

COUNTING PAIRS OF CYCLES WHOSE PRODUCT IS A PERMUTATION WITH RESTRICTED CYCLE LENGTHS

MIKLÓS BÓNA AND BORIS PITTEL

ABSTRACT. We find exact and asymptotic formulas for the number of pairs (p, q) of N -cycles such that the all cycles of the product $p \cdot q$ have lengths from a given integer set. We then apply these results to prove a surprisingly high lower bound for the number of permutations whose block transposition distance from the identity is at least $(n + 1)/2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

We are interested in counting *some* of the permutations of a set $[N]$ whose cycle lengths belong to a given integer subset A of $\{1, 2, \dots\}$. Some special cases have direct consequences in the theory of biologically motivated sorting algorithms. We will explore a very surprising one of these, related to sorting by block transpositions, in Section 4. Our result will be surprising because it will prove the existence of a high number of permutations of a certain kind of which it is difficult to construct even one example.

Let $\mathbb{P}_N(A)$ denote the total number of *all* such permutations. It is well known (cf. Bona [5]) that

$$(1.1) \quad \mathbb{P}_N(A) = N! \cdot [x^N] \exp(F_A(x)), \quad F_A(x) := \sum_{r \in A} \frac{x^r}{r}.$$

Equivalently, $p_N(A)$, the probability that the uniformly random permutation of $[N]$ have all its cycle lengths from A , is given by

$$(1.2) \quad p_N(A) = [x^N] \exp(F_A(x)),$$

Of particular interest to us is $A = \mathcal{E}$, the set of even numbers, and $A = \mathcal{O}$, the set of odd numbers. It is well known that [5]

$$(1.3) \quad F_{\mathcal{E}}(x) = \log(1 - x^2)^{-1/2}, \quad F_{\mathcal{O}}(x) = \log\left(\frac{1+x}{1-x}\right)^{1/2}.$$

It follows that

$$(1.4) \quad p_N(\mathcal{E}) = 2^{-N} \binom{N}{N/2}, \quad N \text{ even},$$

$$p_N(\mathcal{O}) = \begin{cases} 2^{-N} \binom{N}{N/2}, & N \text{ is even,} \\ 2^{-N+1} \binom{N-1}{(N-1)/2}, & N \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

2. PRODUCTS OF MAXIMAL CYCLES

It turns out possible to derive a potentially tractable counterpart of (1.2) for $q_N(A)$, the probability that the *product* of two independent, uniformly random cycles has all its cycle lengths from a given set A . There have been many papers on products of maximal cycles in recent years, such as [2, 6, 7].

Theorem 2.1. (a) *For a general A , we have*

$$(2.1) \quad q_N(A) = \frac{N}{N+1} \left(\sum_{k+\ell=N} (-1)^\ell \binom{N}{\ell}^{-1} p_k(A) (p_\ell(A^c) - p_{\ell-1}(A^c)) \right).$$

Consequently, the equations (1.4) and (2.1) provide explicit formulas for $q_N(\mathcal{E})$ and $q_N(\mathcal{O})$. (b) Alternatively

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} q_N(\mathcal{E}) &= \frac{2N}{(N+1)2^N} \sum_{k+\ell=N/2} \frac{1}{k} \binom{2(k-1)}{k-1} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \left(1 - \binom{N}{2\ell}^{-1} \right), \quad N \text{ even}, \\ q_N(\mathcal{O}) &= \frac{N}{2^{N(N+1)}} \sum_{2k+2\ell=N} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \binom{N}{2\ell}^{-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{N}{2^{N-2(N+1)}} \sum_{2k+2\ell=N-2} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \binom{N}{2\ell+1}^{-1}, \quad N \text{ even}, \\ q_N(\mathcal{O}) &= \frac{N}{(N+1)2^{N-1}} \sum_{2\ell+2k=N-1} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \binom{2k}{k} \left(\binom{N}{2\ell}^{-1} + \binom{N}{2\ell+1}^{-1} \right), \quad N \text{ odd}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently $q_N(\mathcal{E}), q_N(\mathcal{O}) \sim (\pi N/2)^{-1/2}$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

We did not prove the part (b) by combining the formulas (2.1) and (1.4). Instead, we used a different sequence of steps for the derivation. The equations (2.2) are substantively more amenable to asymptotic analysis since the term signs do not alternate.

The set \mathcal{E} is a special case of \mathcal{D} , the full set of positive integers divisible by a fixed $d > 1$. So, we count the permutations whose cycle lengths are all divisible by d . Here

$$(2.3) \quad F_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{1}{d} \log(1 - x^d)^{-1},$$

and consequently, for $N \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$,

$$p_N(\mathcal{D}) = \frac{1}{(N/d)!} \prod_{r=0}^{N/d-1} (r + 1/d).$$

Similarly to the proof for $q_N(\mathcal{E})$, we will show in the appendix that

$$(2.4) \quad q_N(\mathcal{D}) = \frac{N}{d(N+1)} \sum_{dk+d\ell=N} \frac{1}{k!\ell!} \left(1 - (-1)^{dk} \binom{N}{d\ell}^{-1} \right)$$

$$(2.5) \quad \times \prod_{r=1}^{k-1} (r - 1/d) \prod_{r'=0}^{\ell-1} (r' + 1/d),$$

and for $d = 2$ the equation (2.4) yields the top formula in (2.2).

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1.

We start with the following general formula, [13] and Zagier [16]. Let S_N be the set of all permutations on $[N]$, and let C_1, \dots, C_k be some conjugacy classes from S_N . Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ be the random *independent* elements of S_N , where σ_j is distributed *uniformly* on C_j . For $\sigma := \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbb{P}(\sigma = s) = P_\sigma(s) := \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\lambda} (f^\lambda)^{-k+1} \chi^\lambda(s) \prod_{j=1}^k \chi^\lambda(C_j).$$

Here the sum is taken over all integer partitions (Young diagrams) of N , and f^λ is the dimension of the irreducible representation of S_N associated with λ , given by the hook formula

$$(3.2) \quad f(\lambda) = \frac{N!}{\prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} h_{i,j}}.$$

Here $h_{i,j}$ is the cardinality of the hook for a cell (i, j) , i. e. 1 plus the number of cells in λ to the east and to the south from (i, j) . Furthermore, $\chi^\lambda(s)$ and $\chi^\lambda(C_j)$ are the values of the associated character χ^λ at s and at the elements of the conjugacy classes C_j .

Let $\mathcal{C}_\mathbf{p}$ be a generic conjugacy class consisting of all permutations of $[N]$, with cycle lengths $p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \dots$, with $\sum_j p_j = N$. By the Littlewood-Richardson rule, (see Macdonald [12], Stanley [15]), the common value of $\chi^\lambda(s)$ for $s \in \mathcal{C}_\mathbf{p}$ is given by

$$(3.3) \quad \chi^\lambda(\mathcal{C}_\mathbf{p}) = \sum_T (-1)^{\text{ht}(T)}.$$

Here the sum is over all *rim hook* diagrams T of shape λ and type \mathbf{p} , i. e. over all ways to empty the diagram λ by successive deletions of *border strips*, i. e. those consisting of only current border cells, one hook at a time, of *increasing* lengths p_j . Further, $\text{ht}(T)$ is the sum of heights of the individual strips (number of rows minus 1) in the hook diagram T .

We focus on $k = 2$, and $C_1 = C_2 = \mathcal{C}_N$, the set of all maximal, N -long, cycles. Here the composition α consists of a single component N , and T is empty unless the diagram α is a single hook λ^* , with one horizontal row

of length λ_1 and one column of height λ^1 , $\lambda_1 + \lambda^1 = N + 1$, in which case $\chi^{\lambda^*}(\mathcal{C}_N) = (-1)^{\lambda^1 - 1}$. And by the hook formula (3.2),

$$(3.4) \quad f(\lambda^*) = \frac{N!}{N \prod_{i=1}^{\lambda_1 - 1} i \times \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda^1 - 1} j} = \binom{N-1}{\lambda_1 - 1}.$$

Furthermore, by (3.3), given one-hook diagram λ^* , the value of $\chi^{\lambda^*}(s)$ depends on a generic permutation s only through $\boldsymbol{\nu} = \boldsymbol{\nu}(s)$, where $\nu_r = \nu_r(s)$ is the total number of r -long cycles in s . We need the following tool.

Lemma 3.1. *Let ν_j be the number of p_i 's equal j . Then*

$$(3.5) \quad \chi^{\lambda^*}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{p}}) = (-1)^{\lambda^1} [x^{\lambda^1}] \frac{x}{1-x} \prod_{r \geq 1} (x^r - 1)^{\nu_r},$$

where λ_1 and λ^1 are the arm length and the leg end of the hook λ^* .

Proof. The argument is a corrected/edited proof of this identity in [13]. In a generic rim hook diagram T the last rim hook is a hook diagram with a row and a column of sizes μ_1 and μ^1 , $\mu_1 \leq \lambda_1$, $\mu^1 \leq \lambda^1$. Define ℓ by $\ell + 1 = \mu_1 + \mu^1$. All the preceding rim hooks in T are horizontal and vertical segments of the arm and of the leg of λ^* . Let us evaluate the total number of the rim hooks diagrams with h_r , the number of the horizontal hooks of size r ; so $h_r \in [0, \nu_r]$ for $r \neq \ell$ and $h_\ell \in [0, \nu_\ell - 1]$. The admissible $\mathbf{h} := \{h_r\}$ must meet the additional constraint

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_r h_r r + \mu_1 = \lambda_1.$$

If ν_r is similarly defined as the total number of vertical hooks of size r , then the preceding constraint implies that $\sum_r \nu_r r + \mu^1 = \lambda^1$. The total number of the rim hooks diagrams T , with parameters μ_1, μ^1, \mathbf{h} , is

$$\binom{\nu_\ell - 1}{h_\ell} \prod_{r \neq \ell} \binom{\nu_r}{h_r} = \prod_r \binom{\nu_r - \delta_{\ell,r}}{h_r}, \quad \delta_{\ell,r} = \delta_{\ell,r} := \mathbb{I}(r = \ell).$$

We do not have to multiply the above product by the multinomial coefficient $\binom{\nu - 1}{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{\ell-1}, \nu_\ell - 1, \nu_{\ell+1}, \dots}$ since the rim hooks are deleted in the fixed, increasing order of their lengths. Further, the μ^1 -long leg of the last (longest) hook contributes $\mu^1 - 1$ to the height T , while the total contribution of the vertical rim hooks is $(\lambda^1 - \mu^1)$, the sum of their sizes, minus $\sum_r (\nu_r - \delta_{\ell,r} - h_r)$, their total number. So

$$\begin{aligned} ht(T) &= (\mu_1 - 1) + (\lambda^1 - \mu^1) - \sum_r (\nu_r - \delta_{\ell,r} - h_r) \\ &\equiv \lambda^1 - 1 + \sum_r (\nu_r - \delta_{\ell,r} - h_r) \pmod{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the total contribution to $\chi^{\lambda^*}(s)$ from the rim hook diagrams with the last rim hook μ^* is

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{\lambda_1-1} \sum_{\mathbf{h} \text{ meets (3.6)}} \prod_r (-1)^{\nu_r - \delta_{\ell,r} - h_r} \binom{\nu_r - \delta_{\ell,r}}{h_r} \\ &= (-1)^{\lambda_1-1} [\xi^{\lambda_1 - \mu_1}] \prod_r \sum_{h_r} (-1)^{\nu_r - \delta_{\ell,r} - h_r} (\xi^r)^{h_r} \binom{\nu_r - \delta_{\ell,r}}{h_r} \\ &= (-1)^{\lambda_1-1} [\xi^{\lambda_1 - \mu_1}] \prod_r (\xi^r - 1)^{\nu_r - \delta_{\ell,r}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda_1 - \mu_1 \in [\lambda_1 - \min(\ell, \lambda_1), \lambda_1 - 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^{\lambda^*}(s) &= (-1)^{\lambda_1-1} \sum_{t=\lambda_1 - \min(\ell, \lambda_1)}^{\lambda_1-1} [\xi^t] \prod_r (\xi^r - 1)^{\nu_r - \delta_{\ell,r}} \\ &= (-1)^{\lambda_1-1} [\xi^{\lambda_1-1}] \sum_{\ell} \left(\sum_{u=0}^{\min(\ell, \lambda_1)-1} \xi^u \right) \prod_r (\xi^r - 1)^{\nu_r - \delta_{\ell,r}} \\ &= (-1)^{\lambda_1-1} [\xi^{\lambda_1-1}] \sum_{\ell} \frac{\xi^{\min(\ell, \lambda_1)-1}}{(\xi-1)(\xi^\ell-1)} \prod_r (\xi^r - 1)^{\nu_r}. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\frac{\xi^{\min(\ell, \lambda_1)-1}}{(\xi-1)(\xi^\ell-1)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\xi-1}, & \ell \leq \lambda_1, \\ \frac{\xi^{\lambda_1-1}}{(\xi-1)(\xi^\ell-1)}, & \ell > \lambda_1. \end{cases}$$

Since

$$\frac{\xi^{\lambda_1-1}}{(\xi-1)(\xi^\ell-1)} = \frac{1}{\xi-1} + \sum_{j \geq \lambda_1} c_j \xi^j,$$

we see that

$$\chi^{\lambda^*}(s) = (-1)^{\lambda_1-1} L[\xi^{\lambda_1-1}] \frac{1}{\xi-1} \prod_r (\xi^r - 1)^{\nu_r}.$$

where L

□

Combining (3.4) and (3.5), we obtain: with $\nu = \sum_r \nu_r$,

$$(3.7) \quad \mathbb{P}(\sigma = s) = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\lambda_1 \in [N]} \binom{N-1}{\lambda_1-1}^{-1} (-1)^{\lambda_1} [x^{\lambda_1}] \frac{x}{1-x} \prod_r (x^r - 1)^{\nu_r}.$$

Furthermore, using the terminology in Sachkov [14], for a given $A \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots\}$, we call s an A -permutation if $\nu_r = 0$ for all $r \notin A$. Let us use (3.7) to obtain a hopefully tractable formula for $p_N(A)$ the probability that

the random permutation s is type- A . To this end, we need to sum the right-hand side of (3.7) over all ν with $\nu_r = 0$ for $r \notin A$. Observing that the total number of permutations with given cycle counts $\nu = \{\nu_r\}$ is

$$\frac{N!}{\prod_r (r!)^{\nu_r} \nu_r!} \cdot \prod_{r'} ((r' - 1)!)^{\nu_{r'}} = \frac{N!}{\prod_r r^{\nu_r} \nu_r!}.$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\nu: \nu_r=0, \forall r \notin A \\ \sum_r r \nu_r = N}} \frac{N!}{\prod_r r^{\nu_r} \nu_r!} \prod_r (x^r - 1)^{\nu_r} &= N! \sum_{\substack{\nu: \nu_r=0, \forall r \notin A \\ \sum_r r \nu_r = N}} \prod_r \left(\frac{x^r - 1}{r}\right)^{\nu_r} \frac{1}{\nu_r!} \\ &= N! [y^N] \prod_{r \in A} \sum_{m \geq 0} \left(\frac{x^r - 1}{r}\right)^m \cdot \frac{y^{mr}}{m!} = N! [y^N] \prod_{r \in A} \exp\left(\frac{y^r (x^r - 1)}{r}\right) \\ &= N! [y^N] \exp(F(xy) - F(y)), \quad F(\eta) := \sum_{r \in A} \frac{\eta^r}{r}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$(3.8) \quad q_N(A) = [y^N] e^{-F(y)} \sum_{\lambda_1 \in [N]} \binom{N-1}{\lambda_1-1}^{-1} (-1)^{\lambda_1} [x^{\lambda_1}] \frac{x}{1-x} e^{F(xy)}.$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{N-1}{\lambda_1-1}^{-1} &= \frac{(\lambda_1-1)!(N-\lambda_1)!}{(N-1)!} = N \int_0^1 z^{\lambda_1-1} (1-z)^{N-\lambda_1} dz, \\ (-1)^{\lambda_1} [x^{\lambda_1}] \frac{x}{1-x} e^{F(xy)} &= (-1)^{N+1-\lambda_1} [x^{\lambda_1-1}] \frac{e^{F(xy)}}{1-x} \\ &= (-1)^N (-1)^{\lambda_1-1} [x^{\lambda_1-1}] \frac{e^{F(xy)}}{1-x} = (-1)^N [x^{\lambda_1-1}] \frac{\exp(F(-xy))}{1+x}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the sum in (3.8) equals

$$\begin{aligned} &(-1)^N N \sum_{\lambda_1 \in [N]} \left(\int_0^1 z^{\lambda_1-1} (1-z)^{N-\lambda_1} dz \right) [x^{\lambda_1-1}] \frac{\exp(F(-xy))}{1+x} \\ &= (-1)^N N \int_0^1 \sum_{\lambda_1 \in [N]} z^{\lambda_1-1} [x^{\lambda_1-1}] \frac{\exp(F(-xy))}{1+x} (1-z)^{(N-1)-(\lambda_1-1)} dz \\ &= (-1)^N N \int_0^1 \sum_{\lambda_1 \in [N]} [x^{\lambda_1-1}] \frac{\exp(F(-xyz))}{1+xz} \times [x^{(N-1)-(\lambda_1-1)}] \frac{1}{1-x(1-z)} dz \\ &= (-1)^N N \int_0^1 [x^{N-1}] \frac{\exp(F(-xyz))}{(1+xz)(1-x(1-z))} dz \\ &= -N \int_0^1 [x^{N-1}] \frac{\exp(F(xyz))}{(1-xz)(1+x(1-z))} dz. \end{aligned}$$

In combination with (3.8) we have proved

$$(3.9) \quad p_N(A) = -N[y^N] e^{-F(y)} \int_0^1 [x^{N-1}] \frac{e^{F_A(xyz)}}{(1-xz)(1+x(1-z))} dz.$$

(a) Let us compute the integral for a general A . Since

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{1}{(1-xz)(1+x(1-z))} = \frac{1}{x} \frac{1}{1-xz} - \frac{1}{x} \cdot \frac{1}{1+x(1-z)} \\ = \frac{1}{x} \sum_{j \geq 0} (xz)^j - \frac{1}{x} \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j x^j (1-z)^j,$$

we have

$$[x^{N-1}] \frac{\exp(F_A(xyz))}{(1-xz)(1+x(1-z))} = [x^N] e^{F_A(xyz)} \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} (xz)^j - \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j x^j (1-z)^j \right) \\ = \sum_{k+j=N} (yz)^k [u^k] e^{F_A(u)} (z^j - (-1)^j (1-z)^j).$$

So, the integral equals

$$\sum_{k+j=N} y^k [u^k] e^{F_A(u)} \int_0^1 z^N dz - \sum_{k+j=N} (-1)^j y^k [u^k] e^{F_A(u)} \int_0^1 z^k (1-z)^j dz \\ = \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k+j=N} y^k [u^k] e^{F_A(u)} - \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k+j=N} (-1)^j \binom{N}{j}^{-1} y^k [u^k] e^{F_A(u)}.$$

Then, since $e^{-F_A(y)} = \sum_{\ell} y^{\ell} [v^{\ell}] e^{-F_A(v)}$,

$$[y^N] e^{-F_A(y)} \int_0^1 [x^{N-1}] \frac{e^{F_A(xyz)}}{(1-xz)(1+x(1-z))} dz = \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k+j=N, k+\ell=N} [v^{\ell}] e^{-F_A(v)} [u^k] e^{F_A(u)} \\ - \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k+j=N, k+\ell=N} (-1)^j \binom{N}{j}^{-1} [v^{\ell}] e^{-F_A(v)} [u^k] e^{F_A(u)} \\ = \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k+\ell=N} [v^{\ell}] e^{-F_A(v)} [u^k] e^{F_A(u)} \\ - \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k+\ell=N} (-1)^j \binom{N}{\ell}^{-1} [v^{\ell}] e^{-F_A(v)} [u^k] e^{F_A(u)}.$$

The first sum equals $[x^N] e^{-F_A(x)+F_A(x)} = 0$. Furthermore, introducing A^c , the complement of A in $\{1, 2, \dots\}$,

$$[v^{\ell}] e^{-F_A(v)} = [v^{\ell}] \exp\left(-\log \frac{1}{1-v} + \sum_{r \in A^c} \frac{v^r}{r}\right) \\ = [v^{\ell}] (1-v) e^{F_{A^c}(v)} = p_{\ell}(A^c) - p_{\ell-1}(A^c), \quad (p_0(B) := 1, B \subset \{1, 2, \dots\}).$$

Collecting the pieces, we obtain

$$q_N(A) = \frac{N}{N+1} \sum_{k+\ell=N} (-1)^j \binom{N}{\ell}^{-1} p_k(A) (p_\ell(A^c) - p_{\ell-1}(A^c)).$$

For $A = \mathcal{E}$ and $A = \mathcal{O}$, we get the explicit formulas for $q_N(A)$ by combining (3.9) with (1.3) for those A 's. This completes the proof of the part **(a)**.

(b) It turns out possible to obtain the simpler, only-positive summands, formulas for $q_N(\mathcal{E})$ and $q_N(\mathcal{O})$. Start with $q_N(\mathcal{E})$. In this case, we carry the operation $[y^N]$ inside the integration in (3.9):

$$\begin{aligned} (3.11) \quad q_N(\mathcal{E}) &= -N \int_0^1 [x^{N-1} y^N] \frac{(1-y^2)^{1/2}}{(1-(xyz)^2)^{1/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{(1-xz)(1+x(1-z))} dz \\ &= -N \int_0^1 [x^{N-1}] H_N(xz) \cdot \frac{1}{(1-xz)(1+x(1-z))} dz, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (3.12) \quad H_N(xz) &:= [y^N] \frac{(1-y^2)^{1/2}}{(1-(xyz)^2)^{1/2}} \\ &= [y^N] \left(1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} \binom{1/2}{k} (-y^2)^k \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{\ell \geq 0} \binom{-1/2}{\ell} (-xyz)^{2\ell} \right) \\ &= [y^N] \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{y^{2k}}{k!} \prod_{r=1}^{k-1} (r-1/2) \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{\ell \geq 0} \frac{(xyz)^{2\ell}}{\ell!} \prod_{r'=0}^{\ell-1} (r'+1/2) \right) \\ &= \frac{(xz)^N}{(N/2)!} \prod_{r'=0}^{N/2-1} (r'+1/2) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \geq 1, 2k+2\ell=N} \frac{(xz)^{2\ell}}{k!\ell!} \prod_{r=1}^{k-1} (r-1/2) \prod_{r'=0}^{\ell-1} (r'+1/2). \end{aligned}$$

So, combining (3.12) and (3.10), we evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} &[x^N] H_N(xz) \sum_{j \geq 0} x^j [z^j - (-1)^j (1-z)^j] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{k \geq 1, 2k+2\ell=N, \\ j+2\ell=N}} \frac{z^{2\ell}}{k!\ell!} [z^j - (-1)^j (1-z)^j] \prod_{r=1}^{k-1} (r-1/2) \prod_{r'=0}^{\ell-1} (r'+1/2) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \geq 1, 2k+2\ell=N} \frac{1}{k!\ell!} [z^N - (-1)^{2k} (1-z)^{2k} z^{2\ell}] \prod_{r=1}^{k-1} (r-1/2) \prod_{r'=0}^{\ell-1} (r'+1/2). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating over $z \in [0, 1]$, using

$$\int_0^1 (1-z)^{2k} z^{2\ell} dz = \frac{1}{N+1} \binom{N}{2\ell}^{-1},$$

and multiplying by $-N$, we obtain

$$q_N(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{N}{2(N+1)} \sum_{k \geq 1, 2k+2\ell=N} \frac{1}{k!\ell!} \left(1 - (-1)^{2k} \binom{N}{2k}^{-1} \right) \\ \times \prod_{r=1}^{k-1} (r - 1/2) \prod_{r'=0}^{\ell-1} (r' + 1/2).$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{k!} \prod_{r=1}^{k-1} (r - 1/2) = \frac{1}{2^{2(k-1)} k} \binom{2(k-1)}{k-1}, \quad \frac{1}{\ell!} \prod_{r'=0}^{\ell-1} (r' + 1/2) = \frac{1}{2^{2\ell}} \binom{2\ell}{\ell},$$

we conclude that

$$q_N(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{2N}{(N+1)2^N} \sum_{k+\ell=N/2} \frac{1}{k} \binom{2(k-1)}{k-1} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \left(1 - \binom{N}{2k}^{-1} \right), \quad N \text{ even.}$$

Consider $A = \mathcal{O}$. This time,

$$\exp(F_{\mathcal{O}}(xyz) - F_{\mathcal{O}}(y)) = \sqrt{\frac{1+xyz}{1-xyz}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1-y}{1+y}} \\ = \frac{1+xyz}{\sqrt{1-(xyz)^2}} \cdot \frac{1-y}{\sqrt{1-y^2}},$$

and the equation (3.9) yields

$$q_N(\mathcal{O}) = -[x^{N-1}y^N]N \int_0^1 \frac{1+xyz}{\sqrt{1-(xyz)^2}} \cdot \frac{1-y}{\sqrt{1-y^2}} \cdot \frac{1}{(1-xz)(1+x(1-z))} dz \\ = -[x^{N-1}]N \int_0^1 H_N(xz) \cdot \frac{1}{(1-xz)(1+x(1-z))} dz,$$

where

$$(3.13) \quad H_N(xz) := [y^N] \frac{1+xyz}{\sqrt{1-(xyz)^2}} \cdot \frac{1-y}{\sqrt{1-y^2}} \\ = [y^N](1+xyz)(1-y) \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \left(\frac{(xyz)^2}{4}\right)^\ell \cdot \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{2k}{k} \left(\frac{y^2}{4}\right)^k.$$

(b1) If N is even, then (3.13) yields

$$H_N(xz) = [y^N](1-xy^2z) \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \left(\frac{(xyz)^2}{4}\right)^\ell \cdot \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{2k}{k} \left(\frac{y^2}{4}\right)^k \\ = 2^{-N} \sum_{2k+2\ell=N} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} (xz)^{2\ell} - 2^{-N+2} \sum_{2k+2\ell=N-2} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} (xz)^{2\ell+1}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [x^N]H_N(xz) \sum_{j \geq 0} (xz)^j &= 2^{-N} z^N \sum_{2k+2\ell=N} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \\ &\quad - 2^{-N+2} z^N \sum_{2k+2\ell=N-2} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2\ell}{\ell}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [x^N]H_N(xz) \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j x^j (1-z)^j &= 2^{-N} \sum_{2k+2\ell=N} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} (1-z)^{2k} z^{2\ell} \\ &\quad + 2^{-N+2} \sum_{2k+2\ell=N-2} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} (1-z)^{2k+1} z^{2\ell+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} q_N(\text{odd}) &= -N \int_0^1 [x^{N-1}] \left(H_N(xz) \cdot \frac{1}{(1-xz)(1+x(1-z))} \right) dz \\ &= -N \int_0^1 \left[2^{-N} z^N \sum_{2k+2\ell=N} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} - 2^{-N+2} z^N \sum_{2k+2\ell=N-2} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2^{-N} \sum_{2k+2\ell=N} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} (1-z)^{2k} z^{2\ell} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2^{-N+2} \sum_{2k+2\ell=N-2} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} (1-z)^{2k+1} z^{2\ell+1} \right] dz. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating over $z \in [0, 1]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} q_N(\mathcal{O}) &= -\frac{N}{2^N(N+1)} \sum_{2k+2\ell=N} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} + \frac{N}{2^{N-2}(N+1)} \sum_{2k+2\ell=N-2} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \\ &\quad + \frac{N}{2^N(N+1)} \sum_{2k+2\ell=N} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \binom{N}{2\ell}^{-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{N}{2^{N-2}(N+1)} \sum_{2k+2\ell=N-2} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \binom{N}{2\ell+1}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{2k+2\ell=N} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} &= [\eta^{N/2}] \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{2k}{k} \eta^k \right)^2 \\ &= [\eta^{N/2}] \frac{1}{1-4\eta} = 4^{N/2} = 2^N, \end{aligned}$$

and likewise

$$\sum_{2k+2\ell=N-2} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} = 2^{N-2}.$$

Therefore the formula for $q_N(\text{odd})$ simplifies to

$$(3.14) \quad q_N(\mathcal{O}) = \frac{N}{2^{N(N+1)}} \sum_{2k+2\ell=N} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \binom{N}{2\ell}^{-1} \\ + \frac{N}{2^{N-2(N+1)}} \sum_{2k+2\ell=N-2} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \binom{N}{2\ell+1}^{-1}.$$

(b2) If N is odd, then (3.13) yields

$$H_N(xz) = [y^N](y(xz-1)) \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \left(\frac{xyz}{4}\right)^\ell \cdot \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{2k}{k} \left(\frac{y^2}{4}\right)^k \\ = \frac{xz-1}{2^{N-1}} \sum_{2\ell+2k=N-1} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \binom{2k}{k} (xz)^{2\ell}.$$

Consequently

$$[x^N]H_N(xz) \sum_{j \geq 0} (xz)^j = \frac{1}{2^{N-1}} \left[z[x^{N-1}] \sum_{2\ell+2k=N-1} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \binom{2k}{k} (xz)^{2\ell} \sum_{j \geq 0} (xz)^j \right. \\ \left. - [x^N] \sum_{2\ell+2k=N-1} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \binom{2k}{k} (xz)^{2\ell} \sum_{j \geq 0} (xz)^j \right] \\ = \frac{1}{2^{N-1}} \left[z \sum_{2\ell+2k=N-1} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \binom{2k}{k} z^{2\ell+2k} - z^N \sum_{2\ell+2k=N-1} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \binom{2k}{k} \right] = 0.$$

And similarly

$$[x^N]H_N(xz) \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j x^j (1-z)^j \\ = \frac{1}{2^{N-1}} \left[\sum_{2\ell+2k=N-1} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \binom{2k}{k} z^{2\ell+1} (1-z)^{2k} \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{2\ell+2k=N-1} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \binom{2k}{k} z^{2\ell} (1-z)^{2k+1} \right].$$

So, integrating $-[x^N]H_N(xz) \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j x^j (1-z)^j$ over $z \in [0, 1]$ and multiplying the result by $-N$, we obtain

$$(3.15) \quad q_N(\mathcal{O}) = \frac{N}{(N+1)2^{N-1}} \sum_{2\ell+2k=N-1} \binom{2\ell}{\ell} \binom{2k}{k} \left(\binom{N}{2\ell}^{-1} + \binom{N}{2\ell+1}^{-1} \right).$$

The proof of the part **(b)** is complete, and so is the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Remarks. (1) The probabilities $q_N(A)$ do not change if instead of considering all pairs (p, q) of cycles of length N , selected from $\mathcal{C}_N \times \mathcal{C}_N$ uniformly at random, we set $p = (12 \cdots N)$ and we select q from \mathcal{C}_N at random.

4. BLOCK TRANSPOSITION SORTING

Our motivation for obtaining exact and asymptotic results regarding the product of two long cycles comes from a biologically motivated sorting algorithms called *block transposition sorting*. A step in this sorting algorithm consists of swapping *adjacent* blocks of entries. The lengths of the two blocks do not have to be the same. That is,

$$u = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_i u_{i+1} \cdots u_j u_{j+1} \cdots u_k u_{k+1} \cdots u_n$$

is turned into the permutation

$$v = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_i u_{j+1} \cdots u_k u_{i+1} \cdots u_j u_{k+1} \cdots u_n.$$

If $u, v \in S_n$, and t is the smallest integer so that there exists a sequence of t block transpositions that turn u into v , then we say that $t = \text{btd}(u, v)$ is the *block transposition distance* of u and v .

The block transposition distance of two permutations is *left-invariant*, that is, if $u, v, r \in S_n$, then $\text{btd}(u, v) = \text{btd}(ru, rv)$. Selecting $r = v^{-1}$, this means that $\text{btd}(u, v) = \text{btd}(v^{-1}u, \text{id})$, where id denotes the identity permutation. This reduces our distance-measuring problem to a *sorting problem*, that is, we can focus, without loss of generality, on the block transposition distance of a given permutation from the *identity permutation*. Therefore, we will write $\text{btd}(u)$ for $\text{btd}(u, \text{id})$.

Several natural and difficult questions arise. Which permutation u is the furthest away from id ? What is $\text{td}(n) = \max_{u \in S_n} \text{btd}(u)$? It is known [11] that $\text{td}(n) \leq \lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor$. On the lower end [11], $\text{btd}(n \cdots 21) = \lceil (n+1)/2 \rceil$, which led to the conjecture $(\text{td})(n) = \lceil (n+1)/2 \rceil$. However, this has been disproved [10] for $n = 4k + 1 \geq 17$. For such n , there is a permutation u so that $\text{btd}(u) = 2k + 2 = \lceil (n+1)/2 \rceil + 1$. So there is a considerable gap between the best known lower and upper bound for $\text{td}(n)$.

As there are almost no known permutations u satisfying the inequality $\text{btd}(u) > (n+1)/2$, there is intrinsic interest in finding out how many permutations v satisfy $\text{btd}(v) = \lceil (n+1)/2 \rceil$. In this paper, we will find a lower bound for the number of such permutations. This lower bound will be significantly higher than what could be deduced before, based on the results in [8] and [4].

Crucially, there is a bijection C from the set of all permutations of length n to the set of all permutations of length $n+1$ that are of the form $p \cdot q$, where $p = (12 \cdots (n+1))$ and $\nu(q) = 1$, that is, q is a cycle of length $n+1$. This bijection is defined [4] with the help of a graph called the *cycle graph*, but we will not need that definition in this paper. Let $\nu_{\text{odd}}(\pi)$ be the number of odd cycles of the permutation π . The following lemma shows the importance of the bijection C for us.

Lemma 4.1. [1] *For all permutations u of length n , the inequality $\text{btd}(u) \geq \frac{n+1-\nu_{\text{odd}}(C(u))}{2}$ holds.*

Clearly, the upper bound of Lemma 4.1 is the strongest when $\nu_{\text{odd}}(C(u)) = 0$, that is, when all cycles of $C(u)$ are even. We are now in a position to prove a lower bound for the number of such permutations u .

Theorem 4.2. *The number of permutations of length n that take at least $\lceil (n+1)/2 \rceil$ block transpositions to sort is at least $(n-2)!q_{n-1}(\mathcal{E})$, where $q(\mathcal{E})$ is defined by the first equation in (2.2). Consequently, the fraction of such permutations among all permutations of length n is asymptotically at least $1/\sqrt{\pi n/2}$.*

Proof. Lemma 4.1 shows that $\text{btd}(u) \geq \lceil (n+1)/2 \rceil$ when all cycles of $C(u)$ are even. The existence of bijection C shows that the number of such permutations equals the number of pairs q that consist of a single cycle of length $n+1$ so that $(12 \cdots (n+1)) \cdot q$ has even cycles only. And, by the definition of $q_{n-1}(\mathcal{E})$, the number of the latter pairs is $(n-2)!q_{n-1}(\mathcal{E})$, their fraction among all $(n-2)!$ permutations being asymptotic to $(\pi n/2)^{-1/2}$, see Theorem 2.1. \square

Note that Theorem 4.2 is significant for two reasons.

First, the result of Theorem 4.2 is significantly stronger than what was known before. In particular, it follows from Lemma 4.1 that $\text{btd}(u) \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ holds when $C(u)$ has exactly one cycle. The number of such permutations is known [3, 7] to be $2n!/(n+2)$ if n is even, and 0 if n is odd, so the ratio of such permutations among all permutations of length n is $1/(n+2)$ if n is even. This lower bound is weaker than what we have just proved, by a factor of c/\sqrt{n} . Another lower bound, also significantly weaker than the one we just proved, can be deduced from the results in [8], where the authors considered *cut-and-paste* sorting, an operation that is stronger than block transposition sorting. However, that lower bound just shows that the relevant ratio is at least $\sqrt{n}/2^n$, which is again weaker than the result above.

Second, and probably more importantly, we have just proved the existence of a lot of permutations that are very close to the known worst case. The decreasing permutation $n \cdots 21$ takes $\lceil (n+1)/2 \rceil$ block transpositions to sort, which led to the conjecture that no permutation of length n is further away from the identity. However, this has been disproved [10] for $n = 4k+1 \geq 17$. For such n , there is a permutation u so that $\text{btd}(u) = 2k+2 = \lceil (n+1)/2 \rceil + 1$. So Theorem 4.2 shows that at least $1/\sqrt{\pi n/2}$ of all permutations are either equal to the strongest known construction for worst case, or are just one step away from it.

5. FURTHER DIRECTIONS

It is natural to ask if other special cases Theorem 2.1 have application in sorting algorithms. The answer is in the affirmative. A *block interchange* is

like a block transposition except that the blocks that are swapped do not have to be consecutive. Then a theorem in [4] shows that $(n + 1 - \nu(C(u)))/2$ is *equal* to the block interchange distance of the permutation u of length n to the identity. It follows that if $C(u)$ has at least one even cycle, then the block interchange distance and the block transposition distance of u to the identity must be different. We hope to explore this fact in a subsequent paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Bafna, P. Pevzner, Sorting by Transpositions, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **11** (1998), no.2, 224–240.
- [2] O. Bernardi, R. Du, A. Morales, R. Stanley, *Separation probabilities for products of permutations*. *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **23** (2014), no. 2, 201–222.
- [3] G. Boccara, *Nombres de représentations d'une permutation comme produit de deux cycles de longueurs données* *Discret. Math.* **29** (1980), 105–134.
- [4] D. A. Christie, Sorting Permutations By Block Interchanges, *Inform. Process. Lett.* **60** (1996), 165–169.
- [5] M. Bóna, *Combinatorics of Permutations*, Chapman and Hall/CRC (2004).
- [6] M. Bóna, R. Flynn, The average number of block interchanges needed to sort a permutation and a recent result of Stanley. *Inform. Process. Lett.* **109** (2009), no. 16, 927–931.
- [7] M. Bóna, B. Pittel, On the cycle structure of the product of random maximal cycles, *Sém. Lothar. Combin.* **80** ([2019–2021]), Art. B30b, 37 pp.
- [8] D. W. Cranston, I. H. Sudborough, D. B. West, *Short Proofs for Cut-and-Paste Sorting of Permutations*. *Discrete Math* **307** (2007), no. 22, 2866–2870.
- [9] J-P. Doignon, A. Labarre, On Hultman numbers, *Journal of Integer Sequences*, **10** (2007), Article 07.6.2.
- [10] I. Elias, T. Hartman, A 1.375-approximation algorithm for sorting by transpositions. *IEEE-ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics*, **3** (2006) no. 4, 369–379.
- [11] H. Eriksson, K. Eriksson, J. Karlander, L. Svensson, J. Wästlund, Sorting a bridge hand, *Discrete Math.* **241** (2001) 289–300.
- [12] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, Clarendon Press (1995).
- [13] B. Pittel, *Another proof of the Harer-Zagier formula*, *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, **23** (2016), # P1.21
- [14] V. N. Sachkov, *Probabilistic methods in combinatorial analysis*, Cambridge University Press (1997).
- [15] R. Stanley, Two enumerative results on cycles of permutations. *European J. Combin.* **32** (2011), no.6, 937–943.
- [16] D. Zagier, On the distribution of the number of cycles of elements in symmetric groups, *Nieuw Arch. Wiskd.*, **13** (1995), 489–495.

Appendix. The argument mimicks the proof the formula for $q_N(\mathcal{E})$, i.e. the case $d = 2$. This time N is divisible by d . The equation (3.11) holds for

$q_N(\mathcal{D})$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.1) \quad H_N(xz) &:= [y^N] \frac{(1-y^d)^{1/d}}{(1-(xyz)^d)^{1/d}} \\
 &= [y^N] \left(1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} \binom{1/d}{k} (-y^d)^k \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{\ell \geq 0} \binom{-1/d}{\ell} (-(xyz)^d)^\ell \right) \\
 &= [y^N] \left(1 - \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{y^{dk}}{k!} \prod_{r=1}^{k-1} (r-1/d) \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{\ell \geq 0} \frac{(xyz)^{d\ell}}{\ell!} \prod_{r'=0}^{\ell-1} (r'+1/d) \right) \\
 &= \frac{(xz)^N}{(N/d)!} \prod_{r'=0}^{N/d-1} (r'+1/d) - \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k \geq 1, dk+d\ell=N} \frac{(xz)^{d\ell}}{k!\ell!} \prod_{r=1}^{k-1} (r-1/d) \prod_{r'=0}^{\ell-1} (r'+1/d).
 \end{aligned}$$

So, according to the equation (3.11) for $q_N(\mathcal{D})$, and (3.10), we evaluate

$$\begin{aligned}
 &[x^N] H_N(xz) \sum_{j \geq 0} x^j [z^j - (-1)^j (1-z)^j] \\
 &= -\frac{1}{d} \sum_{\substack{k \geq 1, dk+d\ell=N, \\ j+d\ell=N}} \frac{z^{d\ell}}{k!\ell!} [z^j - (-1)^j (1-z)^j] \prod_{r=1}^{k-1} (r-1/d) \prod_{r'=0}^{\ell-1} (r'+1/d) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{d} \sum_{k \geq 1, dk+d\ell=N} \frac{1}{k!\ell!} [z^N - (-1)^{dk} (1-z)^{dk} z^{d\ell}] \prod_{r=1}^{k-1} (r-1/d) \prod_{r'=0}^{\ell-1} (r'+1/d).
 \end{aligned}$$

Integrating over $z \in [0, 1]$ and multiplying by $-N$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 q_N(\mathcal{D}) &= \frac{N}{d(N+1)} \sum_{k \geq 1, dk+d\ell=N} \frac{1}{k!\ell!} \left(1 - (-1)^{dk} \binom{N}{d\ell}^{-1} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{r=1}^{k-1} (r-1/d) \prod_{r'=0}^{\ell-1} (r'+1/d).
 \end{aligned}$$

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE, FL 32611
Email address: bona@ufl.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OH 43210
Email address: pittel.1@osu.edu