

Band projections and order idempotents in Banach lattice algebras

David Muñoz-Lahoz[‡]

Abstract

Motivated by recent work about band projections on spaces of regular operators over a Banach lattice, given a Banach lattice algebra A , we will say an element $a \in A_+$ is a band projection if the multiplication operator $L_a R_a \in \mathcal{L}_r(A)$ is a band projection. Our aim in this note is to explore the relations between this and the notion of order idempotent (those elements a in a Banach lattice algebra A with identity e such that $0 \leq a \leq e$ and $a^2 = a$). We also revisit the properties of the ideal generated by the identity on a Banach lattice algebra, motivated by those of the centre of a Banach lattice.

Keywords Banach lattice algebra; band projection; order idempotent; regular operator
MSC2020 46B42; 06F25; 46A32; 47A10; 47B65; 47L10

1 Introduction

This is a follow-up of the paper [12], where we studied band projections in spaces of regular operators. Our aim here is to explore analogous results in the more general context of Banach lattice algebras. Besides contributing to the theory of these structures, this serves the purpose of showing that some properties of spaces of regular operators hold for arbitrary Banach lattice algebras.

Given a Banach lattice X , we can order the space of operators on X using the cone of positive operators, that is, setting an operator $T: X \rightarrow X$ to be positive if $T(X_+) \subseteq X_+$. In general, however, not every operator can be expressed as the difference of two positive operators, so the positive cone need not be generating. Those operators that are in the span of the positive cone are called regular operators.

The space of regular operators $\mathcal{L}_r(X)$ is an ordered vector space, but it need not be a lattice. It is a remarkable result by Freudenthal, Kantorovich and Riesz that when X is order complete, $\mathcal{L}_r(X)$ is again a Banach lattice. Since the composition of positive operators is again positive, $\mathcal{L}_r(X)$ is also a Banach algebra.

[‡]david.munozl (at) uam (dot) es

Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas (CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM) Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, C/ Nicolás Cabrera, 13–15, Campus de Cantoblanco UAM 28049, Madrid, Spain.

So in $\mathcal{L}_r(X)$ a lattice and an algebraic structure coexist, with the compatibility condition that the product of positive elements is positive. In [12] we used this structure to study band projections on $\mathcal{L}_r(X)$. More precisely, if $\{P_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a family of pairwise disjoint band projections on X , and $\Gamma \subseteq \Lambda \times \Lambda$, we defined for positive operators $T \in \mathcal{L}_+(X)$ the map

$$\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(T) = \bigvee_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma} P_\alpha T P_\beta. \quad (1)$$

We showed that $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma: \mathcal{L}_+(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_+(X)$ extends to a unique band projection on $\mathcal{L}_r(X)$, and called inner band projections the band projections of this form.

Even though the definition of inner band projections uses only the lattice and algebraic operations of $\mathcal{L}_r(X)$, the proof that (1) actually defines a band projection relied heavily on the expression of the Riesz–Kantorovich formulae for the lattice operations in $\mathcal{L}_r(X)$. One of the main motivations for this paper is to determine whether we can extend inner band projections to more general spaces in which a lattice and an algebraic operation coexist, in a manner analogous to that of $\mathcal{L}_r(X)$.

This leads to the notion of Banach lattice algebras. Formally, a Banach lattice algebra is a Banach lattice together with a Banach algebra structure in which the product of positive elements is positive. One of the most important open problems in the theory of Banach lattice algebras is the following:

Question 1.1 ([17, Question 5.3]). Is every Banach lattice algebra isometrically (isomorphic) to a closed subalgebra and sublattice of some space of regular operators?

It is relevant to this question, and therefore to the theory, to understand which properties of spaces of regular operators depend only on the Banach lattice algebra structure, and not on the fact that we are actually dealing with operators. It is in this line of investigation where our paper fits in.

The first step towards an abstract formulation of inner band projections is to determine which elements of a Banach lattice algebra A should play the role of the band projections $\{P_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. It is a classical result that an operator $P: X \rightarrow X$ on a Banach lattice X is a band projection if and only if $P^2 = P$ and $0 \leq P \leq I_X$. When A has an identity e , it seems then natural to regard the elements $p \in A$ satisfying $p^2 = p$ and $0 \leq p \leq e$ as the analogue of band projections. These elements, called order idempotents, were already considered by E. A. Alekhno in the context of ordered Banach algebras (see [4]). For instance, Alekhno showed that the set of order idempotents forms a Boolean algebra, just like the set of band projections on a Banach lattice does. We shall give an alternative proof of this fact, and show that the set of order idempotents is order closed.

But for this we will need to study the ideal generated by the identity element in A . This ideal can be regarded as the abstract analogue of the center of an order complete Banach lattice. We will collect results by L. Martignon [10], C. B. Huijsmans [7] and E. Scheffold [14] to show that this ideal possesses the most fundamental properties of the center: it is

lattice and algebra isometric to a space of continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space, it is a projection band, and it is an inverse closed subalgebra of A .

And what happens if we don't have an identity? Can we still define elements that play the role of band projections? Recently, it was proved in [12] that band projections are characterized by their multiplication operators in the following way: a positive operator $P: X \rightarrow X$ defined on an order complete Banach lattice X is a band projection if and only if the multiplication operator

$$\begin{aligned} L_P R_P: \mathcal{L}_r(X) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_r(X) \\ T &\longmapsto PTP \end{aligned}$$

is a band projection. Band projections could be therefore defined as those elements $p \in A_+$ for which the operator

$$\begin{aligned} L_p R_p: A &\longrightarrow A \\ a &\longmapsto pap \end{aligned}$$

is a band projection. This is analogue to the approach taken by K. Vala in [16] to define compact elements in normed algebras.

Several properties of band projections, in this sense, are studied. It is shown that the set of band projections need not be order closed or even commute; in particular, when we have an identity, band projections and order idempotents may not be the same. The relation between order idempotents and band projections appears to be subtle, as it is illustrated with several finite-dimensional examples. Some conditions guaranteeing that a band projection is order idempotent are also given.

Much better behaved is the set of left and right band projections, defined as those positive elements in A for which both the left and right multiplication operators are band projections. These elements coincide with order idempotents when an identity is present, and they always commute. Moreover, they provide the appropriate generalization of band projections that allows for the abstract construction of inner band projections we were looking for. Our main result (Theorem 3.29) is that (1) defines a band projection in any order complete Banach lattice algebra as long as the $\{P_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ are taken to be left and right band projections satisfying $P_\alpha P_\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta} P_\alpha$ (where $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Kronecker delta). To prove it, we cannot resort directly to the Riesz–Kantorovich formulae, as done in [12]; instead, we have to work with the multiplication operators $L_{P_\alpha} R_{P_\beta}$ to translate properties from elements in A to operators acting on A (i.e., to elements in $\mathcal{L}_r(A)$). Even before reaching inner band projections, many of our results will use this technique, that allows for the generalization of properties from spaces of regular operators to Banach lattice algebras. We are not aware of any other systematic use of this idea in the context of Banach lattice algebras, but one can find it already mentioned or implicitly used in some parts of the literature (for instance, in [6, 9, 10, 17]).

The content of this note is organized in two big sections (besides this introduction): Section 2, which collects some well-known facts about spaces of regular operators, and

Section 3, which generalizes the notions in Section 2 to Banach lattice algebras. The reader familiar with regular operators can jump directly to Section 3 on page 10; the reader familiar with regular operators and Banach lattice algebras can skim through Sections 3.1 and 3.2 to see the notation, and then start reading at Section 3.3 on page 17, where most of the original results are contained.

These two big sections are, in turn, divided into subsections that follow a parallel structure. A notion introduced for the regular operators in a subsection of Section 2 is then studied for general Banach lattice algebras in the corresponding subsection of Section 3. More precisely, we have the following bijection:

2 Spaces of regular operators	3 Banach lattice algebras
2.1 Regular operators	3.1 Banach lattice algebras
2.2 Band projections	3.3 Band projections and order idempotents
2.3 The center of a Banach lattice	3.2 The ideal generated by the unit element
2.4 Complexification and spectra	3.4 Complexification and spectra
2.5 Inner band projections	3.5 Inner band projections

2 Spaces of regular operators

In this section we review some properties of the space of regular operators over a Banach lattice that will motivate our study of Banach lattice algebras. The reader familiar with the topic can therefore skip it, except maybe for Theorem 2.4, Corollary 2.5, and Section 2.5. With these exceptions, all the contents are classical, and may be found in [1, 5, 11].

2.1 Regular operators

Let X and Y be Banach lattices, and let $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ denote the set of (linear and bounded) operators from X to Y . We say that an operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is *positive* if $T(X_+) \subseteq Y_+$. The set of positive operators $\mathcal{L}_+(X, Y)$ forms a cone in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. With this cone, $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is an ordered Banach space, but it need not be a lattice; in fact, it may even be the case that $\mathcal{L}_+(X, Y) - \mathcal{L}_+(X, Y)$ is a proper subset of $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. The elements of $\mathcal{L}_+(X, Y) - \mathcal{L}_+(X, Y)$ are called *regular operators*, and the set of regular operators is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_r(X, Y)$. Regular operators are those operators that can be written as the difference of two positive operators; equivalently, an operator T is regular if there exists a positive operator $S \in \mathcal{L}_+(X, Y)$ such that $T \leq S$.

The space of regular operators $\mathcal{L}_r(X, Y)$ need not be a Banach lattice either. However, when Y is *order complete* (that is, when any bounded above set has supremum), $\mathcal{L}_r(X, Y)$ is always a Banach lattice. More precisely:

Theorem 2.1 (Riesz–Kantorovich). *Let X and Y be Banach lattices. If Y is order com-*

plete, then $\mathcal{L}_r(X, Y)$ is a Banach lattice, with supremum and infimum given by

$$(S \vee T)x = \sup\{Su + Tv : u, v \in X_+, u + v = x\}, \quad (2)$$

$$(S \wedge T)x = \inf\{Su + Tv : u, v \in X_+, u + v = x\}, \quad (3)$$

where $S, T \in \mathcal{L}_r(X, Y)$ and $x \in X_+$, and with norm

$$\|T\|_r = \| |T| \|. \quad (4)$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{L}_r(X, Y)$ is order complete.

Equations (2) and (3) and their derivatives (e.g., $|T| = \sup\{|Tu| : -x \leq u \leq x\}$) are called the *Riesz–Kantorovich formulae*. The norm defined in (4) is called the *regular norm*.

When $X = Y$, we will simplify our notation from $\mathcal{L}(X, X)$ to $\mathcal{L}(X)$, from $\mathcal{L}_r(X, X)$ to $\mathcal{L}_r(X)$, and so on. In this case, the space $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is a Banach algebra with multiplication given by composition, and norm given by operator norm. It is clear that the composition of positive operators is again positive. From this, and the fact that the modulus is submultiplicative (i.e., $|TS| \leq |T||S|$), it follows that $\mathcal{L}_r(X)$ is a Banach algebra with respect to the regular norm. If X is order complete, we have seen that $\mathcal{L}_r(X)$ is a Banach lattice. The simultaneous existence of these two structures will be our object of study in Section 3.

2.2 Band projections

The interaction between the lattice and algebraic structures of $\mathcal{L}_r(X)$ manifests itself clearly when we study band projections. A subspace B of X that is closed under lattice operations (i.e., if $y \in B$ then $|y| \in B$) is called a *sublattice*. A sublattice that is solid (i.e., if $|x| \leq |y|$ and $y \in B$ then $x \in B$) is called an *ideal*. An ideal that is order closed (i.e., if $(y_\gamma)_\gamma \subseteq B$ is an increasing net and $\sup_\gamma y_\gamma$ exists in X , then $\sup_\gamma y_\gamma \in B$) is called a *band*. A band for which $X = B \oplus B^d$, where

$$B^d = \{x \in X : |x| \wedge |y| = 0 \text{ for all } y \in B\},$$

is called a *projection band*. In this case we have a positive projection $P: X \rightarrow X$ onto B , called a *band projection*. It turns out that band projections can be nicely characterized in terms of the algebraic and order structure in $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a Banach lattice. An operator $P: X \rightarrow X$ is a band projection if and only if $P^2 = P$ and $0 \leq P \leq I_X$.*

In general, not all bands are projection bands. When X is order complete, however, every band is a projection band. In this case, we will talk indistinguishably about bands, projection bands, and band projections.

Finite infima and suprema of band projections yield new band projections. Surprisingly enough, lattice operations between band projections are determined by the algebraic and linear operations only.

Theorem 2.3. *Let X be a Banach lattice. The set of band projections on X forms a Boolean algebra with operations*

$$\overline{P} = I_X - P, \quad P_1 \vee P_2 = P_1 + P_2 - P_1 P_2, \quad P_1 \wedge P_2 = P_1 P_2,$$

minimum the zero map, and maximum the identity map. Moreover, suprema and infima coincide with those in $\mathcal{L}_r(X)$.

A direct consequence of previous theorem is that band projections commute. Note here the interplay between the lattice and algebraic operations: we are able to express the lattice operations in purely algebraic terms, and from this we are able to deduce an algebraic property.

It will be central to our discussion that band projections are characterized among positive operators by the multiplication operators they define. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{L}_r(X)$ be regular operators on an order complete Banach lattice X . The operators

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_A: \mathcal{L}_r(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}_r(X) \\ T & \longmapsto & AT \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} R_B: \mathcal{L}_r(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}_r(X) \\ T & \longmapsto & TB \end{array}$$

are called *left* and *right multiplication operators*, respectively. More generally, any operator of the form $L_A R_B$, for some $A, B \in \mathcal{L}_r(X)$, is called a *multiplication operator*. As promised, using multiplication operators we can characterize those elements in $\mathcal{L}_+(X)$ that are band projections as follows.

Theorem 2.4 ([12, Theorem 4.5]). *Let X be an order complete Banach lattice, and let $A, B \in \mathcal{L}_r(X)$ be nonzero regular operators. The multiplication operator $L_A R_B$ is a band projection if and only if there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that λA and B/λ are band projections.*

Corollary 2.5. *Let X be an order complete Banach lattice, and let $A \in \mathcal{L}_+(X)$. Then the multiplication operator $L_A R_A$ is a band projection if and only if A is a band projection.*

Proof. Suppose that $L_A R_A$ is a band projection. If $A = 0$ the result follows, so assume $A \neq 0$. By previous theorem, there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that λA and A/λ are band projections. Since A is positive, λ must also be positive. Using that λA is a projection,

$$\left(\frac{\lambda A}{\lambda^2}\right)^2 = \frac{(\lambda A)^2}{\lambda^4} = \frac{\lambda A}{\lambda^4}.$$

But $\lambda A/\lambda^2 = A/\lambda$ is also a projection, so $(\lambda A/\lambda^2)^2 = A/\lambda$. Join both equations to arrive at $\lambda^2 A = A$. Since $A \neq 0$, it must be the case that $\lambda^2 - 1 = 0$. But $\lambda > 0$ implies $\lambda = 1$, and therefore A is a band projection. \square

2.3 The center of a Banach lattice

Central operators and the center of a Banach lattice have been extensively studied in the literature (see [1, Section 3.3]). Here we only recall some basic definitions and a couple of results that will be useful later.

Definition 2.6. Let X be a Banach lattice. An operator $T: X \rightarrow X$ is called *central* if there exists some scalar $\lambda > 0$ such that $|Tx| \leq \lambda|x|$ for all $x \in X$. The collection of all central operators is denoted $\mathcal{Z}(X)$ and is called the *center of X* .

For example, central operators on $C(K)$ are precisely the operators of the form $M_f(g) = fg$, where $f, g \in C(K)$. Note also that band projections are positive central operators. When X is order complete, $\mathcal{Z}(X)$ is just the ideal generated by the identity in the Banach lattice $\mathcal{L}_r(X)$.

Central operators have nice properties: they are regular and order continuous, and positive central operators are also lattice homomorphisms. The following theorem collects some of the most important properties of the center.

Theorem 2.7 (Wickstead [18]). *Let X be a Banach lattice.*

(i) *The center $\mathcal{Z}(X)$, equipped with the operator norm, is an AM-space with unit I_X . In particular,*

$$\|T\| = \||T|\| = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : |T| \leq \lambda I_X\}$$

for every $T \in \mathcal{Z}(X)$, and $\mathcal{Z}(X)$ is lattice isometric to $C(K)$ for a certain compact Hausdorff space K .

(ii) *The center $\mathcal{Z}(X)$ is an inverse closed[†] subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}_r(X)$.*

(iii) *When X is order complete, the center $\mathcal{Z}(X)$ is the band generated by I_X in $\mathcal{L}_r(X)$. In particular, it is a projection band.*

An operator $T: X \rightarrow X$ on a Banach lattice X is said to be

- (i) an *orthomorphism* if $|x| \wedge |y| = 0$ implies $|x| \wedge |Ty| = 0$ for every $x, y \in X$;
- (ii) *band preserving* if $T(B) \subseteq B$ for every band B in X .

It is immediate that central operators are orthomorphisms, and that orthomorphisms are band preserving. Not trivial, but true, is that for Banach lattices these three classes of operators coincide.

Theorem 2.8 (Abramovich–Veksler–Koldunov [2]). *For an operator $T: X \rightarrow X$ on a Banach lattice X the following are equivalent.*

[†]A subalgebra B of an algebra A is said to be *inverse closed* if for every $b \in B$ invertible in A , the inverse b^{-1} is contained in B .

(i) T is a central operator.

(ii) T is an orthomorphism.

(iii) T is band preserving.

2.4 Complexification and spectra

We want to introduce the “complex version” of the spaces of regular operators. But first we need to recall the notion of a complex Banach lattice, following [11, Section 2.2]. Let X be a Banach lattice. The *complexification* of X , as a vector space, is the additive group $X \times X$ with scalar multiplication

$$(\alpha + i\beta)(x, y) = (\alpha x - \beta y, \alpha y + \beta x),$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x, y \in X$. The group $X \times X$ with this scalar multiplication is a complex vector space, usually denoted by $X_{\mathbb{C}}$. Identifying $x \in X$ with $(x, 0) \in X \times X$ and ix with $(0, x)$, we write $x + iy$ instead of $(x, y) \in X \times X$.

Proposition 2.9. *Let X be a Banach lattice. For $z = x + iy \in X_{\mathbb{C}}$ define*

$$|z| = \sup\{x \cos \phi + y \sin \phi : 0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi\}.$$

This supremum exists in X , and it satisfies:

(i) $|z| = 0$ if and only if $z = 0$,

(ii) $|\lambda z| = |\lambda||z|$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z \in X_{\mathbb{C}}$,

(iii) $|z + w| \leq |z| + |w|$ for all $z, w \in X_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Moreover, the map $z \mapsto |||z|||$ defines a norm on $X_{\mathbb{C}}$, and $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ with this norm is a complex Banach space.

Definition 2.10. A *complex Banach lattice* is a Banach space of the form $X_{\mathbb{C}}$, for a certain Banach lattice X , with the norm and modulus introduced in previous proposition.

We now introduce regular operators between complex Banach lattices $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$. For every (complex) linear operator $T: X_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ there exist unique (real) linear operators $T_1, T_2: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $Tx = T_1x + iT_2x$ for all $x \in X$. The operator T_1 is called the *real part* of T , while the operator T_2 is called the *imaginary part* of T . Thus $\mathcal{L}(X_{\mathbb{C}}, Y_{\mathbb{C}})$ is isomorphic to the complexification of $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. An operator $T: X_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ is said to be *real valued* if $T(X) \subseteq Y$. If T is real valued and $T(X_+) \subseteq Y_+$, then T is said to be *positive*. An operator $T: X_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ is said to be *regular* if both its real and imaginary parts are regular. When Y is order complete, the space of regular operators between $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ may be identified with the complexification of the Banach lattice $\mathcal{L}_r(X, Y)$.

Given a regular operator $T: X_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{C}}$, denote by $\sigma(T)$ its spectrum. The following result characterizes the lattice isomorphisms contained in the center in terms of their spectrum, and will be needed later in the discussion.

Theorem 2.11 (Schaefer–Wolff–Arendt [13]). *Let T be a lattice isomorphism of a complex Banach lattice X . Then $T \in \mathcal{Z}(X)$ if and only if $\sigma(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+$.*

2.5 Inner band projections

For an order complete Banach lattice X , we have introduced the Banach lattice $\mathcal{L}_r(X)$ of regular operators over X . We can then consider band projections on this space. Since $\mathcal{L}_r(X)$ is again order complete, any band will have an associated band projection. We have already encountered an example of such a band in $\mathcal{L}_r(X)$, namely the center. Another example are inner band projections, a particular class of band projections on spaces of regular operators introduced by the author together with P. Tradacete in [12]. The construction of these band projections is summarized in the following result.

Theorem 2.12 ([12, Theorem 2.1]). *Let X be an order complete Banach lattice and let $\{P_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a family of pairwise disjoint band projections on X . Let $\Gamma \subseteq \Lambda \times \Lambda$ be any subset. Then the map*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_\Gamma: \mathcal{L}_+(X) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_+(X) \\ T &\longmapsto \bigvee_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma} P_\alpha T P_\beta \end{aligned}$$

extends to a unique band projection $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma: \mathcal{L}_r(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_r(X)$.

Definition 2.13. Let X be an order complete Banach lattice. We say that a band projection \mathcal{P} on $\mathcal{L}_r(X)$ is an *inner band projection* if there exists a family of pairwise disjoint band projections $\{P_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ on X such that $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_\Gamma$, as defined in Theorem 2.12, for a certain $\Gamma \subseteq \Lambda \times \Lambda$. We will call *inner projection bands* the bands associated with inner band projections, and we will denote $\mathcal{B}_\Gamma = \text{range}(\mathcal{P}_\Gamma)$.

In Section 3.5 we will be able to generalize this construction to arbitrary order complete Banach lattice algebras. Together with the construction, we will generalize some of the properties of inner band projections. In particular, we will study the following property, regarding the structure of the set of inner band projections.

Proposition 2.14 ([12, Proposition 2.10]). *Let X be an order complete Banach lattice and let $\{P_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a family of pairwise disjoint band projections on X . The inner band projections $\{\mathcal{P}_\Gamma : \Gamma \subseteq \Lambda \times \Lambda\}$ (resp. the inner projection bands $\{\mathcal{B}_\Gamma : \Gamma \subseteq \Lambda \times \Lambda\}$) form a Boolean algebra with the same order, suprema and infima as in $\mathfrak{P}(\mathcal{L}_r(X))$ (resp. $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{L}_r(X))$). Moreover, this Boolean algebra is isomorphic to $2^{\Lambda \times \Lambda}$ through the map $\Gamma \mapsto \mathcal{P}_\Gamma$ (resp. $\Gamma \mapsto \mathcal{B}_\Gamma$).*

Inner band projections are also used to characterize atomic and order continuous Banach lattices.

Theorem 2.15 ([12, Theorem 3.1]). *Let X be an order complete Banach lattice. All band projections on $\mathcal{L}_r(X)$ are inner if and only if X is both atomic and order continuous.*

3 Banach lattice algebras

The goal of this section is to generalize the properties studied in the first section to Banach lattice algebras. Sections 3.1 and 3.2 introduce Banach lattice algebras and some known results that will be useful throughout. The reader familiar with the literature can therefore have a quick look at the notation in these sections, and then go directly to Section 3.3.

3.1 Banach lattice algebras

In the space of regular operators over an order complete Banach lattice, a Banach lattice structure (given by the cone of positive operators) and a Banach algebra structure (given by composition) coexist. We want to study more general spaces in which these two structures coexist, and to extend (when possible) the properties of the first part of the paper to this abstract setting. This will elucidate which properties of the space of regular operators do not really depend on the fact that they are regular operators over a Banach lattice, but rather on the algebraic and lattice structures of the space.

But first, we need to define Banach lattice algebras. It seems like there is no consensus in the literature on the notion of Banach lattice algebra with identity, so we follow the one suggested by A. W. Wickstead in [17].

Definition 3.1. A *Banach lattice algebra* is a Banach lattice A together with a product $*$: $A \times A \rightarrow A$ such that

- (i) $(A, *)$ is a Banach algebra,
- (ii) if $x, y \in A_+$, then $x * y \in A_+$.

When the product $*$ has an identity e of norm one, we say that A is a *Banach lattice algebra with identity e* . When the product $*$ is commutative, we say that A is a *commutative Banach lattice algebra*.

It is important to note the following consequence of the definition.

Proposition 3.2 ([6, Theorem 2]). *The identity in a Banach lattice algebra is positive.*

Let us pause here to present some classical examples of Banach lattice algebras. We shall resort to them when presenting examples and counterexamples in our exposition.

Example 3.3. (i) Let K be a compact Hausdorff space. The space $C(K)$ of real-valued continuous functions on K is a commutative Banach lattice algebra with pointwise order and product, and supremum norm. The identity is the constant one function.

(ii) Let X be an order complete Banach lattice. We have seen in Section 2.1 that the space of regular operators $\mathcal{L}_r(X)$ is a Banach lattice, and at the same time a Banach algebra, in which the composition of positive operators is positive. It is, therefore, a Banach lattice algebra, with identity I_X . This Banach lattice algebra need not be commutative.

(iii) Let G be a locally compact group, let λ be a left invariant Haar measure, and consider the Banach lattice $L_1(G) = L_1(\lambda)$. The convolution multiplication on $L_1(G)$, defined for $\phi, \psi \in L_1(G)$ by

$$(\phi * \psi)(g) = \int_G \phi(gh)\psi(h^{-1}) d\lambda(h), \quad \text{where } g \in G,$$

makes $L_1(G)$ into a Banach algebra. It is easy to check that the product of positive elements is positive, so $L_1(G)$ is Banach lattice algebra. This Banach lattice algebra is commutative if and only if G is abelian, and has an identity if and only if G is discrete.

(iv) Let $M(G)$ be the space of signed regular Borel measures on a locally compact group G . Then $M(G)$ is a Banach lattice if we set $\mu \geq \nu$, $\mu, \nu \in M(G)$, whenever $\mu(A) \geq \nu(A)$ for every Borel set A , and give it the norm $\|\mu\| = |\mu|(G)$. The convolution multiplication defined by

$$(\mu * \nu)(A) = \int_G \int_G \chi_A(st) d\mu(s) d\nu(t),$$

where χ_A denotes the characteristic function of the Borel set A , gives $M(G)$ a Banach algebra structure. Again, this space is a Banach lattice algebra. Its identity is the point mass measure on the identity of G .

(v) Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of Banach lattice algebras indexed by a set I , and let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then their ℓ_p -sum $\ell_p(A_i)$, equipped with coordinate-wise order and product, is again a Banach lattice algebra, for if $x = (x_i)$ and $y = (y_i)$ are elements of $\ell_p(A_i)$, then

$$\|xy\|_p \leq \|x\|_p \|y\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_p \|y\|_p.$$

It is clear that $\ell_p(A_i)$ is commutative if and only if A_i is commutative for each $i \in I$. Also, $\ell_\infty(A_i)$ has identity if and only if A_i has identity for each $i \in I$.

3.2 The ideal generated by the identity element

As explained in Section 2.3, the ideal generated by the identity element in $\mathcal{L}_r(X)$, when X is an order complete Banach lattice, is the center of X , an ideal of operators extensively studied in the literature. It turns out that the notion of the ideal generated by the identity element in Banach lattice algebras has also been studied, and that properties analogous to those in Theorem 2.7 hold in general.

If A is a Banach lattice algebra with identity e , we adopt the convention of [14] and denote by A_e the (order) ideal generated by e in A . The main properties of this ideal are collected in the following result.

Theorem 3.4. *Let A be a Banach lattice algebra with identity e .*

- (i) *The space $(A_e, \|\cdot\|_e)$, with the order and product of A , is a Banach lattice algebra, lattice and algebra isometric to $C(K)$, for a certain compact Hausdorff K .[‡]*
- (ii) *The ideal A_e is a principal projection band in A .*
- (iii) *The space A_e is an inverse closed subalgebra of A .*

The contents of previous theorem are scattered through the literature: the first assertion follows from the results by L. Martignon in [10], the second assertion is due to E. Scheffold [14, Theorem 3], while the third is mentioned by C. B. Huijsmans in [7] without any further reference. Since this result will be central in our exposition, we devote the rest of this section to present a full proof of it.

To prove the first part of the theorem, we need to talk about Banach lattice algebras that are AM-spaces with unit, in which the order unit coincides with the algebraic identity.

Definition 3.5. Let A be a Banach lattice algebra with identity e . If A is also an AM-space with unit e (i.e., if $A_e = A$ and $\|x\| = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : |x| \leq \lambda e\}$ for every $x \in A$), we say that A is an *AM-algebra with unit e* .

The following theorem asserts that AM-algebras with unit are nothing but algebras of continuous functions.

Theorem 3.6. *Every AM-algebra with unit is algebra and lattice isometric to $C(K)$, for a certain compact Hausdorff K .*

[‡]Note that the analogy with Theorem 2.7 is not complete: here we are endowing the ideal generated by the identity with its associated norm, whereas in Theorem 2.7 one works with the operator norm. As it turns out, assumption $\|e\| = 1$ implies that both norms are equal. Yet we need spectra to show this and it will have to wait until Section 3.4. For the time being, it suffices to know that A_e is closed in A . This apparent inconvenience has an advantage: all the contents of this section are valid under the weaker hypothesis $e \geq 0$, which is another common definition of Banach lattice algebras with identity.

Previous result is a direct consequence of Kakutani's representation theorem for AM-spaces with unit, and the following characterization of the pointwise product in $C(K)$ due to L. Martignon.

Proposition 3.7 ([10, Proposition 1.4]). *Let K be a compact Hausdorff space and let $\star: C(K) \times C(K) \rightarrow C(K)$ be a binary operation such that $(C(K), \star)$ with pointwise order is a Banach lattice algebra. Suppose also that the constant one function is the identity for \star . Then \star is the pointwise multiplication.*

The proof of this last result relies heavily on Banach lattice techniques. Here we want to provide an alternative proof of Theorem 3.6 in the style of the Gelfand transform: working with maximal (order and algebraic) ideals and real-valued (lattice and algebra) homomorphisms. This argument follows closely the proof of Kakutani's representation theorem from [15, Chapter 4].

There are two kinds of ideals present in Banach lattice algebras: the ideals as a Banach lattice and the ideals as a Banach algebra. There are also subspaces that are ideals in both senses. There does not seem to be a common terminology for these spaces in the literature. We will refer to ideals in the Banach lattice sense as *order ideals*, and to ideals in the Banach algebra sense as *algebraic ideals* (these, in turn, can be left or right ideals, or both). *Ideals* will be the subspaces that are at the same time an order ideal and a (two-sided) algebraic ideal. The following is a simple characterization of the ideal generated by an element (that is, the intersection of all the ideals containing the element) in a Banach lattice algebra with identity.

Lemma 3.8. *Let A be a Banach lattice algebra with identity and let $a \in A$. Then the ideal generated by a is*

$$\{x \in A : |x| \leq r|a|r' \text{ for some } r, r' \in A_+\}.$$

Proof. Call the displayed set I . Let us check that this set is indeed an ideal. If $x, y \in I$, say $|x| \leq rar'$ and $|y| \leq sas'$ for some $r, r', s, s' \in A_+$, then

$$|x + y| \leq |x| + |y| \leq rar' + sas' \leq (r + s)a(r' + s')$$

so $x + y \in I$. Clearly, $-x$ and $|x|$ are elements of I , so I is a sublattice. From the definition it follows that I is an order ideal. To check that it is also an algebraic ideal, let $z \in A$. Then we have

$$|zx| \leq |z||x| \leq (|z|r)ar' \quad \text{and} \quad |xz| \leq |x||z| \leq ra(r'|z|)$$

so both $zx, xz \in I$, and we have thus shown that I is an ideal.

Since A has an identity, $a \in I$. Let J be any ideal of A containing a . Then, since J is a sublattice and an algebraic ideal, $r|a|r' \in J$ for all $r, r' \in A_+$. Since J is an order ideal, $x \in J$ whenever $|x| \leq rar'$. Hence $I \subseteq J$, and so I is the ideal generated by a in A . \square

As usual, we say that an ideal in a Banach lattice algebra is *maximal* if it is not contained in any proper ideal. The following is pure routine.

Lemma 3.9. *In a Banach lattice algebra with identity, any proper ideal is contained in a maximal ideal.*

Proof. Let I be a proper ideal in a Banach lattice algebra A with identity e . Let \mathcal{I} be the family of proper ideals in A containing I , ordered by inclusion. Let $\{J_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ be a chain in \mathcal{I} . Then it is straightforward to check that $J = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} J_\gamma$ is again an ideal containing I . Moreover, if $J = A$, then $e \in J$ and so $e \in J_\gamma$ for a certain $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Being J_γ an algebraic ideal, this would imply $J_\gamma = A$, contradicting the definition. So J is proper, and therefore is an upper bound of the chain in \mathcal{I} . By Zorn's lemma, there exists a maximal element in \mathcal{I} , and this element is a maximal ideal containing I . \square

Let A be a Banach lattice algebra and let I be a closed ideal. Perform the usual quotient A/I . Being I a two-sided closed ideal, A/I is again a Banach algebra, and the quotient map $Q: A \rightarrow A/I$ is an algebra homomorphism. Since I is an order ideal, $Q(A_+)$ is a cone in A/I , and the order it induces makes A/I into a Banach lattice, and Q into a lattice homomorphism. It is direct to check that the product of positive elements in A/I is again positive. Hence A/I admits a natural Banach lattice algebra structure, making Q a lattice and algebra homomorphism.

Since the closure of an algebraic ideal is again an algebraic ideal, and the closure of an order ideal is again an order ideal, the closure of an ideal in a Banach lattice algebra is again an ideal. It is then routine to check that maximal ideals in Banach lattice algebras with identity are closed. Moreover, we have the following.

Lemma 3.10. *Let A be an AM-algebra with unit e and let M be a maximal ideal in A . Then A/M is lattice and algebra isomorphic to \mathbb{R} .*

Proof. By the discussion preceding the lemma, A/M is a Banach lattice algebra. Suppose that $\dim(A/M) > 1$. Then there would exist two non-zero disjoint elements $Qx, Qy \in A/M$. We are going to show that the ideal I generated by $|Qx|$ in A/M must be proper. Indeed, if $Qy \in I$, by Lemma 3.8 there would exist $r, r' \in A_+$ such that $|Qy| \leq Q(r)|Q(x)|Q(r')$, and then

$$|Qy| = (Q(r)|Q(x)|Q(r')) \wedge |Qy| \leq \|r\| \|r'\| |Q(x)| \wedge |Q(y)| = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence I is proper. It is not difficult to check that $Q^{-1}(I) \subseteq A$ is again an ideal, because Q is both a lattice and algebra homomorphism. Moreover, $M \subseteq Q^{-1}(I) \subsetneq A$, and since $x \in Q^{-1}(I)$, the first inclusion is proper. This contradicts that M is maximal. So $\dim(A/M)$ must be 1. Then A/M is spanned by Qe and the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R} &\longrightarrow A/M \\ \lambda &\longmapsto \lambda Qe \end{aligned}$$

is a lattice and algebra isomorphism. \square

Lemma 3.11. *Let A be an AM-algebra with unit e and let $x_0 \in A_+$. If $x_0 \not\leq e$, there exists a lattice and algebra homomorphism $\phi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi(x_0) > 1$.*

Proof. We have $(x_0 - e)_+ \neq 0$. Let I be the ideal generated by $(x_0 - e)_-$. We claim that $(x_0 - e)_+ \notin I$. Indeed, if it were the case, according to Lemma 3.8 there would exist $r, r' \in A_+$ such that

$$(x_0 - e)_+ \leq r(x_0 - e)_- r' \leq \|r\| \|r'\| (x_0 - e)_-$$

which contradicts the fact that $(x_0 - e)_+$ and $(x_0 - e)_-$ are disjoint. Hence I is proper, and by Lemma 3.9 it is contained in a maximal ideal M . The quotient map associated with M is a lattice and algebra homomorphism $A \rightarrow A/M$. Let $\phi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the composition of this quotient map with the isomorphism between A/M and \mathbb{R} given in Lemma 3.10. This homomorphism satisfies

$$\phi(x_0 - e) = \phi((x_0 - e)_+) > 0,$$

so $\phi(x_0) > \phi(e) = 1$. □

With this set of rather standard tools, we can proceed to the proof of Theorem 3.6.

Proof of Theorem 3.6. Let A be an AM-algebra with unit e . Let K be the set of all lattice and algebra homomorphisms $\phi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\phi(e) = 1$. We can view K as a subset of \mathbb{R}^A . Equip \mathbb{R}^A with the product topology and K with the subspace topology. This topology is Hausdorff, because the product topology is. Note that, for every $\phi \in K$, $|\phi(x)| \leq \phi(\|x\|e) = \|x\|$ holds, which means that $K \subseteq \prod_{x \in A} [-\|x\|, \|x\|]$. By Tychonoff's theorem, the latter product is compact. Moreover, K is closed in this subspace, for if (ϕ_λ) is a net in K pointwise convergent to ϕ , this means that $\phi(x) = \lim \phi_\lambda(x)$ for all $x \in A$, and then by continuity of the algebraic and lattice operations it is easy to check that ϕ is again a lattice and algebra homomorphism with $\phi(e) = 1$. Therefore K is a compact Hausdorff space.

It is useful to note that K has sufficiently many elements. Indeed, for every $x \in A_+$ with $\|x\| > 1$, we have $x \not\leq e$, and by Lemma 3.11 there is some element $\phi \in K$ such that $\phi(x) > 1$.

Now consider the map $T: A \rightarrow C(K)$ where $Tx(\phi) = \phi(x)$ for $x \in A$ and $\phi \in K$. It is easy to check that Tx is indeed continuous. Since operations in $C(K)$ are computed pointwise, and $Te(\phi) = \phi(e) = 1$ for all $\phi \in K$, it follows that T is a lattice and algebra homomorphism that preserves the identity.

We claim $\|Tx\| = \|x\|$ for all $x \in A$. On one hand, $|Tx(\phi)| = |\phi(x)| \leq \|x\|$, so $\|Tx\| \leq \|x\|$. On the other hand, if $\|x\| > 1$, we have seen that there exists some $\phi \in K$ such that $\phi(x) > 1$, so that $\|Tx\| \geq |Tx(\phi)| = \phi(x) > 1$. If $\|Tx\| < \|x\|$ for some $x \in A$, the element $|x|/\|Tx\|$ would contradict the previous observation. So $\|Tx\| = \|x\|$ for all $x \in A$.

Finally, note that $T(A)$ is a closed subalgebra of $C(K)$ that contains the constants and separates points: if $\phi \neq \psi$ in K , there is some $x \in A$ such that $(Tx)(\phi) = \phi(x) \neq \psi(x) = (Tx)(\psi)$. By the Stone–Weierstrass theorem, $T(A) = C(K)$, and the result is proved. \square

Some preliminary remarks before presenting the proof of Theorem 3.4. For the second assertion we will provide an argument similar to [9, Theorems 1 and 2] instead of the original one appearing in [14] because it serves as a first illustration of what will be our main trick in the coming sections, namely, to consider multiplication operators on a Banach lattice algebra, and then exploit the known properties of the space of regular operators. On a Banach lattice algebra A operators of the form

$$L_a: \begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & A \\ x & \longmapsto & ax \end{array}, \quad R_b: \begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & A \\ x & \longmapsto & xb \end{array},$$

for certain $a, b \in A$, are called *left* and *right multiplication operators*, respectively. Operators of the form $L_a R_b$, for some $a, b \in A$, are called *multiplication operators*.

Proof of Theorem 3.4. (i) We are going to check that $(A_e, \|\cdot\|_e)$ is an AM-algebra with unit e . Then the result will follow from Theorem 3.6. That $(A_e, \|\cdot\|_e)$ is an AM-space with unit e is clear. Moreover, it is a subalgebra, for if $x, y \in A_e$:

$$|xy| \leq |x||y| \leq \|x\|_e \|y\|_e e.$$

From this also follows that $\|xy\|_e \leq \|x\|_e \|y\|_e$, so it is a Banach algebra. Its algebraic identity is obviously e .

(ii) We prove this fact in two steps. First, we show that B_e , the band generated by e , is a projection band. And then we show that $A_e = B_e$.

To prove that B_e is a projection band, it suffices to check that $\sup_n a \wedge ne$ exists for every $a \in A_+$ (in fact, we show a little more, because we will need it later on). Denote $a_n = a \wedge ne$, and observe that for any $m \geq n \geq 1$:

$$(a_m - a_n) \wedge (ne - a_n) = (a_m \wedge ne) - a_n = a_n - a_n = 0.$$

Using the representation of I_e as a $C(K)$ space, and seeing a_m, a_n and ne as continuous functions in K , we are saying that $(a_m - a_n)(t) \wedge (ne - a_n)(t) = 0$ for every $t \in K$. It follows that $a_m - a_n$ and $a_m - n^{-1}a_m a_n = n^{-1}a_m(ne - a_n)$ are also disjoint, so

$$(a_m - a_n) \wedge (a_m - n^{-1}a_m a_n) = 0$$

which implies

$$a_m = a_n \vee (n^{-1}a_m a_n).$$

It follows that

$$0 \leq \|a_m - a_n\| = \|(n^{-1}a_m a_n - a_n)_+\| \leq n^{-1}\|a_m a_n\| \leq n^{-1}\|a\|^2, \quad (5)$$

so (a_n) is a Cauchy sequence. It therefore converges to some $b \in A_+$. Since (a_n) is increasing, we must have $b = \sup_n a \wedge ne$, and this shows that B_e is a projection band.

To prove that A_e is a band we need to show that $A_e = B_e$, and for this it suffices to check that $a \in A_e$ whenever $a \in (B_e)_+$. For every $a \in (B_e)_+$, inequality $a \wedge ne \leq ne$ implies that $L_{a \wedge ne}$ is a central operator for every $n \geq 1$. In particular, it is an orthomorphism. If $x, y \in A$ are such that $|x| \wedge |y| = 0$, then $|x| \wedge |(a \wedge ne)y| = 0$ for every $n \geq 1$. But since a is an element of the band generated by e , we have $a = \sup_n a \wedge ne$. Then $a \wedge ne$ converges to a by (5). Since both multiplication and lattice operations are continuous for the norm, it follows

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x| \wedge |(a \wedge ne)y| = |x| \wedge |ay|.$$

This shows that L_a is an orthomorphism. By Theorem 2.8, L_a must be a central operator, that is, there exists some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $-\lambda I_A \leq L_a \leq \lambda I_A$. In particular, $a = L_a(e) \leq \lambda e$, and $a \in A_e$ as wanted.

- (iii) Let $a \in A_e$ and suppose that a^{-1} exists in A . By the previous assertion we can decompose $a^{-1} = a_1 + a_2$ with $a_1 \in A_e$ and $a_2 \in A_e^d$. Then $e = aa^{-1} = aa_1 + aa_2$. Since A_e is a subalgebra, $aa_1 \in A_e$. Also

$$0 \leq |aa_2| \wedge e \leq (|a||a_2|) \wedge e \leq \|a\|_e |a_2| \wedge e = 0,$$

so $aa_2 \in A_e^d$. But $aa_2 = e - aa_1 \in A_e$, so it must be $aa_2 = 0$. Multiplying by a^{-1} we get $a_2 = 0$ and $a^{-1} = a_1 \in A_e$, as wanted. \square

Example 3.12. Let A be a Banach lattice algebra with identity e that is an AL-space as a Banach lattice. Then $(A_e, \|\cdot\|_e)$ is an AM-space, but at the same time is closed, so that $(A_e, \|\cdot\|_e)$ is also isomorphic to an AL-space. It follows that A_e must be finite-dimensional. In fact, it will follow from our study of spectra that, under the assumption $\|e\| = 1$, $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_e$ (see Theorem 3.24). This implies $A_e = \mathbb{R}$. Without the assumption $\|e\| = 1$, however, A_e need not be one-dimensional: just take ℓ_1^n with the coordinate-wise order and product.

3.3 Band projections and order idempotents

Band projections play a prominent role in the theory of Banach lattices. These operators are characterized among regular operators by Theorem 2.2. The goal of this section is to define elements in a general Banach lattice algebra that play the same role as band projections do in spaces of regular operators. When an identity is present, this generalization is straightforward from Theorem 2.2, and has already been considered by E. A. Alekhno in the context of ordered Banach algebras (see [3, 4]).

Definition 3.13. Let A be a Banach lattice algebra with identity e . We say that $p \in A$ is *order idempotent* if $p^2 = p$ and $0 \leq p \leq e$. We denote by $OI(A)$ the set of order idempotents in A .

What follows is the generalization of Theorem 2.3. This result appears, with a different proof, in [4, Corollary 2.2].

Proposition 3.14. *Let A be a Banach lattice algebra with identity e . The set of order idempotents $OI(A)$ forms a Boolean algebra with operations*

$$\bar{p} = e - p, \quad p \vee q = p + q - pq, \quad p \wedge q = pq, \quad \text{for } p, q \in OI(A),$$

with zero as the minimum and the identity e as the maximum. Moreover, suprema and infima coincide with those in A .

Proof. By definition, $OI(A)$ is contained in A_e . Represent A_e as a $C(K)$ space using Theorem 3.4. Then $p \in OI(A)$, seen as a continuous function in K , satisfies $p^2 = p$; that is, p is a characteristic function of a clopen set in K . Conversely, any characteristic function of a clopen set is an order idempotent in $C(K)$, and therefore in A . This exhibits an identification of $OI(A)$ with $\{\chi_C : C \subseteq K \text{ clopen}\}$. The result now clearly follows. \square

Proposition 3.15. *In a Banach lattice algebra with identity, the set of order idempotents is norm and order closed.*

Proof. Let A be a Banach lattice algebra with identity e . Let (p_n) be a sequence of order idempotents converging to p in norm. Then $p_n^2 = p_n$ and $0 \leq p_n \leq e$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and since the product is continuous and the positive cone is closed, it follows that p is an order idempotent. This shows that the set of order idempotents is norm closed.

To show that it is also order closed, let (p_α) be a net of order idempotents converging to p in order. The product need not be order continuous, so one has to proceed with more care now. Since the positive cone is always order closed, $0 \leq p \leq e$ follows from $0 \leq p_\alpha \leq e$ for each α . By Theorem 3.4, p and p_α commute, so

$$|p^2 - p_\alpha^2| \leq (p + p_\alpha)|p - p_\alpha| \leq 2|p - p_\alpha|,$$

where the first inequality follows from the submultiplicativity of the modulus. This implies that p_α^2 converges in order to p^2 . Since also $p_\alpha^2 = p_\alpha$ for every α , and the order limit is unique, $p^2 = p$. So p is an order idempotent, and this shows that the set of order idempotents is also order closed. \square

The notion of order idempotent seems to abstract some of the most important properties of band projections, but it relies on the existence of an identity element. Of course, this poses no limitation in spaces of regular operators, where the identity operator is always present. However, this is not the case for general Banach lattice algebras. Can we somehow

still abstract the definition and properties of band projections to Banach lattice algebras without identity?

Faced with a similar problem, K. Vala gives in [16] a notion of compact and finite rank elements in arbitrary normed algebras as follows: for a normed algebra A , he defines an element a in A to be *compact* (resp. *of finite rank*) if the operator $L_a R_a$ is compact (resp. of finite rank). In view of Corollary 2.5, it makes sense to take Vala's approach and set the following definition.

Definition 3.16. Let A be a Banach lattice algebra. An element $a \in A_+$ is called a *band projection* if $L_a R_a: A \rightarrow A$ is a band projection. The set of band projections in A is denoted

$$BP(A) = \{ a \in A_+ : L_a R_a \text{ is a band projection} \}.$$

It is also convenient to have the following notions.

Definition 3.17. Let A be a Banach lattice algebra. An element $a \in A_+$ is called a *left band projection* (resp. *right band projection*) if L_a (resp. R_a) is a band projection. The sets of left and right band projections in A are denoted

$$BP_l(A) = \{ a \in A_+ : L_a \text{ is a band projection} \},$$

$$BP_r(A) = \{ a \in A_+ : R_a \text{ is a band projection} \}.$$

Remark. Let A be a Banach lattice algebra. According to Theorem 2.2, $a \in A_+$ is a band projection if and only if

$$0 \leq axa \leq x \text{ and } a^2 x a^2 = axa \text{ for all } x \in A_+.$$

Similarly, $a \in A_+$ is a left band projection if and only if

$$0 \leq ax \leq x \text{ and } a^2 x = ax \text{ for all } x \in A_+,$$

and a right band projection if and only if

$$0 \leq xa \leq x \text{ and } xa^2 = xa \text{ for all } x \in A_+.$$

In particular, the sets $BP(A)$, $BP_l(A)$ and $BP_r(A)$ are all norm closed.

If the multiplication in A is jointly order continuous, it follows from previous remark that $BP(A)$, $BP_l(A)$ and $BP_r(A)$ are order closed. In general, however, this will not be true, as the following example shows.

Example 3.18. Consider the Banach lattice c of convergent real sequences, with the product defined by

$$x \star y = \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \right) \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n \right) e \quad \text{where } e = (1, 1, 1, \dots).$$

Associativity of this product follows from

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x \star y)_n = \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \right) \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n \right),$$

bilinearity is clear, and it is not difficult to check that, under the usual supremum norm, c with \star is a Banach algebra. Moreover, the product of positive elements is positive, so c is actually a Banach lattice algebra (without identity).

It is clear that $c_0 = BP(c) = BP_l(c) = BP_r(c)$. In particular, $x_n = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i$, where e_i denotes the i th coordinate vector, is a (left and right) band projection. Also, $x_n \uparrow e$. However, e is not a (left or right) band projection, because for $x = e - e_1$ we have

$$e \star x \star e = e \not\leq x.$$

It is natural to ask what is the relation between the sets $BP(A)$, $BP_l(A)$, $BP_r(A)$ and, in the case with identity, $OI(A)$. From the definition, it should be clear that $BP_l(A) \cap BP_r(A) \subseteq BP(A)$, and that, in the case with identity, $BP_l(A) = OI(A) = BP_r(A)$ (for $p \in BP_l(A)$, just evaluate L_p at the identity element, and similarly for $p \in BP_r(A)$). In particular, $OI(A) = BP_l(A) \cap BP_r(A) \subseteq BP(A)$. Is it true that $OI(A) = BP(A)$? This seems to be the case at least for the classical examples of Banach lattice algebras.

Example 3.19. (i) Let X be a Dedekind complete Banach lattice. If $P \in BP(\mathcal{L}_r(X))$, by Corollary 2.5, P is a band projection, that is, $P \in OI(\mathcal{L}_r(X))$.

(ii) Let $f \in BP(C(K))$, for a certain compact Hausdorff space K , and let $\mathbb{1}$ be the constant one function in $C(K)$. Then $L_f R_f(\mathbb{1}) = (L_f R_f)^2(\mathbb{1})$ implies $f^2 = f^4$, so f must be the characteristic function of a clopen set. It follows that f is an order idempotent.

(iii) Let G be a locally compact group and consider the Banach lattice algebra $M(G)$. Since the identity δ_e is an atom, if $\mu \in OI(M(G))$, inequality $0 \leq \mu \leq \delta_e$ implies $\mu = \lambda \delta_e$ for some $\lambda \geq 0$. Then $\mu^2 = \mu$ (we denote $\mu^2 = \mu * \mu$) forces either $\lambda = 1$ or $\lambda = 0$. Hence $OI(M(G)) = \{0, \delta_e\}$ is trivial.

We are going to show that $BP(M(G))$ is also trivial. If $\mu \in BP(M(G))$, evaluating $L_\mu R_\mu$ at δ_e yields that μ^2 must be an order idempotent. That is, either $\mu^2 = 0$ or $\mu^2 = \delta_e$. By direct computation

$$\mu^2(G) = \iint_{G \times G} \chi_G(st) d\mu(s) d\mu(t) = \int_G \mu(s^{-1}G) d\mu(s) = \mu(G)^2,$$

so if $\mu^2 = 0$, $\mu(G)^2 = 0$, and since $\mu \geq 0$, this implies $\mu = 0$. Now suppose $\mu \neq 0$, that is, $\mu^2 = \delta_e$. We can decompose $\mu = \mu_d + \mu_c$, where $\mu_d \geq 0$ is discrete (i.e., is contained in the band generated by the atomic measures, which is precisely the

closed linear span of said measures, because $M(G)$ is order continuous) and $\mu_c \geq 0$ is continuous (i.e., is contained in the disjoint complement). Since

$$\mu_c^2(\{g\}) = \int_G \mu_c(\{s^{-1}g\}) d\mu_c(s) = 0 \quad \text{for every } g \in G,$$

the measure μ_c^2 cannot have any discrete component. However,

$$\delta_e = \mu^2 = \mu_d^2 + \mu_c^2 + \mu_d * \mu_c + \mu_c * \mu_d$$

and since every measure involved is positive, we must have $\mu_c^2 = 0$. Equality $\mu_c(G)^2 = \mu_c^2(G) = 0$ implies $\mu_c = 0$ and so μ is discrete. Write

$$\mu = \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g \delta_g$$

for some $\lambda_g \in \mathbb{R}_+$. By definition,

$$\delta_e = \mu^2 = \sum_{g \in G} \left(\sum_{h \in G} \lambda_h \lambda_{h^{-1}g} \right) \delta_g,$$

and since the λ_h are positive, this can only happen if $\lambda_h = 0$ for all $h \neq e$. Therefore $\mu = \lambda_e \delta_e$, and from $\mu^2 = \mu^4$ it follows that $\lambda_e = 1$. We have thus shown that if $\mu \in BP(M(G))$ is different from 0, it must be δ_e , as wanted.

- (iv) A. W. Wickstead provided in [19] an explicit list of all two-dimensional Banach lattice algebras. In the Banach lattice algebras with identity from the list, one can check, by means of direct computation, that the order idempotents coincide with the band projections.

Contrary to this evidence, it is not true that in Banach lattice algebras with identity all band projections are order idempotents, and an example already exists in dimension 3.

Example 3.20. Consider \mathbb{R}^2 with the usual lattice structure and any lattice norm, and let $\mathcal{L}_r(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be its space of regular operators. The space $\mathcal{L}_r(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a Banach lattice algebra, which we may identify with $M_2(\mathbb{R})$, the space of 2×2 real matrices, where the order is defined entry-wise and the product is the composition (that is, the usual matrix product). Consider the set of upper triangular matrices

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Being spanned by disjoint elements, A is a 3-dimensional sublattice of $M_2(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, one can easily check that the product of upper-triangular matrices is again upper-triangular. Hence, A is a Banach lattice algebra with identity when equipped with the order, product, and norm inherited from $M_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Now consider $p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Clearly $p \geq 0$ and, for all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$L_p R_p \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence $L_p R_p = 0$; in particular, $p \in BP(A)$. However, $p \not\leq I_2$, so $p \notin OI(A)$.

Let us compute exactly $BP(A)$ and $OI(A)$. It is direct to check that

$$OI(A) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Now let $\bar{p} = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 \\ 0 & p_3 \end{pmatrix} \in BP(A)$. For any $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 \\ 0 & p_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 \\ 0 & p_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_1^2 a & p_1 p_2 a + p_1 p_3 b + p_2 p_3 c \\ 0 & c p_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}.$$

Setting $b = 0$ we get the inequality in the upper right entry

$$p_2(ap_1 + cp_3) \leq 0.$$

Since a and c can take any positive value, and p_1, p_2, p_3 are positive, either $p_2 = 0$ or $p_1 = p_3 = 0$.

(i) If $p_2 = 0$, equality $\bar{p}^2 = \bar{p}^4$ implies $p_1^2 = p_1^4$, so $p_1 = 0$ or $p_1 = 1$, and similarly $p_3 = 0$ or $p_3 = 1$. The only band projections in this case are the order idempotents.

(ii) If $p_2 \neq 0$, then $p_1 = p_3 = 0$, and \bar{p} is a band projection for any $p_2 \geq 0$.

Putting together these computations:

$$BP(A) = OI(A) \cup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : p \in \mathbb{R}_+ \right\}.$$

Remark. This example already illustrates a difference between $BP(A)$ and $OI(A)$: while order idempotents commute by Theorem 3.4, band projections need not commute. After this observation, it is not surprising that $BP(A)$ need not be a Boolean algebra: in previous example, $BP(A)$ is not closed under taking suprema.

By contrast, the elements of $BP_l(A) \cap BP_r(A)$ always commute. Indeed, let $a, b \in BP_l(A) \cap BP_r(A)$. Since L_a, L_b, R_a, R_b are band projections, they commute, so $aba = L_a L_b(a) = L_b L_a(a) = ba^2$ and $aba = R_a R_b(a) = R_b R_a(a) = a^2 b$, which gives $ab = a^2 b = aba = ba^2 = ba$.

One could think that previous example is somewhat pathological, because $p^2 = 0$ and $L_p R_p = 0$. Maybe this is the only reason a band projection is not an order idempotent. It is not, and a counterexample is coming. But first an observation.

Remark. Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of Banach lattice algebras indexed on a set I . Consider $A = \ell_p(A_i)$ for some $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We claim that

$$BP(\ell_p(A_i)) = \prod_{i \in I} BP(A_i).$$

Indeed, a positive element $(p_i)_{i \in I}$ is a band projection in $\ell_p(A_i)$ if and only if for all $(x_i)_{i \in I} \in \ell_p(A_i)_+$:

$$0 \leq (p_i)(x_i)(p_i) \leq (x_i) \quad \text{and} \quad (p_i)(x_i)(p_i) = (p_i)^2(x_i)(p_i)^2.$$

This is the same as saying that $0 \leq p_i x_i p_i \leq x_i$ and $p_i x_i p_i = p_i^2 x_i p_i^2$ whenever $x_i \in (A_i)_+$, for all $i \in I$. That is, $(p_i) \in BP(\ell_p(A_i))$ if and only if $p_i \in BP(A_i)$.

Similarly, one can check that

$$BP_l(\ell_p(A_i)) = \prod_{i \in I} BP_l(A_i) \quad \text{and} \quad BP_r(\ell_p(A_i)) = \prod_{i \in I} BP_r(A_i).$$

If each A_i has an identity, then also

$$OI(\ell_\infty(A_i)) = \prod_{i \in I} OI(A_i).$$

Example 3.21. Let A be the Banach lattice algebra of Example 3.20, and consider $\ell_\infty(A, A)$. By previous remark, the element

$$q = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

is a band projection that is not order idempotent. In this case, $q^2 \neq 0$ and $L_q R_q \neq 0$.

At this point, it is clear that the relation between order idempotents and band projections in Banach lattice algebras with identity is not trivial. The forthcoming results aim at a better understanding of it.

Proposition 3.22. *Let A be a Banach lattice algebra with identity e , and let $p \in BP(A)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $p \in OI(A)$,
- (ii) p is idempotent,

(iii) $p \in A_e$,

(iv) $(\lambda e + p)^{-1} \geq 0$ for some $\lambda > \|p\|$.

Proof. Condition (i) clearly implies (ii). If p is idempotent, $L_p R_p(e) = p^2 = p$, and since $0 \leq L_p R_p(e) \leq e$, we have $p \in A_e$. So (ii) implies (iii). If $p \in A_e$, then, using that A_e is a subalgebra and sublattice of A , we can consider the restriction $L_p R_p: A_e \rightarrow A_e$. This operator is a band projection on A_e . Represent A_e as a $C(K)$, for a certain compact Hausdorff space K . Since $BP(C(K)) = OI(C(K))$, it follows that $p \in OI(A_e)$. But the order and product in A_e are the same as those in A , thus $p \in OI(A)$. This shows that (ii) implies (iii), and also representing A_e as $C(K)$ it is direct from $BP(C(K)) = OI(C(K))$ that (iii) implies (i).

It only remains to show the equivalence between (iii) and (iv). Since $p \in BP(A)$, we have $p^2 = L_p R_p(e) = (L_p R_p)^2(e) = p^4$. Inductively, $p^2 = p^{2n}$ and $p^3 = p^{2n+1}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Using this fact and the Neumann series, for every $\lambda > \max\{1, \|p\|\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\lambda e + p)^{-1} &= (e + p/\lambda)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-p)^n}{\lambda^n} \\ &= e - \frac{p}{\lambda} + \frac{p^2}{\lambda^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2n}} - \frac{p^3}{\lambda^3} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2n}} \\ &= e - \frac{p}{\lambda} + \frac{p^2}{\lambda^2 - 1} - \frac{p^3}{\lambda(\lambda^2 - 1)} \\ &= \left(e - \frac{p}{\lambda}\right) \left(e + \frac{p^2}{\lambda^2 - 1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $p \in A_e$. Then $p \leq \|p\|_e e$ and, by the previous computation, $(\|p\|_e + 2)e + p$ is invertible with positive inverse. This shows that (iii) implies (iv). Conversely, if $(\lambda e + p)^{-1} \geq 0$ for some $\lambda > \|p\|$, either $p = 0$, in which case the result is trivial, or $p \neq 0$, in which case $\lambda > \|p\|_e \geq 1^{\dagger\dagger}$ and then

$$(\lambda e + p)(\lambda e - p) = \lambda^2 e - p^2 \geq 0.$$

Multiplying by $(\lambda e + p)^{-1}$ on the left

$$\lambda e - p = (\lambda e + p)^{-1}(\lambda^2 e - p^2) \geq 0$$

because the product of positive elements is positive. Hence $p \in A_e$. \square

In order to delve deeper into the relation between band projections and order idempotents, we need to introduce spectra in our study.

^{\dagger\dagger}Due to the fact that the spectral radius of p is 1, see Section 3.4.

3.4 Complexification and spectra

To talk about spectra and see their relation with band projections and order idempotents, we need to work over the complex field. So first we need to “complexify” some of the notions introduced so far.

Let A be a Banach lattice algebra. Applying the procedure for the complexification of Banach lattices, as exposed in Section 2.4, one obtains the complex Banach lattice $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ with norm $\|z\|_{\mathbb{C}} = \|\|z\|\|$. With the natural product

$$(x_1 + ix_2)(y_1 + iy_2) = (x_1y_1 - x_2y_2) + i(x_1y_2 + x_2y_1) \quad \text{where } x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in A,$$

the space $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ becomes a complex algebra, that has an identity precisely when A does. This product is compatible with the complex lattice structure in the sense that $|z_1z_2| \leq |z_1||z_2|$, for all $z_1, z_2 \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$. This fact is not trivial, and a proof may be found in [8]. The submultiplicativity of the norm on A immediately implies $\|z_1z_2\|_{\mathbb{C}} \leq \|z_1\|_{\mathbb{C}}\|z_2\|_{\mathbb{C}}$ for $z_1, z_2 \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$. Hence $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is also a complex Banach algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{C}}$. The space $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ with its structures of complex Banach lattice and complex Banach algebra is called a *complex Banach lattice algebra*. When A has an identity, we emphasize it by saying that $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a *complex Banach lattice algebra with identity*.

- Example 3.23.** (i) If K is a compact Hausdorff space, the complexification of $C(K)$ may be identified with $C(K, \mathbb{C})$, the space of continuous complex-valued functions on K .
- (ii) If X is an order complete Banach lattice, the complexification of $\mathcal{L}_r(X)$ may be identified with the space of regular operators over $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ (see Section 2.4).

Next we want to present a result analogous to Theorem 3.4 in complex Banach lattice algebras. If X is a Banach lattice, a subspace $I \subseteq X_{\mathbb{C}}$ is called an *ideal* if $x \in I$ and $|y| \leq |x|$ imply $y \in I$. It is not difficult to check that I is the complexification of the ideal $I \cap X$ of X , and that the intersection of all the ideals containing $z \in X_{\mathbb{C}}$ is given by

$$(I_z)_{\mathbb{C}} = \{ w \in X_{\mathbb{C}} : |w| \leq \lambda|z| \text{ for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \}.$$

This is called the *ideal generated by z* .

An ideal $B \subseteq X_{\mathbb{C}}$ is called a *band* (resp. *projection band*) if $B \cap X$ is a band (resp. projection band) in X . Again, one can check that an ideal $B \subseteq X$ is a projection band if and only if $X_{\mathbb{C}} = B \oplus B^d$, where

$$B^d = \{ z \in X_{\mathbb{C}} : |w| \wedge |z| = 0 \text{ for all } w \in B \}.$$

Finally, some common notation: for $z \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$ denote its spectrum by $\sigma(z)$ and its spectral radius by $r(z)$.

Theorem 3.24. *Let $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a complex Banach lattice algebra with identity e and let $(A_{\mathbb{C}})_e$ be the ideal generated by e in $A_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $(A_{\mathbb{C}})_e = (A_e)_{\mathbb{C}}$, i.e., $(A_{\mathbb{C}})_e$ is the complexification of the Banach lattice algebra A_e . Moreover:*

- (i) *The space $(A_{\mathbb{C}})_e$ can be isometrically identified with $C(K, \mathbb{C})$, for some compact Hausdorff K .*
- (ii) *The ideal $(A_{\mathbb{C}})_e$ is a projection band in $A_{\mathbb{C}}$.*
- (iii) *The space $(A_{\mathbb{C}})_e$ is an inverse closed subalgebra of $A_{\mathbb{C}}$.*

Proof. Since $(A_{\mathbb{C}})_e \cap A = A_e$, it follows that $(A_{\mathbb{C}})_e = (A_e)_{\mathbb{C}}$. It is then a direct consequence of Theorem 3.4 that $(A_{\mathbb{C}})_e$, with the complexification of the norm $\|\cdot\|_e$, is isometric to $C(K, \mathbb{C})$. To prove (i) it only remains to check that the norm $\|\cdot\|_{e, \mathbb{C}}$ is equal to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{C}}$. Being A_e an inverse closed subalgebra, it is easy to check that the spectrum of an element in A_e (i.e., its range when viewed as a function in $C(K, \mathbb{C})$) is the same as its spectrum in $A_{\mathbb{C}}$. Therefore $\|z\| \geq r(z) = \|z\|_e$, and since we are assuming $\|e\| = 1$ in our definition of Banach lattice algebras, $\|z\| \leq \|z\|_e$. Joining both inequalities, $\|z\| = \|z\|_e = r(z)$ and (i) is proved. From Theorem 3.4 clearly follows (ii), and exactly the same proof as in Theorem 3.4 can be used to show (iii). \square

Previous result completes the generalization from Theorem 2.7 to Theorem 3.4. It also completes Example 3.12. Some remarks regarding the spectrum of order idempotents and band projections are in order.

Remark. (i) Let p be an order idempotent. When we represent $(A_{\mathbb{C}})_e$ as a space of continuous functions, p is the characteristic function of a clopen set. As such, $\sigma(p) = \{0, 1\}$ whenever $p \neq 0, e$. By previous theorem, $\|p\| = r(p) = 1$.

(ii) If $p \in BP(A)$, either $p^2 = 0$, in which case $r(p) = 0$ and $\sigma(p) = \{0\}$ (the complexification of Example 3.20 serves as an example of this), or $p^2 \neq 0$, in which case $\|p\| = r(p) = \lim_n \|p^{2n}\|^{1/2n} = 1$ (because p^2 is order idempotent, so $\|p^2\| = 1$, and also $(p^2)^n = p^2$). In both cases, $\sigma(p^2) \subseteq \{0, 1\}$ implies $\sigma(p) \subseteq \{-1, 0, 1\}$.

(iii) For $p \in BP(A)$ to be an order idempotent, it is necessary that $\sigma(p) = \{0, 1\}$. However, it is not sufficient: one can easily check that the element q in Example 3.21 satisfies $\sigma(q) = \{0, 1\}$, yet it is not an order idempotent.

The following is an example of a band projection with spectrum $\{0, 1, -1\}$.

Example 3.25. In the Banach lattice algebra $M_3(\mathbb{R})$ (see Example 3.20), consider the

3-dimensional subspace:

$$A = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & y \\ 0 & z & 0 \\ y & 0 & x \end{pmatrix} : x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Being generated by pairwise disjoint elements, A is a sublattice. Moreover, the identities

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & y \\ 0 & z & 0 \\ y & 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x' & 0 & y' \\ 0 & z' & 0 \\ y' & 0 & x' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} xx' + yy' & 0 & xy' + x'y \\ 0 & zz' & 0 \\ xy' + x'y & 0 & xx' + yy' \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & y \\ 0 & z & 0 \\ y & 0 & x \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{x^2 - y^2} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & -y \\ 0 & z^{-1}(x^2 - y^2) & 0 \\ -y & 0 & x \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{when both } x \neq y \text{ and } z \neq 0,$$

prove that A is an inverse closed subalgebra, with identity because the identity matrix is contained in A . Therefore, A is a Banach lattice algebra with the inherited product, order and norm. Its complexification consists in taking matrices with complex entries.

Set

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is not difficult to check that $pap = a$ for every $a \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$.^{‡‡} Therefore $L_p R_p = I_{A_{\mathbb{C}}}$, and this implies $p \in BP(A)$. The spectrum of p is the set of roots of its characteristic polynomial

$$\det(p - \lambda I_3) = \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -\lambda \end{vmatrix} = -\lambda(\lambda + 1)(\lambda - 1),$$

which is $\sigma_A(p) = \sigma(p) = \{-1, 0, 1\}$.

Next we want to provide a result that relates spectra with order idempotents. We start with a simple observation.

Remark. In $C(K)$ order idempotents are characterized by their spectrum. More precisely, $p \in OI(C(K))$ if and only if $\sigma(p) \subseteq \{0, 1\}$.

^{‡‡}Using elementary matrix theory: right multiplication by p swaps the first and third columns of $a \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$, while left multiplication swaps the first and third rows of a ; that is, pap is the reflection of a with respect to both of its diagonals. The elements of A , however, are invariant under this operation.

We also need the generalization of Theorem 2.11 to arbitrary complex Banach lattice algebras with identity.

Corollary 3.26. *Let $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a complex Banach lattice algebra with identity. Let $a \in A_+$ be an invertible element with positive inverse. Then $a \in A_e$ if and only if $\sigma(a) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+$.*

Proof. Let $a \in A_+$ be an invertible element such that $a^{-1} \in A_+$. Then $R_a: A \rightarrow A$, being a positive operator with positive inverse $R_{a^{-1}}$, is a lattice isomorphism. Note that

$$(R_a - \lambda I_{A_{\mathbb{C}}})(x) = x(a - \lambda e) = R_{a - \lambda e}(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in A_{\mathbb{C}},$$

where e denotes the identity element of $A_{\mathbb{C}}$. It follows that $\sigma(R_a) \subseteq \sigma(a)$, since $R_{a - \lambda e}$ is invertible whenever $a - \lambda e$ is. Suppose $\sigma(a) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+$. Then $\sigma(R_a) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+$ and R_a is a lattice isomorphism. By Theorem 2.11, $R_a \in \mathcal{Z}(A)$, which readily implies $a \in A_e$. The converse is direct if we see $a \in A_e$ as a positive continuous function on a compact space. \square

Proposition 3.27. *Let $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a complex Banach lattice algebra with identity. Let $a \in A_+$ be an invertible element such that:*

- (i) $a^{-1} \in A_+$,
- (ii) $\sigma(a) \subseteq \{\lambda, \lambda + 1\}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Then $a - \lambda e \in OI(A)$, where e is the identity of A .

Proof. Since a has positive spectrum, and $a^{-1} \in A_+$, from previous corollary it follows that $a \in A_e$. Then $a - \lambda e \in A_e$, and its spectrum satisfies, by hypothesis, $\sigma(a - \lambda e) \subseteq \{0, 1\}$. Since A_e is essentially a space of continuous functions, it follows that $a - \lambda e$ is an order idempotent. \square

3.5 Inner band projections

In this section the construction of inner band projections explained in Section 2.5 is extended to general Banach lattice algebras. Let A be an order complete Banach lattice algebra and let $\{p_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subseteq BP_l(A) \cap BP_r(A)$ be such that $p_\alpha p_\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta} p_\alpha$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$ (in particular, if A has an identity, we can take the p_λ to be pairwise disjoint order idempotents). For $\Gamma \subseteq \Lambda \times \Lambda$ define the map

$$P_\Gamma: \begin{array}{ccc} A_+ & \longrightarrow & A_+ \\ x & \longmapsto & \bigvee_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma} p_\alpha x p_\beta \end{array} \quad (6)$$

Note that this is well-defined, because $p_\alpha x p_\beta = L_{p_\alpha} R_{p_\beta}(x) \leq x$ (recall that $L_{p_\alpha} R_{p_\beta}$ is a band projection on A), and A is order complete. If we do not assume that A is order complete, the coming results work just fine, as long as we ask for this supremum to exist. In particular, if Γ is finite, everything works out.

Lemma 3.28. *Let A be a Banach lattice algebra, and let $(p_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subseteq BP_l(A) \cap BP_r(A)$ be such that $p_\alpha p_\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta} p_\alpha$. If $\Phi \subseteq \Lambda \times \Lambda$ is finite, then*

$$\bigvee_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Phi} p_\alpha x p_\beta = \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Phi} p_\alpha x p_\beta, \quad \text{for all } x \in A_+.$$

Proof. For every $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Phi$, $L_{p_\alpha} R_{p_\beta}$ is a band projection; to ease the notation, denote it by $L_\alpha R_\beta$. Whenever $(\gamma, \delta) \neq (\alpha, \beta)$:

$$(L_\alpha R_\beta)(L_\gamma R_\delta)(x) = p_\alpha p_\gamma x p_\delta p_\beta = 0$$

because either $p_\alpha p_\gamma = 0$ or $p_\delta p_\beta = 0$. Therefore $(L_\alpha R_\beta)(L_\gamma R_\delta) = 0$. Being band projections, this implies that the bands determined by $L_\alpha R_\beta$ and $L_\gamma R_\delta$ are disjoint. Thus

$$p_\alpha x p_\beta \wedge p_\gamma x p_\delta = (L_\alpha R_\beta)(x) \wedge (L_\gamma R_\delta)(x) = 0,$$

or, equivalently, $p_\alpha x p_\beta \vee p_\gamma x p_\delta = p_\alpha x p_\beta + p_\gamma x p_\delta$. Since this is true for any pair of indices, the result follows by induction. \square

Theorem 3.29. *The map P_Γ , as defined in (6), extends to a unique band projection on A .*

Proof. Note first that, using previous lemma,

$$P_\Gamma x = \bigvee_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma} p_\alpha x p_\beta = \sup_{\Phi \in \text{Fin}(\Gamma)} \bigvee_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Phi} p_\alpha x p_\beta = \sup_{\Phi \in \text{Fin}(\Gamma)} \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Phi} p_\alpha x p_\beta. \quad (7)$$

It follows from the definition that $P_\Gamma(x + y) \leq P_\Gamma(x) + P_\Gamma(y)$. For every $\Phi, \Psi \in \text{Fin}(\Gamma)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Phi} p_\alpha x p_\beta + \sum_{(\gamma, \delta) \in \Psi} p_\gamma y p_\delta &\leq \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Phi \cup \Psi} p_\alpha x p_\beta + \sum_{(\gamma, \delta) \in \Phi \cup \Psi} p_\gamma y p_\delta \\ &= \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Phi \cup \Psi} p_\alpha(x + y) p_\beta \leq P_\Gamma(x + y). \end{aligned}$$

Taking suprema separately in Φ and Ψ we conclude that P_Γ is additive. Hence it extends to a unique positive operator $P_\Gamma: A \rightarrow A$. It is clear from the definition that $0 \leq P_\Gamma \leq I_A$. To show that it is a band projection, it only remains to prove that it is idempotent. By previous inequality, $P_\Gamma^2 \leq P_\Gamma$. To see the other inequality, note that for an arbitrary $\Phi \in \text{Fin}(\Gamma)$:

$$\begin{aligned} P_\Gamma(P_\Gamma(x)) &= \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Phi} p_\alpha P_\Gamma(x) p_\beta \geq \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Phi} p_\alpha \left(\sum_{(\gamma, \delta) \in \Phi} p_\gamma x p_\delta \right) p_\beta \\ &= \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Phi} \sum_{(\gamma, \delta) \in \Phi} p_\alpha p_\gamma x p_\delta p_\beta \\ &= \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Phi} p_\alpha x p_\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Inequality $P_\Gamma^2 \geq P_\Gamma$ follows from taking supremum on $\Phi \in \text{Fin}(\Gamma)$. \square

Definition 3.30. Let A be a Banach lattice algebra and let $P: A \rightarrow A$ be a band projection. If there exist $(p_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subseteq BP_l(A) \cap BP_r(A)$ such that $p_\alpha p_\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta} p_\alpha$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$, and such that $P = P_\Gamma$ for some $\Gamma \subseteq \Lambda \times \Lambda$ as defined in (6), then we say that P is an *inner band projection*. We say that the band $P(A)$ is an *inner projection band*.

Example 3.31. Let K be a compact Hausdorff space. Even though $C(K)$ may not be order complete, it still makes sense to consider inner band projections, as long as the supremum in (6) is well-defined. In fact, in $C(K)$, any band projection is inner, because it consists in multiplying by the characteristic function of a clopen set, which is an order idempotent.

Remark. Let A be an order complete Banach lattice algebra. Since in $\mathcal{L}_r(A)$ the supremum of an increasing net is taken pointwise, equation (7) implies

$$P_\Gamma = \text{o-lim}_{\Phi \in \text{Fin}(\Gamma)} \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Phi} L_\alpha R_\beta,$$

where o-lim denotes the limit of the net in the order convergence (see [15, Section 2.4]). In particular, if A is order continuous, we have

$$P_\Gamma(x) = \lim_{\Phi \in \text{Fin}(\Gamma)} \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Phi} p_\alpha x p_\beta = \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma} p_\alpha x p_\beta.$$

Proposition 3.32. Let A be an order complete Banach lattice algebra, and let $(p_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subseteq BP_l(A) \cap BP_r(A)$ be such that $p_\alpha p_\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta} p_\alpha$. The set $\{P_\Gamma : \Gamma \subseteq \Lambda \times \Lambda\}$ forms a Boolean algebra with maximum element $P_{\Lambda \times \Lambda}$, minimum P_\emptyset and operations

$$P_\Gamma \wedge P_\Delta = P_{\Gamma \cap \Delta}, \quad P_\Gamma \vee P_\Delta = P_{\Gamma \cup \Delta}, \quad \overline{P_\Gamma} = P_{\overline{\Gamma}}, \quad \text{for } \Gamma, \Delta \subseteq \Lambda \times \Lambda,$$

where $\overline{\Gamma} = \Lambda \times \Lambda \setminus \Gamma$ denotes the complementary set.

Proof. On the set of inner band projections, consider the usual order of operators. Let $\Gamma, \Delta \subseteq \Lambda \times \Lambda$. We first want to prove that $P_\Gamma \wedge P_\Delta = P_{\Gamma \cap \Delta}$. For every $x \in A_+$, the net $(\sum_{(\gamma, \delta) \in \Psi} p_\gamma x p_\delta)_{\Psi \in \text{Fin}(\Delta)}$ increases to $P_\Delta(x)$. For every $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma$, using that band projections are order continuous:

$$L_{p_\alpha} R_{p_\beta}(P_\Delta(x)) = \text{o-lim}_{\Psi \in \text{Fin}(\Delta)} \sum_{(\gamma, \delta) \in \Psi} L_{p_\alpha} R_{p_\beta}(p_\gamma x p_\delta) = \begin{cases} p_\alpha x p_\beta & \text{if } (\alpha, \beta) \in \Delta, \\ 0 & \text{if } (\alpha, \beta) \notin \Delta. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$P_\Gamma(P_\Delta(x)) = \bigvee_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma} L_{p_\alpha} R_{p_\beta}(P_\Delta(x)) = \bigvee_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma \cap \Delta} p_\alpha x p_\beta = P_{\Gamma \cap \Delta}(x),$$

as wanted

To check the formula for the supremum, first consider the situation $\Gamma \cap \Delta = \emptyset$. In this case, $P_\Gamma P_\Delta = 0$, and

$$P_\Gamma + P_\Delta = P_\Gamma \vee P_\Delta \leq P_{\Gamma \cup \Delta}$$

because both $P_\Gamma \leq P_{\Gamma \cup \Delta}$ and $P_\Delta \leq P_{\Gamma \cup \Delta}$. To see the reverse inequality, take finite sets $\Phi \in \text{Fin}(\Gamma)$ and $\Psi \in \text{Fin}(\Delta)$. Using that they are disjoint:

$$P_\Gamma(x) + P_\Delta(x) \geq \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Phi} p_\alpha x p_\beta + \sum_{(\gamma, \delta) \in \Psi} p_\gamma x p_\delta = \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Phi \cup \Psi} p_\alpha x p_\beta.$$

The right hand side is an arbitrary finite subset of $\Gamma \cup \Delta$; taking supremum over these yields $P_\Gamma + P_\Delta \geq P_{\Gamma \cup \Delta}$. In particular, when $\Delta = \bar{\Gamma}$, we get the desired formula for the complementary. Finally, for arbitrary Γ and Δ (not necessarily disjoint), write their union as the three disjoint unions $\Gamma \cup \Delta = (\bar{\Gamma} \cap \Delta) \cup (\Gamma \cap \bar{\Delta}) \cup (\Gamma \cap \Delta)$ and apply the previous formulae. \square

Remark. When $A = \mathcal{L}_r(X)$, for some order complete Banach lattice X , the Boolean algebra of inner band projections is isomorphic to $2^{A \times A}$, according to Proposition 2.14. In the general case, however, this need not be true. In Example 3.18, the only inner band projection is the zero projection, even though there are many pairwise disjoint left and right band projections.

We finish with an illustration of inner band projections in a Banach lattice algebra without identity. This example also shows that an analogous of Theorem 2.15 for this general setup is not straightforward.

Example 3.33. Consider the 3-dimensional Banach lattice algebra

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ z & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \subseteq M_3(\mathbb{R}), \end{aligned}$$

where the order, product and norm are inherited from $M_3(\mathbb{R})$ (see Example 3.20). It is not difficult to check that this Banach lattice algebra does not have an identity. By direct computation, one can also check that

$$BP_l(A) \cap BP_r(A) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, p_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, p_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Since $p_1ap_2 = 0 = p_2ap_1$ for $a \in A$, the only inner band projections besides the zero projection are $a \mapsto p_1ap_1$, $a \mapsto p_2ap_2$, and their sum; explicitly, the only inner band projections are

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ z & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ z & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ z & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ z & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ z & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

defines a band projection that is not inner.

Acknowledgements

The author is very thankful to Pedro Tradacete for valuable discussions, ideas and corrections. Research partially supported by an FPI–UAM 2023 contract funded by Universidad Autónoma de Madrid.

References

- [1] Y. A. Abramovich and C. D. Aliprantis. *An invitation to operator theory*. Vol. 50. Grad. Stud. Math. American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2002.
- [2] Y. A. Abramovich, A. I. Veksler, and A. V. Koldunov. “On operators preserving disjointness”. In: *Soviet Mathematics. Doklady* 20 (1979), pp. 1089–1093.
- [3] E. A. Alekhno. “On the peripheral spectrum of positive elements”. In: *Positivity* 22.4 (2018), pp. 931–968.
- [4] E. A. Alekhno. “The irreducibility in ordered Banach algebras”. In: *Positivity* 16.1 (2012), pp. 143–176.
- [5] C. D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw. *Positive operators*. Reprint of the 1985 original. Springer, 2006.
- [6] S. J. Bernau and C. B. Huijsmans. “On the positivity of the unit element in a normed lattice ordered algebra”. In: *Studia Mathematica* 97.2 (1990), pp. 143–149.
- [7] C. B. Huijsmans. “A characterization of complex lattice homomorphisms on Banach lattice algebras”. In: *Quaestiones Mathematicae* 18.1-3 (1995), pp. 131–140.
- [8] C. B. Huijsmans. “An inequality in complex Riesz algebras”. In: *Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica* 20 (1985), pp. 29–32.

- [9] C. B. Huijsmans. “Elements with unit spectrum in a Banach lattice algebra”. In: *Indagationes Mathematicae* 50.1 (1988), pp. 43–51.
- [10] L. Martignon. “Banach f -algebras and Banach lattice algebras with unit”. In: *Boletim da Sociedade Brasileira de Matemática* 11.1 (1980), pp. 11–17.
- [11] P. Meyer-Nieberg. *Banach lattices*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [12] D. Muñoz-Lahoz and P. Tradacete. “Band projections in spaces of regular operators”. In: *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 377 (2024), pp. 5197–5218.
- [13] H. H. Schaefer, M. Wolff, and W. Arendt. “On lattice isomorphisms with positive real spectrum and groups of positive operators”. In: *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 164 (1978), pp. 115–123.
- [14] E. Scheffold. “Banachverbandsalgebren mit einer natürlichen Wedderburn-Zerlegung”. German. In: *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 185 (1984), pp. 521–531.
- [15] V. G. Troitsky. “Introduction to Vector and Banach lattices”. Book draft of May 16, 2022.
- [16] K. Vala. “Sur les éléments compacts d’une algèbre normée”. French. In: *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A I* 407 (1967), p. 8.
- [17] A. W. Wickstead. “Banach lattice algebras: some questions, but very few answers”. In: *Positivity* 21.2 (2017), pp. 803–815.
- [18] A. W. Wickstead. “Representation and duality of multiplication operators on archimedean Riesz spaces”. In: *Compositio Mathematica* 35 (1977), pp. 225–238.
- [19] A. W. Wickstead. “Two dimensional unital Riesz algebras, their representations and norms”. In: *Positivity* 21.2 (2017), pp. 787–801.