

# Understanding the approach to thermalization from the eigenspectrum of non-Abelian gauge theories

Harshit Pandey,<sup>1</sup> Ravi Shanker,<sup>1</sup> and Sayantan Sharma<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, a CI of Homi Bhabha National Institute, Chennai 600113, India*

We study the spectral properties of SU(3) gauge theory with and without dynamical quarks (QCD) at thermal equilibrium using lattice gauge theory techniques. By measuring eigenstates of a massless overlap Dirac operator on the gauge configurations, we provide a gauge invariant method to study spectral properties of non-Abelian gauge theories. Whereas the majority of these eigenstates below the magnetic scale have universal nearest-neighbor level spacing fluctuations consistent with certain class of random matrix theories at temperatures away from the chiral crossover transition in QCD, a few among them start to become prominent just above the crossover forming clusters percolating over the entire volume. By matching the non-perturbative magnetic scales in a high temperature thermal state and a particular non-equilibrium chaotic state of QCD, we provide an estimate of thermalization time  $\sim 1.44$  fm/c.

PACS numbers: 12.38.Gc, 11.15.Ha, 11.30.Rd, 11.15.Kc

**Introduction** The eigenspectrum of the Hamiltonian of an isolated quantum system provides valuable insights not only on dynamical properties such as their approach to thermalization but also their properties in thermal equilibrium through the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH) [1–4]. Bohigas, Giannoni and Schmit (BGS) conjectured that [5] quantum fluctuations of systems whose classical dynamics is chaotic can be described, based on symmetries, by one of three distinct Wigner classifications of random matrix theory (RMT). Whereas this conjecture has been verified in spin systems with different global as well as local symmetries but no such verification exists yet for non-Abelian gauge theories. Eigenstates with universal RMT fluctuations may provide a route towards thermalization in (strongly) interacting isolated quantum systems [6, 7].

Notwithstanding the challenge to construct a quantum Hamiltonian for non-Abelian gauge theories, studying its spectral properties is crucial to understand how such systems with strong color (gauge) interactions thermalize. From ab-initio lattice field theory simulations it is now known that non-Abelian SU(3) gauge theory coupled to two dynamical light quarks and a heavier strange quark that transform under fundamental representation of the gauge group and described by a theoretical framework called Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD), undergoes a smooth crossover thermal transition [8–11]. This occurs due to the fact that QCD with two light quarks has a non-singlet  $SU_V(2) \times SU_A(2)$  chiral symmetry at high temperatures which breaks into its  $SU_V(2)$  subgroup below a crossover temperature  $T_c = 156.5(1.5)$  MeV [12]. The confinement of color degrees of freedom also happens around the same temperature [13], leading to the formation of color singlet baryon and meson states. The singlet  $U_A(1)$  part of the chiral symmetry, though anomalous is believed to affect the nature of the phase transition of QCD with two light quark [14] flavors depending on its *effective* magnitude at  $T_c$ , and is an ongoing area of re-

search [15–27]. In QCD without dynamical quarks, the confinement of color charges occur via a weak first-order phase transition [28] at a temperature around  $T_d \sim 300$  MeV, the mechanism of which is still not understood [29]. It is thus important to address the question how non-Abelian gauge theories thermalize [30, 31] in the early universe to undergo such a phase transition, which results in the formation of  $\sim 99\%$  of the visible matter in the universe.

In this letter, we provide an estimate of thermalization time  $\simeq 1.44$  fm/c by matching the non-perturbative *magnetic* scale [32] in a thermal state at  $T \simeq 624$  MeV as well as in a particular non-equilibrium state in QCD [33–35]. The choice for this non-equilibrium dense gluon dominated state is motivated by the Color Glass Condensate effective theory of QCD [36–40], where evidences from experimental data from heavy-ion colliders favor such an initial condition of the strongly-interacting matter formed [41]. Furthermore this choice of initial temperature is typically obtained in the locally thermalized matter formed during Pb-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$  GeV per nucleon at CERN [42]. This particular non-equilibrium state is dominated by weakly interacting gluons whose occupation numbers are non-perturbatively large [36, 43, 44] and thus classical. We also show that such a state shows chaotic behavior which is characterized by a positive Lyapunov exponent. On the other hand the thermalized state has spectral properties consistent with a particular RMT universality leading to a first verification of the BGS conjecture for a non-Abelian gauge theory in three spatial dimensions. A crucial observation in this study is that gluon momentum modes below this *magnetic* scale are over-occupied (classical) both in the non-equilibrium as well as in the high temperature thermal state, and the color degrees of freedom solely contribute to the universal fluctuations in the spectrum. The presence of light fermions (quarks) does not alter the universality, rather only contributes to stretching the

magnetic scale to a larger magnitude, ensuring a faster thermalization.

We also study how the spectral properties of the thermal states change as the temperature is cooled down to  $T_c$  and below which the dynamical light quarks become increasingly relevant in determining the structural properties of the eigenstates and driving the phase transition. The properties of these quantum states are discussed in the second section after briefly describing our numerical set-up. The subsequent section builds upon the discussion on thermalization time in QCD eventually concluding with the implications of our study.

**Numerical Set-up** In order to study the Dirac eigenspectrum of QCD with two light and one heavier strange quark, we have used gauge configurations generated by the HotQCD collaboration [11] using domain wall discretization for fermions [45], which respects chiral symmetry on the lattice to a very good extent. The lattice spacing  $a$  is set keeping the temperature fixed  $T = 1/(N_\tau \cdot a)$  at values 139-186 MeV and is such that the spatial extent  $L = V^{1/3}$  is large enough  $\sim 4$  fm. We use massless overlap Dirac operator [46, 47] as a probe to measure its eigenspectrum on the QCD configurations, since it has an exact chiral symmetry on the lattice. We have also generated thermalized SU(3) gauge configurations without dynamical quarks on  $40^3 \times 8$  lattice, but at a higher temperature  $T \simeq 624$  MeV which is about twice the deconfinement temperature. The details about the number of eigenvalues computed and configurations used in this study are given in table I in the Appendix.

This is to compare how different a thermalized state is from a classical state of QCD which consists of highly occupied gauge fields [34, 35] with a non-thermal phase space distribution and without dynamical quarks. For generating these classical athermal configurations on a three dimensional lattice using Hamiltonian evolution, we choose the lattice extent with  $N = 64$  sites along each spatial direction, with lattice spacing in units of the gluon saturation scale  $Q \sim 1.5$  GeV to be  $Qa_s = 0.25$  so that the spatial extent in physical units is  $\sim 2.1$  fm. This is to ensure having a sufficiently large volume and enough number of gauge modes in the deep-infrared part of its momentum distribution.

**Properties of eigenvalue spectrum of gauge theories in thermal equilibrium** The thermal partition function of QCD written in terms of its quantum Hamiltonian  $H$  as  $\text{Tr} e^{-H/T}$  can be equivalently represented as a path integral in the configuration space of fermions and gauge field, weights of which are determined by  $e^{-S_{\text{QCD}}}$ , where  $S_{\text{QCD}}$  is the classical action in Euclidean space-time with a compact *fictional* time direction  $\tau$  of extent  $1/T$ . If a probe massless quark is in thermal equilibrium with these gauge fields, the information encoded in the eigenspectrum of the QCD Hamiltonian will be contained in its Dirac spectrum as well. We thus study the spectral properties of the four dimensional Dirac operator of

a probe massless quark whose eigenvalues are denoted as  $\lambda_n$  where  $n$  are integers whose maximum value is the dimension of the Dirac operator. This is also a gauge invariant procedure to understand the spectral properties of QCD. An important observable of interest is  $\langle \tilde{r} \rangle$ , where  $\tilde{r}$  is defined [48] as  $\tilde{r}_n = \min\left(r_n, \frac{1}{r_n}\right)$  and the quantity  $r_n = \frac{s_{n+1}}{s_n}$  represents the ratio of spacings between two consecutive eigenvalues  $s_n = \lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n$ . The fluctuations of the level spacings between consecutive eigenvalues show universal features if the system is chaotic and this observable is defined to disentangle the fluctuations from the system dependent mean. The results for this observable at different temperatures are compiled in the left panel of Fig. 1. It is evident that  $\langle \tilde{r} \rangle$  can be used to identify three distinct regimes in the spectrum, consisting of weakly correlated (near-zero), intermediate and correlated (bulk) eigenvalues, the latter is consistent with the prediction  $\langle \tilde{r} \rangle = 0.60266$  from a RMT belonging to Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE). Whereas for  $T < T_c$ , and  $T \sim 2T_d$  the  $\langle \tilde{r} \rangle$  measured from the entire eigenspectrum is consistent with RMT predictions, one observes a similar agreement for eigenvalues  $\lambda/T > 0.1, 0.28, 0.4$  at  $T = 164, 177, 186$  MeV respectively. Furthermore, the window of intermediate modes lies in the range of  $\lambda/T$  between 0.05-0.1 for  $T = 164$  MeV and 0.14-0.28 for  $T = 177$  MeV respectively below which there are uncorrelated eigenvalues whereas 0-0.4 accounts for intermediate modes at  $T = 186$  MeV.




Fig. 1. Left panel: Average value  $\langle \tilde{r} \rangle$  for different regimes in the eigenvalue spectrum of the Dirac operator for different  $T/T_c$  and its comparison to the predictions from GUE and Poisson distribution denoted by red and black lines respectively. Right panel: Probability distribution of ratios of consecutive level spacings for bulk modes at different temperatures with and without dynamical quarks.

To verify that the bulk modes are correctly identified we next measure the probability distribution of nearest neighbor spacing ratios [49] since it is independent of the unfolding procedure it can directly be matched with the GUE prediction given by  $P(r) = \frac{11.16(r+r^2)^2}{(1+r+r^2)^4}$ . From the right panel of Fig. 1, we observe bulk modes defined using our criterion, indeed follow the GUE prediction for all temperatures. The range that defines the bulk modes is similar to our earlier studies of the continuum extrapolated level spacing distribution in QCD with the

staggered Dirac discretization [25].

We next study the localization properties of the Dirac eigenstates, which for a particular eigenstate  $\psi(\mathbf{x})$  is quantified with the generalized Renyi entropy defined as,

$$R_\alpha = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \ln \sum_{\mathbf{x}} p_{\mathbf{x}}^\alpha, \quad 1 \leq \alpha < \infty. \quad (1)$$

Here the probability to be in an eigenstate is  $p_{\mathbf{x}} = |\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2$  which is averaged over  $\tau$ . From the values of the first Renyi entropy  $R_1$  shown in the top panel of Fig. 2 it is evident that for pure  $SU(3)$  as well as in QCD at  $T = 139, 149$  MeV, the value of Renyi entropy saturates to the GUE prediction for all values of  $\lambda/T$ . This implies that all eigenvalues are almost completely delocalized over space and are ergodic in nature, containing equivalent thermal information by each of them. This is an indirect manifestation [4] of the ETH, which has been demonstrated in non-Abelian theories in 2+1 D [50, 51]. Whereas close to  $T_c$  at  $T = 177, 186$  MeV, the intermediate modes do not contain the entire thermodynamic information encoded in the bulk modes as evident from their  $R_1$  hence are delocalized but not fully ergodic.




Fig. 2. Variation of first Renyi entropy (top panel) and a comparison (bottom panel) between  $D_2$ , (solid points) and fractal dimension  $D_f$ , (empty points) for eigenvectors binned in  $\lambda/T$  for different temperatures.

To further understand the structure of the intermediate eigenmodes we study the inverse participation ratio (IPR) defined as  $P_2 = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} |\psi(\mathbf{x})|^4$ . For a completely delocalized eigenstate we expect  $P_2$  to scale as the inverse of the volume of the system as  $L^{-3}$  but may not achieve this scaling even in the deep bulk due to presence of thermal fluctuations. The plot of  $D_2 = -\log P_2 / \log L$  as a function of bins in  $\lambda/T$  shown in the lower panel of Fig. 2 demonstrates this for the bulk modes, also showing that the mixed modes at  $T \gtrsim T_c$  scale differently than the bulk

as  $L^{-2.5}$  i.e. a fractal-like scaling. We have also used box counting method to determine fractal dimensions [52]. For a completely delocalized mode, assigning a naive probability threshold  $= 1/V$  above which we call every site to be a part of a cluster is not adequate to account for the effects of thermal fluctuations. We have thus assigned a probability threshold to be  $0.75/V$  to calculate the fractal dimension  $D_f$  of the eigenmodes for different temperatures and compare with  $D_2$ , results of which are shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 2. The values of  $D_2$  and  $D_f$  agree very well for the bulk modes using this threshold criterion, whereas for the intermediate modes the values are close. The density plots by integrating out the  $z$ -direction,  $|\psi(x, y)|^2$ , using this particular threshold criterion for identifying clusters at 186 MeV are shown in Fig. 3. Whereas the  $10^{th}$  eigenmode in the intermediate zone, forms a large percolating cluster but is not completely delocalized, the deep bulk modes are. These clusters of intermediate modes are visible just above  $T_c$ , implying that these carry information about the chiral symmetry restoration, even though it is a crossover transition (and hence has no unique order parameter). Away from the crossover region at  $T \simeq 149$  as well as  $T \simeq 624$  MeV, these clusters are suppressed due to strong or very weak interactions with the bulk modes respectively.




Fig. 3. Eigendensities  $|\psi(x, y)|^2$  for the 10th (top) and 90th (bottom) eigenvectors are shown in the left column. The same eigendensities are shown with a more prominent cluster identification accounting for the thermal fluctuations by applying a probability threshold (right). This is shown at a temperature just above the crossover transition  $\simeq 186$  MeV.

To summarize, the eigenvalues of the Dirac operator below the magnetic scale  $g^2 T / \pi$  are inherently non-perturbative in nature. Away from the crossover transition, these (bulk) eigenmodes are delocalized over the entire volume albeit with local thermal fluctuations, consistent with RMT. However approaching the crossover transition from higher  $T$  results in the appearance of the fractal-like intermediate modes, which carry information about the chiral phase transition, which could be of  $O(4)$  universality [24, 25, 53]. These modes are prominently detectable in a temperature range where there are pro-

posals of a IR conformal phase [27, 54–59], appearance of emergent symmetries [60, 61] or an Anderson-Mott like transition [62–72] in QCD and their eventual disappearance can explain the *effective* restoration of  $U_A(1)$  subgroup of chiral symmetry [25]. Deconfinement phase transition in gauge theories has been earlier studied in terms of percolation of clusters of Polyakov loop [73, 74].

### Properties of a non-equilibrium state of SU(3)

Since the thermal state in QCD at high temperatures exhibits spectral properties corresponding to a random matrix theory, we next investigate if there is a classical state which exhibits chaos. Inspired by the Color Glass Condensate effective theory description of QCD where the typical gluon momenta are close to the saturation scale  $Q$ , which satisfies the condition  $Q^2 \gg \Lambda_{QCD}^2$ , we start with an initial classical state of the non-Abelian SU(3) gauge theory characterized by the phase-space distribution  $f_g(|\mathbf{p}|) = \frac{n_0}{g^2} \frac{Q}{|\mathbf{p}|} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{p}|^2}{2Q^2}}$ . This initial state is clearly athermal and represents a typical over-occupied infra-red sector of QCD, characterized by classical gluons whose occupation numbers  $\sim n_0/g^2$  are non-perturbatively large [34]. Starting with this initial condition, the gauge links  $U_{i,\mathbf{x}}$  and its conjugate momenta i.e. electric fields  $E_{a,\mathbf{x}}$  are evolved in time according to classical Hamiltonian equations of motion denoted by  $\partial_{x^0} U_{i,\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial E_{i,\mathbf{x}}}$ ,  $\partial_{x^0} E_{i,\mathbf{x}} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial U_{i,\mathbf{x}}}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . The Hamilton's equations are written in the temporal-axial gauge  $A_0(x) = 0$ . It is well known that such a system undergoes a rapid memory loss [35] of their initial conditions and subsequently enter a self-similar scaling regime where the gluon distribution function exhibits a scaling relation of the form,  $g^2 f_g(|\mathbf{p}|, t) = (Qt)^{-\frac{4}{7}} f_s \left[ (Qt)^{-\frac{1}{7}} \frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{Q} \right]$ , characteristic of a non-thermal fixed point of the classical evolution. Within such a scaling regime, one observes a separation of scales [75] where the hard (ultraviolet) scale is distinctly separated from the electric and the (deep-infrared) magnetic scales similar to what is observed in equilibrium gauge theories at high temperatures. How such a system achieves thermal equilibrium is not well understood, though kinetic theories which share similar scaling properties determined by the same critical exponents can be identified [34, 76].

We next study the properties of the non-Abelian gauge theory within this self-similar scaling regime in particular, whether it exhibits chaotic behaviour. We study the separation of gauge trajectories in the phase space characterized by a gauge-invariant distance measure [77] defined as  $D(U_i, U'_i, t) = \frac{1}{2N_P} \sum_P |\text{tr} U_P - \text{tr} U'_P|$ , in terms of the difference between the expectation values of the plaquettes  $U_P$  and  $U'_P$  measured a time  $t$  starting from infinitesimally close initial conditions at  $t = 0$  given by  $n_0$  and  $n_0 + \Delta n_0$ ,  $\Delta n_0 = 0.001$ . The trace is performed over the color degrees of freedom. The results obtained for the distance function are shown in the inset of Fig. 4. It is evident that the system shows a chaotic behavior where

$D(t)$  increases exponentially with time saturating at later times due to finite volume. Fitting this initial growth as a function of time with the ansatz,  $D(t) = D_0 \exp(\gamma t)$  we obtain a positive Lyapunov exponent  $\gamma$  characteristic of a chaotic system for a wide range of initial gluon energy densities  $\varepsilon/Q^4$  shown in Fig. 4, given by  $\varepsilon \propto n_0/g^2$ . For the range of energy densities,  $\gamma/Q$  grows as  $\approx \varepsilon^{1/4}$  with a best fit given by  $\gamma/Q = 0.0400(7) \times (\varepsilon/Q^4)^{0.24(3)}$ . Since the Lyapunov exponent characterizes how fast the gluon trajectories will spread out over the entire phase space, its inverse gives an estimate of the typical thermalization time [78, 79] which we discuss in the next section.




Fig. 4. Scaling of the Lyapunov exponent  $\gamma$  for SU(3) with the energy density  $\varepsilon$ . The exponential growth of distance function  $D(t)$  between two classical trajectories in the gauge space for different values of initial gluon density are shown in the inset.

**Implications of our study for thermalization of non-Abelian gauge theories** Establishing that a particular classical state of SU(3) gauge theory which exhibits a non-thermal scaling property in its phase-space distribution, is chaotic we now discuss its implications for thermalization. Within this self-similar scaling regime, the magnetic ( $\sqrt{\sigma}$ ), electric ( $m_D$ ) and the hard ( $\Lambda$ ) scales evolve with time according to the following relations:  $\sqrt{\sigma}(t) \sim Q(Qt)^{-3/10}$ ,  $m_D(t) \sim Q(Qt)^{-1/7}$  and  $\Lambda(t) \sim Q(Qt)^{1/7}$ . On the other hand, for SU(3) gauge theory at thermal equilibrium at  $T \simeq 624$  MeV, the magnetic, electric and the hard scales are  $g^2 T/\pi = 0.81T$ ,  $gT = 1.61T$  and  $\pi T$  respectively. The strong coupling  $g$  is measured at the scale  $\pi T$  using the four-loop  $\beta$  function [20]. In order to estimate the thermalization time starting from a self-similar scaling regime and ending at a thermal fixed point, we measure how long it takes for the magnetic scale  $\sqrt{\sigma}(t)$  to evolve to its value in a thermal plasma. This is motivated by the fact that the gauge modes whose momenta are  $\lesssim$  the magnetic scale are highly-occupied ( $\sim 1/g^2$ ) and show chaotic behavior both in the classical as well as in the quantum (thermal) regime. If one assumes that thermalization is achieved at a typical  $T \simeq 624$  MeV, the evolution of the magnetic scale denoted by  $Q(Q\tau_{th})^{-3/10} = 0.81T$  gives an estimate of the thermalization time  $\tau_{th} \approx 5.2$  fm/c. Incidentally

this estimate is close to that obtained from the inverse of Lyapunov exponent  $\gamma^{-1} \sim 4.9(1)$  fm/c measured at a typical energy density  $\varepsilon = 0.209 Q^4$  which is closest in magnitude to the thermal plasma at  $T \simeq 624$  MeV and close to the estimates obtained from entropy production arguments [77, 80].

Interestingly, though the presence of dynamical fermions does not affect the universality in spectral properties of SU(3) gauge theory below the magnetic scale (see Fig. 1), but is crucial for the process of fast thermalization. The fermion production is an inherent quantum process that will pull the system out from the athermal classical fixed point to the thermal one. Moreover fermions increase the magnitude of the magnetic scale in a thermal system by modifying the running of the gauge coupling with energy. E.g. at a temperature  $T \simeq 624$  MeV, the presence of dynamical quarks leads to an enhancement in the magnetic scale to  $g^2 T / \pi = 1.19T$  which is  $\sim 1.5$  times compared to its value in gauge theory without fermions. The effect on the thermalization time is dramatic since it varies with the ratio of magnetic scales as  $(0.81/1.19)^{10/3}$ . The fermions thus facilitate a faster rate of thermalization resulting in a  $\tau_{th} = 1.44$  fm/c which is about  $\sim 28\%$  of that estimated earlier in gauge theory without fermions. Interestingly the ratio of electric scales in the presence and absence of fermions gives  $\tau_{th,QCD}/\tau_{th,SU(3)} = (1.61/1.93)^7 = 0.281$ . Thermalization times obtained using ratios of both the magnetic and electric scales thus are consistent with each other.

**Conclusions & Outlook** In this study we demonstrate a non-trivial realization of the BGS conjecture in non-Abelian SU(3) gauge theory where its classical non-thermal state is chaotic, whereas eigenmodes of the Dirac operator in a quantum state at thermal equilibrium at high temperatures  $\sim 2T_d$  show properties similar to random matrices belonging to GUE. Even though the universal features in nearest neighbor eigenvalue level spacings are in common with a particular RMT and is the property of the gauge group, inclusion of dynamical fermions adds more features to the eigenspectrum as one approaches the chiral crossover transition. In QCD with physical quarks, extended eigenvectors percolating over the entire volume start to become prominent just above  $T_c$  which show intermediate level statistics between opposite extremes of a RMT and uncorrelated eigenvalues. Though the transition associated with chiral symmetry breaking is a smooth crossover and hence there is no unique order parameter however the structural features of the eigenvectors close to  $T_c$  carry information about the transition. We also argue that the inclusion of dynamical fermions allows for an efficient separation of scales at high temperatures, thus allowing for a faster thermalization of the non-perturbative but classical infrared (magnetic) modes of QCD. It would however be interesting to verify this picture with quantum simulations of dynamical quarks with gauge interactions which

could be feasible in lower dimensions in the coming years.

**Acknowledgements** We are grateful to Soumya Bera, Sumilan Banerjee, Frithjof Karsch, V. K. B. Kota, Hridis K. Pal and Soeren Schlichting for helpful suggestions and discussions during the course of this work. We are indebted to Frithjof Karsch and Soeren Schlichting for their kind hospitality at the Theoretical Physics Group, Bielefeld University when this work was being finalized. This work is in part supported by The Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) - Project number 315477589-TRR211. We thank the HotQCD collaboration, formerly also consisting of members from the RBC-LLNL collaboration, for sharing the gauge configurations with us. The authors acknowledge the computing time provided by the Computing Center at the Institute of Mathematical Sciences. Our GPU code is in part based on some of the publicly available QUDA libraries [81].

## APPENDIX: DETAILS ABOUT THE NUMERICAL COMPUTATIONS

The details of the gauge configurations used in this study are listed in Table I. The gauge configurations for QCD with two light and a strange quark flavor were generated by the HotQCD collaboration [11] using Möbius domain wall discretization for fermions and Iwasaki gauge action. The computations were performed on a spacetime lattice which has  $N = 32$  sites along each of the three spatial directions and  $N_\tau = 8$  sites along the *fictitious* Euclidean time direction. The quark masses are physical which is fixed by setting the value of the pion mass to 140 MeV and kaon mass to 435 MeV. The scale in units of which the temperatures are calculated corresponding to each value of the inverse coupling  $\beta = 6/g^2$  is set by the kaon decay constant. In order to also connect to the non-equilibrium phase of SU(3) gauge theory we have also generated SU(3) gauge configurations without dynamical fermions at a temperature of  $T \simeq 624$  MeV using Iwasaki gauge action on lattice of size  $N_s = 40$  and  $N_\tau = 8$ . The scale for setting the temperature is set by  $r_0 = 0.4701(36)$  fm which is taken from the latest FLAG review [82].

On each of these gauge configurations we measure the non-zero eigenvalues of a massless overlap Dirac operator. This choice of the lattice Dirac operator is motivated by the fact that the overlap fermions have an exact chiral symmetry on the lattice, satisfy an index theorem and do not have additional lattice artifacts due to the breaking of chiral symmetry in case of Wilson fermions or the breaking of flavor symmetry by the staggered fermions.

2+1 flavor QCD					
$T$ (MeV)	$\beta$	$N_s$	$N_\tau$	$N_{\text{eigen}}$	$N_{\text{configs}}$
139	1.633	32	8	100	8
145	1.671	32	8	100	18
159	1.707	32	8	22	65
164	1.725	32	8	25	55
177	1.771	32	8	50	60
186	1.801	32	8	90	14
SU(3) gauge theory					
624	6.545	40	8	48	30

Tab. I. Number of configurations for different temperatures ( $\beta$  values) and the corresponding lattice sizes used in this work.

- [1] J. M. Deutsch, Phys. Rev. A **43**, 2046 (1991).
- [2] M. Srednicki, Phys. Rev. E **50** (1994), 10.1103/PhysRevE.50.888, arXiv:cond-mat/9403051.
- [3] H. Tasaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1373 (1998).
- [4] M. Rigol, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii, Nature **452**, 854 (2008), arXiv:0708.1324 [cond-mat.stat-mech].
- [5] O. Bohigas, M. J. Giannoni, and C. Schmit, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 1 (1984).
- [6] A. Polkovnikov, K. Sengupta, A. Silva, and M. Vengalattore, Reviews of Modern Physics **83**, 863 (2011).
- [7] R. Nandkishore and D. A. Huse, Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics **6**, 15 (2015).
- [8] Y. Aoki, G. Endrodi, Z. Fodor, S. D. Katz, and K. K. Szabo, Nature **443**, 675 (2006), arXiv:hep-lat/0611014.
- [9] A. Bazavov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 054503 (2012), arXiv:1111.1710 [hep-lat].
- [10] A. Bazavov *et al.* (HotQCD), Phys. Rev. D **90**, 094503 (2014), arXiv:1407.6387 [hep-lat].
- [11] T. Bhattacharya *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 082001 (2014), arXiv:1402.5175 [hep-lat].
- [12] A. Bazavov *et al.* (HotQCD), Phys. Lett. B **795**, 15 (2019), arXiv:1812.08235 [hep-lat].
- [13] A. Bazavov, N. Brambilla, H. T. Ding, P. Petreczky, H. P. Schadler, A. Vairo, and J. H. Weber, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 114502 (2016), arXiv:1603.06637 [hep-lat].
- [14] R. D. Pisarski and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. D **29**, 338 (1984).
- [15] S. Aoki, H. Fukaya, and Y. Taniguchi, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 114512 (2012), arXiv:1209.2061 [hep-lat].
- [16] A. Bazavov *et al.* (HotQCD), Phys. Rev. D **86**, 094503 (2012), arXiv:1205.3535 [hep-lat].
- [17] A. Tomiya, G. Cossu, S. Aoki, H. Fukaya, S. Hashimoto, T. Kaneko, and J. Noaki, Phys. Rev. D **96**, 034509 (2017), [Addendum: Phys.Rev.D 96, 079902 (2017)], arXiv:1612.01908 [hep-lat].
- [18] V. Dick, F. Karsch, E. Laermann, S. Mukherjee, and S. Sharma, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 094504 (2015), arXiv:1502.06190 [hep-lat].
- [19] B. B. Brandt, A. Francis, H. B. Meyer, O. Philipsen, D. Robaina, and H. Wittig, JHEP **12**, 158 (2016), arXiv:1608.06882 [hep-lat].
- [20] P. Petreczky, H.-P. Schadler, and S. Sharma, Phys. Lett. B **762**, 498 (2016), arXiv:1606.03145 [hep-lat].
- [21] S. Aoki, Y. Aoki, G. Cossu, H. Fukaya, S. Hashimoto, T. Kaneko, C. Rohrhofer, and K. Suzuki (JLQCD), Phys. Rev. D **103**, 074506 (2021), arXiv:2011.01499 [hep-lat].
- [22] H. T. Ding, S. T. Li, S. Mukherjee, A. Tomiya, X. D. Wang, and Y. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 082001 (2021), arXiv:2010.14836 [hep-lat].
- [23] S. Aoki, Y. Aoki, H. Fukaya, S. Hashimoto, C. Rohrhofer, and K. Suzuki (JLQCD), PTEP **2022**, 023B05 (2022), arXiv:2103.05954 [hep-lat].
- [24] O. Kaczmarek, L. Mazur, and S. Sharma, Phys. Rev. D **104**, 094518 (2021), arXiv:2102.06136 [hep-lat].
- [25] O. Kaczmarek, R. Shanker, and S. Sharma, Phys. Rev. D **108**, 094501 (2023), arXiv:2301.11610 [hep-lat].
- [26] T. G. Kovacs, Phys. Rev. Lett. **132**, 131902 (2024), arXiv:2311.04208 [hep-lat].
- [27] A. Alexandru, C. Bonanno, M. D'Elia, and I. Horváth, (2024), arXiv:2404.12298 [hep-lat].
- [28] G. Boyd, J. Engels, F. Karsch, E. Laermann, C. Legeland, M. Lutgemeier, and B. Petersson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 4169 (1995), arXiv:hep-lat/9506025.
- [29] T. Schäfer and E. V. Shuryak, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70**, 323 (1998), arXiv:hep-ph/9610451.
- [30] S. Schlichting and D. Teaney, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **69**, 447 (2019), arXiv:1908.02113 [nucl-th].
- [31] J. Berges, M. P. Heller, A. Mazeliauskas, and R. Venugopalan, Rev. Mod. Phys. **93**, 035003 (2021), arXiv:2005.12299 [hep-th].
- [32] A. D. Linde, Phys. Lett. B **96**, 289 (1980).
- [33] T. Lappi and L. McLerran, Nucl. Phys. A **772**, 200 (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0602189.
- [34] J. Berges, K. Boguslavski, S. Schlichting, and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 074011 (2014), arXiv:1303.5650 [hep-ph].
- [35] J. Berges, K. Boguslavski, S. Schlichting, and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 114007 (2014), arXiv:1311.3005 [hep-ph].
- [36] L. D. McLerran and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 3352 (1994), arXiv:hep-ph/9311205.
- [37] A. Kovner, L. D. McLerran, and H. Weigert, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 6231 (1995), arXiv:hep-ph/9502289.
- [38] A. Kovner, L. D. McLerran, and H. Weigert, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 3809 (1995), arXiv:hep-ph/9505320.
- [39] J. Jalilian-Marian, A. Kovner, L. D. McLerran, and H. Weigert, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 5414 (1997), arXiv:hep-ph/9606337.
- [40] M. Gyulassy and L. D. McLerran, Phys. Rev. C **56**, 2219 (1997), arXiv:nucl-th/9704034.
- [41] M. R. Heffernan, C. Gale, S. Jeon, and J.-F. Paquet, Phys. Rev. C **109**, 065207 (2024), arXiv:2302.09478 [nucl-th].
- [42] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, K. Redlich, and J. Stachel, Nature **561**, 321 (2018), arXiv:1710.09425 [nucl-th].
- [43] L. V. Gribov, E. M. Levin, and M. G. Ryskin, Phys. Rept. **100**, 1 (1983).
- [44] A. H. Mueller and J.-w. Qiu, Nucl. Phys. B **268**, 427 (1986).
- [45] D. B. Kaplan, Phys. Lett. B **288**, 342 (1992), arXiv:hep-lat/9206013.
- [46] R. Narayanan and H. Neuberger, Nucl. Phys. B **443**, 305 (1995), arXiv:hep-th/9411108.
- [47] H. Neuberger, Phys. Lett. B **417**, 141 (1998), arXiv:hep-lat/9707022.



- [48] V. Oganessian and D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 155111 (2007).
- [49] N. Chavda and V. Kota, Physics Letters A **377**, 3009 (2013).
- [50] X. Yao, L. Ebner, B. Müller, A. Schäfer, and C. Seidl (2023) arXiv:2312.13408 [hep-lat].
- [51] L. Ebner, A. Schäfer, C. Seidl, B. Müller, and X. Yao, (2024), arXiv:2401.15184 [hep-lat].
- [52] B. Mandelbrot, *The fractal geometry of nature* (Freeman, 1983).
- [53] H. T. Ding *et al.* (HotQCD), Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 062002 (2019), arXiv:1903.04801 [hep-lat].
- [54] A. Alexandru and I. Horváth, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 045038 (2015), arXiv:1502.07732 [hep-lat].
- [55] A. Alexandru and I. Horváth, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 094507 (2019), arXiv:1906.08047 [hep-lat].
- [56] A. Alexandru and I. Horváth, Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 052303 (2021), arXiv:2103.05607 [hep-lat].
- [57] I. Horváth and P. Markoš, Phys. Rev. Lett. **129**, 106601 (2022), arXiv:2110.11266 [cond-mat.dis-nn].
- [58] X.-L. Meng, P. Sun, A. Alexandru, I. Horváth, K.-F. Liu, G. Wang, and Y.-B. Yang ( $\chi$ QCD, CLQCD), (2023), arXiv:2305.09459 [hep-lat].
- [59] A. Alexandru, I. Horváth, and N. Bhattacharyya, Phys. Rev. D **109**, 014501 (2024), arXiv:2310.03621 [hep-lat].
- [60] L. Y. Glozman, O. Philipsen, and R. D. Pisarski, Eur. Phys. J. A **58**, 247 (2022), arXiv:2204.05083 [hep-ph].
- [61] C. Rohrhofer, Y. Aoki, G. Cossu, H. Fukaya, C. Gattringer, L. Y. Glozman, S. Hashimoto, C. B. Lang, and S. Prelovsek, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 014502 (2019), arXiv:1902.03191 [hep-lat].
- [62] T. G. Kovacs and F. Pittler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 192001 (2010), arXiv:1006.1205 [hep-lat].
- [63] T. G. Kovacs and F. Pittler, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 114515 (2012), arXiv:1208.3475 [hep-lat].
- [64] T. G. Kovacs and R. A. Vig, Phys. Rev. D **97**, 014502 (2018), arXiv:1706.03562 [hep-lat].
- [65] M. Giordano, T. G. Kovacs, and F. Pittler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 102002 (2014), arXiv:1312.1179 [hep-lat].
- [66] M. Giordano, T. G. Kovacs, and F. Pittler, JHEP **06**, 007 (2016), arXiv:1603.09548 [hep-lat].
- [67] M. Giordano, S. D. Katz, T. G. Kovacs, and F. Pittler, JHEP **02**, 055 (2017), arXiv:1611.03284 [hep-lat].
- [68] L. Holicki, E.-M. Ilgenfritz, and L. von Smekal, PoS **LATTICE2018**, 180 (2018), arXiv:1810.01130 [hep-lat].
- [69] M. Giordano and T. G. Kovacs, Universe **7**, 194 (2021), arXiv:2104.14388 [hep-lat].
- [70] A. Alexandru and I. Horváth, Phys. Lett. B **833**, 137370 (2022), arXiv:2110.04833 [hep-lat].
- [71] M. Giordano, (2022), arXiv:2206.11109 [hep-th].
- [72] R. Kehr, D. Smith, and L. von Smekal, (2023), arXiv:2304.13617 [hep-lat].
- [73] H. Satz, Nucl. Phys. A **642**, 130 (1998), arXiv:hep-ph/9805418.
- [74] P. J. Forrester, Nucl. Phys. B **402**, 709 (1993).
- [75] J. Berges, K. Boguslavski, L. de Bruin, T. Butler, and J. M. Pawłowski, Phys. Rev. D **109**, 114011 (2024), arXiv:2307.13669 [hep-ph].
- [76] A. Kurkela and Y. Zhu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 182301 (2015), arXiv:1506.06647 [hep-ph].
- [77] B. Muller and A. Trayanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3387 (1992).
- [78] C.-q. Gong, Phys. Lett. B **298**, 257 (1993), arXiv:hep-lat/9209018.
- [79] T. S. Biro, C. Gong, and B. Muller, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 1260 (1995), arXiv:hep-ph/9409392.
- [80] T. Kunihiro, B. Muller, A. Ohnishi, A. Schafer, T. T. Takahashi, and A. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 114015 (2010), arXiv:1008.1156 [hep-ph].
- [81] M. A. Clark, R. Babich, K. Barros, R. C. Brower, and C. Rebbi (QUDA), Comput. Phys. Commun. **181**, 1517 (2010), arXiv:0911.3191 [hep-lat].
- [82] Y. Aoki *et al.* (Flavour Lattice Averaging Group (FLAG)), Eur. Phys. J. C **82**, 869 (2022), arXiv:2111.09849 [hep-lat].