

Quantum Vicsek Model for Active Matter

Hong Yuan (袁红),¹ L.X. Cui (崔廉相),² L.T. Chen (陈乐天),³ and C.P. Sun (孙昌璞)^{1,*}

¹Graduate School of CAEP, Beijing 100193, China

²Beijing Computational Science Research Center, Beijing 100193, China

³Department of Mathematics and Centre of Complexity Science,
Imperial College London, South Kensington, London SW7 2BZ, United Kingdom

We propose a quantum analog of the Vicsek model, consisting of an ensemble of overdamped spin-1/2 particles with ferromagnetic couplings, driven by a uniformly polarized magnetic field. The spontaneous magnetization of the spin components breaks the $SO(3)$ (or $SO(2)$) symmetry, inducing an ordered phase of flocking. We derive the hydrodynamic equations, similar to those formulated by Toner and Tu, by applying a mean-field approximation to the quantum analog model up to the next leading order. Our investigation not only establishes a microscopic connection between the Vicsek model and the Toner-Tu hydrodynamics for active matter, but also aims to inspire further studies of active matter in the quantum regime.

Introduction.—Recent intensive studies of active matter have revolutionized our understanding of non-equilibrium systems by revealing the spontaneous emergence of long-range polar order among locally aligning, self-propelled particles, especially in two dimensions [1–5], which are similar to the flocking behavior observed in bird flocks, fish schools, and even synthetic microswimmers [6, 7]. In a pioneering work, Vicsek *et al.* [4] introduced a simple yet powerful model demonstrating that even with minimal local rules, particles could spontaneously align to form long-range polar order. Another fundamental breakthrough was made almost simultaneously by Toner and Tu (TT) in 1995 [8], developing a hydrodynamic theory of active matter. In TT theory, the scaling exponents, which characterize the temporal and spatial correlations of fluctuations, could be computed exactly, contrasting with predictions by the Mermin-Wagner-Hohenberg theorem [9, 10]. This seminal work laid the foundation for understanding the collective dynamics of active matter [11, 12].

Despite the advantages offered by the Vicsek model and TT theory, subsequent studies revealed certain limitations [13]. For example, Toner himself later realized that the original hydrodynamic analysis was incomplete due to the neglect of certain important terms, undermining most claims of exactness in the original analysis [14]. There remained hope, however, that renormalization could render these additional terms irrelevant. This expectation was challenged by recent large-scale simulations of the Vicsek model [15]. These simulations exhibited scaling behavior that clearly deviated from the predictions of Toner and Tu, highlighting the need for a refined theoretical framework.

Our study aims to bridge this gap by proposing a quantum analog of the Vicsek model, named the quantum Vicsek model (QVM). We consider an ensemble of overdamped spin-1/2 particles with ferromagnetic couplings, driven by a radically homogeneous magnetic field. The

Heisenberg interactions in this system typically exhibit a collective behavior—spontaneous magnetization, breaking the $SO(3)$ (or $SO(2)$ in 2D) symmetry in 3D, and inducing spatial motion into an ordered phase analogous to classical flocking. This can also be seen as a flying XY model in 2D [8].

Our model primarily operates in the quantum regime, but it can be applied to the classical case by the fluctuation-dissipation relations, ignoring contributions of \hbar terms [16, 17]. Specifically, by taking the continuum limit of the QVM, we derive the hydrodynamic equations for active matter using a mean-field approximation up to the next leading order. The similarity between our hydrodynamic equation from the quantum Vicsek model and the Toner-Tu equation is reflected by the detailed calculations of critical scaling exponents according to the renormalization group. Our methodology for this continuum treatment incorporates quantum aspects absent in classical models, and the quantum perspective allows for a deeper understanding of the microscopic mechanisms driving the collective behavior of active particles, explaining why the results from the Vicsek Model and the Toner-Tu hydrodynamics may belong to the same universality class at the microscopic level.

Quantum Vicsek model.—We consider a system consisting of N spin-1/2 particles with coordinate \vec{r}_j and momentum \vec{p}_j . The inter-spin ferromagnetic couplings with strength J has an interaction range V_d . There exists approximately $N' = V_d \rho$ neighboring particles interacting with \vec{S}_j , where ρ is the particle density. Moreover, the entire system is subjected to a homogeneous polar magnetic $\vec{B} \sim \vec{r}_j$ (see the Fig. 1). The Hamiltonian of the system reads

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{p_j^2}{2m} - \zeta \sum_{j=1}^N \vec{r}_j \cdot \vec{S}_j - J \sum_{i<j}^{N'} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j, \quad (1)$$

where m is the mass of each particle, and ζ a constant characterizing the strength of the interaction between the spins and the magnetic field.

We assume an environment coupling with this system of spin-1/2 particles. The Caldeira-Leggett type inter-

* suncp@gceaep.ac.cn




FIG. 1. Spins in a homogeneous polar magnetic field.

actions with the reservoir result in the dissipate dynamics [16, 17] governed by Eq. (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\vec{r}}_j &= -\gamma \dot{\vec{r}}_j + \zeta \vec{S}_j + \vec{f}(t), \\ \dot{\vec{S}}_j &= -\left(J \sum_{i=1}^{N'} \vec{S}_i + \zeta \vec{r}_j \right) \times \vec{S}_j - \gamma_s (\vec{S}_j - \vec{S}_j^{st}) + \vec{f}_s(t), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$(3)$$

where γ and γ_s are friction coefficients for spatial and spin parts, respectively, while $\vec{f}(t)$ and $\vec{f}_s(t)$ represent the relevant fluctuation terms. Both Brownian forces of $\vec{f}(t)$ and $\vec{f}_s(t)$ need to adhere to the fluctuation-dissipation theorem (FDT), i.e., $\langle f_a(t) f_b(t) \rangle_R = 2\gamma(m\beta)^{-1} \delta_{ab} \delta(t - t')$ and $\langle f_{sa}(t) f_{sb}(t) \rangle_R = 2\gamma_s(m\beta)^{-1} \delta_{ab} \delta(t - t')$. Here, a, b are Cartesian indices for dimensions — x, y in $2D$ (or x, y, z in $3D$), β is the inverse temperature of the reservoir, and $\langle \cdot \rangle_R$ denotes averaging over the reservoir. \vec{S}_j^{st} is the stationary value of \vec{S}_j .

With stronger inter-spin coupling of ferromagnetic type, i.e., a large positive J , the spontaneous breaking of $SO(3)$ symmetry emerges to give a mean field

$$\vec{B}_{MF} = \frac{1}{2} J \sum_j^{N'} \langle \vec{S}_j \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{2} J N' \vec{S}, \quad (4)$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ means some kind of average over classical or quantum states of the system. It is emphasized that the fluctuation forces in Eq. (2) and Eq. (3) are the results in the limit of the high temperature, but it will be modified to include the term \hbar near zero temperature [16, 17].

In the overdamped regime, the inertial term is ignored so that Eq. (2) and Eq. (3) become the active dynamic equations

$$\dot{\vec{r}}_j = u \vec{S}_j + \vec{f}(t), \quad (5)$$

$$\dot{\vec{S}}_j = -\vec{B}_{MF} \times \vec{S}_j - \gamma_s (\vec{S}_j - \vec{S}) + \vec{f}_s(t). \quad (6)$$

Here $u \equiv \zeta/m$ and the spatial term $\zeta \vec{r}_j$ is regarded as infinitesimal compared to \vec{B}_{MF} in the thermodynamic limit. As illustrated in Eq. (5), the spin \vec{S}_j acts as an energy pump for the motion of the center of mass, resulting in a nonzero mean velocity aligned with \vec{S}_j due to spin-orbit coupling induced by the magnetic field \vec{B} . Moreover, ferromagnetic interactions typically align \vec{S}_j with surrounding spins, leading to the emergence of an ordered phase—spontaneous magnetization—that breaks the $SO(3)$ symmetry (or $SO(2)$ in $2D$). As a result, nearly all the spins may point in the same direction \vec{S} . In the overdamped regime, this ferromagnetic coupling also induces an alignment interaction among velocities, whereby a particle reorients itself according to the average direction of its neighbors within the interaction range V_d . This mechanism could therefore result in an ordered flocking state for spatial motions of the ensemble, propelling the entire system towards a specific direction paralleling to \vec{B}_{MF} .

It is noteworthy that the dynamic equations Eq. (5) and Eq. (6) are consistent with the dynamics of the Vicsek model [4], where $u \vec{S}_j$ acts as the self-propulsion term. Our model explicitly clarifies the microscopic origins of both the self-propulsion and the alignment interactions. Thus, this quantum framework presented here provides a more fundamental understanding of the Vicsek model, offering a deep insight into the studies of active matter from a microscopic perspective.

To provide an intuitive demonstration of the QVM, we perform numerical simulations of Eq. (5) and Eq. (6) in $3D$ space. The noise term $\vec{f}(t)$ in Eq. (5) is not include in the simulations. The mean-field approximation is applied to particles within a radius r_c around each particle. Fixing the particle number density ρ , the model contains two parameters: fluctuations \vec{f}_s and dissipation terms γ_s of spin. Spin dissipation is generally characterized by the relaxation time which is characterized by γ_s^{-1} , while spin fluctuations are modeled using vector noise [18] with an amplitude ξ . As illustrated in Fig. 2(a), as the relaxation time decreases and the noise intensity is reduced, the order parameter $\varphi = |\langle \vec{S}_j \rangle_j|$, where $\langle \cdot \rangle_j$ represents the average over the whole population, transitions from a disordered phase to an ordered phase. Furthermore, it is observed that near the onset of order, a bands phase similar to that in the Vicsek model [18] also exists, as shown in Fig. 2(b). Particles are ordered within these bands, while particles remain disordered outside the bands.

Hydrodynamic descriptions.—In order to understand how the Vicsek model relates to the TT theory at the microscopic level, we further consider the hydrodynamic descriptions from the QVM. To this end, we derive the hydrodynamic equation through the kinetic approach [19–22] to the QVM.

Under the mean-field approximation, this interacting system is usually described by a single-body distribution $f(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, t)$, which defines the particle number density in single-particle phase space at time t [19, 20]. The ex-




FIG. 2. Numerical simulations of Quantum Vicsek Model (QVM). (a) The phase diagram of the order parameter $\varphi = |\langle \vec{S}_j \rangle|$ as a function of the relaxation time γ_s^{-1} and noise amplitude ξ . The average of the order parameter is taking over 10^5 time steps. (b) The band phase in QVM. (c) Top view of (b), where the shading indicates particle density. The simulation is based on Eq. (5) and Eq. (6) with periodic boundary conditions. Simulation parameters: $\rho = 0.5$, $u = 0.5$, $r_c = 1.0$, and the system size $L = 32$. For (b), the noise amplitude $\xi = 0.5$ and the relaxation time $\gamma_s^{-1} = 1.0$.

pected hydrodynamic variables [19–22], i.e., the spatial density and the velocity field, are then respectively given by $\rho(\vec{r}, t) = \int f(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, t) d^3\vec{v}$ and $\vec{V}(\vec{r}, t) = \int \vec{v} f(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, t) d^3\vec{v}$, where $f(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, t)$ satisfies the Boltzmann equation

$$\partial_t f + \vec{v} \cdot \nabla_r f + \vec{a} \cdot \nabla_v f = I[f], \quad (7)$$

where ∂_t , ∇_r and ∇_v respectively are the differential operators with respect to time t , \vec{r} and \vec{v} . $I[f]$, following the Fokker-Planck theory [23, 24], is given by $I[f] = \gamma \nabla_v \cdot (\vec{v} - V(\vec{r}, t) + 2\nabla_v/m\beta)f$. The term $m\vec{a}$ is the mean force acting on each particle.

In the overdamped regime, we could approximately replace \vec{S}_j with \vec{v}_j in Hamiltonian Eq. (1). The accuracy of this approximation is enhanced when the symmetry $SO(3)$ spontaneously breaks in the spin part, leading to accordingly phase transition in velocities, with $\vec{V}(\vec{r}, t)$ being the order parameter. In the mean-field approxima-

tion, the energy reads

$$H_{ov} = E_0[N] + \sum_{j=1}^N \left[\frac{mv_j^2}{2} - \frac{J}{2u^2} N' \vec{V} \cdot \vec{v}_j \right], \quad (8)$$

with the constraint $|\vec{v}_j| = u$. Here the last term results from the Heisenberg interaction, and $E_0[N]$ only depends on the particle numbers. To apply Eq. (7) to QVM, we need to determine the free energy by calculating the partition function while taking into account that nearly all the \vec{v}_j s are aligned with \vec{V} . In the high temperature limit, namely, when the average velocity of particles is much smaller than that of the thermal motion, we expand the free energy density to the second order of $\beta m V^2$ as

$$F(\vec{r}) = \rho(-\lambda|\vec{V}|^2 + \eta|\vec{V}|^4 + F_0), \quad (9)$$

where $\lambda = 3J^2 N'^2 / 8\tilde{m}u^4$, $\eta = 2\lambda^2\beta/9$ and $F_0 = (3 \ln \tilde{m}\beta - \ln 2\pi + 2 \ln \rho + 2\kappa u^2)/2\beta$. Here, κ is the Lagrange multiplier resulting from the constraint on velocities, and the renormalized mass is defined as $\tilde{m} \equiv m + 2\kappa/\beta$. For such ϕ^4 field, the symmetry spontaneously breaks at $|\vec{V}_0|^2 = 9/(4\lambda\beta)$, which is just the order parameter [25]. Consequently, the mean force acting on each particle is given by $m\vec{a} = -\nabla_r(F/\rho)$.

We then obtain the evolution of $\vec{V}(\vec{r}, t)$ straightforwardly [19–22], namely,

$$m \left(\partial_t + \lambda_1 \vec{V} \cdot \nabla_r \right) \vec{V} = \tilde{\lambda} \vec{V} - \tilde{\eta} |\vec{V}|^2 \vec{V} - \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla_r \cdot \hat{P} + \vec{R}_v. \quad (10)$$

Here, we have considered that, when t is large, the directions of velocity and position are approximately the same, i.e., $\vec{V} = \xi \vec{r}$. Here, $\tilde{\lambda} \equiv 2\xi\lambda$, $\lambda_1 \equiv 1 - 2\lambda$ and $\tilde{\eta} = 4\xi\eta$. $\hat{P}_{ab} = (\rho/\beta)\delta_{ab} - \rho(\gamma\beta)^{-1}(\partial_{ra}V_b + \partial_{rb}V_a)$ are the stress tensor; $\partial_{ra} \equiv \partial/\partial r_a$ with a, b are Cartesian indices, $\vec{R}_v(\vec{r}, t)$ is a random force subjected to the FDT, i.e., $\langle R_{va}(\vec{r}, t) R_{vb}(\vec{r}', t') \rangle_R = 2\gamma m \rho^{-1} [V_a V_b + \hat{I}_{ab}(m\beta)^{-1}] \delta_{r_c}(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \delta(t - t')$. Here $\delta_{r_c}(\vec{r} - \vec{r}')$ is a Dirac- δ like function implying the existence of the cutoff in the spatial freedom. The detailed discussion about the derivation of hydrodynamic equations is illustrated in the Supplementary Materials (SM). A complete hydrodynamic framework is thus formed by Eq. (10) together with the evolution of ρ , which follows the conservation law of particle number, i.e., $\partial_t \rho = -\nabla_r \cdot (\rho \vec{V})$.

Flocking phase transition.—In order to analyze the symmetry broken phase, we shift to a comoving coordinate frame moving with \vec{V}_0 , $\vec{V}' = (\vec{V}_\perp, V_\parallel \hat{e}_\parallel)$ with $\hat{e}_\parallel = \vec{V}_0/|\vec{V}_0|$. Here, $\vec{V}_\perp(\vec{r}, t)$ is the Goldstone mode dominating the critical behavior of this system flocking. Its hydrodynamic equation then becomes

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \lambda_1 \vec{V}_\perp \cdot \vec{\partial}_\perp \right) \vec{V}_\perp = -B \vec{\partial}_\perp \delta \rho + D \vec{\partial}_\perp \vec{\partial}_\perp \cdot \vec{V}_\perp + D \nabla_r^2 \vec{V}_\perp + \vec{R}_\perp, \quad (11)$$

where $\partial_t \delta \rho = -A_I \vec{\partial}_\perp \cdot \vec{V}_\perp$, $\vec{\partial}_\perp$ denoting the directional derivative along \vec{V}_\perp , $\delta \rho = \rho - \rho_0$ with ρ_0 being the mean of the local number density and $B \equiv (\rho_0 \beta)^{-1}$. The diffusion coefficient $D \equiv (\gamma m \beta)^{-1}$ is also subjected to the FDT. Notably, \vec{R}_\perp is the transformed random force with the covariance $\langle R_{\perp a}(\vec{r}, t) R_{\perp b}(\vec{r}', t') \rangle_R = 2\Delta \delta_{ab} \delta_{r_c}(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \delta_{r_c}(t - t')$ with the strength $\Delta \equiv \gamma(\rho \beta)^{-1}$. It is also emphasized that A_I is the renormalized coefficient including the impact of the higher order (as well as

nonlinear) term $\vec{\partial}_\perp(\delta \rho \vec{V}_\perp)$. This simplification actually decouples the different wavelength components of $\delta \rho$ and \vec{V}_\perp . To find the critical scaling exponents, we next implement the dynamic renormalization group (DRG) method [26–28] to Eq. (11) (See SM for detailed discussion). The DRG procedure consists of two steps, i.e., eliminate the short wavelength components of \vec{V}_\perp and then apply scale transformation. Thanks to the simplification mentioned above, Eq. (11) becomes

$$[-i\omega + D(k^2 + \vec{k}_\perp \vec{k}_\perp) + i \frac{A_I B}{\omega} \vec{k}_\perp \vec{k}_\perp] \cdot \vec{V}(\vec{k}, \omega) = \vec{R}(\vec{k}, \omega) - i\lambda_1 \int_{q, \Omega} \vec{V}(\vec{k} - \vec{q}, \omega - \Omega) \cdot \vec{q}_\perp \vec{V}(\vec{q}, \Omega), \quad (12)$$

where $\int_{q, \Omega} \equiv (2\pi)^{-4} \int d^3 \vec{q} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\Omega$. Here $\vec{V}(\vec{k}, \omega)$ and $\vec{R}(\vec{k}, \omega)$ being the Fourier transformation of $\vec{V}_\perp(\vec{r}, t)$ and $\vec{R}_\perp(\vec{r}, t)$. The corresponding covariance of $\vec{R}(\vec{k}, \omega)$ is $\langle \vec{R}(\vec{k}, \omega) \vec{R}(\vec{k}', \omega') \rangle_R = (2\pi)^4 \Delta \delta(\omega - \omega') \delta(\vec{k} - \vec{k}')$ with $\Delta = \Delta(1 - e^{-k^2/\Lambda^2})$. Here, Λ is the ultraviolet cutoff of k for the above Dirac- δ like function. In general, the nonlinear term $\lambda_1 \vec{V}_\perp \cdot \vec{\partial}_\perp \vec{V}_\perp$ redefines the parameters of the reduced hydrodynamic equations containing only the long wavelength part. As a result, keeping up to $\mathcal{O}(\lambda_1^2)$, the nonlinear coupling strength λ_1 remains unchanged, $\lambda_I = \lambda_1$, and $A_I B$, as a whole, does not have correction either. In fact, one can conclude that B , which measures the response of velocity field to changes in density, remains unchanged in the new reduced hydrodynamic equation, i.e., $B_I = B$. Besides, the diffusion coefficient D and the noise strength Δ are renormalized in the same way, as required by FDT, namely, $D_I = D[1 + \bar{\lambda}_1^2 F(s; \Lambda, A_I B/D)]$ and $\Delta_I = \Delta[1 + \bar{\lambda}_1^2 F(s; \Lambda, A_I B/D)]$ with $\bar{\lambda}_1^2 \equiv \lambda_1^2 \Delta D^{-3}$. Here $F(s; \Lambda, A_I B/D)$ is a polynomial function of s , which, apart from a constant, contains only odd powers terms, with the lowest order being s^1 . s is a rescaling factor of space required in step 2. Namely, we rescale lengths, time, \vec{V}_\perp and $\delta \rho$ according to $\vec{r} \rightarrow s\vec{r}$, $t \rightarrow s^z t$, $\vec{V}_\perp \rightarrow s^x \vec{V}_\perp$ and $\delta \rho \rightarrow s^\rho \delta \rho$ respectively.

To further determine the scaling exponents z and χ , we then take an infinitesimal transformation, i.e., $s = 1 + l$ ($l \rightarrow 0$), which leads to differential recursion relations for the parameters

$$\begin{aligned} dD(l)/dl &= D[z - 2 + F_{31} \bar{\lambda}_1^2], \\ d\Delta(l)/dl &= \Delta[z - 2\chi - 3 + F_{31} \bar{\lambda}_1^2], \\ d\lambda_1/dl &= \lambda_1[z + \chi - 1], \\ dB(l)/dl &= B[\chi_\rho - 1 + z - \chi]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The scaling factors z , χ and χ_ρ are chosen to keep D , Δ , λ_1 and B fixed at their initial value, so that there exist the steady solutions $z = 3/2$, $\chi = -1/2$ and $\chi_\rho = -1$.

The negative χ just implies a true long-range order exists. Therefore, as an illustration, we successfully show that our QVM will emerge flocking, i.e., a stable order phase, in three dimensions and obtain the corresponding critical exponents through the hydrodynamic method. Apparently, the hydrodynamic equation derived from the QVM, as specified in Eq. (10), is much similar to the 3D TT equation, while our model provides explicit formulations for the coefficients. Therefore, it is convinced that the TT theory indeed can be regarded as the continuation of the Vicsek model, namely, as they may have the same quantum origin.

Conclusions.—In conclusion, we have proposed a quantum analog of the Vicsek model (QVM), offering a novel perspective on the study of active matter. The original Vicsek model is recovered in the classical limit of the QVM, and the Toner-Tu-like equations, i.e., hydrodynamic equations, naturally emerge from its continuous formulation. This study provides insight into why the Toner-Tu equation may belong to the same universality class as the Vicsek model. Additionally, we have offered a more microscopic description of emergent flocking phenomena at the quantum level.

By directly examining the critical behaviors of this quantum model in Eq. (1), it becomes straightforward to analyze theoretical exponents in the 2–dimensional case, which merits further exploration. This work represents a significant step towards unifying classical and quantum theories of active matter and opens new avenues for exploring the underlying mechanisms of flocking order and correlations at the quantum level.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (Grant No. 12088101) and NSAF (Grant No. U2230402).

-
- [1] G. Gompper, R. G. Winkler, T. Speck, A. Solon, C. Nardini, F. Peruani, H. Löwen, R. Golestanian, U. B. Kaupp, L. Alvarez, T. Kiørboe, E. Lauga, W. C. K. Poon, A. DeSimone, S. Muiños-Landin, A. Fischer, N. A. Söker, F. Cichos, R. Kapral, P. Gaspard, M. Ripoll, F. Sagues, A. Doostmohammadi, J. M. Yeomans, I. S. Aranson, C. Bechinger, H. Stark, C. K. Hemelrijk, F. J. Nedelec, T. Sarkar, T. Aryaksama, M. Lacroix, G. Duclos, V. Yashunsky, P. Silberzan, M. Arroyo, and S. Kale, The 2020 motile active matter roadmap, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **32**, 193001 (2020).
- [2] C. Bechinger, R. Di Leonardo, H. Löwen, C. Reichhardt, G. Volpe, and G. Volpe, Active particles in complex and crowded environments, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **88**, 045006 (2016).
- [3] S. Ramaswamy, Active matter, *J. Stat. Mech.* **2017**, 054002 (2017).
- [4] T. Vicsek, A. Czirók, E. Ben-Jacob, I. Cohen, and O. Shochet, Novel type of phase transition in a system of self-driven particles, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 1226 (1995).
- [5] H. Chaté, F. Ginelli, G. Grégoire, F. Peruani, and F. Raynaud, Modeling collective motion: variations on the vicsek model, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **64**, 451 (2008).
- [6] M. Ballerini, N. Cabibbo, R. Candelier, A. Cavagna, E. Cisbani, I. Giardina, V. Lecomte, A. Orlandi, G. Parisi, A. Procaccini, M. Viale, and V. Zdravkovic, Interaction ruling animal collective behavior depends on topological rather than metric distance: Evidence from a field study, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **105**, 1232 (2008).
- [7] M. R. Shaebani, A. Wysocki, R. G. Winkler, G. Gompper, and H. Rieger, Computational models for active matter, *NRP* **2**, 181 (2020).
- [8] J. Toner and Y. Tu, Long-range order in a two-dimensional dynamical XY model: How birds fly together, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 4326 (1995).
- [9] N. D. Mermin and H. Wagner, Absence of ferromagnetism or antiferromagnetism in one- or two-dimensional isotropic heisenberg models, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **17**, 1133 (1966).
- [10] P. C. Hohenberg, Existence of long-range order in one and two dimensions, *Phys. Rev.* **158**, 383 (1967).
- [11] J. Toner, Y. Tu, and S. Ramaswamy, Hydrodynamics and phases of flocks, *Ann. Phys.* **318**, 170 (2005).
- [12] F. Ginelli, The physics of the vicsek model, *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* **225**, 2099 (2016).
- [13] H. Chaté and A. Solon, Dynamic scaling of two-dimensional polar flocks, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 268302 (2024).
- [14] J. Toner, Reanalysis of the hydrodynamic theory of fluid, polar-ordered flocks, *Phys. Rev. E* **86**, 031918 (2012).
- [15] B. Mahault, F. Ginelli, and H. Chaté, Quantitative assessment of the toner and tu theory of polar flocks, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 218001 (2019).
- [16] L. H. Yu and C.-P. Sun, Evolution of the wave function in a dissipative system, *Phys. Rev. A* **49**, 592 (1994).
- [17] C.-P. Sun and L.-H. Yu, Exact dynamics of a quantum dissipative system in a constant external field, *Phys. Rev. A* **51**, 1845 (1995).
- [18] H. Chaté, F. Ginelli, G. Grégoire, and F. Raynaud, Collective motion of self-propelled particles interacting without cohesion, *Phys. Rev. E* **77**, 046113 (2008).
- [19] S. R. De Groot and P. Mazur, *Non-equilibrium thermodynamics* (Courier Corporation, 2013).
- [20] R. Balescu, *Statistical dynamics: matter out of equilibrium* (World Scientific, 1997).
- [21] S. K. Singh and S. Ansumali, Fokker-planck model of hydrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. E* **91**, 033303 (2015).
- [22] I. Tokatly and O. Pankratov, Hydrodynamics beyond local equilibrium: Application to electron gas, *Physical Review B* **62**, 2759 (2000).
- [23] N. G. Van Kampen, *Stochastic processes in physics and chemistry*, Vol. 1 (Elsevier, 1992).
- [24] H. Risken and H. Risken, *Fokker-planck equation* (Springer, 1996).
- [25] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics: Volume 5*, Vol. 5 (Elsevier, 2013).
- [26] D. Forster, D. R. Nelson, and M. J. Stephen, Large-distance and long-time properties of a randomly stirred fluid, *Physical Review A* **16**, 732 (1977).
- [27] S.-k. Ma and G. F. Mazenko, Critical dynamics of ferromagnets in 6- ϵ dimensions: General discussion and detailed calculation, *Physical Review B* **11**, 4077 (1975).
- [28] S.-K. Ma, *Modern theory of critical phenomena* (Routledge, 2018).