

# A NOTE ON A QUESTION OF GARTH DALES: ARENS REGULARITY AS A THREE SPACE PROPERTY

MAHMOUD FILALI, JORGE GALINDO

*To the memory of Harold Garth Dales*

ABSTRACT. Garth Dales asked whether a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with an Arens regular closed ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  and an Arens regular quotient  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$  is necessarily Arens regular. We prove in this note that, for a class of Banach algebras including the standard algebras in harmonic analysis, Garth's conditions force the algebra to be even reflexive. We also give examples of Banach algebras with Garth's conditions, that are not Arens regular.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Before Garth Dales sadly passed away, he asked the authors [5], and presumably other colleagues, whether Arens regularity was a three-space property, that is, whether a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with an Arens regular closed ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  yielding an Arens regular quotient  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$  is necessarily Arens regular.

In this note, we first consider weakly sequentially complete Banach algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  which are ideals in their second dual  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  and have a contractive approximate identity. We call such algebras *wscai algebras*. When such a Banach algebra is a direct summand of its multiplier algebra, i.e., when  $M(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A} \oplus B$  where  $B$  is a closed subalgebra of  $M(\mathcal{A})$ , we prove that Garth's question has a positive answer, forcing  $\mathcal{A}$  to be even reflexive.

We then provide examples demonstrating that, in general, Arens regularity fails the three-space property. We see that such examples can be found in algebras that exhibit two of the following properties: (1)  $\mathcal{A}$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ , (2)  $\mathcal{A}$  is weakly sequentially complete and (3)  $\mathcal{A}$  has an (approximate) identity.

Following [1, 2], the first Arens product on  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  is defined through the following three stages. For  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$ ,  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $m, n \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ , define

$$(1) \quad \langle f \cdot \varphi, \psi \rangle = \langle f, \varphi \psi \rangle, \quad \langle n \cdot f, \varphi \rangle = \langle n, f \cdot \varphi \rangle \quad \langle mn, f \rangle = \langle m, n \cdot f \rangle.$$

In an analogous way, the *second Arens product* in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  is defined via the following relations for  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$ ,  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $m, n \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ ,

$$(2) \quad \langle \varphi \cdot f, \psi \rangle = \langle f, \psi \varphi \rangle, \quad \langle f \cdot m, \varphi \rangle = \langle m, \varphi \cdot f \rangle, \quad \langle m \diamond n, f \rangle = \langle n, f \cdot m \rangle.$$

With either of these products, the Banach space  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  is made into a Banach algebra containing  $\mathcal{A}$  as a subalgebra.

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 22D15; Secondary 43A46, 43A60, 47C15.

*Key words and phrases*. Arens product, Arens-regular algebra, Banach algebra, Riesz set, Rosenthal set, Banach module, co-Rosenthal ideal.

It is clear from the way the products are defined that the translations

$$m \mapsto mn \quad \text{and} \quad m \mapsto n \diamond m : \mathcal{A}^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{**}$$

are weak\*-weak\*-continuous for any  $n \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ , and the translations

$$(3) \quad m \mapsto nm \quad \text{and} \quad m \mapsto m \diamond n : \mathcal{A}^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{**}$$

are weak\*-weak\*-continuous for any  $n \in \mathcal{A}$ . The algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is *Arens regular* when one of the latter translations (and so both are) is weak\*-weak\*-continuous not only for the elements  $n \in \mathcal{A}$  but for every  $n \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ . This is the same as having  $mn = m \diamond n$ , for every  $m, n \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ . When the continuity of each of the two translations in (3) holds for no  $n \in \mathcal{A}^{**} \setminus \mathcal{A}$ , we say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is *strongly Arens irregular*.

It may be worth remarking that an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  may be both Arens regular and strongly Arens irregular. This occurs if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  is reflexive.

The relevance of the space  $WAP(\mathcal{A})$  of *weakly almost periodic functionals* on  $\mathcal{A}$  was first noticed, independently, by Pym and Young in [15, 18]. This is the space of all  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$  such that the linear map

$$\varphi \mapsto \varphi \cdot f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$$

is weakly compact. A consequence of Grothendieck's criterion is that  $WAP(\mathcal{A})$  is actually the subspace of  $\mathcal{A}^*$  which consists of those  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$  such that

$$\langle mn, f \rangle = \langle m \diamond n, f \rangle \quad \text{for every } m, n \in \mathcal{A}^{**}.$$

So,  $\mathcal{A}$  is Arens regular if and only if  $WAP(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}^*$ .

By a bounded right approximate identity (*brai* for short), we mean a bounded net  $\{e_\alpha\}_\alpha$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\varphi e_\alpha \rightarrow \varphi$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . A bounded left approximate identity (*blai*) is defined similarly. A bounded approximate identity (*bai*) is a net which is both a blai and a brai. When the terms of an approximate identity are all contained in the unit ball of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we call it *contractive*. The accumulation points of a brai  $\{e_\alpha\}_\alpha$  in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  are right identities in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  for the first Arens product, and the accumulation points of a blai are left identities in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  for the second Arens product. So, the accumulation points of bais are right identities for the first Arens product and a left identities for the second, such identities are known as mixed identities.

Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a weakly sequentially complete Banach algebra that is an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  and contains a contractive approximate identity and let  $e$  be a mixed identity of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a wscai algebra. The second dual  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  has then the direct sum decomposition

$$\mathcal{A}^{**} = e\mathcal{A}^{**} \oplus WAP(\mathcal{A})^\perp,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} WAP(\mathcal{A})^\perp &= \{m \in \mathcal{A}^{**} : \langle m, f \rangle = 0, \text{ for any } f \in WAP(\mathcal{A})\} \\ &= (1 - e)\mathcal{A}^{**}. \end{aligned}$$

The subspace  $WAP(\mathcal{A})^\perp$  is actually a closed ideal in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  and

$$\mathcal{A}^{**} WAP(\mathcal{A})^\perp = WAP(\mathcal{A})^\perp \diamond \mathcal{A}^{**} = \{0\}.$$

The Banach algebras  $e\mathcal{A}^{**}$ ,  $WAP(\mathcal{A})^*$  and the multiplier algebra  $M(\mathcal{A})$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  are isometrically isomorphic. Nevertheless, it may be worthwhile to recall

the educating remark put by Baker, Lau and Pym in [3, page 190], that  $e\mathcal{A}^{**}$  and  $WAP(\mathcal{A})^*$  (and so  $M(\mathcal{A})$ ) need not be isomorphic when they carry their natural weak\* topologies induced, respectively from  $\mathcal{A}^*$  and  $WAP(\mathcal{A})$ . For the details, see [3], [6] and [10].

As noted in [10], if  $\mathcal{J}$  is a closed ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$  admits an analogous decomposition. Denoting by  $i^*: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^*$  the restriction map, adjoint to the inclusion map  $i: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ , one has

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{J}^{**} = e\mathcal{J}^{**} \oplus i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp = e\mathcal{J}^{**} \oplus (\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{J}^*)^\perp,$$

where now

$$\begin{aligned} i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp &= \{m \in \mathcal{J}^{**}: \langle m, i^*(f) \rangle = 0, \text{ for every } f \in WAP(\mathcal{A})\} \\ &= \{m \in \overline{\mathcal{J}}: \langle m, f \rangle = 0, \text{ for every } f \in WAP(\mathcal{A})\}, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\overline{\mathcal{J}}$  denotes the weak\*-closure of  $\mathcal{J}$  in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ . Here  $e\mathcal{J}^{**}$  may be identified with  $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^w$ , the weak\*-closure of  $\mathcal{J}$  in  $WAP(\mathcal{A})^*$ . The properties of this decomposition, discussed in [10], are summarized below

- (i)  $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^w$  is a closed ideal of  $M(\mathcal{A})$ ,
- (ii)  $i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp$  is a closed ideal of  $\mathcal{J}^{**}$ ,
- (iii)  $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^w \simeq e\mathcal{J}^{**} \simeq \mathcal{J}^{**} \diamond e$ ,
- (iv)  $i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp = (1 - e)\mathcal{J}^{**} = \mathcal{J}^{**} \diamond (1 - e)$ ,
- (v)  $\mathcal{J}^{**} i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp = i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp \diamond \mathcal{J}^{**} = \{0\}$ .

**1.1. Some special ideals of  $L^1(G)$ .** During the following discussion  $G$  will denote a compact Abelian group with Haar measure  $m_G$  and  $\widehat{G}$  the group of all continuous homomorphisms of  $G$  into the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{T}$  of all complex numbers of modulus 1. If  $M(G)$  denotes the space of bounded Borel measures on  $G$  and  $\mu \in M(G)$ , the *Fourier-Stieltjes transform* of  $\mu$  is the bounded function  $\widehat{\mu}: \widehat{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$\widehat{\mu}(\chi) = \int_G \chi(t^{-1}) d\mu(t) \text{ for each } \chi \in \widehat{G}.$$

If  $X$  is a linear subspace of  $M(G)$  and  $E \subset \widehat{G}$ , we denote by  $X_E$  the subspace of  $X$  consisting of all elements in  $X$  with Fourier-Stieltjes transform supported in  $E$ , that is

$$X_E = \{\mu \in X : \widehat{\mu}(\chi) = 0 \text{ for } \chi \in \widehat{G} \setminus E\}.$$

We will always regard  $L^1(G)$  as an ideal in  $M(G)$  through the embedding  $f \mapsto f \cdot dm_G$ .

In Harmonic Analysis, there has been a great interest in subsets of  $\widehat{G}$  making  $X_E = Y_E$  for some subspace  $Y$  of  $X$ . For instance *Riesz sets* are those for which  $M_E(G) = L^1_E(G)$ , and *Rosenthal sets* are those for which  $L^\infty_E(G) = C_E(G)$ . We will say that  $E \subseteq \widehat{G}$  is a *co-Rosenthal set* when its complement  $\widehat{G} \setminus E$  is a Rosenthal set, that is, when,  $f \in L^\infty(G)$  and  $\widehat{f}(E) = \{0\}$  imply that  $f$  is continuous.

We will be assuming that  $L^\infty(G)$  is defined so that  $L^1(G)^* = L^\infty(G)$ , through the duality

$$\langle f, \varphi \rangle = \int \varphi(x) \overline{f(x)} dm_G(x), \quad f \in L^\infty(G), \varphi \in L^1(G).$$

Since  $\widehat{f}(\chi) = \langle f, \chi \rangle$ , for every  $f \in L^\infty(G)$  and  $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ , and since  $E \subseteq L_E^1(G)$ , it is clear that  $L_E^1(G)^\perp \subseteq L_{\widehat{G} \setminus E}^\infty(G)$ . On the other hand, we may  $L^1$ -approximate the functions  $\varphi$  in  $L_E^1(G)$  by trigonometric polynomials  $\varphi_n$  spanned by characters from  $E$ . Since  $\langle f, \varphi_n \rangle = 0$  for every  $f \in L_{\widehat{G} \setminus E}^\infty(G)$ , we see that  $\langle f, \varphi \rangle = 0$  for every  $f \in L_{\widehat{G} \setminus E}^\infty(G)$ . As a consequence,

$$L_E^1(G)^\perp = \{f \in L^\infty(G) : \langle f, \varphi \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \varphi \in L_E^1(G)\} = L_{\widehat{G} \setminus E}^\infty(G),$$

and, accordingly,  $E$  is a co-Rosenthal set if and only if  $L_E^1(G)^\perp \subseteq C(G)$ . Now, it happens that a functional on  $L^1(G)$  is weakly almost periodic if and only if it is continuous (one direction is a theorem of Ülger [16] valid for any locally compact group, the other only works for compact groups and can be deduced from Grothendieck's double limit criterion), so

$$L_E^1(G)^\perp \subseteq WAP(L^1(G)),$$

whenever  $E$  is a co-Rosenthal set.

**1.2. Riesz and co-Rosenthal ideals.** Closed ideals of  $L^1(G)$  are always of the form  $L_E^1(G)$  for some subset  $E$  in  $\widehat{G}$  (see [12, Theorem 38.7]). We may therefore describe the ideals of  $L^1(G)$  through the properties of the corresponding set in  $\widehat{G}$  and may rightfully speak of Riesz or co-Rosenthal *ideals* of  $L^1(G)$ . Under this point of view, bearing in mind the discussions earlier in this section, the following definitions are genuine extensions of the concepts of Riesz and co-Rosenthal set.

**Definition 1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a closed ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

- *We say that  $\mathcal{J}$  is co-Rosenthal if  $\mathcal{J}^\perp \subseteq WAP(\mathcal{A})$ .*
- *Assume in addition that  $\mathcal{A}$  has a mixed identity  $e \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ , we say that  $\mathcal{J}$  is a Riesz ideal if  $e\mathcal{J}^{**} = \mathcal{J}$ .*

**Remark 1.2.** The definition of Riesz ideal does not depend on the choice of the mixed identity of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ . Indeed, once one knows that  $e\mathcal{J}^{**} = \mathcal{J}$ , for some right identity  $e \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$ , then  $f\mathcal{J}^{**} = fe\mathcal{J}^{**} = f\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}$  for any other right identity  $f \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$ .

When  $\mathcal{A}$  has a contractive approximate identity,  $\mathcal{J}$  being Riesz turns to be equivalent to being weak\*-closed in the multiplier algebra  $M(\mathcal{A})$ , see the identifications of  $M(\mathcal{A})$  with  $e\mathcal{A}^{**}$  and  $WAP(\mathcal{A})^*$  described in the Introduction. So, if  $G$  is a compact group and  $\mathcal{A} = L^1(G)$ ,  $\mathcal{J} = L_E^1(G)$ ,  $E \subseteq \widehat{G}$ , is Riesz when  $\mathcal{J}$  is weak\*-closed in  $M(G)$ , and so  $L_E^1(G) = M_E(G)$ . In the same vein, when  $G$  is a discrete amenable group and  $E \subseteq G$ , an ideal  $A_E(G) = \{u \in A(G) : u(G \setminus E) = \{0\}\}$  is Riesz if it is weak\*-closed in  $B(G)$ , and so  $A_E(G) = B_E(G)$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** *For a closed ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\mathcal{J}$  is co-Rosenthal.
- (ii)  $i^{**}(i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A})^\perp)) = WAP(\mathcal{A})^\perp$ .
- (iii) The quotient Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$  is Arens regular.

*Proof.* Let  $m \in i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp$ . Then

$$\langle i^{**}(m), f \rangle = \langle m, i^*(f) \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for every } f \in WAP(\mathcal{A}),$$

i.e.,  $i^{**}(m) \in WAP(\mathcal{A})^\perp$ . Hence, the inclusion  $i^{**}(i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp) \subseteq WAP(\mathcal{A})^\perp$  always holds.

Assume now that  $\mathcal{J}$  is co-Rosenthal and let  $m \in WAP(\mathcal{A})^\perp$ . Then  $m \in \mathcal{J}^{\perp\perp} = i^{**}(\mathcal{J}^{**})$ , so that  $m = i^{**}(n)$  for some  $n \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$  and

$$\langle n, i^*(f) \rangle = \langle m, f \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for every } f \in WAP(\mathcal{A}).$$

We see that  $n \in i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp$  and so  $m \in i^{**}(i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A})^\perp))$ . Therefore, (i) implies (ii).

To check that (ii) implies (i), suppose otherwise that  $\mathcal{J}^\perp$  is not contained in  $WAP(\mathcal{A})$ . Then there is some element  $m \in WAP(\mathcal{A})^\perp$  which is not in  $\mathcal{J}^{\perp\perp} = i^{**}(\mathcal{J}^{**})$ . In particular,  $m$  is not in  $i^{**}(i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp)$ , and so (ii) fails to hold.

Let now  $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$  be the quotient map, and recall that  $\mathcal{J}^\perp$  and  $(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J})^*$  are identified via  $f = \tilde{f} \circ \pi$ , where  $f \in \mathcal{J}^\perp$  and  $\tilde{f} \in (\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J})^*$ . Then for any two nets  $(\varphi_\alpha)$  and  $(\psi_\beta)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  we find

$$\langle f, \varphi_\alpha \psi_\beta \rangle = \langle \tilde{f}, \pi(\varphi_\alpha) \pi(\psi_\beta) \rangle.$$

Since  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$  is Arens regular if and only if  $WAP(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}) = \mathcal{J}^\perp$ , this quickly shows that Statements (i) and (iii) are equivalent.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact Abelian group and  $E \subseteq \widehat{G}$ . The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\widehat{G} \setminus E$  is a Rosenthal set, i.e.,  $E$  is a co-Rosenthal set.
- (ii) The quotient Banach algebra  $L^1(G)/L_E^1(G)$  is Arens regular.

We refer to [10, Lemma 4.5] for the proof of the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be wscai Banach algebra. Let  $m \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$  be such that  $\mathcal{A}^* \cdot m \subseteq WAP(\mathcal{A})$  or  $m \cdot \mathcal{A}^* \subseteq WAP(\mathcal{A})$ . Then  $m = \varphi_0 + r$  for some  $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $r \in WAP(\mathcal{A})^\perp$ .*

We record here the following elementary facts for later use.

**Lemma 1.6.** *For  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$ ,  $e \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$  and  $m \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$ , we have*

- (i)  $m \cdot i^*(f) = i^*(m \cdot f)$ .
- (ii)  $(em) \cdot f = e \cdot (m \cdot f)$ .

**Lemma 1.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a wscai Banach algebra and  $\mathcal{J}$  a closed ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{J}$  is co-Rosenthal and Arens regular, then it is Riesz.*

*Proof.* Let  $(e_\alpha)_\alpha$  be a contractive approximate identity in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $e$  be a mixed identity in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  associated with  $(e_\alpha)_\alpha$ .

Let  $m \in \mathcal{J}^{**}$  and fix  $f \in \mathcal{J}^*$ . Given any  $r \in (i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp)$ , using Lemma 1.6, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle r, i^*((em) \cdot f) \rangle &= \langle i^{**}(r), (em) \cdot f \rangle = \langle i^{**}(r), e \cdot (m \cdot f) \rangle \\ &= \langle i^{**}(r)e, m \cdot f \rangle = \langle i^{**}(r), m \cdot f \rangle = \langle r, i^*(m \cdot f) \rangle \\ &= \langle r, m \cdot i^*(f) \rangle = \langle rm, i^*(f) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that  $\mathcal{J}$  is assumed to be Arens regular, we find

$$\langle rm, i^*(f) \rangle = \langle r \diamond m, i^*(f) \rangle = 0.$$

Therefore,  $\langle r, i^*((em) \cdot f) \rangle = 0$ . Since this equality holds for every  $r \in (i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp)$ , we conclude that  $i^*(em \cdot f) \in \overline{i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))}$ .

Let then  $(g_n)_n$  be a sequence in  $WAP(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\lim_n i^*(g_n) = i^*((em) \cdot f)$ . Since the restriction mapping  $i^*$  is a quotient map, one can find (see, e.g., the proof of [4, Theorem 4.2(b)]) a sequence  $(h_n)$  in  $\mathcal{A}^*$  and  $h_0 \in \mathcal{A}^*$  such that, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i^*(g_n) = i^*(h_n)$ , and  $\lim_n h_n = h_0$ . As  $i^*(g_n) = i^*(h_n)$ , one finds that  $g_n - h_n \in J^\perp \subseteq WAP(\mathcal{A})$  and, hence, that  $h_n \in WAP(\mathcal{A})$  as well. As a consequence,  $h_0 \in WAP(\mathcal{A})$ . The equality  $i^*(em \cdot f) = i^*(h_0)$  implies that  $em \cdot f \in h_0 + J^\perp \subseteq WAP(\mathcal{A})$ .

Since  $em \cdot f \in WAP(\mathcal{A})$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$ , it follows from Lemma 1.5 that  $em = \varphi + r$  for some  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $r \in WAP(\mathcal{A})^\perp$ . Taking into account that  $e\mathcal{A}^{**} \cap WAP(\mathcal{A})^\perp = \{0\}$ , we must have  $em = \varphi$ , which means that  $em \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{J}^{**}$ . As elements in  $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{J}^{**}$  can be obtained as weak\*-limits of nets in  $\mathcal{J}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  is weakly closed in  $\mathcal{A}$ , we conclude that  $em \in \mathcal{J}$ .

The ideal,  $\mathcal{J}$  is thus a Riesz ideal.  $\square$

To state our main theorem we require the following technical condition.

**Definition 1.8.** *We say that a Banach algebra is a standard wscai algebra, if it is in the wscai class and  $e\mathcal{A}^{**}$  contains a closed vector subspace  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $e\mathcal{A}^{**} = \mathcal{A} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}$ .*

As already remarked,  $e\mathcal{A}^{**}$  is isometrically isomorphic to the multiplier algebra  $M(\mathcal{A})$ . The Lebesgue decomposition theorem and its extension to the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra, see [14] and [13], show that group algebras on compact groups and Fourier algebras on discrete amenable groups are both standard wscai algebras.

**Theorem 1.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  have a bai and be an ideal in its second dual and suppose that  $e\mathcal{A}^{**} = \mathcal{A} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}$ . If  $\mathcal{J}$  is Riesz and co-Rosenthal, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is regular.*

*Proof.* We prove first that the quotient algebra  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$  is weakly sequentially complete. Note to begin with that,  $\mathcal{J}$  being both co-Rosenthal and Riesz, Lemma 1.3 yields

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J})^{**} = \mathcal{A}^{**}/i^{**}(\mathcal{J}^{**}) &= \frac{e\mathcal{A}^{**} \oplus WAP(\mathcal{A})^\perp}{i^{**}(e\mathcal{J}^{**}) \oplus i^{**}(i^*(WAP(\mathcal{A}))^\perp)} \\ &= \frac{e\mathcal{A}^{**}}{i^{**}(e\mathcal{J}^{**})} = \frac{e\mathcal{A}^{**}}{\mathcal{J}} \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{A} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}}{\mathcal{J}} = \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{J}} \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}. \end{aligned}$$

Define  $S: (\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J})^{**} \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J})^{**}$  by

$$S((u, v)) = (u, -v) \quad \text{with } u \in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} \text{ and } v \in B.$$

Then  $S$  is an isometry and  $\ker(S - I) = \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$ , and so by [11, Corollary II.2],  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$  is weakly sequentially complete.

Since  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$  has a bai, namely  $(e_\alpha + \mathcal{J})$ , where  $(e_\alpha)$  is a bai in  $\mathcal{A}$ , and  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$  is clearly a closed ideal in  $(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J})^{**}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$  is a wscAI algebra. By [3, Theorem 2.1(iii)], this implies that  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$  is sAIr. Since  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$  is Arens regular by Lemma 1.3,  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$  must be reflexive. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} = (\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J})^{**} = \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} \oplus_1 B,$$

and so  $B$  must be trivial. Thus  $e\mathcal{A}^{**} = \mathcal{A}$  so that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Riesz ideal in itself. By [17] (see, as well [9] or [10], for the general statement)  $\mathcal{A}$  is Arens regular, as required.  $\square$

We can now answer Garth's question for wscAI algebras.

**Corollary 1.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a standard wscAI algebra and  $\mathcal{J}$  be a closed ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$ . If both  $\mathcal{J}$  and  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$  are Arens regular, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is reflexive.*

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$  is Arens regular, then by Lemma 1.3,  $\mathcal{J}$  is co-Rosenthal. If in addition  $\mathcal{J}$  is Arens regular, then by Lemma 1.7,  $\mathcal{J}$  is Riesz. So Theorem 1.9 shows that  $\mathcal{A}$  is Arens regular. But since  $\mathcal{A}$  is a wscAI algebra, [3, Theorem 2.1(iii)] implies that  $\mathcal{A}$  is sAIr. Thus,  $\mathcal{A}$  is reflexive.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.11.** *A non-reflexive wscAI Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  cannot contain a closed ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  such that both  $\mathcal{J}$  and the quotient  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$  are Arens regular.*

*Proof.* This is clear from the previous corollary.  $\square$

**Remarks 1.12.** (a) Ülger asked in [17] whether Arens regular closed ideals in the group algebra of a compact abelian group are necessarily Riesz ideals. The question was re-stated in [10] for any wscAI algebra. If the algebra is not weakly sequentially complete, then examples for which this is not true are easily found, for example  $c_0$  is a closed ideal in  $\ell_\infty$ , has a bai, is Arens regular. But  $c_0$  is not a Riesz ideal in  $\ell_\infty$ .

(b) It is known [7] that in the dual  $\widehat{G}$  of a compact Abelian group  $G$ , the union of a Riesz set and a Rosenthal set is a Riesz set. Since  $\widehat{G}$  itself is not Riesz unless  $G$  is finite, a Riesz subset of an infinite group can never be co-Rosenthal.

By this reason, Lemma 1.7 can be restated in this context.

**Corollary 1.13.** *A co-Rosenthal ideal of  $L^1(G)$ ,  $G$  compact infinite, is never Arens regular.*

One can but wonder whether this result holds true for more general wscAI algebras.

Corollary 1.11 can then be recast in this light.

**Corollary 1.14.** *Let  $G$  be an infinite compact Abelian group. If  $E \subseteq \widehat{G}$  is such that  $L^1(G)/L_E^1(G)$  is Arens regular, then  $L_E^1(G)$  is not Arens regular.*

## 2. EXAMPLES

We produce here a few examples of non-wscai algebras where Garth's question has a negative answer.

The following general construction will be the basis of most of our examples.

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Banach algebra,  $X$  be a right Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -module or a left Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -module with module actions

$$(x, a) \mapsto xa : X \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X \quad \text{or} \quad (a, x) \mapsto ax : \mathcal{B} \times X \rightarrow X, \quad a \in \mathcal{B}, x \in X.$$

When  $\mathcal{B}$  is unital with identity  $e$ , we assume that  $e$  acts as a right identity for the right  $\mathcal{B}$ -module and as a left identity for the left  $\mathcal{B}$ -module, i.e.,

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} xe = x & \text{ for every } x \in X \text{ when } X \text{ is a right } \mathcal{B}\text{-module,} \\ ex = x & \text{ for every } x \in X \text{ when } X \text{ is a left } \mathcal{B}\text{-module.} \end{aligned}$$

The first and second adjoints are, respectively, see [1],

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} X^* \times X &\rightarrow \mathcal{B}^* \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}^{**} \times X^* \rightarrow X^* \text{ when } X \text{ is a right } \mathcal{B}\text{-module,} \\ X^* \times \mathcal{B} &\rightarrow X^* \quad \text{and} \quad X^{**} \times X^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^* \text{ when } X \text{ is a left } \mathcal{B}\text{-module.} \end{aligned}$$

The third adjoint of the module actions of  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $X$  gives rise to right or left module actions of  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$  on  $X^{**}$

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} (p, m) &\mapsto pm : X^{**} \times \mathcal{B}^{**} \rightarrow X^{**} \text{ when } X \text{ is a right } \mathcal{B}\text{-module,} \\ (m, p) &\mapsto mp : \mathcal{B}^{**} \times X^{**} \rightarrow X^{**} \text{ when } X \text{ is a left } \mathcal{B}\text{-module,} \end{aligned}$$

turning  $X^{**}$  into a right Banach  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$ -module in the first case, and into a left Banach  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$ -module in the second. Here,

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} pm &= \text{weak}^* \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} x_{\alpha} \varphi_{\beta} \\ mp &= \text{weak}^* \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\alpha} \varphi_{\beta} x_{\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

with  $(x_{\alpha})$  and  $(\varphi_{\beta})$  being nets in  $X$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  with weak\*-limits  $p$  and  $m$  in  $X^{**}$  and  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$ , respectively.

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Banach algebra,  $X$  be either a right Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -module, a left Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -module or a Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule. We define the following three Banach algebras by defining for  $(x, \varphi), (y, \psi)$  in the Banach space  $X \oplus_1 \mathcal{B}$  the corresponding multiplications.*

- (i) **The algebra  $\mathcal{B}_X$ :**  $(x, \varphi)(y, \psi) = (x\psi, \varphi\psi)$ , if  $X$  is a right  $\mathcal{B}$ -module.
- (ii) **The algebra  ${}_X\mathcal{B}$ :**  $(x, \varphi)(y, \psi) = (\varphi y, \varphi\psi)$ , if  $X$  is a left  $\mathcal{B}$ -module.
- (iii) **The algebra  ${}_X\mathcal{B}_X$ :**  $(x, \varphi)(y, \psi) = (x\psi + \varphi y, \varphi\psi)$ , if  $X$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule.

In the second dual of each of the algebras  $\mathcal{B}_X$ ,  ${}_X\mathcal{B}$  and  ${}_X\mathcal{B}_X$ , the first Arens product is given, respectively, by:

$$\begin{aligned} (p, m)(q, n) &= (pn, mn), \\ (p, m)(q, n) &= (mq, mn), \\ (p, m)(q, n) &= (pn + mq, mn), \end{aligned}$$

where  $mn$  is the first Arens product in  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$  and  $pn, mq$  are given, respectively, by the right and the left module actions of  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$  on  $X^{**}$  as explained in (7) and (8).

When  $X$  is a right Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -module for some Banach algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ , we say that the right module action of  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $X$  is not Arens regular when for some  $p \in X^{**}$ , the map  $m \mapsto pm : \mathcal{B}^{**} \rightarrow X^{**}$  is not weak\*-weak\* continuous. Such module actions exist. For instance, when  $\mathcal{B}$  is not reflexive and has a brai, the natural right module action of  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $\mathcal{B}^*$  given by

$$(9) \quad \langle x\varphi, \psi \rangle = \langle x, \varphi\psi \rangle \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{B}^*, \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{B}$$

is not Arens regular, i.e., for some  $p \in \mathcal{B}^{***}$  the map  $m \mapsto pm : \mathcal{B}^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{***}$  is not weak\*-weak\* continuous.

When the right module action of  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $X$  is not Arens regular, it is quick to see that the Banach algebra  $\mathcal{B}_X$  is not Arens regular. In particular,  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{B}^*}$  is not Arens regular when  $\mathcal{B}$  is not reflexive and has a brai. The same definition and statements can be mirrored for left module actions so that the Banach algebra  ${}_X\mathcal{B}$  is not Arens regular when the left module action of  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $X$  is not Arens regular. In particular, when  $\mathcal{B}$  is not reflexive and has a blai, we know that the natural left module action of  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $\mathcal{B}^*$  given by

$$(10) \quad \langle \varphi x, \psi \rangle = \langle x, \psi\varphi \rangle \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{B}^*, \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{B}$$

is not Arens regular, i.e., for some  $p \in \mathcal{B}^{**}$  the map  $m \mapsto pm : \mathcal{B}^{***} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{***}$  is not weak\*-weak\* continuous. Therefore,  ${}_{\mathcal{B}^*}\mathcal{B}$  is not Arens regular. For more details see [8, Section 2].

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Banach algebra,  $X$  be either a right Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -module, a left Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -module or a Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule, and let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote respectively the Banach algebra  $\mathcal{B}_X$ ,  ${}_X\mathcal{B}$  or  ${}_X\mathcal{B}_X$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  has a closed ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  such that*

- (i)  $\mathcal{J}$  is Arens regular,
- (ii)  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$  Arens regular when  $\mathcal{B}$  is Arens regular,
- (iii)  $\mathcal{A}$  is not Arens regular when  $X$  is a right Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -module with a non-Arens regular right module action of  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $X$ .
- (iv)  $\mathcal{A}$  is not Arens regular when  $X$  is a left Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -module with a non-Arens regular left module action of  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $X$ .
- (v)  $\mathcal{A}$  is not Arens regular when  $X$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule with either the right or the left module action of  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $X$  non-Arens regular.

*These algebras constitute therefore negative answers to Garth Dales question.*

*Proof.* In each of the three cases,  ${}_X\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_X$  and  ${}_X\mathcal{B}_X$ , the subspace  $\mathcal{J} = X \times \{0\}$  is a closed ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$ . This is easy to see, since for every  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$ , we find, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} (x, 0)(y, \varphi) &= (x\varphi, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad (y, \varphi)(x, 0) = (0, 0), \\ (x, 0)(y, \varphi) &= (0, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad (y, \varphi)(x, 0) = (\varphi x, 0), \\ (x, 0)(y, \varphi) &= (x\varphi, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad (y, \varphi)(x, 0) = (\varphi x, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the algebra operation is trivial on  $\mathcal{J}$ , so this ideal is Arens regular.

The quotient  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$  may be identified with  $\mathcal{B}$  via the natural homomorphism

$$a \mapsto \bar{a} = (0, a) + \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}.$$

This is clearly an isometry since

$$\|a\| \leq \|\bar{a}\| = \inf\{\|x\| + \|a\| : x \in X\} \leq \|(0, a)\| = \|a\|.$$

It is also clear that every element  $(x, a) + \mathcal{J}$  in  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$ , where  $x \in X$  and  $a \in \mathcal{B}$ , is equal to  $(0, a) + \mathcal{J}$  since  $(x, 0) \in \mathcal{J}$ , so the map is onto.

Therefore, the second statement is clear.

The rest of the statements have already been clarified before the theorem.  $\square$

Typically, these algebras are not wscai. We now explore how far they are from being so, by verifying the absence of the properties defining wscai algebras.

We start with identities and bai's.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Banach algebra and let  $X$  be either a right Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -module, a left Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -module or a Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule. Then*

- (i) *If  $\mathcal{B}_X$  has a brai, so does  $\mathcal{B}$ .*
- (ii)  *$\mathcal{B}_X$  can never have a blai, unless  $X$  is trivial.*
- (iii) *If  $\mathcal{B}$  has an identity, then  $\mathcal{B}_X$  has a right identity.*
- (iv) *Symmetric statements hold true for  ${}_X\mathcal{B}$ .*
- (v) *If  ${}_X\mathcal{B}_X$  has a left, a right or a two-sided identity, so does  $\mathcal{B}$ .*
- (vi) *If  $\mathcal{B}$  has an identity, so does  ${}_X\mathcal{B}_X$ .*

*Proof.* Statements (i), (iii) and (iv) are clear.

For Statement (ii), suppose  $(x_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)$  is a blai in  $\mathcal{B}_X$ . Then, given  $(x, \varphi) \in \mathcal{B}_X$ ,

$$\lim_{\alpha} \|(x_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)(x, \varphi) - (x, \varphi)\| = 0$$

implies that

$$\lim_{\alpha} \|x_\alpha \varphi - x\| = 0 \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in \mathcal{B}, x \in X.$$

Thus,  $X = \{0\}$ .

It is clear that if  $(x_\alpha, a_\alpha)$  is a brai in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $(a_\alpha)$  is a brai in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Under the assumption made in (5), it is straightforward to see that if  $\mathcal{B}$  has an identity  $e$ , then  $(x, e)$  is a right identity of  $\mathcal{B}_X$  for every  $x \in X$ .

As for the last statement, if  $e$  is an identity in  $\mathcal{B}$ , then  $(0, e)$  is an identity in  ${}_X\mathcal{B}_X$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.4.** It would not be natural to impose that brais in  $\mathcal{B}$  induce brais on  $\mathcal{B}_X$ , for that would entail that a brai  $(e_\alpha)$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  acts as an approximate identity for the module action, i.e., that  $\lim_{\alpha} \|xe_\alpha - x\| = 0$  for any  $x \in X$ . The following natural example explains why this is not expected.

Take  $\mathcal{B} = L^1(\mathbb{T})$  and see  $X = L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  as a right  $L^1(\mathbb{T})$ -module through convolution. Consider the usual bai  $(a_n)_n$  in  $L^1(\mathbb{T})$ , i.e.,  $a_n = n\mathbf{1}_{U_n}$ , with  $U_n = \{e^{it} : |t| \leq \frac{1}{2n}\}$ . Then  $a_n$  is not an approximate identity for the module action. For, should it be, taking  $y = \mathbf{1}_K$  for some compact neighbourhood of the identity, one has that the support of  $y * a_n$  is  $KU_n$  and restricting to  $KU_n \setminus K$  shows that

$$\lim_n \|y * a_n - y\|_\infty \neq 0.$$

Next, we look at the ideal property. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is any of the Banach algebras  $\mathcal{B}_X$ ,  ${}_X\mathcal{B}$  and  ${}_X\mathcal{B}_X$ , and  $\mathcal{I}$  is an ideal (left, right or two-sided) in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ , then it is clear that  $\mathcal{B}$  is so in  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$ .

When  $X = \mathcal{B}$  is regarded as a right  $\mathcal{B}$ -module, i.e., the operation of  $\mathcal{B}$  acts as the right action  $(a, b) \mapsto ab$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  on itself, then it is clear that  $\mathcal{B}_X$  is an ideal (right, left or two-sided) in  $(\mathcal{B}_X)^{**}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{B}$  is so in  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$ .

The same observation can be made when  $X = \mathcal{B}$  is a left  $\mathcal{B}$ -module, i.e., with the left action of  $\mathcal{B}$  on itself given by  $(a, b) \mapsto ba$ .

As for the converse in more general situations, we have the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Banach algebra that is a right ideal in  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$ .*

- (i) *If  $X$  is a right Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -module such that the translation  $f \mapsto mf : X^* \rightarrow X^*$  is weak\*-weak\* continuous for each  $m \in \mathcal{B}^{**}$ , then  $\mathcal{B}_X$  is a right ideal in  $(\mathcal{B}_X)^{**}$ .*
- (ii) *If  $X$  is a left Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -module such that the translation  $f \mapsto pf : X^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$  is weak\*-weak\* continuous for each  $p \in X^{**}$ , then  ${}_X\mathcal{B}$  is a right ideal in  $({}_X\mathcal{B})^{**}$ .*
- (iii) *If  $X$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule such that the translations  $f \mapsto mf : X^* \rightarrow X^*$  and  $f \mapsto pf : X^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$  are both weak\*-weak\* continuous for each  $m \in \mathcal{B}^{**}$  and  $p \in X^{**}$ , then  ${}_X\mathcal{B}_X$  is a right ideal in  $({}_X\mathcal{B}_X)^{**}$ .*

*Proof.*

(i) Let  $(x, \varphi) \in \mathcal{B}_X$  and  $(p, m) \in (\mathcal{B}_X)^{**}$ . Then, the product

$$(x, \varphi)(p, m) = (xm, \varphi m)$$

shows that for  $\mathcal{B}_X$  to be a right ideal in  $(\mathcal{B}_X)^{**}$ , we need  $xm$  to be in  $X$  for every  $x \in X$  and  $m \in \mathcal{B}^{**}$ . (The element  $xm$  is of course in  $X^{**}$ ). So, let  $(f_\alpha)$  be a net in  $X^*$  with weak\*-limit zero. If  $f \mapsto mf : X^* \rightarrow X^*$  is weak\*-weak\* continuous, then we see that  $(mf_\alpha)$  converges weak\* to 0 in  $X^*$ , hence

$$\lim_{\alpha} \langle xm, f_\alpha \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \langle mf_\alpha, x \rangle = 0.$$

Thus  $xm \in X$  as wanted.

(ii) We now want to see that for each  $p \in X^{**}$ ,  $m \in \mathcal{B}^{**}$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$  the following product

$$(x, \varphi)(p, m) = (\varphi p, \varphi m)$$

lies in  $X \oplus \mathcal{B}$ . To check that  $\varphi p$  is actually in  $X$ , the proof goes as in (i). For, the assumption that  $f \mapsto pf : X^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$  is weak\*-weak\* continuous means that  $(pf_\alpha)$  weak\*-converges to zero in  $\mathcal{B}^*$  when  $(f_\alpha)$  does in  $X^*$ . Thus,

$$\lim_{\alpha} \langle \varphi p, f_\alpha \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \langle \varphi, pf_\alpha \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in \mathcal{B},$$

as wanted.

(iii) The proofs of (i) and (ii) already prove (iii). □

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Banach algebra that is a right ideal in  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$ .*

- (i) *If  $X = \mathcal{B}^*$  is the right Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -module with the natural right action of  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $\mathcal{B}^*$  as given in (9), then  $\mathcal{B}_X$  is a right ideal in  $(\mathcal{B}_X)^{**}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{B}$  is Arens regular.*

- (ii) Let  $\mathcal{B}$  have a right identity. If  $X = \mathcal{B}^*$  is the left Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -module with the natural left action of  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $\mathcal{B}^*$  as given in (10), then  ${}_X\mathcal{B}$  is a right ideal in  $({}_X\mathcal{B})^{**}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{B}$  is reflexive.

*Proof.* Note that when  $X = \mathcal{B}^*$ , the Arens regularity of  $\mathcal{B}$  means that the action  $\mathcal{B}^{**} \times X^* \rightarrow X^*$  is separately weak\*-weak\* continuous. So the sufficiency in the first statement is a special case of Lemma 2.5(i).

Conversely, if  ${}_X\mathcal{B}$  is a right ideal in  $({}_X\mathcal{B})^{**}$ , then  $xm \in \mathcal{B}^*$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{B}^*$  and  $m \in \mathcal{B}^{**}$ . Since  $xm$  which is an element of  $\mathcal{B}^{***}$  is forced to be in  $\mathcal{B}^*$ , this means that  $\langle xm, f_\alpha \rangle$  must converge to zero whenever  $(f_\alpha)$  is a net in  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$  with weak\*-limit zero. Since  $\langle xm, f_\alpha \rangle = \langle x, mf_\alpha \rangle$ , this implies that  $(mf_\alpha)$  has zero as its weak\*-limit, whence  $\mathcal{B}$  is Arens regular.

For the second statement, remember that the first adjoint of the natural left action of  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $\mathcal{B}^*$  is  $\mathcal{B}^{**} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{**}$ . So it is clear that  $(f_\alpha\varphi)$  weak\*-converges to zero in  $X^* = \mathcal{B}^{**}$  whenever  $(f_\alpha)$  weak\*-converges to zero in  $X^* = \mathcal{B}^{**}$ . It follows that if  $\mathcal{B}$  is reflexive, then for every  $p \in X^{**} = \mathcal{B}^{***} = \mathcal{B}^*$ , we have

$$\lim_{\alpha} \langle pf_\alpha, \varphi \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \langle p, f_\alpha\varphi \rangle = 0, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{B}$$

so that the translation  $f \mapsto pf : X^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$  is weak\*-weak\* continuous. So the sufficiency in the second statement is a special case of Lemma 2.5(ii).

Conversely, let  $e$  be the right identity in  $\mathcal{B}$  and suppose that  ${}_X\mathcal{B}$  is a right ideal in  $({}_X\mathcal{B})^{**}$ . Then the product

$$(x, \varphi)(p, m) = (\varphi p, \varphi m)$$

shows that  $\varphi p$  (which is in  $\mathcal{B}^{***}$ ) needs to be in  $X = \mathcal{B}^*$  for every  $p \in \mathcal{B}^{***}$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$ . But

$$\langle \varphi p, m \rangle = \langle \varphi, pm \rangle \quad \text{for every } p \in \mathcal{B}^{***}.$$

In particular,  $\langle ep, m \rangle = \langle e, pm \rangle = \langle p, me \rangle = \langle p, m \rangle$  so that  $ep = p \in \mathcal{B}^*$  for every  $p \in \mathcal{B}^{***}$ . Whence,  $\mathcal{B}$  is reflexive.  $\square$

For the left ideal property to pass from the algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  to the algebra  $X \oplus \mathcal{B}$ , we need a stronger condition than that for the right ideal property.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Banach algebra that is a left ideal in  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$ .*

- (i) *If  $X$  is a right Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -module such that the translation  $f \mapsto \varphi f : X^* \rightarrow X^*$  is weak\*-weak continuous for each  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$ , then  $\mathcal{B}_X$  is a left ideal in  $(\mathcal{B}_X)^{**}$ .*
- (ii) *If  $X$  is a left Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -module such that the translation  $f \mapsto xf : X^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$  is weak\*-weak continuous for each  $x \in X$ , then  ${}_X\mathcal{B}$  is a left ideal in  $({}_X\mathcal{B})^{**}$ .*
- (iii) *If  $X$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule such that the translations  $f \mapsto \varphi f : X^* \rightarrow X^*$  and  $f \mapsto xf : X^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$  are both weak\*-weak continuous for each  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $x \in X$ , then  ${}_X\mathcal{B}_X$  is a left ideal in  $({}_X\mathcal{B}_X)^{**}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 2.5. One has now to require weak\*-weak continuity, for, in the case of (i), we need that

$$(p, m)(x, \varphi) = (p\varphi, m\varphi) \in X \oplus \mathcal{B},$$

for all  $p \in X^{**}$ ,  $m \in \mathcal{B}^{**}$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$ , and for  $p\varphi$  to be in  $X$  we need that, whenever  $(f_\alpha)$  is a net in  $X^*$  that  $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -converges to 0, the net

$$\langle p\varphi, f_\alpha \rangle = \langle p, \varphi f_\alpha \rangle$$

converges to 0. This holds if  $(\varphi f_\alpha)$  is  $\sigma(X^*, X^{**})$ -convergent.

The proofs of (ii) and (iii) run along the same lines.  $\square$

Here is the analogue of Corollary 2.6.

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Banach algebra that is a left ideal in  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$ .*

- (i) *If  $X = \mathcal{B}^*$  is the left Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -module with the natural left action of  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $\mathcal{B}^*$  as given in (10), then  ${}_X\mathcal{B}$  is a left ideal in  $({}_X\mathcal{B})^{**}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{B}$  is Arens regular.*
- (ii) *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  have a right identity. If  $X = \mathcal{B}^*$  is the right Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -module with the natural left action of  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $\mathcal{B}^*$  as given in (9), then  $\mathcal{B}_X$  is a left ideal in  $(\mathcal{B}_X)^{**}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{B}$  is reflexive.*

*Proof.* When  $X = \mathcal{B}^*$  is the left Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -module, the condition in Lemma 2.7 on the weak\*-weak-continuity of the translation  $f \mapsto xf : X^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$  for  $\mathcal{B}_X$  to be a left ideal in  $(\mathcal{B}_X)^{**}$ , means that for every  $x \in \mathcal{B}^*$  and  $m \in \mathcal{B}^{**}$ , we must have

$$\lim_{\alpha} \langle f_\alpha \diamond m, x \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \langle m, x f_\alpha \rangle = 0$$

whenever  $(f_\alpha)$  is a net in  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$  with weak\*-limit zero. This is the Arens regularity of  $\mathcal{B}$ , and so sufficiency in this statement is a special case of Lemma 2.7(ii).

Conversely, if  ${}_X\mathcal{B}$  is a left ideal in  $({}_X\mathcal{B})^{**}$ , then  $mx \in \mathcal{B}^*$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{B}^*$  and  $m \in \mathcal{B}^{**}$ . Since  $mx$  which is an element in  $\mathcal{B}^{***}$  is forced to be in  $\mathcal{B}^*$ , this means that  $\langle mx, f_\alpha \rangle$  must converge to zero whenever  $(f_\alpha)$  is a net in  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$  with weak\*-limit zero. Since  $\langle mx, f_\alpha \rangle = \langle x, f_\alpha \diamond m \rangle$ , this implies that  $(f_\alpha \diamond m)$  has zero as its weak\*-limit, whence  $\mathcal{B}$  is Arens regular.

For the second statement, remember that the second adjoint of the right Banach  $\mathcal{B}$ -module is given by  $\mathcal{B}^{**} \times \mathcal{B}^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{**}$ . So if  $(f_\alpha)$  is a net in  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$  with weak\*-limit 0, then  $(\varphi f_\alpha)$  is a net  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$  with weak\*-limit 0 as well. So, if  $\mathcal{B}$  is reflexive, then it is clear that 0 is the weak limit. So the sufficiency in the second statement is a special case of Lemma 2.7(i).

Conversely, let  $e$  be a left identity in  $\mathcal{B}$  and suppose that  $\mathcal{B}_X$  is a left ideal in  $(\mathcal{B}_X)^{**}$ . Then for the product

$$(p, m)(x, \varphi) = (p\varphi, m\varphi) \quad \text{for every } p \in \mathcal{B}^{***}, m \in \mathcal{B}^{**}, x \in \mathcal{B}^*, \varphi \in \mathcal{B}$$

shows that  $p\varphi$  needs to be in  $\mathcal{B}^*$  for every  $p \in \mathcal{B}^{***}$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$ . In particular,  $pe$  needs to be in  $\mathcal{B}^*$  for every  $p \in \mathcal{B}^{***}$ . But  $pe = e$  as  $em = m$  for every  $m \in \mathcal{B}^{**}$  implies that

$$\langle pe, m \rangle = \langle p, em \rangle = \langle p, m \rangle \quad \text{for every } m \in \mathcal{B}^{**}.$$

So  $p = pe \in \mathcal{B}^*$  for every  $p \in \mathcal{B}^{***}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{B}$  is reflexive.  $\square$

We now see that non-reflexive algebras with no left (right) identity so that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a two-sided ideal in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  exist.

**Example 2.9.** *There is a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with the following properties*

- (i)  *$\mathcal{A}$  has an ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  such that both  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  are Arens regular.*

- (ii)  $\mathcal{A}$  is not Arens regular.
- (iii)  $\mathcal{A}$  has a bai.
- (iv)  $\mathcal{A}$  is a two-sided ideal in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ .

This algebra is not weakly sequentially complete.

*Proof.* Take  $\mathcal{B} = c_0$  with pointwise product and  $X = \mathcal{B}^*$  with the natural actions  $\langle xa, b \rangle = \langle ax, b \rangle = \langle x, ab \rangle$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{B}^*$ ,  $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} = {}_X\mathcal{B}_X$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  has a bai  $(a_n)$ , where  $a_n = \mathbf{1}_{\{1, \dots, n\}}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a closed ideal in its second conjugate  $\ell^\infty$  and the module action of  $\mathcal{B}(= c_0)$  on  $X(= \ell^1)$  turns out to be pointwise multiplication.

Theorem 2.2 shows that  $\mathcal{J} = X \times \{0\}$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$  with both  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  Arens regular. As is always the case with the dual action of a nonreflexive algebra with a brai [8, Theorem 2.1], the action of  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $X$  is not regular and, therefore, neither is  $\mathcal{A}$ .

The sequence  $(0, a_n)$  is then a bai in  $\mathcal{A}$ , since

$$\lim_n \|xa_n - x\|_1 = \lim_n \|\varphi a_n - \varphi\|_\infty = 0 \quad \text{for every } x \in \ell^1, \varphi \in c_0$$

We now see that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a right ideal in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  we first observe that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a two sided ideal in  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$ . Next, we need to check that  $xm \in \ell_1$  and  $\varphi p \in \ell_1$ , for any  $x \in \ell_1$ ,  $m \in \ell_\infty$ ,  $\varphi \in c_0$  and  $p \in \ell_1^{**}$ . The former is clear, for the latter we decompose  $p = x_0 + p_0$  with  $x_0 \in \ell_1$  and  $p_0 \in c_0^\perp$ . To see that  $\varphi p \in \ell_1$  we consider a net  $(f_\alpha)$  in  $\ell_\infty$  that converges to 0 in the  $\sigma(\ell_\infty, \ell_1)$ -topology. Then, using that  $\varphi f_\alpha \in c_0$  and that  $x_0\varphi \in \ell_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_\alpha \langle p\varphi, f_\alpha \rangle &= \lim_\alpha \langle p, \varphi f_\alpha \rangle \\ &= \lim_\alpha \langle x_0\varphi, f_\alpha \rangle + \lim_\alpha \langle p_0, \varphi f_\alpha \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $p\varphi \in \ell_1$ .

The argument to see that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a left ideal in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  is analogous. □

**Example 2.10.** *There is a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with the following properties*

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}$  has an ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  such that both  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  are Arens regular.
- (ii)  $\mathcal{A}$  is not Arens regular.
- (iii)  $\mathcal{A}$  has an identity.
- (iv)  $\mathcal{A}$  is weakly sequentially complete.

This algebra is not an ideal in its bidual.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the Banach algebra  $\ell^1$  with pointwise product and consider the module action of  $\ell^1$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  by convolution and let  $\mathcal{A} = {}_X\mathcal{B}_X$ . The action by convolution is not regular, so Theorem 2.2 applies to show that  $\mathcal{J} = X \times \{0\}$  is a closed ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that both  $\mathcal{J}$  and  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$  are Arens regular but  $\mathcal{A}$  is not.

Now, denoting  $\delta_0$  the neutral element of  $(\ell^1, *)$

$$(0, \delta_0)(u, v) = (u, v)$$

$$(u, v)(0, \delta_0) = (u, v),$$

and  $(0, \delta_0)$  is an identity of  $\mathcal{A}$ . □

**Example 2.11.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a non-reflexive Banach algebra in the wscai class. We can introduce a different operation  $\cdot$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  turning  $\mathcal{B}$  into a right Banach  $(\mathcal{B}, \cdot)$ -module so that the algebra  $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{B}, \cdot)_{\mathcal{B}}$  has the following properties*

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}$  has an ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  such that both  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  are Arens regular.
- (ii)  $\mathcal{A}$  is not Arens regular.
- (iii)  $\mathcal{A}$  is a weakly sequentially complete Banach algebra.
- (iv)  $\mathcal{A}$  is a right ideal in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ .
- (v)  $\mathcal{A}$  has a brai.

This algebra has no blai, and is not a left ideal in its bidual.

*Proof.* Let  $(e_\alpha)_\alpha$  be a bai in  $\mathcal{B}$  and let  $e$  be a right identity in  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$  where  $(e_\alpha)_\alpha$  accumulates. Choose  $f_0 \in \mathcal{B}^*$  be such that  $\langle e, f_0 \rangle = 1$ . We define the second product in  $\mathcal{B}$  by

$$(11) \quad \varphi \cdot \psi = \langle f_0, \psi \rangle \varphi$$

The algebra  $(\mathcal{B}, \cdot)$  is a right  $\mathcal{B}$ -module, through the original multiplication of  $\mathcal{B}$  as the right action of  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $(\mathcal{B}, \cdot)$ . The Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{B}, \cdot)_\mathcal{B}$  has therefore the multiplication

$$(\varphi_1, \psi_1)(\varphi_2, \psi_2) = (\varphi_1\psi_2, \psi_1 \cdot \psi_2) = \langle f_0, \psi_2 \rangle (\varphi_1\psi_2, \psi_1).$$

$\mathcal{A}$  is weakly sequentially complete, has a brai  $((\varphi, e_\alpha)_\alpha)$  is a brai for every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$  but no blai. It is clearly a right ideal, but not a left ideal, in its second dual since  $\mathcal{B}$  with the multiplication (11) is not a left ideal in  $\mathcal{B}^{**}$ . Theorem 2.2 shows that  $\mathcal{A}$  has an Arens regular ideal with an Arens regular quotient, but  $\mathcal{A}$  is not Arens regular, since  $\mathcal{B}$  is not regular.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Arens, *The adjoint of a bilinear operation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1951), 839–848.
- [2] ———, *Operations induced in function classes*, Monatsh. Math. **55** (1951), 1–19.
- [3] J.W. Baker, A. T. -M. Lau and J. S. Pym, *Module homomorphisms and topological centres associated with weakly sequentially complete Banach algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **158** (1998), 186–208.
- [4] J. B. Conway, *A course in functional analysis*, volume 96 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1990.
- [5] H.G. Dales, Personal communication to the authors. Email of February 24, 2022.
- [6] H. G. Dales, *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [7] R. E. Dressler and L. Pigno, *Une remarque sur les ensembles de Rosenthal et Riesz*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **280** (1975), A1281–A1282.
- [8] M. Eshaghi Gordji and M. Filali *Arens regularity of module actions*, Studia Math **158** 3 (2007), 237–254.
- [9] R. Esmailvandi, M. Filali and J. Galindo, *Arens regularity of ideals of the group algebra of a compact Abelian group*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, Sec. A, to appear. DOI:10.1017/prm.2023.110.
- [10] R. Esmailvandi, M. Filali, J. Galindo, *Arens regularity and irregularity of ideals in Fourier and group algebras*, Preprint 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.00296>.
- [11] G. Godefroy and F. Lust-Piquard, *Some applications of geometry of Banach spaces to harmonic analysis*, Colloq. Math. **60/61**, (1990), 443–456.
- [12] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis. Vol. II: Structure and analysis for compact groups. Analysis on locally compact Abelian groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970. MR 41 #7378
- [13] E. Kaniuth, A. T. Lau, and G. Schlichting, *Lebesgue type decomposition of subspaces of Fourier-Stieltjes algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), no. 4, 1467–1490.
- [14] T. Miao, *Decomposition of  $B(G)$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), no. 11, 4675–4692.

- [15] J. S. Pym, *The convolution of functionals on spaces of bounded functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **15** (1965), 84–104.
- [16] A. Ülger, *Continuity of weakly almost periodic functionals on  $L^1(G)$* , Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **37** (1986), no. 148, 495–497.
- [17] ———, *Characterizations of Riesz sets*, Math. Scand. **108** (2011), no. 2, 264–278.
- [18] N. J. Young, *Separate continuity and multilinear operations*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **26** (1973), 289–319.

MAHMOUD FILALI. DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF OULU,  
OULU FINLAND;  
E-MAIL: MFILALI@CC.OULU.FI

JORGE GALINDO. INSTITUTO UNIVERSITARIO DE MATEMÁTICAS Y APLICACIONES  
(IMAC), UNIVERSITAT JAUME I, E-12071, CASTELLÓN, SPAIN;  
E-MAIL: JGALINDO@UJI.ES