Slab tilings, flips and the triple twist

George L. D. Alencar Nicolau C. Saldanha Arthur M. M. Vieira

July 12, 2024

Abstract

A domino is a $2 \times 1 \times 1$ parallelepiped formed by the union of two unit cubes and a *slab* is a $2 \times 2 \times 1$ parallelepiped formed by the union of four unit cubes. We are interested in tiling regions formed by the finite union of unit cubes. We investigate *domino tilings*, *slab tilings* and *mixed tilings* (vertical dominoes and horizontal slabs). A flip is a local move: in a slab (resp. domino) tiling, two neighboring parallel slabs (resp. dominoes) are removed and placed back in a different position.

We may interpret a three dimensional region as being made of floors. A slab or domino which crosses two floors is *vertical*, and *horizontal* otherwise. We can also define a flip in a mixed tiling, we replace four vertical dominoes in a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ box by two horizontal slabs and vice-versa.

Inspired by the twist for domino tilings we construct a flip invariant for mixed tilings. Based on this, we then construct the *triple twist* for slab tilings: an invariant under flips assuming values in \mathbb{Z}^3 . We show that if the region is a large box then the triple twist assumes a large number of possible values, at least proportional to the fourth power of the volume. We also give examples of smaller regions for which the triple twist assumes only one value and the set of tilings is connected under flips.

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider tilings of cylinders, of which rectangular boxes are a special case. A cylinder \mathcal{R} is a cubiculated region in \mathbb{R}^3 of the form $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{D} \times [0, N]$, where \mathcal{D} is a disk, a quadriculated connected and simply connected region on the

⁰2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05B45; Secondary 52C20, 52C22, 05C70. Keywords and phrases Three-dimensional tilings, dominoes, dimers, slabs

z = 0 plane. Unit cubes are of the form $[x, x + 1] \times [y, y + 1] \times [z, z + 1]$ where $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$.

We choose to depict a three-dimensional domino tiling by floors, numbered from left to right. The *n*-th floor from left to right corresponds to $z \in [n, n + 1]$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. A domino which is contained in only one floor (named *horizontal* domino) is represented as a 2×1 light grey rectangle. A domino which crosses two floors (named *vertical*) is represented as two identical 1×1 squares which have the same projection on the first floor, with the square on the lower floor (left) being painted dark grey and the square on the upper floor (right) being painted white. For convenience, we may at times speak of a region using vocabulary of the floor diagram for the region. That is, we talk of the corresponding square of the floor diagram when referring to a certain cube.



Figure 1: Tiling of a $3 \times 3 \times 2$ box; on the left the floor $z \in [0, 1]$, on the right the floor $z \in [1, 2]$. The x and y axes are usually drawn as in this example. In this case Tw = -1.

In order to facilitate visualization we choose to depict a three-dimensional slab tiling by floors, numbered from left to right. A slab which is contained in only one floor (named *horizontal*) is represented as a 2×2 light grey square and a slab which crosses two floors (named *vertical*) is represented as two identical planar dominoes which have the same projection on the first floor, with the domino on the lower (left) floor painted dark grey and the domino on the upper floor (right) painted white.



Figure 2: A tiling of a $6 \times 6 \times 6$ box.

We can define flips for slabs in the same way as for dominoes: we take two slabs which have a 2×2 face in common, remove them from the region, and then place them back in one of the two other positions.

The other objects of interest are *mixed tilings*. Given a three dimensional region \mathcal{R} , a mixed tiling is a decomposition of \mathcal{R} into horizontal slabs and vertical

Figure 3: A non trivial example of mixed tiling.

dominoes. Note we admit as mixed tilings the configurations where there are only horizontal slabs or only vertical dominoes.

One may notice the mixed tiling in Figure 3 can be obtained from the slab tiling in Figure 2 by slicing each vertical slab in half along a plane perpendicular to the paper. This process of slicing vertical slabs in half to transform a slab tiling into a mixed tiling can always be done. However, it is not always possible to undo this process in a well defined manner. For example, in Figure 3 there are many ways to join the vertical dominoes which cross the first and second floor. Also, there are mixed tilings which do not come from any slab tiling, as in Figure 4.



Figure 4: A mixed tiling which does not correspond to a slab tiling. Obtained from the tiling in Figure 3 by taking the central $4 \times 4 \times 6$ box.

We can also define a flip in a mixed tiling. In one way, we take two adjacent slabs which lie on different floors, perform a slab flip and then slice the resulting vertical slabs in half. In the other way, we take four vertical dominoes which form a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ cube, join two pairs of adjacent dominoes and perform a slab flip to end with two horizontal slabs. See Figure 5 for an example.



Figure 5: We show one flip performed on the tiling of Figure 3.

Given two slab tilings of the same three dimensional region \mathcal{R} , we may ask whether it is possible to transform one of them into the other by performing a sequence of flips. There is a straightforward interpretation of this situation in a new graph: vertices are tilings and edges join pairs of tilings which differ by a flip. Depending on the region \mathcal{R} , this graph may split into many connected components, which can sometimes be distinguished by the triple twist. In some simple cases, such as a $4 \times 4 \times N$ or an $M \times N \times 2$ box, there is only one connected component.

In Section 2 we define and review basic properties of the twist, a flip invariant for domino tilings. In Section 3 we proceed to construct invariants for mixed and slab tilings. In Section 4 we state some properties of these invariants. In Section 5 we study the connected components via flips of the space of tilings. As mentioned above, in some special cases that space is connected; in general the number of connected components is large. Finally, in Section 6 we list some open problems.

The second author is thankful for the generous support of CNPq, CAPES and FAPERJ (Brazil). The first and third authors are thankful to CNPq for the support in the PIBIC undergraduate project.

2 Twist of domino tilings

We start this section by defining the *twist* for a domino tiling \mathbf{t} of a region \mathcal{R} . There are several equivalent definitions; we present an explicit construction, and not in the greatest possible generality. For a more general discussion see [3, 1].

For that, we first define the *effect*, a function which takes two dominoes in **t** and returns a value in $\{0, \pm 1\}$. On **t**, we choose a horizontal domino d_0 whose centers of the cubes it covers form a line parallel to e_2 . Let d_1 be a vertical domino in **t**. We say d_1 affects d_0 if any of the cubes of d_1 is on the same floor as d_0 and if the projections of the interiors of d_0 and d_1 over the plane x = 0 have non-empty intersection. Figure 6 shows some examples for which there are effects. If these conditions do not hold we say the effect of d_1 on d_0 is 0.

In the case d_1 does affect d_0 , we define the effect as a sign based on some parameters. The first is whether d_1 is on the left or on the right side of d_0 . The second is whether d_1 is above or below d_0 . The third is whether the distance between d_1 and d_0 is odd or even. And the fourth and final is whether d_1 's lower half cube is on or below d_0 's floor. As a convention, the effect on the first example of Figure 6 is set as +1, and a change on any of the parameters above yields a change of sign on the effect.



Figure 6: Examples of effects for pairs of dominoes.

We then define the twist $\text{Tw}(\mathbf{t})$ as $\frac{1}{4}$ times the sum of all the effects of d_1 on d_0 for all the suitable pairs (d_0, d_1) . For example, the twist of the tiling in Figure 1 is -1, since each floor has a contribution of $-\frac{1}{2}$.

We list, without proof, properties of the twist that interest us in this paper. The first property is that it is an invariant under domino flips, which can be checked by casework. The second property is that the twist is integer valued for tilings of cylinders [3] justifying the constant of $\frac{1}{4}$.

The third property is that if \mathcal{R} is a cylinder, the twist is left unchanged after a rotation in \mathbb{R}^3 . The fourth property is that the twist changes sign under reflections by any of the planes x = 0, y = 0 or z = 0, since any of them does not change the quantity of effects and changes exactly one parameter in all effects. Note the resulting floor diagram does not represent a cylinder in general, but does so if \mathcal{R} is a box. In Figure 7 we show two examples of tilings of cylinders, and in Figure 8 we rotate each of them, noting the twist is unchanged.



Figure 7: Two examples of tilings of cylinders. In both cases, Tw = 0.



Figure 8: The x-view of the two tilings in Figure 7, i.e., the same tilings after a rotation. Notice that we still have Tw = 0.

3 Coloring and twist

The goal of this section is to describe the process of transforming a slab or mixed tiling \mathbf{t} of a given cylinder \mathcal{R} into a domino tiling $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}$ of another cylinder $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$. This will be used in the end to define the twist of a mixed tiling and the triple twist of a slab tiling.

The first step of this process is to consider a certain coloring of the cubes which compose our cylinder. The coloring uses four colors and must meet the condition that each slab covers exactly one cube of each color. Provisionally, this condition will be called *slab-type*. We use the colors green, blue, red and yellow.



Figure 9: A slab-type coloring of a $6 \times 6 \times 6$ box, based on Example 3.1.

Example 3.1. Here we give an algebraic description of a slab-type coloring. Let $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/(2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(2)$ be the additive group homomorphism $\phi(x, y, z) = (y + z, x + z)$. Associate with each element of $\mathbb{Z}/(2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(2)$ a color and paint the cube $[x, x + 1] \times [y, y + 1] \times [z, z + 1]$ with the color $\phi(x, y, z)$. As in Figure 9, (0, 0) is green, (1, 0) is yellow, (0, 1) is blue and (1, 1) is red.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\mathcal{R} = [0, L] \times [0, M] \times [0, N]$ be a box with $\min\{L, M, N\} \ge 2$. There is, up to permutation of the colors, only one slab type coloring.

Proof. Refer to Figure 10. Consider a slab which covers the yellow, red, Z and W squares. This means W must be painted either blue or green. Now consider a slab which covers the blue, red, Y and W squares. The only possibility left is for W to be painted green. Analogously, X must be red, Y must be yellow and Z must be blue.

In a similar fashion, we rule out possibilities to propagate the painting to the remaining part of \mathcal{R} .



Figure 10: The top left corner of the first two floors of \mathcal{R} .

Remark 3.3. The uniqueness property in Lemma 3.2 also holds for other kinds of regions and does not hold for some others. We do not think a more precise statement would be useful here.

Given Lemma 3.2, there is limited interest in considering more general colorings if, as in the present paper, our focus is on boxes. From now on, we will consider only the coloring in Example 3.1.

The second step of the process is to transform the region. We first consider cyllinders of the form $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{D} \times [0, N]$ and the z-view. A pair of colors (c_0, c_1) is good (for this view) if c_0 and c_1 are on a same diagonal on the first floor: the good pairs are therefore red-green and blue-yellow. The colors in a good pair are also called good; the other colors are bad. Informally, we keep all cubes (or squares) of one of the two good colors and delete all cubes of bad colors. We then inflate the remaining cubes so as to obtain cuboids which fill in the space left by the deleted cubes. Figure 11 shows an example: the initial region is as in Figure 9 and the good pair is red-green.



Figure 11: The region in Figure 9 is transformed by deleting the blue and yellow cubes and inflating the red and green ones.

A more precise construction is in order. For the red-green pair, the cube $[x, x + 1] \times [y, y + 1] \times [z, z + 1]$ is good if x + y is even. In the notation of Example 3.1, the cube is good if $\phi(x, y, z) \in \{(0, 0), (1, 1)\} \subset \mathbb{Z}/(2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(2)$. If good, the above cube inflates to become the cuboid $\tilde{S} \times [z, z + 1]$ where $\tilde{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is the square with vertices $(x - \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}), (x + \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}), (x + \frac{3}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}), (x + \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{3}{2})$. The region \tilde{R} is the union of the resulting inflated cuboids, as in Figure 11. The new cuboids keep the old colors, so that \tilde{R} is a cubiculated region. Strictly speaking, we might feel the need to rotate and rescale, but we usually refrain from doing so as it only complicates the description.

We are now left with the task of transforming the tilings. We first consider slab tilings; let **t** be a tiling of \mathcal{R} . Each slab P of **t** covers two preserved cubes and two deleted cubes. Let S_0 and S_1 be the two preserved cubes, which are transformed into \tilde{S}_0 and \tilde{S}_1 , respectively. We say that P is taken to the domino \tilde{P} , the union of \tilde{S}_0 and \tilde{S}_1 . See Figures 2 and 12 for an example.

For mixed tilings the construction is similar. Horizontal slabs are transformed into horizontal dominoes, as above. Vertical dominoes consist of two cubes which are either both preserved or both removed. In the first case, the domino in transformed into a vertical domino; in the second case the domino is deleted; see Figures 3 and 12.

Now we consider \mathbf{t} a slab tiling of a box. Until this moment, we have only looked at our tiling \mathbf{t} of \mathcal{R} from a particular position of the axes, as stated at the beginning of the section. This position of the axes is exactly that of an observer looking from the positive part of the z-axis. We say we are looking at the z-view \mathbf{t}_z of \mathbf{t} . What we can actually do is look at the region \mathcal{R} from the positions of the positive parts of the x and y axes, yielding the views \mathbf{t}_x and \mathbf{t}_y , respectively.



Figure 12: The domino tiling obtained from the slab tiling in Figure 2 or the mixed tiling in Figure 3. In the second row we show the same tiling rotated clockwise by 45° . We have Tw = +2.

Strictly speaking, \mathbf{t}_x , \mathbf{t}_y and \mathbf{t}_z are three different views of the same tiling (as sets of slabs). What does change though is the floor diagram.



Figure 13: The figures corresponding to the *y*-view, with the blue-green good pair. In this case $Tw(\tilde{t}) = 0$.

For each view there are two good pairs of colors. For the *y*-view, the good pairs are green-blue and red-yellow; for the *x*-view, red-blue and green-yellow. In general, a pair of colors κ defines an axis and an axis defines two complementary pairs of colors, κ and $\bar{\kappa}$.

Given a view, a good pair of colors and a tiling of a box, we perform the above construction. In Figures 13 and 14 we continue the example of the slab tiling of the $6 \times 6 \times 6$ box given in Figure 2. Notice that the resulting regions and domino



Figure 14: The figures corresponding to the x-view, with the green-yellow good pair. In this case $Tw(\tilde{t}) = 0$.



Figure 15: Two possibilities of flipping two slabs on the top left corner of the first floor of Figure 2. Under the transformation, the first possibility yields the same domino tiling as in Figure 12.

tilings $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}$ are quite different. When necessary, we write $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\kappa}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{\kappa}$ to indicate the pair of colors.

We are ready to state the definitions of the twist.

Definition 3.4 (Mixed twist). Let \mathcal{R} be a *z*-cylinder and κ be a good pair of colors. Let **t** be a mixed tiling of \mathcal{R} . Consider the transformed region $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\kappa}$ and the corresponding domino tiling $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{\kappa}$. The *twist* of **t** is

$$\operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa}(\mathbf{t}) = \operatorname{Tw}(\mathbf{t}_{\kappa}) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Definition 3.5 (Triple twist). Let \mathcal{R} be a box and let \mathbf{t} be a slab tiling of \mathcal{R} . Let $\kappa_x, \kappa_y, \kappa_z$ be good pairs of colors for the x, y and z views, respectively. Consider the transformed domino tilings $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{\kappa_x}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{\kappa_y}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{\kappa_z}$. Let $\operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa}(\mathbf{t}) = \operatorname{Tw}(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{\kappa})$. The triple twist of \mathbf{t} is

$$\mathrm{TTw}_{\kappa_x,\kappa_y,\kappa_z}(\mathbf{t}) = (\mathrm{Tw}_{\kappa_x}(\mathbf{t}), \mathrm{Tw}_{\kappa_y}(\mathbf{t}), \mathrm{Tw}_{\kappa_z}(\mathbf{t})) \in \mathbb{Z}^3.$$



Figure 16: The domino tiling from the second possibility in Figure 15 after the transformation.

4 Properties of the Triple Twist

The twist for domino tilings has several simple properties and some of them are inherited by the triple twist.

Let \mathbf{t} be a slab or mixed tiling of a cylinder \mathcal{R} . Fix a good pair of colors κ . When performing a flip in \mathbf{t} , one of two things happens with the dominoes in the corresponding domino tiling $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}$: either a domino flip is performed or nothing changes at all. Since the domino twist is flip invariant, this means that the several Tw_{κ} defined above are invariants under flips.

The complement $\bar{\kappa}$ of a good pair of colors κ is also good. One of the first questions which arises when defining the triple twist is regarding the choice of $\bar{\kappa}$ instead of κ . For example, the calculation of the twist in Figure 12 depended on the choice of the green-red pair in Figure 9. We could have chosen instead the pair blue-yellow. This other choice would even yield a different domino tileable region, but does not actually provide any new information, which is the content of Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 4.1. Let \mathcal{R} be a cylinder. Let κ and $\bar{\kappa}$ be complementary good pairs of colors. Let \mathbf{t} be a mixed tiling of \mathcal{R} . Then, $\operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa}(\mathbf{t}) = -\operatorname{Tw}_{\bar{\kappa}}(\mathbf{t})$.

Proof. Assume that the two good pairs are κ for red-green and $\bar{\kappa}$ for yellow-blue. Throughout the proof, when talking about the overlap of two pieces, we refer to the overlap of their projections onto the xy plane. Firstly, we take a look at how two horizontal slabs in different floors overlap. There are three possibilities: they can overlap in either one, two or four squares. As an illustrative example, we show these three cases in the mixed tiling of the $4 \times 4 \times 4$ box in Figure 17.



Figure 17: An example of a mixed tiling. We assume the top left cube on the first floor is $[0, 1]^3$, which is green.

We transform the mixed tiling **t** into two domino tilings $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{\kappa}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{\bar{\kappa}}$. In Figure 18 we show these two tilings for the example in Figure 17. We will calculate the



Figure 18: First tiling is red-green and second tiling is blue-yellow

two twists side by side, but in order to do so, we will rotate both regions by 45 degrees as in Figure 7 and then look at them from the x-axis view, as depicted in Figure 8. By doing so, dominoes which were vertical in Figure 17 (and thus vertical in Figure 18) become horizontal in Figure 7 and hence will not affect the calculation of the twists.

In Figure 19 we look at the relative position of a pair of dominoes which comes from a pair of overlapping slabs (on different floors) in \mathbf{t} after performing the sequence of transformations in the above paragraph. We do not require the pair of slabs to be on consecutive floors.



Figure 19: We have, in order: one instance of case 1, two instances of case 2 and two instances of case 3.

- 1. If a pair of slabs overlaps in one square, the corresponding dominoes are parallel in both the green-red and blue-yellow tilings. In one of the tilings the dominoes will both be vertical with no overlap and in the other tiling both will be horizontal with an overlap of one square.
- 2. If a pair of slabs overlaps in four squares, the corresponding dominoes will be parallel in both the green-red and blue-yellow tilings, but in the two cases they will be horizontal and have an overlap of two squares.
- 3. If a pair of slabs overlaps in two squares, one of the corresponding dominoes will be vertical and the other horizontal, overlapping on one square. But in the green-red tiling the contribution to the twist will be +1 and on the blue-yellow tiling the contribution will be -1 (or vice-versa).

Therefore, summing the contributions of the twists, which are only nontrivial in case 3, we see that, indeed, the statement holds. $\hfill \Box$

We now consider the effect of spatial symmetries on the twist of mixed tilings. The reader should compare the next result with the corresponding simpler situation for twists of domino tilings.

Proposition 4.2. Consider the box $\mathcal{R} = [-L, L] \times [-M, M] \times [-N, N]$, where L, M, N are positive integers. Let **t** be a mixed tiling of \mathcal{R} , with vertical dominoes in the z direction. Let κ be a good pair of colors for the z-view.

1. Let r_x , r_y and r_z denote the reflections about the planes x = 0, y = 0 and z = 0, respectively. We have

$$\operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa}(r_x(\mathbf{t})) = \operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa}(\mathbf{t}), \quad \operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa}(r_y(\mathbf{t})) = \operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa}(\mathbf{t}), \quad \operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa}(r_z(\mathbf{t})) = -\operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa}(\mathbf{t}).$$

2. Assume that L = M and let ρ denote a 90° rotation around the z axis. We have $\operatorname{Tw}(\rho(\mathbf{t})) = -\operatorname{Tw}(\mathbf{t})$.

Proof. We first consider case (item) 1 for r_x . Let κ and $\bar{\kappa}$ be the red-green and blue-yellow pairs, respectively. Let \mathbf{t}_0 and $\mathbf{t}_1 = r_x(\mathbf{t}_0)$ be mixed tilings. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{i,\kappa}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{i,\bar{\kappa}}$ be the transformed tilings obtained from \mathbf{t}_i under each good pair. The set of red-green cubes is taken by r_x to the set of blue-yellow cubes. Thus, the reflection r_x takes $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{i,\kappa}$ to $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{1-i,\bar{\kappa}}$ so that $\operatorname{Tw}(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{1-i,\bar{\kappa}}) = -\operatorname{Tw}(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{i,\kappa})$. By Lemma 4.1 we have $\operatorname{Tw}(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{i,\bar{\kappa}}) = -\operatorname{Tw}(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{i,\kappa})$ and therefore

$$\operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa}(\mathbf{t}_{1}) = \operatorname{Tw}(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{1,\kappa}) = -\operatorname{Tw}(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{1,\bar{\kappa}}) = \operatorname{Tw}(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{0,\kappa}) = \operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa}(\mathbf{t}_{0}),$$

as desired. Case 1 for r_y is similar. The set of red-green cubes is taken to itself by r_z and therefore, $\mathbf{t}_3 = r_z(\mathbf{t}_0)$, case 1 for r_z boils down to

$$\operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa}(\mathbf{t}_3) = \operatorname{Tw}(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{3,\kappa}) = -\operatorname{Tw}(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{0,\kappa}) = -\operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa}(\mathbf{t}_0),$$

completing item 1. Finally, the rotation ρ takes the set of red-green cubes to the set of blue-yellow cubes. Set $\mathbf{t}_4 = \rho(\mathbf{t}_0)$: $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{4,\bar{\kappa}}$ is a rotation of $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{0,\kappa}$ so that $\operatorname{Tw}(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{4,\kappa}) = -\operatorname{Tw}(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{4,\bar{\kappa}}) = -\operatorname{Tw}(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{0,\kappa})$, completing the proof.

The following proposition is similar, but for slab tilings. A symmetry of \mathbb{R}^3 which preserves unit cubes is a map of the form $q(w) = v_0 + Qw$ where $v_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ and Q is a 3×3 permutation matrix with signs. Let $\operatorname{sign}(q) = \det(Q) \in \{\pm 1\}$.

Proposition 4.3. Consider q a symmetry of \mathbb{R}^3 , as above. Let \mathcal{R}_0 be a cubiculated region and \mathbf{t}_0 be a slab tiling of \mathcal{R}_0 . Let $\mathcal{R}_1 = q[\mathcal{R}_0]$, a cubiculated region, and $\mathbf{t}_1 = q[\mathbf{t}_0]$, a tiling of \mathcal{R}_1 . Let κ_0 be a pair of colors and $\kappa_1 = q[\kappa_0]$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{0,\kappa_0}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1,\kappa_1}$ be the respective transformed regions, with domino tilings $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{0,\kappa_0}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{1,\kappa_1}$. We then have

$$\operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa_0}(\mathbf{t}_0) = \operatorname{Tw}(\mathbf{t}_{0,\kappa_0}) = \operatorname{sign}(q) \operatorname{Tw}(\mathbf{t}_{1,\kappa_1}) = \operatorname{sign}(q) \operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa_1}(\mathbf{t}_1).$$

Proof. The proof is easy and similar to that of Proposition 4.2. The reader may want to check consistency between the two propositions in a few cases. \Box

5 On connected components

In this section we study connected components under flips of the space of slab tilings of several kinds of boxes. We begin with two-floored regions.

Lemma 5.1. Given a quadriculated disk $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, let $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{D} \times [0, 2]$, the three dimensional region with base \mathcal{D} and two floors. The space of slab tilings of \mathcal{R} is either empty or connected under flips.

Proof. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded region which can be tiled by 2×2 squares (the region $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ need not be connected). We claim that there is only one way of tiling $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ with such squares. The proof of the claim is by induction on the number of unit squares of $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$. The case where $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ comprises four unit squares is obvious. For the inductive step, notice there is only one way of placing a slab on a particular corner of $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$, and then remove the four corresponding unit squares.

We return to \mathcal{R} . We may speak in terms of the floor diagram. On the first floor, omit all dominoes (i.e., parts of vertical slabs). The remaining planar region $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1$ must be tiled by 2×2 squares, which can only be done in one way. On the second floor, omit all dominoes again. The remaining planar region \mathcal{D}_2 is the same as \mathcal{D}_1 . Hence, it must be tiled the same way by 2×2 squares.

A square on the first floor can be paired with the square which is right above it on the second floor. Flipping each one of these pairs creates a tiling with all slabs vertical, or, equivalently, identical domino-only configurations on both floors. By the domino flip connectedness result in dimension 2 [4, 7], we can reach a given domino tiling on both floors, proving connectivity.

Proposition 5.2. Consider a box of the form $4 \times 4 \times N$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$. The space of slab tilings of the box has only one flip component.

Proof. This can be done by casework and essentially consists of deducing the tree of possibilities of the tilings for each floor. This is similar to the computation of *domino groups* in [5]. We omit the computation, which is detailed in [8]. \Box



Figure 20: A tiling which admits no flips. We have $Tw_{\kappa} = +4$ for κ equal to red-green.

Let us quickly review the situation for *domino* tilings. We are interested in the connected components under flips of the space of domino tilings of a given box. There are often connected components of size 1, i.e., tilings which admit no flip. Figure 1 shows an example for the $3 \times 3 \times 2$ box; there are similar examples in larger boxes.

An example of a box whose space of *slab* tilings has components of size one (under flips) is the $6 \times 6 \times 5$ box. One such tiling is shown in Figure 20. Another component (for the same box) can be obtained by reflecting the tiling about the plane of the paper. This example admits slight variations for other regions. We can add a floor comprising only slabs before the first floor, or we can pile copies of the tiling of the above figure. Also, we can generalize the pattern for regions of the form $2N \times 2N \times 5$, as in Figure 21 for the case $8 \times 8 \times 5$.



Figure 21: Another tiling which admits no flips. In this case, $Tw_{\kappa} = +12$.

Let \mathcal{R} be a region. We denote $\operatorname{TTw}[\mathcal{R}] = \{\operatorname{TTw}(\mathbf{t}) | \mathbf{t} \text{ is a slab tiling of } \mathcal{R}\}$; the pairs $\kappa_x, \kappa_y, \kappa_z$ are implicit. Also, for a pair of colors κ , set $\operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa}[\mathcal{R}] = \{\operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa}(\mathbf{t}) | \mathbf{t} \text{ is a slab tiling of } \mathcal{R}\}$. The remainder of this section establishes bounds on the number of values the triple twist assumes in a given region, implying a bound on the number of slab flip connected components. The proof is inspired by a related construction in [3].

Lemma 5.3. There is a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that the following assertion holds: If $\mathcal{R} = [0, N]^3$ is a cube with even side lengths (and thus slab tileable) then $|\mathrm{TTw}[\mathcal{R}]| \leq C_1 N^{12}$.

Proof. Given a pair of colors κ , we bound $|\operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa}[\mathcal{R}]|$. The transformed region $\mathcal{\tilde{R}}$ is contained in a cube of side N (see Figures 18 and 22 for an example of the transformed region for N = 4). Fix f a floor of $\mathcal{\tilde{R}}$, fix a column c of f, and use axes as in Figure 22. Consider dominoes with a square in c: there are d_y dominoes in the direction of the y-axis and d_z dominoes in the direction of the z-axis. The number of possible effects happening within c is at most $d_y d_z$. Since $d_y + d_z \leq N$, we have $d_y d_z \leq \frac{N^2}{4}$ by AM-GM inequality.

Now, varying c and then f, we see there are at most $\frac{N^4}{4}$ effects within $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$. Thus, for every tiling **t** we have $|\operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa}(\mathbf{t})| \leq \frac{N^4}{16}$. Since $N \geq 2$, we have

$$|\operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa}[\mathcal{R}]| \le \frac{N^4}{8} + 1 \le \frac{5}{32}N^4.$$



Figure 22: A typical floor after transforming a $4 \times 4 \times N$ box. It is bounded by the dotted 4×4 square. We show 3 dominoes on the central column. In this example, $d_y = d_z = 1$ and there is one effect.

Since the above estimate holds for κ_x , κ_y and κ_z , we obtain

$$|\mathrm{TTw}[\mathcal{R}]| \le \left(\frac{5}{32}\right)^3 N^{12} \le \frac{1}{2^8} N^{12}.$$

This completes the proof, with $C_1 = \frac{1}{2^8}$.

Lemma 5.4. Let $\mathcal{R} = [0, 6n] \times [0, 6n] \times [0, 8n]$ be a box. For any $u, v \in [-n^2, n^2] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ we construct a slab tiling $\mathbf{t}_{u,v}$ of \mathcal{R} such that $\mathrm{TTw}(\mathbf{t}_{u,v}) = (0, 0, 2uv)$.

Proof. Consider the subdivision of the $[0, 6n] \times [0, 6n] \times [0, 8n]$ box in parts α, β and γ as in Figure 23. We tile α with horizontal slabs. We can tile β and γ both as collections of $2n^2$ annuli as in Figure 24.

y			$\alpha \beta \alpha$	α		α	α
$\stackrel{\downarrow}{} x$	α	βα	γ	γ	β	γ	γ
			$\alpha \beta \alpha$	α		α	α

Figure 23: Floor diagram of subdivision of the $[0, 6n] \times [0, 6n] \times [0, 8n]$ box. There are four kinds of floors. Each of them appears 2n times. Each rectangle on each floor has dimensions either $6n \times 2n$, $2n \times 6n$ or $2n \times 2n$.

Consider the surfaces S_{β} and S_{γ} which are both squares of side 2n, depicted as red cuts in Figure 24. Look at S_{β} from the *y*-axis view. We regard each floor of S_{β} with only grey pieces (a grey floor) as a domino tiling of an annulus, and we consider the flux with respect to the red cut. The flux across S_{β} is the sum of the fluxes on all grey floors. The flux across S_{γ} is defined analogously. We see the fluxes across each of the surfaces S_{β} and S_{γ} are integers in the interval $[-n^2, n^2]$. We can choose the fluxes across S_{β} and S_{γ} to be equal to *u* and *v*, respectively. We call this tiling $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{t}_{u,v}$.



Figure 24: Example of β (y-axis view) and γ (x-axis view) on the $[0, 12] \times [0, 12] \times [0, 16]$ box. Note that the top left vertex of a floor on the upper (resp. lower) diagram is of the form (0, *, 0) (resp. (*, 0, 0)). The surfaces S_{β} and S_{γ} are depicted in red.

We now verify \mathbf{t} satisfies the desired properties. We will first see $\operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa_x}(\mathbf{t}) = \operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa_y}(\mathbf{t}) = 0$. Without loss, we prove the first case. Consider \mathbf{t}_{κ_x} and refer to Figure 14. After a sequence of flips, the slabs of α can be made horizontal. Slabs from β and γ from floors from (2n + 1)-th to (4n)-th, that is, where β passes through γ , are transformed into vertical dominoes in $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{\kappa_x}$. These vertical dominoes do not affect the ones from α . Now, for $1 \leq j \leq 2n$, the floors j and 6n + 1 - j in $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_{\kappa_x}$ are equal, except for the vertical dominoes, which have different colors on the floor diagram. This means the effects on floors j and 6n + 1 - j cancel. Thus, $\operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa_x}(\mathbf{t}) = 0$.

We now prove $\operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa_z}(\mathbf{t}) = \pm 2uv$. We will consider \mathbf{t} as a dimer covering \mathbf{t}^* on the graph \mathcal{R}^* whose vertices are centers of squares in $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ and edges join centers of adjacent squares. We draw \mathcal{R}^* on top of \mathcal{R} for ease of visualization. We put red dots on the squares of \mathcal{R} painted with good colors. We proceed to define surfaces \tilde{S}_{β} and \tilde{S}_{γ} as follows. From the z-axis view, look at the first floor of β which intersects S_{β} . Consider the red dots immediately above or below the red cut and draw a polygonal line joining them from left to right as in Figure 25. Then, \tilde{S}_{β} is a curtain with base this polygonal line and height 2n - 1. The definition of the surface \tilde{S}_{γ} is analogous: we now consider red dots to the left or right of the red cut, also in Figure 25.

We see that if we twist one annulus of β (resp γ) the flux across S_{β} (resp S_{γ}) of the domino tiling in Figure 24 changes by ± 1 . Thus, the flux across \tilde{S}_{β} (resp \tilde{S}_{γ}) changes by ± 2 . Note that translating the new surface cuts does not change the respective fluxes. We do not need to be careful with orientations and signs here. Also, $\operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa_z}(\mathbf{t})$ changes by $\pm 2v$ (resp $\pm 2u$).

To prove this, without loss, let \mathbf{t}_0 be \mathbf{t} after twisting one of the annuli of β . The difference $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_0 - \tilde{\mathbf{t}}$ has one non trivial cycle which bounds an oriented surface



Figure 25: Construction of the surfaces \tilde{S}_{β} (above) and \tilde{S}_{γ} (below) following the example in Figure 24. Both are z-views. The polygonal lines of \tilde{S}_{β} and \tilde{S}_{γ} are dotted and the surfaces S_{β} and S_{γ} are represented as full lines.

 \tilde{S} . We draw $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_0 - \tilde{\mathbf{t}}$ on top of \mathcal{R} as in Figure 26. Notice \tilde{S} is tangent to \tilde{S}_{γ} . To calculate $t = \operatorname{Tw}(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_0) - \operatorname{Tw}(\tilde{\mathbf{t}})$, we must count dominoes from $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}$ passing through S. These are exactly the ones which are part of an annulus in $\tilde{\gamma}$. By twisting one annulus from $\tilde{\gamma}$ we see t changes by ± 2 , hence $t = \pm 2v$ by induction.



Figure 26: Some floors of the $[0, 12] \times [0, 12] \times [0, 16]$ box. The surface \tilde{S} bounded by $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}_0 - \tilde{\mathbf{t}}$ is drawn as black polygonal lines. Full lines represent the first and last floors of this cycle. The first and last floors of two annuli from $\tilde{\gamma}$ are shown as purple polygonal lines. Full segments represent the actual dimers in the tiling.

Finally, we claim that if either flux across \tilde{S}_{β} or \tilde{S}_{γ} is equal to 0, we can take **t** to a tiling with only horizontal slabs, and thus with no twist. This means by twisting annuli of **t** to end in the above zero flux situation we end up proving $\operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa_z}(\mathbf{t}) = \pm 2uv$.

Without loss of generality we treat the first case of the above claim. Refer to Figure 27. If this holds, then we can flip the slabs in β so that all of them are horizontal. In particular, the slabs passing through γ 's hole are horizontal. Look at γ from the *x*-axis view. For every pair of consecutive floors of γ we can make all slabs horizontal by using the domino flip connectedness result for planar regions [4, 7].

Lemma 5.5. Let $\mathcal{R} = [0, 16n]^3$ be a box (which is slab tileable). We construct a slab tiling **t** of \mathcal{R} such that $\mathrm{TTw}(\mathbf{t})$ is any triple $t \in ([-2n^4, 2n^4] \cap 2\mathbb{Z})^3$.



Figure 27: We continue with the example of Figure 24. On the first row we make the slabs in β horizontal. On the second row we make the slabs in γ and its hole horizontal.

Proof. For numbers $u_{i,j}, v_{i,j} \in [-n^2, n^2]$, $1 \le i \le 2$, $1 \le j \le 3$ we can construct tilings $\mathbf{t}_{i,j}$ of the $[0, 6n] \times [0, 6n] \times [0, 8n]$ box such that $\mathrm{TTw}(\mathbf{t}_j) = 2u_{i,j}v_{i,j}e_j$ by Lemma 5.4 (here $e_j \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ is the *j*-th vector in the canonical base). After doing this, we divide the $[0, 16n]^3$ box in 8 cubes of side 8*n*. Each of these smaller cubes is tiled using one of the $\mathbf{t}_{i,j}$ on one corner, and horizontal slabs to fill the rest of the space. Two of the smaller cubes will receive only horizontal slabs. This new tiling is named \mathbf{t} .

Let $t = (t_1, t_2, t_3)$. Choose

$$u_{1,j} = n^2$$
, $v_{1,j} = \left\lfloor \frac{t_j}{2n^2} \right\rfloor$, $u_{2,j} = 1$, $v_{2,j} = n^2 \left\{ \frac{t_j}{2n^2} \right\}$.

Here, for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $s = \lfloor s \rfloor + \{s\}$ where $\lfloor s \rfloor \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{s\} \in [0, 1)$. We have $t_j = 2u_{1,j}v_{1,j} + 2u_{2,j}v_{2,j}$, as desired. Then, for each j, $\mathrm{TTw}(\mathbf{t}_{1,j} + \mathbf{t}_{2,j}) = t_j e_j \in ([-2n^4, 2n^4] \cap 2\mathbb{Z})^3$, where $\mathbf{t}_{1,j} + \mathbf{t}_{2,j}$ is the tiling of the two cubes containing $\mathbf{t}_{1,j}$ and $\mathbf{t}_{2,j}$. Summing the above for all $1 \leq j \leq 3$ yields $\mathrm{TTw}(\mathbf{t}) = (t_1, t_2, t_3)$.

Lemma 5.6. There is a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that the following assertion holds: If $\mathcal{R} = [0, N]^3$, $N \ge 256$, is a cube with even side lengths (and thus slab tileable) then $|\mathrm{TTw}[\mathcal{R}]| \ge C_0 N^{12}$.

Proof. Write N = 16M + 2k for some $0 \le k \le 7$. We can divide \mathcal{R} into two parts \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 as in Figure 28. For a given $v \in ([-2M^4, 2M^4] \cap 2\mathbb{Z})^3$, we tile \mathcal{R}_1 with a tiling \mathbf{t}_1 having $\mathrm{TTw}(\mathbf{t}_1) = v$ and we tile \mathcal{R}_2 with horizontal slabs.

Then, $|\text{TTw}[\mathcal{R}]| \ge (2M^4)^3 = 8M^{12} = 8(\frac{N-2k}{16})^{12} = c(N-2k)^{12}$, where $c = \frac{1}{2^{45}}$. We seek C > 0 such that $(N-2k)^{12} \ge CN^{12}$ holds. This happens if and only if $C \le (1-\frac{2k}{N})^{12}$. By minimizing the RHS with the constraints $N \ge 256$ and $k \le 7$, we can take $C = \frac{1}{2} \le (1-\frac{14}{256})^{12}$. Finally, we can take $C_0 = cC = \frac{1}{2^{46}}$. \Box

We summarize the previous lemmas in the proposition below.



Figure 28: Diagram of subdivision of \mathcal{R} . There is one kind of floor. It comprises one $16M \times 16M$ square on the lower left corner, \mathcal{R}_1 , and its complement, \mathcal{R}_2 .

Proposition 5.7. For $C_1 = \frac{1}{2^8}$ and $C_0 = \frac{1}{2^{46}}$, the following assertion holds: If $N \ge 256$ is an even integer, then

$$C_0 N^{12} \le |\mathrm{TTw}[[0, N]^3]| \le C_1 N^{12}.$$

Proof. We take C_0 and C_1 as in the proofs of Lemmas 5.3 and 5.6.

The flip is a natural local move. For domino tilings, there is another important local move besides the flip: the trit ([3]). The trit allows us to move between flip connected components. It is conjectured that any two tilings of a box can be connected by a finite sequence of flips and trits. It is known for large classes of boxes that this holds for *almost all* tilings [5]. It is also known that any tiling of a box admits at least one flip or trit [2]. In the case of slab tilings, however, there is no finite set of local moves with similar properties.

Proposition 5.8. Consider the region $\mathcal{R} = [0, 2k] \times [0, 2k] \times [0, 5l]$, $k \geq 3$, $l \geq 1$ and a slab tiling **t** following the pattern in Figure 20. Now consider $\mathcal{R}_0 = [0, 2(k-1)] \times [0, 2(k-1)] \times [0, 5l]$. In \mathcal{R} , there is only one way of removing and placing back the slabs which are contained in the interior of \mathcal{R}_0 .

Proof. Refer to Figure 29. We proceed by induction on k. We show the inductive process for five consecutive floors. The slab numbered 1 is clearly stuck since it is "sandwiched" between two other slabs. Using this argument, on the first three floors, we proceed to deduce the positions of slabs which intersect the columns (in the floor diagram) between the dotted and red lines. In the figure, this corresponds to the slabs from 1 to 8. We repeat this, but now for slabs intersecting the rows between the dotted and red lines. This correspond to slabs from 9 to 14.

Now, for the last two floors, we first deduce the slabs intersecting the rows between the dotted and red lines (15 to 19) and then we deduce the slabs intersecting the columns between the dotted and red lines (20 to 22). We then apply the inductive step on the box bounded by the dotted curve. \Box



Figure 29: We again use the tiling from Figure 21. The region \mathcal{R}_0 is bounded by the red curve. The region $\mathcal{R}_0 = [0, 2(k-2)] \times [0, 2(k-2)] \times [0, 5l]$ is bounded by the dotted curve.

6 Final remarks

There are many aspects of the theory of slab tilings that we seek to understand better. We list a few.

The first is that in proposition 5.7, the bounding constants were not optimised and thus are far from being sharp.

The second is that we would like to study the flip connected components in more classes of examples, not just the ones in proposition 5.2. For example, we do not know what happens in boxes of height 3, but we guess there is only one component.

The third is regarding probabilistic results such as the ones for domino tilings in [6]. For example, for slab tilings we would like to know the distribution for the sizes of connected components of large regions.

The fourth is regarding other moves besides the flip. In the domino case, there is also the trit, and the question on whether all tilings of a given region are connected by flips and trits is open. In the slab case, the existence of a set of local moves which connect all or most tilings of a given region is hindered by Proposition 5.8. In the example of Figure 21, however, it is possible to perform moves involving a quantity of pieces proportional to the lengths of the sides of the box. It can also be asked how common is a situation comparable to Proposition 5.8. It would be interesting to clarify the situation in greater generality.

Also, one property observed in the examples of slab tilings we studied so far concerns the parity of the entries of the triple twist. Our final and main conjecture is the following.

Conjecture 6.1. Let \mathcal{R} be a region tileable by slabs. Then there exist $t_x, t_y, t_z \in \{0,1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ with the following property: For every slab tiling **t** of \mathcal{R} , the integers

 $\operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa_x}(\mathbf{t}) - t_x, \quad \operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa_y}(\mathbf{t}) - t_y, \quad \operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa_z}(\mathbf{t}) - t_z$

are all even. If \mathcal{R} is a box then $t_x = t_y = t_z = 0$.

Figure 30 shows a region for which the conjecture holds with $t_z = 1$.



Figure 30: A tiling **t** with $\operatorname{Tw}_{\kappa_z}(\mathbf{t}) = \pm 1$.

References

- J. Freire, C. J. Klivans, P. H. Milet and N. C. Saldanha, On the connectivity of spaces of three-dimensional tilings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 375, 1579– 1605, 2022.
- [2] Ivailo Hartarsky, Lyuben Lichev, Fabio Toninelli, Local dimer dynamics in higher dimensions, *arXiv:2304.10930*.
- [3] Pedro H Milet and Nicolau C Saldanha. Domino tilings of three-dimensional regions: flips and twists. *arXiv:1410.7693*.
- [4] Nicolau C Saldanha, Carlos Tomei, Mario A Casarin Jr, and Domingos Romualdo. Spaces of domino tilings. Discrete & Computational Geometry, 14(1):207–233, 1995.
- [5] Nicolau C Saldanha. Domino tilings of cylinders: the domino group and connected components under flips. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 71 No. 3, 965– 1002, 2022.
- [6] Nicolau C Saldanha. Domino tilings of cylinders: connected components under flips and normal distribution of the twist. Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, Volume 28, Issue 1, P1.28, 2021
- [7] William P. Thurston. Conway's Tiling Groups. The American Mathematical Monthly, 97(8):pp. 757–773, 1990.
- [8] Arthur M M Vieira. Coberturas por dominós em dimensão 2, 3 e maior. Notes, 2023. https://www.puc-rio.br/ensinopesq/ ccpg/pibic/relatorio_resumo2023/download/relatorios/CTC/MAT/ CTC-MAT-Rel000028-002573-00-00.pdf

Departamento de Matemática, PUC-Rio

Rua Marquês de São Vicente, 225, Rio de Janeiro, RJ 22451-900, Brazil gelyuyguel1@hotmail.com saldanha@puc-rio.br arthurmmv2003@gmail.com