

Inherently dissipative normal currents during thermodynamic changes of state in superconductors: Joule heating vs. magnetocaloric cooling

Andreas Schilling*

Dept. of Physics, University of Zürich, 8057 Zürich, Switzerland

A thermodynamic change of state in the Meissner phase of a superconductor at finite temperature, such as the cooling or the heating it in a constant external magnetic field, inevitably generates normal currents of unpaired electrons. These currents are induced by the time-dependent variations in the local magnetic-flux density associated with the imposed change of state. They may not only lead to certain deviations of the magnetic-field distribution from textbook Meissner profiles, but also cause dissipative Joule heating, which appears to be at odds with the expected reversibility in a truly thermodynamic superconducting state. We show that these normal currents also produce a magnetocaloric cooling, which in total instantaneously and precisely compensates for the dissipated heat, thus ensuring overall energy conservation and reversibility. However, the time-dependent Joule heating and magnetocaloric cooling processes are spatially distinct and therefore must result in small temperature inhomogeneities that will only fade away towards thermodynamic equilibrium after the thermodynamic change of state has halted.

Introduction

The Meissner effect in superconductors has been discovered almost a century ago [1]. According to this effect, an external magnetic field can be expelled by superconducting shielding currents, which have later been shown to be formed by Cooper pairs [2]. There is a consensus that at temperatures close to the transition temperature, some of these pairs break up and thereby create un-paired quasiparticles, so that part of the electronic system can then be regarded to behave as normal conducting. This is a central assumption of the well-known "two-fluid model" [3]. By applying an external alternating magnetic field, corresponding "normal currents" can be induced which are subject to dissipation (i.e., the generation of Joule heat), a process that is also undisputed and well-studied [4]. Nevertheless, a fundamental aspect has been largely overlooked: such normal currents can also be triggered by induction even in the absence of an external electromagnetic excitation, namely simply by changing the temperature in the superconducting state, since the local magnetic field also varies over time with the temperature. In addition to the so far unexplored potential implications for the magnetic-field distribution in the Meissner phase, this raises a fundamental question: how can the existence

of dissipative currents be reconciled with the thermodynamic state of superconductivity and with the reversibility of associated thermodynamic changes of state?

To elucidate the underlying principles at play, we consider the distribution of the local magnetic field $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})$ in the Meissner state of a superconductor that can be obtained by solving the equation

$$-\Delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})}{\lambda^2} = 0, \quad (1a)$$

as it was originally derived from the second London equation in the static limit [5], with the solutions adapted to the required boundary conditions. The quantity λ represents an effective magnetic penetration depth, which is only in the clean limit and for $\lambda_L \gg \xi$ (where λ_L is the London penetration and ξ the coherence length) identical to λ_L associated with the density n_s of superconducting charge carriers. In the opposite limit $\lambda_L < \xi$ and in the dirty limit, λ is better described by expressions provided by Pippard, which take into account non-local corrections and impurities [6] and lead to $\lambda > \lambda_L$, but the general form of Eq. (1a) remains unchanged. With increasing temperature T towards the critical temperature T_c , n_s decreases due to the thermal breaking of Cooper pairs, leading to a rapid divergence of $\lambda(T)$ in all cases. Therefore, at finite T below the critical temperature, the electronic system can be thought to be composed of a superconducting (n_s) and a normal conducting (n_n) component, which add up to the total density n of charge carriers. This concept has been very successful in describing the superconducting state [3], especially for alternating currents and magnetic fields [4], with n_n often approximated by $n_n \approx n(T/T_c)^\alpha$ and $\alpha = 4$.

However, if we allow for a time dependence of $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and of the associated current density $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ related to \mathbf{B} , Eq. (1a) must be modified [7-9]. The total current density (\mathbf{j}) can then be thought of as being split into a supercurrent (\mathbf{j}_s) and a normal current (\mathbf{j}_n) associated with the respective charge carriers, the latter typically being present only upon an external stimulus. The curl operation applied to the quasistatic version of the Maxwell equation $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{j} = \mu_0(\mathbf{j}_s + \mathbf{j}_n)$ (valid for $\mathbf{B}(\omega)$ frequencies $\omega \ll c/R$, where R is the size of the superconducting body and c the speed of light) [10]), together with Ohm's law $\mathbf{j}_n = \sigma \mathbf{E}$ with the electrical conductivity σ , and the London equation $\mathbf{j}_s = -\mathbf{A}/(\mu_0 \lambda^2)$ (where λ replaces λ_L , and \mathbf{A} being the vector potential in the London gauge with $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$), leads to a time-dependent version of Eq. (1a) [7-9],

$$-\Delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \frac{\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\lambda^2} = \mu_0 \nabla \times \mathbf{j}_n(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mu_0 \nabla \times \sigma \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\mu_0 \sigma \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t}. \quad (1b)$$

It is assumed here that the electric field $\mathbf{E} = -\partial\mathbf{A}/\partial t$ affects \mathbf{j}_n according to Ohm's law, while its influence on \mathbf{j}_s is described by the London equation through its proportionality to $-\mathbf{A}$. If the variation of \mathbf{B} with time is sufficiently slow in a quasistatic limit, σ can be regarded as a real, frequency independent quantity and may near T_c be assumed to be of the order of the normal-state conductivity, but it can still vary with temperature. The choice of the actual value of σ does not change the main qualitative conclusions of this letter, however. Eq. (1b) has already been successfully used to solve several time-dependent problems [11-13]. If we now allow for thermodynamic changes of state in the Meissner phase, such as the slow cooling or heating in a constant external magnetic field \mathbf{H}_0 , the $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ becomes time-dependent due to the temperature (and therefore time-) dependence of $\lambda(T)$. Consequently, the magnetic-field distribution must obey an equation like Eq. (1b) and can therefore deviate from the static London-like profile given by Eq. (1a). In addition, the normal currents generated inevitably lead to irreversible dissipation, no matter how slow the changes of state are. Legitimate considerations have therefore been made about the reversibility in a truly thermodynamic superconducting state as treated in generally accepted models of superconductivity [14-16]. In the following, we discuss all these issues and show that the Joule heating is exactly compensated by the magnetocaloric cooling produced by the very same normal currents. However, the Joule heating profile is spatially different from the distribution of the magnetocaloric cooling power, leading to small non-equilibrium temperature gradients during such changes of state.

Influence of \mathbf{j}_n on the Meissner profile

We first consider the influence of the presence of normal currents \mathbf{j}_n on the magnetic-field distribution in the Meissner state. To obtain estimates with realistic numbers, we assume at first the validity of Eq. (1b) with a constant σ , and that we are dealing with an idealized type-I superconductor in the shape of an infinitely long cylinder with radius R , and \mathbf{H}_0 directed along the cylinder axis $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$. We have chosen material parameters similar to those of an existing superconductor (niobium), with $T_c = 9$ K [17], an effective penetration depth $\lambda_0 = 39$ nm at $T = 0$ [18] with a two-fluid-like temperature dependence, $\lambda(T)^{-2} = \lambda_0^{-2}(1 - [T/T_c]^4)$, and $R = 6\lambda_0$. Field cooling it in a $B_0 = \mu_0 H_0$ well below the critical field B_c at a cooling rate $dT/dt = -0.05$ K/s across the critical temperature and assuming a realistic electrical conductivity $\sigma = 1.8 \times 10^9$ ($\Omega\text{m})^{-1}$ near T_c [19], the $B_z(r, t)/B_0$ as obtained by numerically solving Eq. (1b) [20] is virtually indistinguishable from the corresponding static solution given by Eq. (1a) and plotted in Fig.

1(a), however. Pronounced deviations would occur only in a regime where j_n becomes comparable to the order of magnitude of j_s . The dimensionless, T -independent parameter $\epsilon = (\mu_0 \sigma \lambda(0)^2 / T_c)(dT/dt)$ is related to the ratio of the leading prefactors of $j_n(r)$ and $j_s(r)$ as derived from the respective analytical solution of Eq. (1a) [20]. In our model superconductor, $\epsilon \approx 2 \times 10^{-14}$ only. Therefore, we have also tentatively assumed extremely high hypothetical σ values between $3.8 \times 10^{20} (\Omega\text{m})^{-1}$ ($\epsilon \approx 0.004$) and $3.8 \times 10^{23} (\Omega\text{m})^{-1}$ ($\epsilon \approx 4$) and plotted the resulting $B_z(r, t)/B_0$ in Figs. 1(b)-1(f) for comparison. However, the crossover criterion $\epsilon \approx 1$ in Fig. 1(d) is by many orders of magnitudes unreachable for existing superconductors under realistic experimental field-cooling or warming conditions, except perhaps in an extremely narrow region around the critical temperature. We conclude from this preliminary consideration that the solutions of Eq. (1a), provided in analytical form in [20], are still an excellent basis for the quantitative modelling of slowly varying magnetic fields and currents in real superconducting systems, which we will pursue in the following.

Thermal effects of \mathbf{j}_n : introductory outlook

We now focus on the thermal effects of the normal currents \mathbf{j}_n which must generate Joule heat during such thermodynamic changes of state and address the fundamental question about the compatibility of simultaneous heat production and reversibility in the thermodynamic superconducting state. We can illustrate with a simple thought experiment that the underlying puzzle is very general and goes beyond the topic of superconductivity and its explanatory models. We place a paramagnet with a temperature-dependent, reversible magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$ at a temperature T_0 into a constant external magnetic field \mathbf{H}_0 . Upon removing (cooling) and subsequently adding (heating) the same amount of heat, the material must eventually reach the same initial thermodynamic state, i.e. the same temperature T_0 . Repeating this experiment, but now with a normal conducting resistive pick-up coil around the paramagnet in a closed electrical circuit, an electromotive force will be generated in the coil due to the varying magnetic flux as a consequence of the temperature and time-dependent magnetization $\mathbf{M}(T) = \chi(T)\mathbf{H}_0$, and Joule heat will be generated in the resistive coil circuit both upon cooling and heating. Such a closed thermal cycle should therefore repeatedly generate heat or even electromechanical work. Similar cycles have already been studied and tested in so-called thermomagnetic generators for the extraction of energy from thermal cycles [21], but they have not yet found widespread commercial application, probably due to the rather limited thermodynamic efficiency. We at first develop the underlying thermodynamics, cross

check it with the result from the perspective of electrodynamics, and briefly apply it to the above example. We then generalize it to study the consequences for thermodynamic changes of state in the Meissner phase of superconductors.

Energy balance for general screening currents

We consider the changes du in the local internal energy density u of any reversibly magnetized material with magnetization \mathbf{M} in a magnetic field \mathbf{H} upon variations of the entropy density s and the magnetic induction $\mathbf{B} = \mu_0(\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{M})$ in terms of the first law of thermodynamics,

$$du = Tds + \mathbf{H}d\mathbf{B}. \quad (2)$$

By using $\mathbf{H}d\mathbf{B}$ (instead of $\mathbf{H}d\mathbf{M}$), we are including in u all contributions related to the magnetic field, i.e., also the magnetic energy density of empty space. To identify the relevant mechanism, we first assume complete thermal insulation of the material from the environment in an adiabatic process, $ds = 0$, so that $du = \mathbf{H}d\mathbf{B}$. If any time-dependent variation of $\mathbf{B}(t)$ induces extended screening currents $\mathbf{j}_{sc}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ in a closed conducting path, these currents generate an additional "screening field" $\mathbf{H}_{sc}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ related to \mathbf{j}_{sc} via the Maxwell equation $\nabla \times \mathbf{H}_{sc} = \mathbf{j}_{sc}$. The total local magnetic field $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ can then be interpreted as the sum of the external magnetic field $\mathbf{H}_0(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $\mathbf{H}_{sc}(\mathbf{r}, t)$. The additional change du_{sc} in the internal energy density is $du_{sc} = \mathbf{H}_{sc}d\mathbf{B}$. For a varying $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ we can therefore assign an associated local power density p_{sc} to account for changes of u_{sc} with time,

$$p_{sc}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\partial u_{sc}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{H}_{sc}(\mathbf{r}, t) \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t}. \quad (3)$$

It includes the contributions of both the potentially time-dependent $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $\mathbf{H}_0(\mathbf{r}, t)$. An alternative derivation of Eq. (3) can be made from electrodynamics using Poynting's theorem $\partial u_{sc}/\partial t = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{j}_{sc} \cdot \mathbf{E}$ [22], applied here specifically to the present problem. With the Poynting vector $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}_{sc}$ and the vector identity $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S} = (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) \cdot \mathbf{H}_{sc} - (\nabla \times \mathbf{H}_{sc}) \cdot \mathbf{E}$, it yields the same result with $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\partial \mathbf{B}/\partial t$ and $\nabla \times \mathbf{H}_{sc} = \mathbf{j}_{sc}$. To properly account for energy conservation, the power density p_{sc} in Eq. (3) should be considered along with all other sources of power, such as internal Joule heat production (if present), or an externally provided heating or cooling power to achieve non-adiabatic reversible temperature changes in an experiment.

We now allow for such a controlled temperature change at a rate dT/dt in a constant and uniform \mathbf{H}_0 . A non-zero $\partial \mathbf{B}/\partial t$ then originates only from the varying $\partial \mathbf{M}/\partial t =$

$(\partial \mathbf{M}/\partial T)(dT/dt)$. The Lenz's law dictates that for any combination of signs of dT/dt and $\partial \mathbf{M}/\partial T$, the $\partial \mathbf{B}/\partial t$ and the \mathbf{H}_{sc} which is produced by the induced currents always have opposite signs, so that strictly $p_{sc} < 0$ [20], and we may identify this energy withdrawal from the magnetized material by the currents as a magnetocaloric-cooling process [23-26]. In a thermomagnetic generator operating on this principle in a closed thermal cycle [21], an external surplus of heat would have to be supplied to compensate for the magnetocaloric heat extracted during a cycle and converted into electrical energy by the induced currents.

Before analyzing p_{sc} more generally, we briefly reconsider the case of a long cylinder with cross section A , height h , \mathbf{H}_0 along the cylinder axis and filled with a substance having a T -dependent $\chi(T)$, with a closed wire coil circuit with N windings and Ohmic resistance R_Ω tightly wound around it. As $T(t)$ changes with time, the coil picks up the electromotive force $V_{EMF} = NA(\partial B/\partial t) = NA\mu_0(\partial M/\partial T)(dT/dt)$ and generates the Joule heating power $P_J = V_{EMF}^2/R_\Omega$ with the screening current $I_{sc} = V_{EMF}/R_\Omega$. This current produces an $\mathbf{H}_{sc} = NI_{sc}/h$ in the cylinder volume, and, according to Eq. (3), a total magnetocaloric cooling power $P_{sc} = Ahp_{sc} = -[NA\mu_0(\partial M/\partial T)(dT/dt)]^2/R = -P_J$. While the two contributions cancel exactly, thereby accounting for energy conservation, the heat distribution is clearly spatially inhomogeneous because the Joule heat is generated in the surrounding coil, while the cooling occurs deep in the magnetized volume, and thermal equilibrium can only be approached after the change in temperature $T(t)$ has stopped.

Keeping all these insights in mind, we may now assume a very general extended spatial distribution of screening currents $\mathbf{j}_{sc}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ that are induced by a given time-dependent $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ of any origin and verify that $P_{sc} = -P_J$ is valid in general. The quantities are related to each other by the Maxwell equation $-(\partial \mathbf{B}/\partial t) = \nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and Ohm's law $\mathbf{j}_{sc}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sigma(\mathbf{r})\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$. If we restrict ourselves to an axially symmetric case of a long cylinder with radius R and with all magnetic fields directed along the cylinder axis $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$, we can use cylindrical coordinates and focus on the respective components $B = B_z$, $j_{sc} = j_{sc,\varphi}$, $E = E_\varphi$, and $\partial[rj_{sc}(r, t)]/\partial r = -r\sigma(\partial B/\partial t)$ assuming a homogeneous constant σ (the case of a varying $\sigma(r)$ is treated in [20]). The local Joule heating power density is

$$p_J(r, t) = j_{sc}(r, t)^2/\sigma. \quad (4)$$

Together with $\mathbf{H}_{sc}(r, t) = \int_r^R j_{sc}(r', t)dr'$, the local power density p_{sc} becomes with Eq. (3)

$$p_{sc}(r, t) = (-\partial[rj_{sc}(r, t)]/\partial r) \int_r^R j_{sc}(r', t)dr'/(r\sigma). \quad (5)$$

Obviously, the $p_J(r, t)$ and $-p_{sc}(r, t)$, expressed by $j_{sc}(r, t)$, are not equal, so that the Joule heating profile is always different from the distribution of $p_{sc}(r, t)$ during the process. However, we show in the Supplemental Material [20] by integrating $p_J(r, t)$ and $p_{sc}(r, t)$ that the corresponding quantities $P_J(t)$ and $P_{sc}(t)$, summed up over the cross section A and along with it over the whole volume of the cylinder, exactly cancel out at any time t , thus ensuring global energy conservation. These arguments and Eq. (3) are not restricted to any class of materials, not even to the mere presence of materials. If $\partial\mathbf{B}/\partial t$ stems from a substance with non-zero $\partial\mathbf{M}/\partial t$ in a constant \mathbf{H}_0 , $p_{sc}(r, t)$ and $P_{sc}(t)$ correspond to the local and total magnetocaloric cooling powers, respectively, leading to a real local cooling of the material. In the opposite limit of $\partial\mathbf{B}/\partial t$ related to an external alternating magnetic field $\partial\mathbf{H}_0/\partial t$ only and in the absence of magnetized matter, they represent the local and total energy withdrawal from the electromagnetic field by the induced currents. This would be the case, for example, for an empty pick-up coil in a closed resistive circuit and drawing energy from the varying $\mathbf{B}(t)$.

Thermal effects of \mathbf{j}_n in a superconductor

We now return to the case of a superconductor in the Meissner state in the same cylinder geometry. Neglecting all extrinsic effects, the role of $\mathbf{j}_{sc}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ induced by $\partial\mathbf{B}/\partial t$ is taken by the normal current $\mathbf{j}_n(\mathbf{r}, t)$ in the spirit of Eq. (1b), which represents both the source of Joule heating and magnetocaloric cooling according to Eqs. (4) and (5). If we have exact solutions of Eq. (1b) for $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ which may differ from those of (1a), or of any other conceivable equation that describes the Meissner effect but also leads to the generation of normal currents, the same formalism and conclusions from above apply without restriction. To obtain concrete numbers, however, we provide in the Supplemental Material [20] explicit formulae for $p_J(r, t)$ and $p_{sc}(r, t)$ in the realistic limit $\epsilon \ll 1$, where not only $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})$ but also all relevant current densities are virtually indistinguishable from the corresponding static solutions associated with Eq. (1a). In Figs. 2(a)-2(d), we show the resulting $p_J(r, t)$ and $p_{sc}(r, t)$ for various experimental parameters close to T_c . The regions of pronounced local heat generation where the normal currents $\mathbf{j}_n(\mathbf{r}, t)$ are strongest are always closer to the edge of the cylinder than the zones where the cooling power dominates. We illustrate this also in Fig. 2(e), where the relevant length scales are plotted in dimensionless units and neither depend on the choice of material parameters nor on a particular temperature protocol. For small particles or close to T_c where $R/\lambda(T)$ is small, the heated zone with $p_J > p_{sc}$ covers a significant area of the cross section,

while it is squeezed towards the edge with increasing $R/\lambda(T)$, i.e., for large sample dimensions or lower temperatures, respectively. The powers P_J and P_{sc} , integrated over the cylinder cross section, strongly increase towards T_c with $(\partial\lambda/\partial T)^2$ and with increasing cylinder radius R as illustrated in Fig. 2(f), for which we again used our model parameters. Very near T_c , P_J is expected to grow rapidly as R^8 [20].

As the heated and the cooled regions are spatially separated, certain temperature gradients must develop. According to our estimates and numeric simulations of the heat-diffusion equation $\partial T(\mathbf{r}, t)/\partial t - \kappa \Delta T(\mathbf{r}, t)/C = p(\mathbf{r}, t)/C$ with $p(\mathbf{r}, t) = p_J(\mathbf{r}, t) + p_{sc}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ [20], using a thermal conductivity $\kappa \approx 400$ W/(Km) [27] and a heat capacity per volume $C \approx 2.7 \times 10^4$ J/(Km³) [28], such gradients are small during a slow field-cooling process, i.e., in the μ K range immediately below T_c and even less below. Nevertheless, they might be accessible in precise ac calorimetry-type experiments near T_c [29,30] since both p_J and p_{sc} are proportional to $(dT/dt)^2$ [20], and the dT/dt of corresponding temperature oscillations around a mean temperature can be made substantially larger in such experiments.

Because p_J is largest near T_c where the heated regions near the circumference of the superconductor expand towards the center due to the diverging $\lambda(T)$ as we have shown it in Figs. 2 and particularly in Fig 2(e), n_s must become depleted or even suppressed in such a heated edge region close enough to T_c . Therefore, when the superconductor is cooled from the normal state, superconductivity is expected to develop initially in the center region with an effective radius R' since P_J increases strongly with increasing R , and will be only possible for an $R' < R$. It should then spread very rapidly to the bulk as the temperature is further reduced, while the reverse scenario must take place as the superconductor is heated. However, as the temperature window for such a process is extremely narrow (i.e. of the order of a few μ K according to our estimates), it may be challenging to provide experimental evidence for it, because an almost inevitable broadening of the transition due to geometry, material-related issues and intrinsic fluctuation phenomena may to some extent obscure a related effect.

Conclusions

In conclusion, our results show that the presence of unpaired electrons in a superconductor, as modelled, e.g., by the classical two-fluid model, can lead to deviations of the magnetic field distribution from the textbook Meissner profile during dynamic changes of state. This is due to the presence of associated normal currents that are induced by the variations in the magnetic-flux density. While such deviations are probably too small to be observed in real materials,

these normal currents also generate Joule heating and, at the same time, magnetocaloric cooling in spatially distinct regions of the superconductor, while always maintaining the overall energy balance and, ultimately, ensuring reversibility. In principle, these effects should lead to the formation of small temperature inhomogeneities. To obtain meaningful estimates, we have quantitatively analyzed the results for a hypothetical cylindrical type-I superconductor with material parameters similar to those of niobium, suggesting that the associated thermal effects might indeed be detectable in a dedicated experiment performed very close to the critical temperature. Even if other reasonable material parameters were chosen, or an alternative equation to Eq. (1b) were used to describe the Meissner effect while still predicting the generation of normal currents, the numerical details would vary, but the main features and conclusions of this letter should remain unchanged and be analogously applicable to arbitrary geometries. Whether the energy balance discussed here has implications for other areas of research on superconductors where induced normal currents play a role remains to be seen.

Acknowledgments We thank to S.M. Anlage for useful comments and to A. Drake for preparing graphic animations.

* andreas.schilling@physik.uzh.ch

References

- [1] W. Meissner and R. Ochsenfeld, Ein neuer Effekt bei Eintritt der Supraleitfähigkeit, *Naturwissenschaften* **21**, 787 (1933).
- [2] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrieffer, Theory of Superconductivity, *Phys. Rev.* **108**, 1175 (1957).
- [3] J. Bardeen, Two-Fluid Model of Superconductivity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1**, 399 (1958).
- [4] for a review, see S.M. Anlage, Microwave Superconductivity, *IEEE J. of microwaves* **1**, 389 (2021).
- [5] F. London and H. London, The Electromagnetic Equations of the Supraconductor, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A*: **149**, 71 (1935).
- [6] A.B. Pippard, An experimental and theoretical study of the relation between magnetic field and current in a superconductor, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A* **216**, 547 (1953).
- [7] L.P. Gor'kov and N.B. Kopnin, Vortex motion and resistivity of type-II superconductors in a magnetic field, *Sov. Phys.-Usp.* **18**, 496 (1976).
- [8] T.F. Refai and A.G. Saif, Electromagnetic Fields and Power Losses for Slabs, *Phys. Stat. Sol. (b)* **166**, 201 (1991).
- [9] V.G. Kogan, Time-dependent London approach: Dissipation due to out-of-core normal excitations by moving vortices, *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 094510 (2018).
- [10] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Electrodynamics of Continuous Media*, Pergamon, New York, (1984), ch7.
- [11] V.G. Kogan and R. Prozorov, Anisotropic time-dependent London approach: Application to the ac response in the Meissner state, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 184514 (2020).
- [12] V.G. Kogan and M. Ichioka, Vortex Cores in Narrow Thin-Film Strips, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **89**, 094711 (2020).
- [13] V.G. Kogan and N. Nakagawa, Dissipation of moving vortices in thin films, *Phys. Rev. B* **105**, L020507 (2022).
- [14] J.E. Hirsch, Momentum of superconducting electrons and the explanation of the Meissner effect, *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 014503 (2017).
- [15] A. Nikulov, The Law of Entropy Increase and the Meissner Effect, *Entropy* **24**, 83 (2022).
- [16] H. Koizumi, Reversible superconducting-normal phase transition in a magnetic field: The energy-momentum balance including the velocity field of the Berry connection from many-body wave functions, *Phys. Scr.* **99**, 015952 (2024).
- [17] R.A. French, Intrinsic Type-2 superconductivity in pure niobium, *Cryogenics* **8**, 301 (1968).
- [18] B.W. Maxfield and W.L. McLean, Superconducting Penetration Depth of Niobium, *Phys. Rev.* **139**, A 1515 (1965).
- [19] For consistency reasons, σ was estimated using the Wiedemann-Franz law $\sigma = \kappa/(LT)$, with $L = 2.44 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W}\Omega\text{K}^{-2}$ from the thermal conductivity $\kappa \approx 400 \text{ W}/(\text{Km})$ given in Ref. [27] at $T_c = 9 \text{ K}$.
- [20] See Supplemental Material for methods, proofs and derivations of the relations used in the main text.

- [21] For a review, see: D. Dzekan, A. Waske, K. Nielsch, and S. Fähler, Efficient and affordable thermomagnetic materials for harvesting low grade waste heat, *APL Mater.* **9**, 011105 (2021), and the references therein.
- [22] J.H. Poynting, On the Transfer of Energy in the Electromagnetic Field, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London* **175**, 343 (1884).
- [23] E. Warburg, Magnetische Untersuchungen. Ueber einige Wirkungen der Coërcitivkraft, *Ann. Phys.* **249**, 141 (1881).
- [24] P. Weiss and A. Piccard, Le phénomène magnétocalorique, *J. Phys.*, 5th Ser. **7**, **103** (1917).
- [25] P. Debye, Einige Bemerkungen zur Magnetisierung bei tiefer Temperatur, *Ann. Phys.* **386**, 1154 (1926).
- [26] W.F. Giaque, A Thermodynamic Treatment of Certain Magnetic Effects. A Proposed Method of Producing Temperatures Considerably Below 1° Absolute, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **49**, 1864 (1927).
- [27] F.Koechlin, and B.Bonin, Parametrisation of the niobium thermal conductivity in the superconducting state, RF superconductivity, *Proceedings, 7th Workshop*, **665** (1996).
- [28] C. Chou, D. White, and H.L Johnston, Heat capacity in the normal and superconducting states and critical field of niobium, *Phys. Rev.* **109**, 788 (1958).
- [29] P.F. Sullivan and G. Seidel, Steady-State, ac-Temperature Calorimetry, *Phys. Rev.* **173**, 679 (1968).
- [30] For a review, see E. Gmelin, Classical temperature-modulated calorimetry: A review, *Thermochimica Acta* **304/305**, 1 (1997).

Figures




Fig. 1: Magnetic-field distributions $B(r, t)/B_0$ as obtained by numerically solving Eq. (1b) for a type-I superconducting cylinder with radius $R = 6\lambda_0 = 234$ nm under field-cooling conditions with $dT/dt = -0.05$ K/s (see text), at times $t = 10$ s, 20 s, 45 s and 180 s, respectively, after entering the superconducting state at $T_c = 9$ K. For the chosen model superconductor, $B(r, t)/B_0$ with $\epsilon \approx 2 \times 10^{-14}$ is indistinguishable from the static Meissner profile, $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, Fig. 1(a). The parameter ϵ is a measure for the relative strength of the normal currents j_n due to unpaired electrons with respect to the supercurrent density j_s . Figs. 1(b)-1(e) show corresponding data for ϵ around unity. The Fig. 1(f) is an expanded view of the data near the edge of the cylinder for $t = 10$ s. All hypothetical dynamic profiles for $\epsilon > 0$ would relax to the corresponding static Meissner profiles ($\epsilon = 0$) on a time scale $\mu_0\sigma\lambda^2$ [9] proportional to ϵ after a thermodynamic change of state has stopped.




Fig. 2: (a)-(d): Local power densities $p_J(r, t)$, $p_{sc}(r, t)$ and $p_{tot}(r, t) = p_J(r, t) + p_{sc}(r, t)$ for a type-I superconductor with $\epsilon \ll 1$, and $R = 600 \lambda_0$ (23.4 μm) and $3000 \lambda_0$ (117 μm), respectively, each at $t = 20$ ms ($T_c - T = 1$ mK) and 2 ms ($T_c - T = 0.1$ mK) after entering the superconducting state with $dT/dt = -0.05$ K/s in $\mu_0 H_0 = 0.1$ T. The respective temperature-dependent magnetic penetration depths $\lambda(T)$ are indicated with arrows. In Fig. 2(c), we have marked the positions $r_{p,max}$, $r_{p=0}$, and $r_{p,min}$, where the total local power density p_{tot} reaches its maximum, zero, or minimum value, respectively. These values are shown in Fig. 2(e) in dimensionless units as functions of $R/\lambda(T)$. In all these figures, the light red and light blue coloring schemes symbolize local heating and local cooling, respectively. Fig. 2(f) shows the integrated power $P_J(t)$ per unit length of the cylinder as a function of R close to the critical temperature, with an R^8 dependence as indicated by the straight dashed line. The inset illustrates the strong variation of P_J near T_c .

Supplemental Material

Numerical procedures

To numerically solve Eq. (1b) and the thermal diffusion equation mentioned in the main text, we used the software Maple 2024 by Maplesoft, Waterloo, Canada. The thermal gradients obeying the heat-diffusion equation, $\partial T(\mathbf{r}, t)/\partial t - \kappa \Delta T(\mathbf{r}, t)/C = p(\mathbf{r}, t)/C$ (with κ the thermal conductivity and C the heat capacity per volume) can alternatively be estimated by using the fact that the thermal diffusivity κ/C of metallic superconductors around T_c is sufficiently large ($\kappa/C \approx 0.02 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$) (see [27,28] in the main text) so that the temperature compensation across the steepest power gradient along $p(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is fast enough to approach quasi-stationary conditions for reasonably slow changes of the thermodynamic state. The $\partial T(\mathbf{r}, t)/\partial t$ in the equation becomes negligibly small compared to the other terms, and therefore $\Delta T(\mathbf{r}, t) \approx -p(\mathbf{r}, t)/\kappa$ holds over extended parts of the cylinder volume, the solutions of which provide useful estimates of the temperature distribution. The $p(\mathbf{r}, t)$ for the limiting case $\epsilon \ll 0$ can be included here, for which analytical solutions are given in Eqs. (S16) – (S21).

The corresponding Maple worksheets are available on reasonable request.

List of cases with sign combinations of $\frac{\partial B}{\partial t}$ and H_{sc} implying that $p_{sc} < 0$.

$\partial M/\partial T$	dT/dt	$\partial B/\partial t$	H_{sc}	p_{sc}
> 0	> 0	> 0	< 0	< 0
> 0	< 0	< 0	> 0	< 0
< 0	> 0	< 0	> 0	< 0
< 0	< 0	> 0	< 0	< 0

For a superconductor in the Meissner state, $\partial M/\partial T > 0$.

Proof $P_J = -P_{sc}$ for an axially symmetric situation

a) σ constant in space

The Maxwell equation $-\left(\frac{\partial B}{\partial t}\right) = \nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \nabla \times \mathbf{j}_{sc}(\mathbf{r}, t)/\sigma$ reduces for an axially symmetric problem in cylindrical coordinates to

$$\sigma \frac{\partial B(r)}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} [r j_{sc}(r)]. \quad (\text{S1})$$

The local Joule heating power is

$$p_J(r) = \frac{j_{sc}(r)^2}{\sigma}, \quad (\text{S2})$$

and the total Joule power P_J , integrated over the cross section of a cylinder with radius R ,

$$P_J = \frac{2\pi}{\sigma} \int_0^R j_{sc}(r)^2 r dr. \quad (\text{S3})$$

The magnetic field produced by $j_n(r)$ is given by the Maxwell equation $\nabla \times \mathbf{H}_{sc} = \mathbf{j}_{sc}$,

$$\mathbf{H}_{sc}(r) = \int_r^R j_{sc}(r') dr', \quad (\text{S4})$$

which fulfills the boundary condition $\mathbf{H}_{sc}(R) = 0$. The related local power density is, according to Eq. (3) in the main text,

$$p_{sc}(r) = \mathbf{H}_{sc}(r) \frac{\partial B(r)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial B(r)}{\partial t} \int_r^R j_{sc}(r') dr' = -\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} [r j_{sc}(r)] \int_r^R j_{sc}(r') dr', \quad (\text{S5})$$

and the total power, integrated over the cross section of the cylinder is

$$P_{sc} = -\frac{2\pi}{\sigma} \int_0^R \frac{\partial}{\partial r} [r j_{sc}(r)] \int_r^R j_{sc}(r') dr' dr. \quad (\text{S6})$$

Partial integration yields

$$P_{sc} = -\frac{2\pi}{\sigma} [r j_{sc}(r) \int_r^R j_{sc}(r') dr']_0^R - \frac{2\pi}{\sigma} \int_0^R j_{sc}(r)^2 r dr. \quad (\text{S7})$$

The first term vanishes, and therefore

$$P_{sc} = -\frac{2\pi}{\sigma} \int_0^R j_{sc}(r)^2 r dr = -P_J. \quad (\text{S8})$$

b) $\sigma(r)$ varying with r

If $\sigma(r) = 1/\rho(r)$ varies with r (we use here the specific resistance ρ),

$$-\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}\right) = \nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \nabla \times [\rho(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{j}_{sc}(\mathbf{r}, t)] = \rho(\mathbf{r}) \nabla \times \mathbf{j}_{sc}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \nabla \rho(\mathbf{r}) \times \mathbf{j}_{sc}(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad (\text{S9})$$

and for an axially symmetric problem in cylindrical coordinates,

$$-\frac{\partial B(r)}{\partial t} = \frac{\rho(r)}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} [r j_{sc}(r)] + \frac{\partial \rho(r)}{\partial r} j_{sc}(r). \quad (\text{S10})$$

Expressed by $j_{sc}(r)$, the expressions for $\mathbf{H}_{sc}(r)$ and the integrated P_J remain unchanged.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{sc} &= -2\pi \int_0^R \left[\rho(r) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} [r j_{sc}(r)] + \frac{\partial \rho(r)}{\partial r} r j_{sc}(r) \right] \int_r^R j_{sc}(r') dr' dr \\ &= -2\pi \int_0^R \rho(r) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} [r j_{sc}(r)] \int_r^R j_{sc}(r') dr' dr - 2\pi \int_0^R \frac{\partial \rho(r)}{\partial r} r j_{sc}(r) \int_r^R j_{sc}(r') dr' dr. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S11})$$

Partial integration of the first term gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2\pi \int_0^R \rho(r) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} [r j_{sc}(r)] \int_r^R j_{sc}(r') dr' dr = \\
& -2\pi \left[r j_{sc}(r) \rho(r) \int_r^R j_{sc}(r') dr' \right]_0^R + 2\pi \int_0^R r j_{sc}(r) \frac{\partial \rho(r)}{\partial r} \int_r^R j_{sc}(r') dr' dr \\
& - 2\pi \int_0^R j_{sc}(r)^2 \rho(r) r dr.
\end{aligned} \tag{S12}$$

This can again be reduced due to the boundary conditions at $r = 0$ and $r = R$ to

$$+2\pi \int_0^R r j_{sc}(r) \frac{\partial \rho(r)}{\partial r} \int_r^R j_{sc}(r') dr' dr - P_J, \tag{S13}$$

summing up with the second term from Eq. (S11) to $-P_J$.

We note that for a general $\sigma(\mathbf{r})$, the time-dependent Eq. (1b) in the main text becomes

$$-\Delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \frac{\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\lambda^2} = \mu_0 \nabla \times \mathbf{j}_{sc}(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\mu_0 \sigma \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t} + \mu_0 \nabla \sigma(\mathbf{r}) \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t). \tag{S14}$$

Explicit expressions for cylindrical superconductors in the Meissner state

Explicit expressions for thermodynamic changes of state of a superconductor in the Meissner state and in a constant external magnetic field \mathbf{H}_0 can be obtained in the limit $\partial T / \partial t \ll T_c / (\mu_0 \sigma \lambda_0^2)$ ($\epsilon \ll 1$) which is always fulfilled for existing superconductors in a realistic experiment (see main text). The resulting magnetic-field distribution is then virtually indistinguishable from that of a static London-like profile, which is for a long cylinder with radius R in cylindrical coordinates

$$\mathbf{B}(r, t) = \mu_0 \mathbf{H}_0 \frac{I_0\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right)}{I_0\left(\frac{R}{\lambda}\right)}. \tag{S15}$$

We are using here and below the modified Bessel functions of the first kind $I_0(x)$ and $I_1(x)$, $\lambda(T(t))$ the temperature (and therefore time dependent) effective penetration depth, and σ the normal-state electrical conductivity that we assume to be constant. With

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}(r, t)}{\partial t} = \mu_0 \mathbf{H}_0 \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial T} \frac{dT}{dt} \frac{-r I_0\left(\frac{R}{\lambda}\right) I_1\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) + R I_0\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) I_1\left(\frac{R}{\lambda}\right)}{\lambda^2 I_0\left(\frac{R}{\lambda}\right)^2} \tag{S16}$$

and $r j_n(r, t) = -\sigma \int_0^r r' \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}(r', t)}{\partial t} dr'$ with $j_n(0, t) = 0$ we obtain

$$j_n(r, t) = -\mu_0 \mathbf{H}_0 \sigma \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial T} \frac{dT}{dt} \frac{[-r I_0\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) + 2\lambda I_1\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right)] I_0\left(\frac{R}{\lambda}\right) + R I_1\left(\frac{R}{\lambda}\right) I_1\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right)}{\lambda I_0\left(\frac{R}{\lambda}\right)^2}, \tag{S17}$$

whereas the supercurrent density is

$$j_s(r) \approx j_{tot}(x) = -\frac{H_0}{\lambda} \frac{I_1\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right)}{I_0\left(\frac{R}{\lambda}\right)}. \quad (\text{S18})$$

The resulting local Joule power density is

$$p_J(r, t) = \frac{j_n(r, t)^2}{\sigma}. \quad (\text{S19})$$

The $H_{sc}(r) = \int_r^R j_n(r') dr'$ becomes

$$H_{sc}(r, t) = \mu_0 H_0 \sigma \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial T} \frac{dT}{dt} \left(\frac{2\lambda I_0\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) I_0\left(\frac{R}{\lambda}\right) + R I_0\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) I_1\left(\frac{R}{\lambda}\right) - r I_0\left(\frac{R}{\lambda}\right) I_1\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right)}{I_0\left(\frac{R}{\lambda}\right)^2} - 2\lambda \right), \quad (\text{S20})$$

which can be used to calculate the local magnetocaloric cooling power

$$p_{sc}(r, t) = \frac{\partial B(r, t)}{\partial t} H_{sc}(r, t). \quad (\text{S21})$$

The total Joule power $P_J = -P_{sc}$, integrated over the cross section of the cylinder is with $A_0 = I_0\left(\frac{R}{\lambda}\right)$ and $A_1 = I_1\left(\frac{R}{\lambda}\right)$

$$P_J(t) = \frac{\pi R \sigma (\mu_0 H_0 \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial T} \frac{dT}{dt})^2}{3\lambda^2 A_0^4} \times [A_0^4 (R^3 - 12\lambda^2 R) + A_0^3 A_1 (24\lambda^3 - 10\lambda R^2) + A_0^2 A_1^2 (22\lambda^2 R - 4R^3) + 12A_0 A_1^3 \lambda R^2 + 3A_1^4 R^3]. \quad (\text{S22})$$

In the limit $T \rightarrow T_c$ this amounts to

$$P_J(T \rightarrow T_c) = \frac{11}{768} \pi R^8 \sigma (\mu_0 H_0 \frac{dT}{dt})^2 \lim_{T \rightarrow T_c} \left(\frac{\frac{\partial \lambda(T)}{\partial T}}{\lambda(T)^3} \right)^2 \quad (\text{S23})$$

per unit length of the cylinder.