

TORIC MODELS OF SMOOTH FANO THREEFOLDS

KONSTANTIN LOGINOV, JOAQUÍN MORAGA, AND ARTEM VASILKOV

ABSTRACT. We prove that a general rational smooth Fano threefold admits a toric model. More precisely, for a general rational smooth Fano threefold X , we show the existence of a boundary divisor D for which $(X, D) \simeq_{\text{cbir}} (\mathbb{P}^3, H_0 + H_1 + H_2 + H_3)$, where the H_i 's are the coordinate hyperplanes. In particular, a general rational smooth Fano threefold has birational complexity zero. We argue that the three conditions: rationality, generality, and smoothness are indeed necessary for the theorem.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
3. Fano threefolds of higher index	6
4. Fano threefolds of main series	8
5. Fano threefolds with $\rho(X) > 1$	11
6. Fano threefolds that are blow-ups	13
7. Examples and questions	16
8. Table of smooth Fano 3-folds with toric models	17
References	21

1. INTRODUCTION

Fano varieties are one of the three building blocks of smooth projective varieties. In each dimension, there are only finitely many families of smooth Fano varieties [16]. In dimension 2, these are known as del Pezzo surfaces and were classified in 10 families by the Italian school of algebraic geometers. The smooth Fano 3-folds of Picard rank one were classified by the work of Iskovskikh [11, 12]. Mori and Mukai finished the classification of smooth Fano 3-folds in 105 families [26]. The problem of rationality of smooth Fano 3-folds was tackled by Conte in the case of Picard rank one [5]. Alzati and Bertolini settled the rationality problem for smooth Fano 3-folds of larger Picard rank [1]. To achieve this, they used criteria for rationality due to Shokurov [28]. The main theorem of this article states that a general rational smooth Fano 3-fold satisfies a strong form of rationality, namely, they admit a toric model (see Definition 2.6).

Theorem 1. *Let X be a rational smooth Fano threefold that is general in its deformation family. Then, the variety X admits a toric model. Equivalently, there exists a boundary divisor D on X for which*

$$(X, D) \simeq_{\text{cbir}} (\mathbb{P}^3, H_0 + H_1 + H_2 + H_3),$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14B05, 14E30, 14L24, 14M25; Secondary 14A20, 53D20.

Key words and phrases. Birational complexity, toric geometry, toric models, Fano 3-folds.

where the H_i 's are the coordinate hyperplanes of \mathbb{P}^3 .

The symbol \simeq_{cbir} stands for crepant birational isomorphism (see Definition 2.1). Roughly speaking, it means that the underlying varieties are birationally isomorphic and this birational isomorphism respects the boundary divisor. In Examples 7.1, 7.3, and 7.4, we show that the three conditions; generality, rationality, and smoothness are indeed necessary for the main theorem. More precisely, the tools of this article allow us to prove that in *most* families of smooth Fano 3-folds, all elements admit toric models.

Theorem 2. *Let X be a rational smooth Fano threefold. Then, X admits a toric model unless*

- (1) X is a special element of the family № 10.1 of Fano 3-folds of the form $\mathbb{P}^1 \times S_1$ where S_1 is a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree one,
- (2) X is a special element of the families № 1.6, 1.8, 1.9, 1.10 of smooth Fano threefolds in the main series with anti-canonical volume 12, 16, 18, and 22, respectively, or
- (3) the general element of its deformation family is non-rational.

In the previous theorem, a *special element* means that it is contained in a Zariski closed subset of the corresponding deformation family of smooth Fano threefolds. Special elements of the family № 10.1 may not have anti-canonical elements with a 0-dimensional log canonical center. This condition is necessary to admit a toric model. It is unclear whether special elements in the aforementioned families of smooth Fano threefolds in the main series admit anti-canonical elements with 0-dimensional log canonical center. As for the last case of Theorem 2, it is not known whether such Fano threefolds exist. Potentially, they could belong to families № 1.3 and 1.5.

1.1. Coregularity. The coregularity of a pair (X, D) is defined to be

$$\text{coreg}(X, D) := \dim X - \dim \mathcal{D}(X, D) - 1,$$

where $\mathcal{D}(X, D)$ is the dual complex associated to the pair (see Definition 2.2). The coregularity of a Fano variety X is defined to be

$$\text{coreg}(X) := \min\{\text{coreg}(X, D) \mid (X, D) \text{ is log Calabi–Yau}\}.$$

The coregularity measures the singularities of the anti-pluricanonical systems of X . For instance, a n -dimensional Fano variety is *exceptional* precisely when $\text{coreg}(X) = \dim X$. The coregularity of a n -dimensional Fano variety is an integer in the set $\{0, \dots, n\}$. The torus invariant boundary of a toric variety is a 1-complement¹ of coregularity 0. Further, a Fano variety X that admits a toric model has a 1-complement of coregularity 0. Conversely, a Fano variety of coregularity 0 admits either a 1-complement or a 2-complement of coregularity zero (see [9, Theorem 4]). In [2, Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.3], the authors prove that a general smooth del Pezzo surface has coregularity zero. There are some examples of del Pezzo surfaces of degree one with coregularity one (see [2, Remark 2.2]). In [2], the first author, together with Avilov and Przyjalkowski, studied the coregularity of smooth Fano 3-folds. They proved that a general rational smooth Fano 3-fold admits a 1-complement of coregularity zero (see [2, Theorem 0.1]).

1.2. Birational complexity. The complexity $c(X, D)$ of a pair (X, D) is defined to be

$$\dim(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{Cl}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) - |D|$$

where $|D|$ stands for the sum of the coefficients of D . The complexity measures whether a log Calabi–Yau pair is toric. Indeed, for a log Calabi–Yau pair (X, D) , we have $c(X, D) \geq 0$ and $c(X, D) < 1$ implies that

¹A n -complement of a variety X is a boundary divisor D for which (X, D) is log canonical and $n(K_X + D) \sim 0$.

the pair $(X, [D])$ is toric (see [3, Theorem 1.2]). The *birational complexity* of a log Calabi–Yau pair (X, D) is the infimum of the complexities among its log Calabi–Yau crepant birational models (see Definition 2.1 and Definition 2.5). In [24, Lemma 2.23], the second author and Mauri proved that the birational complexity is always a minimum. A log Calabi–Yau pair (X, D) admits a toric model if and only if $c_{\text{bir}}(X, D) = 0$ (see, e.g. [24, Theorem 1.6]). In [10, Lemma 1.13], Gross, Hacking, and Keel proved that a log Calabi–Yau surface (X, D) of index one and coregularity zero has birational complexity zero. Thus, by [2, Theorem 2.1] a general smooth del Pezzo surface admits a toric model. In [8, Theorem 3.3], the authors show that this property holds for most Gorenstein del Pezzo surfaces. Some of these Fano surfaces are of *cluster type* which is a property related to cluster algebras and is stronger than admitting a toric model (see Question 7.8). In [15], Kaloghiros gives an example of a log Calabi–Yau pair (X, D) of dimension three, index one, and coregularity zero for which X is birationally superrigid. Thus, Kaloghiros’ example does not admit a toric model. In [7, Conjecture 1.4], Ducat conjectured that a 3-dimensional rational log Calabi–Yau pair (X, D) of index one and coregularity 0 satisfies that $c_{\text{bir}}(X, D) = 0$. The second author, together with Enwright and Figueroa, pose a higher-dimensional analog of this conjecture in [8, Conjecture 1.1]. However, this conjecture is only known in dimension 2 or in the case that $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$ (see [7, Theorem 1.2]). Theorem 1 is motivated by [2, Theorem 0.1] and Ducat’s conjecture. We are not aware of higher-dimensional general smooth rational Fano varieties that do not admit toric models (see Question 7.7).

1.3. The approach. Throughout this article, we may write $X_{\rho, m}$ for a smooth Fano 3-fold with ID equal ρ, m in the classification of smooth Fano 3-folds. In this notation, ρ stands for the Picard rank, while m is a positive integer indexing Fanos with such Picard rank.

The approach to the proof of Theorem 1 is a mixture of explicit geometry of smooth Fano 3-folds and some more modern techniques related to the birational complexity. First, for any general rational smooth Fano 3-fold X , we consider the 1-complement of coregularity zero (X, D) constructed by Avilov, Loginov, and Przyjalkowski in [2, Theorem 0.1]. In Section 6, we show that whenever Y is a smooth rational Fano 3-fold that can be obtained as a blow-up $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$, the 1-complement (X, D) lifts to a 1-complement (Y, D_Y) . Then, using Proposition 6.2, we show that (Y, D_Y) admits a toric model whenever (X, D) does. This reduces the problem to pairs of the form (X, D) , where X is in the following well-known families of smooth rational Fano 3-folds:


- (i) Fanos of higher index: № 1.14, 1.15, 1.16, 1.17,
- (ii) Fanos of main series: № 1.6, 1.8, 1.9, 1.10,
- (iii) Some Fano 3-folds with $\rho(X) > 1$: № 2.18, 2.24, 2.32, 3.2.

For any pair (X, D) with X as in (i)–(iii), we aim to either simplify the variety X or the boundary D by performing some ad-hoc birational modification. In most cases, we seek a point $p \in X$ in the singular locus of D or a line $\ell \subset X$ which is a log canonical center of (X, D) . Then, we proceed to blow up either p or ℓ to then perform a blow-down to a variety different from X . In many cases, the aforementioned birational map is the projection from the point or the line in a suitable projective space \mathbb{P}^N in which the Fano 3-fold is embedded. This leads to a sequence of crepant birational transformations:

$$(X, D) \dashrightarrow (X_1, D_1) \dashrightarrow \cdots \dashrightarrow (X_k, D_k)$$

such that each D_i is a 1-complement and $X_k \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$. Thus, we can apply the main theorem of [7] to conclude that (X, D) admits a toric model. We close this subsection by presenting a diagram that summarizes the

birational transformations of each Fano 3-fold in (i)-(iii).



In the previous diagram, V_d denotes for a del Pezzo 3-fold X for which $(-K_{V_d}/2)^3 = d$; We write X_d for a smooth Fano 3-fold in the main series with $(-K_{X_d})^3 = d$; Y stands for a special blow-down of $X_{3,2}$ (see proof of Lemma 5.4); $V_{2,2,2}$ stands for a singular intersection of three quadrics in \mathbb{P}^6 ; Q stands for a smooth quadric in \mathbb{P}^4 and Q_{sing} stands for a singular quadric in \mathbb{P}^4 . Case (i) will be treated in Section 3. Case (ii) will be treated in Section 4. Finally, Case (iii) will be treated in Section 5.

Acknowledgements. The first author is supported by Russian Science Foundation under grant 24-71-10092. The authors thank Alexander Kuznetsov, Yuri Prokhorov, Yuri Tschinkel, and Zhijia Zhang for helpful conversations.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In what follows, all varieties are projective and defined over \mathbb{C} unless stated otherwise. We use the language of the minimal model program (the MMP for short), see e.g. [17].

2.1. Contractions. By a *contraction* we mean a surjective morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of normal varieties such that $f_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y$. In particular, f has connected fibers. A *fibration* is defined as a contraction $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\dim Y < \dim X$.

2.2. Pairs and singularities. A *pair* (X, D) consists of a normal variety X and a boundary \mathbb{Q} -divisor D with coefficients in $[0, 1]$ such that $K_X + D$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier. Let $\phi: W \rightarrow X$ be a log resolution of (X, D) and let $K_W + D_W = \phi^*(K_X + D)$. The *log discrepancy* of a prime divisor E on W with respect to (X, D) is $1 - \text{coeff}_E D_W$ and it is denoted by $a(E, X, D)$. We say (X, D) is lc (resp. klt) if $a(E, X, D) \geq 0$ (resp. > 0) for every E . We say that the pair is *plt*, if $a(E, X, D) > 0$ holds for any ϕ -exceptional divisor D and for any log resolution ϕ . We say that the pair is *dlt*, if $a(E, X, D) > 0$ hold for any ϕ -exceptional divisor E and for some log resolution ϕ .

Definition 2.1. Let (X, D) and (Y, D_Y) be two pairs. We say that these two pairs are *crepant birationally equivalent* if there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & (V, D_V) & \\
 \phi \swarrow & & \searrow \psi \\
 (X, D) & \overset{\alpha}{\dashrightarrow} & (Y, D_Y)
 \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

where α is a birational map, ϕ and ψ are birational contractions, $\phi_*(D_V) = D$, $\psi_*(D_V) = D_Y$, and

$$K_V + D_V = \phi^*(K_X + D) = \psi^*(K_Y + D_Y).$$

Note that here D_V is not necessarily a boundary since it may have negative coefficients. In the previous setting, we write $(X, D) \simeq_{\text{cbir}} (Y, D_Y)$. Given (X, D) and $\alpha: X \dashrightarrow Y$ as above, there is a unique divisor D_Y for which $(X, D) \sim_{\text{cbir}} (Y, D_Y)$. The divisor D_Y is called the *crepant transform* of D on Y .

2.3. Complements and log Calabi-Yau pairs. Let (X, D) be a log canonical pair. We say (X, D) is *log Calabi-Yau pair* (or *log CY* for short) if $K_X + D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. In this case, D is called a \mathbb{Q} -*complement* of K_X . If $N(K_X + D) \sim 0$ for some N , we say that D is an N -*complement* of K_X . If (X, D) is a log Calabi-Yau pair and $(Y, D_Y) \sim_{\text{cbir}} (X, D)$, with $D_Y \geq 0$, then (Y, D_Y) is a log Calabi-Yau pair as well.

2.4. Dual complex and coregularity. Let $D = \sum D_i$ be a Cartier divisor on a smooth variety X . Recall that D has *simple normal crossings* (snc for short), if all the components D_i of D are smooth, and any point in D has an open neighborhood in the analytic topology that is analytically equivalent to the union of coordinate hyperplanes.

Definition 2.2. Let $D = \sum_{i=1}^r D_i$ be a simple normal crossing divisor on a smooth variety X . The *dual complex*, denoted by $\mathcal{D}(D)$ is a CW-complex constructed as follows. The simplices v_Z of $\mathcal{D}(D)$ are in bijection with irreducible components Z of the intersection $\bigcap_{i \in I} D_i$ for any subset $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}$, and the dimension of v_Z is equal to $\#I - 1$. The gluing maps are constructed as follows. For any subset $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}$, let $Z \subset \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i$ be any irreducible component, and for any $j \in I$ let W be a unique component of $\bigcap_{i \in I \setminus \{j\}} D_i$ containing Z . Then the gluing map is the inclusion of v_W into v_Z as a face of v_Z that does not contain the vertex v_j .

Note that the dimension of $\mathcal{D}(D)$ does not exceed $\dim X - 1$. If $\mathcal{D}(D)$ is empty, we set $\dim \mathcal{D}(D) = -1$. In what follows, for a divisor D by $D^{\neq 1}$, we denote the reduced sum of the components of D with coefficient one. For an lc log CY pair (X, D) , we define $\mathcal{D}(X, D)$ as $\mathcal{D}(D_Y^{\neq 1})$ where $f: (Y, D_Y) \rightarrow (X, D)$ is a log resolution of (X, D) , so that the formula

$$K_Y + D_Y = f^*(K_X + D)$$

is satisfied. It is known that the PL-homeomorphism class of $\mathcal{D}(D_Y^{\neq 1})$ does not depend on the choice of a log resolution, see [6, Proposition 11]. For more results on the topology of dual complexes of Calabi-Yau pairs, see [18].

Definition 2.3 ([29, 7.9],[25]). Let X be a klt Fano variety of dimension n . By the *regularity* $\text{reg}(X, D)$ of an lc log CY pair (X, D) we mean the number $\dim \mathcal{D}(X, D)$. For $l \geq 1$, we define the l -*th regularity* of X by the formula

$$\text{reg}_l(X) = \max \left\{ \text{reg}(X, D) \mid D \in \frac{1}{l} | -lK_X | \right\}.$$

Then the *regularity* of X is

$$\text{reg}(X) = \max_{l \geq 1} \{ \text{reg}_l(X) \}.$$

Note that $\text{reg}(X) \in \{-1, 0, \dots, \dim X - 1\}$ where by convention we say that the dimension of the empty set is -1 . The *coregularity* of an lc log CY pair (X, D) is defined as the number $n - 1 - \text{reg}(X, D)$. Also, we define:

$$\text{coreg}_l(X) = n - 1 - \text{reg}_l(X), \quad \text{and} \quad \text{coreg}(X) = n - 1 - \text{reg}(X).$$

Clearly, one has $\text{reg}_l(X) \leq \text{reg}_{kl}(X)$ for any $k, l \geq 1$.

2.5. Toric models. In this subsection, we recall the concepts of complexity, birational complexity, and toric models for log pairs.

Definition 2.4. Let (X, D) be a log pair. We define the *complexity* of (X, D) , denoted by $c(X, D)$ to be $\dim X + \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{Cl}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) - |D|$, where $|D|$ stands for the sum of the coefficients of D .

Definition 2.5. The *birational complexity* of a log Calabi–Yau pair (X, D) is defined to be:

$$c_{\text{bir}}(X, D) := \inf\{c(X', D') \mid (X', D') \simeq_{\text{cbir}} (X, D) \text{ and } D' \geq 0\}.$$

The *birational complexity* of a Fano variety X , on the other hand, is defined to be:

$$c_{\text{bir}}(X) := \inf\{c_{\text{bir}}(X, D) \mid (X, D) \text{ is log Calabi–Yau}\}.$$

Definition 2.6. We say that a log CY pair (X, B) has a *toric model* if the isomorphism holds

$$(X, D) \simeq_{\text{bir}} (\mathbb{P}^n, H_0 + \cdots + H_n),$$

for $n = \dim X$. We say that a Fano variety has a *toric model* if it admits a 1-complement D such that (X, D) has a toric model. Note that if a Fano variety X has a toric model then it must be rational. It is worth noting that any toric pair (X, D) where D is the reduced sum of the torus invariant divisors is crepant birational equivalent to $(\mathbb{P}^n, H_0 + \cdots + H_n)$.

2.6. Smooth toric Fano threefolds. In this subsection, we recall the list of smooth toric Fano threefolds.

Proposition 2.7 ([14]). *Let $X_{\rho, m}$ be a smooth Fano 3-fold. Then, the variety $X_{\rho, m}$ is toric if and only if $\rho, m \in \{1.17, 2.33, 2.34, 2.35, 2.36, 3.25, 3.26, 3.27, 3.28, 3.29, 3.30, 3.31, 4.9, 4.10, 4.11, 4.12, 5.2, 5.3\}$. In particular, any such $X_{\rho, m}$ admits a toric model.*

3. FANO THREEFOLDS OF HIGHER INDEX

Recall that the *index* $i(X)$ of a Fano variety X is a maximal natural number m such that $-K_X \sim mH$ where H is a Cartier divisor. It is well-known that if X is a smooth Fano threefold then $i(X) \leq 4$. Moreover, if $i(X) = 4$ then $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^3$, and if $i(X) = 3$ then X is isomorphic to a quadric in \mathbb{P}^4 . In this section, we treat the case of Fano threefolds of Picard rank 1 and index at least 2.

Theorem 3.1 ([7, Theorem 1.2]). *Let (\mathbb{P}^3, D) be an lc log CY pair where $D = \sum D_i$ is a reduced divisor. If $\text{coreg}(\mathbb{P}^3, D) = 0$, then (\mathbb{P}^3, D) admits a toric model.*

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(X, D = \sum D_i)$ be an lc log CY pair where X is a smooth Fano threefold. Assume that $\text{coreg}(X, D) \leq 1$. Then there is a point $x \in X$ such that D is singular in x .*

Proof. Assume that D is smooth. Then D is irreducible (recall that D is ample so its support is connected). Then the pair (X, D) is log smooth, and its dual complex is a point, so $\text{coreg}(X, D) = 2$. This contradiction shows that D is singular. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $(Q, D = \sum D_i)$ be an lc log CY pair where $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ is a smooth quadric and $\text{coreg}(Q, D) \leq 1$. Then (Q, D) is crepant birational to $(\mathbb{P}^3, D' = \sum D'_i)$. In particular, if $\text{coreg}(Q, D) = 0$ then (Q, D) admits a toric model. Consequently, Q admits a toric model.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, there exists a point $Q \in X$ which is a singular point of D . Now, project Q from the point P to \mathbb{P}^3 to obtain a pair $(\mathbb{P}^3, D' = \sum D'_i)$ which is crepant equivalent to (Q, D) . Apply Theorem 3.1 to conclude that if $\text{coreg}(Q, D) = 0$ then (Q, D) admits a toric model. Further, there exists a boundary D on Q with $\text{coreg}(Q, D) = 0$, cf. [2, Lemma 5.3]. This concludes the proof. \square

If $i(X) = 2$ then X is called a *del Pezzo threefold*. Put $d = (-K_X/2)^3$. Then $1 \leq d \leq 5$. In this section, we assume that $\rho(X) = 1$. We denote the corresponding Fano threefold by V_d . It is known that V_1, V_2 and V_3 are non-rational, so we have to consider the varieties V_4 and V_5 . By a *line* on X we mean a smooth rational curve with $(-K_X/2) \cdot L = 1$. The following lemma is well-known.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $X = V_d$ be a smooth del Pezzo threefold with $d \geq 3$ embedded into projective space via the linear system $| -K_X/2 |$. Then through any point $x \in X$ passes a line that belongs to X .*

Proof. By [21, Proposition 2.2.8] the Hilbert scheme of lines $F_1(X)$ on X is a smooth surface. Let $\mathcal{L}(X) \rightarrow F_1(X)$ be the universal family of lines on X . By [21, Proposition 2.2.6], the natural map $ev_{\mathcal{L}(X)}: \mathcal{L}(X) \rightarrow X$ is surjective, and the claim follows. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let V_d be a smooth del Pezzo threefold with $d \geq 3$ embedded into projective space via the linear system $| -K_X/2 |$. Let $(V_d, D = \sum D_i)$ be an lc log CY pair. Assume that D is reducible. Then there exists a line L on V_d such that $L \subset D$.*

Proof. Since a boundary D is reducible, we have $D = D_1 + D_2$. Indeed, note that $D \sim -K_X \sim 2H$ where H is a hyperplane section, and $\rho(X) = 1$. If each D_i is smooth, then each D_i is an anti-canonically embedded degree d del Pezzo surface. By assumption, we have $3 \leq d \leq 5$. It is classically known that such surfaces contain lines, so we can find $L \subset D_i$. Assume that some D_i is singular, so there exists a point $P \in D_i$ with $\text{mult}_P D_i \geq 2$. Consider a line L on X that passes through P , which exists by Lemma 3.4. Since $D_i \sim H$, we have $L \cdot D_i = 1$. On the other hand, if $L \not\subset D_i$ we have $L \cdot D_i \geq \text{mult}_P D_i \geq 2$, which is a contradiction. So we have $L \subset D$. \square

For an irreducible boundary D in V_d , we do not know whether there exists a line L with the property $L \subset D$. Note that the assumption that D is reducible implies $\text{coreg}(V_d, D) \leq 1$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let (V_4, D) be an lc log CY pair. Assume that D is reducible. Then (V_4, D) is crepant equivalent to $(\mathbb{P}^3, D' = \sum D'_i)$. If $\text{coreg}(V_4, D) = 0$, then (V_4, D) admits a toric model. Consequently, V_4 admits a toric model.*

Proof. It is known that X can be embedded in \mathbb{P}^5 where it is realized as the intersection of two quadric hypersurfaces. By Lemma 3.5, there exists a line L on V_4 such that $L \subset D$. Consider the projection of V_4 from L to \mathbb{P}^3 . We obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & & \\ \downarrow \phi & \searrow \psi & \\ V_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^5 & \dashrightarrow \alpha & \mathbb{P}^3 \end{array}$$

where ϕ is the blow-up of L and ψ is a contraction of a ruled surface to a curve of genus 2 in \mathbb{P}^3 . Since $L \subset D$, we see that for the log pullback (Y, D_Y) of (V_4, D) , the divisor D_Y is a boundary. Hence in the pushdown (\mathbb{P}^3, D') , D' is boundary as well. In particular, if $\text{coreg}(V_4, D) = 0$, then $\text{coreg}(\mathbb{P}^3, D') = 0$. By Theorem 3.1 we have that (\mathbb{P}^3, D') admits a toric model, hence (V_4, D) admits a toric model as well. Further, there exists a boundary $D = D_1 + D_2$ on V_4 with $\text{coreg}(V_4, D) = 0$ as constructed in [2, Lemma 5.4]. This concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Let (V_5, D) be an lc log CY pair. Assume that D is reducible. Then (V_5, D) is crepant equivalent to $(\mathbb{P}^3, D' = \sum D'_i)$. If $\text{coreg}(V_5, D) = 0$, then (V_5, D) admits a toric model. Consequently, V_5 admits a toric model.*

Proof. It is known that X can be realized as the intersection of the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(2, 5)$ in \mathbb{P}^9 with a subspace \mathbb{P}^6 . So we have $X \subset \mathbb{P}^6$. By Lemma 3.5, there exists a line L on V_5 such that $L \subset D$. Consider the projection of V_5 from L to \mathbb{P}^4 . We obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & & \\ \downarrow \phi & \searrow \psi & \\ V_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^6 & \dashrightarrow \alpha & Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4 \end{array}$$

where ϕ is the blow-up of L and ψ is a contraction of a ruled surface to a rational curve in Q . Since $L \subset D$, we see that for the log pullback (Y, D_Y) of (V_5, D) , the divisor D_Y is a boundary. Hence in the pushdown (\mathbb{P}^3, D') , D' is boundary as well. In particular, if $\text{coreg}(V_5, D) = 0$, then $\text{coreg}(\mathbb{P}^3, D') = 0$. By Theorem 3.1 we have that (\mathbb{P}^3, D') admits a toric model, hence (V_5, D) admits a toric model as well. Further, there exists a boundary $D = D_1 + D_2$ on V_5 with $\text{coreg}(V_5, D) = 0$ as constructed in [2, Lemma 5.4]. This concludes the proof. \square

4. FANO THREEFOLDS OF MAIN SERIES

In this section, we work in the following setting. Let X be a smooth Fano threefold with $\rho(X) = 1$ and $i(X) = 1$. In this case, X is called a Fano threefold of the main series. In what follows, by the *genus* of a smooth Fano threefold X of the main series, we mean the number:

$$g(X) = h^0(X, \mathcal{O}(-K_X)) - 2.$$

It is known that $2 \leq g \leq 12$, $g \neq 11$. In what follows, we denote by X_d a Fano threefold of the main series with $(-K_X)^3 = d$. It is known that $d = 2g(X) - 2$.

4.1. Lines and conics. By a *line* on a Fano threefold X of the main series we mean a smooth rational curve L such that $L \cdot (-K_X) = 1$. By [13, Proposition 1 and Corollary 1], we have either

$$N_{L/X} = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \quad \text{or} \quad N_{L/X} = \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(2).$$

If for all lines on X the second possibility is realized, then X is called *exotic*. It is known that for $g(X) \geq 9$ there exists a unique exotic Fano threefold X , namely, the Mukai-Umemura example [27]. It is also known that $F_1(X)$ is one-dimensional projective variety, and smooth points of $F_1(X)$ correspond to a lines whose normal bundle is $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$, see [21, Corollary 2.1.6]. For a general X we have that $F_1(X)$ is a smooth curve, so all lines have normal bundle $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$ [14, Theorem 4.2.7]. Also, through any point in X passes at most finitely many lines. It follows that for a general smooth Fano threefold of the main series, we always can find a line with normal bundle of the form $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$.

By a *conic* on a Fano threefold X of the main series we mean a smooth rational curve L such that $L \cdot (-K_X) = 2$. Denote by $F_2(X)$ the Hilbert schemes on conics on X . It is also known that if $g(X) \geq 7$, then $F_2(X)$ is a smooth irreducible surface [21, Proposition 2.3.6]. Moreover, a general conic on X intersects only finitely many lines on X .

Lemma 4.1. *A general Fano variety X_{16} admits a toric model.*

Proof. Let X be a general Fano threefold in the family $\mathfrak{N}^{\circ} 1.8$. Then $g(X) = 9$ and $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{10}$. We may assume that it is not exotic. By [14, Theorem 4.3.7(iii)] the variety X fits in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & Y' = \text{Bl}_{\Gamma}\mathbb{P}^3 \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\ X = X_{16} \subset \mathbb{P}^{10} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \mathbb{P}^3 \end{array} \quad (4.1)$$

where

- ϕ is the blow-up of a line on X ,
- α is a composition of flops,
- a birational map β is given by the linear system $|H - 2L|$ where H is a hyperplane section,
- ψ is the blow-up of a smooth non-hyperelliptic curve $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ of degree 7 and genus 3.

By [2, Lemma 6.4] there exists a reduced irreducible boundary D on X_{16} with $L \subset D$ and $\text{coreg}(X_{16}, D) = 0$. Thus, its crepant transform D' on \mathbb{P}^3 is also a boundary. By Theorem 3.1, we have that (\mathbb{P}^3, D') admits a toric model, hence (X_{16}, D) admits a toric model as well. \square

Lemma 4.2. *A general Fano variety X_{18} admits a toric model.*

Proof. Let X be a general Fano threefold in the family $\mathfrak{N}^{\circ} 1.9$. Then $g(X) = 10$ and $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{11}$. By [14, Theorem 4.3.7(ii)] the variety X fits in the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & Y' = \text{Bl}_{\Gamma}Q \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\ X = X_{18} \subset \mathbb{P}^{11} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4 \end{array} \quad (4.2)$$

where

- ϕ is the blow-up of a line on X ,
- α is a composition of flops,
- a birational map β is given by the linear system $|H - 2L|$ where H is a hyperplane section,
- ψ is the blow-up of a smooth curve $\Gamma \subset Q$ of degree 7 and genus 2 on a smooth quadric $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$.

By [2, Lemma 6.5] there exists a reduced irreducibly boundary D on X_{18} with $L \subset D$ and $\text{coreg}(X_{18}, D) = 0$. Thus, its crepant transform D' on Q is also a boundary. By Lemma 3.3 we have that (Q, D') admits a toric model, hence (X_{18}, D) admits a toric model as well. \square

Lemma 4.3. *A general Fano variety X_{22} admits a toric model.*

Proof. Let X be a Fano threefold in the family $\mathfrak{N}^{\circ} 1.10$ different from the Mukai-Umemura example, so it is not exotic. Then $g(X) = 12$ and $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{13}$. By [14, Theorem 4.3.7(i)] the variety X fits in the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & Y' = \text{Bl}_{\Gamma}V_5 \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\ X = X_{22} \subset \mathbb{P}^{13} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & V_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^6 \end{array} \quad (4.3)$$

where

- ϕ is the blow-up of a line on X ,
- α is a composition of flops,

- a birational map β is given by the linear system $|H - 2L|$ where H is a hyperplane section,
- ψ is blow-up of a smooth rational curve $\Gamma \subset V_5$ of degree 5 where V_5 is a del Pezzo threefold of degree 5.

By [2, Lemma 6.6] there exists a reduced irreducible boundary D on X_{22} with $L \subset D$ and $\text{coreg}(X_{22}, D) = 0$. Thus, its crepant transform D' on V_5 is also a boundary. Moreover, D' has the form $D' = D'_1 + D'_2$ where D'_1 and D'_2 are hyperplane sections, so D' is reducible. By Lemma 3.7 it follows that (V_5, D') admits a toric model, hence (X_{22}, D) admits a toric model as well. \square

Now we treat the case of Fano threefolds in the family $\mathbb{N}^{\circ}1.6$.

Lemma 4.4. *For a general X_{12} , there exists a boundary D on X such that D contains a smooth conic C on X , and such that $\text{coreg}(X, D) = 0$.*

Proof. To prove the claim, we recall a classical construction due to Iskovskikh. Let X be a general Fano threefold in the family $\mathbb{N}^{\circ}1.6$. Then $g(X) = 7$ and $X \subset \mathbb{P}^8$. By [12, Theorem 6.1(vii)], there exists the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Y & & \\
 \phi \downarrow & \searrow \alpha & \\
 X = X_{12} \subset \mathbb{P}^8 & \xrightarrow{\beta} & V_{2,2,2} \subset \mathbb{P}^6
 \end{array} \tag{4.4}$$

where

- ϕ is the blow-up of a line $L \subset X$,
- α is a small contraction, and it contracts precisely the strict transforms of 8 lines on X passing through L ,
- a birational map β is given by the linear system $|H - L|$,
- the morphism α is given by the linear system $|H - E|$ where $E \simeq \mathbb{F}_1$ is the ϕ -exceptional divisor.

The image of α is the intersection of 3 quadrics $V = V_{2,2,2}$ which has 8 ordinary double points p_1, \dots, p_8 as singularities. Moreover, V contains the isomorphic image $F = F_3$ of E , and F is a surface of degree 3 in $\mathbb{P}^4 \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ that passes through p_1, \dots, p_8 . Consider a pencil of hyperplane sections \mathcal{H} on V that contain F . Elements of \mathcal{H} on V are reducible. Each such element is the union of F and a del Pezzo surface R of degree 5. Let \mathcal{R} be the pencil of such residual surfaces. One check that $R \in \mathcal{R}$ restricts to F as an element of the linear system $| -K_F - \sum p_i |$ on $F \simeq \mathbb{F}_1$.

Consider the pair (V, D_V) where $D_V = F + R$ and $R \in \mathcal{R}$ is such an element that $R|_F$ is an irreducible curve with one node p . Such a curve exists provided that X is general, as shown by the equations in the proof of [2, Lemma 6.2]. Also, this node is disjoint from p_1, \dots, p_8 . Note that R may be singular, but it has no worse than lc singularities. Then the pair (V, D_V) is lc by inversion of adjunction on F . Let (Y, D_Y) be its strict transform on Y . By [2, Lemma 4.3] applied locally near p , we see that $\dim \mathcal{D}(Y, D_Y) = 2$ and so $\text{coreg}(Y, D_Y) = \text{coreg}(X, D) = 0$.

We show that the surface R contains a line L' . Indeed, a general element in \mathcal{R} is a quintic del Pezzo surface, so it contains a line in \mathbb{P}^8 . But a line can degenerate only to a line, so there exists a line $L' \subset R$. Then, L' intersects F at one point, so the strict transform of L' on X is a conic C . Also, we have $C \subset D$, and the claim follows. \square

Lemma 4.5. *A general Fano variety X_{12} admits a toric model.*

Proof. Put $X = X_{12}$. By Lemma 4.4, there exists a boundary D on X such that D contains a smooth conic C on X , and such that $\text{coreg}(X, D) = 0$. By [20, Theorem 5.9], for any smooth conic C on X , there exists the following commutative diagram²:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \overset{\alpha}{\dashrightarrow} & Y' = \text{Bl}_\Gamma Q \\ \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \psi \\ X = X_{12} \subset \mathbb{P}^8 & \overset{\beta}{\dashrightarrow} & Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4 \end{array} \quad (4.5)$$

where

- ϕ is the blow-up of C on X ,
- α is a flop,
- ψ is the blow-up of a curve Γ of degree 10 and genus 7 on Q ,
- a birational map β is given by the linear system $|H - C|$.

Since $C \subset D$, the strict transform D' of D on Q will be a boundary. Also, (Q, D') is an lc log CY pair of coregularity 0. Applying Lemma 3.3, we conclude that (Q, D') admits a toric model, hence (X, D) admits a toric model as well. \square

5. FANO THREEFOLDS WITH $\rho(X) > 1$

In this section, we aim to prove the main theorem for smooth Fano 3-folds X with $\rho(X) > 1$ which are not blow-ups of other smooth Fano 3-folds.

Lemma 5.1. *A Fano threefold in the family № 2.32 admits a toric model.*

Proof. Let X be a Fano threefold in the family № 2.32, that is, X is a divisor of bidegree $(1, 1)$ in $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. We can blow up a smooth rational curve $C \subset X$ which is of type $(1, 1)$ in $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ to obtain a Fano variety Y in the family № 3.20. It fits into the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & & \\ \downarrow \phi & \searrow \psi & \\ X \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 & \overset{\alpha}{\dashrightarrow} & Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4. \end{array} \quad (5.1)$$

Here the map ψ is the blow-up of two disjoint lines L_1 and L_2 on a smooth quadric $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$. By [2, Lemma 11.3] we can pick a boundary $D = D_1 + D_2 + D_3 + D_4$ on X such that $D_1, D_2 \sim (1, 0)$, $D_3, D_4 \sim (0, 1)$, and $\text{coreg}(X, D) = 0$. Moreover, we can pick D such that $C \subset D$. Then in its log pullback (Y, D_Y) , D_Y is a boundary, and hence in the pushdown (Q, D') we have that D' is a boundary as well. By Lemma 3.3 we see that (Q, D') admits a toric model, hence (X, D) admits a toric model as well. \square

Lemma 5.2. *A Fano threefold in the family № 2.24 admits a toric model.*

Proof. Let X be a Fano threefold of type № 2.24, that is, X is a divisor of bidegree $(1, 2)$ in $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. We establish the desired result by working explicitly in coordinates. By definition, X is given by equation

$$x_0 f_0(y_0, y_1, y_2) + x_1 f_1(y_0, y_1, y_2) + x_2 f_2(y_0, y_1, y_2) = 0$$

where $\deg f_i(y_0, y_1, y_2) = 2$. Let C be a degenerate fiber of a natural conic bundle $\text{pr}_1: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$. After a change of coordinates we may assume that C lies over a point $P = [1 : 0 : 0]$ and coincides with the set

²Note that in the statement of [20, Theorem 5.9], the conic C is assumed to be singular, but the proof works for a smooth conic as well.

$\{P\} \times \{f_0(y) = y_1y_2 = 0\}$. The induced map $\gamma: \text{Bl}_P\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ regularizes the projection map $\alpha: \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ from the plane $\{P\} \times \mathbb{P}^2$. Let $\phi: Y \rightarrow X$ be the induced blow-up of X in the curve C . We obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & & \\ \downarrow \phi & \searrow \psi & \\ X \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 & \dashrightarrow \alpha & \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2. \end{array} \quad (5.2)$$

Note that the map α is birational on X . Indeed, using the equation for X , we can express x_0 in terms of other variables, hence we obtain the inverse map $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow X$, defined on the set $\{f_0 \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$.

Let $D_1 = \{x_1 = 0\}$, $D_2 = \{x_2 = 0\}$ and $D_3 = \{y_2 = 0\}$ be divisors on X . Put $D = D_1 + D_2 + D_3$, then $K_X + D \sim 0$. Denote by D_Y the log pullback of D on Y under the map ϕ and let D' be its pushdown under the map ψ . Note that the exceptional divisor E of the morphism γ maps isomorphically on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ under the map ψ . We denote by $E|_Y = E_1 + E_2$ the exceptional divisor of ϕ . By the construction of the blow-up, $E_1 + E_2$ maps to $\{f_0(y) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ under the map ψ . Let us note that α_*D_i is given by the same equation in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ as D_i in $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. Consequently, we have $D' = B_0 + B_1 + B_2 + H_0 + H_1$, where $B_i = \{y_i = 0\}$ and $H_i = \{x_i = 0\}$. We can see that D' is invariant under standard torus action on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$, hence $(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2, D')$ is a toric pair. We conclude that (X, D) admits a toric model. \square

Lemma 5.3 (cf. [4, Proposition 5.1]). *A Fano threefold in the family $\mathcal{N}^{\circ} 2.18$ admits a toric model.*

Proof. Let X be a Fano threefold of type $\mathcal{N}^{\circ} 2.18$, that is, X is double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ ramified in a divisor R of bidegree $(2, 2)$. Note that the natural map $\pi_1: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a quadric bundle. Also, we have that $\pi_2: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is a conic bundle whose discriminant curve Δ is a quartic on \mathbb{P}^2 . Let H be the pullback of a line from \mathbb{P}^2 and let F be the pullback of a point from \mathbb{P}^1 . We have $K_X \sim -F - 2H$. Using [2, Lemma 11.5], we can pick a boundary $D = D_1 + D_2 + D_3$ where $D_1 \sim H$, $D_2 \sim H$, $D_3 \sim F$ with $K_X + D \sim 0$ and such that $\text{coreg}(X, D) = 0$.

Let $L = L_1 + L_2$ be a fiber of π_2 over a general point of Δ , so L_1 and L_2 are smooth rational curves intersecting in one point. We may also assume that $L \subset D_1$. Observe that the divisor $H + F$ is very ample and the linear system $|H + F|$ defines an embedding $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^6$. In fact, the image $g(X)$ belongs to the cone over $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ which is embedded in \mathbb{P}^5 . Note that $g(L_1)$ is a line in \mathbb{P}^6 .

Let $\phi: Y \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up of L_1 on X . Let $E \simeq \mathbb{F}_1$ be the exceptional divisor of f . Then Y is a weak Fano threefold. Put $B = \phi^*(H + F) - E$. Then $B^3 = 2$ and the divisor B is big and nef. On Y , the map given by the linear system $|B|$ regularizes the projection map from L_1 on $X \subset \mathbb{P}^6$. So we have a small birational morphism $\psi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ which contracts the strict preimage of L_2 on X_1 . We obtain the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & & \\ \downarrow \phi & \searrow \psi & \\ X \subset \mathbb{P}^6 & \dashrightarrow \alpha & Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4. \end{array} \quad (5.3)$$

Note that the image $Q = \psi(Y)$ has degree 2 in \mathbb{P}^4 , hence Q is a singular quadric hypersurface. In fact, it is a quadric of rank 4. Let D_Q be the crepant transform of D on Q . Project Q to \mathbb{P}^3 from a point that belongs to D_Q to obtain a pair (\mathbb{P}^3, D') where D' is the strict transform of D_Q on \mathbb{P}^3 . By Theorem 3.1, the pair (\mathbb{P}^3, D') admits a toric model. Hence the pair (X, D) admits a toric model as well. \square

Lemma 5.4. *Let X be a Fano threefold in the family $\mathcal{N}^{\circ} 3.2$. Then X admits a toric model.*

Proof. We have that X is a smooth divisor in a \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ of the form

$$\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1, -1)), \quad X \sim 2M + F_1 \sim 2L + 3F_1 + 2F_2$$

where M is the tautological divisor, F_1 is the pullback of the divisor l_1 of bidegree $(1, 0)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, F_2 is the pullback of the divisor l_2 of bidegree $(0, 1)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and $L \sim M - F_1 - F_2$. Note that a general fiber F_1 is a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree 6 and F_2 is a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree 3. By [2, Lemma 11.9], there exists a boundary D on X of coregularity 0 such that $K_X + D \sim 0$, $D = D_1 + D_2 + D_3 + D_4$ where $D_1 \sim L$, and $D_2 \sim F_1$, $D_3 \sim D_4 \sim F_2$ are general.

The linear system $|M|$ on \mathbb{P} induces a morphism $\phi: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^5$ whose image is a quadric Q' of rank 4, and the map $\mathbb{P} \rightarrow Q'$ is a small resolution. One check that the map ϕ induces a contraction $g: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $D_1 \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is contracted to \mathbb{P}^1 along one of its rulings. Also, the image $Y = \phi(X)$ has degree 5 in \mathbb{P}^5 . Note that Y admits the structure of a quadric bundle $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ (cf. [19, Proposition 3.9(iii)]).

We denote by F'_2 the strict transform of F_2 on Y . Observe that $F'_2 = \phi(F_2) \simeq F_2$ is a smooth cubic del Pezzo surface, and any divisor equivalent F'_2 contains the line L' which is the vertex of the quadric cone $Q' \subset \mathbb{P}^5$. Also, L' is a (-1) -curve on any smooth divisor equivalent to F'_2 . Let D'_i be the strict transform of D_i on Y . Pick a (-1) -curve L_1 on $D'_3 \sim F'_2$ such that L_1 is disjoint from L' . We have the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N_{L_1/D'_3} \rightarrow N_{L_1/Y} \rightarrow N_{D'_3/X_1}|_{L_1} \rightarrow 0$$

and $N_{L_1/D'_3} = \mathcal{O}(-1)$, $N_{D'_3/Y}|_{L_1} = \mathcal{O}(L')|_{L_1} = \mathcal{O}$. Thus the above sequence splits: $N_{L_1/Y} = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$. We will show that a projection from L_1 in \mathbb{P}^5 induces a birational map $\alpha: Y \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$. Let $\psi: X_1 \rightarrow Y$ be the blow-up of L_1 on Y . We obtain the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X_1 & \\ & \downarrow \psi & \searrow \pi \\ X & \xrightarrow{\phi} & Y \subset \mathbb{P}^5 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3. \end{array} \quad (5.4)$$

We claim that the map π is birational. First note that π is given by the linear system $|\psi^*M_1 - E|$ where M_1 is the class of hyperplane section in \mathbb{P}^5 , and $E = \text{Exc}(\psi)$. Compute

$$(\psi^*M_1 - E)^3 = \psi^*M_1^3 - 3(\psi^*M_1)|_E^2 + 3(\psi^*M_1)|_E E|_E - E^3 = 1.$$

where we used $E^3 = -c_1(N_{L_1/Y}) = 1$. Therefore the map π is birational. Thus we obtain a pair (\mathbb{P}^3, D'') with reduced boundary which is crepant equivalent to (X, D) and of coregularity 0. By Theorem 3.1, the pair (\mathbb{P}^3, D'') admits a toric model. Hence the pair (X, D) admits a toric model as well. \square

6. FANO THREEFOLDS THAT ARE BLOW-UPS

In this section, we consider Fano threefolds that can be realized as blow-ups of the varieties that we have already considered. First, we consider the case of products:

Proposition 6.1. *Let $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times S_d$ where S_d is a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree $1 \leq d \leq 5$. Then for $d \geq 2$, the variety X admits a toric model. For $d = 1$, a general element X in the family admits a toric model.*

Proof. If S_d is either a smooth del Pezzo surface of degree $d \geq 2$ or a general smooth del Pezzo of degree $d = 1$, then S_d admits a 1-complement of coregularity zero [2, Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.3]. Hence,

by [22, Theorem 1.6], we know that S_d admits a toric model. Therefore, we can find a 1-complement B_d of S_d for which $(S_d, B_d) \simeq_{\text{cbir}} (\mathbb{P}^2, H_0 + H_1 + H_2)$. In particular, we get

$$(\mathbb{P}^1, \{0\} + \{\infty\}) \times (S_d, B_d) \simeq_{\text{cbir}} (\mathbb{P}^1, \{0\} + \{\infty\}) \times (\mathbb{P}^2, H_0 + H_1 + H_2) \simeq_{\text{cbir}} (\mathbb{P}^3, H_0 + \cdots + H_3).$$

In the last isomorphism, we use the fact that any two toric log Calabi–Yau pairs of the same dimension are crepant birationally equivalent. Therefore, the variety X admits a toric model. \square

We do not consider the case $6 \leq d \leq 9$ in the above proposition since X is toric, and this case is treated in Proposition 2.7.

Proposition 6.2. *Let (Y, D_Y) be a lc log CY pair that admits a toric model. Let $\chi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a birational contraction, and let (X, D) be the log pullback of (Y, D_Y) . Assume that (X, D) is a pair. Then (X, D) admits a toric model.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that the pairs (X, D) and (Y, D_Y) are crepant birationally equivalent, and crepant birational equivalence is an equivalence relation. \square

We start by analyzing the varieties that can be obtained as a blow-up of Fano threefolds of higher index.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $X := X_{\rho, m}$ be a Fano threefold which is the blow-up of Y , where X and Y are as follows:*

- (1) $\rho, m \in \{2.30, 2.28, 2.27, 2.25, 2.15, 2.12, 2.9, 2.4, 3.18, 3.14, 3.12, 3.6, 4.6\}$, and $Y = \mathbb{P}^3$;
- (2) $\rho, m \in \{2.31, 2.29, 2.23, 2.21, 2.17, 2.13, 2.7, 3.20, 3.19, 3.15, 3.10, 4.4\}$, and $Y = Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$;
- (3) $\rho, m \in \{2.26, 2.22, 2.20, 2.14\}$, and $Y = V_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^6$; or
- (4) $\rho, m \in \{2.19, 2.16, 2.10\}$, and $Y = V_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$.

Then X admits a toric model.

Proof. In [2, Lemma 12.2, 13.1.1, 13.1.2] for each X and Y as in the statement of the lemma, the authors construct a 1-complement (Y, D_Y) with $\text{coreg}(Y, D_Y) = 0$ such that the log pull-back of (Y, D_Y) to X is a log pair. For $Y = \mathbb{P}^3$ and $Y = Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$, we conclude by applying Proposition 6.2, Theorem 3.1, and Lemma 3.3. In the case $Y = V_4$ and $Y = V_5$, we need to check that the boundary divisor D_Y is reducible. This is indeed the case by construction in [2, Lemma 12.2.3, 12.2.4]. Then we conclude by applying Proposition 6.2, Lemma 3.6, and Lemma 3.7. \square

Lemma 6.3 covers all smooth rational Fano threefolds with Picard number 2 which are non-toric, and not from the families № 2.32, 2.24, 2.18 considered in Section 5. Now, we treat the remaining families of smooth Fano threefolds with $\rho(X) \geq 3$, except for the family № 3.2 treated in Section 5.

Lemma 6.4. *Let X be a rational smooth Fano threefold such that $\rho(X) \geq 3$. Assume that X is the blow-up of some other Fano variety Y except for \mathbb{P}^3, Q, V_4 or V_5 . Then, the variety X admits a toric model.*

Proof. According to [2, Lemma 13.1], we can construct a 1-complement D' of Y for which $\text{coreg}(Y, D') = 0$ and its log pull-back to X remains a log pair. Thus, we only need to argue that (Y, D') admits a toric model. We quote the proof of [2, Lemma 13.1] in case the result is not immediate and then construct a toric model for the corresponding boundary D' on Y . We will proceed in 8 different cases depending on the isomorphism class of the variety Y :

Case 1: The variety Y is a smooth divisor of bidegree $(1, 1)$ in $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$.

In this case, X belongs to the families № 3.24, 3.13, 3.7, 4.7. For any boundary D' on Y constructed in [2, Lemma 13.1.3], we can find a rational curve C of bidegree $(1, 1)$ in D' . Using the commutative diagram (5.1), we obtain a boundary of coregularity zero on a quadric hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^4 , which gives us a toric model.

Case 2: The variety Y is $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$.

In this case, X belongs to the families № 3.22, 3.21, 3.17, 3.8, 3.5, 3.3, 4.5. We denote by π_1 and π_2 the natural projections from Y to \mathbb{P}^1 and \mathbb{P}^2 , respectively. We consider the following birational map $\phi : Y \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$, explicitly given by the formula

$$\phi([y_0 : y_1], [x_0 : x_1 : x_2]) = [x_0 y_0 : x_0 y_1 : y_1 x_1 : y_1 x_2].$$

Therefore, after blowing up of Y in a curve C of bidegree $(1, 1)$ we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Bl}_C Y & & \\ \pi \downarrow & \searrow & \\ Y = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbb{P}^3 \end{array} \tag{6.1}$$

where π is a blow-up. The boundary D' on Y as in [2, Lemma 13.1.4], can be chosen such that $C \subset D'$. Thus, the log pull-back of (Y, D') to \mathbb{P}^3 gives a log Calabi–Yau pair (\mathbb{P}^3, D'') of index one and coregularity zero. Hence, the pair (\mathbb{P}^3, D'') and so (Y, D') admit a toric model.

Case 3: The variety Y is $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

In this case, X belongs to the families № 4.13, 4.8, 4.3, 4.1. Let L_1 and L_2 be two rational curves of degrees $(0, 0, 1)$ and $(1, 0, 0)$, respectively. Note that $\text{Bl}_{L_i} Y \cong \text{Bl}_{C_1, C_2} \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$, where C_1 and C_2 are disjoint curves and each of them projects isomorphically on \mathbb{P}^1 . Thus, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Bl}_{L_i} Y & \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} & \text{Bl}_{C_1, C_2} \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \\ \pi_i \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ Y = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\phi_i} & \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2. \end{array} \tag{6.2}$$

Here π_i and p are blow-ups, and α_i is an isomorphism. It is easy to see that a divisor of degree $(0, 0, 1)$ maps to a divisor of degree $(1, 0)$ under the map ϕ_1 . Analogously, a divisor of degree $(1, 0, 0)$ maps to a divisor of degree $(1, 0)$ under the map ϕ_2 .

For any boundary D' on Y as in [2, Lemma 13.1.5], we can choose L_i such that log-pullback of D' under that map π_i is a boundary on $\text{Bl}_{L_i} Y$. After pushing down via the morphism p , we obtain a log Calabi–Yau pair $(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2, B)$ of coregularity zero. Moreover, B contains a divisor of degree $(1, 0)$, hence it contains a rational curve C of degree $(1, 1)$. As in the previous case, we can blow up $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ in C to obtain a log Calabi–Yau pair on \mathbb{P}^3 of coregularity zero. We conclude after applying Theorem 3.1.

Case 4: The variety Y is V_7 .

That is, the variety Y is the blow-up of a point P_1 in \mathbb{P}^3 . In this case, the variety X belongs to the families № 3.23, 3.16, 3.11. For any boundary D' on Y as in [2, Lemma 13.1.6], its crepant transform to X is a boundary D with $\text{coreg}(X, D) = 0$. Furthermore, the push-forward of D to \mathbb{P}^3 is a reduced boundary.

Thus, we obtain a log Calabi–Yau pair of coregularity zero on \mathbb{P}^3 , hence (Y, D') admits a toric model.

Case 5: The variety Y is $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$.

Then X is a Fano threefold in the family № 3.9. Recall that X is the blow-up of a smooth quartic curve that is contained in a projective plane equivalent to a tautological divisor on Y . So we can pick a toric boundary D' on Y containing this curve. Hence D' pulls back to a boundary divisor D on X . Since the pair (Y, D') is toric, it has a toric model, and so does (X, D) .

Case 6: The variety Y is $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1, 1))$.

In this case, the variety X is a Fano threefold in the family № 4.2. Recall that X is the blow-up of an elliptic curve contained in a surface equivalent to a tautological divisor on Y . So we can pick a toric boundary D' on Y containing this curve. Hence D' pulls back to a boundary divisor D on X . Since the pair (Y, D') is toric, it has a toric model, and so does (X, D) .

Case 7: The variety Y is a Fano threefold in the family № 2.18.

In this case, X is a Fano variety № 3.4. Let D' be a boundary on Y as in [2, Lemma 13.1.7], see also Lemma 5.3. Then its crepant transform to X is a boundary D with $\text{coreg}(X, D) = 0$. By Lemma 5.3, (Y, D') has a toric model, and so does (X, D) .

Case 8: The variety Y is a blow-up of a smooth quadric $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ in a conic C .

In this case, X is a Fano threefold in the family № 5.1. For a boundary D' on Y constructed in [2, Lemma 13.1.10], its crepant transform to X is a boundary D with $\text{coreg}(X, D) = 0$. Furthermore, the push-forward of D to $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ is a reduced boundary. Thus, we obtain a log Calabi–Yau pair of coregularity zero on Q , hence (Y, D') admits a toric model. \square

7. EXAMPLES AND QUESTIONS

In this section, we collect some examples and questions. The following three examples show that the three conditions: the generality, the smoothness, and the rationality, are indeed necessary for Theorem 1.

Example 7.1. In this example, we exhibit a rational smooth Fano 3-fold X that does not admit a 1-complement of birational complexity zero. Let S be a smooth del Pezzo surface of rank one with $\text{coreg}(S) \geq 1$. Consider $X := \mathbb{P}^1 \times S$. We argue that X does not have coregularity zero. If this was the case, then [25, Proposition 3.28] would imply that S has coregularity zero. Hence, we would obtain a contradiction by [2, Proposition 2.3].

Lemma 7.2. *Let X be a projective variety that has a closed point $x \in X$ which is an exceptional singularity that is not canonical. Then, X does not admit a toric model.*

Proof. If X admits a toric model, then there is a 1-complement (X, D) . Since $x \in X$ is not a canonical singularity and $K_X + D \sim 0$, we conclude that D passes through x . This contradicts the fact that $x \in X$ is an exceptional singularity (see, e.g., [14, Proposition 2.4]). \square

Example 7.3. Let $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{C})$ be a finite group acting on $\mathbb{C}^3_{x_0, x_1, x_2}$ such that G has no semi-invariants of degree ≤ 3 and \mathbb{C}^3/G has an isolated singularity at the image $x \in X$ of the origin (see, e.g., [23, Theorem 1.2]). The variety \mathbb{C}^3/G is an orbifold cone over \mathbb{P}^2/H where H is the image of G in $\mathrm{PGL}(3, \mathbb{C})$. Note that \mathbb{P}^2/H is a klt Fano surface so it is a rational variety. This implies that \mathbb{C}^3/G is a rational variety.

The group G acts on \mathbb{P}^3 by acting on the first three coordinates. Let $X := \mathbb{P}^3/G$ be the quotient which is a klt Fano variety with an isolated exceptional quotient singularity $x \in X$. Note that the action of G on \mathbb{P}^3 commutes with the action of \mathbb{G}_m given by $t \cdot [x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3] = [tx_0 : tx_1 : tx_2 : x_3]$. Then, the \mathbb{G}_m -action descends to X and \mathbb{G}_m acts as the identity on the exceptional divisor of the plt blow-up at x . Let $\mu_d \leq \mathbb{G}_m$ be the subgroup of d roots of unity. Let $X_d := X/\mu_d$. Let $x_d \in X_d$ be the image of $x \in X$. Then, for d large enough the minimal log discrepancy of X_d at x_d is smaller than one. Further, a finite quotient of an exceptional singularity is again an exceptional singularity. We conclude that X_d is a rational klt Fano variety with an isolated exceptional quotient singularity that is not canonical. By Schlessinger's theorem on the rigidity of isolated quotient singularities, we conclude that every deformation X_η of X_d admits an isolated exceptional quotient singularity that is not canonical. Thus, by Lemma 7.2, we conclude that no deformation X_η of X_d admits a toric model.

Example 7.4. A smooth quartic 3-fold, i.e., a smooth hypersurface $X_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ is a Fano variety that is not rational. Then, X_4 does not admit a toric model.

Our work states that a general rational smooth Fano 3-fold is log rational, i.e., it admits a toric model. However, as shown by Example 7.1, the general assumption is indeed needed. A natural aim is to complete the picture initiated by this article.

Problem 7.5. *Complete the description of smooth Fano 3-folds with toric models.*

It is worth mentioning that the only examples of rational smooth Fano 3-folds X for which we know that there is no toric model do not have coregularity zero. Thus, in order to tackle Problem 7.5, it is reasonable to consider the following question (which is a special case of [7, Conjecture 1.4]).

Question 7.6. *Let X be a rational smooth Fano 3-fold. Let (X, D) be a log Calabi–Yau pair of index one and coregularity zero. Does (X, D) admit a toric model?*

We are not aware of general smooth Fano varieties in higher dimensions that do not admit toric models.

Question 7.7. *Is there a higher-dimensional (of dimension at least 4) example of a general rational smooth Fano variety that has no toric model?*

In [8], the authors define the concept of cluster type Fano varieties. These are special varieties admitting toric models. More precisely, a Fano variety X of dimension n is said to be *cluster type* if there exists a crepant birational map $\phi: (\mathbb{P}^n, H_0 + \dots + H_n) \dashrightarrow (X, B)$, with $B \geq 0$ for which $\mathrm{Ex}(\phi) \cap \mathbb{G}_m^n$ has codimension at least two in \mathbb{G}_m^n . Fano varieties of cluster type are tightly related to cluster algebras (see [8, Theorem 1.3]). Every general smooth del Pezzo surface is of cluster type. Thus, it is natural to classify which general smooth Fano 3-folds are of cluster type.

Question 7.8. *Which smooth rational Fano 3-folds are of cluster type?*

8. TABLE OF SMOOTH FANO 3-FOLDS WITH TORIC MODELS

In this section, we present a table that collects all families of smooth Fano 3-folds and describes which of them admit toric models. In the column “Brief description”, we give a description of the general element of

such a family of smooth Fano 3-folds. In the column “Gen. rational”, we describe whether a general Fano variety in such a family is rational. In the column “Toric model”, we mention which statement of the paper is used to deduce that such general rational smooth Fano 3-fold admits a toric model.

TABLE 1. Toric models of smooth Fano threefolds

Family	$-K_X^3$	Brief description	Gen. rational	Toric model
1.1	2	A hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 1, 3)$ of degree 6.	No	
1.2	4	A hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^4 of degree 4 or a double cover of a smooth quadric in \mathbb{P}^4 branched over a surface of degree 8.	No	
1.3	6	A complete intersection of a quadric and a cubic in \mathbb{P}^5 .	No	
1.4	8	A complete intersection of three quadrics \mathbb{P}^6 .	No	
1.5	10	A section of $\text{Gr}(2, 5) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ by quadric and linear subspace of dimension 7 or a double cover of 1-15 with branch locus an anti-canonical divisor.	No	
1.6	12	A section of the Hermitian symmetric space $M = G/P \subset \mathbb{P}^{15}$ of type DIII by a linear subspace of dimension 8.	Yes	Lemma 4.5
1.7	14	A section of $\text{Gr}(2, 6) \subset \mathbb{P}^{14}$ by a linear subspace of codimension 5.	No	
1.8	16	A section of the Hermitian symmetric space $M = G/P \subset \mathbb{P}^{19}$ of type CI by a linear subspace of dimension 10	Yes	Lemma 4.1
1.9	18	a section of the 5-dimensional rational homogeneous contact manifold $G_2/P \subset \mathbb{P}^{13}$ by a linear subspace of dimension 11	Yes	Lemma 4.2
1.10	22	a zero locus of three sections of the rank 3 vector bundle $\wedge^2 \mathcal{Q}$, where \mathcal{Q} is the universal quotient bundle on $\text{Gr}(3, 7)$	Yes	Lemma 4.3
1.11	8	V_1 that is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2, 3)$ of degree 6	No	
1.12	16	V_2 that is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 1, 2)$ of degree 4	No	
1.13	24	V_3 that is a hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^4 of degree 3	No	
1.14	32	V_4 that is a complete intersection of two quadrics in \mathbb{P}^5	Yes	Lemma 3.6
1.15	40	V_5 that is a section of $\text{Gr}(2, 5) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ by linear subspace of codimension 3	Yes	Lemma 3.7
1.16	54	Q that is a hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^4 of degree 2	Yes	Lemma 3.3
1.17	64	\mathbb{P}^3	Yes	Theorem 3.1
2.1	4	a blow-up of the Fano threefold V_1 along an elliptic curve that is an intersection of two divisors from $ \frac{1}{2}K_{V_1} $	No	
2.2	6	a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ whose branch locus is a divisor of bidegree (2, 4)	No	
2.3	8	the blow-up of the Fano threefold V_2 along an elliptic curve that is an intersection of two divisors from $ \frac{1}{2}K_{V_2} $	No	
2.4	10	the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^3 along an intersection of two cubics	Yes	Lemma 6.3
2.5	12	the blow-up of the threefold $V_3 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ along a plane cubic	No	
2.6	12	a divisor on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ of bidegree (2, 2) or a double cover of W whose branch locus is a surface in $ -K_W $	No	
2.7	14	the blow-up of Q along the intersection of two divisors from $ \mathcal{O}_Q(2) $	Yes	Lemma 6.3

2.8	14	a double cover of V_7 whose branch locus is a surface in $ -K_{V_7} $	No	
2.9	16	the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a curve of degree 7 and genus 5 which is an intersection of cubics	Yes	Lemma 6.3
2.10	16	the blow-up of $V_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ along an elliptic curve which is an intersection of two hyperplane sections	Yes	Lemma 6.3
2.11	18	the blow-up of V_3 along a line	No	
2.12	20	the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a curve of degree 6 and genus 3 which is an intersection of cubics	Yes	Lemma 6.3
2.13	20	the blow-up of $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ along a curve of degree 6 and genus 2	Yes	Lemma 6.3
2.14	20	the blow-up of $V_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ along an elliptic curve which is an intersection of two hyperplane sections	Yes	Lemma 6.3
2.15	22	the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^3 along the intersection of a quadric and a cubic surface	Yes	Lemma 6.3
2.16	22	the blow-up of $V_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ along a conic	Yes	Lemma 6.3
2.17	24	the blow-up of $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ along an elliptic curve of degree 5	Yes	Lemma 6.3
2.18	24	a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ whose branch locus is a divisor of bidegree (2, 2)	Yes	Lemma 5.3
2.19	26	the blow-up of $V_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ along a line	Yes	Lemma 6.3
2.20	26	the blow-up of $V_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ along a twisted cubic	Yes	Lemma 6.3
2.21	28	the blow-up of $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ along a twisted quartic	Yes	Lemma 6.3
2.22	30	the blow-up of $V_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ along a conic	Yes	Lemma 6.3
2.23	30	the blow-up of $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ along a curve of degree 4 that is an intersection of a surface in $ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1) _Q $ and a surface in $ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2) _Q $	Yes	Lemma 6.3
2.24	30	a divisor on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ of bidegree (1, 2)	Yes	Lemma 5.2
2.25	32	the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^3 along an elliptic curve which is an intersection of two quadrics	Yes	Lemma 6.3
2.26	34	the blow-up of the threefold $V_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ along a line	Yes	Lemma 6.3
2.27	38	the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a twisted cubic	Yes	Lemma 6.3
2.28	40	the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a plane cubic	Yes	Lemma 6.3
2.29	40	the blow-up of $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ along a conic	Yes	Lemma 6.3
2.30	46	the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a conic	Yes	Lemma 6.3
2.31	46	the blow-up of $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ along a line	Yes	Lemma 6.3
2.32	48	W that is a divisor on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ of bidegree (1, 1)	Yes	Lemma 5.1
2.33	54	the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a line	Yes	Proposition 2.7
2.34	54	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$	Yes	Proposition 2.7
2.35	56	$V_7 \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$	Yes	Proposition 2.7
2.36	62	$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2))$	Yes	Proposition 2.7
3.1	12	a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ branched in a divisor of type (2, 2, 2)	No	
3.2	14	a divisor on a \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(-1, -1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(-1, -1))$ such that $X \in L^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(2, 3) $, where L is the tautological line bundle	Yes	Lemma 5.4
3.3	18	a divisor on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ of type (1, 1, 2)	Yes	Lemma 6.4.(2)
3.4	18	the blow-up of the Fano threefold Y from the family № 2.18 along a smooth fiber of the composition $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ of the double cover with the projection	Yes	Lemma 6.4.(7)

3.5	20	the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ along a curve C of bidegree $(5, 2)$ such that the composition $C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is an embedding	Yes	Lemma 6.4.(2)
3.6	22	the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a disjoint union of a line and an elliptic curve of degree 4	Yes	Lemma 6.3
3.7	24	the blow-up of the threefold W along an elliptic curve that is an intersection of two divisors from $ -\frac{1}{2}K_W $	Yes	Lemma 6.4.(1)
3.8	24	a divisor in $ (\alpha \circ \pi_1)^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)) \otimes \pi_2^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)) $, where $\pi_1: \mathbb{F}_1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_1$ and $\pi_2: \mathbb{F}_1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ are projections, and $\alpha: \mathbb{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is a blow up of a point	Yes	Lemma 6.4.(2)
3.9	26	the blow-up of a cone $W_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ over the Veronese surface $R_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ with center in a disjoint union of the vertex and a quartic on $R_4 \cong \mathbb{P}^2$	Yes	Lemma 6.4.(5)
3.10	26	the blow-up of $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ along a disjoint union of two conics	Yes	Lemma 6.3
3.11	28	the blow-up of the threefold V_7 along an elliptic curve that is an intersection of two divisors from $ -\frac{1}{2}K_{V_7} $	Yes	Lemma 6.4.(4)
3.12	28	the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a disjoint union of a line and a twisted cubic	Yes	Lemma 6.3
3.13	30	the blow-up of $W \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ along a curve C of bidegree $(2, 2)$ such that $\pi_1(C) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\pi_2(C) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ are irreducible conics, where $\pi_1: W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\pi_2: W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ are natural projections	Yes	Lemma 6.4.(1)
3.14	32	the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a disjoint union of a plane cubic curve that is contained in a plane $\Pi \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ and a point that is not contained in Π	Yes	Lemma 6.3
3.15	32	the blow-up of $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ along a disjoint union of a line and a conic	Yes	Lemma 6.3
3.16	34	the blow-up of V_7 along a proper transform via the blow-up $\alpha: V_7 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ of a twisted cubic passing through the center of the blow-up α	Yes	Lemma 6.4.(4)
3.17	36	a divisor on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ of type $(1, 1, 1)$	Yes	Lemma 6.4.(2)
3.18	36	the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a disjoint union of a line and a conic	Yes	Lemma 6.3
3.19	38	the blow-up of $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ at two non-collinear points	Yes	Lemma 6.3
3.20	38	the blow-up of $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ along a disjoint union of two lines	Yes	Lemma 6.3
3.21	38	the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ along a curve of bidegree $(2, 1)$	Yes	Lemma 6.4.(2)
3.22	40	the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ along a conic in a fiber of the projection $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$	Yes	Lemma 6.4.(2)
3.23	42	the blow-up of V_7 along a proper transform via the blow-up $\alpha: V_7 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ of an irreducible conic passing through the center of the blow-up α	Yes	Lemma 6.4.(4)
3.24	42	$W \times_{\mathbb{P}^2} \mathbb{F}_1$, where $W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle and $\mathbb{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is the blow-up	Yes	Lemma 6.4.(1)
3.25	44	the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a disjoint union of two lines	Yes	Proposition 2.7
3.26	46	the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^3 with center in a disjoint union of a point and a line	Yes	Proposition 2.7
3.27	48	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$	Yes	Proposition 2.7
3.28	48	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{F}_1$	Yes	Proposition 2.7

3.29	50	the blow-up of the Fano threefold V_7 along a line in $E \cong \mathbb{P}^2$, where E is the exceptional divisor of the blow-up $V_7 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$	Yes	Proposition 2.7
3.30	50	the blow-up of V_7 along a proper transform via the blow-up $\alpha: V_7 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ of a line that passes through the center of the blow-up α	Yes	Proposition 2.7
3.31	52	the blow-up of a cone over a smooth quadric in \mathbb{P}^3 at the vertex	Yes	Proposition 2.7
4.1	24	divisor on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ of multidegree $(1, 1, 1, 1)$	Yes	Lemma 6.4.(3)
4.2	28	the blow-up of the cone over a smooth quadric $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ along a disjoint union of the vertex and an elliptic curve on S	Yes	Lemma 6.4.(6)
4.3	30	the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ along a curve of type $(1, 1, 2)$	Yes	Lemma 6.4.(3)
4.4	32	the blow-up of the smooth Fano threefold Y from the family № 3.19 along the proper transform of a conic on the quadric $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ that passes through both centers of the blow-up $Y \rightarrow Q$	Yes	Lemma 6.3
4.5	32	the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ along a disjoint union of two irreducible curves of bidegree $(2, 1)$ and $(1, 0)$	Yes	Lemma 6.4.(2)
4.6	34	the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^3 along a disjoint union of three lines	Yes	Lemma 6.3
4.7	36	the blow-up of $W \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ along a disjoint union of two curves of bidegree $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 0)$		Lemma 6.4.(1)
4.8	38	the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ along a curve of type $(0, 1, 1)$	Yes	Lemma 6.4.(3)
4.9	40	the blow-up of the smooth Fano threefold Y from the family № 3.25 along a curve that is contracted by the blow up $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$	Yes	Proposition 2.7
4.10	42	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times S_7$	Yes	Proposition 2.7
4.11	44	the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{F}_1$ along a curve $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ such that C is contained in a fiber $F \cong \mathbb{F}_1$ of the projection $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and $C \cdot C = -1$ on F	Yes	Proposition 2.7
4.12	46	the blow-up of the smooth Fano threefold Y from the family № 2.33 along two curves that are contracted by the blow-up $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$	Yes	Proposition 2.7
4.13	26	the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ along a curve of type $(1, 1, 3)$	Yes	Lemma 6.4.(3)
5.1	28	the blow-up of the smooth Fano threefold Y from the family № 2.29 along three curves that are contracted by the blow-up $Y \rightarrow Q$	Yes	Lemma 6.4.(8)
5.2	36	the blow-up of the smooth Fano threefold Y in the family 3.25 along two curves $C_1 \neq C_2$ that are contracted by the blow-up $\phi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ and that are contained in the same exceptional divisor of the blow-up ϕ	Yes	Proposition 2.7
5.3	36	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times S_6$	Yes	Proposition 2.7
6.1	30	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times S_5$	Yes	Proposition 6.1
7.1	24	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times S_4$	Yes	Proposition 6.1
8.1	18	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times S_3$	Yes	Proposition 6.1
9.1	12	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times S_2$	Yes	Proposition 6.1
10.1	6	$\mathbb{P}^1 \times S_1$	Yes	Proposition 6.1

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Alzati and M. Bertolini. On the rationality of Fano 3-folds with $B_2 \geq 2$. *Matematiche (Catania)*, 47(1):63–74, 1992.
- [2] A. Avilov, K. Loginov, and V. Przyjalkowski. Coregularity of smooth Fano threefolds, 2023, [arXiv:2309.16784](https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.16784).

- [3] M. V. Brown, J. McKernan, R. Svaldi, and H. R. Zong. A geometric characterization of toric varieties. *Duke Math. J.*, 167(5):923–968, 2018. doi:10.1215/00127094-2017-0047.
- [4] T. Ciorca, S. Tanimoto, and Y. Tschinkel. Intermediate Jacobians and linearizability, 2024, arXiv:2403.06047.
- [5] A. Conte. *Introduzione alle varietà algebriche a tre dimensioni*, volume 22 of *Quaderni dell’Unione Matematica Italiana [Publications of the Italian Mathematical Union]*. Pitagora Editrice, Bologna, 1982.
- [6] T. de Fernex, J. Kollár, and C. Xu. The dual complex of singularities. In *Higher dimensional algebraic geometry—in honour of Professor Yujiro Kawamata’s sixtieth birthday*, volume 74 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 103–129. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2017. doi:10.2969/aspm/07410103.
- [7] T. Ducat. Quartic surfaces up to volume preserving equivalence, 2024, *Selecta Math.*, 30(2).
- [8] J. Enwright, F. Figueroa, and J. Moraga. Log Calabi-Yau pairs of birational complexity zero, 2024, arXiv:2404.05878.
- [9] F. Figueroa, S. Filipazzi, J. Moraga, and J. Peng. Complements and coregularity of Fano varieties, 2022, arXiv:2211.09187.
- [10] M. Gross, P. Hacking, and S. Keel. Moduli of surfaces with an anti-canonical cycle. *Compos. Math.*, 151(2):265–291, 2015. doi:10.1112/S0010437X14007611.
- [11] V. A. Iskovskikh. Fano 3-folds, i, 1977, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 41 (1977) 516– 562 (Russian), English transl.: *Math. USSR, Izv.* 11, 4
- [12] V. A. Iskovskikh. Fano 3-folds, ii, 1978, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 42 (1978) 504–549 (Russian), English transl.: *Math. USSR, Izv.* 12, 4
- [13] V. A. Iskovskikh. A double projection from a line on Fano threefolds of the first kind., 1989, *Math. USSR Sb.* 66 265–284 (1990); translation from *Mat. Sb.* 180(2) 260–278 (1989).
- [14] V. A. Iskovskikh and Y. G. Prokhorov. Algebraic geometry v: Fano varieties, 1999, *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, Book 47*. Springer, 1999.
- [15] A.-S. Kaloghiros. Some examples of Calabi-Yau pairs with maximal intersection and no toric model, 2020, In: Colombo, E., Fantechi, B., Frediani, P., Iacono, D., Pardini, R. (eds) *Birational Geometry and Moduli Spaces*. Springer INdAM Series, v
- [16] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda, and K. Matsuki. Introduction to the minimal model problem. In *Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985*, volume 10 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 283–360. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987. doi:10.2969/aspm/01010283.
- [17] J. Kollár and S. Mori. *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, volume 134 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511662560. With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original.
- [18] J. Kollár and C. Xu. The dual complex of Calabi-Yau pairs. *Invent. Math.*, 205(3):527–557, 2016. doi:10.1007/s00222-015-0640-6.
- [19] A. Kuznetsov and Y. Prokhorov. One-nodal Fano threefolds with Picard number one, 2023, arXiv:2312.13782.
- [20] A. Kuznetsov and Y. Prokhorov. Rationality of Fano threefolds over non-closed fields. *Amer. J. of Math.*, 145(2):335–411, 2023. doi:10.1353/ajm.2023.0008.
- [21] A. Kuznetsov, Y. Prokhorov, and C. Shramov. Hilbert schemes of lines and conics and automorphism groups of Fano threefolds, 2018, *Jpn. J. Math.* 13, 109–185.
- [22] S. K. M. Gross, P. Hacking. Mirror symmetry for log Calabi-Yau surfaces., 2015, *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, Tome 122, 65–168 (2015).
- [23] D. Markushevich and Y. G. Prokhorov. Exceptional quotient singularities. *Amer. J. Math.*, 121(6):1179–1189, 1999. URL http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/american_journal_of_mathematics/v121/121.6markushevich.pdf.
- [24] M. Mauri and J. Moraga. Birational complexity and dual complexes, 2024, arXiv:2402.10136.
- [25] J. Moraga. Coregularity of Fano varieties, 2022, arXiv:2206.10834.
- [26] S. Mori and S. Mukai. Classification of Fano 3-folds with $B_2 \geq 2$. *Manuscripta Math.*, 36(2):147–162, 1981/82. doi:10.1007/BF01170131.
- [27] S. Mukai and H. Umemura. Minimal rational threefolds, 1982, In *Algebraic Geometry: Proc. Japan France Conf., Lecture Notes in Mathematics*
- [28] V. V. Shokurov. Prym varieties: theory and applications. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 47(4):785–855, 1983.
- [29] V. V. Shokurov. Complements on surfaces. In *Complements on surfaces*, volume 102, pages 3876–3932. J. Math. Sci. (New York), 2000. doi:10.1007/BF02984106. Algebraic geometry, 10.

STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, MOSCOW, RUSSIA.
Email address: `loginov@mi-ras.ru`

UCLA MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, BOX 951555, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095-1555, USA
Email address: `jmoraga@math.ucla.edu`

NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, MOSCOW, RUSSIAN FEDERATION.
Email address: `aavasilkov@edu.hse.ru`