

# Entropy Increasing Numerical Methods for Prediction of Non-isothermal Electrokinetics in Supercapacitors

Jie Ding\*

Xiang Ji<sup>†</sup>Shenggao Zhou<sup>‡</sup>

July 16, 2024

## Abstract

Accurate characterization of entropy plays a pivotal role in capturing reversible and irreversible heating in supercapacitors during charging/discharging cycles. However, numerical methods that can faithfully capture entropy variation in supercapacitors are still in lack. This work proposes a novel second-order accurate finite-volume scheme for a Poisson–Nernst–Planck–Fourier model developed in our previous work for the description of non-isothermal electrokinetics in supercapacitors. The temporal second-order accuracy with original entropy increase is achieved by modified Crank-Nicolson discretization for the logarithm of both temperature and ionic concentrations. Numerical analysis rigorously proves that the proposed schemes are able to preserve ionic mass conservation and entropy increase for a closed, thermally insulated supercapacitor. Numerical positivity of temperature and ionic concentrations is guaranteed by using logarithmic transformations. Extensive numerical simulations show that the proposed schemes have expected accuracy and robust performance in preserving the desired properties. Temperature oscillation in the charging/discharging processes is successfully predicted, unraveling a quadratic scaling law of temperature rising slope against voltage scanning rate. It is also demonstrated that the variation of ionic entropy contribution, which is the underlying mechanism responsible for reversible heating, is faithfully captured. Our work provides a promising tool in predicting non-isothermal electrokinetics of supercapacitors.

**Keywords:** Second-order accurate in time; Entropy increase; Supercapacitor charging/discharging; Non-isothermal electrokinetics;

## 1 Introduction

The demand for reliable and high-performance energy storage systems becomes increasingly imperative in last decades. Supercapacitors, in which electric energy is stored and released by forming electric double layers (EDLs) through reversible ion adsorption on the solid-liquid interface of porous electrodes, have attracted tremendous attention due to their exceptional performance

---

\*School of Science, Jiangnan University, Wuxi, Jiangsu, 214122, China. E-mail: jding@jiangnan.edu.cn.

<sup>†</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, MOE-LSC, CMA-Shanghai, and Shanghai Center for Applied Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, 200240, China. Email: xian9ji@sjtu.edu.cn.

<sup>‡</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, MOE-LSC, CMA-Shanghai, and Shanghai Center for Applied Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, 200240, China. Shanghai Zhangjiang Institute of Mathematics, Shanghai, 201203, China. E-mail: sgzhou@sjtu.edu.cn.

characteristics [15]. Their unique features, such as high power density, high charging and discharging rate, long cycling life, have shown great potential for portable electronics and hybrid vehicles [1, 7, 35, 44, 45].

As a classical continuum mean-field model, the Poisson–Nernst–Planck (PNP) model and its generalizations have been extensively used to describe ion transport processes or electrokinetics in EDLs [2, 20]. Numerous experiments have shown that ion transport processes are accompanied by temperature oscillations during charging and discharging processes in supercapacitors [8, 32, 35, 46]. However, the PNP-type models neglect the inherently coupling between non-isothermal effects and ion transport. In our previous work [20], a thermodynamically consistent model, named Poisson–Nernst–Planck–Fourier (PNPF), has been proposed to predict thermal electrokinetics in supercapacitors by using an energetic variational approach. The least action principle and maximum dissipation principle from non-equilibrium thermodynamics are utilized to derive modified Nernst–Planck equations for the description of ion transport with temperature inhomogeneity. Laws of thermodynamics are employed to develop a temperature evolution equation with heat sources arising from ionic current under electric potential differences.

To achieve high energy storage density, electrodes with complex geometry, such as nanoporous structure, are often selected to enhance the area of EDL interfaces where the electric energy is stored. The nanoporous electrode that hinders ion motion has profound influence on ionic electrokinetics during charging/discharging processes. Therefore, it is crucial to understand the impact of polydispersity and spatial arrangement of pores on the charge dynamics under non-isothermal conditions. The Transmission-Line (TL) model, a classical 1D equivalent RC circuit model, has been widely applied to probe the charging dynamics in porous electrodes [9]. Variations of the TL model are able to account for high electric potentials [5], surface conduction in EDLs [30], arbitrary double-layer thickness [17], or a stack-electrode model [22]. Analogously, the heterogeneity of porous electrodes are also responsible for charge dynamics in Lithium-ion batteries. Extensive numerical and analytical studies have been conducted to understand the charge dynamics in the complex porous geometry of electrodes, contributing deeper understanding and better design strategy for energy storage systems [14, 21, 31, 36, 38, 39]. However, not much progress has been made in capturing the geometric effect of porous electrodes on charge dynamics.

The PNP model and its generalizations possess many properties of physical significance, e.g., positivity of ionic concentration and temperature, mass conservation, and entropy increase. For instance, accurate characterization of entropy plays a crucial role in capturing reversible and irreversible heating in supercapacitors during charging/discharging cycles. Considerable efforts have been devoted to the development of numerical schemes that can maintain such properties at discrete level, ranging from finite volume schemes to finite element schemes [12, 18, 23–26, 29, 33, 34]. Fully implicit schemes for the PNP equations that guarantee unique solvability, unconditional positivity, and free-energy dissipation are proposed in [23, 37]. In the work [29], an energy-stable scheme with first-order accuracy in time for the PNP–Navier–Stokes (PNPNS) system is developed using the finite element discretization. This scheme ensures the positivity of ionic concentration by a variable transformation. First/second-order time-stepping schemes for the PNPNS system are proposed to preserve mass conservation, positivity, and energy stability [47]. For a non-isothermal model, a first-order in time numerical scheme is proposed with guarantee of energy stability as well as positivity of the charge density and temperature via variable transformations [43]. For modified PNP equations including effects arising from steric interactions and the Born solvation, both first- and second-order in time numerical schemes are developed in the works [11, 12], which

can be proved to preserve positivity of numerical solutions, and original energy dissipation.

In this work, we propose effective numerical methods for the proposed PNPf model and apply them to investigate electrokinetics, temperature evolution, and entropy variation in the charging/discharging processes of supercapacitors with electrodes of complex geometry. To achieve entropy increasing as well as positivity of charge density and temperature at discrete level, we first rewrite the PNPf equations in an equivalent form and introduce logarithmic transformations of both charged densities and temperature. Based on this, novel finite volume discretization with second-order temporal accuracy using a modified Crank-Nicolson approach is proposed to solve the PNPf model for supercapacitors with porous electrode geometry. Numerical analysis proves that the proposed numerical scheme is able to maintain physical properties, such as mass conservation and entropy increase in a closed, thermally insulated system. Numerical studies further demonstrate that the numerical scheme has expected accuracy and presents robust performance in preserving the physical properties at discrete level. Furthermore, our model successfully predicts temperature oscillation in the charging/discharging processes, indicating that our model and numerical methods can robustly capture reversible and irreversible heat generation in supercapacitors. It is also found that complex electrode geometry results in an intersection point in the current-voltage loop in the cyclic voltammetry tests. Numerical investigations also unravel the temperature increasing scaling laws and entropy variation in charging/discharging phases, agreeing with existing experiments and theoretical understandings.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce the PNPf model and its equivalent form. In section 3, we propose a first-order scheme (Scheme I) and a second-order scheme (Scheme II) for the PNPf equations and perform numerical analysis on the proposed schemes. In section 4, we present numerical simulation results. Finally, concluding remarks are given in section 5.

## 2 Non-isothermal Electrokinetic Model

### 2.1 PNPf equations

We briefly recall the energetic variational model for the description of ionic electrodiffusion, heat generation, and thermal transport in supercapacitors [20, 27]. To characterize the charging/discharging processes, we denote by  $T(\mathbf{x}, t)$ ,  $\psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ , and  $c^\ell(\mathbf{x}, t)$  the temperature distribution, electric potential, and  $\ell$ th ionic concentration at location  $\mathbf{x}$  for time  $t$ , respectively. Let  $\Omega$  be the domain for a supercapacitor under consideration. For any arbitrary subdomain  $V \subset \Omega$ , the mean-field electrostatic free-energy functional  $F(V, t)$  is a functional of the particle densities and temperature given by

$$F(V, t) = F_{pot}(V, t) + F_{ent}(V, t). \quad (2.1)$$

Here the electrostatic potential energy reads

$$\begin{aligned} F_{pot}(V, t) = & \sum_{n, m = \pm} \frac{q^n q^m}{2} \iint_V c^n(\mathbf{x}, t) c^m(\mathbf{x}', t) G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{x}' \\ & + \sum_{n = \pm} q^n \int_V c^n(\mathbf{x}) \left( \psi_X(\mathbf{x}, t) + \int_{\Omega \setminus V} \sum_{m = \pm} c^m(\mathbf{x}', t) G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') d\mathbf{x}' \right) d\mathbf{x}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where  $q^n = z^n e$  with  $z^n$  being the ionic valence and  $e$  being the elementary charge. The first term represents for the Coulombic interaction energy inside  $V$ , with the Green function  $G$  satisfying  $-\nabla \cdot \epsilon_r \epsilon_0 \nabla G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$ . Here  $\epsilon_0$  is the permittivity in vacuum and  $\epsilon_r$  is the dielectric coefficient. The second term is the electric potential energy due to the external fields, including contributions from ions outside  $V$  and an external electric potential  $\psi_X$  arising from boundary electrodes and fixed charges  $\rho^f e$ . The entropy contribution in (2.1) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_{ent}(V, t) &= \int_V \Psi(c^+(\mathbf{x}, t), c^-(\mathbf{x}, t), T(\mathbf{x}, t)) d\mathbf{x} \\ &:= \int_V \left[ \sum_{\ell=\pm} \Psi_\ell(c^\ell(\mathbf{x}, t), T(\mathbf{x}, t)) - \Psi_T(T(\mathbf{x}, t)) \right] d\mathbf{x}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Psi_\pm$  and  $\Psi_T$  are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\pm(c^\pm(\mathbf{x}, t), T(\mathbf{x}, t)) &:= k_B T(\mathbf{x}, t) c^\pm(\mathbf{x}, t) \log(c^\pm(\mathbf{x}, t)), \\ \Psi_T(T(\mathbf{x}, t)) &:= C k_B T(\mathbf{x}, t) \log T(\mathbf{x}, t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Here  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant and  $C$  is a constant related to the heat capacitance of ionic species. Then the entropy  $S$  is given by

$$S(V, t) = - \int_V \frac{\partial \Psi(c^+, c^-, T)}{\partial T} d\mathbf{x} = k_B \int_\Omega \left( C(\log T + 1) - \sum_{\ell=1}^M c^\ell \log c^\ell \right) d\mathbf{x}.$$

To further discuss contributions to entropy, we introduce the splitting  $S(V, t) = S_1 + S_2$  with

$$S_1 = k_B C \int_\Omega (\log T + 1) d\mathbf{x} \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = -k_B \int_\Omega \sum_{\ell=1}^M c^\ell \log c^\ell d\mathbf{x}, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  represent contributions from temperature and ions, respectively. Application of the Legendre transform of the free energy gives the internal energy

$$U(V, t) = F(V, t) - \int_V T(\mathbf{x}, t) \frac{\partial \Psi(c^+, c^-, T)}{\partial T} d\mathbf{x}. \quad (2.5)$$

For each ionic species, we introduce its flow map  $\mathbf{x}^\ell(\mathbf{X}, t)$  that is uniquely determined by its velocity  $\mathbf{u}^\ell$  through  $\partial_t \mathbf{x}^\ell(\mathbf{X}, t) = \mathbf{u}^\ell(\mathbf{x}^\ell(\mathbf{X}, t), t)$ . Then, ionic concentration satisfies the mass conservation law

$$\partial_t c^\ell + \nabla \cdot (c^\ell \mathbf{u}^\ell) = 0.$$

We then employ the Least Action Principle and Maximum Dissipation Principle to derive the conservative force and dissipative force, respectively [20, 27, 42]. The balance of two such forces leads to

$$\nu^\ell c^\ell \mathbf{u}^\ell = -k_B \nabla (c^\ell T) - z^\ell c^\ell e \nabla \psi, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\nu^\ell$  is the viscosity of the  $\ell$ th species and

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) := \psi_X(\mathbf{x}, t) + \sum_{\ell=\pm} q^\ell \int_\Omega c^\ell(\mathbf{x}', t) G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') d\mathbf{x}'$$

is the mean electric potential satisfying the Poisson's equation

$$-\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r \Delta \psi = \sum_{\ell=1}^M z^\ell c^\ell e + \rho^f e.$$

Combining (2.6) with the mass conservation law in turn leads to the modified Nernst–Planck (NP) equations with non-isothermal effects:

$$\partial_t c^\ell = \nabla \cdot \frac{1}{\nu^\ell} [k_B \nabla (c^\ell T) + z^\ell e c^\ell \nabla \psi]. \quad (2.7)$$

To close the system, we next employ both first and second law of thermodynamics to derive a temperature equation

$$C k_B \partial_t T = \nabla \cdot (k \nabla T) - k_B \sum_{\ell=1}^M c^\ell T \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^\ell + \sum_{\ell=1}^M \nu^\ell c^\ell |\mathbf{u}^\ell|^2.$$

The derivation details are skipped for brevity. Interested readers are referred to our previous works [20, 27].

To get a dimensionless formulation, we introduce the following variable rescaling

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{L}, \quad \tilde{t} = \frac{t}{\tau}, \quad \tilde{T} = \frac{T}{T_0}, \quad \tilde{\psi} = \frac{\psi e}{k_B T_0}, \quad \tilde{C} = \frac{C}{c_0}, \quad \tilde{k} = \frac{\tau k}{k_B C_0 L^2}, \quad \tilde{c}^\ell = \frac{c^\ell}{c_0}, \quad \tilde{\nu}^\ell = \frac{\nu^\ell}{\nu_0},$$

where  $L$  is a macroscopic length scale,  $c_0$  is a characteristic concentration,  $T_0$  is a characteristic temperature,  $\lambda_D = \sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r k_B T_0 / e^2 c_0}$  is a microscopic length scale,  $\nu_0$  is a characteristic viscosity, and  $\tau = \lambda_D L \nu_0 / k_B T_0$  is a characteristic time scale. With the above rescaling, dropping all the tildes, we arrive at a dimensionless Poisson–Nernst–Planck–Fourier (PNPF) system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t c^\ell + \varepsilon \nabla \cdot (c^\ell \mathbf{u}^\ell) = 0, & \ell = 1, 2, \dots, M, \\ \nu^\ell c^\ell \mathbf{u}^\ell = -\nabla (c^\ell T) - z^\ell c^\ell \nabla \psi, & \ell = 1, 2, \dots, M, \\ -\varepsilon^2 \Delta \psi = \sum_{\ell=1}^M z^\ell c^\ell + \rho^f, \\ C \partial_t T = \nabla \cdot (k \nabla T) - \varepsilon \sum_{\ell=1}^M c^\ell T \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^\ell + \varepsilon \sum_{\ell=1}^M \nu^\ell c^\ell |\mathbf{u}^\ell|^2, \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

where the nondimensionalized coefficient  $\varepsilon = \frac{\lambda_D}{L}$ . We consider the dimensionless boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} c^\ell \mathbf{u}^\ell \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \quad \nabla T \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \varepsilon^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \psi^N & \text{on } \Gamma_N, \\ \psi = \psi^D & \text{on } \Gamma_D, \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

where zero-flux and thermally insulated boundary conditions are prescribed on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ , and surface charge density  $\psi^N$  and boundary potential data  $\psi^D$  are prescribed on  $\Gamma_N$  and  $\Gamma_D$ ,

with  $\partial\Omega = \Gamma_N \cup \Gamma_D$  and  $\emptyset = \Gamma_N \cap \Gamma_D$ . In addition, the entropy function in dimensionless form becomes

$$S = \int_{\Omega} C(\log T + 1) - \sum_{\ell=1}^M c^\ell \log c^\ell d\mathbf{x}. \quad (2.10)$$

For the derived PNP system (2.8), one can derive a property of physical significance on entropy for a closed, thermally insulated system.

**Theorem 2.1 (Entropy Increasing)** *The total entropy of a closed, thermally insulated system described by the PNP system (2.8) is increasing over time:*

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \int_V \left( k \left| \frac{\nabla T}{T} \right|^2 + \sum_{\ell=1}^M \frac{\nu^\ell c^\ell |\mathbf{u}^\ell|^2}{T} \right) d\mathbf{x} \geq 0. \quad (2.11)$$

**Proof** Taking derivative of  $S$  with respect to time  $t$ , one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dS}{dt} &= \int_V \left[ \frac{C}{T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} - \sum_{\ell=\pm} (\log c^\ell + 1) \frac{\partial c^\ell}{\partial t} \right] d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_V \left[ \frac{1}{T} \left( \nabla \cdot (k \nabla T) - \epsilon \sum_{\ell=1}^M c^\ell T \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^\ell + \epsilon \sum_{\ell=1}^M \nu^\ell c^\ell |\mathbf{u}^\ell|^2 \right) + \epsilon \sum_{\ell=\pm} (\log c^\ell + 1) \nabla \cdot (c^\ell \mathbf{u}^\ell) \right] d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_V \left( k \left| \frac{\nabla T}{T} \right|^2 + \sum_{\ell=1}^M \frac{\nu^\ell c^\ell |\mathbf{u}^\ell|^2}{T} \right) d\mathbf{x} \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Here the zero-flux boundary conditions for ionic concentrations and the thermally insulated boundary condition for temperature have been used in the derivation.  $\blacksquare$

## 2.2 Equivalent Form

In order to design structure-preserving numerical methods for the PNP system, we take logarithmic transformation of variables, i.e.,  $\xi = \log T$  and  $\eta^\ell = \log c^\ell$  for  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, M$ , and rewrite the system as follows:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \partial_t e^{\eta^\ell} + \epsilon \nabla \cdot (e^{\eta^\ell} \mathbf{u}^\ell) &= 0, \quad \ell = 1, 2, \dots, M, \\ \nu^\ell e^{\eta^\ell} \mathbf{u}^\ell &= -e^{\eta^\ell + \xi} \nabla \eta^\ell - e^{\eta^\ell} \nabla (z^\ell \psi + e^\xi), \quad \ell = 1, 2, \dots, M, \\ -\epsilon^2 \Delta \psi &= \sum_{\ell=1}^M z^\ell e^{\eta^\ell} + \rho^f, \\ C \partial_t e^\xi &= k \nabla \cdot (e^\xi \nabla \xi) + e^\xi \sum_{\ell=1}^M \left[ \epsilon \nabla \cdot (\eta^\ell e^{\eta^\ell} \mathbf{u}^\ell) + (1 + \eta^\ell) \partial_t e^{\eta^\ell} \right] + \epsilon \sum_{\ell=1}^M \nu^\ell e^{\eta^\ell} |\mathbf{u}^\ell|^2, \end{aligned} \right. \quad (2.13)$$

where the ionic conservation equation has been plugged into the temperature equation.

### 3 Numerical Schemes

#### 3.1 Notations




Figure 1: Delaunay mesh with blue solid vertices  $(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j, \dots)$  and dual Voronoi control volumes  $(V_i, V_j, \dots)$  with blue solid edges. The  $\mathbf{n}_{i,\sigma}$  is the unit outward vector normal to the edge  $\sigma$  of  $V_i$ .

The computational domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d (d = 2, 3)$  is assumed to be a polygonal domain or polyhedra domain. We first introduce classical notations of finite-volume discretization mesh in literature [3, 4, 13]. The mesh  $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{P})$  covering  $\Omega$  consists of a family of open polygonal control volumes  $\mathcal{V} := \{V_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ , a family of  $d - 1$  dimensional edges or faces:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} &:= \mathcal{E}_{int} \cup \mathcal{E}_{ext}, \\ \mathcal{E}_{int} &:= \{\sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1} : \sigma = \partial V_i \cap \partial V_j\}, \\ \mathcal{E}_{ext} &:= \mathcal{E}_{ext}^D \cup \mathcal{E}_{ext}^N, \text{ with } \begin{cases} \mathcal{E}_{ext}^D := \{\sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1} : \sigma = \partial V_i \cap \Gamma_D\}, \\ \mathcal{E}_{ext}^N := \{\sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1} : \sigma = \partial V_i \cap \Gamma_N\}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and a family of vertices  $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathbf{x}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ ; cf. Figure 1. The Voronoi control volumes are defined by

$$V_i = \{\mathbf{y} \in \Omega \mid d(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}) < d(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{y}), \forall \mathbf{x}_j \in \mathcal{P}, i \neq j\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

where  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the Euclidean distance in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $N = \text{Card}(\mathcal{V})$ . For a control volume  $V_i \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_i$  denotes the set of its edges,  $\mathcal{E}_{i,int}$  denotes the set of its interior edges,  $\mathcal{E}_{i,ext}^D$  denotes the set of its edges included in  $\Gamma_D$ , and  $\mathcal{E}_{i,ext}^N$  denotes the set of its edges included in  $\Gamma_N$ . The size of the mesh is defined by

$$h = \sup\{\text{diam}(V_i), V_i \in \mathcal{V}\},$$

with  $\text{diam}(V_i) = \sup_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V_i} |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|$ . Define the following three sets of indices for control volumes:

$$\mathcal{N}_1 = \{i \mid \partial V_i \cap \mathcal{E}_{ext}^D \neq \emptyset\}, \quad \mathcal{N}_2 = \{i \mid \partial V_i \cap \mathcal{E}_{ext}^N \neq \emptyset\}, \quad \mathcal{N}_3 = \{i \mid \partial V_i \cap \mathcal{E}_{ext} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Denote by a vector of approximation values

$$u_{\mathcal{V}} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N)^t \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Here the superscript  $t$  represents the transpose and  $u_k$  is the approximate volume average defined by

$$u_k = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{m}(V_k)} \int_{V_k} u(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x},$$

where  $\mathfrak{m}(\cdot)$  denotes the measure in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  or  $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ . For two adjacent control volumes, e.g.,  $V_i$  and  $V_j$ , the line segment  $\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j$  is orthogonal to the common edge  $\sigma = \partial V_i \cap \partial V_j$ . For all  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{E}$ ,

$$d_\sigma = \begin{cases} d(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) & \text{for } \sigma = \partial V_i \cap \partial V_j \in \mathfrak{E}_{int}, \\ d(\mathbf{x}_i, \sigma) & \text{for } \sigma \in \mathfrak{E}_{ext} \cap \mathfrak{E}_i. \end{cases}$$

We introduce the transmissibility coefficient of the edge  $\sigma$ , defined by

$$\tau_\sigma = \frac{\mathfrak{m}(\sigma)}{d_\sigma}, \quad \forall \sigma \in \mathfrak{E}.$$

For  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{E}_i$ ,  $\mathbf{n}_{i,\sigma}$  is the unit outward vector normal to  $\sigma$  of  $V_i$ . We assume that the mesh satisfies the following regularity constraint: there exists a uniform constant  $C_0 > 0$ , such that

$$d(\mathbf{x}_i, \sigma) \geq C_0 \text{diam}(V_i), \quad \forall V_i \in \mathcal{V}, \quad \forall \sigma \in \mathfrak{E}_i.$$

Define the average value  $f_\sigma$  on the edge  $\sigma$  between  $V_i$  and  $V_j$  by

$$f_\sigma = \frac{1}{2}(f_i + f_j).$$

For all  $V_i \in \mathcal{V}$  and all  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{E}_i$ , we define

$$Du_{i,\sigma} = \begin{cases} u_j - u_i & \text{if } \sigma = \partial V_i \cap \partial V_j \in \mathfrak{E}_{i,int}, \\ u_\sigma^D - u_i & \text{if } \sigma \in \mathfrak{E}_{i,ext}^D, \\ u_\sigma^N \cdot d_\sigma & \text{if } \sigma \in \mathfrak{E}_{i,ext}^N, \end{cases}$$

where  $u_\sigma^D$  and  $u_\sigma^N$  are boundary data on the  $\Gamma_D$  and  $\Gamma_N$ , respectively. For  $\sigma = \partial V_i \cap \partial V_j \in \mathfrak{E}_{int}$ , we define

$$Du_\sigma = u_j - u_i, \quad \text{with } j > i.$$

The discrete gradient of a scalar function  $u$  on the control volume  $V_i$  is defined as

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_h u)_i = (\tilde{D}_x u, \tilde{D}_y u, \tilde{D}_z u)^t, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$(\tilde{D}_\alpha u)_i = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{m}(V_i)} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{E}_i} \mathfrak{m}(\sigma) u_\sigma n_{i,\sigma}^\alpha \quad \text{for } \alpha = x, y, z \text{ and } \mathbf{n}_{i,\sigma} = (n_{i,\sigma}^x, n_{i,\sigma}^y, n_{i,\sigma}^z)^t.$$

For  $\mathbf{u} = (u, v, w)^t$ , the discrete divergence of  $\mathbf{u}$  on the control volume  $V_i$  is defined by

$$\nabla_h \cdot \mathbf{u}_i = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{m}(V_i)} \sum_{\sigma \in \partial V_i} \mathfrak{m}(\sigma) \left( u_\sigma n_{i,\sigma}^x + v_\sigma n_{i,\sigma}^y + w_\sigma n_{i,\sigma}^z \right).$$



Therefore, the discrete Laplacian on the control volume  $V_i$  becomes

$$(\Delta_h u)_i := (\nabla_h \cdot \nabla_h u)_i = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{m}(V_i)} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_i} \tau_\sigma D u_{i,\sigma}.$$

Similarly, for scalar functions  $f$  and  $g$ , we have

$$\nabla_h \cdot (f \nabla_h g) = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{m}(V_i)} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_i} \tau_\sigma f_\sigma D g_{i,\sigma}.$$

Let  $X(\mathcal{V})$  be the space of functions  $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that are piecewise constant on each cell  $V_i \in \mathcal{V}$ . The discrete inner product on  $X(\mathcal{V})$  is defined by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathfrak{m}(V_i) f_i g_i \quad \text{for } f, g \in X(\mathcal{V}).$$

### 3.2 First-order temporal discretization: Scheme I

We now consider vertex-centered finite-volume discretization of the PNP system (2.13). With a uniform time step size  $\Delta t$  and  $t^n = n\Delta t$ , we define the approximate solutions as  $u_{\mathcal{V}}^n = (u_i^n)_{V_i \in \mathcal{V}}$  for  $u = \psi, \xi, \eta^\ell$  ( $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, M$ ). Integrating the system on each control volume and applying the divergence theorem, one obtains a vertex-centered finite volume scheme by fully-implicit discretization:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{e^{\eta_i^{\ell,n+1}} - e^{\eta_i^{\ell,n}}}{\Delta t} + \epsilon \nabla_h \cdot \left( e^{\eta^{\ell,n+1}} \mathbf{u}^{\ell,n+1} \right)_i = 0, \quad \ell = 1, 2, \dots, M, \\ \nu^\ell e^{\eta^{\ell,n+1}} \mathbf{u}^{\ell,n+1} = -e^{\eta^{\ell,n+1} + \xi^{n+1}} \nabla_h \eta^{\ell,n+1} - e^{\eta^{\ell,n+1}} \nabla_h (z^\ell \psi^{n+1} + e^{\xi^{n+1}}), \\ -\epsilon^2 \Delta_h \psi_i^{n+1} = \sum_{\ell=1}^M z^\ell e^{\eta_i^{\ell,n+1}} + \rho_i^f, \\ C \frac{e^{\xi_i^{n+1}} - e^{\xi_i^n}}{\Delta t} = k \nabla_h \cdot (e^{\xi^{n+1}} \nabla_h \xi^{n+1})_i + e^{\xi_i^{n+1}} \sum_{\ell=1}^M \left[ \epsilon \nabla_h \cdot (\eta^{\ell,n+1} e^{\eta^{\ell,n+1}} \mathbf{u}^{\ell,n+1})_i \right. \\ \left. + (1 + \eta_i^{\ell,n+1}) \frac{e^{\eta_i^{\ell,n+1}} - e^{\eta_i^{\ell,n}}}{\Delta t} \right] + \epsilon \sum_{\ell=1}^M \nu^\ell e^{\eta_i^{\ell,n+1}} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i^{\ell,n+1}|^2, \end{array} \right. \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i^{\ell,n+1}$  on the control volume  $V_i$  is defined by

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i^{\ell,n+1} = -\frac{1}{\nu^\ell} \left[ e^{\xi_i^{n+1}} \tilde{\nabla}_h \eta_i^{\ell,n+1} + \tilde{\nabla}_h \left( z^\ell \psi^{n+1} + e^{\xi^{n+1}} \right)_i \right].$$

Notice that the discrete gradient in  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i^{\ell,n+1}$  follows the definition (3.1), which is defined via integration by parts in the control volume  $V_i$ . We refer to the above scheme (3.2) as “**Scheme I**”.

The initial and boundary conditions are discretized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
c_i^{\ell,0} &= \frac{1}{m(V_i)} \int_{V_i} c^{\ell,0}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, & \ell = 1, 2, \dots, M, \quad \forall V_i \in \mathcal{V}, \\
e^{\eta^{\ell,n+1}} \mathbf{u}_\sigma^{\ell,n+1} \cdot \mathbf{n} &= 0, \quad e^{\xi^{\sigma,n+1}} D_{\xi_{i,\sigma}^{n+1}} = 0, & \forall i \in \mathcal{N}_3, \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{i,ext} = \mathcal{E}_{i,ext}^D \cup \mathcal{E}_{i,ext}^N, \\
\psi_\sigma^D &= \frac{1}{m(\sigma)} \int_\sigma \psi^D(\gamma) d\gamma, & \forall i \in \mathcal{N}_1, \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{i,ext}^D, \\
\psi_\sigma^N &= \epsilon^2 D \psi_{i,\sigma}^n / d_\sigma, & \forall i \in \mathcal{N}_2, \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{i,ext}^N.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

It shall be shown in Theorem (4.1) that the proposed first-order discretization maintains the entropy increasing property (2.11) at discrete level.

### 3.3 Second-order temporal discretization: Scheme II

Straightforward second-order extension of the above temporal discretization may lead to one that fails to respect the entropy increasing property (2.11) at discrete level. Special care should be taken when dealing with  $\log T$  and  $\sum_{\ell=1}^M c^\ell \log c^\ell$  (i.e.,  $\xi$  and  $\sum_{\ell=1}^M \eta^\ell e^{\eta^\ell}$ ) in the entropy functional (2.10). In order to achieve second-order temporal accuracy while still preserving the entropy increasing property, we propose a novel modified Crank-Nicolson discretization for the PNP system (2.8) as follows:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned}
& \frac{e^{\eta_i^{\ell,n+1}} - e^{\eta_i^{\ell,n}}}{\Delta t} + \epsilon \nabla_h \cdot (e^{\eta^{\ell,n+\frac{1}{2}}} \mathbf{u}^{\ell,n+\frac{1}{2}})_i = 0, \quad \ell = 1, 2, \dots, M, \\
& \nu^\ell e^{\eta^{\ell,n+\frac{1}{2}}} \mathbf{u}^{\ell,n+\frac{1}{2}} = -e^{\eta^{\ell,n+\frac{1}{2}+\xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}} \nabla_h Q^{\ell,n+\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad - e^{\eta^{\ell,n+\frac{1}{2}}} \nabla_h (z^\ell \psi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + e^{\xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}), \quad \ell = 1, 2, \dots, M, \\
& -\epsilon^2 \Delta_h \psi_i^{n+1} = \sum_{\ell=1}^M z^\ell e^{\eta_i^{\ell,n+1}} + \rho_i^f, \\
& C \frac{e^{\xi_i^{n+1}} - e^{\xi_i^n}}{\Delta t} = -k \nabla_h \cdot e^{\xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} \nabla_h (\log R^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_i + \frac{1}{R_i^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{\ell=1}^M \left[ \epsilon \nabla_h \cdot (Q^{\ell,n+\frac{1}{2}} e^{\eta^{\ell,n+\frac{1}{2}}} \mathbf{u}^{\ell,n+\frac{1}{2}})_i \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (1 + Q_i^{\ell,n+\frac{1}{2}}) \frac{e^{\eta_i^{\ell,n+1}} - e^{\eta_i^{\ell,n}}}{\Delta t} \right] + \epsilon \sum_{\ell=1}^M \nu^\ell e^{\eta_i^{\ell,n+\frac{1}{2}}} |\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i^{\ell,n+\frac{1}{2}}|^2,
\end{aligned} \right. \tag{3.4}$$

where  $Q^{\ell,n+\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $R^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $\psi^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $e^{f^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^{\ell,n+\frac{1}{2}} &= \eta^{\ell,n+1} - \frac{1}{2e^{\eta^{\ell,n+1}}} (e^{\eta^{\ell,n+1}} - e^{\eta^{\ell,n}}) - \frac{1}{6e^{2\eta^{\ell,n+1}}} (e^{\eta^{\ell,n+1}} - e^{\eta^{\ell,n}})^2, \\
R^{n+\frac{1}{2}} &= e^{-\xi^{n+1}} + \frac{e^{\xi^{n+1}} - e^{\xi^n}}{2e^{2\xi^{n+1}}} + \frac{(e^{\xi^{n+1}} - e^{\xi^n})^2}{3e^{3\xi^{n+1}}}, \\
\psi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{1}{2} (\psi^n + \psi^{n+1}), \\
e^{f^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} &= e^{\frac{1}{2}(f^n + f^{n+1})}, \quad f = \xi, \eta^\ell,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

respectively, and  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i^{\ell, n+\frac{1}{2}}$  on the control volume  $V_i$  is defined by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i^{\ell, n+\frac{1}{2}} = -\frac{1}{\nu^\ell} \left[ e^{\xi_i^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} \tilde{\nabla}_h Q_i^{\ell, n+\frac{1}{2}} + \tilde{\nabla}_h \left( z^\ell \psi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + e^{\xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} \right)_i \right].$$

Notice that  $R^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$  is positive by the inequality  $e^{-x} + \frac{e^x - e^y}{2e^{2x}} + \frac{(e^x - e^y)^2}{3e^{3x}} > 0$  for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . The initial and boundary conditions are discretized the same as in (3.3). In the following, we refer to this scheme as “**Scheme II**”.

## 4 Properties Preservation

**Theorem 4.1 (Mass conservation)** *The Scheme I (3.2) and Scheme II (3.4) both respect the mass conservation law:*

$$\langle e^{\eta^{\ell, n+1}}, 1 \rangle = \langle e^{\eta^{\ell, n}}, 1 \rangle \quad \text{for } \ell = 1, 2, \dots, M.$$

**Proof** It follows from the Scheme II (3.2) that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N m(V_i) \left( e^{\eta_i^{\ell, n+1}} - e^{\eta_i^{\ell, n}} \right) = -\epsilon \Delta t \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}_3} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{i, ext}} m(\sigma) e^{\eta_\sigma^{\ell, n+\frac{1}{2}}} \mathbf{u}_\sigma^{\ell, n+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \quad \ell = 1, 2, \dots, M,$$

where the zero-flux boundary conditions (3.3) have been used. This completes the proof. The mass conservation for the Scheme I can be analogously derived.  $\blacksquare$

The discrete entropy is defined by

$$S_h^n = - \sum_{\ell=1}^M \langle e^{\eta^{\ell, n}}, \eta^{\ell, n} \rangle + \langle \xi^n + 1, C \rangle, \quad (4.1)$$

which is second-order spatial discretization of the continuous entropy functional (2.10).

**Theorem 4.2 (Discrete entropy increasing)**

(1) *The solution to the Scheme I (3.2) retains entropy increasing, i.e.,*

$$S_h^{n+1} - S_h^n \geq \Delta t \epsilon \sum_{\ell=1}^M \left\langle \nu^\ell e^{\eta^{\ell, n+1}} |\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{\ell, n+1}|^2, e^{-\xi^{n+1}} \right\rangle - \Delta t k [e^{\xi^{n+1}} \nabla_h \xi^{n+1}, \nabla_h e^{-\xi^{n+1}}] \geq 0. \quad (4.2)$$

(2) *The solution to the Scheme II (3.4) retains entropy increasing, i.e.,*

$$S_h^{n+1} - S_h^n \geq \Delta t \epsilon \sum_{\ell=1}^M \left\langle \nu^\ell e^{\eta^{\ell, n+\frac{1}{2}}} |\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{\ell, n+\frac{1}{2}}|^2, R^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle + \Delta t k [e^{\xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} \nabla_h \log R^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \nabla_h R^{n+\frac{1}{2}}] \geq 0. \quad (4.3)$$

**Proof** (1) Taking a discrete inner product of the fourth equation in (3.2) with  $\Delta t e^{-\xi^{n+1}}$  leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & C \left\langle e^{\xi^{n+1}} - e^{\xi^n}, e^{-\xi^{n+1}} \right\rangle \\ &= \Delta t k \left\langle \nabla_h \cdot (e^{\xi^{n+1}} \nabla_h \xi^{n+1}), e^{-\xi^{n+1}} \right\rangle + \Delta t \epsilon \sum_{\ell=1}^M \left[ \left\langle \nabla_h \cdot (e^{\eta^{n+1}} \mathbf{u}^{\ell, n+1} \eta^{\ell, n+1}), 1 \right\rangle \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left\langle (1 + \eta^{\ell, n+1}) \frac{e^{\eta^{\ell, n+1}} - e^{\eta^{\ell, n}}}{\epsilon \Delta t}, 1 \right\rangle \right] + \Delta t \epsilon \sum_{\ell=1}^M \left\langle \nu^\ell e^{\eta^{\ell, n+1}} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}^{\ell, n+1}|^2, e^{-\xi^{n+1}} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For the first term on the right hand side, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \nabla_h \cdot (e^{\xi^{n+1}} \nabla_h \xi^{n+1}), e^{-\xi^{n+1}} \right\rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^N e^{-\xi_i^{n+1}} \sum_{\sigma \in \partial V_i} \tau_\sigma e^{\xi_\sigma^{n+1}} D \xi_{i, \sigma}^{n+1} \\ &= - \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{int}} \tau_\sigma e^{\xi_\sigma^{n+1}} D \xi_\sigma^{n+1} D(e^{-\xi^{n+1}})_\sigma \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the thermally insulated boundary condition (3.3) for  $T$  has been used in the second equality, and monotonicity of the function  $e^{-x}$  has been used in the last inequality. The following inequalities are available for the inner products:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle e^{\xi^{n+1}} - e^{\xi^n}, e^{-\xi^{n+1}} \right\rangle &= \left\langle 1 - e^{\xi^n - \xi^{n+1}}, 1 \right\rangle \leq \left\langle \xi^{n+1} - \xi^n, 1 \right\rangle, \\ \left\langle \nabla_h \cdot (e^{\eta^{\ell, n+1}} \mathbf{u}^{\ell, n+1} \eta^{\ell, n+1}), 1 \right\rangle &= 0, \\ \left\langle \nu^\ell e^{\eta^{\ell, n+1}} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}^{\ell, n+1}|^2, e^{-\xi^{n+1}} \right\rangle &\geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Define  $H(x) = ye^y - xe^x + (y+1)(e^x - e^y)$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and fixed  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Due to  $H'(x) = e^x(y-x) > 0$  for  $x < y$ , and  $H'(x) = e^x(y-x) < 0$  for  $x > y$ , we get that  $H(x)$  takes its maximum value at  $x = y$ . Thus, we obtain  $H(x) \leq H(y) = 0$ , i.e.,

$$(y+1)(e^y - e^x) \geq ye^y - xe^x.$$

Based on this inequality, we find

$$\left\langle (1 + \eta^{\ell, n+1}) \frac{e^{\eta^{\ell, n+1}} - e^{\eta^{\ell, n}}}{\Delta t}, 1 \right\rangle \geq \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left( \left\langle e^{\eta^{\ell, n+1}}, \eta^{\ell, n+1} \right\rangle - \left\langle e^{\eta^{\ell, n}}, \eta^{\ell, n} \right\rangle \right).$$

The proof of (4.2) is completed by combining the above results.

(2) Taking a discrete inner product of the fourth equation in (3.4) with  $\Delta t R^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$  leads to

$$\begin{aligned} C \left\langle e^{\xi^{n+1}} - e^{\xi^n}, R^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle &= - \Delta t k \left\langle \nabla_h \cdot (e^{\xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} \nabla_h \log R^{n+\frac{1}{2}}), R^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \\ & \quad + \Delta t \sum_{\ell=1}^M \left[ \epsilon \left\langle \nabla_h \cdot (e^{\eta^{\ell, n+\frac{1}{2}}} \mathbf{u}^{\ell, n+\frac{1}{2}} Q^{\ell, n+\frac{1}{2}}), 1 \right\rangle \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left\langle (1 + Q^{\ell, n+\frac{1}{2}})(e^{\eta^{\ell, n+1}} - e^{\eta^{\ell, n}}), 1 \right\rangle \right] \\ & \quad + \Delta t \epsilon \sum_{\ell=1}^M \left\langle \nu^\ell e^{\eta^{\ell, n+\frac{1}{2}}} |\hat{\mathbf{u}}^{\ell, n+\frac{1}{2}}|^2, R^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

For the first term on the right hand side, one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
-\Delta tk \left\langle \nabla_h \cdot (e^{\xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} \nabla_h \log R^{n+\frac{1}{2}}), R^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle &= -\Delta tk \sum_{i=1}^N R_i^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\sigma \in \partial V_i} \tau_\sigma e^{\xi_\sigma^{n+1}} D(\log R^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_{i,\sigma} \\
&= \Delta tk \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{int}} \tau_\sigma e^{\xi_\sigma^{n+1}} D(\log R^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_\sigma D(R^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_\sigma \\
&\geq 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where the thermally insulated boundary condition (3.3) for  $T$  has been used in the second equality, and monotonicity of the function  $\log(x)$  has been used in the last inequality. The following inequalities are available for the discrete inner products:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \nabla_h \cdot (e^{\eta^{\ell,n+\frac{1}{2}}} \mathbf{u}^{\ell,n+\frac{1}{2}} Q^{\ell,n+\frac{1}{2}}), 1 \right\rangle &= 0, \\
\sum_{\ell=1}^M \left\langle \nu^\ell e^{\eta^{\ell,n+\frac{1}{2}}} |\check{\mathbf{u}}^{\ell,n+\frac{1}{2}}|^2, R^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle &\geq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

The following Taylor expansion is valid: for  $H(s) \in C^4(\mathbb{R})$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
H(x) &= H(y) + H^{(1)}(y)(x-y) + \frac{1}{2}H^{(2)}(y)(x-y)^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{6}H^{(3)}(y)(x-y)^3 + \frac{1}{24}H^{(4)}(\theta)(x-y)^4,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta$  is between  $x$  and  $y$ , and  $H^{(p)}(y) = \frac{\partial^p H}{\partial y^p}$  for  $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . Taking  $H(s) = s \log s$ ,  $x = e^{\eta_i^{\ell,n}}$ , and  $y = e^{\eta_i^{\ell,n+1}}$ , one has  $H^{(4)} = 2s^{-3} > 0$  for  $s > 0$ . Therefore,

$$e^{\eta_i^{\ell,n+1}} \eta_i^{\ell,n+1} - e^{\eta_i^{\ell,n}} \eta_i^{\ell,n} \leq (S_i^{\ell,n+\frac{1}{2}} + 1)(e^{\eta_i^{\ell,n+1}} - e^{\eta_i^{\ell,n}}).$$

Taking  $H(s) = \log s$ ,  $x = e^{\xi_i^n}$ , and  $y = e^{\xi_i^{n+1}}$ , one has  $H^{(4)} = -6s^{-4} < 0$  for  $s > 0$ . Therefore,

$$\xi_i^{n+1} - \xi_i^n \geq R_i^{n+\frac{1}{2}}(e^{\xi_i^{n+1}} - e^{\xi_i^n}).$$

Consequently, we have

$$\left\langle e^{\xi^{n+1}} - e^{\xi^n}, R^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \leq \left\langle \xi^{n+1} - \xi^n, 1 \right\rangle, \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$\left\langle e^{\eta^{\ell,n+1}}, \eta^{\ell,n+1} \right\rangle - \left\langle e^{\eta^{\ell,n}}, \eta^{\ell,n} \right\rangle \leq \left\langle S^{\ell,n+\frac{1}{2}} + 1, e^{\eta^{\ell,n+1}} - e^{\eta^{\ell,n}} \right\rangle. \tag{4.9}$$

Finally, a combination of (4.6)–(4.9) with (4.5) yields (4.3). This completes the proof for the Scheme II.  $\blacksquare$

**Remark 4.3** *The right hand side of (4.2) and (4.3) are first and second-order temporal discrete analogue of the entropy production law (2.11), respectively.*

## 5 Numerical Results

### 5.1 Accuracy Test

We now test the accuracy of the Scheme I (3.2) and Scheme II (3.4) for the PNP system with two ionic species on a 2D computational domain  $\Omega = [0, 1]^2$ . The nonlinear numerical schemes are solved iteratively with the Newton's iterations at each time step. Consider the following dimensionless PNP system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t c^\ell + \nabla \cdot (c^\ell \mathbf{u}^\ell) = f_\ell, \\ c^\ell \mathbf{u}^\ell = -c^\ell T \nabla \log c^\ell - c^\ell \nabla(\psi + T), \quad \ell = 1, 2, \\ -\Delta \psi = c^1 - c^2 + \rho^f, \\ \partial_t T = \Delta T + \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \{T [\nabla \cdot (c^\ell \mathbf{u}^\ell \log c^\ell) + (1 + \log c^\ell) \partial_t c^\ell] + c^\ell |\mathbf{u}^\ell|^2\} + f_3, \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

The source terms  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ ,  $\rho^f$ , and  $f_3$  are determined by the following exact solution

$$\begin{cases} c^1 = 0.1e^{-t} \cos(\pi x) \cos(\pi y) + 0.2, \\ c^2 = 0.1e^{-t} \cos(\pi x) \cos(\pi y) + 0.2, \\ T = 0.1e^{-t} \cos(\pi x) \cos(\pi y) + 0.2, \\ \psi = 0.1e^{-t} \cos(\pi x) \cos(\pi y). \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

The initial conditions are obtained by evaluating the exact solution at  $t = 0$ . We consider zero-flux boundary conditions for concentrations, thermally insulated boundary conditions for temperature, and the following boundary conditions for electric potential:

$$\begin{cases} \psi(t, 0, y) = \frac{1}{10}e^{-t} \cos(\pi y), \quad \psi(t, 1, y) = -\frac{1}{10}e^{-t} \cos(\pi y), \quad y \in [0, 1], \\ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}(t, x, 0) = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}(t, x, 1) = 0, \quad x \in [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

We first test the numerical accuracy of Scheme I utilizing various spatial step sizes  $h$  with a fixed mesh ratio  $\Delta t = h^2$ . Figure 2 (a) records discrete  $l^2$ -error of ionic concentration, electrostatic potential, and temperature at time  $T = 0.1$ . One can observe that the error decreases as the mesh refines. Comparison with the reference slope implies that the convergence rate for both ion concentrations, electrostatic potential and temperature approaches  $O(h^2)$  as  $h$  decreases. This indicates that the Scheme I, as expected, is first-order and second-order accurate in time and spatial discretization, respectively. Note that the mesh ratio here is chosen for the purpose of accuracy test, not for stability or positivity.

Next, we test the numerical accuracy of Scheme II with a mesh ratio  $\Delta t = h/10$ . As displayed in Figure 2 (b), the numerical error decreases with a convergence order around 2 as well, indicating that Scheme II (3.4) is second-order in both time and spatial discretization.




Figure 2: Numerical error of  $c^1$ ,  $c^2$ ,  $\psi$ , and  $T$  at time  $T = 0.1$  obtained by (a) Scheme I with a mesh ratio  $\Delta t = h^2$  and (b) Scheme II with a mesh ratio  $\Delta t = h/10$ .

## 5.2 Property Tests




Figure 3: A schematic illustration of the computational domain  $\Omega$  filled with electrolytes shown in gray (upper) and the mesh (lower) contained within a rectangle  $[-10, 10] \times [0, 10]$  nm<sup>2</sup>. Biased voltage differences are applied across two thermally-insulated, blocking electrodes with complex interfaces denoted by  $\Gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_3$ . The boundary in the middle is labeled by  $\Gamma_1$ .

In this section, we demonstrate the performance of the proposed numerical schemes in preserving properties of physical significance at discrete level. We numerically explore the ionic and heat dynamics in a supercapacitor with electrodes of complex geometry, as shown in Figure 3. The

boundary of the computational domain,  $\partial\Omega$ , consists of three disjoint parts:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_1 &= \{(x, y) : y = 0 \text{ nm or } 10 \text{ nm}, -2 \text{ nm} \leq x \leq 2 \text{ nm}\}, \\ \Gamma_2 &= \{(x, y) : (x, y) \in \partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma_1, x > 2 \text{ nm}\}, \\ \Gamma_3 &= \{(x, y) : (x, y) \in \partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma_1, x < -2 \text{ nm}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3 = \partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma_1$ . To study ion and heat transport through electrodes of complex geometry under an applied voltage, we consider binary monovalent electrolytes and set initial conditions as

$$c^1(0, x, y) = 0.2 \text{ M}, \quad c^2(0, x, y) = 0.2 \text{ M}, \quad T(0, x, y) = 300 \text{ K for } (x, y) \in \Omega.$$

Also, we prescribe zero-flux boundary conditions for ionic concentrations, thermally insulated boundary conditions for temperature, and the following boundary conditions for electric potential:

$$\begin{cases} \psi(t, x, y) = \psi_* \text{ k}_B T_0 / e & \text{on } \Gamma_2, \\ \psi(t, x, y) = 0 \text{ k}_B T_0 / e & \text{on } \Gamma_3, \\ \nabla\psi \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ k}_B T_0 / (e\mu\text{m}) & \text{on } \Gamma_1, \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

which describes a horizontally applied voltage, and zero surface charge on upper and lower boundaries. Unless otherwise stated, the following numerical simulations take  $\psi_* = 2 \text{ k}_B T_0 / e$ ,  $\varepsilon_0 = 8.85 \times 10^{-21} \text{ C}/(\text{Vnm})$ ,  $\varepsilon_r = 80$ ,  $C = 38.8 \text{ M}$ ,  $k = 1.20 \times 10^{-4} \text{ J}/(\text{Kms})$ ,  $\nu^1 = \nu^2 = 4.14 \times 10^{-10} (\text{Js})/\text{m}^2$ ,  $z^1 = 1$ ,  $z^2 = -1$ , and  $\rho^f = 0 \text{ M}$ .




Figure 4: Evolution of the discrete entropy  $S$  (rescaled by  $S_0 := k_B c_0 L^2$ ) and total mass of cations (Left), as well as minimum concentration  $\text{Min}_i \{c_i^1, c_i^2\}$  and minimum temperature  $\text{Min}_i \{T_i\}$  over the computational mesh (Right).

With zero-flux mass, thermally insulated, and time-independent voltage boundary conditions, the system possesses properties of mass conservation and positive entropy production. Figure 4 presents the evolution of discrete entropy  $S_h^n$  (cf. (4.1)) and total mass of cations, as well as the minimum concentration  $\text{Min}_i \{c_i^1, c_i^2\}$  and minimum temperature  $\text{Min}_i \{T_i\}$ . From the left panel of Figure 4, one observes that the entropy (4.1) increases monotonically and total mass remains constant as time evolves. The right panel of Figure 4 demonstrates that the minimum of cations and  $T$  on the computational mesh maintain positive, indicating that the developed numerical schemes preserve positivity at discrete level. Such numerical results further confirm our analysis on property preservation.






Figure 5: Evolution of the cation concentration  $c^1$ , the electric potential  $\psi$ , and temperature rise  $\Delta T := T - T_0$  at time  $t = 0$ ,  $t = 0.1$ ,  $t = 1$  and  $t = 30(\mu s)$ .

### 5.3 Numerical Investigation

#### 5.3.1 Charging Process

We next apply our PNPf model, along with the proposed numerical methods, to study non-isothermal electrokinetics in supercapacitors with electrodes of complex geometry (cf. Figure 3), when it is charged towards a steady state. A fixed voltage difference,  $\psi_* = 2(k_B T_0/e)$ , is applied across electrodes. Figure 5 displays the evolution of cation concentration  $c^1$ , electric potential  $\psi$ , and temperature  $T$  at time  $t = 0$ ,  $t = 0.1$ ,  $t = 1$ , and  $t = 30 \mu s$ . As the charging proceeds, the cations  $c^1$ , as counterions to the left electrode, gradually permeate into the left electrode and accumulate next to the irregular boundary, forming electric double layers. Meanwhile, the cations also get depleted away from the right electrode due to electrostatic interactions. On the other hand, the electric potential,  $\psi$ , gets screened gently by the permeated counterions in both the cathode and anode.

We next discuss the temperature distribution and evolution during the charging process. The lower plot of Figure 5 displays the dynamics of temperature rise  $\Delta T(x, t) := T - T_0$ . At time  $t = 0.1$ , the temperature first starts to rise most obviously in the central bulk region due to the large current term  $\sum_{\ell=1}^M \nu^\ell c^\ell |\mathbf{u}^\ell|^2$  across the cathode and anode, which is always positive. Analogous to the Joule heating, such large convection is responsible for the main heat generation in initial fast timescale of the charging process. At time  $t = 1$ , the high temperature in the central bulk region starts to diffuse into the branching region of electrodes, accompanying the permeation of ions into electrodes in a slower timescale. The spatial temperature heterogeneity gradually gets smoothed out in the later stage of the charging process. As approaching the steady state, the temperature in the supercapacitor becomes homogeneous with a rise of temperature around 2.37 K.




Figure 6: (a) The evolution of current density  $I$  (rescaled by  $I_0 := k_B T_0 c_0 / \nu_0 L$ ) in the bulk, as well as a linearly varying scheme of an applied voltage in the inset; (b) Temperature change  $\Delta T$  using different scan rate  $\nu$ ; (c) A log-log plot of temperature rising slope  $\chi$  against  $\nu^2$ , showing a quadratic scaling law; (d) Entropy  $S_h$  (rescaled by  $S_0$ ) and its two components.

### 5.3.2 Cyclic Voltammetry

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) is a popular and powerful electrochemical technique commonly used to evaluate the performance of supercapacitors [16, 28, 40, 41]. We apply the proposed numerical methods to probe non-isothermal electrokinetics in supercapacitors with complex electrode geometry using a linearly varying applied voltage  $\psi_*$  with the following scheme

$$\psi_*(t) = \begin{cases} \nu t, & 2(n-1)t_0 \leq t \leq (2n-1)t_0, \\ 25 - \nu [t - (2n-1)t_0], & (2n-1)t_0 \leq t \leq 2nt_0, \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

where  $\nu$  is the scan rate in  $V/\mu s$  and  $n(= 1, 2, 3, \dots)$  is the number of cycles; cf. the inset plot of Figure 6 (a).

Under a periodically oscillatory applied voltage, one can see from Figure 6 (a) that the current rises drastically in the first charging period and dives into negative values in the first discharging period. Later on, the current rises and decreases in a stable, periodic manner in charging/discharging cycles. Similar response of temperature rise can be seen in Figure 6 (b), in which the temperature is heated up monotonically in a charging stage and slightly cooled down in a discharging stage. Overall, one can see that the temperature increases in an oscillatory way, indicating that our approach can robustly capture the reversible and irreversible heating in CV tests. In addition, one can find that temperature rising speed depends directly on the scanning rate  $\nu$ . To further quantify the temperature rise, we denote by  $\chi$  the slope of overall temperature rise. Figure 6 (c) presents a log-log plot of the relation between  $\chi$  and  $\nu^2$ , showing a perfect quadratic scaling law  $\chi \propto \nu^2$ . Such a conclusion is consistent with previous understanding on Joule heating effect [10, 19, 20].

Attention should be paid to the variation of the entropy and its two components:  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  (cf. (2.4)), in the Figure 6 (d). As expected, the total entropy keeps increasing monotonically and oscillatory throughout the charging/discharging processes, since zero-flux and thermally insulated boundary conditions have been considered for the system. The entropy related to temperature,  $S_1$ , increases in an oscillatory manner due to the temperature variation. Notably, the entropy related to the randomness of ionic distribution,  $S_2$ , decreases in the charging stage, which can be attributed to the adsorption of counterions into the EDL during charging, resulting in a more ordered double-layer structure. On the contrary,  $S_2$  increases in the discharging stage, due to the dissolving ions back into the bulk region, leading to a more disordered state. Such results align with previous studies that entropy related to the ionic distribution accounts for the reversible heating in the charging/discharging processes through the formation and dissolution of EDLs [10, 20].

We apply our approach to understand the interplay between temperature and other key factors in CV measurements. Again, a linearly varying surface potential  $\psi_*$  (5.4) is imposed on electrodes of complex geometry. Figure 7(a) presents a 3D evolution curve of the charge current in the bulk  $I(0, t)/I_0$ , the applied voltage  $\psi_*(t)$ , and the temperature change  $\Delta T(t)$  in several charging/discharging cycles. In contrast to the traditional plot of current-versus-potential ( $I$ - $V$  curve), such a  $I$ - $V$ - $T$  presentation includes the temperature as another dimension and unravels the dependence of the temperature on both the charge current and applied voltage. Overall, one can observe that the temperature rises in a spiral path, with rising temperature in charging and decreasing temperature. It is of great interest to see that the area enclosed by the projected  $I$ - $V$  loop shrinks as the temperature rises, indicating that the thermal effect on electrokinetics, i.e.,




Figure 7: (a) Evolution curve for the current in the bulk  $I(0,t)/I_0$ , the applied voltage  $\psi_*(t)$ , and the temperature change  $\Delta T(t)$  in a CV test; (b) The curve of  $I/I_0$  versus the applied voltage  $\psi_*(t)$  with various scanning rates.

Soret effect, is faithfully captured by our model and numerical methods. To further probe the CV measurements, we perform simulations with different scanning rates. Figure 7 (b) displays the  $I$ - $V$  diagram with scanning rates ranging from  $\nu = 1.29 \text{ V}/\mu\text{s}$  to  $\nu = 5.16 \text{ V}/\mu\text{s}$ . It is worth noting that the charging/discharging processes follow different paths, showing a hysteresis diagram. With faster scanning rates, one finds that there is an intersection point in the hysteresis curve, which is consistent with recent CV measurements in electrodes with complex pore geometry [6]. However, the intersection point is missing in CV simulations for planar electrodes for which the problem can be reduced to a 1D case [20], highlighting the remarkable difference between planar electrodes and electrodes with complex geometry. This also emphasizes the significance of simulating electrodes with complex geometry for realistic supercapacitors.

## 6 Conclusions

In this work, finite-volume numerical schemes have been proposed for a thermodynamically consistent PNP model developed for the prediction of non-isothermal electrokinetics in supercapacitors. Novel modified Crank-Nicolson discretization for the terms,  $\log \frac{1}{T}$  and  $\log c^\ell$ , has been designed to achieve a second-order temporal accurate scheme. Numerical analysis has rigorously proved that both the first- and second-order accurate numerical schemes preserve entropy increase, mass conservation, etc. The numerical positivity of ionic concentration and temperature has been guaranteed by using exponential transforms. Numerical experiments have been conducted to verify that our numerical methods have expected accuracy and are capable of preserving anticipated properties. Simulation results have demonstrated that our model, along with the designed numerical schemes, can successfully predict temperature oscillation in the charging/discharging processes of supercapacitors with electrodes of complex geometry. Furthermore, temperature rising slope is found to scale quadratically against the scanning rate in CV tests. In addition to the monotonically rising total entropy, simulations have unravel that the ionic entropy contribution,

which measures the disorder of ionic distribution, decreases in the formation of EDLs and increases in the dissolution of EDLs, highlighting that our approach is indeed able to capture underlying mechanisms responsible for reversible heat generation in the charging/discharging processes of supercapacitors. In summary, our work provides a promising tool in predicting non-isothermal electrokinetics in charging/discharging processes of supercapacitors with electrodes of complex geometry.

**Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank Professor Chun Liu for helpful discussions. This work is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China 12101264, Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province BK20210443, High level personnel project of Jiangsu Province 1142024031211190 (J. Ding), and National Natural Science Foundation of China 12171319 (X. Ji. and S. Zhou).

## References

- [1] I. Atlas and G. Z. Ramon. Periodic energy conversion in an electric-double-layer capacitor. *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.*, 530:675–685, 2018.
- [2] M. Bazant, K. Thornton, and A. Ajdari. Diffuse-charge dynamics in electrochemical systems. *Phys. Rev. E*, 70(2):021506, 2004.
- [3] M. Bessemoulin-Chatard. A finite volume scheme for convection-diffusion equations with nonlinear diffusion derived from the Scharfetter-Gummel scheme. *Numer. Math.*, 121(4), 2012.
- [4] M. Bessemoulin-Chatard, C. Chainais-Hillairet, and M. H. Vignal. Study of a finite volume scheme for the drift-diffusion system. Asymptotic behavior in the quasi-neutral limit. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 52:1666–1691, 2014.
- [5] P. M. Biesheuvel and M. Z. Bazant. Nonlinear dynamics of capacitive charging and desalination by porous electrodes. *Phys. Rev. E*, 81:031502, 2010.
- [6] J. Bisquert. Inductive and capacitive hysteresis of current-voltage curves: Unified structural dynamics in solar energy devices, memristors, ionic transistors, and bioelectronics. *PRX Energy*, 3:011001, 2024.
- [7] A. Burke. Ultracapacitors: why, how, and where is the technology. *J. Power Sources*, 91(1):37–50, 2000.
- [8] Y. Dandeville, P. Guillemet, Y. Scudeller, O. Crosnier, L. Athouel, and T. Brousse. Measuring time-dependent heat profiles of aqueous electrochemical capacitors under cycling. *Thermochim. Acta*, 526(1):1–8, 2011.
- [9] R. de Levie. On porous electrodes in electrolyte solutions: I. capacitance effects. *Electrochim. Acta*, 8:751–780, 1963.
- [10] A. L. d’Entremont and L. Pilon. Thermal effects of asymmetric electrolytes in electric double layer capacitors. *J. Power Sources*, 273:196–209, 2015.

- [11] J. Ding, Z. Wang, and S. Zhou. Energy dissipative and positivity preserving schemes for large-convection ion transport with steric and solvation effects. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 488:112206, 2023.
- [12] J. Ding and S. Zhou. Second-order, positive, and unconditional energy dissipative scheme for modified Poisson–Nernst–Planck equations. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 510:113094, 2024.
- [13] R. Eymard, T. Gallouët, and R. Herbin. *Finite volume methods*, volume 7. Elsevier, 2000.
- [14] R. Fang, C. Schmidt, and W. Wall. A coupled finite element approach to spatially resolved lithium plating and stripping in three-dimensional anode microstructures of lithium-ion cells. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 461:111179, 2022.
- [15] T. F. Fuller and J. N. Harb. *Electrochemical Engineering*. Wiley, 1st edition, 2018.
- [16] H. Girard, H. Wang, A. L. d’Entremont, and L. Pilon. Physical interpretation of cyclic voltammetry for hybrid pseudocapacitors. *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 119:11349–11361, 2015.
- [17] A. Gupta, P. Zuk, and H. Stone. Charging dynamics of overlapping double layers in a cylindrical nanopore. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 125:076001, 2020.
- [18] J. Hu and X. Huang. A fully discrete positivity-preserving and energy-dissipative finite difference scheme for Poisson–Nernst–Planck equations. *Numer. Math.*, 145:77–115, 2020.
- [19] M. Janssen and R. van Roij. Reversible heating in electric double layer capacitors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 118:096001, 2017.
- [20] X. Ji, C. Liu, P. Liu, and S. Zhou. Energetic variational approach for prediction of thermal electrokinetics in charging and discharging processes of electrical double layer capacitors. *J. Power Sources*, 551:232184, 2022.
- [21] T. Kirk, J. Evans, C. Please, and S. Chapman. Modeling electrode heterogeneity in lithium-ion batteries: Unimodal and bimodal particle-size distributions. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 82:625–653, 2022.
- [22] C. Lian, M. Janssen, H. Liu, and R. van Roij. Blessing and curse: How a supercapacitor’s large capacitance causes its slow charging. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 124:076001, 2020.
- [23] C. Liu, C. Wang, S. Wise, X. Yue, and S. Zhou. A positivity-preserving, energy stable and convergent numerical scheme for the Poisson–Nernst–Planck system. *Math. Comput.*, 90(331):2071–2106, 2021.
- [24] H. Liu and W. Maimaitiyiming. A dynamic mass transport method for Poisson–Nernst–Planck equations. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 473:111699, 2022.
- [25] H. Liu and Z. Wang. A free energy satisfying finite difference method for Poisson–Nernst–Planck equations. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 268:363–376, 2014.
- [26] H. Liu and Z. Wang. A free energy satisfying discontinuous Galerkin method for one-dimensional Poisson–Nernst–Planck systems. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 328:413–437, 2017.

- [27] P. Liu, S. Wu, and C. Liu. Non-isothermal electrokinetics: Energetic variational approach. *Commun. Math. Sci.*, 16(5):1451–1463, 2018.
- [28] B. Mei and L. Pilon. Three-dimensional cyclic voltammetry simulations of EDLC electrodes made of ordered carbon spheres. *Electrochim. Acta*, 255:168–178, 2017.
- [29] M. Metti, J. Xu, and C. Liu. Energetically stable discretizations for charge transport and electrokinetic models. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 306:1–18, 2016.
- [30] M. Mirzadeh, F. Gibou, and T. Squires. Enhanced charging kinetics of porous electrodes: Surface conduction as a short-circuit mechanism. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 113:097701, 2014.
- [31] I. Moyles, M. Hennessy, T. Myers, and B. Wetton. Asymptotic reduction of a porous electrode model for lithium-ion batteries. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 79:1528–1549, 2019.
- [32] C. Pascot, Y. Dandeville, Y. Scudeller, P. Guillemet, and T. Brousse. Calorimetric measurement of the heat generated by a double-layer capacitor cell under cycling. *Thermochim. Acta*, 510(1):53–60, 2010.
- [33] A. Prohl and M. Schmuck. Convergent discretizations for the Nernst–Planck–Poisson system. *Numer. Math.*, 111:591–630, 2009.
- [34] Y. Qian, C. Wang, and S. Zhou. A positive and energy stable numerical scheme for the Poisson–Nernst–Planck–Cahn–Hilliard equations with steric interactions. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 426:109908, 2021.
- [35] J. Schiffer, D. Linzen, and D. Sauer. Heat generation in double layer capacitors. *J. Power Sources*, 160(1):765–772, 2006.
- [36] M. Schmuck and M. Bazant. Homogenization of the poisson–nernst–planck equations for ion transport in charged porous media. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 75:1369–1401, 2015.
- [37] J. Shen and J. Xu. Unconditionally positivity preserving and energy dissipative schemes for Poisson–Nernst–Planck equations. *Numer. Math.*, 148:671–697, 2021.
- [38] R. Timms, S. Marquis, V. Sulzer, C. Please, and S. Chapman. Asymptotic reduction of a lithium-ion pouch cell model. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 81:765–788, 2021.
- [39] M. Vynnycky and M. Assunção. The vanadium redox flow battery: an asymptotic perspective. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 79:1147–1172, 2019.
- [40] H. Wang and L. Pilon. Physical interpretation of cyclic voltammetry for measuring electric double layer capacitances. *Electrochim. Acta*, 64:130–139, 2012.
- [41] H. Wang, A. Thiele, and L. Pilon. Simulations of cyclic voltammetry for electric double layers in asymmetric electrolytes: A generalized modified Poisson–Nernst–Planck model. *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 117:18286–18297, 2013.
- [42] Y. Wang and C. Liu. Some recent advances in energetic variational approaches. *Entropy*, 24(5), 2022.

- [43] S. Wu, C. Liu, and L. Zitikanov. Energetic stable discretization for non-isothermal electrokinetics model. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 425:109889, 2019.
- [44] Y. Xu, Z. Lin, X. Zhong, X. Huang, N. Weiss, Y. Huang, and X. Duan. Holey graphene frameworks for highly efficient capacitive energy storage. *Nat. Commun.*, 5:4554, 2014.
- [45] X. Yang, C. Chi, Y. Wang, Q. Ling, and D. Li. Liquid-mediated dense integration of graphene materials for compact capacitive energy storage. *Science*, 341:534–537, 2013.
- [46] X. Zhang, W. Wang, J. Lu, L. Hua, and J. Heng. Reversible heat of electric double-layer capacitors during galvanostatic charging and discharging cycles. *Thermochim. Acta*, 636:1–10, 2016.
- [47] X. Zhou and C. Xu. Efficient time-stepping schemes for the Navier–Stokes–Nernst–Planck–Poisson equations. *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 289:108763, 2023.