

# A NON-ABELIAN TENSOR PRODUCT OF ALGEBRAS WITH BRACKET

JOSÉ MANUEL CASAS<sup>1</sup>, EMZAR KHMALADZE<sup>2</sup>, AND MANUEL LADRA<sup>3</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** We introduce and study a non-abelian tensor product of two algebras with bracket with compatible actions on each other. We investigate its applications to the universal central extensions and the low-dimensional homology of perfect algebras with bracket.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The non-abelian tensor product in various algebraic categories, such as groups, (Hom)-Lie and (Hom)-Leibniz algebras, Lie superalgebras. etc., plays an essential role in the study of homotopy theory, low-dimensional homology or universal central extensions (see [2, 6, 10, 11, 12, 14, 17, 18]). It has also been used in the construction of low-dimensional non-abelian homology of groups, Lie algebras and Leibniz algebras, having interesting applications to the algebraic  $K$ -theory, cyclic homology and Hochschild homology, respectively [14, 15, 13].

In this paper, we choose to develop a non-abelian tensor product for a relatively new algebraic structure called algebra with bracket, introduced in [7] as a kind of generalization of the (non-commutative) Poisson algebra. It should be noted here that such a generalization of Poisson algebras originates in physics literature (see, e.g. [20]). Among other results in [7], Quillen cohomology of algebras with bracket is described via an explicit cochain complex. Further (co)homological investigations of algebras with bracket are carried out in [3, 5]. In particular, for our importance, we mention that in [3], a homology with trivial coefficients of algebras with bracket is developed with applications to universal central extensions. In [5], crossed modules for algebras with bracket are introduced, and the second cohomology is interpreted as the set of equivalence classes of crossed extensions. The eight-term exact cohomology sequence is also constructed.

In the present paper, we continue the same line of homological study of algebras with bracket. We fit the homology with trivial coefficients [3] into the context of Quillen homology, introduce the non-abelian tensor product of algebras with bracket by generators and relations, and give applications in universal central extensions and low-dimensional homology.

The organization of this paper is as follows: after the introduction, in Section 2, we present some definitions and results for the development of the paper. We briefly recall the construction of homology for algebras with bracket from [3, 7] and prove that it is consistent

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16E40, 16E99, 16W99, 16B50.

*Key words and phrases.* Algebras with bracket, associative algebras, non-abelian tensor product, crossed modules, Hochschild homology.

with the context of Quillen's homology theory (Theorem 2.6). In Section 3, we present all the ingredients for developing the non-abelian tensor product later. In particular, we define actions, semi-direct products and crossed modules of algebras with bracket. Additionally, we show that the category of crossed modules is equivalent to the category of  $\text{cat}^1$ -algebras with bracket (Theorem 3.12). Section 4 contains the main results of the paper. Here, we present the construction of the non-abelian tensor product of two algebras with bracket acting compatibly on each other (Proposition 4.3) and study its properties. Regarding trivial actions, we describe the non-abelian tensor product (Proposition 4.5). We establish a right-exactness property of the non-abelian tensor product of algebras with bracket (Theorem 4.6) and equip the non-abelian tensor product with crossed module structures (Proposition 4.7). Finally, as an application, for a given perfect algebra with bracket, we construct its universal central extension (Theorem 4.8) and a four-term exact homology sequence (Theorem 4.11).

## 2. ALGEBRAS WITH BRACKET

Throughout the paper we fix a ground field  $\mathbb{K}$ . All vector spaces and algebras are  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector spaces and  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebras, and linear maps are  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear maps as well. In what follows  $\otimes$  means  $\otimes_{\mathbb{K}}$ .

### 2.1. Basic definitions.

**Definition 2.1** ([7]). *An algebra with bracket, or an AWB for short, is an associative (not necessarily commutative) algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  equipped with a bilinear map (bracket operation)  $[-, -]: \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ ,  $(a, b) \mapsto [a, b]$  satisfying the following identity:*

$$[ab, c] = [a, c]b + a[b, c] \tag{2.1}$$

for all  $a, b, c \in \mathbf{A}$ .

A *homomorphism* of AWBs is a homomorphism of associative algebras preserving the bracket operation. We denote by **AWB** the respective category of AWBs.

The category **AWB** is a variety of  $\Omega$ -groups [16], and therefore it is a semi-abelian category [1, 19]: pointed, exact and protomodular with binary coproducts. So classical lemmas such as the Five Lemma [22] hold for AWBs which we will use later on. Below we give the definitions of ideal, center, commutator, action and semi-direct product of AWBs, and of course these notions agree with the corresponding general notions in the context of semi-abelian categories.

We now list some common examples of AWBs that will be discussed later. Other examples can be found in [4, 5, 7, 20].

### Example 2.2.

- (i) *Any vector space  $\mathbf{A}$  enriched with the trivial multiplication and bracket operation, i.e.  $ab = 0$  and  $[a, b] = 0$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbf{A}$ , is an AWB, called an abelian AWB. Hence, the category of vector spaces is a full subcategory of **AWB** and the respective inclusion functor  $\mathbf{Vect} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{AWB}$  has a left adjoint, the so called abelianization functor  $(-)^{\text{ab}}: \mathbf{AWB} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}$ , which will be described in Remark 2.3 (i) below.*

- (ii) Any associative algebra  $A$  together with the trivial bracket operation can be regarded as an AWB. This defines the inclusion functor  $I: \mathbf{Ass} \rightarrow \mathbf{AWB}$ , where  $\mathbf{Ass}$  denotes the category of associative algebras. The functor  $I$  has a left adjoint  $(-)^{\mathbf{ass}}: \mathbf{AWB} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ass}$  described in Remark 2.3 (ii) below.
- (iii) Another way of considering an associative algebra  $A$  as an AWB is to define the bracket operation by

$$[a, b] := ab - ba, \quad a, b \in A.$$

This particular AWB is called the tautological AWB associated to the associative algebra  $A$  and will be denoted by  $T(A)$ .

Tautological AWBs constitute a full subcategory of AWB denoted by  $\mathbf{TAWB}$ . The correspondence  $T: \mathbf{Ass} \rightarrow \mathbf{TAWB}$ ,  $A \mapsto T(A)$ , is functorial, and it establishes an isomorphism between the categories  $\mathbf{TAWB}$  and  $\mathbf{Ass}$ .

- (iv) Any Poisson algebra is an AWB. In fact, the category  $\mathbf{Poiss}$  of (non-commutative) Poisson algebras is a subcategory of AWB. The inclusion functor  $\mathbf{Poiss} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{AWB}$  has as left adjoint the functor given by  $A \mapsto A_{\mathbf{Poiss}}$ , where  $A_{\mathbf{Poiss}}$  is the maximal quotient of  $A$ , such that the following relations hold:  $[a, a] \sim 0$  and  $[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]] \sim 0$ .

The following notions for AWBs are given in [3] and they agree with the corresponding notions in semi-abelian categories. A subalgebra  $B$  of an AWB  $A$  is a vector subspace which is closed under the product and the bracket operation, that is,  $B \cdot B \subseteq B$  and  $[B, B] \subseteq B$ . A subalgebra  $B$  is said to be a *left (respectively, right) ideal* if  $A \cdot B \subseteq B$ ,  $[A, B] \subseteq B$  (respectively,  $B \cdot A \subseteq B$ ,  $[B, A] \subseteq B$ ). If  $B$  is both left and right ideal, then it is said to be a *two-sided ideal*. In this case, the quotient  $A/B$  is endowed with an AWB structure naturally induced from the operations on  $A$ .

Let  $A$  be an AWB and  $B, C$  be two-sided ideals of  $A$ . The *commutator ideal* of  $B$  and  $C$  is the two-sided ideal of  $A$

$$[[B, C]] = \langle \{bc, cb, [b, c], [c, b] \mid b \in B, c \in C\} \rangle.$$

Obviously  $[[B, C]] \subseteq B \cap C$ . In the particular case  $B = C = A$ , one obtains the definition of derived algebra of  $A$ ,

$$[[A, A]] = \langle \{aa', [a, a'] \mid a, a' \in A\} \rangle.$$

An AWB  $A$  is said to be *perfect* if  $A = [[A, A]]$ .

**Remark 2.3.**

- (i) Given an AWB  $A$ , the quotient  $A/[[A, A]]$  is always an abelian AWB and will be denoted by  $A^{\mathbf{ab}}$ . Abelian AWBs (i.e. just vector spaces) are abelian group objects in the category AWB. The respective abelianization functor  $(-)^{\mathbf{ab}}: \mathbf{AWB} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}$ , which is left adjoint to the inclusion functor  $\mathbf{Vect} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{AWB}$ , sends an AWB  $A$  into  $A^{\mathbf{ab}} = A/[[A, A]]$ .
- (ii) To an AWB  $A$ , we associate the associative algebra

$$A^{\mathbf{ass}} = A/[A, A], \quad \text{where } [A, A] = \langle \{[a, a'] \mid a, a' \in A\} \rangle.$$

This correspondence is functorial and satisfies the following universal property: given an associative algebra  $\mathbf{B}$ , any homomorphism of AWBs  $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow I(\mathbf{B})$  factors through  $\mathbf{A}^{\text{ass}}$ , where  $I: \text{Ass} \hookrightarrow \text{AWB}$  is the inclusion functor as in Example 2.2 (iii). Thus, the functor  $(-)^{\text{ass}}: \text{AWB} \rightarrow \text{Ass}$ ,  $\mathbf{A} \mapsto \mathbf{A}^{\text{ass}}$ , is left adjoint to  $I$ .

The center of an AWB  $\mathbf{A}$  is the two-sided ideal

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{A}) = \{a \in \mathbf{A} \mid ab = 0 = ba, [a, b] = 0 = [b, a], \text{ for all } b \in \mathbf{A}\}.$$

Note that an AWB  $\mathbf{A}$  is abelian if and only if  $\mathbf{A} = \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{A})$ .

A central extension of an AWB  $\mathbf{A}$  is an exact sequence of AWBs  $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{B} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbf{A} \rightarrow 0$  such that  $[[\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{B}]] = 0$  (equivalently,  $\mathbf{M} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{B})$ ). It is said to be *universal central extension* if for every central extension  $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbf{A} \rightarrow 0$  there is a unique homomorphism of AWBs  $\alpha: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  such that  $\psi \circ \alpha = \phi$ .

**Theorem 2.4** ([3]). *An AWB  $\mathbf{A}$  admits a universal central extension if and only if  $\mathbf{A}$  is perfect. Moreover, the kernel of the universal central extension is isomorphic to the first homology of  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_1^{\text{AWB}}(\mathbf{A})$  (see the definition below).*

**2.2. Homology.** In this subsection, we briefly review the homology of AWBs with trivial coefficients given in [3, 7].

Let  $V$  be a vector space. Let  $R_1(V) = V$  and  $R_n(V) = V^{\otimes n} \oplus V^{\otimes n}$ , if  $n \geq 2$ . In order to distinguish elements from these tensor powers, we let  $a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n$  be a typical element from the first component of  $R_n(V)$ , while  $a_1 \circ \cdots \circ a_n$  from the second component of  $R_n(V)$ .

Given an AWB  $\mathbf{A}$ , we let  $(C_*^{\text{AWB}}(\mathbf{A}), d_*)$  be the chain complex defined by

$$C_n^{\text{AWB}}(\mathbf{A}) := R_{n+1}(\mathbf{A}), \quad n \geq 0,$$

with the boundary maps  $d_n: C_n^{\text{AWB}}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow C_{n-1}^{\text{AWB}}(\mathbf{A})$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , given by

$$d_n(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i a_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n+1},$$

$$d_n(a_1 \circ \cdots \circ a_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes [a_i, a_{n+1}] \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i a_1 \circ \cdots \circ a_i a_{i+1} \circ \cdots \circ a_{n+1}$$

The homology of the complex  $(C_*^{\text{AWB}}(\mathbf{A}), d_*)$  is called the *homology with trivial coefficients* of the AWB  $\mathbf{A}$  and it is denoted by  $\mathbf{H}_*^{\text{AWB}}(\mathbf{A})$ .

Easy computations show that there is an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_0^{\text{AWB}}(\mathbf{A}) \cong \mathbf{A}/[[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}]].$$

On the other hand, given a free presentation of  $\mathbf{A}$ , that is, a short exact sequence of AWBs  $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\mathbf{F}$  is a free AWB, then there is an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_1^{\text{AWB}}(\mathbf{A}) \cong (\mathbf{R} \cap [[\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}]]) / [[\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{F}]]$$

(see [3, Corollary 2.14]).

**Remark 2.5.** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an associative algebra and consider the ground field  $\mathbb{K}$  as a trivial  $\mathbf{A}$ -bimodule. Let  $C_*^{\text{Hoch}}(\mathbf{A}) = C_*^{\text{Hoch}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbb{K})$  and  $\text{Hoch}_*(\mathbf{A}) = \text{Hoch}_*(\mathbf{A}, \mathbb{K})$  denote the Hochschild complex and the Hochschild homology of  $\mathbf{A}$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{K}$  [24], respectively. Then  $C_1^{\text{Hoch}}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{A} = C_0^{\text{AWB}}(T(\mathbf{A}))$  and the natural injections

$$C_{n+1}^{\text{Hoch}}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{A}^{\otimes(n+1)} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^{\otimes(n+1)} \oplus \mathbf{A}^{\otimes(n+1)} = C_n^{\text{AWB}}(T(\mathbf{A})), \quad n \geq 1$$

gives rise to a morphism of chain complexes  $C_{*+1}^{\text{Hoch}}(\mathbf{A}) \hookrightarrow C_*^{\text{AWB}}(T(\mathbf{A}))$ . Thus, we have an induced homomorphism in homology  $\text{Hoch}_{n+1}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow H_n^{\text{AWB}}(T(\mathbf{A}))$  ( $n \geq 0$ ), which is clearly an epimorphism for  $n = 1$  and an isomorphism for  $n = 0$ .

Now we show that the homology of AWBs is fitted in the context of homology theory developed by Quillen in a very general framework [23]. Let us recall that the Quillen homology of an object in an algebraic category  $\mathcal{C}$  is defined via the derived functors of the abelianization functor  $(-)^{\text{ab}}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{ab}}$  from  $\mathcal{C}$  to the abelian category  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{ab}}$  of abelian group objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ . To specify this theory for AWBs, we proceed as follows.

Given an AWB  $\mathbf{A}$ , choose any free simplicial resolution  $\mathbf{F}_*$  of  $\mathbf{A}$ , that is, an aspherical augmented simplicial AWB  $\mathbf{F}_* \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbf{A}$  (which means that all non-zero homotopies are trivial,  $\pi_n(\mathbf{F}_*) = 0$  for  $n \geq 0$ , and  $\epsilon$  induces an isomorphism  $\pi_0(\mathbf{F}_*) \cong \mathbf{A}$ ) such that each component  $\mathbf{F}_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , is a free AWB. Then the  $n$ -th Quillen homology of  $\mathbf{A}$  is defined by

$$H_n^Q(\mathbf{A}) = H_n(\mathbf{F}_*^{\text{ab}}), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Here  $\mathbf{F}_*^{\text{ab}}$  is the simplicial vector space obtained by applying the functor  $(-)^{\text{ab}}$  dimension-wise to  $\mathbf{F}_*$ .

In the proof of the theorem immediately below, we need to use the result from [7] that if  $\mathbf{F}$  is a free AWB, then the homology of the complex  $(C_*^{\text{AWB}}(\mathbf{F}), d_*)$  vanishes in positive dimensions, that is,

$$H_n^{\text{AWB}}(\mathbf{F}) = 0, \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an AWB. Then there is an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$H_n^{\text{AWB}}(\mathbf{A}) \cong H_n^Q(\mathbf{A}), \quad n \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* First of all let us note that the homology chain complex  $C_*^{\text{AWB}}$  is functorial in the sense that a homomorphism  $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}'$  gives rise the chain map  $C_*^{\text{AWB}}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow C_*^{\text{AWB}}(\mathbf{A}')$  in the canonical way.

Now, given a free simplicial resolution  $\mathbf{F}_*$  of  $\mathbf{A}$ , by applying the functor  $C_n^{\text{AWB}}$  dimension-wise, and then taking the alternating sums of face homomorphisms, we get an augmented chain complex of vector spaces  $C_n^{\text{AWB}}(\mathbf{F}_*) \rightarrow C_n^{\text{AWB}}(\mathbf{A})$ . Since  $\mathbf{F}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  is an aspherical simplicial AWB, we claim that  $C_n^{\text{AWB}}(\mathbf{F}_*) \rightarrow C_n^{\text{AWB}}(\mathbf{A})$  is acyclic chain complex for any  $n \geq 0$ . This is easy to confirm, since by forgetting AWB structure in the simplicial AWB  $\mathbf{F}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ , we get a simplicial vector space having a linear left (right) contraction.

Then using the facts that  $H_n^{\text{AWB}}(\mathbf{F}_m) = 0$  and  $H_0^{\text{AWB}}(\mathbf{F}_m) = \mathbf{F}_m^{\text{ab}}$  for any  $n \geq 1$  and  $m \geq 0$  it follows that both spectral sequences for the bicomplex  $C_*^{\text{AWB}}(\mathbf{F}_*)$  degenerate and give the required isomorphism.  $\square$

## 3. CROSSED MODULES OF AWBS

## 3.1. Actions and semi-direct product.

**Definition 3.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{M}$  be two AWBs. An action of  $\mathbf{A}$  on  $\mathbf{M}$  consists of four bilinear maps*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{M} &\rightarrow \mathbf{M}, & (a, m) &\mapsto {}^a m, & \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{A} &\rightarrow \mathbf{M}, & (m, a) &\mapsto m \cdot^a, \\ \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{M} &\rightarrow \mathbf{M}, & (a, m) &\mapsto {}^{a^*} m, & \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{A} &\rightarrow \mathbf{M}, & (m, a) &\mapsto m^{*a}, \end{aligned}$$

such that the following conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1 a_2) \cdot m &= a_1 \cdot (a_2 \cdot m), & (a_1 \cdot m)^{*a_2} &= a_1 \cdot (m^{*a_2}) + [a_1, a_2] \cdot m, \\ m \cdot (a_1 a_2) &= (m \cdot a_1) \cdot a_2, & (m \cdot a_1)^{*a_2} &= (m^{*a_2}) \cdot a_1 + m \cdot [a_1, a_2], \\ (a_1 \cdot m)^{a_2} &= a_1 \cdot (m^{a_2}), & (a_1 a_2)^* m &= a_1 \cdot (a_2^* m) + (a_1^* m) \cdot a_2, \\ (m_1 m_2) \cdot^a &= m_1 (m_2 \cdot^a), & [m_1^a, m_2] &= m_1 (a^* m_2) + [m_1, m_2] \cdot^a, \\ {}^a (m_1 m_2) &= ({}^a m_1) m_2, & [{}^a m_1, m_2] &= {}^a [m_1, m_2] + ({}^a m_2) m_1, \\ (m_1^a) m_2 &= m_1 ({}^a m_2), & (m_1 m_2)^{*a} &= m_1 (m_2^{*a}) + (m_1^{*a}) m_2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for all  $a, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbf{A}$ ,  $m, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbf{M}$ . The action is called *trivial* if all these bilinear maps are trivial.

Let us remark that if an action of an AWB  $\mathbf{A}$  on an abelian AWB  $\mathbf{M}$  is given, then all six equations in the last three lines of (3.1) vanish and we get the definition of a *representation*  $\mathbf{M}$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  (see [7]).

**Example 3.2.**

- (i) *If  $\mathbf{M}$  is a representation of an AWB  $\mathbf{A}$  thought as an abelian AWB, then there is an action of  $\mathbf{A}$  on the abelian AWB  $\mathbf{M}$ .*
- (ii) *If  $\mathbf{A}$  is a subalgebra of some AWB  $\mathbf{B}$  (maybe  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$ ) and if  $\mathbf{M}$  is a two-sided ideal of  $\mathbf{B}$ , then the operations in  $\mathbf{B}$  yield an action of  $\mathbf{A}$  on  $\mathbf{M}$  given by  ${}^a m = am$ ,  $m \cdot^a = ma$ ,  ${}^{a^*} m = [a, m]$ ,  $m^{*a} = [m, a]$ , for all  $m \in \mathbf{M}$  and  $a \in \mathbf{A}$ .*
- (iii) *If  $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{M} \xrightarrow{i} \mathbf{B} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{A} \rightarrow 0$  is a split short exact sequence of AWBs, that is, there exists a homomorphism  $s: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$  of AWBs such that  $\pi \circ s = \text{Id}_{\mathbf{A}}$ , then there is an action of  $\mathbf{A}$  on  $\mathbf{M}$ , given by:*

$$\begin{aligned} {}^a m &= i^{-1}(s(a)i(m)), & m \cdot^a &= i^{-1}(i(m)s(a)), \\ {}^{a^*} m &= i^{-1}([s(a), i(m)]), & m^{*a} &= i^{-1}([i(m), s(a)]), \end{aligned}$$

for any  $a \in \mathbf{A}$ ,  $m \in \mathbf{M}$ .

- (iv) *Any homomorphism of AWBs  $f: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$  induces an action of  $\mathbf{A}$  on  $\mathbf{M}$  in the standard way by taking images of elements of  $\mathbf{A}$  and operations in  $\mathbf{M}$ .*
- (v) *If  $\mu: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  is a surjective homomorphism of AWBs and the kernel of  $\mu$  is contained in the center of  $\mathbf{M}$ , i.e.  $\text{Ker}(\mu) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{M})$ , then there is an action of  $\mathbf{A}$  on  $\mathbf{M}$ , defined in the standard way, i.e. by choosing pre-images of elements of  $\mathbf{A}$  and taking operations in  $\mathbf{M}$ .*

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{M}$  be AWBs with an action of  $\mathbf{A}$  on  $\mathbf{M}$ . The semi-direct product of  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{A}$ , denoted by  $\mathbf{M} \rtimes \mathbf{A}$ , is the AWB whose underlying vector space is  $\mathbf{M} \oplus \mathbf{A}$  endowed with the operations

$$\begin{aligned} (m_1, a_1)(m_2, a_2) &= (m_1 m_2 + {}^{a_1}m_2 + m_1 \cdot {}^{a_2}, a_1 a_2), \\ [(m_1, a_1), (m_2, a_2)] &= ([m_1, m_2] + {}^{a_1}m_2 + m_1 \cdot {}^{a_2}, [a_1, a_2]) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbf{M}$ ,  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbf{A}$ .

Given an action of an AWB  $\mathbf{A}$  on  $\mathbf{M}$ , straightforward calculations show that the sequence of AWBs

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{M} \xrightarrow{i} \mathbf{M} \rtimes \mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbf{A} \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $i(m) = (m, 0)$ ,  $\pi(m, a) = a$ , is exact. Moreover  $\mathbf{M}$  is a two-sided ideal of  $\mathbf{M} \rtimes \mathbf{A}$  and this sequence splits by  $s: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{M} \rtimes \mathbf{A}$ ,  $s(a) = (0, a)$ . Then, as in Example 3.2 (iii), the above sequence induces another action of  $\mathbf{A}$  on  $\mathbf{M}$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} {}^a m &= i^{-1}((0, a)(m, 0)), & m \cdot a &= i^{-1}((m, 0)(0, a)), \\ {}^{a^*} m &= i^{-1}[(0, a), (m, 0)], & m \cdot {}^{a^*} &= i^{-1}[(m, 0), (0, a)], \end{aligned}$$

which actually matches the given one.

### 3.2. Crossed modules.

**Definition 3.4.** A crossed module of AWBs is a homomorphism of AWBs  $\mu: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  together with an action of  $\mathbf{A}$  on  $\mathbf{M}$  such that the following identities hold:

(CM1)

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(m \cdot a) &= \mu(m)a, & \mu({}^a m) &= a\mu(m), \\ \mu(m \cdot {}^{a^*}) &= [\mu(m), a], & \mu({}^{a^*} m) &= [a, \mu(m)]; \end{aligned}$$

(CM2)

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(m) \cdot m' &= mm' = m \cdot \mu(m'), \\ \mu(m) \cdot {}^{m'} &= [m, m'] = m \cdot \mu(m') \end{aligned}$$

for all  $m, m' \in \mathbf{M}$ ,  $a \in \mathbf{A}$ .

**Definition 3.5.** A morphism of crossed modules  $(\mathbf{M} \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathbf{A}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{M}' \xrightarrow{\mu'} \mathbf{A}')$  is a pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , where  $\alpha: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}'$  and  $\beta: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}'$  are homomorphisms of AWBs satisfying:

- (a)  $\beta \circ \mu = \mu' \circ \alpha$ .
- (b)

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha({}^a m) &= \beta(a) \cdot \alpha(m), & \alpha(m \cdot a) &= \alpha(m) \cdot \beta(a), \\ \alpha({}^{a^*} m) &= \beta(a)^* \alpha(m), & \alpha(m \cdot {}^{a^*}) &= \alpha(m) \cdot \beta(a)^* \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a \in \mathbf{A}$ ,  $m \in \mathbf{M}$ .

It is clear that crossed modules of AWBs constitute a category, denoted by  $\mathbf{XAWB}$ .

The following lemma is an easy consequence of Definition 3.4.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $\mu: M \rightarrow A$  be a crossed module of AWBs. Then the following statements are satisfied:*

- (i)  $\text{Ker}(\mu) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(M)$ .
- (ii)  $\text{Im}(\mu)$  is a two-sided ideal of  $A$ .
- (iii)  $\text{Im}(\mu)$  acts trivially on  $\mathcal{Z}(M)$ , and so trivially on  $\text{Ker}(\mu)$ . Hence  $\text{Ker}(\mu)$  inherits an action of  $A/\text{Im}(\mu)$  making  $\text{Ker}(\mu)$  a representation of the AWB  $A/\text{Im}(\mu)$ .

**Example 3.7.**

- (i) Let  $A$  be an AWB and  $B$  be a two-sided ideal of  $A$ , then the inclusion  $B \hookrightarrow A$  is a crossed module, where the action of  $A$  on  $B$  is given by the operations in  $A$  (see Example 3.2 (ii)). Conversely, if  $\mu: B \rightarrow A$  is a crossed module of AWBs and  $\mu$  is an injective map, then  $B$  is isomorphic to a two-sided ideal of  $A$  by Lemma 3.6 (ii).
- (ii) For any representation  $M$  of an AWB  $A$ , the trivial map  $0: M \rightarrow A$  is a crossed module with the action of  $A$  on the abelian AWB  $M$  described in Example 3.2 (i). Conversely, if  $0: M \rightarrow A$  is a crossed module of AWBs, then  $M$  is necessarily an abelian AWB and the action of  $A$  on  $M$  is equivalent to  $M$  being a representation of  $A$ .
- (iii) Any homomorphism of AWBs  $\mu: M \rightarrow A$ , with  $M$  abelian and  $\text{Im}(\mu) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(A)$ , provides a crossed module with  $A$  acting trivially on  $M$ .
- (iv) If  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\mu} A \rightarrow 0$  is a central extension of AWBs, then  $\mu$  is a crossed module with the induced action of  $A$  on  $M$  (see Example 3.2 (v)).

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $\mu: M \rightarrow A$  be a crossed module of AWBs. Then the maps*

- (i)  $(\mu, \text{Id}_A): M \rtimes A \rightarrow A \rtimes A$ ,
- (ii)  $(\text{Id}_M, \mu): M \rtimes M \rightarrow M \rtimes A$ ,
- (iii)  $\varphi: M \rtimes A \rightarrow M \rtimes A$  given by  $\varphi(m, a) = (-m, \mu(m) + a)$ ,

are homomorphisms of AWBs.

*Proof.* (i) is a direct consequence of equalities in (CM1) of Definition 3.4, (ii) follows from equalities in (CM2), whilst (iii) requires both (CM1) and (CM2).  $\square$

**Remark 3.9.** *The functors  $I$  and  $T$  given in Example 2.2 (ii) and (iii) preserve actions and crossed modules in the sense of the following assertions:*

- (i) Any action of an associative algebra  $A$  on another associative algebra  $M$ ,  $A \times M \rightarrow M$ ,  $(a, m) \mapsto a \cdot m$  and  $M \times A \rightarrow M$ ,  $(m, a) \mapsto m \cdot a$  (see [9, 8]) defines an action of the AWB  $I(A)$  on  $I(M)$  (resp. of  $T(A)$  on  $T(M)$ ), by letting

$${}^a m = a \cdot m, \quad m^a = m \cdot a, \quad {}^{a^*} m = 0, \quad m^{*a} = 0,$$

$$\left(\text{resp. } {}^a m = a \cdot m, \quad m^a = m \cdot a, \quad {}^{a^*} m = a \cdot m - m \cdot a, \quad m^{*a} = m \cdot a - a \cdot m\right)$$

for all  $a \in A$  and  $m \in M$ .



- (ii) If  $\mu: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  is a crossed module of associative algebras (see again [9, 8]), then the homomorphisms of AWBs  $I(\mu): I(\mathbf{M}) \rightarrow I(\mathbf{A})$  and  $T(\mu): T(\mathbf{M}) \rightarrow T(\mathbf{A})$ , together with the actions of  $I(\mathbf{A})$  on  $I(\mathbf{M})$  and of  $T(\mathbf{A})$  on  $T(\mathbf{M})$ , are crossed modules of AWBs.

In [5] we proved equivalence of crossed modules of AWBs with internal categories in the category of AWBs. Now we show their equivalence with  $\text{cat}^1$ -AWBs. The following definition of  $\text{cat}^1$ -AWB is given in complete analogy with Loday's original notion of  $\text{cat}^1$ -groups [21].

**Definition 3.10.** A  $\text{cat}^1$ -AWB  $(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, s, t)$  consists of an AWB  $\mathbf{R}$ , together with a subalgebra  $\mathbf{P}$  and two homomorphisms  $s, t: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  of AWBs satisfying the following conditions:

- (a)  $s|_{\mathbf{P}} = t|_{\mathbf{P}} = \text{Id}_{\mathbf{P}}$ .
- (b)  $\text{Ker}(s) \text{Ker}(t) = 0 = \text{Ker}(t) \text{Ker}(s)$ .
- (c)  $[\text{Ker}(s), \text{Ker}(t)] = 0 = [\text{Ker}(t), \text{Ker}(s)]$ .

**Definition 3.11.** A morphism of  $\text{cat}^1$ -AWBs  $(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, s, t) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}', \mathbf{P}', s', t')$  is a homomorphism of AWBs  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}'$  such that  $f(\mathbf{P}) \subseteq \mathbf{P}'$  and  $s' \circ f = f|_{\mathbf{P}} \circ s$ ,  $t' \circ f = f|_{\mathbf{P}} \circ t$ .

We let  $\text{cat}^1\text{-AWB}$  denote the category of  $\text{cat}^1$ -AWBs. Then we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.12.** The categories  $\text{cat}^1\text{-AWB}$  and  $\mathbf{XAWB}$  are equivalent.

*Proof.* To a given  $\text{cat}^1$ -AWB  $(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, s, t)$  we associate a crossed module  $\mu = t|_{\mathbf{M}}: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ , where  $\mathbf{M} = \text{Ker}(s)$  and the action of  $\mathbf{P}$  on  $\mathbf{M}$  is given by the operations in  $\mathbf{R}$  (see Example 3.2 (ii)). It is easy to see that  $\mu: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  is a crossed module of AWBs and the assignment defines a functor  $\Phi: \text{cat}^1\text{-AWB} \rightarrow \mathbf{XAWB}$ .

Conversely, let  $\mu: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  be a crossed module of AWBs, then the associated  $\text{cat}^1$ -AWB is given by  $s, t: \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ , where  $s(m, p) = p$ ,  $t(m, p) = \mu(m) + p$ ,  $m \in \mathbf{M}$ ,  $p \in \mathbf{P}$ . It is straightforward to see that this assignment is functorial and provides a quasi-inverse functor for  $\Phi$ .  $\square$

#### 4. NON-ABELIAN TENSOR PRODUCT OF AWBS

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{N}$  be AWBs with mutual actions on each other. The actions are said to be compatible if

$$\begin{aligned}
m^{\cdot(m' \cdot n')} &= m(m' \cdot n'), & m^{\cdot(n' \cdot m')} &= m(n' \cdot m'), \\
m^{\cdot(m' * n')} &= m(m' * n'), & m^{\cdot(n' * m')} &= m(n' * m'), \\
m^{*(m' \cdot n')} &= [m, m' \cdot n'], & m^{*(n' \cdot m')} &= [m, n' \cdot m'], \\
m^{*(m' * n')} &= [m, m' * n'], & m^{*(n' * m')} &= [m, n' * m'], \\
(m \cdot n) \cdot n' &= (m \cdot n)n', & (n \cdot m) \cdot n' &= (n \cdot m)n', \\
(m * n) \cdot n' &= (m * n)n', & (n * m) \cdot n' &= (n * m)n', \\
(m \cdot n) * n' &= [m \cdot n, n'], & (n \cdot m) * n' &= [n \cdot m, n'],
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

$$({}^{m^*n})^*n' = [{}^{m^*}n, n'], \quad ({}^{n^*m})^*n' = [n^*m, n'],$$

and moreover, another 16 equations obtained by exchanging the roles of elements of  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{N}$  in (4.1) are also valid.

**Example 4.2.**

- (a) If  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{N}$  are two-sided ideals of an AWB  $\mathbf{A}$ , then the mutual actions on each other considered in Example 3.2 (ii) are compatible.
- (b) Let  $\mu: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  and  $\nu: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  be two crossed modules of AWBs. Then the mutual actions of  $\mathbf{M}$  on  $\mathbf{N}$  via  $\mu$  and of  $\mathbf{N}$  on  $\mathbf{M}$  via  $\nu$  are compatible.

Let  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{N}$  be AWBs with mutual compatible actions on each other. We denote by  $\mathbf{M} \odot \mathbf{N}$  the vector space spanned by all symbols  $m \odot n$ ,  $n \odot m$  and by  $\mathbf{M} \otimes \mathbf{N}$  the vector space spanned by all symbols  $m \otimes n$ ,  $n \otimes m$ , for  $m \in \mathbf{M}$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Let  $\mathbf{M} \boxtimes \mathbf{N}$  denotes the quotient of  $(\mathbf{M} \odot \mathbf{N}) \oplus (\mathbf{M} \otimes \mathbf{N})$  by the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda(m \star n) &= (\lambda m) \star n = m \star (\lambda n), \\
(m + m') \star n &= m \star n + m' \star n, & m \star (n + n') &= m \star n + m \star n', \\
m^{\cdot n} \star m' \cdot n' &= m^{\cdot} n \star m' \cdot n', & m^{\cdot n} \star n' \cdot m' &= m^{\cdot} n \star n' \cdot m', \\
n \cdot m \star n' \cdot m' &= n \cdot m \star n' \cdot m', & n \cdot m \star m' \cdot n' &= n \cdot m \star m' \cdot n', \\
m^{\cdot n} \star m' \cdot n' &= m^{\cdot} n \star m' \cdot n', & m^{\cdot n} \star n' \cdot m' &= m^{\cdot} n \star n' \cdot m', \\
n \cdot m \star m' \cdot n' &= n \cdot m \star m' \cdot n', & n \cdot m \star n' \cdot m' &= n \cdot m \star n' \cdot m', \\
m^{\cdot n} \star m' \cdot n' &= m^{\cdot} n \star m' \cdot n', & m^{\cdot n} \star n' \cdot m' &= m^{\cdot} n \star n' \cdot m', \\
n^* m \star m' \cdot n' &= n^* m \star m' \cdot n', & n^* m \star n' \cdot m' &= n^* m \star n' \cdot m', \\
m^{\cdot n} \star m' \cdot n' &= m^{\cdot} n \star m' \cdot n', & m^{\cdot n} \star n' \cdot m' &= m^{\cdot} n \star n' \cdot m', \\
n^* m \star m' \cdot n' &= n^* m \star m' \cdot n', & n^* m \star n' \cdot m' &= n^* m \star n' \cdot m', \\
m^{\cdot n} \star m' \cdot n' &= m^{\cdot} n \star m' \cdot n', & m^{\cdot n} \star n' \cdot m' &= m^{\cdot} n \star n' \cdot m', \\
n^* m \star m' \cdot n' &= n^* m \star m' \cdot n', & n^* m \star n' \cdot m' &= n^* m \star n' \cdot m', \\
(m_1 m_2) \odot n &= m_1 \odot ({}^{m_2} n), & n \odot (m_1 m_2) &= (n \cdot m_1) \odot m_2, \\
(m_1 m_2) \otimes n &= m_1 \odot ({}^{m_2} n) + ({}^{m_1} n) \odot m_2, \\
{}^{m_1} n \otimes m_2 &= m_1 \odot n^* m_2 + [m_1, m_2] \odot n, \\
n \cdot m_1 \otimes m_2 &= n^* m_2 \odot m_1 + n \odot [m_1, m_2], \\
{}^{m_1} n \odot m_2 &= m_1 \odot n \cdot m_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

and another 25 relations obtained by exchanging the roles of elements of  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{N}$  in (4.2), where the symbol  $\star$  stands for both  $\odot$  or  $\otimes$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *The vector space  $\mathbf{M} \boxtimes \mathbf{N}$  endowed with the product and bracket operations given on the generators by*

$$\begin{aligned}
(m \odot n)(m' \odot n') &= (m^{\cdot n}) \odot (m' \cdot n'), & (m \odot n)(n' \odot m') &= (m^{\cdot n}) \odot (n' \cdot m'), \\
(n \odot m)(m' \odot n') &= (n \cdot m) \odot (m' \cdot n'), & (n \odot m)(n' \odot m') &= (n \cdot m) \odot (n' \cdot m'),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(m \odot n)(m' \otimes n') &= (m \cdot n) \odot (m' \cdot n'), & (m \odot n)(n' \otimes m') &= (m \cdot n) \odot (n' \cdot m'), \\
(n \odot m)(m' \otimes n') &= (n \cdot m) \odot (m' \cdot n'), & (n \odot m)(n' \otimes m') &= (n \cdot m) \odot (n' \cdot m'), \\
(m \otimes n)(m' \odot n') &= (m \cdot n) \odot (m' \cdot n'), & (m \otimes n)(n' \odot m') &= (m \cdot n) \odot (n' \cdot m'), \\
(n \otimes m)(m' \odot n') &= (n \cdot m) \odot (m' \cdot n'), & (n \otimes m)(n' \odot m') &= (n \cdot m) \odot (n' \cdot m'), \\
(m \otimes n)(m' \otimes n') &= (m \cdot n) \odot (m' \cdot n'), & (m \otimes n)(n' \otimes m') &= (m \cdot n) \odot (n' \cdot m'), \\
(n \otimes m)(m' \otimes n') &= (n \cdot m) \odot (m' \cdot n'), & (n \otimes m)(n' \otimes m') &= (n \cdot m) \odot (n' \cdot m'), \\
[m \odot n, m' \odot n'] &= (m \cdot n) \otimes (m' \cdot n'), & [m \odot n, n' \odot m'] &= (m \cdot n) \otimes (n' \cdot m'), \\
[n \odot m, m' \odot n'] &= (n \cdot m) \otimes (m' \cdot n'), & [n \odot m, n' \odot m'] &= (n \cdot m) \otimes (n' \cdot m'), \\
[m \odot n, m' \otimes n'] &= (m \cdot n) \otimes (m' \cdot n'), & [m \odot n, n' \otimes m'] &= (m \cdot n) \otimes (n' \cdot m'), \\
[n \odot m, m' \otimes n'] &= (n \cdot m) \otimes (m' \cdot n'), & [n \odot m, n' \otimes m'] &= (n \cdot m) \otimes (n' \cdot m'), \\
[m \otimes n, m' \odot n'] &= (m \cdot n) \otimes (m' \cdot n'), & [m \otimes n, n' \odot m'] &= (m \cdot n) \otimes (n' \cdot m'), \\
[n \otimes m, m' \odot n'] &= (n \cdot m) \otimes (m' \cdot n'), & [n \otimes m, n' \odot m'] &= (n \cdot m) \otimes (n' \cdot m'), \\
[m \otimes n, m' \otimes n'] &= (m \cdot n) \otimes (m' \cdot n'), & [m \otimes n, n' \otimes m'] &= (m \cdot n) \otimes (n' \cdot m'), \\
[n \otimes m, m' \otimes n'] &= (n \cdot m) \otimes (m' \cdot n'), & [n \otimes m, n' \otimes m'] &= (n \cdot m) \otimes (n' \cdot m'),
\end{aligned}$$

has the structure of an AWB.

*Proof.* Straightforward calculations show that, under the conditions of compatible actions (4.1), by using the relations in (4.2), the described operations on  $\mathbf{M} \boxtimes \mathbf{N}$  satisfy the fundamental identity (2.1).  $\square$

**Definition 4.4.** *The structure of AWB on  $\mathbf{M} \boxtimes \mathbf{N}$  provided by Proposition 4.3 is called the non-abelian tensor product of the AWBs  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{N}$ .*

In particular, if the actions are trivial, the non-abelian tensor product can be described as follows.

**Proposition 4.5.** *If  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{N}$  are two AWBs with trivial actions on each other, then there is an isomorphism of abelian AWBs*

$$\mathbf{M} \boxtimes \mathbf{N} \cong (\mathbf{M}^{ab} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbf{N}^{ab}) \oplus (\mathbf{N}^{ab} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbf{M}^{ab}) \oplus (\mathbf{M}^{ab} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbf{N}^{ab}) \oplus (\mathbf{N}^{ab} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbf{M}^{ab}).$$

*Proof.* Equations in Proposition 4.3 show us easily that  $\mathbf{M} \boxtimes \mathbf{N}$  is abelian in the case of trivial actions. The defining relations (4.2) of the non-abelian tensor product say that the vector space  $\mathbf{M} \boxtimes \mathbf{N}$  is the quotient of  $(\mathbf{M} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbf{N}) \oplus (\mathbf{N} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbf{M}) \oplus (\mathbf{M} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbf{N}) \oplus (\mathbf{N} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbf{M})$  by the

relations

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= (m_1 m_2) \otimes n = [m_1, m_2] \otimes n \\
&= n \otimes (m_1 m_2) = n \otimes [m_1, m_2] \\
&= m \otimes (n_1 n_2) = m \otimes [n_1, n_2] \\
&= (n_1 n_2) \otimes m = [n_1, n_2] \otimes m
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $m, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbf{M}$ ,  $n, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbf{N}$ . This provides the required isomorphism.  $\square$

The non-abelian tensor product of AWBs is functorial in the following sense: let  $f: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}'$  and  $g: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}'$  be homomorphisms of AWBs together with compatible mutual actions of  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{N}$ , also  $\mathbf{M}'$  and  $\mathbf{N}'$  on each other such that  $f, g$  preserve these actions, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
f(n \cdot m) &= g(n) \cdot f(m), & f(m \cdot n) &= f(m) \cdot g(n), & f(n^* m) &= g(n)^* f(m), & f(m \cdot n^*) &= f(m) \cdot g(n)^*, \\
g(m \cdot n) &= f(m) \cdot g(n), & g(n \cdot m) &= g(n) \cdot f(m), & g(m^* n) &= f(m)^* g(n), & g(m \cdot n^*) &= f(m) \cdot g(n)^*.
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $m \in \mathbf{M}, n \in \mathbf{N}$ , then there is a homomorphism of AWBs

$$f \boxtimes g: \mathbf{M} \boxtimes \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}' \boxtimes \mathbf{N}'$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
(f \boxtimes g)(m \odot n) &= f(m) \odot g(n), & (f \boxtimes g)(n \odot m) &= g(n) \odot f(m), \\
(f \boxtimes g)(m \otimes n) &= f(m) \otimes g(n), & (f \boxtimes g)(n \otimes m) &= g(n) \otimes f(m).
\end{aligned}$$

The non-abelian tensor product of AWBs has a kind of right-exactness property presented in the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_1 \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{M}_2 \xrightarrow{g} \mathbf{M}_3 \rightarrow 0$  be a short exact sequence of AWBs. Let  $\mathbf{N}$  be an AWB together with compatible actions of  $\mathbf{N}$  and  $\mathbf{M}_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) on each other and  $f, g$  preserve these actions. Then there is an exact sequence of AWBs*

$$\mathbf{M}_1 \boxtimes \mathbf{N} \xrightarrow{f \boxtimes \text{Id}_{\mathbf{N}}} \mathbf{M}_2 \boxtimes \mathbf{N} \xrightarrow{g \boxtimes \text{Id}_{\mathbf{N}}} \mathbf{M}_3 \boxtimes \mathbf{N} \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* It is clear that the composition  $(g \boxtimes \text{Id}_{\mathbf{N}})(f \boxtimes \text{Id}_{\mathbf{N}})$  is the trivial map, i.e.  $\text{Im}(f \boxtimes \text{Id}_{\mathbf{N}}) \subseteq \text{Ker}(g \boxtimes \text{Id}_{\mathbf{N}})$  and at the same time  $f \boxtimes \text{Id}_{\mathbf{N}}$  is an epimorphism.

$\text{Im}(f \boxtimes \text{Id}_{\mathbf{N}})$  is generated by the elements of the form  $f(m_1) \odot n, n \odot f(m_1), f(m_1) \otimes n$  and  $n \otimes f(m_1)$ , for all  $m_1 \in \mathbf{M}_1, n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Since  $f$  preserves actions of  $\mathbf{N}$ , by the relations given in Proposition 4.3, it is easily verified that  $\text{Im}(f \boxtimes \text{Id}_{\mathbf{N}})$  is a two-sided ideal of  $\mathbf{M}_2 \boxtimes \mathbf{N}$ . For instance, taking a generator of the form  $m_2 \odot n'$  in  $\mathbf{M}_2 \boxtimes \mathbf{N}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(f(m_1) \odot n)(m_2 \odot n') &= f(m_1) \cdot n \odot m_2 \cdot n' = f(m_1^n) \odot m_2 \cdot n' \in \text{Im}(f \boxtimes \text{Id}_{\mathbf{N}}), \\
(f(m_1) \otimes n)(m_2 \odot n') &= f(m_1)^* n \odot m_2 \cdot n' = f(m_1^{*n}) \odot m_2 \cdot n' \in \text{Im}(f \boxtimes \text{Id}_{\mathbf{N}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, there is a homomorphism of AWBs

$$\alpha: (\mathbf{M}_2 \boxtimes \mathbf{N}) / \text{Im}(f \boxtimes \text{Id}_{\mathbf{N}}) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{M}_3 \boxtimes \mathbf{N})$$

induced by  $g \boxtimes \text{Id}_{\mathbb{N}}$ , that is, defined on generators by

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(\overline{m_2 \odot n}) &= g(m_2) \odot n, & \alpha(\overline{n \odot m_2}) &= n \odot g(m_2), \\ \alpha(\overline{m_2 \otimes n}) &= g(m_2) \otimes n, & \alpha(\overline{n \otimes m_2}) &= n \otimes g(m_2).\end{aligned}$$

where the overdrawn generator denotes the coset of the corresponding element. On the other hand, we have well-defined homomorphism of AWBs

$$\alpha': (\mathbb{M}_3 \boxtimes \mathbb{N}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{M}_2 \boxtimes \mathbb{N}) / \text{Im}(f \boxtimes \text{Id}_{\mathbb{N}})$$

given on generators by

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha'(m_3 \odot n) &= \overline{m_2 \odot n}, & \alpha'(\overline{n \odot m_3}) &= \overline{n \odot m_2}, \\ \alpha'(m_3 \otimes n) &= \overline{m_2 \otimes n}, & \alpha'(n \otimes m_3) &= \overline{n \otimes m_2},\end{aligned}$$

where  $m_2 \in \mathbb{M}_2$  is any element such that  $g(m_2) = m_3$ . Obviously  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  are inverse to each other, i.e.  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism. Then the required exactness follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.7.** *Let  $\mathbb{M}$  and  $\mathbb{N}$  be AWBs with compatible actions on each other.*

(a) *There are homomorphisms of AWBs*

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{\mathbb{M}}: \mathbb{M} \boxtimes \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{M} \text{ given by, } & \psi_{\mathbb{M}}(m \odot n) = m^n, \quad \psi_{\mathbb{M}}(n \odot m) = {}^n m, \\ & \psi_{\mathbb{M}}(m \otimes n) = m^{*n}, \quad \psi_{\mathbb{M}}(n \otimes m) = {}^{n*} m;\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{and } \psi_{\mathbb{N}}: \mathbb{M} \boxtimes \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \text{ given by, } & \psi_{\mathbb{N}}(m \odot n) = {}^m n, \quad \psi_{\mathbb{N}}(n \odot m) = n^m, \\ & \psi_{\mathbb{N}}(m \otimes n) = m^* n, \quad \psi_{\mathbb{N}}(n \otimes m) = n^{*m}.\end{aligned}$$

(b) *There are actions of  $\mathbb{M}$  and  $\mathbb{N}$  on the non-abelian tensor product  $\mathbb{M} \boxtimes \mathbb{N}$  given, for all  $m, m' \in \mathbb{M}, n, n' \in \mathbb{N}$ , by*

$$\begin{aligned}{}^m(m' \odot n') &= m \odot ({}^{m'} n'), & {}^m(n' \odot m') &= m \odot (n'^{m'}), \\ (m' \odot n')^m &= ({}^{m'} n') \odot m, & (n' \odot m')^m &= (n'^{m'}) \odot m, \\ {}^{m*}(m' \odot n') &= m \otimes ({}^{m'} n'), & {}^{m*}(n' \odot m') &= m \otimes (n'^{m'}), \\ (m' \odot n')^{*m} &= ({}^{m'} n') \otimes m, & (n' \odot m')^{*m} &= (n'^{m'}) \otimes m, \\ {}^m(m' \otimes n') &= m \odot ({}^{m'*} n'), & {}^m(n' \otimes m') &= m \odot (n'^{*m'}), \\ (m' \otimes n')^m &= ({}^{m'*} n') \odot m, & (n' \otimes m')^m &= (n'^{*m'}) \odot m, \\ {}^{m*}(m' \otimes n') &= m \otimes ({}^{m'*} n'), & {}^{m*}(n' \otimes m') &= m \otimes (n'^{*m'}), \\ (m' \otimes n')^{*m} &= ({}^{m'*} n') \otimes m, & (n' \otimes m')^{*m} &= (n'^{*m'}) \otimes m,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
n \cdot (m' \odot n') &= n \odot (m' \cdot n'), & n \cdot (n' \odot m') &= n \odot (n' \cdot m'), \\
(m' \odot n') \cdot n &= (m' \cdot n') \odot n, & (n' \odot m') \cdot n &= (n' \cdot m') \odot n, \\
n^* (m' \odot n') &= n \otimes (m' \cdot n'), & n^* (n' \odot m') &= n \otimes (n' \cdot m'), \\
(m' \odot n')^{*n} &= (m' \cdot n') \otimes n, & (n' \odot m')^{*n} &= (n' \cdot m') \otimes n, \\
n \cdot (m' \otimes n') &= n \odot (m' \cdot n'), & n \cdot (n' \otimes m') &= n \odot (n' \cdot m'), \\
(m' \otimes n') \cdot n &= (m' \cdot n') \odot n, & (n' \otimes m') \cdot n &= (n' \cdot m') \odot n, \\
n^* (m' \otimes n') &= n \otimes (m' \cdot n'), & n^* (n' \otimes m') &= n \otimes (n' \cdot m'), \\
(m' \otimes n')^{*n} &= (m' \cdot n') \otimes n, & (n' \otimes m')^{*n} &= (n' \cdot m') \otimes n.
\end{aligned}$$

(c) The homomorphisms  $\psi_M$  and  $\psi_N$  together with the actions described in the statement (b) are crossed modules of AWBs.

*Proof.* This is straightforward but tedious verification.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.8.** *If  $A$  is a perfect AWB, then  $\psi_A: A \boxtimes A \rightarrow A$  is the universal central extension of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly  $\psi_A: A \boxtimes A \rightarrow A$  is an epimorphism if  $A$  is perfect. Moreover, it is a crossed module of AWBs by Proposition 4.7 (c). Then Lemma 3.6 (i) says that it is a central extension.

To show the universal property, consider any central extension  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{\phi} A \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $\text{Ker}(\phi) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(B)$ , we get a well-defined homomorphism of AWBs  $\varphi: A \boxtimes A \rightarrow B$  given on generators by  $\varphi(a \odot a') = b b'$  and  $\varphi(a \otimes a') = [b, b']$ , where  $b$  and  $b'$  are any elements in  $\phi^{-1}(a)$  and  $\phi^{-1}(a')$ , respectively. Obviously  $\phi \varphi = \psi_A$ . Moreover, since  $A$  is perfect, it follows by the equalities in Proposition 4.3 that  $A \boxtimes A$  is a perfect AWB as well. Then [3, Lemma 3.1] implies that  $\varphi$  is the unique homomorphism satisfying the required conditions.  $\square$

Bearing in mind that the universal central extension of a perfect AWB is unique up to isomorphism, by [3, Theorem 3.5] we conclude that

$$H_1^{\text{AWB}}(A) \cong \text{Ker}(\psi_A: A \boxtimes A \rightarrow A).$$

Moreover, if  $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \xrightarrow{\rho} A \rightarrow 0$  is a free presentation of a perfect AWB  $A$ , then its universal central extension is

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{R \cap [[F, F]]}{[[F, R]]} \longrightarrow \frac{[[F, F]]}{[[F, R]]} \xrightarrow{\rho^*} A \longrightarrow 0$$

(see [3]), hence

$$A \boxtimes A \cong \frac{[[F, F]]}{[[F, R]]}$$

due to the uniqueness (up to isomorphisms) of the universal central extension.

**Remark 4.9.** *The article [3] provides another description of the universal central extension of a perfect AWB  $\mathbf{A}$ . In particular, it is shown that, given an AWB  $\mathbf{A}$ , the quotient  $\frac{\mathbf{A}^{\otimes 2} \oplus \mathbf{A}^{\otimes 2}}{I_{\mathbf{A}}}$  has an AWB structure, where  $I_{\mathbf{A}}$  is the image of the map  $d_2: \mathbf{A}^{\otimes 3} \oplus \mathbf{A}^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{\otimes 2} \oplus \mathbf{A}^{\otimes 2}$  in the homology chain complex  $(C_*^{\text{AWB}}(\mathbf{A}), d_*)$ , that is,  $I_{\mathbf{A}}$  is the subspace of  $\mathbf{A}^{\otimes 2} \oplus \mathbf{A}^{\otimes 2}$  spanned by the elements of the form*

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_1 a_2) \otimes a_3 - a_1 \otimes (a_2 a_3), \\ & [a_1, a_2] \otimes a_3 + a_1 \otimes [a_2, a_3] - (a_1 a_2) \circ a_3, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbf{A}$ . Moreover, if  $\mathbf{A}$  is a perfect AWB, then it gives the construction of the universal central extension of  $\mathbf{A}$ . As a consequence, we have the following isomorphism of AWBs

$$\frac{\mathbf{A}^{\otimes 2} \oplus \mathbf{A}^{\otimes 2}}{I_{\mathbf{A}}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{A} \boxtimes \mathbf{A},$$

given by  $a_1 \otimes a_2 \mapsto a_1 \odot a_2$  and  $a_1 \circ a_2 \mapsto a_1 \otimes a_2$ .

**Proposition 4.10.** *If  $\mathbf{M}$  is a two-sided ideal of an AWB  $\mathbf{A}$ , then there is the exact sequence of AWBs*

$$(\mathbf{M} \boxtimes \mathbf{A}) \rtimes (\mathbf{A} \boxtimes \mathbf{M}) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathbf{A} \boxtimes \mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathbf{A}/\mathbf{M} \boxtimes \mathbf{A}/\mathbf{M} \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* The functorial property of the non-abelian tensor product applied to the projection  $\mathbf{A} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{A}/\mathbf{M}$  induces the surjective homomorphism  $\tau$ , and applied to  $\text{inc}: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  and  $\text{Id}: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  provides the homomorphisms  $\sigma': \mathbf{M} \boxtimes \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \boxtimes \mathbf{A}$  and  $\sigma'': \mathbf{A} \boxtimes \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \boxtimes \mathbf{A}$ .

Define  $\sigma(x, y) = \sigma'(x) + \sigma''(y)$ , for all  $x \in \mathbf{M} \boxtimes \mathbf{A}$ ,  $y \in \mathbf{A} \boxtimes \mathbf{M}$ .  $\text{Im}(\sigma)$  is a two sided ideal of  $\mathbf{A} \boxtimes \mathbf{A}$  spanned by the elements of the form  $m \odot a$ ,  $a \odot m$ ,  $m \otimes a$ ,  $a \otimes m$  for all  $a \in \mathbf{A}$  and  $m \in \mathbf{M}$ .

By the identities in Proposition 4.3 and the relations (4.2) of the non-abelian tensor product,  $\tau$  induces a homomorphism of AWBs  $\bar{\tau}: \frac{\mathbf{A} \boxtimes \mathbf{A}}{\text{Im}(\sigma)} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}/\mathbf{M} \boxtimes \mathbf{A}/\mathbf{M}$ . Define  $\tau': \mathbf{A}/\mathbf{M} \boxtimes \mathbf{A}/\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \frac{\mathbf{A} \boxtimes \mathbf{A}}{\text{Im}(\sigma)}$  by  $\tau'((a_1 + \mathbf{M}) \odot (a_2 + \mathbf{M})) = a_1 \odot a_2 + \text{Im}(\sigma)$ ,  $\tau'((a_1 + \mathbf{M}) \otimes (a_2 + \mathbf{M})) = a_1 \otimes a_2 + \text{Im}(\sigma)$ . It is easy to check that  $\tau'$  is a well-defined homomorphism that is inverse to  $\bar{\tau}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.11.** *Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be a two-sided ideal of a perfect AWB  $\mathbf{A}$ . Then there is an exact sequence of vector spaces*

$$\text{Ker}(\mathbf{M} \boxtimes \mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{\psi_{\mathbf{M}}} \mathbf{M}) \rightarrow H_1^{\text{AWB}}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow H_1^{\text{AWB}}(\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{M}) \rightarrow \frac{\mathbf{M}}{[[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{M}]]} \rightarrow 0$$

*Proof.* Due to Proposition 4.10 there is the following commutative diagram of AWBs with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (\mathbf{M} \boxtimes \mathbf{A}) \rtimes (\mathbf{A} \boxtimes \mathbf{M}) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathbf{A} \boxtimes \mathbf{A} & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \mathbf{A}/\mathbf{M} \boxtimes \mathbf{A}/\mathbf{M} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \psi_{\mathbf{A}} & & \downarrow \psi_{\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{M}} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{M} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{A} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbf{A}/\mathbf{M} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(m_1 \odot a_1, a_2 \odot m_2) &= m_1 a_1 + a_2 m_2, & \psi(m_1 \odot a_1, a_2 \otimes m_2) &= m_1 a_1 + [a_2, m_2], \\ \psi(m_1 \otimes a_1, a_2 \odot m_2) &= [m_1, a_1] + a_2 m_2, & \psi(m_1 \otimes a_1, a_2 \otimes m_2) &= [m_1, a_1] + [a_2, m_2].\end{aligned}$$

The Snake lemma provides the exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Ker}(\psi) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(\psi_A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(\psi_{A/M}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(\psi) \rightarrow 0.$$

By Theorem 4.8  $\mathrm{Ker}(\psi_A) = H_1^{\mathrm{AWB}}(\mathbf{A})$ , and since  $\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{M}$  is a perfect AWB as well, we also have  $\mathrm{Ker}(\psi_{A/M}) = H_1^{\mathrm{AWB}}(\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{M})$ . Obviously  $\mathrm{Coker}(\psi) = \frac{\mathbf{M}}{[[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{M}]}}$ . Then the fact that there is a surjective map  $\mathrm{Ker}(\psi_M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(\psi)$  completes the proof.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Agencia Estatal de Investigación (Spain), grant PID2020-115155GB-I00. Emzar Khmaladze was supported by Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation of Georgia, grant FR-23-271. He is grateful to the University of Santiago de Compostela for its hospitality. Manuel Ladra was also funded by Xunta de Galicia, grant ED431C 2023/31 (European FEDER support included, UE).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F. Borceux and D. Bourn, *Mal'cev, protomodular, homological and semi-abelian categories*. Math. Appl. **566**, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2004.
- [2] R. Brown and J.-L. Loday, *Van Kampen theorems for diagrams of spaces*. Topology **26** (3) (1987), 311–335.
- [3] J. M. Casas, *Homology with trivial coefficients and universal central extension of algebras with bracket*. Comm. Algebra **35** (8) (2007), 2431–2449.
- [4] J. M. Casas, *On solvability and nilpotency of algebras with bracket*. J. Korean Math. Soc. **54** (2) (2017), 647–662.
- [5] J. M. Casas, E. Khmaladze and M. Ladra, *Wells-type exact sequence and crossed extensions of algebras with bracket*. Forum Math. (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1515/forum-2023-0355>.
- [6] J. M. Casas, E. Khmaladze and N. Pacheco Rego, *A non-abelian Hom-Leibniz tensor product and applications*. Linear Multilinear Algebra **66** (6) (2018), 1133–1152.
- [7] J. M. Casas and T. Pirashvili, *Algebras with bracket*. Manuscripta Math. **119** (1) (2006), 1–15.
- [8] P. Dedecker and A. S.-T. Lue, *A nonabelian two-dimensional cohomology for associative algebras*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1966), 1044–1050.
- [9] G. Donadze, N. Inassaridze, E. Khmaladze and M. Ladra, *Cyclic homologies of crossed modules of algebras*. J. Noncommut. Geom. **6** (4) (2012), 749–771.
- [10] G. J. Ellis, *Non-abelian exterior product of Lie algebras and an exact sequence in the homology of Lie algebras*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **46** (1987), 111–115.
- [11] G. J. Ellis, *A non-abelian tensor product of Lie algebras*. Glasgow Math. J. **33** (1) (1991), 101–120.
- [12] X. García-Martínez, E. Khmaladze and M. Ladra, *Non-abelian tensor product and homology of Lie superalgebras*. J. Algebra **440** (2015), 464–488.
- [13] A. V. Gnedbaye, *A non-abelian tensor product of Leibniz algebras*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **49** (1999), 1149–1177.
- [14] D. Guin, *Cohomologie et homologie non-abeliennes des groupes*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **50** (1988), 109–137.



- [15] D. Guin, *Cohomologie des algèbres de Lie croisées et K-théorie de Milnor additive*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **45** (1995), 93–118.
- [16] P. J. Higgins, *Groups with multiple operators*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **56** (1956), 366–416.
- [17] H. Inassaridze and N. Inassaridze, *Non-abelian homology of groups*. K-Theory J. **18** (1999), 1–17.
- [18] N. Inassaridze, *Nonabelian tensor products and nonabelian homology of groups*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **112** (2) (1996), 191–205.
- [19] G. Janelidze, L. Márki and W. Tholen, *Semi-abelian categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **168** (2-3) (2002), 367–386.
- [20] I. V. Kanatchikov, *On field theoretic generalizations of a Poisson algebras*. Rep. Math. Phys. **40** (2) (1997), 225–234.
- [21] J.-L. Loday, *Spaces with finitely many non-trivial homotopy groups*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **24** (2) (1982), 179–202.
- [22] F. I. Michael, *A note on the Five Lemma*. Appl. Categ. Structures **21** (5) (2013), 441–448.
- [23] D. Quillen, *On the (Co-)homology of commutative rings*. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **17** (1970) 65–87.
- [24] Ch. A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*. Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., **38** Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

<sup>1</sup>DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA APLICADA I & CITMAGA, E. E. FORESTAL, UNIVERSITY OF VIGO, 36005 PONTEVEDRA, SPAIN

*Email address:* [jmcasas@uvigo.es](mailto:jmcasas@uvigo.es) (ORCID: 0000-0002-6556-6131)

<sup>2</sup>THE UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, KOSTAVA ST. 77A, 0171 TBILISI, GEORGIA & A. RAZMADZE MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF TBILISI STATE UNIVERSITY, TAMARASHVILI ST. 6, 0177 TBILISI, GEORGIA

*Email address:* [e.khmaladze@ug.edu.ge](mailto:e.khmaladze@ug.edu.ge) (ORCID: 0000-0001-9492-982X)

<sup>3</sup>DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS & CITMAGA, UNIVERSIDADE DE SANTIAGO DE COMPOSTELA, 15782 SANTIAGO DE COMPOSTELA, SPAIN

*Email address:* [manuel.ladra@usc.es](mailto:manuel.ladra@usc.es) (ORCID: 0000-0002-0543-4508)