

A Property of Geodesics in Special Kähler Geometry

Sergio Cecotti*

Beijing Institute of Mathematical Sciences and Applications (BIMSA)
Huaibei Town, Huairou District, Beijing 101408, China,
Qiuzhen College, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China,
SISSA, via Bonomea 265, Trieste, Italy

Abstract

We study the stable geodesics of the QFT special Kähler geometry (\equiv Seiberg-Witten geometry of 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ QFT) using the Myers argument. Complete stable geodesics are quite restricted, and can be described very explicitly. In particular no closed stable geodesic exists. We comment on the application of the Myers method to related problems, including geodesics in moduli spaces of Calabi-Yau 3-folds.

July 16, 2024

*e-mail: cecotti@bimsa.cn

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Elementary facts	4
2.1	Properties of the Riemann tensor	4
2.2	Relation with the period maps	6
2.3	Symmetries	8
2.4	Geometry of the τ -fibers	9
2.5	Symmetry and structure	11
3	Stable geodesics	12
3.1	Complete stable geodesics	12
3.2	Elementary bounds on Ricci integrals	13
3.3	Proof of Proposition 5 : Myers’ argument	15
3.4	Other applications of Myers’ argument	16
3.4.1	Improving the bound	16
3.4.2	Semi-complete stable geodesics	17
3.4.3	Stable geodesics of finite length	17
3.5	Weil-Petersson geometries of 3-CY moduli	18
A	Geodesics near codimension-1 singularities	20

1 Introduction

In this note we focus on the special Kähler geometry which describes the vector-multiplet sector of a 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ QFT, a.k.a. Seiberg-Witten geometry [1–9]. It should not be confused with the special Kähler geometry (sometimes called ‘projective’) which applies in presence of gravity, i.e. in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUGRA [5, 9–12], which is equivalent to the Weil-Petersson (WP) geometry on the moduli space of Calabi-Yau 3-folds [5, 9–13].

A special Kähler manifold \mathcal{M} carries several geometric structures, and therefore can be studied from a variety of viewpoints.¹ The Riemannian aspects of these geometries – such as their geodesics – have been less studied because, while all other structures are well behaved, the Kähler metric looks not too nice: except for “trivial” situations, it is *singular* and *non-complete*. Nevertheless the Riemannian aspects of special geometry have a direct bearing on the physics of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ QFTs. Physical intuition suggests that its geodesics enjoy distinctive properties, and one wishes to confirm (or refine) these expectations by direct geometric proofs.

¹ For instance: as holomorphic integrable systems [7, 8], or in terms of variations of Hodge structure (VHS) [7, 11], from an algebro-geometric viewpoint [14], or using techniques from diophantine geometry [14, 15], etc.

In the much deeper context of ‘projective’ special Kähler geometries which describe 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUGRAs arising from Calabi-Yau compactifications of Type IIB, it was conjectured in ref. [16] that two distinct geodesics cross at most at one point when pulled back to the smooth simply-connected cover \mathcal{X} of the moduli space \mathcal{M} . The statement was argued from a deep Quantum Gravity perspective in the context of the swampland program [17–22]. In this note we mainly consider the situation in absence of gravity where the issue is much simpler. However the proofs are geometrically elegant so, perhaps, worth reporting in writing. At the end of the paper we shall briefly mention the kind of results one obtains for the gravitational Weil-Petersson geometry using our methods: while not very powerful, they may still be of interest.

Let us motivate the geometric problem from some physical heuristics. Suppose we have a 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ QFT which at low energy is effectively described by a special Kähler manifold \mathcal{M} of the kind which “arises in physics”. We may wish to compactify our QFT on a circle S^1 to construct a vacuum configuration of a 3d theory. When the vector-multiplet scalars are non-constant along the circle, this field configuration is a non-trivial map $S^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. To be a solution of the equations of motion this map should be a geodesics of \mathcal{M} .

One may be more or less conservative about which class of geodesics is admissible in this construction. In this regard there are *three* issues to consider. First issue: to be a semiclassical vacuum it is not enough that the configuration is a stationary solution of the equations of motion, i.e. that the first variation of the action vanishes. This insufficiency is well known for models with potentials of the form

$$V(\phi) = \lambda(\phi^2 - a^2)^2 \tag{1.1}$$

where the first variation $V'(\phi)$ vanishes for three static configurations, $\phi = 0$ and $\phi = \pm a$, but the first one is not a valid vacuum since it is unstable (it contains tachyons) and should be ruled out. Two conditions should be satisfied to have a semiclassical vacuum: (1) the first variation of the action should vanish, *and* (2) the second variation must be positive-definite. This criterion applies also to 3d compactifications: the conservative position is that the first variation of the action should vanish, i.e. $\phi: S^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ must be a geodesic, but also the second variation must be positive semi-definite, i.e. the geodesic must be *stable*, again to avoid tachyons. Second issue: one may or not allow the geodesic to pass through a *bad*² singular point in \mathcal{M} . The conservative position is, of course, to disregard the singular configurations. Third issue: the moduli space \mathcal{M} has the form³

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{X}/\Gamma \tag{1.2}$$

² By *bad* singularity we mean a point where the curvature diverge. Milder kind of singularities (such as finite quotient orbifolds) are accepted.

³ \mathcal{M} is the complement of the divisors in the Coulomb branch with local monodromy of infinite order. It is an orbifold with mild quotient singularities.

where \mathcal{X} is a smooth contractible complex manifold (the “marked moduli space” in the language of [16]) and $\Gamma \subset Sp(2r, \mathbb{Z})$ is the monodromy group⁴ which acts on \mathcal{X} by (holomorphic) isometries⁵ and on the lattice $\Lambda_{\text{e.m}}$ of electro-magnetic charges by generalized electro-magnetic dualities [9]. A closed geodesic γ in \mathcal{M} may lift to an open one in \mathcal{X} . If this is the case, when we go around the circle S^1 we get back to the starting point $p_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ with a non-trivial redefinition of what we mean by electric and magnetic charges. This corresponds to inserting a “duality defect” in the circle. The most conservative attitude is to limit ourselves to constructions of “3d vacua” without “duality defects”. Of course, one may (or even should) be more general and allow for such non-trivial insertions. If such constructions exist, the physics of the resulting 3d effective theory is quite subtle and not covered by the most naive heuristics we are advocating.

Thus, taking the most conservative stance, we consider non-trivial 3d vacua of the 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ QFT produced by stable geodesics which are closed in the covering space \mathcal{X} . The physical heuristics is that we do *not* expect a vacuum with these properties to exist, except – possibly – when a “duality defect” is inserted, and also in that case only under very special circumstances which often require a larger supersymmetry $\mathcal{N} \geq 3$. Physics thus makes a geometric prediction: in the covering space \mathcal{X} there is no stable closed geodesic, and even on the actual moduli \mathcal{M} such geodesics are extremely restricted, and their existence requires peculiar properties which are just false for the *generic* QFT. This note is dedicated to proving a stronger property of the geodesics in special Kähler geometry (more in the spirit of [16]):

Proposition 1. *In special Kähler geometry two distinct stable complete geodesics of the covering space \mathcal{X} cross at most once. In particular there are no closed stable geodesics.*

We stress that the adjective “stable” cannot be omitted from the statement. By definition a non-stable geodesic crosses multiple times a nearby geodesic, so the qualification “stable” could be omitted if and only if *all* complete geodesics are automatically stable. This is not the case: indeed “most” of the complete geodesics are unstable.

Remark 1. In the Quantum Gravity context of the special geometry arising from the WP geometry of Calabi-Yau moduli, the authors of [16] state a **Conjecture** which is the above **Proposition** without the qualifications “stable” and “complete”. In particular this implies:

Sub-conjecture. *All Weil-Petersson geodesics on the moduli space of a CY 3-fold are stable.*

While in the QFT case “most” (complete) geodesics are *unstable*, the situation in the gravity case looks the opposite way: the geodesics have a “tendency” to be stable, and the above **Sub-conjecture** may well be true. (For non-complete geodesics the situation improves: a geodesic may terminate before becoming unstable [16]).

The rest of this note is purely Differential-Geometric. It is organized as follows: in section 2 we review some known and less known elementary facts about the Riemannian aspects of

⁴ r is the complex dimension of the special Kähler manifold.

⁵ The action may have fixed points, but the isotropy group of each point is at most finite.

special geometry (in the sense of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ QFT), and in section 3 we describe explicitly the stable complete geodesics on \mathcal{X} (and \mathcal{M}). We briefly comment about other results one gets by the same technique, including in the gravitational case of the Weil-Petersson geometry. In the appendix we discuss the geodesics in the vicinity of a finite-distance singularity arising in complex codimension-1.

2 Elementary facts

In this section we collect elementary properties of the special Kähler geometries which arise from physics. These results are not new, but perhaps not widely known, and some of them have not appeared in print before. We present them from the Riemannian viewpoint.

To make the story short and simple, we work directly on the simply-connected cover \mathcal{X} , thus trivializing all global aspects of the geometry. However, to avoid meaningless situations, we focus on the geometries which “arise from physics”, that is – technically – we assume the

Condition. Let $r \equiv \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{X}$. There is a discrete group $\Upsilon \subset Sp(2r, \mathbb{Z})$, freely acting on \mathcal{X} by holomorphic isometries of its special Kähler metric, such that the smooth Kähler manifold

$$\mathcal{N} \equiv \mathcal{X} / \Upsilon \tag{2.1}$$

is (biholomorphic to) a non-compact quasi-projective algebraic variety. $\Upsilon \triangleleft \Gamma$ is a finite-index, torsion-free, normal subgroup of the monodromy group Γ and $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a smooth finite cover of the moduli space \mathcal{M} . The local monodromies at infinity in \mathcal{N} are unipotent. Under these conditions, there is a torsion-free *arithmetic* group $U \subset Sp(2r, \mathbb{Z})$ with $\Upsilon \subset U$.

Condition is the geometric counterpart to UV-completeness for the underlying $\mathcal{N} = 2$ QFT. In the geometric analysis it replaces the assumption of *geodesic completeness* which cannot hold unless the special geometry is flat (see below).

2.1 Properties of the Riemann tensor

We recall the relevant definitions. The Riemann tensor $R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}$ of a Kähler manifold is *Nakano non-negative* iff for all tensors u^{ij}

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} u^{ik} \bar{u}^{\bar{j}\bar{l}} \geq 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Nakano non-negativity implies Griffiths non-negativity, non-negative holomorphic bisectional curvatures, and non-negative Ricci tensor (see e.g. [23,24]). A smooth function f on a complex manifold is said to be *pluri-subharmonic* iff the $(1, 1)$ part of its Hessian is everywhere a non-negative matrix, i.e.

$$\partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} f \geq 0. \tag{2.3}$$

When \mathcal{X} satisfies **Condition**, a Υ -invariant⁶ pluri-subharmonic function $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded if and only if it is constant.

A special geometry is characterized by the presence of a holomorphic *symmetric* 3-form $Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \odot^3 T^* \mathcal{X})$

$$Y \equiv Y_{ijk} dz^i \otimes dz^j \otimes dz^k \quad \bar{\partial}Y = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

defined by the Pauli couplings in the low-energy effective Lagrangian

$$Y_{ijk} \bar{\lambda}_L^i \sigma^{\mu\nu} \lambda_L^j F_{\mu\nu}^{+k} + \text{h.c.}, \quad (2.5)$$

where the λ_L^i are left-handed gauginos and the $F_{\mu\nu}^{+k}$ are the (complex) self-dual components of the gauge field-strengths. See footnote 7 for the interpretation of Y in terms of holomorphic integrable systems.⁷ In local special coordinates a^i , with holomorphic pre-potential $\mathcal{F}(a)$,

$$Y = -i \frac{\partial^3 \mathcal{F}(a)}{\partial a^i \partial a^j \partial a^k} da^i \otimes da^j \otimes da^k. \quad (2.6)$$

A simple local computation yields

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = G^{\bar{n}m} Y_{ikm} \bar{Y}_{j\bar{l}\bar{n}}. \quad (2.7)$$

where $G^{\bar{i}j}$ is the inverse of the special Kähler metric $G_{i\bar{j}}$.

Corollary 1. **(1)** *The Riemann tensor of a special Kähler geometry is Nakano non-negative, hence its holomorphic bisectional and Ricci curvatures are non-negative.* **(2)** *The scalar curvature is $R = \|Y\|^2$ and hence if R is zero at the point p , the tensor Y vanishes at p , and therefore the full Riemann tensor $R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}$ is zero at that point.* **(3)** *The scalar curvature R is a pluri-subharmonic function.*

Only **(3)** requires a proof. One has⁸

$$\partial_{\bar{j}} \partial_i R = \langle \nabla_{\bar{j}} \nabla_i Y, Y \rangle + \langle \nabla_i Y, \nabla_{\bar{j}} Y \rangle = \langle [\nabla_{\bar{j}}, \nabla_i] Y, Y \rangle + \langle \nabla_i Y, \nabla_{\bar{j}} Y \rangle \geq 0 \quad (2.8)$$

since both terms in the RHS are non-negative (the first one because $R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}$ is Griffiths non-negative). Therefore, under **Condition**, the scalar curvature R is either constant or unbounded above.

⁶ I.e. a function which factors through \mathcal{N} .

⁷ In the language of holomorphic integrable systems [7, 14] i.e. of holomorphic fibrations $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ with Lagrangian fibers which are polarized Abelian varieties, Y is the Kodaira-Spencer map $\phi_{\text{KS}}: T\mathcal{X} \otimes \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^\vee$ of the underlying family of Abelian varieties. (Here $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is the holomorphic vector bundle whose fibers are the $H^{1,0}$ groups of the Abelian fibers). Since the symplectic structure yields an isomorphism $\Lambda \simeq T\mathcal{X}$, the Kodaira-Spencer map is a 3-form on $T\mathcal{X}$ which is easily seen to be symmetric.

⁸ Here $\langle Y, Y \rangle \equiv Y_{ijk} G^{\bar{i}l} G^{j\bar{m}} G^{k\bar{n}} \bar{Y}_{l\bar{m}\bar{n}} \equiv \|Y\|^2$ is the Hermitian norm of Y ; our convention is that the Hermitian forms are anti-linear in their *second* argument.

Lemma 1. Assume Condition. *If the scalar curvature R is constant, the special Kähler metric is locally flat.*

Proof. If R is constant, both terms in the RHS of eq.(2.8) vanish. In particular one has

$$0 = G^{i\bar{j}} \langle [\nabla_{\bar{j}}, \nabla_i] Y, Y \rangle = 3 R^{m\bar{n}} Y_{mkl} \bar{Y}_{\bar{n}\bar{k}\bar{l}} G^{k\bar{k}} G^{l\bar{l}} = 3 R^{m\bar{n}} R_{m\bar{n}} \quad (2.9)$$

which implies that the manifold is Ricci flat. But a Ricci flat special Kähler manifold is flat by **Corollary 1(2)**. \square

Corollary 2 (Dycothomy). *In a special Kähler geometry \mathcal{X} satisfying **Condition**:*

- *either $R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} \equiv 0$ everywhere, i.e. \mathcal{X} is flat,*
- *or $\sup_{\mathcal{X}} R = +\infty$.*

In particular a homogeneous special Kähler manifold is locally flat.

Moreover one can show [7,8] that the points where R diverges should be at finite distance from regular points of \mathcal{X} . Thus a special Kähler manifold is non-complete unless it is (locally) flat. An alternative proof follows from the results in §.3.

2.2 Relation with the period maps

The gauge couplings define holomorphic period maps

$$p: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash Sp(2r, \mathbb{R}) / U(r) \quad (2.10)$$

$$\check{p}: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow U \backslash Sp(2r, \mathbb{R}) / U(r) \quad (2.11)$$

which may be lifted to a holomorphic map to Siegel's upper half-space

$$\tau: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow Sp(2r, \mathbb{R}) / U(r) \equiv \mathcal{H} \quad (2.12)$$

given locally in terms of special coordinates a^i as

$$a^k \mapsto \tau_{ij} \equiv \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}(a)}{\partial a^i \partial a^j} \quad (2.13)$$

where we see $\mathcal{H} \equiv Sp(2r, \mathbb{R}) / U(r)$ as the space of complex symmetric matrices $\boldsymbol{\tau} = (\tau_{ij})$ with positive-definite imaginary part. \mathcal{H} is a Kähler symmetric space and a Hadamard manifold, i.e. a complete simply-connected Riemannian manifold with non-positive sectional curvatures. In particular \mathcal{H} is Einstein with a negative Ricci tensor. We normalize the symmetric metric on \mathcal{H} so that its global Kähler potential is

$$K_{\mathcal{H}}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \bar{\boldsymbol{\tau}}) = -\log \det \text{Im } \boldsymbol{\tau}. \quad (2.14)$$

The Ricci form $\text{Ric}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is then related to the symmetric Kähler form $\omega_{\mathcal{H}}$ of \mathcal{H} as

$$\text{Ric}_{\mathcal{H}} = -(r + 1) \omega_{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (2.15)$$

In local special coordinates the Kähler metric takes the form

$$ds^2 = \text{Im}(\tau_{ij}) da^i d\bar{a}^{\bar{j}}. \quad (2.16)$$

Since τ , p and \check{p} are holomorphic maps between Kähler spaces, they are in particular harmonic maps from a manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature to a manifold with non-positive sectional curvatures. This entails that their energy density is sub-harmonic [25]. At the global level the period maps τ , p and \check{p} are further restricted by the structure theorem for variations of Hodge structure (VHS), see [26, 27].

The formulae for the curvatures in §.2.1 may be written more functorially as:

Corollary 3. (1) *Let $\text{Ric}_{\mathcal{X}}$ be the Ricci form of the special Kähler metric on \mathcal{X} . One has*

$$\text{Ric}_{\mathcal{X}} = \tau^* \omega_{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (2.17)$$

(2) *the scalar curvature R is the energy density $e(\tau)$ of the harmonic map τ .* (3) *A special geometry is flat if and only if τ (hence p , \check{p}) is a constant map.*

Proof. Compare eqs. (2.14), (2.16). □

Thus, say,

$$\int_{\mathcal{N}} \sqrt{g} R \, d\text{vol} = \mathbf{E}(\check{p}), \quad (2.18)$$

where $\mathbf{E}(\cdot)$ is the energy⁹ in the sense of harmonic maps [31], or, in the physics language, the classical action of a σ -model with source and target spaces as in (2.11). Thus τ is the map with minimal energy (action) between the ones equivariant under the action of $\Upsilon \subset Sp(2r, \mathbb{Z})$ on \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{H} . This statement is essentially equivalent to the structure theorem for \check{p} [32].

Example 2.1. Suppose we have a simple closed geodesic γ in a non-flat special geometry of dimension 1. (When the geometry is *non* simply-connected they typically exist, but should be unstable by **Proposition 1**). The interior Σ of the geodesics is mapped by τ into a contractible region $\tau(\Sigma)$ of the half-plane \mathcal{H} . Together with eq.(2.17), the Gauss-Bonnet theorem implies that the area of $\tau(\Sigma)$ is 2π , so that, by (2.15),

$$\int_{\tau(\Sigma)} \text{Ric}_{\mathcal{H}} = -4\pi. \quad (2.19)$$

Applying the Gauss-Bonnet theorem to the image region $\tau(\Sigma)$, we get that the integral of

⁹ Suitably normalized.

the geodesic curvature κ_g along the closed curve $\tau(\gamma)$ is

$$\int_{\tau(\gamma)} \kappa_g ds = 6\pi, \quad (2.20)$$

which means that the image curve in \mathcal{H} is rather far from being a geodesic, quite the opposite. This implies that, given a singular point $p \in \mathcal{N}$, there is a radius R_0 so that all disks $B(p, r)$ with $r < R_0$ do not contain any closed geodesic wrapping around p , while any larger ball typically contains infinitely many of them. See appendix for more details.

2.3 Symmetries

To cover the special case $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{X} = 1$, we define $\mathbf{Conf}^0(\mathcal{X})$ to be the connected Lie group of holomorphic motions generated by vectors V satisfying

$$\mathcal{L}_V \omega_{\mathcal{X}} = c \cdot \omega_{\mathcal{X}} \quad (2.21)$$

with c a constant. The connected isometry group $\mathbf{Iso}^0(\mathcal{X}) \subset \mathbf{Conf}^0(\mathcal{X})$ is the Lie group generated by vectors V satisfying (2.21) with $c = 0$. In Riemannian geometry a vector $V^\alpha \partial_\alpha$ such that $g_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla_\alpha V_\beta$ is called *concurrent*: a Riemannian manifold is isometric to a *cone* iff it has a concurrent vector [33]; in a Kählerian cone the vector IV (I being the complex structure) is a Killing vector.

With this definition, a holomorphic conformal symmetry leaves the Ricci form $\mathbf{Ric}_{\mathcal{X}}$ invariant. In view of (2.17) this yields:

Corollary 4. **(1)** *K a holomorphic Killing vector of the special Kähler geometry. $\tau_* K$, if non-zero, is a Killing vector of the symmetric metric on \mathcal{H} i.e. $\tau_* K \in \mathfrak{sp}(2r, \mathbb{R})$.* **(2)** *More generally, if $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{X} \geq 2$ and V is vector field generating a holomorphic conformal motion of \mathcal{X} , $\tau_* V$ is a Killing vector of \mathcal{H} .*

Remark 2. Informally this **Corollary** says that, by supersymmetry, a continuous symmetry of the vector scalars' kinetic terms is also a symmetry (possibly trivial) of the vectors' kinetic terms: it is a compatibility condition between SUSY and bosonic symmetries.

Let τ be a generic point in the image $\tau(\mathcal{X})$. Abusing language we refer to the complex submanifold $\tau^{-1}(\tau) \subset \mathcal{X}$ as the τ -*fiber* \mathcal{X}_τ over τ . Same for the map \check{p} .

Lemma 2. *Assume **Condition**. The \check{p} -fibers have finitely many connected components.*

Proof. Under **Condition** we can find a pair $(\overline{\mathcal{N}}, D)$ with $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ a smooth projective variety and $D \subset \overline{\mathcal{N}}$ a normal crossing divisor, such that $\mathcal{N} = \overline{\mathcal{N}} \setminus D$. By Borel's extension theorem (**Theorem 3.10** of [28]), \check{p} extends to a morphism of projective varieties from $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ to the Baily-Borel compactification [29] of the arithmetic quotient $U \backslash Sp(2r, \mathbb{R}) / U(r)$. The statement then follows from Stein factorization (cf. **Corollary 11.5** of [30]). \square

Proposition 2. *Suppose the special Kähler manifold \mathcal{X} satisfies **Condition**. Let $\text{Iso}^0(\mathcal{X})$ be the connected component of its holomorphic isometry group. Each $\text{Iso}^0(\mathcal{X})$ orbit is fully contained in a τ -fiber $\mathcal{X}_\tau = \tau^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\tau})$. In other words: the period matrix τ_{ij} is constant along each orbit of the isometry group. When $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{X} \geq 2$ the same statement holds for the orbits of the connected component $\text{Con}^0(\mathcal{X})$ of the (holomorphic) conformal symmetry group.*

Remark 3. **Condition** is necessary. Otherwise it is easy to construct explicit counterexamples: e.g. the special geometry (A.2) in the appendix.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{k} be the Lie algebra of conformal motions. $\tau_*(\mathfrak{k}) \subset \mathfrak{sp}(2r, \mathbb{R})$ is a subalgebra. Since $\Upsilon \subset Sp(2r, \mathbb{Z})$ acts by isometries, it normalizes \mathfrak{k} hence $\tau_*(\mathfrak{k})$ since τ is Υ -equivariant. $\tau_*(\mathfrak{k})$ is then normalized by the Zariski closure $G(\mathbb{R})$ of Υ in $Sp(2r, \mathbb{R})$. $G(\mathbb{R})$ is semisimple and we may assume it simple with no loss. $\tau_*(\mathfrak{k}) \subset \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$ (by the structure theorem of VHS), and then either $\tau_*(\mathfrak{k}) = 0$ or $\tau_*(\mathfrak{k}) = \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{R})$. In the first case $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ is constant along the $\text{Iso}^0(\mathcal{X})$ -orbits and we are done. In the second case, given a point $q \in \mathcal{X}$ there is an isometry which maps it in some point of a chosen reference fiber. Therefore the Ricci curvature is bounded everywhere, so the full space should be flat: this is a contradiction since τ is not the constant map. Only the first case may happen. \square

Proposition 3. *Assume **Condition**. The orbits of $\text{Conf}^0(\mathcal{X})$ are complex analytic submanifolds of \mathcal{X} . Moreover the complex Lie group $\text{Conf}^0(\mathcal{X})$ is Abelian.*

We defer the proof to §... where more details will be provided.

2.4 Geometry of the τ -fibers

We need to understand the geometry of the generic fibers of the period maps τ and \check{p} . The connected components of the fibers of τ are finite covers of the fiber of \check{p} , and hence are smooth quasi-projective algebraic varieties. For a generic special Kähler geometry the connected components of the fibers are trivial i.e. points. However we know two important situations where the fiber has positive dimension.

Example 2.2. The geometry is *isotrivial*. This is automatically true when SUSY is enhanced to $\mathcal{N} \geq 3$. More generally, it holds *if and only if* at all points in the Coulomb branch the locally light states are effectively described by a SCFT (as contrasted to an IR-free effective theory), see [7, 8]. In this case τ and \check{p} are constant maps, the full special Kähler manifold \mathcal{X} is the fiber, and the fiber is flat. The isometry group $\text{Iso}^0(\mathcal{X})$ (and *a fortiori* $\text{Conf}^0(\mathcal{X})$) acts transitively on the fiber $\equiv \mathcal{X}$.

Example 2.3. A generic (non-isotrivial) \mathbb{C}^\times -isoinvariant geometry (which describes a *superconformal* $\mathcal{N} = 2$ QFT). The connected components of the fibers have complex dimension 1 and coincide with the orbits in \mathcal{X} of the superconformal symmetry \mathbb{C}^\times , that is, the symmetry group $\text{Conf}^0(\mathcal{X})$ acts transitively on the fibers which are also flat for the metric induced on the orbits by the ambient special Kähler metric.

Recall that a *flat* in a Riemannian manifold is a submanifold which is totally geodesic while the metric induced by the ambient space is flat. The existence of flats of real dimension ≥ 2 is quite constraining for a Riemannian manifold. We observe that the orbits of $\text{Conf}^0(\mathcal{X})$ in **Examples 2.2, 2.3** are *holomorphic flats* (i.e. complex submanifold which are also Riemannian flats).

Lemma 3. *Let $i_\tau: \mathcal{X}_\tau \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a general τ -fiber.*

- (1) *The restriction $i_\tau^* T\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_\tau$ of the holomorphic tangent bundle to \mathcal{X}_τ is flat for the Chern connection $i_{\tau(p)}^* \nabla^{\mathcal{X}}$ (the restriction of the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{X}}$ on \mathcal{X});*
- (2) *Equip the submanifold $\mathcal{X}_\tau \subset \mathcal{X}$ with the induced Kähler metric. (2a) The Riemann tensor of \mathcal{X}_τ is Nakano non-positive, hence its Ricci form and biholomorphic sectional curvatures are non-positive. (2b) The induced Kähler metric on \mathcal{X}_τ is flat if and only if the fiber \mathcal{X}_τ is totally geodesic (hence \mathcal{X}_τ is a holomorphic flat).*

Proof. (1) the curvature of the pulled back tangent bundle vanishes. Indeed if $V \in T\mathcal{X}_\tau$

$$V^i R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = G^{m\bar{n}} V^i Y_{imk} \bar{Y}_{j\bar{n}\bar{l}} = 0 \quad (2.22)$$

since (working in special local coordinates) $V^i Y_{ijk} = -iV^i \partial_j \tau_{jk} = 0$. Hence *a fortiori*

$$R(V, \bar{W})_{i\bar{j}} = V^k \bar{W}^{\bar{l}} G^{m\bar{n}} Y_{imk} \bar{Y}_{l\bar{n}\bar{k}} = 0 \quad \text{for } V, W \in T\mathcal{X}_\tau, \quad (2.23)$$

(2a) Since the curvatures of holomorphic bundles are Nakano-monotonic in sub-bundles [23, 24], we obtain that the sub-bundle is Nakano non-positive. (2b) the difference between the curvature of a holomorphic bundle restricted to a sub-bundle and the curvature of the sub-bundle is the Hermitian square of the second fundamental form, so when the difference vanishes also the second fundamental form is zero and the submanifold is totally geodesic. \square

Part (2a) says that the curvature is non-positive in the holomorphic sense. Unfortunately, this result comes short of saying that the underlying Riemannian sectional curvatures are non-positive. However this holds when the fiber has complex dimension 1.

Corollary 5. *If $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{X}_\tau \leq 1$, the fiber \mathcal{X}_τ (with induced metric) is a Hadamard manifold.*

The situation in the two **Examples** above is far better: the fibers are *flats* not just non-positively curved. Physical intuition says that this must be the general situation: the idea is that **Remark 2** can be reversed, and an invariance of the vector's kinetic terms should, by supersymmetry, be also an invariance of the vector scalars' kinetic terms. Then the τ -fibers are the orbits of the symmetries, and also flats in the geometry. Now we prove some geometric results which corroborate this physical intuition.

2.5 Symmetry and structure

We start by proving **Proposition 3**. In Riemannian geometry a vector V satisfying the equation

$$\mathcal{L}_V g_{\alpha\beta} = \lambda g_{\alpha\beta} \quad \lambda \text{ constant} \quad (2.24)$$

satisfies the identity

$$\nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta V_\gamma = -R_{\beta\gamma\alpha\delta} V^\delta. \quad (2.25)$$

In our set-up this formula applies to the vectors V which generate $\text{Con}^0(\mathcal{X})$. By **Proposition 2** they are tangent to the τ -fiber, and then the RHS vanishes by eq.(2.22). Therefore the tensor $\nabla_\alpha V_\beta$ is parallel. Consider the Riemannian holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}(\mathcal{X})$. Since Ricci-flat is flat for a special geometry,

$$\mathfrak{hol}(\mathcal{X}) \simeq \bigoplus_{k=1}^m \mathfrak{u}(n_k) \quad \sum_k n_k = r - f \quad (2.26)$$

and the special Kähler metric is locally¹⁰ isometric to a flat \mathbb{C}^f times a product of m *irreducible* Kähler manifolds of dimensions n_1, \dots, n_m . The holomorphic isometries of the factor \mathbb{C}^f is $U(f) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^f$ whose orbit \mathbb{C}^f is a complex analytic space. We can analyze the symmetries factor space by factor space. On an irreducible Kähler manifold we have only two parallel tensor, the metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ and the Kähler form $\omega_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\gamma} I^\gamma_\beta$. Therefore in each non-trivial local factor

$$\nabla_\alpha V_\beta = a g_{\alpha\beta} + b \omega_{\alpha\beta} \quad a, b \text{ constants.} \quad (2.27)$$

Then

$$\nabla_\alpha (IV)_\beta = a \omega_{\alpha\beta} - b g_{\alpha\beta} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nabla_\alpha (IV)_\beta + \nabla_\beta (IV)_\alpha = -2b g_{\alpha\beta} \quad (2.28)$$

i.e. if $V \in \mathfrak{con}(\mathcal{X})$ then $IV \in \mathfrak{con}(\mathcal{X})$ which proves **Proposition 3**.

In each non-flat locally irreducible factor there is no non-zero parallel vector. Hence if $V \neq 0$, in eq.(2.27) a and b cannot be both zero. Then IV is a non-zero vector $(a', b') \equiv (-b, a)$ is linear independent of (a, b) . Hence if W is a vector generating a symmetry in the irreducible factor we can find constants c_1 and c_2 such that

$$W - c_1 V - c_2 IV \quad (2.29)$$

is parallel, hence zero. Therefore in each non-flat factor either there is no vector generating a symmetry or there are precisely 2: a concurrent one $\nabla_\alpha V_\beta = g_{\alpha\beta}$ and a Killing one $\nabla_\alpha (IV)_\beta = \omega_{\alpha\beta}$. We conclude

Proposition 4. *Assume Condition. A special Kähler manifold \mathcal{X} is locally isometric to a product of*

¹⁰ We cannot apply De Rham theorem and conclude that \mathcal{X} is globally a product because it is non-complete in general.

- a flat space
- irreducible cones over Sasaki manifolds whose continuous symmetry is exactly \mathbb{C}^\times
- irreducible Kähler manifolds with no continuous symmetry.

3 Stable geodesics

We say that a geodesic γ is *stable between points p and q* if the geodesic arc connecting them is locally length-minimizing between all nearby curves joining p and q , that is, if the Jacobi field operator \mathbf{J} is positive-definite on the space of normal vector fields along γ which vanish at both p and q . We say that γ is *stable* if it is stable between all pairs of its points.

3.1 Complete stable geodesics

A geodesic on a Riemannian manifold X is *complete* iff it can be extended to a geodesic $\gamma: (-\infty, +\infty) \rightarrow X$ where, as always, we parametrize geodesics with their arc-length. In particular closed geodesics are complete.

We consider geodesics γ on the simply-connected special Kähler manifold \mathcal{X} which are both complete and stable.

Proposition 5. *In a special Kähler manifold \mathcal{X} – not necessarily satisfying **Condition** – the stable complete geodesics are fully contained in a τ -fiber \mathcal{X}_τ . They are also geodesics for the induced Kähler metric on \mathcal{X}_τ .*

We defer the proof to §.3.3 after some preparation. Here we discuss a few first consequences. Together with **Corollary 5**, the **Proposition** gives:

Corollary 6. *If the complex dimension of the τ -fibers \mathcal{X}_τ is ≤ 1 , two distinct complete stable geodesics in \mathcal{X} cross at most once. In particular: in this case there is no stable closed geodesic.*

This already settles the issue for both generic special Kähler geometries and generic \mathbb{C}^\times -isoinvariant special geometries. On the opposite extremum, *isotrivial* geometries, \mathcal{X} is just the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{2r} and the statement is trivially true. This observation suffices to cover all geometries with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{X} \leq 2$.

However the physical intuition mentioned in the **Introduction** suggests that the statement holds more in general. Moreover the last sentence of **Proposition 5** holds automatically when the τ -fibers are flats. We next show that the physical intuition is correct, at least about the number of crossing of complete stable geodesics.

Proposition 6. *In any special Kähler geometry two distinct stable complete geodesics cross at most once. In particular there are no stable closed geodesics.*

Proof. Suppose we have two distinct geodesics γ_1, γ_2 which cross at one point $p \in \mathcal{X}$. Then by **Proposition 5** $\tau(\gamma_1) = \tau(\gamma_2) = \tau(p)$ and the two geodesics are both fully contained in the same fiber $\mathcal{X}_{\tau(p)}$ and their tangent vectors

$$\dot{\gamma}_a(s_a) \in T\mathcal{X}_{\tau(p)}|_{\gamma_a(s_a)} \subset i_{\tau(p)}^* T\mathcal{X}|_{\gamma_a(s_a)} \quad \forall s_a, \quad a = 1, 2. \quad (3.1)$$

The fiber $\mathcal{X}_{\tau(p)}$ is simply-connected and the holomorphic bundle $i_{\tau(p)}^* T\mathcal{X}$ is flat for the Levi-Civita connection $i_{\tau(p)}^* \nabla^{\mathcal{X}}$ of the total manifold \mathcal{X} (cf. **Lemma 3(1)**), so that we have an isomorphism

$$i_{\tau(p)}^* T\mathcal{X} \simeq \mathcal{X}_{\tau(p)} \times \mathbb{C}^r \quad (3.2)$$

given by $i_{\tau(p)}^* \nabla^{\mathcal{X}}$ -parallel sections. The tangent vectors to the geodesics, $\dot{\gamma}_1(s_1), \dot{\gamma}_2(s_2)$, are $i_{\tau(p)}^* \nabla^{\mathcal{X}}$ -parallel for all times s_1, s_2 , so they project to constant vectors v_1, v_2 in the factor \mathbb{C}^r of (3.2). At the starting point the vectors $\dot{\gamma}_1(0) \equiv v_1$ and $\dot{\gamma}_2(0) \equiv v_2$ diverge because the two geodesics are leaving the point p in different directions. Since the vectors v_1, v_2 are constant, they remain diverging at all times s_1, s_2 , and the two distinct geodesics cannot converge back to the same point. \square

Remark 4. The tangent vectors $\dot{\gamma}_a(s_a)$ are parallel also for the sub-bundle connection on $T\mathcal{X}_{\tau(p)}$. Thus the existence of a complete stable geodesic in \mathcal{X} yields conditions on the second fundamental form of the fiber containing it. In particular a fiber of complex dimension 1 which contains a geodesic is a flat of \mathcal{X} . (Cf. the physical intuition above).

Remark 5. Replacing the cover \mathcal{X} with the enhanced moduli \mathcal{N} , the corresponding bundle $i_{\check{p}(p)}^* T\mathcal{N}$ over the \check{p} -fiber will still be flat but not globally trivial because of monodromy.

3.2 Elementary bounds on Ricci integrals

Let $\xi: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be any smooth curve in the special Kähler manifold \mathcal{X} parametrized by its arc-length s , while $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is any smooth *positive* function. We are interested in a lower bound to the integral

$$I[\xi, f] \equiv \int_a^b ds f(s) \text{Ric}(\dot{\xi}, \dot{\xi}). \quad (3.3)$$

where $\text{Ric}(\dot{\xi}, \dot{\xi}) \equiv R_{i\bar{j}} \dot{\xi}^i(s) \dot{\xi}^{\bar{j}}(s)$ and $R_{i\bar{j}}$ is the Ricci curvature of the special Kähler metric. Suppose first that $f \equiv 1$. We write $\eta(s)$ for the image curve $\tau(\xi(s))$ in the Siegel upper half-space $\mathcal{H} \equiv Sp(2r, \mathbb{R})/U(r)$. From eq.(2.17) we get

$$I[\xi, 1] = \int_a^b ds \mathbf{G}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \dot{\eta}^\alpha \dot{\eta}^{\bar{\beta}} = \mathbf{E}[\eta] \quad (3.4)$$

where $\mathbf{G}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ is the symmetric (Kähler) metric in the Siegel upper half-space and $\mathbf{E}[\eta]$ is the energy (action for physicists) of a particle, moving in the Siegel space \mathcal{H} , along the

curve $\eta(s)$ from the point $\eta(a)$ at time $s = a$ to the point $\eta(b)$ at $s = b$. In the space of all paths $\rho: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ satisfying the boundary conditions $\rho(a) = \eta(a)$, $\rho(b) = \eta(b)$, the minimum of the action $\mathbf{E}[\rho]$ is attained when $\rho(s)$ is the solution to the Euler-Lagrange equations: the particle moves along a geodesic in \mathcal{H} which connects $\eta(a)$ to $\eta(b)$ at constant speed. The target space \mathcal{H} is Hadamard, so there is precisely *one* geodesic connecting any two points which is stable and length-minimizing: the length of the arc of this geodesic is equal to the distance $\mathbf{d}(\eta(a), \eta(b))$ where $\mathbf{d}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the distance function between two points in the symmetric Hadamard manifold \mathcal{H} . The action computed along this solution is the *absolute minimum* of the functional $\mathbf{E}[\rho]$ in the space of curves $\rho(s)$ with boundary conditions $\rho(a) = \tau(\xi(a))$ and $\rho(b) = \tau(\xi(b))$. The constant velocity is just $\mathbf{d}(\eta(a), \eta(b))/(b - a)$. Therefore we get that *for all paths* $\xi: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$

$$I[\xi, 1] \geq \frac{\mathbf{d}(\tau(\xi(a)), \tau(\xi(b)))^2}{b - a}. \quad (3.5)$$

Now we consider the more general integral (3.3) with $f(s)$ positive. We reparametrize “time” in our auxiliary mechanical problem by replacing

$$ds \rightsquigarrow dt \equiv \frac{ds}{f(s)} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \frac{ds}{dt} = f(s). \quad (3.6)$$

Note that the map given by the solution of the ODE (3.6)

$$[a, b] \rightarrow [t(a), t(b)], \quad s \mapsto t(s) \equiv t(a) + \int_a^s \frac{ds}{f(s)}, \quad (3.7)$$

is globally invertible because the function is monotonically increasing since $f(s) > 0$. Now

$$I[\xi, f] = \int_{t(a)}^{t(b)} dt \mathbf{G}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \dot{\chi}^\alpha \dot{\chi}^{\bar{\beta}} = \mathbf{E}[\chi] \quad (3.8)$$

where $\chi: [t(a), t(b)] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is the reparametrized image curve

$$\chi(t) = \eta(s(t)) \equiv \tau(\xi(s(t))) \quad \dot{\chi}(t) \equiv \frac{d\chi}{dt} = f(s) \frac{d\eta}{ds}. \quad (3.9)$$

The absolute minimum of the energy functional (3.8) is attained by the same trajectory as before, but now covered at a different speed which is constant in time t instead of time s . The total time interval is now

$$\Delta t = \int_{t(a)}^{t(b)} dt = \int_a^b \frac{ds}{f(s)}, \quad (3.10)$$

thus, for all path $\xi: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and all positive functions $f(s)$, one has

$$\int_a^b \frac{ds}{f(s)} \int_a^b ds f(s) \text{Ric}(\dot{\xi}, \dot{\xi}) \geq \mathbf{d}(\tau(\xi(a)), \tau(\xi(b)))^2. \quad (3.11)$$

3.3 Proof of Proposition 5: Myers' argument

Let $\gamma: (-\infty, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a complete stable geodesic parametrized by arc-length. We consider two arbitrary points p_1 and p_2 on γ and call 2ℓ the length of the geodesics arc between them. We choose the parametrization so that $p_1 = \gamma(-\ell)$ and $p_2 = \gamma(\ell)$. We fix a real number $L > \ell$. Since γ is complete, there exist points $p_0 = \gamma(-L)$ and $p_3 = \gamma(L)$. The length of the longer arc from p_0 to p_3 is $2L$.

Since the geodesic γ is stable, in the larger geodesic arc from p_0 to p_3 there are no points conjugate to p_0 , so the Jacobi operator \mathbf{J} is non-negative acting on the space of normal vector fields along γ which vanish at p_0 and p_3 .

We now proceed by mimicking the proof of the Myers theorem (see e.g. [31] **Corollary 6.3.1**). We consider a $(2r - 1)$ -tuple of vector fields along γ ,

$$X_i(s) \quad (i = 1, \dots, 2r - 1), \quad (3.12)$$

which form an orthonormal basis in the space of vectors normal to $\dot{\gamma}$, i.e.

$$\langle \dot{\gamma}(s), X_i(s) \rangle = 0 \quad \langle X_i(s), X_j(s) \rangle = \delta_{ij} \quad \forall s, \quad (3.13)$$

and are invariant by parallel transport along the geodesic, i.e. such that $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} X_i = 0$. We focus on the normal vector fields along the longer geodesic arc

$$Y_i(s) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2L}s\right) X_i(s), \quad i = 1, \dots, 2r - 1, \quad (3.14)$$

which vanish at $p_0 \equiv \gamma(-L)$ and $p_1 \equiv \gamma(L)$. The stability condition gives (no sum over repeated indices!)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \langle Y_i, \mathbf{J}Y_i \rangle &\equiv \int_{-L}^L ds \left(-\langle \ddot{Y}_i, Y_i \rangle - \langle R(Y_i, \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}, Y_i \rangle \right) = \\ &= \int_{-L}^L ds \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2L}s\right) \left(\frac{\pi^2}{4L^2} - \langle R(X_i, \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}, X_i \rangle \right) = \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{4L} - \int_{-L}^L ds \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2L}s\right) \langle R(X_i, \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}, X_i \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Now we sum (3.15) over the repeated index. Since $\dot{\gamma}, X_1, \dots, X_{2r-1}$ form an orthonormal

frame, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \frac{\pi^2}{4L}(2r-1) - \int_{-L}^L ds \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2L}s\right) \text{Ric}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) \leq \\
&\leq \frac{\pi^2}{4L}(2r-1) - \int_{-\ell}^{\ell} ds \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2L}s\right) \text{Ric}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where we used that the Ricci form is non-negative and $\ell < L$. One has

$$\int_{-\ell}^{+\ell} \frac{ds}{\cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2L}s\right)} = \frac{4L}{\pi} \tan\left(\frac{\pi\ell}{2L}\right), \tag{3.17}$$

so, using the estimate (3.11) with $\xi \equiv \gamma$, $f(s) = \cos^2(\pi s/2L)$, $a = -\ell$ and $b = \ell$, we get

$$\mathbf{d}(\tau(p_1), \tau(p_2))^2 \leq \pi(2r-1) \tan\left(\frac{\pi\ell}{2L}\right) \tag{3.18}$$

for all pairs of points p_1, p_2 on the stable complete geodesic $\gamma \subset \mathcal{X}$ and all real $2L$ larger than the length of the geodesic arc between p_1 and p_2 in \mathcal{X} . The LHS is independent of L . Sending $L \rightarrow \infty$ the RHS goes to zero, so that $\tau(p_1) = \tau(p_2)$. Since p_1, p_2 are two arbitrary points in γ , the full geodesic γ is contained in the same τ -fiber \mathcal{X}_τ . The last statement of the **Proposition** follows from the fact that γ is locally length minimizing with respect to variations in the total space \mathcal{X} , and hence *a fortiori* is length minimizing with respect to local deformations restricted to the submanifold \mathcal{X}_τ .

3.4 Other applications of Myers' argument

One may apply Myers' argument to other situations and issues, but the results are less dramatic than for stable complete geodesics in the QFT special geometry.

3.4.1 Improving the bound

The bound (3.11) is rather poor. It is saturated when the curve $\eta \equiv \tau \circ \xi \subset \mathcal{H}$ is a geodesic and the rescaled velocity $v(s) \equiv f(s)|\dot{\eta}(s)|$ is constant. The curve $\eta(s) \subset \mathcal{X}$ of actual interest, which is the image of a geodesic in \mathcal{X} , has a very different behavior. We saw in **Example 2.1** that the image curve $\eta \subset \mathcal{H}$ is very far from being a geodesic. To get a less loose lower bound, in eq.(3.11) we replace the distance between the two endpoints, $\mathbf{d}(p_1, p_2)$ by the length $\mathbf{L}(\eta; p_1, p_2)$ of the arc of the curve $\eta(s)$ which actually connects them. This length is significantly larger than the distance since the curve is far from being geodesic. The rescaled velocity $v(s)$ is not constant, but it is not clear how to use this information to get

a significantly improved bound.

3.4.2 Semi-complete stable geodesics

We consider a *stable* geodesic $\gamma: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ which starts at a finite-distance singularity for $s = 0$ and then goes on forever. We choose four points $p_0 = \gamma(\epsilon)$, $p_1 = \gamma(\ell_1)$, $p_2 = \gamma(\ell_2)$ and $p_3 = \gamma(L + \epsilon)$ (with $0 < \epsilon < \ell_1 < \ell_2 < L + \epsilon$). We proceed as before imposing that the Jacobi operator \mathbf{J} is positive on the normal vector fields along γ which vanish at p_0 and p_3 . We get the inequality

$$\mathbf{L}(\eta; \tau(p_1), \tau(p_2))^2 \leq \frac{\pi(r - \frac{1}{2}) \sin[\pi(\ell_2 - \ell_1)/L]}{\sin[\pi(\ell_1 - \epsilon)/L] \sin[\pi(\ell_2 - \epsilon)/L]} \quad (3.19)$$

valid for all $0 < \epsilon < \ell_1 < \ell_2 < L + \epsilon$. We may take the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, set $\ell_2 = \kappa L$ with $\kappa < 1$ and take the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ at fixed κ and $\ell_1 \equiv \ell$: we get ($\eta(s) \equiv \tau(\gamma(s))$)

$$\mathbf{L}(\eta; \eta(\ell), \eta(L)) \lesssim \sqrt{(r - \frac{1}{2}) \frac{L}{\ell}} \quad \text{for } L \gg \ell \quad (3.20)$$

a bound reminiscent of Brownian motion. Analogously, the distance between the image of two points a fixed distance δ along the geodesic goes to zero as we shift the pair to infinity: setting $\ell_1 = \ell$, $\ell_2 = \ell + \delta$ and $L = \alpha \ell$ and taking ℓ large at fixed $\alpha > 1$ we get

$$\mathbf{d}(\eta(\ell), \eta(\ell + \delta)) \leq \mathbf{L}(\eta; \eta(\ell), \eta(\ell + \delta)) \lesssim \sqrt{r - \frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\pi}{\alpha \sin^2(\pi/\alpha)} \right)^{1/2} \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{\ell}}, \quad \ell \gg \delta. \quad (3.21)$$

The best bound is obtained for $\alpha \approx 2.6953$ which yields ≈ 1.1747 for the second (constant) factor in the RHS.

3.4.3 Stable geodesics of finite length

Suppose the stable geodesic γ has both ends at singular points, so that its length L is finite. We write $\eta: (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ for the projected geodesic $\tau \circ \gamma \subset \mathcal{H}$ parametrized by arc-length re-normalized so that the total length of γ is 1. For all $0 < a < b < 1$ we have

$$\mathbf{L}(\eta; \eta(a), \eta(b))^2 \leq \pi(r - \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\sin(\pi(b - a))}{\sin(a\pi) \sin(b\pi)} \quad (3.22)$$

independently of the details of the particular geodesic (e.g. independently of its length L). Roughly speaking: the image $\eta(s)$ of a stable finite geodesic is “essentially universal”.

3.5 Weil-Petersson geometries of 3-CY moduli

We briefly discuss the implications of the Myers' argument we used in the QFT set-up for the SUGRA case, i.e. for the Weil-Petersson (WP) geometry of the moduli of Calabi-Yau 3-folds. The result will be less dramatic than in the “rigid” case but, perhaps, still useful.

The Myers' argument applies to *stable* geodesics. If the **Sub-conjecture** holds, all WP geodesics on the Calabi-Yau moduli are stable, and we can use the argument to study geodesics in full generality. However in this case the stability condition will be automatically satisfied, and hence cannot be too restrictive, and therefore will say little about the geodesics. We must expect the implications of the Myers argument to be “weak”. The optimistic reader may wish to interpret the weakness of Myers' bound in the Weil-Petersson geometry as “evidence” for the **Sub-conjecture** and hence for its parent **Conjecture** [16].

The main ingredient of the Myers argument for the QFT geometries was a holomorphic map τ from the simply-connected special Kähler manifold \mathcal{X} to a Kählerian Hadamard space \mathcal{H} with the remarkable property that the Ricci form on \mathcal{X} can be read from the pull-back via τ of the Kähler form on \mathcal{H} . In the Weil-Petersson geometry of Calabi-Yau moduli there is a map with exactly these properties: it is the identity map

$$\text{id}: \mathcal{X}_{\text{WP}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\text{H}} \quad (3.23)$$

seen as a homomorphic map from the covering moduli space \mathcal{X}_{WP} , equipped with its Weil-Petersson metric – which is a SUGRA special Kähler manifold – to the *same* complex manifold equipped with the Hodge metric¹¹ [27, 34–38][12] which now is a Hadamard Kähler space. The Ricci form on \mathcal{X}_{WP} is related to the WP and Hodge Kähler forms, ω_{WP} and ω_{H} , by the formula [35–38]

$$\text{Ric}_{\text{WP}} = -(m+3)\omega_{\text{WP}} + \omega_{\text{H}}, \quad (3.24)$$

where $m \equiv \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{X}$.

Let $\gamma(s) \subset \mathcal{X}$ be a geodesic for the WP metric parametrized by its arc-length. If the geodesic arc between $\gamma(0)$ and $\gamma(L)$ is stable, we have the Myers inequality

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{\pi^2}{2L}(2m-1) - \int_0^L \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi s}{L}\right) \text{Ric}_{\text{WP}}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) ds \equiv \\ &\equiv \frac{\pi^2}{2L}(2m-1) + (m+3)\frac{L}{2} - \int_0^L \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi s}{L}\right) \|\dot{\gamma}\|_{\text{H}}^2 ds \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\pi^2}{2L}(2m-1) + (m+3)\frac{L}{2} - \int_a^b \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi s}{L}\right) \|\dot{\gamma}\|_{\text{H}}^2 ds \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

for all $0 < a < b < L$. We use the same estimate of the integral as before. We write $\mathbf{L}(\gamma; a, b)_{\text{H}}$ for the length of the arc of γ from $\gamma(a)$ to $\gamma(b)$ as measured with the Hodge metric, and

¹¹ For the relation of the Hodge metric with the genus-1 2-point functions in topological strings, see [39].

$\mathbf{L}(\gamma; a, b)_{\text{WP}}$ for its length measured with the WP metric: $\mathbf{L}(\gamma; a, b)_{\text{WP}} \equiv (b - a)L$. Eq.(3.25) then gives

$$\mathbf{L}(\gamma; a, b)_{\text{H}}^2 \leq \left(\frac{\pi^2}{2L}(2m - 1) + (m + 3)\frac{L}{2} \right) \int_a^b \frac{ds}{\sin^2(\pi s/L)} \quad (3.26)$$

for all $0 < a < b < L$. We may rewrite this inequality as an upper bound on the ratio of the lengths of the geodesic arc between $a = \alpha L$ and $b = \beta L$ as measured by the Hodge metric, $\mathbf{L}(\gamma; \alpha L, \beta L)_{\text{H}}$, and by the Weil-Petersson one, $\mathbf{L}(\gamma; \alpha L, \beta L)_{\text{WP}}$:

Proposition 7. *Let $\gamma(s) \subset \mathcal{X}$ be a geodesic for the WP metric which is stable in the arc $0 \leq s \leq L$. For all $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$ one has*

$$\left[\frac{\mathbf{L}(\gamma; \alpha L, \beta L)_{\text{H}}}{\mathbf{L}(\gamma; \alpha L, \beta L)_{\text{WP}}} \right]^2 \leq \frac{\sin(\pi(\beta - \alpha))}{2\pi(\beta - \alpha)^2 \sin(\pi\alpha) \sin(\pi\beta)} \left(m + 3 + \frac{(2m - 1)\pi^2}{L^2} \right). \quad (3.27)$$

We may specialize this inequality in various limits, depending on the nature of the stable geodesic: finite, semi-infinite, or complete. In the finite case L can be taken at most equal to the geodesic distance between the singularities at the endpoints. With this choice, if we send the second point $\gamma(\beta L)$ toward the singular point, i.e. take $\beta \rightarrow 1$, the RHS diverges corresponding to the fact that the WP length of the curve is finite while the Hodge length is infinite (this length is greater than the Hodge distance which goes to $+\infty$ since the Hodge metric is complete).

The SUGRA geometry result corresponding to **Proposition 5** for the QFT geometry is:

Corollary 7. *Suppose the geodesic $\gamma(s)$ is complete and stable (this second assumption is superfluous if **Sub-conjecture** holds). There are two universal positive constant c_1 and c_2 , depending only on the dimension m of \mathcal{X} , such that, for all pair of points $p, q \in \gamma$ the ratio of the lengths of the arc between them measured with the Hodge and SW metrics satisfies*

$$\left[\frac{\mathbf{L}(\gamma; p, q)_{\text{H}}}{\mathbf{L}(\gamma; p, q)_{\text{WP}}} \right]^2 \leq c_1 + \frac{c_2}{\mathbf{L}(\gamma; p, q)_{\text{WP}}^2} \quad (3.28)$$

Proof. Set $L = 2 \mathbf{L}(\gamma; p, q)_{\text{WP}}^2$ and choose the parametrization so that $p = \gamma(L/4)$ and $q = \gamma(3L/4)$. Then apply eq.(3.27) to the stable geodesic arc between $\gamma(0)$ and $\gamma(L)$ with $\alpha = 1/4$ and $\beta = 3/4$. We get (3.28) with

$$c_1 = \frac{4}{\pi}(m + 3), \quad c_2 = \pi(2m - 1). \quad (3.29)$$

One may improve the constants. □

Thus, up to a universal change of normalization, asymptotically for large WP distances, the Hodge lengths of arcs of (stable) complete WP geodesics are not greater than their SW lengths.

Acknowledgments

I have benefit of several discussions with Cumrun Vafa who prompted me to study special geometry from a Riemannian point of view. I thank him for sharing with me some of his deep insights on physics.

A Geodesics near codimension-1 singularities

As an illustration of several points raised in the main text, we study the asymptotic behavior of geodesics near singularities in dimension one. Under **Condition** the finite cover \mathcal{N} is a smooth variety of the form $\mathcal{N} = \overline{\mathcal{N}} \setminus D$ where $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ is smooth projective and D a normal crossing divisor. We consider an irreducible component of D which is at finite distance in the special Kähler metric, and focus on a small neighborhood $U \subset \overline{\mathcal{N}}$ of a *generic* point on it (remaining far away from the crossing with other components where more intricate phenomena may happen). Restricting U , if necessary, we may assume $U \cap \mathcal{N} \simeq \Delta^* \times \Delta^{r-1}$ where Δ is a small disk and Δ^* is a small punctured disk. One can find special coordinates $\{a^1, a^2, \dots, a^r\}$ in $\Delta^* \times \Delta^{r-1}$ ($a^1 \in \Delta^*$, $a^i \in \Delta$ for $i \geq 2$) so that the special metric locally reads [40]

$$ds^2 = -\frac{n}{2\pi} \log |a_1| da_1 d\bar{a}_1 + \text{Im} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \Psi(a)}{\partial a^i \partial \bar{a}^j} \right] da^i d\bar{a}^j, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, r, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where the function $\Psi(a)$ extends holomorphically over $U \simeq \Delta^r$ and n is a positive integer. If we are only interested in the asymptotic behavior at a codimension-1 singularity, we can neglect the second term and study the geodesics of the rescaled metric

$$ds^2 = -\log r (dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2) \quad (\text{A.2})$$

in the disk. This is a special Kähler geometry which does *not* satisfy **Condition**. Here we see what goes wrong when the **Condition** does not hold. In the unit disk the scalar curvature

$$R = \frac{1}{2(-\log r)^3 r^2} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

has a genuine finite-distance singularity at $r = 0$ but also a spurious one at $r = 1$. The **Condition** gets rid of spurious finite-distance singularities, and in this sense replaces completeness which is ruled out for non-trivial special geometries. The period map is

$$(r, \theta) \mapsto \frac{n}{2\pi} \theta + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log r \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The geodesics Hamilton-Jacobi equation [41, 42] for the asymptotic metric (A.2)

$$\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial r}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 + \log r = 0 \quad (\text{A.5})$$

can be reduced to quadrature by separation of variables

$$S(r, \theta; J) = J\theta + \int_{r_-}^r \sqrt{-\log s - \frac{J^2}{s^2}} ds \quad (\text{A.6})$$

The geodesics are parametrized by the ‘angular momentum’ J and take the form

$$\theta(r) = \theta_0 + J \int_{r_-}^r \frac{ds}{s\sqrt{-s^2 \log s - J^2}} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

There are two kinds of geodesics: those with $J = 0$ are radial lines at constant angle, $\theta(r) = \theta_0$. They end up in the singularities at $r = 0$ and at $r = 1$. Their length L , measured in the metric (A.2), is finite

$$L \equiv \int_0^1 \sqrt{-\log r} dr = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

The geodesics with $J \neq 0$ do not fall in the singularity at $r = 0$ nor in the one at $r = 1$: they are confined in the annulus $r_- < r < r_+$ where $0 < r_- < r_+ < 1$ are the two zeros of the effective potential $V_{\text{eff}}(r) = \log r + J^2/r^2$. These geodesics go around the singularity forever and so are *complete*. When pulled back to the cover \mathcal{X} (i.e. by taking θ valued in \mathbb{R} instead of S^1) a complete geodesic will intersect an incomplete radial one exactly once since the angular velocity $\dot{\theta}$ is non-zero when $J \neq 0$. We conclude

Fact 1. *Non-complete geodesics in the asymptotic geometry (A.2) are stable.*

This **Fact** was already noticed in [16]. On the contrary the complete geodesics with $J \neq 0$ cannot be stable by **Proposition 5**. The complete geodesics are parametrized by the angular momenta $J > 0$ such that $V_{\text{eff}}(r)$ has two real zeros in the interval $(0, 1)$. When these zeros coincide $r_- = r_+ = r_0$ we have a circular geodesic and the Gauss-Bonnet theorem yields

$$1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{r \leq r_0} \text{Ric} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{r_0} \frac{dr}{(\log r)^2 r} = \frac{1}{2(-\log r_0)} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

To describe a complete geodesic J must be such that $\min_r V_{\text{eff}}(r) \leq 0$ i.e.

$$0 < J \leq J_c \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2e}} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

the minimum being attained at $r = \sqrt{2}J$. See figure 1. When the inequality is saturated $r_- = r_+$ and we have a circular orbit with radius $r_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}}$ in agreement with the Gauss-




Figure 1: The effective radial potential $V_{\text{eff}}(t)$ for various values of J

Bonnet formula (A.9). Let us check that the complete geodesic are not stable. It is enough to check the property for the circular one; then the statement is a particular case of Bertrand theorem in Mechanics [42]. We consider an angular momentum just below the critical value

$$J^2 = \frac{1}{2e}(1 - 2\epsilon^2) \quad \epsilon \lll 1. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

We parametrize the radius r in terms of a new coordinate u

$$r = \frac{1 - \epsilon u}{\sqrt{e}} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

so that

$$-\log r - \frac{J^2}{r^2} = \epsilon^2(1 - u^2) + O(\epsilon^3) \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Replacing in eq.(A.7) we get

$$\theta = \theta_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{u_0}^{u(\theta)} \frac{du}{\sqrt{1 - u^2}} + O(\epsilon) \quad (\text{A.14})$$

and performing the elementary integral, we get for $\epsilon \approx 0$

$$r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}}(1 - \epsilon \sin[\sqrt{2}(\theta - \theta_0)] + O(\epsilon^2)) \quad (\text{A.15})$$

which is just the small oscillations around the circular orbit $r = 1/\sqrt{e}$ corresponding to a non-zero Jacobi field with zeros at $\theta = \theta_0 + \pi k/\sqrt{2}$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$).

References

- [1] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Electric-magnetic duality, monopole condensation, and confinement in N=2 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory, Nucl. Phys. B 426, 19 (1994) Erratum: Nucl. Phys. B 430, 485 (1994), arXiv:hep-th/9407087.

- [2] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Monopoles, duality and chiral symmetry breaking in $N=2$ super-symmetric QCD, Nucl. Phys. B 431, 484 (1994), arXiv:hep-th/9408099.
- [3] R. Donagi and E. Witten, Supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory and integrable systems, Nucl. Phys. B 460, 299 (1996), arXiv:hep-th/9510101.
- [4] R. Donagi, Seiberg-Witten integrable systems, in *Surveys in Differential Geometry*, Vol. IV (1998) pp. 83-129.
- [5] D.S. Freed, Special Kähler manifolds, Commun. Math. Phys. 203 (1999) 31-52
- [6] M. Caorsi and S. Cecotti, Geometric classification of 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs, JHEP **07**, 138 (2018), arXiv:1801.04542.
- [7] S. Cecotti, Direct and inverse problems in special geometry, arXiv:2312.02536 [hep-th].
- [8] S. Cecotti, M. Del Zotto, M. Martone and R. Moscrop, The characteristic dimension of four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs, Commun. Math. Phys. **400** (2023) no.1, 519-540; arXiv:2108.10884 [hep-th].
- [9] S. Cecotti, *Supersymmetric Field Theories. Geometric Structures and Dualities*, Cambridge University Press, 2015
- [10] S. Cecotti, $N = 2$ Supergravity, Type IIB superstrings and Algebraic Geometry, Commun. Math. Phys. 131, 517 (1990).
- [11] A. Strominger, Special geometry, Comm. Math. Phys. 133 (1990)163-180.
- [12] S. Cecotti, Special geometry and the swampland, JHEP **09** (2020), 147, arXiv:2004.06929 [hep-th].
- [13] R. Bryant and P. Griffiths, Some observations on the infinitesimal period relations for regular threefolds with trivial canonical bundle, in M. Artin and J. Tate (Eds.) *Arithmetic and Geometry. Papers dedicated to I.R. Shafarevich*, vol. II Birkhäuser (1983) pages 77-102.
- [14] S. Cecotti, The Weil correspondence and universal special geometry, JHEP **07** (2024), 020; arXiv:2404.16316 [hep-th].
- [15] M. Caorsi and S. Cecotti, Special Arithmetic of Flavor, JHEP **08** (2018), 057; arXiv:1803.00531 [hep-th].
- [16] S. Raman and C. Vafa, Swampland and the geometry of marked moduli spaces, arXiv:2405.11611 [hep-th].
- [17] C. Vafa, The string landscape and the swampland, Oct., 2005. 10.48550/arXiv.hep-th/0509212.
- [18] T.D. Brennan, F. Carta and C. Vafa, The string landscape, the swampland, and the missing corner, June, 2018. 10.48550/arXiv.1711.00864.
- [19] M. van Beest, J. Calderón-Infante, D. Mirfendereski and I. Valenzuela, Lectures on the Swampland Program in String Compactifications, Physics Reports 989 (2022) 1
- [20] N.B. Agmon, A. Bedroya, M.J. Kang and C. Vafa, Lectures on the string landscape and the Swampland, Mar., 2023. 10.48550/arXiv.2212.06187.

- [21] E. Palti, The Swampland: Introduction and review, *Fortschritte der Physik* **67** (2019) 1900037.
- [22] M. Graña and A. Herráez, The swampland conjectures: A bridge from Quantum Gravity to particle physics, July, 2021. 10.48550/arXiv.2107.00087.
- [23] K. Liu, X. Sun, X. Yang and S.-T. Yau, Curvatures of moduli space of curves and applications, [arXiv:1312.6932](https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.6932).
- [24] J.-P. Demailly, *Complex analytic and algebraic geometry*. Book online <https://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/manuscripts/agbook.pdf>
- [25] J. Ells and J.H. Sampson, Mappings of Riemannian manifolds, *Am. J. of Math.* **86** (1964) 109-160.
- [26] M. Green, P. Griffiths and M. Kerr, *Mumford-Tate Groups and Domains: Their Geometry and Arithmetic*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, PUP (2012).
- [27] J. Carlson, S. Müller-Stach, C. Peters, *Period Mappings and Period Domains, Second Edition*, *Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics* 168, CUP (2017).
- [28] A. Borel, Some metric properties of arithmetic quotients of symmetric spaces and an extension theorem, *J. Diff. Geom.* **6** (1972) 543-560.
- [29] W.L. Baily and A. Borel, Compactification of arithmetic quotients of bounded symmetric domains, *Ann. of Math.* **84** (1966) 442-528.
- [30] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* 52, Springer 1977.
- [31] J. Jöst, *Riemannian Geometry and Geometric Analysis*, 7th Edition, Springer 2007.
- [32] K. Corlette, Flat G-bundles with canonical metrics, *J. Diff. Geom.* **28** (1988) 361-382.
- [33] K. Yano, *The theory of the Lie derivative and its applications*, Nabu Press (2011).
- [34] P.A. Griffiths, Periods of integrals on algebraic manifolds, III (Some global differential-geometric properties of the period mapping), *Publ. IHES* **38** (1970) 125-180.
- [35] S. Cecotti and C. Vafa, Ising model and N=2 supersymmetric theories, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **157** (1993), 139-178; [arXiv:hep-th/9209085](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9209085) [hep-th].
- [36] Z. Lu, On the Hodge metric of the universal deformation space of Calabi-Yau threefolds, *J. Geom. Anal.* **11** (2001) pp 103-118, [arXiv:math/0505582](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0505582).
- [37] Z. Lu, On the curvature tensor of the Hodge metric of moduli space of polarized Calabi-Yau threefolds, [arXiv:math/0505583](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0505583)
- [38] S. Cecotti, Moduli spaces of Calabi-Yau d -folds as gravitational-chiral instantons, *JHEP* **12** (2020), 008; [arXiv:2007.09992](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.09992) [hep-th].
- [39] M. Bershadsky, S. Cecotti, H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, Holomorphic anomalies in topological field theories, *Nucl. Phys. B* **405** (1993), 279-304; [arXiv:hep-th/9302103](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9302103) [hep-th].
- [40] J.-M. Hwang and K. Oguiso, Local structure of principally polarized stable Lagrangian fibrations, [arXiv:1007.2043](https://arxiv.org/abs/1007.2043).
- [41] A. Fasano and S. Marmi, *Analytic Mechanics. An introduction*, *Oxford Graduate Texts* OUP, 2006.
- [42] S. Cecotti, *Analytic Mechanics. A concise textbook*, *UNITEXT for Physics*, Springer, 2024.