



The induced friction on a probe moving in a nonequilibrium medium

Ji-Hui Pei ^{1,2} and Christian Maes ¹

¹*Department of Physics and Astronomy, KU Leuven*

²*School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing*

Using a powerful combination of projection-operator method and path-space response theory, we derive the fluctuation dynamics of a slow inertial probe coupled to a steady nonequilibrium medium under the assumption of time-scale separation. The nonequilibrium can be realized by external nongradient driving on the medium particles or by their (athermal) active self-propulsion. The resulting friction on the probe is explicit as a time-correlation for medium observables and is decomposed into two terms, one entropic and proportional to the noise amplitude as in the Einstein relation for equilibrium media, and a frenetic term that can take both signs. As illustration, we give the exact expressions for the friction and noise of a probe in a rotating run-and-tumble medium and in a sheared overdamped medium. In both examples, we find an interesting transition to absolute negative probe friction as the nonequilibrium medium exhibits sufficient and persistent rotational current.

I. INTRODUCTION

Obtaining reduced and effective descriptions is the bread and butter of statistical physics. One successful example is the understanding of hydrodynamics emerging from an underlying many-body dynamics, to characterize the long-wavelength and long-time behavior of fluids. Another example is the appearance of fluctuation dynamics such as Brownian motion [1–5] for a micrometer-sized colloidal tracer (or probe) suspended in a fluid from the atomistic picture of nature. More broadly, such Langevin dynamics appear over and over again in multifold applications and is central to many nonequilibrium studies, [6, 7].

The derivation of an effective dynamics is a fascinating problem that requires technical and conceptual care. Part of that concerns the transfer of principles and properties from one level of description to a more coarse-grained level. One crucial result that has met with great success is the identification of the fluctuation-dissipation relation of the second kind (FDR_{II}) [8–10], *aka* the Einstein relation, which relates the friction on a probe with the thermal noise. That connection is not *just there* to produce the (correct) equilibrium distribution; the deeper reason is the time-reversal invariance in equilibrium. On the technical side, besides exactly solvable models [11, 12], methods such as via Mori’s or Zwanzig’s projection-operators exist, [13, 14], to derive reduced descriptions for general equilibrium media, extending to relativistic [15], astrophysical [16], and quantum-statistical setups [17, 18].

However, when dealing with a *steady nonequilibrium* medium coupled to a probe, often encountered in microrheology, [19–21], and physics of Life, [22–26], things get more complicated. Some recent studies on the generalized Langevin equation have even suggested from Mori’s linear projection operator technique that the FDR_{II} is still valid [27–29]. Yet, more detailed analyses on concrete models have contradicted these claims, [30–33]. There are other case studies mainly focusing on fluids with steady flow, [34–36], but the general structure of friction and noise has still been missing. Up to now, the most satisfying result is given by the path-space approach, [37–39], which works with trajectory probabilities. That path-space approach [40] not only shows the violation of FDR_{II} but also produces measurable expressions for the friction coefficient. Yet, several strict assumptions have remained, and it was unclear what further approximations are allowed and useful. On the other hand, Zwanzig’s nonlinear projection approach [13], which is more formal and of great use for systems with time-scale separation, has been much less developed for nonequilibrium media.

In the present paper, we combine Zwanzig’s projection-operator method with the understanding from the path-space approach to derive the effective fluctuation dynamics for a slow inertial probe coupled to a nonequilibrium medium. In the end, a general and extended FDR_{II} appears, where a new friction-noise relation benchmarks the reduced dynamics for probes in a nonequilibrium medium. As illustrated with two examples (a run-and-tumble and a sheared medium) we see how the frenetic contribution [41], may generate absolute negative friction, making the probe persistently run away to high energies.

II. SETUP

We consider a probe immersed in a medium consisting of many particles. The medium is out of equilibrium due to either a nongradient steady driving or active self-propulsion. The underlying equilibrium environment in which the medium particles dissipate their energy, is described effectively in the (coarse-grained) dynamics of the medium; see




FIG. 1. Sketch of a heavy probe (red ball) immersed in a nonequilibrium medium: The green rods represent medium particles, out of equilibrium either by steady driving or self-propulsion, dissipating in the thermal environment (blue background).

Fig. 1. The probe is heavy, so that its motion is much slower than the medium. For simplicity of presentation, we take the probe to interact only with the medium particles. The degrees of freedom of the probe are represented by A , while the degrees of freedom of the medium are denoted by B . We are interested in the reduced dynamics of the probe, i.e., integrating out the medium. Note that our derivation can also be used when A represents other kinds of slow variables such as hydrodynamic modes.

Suppose the probe+medium dynamics can be described as a Markov process, with evolution equation $\dot{\rho} = L^\dagger \rho$ for the probability distribution $\rho(A, B; t)$ in terms of the forward generator L^\dagger , which is divided into two terms,

$$L^\dagger = L_0^\dagger + \epsilon L_1^\dagger. \quad (1)$$

The medium dynamics is represented here by L_0^\dagger , acting on B for fixed A . It contains the nonconservative effects from the external or active driving and the dissipation effect from the underlying environment (blue background in Fig. 1). The generator L_1^\dagger acting on A for fixed B is conservative, and therefore is antisymmetric: $L_1^\dagger = -L_1$. The small parameter ϵ represents the time-scale separation between the medium and the particle, *e.g.*, from large mass differences.

We denote by $f_A(B)$ the pinned (or Born-Oppenheimer) distribution, the stationary distribution of the medium dynamics for fixed A , satisfying $L_0^\dagger f_A = 0$. For nonequilibrium, $f_A(B)$ should be distinguished from the conditional stationary distribution $\rho_{\text{st}}(B|A) = \rho_{\text{st}}(A, B) / \int dA \rho_{\text{stat}}(A, B)$. We introduce the projection operator P^\dagger acting on any joint distribution function $\rho(A, B)$,

$$P^\dagger \rho(A, B) = f_A(B) \int dB' \rho(A, B'). \quad (2)$$

That projection integrates out the medium and replaces the medium distribution with the pinned distribution f_A . The conjugate projection operator P is defined on functions g of (A, B) by:

$$(Pg)(A) = \int dB g(A, B) f_A(B) =: \langle g \rangle_A^{\text{bo}}, \quad (3)$$

where $\langle g \rangle_A^{\text{bo}}$ is the average of g over the pinned distribution f_A of the medium.

By standard techniques [42], the evolution equation for the probabilities can be converted into an exact equation for the projected distribution $P^\dagger \rho(t)$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P^\dagger \rho(t) = P^\dagger L^\dagger P^\dagger \rho(t) + P^\dagger L^\dagger e^{tQ^\dagger L^\dagger} Q^\dagger \rho(0) + \int_0^t ds P^\dagger L^\dagger e^{sQ^\dagger L^\dagger} Q^\dagger L^\dagger P^\dagger \rho(t-s), \quad (4)$$

where $Q^\dagger = 1 - P^\dagger$ is the orthogonal projection, and $\rho(0)$ is the initial distribution. Apart from using the pinned distribution $f_A(B)$ as the reference, the above procedure follows Zwanzig's projection-operator method, originally applied for equilibrium media. We take (4) as our starting point.

III. GENERAL STRUCTURE

In the following, the only approximation we make is that the time scales of the probe and the medium are widely separated, characterized by the small constant ϵ . We track terms to order ϵ^2 and focus on the behavior at the probe time scale $t \sim O(\epsilon^{-2})$. Under this assumption, the reduced dynamics is automatically Markovian. The derivation is slightly different from the usual equilibrium case and is contained in the Appendix A.

The resulting dynamics for the probe is a Markov diffusive process, which can be expressed by a formal Fokker-Planck equation for the reduced distribution $\tilde{\rho}(A, t) = \int dB \rho(A, B; t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{\rho}(A, t) = & - \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial A_i} [K_i(A) \tilde{\rho}(A, t)] \\ & - \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial A_i} [\mu_i(A) \tilde{\rho}(A, t)] - \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial A_i} \left[\sum_j \frac{\partial D_{ij}(A)}{\partial A_j} \tilde{\rho}(A, t) \right] \\ & + \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial A_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial A_j} [D_{ij}(A) \tilde{\rho}(A, t)]. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where the sum is over all the slow degrees of freedom, for example, the position and momentum of the probe. K_i is the induced quasistatic force acting on the probe, which is to the leading order in ϵ :

$$K_i(A) = \langle LA_i \rangle_A^{\text{bo}}, \quad (6)$$

with average defined in (3). All other terms are $O(\epsilon^2)$. μ_i is the friction term

$$\mu_i = - \int_0^\infty ds \sum_j \left\langle LA_i(s); LA_j \frac{\partial}{\partial A_j} \log f_A \right\rangle_A^{\text{bo}}, \quad (7)$$

where $\langle \cdot; \cdot \rangle_A^{\text{bo}}$ is the time-dependent connected correlation (covariance) in the pinned ensemble. Finally, the diffusion coefficient is

$$D_{ij} = \int_0^\infty ds \langle LA_i(s); LA_j(0) \rangle_A^{\text{bo}}. \quad (8)$$

The symmetrization $(D_{ij} + D_{ji})/2$ is a positive-definite matrix (diffusion coefficient). The third term in (5) is the drift originating from the inhomogeneous diffusion coefficient (multiplicative noise).

Simplifications occur when the probe is a point particle of mass m with position q and momentum p , and the coupling between the medium η and the probe only involves the position q via some potential $U(q, \eta)$. (We use one-dimensional notation and replace B with η to denote all the medium degrees of freedom in the following.) Then, the pinned distribution f_q only depends on the probe position. We have $Lq = p/m$, and $Lp = F(q, \eta) = -\partial_q U$ is the total force on the probe. In that case, the probe dynamics is described by $m\dot{q} = p$ and (in Ito's convention)

$$\dot{p} = \langle F(q, \eta) \rangle_q^{\text{bo}} - \gamma(q) \frac{p}{m} + \frac{\partial D(q)}{\partial q} + \sqrt{2D(q)} \xi(t), \quad (9)$$

where $\langle F(q, \eta) \rangle_q^{\text{bo}}$ is the force on the probe by the medium in the quasistatic approximation, and the friction coefficient and diffusion coefficient are,

$$\gamma = \int_0^\infty ds \langle F(q, \eta(s)); \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \log f_q \rangle_q^{\text{bo}}, \quad (10)$$

$$D = \int_0^\infty ds \langle F(q, \eta(s)); F(q, \eta(0)) \rangle_q^{\text{bo}}. \quad (11)$$

In the special case of an equilibrium medium at inverse temperature β , $f_q(\eta) \propto \exp(-\beta U(q, \eta))$, and $\partial_q \log f_q = \beta F(q, \eta)$ can be substituted in (10), resulting in the standard FDRII, $\gamma = \beta D$. However, for a nonequilibrium medium, there is no canonical form for the pinned distribution $f_q(\eta)$, and hence Eq. (10) is not directly measurable. That is remedied in the following.

IV. NONEQUILIBRIUM RESPONSE THEORY

To accommodate an observable version of the friction, we need to replace the $\partial_q \log f_q$ in (10) with more operationally accessible quantities. That can be achieved by the use of nonequilibrium response theory, developed from a path-space approach, [40, 43–45].

In the time-correlation $\gamma(s) = \langle F(q, \eta(s)) \partial_q \log f_q \rangle_q^{\text{bo}}$, where $\gamma = \int_0^\infty ds \gamma(s)$, the observable $F(q, \eta(s))$ must be evaluated at time $s \geq 0$ according to the pinned dynamics for fixed q . Since $\partial_q \log f_q = \partial_q f_q / f_q$, we have to linear order in dq ,

$$\gamma(s) dq = \int d\eta e^{sL_0} F(q; \eta) [f_{q+dq}(\eta) - f_q(\eta)], \quad (12)$$

where we take the backward generator L_0 of the medium (depending on fixed q) from the decomposition (1).

In (12), the first term is a perturbation of the second term, which after integration simply equals $\langle F(q; \eta(s)) \rangle_q^{\text{bo}}$ (average in reference dynamics). For the first term, we need the response of the medium to a sudden quench, where the probe position starts from $q + dq$ in the infinite past and keeps until time $t = 0$: at $t = 0$, the probe position is suddenly and forever changed to q . As a result, the medium distribution is f_{q+dq} at $t = 0$, and then the medium undergoes a relaxation process with fixed q . With $\int d\eta e^{sL_0} F(q; \eta) f_{q+dq}(\eta) = \langle F(q; \eta(s)) \rangle_{q+dq \rightarrow q}^*$ for the average of F in this perturbed process, we get

$$\gamma(s) dq = \langle F(q; \eta(s)) \rangle_{q+dq \rightarrow q}^* - \langle F(q; \eta(s)) \rangle_q^{\text{bo}}. \quad (13)$$

That difference between the average in the perturbed dynamics and the reference dynamics can be handled with the techniques of nonequilibrium response theory as described in [40, 43].

To be more concrete, we take the common case where the medium consists either of driven overdamped particles or of discrete states following a Markov jump process, in contact with an environment at inverse temperature β in which heat is dissipated. Applying linear response theory [44], we obtain (13) as

$$\gamma(s) = \frac{\beta}{2} [\langle F(q, \eta(s)); F(q, \eta(0)) \rangle_q^{\text{bo}} - \int_s^\infty du \langle L_0 F(q, \eta(0)) F(q, \eta(u)) \rangle_q^{\text{bo}}]. \quad (14)$$

All observables in the average are explicit which renders the expression for the friction operationally useful. Other but similar expressions apply for other medium baths, such as underdamped or multi-temperature media, [46].

The above formula generalizes and clarifies previous work in [37, 38]. The results there look similar but only apply under two additional strict assumptions (besides the time-scale separation): the coupling between the probe and the medium is sufficiently weak, and the probe just moves around a fixed point or a determined trajectory. These two assumptions are now relieved, benefiting from the formal projection-operator technique.

The friction $\gamma = \int_0^\infty \gamma(s) ds$ in the form (14) and the noise amplitude in (11) imply the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= \gamma_{\text{ent}} + \gamma_{\text{fren}}, \\ D &= 2T\gamma_{\text{ent}} = \int_0^\infty ds \langle Lp(s); Lp(0) \rangle_q^{\text{bo}}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

with the second equality giving all that remains of the Einstein relation. For an equilibrium medium, $\gamma_{\text{fren}} = \gamma_{\text{ent}}$ and the standard FDR1, $D = T\gamma$, is recovered. Such relations extend beyond the case of nonequilibrium media in contact with a thermal environment as we show in the example of run-and-tumble particles below. Indeed, that decomposition of the friction γ follows the general scheme of entropic, respectively, frenetic contributions, [41]. Both are explicit in terms of measurable quantities and time-correlation functions, as seen in (14), [40].

V. EMERGENCE OF NEGATIVE FRICTION

A medium of run-and-tumble particles can be viewed as modeling (strongly) confined bacterial motion, at least in the low-density limit where vortex reversal is easier, [47, 48]. In a first example, we consider the medium consisting of N independent particles with positions x_t^i in overdamped run-and-tumble motion on a ring with unit radius. They are propelled by constant speed v while the direction of propulsion flips at rate α . Medium particles attract a probe (p_t, q_t) with large mass m (also on the ring) via a force F_m that has constant magnitude and is always directed along the shorter arc between the medium particle and the probe,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_t^i / \chi &= v\sigma_t^i + F_m(x_t^i - q_t), & \sigma_t^i &\xrightarrow{\alpha} -\sigma_t^i \\ \dot{p}_t &= -\sum_i F_m(x_t^i - q_t), & m\dot{q}_t &= p_t, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$




FIG. 2. The probe friction for the run-and-tumble example (16)–(17), as a function of v/k for fixed $\alpha/k = 1/3, 2/3, 1$.

with χ the mobility of the medium particles, which will be set to 1 in the following. We restrict the positions x, q to the range $(-\pi, +\pi]$ and we apply periodic boundary condition. For $k > 0$ we put,

$$F_m(x - q) = \begin{cases} -k, & x - q \in (0, \pi) \\ k, & x - q \in (-\pi, 0) \\ 0, & x = 0, \pi \end{cases}. \quad (17)$$

We take $v > k$ so that the medium particles can turn around the ring.

For that example, we can compute all coefficients in (9); see Appendix B and C. Since medium particles are independent, all forces including induced force, friction, and fluctuation forces are proportional to N . In the following, all quantities are for a single medium particle. The quasistatic force $\langle F \rangle = 0$ by rotation invariance. The probe friction coefficient γ , whose explicit expression is given in Appendix C, only depends on $v/k, \alpha/k$. In Fig. 2, γ is plotted as a function of v/k for different α/k . For small v/k , the friction coefficient remains close to 1. As v/k increases, the friction coefficient decreases rapidly to negative values and reaches a minimum, which is deeper for smaller α/k , and then increases to vanish when $v/k \rightarrow \infty$. For the limit of $\alpha \downarrow 0$, $\gamma \rightarrow -(k/v)^2$, and the negativity of γ becomes most significant.

The friction decomposition (15) gives the entropic part $2\gamma_{\text{ent}} = \beta_{\text{eff}} D$ for effective inverse temperature

$$\beta_{\text{eff}} = \frac{2\alpha}{v^2} \frac{1}{1 - (k/v)^2}$$

where the noise amplitude D is also given in appendix. We also find $\gamma_{\text{ent}} - \gamma_{\text{fren}} = ((v/k)^2 - 1)^{-1}$ which goes to zero in the passive limit $v \uparrow \infty$ keeping $2\alpha/v^2$ constant.

The above example clearly shows the possible emergence of absolute negative friction. On the other hand, for a harmonic interaction between 1-d run-and-tumble particles and a probe, the friction does not show any negativity [49]. This brings the following intuition: The cause of the negative friction for (17) on the ring is the persistent rotational current in the medium for $v > k$. Unsurprisingly then, the emergence of negative friction can also be obtained for a thermal two-dimensional medium that is persistently sheared, as we show next.

Consider a two-dimensional medium with independent overdamped Brownian particles with positions (x^i, y^i) confined in a harmonic well (spring constant k) and driven by a rotational force (shear parameter $a \geq 0$). The probe is a one-dimensional heavy object with position q and momentum p . q and x are coupled by a harmonic interaction (coupling amplitude b). The equations of motion are

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= -kx - ay - b(x - q) + \sqrt{2T}\xi_x, & \dot{y} &= ax - ky + \sqrt{2T}\xi_y \\ \dot{q} &= p/m, & \dot{p} &= -b(q - x). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where the thermal environment delivers temperature T as amplitude for the standard white noises ξ_x, ξ_y on each medium particle. The mobility of the medium particle is again set to 1. The medium process (with fixed q, p) violates detailed balance for $a \neq 0$.

By linearity, all averages and time-dependent correlations can be easily calculated and accord with the general scheme above. Integrating out the sheared medium, we find for the probe the induced quasistatic force $\langle F \rangle$, the

friction coefficient γ , and the diffusion coefficient D ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F \rangle &= -\frac{b(k^2 + a^2)}{a^2 + bk + k^2} q, & \gamma &= \frac{b^2(k^2 - a^2)}{(a^2 + bk + k^2)^2}, \\ D &= \frac{b^2(k^2 + a^2)}{(a^2 + bk + k^2)^2} T, & \gamma_{\text{fren}} &= \frac{b^2(k^2 - 3a^2)}{2(a^2 + bk + k^2)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

(As before, all refer to a single medium particle.) In the equilibrium case $a = 0$, we recover the Einstein relation $D = T\gamma$. For $a \leq k$, the entropic contribution to the friction still dominates. The transition occurs at $a = k$ where the friction vanishes, and the probe dynamics is effectively at infinite temperature $\propto D/\gamma \rightarrow \infty$. For $a > k$, absolute negative friction is obtained, $\gamma < 0$.

Absolute negative friction is of course a purely nonequilibrium effect: the probe persistently absorbs energy from the medium, similar to the scenario for stochastic or Fermi acceleration, [50, 51]. One does not expect a diffusive process with negative friction to last forever. The probe will move faster and faster and time-scale separation finally breaks down which is the key-condition for our derivation [52].

VI. CONCLUSION

We have derived the fluctuation dynamics of a probe by integrating out a fast nonequilibrium medium, first by applying an extended projection-operator method and then to combine it with path-space response theory.

Benefiting from Zwanzig's projection method, the result is rather general, and the approximation is easily tracked. As long as there is time-scale separation, no more need for a weak coupling nor that the probe remains around a fixed position. Relations (9) and (13) are valid for any underlying Markov process of probe+medium. Even local detailed balance, [53], is not needed, implying a huge convenience for the treatment of complex dynamics, such as active media described at highly coarse-grained levels. The inaccessibility of the pinned distribution in (10) has been remedied by nonequilibrium response theory, leading to expressions such as (14) and giving rise to the benchmarking relations (15).

Friction is ubiquitous in any open system but may be modified by various means and for various purposes; see *e.g.*, [54, 55]. The present study indicates it is possible to alter or even remove and reverse the effect of friction by appropriate driving of the medium to which the probe is coupled. Two examples have shown how a persistent rotational current of the medium can generate absolute negative friction.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work got support from the China Scholarship Council, No. 202306010398.

Appendix A: Derivation of the induced Fokker-Planck equation

In this section, we derive the Fokker-Planck equation (5) for the reduced probe dynamics.

We use A, B to denote the probe degrees of freedom, resp., the medium degrees of freedom that are waiting to be integrated out. The forward generator is $L^\dagger = L_0^\dagger + \epsilon L_1^\dagger$ of the original Markov dynamics, where the time-scale separation between the medium and the probe dynamics is indicated by the small constant ϵ . From the definition of the projection operator P^\dagger , it follows that

$$P^\dagger L_0^\dagger = L_0^\dagger P^\dagger = 0. \quad (A1)$$

Eq. (4) in the main text is our starting point, which we repeat here:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P^\dagger \rho(t) = P^\dagger L^\dagger P^\dagger \rho(t) + P^\dagger L^\dagger e^{tQ^\dagger L^\dagger} Q^\dagger \rho(0) + \int_0^t ds P^\dagger L^\dagger e^{sQ^\dagger L^\dagger} Q^\dagger L^\dagger P^\dagger \rho(t-s). \quad (A2)$$

The second term on the right-hand side denotes the effect from the initial distribution. It vanishes if the initial distribution can be decomposed as the system distribution times the pinned distribution. For more general cases, for the long-time behavior, this term can be neglected (up to ϵ^2) as well.

The first term on the right-hand side is first order in ϵ , equal to

$$\epsilon P^\dagger L_1^\dagger P^\dagger \rho(t) \quad (A3)$$

The leading order of the last term in (A2) is ϵ^2 , and it brings forward the noise and the friction. To order $O(\epsilon^2)$, we can do the following approximation (noticing that $\rho(t-s) = e^{-sL^\dagger} \rho(t)$),

$$P^\dagger L^\dagger e^{sQ^\dagger L^\dagger} Q^\dagger L^\dagger P^\dagger e^{-sL^\dagger} \rho(t) = \epsilon^2 P^\dagger L_1^\dagger \left(e^{sL_0^\dagger} - P^\dagger \right) L_1^\dagger P^\dagger \rho(t). \quad (\text{A4})$$

Concentrating on the long-time behavior for $t \sim O(\epsilon^{-2})$, the Markov approximation can be made. We set the upper boundary of the time-integral in (A2) to infinity, so that the last term becomes

$$\int_0^\infty ds P^\dagger L^\dagger (1 - P^\dagger) e^{sL^\dagger} L^\dagger P^\dagger \rho(t) \quad (\text{A5})$$

As we show below, the integrand can be expressed as a time-dependent correlation function in the medium only, which does not depend on ϵ . Therefore, the Markov approximation for the probe dynamics will be valid for times exceeding ϵ^{-2} .

Eq.(A2) is for the total distribution ρ . We now take the integral over the media on both sides to obtain the equation for the reduced distribution $\tilde{\rho} = \text{tr}_B \rho = \int dB \rho$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{\rho}(t) = \text{tr}_B L^\dagger P^\dagger \rho + \int_0^\infty ds \text{tr}_B L^\dagger (1 - P^\dagger) e^{sL^\dagger} L^\dagger P^\dagger \rho(t) \quad (\text{A6})$$

After applying the expression of P^\dagger , the first term reads

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_B L^\dagger P^\dagger \rho(t) &= \int dB L^\dagger [f_A(B) \tilde{\rho}(A, t)] = \int dB \epsilon L_1^\dagger [f_A(B) \tilde{\rho}(A, t)] \\ &= - \int dB \epsilon \sum_i L_1 A_i \frac{\partial}{\partial A_i} [\tilde{\rho}(A, t)] = - \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial A_i} [\langle LA_i \rangle_A \tilde{\rho}(A, t)]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

Here and in the following, $\langle \dots \rangle_A$ denotes the average in the pinned dynamics (Born-Oppenheimer quasistatic dynamics), and the superscript bo is omitted for simplicity. In the first equality, we use $L_0 f_A(B) = 0$. In the second equality, we use that L_1 is conservative so that $L_1^\dagger = -L_1$, and we use the chain rule because it is a first order differential operator. In the third equality, we use that $\epsilon L_1 A_i = LA_i$, and the divergence of LA_i is zero, $\sum_i \partial_{A_i} LA_i = 0$. The final result gives the drift term of the induced force, which is to the first order of ϵ . No approximation is made for this induced force.

Substituting the approximation in Eq. (A4), the second term in Eq. (A6) reads

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty ds \int dB \epsilon L_1^\dagger \left(e^{sL_0^\dagger} - P^\dagger \right) \epsilon L_1^\dagger P^\dagger \rho(t) \\ &= \int_0^\infty ds \int dB' dA' \delta(A - A') \epsilon L_1^\dagger \left(e^{sL_0'^\dagger} - P'^\dagger \right) \epsilon L_1'^\dagger [f_{A'}(B') \tilde{\rho}(A', t)] \\ &= \int_0^\infty ds \int dB dA' (e^{sL_0'} - P') \epsilon L_1' [\delta(A - A')] \epsilon L_1'^\dagger [f_{A'}(B') \tilde{\rho}(A', t)] \\ &= \int_0^\infty ds \int dB' dA' \sum_{ij} [(L'A'(s) - P'L'A') \frac{\partial}{\partial A_i} \delta(A - A')] [L'A'_j \frac{\partial}{\partial A'_j} f_{A'}(B') \tilde{\rho}(A', t)] \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial A_i} \int_0^\infty ds \int dB (LA_i(s) - \langle LA_i \rangle_A) LA_j \frac{\partial}{\partial A_j} [f_A(B) \tilde{\rho}(A, t)] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A8})$$

In the first equality, we plug in a delta function $\delta(A - A')$ and an integral over A' , and the integrated variable B is changed to B' . Meanwhile, the last projection P^\dagger is written down explicitly. In the second equality, operator $\epsilon L_1'^\dagger \left(e^{sL_0'^\dagger} - P'^\dagger \right)$ is moved to act on the delta function with its conjugate operator $(e^{sL_0'} - P') \epsilon L_1'$. In the third equality, we use the property of L_1^\dagger again and replace $e^{sL_0'} LA'$ with the time-evolution value in the pinned dynamics $LA'(s)$. In the fourth equality, ∂_{A_i} is moved to the front, and we do the integral of the delta function and change B' back to B . The final expression in the above equation can be split into two terms,

$$\sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial A_i} \int_0^\infty ds \left\langle \delta LA_i(s) LA_j \frac{\partial}{\partial A_j} \ln f_A(B) \right\rangle_A \tilde{\rho}(A) + \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial A_i} \int_0^\infty ds \langle \delta LA_i(s) \delta LA_j \rangle_A \frac{\partial}{\partial A_j} \tilde{\rho}(A) \quad (\text{A9})$$

with $\delta LA = LA - \langle LA \rangle_A$ the deviation from its average value. These two terms corresponds to the friction and the diffusion effects, respectively. They are to the order of ϵ^2 .

In summary, the Fokker-Planck equation for the reduced distribution is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{\rho}(A, t) = & - \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial A_i} [K_i(A) \tilde{\rho}(A, t)] \\ & - \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial A_i} [\mu_i(A) \tilde{\rho}(A, t)] - \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial A_i} \left[\sum_j \frac{\partial D_{ij}(A)}{\partial A_j} \tilde{\rho}(A, t) \right] + \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial A_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial A_j} [D_{ij}(A) \tilde{\rho}(A, t)] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A10})$$

with the following expressions,

$$K_i(A) = \langle LA \rangle_A, \quad (\text{A11})$$

$$\mu_i(A) = - \int_0^\infty ds \left\langle \delta LA_i(s) LA_j \frac{\partial}{\partial A_j} \ln f_A(B) \right\rangle_A, \quad (\text{A12})$$

$$D_{ij}(A) = \langle \delta LA_i(s) \delta LA_j \rangle_A, \quad (\text{A13})$$

which is Fokker-Planck equation.

Appendix B: Run-and-tumble particles on a ring

We consider the model defined by the Eqs. (16) and (17).

We recall that we have to compute the friction

$$\gamma(s) = \left\langle Lp(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \log f_q(x, \sigma) \right\rangle_q^{\text{bo}} \quad (\text{B1})$$

That requires computing the pinned distribution f_q , and for the time-correlation, to compute the transition probabilities.

x and q are the positions of the RTP particle and the heavy probe on the ring, respectively. For fixed particle position q , we restrict $x - q$ to the interval $(-\pi, \pi]$ and we apply the periodic boundary condition. In the following, we consider the case $q = 0$ and solve the dynamics of the RTP-particle.

1. Eigenvalue problem for the master equation

The master equation for the run-and-tumble particles is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x, \sigma, t) = - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [(F_m + v\sigma) f(x, \sigma, t)] + \alpha [f(x, -\sigma, t) - f(x, \sigma, t)] \quad (\text{B2})$$

with connection conditions at $x = 0$ and $x = \pi$:

$$\begin{aligned} [(v\sigma - k)f(x, \sigma)]_{x=0+} &= [(v\sigma + k)f(x, \sigma)]_{x=0-} \\ [(v\sigma - k)f(x, \sigma)]_{x=+\pi} &= [(v\sigma + k)f(x, \sigma)]_{x=-\pi} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B3})$$

The eigenvalue problem reads

$$- \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [(F_m + v\sigma) f(x, \sigma)] + \alpha [f(x, -\sigma) - f(x, \sigma)] = \lambda f(x, \sigma). \quad (\text{B4})$$

and we find eigenvalues

$$\lambda = 0, \lambda = -2\alpha, \frac{L\sqrt{\alpha^2 k^2 + \lambda v^2 (2\alpha + \lambda)}}{v^2 - k^2} = n\pi i, n \in \mathbb{N}_+. \quad (\text{B5})$$

The solution to the last equation gives

$$\lambda_n^\pm = -\alpha \pm \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^2(v^2 - k^2) - n^2\pi^2(v^2 - k^2)^2/L^2}}{v}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_+. \quad (\text{B6})$$

We denote $b_n = \sqrt{n^2\pi^2/L^2 - \alpha^2/(v^2 - k^2)}$, so that $\lambda_n^\pm = -\alpha \pm ib_n(v^2 - k^2)/v$. There is only one zero eigenvalue, and all other eigenvalues have negative real parts, meaning that the steady-state distribution is unique. For $\lambda = 0$, the eigenfunction is also the steady-state distribution:

$$f_0(x, \sigma) \propto (v + \sigma k \operatorname{sgn} x) \exp\left(-\frac{2k\alpha}{v^2 - k^2}|x|\right). \quad (\text{B7})$$

For $\lambda = -2\alpha$, the eigenfunction is

$$f_{-2\alpha}(x, \sigma) \propto (\sigma v + k \operatorname{sgn} x) \exp\left(\frac{2k\alpha}{v^2 - k^2}|x|\right). \quad (\text{B8})$$

For λ_n^- , the eigenfunction space is two-fold degenerated, represented by two arbitrary coefficient A_\pm ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\lambda_n^-}(x, \sigma) &= \exp\left(ib_n \frac{k}{v}|x|\right) + [\mathcal{A}_\sigma (v + \sigma k \operatorname{sgn} x) \cos(nx) \\ &+ \sin(nx) \left(\mathcal{A}_\sigma \frac{\sigma v + k \operatorname{sgn} x}{n} ib_n + \mathcal{A}_{-\sigma} \sigma \frac{\alpha}{n}\right)]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B9})$$

For λ_n^+ , the eigenfunction is also two-fold degenerated,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\lambda_n^+}(x, +1) &= \exp\left(-ib_n \frac{k}{v}|x|\right) [\mathcal{A}_\sigma (v + \sigma k \operatorname{sgn} x) \cos(nx) \\ &+ \sin(nx) \left(\mathcal{A}_\sigma \frac{-\sigma v - k \operatorname{sgn} x}{n} ib_n + \mathcal{A}_{-\sigma} \sigma \frac{\alpha}{n}\right)]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B10})$$

where \mathcal{A}_σ are arbitrary coefficients. We choose the linear-independent basis $f_{\lambda_n^\pm}^{1,2}$ in the eigenfunction space by setting $\mathcal{A}_1 = 1, \mathcal{A}_{-1} = 0$ and $\mathcal{A}_1 = 0, \mathcal{A}_{-1} = 1$.

2. Orthogonality of the eigenfunctions

Next, we consider the eigenvalue problem for the backward generator L , which gives left eigenfunctions of L^\dagger

$$Lg(x, \sigma) = [F_m(x) + v\sigma] \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x, \sigma) + \alpha[g(x, -\sigma) - g(x, \sigma)]. \quad (\text{B11})$$

This time, the connection condition is just the continuity of the eigenfunction at $x = 0$ and $x = L$.

$$\begin{aligned} [g(x, \sigma)]_{x=0+} &= [g(x, \sigma)]_{x=0-} \\ [g(x, \sigma)]_{x=+L} &= [g(x, \sigma)]_{x=-\pi}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B12})$$

The eigenvalues are the same as before, $\lambda = 0, -2\alpha, \lambda_n^\pm$. For $\lambda = 0$, the eigenfunction is

$$g_0(x, \sigma) \propto 1, \quad x \in (-\pi, \pi). \quad (\text{B13})$$

For $\lambda = -2\alpha$, the eigenfunctions are

$$g_{-2\alpha}(x, \sigma) \propto \sigma, \quad x \in (-\pi, \pi). \quad (\text{B14})$$

For $\lambda = \lambda_n^-$, there are also two fold degeneracy with eigenfunction

$$g_{\lambda_n^-}(x, \sigma) = \exp(-i\frac{k}{v}b_n|x|) [\mathcal{B}_\sigma \cos(nx) + (-\mathcal{B}_{-\sigma} \frac{\alpha}{n(\sigma v - k \operatorname{sgn} x)} - \sigma \mathcal{B}_\sigma ib_n/n) \sin(nx)]. \quad (\text{B15})$$

For $\lambda = \lambda_n^+$, the eigenfunction is

$$g_{\lambda_n^+}(x, \sigma) = \exp(i\frac{k}{v}b_n|x|)[\mathcal{B}_\sigma \cos(nx) + (-\mathcal{B}_{-\sigma} \frac{\alpha}{n(\sigma v - k \operatorname{sgn} x)} + \sigma \mathcal{B}_\sigma i b_n/n) \sin(nx)]. \quad (\text{B16})$$

We choose the basis $f_{\lambda_n^\pm}^{1,2}$ by setting $\mathcal{B}_1 = 1, \mathcal{B}_{-1} = 0$ and $\mathcal{B}_1 = 0, \mathcal{B}_{-1} = 1$.

The left and right eigenfunctions with different eigenvalues are orthogonal, $(g_\mu, f_\lambda) = 0, \mu \neq \lambda$, with respect to the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-L}^L dx \sum_\sigma f(x, \sigma) g(x, \sigma). \quad (\text{B17})$$

The inner products of eigenfunctions with the same eigenvalue are

$$(g_0, f_0) = \frac{2}{k\alpha} v(v^2 - k^2) [1 - \exp(\frac{-2kL\alpha}{v^2 - k^2})], \quad (g_{-2\alpha}, f_{-2\alpha}) = \frac{2}{k\alpha} v(v^2 - k^2) [\exp(\frac{2kL\alpha}{v^2 - k^2}) - 1], \quad (\text{B18})$$

and for λ_n^\pm ,

$$(g_{\lambda_n^+}^i, f_{\lambda_n^+}^j) = M_{\lambda_n^+}^{ij}, \quad (g_{\lambda_n^-}^i, f_{\lambda_n^-}^j) = M_{\lambda_n^-}^{ij}, \quad (\text{B19})$$

where

$$M_{\lambda_n^-}^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2\pi v b_n^2}{n^2} & -\frac{2\pi v^2 \alpha i b_n}{n^2(v^2 - k^2)} \\ -\frac{2\pi v^2 \alpha i b_n}{n^2(v^2 - k^2)} & \frac{2\pi v b_n^2}{n^2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_{\lambda_n^+}^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2\pi v b_n^2}{n^2} & \frac{2\pi v^2 \alpha i b_n}{n^2(v^2 - k^2)} \\ \frac{2\pi v^2 \alpha i b_n}{n^2(v^2 - k^2)} & \frac{2\pi v b_n^2}{n^2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{B20})$$

3. Steady-state distribution and transition probability

For a generic fixed value of particle position q , the steady-state distribution for the run-and-tumble particle is obtained by replacing x with $x - q$ in the expression of the steady-state distribution,

$$f_q(x, \sigma) = (v + \sigma k \operatorname{sgn}(x - q)) \exp\left(-\frac{2k\alpha}{v^2 - k^2} |x - q|\right) / Z_0. \quad (\text{B21})$$

According to the expression of the left and right eigenfunctions, the normalization coefficient is $Z_0 = (g_0, f_0)$.

The transition probability, $P(x, \sigma, t | x_0, \sigma_0)$ is the solution to the Fokker-Planck equation with the initial distribution $\delta(x - x_0) \delta_{\sigma, \sigma_0}$. The delta-function can be expanded in terms of the eigenfunctions,

$$\delta(x - y) \delta_{\sigma, \sigma'} = \sum_\lambda f_\lambda(x, \sigma) d_\lambda(y, \sigma'). \quad (\text{B22})$$

According to the orthogonality of the eigenfunctions,

$$d_\lambda(y, \sigma') = \sum_\mu M_{\lambda\mu}^{-1} (g_\mu(x, \sigma), \delta(x - y) \delta_{\sigma, \sigma'}) = \sum_\mu M_{\lambda\mu}^{-1} g_\mu(y, \sigma'). \quad (\text{B23})$$

The transition probability is expressed as

$$P(x, \sigma, t | x_0, \sigma_0) = \sum_\lambda \exp(\lambda t) f_\lambda(x, \sigma) d_\lambda(x_0, \sigma_0) = \sum_{\lambda\nu} \exp(\lambda t) f_\lambda(x, \sigma) M_{\lambda\nu}^{-1} g_\nu(x_0, \sigma_0). \quad (\text{B24})$$

Appendix C: Friction from the RTP-medium on the ring

From the expression (B21) for the steady-state distribution, the friction coefficient (B1) can be expressed as

$$\gamma(s) = \left\langle k \operatorname{sgn}(x(s)) \left[\frac{2k\alpha}{v^2 - k^2} \operatorname{sgn} x - \frac{2\sigma k}{v} \delta(x) + \frac{2\sigma k}{v} \delta(x - L) \right] \right\rangle_{q=0}, \quad (\text{C1})$$

which can be splitted into two parts,

$$\gamma_1(s) = \frac{2k^2\alpha}{v^2 - k^2} \langle \text{sgn}(x(s)) \text{sgn} x \rangle_{q=0} \quad (\text{C2})$$

and

$$\gamma_2(s) = \frac{2k^2}{v} [\langle -\text{sgn}(x(s))\sigma\delta(x) \rangle_{q=0} + \langle \text{sgn}(x(s))\sigma\delta(x-L) \rangle_{q=0}]. \quad (\text{C3})$$

$\gamma_1 = 2\gamma_{\text{ent}}$ is the ‘‘usual’’ friction coefficient, which is proportional to the variance of the noise and is always positive; $\gamma_2 = \gamma_{\text{fren}} - \gamma_{\text{ent}}$ is always negative, as will follow. In the following, we will omit the subscript $q = 0$ for simplicity.

We first calculate $\gamma_2(s)$. It turns out that

$$\gamma_2(s) = \frac{k^2}{v^2 - k^2} \frac{d}{ds} \langle \text{sgn} x(s) \text{sgn} x(0) \rangle. \quad (\text{C4})$$

To prove the above relation, we rewrite the right-hand side

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{ds} \langle \text{sgn} x(s) \text{sgn} x(0) \rangle \\ &= \int dx_s \int dx_0 \sum_{\sigma_s} \sum_{\sigma_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} P(x_s, \sigma_s, s | x_0, \sigma_0) f_0(x_0, \sigma_0) \text{sgn} x_s \text{sgn} x_0 \\ &= \int dx_s \int dx_0 \sum_{\sigma_s} \sum_{\sigma_0} L_0 P(x_s, \sigma_s, s | x_0, \sigma_0) f_0(x_0, \sigma_0) \text{sgn} x_s \text{sgn} x_0 \\ &= \int dx_s \int dx_0 \sum_{\sigma_s} \sum_{\sigma_0} P(x_s, \sigma_s, s | x_0, \sigma_0) \text{sgn} x_s L_0^\dagger [f_0(x_0, \sigma_0) \text{sgn} x_0]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C5})$$

Plugging in the expression of the forward operator and using $L^\dagger f_0 = 0$, we find the formula in the above equation can be written as

$$\int dx_s \int dx_0 \sum_{\sigma_s} \sum_{\sigma_0} P(x_s, \sigma_s, s | x_0, \sigma_0) \text{sgn} x_s f_0(x_0, \sigma_0) 2(v\sigma - \text{sgn} x_0)(-\delta(x) + \delta(x - \pi)). \quad (\text{C6})$$

Meanwhile, the left-hand side of Eq. (C4) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2k^2}{v} [\langle -\text{sgn}(x(s))\sigma\delta(x) \rangle_{q=0} + \langle \text{sgn}(x(s))\sigma\delta(x-L) \rangle_{q=0}] \\ &= \int dx_s \int dx_0 \sum_{\sigma_s} \sum_{\sigma_0} P(x_s, \sigma_s, s | x_0, \sigma_0) \text{sgn} x_s f_0(x_0, \sigma_0) \frac{2k^2}{v + \sigma k \text{sgn} x_0} (-\delta(x) + \delta(x - \pi)). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C7})$$

From the steady-state distribution (B21), we find

$$\int dx_0 f_0(x_0, \sigma_0) \frac{2k^2}{v + \sigma k \text{sgn} x_0} (-\delta(x) + \delta(x - \pi)) = \frac{k^2}{v^2 - k^2} \int dx_0 f_0(x_0, \sigma_0) 2[v\sigma - \text{sgn} x_0](-\delta(x) + \delta(x - \pi)). \quad (\text{C8})$$

Combining the above three equations explains (C4). Therefore, the time-integrated γ_2 is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{+\infty} ds \gamma_2(s) &= \frac{k^2}{v^2 - k^2} \frac{d}{ds} [\langle \text{sgn} x(+\infty) \text{sgn} x(0) \rangle - \langle \text{sgn} x(0) \text{sgn} x(0) \rangle] \\ &= -\frac{k^2}{v^2 - k^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C9})$$

which is always negative and independent of α .

Next, we calculate $\gamma_1(s)$. The time-integrated coefficient can be expressed via the transition probability,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &= \int_0^\infty ds \frac{2k^2\alpha}{v^2 - k^2} \langle \text{sgn}(x(s)) \text{sgn} x \rangle \\ &= \frac{2k^2\alpha}{v^2 - k^2} \int dx_s \int dx_0 \sum_{\sigma_s} \sum_{\sigma_0} P(x_s, \sigma_s, s | x_0, \sigma_0) f_0(x_0, \sigma_0) \text{sgn} x_s \text{sgn} x_0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C10})$$

Substituting the series expression (B24) of the transition probability, and performing the integration, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 = & \frac{k^4}{v^2(v^2 - k^2)} + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{8n^2 vk^3 \alpha^2 (v^2 - k^2)}{\pi \left(\alpha^2 k^2 + n^2 (v^2 - k^2)^2 \right)^3} \times \\ & \left\{ -(-1)^n \left(n^2 (v^2 - k^2)^2 - \alpha^2 (2v^2 - k^2) \right) \frac{1}{b_n} \sin \left(\frac{\pi k b_n}{v} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + 2\alpha v (v^2 - k^2) \left[1 - (-1)^n \cos \left(\frac{\pi k b_n}{v} \right) \right] \coth \left(\frac{\pi k \alpha}{v^2 - k^2} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C11})$$

where $b_n = \sqrt{n^2 - \alpha^2 / (v^2 - k^2)}$. This expression involves the sum of the series, which converges fast.

Therefore, γ_1 only depends on $\frac{v}{k}$ and $\frac{\alpha}{k}$ and γ_2 only depends on $\frac{v}{k}$, and the total friction coefficient can be written as $\gamma(v/k, \alpha/k)$. For the limit of $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ (but still larger than the relaxation rate of the probe), which corresponds to infinite persistence, the total friction coefficient becomes $\gamma = -\frac{k^2}{v^2}$.

-
- [1] R. Brown, Xxvii. a brief account of microscopical observations made in the months of june, july and august 1827, on the particles contained in the pollen of plants; and on the general existence of active molecules in organic and inorganic bodies, *The Philosophical Magazine* **4**, 161 (1828).
 - [2] A. Einstein, ber die von der molekularkinetischen theorie der wrme geforderte bewegung von in ruhenden fssigkeiten suspendierten teilchen, *Annalen der Physik* **322**, 549 (1905).
 - [3] M. von Smoluchowski, Zur kinetischen theorie der Brownschen molekularbewegung und der suspensionen, *Annalen der Physik* **326**, 756 (1906).
 - [4] N. Van Kampen and I. Oppenheim, Brownian motion as a problem of eliminating fast variables, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **138**, 231 (1986).
 - [5] B. Duplantier, Brownian motion, “diverse and undulating”, in *Einstein, 1905–2005: Poincaré Seminar 2005*, edited by T. Damour, O. Darrigol, B. Duplantier, and V. Rivasseau (Birkhäuser Basel, Basel, 2006) pp. 201–293.
 - [6] R. Zwanzig, *Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics* (Oxford University Press New York, NY, 2001).
 - [7] N. G. Van Kampen, *Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry.*, 3rd ed., edited by N. G. V. Kampen, North-Holland Personal Library (Elsevier Science and Technology, Amsterdam, 2011).
 - [8] H. B. Callen and T. A. Welton, Irreversibility and generalized noise, *Physical Review* **83**, 34 (1951).
 - [9] R. Kubo, The fluctuation-dissipation theorem, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **29**, 255 (1966).
 - [10] D. Chandler, *Introduction to Modern Statistical Mechanics* (Oxford Univ. Press, New York, NY [u.a.], 1987).
 - [11] R. Zwanzig, Nonlinear generalized Langevin equations, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **9**, 215 (1973).
 - [12] A. Caldeira and A. Leggett, Quantum tunnelling in a dissipative system, *Annals of Physics* **149**, 374 (1983).
 - [13] R. Zwanzig, Memory effects in irreversible thermodynamics, *Physical Review* **124**, 983 (1961).
 - [14] H. Mori, Transport, collective motion, and Brownian motion, *Progress of Theoretical Physics* **33**, 423 (1965).
 - [15] J. Dunkel and P. Hnggi, Relativistic Brownian motion: From a microscopic binary collision model to the Langevin equation, *Physical Review E* **74**, 051106 (2006).
 - [16] S. Chandrasekhar, Brownian motion, dynamical friction, and stellar dynamics, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **21**, 383 (1949).
 - [17] S. Nakajima, On quantum theory of transport phenomena: Steady diffusion, *Progress of Theoretical Physics* **20**, 948 (1958).
 - [18] H.-P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems*, 1st ed. (Clarendon Press, Oxford [u.a.], 2009).
 - [19] P. Cicuta and A. M. Donald, Microrheology: A review of the method and applications, *Soft Matter* **3**, 1449 (2007).
 - [20] Q. Xia, H. Xiao, Y. Pan, and L. Wang, Microrheology, advances in methods and insights, *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science* **257**, 71 (2018).
 - [21] T. A. Waigh, Microrheology of complex fluids, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **68**, 685 (2005).
 - [22] X.-L. Wu and A. Libchaber, Particle diffusion in a quasi-two-dimensional bacterial bath, *Physical Review Letters* **84**, 3017 (2000).
 - [23] D. T. N. Chen, A. W. C. Lau, L. A. Hough, M. F. Islam, M. Goulian, T. C. Lubensky, and A. G. Yodh, Fluctuations and rheology in active bacterial suspensions, *Physical Review Letters* **99**, 148302 (2007).
 - [24] D. Wirtz, Particle-tracking microrheology of living cells: Principles and applications, *Annual Review of Biophysics* **38**, 301 (2009).
 - [25] C. Maggi, M. Paoluzzi, L. Angelani, and R. Di Leonardo, Memory-less response and violation of the fluctuation-dissipation theorem in colloids suspended in an active bath, *Scientific Reports* **7**, 10.1038/s41598-017-17900-2 (2017).
 - [26] B. G. Mitterwallner, C. Schreiber, J. O. Daldrop, J. O. Rdler, and R. R. Netz, Non-markovian data-driven modeling of single-cell motility, *Physical Review E* **101**, 032408 (2020).
 - [27] G. Jung and F. Schmid, Fluctuationdissipation relations far from equilibrium: A case study, *Soft Matter* **17**, 6413 (2021).

- [28] Y. Zhu and H. Lei, Effective Mori-Zwanzig equation for the reduced-order modeling of stochastic systems, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems - S* **15**, 959 (2022).
- [29] Y. Zhu, H. Lei, and C. Kim, General validity of the second fluctuation-dissipation theorem in the nonequilibrium steady state: Theory and applications, *Physica Scripta* **98**, 115402 (2023).
- [30] A. Sarracino, D. Villamaina, G. Gradenigo, and A. Puglisi, Irreversible dynamics of a massive intruder in dense granular fluids, *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **92**, 34001 (2010).
- [31] G. Jung, Non-markovian systems out of equilibrium: Exact results for two routes of coarse graining, *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **34**, 204004 (2022).
- [32] R. R. Netz, Fluctuation-dissipation relation and stationary distribution of an exactly solvable many-particle model for active biomatter far from equilibrium, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **148**, 10.1063/1.5020654 (2018).
- [33] T. J. Doerries, S. A. M. Loos, and S. H. L. Klapp, Correlation functions of non-markovian systems out of equilibrium: Analytical expressions beyond single-exponential memory, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2021**, 033202 (2021).
- [34] J.-E. Shea and I. Oppenheim, Fokker-planck equation and Langevin equation for one Brownian particle in a nonequilibrium bath, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* **100**, 19035 (1996).
- [35] I. Santamara-Holek, D. Reguera, and J. M. Rub, Diffusion in stationary flow from mesoscopic nonequilibrium thermodynamics, *Physical Review E* **63**, 051106 (2001).
- [36] L. Holzer, J. Bammert, R. Rzehak, and W. Zimmermann, Dynamics of a trapped Brownian particle in shear flows, *Physical Review E* **81**, 041124 (2010).
- [37] C. Maes, On the second fluctuationdissipation theorem for nonequilibrium baths, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **154**, 705 (2014).
- [38] C. Maes and S. Steffenoni, Friction and noise for a probe in a nonequilibrium fluid, *Physical Review E* **91**, 022128 (2015).
- [39] C. Maes, Fluctuating motion in an active environment, *Physical Review Letters* **125**, 208001 (2020).
- [40] C. Maes, Response theory: A trajectory-based approach, *Frontiers in Physics* **8**, 10.3389/fphy.2020.00229 (2020).
- [41] C. Maes, Frenesy: Time-symmetric dynamical activity in nonequilibria, *Physics Reports* **850**, 1 (2020).
- [42] R. Zwanzig, Ensemble method in the theory of irreversibility, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **33**, 1338 (1960).
- [43] M. Baiesi and C. Maes, An update on the nonequilibrium linear response, *New Journal of Physics* **15**, 013004 (2013).
- [44] M. Baiesi, C. Maes, and B. Wynants, Nonequilibrium linear response for markov dynamics, i: Jump processes and overdamped diffusions, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **137**, 1094 (2009).
- [45] M. Baiesi, C. Maes, and B. Wynants, Fluctuations and response of nonequilibrium states, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 010602 (2009).
- [46] M. Baiesi, E. Boksenbojm, C. Maes, and B. Wynants, Nonequilibrium linear response for markov dynamics, ii: Inertial dynamics, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **139**, 492 (2010).
- [47] H. Wioland, F. G. Woodhouse, J. Dunkel, J. O. Kessler, and R. E. Goldstein, Confinement stabilizes a bacterial suspension into a spiral vortex, *Physical Review Letters* **110**, 268102 (2013).
- [48] D. Nishiguchi, S. Shiratani, K. A. Takeuchi, and I. S. Aranson, Vortex reversal is a precursor of confined bacterial turbulence (2024), arXiv:2407.05269 [cond-mat.soft].
- [49] I. Santra, Dynamical fluctuations of a tracer coupled to active and passive particles, *Journal of Physics: Complexity* **4**, 015013 (2023).
- [50] E. Fermi, On the origin of the cosmic radiation, *Physical Review* **75**, 1169 (1949).
- [51] P. A. Sturrock, Stochastic acceleration, *Physical Review* **141**, 186 (1966).
- [52] There is no conflict with our assumption of having the probe time $t \sim O(\epsilon^{-2})$ because the friction is $O(\epsilon^2)$.
- [53] C. Maes, Local detailed balance, *SciPost Physics Lecture Notes* 10.21468/scipostphyslectnotes.32 (2021).
- [54] A. Vanossi, N. Manini, M. Urbakh, S. Zapperi, and E. Tosatti, Colloquium: Modeling friction: From nanoscale to mesoscale, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **85**, 529 (2013).
- [55] M. Li, W. Shi, J. Shi, T. Wang, L. Shi, and X. Wang, Regulation and control of wet friction of soft materials using surface texturing: A review, *Friction* **11**, 333 (2022).