# Towards Enabling 5G-NTN Satellite Communications for Manned and Unmanned Rotary Wing Aircraft

Vasileios Leon\*, Ilias Christofilos\*, Athanasios Nesiadis\*, Iosif Paraskevas\*,

Juan Perrela<sup>†</sup>, Georgios Ioannopoulos<sup>‡</sup>, Alexandros Tasoulis–Nonikas<sup>‡</sup>, Mathieu Bernou<sup>‡</sup>, Jacques Reading<sup>§</sup>

\*Intracom Defense S.A., 21st Km Markopoulou Ave., 19441 Koropi, Greece

<sup>†</sup>Alpha Unmanned Systems, Fuente Nueva Ave., 14 Nave 16A, 28703 Madrid, Spain

<sup>‡</sup>OHB Hellas, Imvrou 1, 15124 Marousi, Greece

 ${}^{\S}$ European Space Agency, Keplerlaan 1, 2201 AZ Noordwijk, Netherlands

Emails: \*{vleon, iparaskevas}@intracomdefense.com, <sup>†</sup>jperrela@alphaunmannedsystems.com, <sup>‡</sup>mathieu.bernou@ohb-hellas.gr, <sup>§</sup>jacques.reading@ext.esa.int

Abstract—Satellite Communications (SatCom) are a backbone of worldwide development. In contrast with the past, when the GEO satellites were the only means for such connectivity, nowadays the multi-orbital connectivity is emerging, especially with the use of satellite constellations. Simultaneously, SatCom enabled the so-called In-Flight Connectivity, while with the advent of 5G-NTN, the development of this market is being accelerated. However, there are still various missing points before such a technology becomes mainstream, especially in the case of Rotary Wing Aircraft (RWA). Indeed, due to their particular characteristics, such as the low altitude flights and the blade interference, there are still open challenges. In this work, an End-to-End (E2E) analysis for the performance of SatCom under 5G-NTN for manned and unmanned RWA is performed. Various scenarios are examined, and related requirements are shown. The effects of blades and other characteristics of the RWA are established, and simulations for these cases are developed. Results along with related discussion are presented, while future directions for development are suggested. This work is part of the ESA ACROSS-AIR project.

Index Terms—5G, Non-Terrestrial Network, Satellite Communications, UAV, UAM, Helicopter, System Modeling, Link Budget.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Over the last years, Satellite Communications (SatCom) [1] have attracted significant attention by several markets. This renewed interest is motivated by the innovative technological advancements and developments that have taken place in the industries of space, computing systems, and telecommunications. Regarding the space segment [2], the growth of Low Earth Orbit (LEO) constellations, the satellite miniaturization, the decreased cost of satellite launch, and the use of higher frequency bands, to name a few, have brought SatCom to the fore. At the same time, the evolution of Internet of Things (IoT), facilitated by the emergence of novel edge processors [3], along with the development of next-gen networks (5G, B5G, and soon 6G) [4], have marked a new era for SatCom.

In this evolved landscape, SatCom have found a plethora of applications, such as media broadcasting, internet services, data gathering, and mobile communications. Apart from the traditional markets, SatCom have also gained momentum in maritime, aviation, defense and security, in an effort to improve key aspects of the society. These markets are favored by broadband SatCom, which pave the way for services such as: real-time Earth Observation (EO), remote sensing and monitoring, broadband coverage to underserved areas (e.g., remote, rural, maritime), and network availability during an emergency situation or natural disaster.

Among the affected markets, the aviation industry supports novel scenarios via SatCom, especially with modern types of aircraft. The ever-growing use of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) [5] in civilian applications, such as infrastructure inspection, precision agriculture, Search & Rescue (S&R), goods delivery, and wildfire detection, can be enhanced by the increased network coverage, availability and reliability of SatCom compared to terrestrial networks. The same applies to Urban Air Mobility (UAM) [6] vehicles, which offer intelligent transportation and immediate response to emergency situations. Nevertheless, it is still under investigation if technological advancements, such as LEO mega constellations, 5G networks, and novel antenna designs, can provide sufficient data rates, error performance, and quality of service in these scenarios. The efficient deployment of SatCom is also challenged by aircraft constraints (e.g., blades' interference, limited space for antennas and modems, and restricted power budget).

The current work, performed in the context of the ACROSS-AIR activity of the European Space Agency (ESA), evaluates the use of broadband SatCom in Rotary Wing Aircraft (RWA), i.e., UAVs, UAMs, and helicopters. First, a set of representative, real-world scenarios involving RWA with SatCom is presented, targeting, among others, public safety, fast response to emergency situations, efficient human transportation, and improved healthcare services. These scenarios are extremely challenging and push the technical limits. To satisfy their requirements and key performance indicators, system modeling is performed for the the space, aircraft and communications segments. For the space segment, active LEO, Medium Earth Orbit (MEO) and Geostationary Orbit (GEO) satellite

constellations are employed. Regarding the aircraft system, well-established RWA of the market are used. Finally, the ever-evolving 5G Non-Terrestrial Network (5G-NTN) [7] is modeled, while custom antennas are designed with respect to the specific features of RWA, frequency bands, and constellations. The evaluation is based on system-level simulations and includes orbit analysis, link budget analysis, blade interference analysis, and End-to-End (E2E) frame transmission.

The contributions of this work are: (i) this is the first study deploying 5G-NTN SatCom on RWA and examining the blades' interference, to the best of author's knowledge, and (ii) the E2E simulations performed indicate technical challenges and gaps for the full adoption of 5G-NTN on RWA.

# II. SCENARIOS FOR ROTARY WING AIRCRAFT WITH SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS

The deployment of SatCom on RWA shall provide new functionalities and improve those that are limited by the use of terrestrial networks. Table I presents the key parameters of the scenarios considered in the current work. Several of the scenarios involve the prevention and handling of emergency situations through surveillance/observation and fast transportation. In such occasions, the terrestrial networks may be unstable/down or even unavailable in non-urban regions

Aircraft Type	Scenario ID	Scenario Mission	<b>Operational</b> <b>Environment</b>	Data Types	Data Rate Requirement <sup>1</sup>	Service Availability Requirement <sup>2</sup>
	1	Industrial Infrastructure Inspection	industrial zones, tunnels, roads, factories	visual, thermal, multispectral, LiDAR	high	high
	2	Physical Network Surveillance	electricity powerlines, railways	visual, thermal, multispectral	high	high
	3	Mining and Construction Management	mine & construction visual, magnetic, sites, tunnels, roads multispectral, LiDAR		high	medium
JAN AUG	4	Livestock and Agricultural Management	farms, meadows, hills, barns	visual, thermal	medium	low
	5	5 Medical Supplies islands, fo Delivery mc		command & control	low	high
	6 Fire Recognition and mounta Surveillance indust		mountains, forests, industrial zones	visual, thermal, multispectral	high	high
	7	Forest and Maritime Observation	forests, mountains, seas, lakes	visual, thermal, multispectral, LiDAR	high	high
	8	Precise and Remote Data Gathering	urban & rural areas of interest	visual, thermal, multispectral, LiDAR	medium	low
4	9	Urban Aerial Transportation	cities, airports	visual, audio	high	medium
	10	Suburban Aerial Transportation	suburbs, villages, airports	visual, audio	high	medium
UP	11	Aerial Ambulance	cities, urban & rural remote areas	visual, audio	high	high
UN UN UN UN UN	12	Aerial Fire Brigade	mountains, forests, industrial zones	visual, thermal, multispectral, audio	high	high
	13	Land Emergency Situation	forests, deserts, mountains, rivers	visual, audio	high	high
	14	Sea Emergency Situation	islands, coastal regions, seas, lakes	visual, audio	high	high
\$	15	15 Medical Emergency urban & rural visual. Situation remote areas		visual, audio	high	high
ticopte	16	Assisted/Remote Piloting	urban & rural areas	visual, audio	high	high
the.	17	Video Broadcasting of Earth	urban & rural areas of interest	visual, audio	high	low
	18	Tourist/VIP Aerial Transportation	tourist areas, remote private areas	visual, audio	high	medium
	19	Aerial Fire Brigade	mountains, forests, industrial zones	visual, thermal, multispectral, audio	high	high

TABLE I
REAL-WORLD SCENARIOS FOR ROTARY WING AIRCRAFT WITH SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS

<sup>1</sup> The data rate requirement is specified with respect to the real-time transmission need and data volume.

<sup>2</sup> The service availability requirement is specified with respect to the criticality of the scenario mission in terms of public safety and human lives.

(e.g., seas, desserts). Nevertheless, for increased reliability and performance, the scenarios can support both terrestrial and satellite communications. The table also reports the types of data that are generated and transmitted in each scenario. The majority of data regards multimedia (mainly images and video), which impose strict constraints for high data rates, especially when the transmission is performed in real time (e.g., in monitoring or broadcasting scenarios). Finally, the service availability requirement is qualitatively assessed, considering the criticality of the scenario for humans.

# **III. SYSTEM DEFINITION & ANALYSIS**

A brief summary on the system definition follows. In particular, the description and system requirements of the satellite constellations, rotary wing aircraft, blades' interference model, and 5G-NTN protocol are presented.

#### A. Satellite Constellations

The Keplerian elements and payload parameters of the satellites are provided by OHB System AG and correspond to active, operational constellations. For the scope of the project, one GEO, one MEO and two LEO constellations are employed. Their Keplerian elements are presented in Table II, while the main RF payload parameters for the Ka-band are reported in Table III. Additionally, an S-band payload is integrated in the LEO constellations. This payload includes a patch antenna with a maximum gain of 5dBi that is fed by a transmitter with an output power of 33dBm. The S-band antenna possesses a more omnidirectional pattern, while its equivalent noise temperature is equal to 400K.

#### B. Rotary Wing Aircraft

The first UAV tested is DJI Matrice 30, illustrated in Fig. 1a, which has a high-performance dual camera system with maximum flight time of more than 40 minutes. This flight autonomy is ideal for tasks such as public safety and maintenance. Due to its design, the antenna can be located

 TABLE II

 Keplerian Elements of Satellite Constellations

	GEO	MEO	LEO-1	LEO-2
Altitude (km)	35786	8063	1050	720
Planes (#)	1	4	12	12
Inclination (°)	6	90, 90, 70, 70	89	53.5
RAAN (°)	_	0, 90, 45, 135	$(N-1) \cdot 15^*$	30
Plane Satellites (#)	1	6	24	22
Total Satellites (#)	1	24	288	264
Configuration	_	Star	Star	Delta

\* For the N-th plane.

 TABLE III

 RF KA-BAND PAYLOAD OF SATELLITE CONSTELLATIONS

	GEO	MEO	LEO-1	LEO-2
Antenna Type	Parabolic Reflector	Dire	Array	
Beams (#)	2	256	64	6
Beam EIRP (dBW)	58.1	62	50	40
HPBW (°)	0.2	2.5	4.6	2.4
G/T (dB/K)	12.3	10.8	5	4

at the center of the main body. Thus, there is no blade interference, allowing for a generic performance of SatCom at UAVs.

The second UAV simulated is Alpha 900 from AUS (Fig. 1b). It is robust and can work over several hours up to 5 Beaufort winds. It is also ideal for inspection tasks, especially in harsh environments, and due to its design, it is expected that the installed antenna will be located below the blades. Thus, blades' interference is expected to play an important role.

In the case of UAM, as most of them are still in development phase, the already certified EHang 216 (Fig. 1c) has been selected. It is fully electric with eight propellers, and can be used for transportation between cities, or even for medical transportation. An antenna can be installed at the main body, thus avoiding blades' interference and allowing again for a generic assessment.

For the helicopter scenarios, the proven Airbus H135 was selected (Fig. 1d), which can be used for a multitude of tasks, from passenger transportation to emergency medical services and law enforcement. It is compact and maneuverable, with four blades at its main rotor. The necessary SatCom antenna is expected to be installed at a point where blades' interference exists. Also, due to its dimensions, mechanically steerable antennas for communication with GEO satellites is possible.

Table IV summarizes the specifications of RWA's antennas. These antennas have been modelled to satisfy the system requirements and features of RWA.





TABLE IV ANTENNA CHARACTERISTICS OF ROTARY WING AIRCRAFT

	UAV-1	UAV-2	UAM	HELI
Manufacturer	DJI	AUS	EHang	Airbus
Model	Matrice 30	Alpha 900	EHang 216	H135
Antenna Type	Patch Array	Patch Antenna	Phased Array	Parabolic / Phased Array
Freq. Band	Ka-Band	S-Band	Ka-Band	Ku- / Ka-Band
Bandwidth (MHz)	30	30	400	36 / 400
Beamwidth (°)	26.2	89.8	3.2-4.4	2 / 3.2-4.4
Max Gain (dBi)	17.33	5.15	36.26	41.4 / 36.26
Position on RWA	main body	under blades	main body	under blades

# C. Blade Interference in Communication Link

To calculate the interference of the RWA's blades in the link, a simplified model has been developed. Without loss of generality, it is assumed that the antenna, placed under the blades, points as shown in Fig. 2. The point where the antenna direction meets the blade is defined as "interference point" and depends on the antenna's position and the elevation angle.

Let  $t_{start}$  and  $t_{stop}$  be the timestamps that the blade starts and stops, respectively, to pass from the interference point. Hence, the interference time of each rotor's blade is  $t_{int} = t_{stop} - t_{start}$ . In the time interval  $t_{int}$ , the blade is rotated approximately by distance equal to its width W, creating an angle  $\phi$ . W is the arc of  $\phi$ , while the radius of the circle formed, labeled as  $D_{rotor}$ , is equal to the distance between the interference point and the rotor shaft (circle center). The angle  $\phi$  is calculated as follows:

$$W = 2\pi \cdot D_{rotor} \cdot (\phi/360) \Rightarrow \phi = 360W/(2\pi \cdot D_{rotor}) \quad (1)$$

Let  $R_{dms} = 0.006 \cdot R_{rpm}$  be the blades' rotational speed in deg/ms. Assuming  $t_0 = t_{start}$ , the interference time of each blade, i.e.,  $t_{int}$ , is calculated as follows:

$$t_{int} = \phi/R_{dms} \tag{2}$$

Let  $T_{rot} = 360/R_{dms}$  be the blades' rotation time, and  $N_{bld}$  be the number of blades. The total non-interference time in one rotation is calculated as follows:

$$T_{lnk} = T_{rot} - N_{bld} \cdot t_{int} \tag{3}$$

Hence, the time interval between two interferences, i.e., the continuous link time, is calculated as follows:

$$t_{lnk} = T_{lnk} / N_{bld} \tag{4}$$

Fig. 3 illustrates the results from the analysis of the blades' interference in Alpha 900 and H135. Alpha 900 has a  $3.2 \times$  higher rotational speed than H135. As a result, both its interference and continuous link times are smaller. It is also noted that the interference time is reduced as  $D_{rotor}$  increases.

## D. 5G-NTN Satellite Communications

5G-NTN is being developed by 3GPP based on 5G New Radio (NR). In the current work, the Physical Downlink Shared Channel (PDSCH), Physical Uplink Shared Channel (PUSCH), and NTN channels are modeled in the system-level simulations. Table V reports the operating bands and the channel arrangement of 5G-NTN, while Table VI summarizes key parameters about the 10ms 5G frame and the bandwidth.

#### **IV. SYSTEM MODELING & SIMULATION**

For simulating the orbits and the satellite constellations, the *Ansys STK* tool is employed. For the 5G-NTN modeling, link budget calculations and E2E simulations, the *MATLAB* tool is used. Regarding the scenarios, five of them from Table I are selected, which impose increased technical challenges and strict requirements for data rate and service availability. Moreover, realistic flight duration and routes are selected based on the aircraft autonomy and the mission's objectives.



Fig. 2. Modeling of the blades' interference in the SatCom link of RWA.



Fig. 3. Blades' effect in the SatCom link of RWA.

TABLE V OPERATING BANDS AND CHANNEL ARRANGEMENT OF 5G-NTN

Freq. B	and	UL Freq. (GHz)	DL Freq. (GHz)	Channel BW (MHz)	Subcarrier Spac. (kHz)	
	n254	1.61-1.63	2.48-2.50	5/10/15		
NTN-FR1	n255	1.63-1.66	1.53-1.56	5/10/15/20	15/30/60	
	n256	1.98-2.01	2.17 - 2.20	5/10/15/20/30		
	n510	27.50-28.35	17.30-20.20			
NTN-FR2	n511	28.35-30.00	17.30-20.20	50/100/200/40	0 60/120	
	n512	27.50-30.00	17.30-20.20			

\* Source: 3GPP TS 38.101-5 Version 18.5.0 Release 18.

 TABLE VI
 5G-NTN FRAME STRUCTURE AND BANDWIDTH CONFIGURATION

Subcarrier Spac. (kHz)	Slots per Frame (#)	Slot Length (ms)	Resource Blocks (#)	Channel BW (MHz)	
15	10	1	[25, 160]	[5, 30]	
30	20	0.5	[11, 78]	[5, 30]	
60	40	0.25	[11, 264]	[10, 200]	
120	80	0.125	[32, 264]	[50, 400]	

\* Source: 3GPP TS 38.211 Version 18.2.0 Release 18.

Table VII reports the results of the orbit and link budget analysis. A satellite handover is performed when the elevation angle drops below  $40^{\circ}-35^{\circ}$ . The total propagation loss includes free space loss and troposphere impairments (e.g., rain and cloud attenuation). Both the Doppler shift and the propagation loss are within the expected range considering the orbit and the frequency band. Moreover, in case the Carrierto-Noise Ratio (CNR) is low, the analysis is also performed for lower bandwidth, where CNR' is calculated. The other solution would be to increase the transmit power, which is not always feasible, as it may require potential re-design like in the case of small UAVs.

Fig. 4 presents the Bit Error Rate (BER) and data rate curves of the 5G-NTN simulations corresponding to the scenarios. For the 5G waveform configuration, an extensive exploration of

TABLE VII Orbit & Link Budget Analysis for Real-World Scenarios with Rotary Wing Aircraft and Satellite Communications

Scon		Satallita	Flight		Elevation Ar	ngle (°)	Doppler Sl	hift (kHz)	Loss (dB) <sup>3</sup>	CNR (d	<b>B</b> )	CNR' (d	B) <sup>4</sup>
ID	RWA	Constel.	Time <sup>1</sup>	Link / Band	Range	Avg.	Range	Avg.	Average	Range	Avg.	Range	Avg.
6	UAV-1	LEO-2	0.5h	UL / Ka-Band	[35.6, 89.3]	56.7	[8, 525]	346	181	[-64.1, 0.5]	-17.6	[-61.2, 3.5]	-14.6
7	UAV-2	LEO-1	2h	UL / S-Band	[40.3, 89.3]	58.3	[0.6, 35]	24	161	[-3.5, 4.7]	1.1	[4.2, 12.5]	8.8
11	UAM	MEO	1.45h	DL / Ka-Band	[39.2, 85.6]	61.6	[11, 113]	68	198	[2.6, 7.9]	6.1	[8.5, 14]	12.1
15a	HELI	LEO-1	2h	UL / Ka-Band	[39.5, 89.1]	59.1	[10, 466]	305	189	[-24.7, 7.6]	0.1	[-21.6, 10.7]	3.2
15b	HELI	GEO	2h	UL / Ku-Band <sup>2</sup>	[18.9, 23.8]	21.4	[20, 21]	20.5	210	[5.1, 15.8]	13.6	-	-
19	HELI	LEO-2	2.5h	DL / Ka-Band	[34.6, 88.7]	54.5	[10, 351]	242	180	[6.4, 28.9]	22.9	-	-

<sup>1</sup> The satellite access percentage is 99.9% in all scenarios.

<sup>2</sup> Ku-Band is not applicable to 5G-NTN, however, it has been selected for comparison purposes.

The propagation loss includes free space loss and Earth-space losses based on ITU P.618 model (heavy rain also appears during the flights).

<sup>4</sup> CNR' is calculated for reduced channel bandwidth compared to CNR (lowest bandwidth allowed in the frequency band for scenarios 6, 7, 15a).



Fig. 4. End-to-end 5G-NTN simulations for the RWA scenarios, tailored to the CNR range of each flight (Table VII).

the subcarrier spacing, channel bandwidth, modulation order, and transport block size has been carried out. The selected configurations aim to provide low error rates within the CNR range of the scenarios, while also taking into account the available bandwidths of each frequency band (see Table V). The simulations have been performed for 100 5G frames.

First, it is observed that the blades have a significant impact on BER, even if the average  $t_{int}$  is 1.6ms and 2–3.2ms, as calculated based on the elevation angle for UAV-2 and HELI, respectively. This is justified by the fact that  $t_{int} > t_{slot}$  (see Table VI), namely several slots (i.e., transport blocks) are lost. For example, in case of Scenario 7, where  $t_{int}$ =1.6ms and  $t_{lnk}$ =13.9ms on average, approximately 3 slots are lost and then 28 are passed in a recurring pattern. Namely, ~10% of the slots are lost, and thus BER lies around  $10^{-1}$ . Second, taking into account the average data rates of the UAM and HELI flights, high-resolution video can be transmitted, especially when using the H.265 compression standard. Even in the case of UAVs, low-resolution video can be streamed during the surveillance and observation missions. Third, the low performance of Scenario 6 is mainly attributed to the UAV-1's patch array, which has a fixed radiation pattern, contrary to HELI's phased array that has steerable radiation pattern. As a result, this antenna cannot adjust its steering to the passing LEO satellites. When comparing Scenario 15a (LEO, Kaband) and Scenario 15b (GEO, Ku-band), the latter provides better CNR due to its antenna (more dbW and better max. gain). Finally, it is worth mentioning that the heavy rain has a significant negative impact on BER and data rate, as observed during the RWA's flights.

## V. DISCUSSION

The ACROSS-AIR project has investigated system-wise the use of SatCom for RWA; realistic scenarios were specified, system requirements were analyzed, and system-level E2E simulations were executed. Through this way, key results and outcomes have been produced, which led to the identification of several technical and non-technical challenges & open issues. These points cover all the necessary components for the successful implementation of the SatCom operations, covering the space, aircraft, and telecommunications segments. Subsequently, some significant challenges are briefly presented:

- Small vs Large RWA: RWA operate at relatively low heights, compared e.g., with fixed wing aircraft. Thus, the distance of the aircraft to the satellite is larger and the UL signal is not capable to transmit real-time video; usually, telemetry data in real time is the absolute maximum. For a large RWA, installing several patch antennas and large amplifiers (in the case of LEO connections) will possibly solve the problem. Additionally, to communicate with multi-orbit constellations, i.e., MEO and LEO, and due to the existence of handovers, the development of conformal antennas not affecting the aircraft's airworthiness is required. For small RWA, this is almost impossible with the current technology. The use of novel materials with improved size, weight, and power is required to comply with the strict space and power constraints of RWA. Namely, new components, such as more powerful amplifiers with smaller dimensions or denser patch antennas, are needed.

- <u>Paradigm Shift in E2E Architecture</u>: Future satellite constellations are in the pipeline from various private entities, while in Europe, the IRIS<sup>2</sup> constellation is being designed. In several of these cases, there are proprietary protocols, or the terminals can connect with specific types of satellites. The use of 5G-NTN, as well as the future versions, i.e., B5G and 6G, solve the problem to some extent. However, and perhaps for some particular operations, such as S&R or for medical emergencies, a different multi-layered architecture has to be developed and embedded in the next SatCom systems. This architecture could be able to operate with any satellite system available, like roaming in mobile telephony.

- <u>Design of Future Satellites</u>: Given the fact that it may be difficult for UAVs and UAMs to increase their UL capabilities beyond a threshold, the other end of this link may be able to be changed. More powerful but compact satellites, especially for LEO, can be explored. Current emerging technologies, such as AI and SDR, can help on that, but also larger receptors have to be designed. In the case of smallsats, deployable structures which can be folded several times during launch pave the way for such a capability.

<u>– Communication Protocols Enrichment</u>: Although the 5G-NTN protocol is many steps ahead from the early releases of the 3GPP guidelines, there are still many open points for the use of SatCom in the cases of RWA. For example, a consistent way to counteract the intermissions created by the rotation of the blades, or specific adaptations of the protocol to allow for more efficient use of UL for the smaller RWA, are necessary.

All these challenges are to an extent generic, and they can be considered as the overall goals to be achieved in order to incorporate the efficient use of SatCom for RWA. Apparently, each of these goals has to be further analysed into separate but interconnected technological building blocks, while related roadmaps for the next 5-10 years have to be developed.

## VI. CONCLUSION

In this work, an E2E analysis for the performance of 5G-NTN SatCom for manned and unmanned RWA was performed, covering the space, aviation, and telecommunications segments. Various realistic scenarios were examined, and relevant system requirements were presented. First, an orbit and link budget analysis took place to extract key system parameters such as the elevation angles, Doppler shifts, propagation losses and CNRs. Subsequently, BER and data rate results were presented from the 5G-NTN simulations corresponding to a diverse set of scenarios with various aircraft, orbits, links, and frequency bands. Moreover, the effect of the blades in the SatCom link was analyzed and measured. In summary, the small UAVs challenge the antenna design when targeting high data rates and low error rates. The blades also constitute a very challenging issue, as they increase BER to around  $10^{-1}$ . Finally, the theoretical data rates of 5G are achieved by the large RWA (UAM and helicopters) in clear sky conditions, exploiting the capabilities of their phased array antennas.

### VII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work has been supported by the European Space Agency (ESA) via the funded activity ACROSS-AIR: "Advanced Broadband SatCom Solutions for Rotary Wing Aircraft" with reference number 4000141490/23/NL/MM/gm (https://connectivity.esa.int/projects/acrossair). The views of the authors do not necessarily reflect the views of ESA.

#### REFERENCES

- O. Kodheli *et al.*, "Satellite Communications in the New Space Era: A Survey and Future Challenges," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 70–109, 2021.
- [2] A. Golkar and A. Salado, "Definition of New Space—Expert Survey Results and Key Technology Trends," *IEEE Journal on Miniaturization* for Air and Space Systems, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 2–9, 2021.
- [3] V. Leon et al., "Towards Employing FPGA and ASIP Acceleration to Enable Onboard AI/ML in Space Applications," in *IFIP/IEEE International Conference on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI-SoC)*, 2022, pp. 1–4.
- [4] M. Hosseinian et al., "Review of 5G NTN Standards Development and Technical Challenges for Satellite Integration With the 5G Network," *IEEE Aerospace and Electronic Systems Magazine*, vol. 36, no. 8, pp. 22–31, 2021.
- [5] H. Shakhatreh *et al.*, "Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs): A Survey on Civil Applications and Key Research Challenges," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 48 572–48 634, 2019.
- [6] A. P. Cohen *et al.*, "Urban Air Mobility: History, Ecosystem, Market Potential, and Challenges," *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, vol. 22, no. 9, pp. 6074–6087, 2021.
- [7] M. M. Saad *et al.*, "Non-Terrestrial Networks: An Overview of 3GPP Release 17 & 18," *IEEE Internet of Things Magazine*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 20–26, 2024.