) - q_k \min F = (p_k + q_k)(F(x) - \min F) - (p_{k-1} + q_{k-1})(F(y) - \min F) \quad (37) \\ + (p_{k-1} + q_{k-1} - p_k)(F(y) - \min F).$$

The next lemma deals with some affine combinations of the sequences generated by (TIREPROG) and will be used in the proof of our main result.

Lemma 16. Consider the sequence $(t_k)_{k \geq 0}$ that satisfies (T) and let $(x_k)_{k \geq 1}$ and $(y_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be the sequences generated by Algorithm (TIREPROG). For $k \geq 1$, consider the sequence $\eta_k = \frac{t_k^2 - 1}{t_k - 1} y_k - \frac{t_k^2}{t_k} x_k$.

Then, $(\eta_k)_{k \geq 1}$ satisfies the following recursion:

$$\eta_{k+1} = \frac{t_k^2 - t_k}{t_{k-1}^2} \eta_k + t_k(x_{k+1} - y_k). \quad (38)$$

Moreover, η_{k+1} is an affine combination of x_{k+1} and x_k , that is

$$\eta_{k+1} = t_k x_{k+1} + (1 - t_k) x_k. \quad (39)$$

Proof. We have to show that $\eta_{k+1} = \frac{t_k^2}{t_{k+1} - 1} y_{k+1} - \frac{t_k^2}{t_{k+1}} x_{k+1} = \frac{t_k^2 - t_k}{t_{k-1}^2} \eta_k + t_k(x_{k+1} - y_k)$.

On one hand, we have

$$\frac{t_k^2 - t_k}{t_{k-1}^2} \eta_k + t_k(x_{k+1} - y_k) = \frac{t_k^2 - t_k}{t_{k-1}^2} \left(\frac{t_{k-1}^2}{t_k - 1} y_k - \frac{t_{k-1}^2}{t_k} x_k \right) + t_k(x_{k+1} - y_k) = t_k x_{k+1} + (1 - t_k) x_k.$$

On the other hand, according to (TIREPROG), we have

$$y_{k+1} = x_{k+1} + \frac{(t_{k+1} - 1)(t_k - 1)}{t_k^2} (x_{k+1} - x_k) - \frac{-t_{k+1}^2 + t_{k+1} + t_k^2}{t_k^2 t_{k+1}} x_{k+1} \\ = \frac{(t_{k+1} + t_k)(t_{k+1} - 1)}{t_k t_{k+1}} x_{k+1} - \frac{(t_{k+1} - 1)(t_k - 1)}{t_k^2} x_k,$$

hence,

$$\eta_{k+1} = \frac{t_k^2}{t_{k+1} - 1} y_{k+1} - \frac{t_k^2}{t_{k+1}} x_{k+1} \\ = \frac{t_k^2}{t_{k+1} - 1} \left(\frac{(t_{k+1} + t_k)(t_{k+1} - 1)}{t_k t_{k+1}} x_{k+1} - \frac{(t_{k+1} - 1)(t_k - 1)}{t_k^2} x_k \right) - \frac{t_k^2}{t_{k+1}} x_{k+1} \\ = t_k x_{k+1} + (1 - t_k) x_k.$$

□

The next lemma will be very useful in the proof of our main result.

Lemma 17. *Consider the sequence $(t_k)_{k \geq 0}$ that satisfies (T) and let $(x_k)_{k \geq 1}$ and $(y_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be the sequences generated by Algorithm (TIREPROG). For $k \geq 1$, consider the sequence $\eta_k = \frac{t_{k-1}^2}{t_k - 1}y_k - \frac{t_{k-1}^2}{t_k}x_k$ and let $x^* \in \mathcal{H}$. Then, the following identity holds.*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y_k - x_{k+1}, 2t_k^2 y_k - 2(t_k^2 - t_k)x_k - 2t_k x^* - t_k^2(y_k - x_{k+1}) \rangle &= \frac{t_k^2 - t_k}{t_{k-1}^2} \|\eta_k - x^*\|^2 - \|\eta_{k+1} - x^*\|^2 \quad (40) \\ - \frac{t_k^2 - t_k}{t_{k-1}^2} \cdot \frac{-t_k^2 + t_k + t_{k-1}^2}{t_{k-1}^2} \|\eta_k\|^2 &+ \frac{-t_k^2 + t_k + t_{k-1}^2}{t_{k-1}^2} \|x^*\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \geq 1$.

Proof. For simplicity, let us denote $\alpha_k = \frac{-t_k^2 + t_k + t_{k-1}^2}{t_{k-1}^2}$, $k \geq 1$. Since $(t_k)_{k \geq 0}$ that satisfies (T), we have $t_k \in \left(1, \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4t_{k-1}^2}}{2}\right)$ for all $k \geq 1$, that is $-t_k^2 + t_k + t_{k-1}^2 > 0$ for all $k \geq 1$, we deduce that

$$1 > \alpha_k > 0.$$

Hence, the right hand side of (40) can be written as

$$(1 - \alpha_k) \|\eta_k - x^*\|^2 - \|\eta_{k+1} - x^*\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_k) \alpha_k \|\eta_k\|^2 + \alpha_k \|x^*\|^2.$$

According to Lemma 16, for all $k \geq 1$ one has $\eta_{k+1} = (1 - \alpha_k)\eta_k + t_k(x_{k+1} - y_k)$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \alpha_k) \|\eta_k - x^*\|^2 - \|\eta_{k+1} - x^*\|^2 + \alpha_k \|x^*\|^2 &= (1 - \alpha_k) \|\eta_k\|^2 + 2 \langle \eta_{k+1} - (1 - \alpha_k)\eta_k, x^* \rangle - \|\eta_{k+1}\|^2 \quad (41) \\ &= (1 - \alpha_k) \|\eta_k\|^2 + 2 \langle \eta_{k+1} - (1 - \alpha_k)\eta_k, x^* \rangle - \|\eta_{k+1}\|^2 \\ &= (1 - \alpha_k) \|\eta_k\|^2 + \langle y_k - x_{k+1}, -2t_k x^* \rangle - \|(1 - \alpha_k)\eta_k + t_k(x_{k+1} - y_k)\|^2 \\ &= (1 - \alpha_k) \alpha_k \|\eta_k\|^2 + \langle y_k - x_{k+1}, -2t_k x^* \rangle + \langle y_k - x_{k+1}, 2t_k(1 - \alpha_k)\eta_k \rangle - t_k^2 \|y_k - x_{k+1}\|^2 \\ &= (1 - \alpha_k) \alpha_k \|\eta_k\|^2 + \langle y_k - x_{k+1}, -2t_k x^* + 2t_k(1 - \alpha_k)\eta_k - t_k^2(y_k - x_{k+1}) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$2t_k(1 - \alpha_k)\eta_k = 2t_k \cdot \frac{t_k^2 - t_k}{t_{k-1}^2} \left(\frac{t_{k-1}^2}{t_k - 1} y_k - \frac{t_{k-1}^2}{t_k} x_k \right) = 2t_k^2 y_k - 2(t_k^2 - t_k)x_k,$$

hence (41) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \alpha_k) \|\eta_k - x^*\|^2 - \|\eta_{k+1} - x^*\|^2 + \alpha_k \|x^*\|^2 &= (1 - \alpha_k) \alpha_k \|\eta_k\|^2 \quad (42) \\ &+ \langle y_k - x_{k+1}, 2t_k^2 y_k - 2(t_k^2 - t_k)x_k - 2t_k x^* - t_k^2(y_k - x_{k+1}) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly our claim. \square

Remark 18. Consider now that we take $\epsilon_k \equiv 0$ in (TIREPROG). Then y_k remains unchanged and therefore all the conclusions of Lemma 16 and Lemma 17 remain valid.

C On the Tikhonov regularization techniques

We continue the present section by emphasizing the main idea behind the Tikhonov regularization, which will assure strong convergence results for the sequence generated our algorithm (TIREPROG-p) to a minimizer of the objective function of minimal norm. By \bar{x}_k we denote the unique solution of the strongly convex minimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathcal{H}} \left((f + g)(x) + \frac{\epsilon_k}{2} \|x\|^2 \right).$$

We know, (see for instance [9]), that $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \bar{x}_k = x^*$, where $x^* = \underset{x \in \operatorname{argmin}(f+g)}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|x\|$ is the minimal norm element from the set $\operatorname{argmin}(f + g)$. Obviously, $\{x^*\} = \operatorname{pr}_{\operatorname{argmin}(f+g)} 0$ and we have the inequality $\|\bar{x}_k\| \leq \|x^*\|$ (see [14]).

Since \bar{x}_k is the unique minimum of the strongly convex function $F_k(x) = f(x) + g(x) + \frac{\epsilon_k}{2} \|x\|^2$, obviously one has

$$\partial F_k(\bar{x}_k) = \partial f(\bar{x}_k) + \nabla g(\bar{x}_k) + \epsilon_k \bar{x}_k \ni 0. \quad (43)$$

Further, from Lemma A.1 c) from [23] we have

$$\|\bar{x}_{k+1} - \bar{x}_k\| \leq \min \left(\frac{\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1}}{\epsilon_{k+1}} \|\bar{x}_k\|, \frac{\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+1}}{\epsilon_k} \|\bar{x}_{k+1}\| \right). \quad (44)$$

Note that since F_k is strongly convex, from the gradient inequality we have

$$F_k(y) - F_k(x) \geq \langle u_k, y - x \rangle + \frac{\epsilon_k}{2} \|x - y\|^2, \text{ for all } u_k \in \partial F_k(x) \text{ and } x, y \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (45)$$

In particular

$$F_k(x) - F_k(\bar{x}_k) \geq \frac{\epsilon_k}{2} \|x - \bar{x}_k\|^2, \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (46)$$

Not that the latter relation may assure the strong convergence to the minimum norm solution x^* of the sequences generated by (TIREPROG). Indeed, let (x_k) be the sequence generated by (TIREPROG) and assume that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F_k(x_k) - F_k(\bar{x}_k)}{\epsilon_k} = 0.$$

Then, $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_k - \bar{x}_k\| = 0$ which combined with the fact that $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \bar{x}_k = x^*$ leads to

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_k = x^* = 0.$$

Moreover, observe that for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, one has

$$(f + g)(x) - (f + g)(y) = (F_k(x) - F_k(\bar{x}_k)) + (F_k(\bar{x}_k) - F_k(y)) + \frac{\epsilon_k}{2} (\|y\|^2 - \|x\|^2) \leq F_k(x) - F_k(\bar{x}_k) + \frac{\epsilon_k}{2} \|y\|^2. \quad (47)$$

Consequently, if one already has a rate for $F_k(x_k) - F_k(\bar{x}_k)$, where (x_k) is the sequence generated by (TIREPROG), then (47) provides a rate for the potential energy $(f + g)(x_k) - \min(f + g)$. Indeed, one has

$$(f + g)(x_k) - \min(f + g) \leq F_k(x_k) - F_k(\bar{x}_k) + \frac{\epsilon_k}{2} \|x^*\|^2,$$

where x^* is the minimum norm minimizer of $f + g$.

References

- [1] C.D. Alecsa, S.C. László, Tikhonov regularization of a perturbed heavy ball system with vanishing damping, *SIAM J. Optim.* 31(4), 2921-2954 (2021)
- [2] H. Attouch, A. Balhag, Z. Chbani, H. Riahi, Damped inertial dynamics with vanishing Tikhonov regularization: Strong asymptotic convergence towards the minimum norm solution, *Journal of Differential Equations* 311, 29-58 (2022)
- [3] H. Attouch, A. Balhag, Z. Chbani, H. Riahi, Accelerated gradient methods combining Tikhonov regularization with geometric damping driven by the Hessian, *Applied Mathematics and Optimization* 88, 29 (2023)
- [4] H. Attouch, L.M. Briceño-Arias, P.L. Combettes, A strongly convergent primal-dual method for nonoverlapping domain decomposition, *Numerische Mathematik* 133(3), 443-470 (2016)
- [5] H. Attouch, A. Cabot, Z. Chbani, H. Riahi, Inertial Forward-Backward Algorithms with Perturbations: Application to Tikhonov Regularization, *J Optim Theory Appl* 179, 1-36 (2018)
- [6] H. Attouch, Z. Chbani, J. Fadili, H. Riahi, First-order optimization algorithms via inertial systems with Hessian driven damping, *Math. Program.* 193, 113-155 (2022)
- [7] H. Attouch, Z. Chbani, H. Riahi, Combining fast inertial dynamics for convex optimization with Tikhonov regularization, *J. Math. Anal. Appl* 457, 1065-1094 (2018)
- [8] H. Attouch, Z. Chbani, H. Riahi, Accelerated gradient methods with strong convergence to the minimum norm minimizer: a dynamic approach combining time scaling, averaging, and Tikhonov regularization, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2211.10140.pdf> (2022)
- [9] H. Attouch, R. Cominetti, A dynamical approach to convex minimization coupling approximation with the steepest descent method, *Journal of Differential Equations* 128(2), 519-540 (1996)
- [10] H. Attouch, M.-O. Czarnecki, Asymptotic Control and Stabilization of Nonlinear Oscillators with Non-isolated Equilibria, *J. Differential Equations* 179, 278-310 (2002)
- [11] H. Attouch, S.C. László, Convex optimization via inertial algorithms with vanishing Tikhonov regularization: fast convergence to the minimum norm solution, *Math Meth Oper Res* 99, 307-347 (2024)
- [12] H. Attouch, J. Peypouquet, The rate of convergence of Nesterov's accelerated forward-backward method is actually faster than $1/k^2$, *SIAM J. Optim.* 26(3), 1824-1834 (2016)
- [13] A. Beck, M. Teboulle, A Fast Iterative Shrinkage-Thresholding Algorithm for Linear Inverse Problems, *SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences* 2(1), 183-202 (2009)
- [14] R.I. Boţ, E.R. Csetnek, S.C. László, Tikhonov regularization of a second order dynamical system with Hessian damping, *Math. Program.* 189, 151-186 (2021)
- [15] R.I. Boţ, E.R. Csetnek, S.C. László, On the strong convergence of continuous Newton-like inertial dynamics with Tikhonov regularization for monotone inclusions, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 530, 127689, (2024)
- [16] R.I. Boţ, S.M. Grad, D. Meier, M. Staudigl, Inducing strong convergence of trajectories in dynamical systems associated to monotone inclusions with composite structure, *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.* 10, 450-476 (2021)

- [17] A. Chambolle, C. Dossal, On the convergence of the iterates of the “fast iterative shrinkage/thresholding algorithm”, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 166(3), 968–982 (2015)
- [18] R. Cominetti, J. Peypouquet, S. Sorin, Strong asymptotic convergence of evolution equations governed by maximal monotone operators with Tikhonov regularization, *J. Differential Equations* 245, 3753-3763 (2008)
- [19] M.A. Jendoubi, R. May, On an asymptotically autonomous system with Tikhonov type regularizing term, *Archiv der Mathematik* 95 (4), 389-399 (2010)
- [20] M. Karapetyants, A fast continuous time approach for non-smooth convex optimization using Tikhonov regularization technique, *Computational Optimization and Applications* 87, 531-569 (2024)
- [21] M. Karapetyants, S.C. László, A Nesterov type algorithm with double Tikhonov regularization: fast convergence of the function values and strong convergence to the minimal norm solution, *Appl Math Optim* 90, 17 (2024)
- [22] S.C. László, On the strong convergence of the trajectories of a Tikhonov regularized second order dynamical system with asymptotically vanishing damping, *Journal of Differential Equations* 362, 355-381, (2023)
- [23] S.C. László, On the convergence of an inertial proximal algorithm with a Tikhonov regularization term, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.02115>, (2023)
- [24] S.C. László, Solving convex optimization problems via a second order dynamical system with implicit Hessian damping and Tikhonov regularization, [arXiv:2401.02676](https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.02676), (2024)
- [25] Y. Nesterov, A method of solving a convex programming problem with convergence rate $O(1/k^2)$, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 27, 372-376 (1983)
- [26] Y. Nesterov, Introductory lectures on convex optimization: a basic course, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, (2004)
- [27] A.N. Tikhonov, *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR* 151 (1963) 501-504, (Translated in “Solution of incorrectly formulated problems and the regularization method”), *Soviet Mathematics* 4, 1035-1038 (1963)
- [28] A.N. Tikhonov, V.Y. Arsenin, *Solutions of Ill-Posed Problems*, Winston, New York, (1977)