

GROUND STATE DECAY FOR SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS WITH CONFINING POTENTIALS

MIŁOSZ BARANIEWICZ

ABSTRACT. We give two-sided estimates of a ground state for Schrödinger operators with confining potentials. We propose a semigroup approach, based on resolvent and the Feynman–Kac formula, which leads to a new, rather short and direct proof. Our results take the sharpest form for slowly varying, radial and increasing potentials.

Key-words: eigenfunction, slowly varying potentials, Feynman–Kac formula, heat kernel, integral kernel, resolvent

2010 *MS Classification:* 47D08, 60J65, 35K05, 26A12

1. INTRODUCTION

The goal of the paper is to give the upper and the lower estimate at infinity of the ground state eigenfunction for the Schrödinger operator

$$H = -\Delta + V, \quad \text{acting in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad d \geq 1,$$

where Δ is the classical Laplacian and $V : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a confining (i.e. $V(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$), locally bounded potential. The spatial behaviour of eigenfunctions for Schrödinger operators involving the Laplacian and more general second order differential operators are now a classical topic, see Agmon [1, 2], Reed and Simon [18], and Simon [20]. There is a huge literature concerning the estimates of ground state eigenfunctions of H with confining potentials. Here we refer the reader to the celebrated works by Carmona and Simon [6], Carmona [5] and the other contributions discussed and quoted in these papers. The sharpest known result (see [10, Section 4]) was obtained for the power-type potential $V(x) = |x|^{2\beta}$, where $\beta > 1$, and it says that the ground state eigenfunction $\varphi_0(x)$ is comparable at infinity to the function

$$|x|^{-\beta/2+(d-1)/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{1+\beta}|x|^{1+\beta}\right).$$

Observe that $|x|^{1+\beta} = \sqrt{V(x)}|x|$. The best pointwise estimates that apply to more general continuous confining potentials were obtained in [6]. These bounds are given in terms of the Agmon distance and for sufficiently regular potentials they are sharp enough to ensure that

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log \varphi_0(x)}{\varrho(x)} = 1,$$

for an explicit function ϱ . We note in passing that when Δ is replaced with a non-local Lévy operator L , then more is known – see [14] for sharp two-sided estimates of φ_0 for a large class of L 's and V 's.

The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

⁰Research was supported by National Science Centre, Poland, grant no. 2019/35/B/ST1/02421.

Date: 15th July 2024.

Theorem 1.1. *Let φ_0 be the ground state of the Schrödinger operator $H = -\Delta + V$ with locally bounded, confining potential $V : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. Then the following hold.*

(I) *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ there exist $c, r > 0$ such that*

$$\varphi_0(x) \geq c \exp\left(- (1 + \varepsilon) \sqrt{V^\delta(x)} |x|\right), \quad |x| \geq r,$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad V^\delta(x) := \sup_{z \in B_{|x|+\delta}(0)} V(z).$$

(II) *For every $\varepsilon, \delta \in (0, 1)$ there exist $c, r > 0$ such that*

$$\varphi_0(x) \leq c \exp\left(- (1 - \varepsilon) \delta \sqrt{V_\delta(x)} |x|\right), \quad |x| \geq r,$$

where

$$(1.3) \quad V_\delta(x) := \inf_{z \in B_{\delta|x|}(x)} V(z).$$

Note that for every $\delta \in (0, 1)$ we have $V^\delta(x), V_\delta(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 uses the semigroup-of-operators and resolvent techniques. It is inspired by the qualitatively sharp two-sided estimates of integral kernels for Schrödinger semigroups which we have obtained just recently in [3]. We propose an argument which allows one to derive the lower and the upper estimate of the ground state from the respective estimate of the integral kernel of the semigroup $\{e^{-tH} : t \geq 0\}$, without losing too much information. Our approach leads to rather short and direct proofs. We believe this method can also be used in different settings, for different types of potentials.

Estimates in Theorem 1.1 take the sharpest form for radial and increasing potentials which grow at infinity not too fast.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $V(x) = g(|x|)$ for some increasing function $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $g(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Then the following hold.*

(I) *If for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\delta, t_0 > 0$ satisfying*

$$g((1 + \delta)t) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)g(t), \quad t > t_0,$$

then there are $c, r > 0$ such that

$$\varphi(x) \geq c \exp\left(- (1 + \varepsilon) \sqrt{V(x)} |x|\right), \quad |x| \geq r.$$

(II) *If for every $\varepsilon, \delta \in (0, 1)$ there exists $t_0 > 0$ satisfying*

$$g((1 - \delta)t) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)g(t), \quad t > t_0,$$

then there are $c, r > 0$ such that

$$\varphi(x) \leq c \exp\left(- (1 - \varepsilon) \sqrt{V(x)} |x|\right), \quad |x| \geq r.$$

If the assumptions in (I) and (II) are satisfied, then (1.1) holds with $\rho(x) = \sqrt{V(x)} |x|$.

In particular, if g is a function slowly varying at infinity, i.e. for every $\lambda > 0$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(\lambda r)}{g(r)} = 1,$$

then (I) and (II) hold. Moreover, if g grows at infinity at most linearly fast, e.g.

$$g(r) = ar^\alpha + b, \quad \text{with } \alpha > 0 \text{ and } a, b > 0,$$

then (I) holds as well.

We remark that our results for radial, slowly increasing and continuous potentials can also be derived from the estimates obtained in [6]. However, when the potential grows at infinity very slow, our bounds seem to be more accessible.

The properties of Schrödinger operators and the corresponding semigroups in both local and non-local settings are rather well understood for potentials which grow fast at infinity; this is related to the *intrinsic ultracontractivity* which provides a lot of regularity [11]. On the other hand, the theory for potentials slowly growing or decaying at infinity just started to shape up. This is now a very active area of research in probabilistic potential theory, see e.g. [3, 7, 8, 15] (see also recent contribution to the theory of classical Schrödinger semigroups with singular potentials [4, 9, 13]). We believe that our work will turn out to be a valuable contribution to this field both in terms of results and methods.

Acknowledgement. I want to thank Kamil Kaleta for all the help and discussions during preparation of this paper.

2. LOWER BOUND

Recall that the Schrödinger semigroup $\{e^{-tH} : t \geq 0\}$ consists of integral operators, i.e. there exists a continuous function $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \ni (t, x, y) \mapsto u_t(x, y)$ such that

$$U_t f(x) = e^{-tH} f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_t(x, y) f(y) dy, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, dx), \quad t > 0.$$

We have $u_t(x, y) = u_t(y, x)$ and $0 < u_t(x, y) \leq g_t(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t > 0$, where

$$g_t(x, y) = g_t(y - x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|y - x|^2}{4t}\right).$$

is the standard Gauss–Weierstrass kernel. Let φ_0 be the *ground state* of H i.e. the unique eigenfunction corresponding to eigenvalue $\lambda_0 := \inf \sigma(H) > 0$. It is known that φ_0 is bounded, continuous and strictly positive on \mathbb{R}^d . Clearly, we have

$$(2.1) \quad e^{-\lambda_0 t} \varphi_0(x) = U_t \varphi_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t > 0.$$

For more information on Schrödinger semigroups we refer the reader to [12, 18, 20].

The resolvent or λ -potential operator corresponding to Laplacian Δ is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad R_\lambda u(x) := \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(y) g_t(y - x) e^{-\lambda t} dy dt, \quad \lambda > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

for every nonnegative or bounded Borel function u . It is a convolution operator with the kernel

$$r_\lambda(y) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} g_t(y) dt, \quad y \neq 0.$$

We remark that the operator Δ is the infinitesimal generator of the process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$, where $X_t = B_{2t}$ and $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the standard Brownian motion with the variance \sqrt{t} (or, equivalently, with generator $(1/2)\Delta$). Clearly, the resolvent kernel $\tilde{r}_\lambda(y)$ corresponding to the operator $(1/2)\Delta$ is connected to $r_\lambda(y)$ through the relation

$$\tilde{r}_\lambda(y) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} g_{t/2}(y) dt = 2 \int_0^\infty e^{-2\lambda t} g_t(y) dt = 2r_{2\lambda}(y).$$

It is known (see e.g. [19, Example 7.14]) that

$$\tilde{r}_\lambda(y) = \frac{1}{\pi^{d/2}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\lambda}}{2|y|} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}-1} K_{\frac{d}{2}-1}(\sqrt{2\lambda}|y|)$$

where K_v is the modified Bessel function of the second kind [17, 10.25]. By [17, 10.25.3], we have

$$\left| \sqrt{\frac{2r}{\pi}} K_v(r) e^r - 1 \right| \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

which implies that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\rho, c > 0$ such that for $|y| \geq \rho$ and $\lambda \geq 1$ we have

$$(2.3) \quad r_\lambda(y) \geq ce^{-(1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\lambda}|y|}.$$

The following proposition is the key observation in this section. It allows one to derive the lower bound for the ground state $\varphi_0(x)$ from a certain form of the lower estimate of the kernel $u_t(x, y)$. The proof uses the resolvent.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $W : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that $W(x) \xrightarrow{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \infty$. Assume that there exist $c_1, \rho_1 > 0$ such that for $|x| > \rho_1$, $y \in B_1(0)$ and $t > 0$ we have*

$$(2.4) \quad u_t(x, y) \geq c_1 \exp(-W(x)t) g_t(x, y).$$

Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $c_2 = c_2(\varepsilon) > 0$ and $\rho_2 = \rho_2(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for $|x| > \rho_2$ we have

$$(2.5) \quad \varphi_0(x) \geq c_2 e^{-(1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{W(x)|x|}}.$$

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Integrating on both sides of (2.1) with $\int_0^\infty (\dots) dt$ and using Tonelli's theorem yield

$$\varphi_0(x) = \lambda_0 \int_0^\infty U_t \varphi_0(x) dt \geq \lambda_0 \int_{B_1(0)} \int_0^\infty u_t(x, y) \varphi_0(y) dt dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Further, by applying (2.4), we get for $|x| > \rho_1$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0(x) &\geq c_1 \lambda_0 \int_{B_1(0)} \int_0^\infty \exp(-W(x)t) g_t(x, y) \varphi_0(y) dt dy \\ &= c_1 \lambda_0 \int_{B_1(0)} r_{W(x)}(y-x) \varphi_0(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon' > 0$ be such that $(1 + \varepsilon')^2 = (1 + \varepsilon)$. We choose $\rho_2 > \rho_1$ in such a way that $|x - y| \leq (1 + \varepsilon')|x|$ and $W(x) \geq 1$ when $|x| > \rho_2$ and $y \in B_1(0)$, and (2.3) holds with ε' and some constant $c > 0$ when $|y| > \rho_2$.

Then, by monotonicity of $r_\lambda(\cdot)$ and (2.3), we get for $|x| > \rho_2$ and $y \in B_1(0)$

$$\begin{aligned} r_{W(x)}(x-y) &\geq r_{W(x)}((1 + \varepsilon')x) \\ &\geq ce^{-(1+\varepsilon')^2\sqrt{W(x)|x|}} = ce^{-(1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{W(x)|x|}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\varphi_0(x) \geq c_2 e^{-(1+\varepsilon)\sqrt{W(x)|x|}}, \quad |x| > \rho_2,$$

with $c_2 = cc_1 \lambda_0 \int_{B_1(0)} \varphi_0(y) dy$. □

We note that the estimate (2.4) is inspired by the lower bound in [3, Lemma 5.1], but the original statement is not sharp enough for our purposes. We therefore revisit its proof to make it as sharp as needed here. Recall that V^δ is defined in (1.2).

Lemma 2.2. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ there exist $c_1, \rho_1 > 0$ such that for $|x| > \rho_1$, $y \in B_1(0)$ and $t > 0$ we have*

$$u_t(x, y) \geq c_1 \exp(-(1 + \varepsilon)V^\delta(x)t) g_t(x, y).$$

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. We choose $\rho_1 > 2$ in such a way that for $|x| > \rho_1$ we have

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{\mu_0}{(|x| + \delta)^2} + \frac{1}{\delta^2} \leq \varepsilon V^\delta(x),$$

where $\mu_0 > 0$ is the principal eigenvalue of the operator $-\Delta_{B_1(0)}$ – the positive Dirichlet Laplacian on the unit ball $B_1(0)$.

Recall that the operator $\Delta_{B_r(0)}$ is the infinitesimal generator of the process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ killed upon exiting an open ball $B_r(0)$. The transition densities of this process are denoted by $g_t^{B_r(0)}(x, y)$, i.e. we have

$$\mathbf{P}_x(X_t \in A, t < \tau_{B_r(0)}) = \int_A g_t^{B_r(0)}(x, y) dy, \quad x \in B_r(0), t > 0,$$

for every Borel subset A of $B_r(0)$. Here by $\tau_{B_r(0)}$ we denote the first exit time of the process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ from $B_r(0)$, and \mathbf{P}_x is the probability of the process starting at $x \in B_r(0)$. From [16, Corollary 1] we know that there exists a constant $c \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$(2.7) \quad g_t^{B_r(0)}(x, y) \geq c \frac{1 \wedge \frac{(r-|x|)(r-|y|)}{t}}{(1 \wedge \frac{r^2}{t})^{(d+2)/2}} \exp\left(-\mu_0 \frac{t}{r^2}\right) g_t(x, y), \quad r > 0, x, y \in B_r(0), t > 0.$$

By the representation of the kernel u_t from [12, Proposition 2.7], for $|x| > 2, y \in B_1(0)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_t(x, y) &\geq \lim_{s \nearrow t} \mathbf{E}_x \left[e^{-\int_0^s V(X_u) du} g_{t-s}(X_s, y) ; s < \tau_{B_{|x|+\delta}(0)} \right] \\ &\geq e^{-tV^\delta(x)} \lim_{s \nearrow t} \mathbf{E}_x \left[g_{t-s}^{B_{|x|+\delta}(0)}(X_s, y) ; s < \tau_{B_{|x|+\delta}(0)} \right] \\ &= e^{-tV^\delta(x)} g_t^{B_{|x|+\delta}(0)}(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by using (2.7), the inequality $1 \wedge a \geq e^{-1/a}$ valid for $a > 0$, and (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_t^{B_{|x|+\delta}(0)}(x, y) &\geq c \left(1 \wedge \frac{\delta^2}{t}\right) \exp\left(-\mu_0 \frac{t}{(|x| + \delta)^2}\right) g_t(x, y) \\ &\geq c \exp\left(-\left(\frac{\mu_0}{(|x| + \delta)^2} + \frac{1}{\delta^2}\right)t\right) g_t(x, y) \\ &\geq c \exp(-\varepsilon V^\delta(x)t) g_t(x, y) \quad \text{for } |x| > \rho_1, y \in B_1(0), \end{aligned}$$

and, consequently,

$$u_t(x, y) \geq c \exp(-(1 + \varepsilon)V^\delta(x)t) g_t(x, y), \quad |x| > \rho_1, y \in B_1(0).$$

□

3. UPPER BOUND

The following result is a counterpart of Proposition 2.1 for the upper bound.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $W : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a function such that $W(x) \xrightarrow{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \infty$. Assume that there are constants $c_1, A > 0$ and $a \geq 1$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, x \neq 0$, and $t > 0$ we have*

$$(3.1) \quad u_t(x, y) \leq c_1 \exp\left(-\left(AW(x)t \wedge \sqrt{W(x)}|x|\right)\right) g_{at}(x, y).$$

Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $c_2, \rho > 0$ such that for $|x| > \rho$ we have

$$(3.2) \quad \varphi_0(x) \leq c_2 \|\varphi_0\|_\infty \exp\left(-\left(1 - \varepsilon\right)\sqrt{W(x)}|x|\right).$$

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and set $\rho > 0$ such that $|x| > \rho$ implies $\frac{\lambda_0}{\varepsilon A} \leq W(x)$. Let $|x| > \rho$. By (2.1) and (3.1) we have

$$\varphi_0(x) \leq \|\varphi_0\|_\infty e^{\lambda_0 t} U_t \mathbf{1}(x) \leq c_1 \|\varphi_0\|_\infty e^{\lambda_0 t} \exp\left(-\left(AW(x)t \wedge \sqrt{W(x)}|x|\right)\right),$$

for all $t > 0$. Choosing $t = \frac{|x|}{A\sqrt{W(x)}}$ yields

$$\varphi_0(x) \leq c_1 \|\varphi_0\|_\infty e^{\lambda_0 \frac{|x|}{A\sqrt{W(x)}}} \exp\left(-\sqrt{W(x)}|x|\right).$$

Because $|x| > \rho$, we finally get

$$\varphi_0(x) \leq c_1 \|\varphi_0\|_\infty \exp\left(-\left(1 - \varepsilon\right)\sqrt{W(x)}|x|\right).$$

□

The structure of (3.1) is motivated by [3, Lemma 4.5]. However, our application in this paper require a stronger version of this bound and, therefore, we need to improve it. The proof differs from the original one in some details. We decided to present here an almost complete reasoning for the reader convenience. Recall that V_δ is defined in (1.3).

Lemma 3.2. *For every $\varepsilon, \delta \in (0, 1)$ there are constants $a, c > 1$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $x \neq 0$, $t > 0$ we have*

$$(3.3) \quad u_t(x, y) \leq c \exp\left(-\left(\frac{1}{a}V_\delta(x)t \wedge (1 - \varepsilon)\delta\sqrt{V_\delta(x)}|x|\right)\right) g_{at}(x, y).$$

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $x \neq 0$, $t > 0$ and let $b > 1$ be such $(1 - \varepsilon)\sqrt{b} < 1$.

Denote $a = b/(b - 1)$ so that $1/a + 1/b = 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} u_t(x, y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_{t/a}(x, z) u_{t/b}(z, y) dz = \mathbf{E}_x \left[e^{-\int_0^{t/a} V(X_s) ds} u_{t/b}(X_{t/a}, y) \right] \\ &= \mathbf{E}_x \left[e^{-\int_0^{t/a} V(X_s) ds} u_{t/b}(X_{t/a}, y); t/a < \tau_{B_{\delta|x|}(x)} \right] \\ &\quad + \mathbf{E}_x \left[e^{-\int_0^{t/a} V(X_s) ds} u_{t/b}(X_{t/a}, y); t/a \geq \tau_{B_{\delta|x|}(x)} \right] \\ &=: I_1 + I_2, \end{aligned}$$

and further,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq e^{-(t/a)V_\delta(x)} \mathbf{E}_x \left[g_{t/b}(X_{t/a}, y); t/a < \tau_{B_{\delta|x|}(x)} \right], \\ I_2 &\leq \mathbf{E}_x \left[e^{-\int_0^{\tau_{B_{\delta|x|}(x)}} V(X_s) ds} g_{t/b}(X_{t/a}, y) \right] \leq \mathbf{E}_x \left[e^{-V_\delta(x)\tau_{B_{\delta|x|}(x)}} g_{t/b}(X_{t/a}, y) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality, we get

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq e^{-(t/a)V_\delta(x)} \mathbf{P}_x(t/a < \tau_{B_{\delta|x|}(x)})^{\frac{1}{b}} \mathbf{E}_x \left[(g_{t/b}(X_{t/a}, y))^a \right]^{\frac{1}{a}} \\ &\leq e^{-(t/a)V_\delta(x)} \mathbf{E}_x \left[(g_{t/b}(X_{t/a}, y))^a \right]^{\frac{1}{a}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.5) \quad I_2 \leq \mathbf{E}_x \left[e^{-bV_\delta(x)\tau_{B_{\delta|x|}(x)}} \right]^{\frac{1}{b}} \mathbf{E}_x \left[(g_{t/b}(X_{t/a}, y))^a \right]^{\frac{1}{a}}.$$

Estimate in [3, Remark 4.4] applied to $\lambda = bV_\delta(x)$ and $r = \delta|x|$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_x \left[e^{-bV_\delta(x)\tau_{B_{\delta|x|}(x)}} \right]^{\frac{1}{b}} &= \mathbf{E}_0 \left[e^{-bV_\delta(x)\tau_{B_{\delta|x|}(0)}} \right]^{\frac{1}{b}} \\ &\leq C^{1/b} e^{-(1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{b\delta}\frac{\sqrt{V_\delta(x)|x|}}{\sqrt{b}}} = C^{1/b} e^{-(1-\varepsilon)\delta\sqrt{V_\delta(x)|x|}}, \end{aligned}$$

with the constant $C = C(\varepsilon) \geq 1$, and

$$\mathbf{E}_x \left[(g_{t/b}(X_{t/a}, y))^a \right]^{\frac{1}{a}} \leq a^{\frac{d}{2}} b^{\frac{d}{2b}} g_{at}(x, y)$$

as in the original proof. Using these bounds we can continue estimating in (3.4), (3.5):

$$\mathbf{I}_1 \leq C^{1/b} a^{\frac{d}{2}} b^{\frac{d}{2b}} \exp\left(-\frac{t}{a}V_\delta(x)\right) g_{at}(x, y),$$

$$\mathbf{I}_2 \leq C^{1/b} a^{\frac{d}{2}} b^{\frac{d}{2b}} \exp\left(-(1-\varepsilon)\delta\sqrt{V_\delta(x)|x|}\right) g_{at}(x, y),$$

which immediately leads to a conclusion. \square

4. THE PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For the proof of the lower bound we set $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$. We fix $\varepsilon' > 0$ such that $(1 + \varepsilon')^{3/2} = 1 + \varepsilon$ and use the estimate in Lemma 2.2 for ε' as assumption (2.4) with $W(x) = (1 + \varepsilon')V^\delta(x)$ in Proposition 2.1. The final estimate is then obtained as (2.5) for the same ε' .

The upper bound is proved similarly, we fix $\varepsilon, \delta \in (0, 1)$. We set $\varepsilon' > 0$ in such a way that $(1 - \varepsilon')^2 = (1 - \varepsilon)$ and use the estimate in Lemma 3.2 with such ε' as assumption (3.1) with $W(x) = (1 + \varepsilon')^2\delta^2V_\delta(x)$ and $A = 1/(a(1 - \varepsilon')^2\delta^2)$, where a comes from Lemma 3.2, in Proposition 3.1. Again, the claimed bound is obtained as the estimate (3.2) for ε' . \square

Proof of Corollary 1.2. It is enough to observe that by respective assumptions in Parts (I) and (II) we have the following: for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\rho \geq 1$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that for $|x| > \rho$ we have

$$V^\delta(x) = g(|x| + \delta) \leq g((1 + \delta)|x|) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)g(|x|) = (1 + \varepsilon)V(x)$$

and, for every $\varepsilon, \delta \in (0, 1)$ there exists $\rho \geq 1$ such that for $|x| > \rho$ we have

$$V_\delta(x) = g((1 - \delta)|x|) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)g(|x|) = (1 - \varepsilon)V(x).$$

Then the assertion in (I) is a straightforward consequence of the lower estimate in Theorem 1.1, and the assertion in (II) follows from the the upper bound in Theorem 1.1 because we can choose δ to be arbitrarily close to 1. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Agmon Lectures on Exponential Decay of Solutions of Second-Order Elliptic Equations: Bounds on Eigenfunctions of N-Body Schrodinger Operators. *Princeton University Press*, 1982
- [2] S. Agmon Bounds on exponential decay of eigenfunctions of Schrödinger operators *Springer Berlin Heidelberg*, 1–38, 1985
- [3] M. Baraniewicz, K. Kaleta. Integral kernels of Schrödinger semigroups with nonnegative locally bounded potentials. *Stud. Math.* 275:147–173, 2024.
- [4] K. Bogdan, J. Dziubański, and K. Szczypkowski. Sharp Gaussian estimates for heat kernels of Schrödinger operators. *Integr. Equat. Operat.*, 91(1):Paper No. 3, 20, 2019.
- [5] R. Carmona. Pointwise bounds for Schrödinger eigenstates. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 62(2):97–106, 1978.
- [6] R. Carmona and B. Simon. Pointwise bounds on eigenfunctions and wave packets in N -body quantum systems. V. Lower bounds and path integrals. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 1:59–98, 1981.

- [7] X. Chen and J. Wang. Two-sided heat kernel estimates for Schrödinger operators with unbounded potentials. *Ann. Probab.*, 52(3): 1016-1047, 2024.
- [8] X. Chen and J. Wang. Sharp two-sided heat kernel estimates for Schrödinger operators with decaying potentials. *arXiv*, 2401.09005, 2024+.
- [9] S. Cho and R. Song. Fractional Laplacian with supercritical killings *arXiv*, 2403.03298, 2024+.
- [10] E. B. Davies. *Heat kernels and spectral theory*, volume 92 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [11] E. B. Davies and B. Simon. Ultracontractivity and the heat kernel for Schrödinger operators and Dirichlet laplacians. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 59(2):335–395, 1984.
- [12] M. Demuth and J. A. Van Casteren. *Stochastic spectral theory for selfadjoint Feller operators: A functional integration approach*. Birkhäuser Basel, 2000.
- [13] T. Jakubowski, K. Szczypkowski Sharp and plain estimates for Schrödinger perturbation of Gaussian kernel. *J. Anal. Math.*, 152, 255–282, 2023.
- [14] K. Kaleta, J. Lőrinczi. Pointwise eigenfunction estimates and intrinsic ultracontractivity-type properties of Feynman–Kac semigroups for a class of Lévy processes. *Ann. Probab.*, 43(3): 1350-1398, 2015.
- [15] K. Kaleta and R. L. Schilling. Progressive intrinsic ultracontractivity and heat kernel estimates for non-local Schrödinger operators. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 279(6):108606, 2020.
- [16] J. Małecki and G. Serafin. Dirichlet heat kernel for the Laplacian in a ball. *Potential Anal.*, 52:545–563, 2020.
- [17] *NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions*. <http://dlmf.nist.gov/>, Release 1.1.2 of 2021-06-15. F. W. J. Olver, A. B. Olde Daalhuis, D. W. Lozier, B. I. Schneider, R. F. Boisvert, C. W. Clark, B. R. Miller, B. V. Saunders, H. S. Cohl, and M. A. McClain, eds.
- [18] M. Reed and B. Simon. *Methods of modern mathematical physics. IV. Analysis of operators*. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1978.
- [19] R. Schilling. *Brownian Motion: A Guide to Random Processes and Stochastic Calculus*. De Gruyter, Berlin, Boston, 2021.
- [20] B. Simon. Schrödinger semigroups. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 7(3): 447-526 , 1982.

(M. Baraniewicz) FACULTY OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, WROCLAW UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, UL. WYBRZEŻE WYSPIAŃSKIEGO 27, 50-370 WROCLAW, POLAND

Email address: milosz.baraniewicz@pwr.edu.pl