

OPERATIONAL 2-LOCAL AUTOMORPHISMS/DERIVATIONS

LIGUANG WANG AND NGAI-CHING WONG

ABSTRACT. Let $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a (not necessarily linear, additive or continuous) map of a standard operator algebra. Suppose for any $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ there is an algebra automorphism $\theta_{a,b}$ of \mathcal{A} such that

$$\phi(a)\phi(b) = \theta_{a,b}(ab).$$

We show that either ϕ or $-\phi$ is a linear Jordan homomorphism. Similar results are obtained when any of the following conditions is satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(a) + \phi(b) &= \theta_{a,b}(a + b), \\ \phi(a)\phi(b) + \phi(b)\phi(a) &= \theta_{a,b}(ab + ba), \quad \text{or} \\ \phi(a)\phi(b)\phi(a) &= \theta_{a,b}(aba). \end{aligned}$$

We also show that a map $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ of a semi-finite von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} is a linear derivation if for every $a, b \in \mathcal{M}$ there is a linear derivation $D_{a,b}$ of \mathcal{M} such that

$$\phi(a)b + a\phi(b) = D_{a,b}(ab).$$

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{A} be a complex $(*)$ -algebra. We call a map $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ a $(*)$ -*automorphism* if θ is bijective, $(*)$ -linear, and multiplicative. We call θ a *local $(*)$ -automorphism* if for every a in \mathcal{A} there is a $(*)$ -automorphism θ_a of \mathcal{A} , depending on a , such that $\theta(a) = \theta_a(a)$. Although a local automorphism preserves idempotents, square zero elements, central elements, invertibility, and spectrum, it can be nonlinear and/or non-multiplicative (see, e.g., [13]).

The notion of local automorphisms is introduced by Larson and Sourour [11]. They showed that every surjective linear local automorphism of a matrix algebra is either an automorphism or an anti-automorphism, and that of $B(H)$ is an automorphism whenever H is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space (see also Brešar and Šemrl [4]). It is also known that a surjective linear local automorphism of a (resp. purely infinite) C^* -algebra of real rank zero, or a (resp. properly infinite) von Neumann algebra, is a linear Jordan isomorphism (resp. an automorphism), while a linear local automorphism of an abelian C^* -algebra is always an algebra homomorphism (see, e.g., [13]).

We call a (not necessarily linear, additive or continuous) map $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ a *2-local $(*)$ -automorphism* if for any a, b in \mathcal{A} there is a $(*)$ -automorphism $\theta_{a,b}$ of \mathcal{A} such that $\theta(a) = \theta_{a,b}(a)$ and $\theta(b) = \theta_{a,b}(b)$. It is clear that a 2-local $(*)$ -automorphism

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L10, 46L50.

Key words and phrases. local automorphisms, local derivations, standard operator algebras, von Neumann algebras.

Corresponding author: Ngai-Ching Wong, wong@math.nsysu.edu.tw.

θ is a local $(*)$ -automorphism. It is also known that every 2-local automorphism of a standard operator algebra \mathcal{A} on a Banach space is an algebra homomorphism provided that it is continuous with respect to the weak operator topology or its range contains all finite rank operators, while a surjective 2-local automorphism of a C^* -algebra is an algebra automorphism (see [12]). Moreover, every 2-local $*$ -automorphism of a von Neumann algebra is an algebra $*$ -homomorphism ([5]).

On the other hand, a linear map $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is said to be a *derivation* if

$$D(ab) = D(a)b + aD(b) \quad \text{for all } a, b \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Every derivation on a C^* -algebra is (norm) continuous (see [16, Lemma 4.1.3]). Kadison and Sakai showed that every (linear) derivation $D : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ of a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} is inner, namely, there is an $s \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$D(a) = sa - as \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{M}$$

(see [16, Theorem 4.1.6]). It is also known that every derivation of a simple C^* -algebra with identity is inner ([15]; see also [16, Theorem 4.1.11 and Corollary 4.1.7]), and every derivation from a standard operator algebra into $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is an inner derivation, where X is a Banach space ([6]).

We call a map $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ a *local derivation* if for any a in \mathcal{A} there is a derivation D_a of \mathcal{A} , depending on a , such that $\phi(a) = D_a(a)$. Every linear local derivation of a C^* -algebra is continuous ([9, Theorem 7.5]), and indeed a derivation ([17, Corollary 1]; see also [3]). In particular, every linear local derivation ϕ on a von Neumann algebra is an inner derivation ([10, Theorem A]).

We call a map $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ a *2-local derivation* if for any a, b in \mathcal{A} there is a derivation $D_{a,b}$ of \mathcal{A} such that $\phi(a) = D_{a,b}(a)$ and $\phi(b) = D_{a,b}(b)$. It is clear that 2-local derivations are local derivations. Ayupov and Kudaybergenov showed that every 2-local derivation of a von Neumann algebra is a linear local derivation, and thus an inner derivation ([2, Theorem 2.1]).

Recently, Molnár [14] studied some operational forms of 2-local automorphisms/derivations. They are those maps $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying one of the following conditions: for any $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, there is an automorphism $\theta_{a,b}$ or a (linear) derivation $D_{a,b}$ of \mathcal{A} such that

$$(1.1) \quad \phi(a) + \phi(b) = \theta_{a,b}(a + b),$$

$$(1.2) \quad \phi(a)\phi(b) = \theta_{a,b}(ab), \quad \text{or}$$

$$(1.3) \quad \phi(a)b + a\phi(b) = D_{a,b}(ab).$$

It is clear that any 2-local automorphism/derivation is an operational 2-local automorphism/derivation.

Although Proposition 1.1(c) below is stated for standard operator algebras on Hilbert spaces in [14, Theorem 2.7], the statement and the proof there are indeed also good for the case when E is a Banach space.

Proposition 1.1 (Molnár [14]). *(a) Let $\phi : \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ satisfy (1.1) and $n \geq 3$. Then ϕ is either an algebra automorphism or an algebra anti-automorphism. (b) Let $\phi : \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ satisfy (1.2). Then either ϕ or $-\phi$ is an algebra automorphism.*

(c) Let \mathcal{A} be a standard operator algebra over a complex Banach space E . Let $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$ satisfy (1.3). Then ϕ is a linear derivation.

In this note, we extend above results of Molnár, among many others, about operational 2-local automorphisms to the setting of standard operator algebras. We also show that any operational 2-local derivation of a semi-finite von Neumann algebra or a unital simple C^* -algebra with a faithful tracial state is a linear local derivation.

2. OPERATIONAL 2-LOCAL AUTOMORPHISMS

Let E be a (complex) Banach space. Denote by $\mathcal{B}(E)$ the algebra of bounded linear operators on E , and $\mathcal{F}(E)$ its subalgebra of (continuous) finite rank operators. A standard operator algebra \mathcal{A} on E is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(E)$ containing $\mathcal{F}(E)$.

A (linear) Jordan isomorphism J between standard operator algebras is either an algebra isomorphism or an algebra anti-isomorphism; namely, J assumes either the form

- $T \mapsto STS^{-1}$ for an invertible bounded linear map $S : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$, or
- $T \mapsto ST'S^{-1}$ for an invertible bounded linear map $S : E'_1 \rightarrow E_2$,

where E' is the Banach dual space of E , and $T' : E'_1 \rightarrow E'_1$ is the dual map of T . When the second form holds, both E_1 and E_2 are reflexive ([7, Theorem 2.6]).

Proposition 2.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a standard operator algebra \mathcal{A} on a complex Banach space E of dimension at least three. Let $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a map such that the range of ϕ contains all rank one idempotents. Suppose for any $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ there is an algebra automorphism $\theta_{a,b}$ of \mathcal{A} such that*

$$(2.1) \quad \phi(a) + \phi(b) = \theta_{a,b}(a + b).$$

Then the restriction $\phi|_{\mathcal{F}(E)}$ is an algebra automorphism or an anti-automorphism of $\mathcal{F}(E)$. If ϕ is continuous with respect to the weak operator topology then ϕ is an algebra homomorphism or an anti-homomorphism of \mathcal{A} .

Proof. With $b = -a$ in (2.1), we see that $\phi(-a) = -\phi(a)$ for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus, we have $\phi(0) = 0$ and

$$\phi(a) - \phi(b) = \theta_{a,-b}(a - b) \quad \text{for all } a, b \in \mathcal{A}.$$

With $b = 0$ in (2.1), we see that ϕ is a local automorphism of \mathcal{A} . In particular, ϕ sends idempotents to idempotents, and preserves rank. Let p, q be disjoint idempotents in \mathcal{A} of finite rank m and n ; that is, $pq = qp = 0$. Then (2.1) implies that $\phi(p) + \phi(q)$ is an idempotent of rank $m + n$. Hence, ϕ sends exactly disjoint idempotents to disjoint idempotents. In particular, ϕ induces a bijection of the set of all rank-one idempotents which preserves disjointness in both directions.

Let p be an idempotent of finite rank $n \geq 3$. Then $p' = \phi(p)$ is again an idempotent of rank n . Let $a = pap$ in $\mathcal{F}(E)$. For any finite rank idempotent q disjoint from p , we have

$$\phi(a) + \phi(q) = \theta_{a,q}(a + q)$$

has rank strictly greater than the rank of a . This forces $\phi(a)$ disjoint from $I - \phi(p) = I - p'$. Indeed, suppose that $\phi(a)(I - p')$ has rank $1 \leq m \leq n$. We can write

$\phi(a)(I - p') = \sum_{j=1}^m y_j \otimes f_j$ with linearly independent vectors y_1, \dots, y_m in E and linearly independent norm one linear functionals f_1, \dots, f_m such that $f_j = 0$ on $p'E$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Here, $v \otimes g$ denotes the rank one operator $u \mapsto g(u)v$. Let x_1 be a unit vector in $(I - p')E$ such that $f_1(x_1) = 1$ and $f_2(x_1) = \dots = f_m(x_1) = 0$. Then the rank one idempotent $q' = x_1 \otimes f_1$ is disjoint from p' . Note that

$$\phi(a) + q' = \phi(a)(I - p') + q' + \phi(a)p' = (x_1 + y_1) \otimes f_1 + \sum_{j=2}^m y_j \otimes f_j + \phi(a)p'$$

has rank at most the rank of $\phi(a)$. Let q be a rank one idempotent in $\mathcal{F}(E)$ such that $\phi(q) = q'$. Since $\phi(p)\phi(q) = p'q' = 0$, we have $pq = 0$, and thus $qa = aq = 0$. We then arrive at a contradiction:

$$\text{rank}(\phi(a)) \geq \text{rank}(\phi(a) + \phi(q)) = \text{rank}(a + q) = \text{rank}(a) + 1 = \text{rank}(\phi(a)) + 1.$$

It says $\phi(a)p' = \phi(a)$. Similarly, we see that $p'\phi(a) = \phi(a)$. Therefore,

$$\phi(a) = p'\phi(a)p' \quad \text{for any } a = pap \in \mathcal{F}(E).$$

In other words, ϕ sends $p\mathcal{F}(E)p \cong M_n(\mathbb{C})$ into $p'\mathcal{F}(E)p' \cong M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Applying Proposition 1.1(a), we see that ϕ induces a linear Jordan isomorphism from $p\mathcal{F}(E)p$ onto $p'\mathcal{F}(E)p'$.

For any $a, b \in \mathcal{F}(E)$, let p be an idempotent of big enough finite rank at least three such that $a = pap$ and $b = pap$. Since ϕ induces a linear Jordan isomorphism from $p\mathcal{F}(E)p$ onto $\phi(p)\mathcal{F}(E)\phi(p)$, we have $\phi(\alpha a + \beta b) = \alpha\phi(a) + \beta\phi(b)$ and $\phi(ab + ba) = \phi(a)\phi(b) + \phi(b)\phi(a)$ for all scalars α, β . Since the range of ϕ contains all rank one idempotents and ϕ preserves rank, ϕ restricts to a linear Jordan automorphism from $\mathcal{F}(E)$ onto $\mathcal{F}(E)$.

Finally, since every bounded linear operator on E is a limit of a net of finite rank operators in the weak operator topology, the last assertion is established. \square

Definition 2.2. Fix a finite sequence (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m) such that $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m\} = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and $k \geq 2$. Suppose there is an index i_p different from all other indices i_q . Define a *generalized product* for operators T_1, \dots, T_k by

$$T_1 * \dots * T_k = T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_m}.$$

Similarly, we define a *generalized Jordan product*

$$T_1 \circ \dots \circ T_k = T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_m} + T_{i_m} \dots T_{i_1}.$$

The generalized product covers the usual product $T_1 * \dots * T_k = T_1 \dots T_k$ and the Jordan triple product $T_1 * T_2 = T_2 T_1 T_2$. The generalized Jordan product covers the usual Jordan product $T_1 \circ T_2 = T_1 T_2 + T_2 T_1$ and the Jordan ternary product $T_1 \circ T_2 \circ T_3 = T_1 T_2 T_3 + T_3 T_2 T_1$.

Theorem 2.3 ([7, Theorem 3.2] and [8, Theorem 2.2]). *Let $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ be standard operator algebras on complex Banach spaces E_1, E_2 , respectively. Let $T_1 * \dots * T_k$ and $T_1 \circ \dots \circ T_k$ be a generalized product and a generalized Jordan product defined as in Definition 2.2, and $\Phi : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ is a (not necessarily linear, additive or continuous) map. Suppose*

(a) $\Phi(\mathcal{A}_1)$ contains all finite rank operators on E_2 of rank at most two, and the spectrum

$$(2.2) \quad \sigma(\Phi(A_1) * \cdots * \Phi(A_k)) = \sigma(A_1 * \cdots * A_k)$$

holds whenever any one of A_1, \dots, A_k has rank at most one; or

(b) $\Phi(\mathcal{A}_1)$ contains all finite rank operators on E_2 of rank at most three, and the spectrum

$$(2.3) \quad \sigma(\Phi(A_1) \circ \cdots \circ \Phi(A_k)) = \sigma(A_1 \circ \cdots \circ A_k)$$

holds whenever any one of A_1, \dots, A_k has rank at most one.

Then there exist a scalar ξ with $\xi^m = 1$ such that $\Phi = \xi J$ for a linear Jordan homomorphism $J : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$.

Moreover, J will be an algebra homomorphism in case (a) unless the generalized product is symmetric in the sense that $m = 2p - 1$ and

$$(i_1, \dots, i_{p-1}, i_p, i_{p+1}, \dots, i_{2p-1}) = (i_{2p-1}, \dots, i_{p+1}, i_p, i_{p-1}, \dots, i_1).$$

Theorem 2.4. Let \mathcal{A} be a standard operator algebra on a complex Banach space E . Let $T_1 * \cdots * T_k$ and $T_1 \circ \cdots \circ T_k$ be the generalized product and Jordan products of operators in \mathcal{A} defined as in Definition 2.2. Let $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a map such that $\Phi(\mathcal{A})$ contains all finite rank operators on E of rank at most two (resp. three). Suppose for any a_1, \dots, a_k in \mathcal{A} , in which one of them has rank at most one, there is an algebra (resp. linear Jordan) automorphism θ_{a_1, \dots, a_k} of \mathcal{A} such that

$$(2.4) \quad \phi(a_1) * \cdots * \phi(a_k) = \theta_{a_1, \dots, a_k}(a_1 * \cdots * a_k)$$

$$(2.5) \quad (\text{resp. } \phi(a_1) \circ \cdots \circ \phi(a_k) = \theta_{a_1, \dots, a_k}(a_1 \circ \cdots \circ a_k)).$$

Then ϕ assumes either the form

$$A \mapsto \xi SAS^{-1} \quad \text{or} \quad A \mapsto \xi SA'S^{-1}$$

for a complex scalar ξ with $\xi^m = 1$, and an invertible bounded linear operator $S : E \rightarrow E$ or $S : E' \rightarrow E$.

Proof. Since both algebra isomorphisms and linear Jordan isomorphisms preserve spectrum, condition (2.4) implies condition (2.2), and condition (2.5) implies condition (2.3). It then follows from Theorem 2.3 the desired assertions. \square

Corollary 2.5. Let \mathcal{A} be a standard operator algebra \mathcal{A} on a complex Banach space. Let $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a map such that the range of ϕ contains all finite rank operators on E of rank at most two. Consider the following operational 2-local automorphism conditions.

- (a) $\phi(a)\phi(b) = \theta_{a,b}(ab)$,
- (b) $\phi(a)\phi(b)\phi(a) = \theta_{a,b}(aba)$, or
- (c) $\phi(a)\phi(b)\phi(a)^2 = \theta_{a,b}(aba^2)$,

where $\theta_{a,b}$ is an automorphism of \mathcal{A} for any $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, in which one of a, b has rank at most one.

If (a) holds, then either ϕ or $-\phi$ is an automorphism. If (b) holds then one of ϕ , $\omega\phi$ or $\omega^2\phi$ is either an automorphism or an anti-automorphism, where ω is

a primitive cubic root of unity. If (c) holds then one of $\pm\phi$ and $\pm\sqrt{-1}\phi$ is an automorphism.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.4 that $\xi^{-1}\phi$ is an automorphism or an anti-automorphism of \mathcal{A} for some m th root ξ of unity with $m = 2, 3$ or 4 . The assertions follow from Theorem 2.3 since the products in (a) and (c) are not symmetric. \square

We note that aba and its transpose $(aba)^t = a^t b^t a^t$ are similar if a or b has finite rank, when E is a Hilbert space. Thus the transpose map $a \mapsto a^t$ satisfies condition (b) in Corollary 2.5.

It follows from Theorem 2.4 the same way the following results for operational 2-local and 3-local Jordan automorphisms.

Corollary 2.6. *Let \mathcal{A} be a standard operator algebra \mathcal{A} on a complex Banach space E . Let $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a map such that the range of ϕ contains all finite rank operators on E of rank at most three. Consider the following conditions.*

- (a) $\phi(a)\phi(b) + \phi(b)\phi(a) = \theta_{a,b}(ab + ba)$,
- (b) $\phi(a)\phi(b)\phi(c) + \phi(c)\phi(b)\phi(a) = \theta_{a,b,c}(abc + cba)$, or
- (c) $\phi(a)\phi(b)\phi(a)^2 + \phi(a)^2\phi(b)\phi(a) = \theta_{a,b}(aba^2 + a^2ba)$,

where $\theta_{a,b}$ (resp. $\theta_{a,b,c}$) is a linear Jordan automorphism of \mathcal{A} for any $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$, in which one of a, b (resp. a, b, c) has rank at most one.

If (a) holds, then ϕ or $-\phi$ is a linear Jordan automorphism. If (b) holds then one of ϕ , $\omega\phi$ or $\omega^2\phi$ is a linear Jordan automorphism, where ω is a primitive cubic root of unity. If (c) holds then one of $\pm\phi$ and $\pm\sqrt{-1}\phi$ is a linear Jordan automorphism.

The assumption that the range of ϕ contains enough operators of small ranks is indispensable for ϕ being surjective. Consider, for example, the map $\phi(a) = RaL$ of $\mathcal{F}(\ell_2)$, where R is the unilateral shift and $L = R^*$ is the backward unilateral shift on the separable Hilbert space ℓ_2 . Then ϕ is a linear n -local automorphism of $\mathcal{F}(\ell_2)$ for any $n \geq 1$, and thus satisfies all operational n -local automorphism conditions. However, ϕ is not an automorphism of $\mathcal{F}(\ell_2)$.

Theorem 2.7 ([7, Theorem 4.2] and [8, Theorem 4.1]). *Let $\mathcal{S}(H_1), \mathcal{S}(H_2)$ be the sets of bounded self-adjoint operators on complex Hilbert spaces H_1, H_2 . Let $T_1 * \cdots * T_k$ and $T_1 \circ \cdots \circ T_k$ be a generalized product and a generalized Jordan product defined as in Definition 2.2, and $\Phi : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ is a (not necessarily linear, additive or continuous) map. Suppose the range of Φ contains all finite rank self-adjoint operators on H_2 of rank at most two (resp. three), and the spectrum*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\Phi(A_1) * \cdots * \Phi(A_k)) &= \sigma(A_1 * \cdots * A_k) \\ (\text{resp. } \sigma(\Phi(A_1) \circ \cdots \circ \Phi(A_k))) &= \sigma(A_1 \circ \cdots \circ A_k) \end{aligned}$$

holds whenever any one of the self-adjoint operators A_1, \dots, A_k has rank at most one. Then there exist a scalar $\xi \in \{-1, 1\}$ with $\xi^m = 1$ and a surjective linear isometry $U : H_2 \rightarrow H_1$ such that Φ assumes either the form $A \mapsto \xi UAU^*$ or $A \mapsto \xi UA^tU^*$. Here, A^t stands for the transpose of A with respect to a fixed orthonormal basis of H_1 .

Note that we do not need to assume the generalized product of self-adjoint operators is again self-adjoint in [7, Theorem 4.2].

One can derive some results about operational 2-local *-automorphisms from Theorem 2.7. Below is an example.

Corollary 2.8. *Let H be a complex Hilbert space. Let $\phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a map such that the range of ϕ contains all operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ of rank at most two. Suppose that for any $a, b \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ there is a *-automorphism $\theta_{a,b}$ of \mathcal{A} such that*

$$(2.6) \quad \phi(a)^* \phi(b) = \theta_{a,b}(a^*b).$$

Then ϕ assumes either the form $a \mapsto UaV$ or $a \mapsto Ua^tV$ for some unitary operators U, V of H .

Proof. Putting $a = b = I$ in (2.6), we see that $\phi(I)^* \phi(I) = I$. Putting $b = a$ in (2.6), we see that $\|\phi(a)\| = \|a\|$ for any $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. For any unit vector x in H , let $b \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $\phi(b) = x \otimes x$ be the self-adjoint rank one operator $y \mapsto \langle y, x \rangle x$. Together with $a = I$, condition (2.6) implies

$$\|\phi(I)^* x\| = \|(\phi(I)^* x) \otimes x\| = \|\phi(I)^* \phi(b)\| = \|b\| = \|x \otimes x\| = 1.$$

Consequently, the operator $\phi(I)^*$ is an isometry, and thus $\phi(I)\phi(I)^* = I$ as well. In other words, $\phi(I)$ is a unitary operator on H .

Replacing ϕ by the map $\phi(I)^* \phi(\cdot)$, we can assume $\phi(I) = I$. It then follows from (2.6) with $b = I$ that ϕ sends every self-adjoint operator a to a self-adjoint operator $\phi(a) = \theta_{a,I}(a^*)^*$. Therefore, ϕ sends $\mathcal{S}(H)$ into $\mathcal{S}(H)$. Applying Theorem 2.7 with the product $a * b = ab$, we have a unitary operator W of H such that $\phi(b) = \pm WbW^*$ or $\pm Wb^tW^*$ for every self-adjoint operator b in $\mathcal{S}(H)$. Replacing ϕ by the map $\pm W^* \phi(\cdot) W$ or $\pm W^* \phi(\cdot)^t W$, we can further assume that $\phi(b) = b$ for every $b \in \mathcal{S}(H)$. For any $x \in H$, it then follows from (2.6) that the inner product

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi(a)^* x, x \rangle &= \text{trace}(\phi(a)^*(x \otimes x)) = \text{trace}(\phi(a)^* \phi(x \otimes x)) \\ &= \text{trace}(\theta_{a,x \otimes x}(a^* x \otimes x)) = \langle a^* x, x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The polar identity of inner products ensures that $\phi(a) = a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

In the original setting, with $U = \pm \phi(I)W$ and $V = W^*$, we arrive at the desired conclusion. \square

3. OPERATIONAL 2-LOCAL DERIVATIONS

Let \mathcal{M} be a semi-finite von Neumann algebra with a normal semi-finite faithful trace τ . In other words, $\tau : \mathcal{M}_+ \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is a map satisfying that

1. $\tau(x + y) = \tau(x) + \tau(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{M}_+$;
2. $\tau(tx) = t\tau(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}_+$ and $t \geq 0$;
3. $\tau(x^*x) = \tau(xx^*)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$;
4. $\tau(x^*x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;
5. $\tau(x) = \sup \{\tau(y) : 0 \leq y \leq x, \tau(y) < +\infty\}$;
6. $\tau(x) = \sup_i \tau(x_i)$ if $x \in \mathcal{M}_+$ is the σ -weak limit of an increasing net x_i in \mathcal{M}_+ .

Set

$$m_\tau = \{x \in \mathcal{M} : \tau(|x|) < +\infty\},$$

where $|x| = \sqrt{x^*x}$. Then m_τ is a *-algebra and is also a two-sided ideal of \mathcal{M} . The σ -weak closure of m_τ is \mathcal{M} , and for any $x \in \mathcal{M}_+$ there exists an increasing net $\{x_\lambda\}_\lambda$ of positive elements in m_τ with strong (SOT) limit x . Moreover, τ extends to

m_τ a complex linear map such that $\tau(ab) = \tau(ba)$ for all $a, b \in m_\tau$. When $\tau(1) = 1$, we say that τ is a normal faithful tracial state; this happens exactly when \mathcal{M} is a finite von Neumann algebra. See, for example, [18].

The following strengthens the results of [1] and [14, Theorem 2.7].

Theorem 3.1. *Let \mathcal{M} be a semi-finite von Neumann algebra with a normal faithful semi-finite trace τ . Let $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a map. Suppose for any $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$, there is a linear derivation $D_{a,b} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that*

$$\phi(a)b + a\phi(b) = D_{a,b}(ab).$$

Then ϕ is a linear derivation.

Proof. Since $\phi(I)I + I\phi(I) = D_{I,I}(I) = 0$, we have $\phi(I) = 0$. Then the condition

$$\phi(a) = \phi(a)I + a\phi(I) = D_{a,I}(a), \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{M},$$

says that ϕ is a local derivation. Since the linear derivation $D_{a,I}$ is inner, we have $\phi(a) \in m_\tau$ whenever $a \in m_\tau$. Consequently, $\phi(m_\tau) \subseteq m_\tau$.

For any $x \in \mathcal{M}, y \in m_\tau$, there is a linear derivation $D_{x,y}$ on \mathcal{M} such that

$$\phi(x)y + x\phi(y) = D_{x,y}(xy).$$

Since $D_{x,y}$ is an inner derivation, there exists $a \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$D_{x,y}(xy) = axy - xya \in m_\tau.$$

Consequently,

$$\tau(D_{xy}(xy)) = 0 = \tau(\phi(x)y + x\phi(y)),$$

and thus,

$$\tau(\phi(x)y) = -\tau(x\phi(y)).$$

For any $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{C}, a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{M}, b \in m_\tau$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\phi(t_1a_1 + t_2a_2)b) &= -\tau((t_1a_1 + t_2a_2)\phi(b)) \\ &= -[t_1\tau(a_1\phi(b)) + t_2\tau(a_2\phi(b))] \\ &= \tau([t_1\phi(a_1) + t_2\phi(a_2)]b). \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\tau([\phi(t_1a_1 + t_2a_2) - t_1\phi(a_1) - t_2\phi(a_2)]b) = 0.$$

Let

$$w = \phi(t_1a_1 + t_2a_2) - t_1\phi(a_1) - t_2\phi(a_2).$$

We have $\tau(wb) = 0$ for all $b \in m_\tau$. Take an increasing net $\{e_\alpha\}_\alpha$ of projections in m_τ such that $e_\alpha \uparrow_\alpha I$ in \mathcal{M} . Since $e_\alpha w^* \in m_\tau$, we have

$$\tau(we_\alpha w^*) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \alpha.$$

Because $we_\alpha w^* \uparrow_\alpha ww^*$ and τ is normal, we have $\tau(ww^*) = 0$. Then $w = 0$ since τ is faithful. Therefore,

$$\phi(t_1a_1 + t_2a_2) - t_1\phi(a_1) - t_2\phi(a_2) = 0$$

for all scalars t_1, t_2 and $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{M}$; namely, ϕ is a linear map. Since every linear local derivation of M is a linear derivation, the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital simple C^* -algebra with a faithful tracial state τ . Let $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a map with the property that for any $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, there is a linear derivation $D_{a,b} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that*

$$\phi(a)b + a\phi(b) = D_{a,b}(ab).$$

Then ϕ is a linear derivation.

Proof. Recall that every linear derivation of a unital simple C^* -algebra is inner [15]. Argue as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we see that ϕ is a linear local derivation of \mathcal{A} . Since every linear local derivation of a C^* -algebra is a linear derivation ([17, Corollary 1]; see also [3, 9]), the proof is complete. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to express their appreciation to Lajos Molnár for several helpful comments. They are also thankful to Jiankui Li for a valuable discussion on Theorem 3.1.

Wang is partially supported in part by NSF of China (11871303, 11971463, 11671133) and NSF of Shandong Province (ZR2019MA039, ZR2020MA008).

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Ayupov and F. N. Arzikulov, *2-local derivations on semi-finite von Neumann algebras*, Glasg. Math. J., **56** (2014), 9–12.
- [2] S. Ayupov and K. K. Kudaybergenov, *2-local derivations on von Neumann algebras*, Positivity **19** (2015), 445–455.
- [3] M. Brešar, *Characterization of derivations on some normed algebras with involutioin*, J. Algebra, **152:2** (1992), 454–462.
- [4] M. Brešar and P. Šemrl, *On local automorphisms and mappings that preserve idempotents*, Studia Math., **113:2** (1995), 101–108.
- [5] M. J. Burgos, F. J. Fernandez Polo, J. J. Garces and A. M. Peralta, *A Kowalski-Slodkowski theorem for 2-local *-homomorphisms on von Neumann algebras*, Revista Serie A Matematicas **109:2** (2015), 551–568.
- [6] P. Chernoff, *Representations, automorphism and derivation of some operator algebras*, J. Funct. Anal., **12** (1973), 275–289.
- [7] J. Hou, C.-K. Li and N.-C. Wong, *Jordan isomorphisms and maps preserving spectra of certain operator products*, Studia Math., **184:1** (2008), 31–47.
- [8] J. Hou, C.-K. Li and N.-C. Wong, *Maps preserving the spectrum of generalized Jordan product of operators*, Linear Algebra Appl., **432:4** (2010), 1049–1069.
- [9] B. E. Johnson, *Local derivations on C^* -algebras are derivations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **353** (2001), 313–325.
- [10] R. V. Kadison, *Local derivations*, J. Algebra, **130** (1990), 494–509.
- [11] D. R. Larson and A. R. Sourour, *Local derivations and local automorphisms of $B(X)$* , in “Operator theory: Operator algebras and applications, Part 2” (Durham, NH, 1988), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. **51**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990, 187–194.
- [12] J.-H. Liu and N.-C. Wong, *2-local automorphisms of operator algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **321** (2006), 741–750.
- [13] J.-H. Liu and N. C. Wong, *Local automorphisms of operator algebras*, Taiwanese J. Math., **11:3** (2007), 611–619.
- [14] L. Molnár, *A new look at local maps on algebraic structures of matrices and operators*, New York J. Math., **28** (2022), 557–579.
- [15] S. Sakai, *Derivations of simple C^* -algebras*, J. Functional Analysis, **2** (1968), 202–206.
- [16] S. Sakai, *C^* -algebras and W^* -algebras*, Springer, Berlin, 1971.

- [17] V. S. Shul'man, *Operators preserving ideals in C^* -algebras*, *Studia Math.*, **109**:1 (1994), 67–72.
- [18] M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras I*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.

(L. Wang) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, QUFU NORMAL UNIVERSITY, QUFU 273165, CHINA.

Email address: wangliguang0510@163.com

(N.-C. Wong) DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY, KAOHSIUNG, 80424, TAIWAN, AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TIANGONG UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300387, CHINA.

Email address: wong@math.nsysu.edu.tw