

# Trace formulas for the magnetic Laplacian and Dirichlet to Neumann operator – Explicit expansions –

Bernard Helffer and François Nicoleau \*

Laboratoire de Mathématiques Jean Leray, UMR CNRS 6629,

Nantes Université, F-44000 Nantes, France.

Email: bernard.helffer@univ-nantes.fr , francois.nicoleau@univ-nantes.fr

July 12, 2024

## Abstract

Inspired by a recent paper of G. Liu and X. Tan (2023), we would like to measure how the magnetic effect appears in the heat trace formula associated with the magnetic Laplacian and the magnetic Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator. We propose to the reader an overview of magnetic heat trace formulas through explicit examples. On the way we obtain new formulas and in particular we calculate explicitly some non local terms and logarithmic terms appearing in the Steklov heat trace asymptotics.

*Keywords.* Dirichlet to Neumann operator, Heat trace asymptotics.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primaries 81U40, 35P25; Secondary 58J50.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>On heat expansions for the magnetic Laplacian.</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	Diamagnetic inequality. . . . .	6
2.2	Magnetic harmonic oscillator in $\mathbb{R}^2$ . . . . .	7
2.2.1	Isotropic case. . . . .	7
2.2.2	Anisotropic case . . . . .	8
2.3	Aharonov-Bohm harmonic oscillator in $\mathbb{R}^2$ . . . . .	9
2.4	Magnetic Laplacian on the sphere $S^2$ . . . . .	9
<b>3</b>	<b>On heat expansions for the D-to-N–magnetic operators, general properties</b>	<b>11</b>
3.1	Diamagnetism . . . . .	11
3.2	Small $t$ expansions. . . . .	12
3.3	Extension of Theorem 3.2 to irregular potentials . . . . .	12
3.4	Coming back to the trace . . . . .	13

---

\*Research supported by the French National Research Project GDR Dynqua

<b>4</b>	<b>Aharonov–Bohm effect for the trace of the D-to-N magnetic operator: The case of the disk.</b>	<b>13</b>
4.1	Framework . . . . .	13
4.2	Explicit computations . . . . .	14
4.3	Generalization by changing the metrics . . . . .	14
4.4	Generalization to the cylinder . . . . .	15
<b>5</b>	<b>Aharonov–Bohm effect for the trace of the D-to-N magnetic operator– The case of the annulus</b>	<b>17</b>
5.1	Trace formulas for the full D-to-N map. . . . .	17
5.2	Trace formulas for the partial D-to-N map. . . . .	19
<b>6</b>	<b>Constant magnetic field in the disk</b>	<b>19</b>
6.1	Framework . . . . .	19
6.2	Asymptotic expansion of the relative Steklov heat trace operator. . . . .	22
6.3	Some remarks in the regime $b \rightarrow 0$ . . . . .	26

# 1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the heat trace asymptotics associated with the magnetic Steklov problem on a smooth compact Riemannian manifold  $(\Omega, g)$  with  $C^\infty$  boundary  $\partial\Omega$ , (in particular this could be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ). We denote by  $\text{grad}$ ,  $\text{div}$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the gradient operator, the divergence operator and the inner product on  $\Omega$  with respect to the metric  $g$  respectively.

For any  $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ , the magnetic Schrödinger operator is defined as

$$H_{A,V} u = -\Delta_g u - 2i \langle A, \text{grad } u \rangle + (A^2 - i \text{div } A + V)u, \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\Delta_g$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator,  $A = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j dx_j$  is the 1-form magnetic potential and  $V$  is the electric potential. We often identify the 1-form magnetic potential  $A$  with the vector field  $\vec{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ . The magnetic field is given by the 2-form  $B = dA$ .

In the following, we assume that the real-valued potentials  $A$  and  $V$  are smooth in  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Nevertheless we will discuss in some sections weaker assumptions<sup>1</sup> and will focus in this direction on Aharonov-Bohm like singularities avoiding the boundary.

We also assume that zero does not belong to the spectrum of the Dirichlet realization of  $H_{A,V}$ , so that the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} H_{A,V} u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = f \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega). \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

has a unique solution  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ . The Dirichlet to Neumann map, (in what follows D-to-N map), is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{A,V} : H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega) &\longmapsto H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega) \\ f &\longmapsto (\partial_\nu u + i \langle A, \nu \rangle u)|_{\partial\Omega}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

where  $\nu$  is the outward normal unit vector field on  $\partial\Omega$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>See Leinfelder-Simader [29, 30], where it is assumed  $A \in L^2_{loc}$ ,  $V$  in  $L^2_{loc}$  and semi-bounded. See also Simon, Subsection B.213 in [45].

Under a gauge transformation in the magnetic potential  $A \rightarrow A + \text{grad } \varphi$  with  $e^{i\varphi} \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ , one has

$$\Lambda_{A+\text{grad } \varphi, V} = e^{i\varphi} \Lambda_{A, V} e^{-i\varphi}. \quad (1.4)$$

It follows that  $\Lambda_{A, V}$  carries information about the magnetic field instead of information about the magnetic potential  $A$ . Moreover, (see for example Appendix in [14]), one can assume that  $\langle A, \nu \rangle = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . With this assumption the magnetic normal derivative  $(\partial_\nu + i\langle A, \nu \rangle)$  becomes the standard normal derivative.

In the following, we are interested in recovering information about the magnetic field  $B$  and the electric potential from the D-to-N map  $\Lambda_{A, V}$ . This is a classical inverse problem where one wishes to know the interior properties of a medium by making only electrical measurements at its boundary. It is related to the famous Calderón inverse conductivity problem [8]. In [36], for smooth potentials  $A$  and  $V$ , G. Nakamura, Z. Sun and G. Uhlmann recover the electrical potential and the magnetic field from the D-to-N map. This smoothness assumption was reduced to  $C^1$  by C. Tolmasky [47] and to Dini continuous by M. Salo [41]. We also mention the papers [7, 13, 48] which consider the boundary determination, partial Cauchy data and the stability for this magnetic inverse problem.

We recall that the spectrum of the D-to-N is discrete and is given by a sequence of eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \leq \dots \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (1.5)$$

As it was proved in [32, 36], (see also [19, 39]), when the Riemannian metric  $g$ , the electromagnetic potentials  $A, V$  are smooth i.e. in  $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ , the D-to-N map  $\Lambda_{A, V}$  is a self-adjoint elliptic classical pseudodifferential operator of order 1 on the boundary, with the same principal symbol as  $\sqrt{-\Delta_g}|_{\partial\Omega}$ , the square root of the boundary Laplacian. We will discuss later how to relax the assumption of regularity. It follows from [24] that the spectral counting function  $N(\lambda)$  of the D-to-N map satisfies the Weyl asymptotics :

$$N(\lambda) = \frac{\text{Vol}(B^{d-1}) \text{Vol}(\partial\Omega)}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \lambda^{d-1} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{d-2}), \quad \lambda \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (1.6)$$

which implies that the Steklov eigenvalues  $\lambda_n$  verify

$$\lambda_n = 2\pi \left( \frac{n}{\text{Vol}(B^{d-1}) \text{Vol}(\partial\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{1}{d-1}} + \mathcal{O}(1), \quad n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (1.7)$$

Here,  $B^{d-1}$  denotes the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ .

Note that in the (2D)-case it is known that the D-to-N operator equals the square-root of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the boundary modulo a regularizing operator. See [19].

As far as we know the dependence on the magnetic field in the Weyl's formula relative to the D-to-N operator has not been analyzed, (see nevertheless [22] for accurate results in the D-to-N problem with non zero frequency).

In order to get information about the magnetic field and the electric potential, we consider the trace of the associated heat operator  $e^{-t\Lambda_{A, V}}$  which admits the following asymptotic expansion as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ , (see [20], and references therein) :

$$\text{Tr} (e^{-t\Lambda_{A, V}}) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-t\lambda_n} \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k t^{-d+k+1} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} b_\ell t^\ell \log t. \quad (1.8)$$

The coefficients  $a_k$  and  $b_\ell$  are called the Steklov heat invariants and can be theoretically computed using the so-called zeta function, (for simplicity, we assume here that  $\Lambda_{A,V}$  is positive):

$$\zeta(\Lambda_{A,V}, z) := \text{Tr} \left( \Lambda_{A,V}^{-z} \right), \quad \text{Re } z \gg 1. \quad (1.9)$$

Thus, using the Mellin transform, one gets:

$$\Gamma(z) \zeta(\Lambda_{A,V}, z) = \int_0^{+\infty} t^{z-1} \text{Tr} (e^{-t\Lambda_{A,V}}) dt, \quad (1.10)$$

and we can easily see that the function

$$F(z) := \Gamma(z) \zeta(\Lambda_{A,V}, z)$$

has a meromorphic extension to  $\mathbb{C}$  with possible poles at the points  $d - 1 - k$ , with  $k \geq 0$  and at the points  $-\ell$  with  $\ell \geq 1$ .

Then, we have a relationship between the Steklov invariants and the residues of  $F(z)$  at these possible poles:

$$a_k = \text{Res} (F(z); d - 1 - k), \quad b_\ell = -\text{Res} ((z + \ell)F(z); -\ell). \quad (1.11)$$

Actually, the coefficients  $a_k$  for  $k = 0, \dots, d - 1$  and  $b_\ell$  for  $\ell \geq 1$  are local invariants, [20]. They are integral over the boundary of functions  $a_k(x)$  and  $b_\ell(x)$  which are explicit polynomials in the metric and its inverse, in the electromagnetic potentials and their derivatives in tangential and normal directions along the boundary, at the point  $x$ :

$$a_k = \int_{\partial\Omega} a_k(x) d\sigma, \quad b_\ell = \int_{\partial\Omega} b_\ell(x) d\sigma, \quad (1.12)$$

where  $d\sigma$  is the induced measure on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ .

**Remark 1.1.** *As a consequence of the local character of these  $a_k$ 's, the  $a_k$ 's are gauge invariants for  $k = 0, \dots, d - 1$ . We conjecture that there are explicit polynomials in the metric and its inverse, in the electromagnetic fields and their derivatives in tangential and normal directions along the boundary, at the point  $x$ . This should be a consequence of a magnetic pseudo-differential calculus extending Boutet de Monvel calculus (see [4, 34, 23]).*

In contrast, the coefficients  $a_k$  for  $k \geq d$  are not local invariants. We refer to [18, 39] for details.

The usual way to compute the local heat invariants  $a_k$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, d - 1$ , is to use the standard pseudo-differential calculus on manifolds applying the work<sup>2</sup> of Seeley [18, 42]. The pseudo-differential operators on the boundary are classical (the symbol is asymptotically a sum of homogeneous symbols) and the symbolic calculus on the boundary is always defined modulo regularizing operators, i.e. operators whose distribution kernel is  $C^\infty$  on  $\partial\Omega \times \partial\Omega$ . Since we are also interested with the non local invariants, we propose an alternative and direct calculation.

To make it clearer, let us make the calculations with a toy model. As we shall see in Section 6, the Steklov eigenvalues  $\lambda_n$  associated with a constant magnetic field of strenght  $b$  in the unit disk of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  are explicitly known. There are given in terms of the generalized Laguerre functions. In this case, the

---

<sup>2</sup>See also G. Grubb, Israel J. Math. 1971 explaining the relation between the Calderon projector and the D-to-N operator.

magnetic potential is given by  $A = b(-ydx + xdy)$ . The eigenvalues  $\lambda_n$  satisfy the following asymptotics as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ :

$$\lambda_n = n + \alpha + \frac{\beta}{n} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right), \quad (1.13)$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are some suitable real constants. To simplify the notation, we set:

$$\mu_n = n + \alpha + \frac{\beta}{n}.$$

Then, the heat trace of the D-to-N map  $\Lambda_{A,0}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} (e^{-t\Lambda_{A,0}}) &= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-t\lambda_n} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-t\mu_n} + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-t\mu_n} \left( e^{-t(\lambda_n - \mu_n)} - 1 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

The first term of the (RHS) of (1.14) can be explicitly computed modulo  $\mathcal{O}(t^3)$ , (for instance). One has:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-t\mu_n} &= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-t(n + \alpha + \frac{\beta}{n})} = e^{-\alpha t} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-tn} e^{-\frac{t\beta}{n}} \\ &= e^{-\alpha t} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-tn} \left( 1 - \frac{\beta t}{n} + \frac{(\beta t)^2}{2n^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{t^3}{n^3}\right) \right) \\ &= e^{-\alpha t} \left( \frac{1}{e^t - 1} - \beta t \text{Li}_1(e^{-t}) + \frac{(\beta t)^2}{2} \text{Li}_2(e^{-t}) \right) + \mathcal{O}(t^3), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\text{Li}_s(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{z^n}{n^s}$$

is the so-called polylogarithm function.

In particular, one has  $\text{Li}_1(z) = -\log(1 - z)$ , and we get the following asymptotic expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-t\mu_n} &= \frac{1}{t} - \left( \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \beta t \log t + \frac{1}{12} (1 + 6\alpha + 6\alpha^2) t \\ &\quad - \alpha\beta t^2 \log t - \frac{1}{12} (\alpha + 3\alpha^2 + 2\alpha^3 + 6\beta - \beta^2\pi^2) t^2 + \mathcal{O}(t^3). \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

The second term of the (RHS) of (1.14) can be written as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left( \sum_{m=0}^M \frac{(-t\mu_n)^m}{m!} + \mathcal{O}((t\mu_n)^{M+1}) \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{p=1}^P \frac{(-t(\lambda_n - \mu_n))^p}{p!} + \mathcal{O}(t(\lambda_n - \mu_n)^{P+1}) \right). \quad (1.16)$$

If  $\lambda_n - \mu_n$  decays sufficiently, we can invert the order of summation giving us an additional asymptotic expansion. Actually, as we shall see in Section 6, we can justify such an inversion up to  $\mathcal{O}(t^3)$  if the asymptotics of the Steklov eigenvalues  $\lambda_n$  are known modulo  $\mathcal{O}(n^{-4})$ . Of course, this affects the definition

of  $\mu_n$  and the asymptotics given in (1.15). This leads to cumbersome calculations requiring the assistance of a computer.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review for comparison the heat trace asymptotic expansions for several magnetic Hamiltonians, (including the singular case of the Aharonov-Bohm oscillator), first on the unit disk in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and secondly on the sphere  $S^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . In particular, Formulas (2.15) and (2.17) seem to be new. In Section 3, we recall the general results and extend it to a more singular case. In Sections 4-5, we calculate the asymptotics of the heat trace operator for the D-to-N maps associated with Aharonov-Bohm Laplacians. In Section 6, we consider the case of a constant magnetic field in the unit disk in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and we calculate explicitly the first logarithmic terms appearing in the heat trace asymptotics. According to us, this last result is also new.

**Acknowledgements:** We would like to warmly thank Gerd Grubb and El Maati Ouhabaz for enlightening exchanges of e-mails.

## 2 On heat expansions for the magnetic Laplacian.

### 2.1 Diamagnetic inequality.

In this section, we consider the magnetic Schrödinger operator  $H_{A,V}$  defined in the introduction with  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$ , (except for the subsection 2.4 where we consider the case of a closed Riemannian surface). We assume that the real-valued magnetic and electric potentials  $A$  and  $V$  are smooth. The diamagnetic inequality can be expressed as:

$$|\exp(-tH_{A,V})f|(x) \leq (\exp(-tH_{0,V})|f|)(x), \quad (2.1)$$

for  $t \geq 0$  and almost every  $x$ , (see for instance [2, 12, 38, 44]).

From the pointwise bound (2.1), one can also get a diamagnetic inequality for the Dirichlet/Neumann realization in  $\Omega$  in the form

$$|\exp(-tH_{A,V})(x,y)| \leq \exp(-tH_{0,V})(x,y), \quad \text{for almost all } x,y \text{ in } \Omega, \quad (2.2)$$

for the integral kernel of the Neumann semigroup (as long as it exists), (see Hundertmark-Simon [25], Remark 1.2 (ii)).

For the trace of  $\exp(-tH_{A,V})$ , we can get by a general argument<sup>3</sup> in the proof of Theorem 15.7 in the book of B. Simon [43]:

$$\text{Tr} (e^{-tH_{A,V}}) \leq \text{Tr} (e^{-tH_{0,V}}). \quad (2.3)$$

At last, the case of Aharonov-Bohm operator (i.e. when  $A$  is an Aharonov-Bohm potential (4.1) ) is treated in [35].

**Remark 2.1.** *If  $\Gamma$  is the complex conjugation, we notice that*

$$\Gamma H_{A,V} = H_{-A,V} \Gamma$$

*This implies that the eigenvalues of  $H_{A,V}$  and  $H_{-A,V}$  coincide (with multiplicity) and consequently*

$$\text{Tr} (e^{-tH_{A,V}}) = \text{Tr} (e^{-tH_{-A,V}}).$$

---

<sup>3</sup>If  $|A\phi| \leq B|\phi|$  and  $\text{Tr}B^*B < +\infty$ , then  $\text{Tr}A^*A \leq \text{Tr}B^*B$ .

The existence of complete expansions for the heat kernel is known as  $t \rightarrow 0$  at least when  $\vec{A}$  is regular. Some computations rule are given using Boutet de Monvel calculus (see [4, 18]). The remaining question is then to control the dependence on the magnetic field. If it is clear that the main term is independent of the magnetic field, the question is about the other terms. As already mentioned, a complete magnetic Boutet de Monvel calculus extending [34] could be useful.

## 2.2 Magnetic harmonic oscillator in $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

### 2.2.1 Isotropic case.

In this section, we look at the magnetic harmonic oscillator in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The Hamiltonian

$$H_b := -\partial_x^2 - (\partial_y + ibx)^2 + x^2 + y^2.$$

defined initially on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  has a unique self-adjoint extension as unbounded operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . By partial Fourier transform, we first get

$$\widehat{H}_b := -\partial_x^2 - \partial_\eta^2 + (\eta + bx)^2 + x^2.$$

Hence we have now a Schrödinger operator without magnetic field but with a new electric potential. We have now to diagonalize the matrix

$$A_b := \begin{pmatrix} (1+b^2) & b \\ b & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues are given by

$$\lambda_\pm = \left(1 + \frac{b^2}{2}\right) \pm \frac{1}{2}b\sqrt{4+b^2}.$$

After a change of variables, we obtain

$$\check{H}_b = (-\partial_s^2 + \lambda_+ s^2) + (-\partial_z^2 + \lambda_- z^2)$$

After a new change of variables, we get

$$\breve{H}_b := \sqrt{\lambda_+}(-\partial_{\check{s}}^2 + \check{s}^2) + \sqrt{\lambda_-}(-\partial_{\check{z}}^2 + \check{z}^2).$$

Now we have

$$\mathrm{Tr} (e^{-tH_b}) = \left(\mathrm{Tr} e^{-t\sqrt{\lambda_+}(-\partial_{\check{s}}^2 + \check{s}^2)}\right) \left(\mathrm{Tr} e^{-t\sqrt{\lambda_-}(-\partial_{\check{z}}^2 + \check{z}^2)}\right).$$

The computation is easy for the Harmonic oscillator. We obtain:

$$\mathrm{Tr} e^{-t(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x^2)} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} e^{-(2n+1)t} = \frac{1}{2 \sinh t}, \forall t > 0.$$

So we get

$$\mathrm{Tr} (e^{-tH_b}) = e^{-(\sqrt{\lambda_+} + \sqrt{\lambda_-})t} / \left( (1 - e^{-2\sqrt{\lambda_+}t})(1 - e^{-2\sqrt{\lambda_-}t}) \right) = 1 / (4 \sinh \sqrt{\lambda_+} t \sinh \sqrt{\lambda_-} t). \quad (2.4)$$

Coming back to (2.4), we now calculate the first terms of the expansion (no logarithm) and get first

$$\mathrm{Tr} (e^{-tH_b}) = \frac{1}{4t^2} - \frac{(2+b^2)}{24} + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{7}{360}(2+b^2)^2 - \frac{1}{90} \right) t^2 + \mathcal{O}(t^4).$$

Finally, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr} (e^{-tH_b}) = \frac{1}{4t^2} - \frac{(2+b^2)}{24} + \frac{1}{60} \left( 1 + \frac{7}{6}b^2 + \frac{7}{24}b^4 \right) t^2 + \mathcal{O}(t^4). \quad (2.5)$$

As previously, the coefficient of  $t^{-2}$  is independent of  $b$ . For the relative trace, we get

$$\mathrm{Tr} (e^{-tH_b}) - \mathrm{Tr} (e^{-tH_0}) = -\frac{b^2}{24} + \mathcal{O}(t^2). \quad (2.6)$$

### 2.2.2 Anisotropic case

Now, we look at the same problem in the anisotropic case in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We consider the operator

$$H_b := -\partial_x^2 - (\partial_y + ibx)^2 + k_1^2 x^2 + k_2^2 y^2.$$

By partial Fourier transform, we first get

$$\hat{H}_b := -\partial_x^2 - k_2^2 \partial_\eta^2 + (\eta + bx)^2 + k_1^2 x^2.$$

Hence we have now a Schrödinger operator without magnetic field but with a new electric potential. A dilation in  $\eta$  leads to

$$\check{H}_b := -\partial_x^2 - \partial_\eta^2 + (k_2 \eta + bx)^2 + k_1^2 x^2.$$

We have now to diagonalize the matrix

$$A_b := \begin{pmatrix} (k_1^2 + b^2) & bk_2 \\ bk_2 & k_2^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues are given by

$$\lambda_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(k_1^2 + k_2^2 + b^2) \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(((k_1 - k_2)^2 + b^2)((k_1 + k_2)^2 + b^2))}.$$

As previously, we get

$$\mathrm{Tr} (e^{-tH_b}) = e^{-(\sqrt{\lambda_+} + \sqrt{\lambda_-})t} / \left( (1 - e^{-2\sqrt{\lambda_+}t})(1 - e^{-2\sqrt{\lambda_-}t}) \right) = 1 / (4 \sinh \sqrt{\lambda_+} t \sinh \sqrt{\lambda_-} t). \quad (2.7)$$

As expected the coefficient of  $t^{-2}$  is independent of  $b$ . Indeed, we have

$$\lambda_+ \lambda_- = k_1^2 k_2^2,$$

which is independent of  $b$ , so we get

$$\mathrm{Tr} (e^{-tH_b}) = \frac{1}{4}(\lambda_+ \lambda_-)^{-1/2} t^{-2} - \frac{1}{24}(\lambda_+ \lambda_-)^{-1/2}(\lambda_+ + \lambda_-) + \mathcal{O}(t^2).$$

Finally, we have for the relative trace

$$\mathrm{Tr} (e^{-tH_b}) - \mathrm{Tr} (e^{-tH_0}) = -\frac{1}{24 k_1 k_2} b^2 + \mathcal{O}(t^2).$$

Up to a sign error we recover Odenrantz formula (cf [37], Theorem 2).

**Remark 2.2.** *In higher dimension, K. Odenrantz [37] gives the two first terms and considers, keeping the magnetic field constant, more general electric potentials  $V$  (including  $V$  quadratic and  $V$  quartic). In particular, he gives the main term for  $\mathrm{Tr} (e^{-tH_b}) - \mathrm{Tr} (e^{-tH_0})$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ . The verification of the corresponding diamagnetic inequality for the trace of the heat kernel is easy.*



### 2.3 Aharonov-Bohm harmonic oscillator in $\mathbb{R}^2$

Let  $A_\nu(x)$  be the Aharonov-Bohm potential in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} A_\nu(x) &= \nu \mathbb{F}(x), \\ \mathbb{F}(x) &= \left( -\frac{x_2}{|x|^2}, \frac{x_1}{|x|^2} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

In the sense of distribution in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , the magnetic field is given by  $2\pi\nu \delta_0$  where  $\delta_0$  is the Dirac measure at the origin, (see A. Hansson [21]). We now consider the Hamiltonian in  $\mathbb{R}^2$

$$H_{\nu,\beta} := -\Delta_{A_\nu} + \beta r^2,$$

where  $-\Delta_{A_\nu}$  is the magnetic Laplacian and  $\beta > 0$ . Its spectrum is given by

$$E(m, n) = 2\sqrt{\beta}(1 + |m - \nu| + 2n), \quad (m \in \mathbb{Z}, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots), \tag{2.9}$$

and we have the following formulas

$$\mathrm{Tr} e^{-tH_{\nu,\beta}} = \mathrm{Tr} e^{-2\sqrt{\beta}tH_{\nu,1}},$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr} e^{-sH_{\nu,1}} &= e^{-1} \left( \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-s|m-\nu|} \right) \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} e^{-2sn} \right) \\ &= e^{-1} \frac{\cosh(s(\{\nu\} - 1/2))}{\sinh(s/2)} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2s}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where  $\{\nu\} = \nu - [\nu]$  is the fractional part of  $\nu$ , (we refer to (4.4) for details), we get immediately:

$$\mathrm{Tr} e^{-tH_{\nu,\beta}} = e^{-1} \frac{\cosh(2\sqrt{\beta}t(\{\nu\} - 1/2))}{\sinh(\sqrt{\beta}t)} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-4\sqrt{\beta}t}}. \tag{2.11}$$

### 2.4 Magnetic Laplacian on the sphere $S^2$

In this situation, the magnetic field is the curvature of a connexion, (see [3, 11]). One can in particular consider the constant magnetic field case but its total flux should be an integer. For the computation of trace formulas in this case, [3] is referring to [27] (formula (7.4)) who sends to [17] Gilkey's theorem which is quite general. We propose now a more explicit expansion.

In the geodesic polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$  with  $0 < r < \pi$ ,  $0 < \theta < 2\pi$ , the metric is given by  $dr^2 + \sin^2 r d\theta^2$ , the volume form is

$$\Omega_0 := \sin r dr \wedge d\theta$$

and the Hamiltonian reads

$$-\partial_r^2 - \frac{1}{\sin^2 r} \partial_\theta^2 - \cot r \partial_r - \frac{2i}{\sin r} f'(r) \partial_\theta + f'(r)^2,$$

where the 1-form is  $\alpha = f'(r) \sin r d\theta$ .

This leads for the computation of the spectrum to the analysis of the family of the Dirichlet (pour  $n \neq 0$ ) (Neumann pour  $n = 0$ ) realization in  $(0, \pi)$  of

$$-\partial_r^2 - \cot r \partial_r + \left(\frac{n}{\sin r} + f'(r)\right)^2.$$

In the constant magnetic field case, we have

$$f(r) = \frac{m}{2}(1 - \cos r)$$

with  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  (see also [26]). The spectrum is given by

$$\nu_{m,j} = j(j+1) + \frac{|m|}{2}(2j+1), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots$$

each eigenvalue having multiplicity  $|m| + 2j + 1$ .

In the following, we assume for simplicity that  $m \geq 0$ . The trace of the heat operator  $e^{-tH_m}$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} (e^{-tH_m}) &= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (m + 2n + 1) e^{-t(n(n+1) + \frac{m}{2}(2n+1))}. \\ &= \left( \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (m + 2n + 1) e^{-t(n + \frac{m+1}{2})^2} \right) e^{t\left(\frac{m^2+1}{4}\right)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

We can actually get an explicit expression of  $\text{Tr} (e^{-tH_m})$  in terms of the so-called Jacobi partial theta function [6], which are defined by:

$$F_{d,\ell}(z; \tau) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \zeta^{\ell n + d} q^{(\ell n + d)^2}, \quad (2.13)$$

where  $d \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ ,  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\zeta := e^{2\pi i z}$  with  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $q := e^{2\pi i \tau}$  with  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}^+$ , (the complex upper half-plane). In particular, one gets:

$$F'_{d,\ell}(0; \tau) = 2i\pi \sum_{n \geq 0} (\ell n + d) q^{(\ell n + d)^2}, \quad (2.14)$$

where  $F'_{d,\ell}(z; \tau)$  stands for the derivative with respect to  $z$ . Thus, using (2.12) and (2.14), we easily see that:

$$\text{Tr} (e^{-tH_m}) = \frac{1}{i\pi} F'_{\frac{m+1}{2}, 1} \left( 0; \frac{it}{2\pi} \right) e^{t\left(\frac{m^2+1}{4}\right)}. \quad (2.15)$$

To find the asymptotic expansion of  $\text{Tr} (e^{-tH_m})$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ , we need the following result which is proved implicitly in ([6], Theorem 4.1):

**Lemma 2.3.** *For  $|z| < 1/(4\ell)$ , we have the following asymptotic expansion as  $|\tau| \rightarrow 0$ ,*

$$F_{d,\ell}(z; \tau) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{(2\pi i \ell z)^j}{j!} \left( \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{j+1}{2}\right)}{2(-2\pi i \ell^2 \tau)^{\frac{j+1}{2}}} - \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{(2\pi i \ell^2 \tau)^k}{k!} \frac{B_{2k+j+1}\left(\frac{d}{\ell}\right)}{2k+j+1} \right) + O(|\tau|^{N+1}).$$

More precisely, for any compact set  $K \subset D(0, \frac{1}{4\ell})$ , the above series converges normally and the remaining term is uniform with respect to  $z$ . Here  $B_n(x)$  denotes the  $n$ th Bernoulli polynomial given by

$$B_n(x) = \sum_{p=0}^n \binom{n}{p} B_p x^{n-p},$$

where  $B_p$  are the Bernoulli numbers.

Now, using Cauchy's formula:

$$F'_{\frac{m+1}{2}, 1} \left( 0; \frac{it}{2\pi} \right) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\mathcal{C}(0, \frac{1}{8})} \frac{F_{\frac{m+1}{2}, 1} \left( z; \frac{it}{2\pi} \right)}{z^2} dz,$$

and (2.15), we get immediately the following asymptotic expansion as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ :

$$\mathrm{Tr} (e^{-tH_m}) = \left( \frac{1}{t} - \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{(-t)^k}{(k+1)!} B_{2k+2} \left( \frac{m+1}{2} \right) + O(t^{N+1}) \right) e^{t \left( \frac{m^2+1}{4} \right)}. \quad (2.16)$$

Using Mathematica, we get :

$$\mathrm{Tr} (e^{-tH_m}) = \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{3} + \left( \frac{1}{15} - \frac{m^2}{24} \right) t + \left( \frac{4}{315} - \frac{m^2}{40} \right) t^2 + \frac{(128 - 432m^2 + 49m^4) t^3}{40320} + O(t^4) \quad (2.17)$$

We emphasize that no logarithmic term appears in the expansion. In particular, the contribution of the magnetic field appears in the coefficient of  $t$ . We get for the relative trace

$$\mathrm{Tr} (e^{-tH_m}) - \mathrm{Tr} (e^{-tH_0}) = -\frac{m^2}{24} t + \mathcal{O}(t^2). \quad (2.18)$$

Here the first term in the right hand side is negative for  $t > 0$  as predicted by (2.3).

### 3 On heat expansions for the D-to-N–magnetic operators, general properties

#### 3.1 Diamagnetism

$$\mathrm{Tr} (e^{-t\Lambda_{A, \nu}}) \leq \mathrm{Tr} (e^{-t\Lambda_{0, \nu}}). \quad (3.1)$$

The first way to get (3.1) is to use the general argument given in the proof of Theorem 15.7 in the book of B. Simon [43]. We can also use the comparison between the distribution kernels of  $\Lambda_{A,0}$  and  $\Lambda_{0,0}$ , (see [46]). In this paper, the authors assume that  $A$  belongs to  $L^2_{loc}$  and that the boundary of  $\Omega$  is Lipschitz.

The proof in the case of Aharonov-Bohm potentials (with poles avoiding the boundary) follows the same line if we use the Friedrichs extension when defining the magnetic D-to-N map and use the characterization of the domain as discussed for example in the work of Lena [31], (but look at two cases depending on an integer (renormalized) flux or not and one should add what results of Hardy's inequality). This does not change anything at the boundary. The consequences will also be that the local coefficients will not see the Aharonov-Bohm potentials (more generally magnetic potentials whose corresponding magnetic fields are compactly supported) and this will lead, as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ , to

$$\mathrm{Tr} (e^{-t\Lambda_{A, \nu}}) - \mathrm{Tr} (e^{-t\Lambda_{0, \nu}}) = \mathcal{O}(t). \quad (3.2)$$

This will be further discussed in the next subsection and explains why we will focus on the computation of the coefficient of  $t$  in the expansion of  $\text{Tr}(e^{-t\Lambda_{A,V}})$  when explicit computations can be done on examples.

**Remark 3.1.** *If  $\Gamma$  is the complex conjugation, we notice (see Remark 2.1) that*

$$\Gamma\Lambda_{A,V} = \Lambda_{A,V}\Gamma.$$

*This implies*

$$\text{Tr}\exp(-t\Lambda_{A,V}) = \text{Tr}\exp(-t\Lambda_{(-A,V)})$$

*and this explains below the parity in  $b$  observed below for some of the expansions.*

### 3.2 Small $t$ expansions.

The paper by Liu-Tan [32] states:

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose that  $(\Omega, g)$  is a smooth compact Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$  with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Let  $A \in X(\Omega)$  (i.e. a smooth 1-form on  $\Omega$ ) and  $V \in C^\infty(\Omega)$  be the magnetic vector potential and the electric potential. Let  $\{\lambda_k\}$  be the eigenvalues of the magnetic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then the trace of the heat kernel associated with  $\mathcal{M}$  admits the asymptotics*

$$\sum_j e^{-t\lambda_j} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k t^{-n+k+1} + o(1) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^+,$$

*where the coefficients are "local" invariants which can be explicitly computed. Moreover the coefficients satisfying  $k \leq \inf(n-1, 4)$  are independent of the magnetic field.*

When  $n = 2$ , this gives only the information for the main term which depends only on the main term of the D-to-N operator which is independent of the magnetic potential. In Remark 1.5 of [32] it is observed that  $a_1 = 0$ .

For  $a_0$ , we have the Weyl term relative to the square-root of the Laplace Beltrami operator on  $\partial\Omega$ . Note that  $o(1)$  can be replaced by  $O(t \log \frac{1}{t})$  and that this coefficient is "local". Hence the first non local coefficient where the magnetic potential can play a role is the coefficient of  $t$ .

### 3.3 Extension of Theorem 3.2 to irregular potentials

In this subsection, we sketch how we can relax the assumptions of regularity on  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $V$  far from  $\Omega$  keeping the property that  $H_{A,V}$  is well defined through the associated variational form. This is in particular the case when the magnetic potential is a sum of Aharonov-Bohm potentials with singularities in  $\Omega$ . So we assume that the Dirichlet Laplacian is well defined as a self-adjoint operator (using Lax-Milgram) and that the form domain of  $H_{A,V}$  is contained in  $H^1(\Omega)$ . So for the problem (1.2), the application  $f \mapsto u$  is continuous from  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$  into  $H^1(\Omega)$ .

We now assume that for some  $T > 0$   $\mathbf{A}$  and  $V$  are in  $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega_T})$ , where

$$\Omega_T = \{x \in \Omega, d(x, \partial\Omega) < T\}.$$

With  $T$  small enough, we can assume that  $\overline{\Omega_T}$  is diffeomorphic to  $[0, T] \times \partial\Omega$ . We have the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.3.** *For  $0 < \epsilon < T$ , the maps  $f \mapsto u|_{\Gamma_\epsilon}$  and  $f \mapsto (\nu_\epsilon \cdot \nabla u)|_{\Gamma_\epsilon}$  are continuous from  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$  into  $H^k(\Gamma_\epsilon)$  (for any  $k$ ), where*

$$\Gamma_\epsilon := \{x \in \Omega, d(x, \partial\Omega) = \epsilon\}.$$

The proof is a direct consequence of the fact that  $H_{A,V} u = 0$  in  $\Omega_T$ , (and consequently  $C^\infty$  there), and the ellipticity property in  $\Omega_T$ .

We can then follow verbatim the proof given by Lee–Uhlman ([28], Proposition 1.2) which is a consequence of a pseudo-differential factorization ([28], Proposition 1.1). Note that we need the condition that 0 is not in the spectrum of  $H_{A,V}$ .

Hence, Theorem 3.2 holds under the assumptions of this subsection. For the case with magnetic field and electric field we can refer to the proof of Proposition 3.1 in Liu–Tan ([32], Prop. 3.1).

**Remark 3.4.** *The proof proposed in Lee–Uhlman [28] gives only the statement with a weaker notion of regularizing operator. We say that the operator is weakly regular if it is continuous from  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $H^k(\partial\Omega)$  for any  $k \geq 0$ .*

**Remark 3.5.** *A variant of the above proof is to combine Lemma 3.3 with the introduction of a Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator in  $\Omega_\epsilon$ . We are now in the regular case. We can take as traces on  $\partial\Omega_\epsilon$ ,  $f_\epsilon = u|_{\Gamma_\epsilon}$  and  $f$  sur  $\partial\Omega$ . Actually, we do not need Lemma 3.3, but we can use that for the D-to-N operator with two components (which can be considered as a  $2 \times 2$ -system), the off-diagonal terms are strongly regularizing, as it results of the Boutet de Monvel calculus. It could actually be interesting to develop a magnetic calculus for the problem with boundary (see Mantoiu-Purice [34] with the hope that the contribution of the magnetic field will appear more directly; see also Helffer-Purice [23] for a connected problem).*

### 3.4 Coming back to the trace

In the case  $d = 2$ , with an Aharonov–Bohm potential, and admitting that the existence of the trace expansion is properly proved, it is interesting to determine where, in the expansion, the flux created at the singularity appears. The "local" coefficients of the expansion do not see the flux, since except at the singularity which is assumed to be inside the domain, one can gauge away the magnetic potential. Hence, the first non local coefficient would be interesting to analyze. We will come back to this question for particular cases where an explicit computation is possible.

## 4 Aharonov–Bohm effect for the trace of the D-to-N magnetic operator: The case of the disk.

Note that the Friedrichs extension of the Aharonov–Bohm Hamiltonian on a disk (that we consider here) and other selfadjoint realizations are discussed by J. F. Brasche and M. Melgaard in [5]. See also R. Frank and A.M. Hansson [15].

### 4.1 Framework

We consider for  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$  the magnetic Aharonov-Bohm-potential (in short AB-potential) in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ , (see [1]):

$$A_\nu(x, y) = \frac{\nu}{r^2}(-y, x). \quad (4.1)$$

This magnetic potential creates a flux  $2\pi\nu$  around 0. In the distributional sense we have in the distributional sense.

$$\operatorname{curl} A_\nu = \delta_0.$$

We would like to analyze the D-to-N operator denoted

$$\mathcal{M}_\nu := \Lambda_{A_\nu}$$

(in order to simplify the notation) associated with the magnetic Laplacian in the disk  $D(0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then the spectrum of  $\mathcal{M}_\nu$  is given by:

$$\lambda_k(\nu) = |k - \nu| \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (4.2)$$

Except for  $\nu \equiv \frac{1}{2}$  modulo  $\mathbb{Z}$ , each eigenvalue has multiplicity 1. We are interested in

$$(t, \nu) \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}(e^{-t\mathcal{M}_\nu}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-t|k-\nu|}. \quad (4.3)$$

This function is 1-periodic with respect to  $\nu$  and from now on we assume that  $\nu \in [0, 1)$ .

## 4.2 Explicit computations

By elementary computation, we get, assuming that  $\nu \in [0, 1[$ ,

$$\operatorname{Tr}(e^{-t\mathcal{M}_\nu}) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} e^{-t(k+\nu)} + \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} e^{-t(k-\nu)} - e^{t\nu}$$

i.e.

$$\mathfrak{T}(\nu) := \operatorname{Tr}(e^{-t\mathcal{M}_\nu}) = \frac{2 \cosh t\nu}{1 - e^{-t}} - e^{t\nu} = \frac{\cosh(t(\nu - 1/2))}{\sinh(t/2)}. \quad (4.4)$$

From this formula, we see immediately that  $\nu \mapsto \mathfrak{T}(\nu)$  is symmetric with respect to  $\frac{1}{2}$  and attains its minimum at  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ . We also have the asymptotic

$$\mathfrak{T}(\nu) = \frac{2}{t} + \left(\frac{1}{6} - \nu + \nu^2\right)t + \mathcal{O}(t^3). \quad (4.5)$$

We note that the first term where the magnetic flux appears is  $(\frac{1}{6} - \nu + \nu^2)t$ .

We can also write:

$$\mathfrak{T}(0) - \mathfrak{T}(\nu) = 2(\cosh(t/2) - \cosh(t(\nu - 1/2)))/\sinh(t/2) = 4 \sinh(t\nu) \sinh((1 - \nu)t)/\sinh(t/2) \geq 0.$$

This gives in particular the diamagnetic property which holds in a more general situation (see the papers by Ter Elst–Ouhabaz [46] and references therein for the regular case).

## 4.3 Generalization by changing the metrics

One can generalize the preceding computations by working in the ball but by changing the metrics (in the disk direction). For this we introduce a function  $\theta(r)$  such that

$$\theta > 0 \text{ on } (0, 1) \text{ and } \theta'(0) = 1.$$

In this case, the Laplacian (without magnetic field) reads in polar coordinates  $(r, t)$

$$-\Delta = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} - \frac{\theta'(r)}{\theta(r)} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{\theta(r)^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}.$$

We then keep the same magnetic Aharonov–Bohm 1-form potential  $\nu dt$  as above and (as computed in [10], Subsection 4.1) we introduce  $-\Delta_{A_\nu}$

$$-\Delta_{A_\nu} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} - \frac{\theta'(r)}{\theta(r)} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{\theta(r)^2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - i\nu \right)^2.$$

Let us compute the Steklov eigenvalues. We have to solve in  $(0, 1)$  (with  $u$  bounded near 0)

$$u'' + \frac{\theta'}{\theta} u' - \frac{(k - \nu)^2}{2} u = 0 \text{ and } u'(1) = \sigma u(1). \quad (4.6)$$

We solve (4.6) without the Robin condition and get

$$u_k(r) = e^{|k - \nu| \int_1^r \frac{1}{\theta(s)} ds}.$$

We then recover the Steklov's eigenvalue  $\sigma_k$  by writing

$$\sigma_k = \frac{u'_k(1)}{u_k(1)} = |k - \nu| / \theta(1). \quad (4.7)$$

The computations are unchanged as for Aharonov-Bohm case after the change of variable  $t \mapsto t/\theta(1)$ . Denoting by  $\mathcal{M}_\nu^\theta$  the associated D-to-N map, we get:

$$\text{Tr}(e^{-t\mathcal{M}_\nu^\theta}) = \frac{\cosh(\frac{t}{\theta(1)}(\nu - 1/2))}{\sinh(\frac{t}{2\theta(1)})}. \quad (4.8)$$

#### 4.4 Generalization to the cylinder

We consider the cylinder  $M = (-1, 1) \times S^1$  and let

$$H_\nu = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + (i^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \nu)^2 \text{ with } \nu \in (0, 1), \quad (4.9)$$

be the magnetic Laplacian. It is proved in [40] that the Steklov spectrum of the D-to-N map  $\Lambda_\nu$  associated with  $H_\nu$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_k^+(\nu) &= (k - \nu) \coth(k - \nu), \\ \lambda_k^-(\nu) &= (k - \nu) \tanh(k - \nu), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Let us compute the asymptotics as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  of

$$\text{Tr}(e^{-t\Lambda_\nu}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-t\lambda_k^+(\nu)} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-t\lambda_k^-(\nu)} := S^+(t, \nu) + S^-(t, \nu). \quad (4.11)$$

For instance, we get for  $S^-(t, \nu)$ ,

$$S^-(t, \nu) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-t|k - \nu|} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (e^{-t\lambda_k^-(\nu)} - e^{-t|k - \nu|}), \quad (4.12)$$

and using (4.3) we obtain:

$$S^-(t, \nu) = \text{Tr}(e^{-t\mathcal{M}(\nu)}) + R^-(t, \nu), \quad (4.13)$$

where

$$R^-(t, \nu) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{p \geq 1} \frac{(-t)^p}{p!} ((\lambda_k^-(\nu))^p - |k - \nu|^p). \quad (4.14)$$

A straightforward calculation shows there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$|(\lambda_k^-(\nu))^p - |k - \nu|^p| \leq C p (|k| + 1)^p e^{-2|k|}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4.15)$$

We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \geq 1} \frac{t^p}{p!} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |(\lambda_k^-(\nu))^p - |k - \nu|^p| &\leq C \sum_{p \geq 1} \frac{t^p}{(p-1)!} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (|k| + 1)^p e^{-2|k|} \\ &\leq C \sum_{p \geq 1} \frac{t^p}{(p-1)!} \frac{p!}{2^p} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

for  $t \in ]0, 2[$ . We deduce that for such  $t$ ,

$$R^-(t, \nu) = \sum_{p \geq 1} a_p^- t^p, \quad (4.16)$$

where

$$a_p^- = \frac{(-1)^p}{p!} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} ((\lambda_k^-(\nu))^p - |k - \nu|^p) \quad (4.17)$$

Then, using (4.13) and (4.16), one has for  $t \in ]0, 2[$ ,

$$S^-(t, \nu) = \text{Tr}(e^{-t\mathcal{M}(\nu)}) + \sum_{p \geq 1} a_p^- t^p. \quad (4.18)$$

In the same way, one has:

$$S^+(t, \nu) = \text{Tr}(e^{-t\mathcal{M}(\nu)}) + \sum_{p \geq 1} a_p^+ t^p, \quad (4.19)$$

where

$$a_p^+ = \frac{(-1)^p}{p!} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} ((\lambda_k^+(\nu))^p - |k - \nu|^p). \quad (4.20)$$

It follows immediately that for  $t \in ]0, 2[$ ,

$$\text{Tr}(e^{-t\Lambda\nu}) = 2 \text{Tr}(e^{-t\mathcal{M}(\nu)}) + \sum_{p \geq 1} (a_p^+ + a_p^-) t^p. \quad (4.21)$$

Then, thanks to (4.4), we get a complete expansion of  $\text{Tr}(e^{-t\Lambda\nu})$  in powers of  $t$  and in particular, one gets using (4.10):

$$\text{Tr}(e^{-t\Lambda\nu}) = \frac{4}{t} + 2 \left( \frac{1}{6} - \nu + \nu^2 - \check{a}_1(\nu) \right) t + O(t^2), \quad (4.22)$$

with

$$\check{a}_1(\nu) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |k - \nu| (\coth(2|k - \nu|) - 1). \quad (4.23)$$



## 5 Aharonov–Bohm effect for the trace of the D-to-N magnetic operator– The case of the annulus

### 5.1 Trace formulas for the full D-to-N map.

Let us consider an annulus  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  centered at this origin with  $R_0 = 1$  as external radius and  $R < 1$  as internal radius. Hence, in radial coordinates

$$\Omega = \{(r, \theta) | R < r < 1\}.$$

The boundary  $\partial\Omega$  has two components

$$\partial\Omega = S^R \cup S^1.$$

Hence the D-to-N– map reduced to  $\text{Vect}(e^{im\theta})$ , ( $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ), will be a  $2 \times 2$  matrix. We first solve the magnetic Dirichlet problem (with  $A = A_\nu$  as in Section 4 and  $H = (D - A_\nu)^2$ ):

$$Hv = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \text{ and } v = \Psi \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi^1 \\ \psi^R \end{pmatrix} \in H^{1/2}(S^1) \oplus H^{1/2}(S^R).$$

Here we follow [40] which refers to [16]. We proceed by separation of variables. More precisely we observe that the D-to-N  $\mathcal{M}$  map commutes with the rotation. Hence we can consider the joint spectrum of  $\partial_\theta$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  and write

$$v(r, t) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} v_m(r) e^{im\theta}. \quad (5.2)$$

At the boundary, writing

$$\psi^{1,R}(t) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_m^{1,R} e^{im\theta} \quad (5.3)$$

we get for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$-v_m'' - \frac{1}{r}v_m' + \frac{1}{r^2}(m - \nu)^2 v_m = 0, \quad (5.4a)$$

with the boundary conditions

$$v_m(1) = \psi_m^1 \text{ and } v_m(R) = \psi_m^R. \quad (5.4b)$$

This leads to

$$v_m(r) = A_m r^{|m-\nu|} + B_m r^{-|m-\nu|}, \quad (5.5)$$

with

$$\begin{cases} A_m + B_m = \psi_m^1 \\ A_m R^{|m-\nu|} + B_m R^{-|m-\nu|} = \psi_m^R. \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

We get:

$$\begin{aligned} A_m &= -\frac{R^{-|m-\nu|}}{R^{|m-\nu|} - R^{-|m-\nu|}} \psi_m^1 + \frac{1}{R^{|m-\nu|} - R^{-|m-\nu|}} \psi_m^R, \\ B_m &= \frac{R^{|m-\nu|}}{R^{|m-\nu|} - R^{-|m-\nu|}} \psi_m^1 - \frac{1}{R^{|m-\nu|} - R^{-|m-\nu|}} \psi_m^R. \end{aligned}$$

The D-to-N reduced to  $\text{Vect}(e^{im\theta})$  is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_m \begin{pmatrix} \psi_m^1 \\ \psi_m^R \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v'_m(1) \\ -v'_m(R) \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.7)$$

Then, we easily see that the reduced D-to-N map  $\mathcal{M}_m$  is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix given by

$$\mathcal{M}_m = \frac{|m-\nu|}{R^{|m-\nu|} - R^{-|m-\nu|}} \begin{pmatrix} -(R^{|m-\nu|} + R^{-|m-\nu|}) & 2 \\ \frac{2}{R} & -\frac{1}{R}(R^{|m-\nu|} + R^{-|m-\nu|}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.8)$$

Then, a straightforward calculation shows us that the two eigenvalues are given by

$$\lambda_m^\pm = \frac{|m-\nu|}{2(R^{|m-\nu|} - R^{-|m-\nu|})} \left( -(1 + \frac{1}{R})(R^{|m-\nu|} + R^{-|m-\nu|}) \mp \sqrt{(1 - \frac{1}{R})^2(R^{|m-\nu|} + R^{-|m-\nu|})^2 + \frac{16}{R}} \right). \quad (5.9)$$

Now, writing  $R = e^{-a}$  with  $a > 0$ , one easily gets the following asymptotics when  $m \rightarrow \pm\infty$ ,

$$\lambda_m^+ = \frac{|m-\nu|}{R} (1 + \mathcal{O}(e^{-2a|m|})), \quad (5.10)$$

$$\lambda_m^- = |m-\nu| (1 + \mathcal{O}(e^{-2a|m|})). \quad (5.11)$$

Now, we can follow exactly the same approach as in Section 4.4. Assuming that  $\nu \in [0, 1[$ , we see that the trace of  $e^{-t\mathcal{M}_\nu(R)}$  has a complete asymptotic expansion for  $t$  small enough,

$$\text{Tr}(e^{-t\mathcal{M}_\nu(R)}) = \frac{\cosh(t(\nu - 1/2))}{\sinh \frac{t}{2}} + \frac{\cosh(\frac{t}{R}(\nu - 1/2))}{\sinh \frac{t}{2R}} + \sum_{p \geq 1} a_p(R, \nu) t^p, \quad (5.12)$$

with

$$a_1(R, \nu) := -(1 + \frac{1}{R}) \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |m-\nu| (\coth a|m-\nu| - 1), \quad (5.13)$$

where we have set

$$R = e^{-a} \text{ for } a > 0.$$

In particular, one has

$$\text{Tr}(e^{-t\mathcal{M}_\nu(R)}) = \frac{2 + 2R}{t} + \left( \frac{1 + R - 6\nu - 6R\nu + 6\nu^2 + 6R\nu^2}{6R} + a_1(R, \nu) \right) t + \mathcal{O}(t^2). \quad (5.14)$$

**Remark 5.1.** Using (5.10) and (5.11), we easily see that, for all  $t > 0$ ,

$$\text{Tr}(e^{-t\mathcal{M}_\nu(R)}) \rightarrow \text{Tr}(e^{-t\mathcal{M}_\nu}) , \quad R \rightarrow 0, \quad (5.15)$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_\nu$  is the Aharonov-Bohm D-to-N map defined in Section 4.

## 5.2 Trace formulas for the partial D-to-N map.

We are also interested with trace formulas for the *partial* D-to-N maps defined as follows. Let  $\Gamma_D$  and  $\Gamma_N$  be two open subsets of  $\partial\Omega$ . We define the partial D-to-N map  $\mathcal{M}_{\nu, \Gamma_D, \Gamma_N}$  as the restriction of the global D-to-N map  $\mathcal{M}_\nu$  to Dirichlet data given on  $\Gamma_D$  and Neumann data measured on  $\Gamma_N$ . Precisely, consider the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} Hu = 0, & \text{on } \Omega, \\ u = \psi, & \text{on } \Gamma_D, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma_D. \end{cases} \quad (5.16)$$

We define  $\mathcal{M}_{\nu, \Gamma_D, \Gamma_N}$  as the operator acting on the functions  $\psi \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$  with  $\text{supp } \psi \subset \Gamma_D$  by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\nu, \Gamma_D, \Gamma_N}(\psi) = (\partial_\nu u)|_{\Gamma_N}, \quad (5.17)$$

where  $u$  is the unique solution of (5.16).

In particular, if we take  $\Gamma_D = \Gamma_N = S^1$ , and if denote  $\mathcal{M}_{m,1}$  this partial D-to-N map reduced to  $\text{Vect}(e^{im\theta})$ , it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{M}_{m,1}$  is only the multiplication operator by the first entry of the matrix  $\mathcal{M}_m$  given in (5.8), i.e we have:

$$\mathcal{M}_{m,1} e^{im\theta} = -\frac{|m-\nu|}{R^{|m-\nu|} - R^{-|m-\nu|}} (R^{|m-\nu|} + R^{-|m-\nu|}) e^{im\theta} \quad (5.18)$$

As previously and assuming that  $\nu \in [0, 1[$ , it follows that the heat trace for the partial D-to-N map  $\mathcal{M}_{\nu, S^1, S^1}$  has a complete asymptotic expansion for  $t$  small enough,

$$\text{Tr}(e^{-t\mathcal{M}_{\nu, S^1, S^1}(R)}) = \frac{\cosh(t(\nu - 1/2))}{\sinh \frac{t}{2}} + \sum_{p \geq 1} \tilde{a}_p(R, \nu) t^p, \quad (5.19)$$

with

$$\tilde{a}_1(R, \nu) = - \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |m - \nu| (\coth a|m - \nu| - 1), \quad (5.20)$$

where we have set  $R = e^{-a}$ ,  $a > 0$ . In particular, one has

$$\text{Tr}(e^{-t\mathcal{M}_{\nu, S^1, S^1}(R)}) = \frac{2}{t} + \left( \frac{1}{6} - \nu + \nu^2 + \tilde{a}_1(R, \nu) \right) t + \mathcal{O}(t^2). \quad (5.21)$$

## 6 Constant magnetic field in the disk

### 6.1 Framework

In this section, we consider the following magnetic 1-form defined in the unit disk  $D(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  by :

$$A(x, y) = b(-ydx + xdy), \quad (6.1)$$

where  $b$  is a fixed constant. The 2-form  $dA = 2b \, dx \, dy$  is a constant magnetic field of strength  $2b$ . Note that the magnetic potential  $A$  satisfies the Coulomb gauge :  $\text{div} A = 0$ . The magnetic Laplacian associated with this potential  $A$  is given by

$$\Delta_A = (D - A)^2. \quad (6.2)$$

First, we have to solve:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_A v = 0 & \text{in } D(0, 1), \\ v = \Psi & \text{on } S^1. \end{cases} \quad (6.3)$$

Then, in polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , the D-to-N map is defined (in a weak sense) by :

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(b) : H^{\frac{1}{2}}(S^1) &\rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(S^1) \\ \Psi &\rightarrow \partial_r v(r, \theta)|_{r=1}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

Writing

$$v(r, \theta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n(r) e^{in\theta}, \quad \Psi(\theta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Psi_n e^{in\theta}, \quad (6.5)$$

we see, ([9], Appendix B), that  $v_n(r)$  solves:

$$\begin{cases} -v_n''(r) - \frac{v_n'(r)}{r} + (br - \frac{n}{r})^2 v_n(r) = 0 & \text{for } r \in (0, 1), \\ v_n(1) = \Psi_n. \end{cases} \quad (6.6)$$

A bounded solution to the differential equation (6.6) is given by, (see [9], Eq. (B.2)):

$$v_n(r) = e^{-\frac{br^2}{2}} r^n L_{-\frac{1}{2}}^n(br^2) \quad \text{for } n \geq 0, \quad (6.7)$$

where  $L_\nu^\alpha(z)$  denotes the generalized Laguerre function. For  $n \leq -1$ , thanks to symmetries in (6.6), we get a similar expression for  $v_n(r)$  changing the parameters  $(n, b)$  into  $(-n, -b)$ .

We recall, ([33], p. 336), that the generalized Laguerre functions  $L_\nu^\alpha(z)$  satisfy the differential equation:

$$z \frac{d^2 w}{dz^2} + (1 + \alpha - z) \frac{dw}{dz} + \nu w = 0, \quad (6.8)$$

and are given by

$$L_\nu^\alpha(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \nu + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(\nu + 1)} M(-\nu, \alpha + 1, z), \quad (6.9)$$

where  $M(a, c, z)$  is the Kummer's confluent hypergeometric function, (also denoted by  ${}_1F_1(a, c, z)$  in the literature), defined as

$$M(a, c, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}. \quad (6.10)$$

Here  $(a)_n = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)}$  is the so-called Pochhammer's symbol and  $c \notin \mathbb{Z}^-$ , (see [33], p. 262).

For  $0 < a < c$ , we have the following formula ([33], p. 274):

$$M(a, c, z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(a)} \int_0^1 e^{zt} t^{a-1} (1-t)^{c-a-1} dt. \quad (6.11)$$

When  $z = 0$ , we remark that the previous integral is the usual Beta function  $\beta(x, y)$  computed at  $(a, c-a)$ . It follows that  $M(a, c, 0) = 1$ . The derivative of the Kummer's function with respect to  $z$  is given by:

$$\partial_z M(a, c, z) = \frac{a}{c} M(a+1, c+1, z). \quad (6.12)$$

More generally, for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , we get:

$$\partial_z^N M(a, c, z) = \frac{(a)_N}{(c)_N} M(a + N, c + N, z), \quad (6.13)$$

(see [33], p. 264). Now, writing the Taylor expansion of  $M(a, c, z)$  at  $z_0 = 0$  with integral remainder, we easily get:

$$\left| M(a, c, z) - \sum_{r=0}^N \frac{(a)_r}{(c)_r} \frac{z^r}{r!} \right| \leq \frac{1}{(N+1)!} \frac{(a)_{N+1}}{(c)_{N+1}} |z|^{N+1} \sup_{s \in [0, z]} |M(a + N + 1, c + N + 1, s)|. \quad (6.14)$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \in [0, z]} |M(a + N + 1, c + N + 1, s)| &\leq M(a + N + 1, c + N + 1, z) \\ &\leq e^{z_+} M(a + N + 1, c + N + 1, 0) = e^{z_+}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $z_+ = \max(z, 0)$ .

Hence we finally get, for  $0 < a < c$ , and  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\left| M(a, c, z) - \sum_{r=0}^N \frac{(a)_r}{(c)_r} \frac{z^r}{r!} \right| \leq \frac{1}{(N+1)!} \frac{(a)_{N+1}}{(c)_{N+1}} |z|^{N+1} e^{z_+}. \quad (6.15)$$

Now, let us return to the study of the Steklov eigenvalues. Obviously, they are given by

$$\lambda_n = \frac{v'_n(1)}{v_n(1)} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (6.16)$$

Thus, using (6.7) and (6.9), we see that the Steklov spectrum is the set:

$$\sigma(\Lambda(b)) = \{\lambda_0(b)\} \cup \{\lambda_n(b), \lambda_n(-b)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}, \quad (6.17)$$

where for  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$\lambda_n(b) = n - b + 2b \frac{\partial_z M(\frac{1}{2}, n + 1, b)}{M(\frac{1}{2}, n + 1, b)}. \quad (6.18)$$

**Remark 6.1.** Note that the case  $n = 0$  is unambiguous. Indeed, recalling that the hypergeometric function  $M(\frac{1}{2}, 1, b) = e^{\frac{b}{2}} I_0(\frac{b}{2})$  where

$$I_0(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{z^{2k}}{2^{2k} (k!)^2} \quad (6.19)$$

is the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order 0, we get immediately:

$$\lambda_0(b) = b \frac{I'_0(\frac{b}{2})}{I_0(\frac{b}{2})}, \quad (6.20)$$

and this last quantity is even with respect to  $b$ .

## 6.2 Asymptotic expansion of the relative Steklov heat trace operator.

In this subsection, we compute the asymptotics when  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  of the relative Steklov heat trace operator which is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr} (e^{-t\Lambda(b)} - e^{-t\Lambda(0)}) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (e^{-t\lambda_n(b)} - e^{-t|n|}) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-t\lambda_n(b)} - \coth\left(\frac{t}{2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.21)$$

We assume that the strength of the magnetic field  $b \in [-L, L]$ , where  $L$  is a fixed positive real. We emphasize that in the following, we control uniformly all the remainders appearing in the next asymptotic expansions with respect to small  $b$ .

Using (6.12), (6.15) and (6.18), a tedious calculus shows that

$$\lambda_n(b) = n - b + 2b \left( \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{b-1}{2n^2} + \frac{2b^2 - 7b + 2}{4n^3} \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{|b|}{n^4}\right), \quad n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (6.22)$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we set

$$\mu_n(b) = n - b + 2b \left( \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{b-1}{2n^2} + \frac{2b^2 - 7b + 2}{4n^3} \right), \quad (6.23)$$

so that

$$\lambda_n(b) - \mu_n(b) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{|b|}{n^4}\right), \quad n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (6.24)$$

Now we can compute the asymptotics of  $\mathrm{Tr} (e^{-t\Lambda(b)} - e^{-t\Lambda(0)})$  when  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ . We write

$$\mathrm{Tr} (e^{-t\Lambda(b)} - e^{-t\Lambda(0)}) = (e^{-t\lambda_0(b)} - 1) + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (e^{-t\lambda_n(b)} - e^{-tn}) + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (e^{-t\lambda_n(-b)} - e^{-tn}). \quad (6.25)$$

First, let us study the first term of the (RHS) of (6.25). Using (6.19) and (6.20), we get the following asymptotics when  $b \rightarrow 0$ :

$$\lambda_0(b) = \frac{b^2}{4} + \mathcal{O}(b^4), \quad (6.26)$$

It follows that the first term of the (RHS) of (6.25) satisfies

$$e^{-t\lambda_0(b)} = 1 - t\lambda_0(b) + \frac{t^2}{2}\lambda_0^2(b) + \mathcal{O}(b^6 t^3), \quad t \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (6.27)$$

Now, let us study the second term of the (RHS) of (6.25). To simplify the notation in the beginning of this calculus, we will write  $\lambda_n = \lambda_n(b)$  and  $\mu_n = \mu_n(b)$ .

One has:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (e^{-t\lambda_n(b)} - e^{-tn}) &= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (e^{-t\mu_n} e^{-t(\lambda_n - \mu_n)} - e^{-tn}) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left[ e^{-t\mu_n} \left( 1 - t(\lambda_n - \mu_n) + \frac{t^2}{2}(\lambda_n - \mu_n)^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{b^3 t^3}{n^{12}}\right) \right) - e^{-tn} \right] \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (e^{-t\mu_n} - e^{-tn}) - t \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-t\mu_n} (\lambda_n - \mu_n) + \frac{t^2}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-t\mu_n} (\lambda_n - \mu_n)^2 + \mathcal{O}(|b|^3 t^3) \\
&:= (1) + (2) + (3) + \mathcal{O}(|b|^3 t^3).
\end{aligned}$$

First, let us give the asymptotic expansion of (1). We set

$$\gamma_n = \mu_n - n + b = 2b \left( \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{b-1}{2n^2} + \frac{2b^2 - 7b + 2}{4n^2} \right) \quad (6.28)$$

One has:

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) &= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (e^{-t(n-b+\gamma_n)} - e^{-tn}) \\
&= e^{tb} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (e^{-t(n+\gamma_n)} - e^{-tn}) + (e^{tb} - 1) \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-tn} \\
&= e^{tb} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-tn} (e^{-t\gamma_n} - 1) + \frac{e^{tb} - 1}{e^t - 1} \\
&= e^{tb} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-tn} \left( -t\gamma_n + \frac{t^2}{2}\gamma_n^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{|b|^3 t^3}{n^3}\right) \right) + \frac{e^{tb} - 1}{e^t - 1} \\
&= e^{tb} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-tn} \left( -t\gamma_n + \frac{t^2}{2}\gamma_n^2 \right) + \frac{e^{tb} - 1}{e^t - 1} + \mathcal{O}(|b|^3 t^3).
\end{aligned}$$

Using Mathematica, we obtain the following asymptotics when  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ :

$$(1) = b + bt \log t + C(b) t + bt^2 \log t + D(b) t^2 + \frac{1}{4}(2b - b^2)t^3 \log t + \mathcal{O}(|b|t^3), \quad (6.29)$$

where

$$C(b) = \frac{1}{6} \left( -3b + 3b^2 + (b - b^2)\pi^2 - (6b - 21b^2 + 6b^3) \zeta(3) \right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
D(b) &= \frac{1}{7560} \left( -10710b + 5670b^2 + 1260b^3 + (1260b - 2520b^2)\pi^2 + (126b^2 - 378b^3 + 126b^4)\pi^4 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (4b^2 - 28b^3 + 57b^4 - 28b^5 + 4b^6)\pi^6 - (15120b^2 - 34020b^3 + 7560b^4) \zeta(3) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (7560b^2 - 34020b^3 + 34020b^4 - 7560b^5) \zeta(5) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Secondly, let us specify the asymptotics of (2). One has:

$$\begin{aligned}
(2) &= -t \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-t\mu_n} (\lambda_n - \mu_n) \\
&= -t \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (1 - t\mu_n + R_n(t)) (\lambda_n - \mu_n),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_n(t) = (t\mu_n)^2 \int_0^1 (1-s)e^{-st\mu_n} ds \quad (6.30)$$

and satisfies

$$|R_n(t)| \leq \frac{t^2 \mu_n^2}{2}. \quad (6.31)$$

It follows that

$$(2) = -t \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\lambda_n - \mu_n) + t^2 \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \mu_n (\lambda_n - \mu_n) + \mathcal{O}(|b|t^3), \quad (6.32)$$

since  $\lambda_n - \mu_n = \mathcal{O}(\frac{|b|}{n^4})$  and  $\mu_n^2 = \mathcal{O}(n^2)$ .

The expression (3) is easier to study. As previously, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(3) &= \frac{t^2}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{-t\mu_n} (\lambda_n - \mu_n)^2 \\
&= \frac{t^2}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\lambda_n - \mu_n)^2 + \mathcal{O}(b^2 t^3).
\end{aligned} \quad (6.33)$$

As a conclusion, using (6.29), (6.32) and (6.33), we get immediately:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (e^{-t\lambda_n(b)} - e^{-tn}) &= b + bt \log t + \left( C(b) - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\lambda_n(b) - \mu_n(b)) \right) t + bt^2 \log t \\
&+ \left( D(b) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\lambda_n^2(b) - \mu_n^2(b)) \right) t^2 + \frac{1}{4} (2b - b^2) t^3 \log t + \mathcal{O}(|b|t^3). \quad (6.34)
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that the third term of the (RHS) of (6.25) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (e^{-t\lambda_n(-b)} - e^{-tn}) &= -b - bt \log t + \left( C(-b) - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\lambda_n(-b) - \mu_n(-b)) \right) t \\
&- bt^2 \log t + \left( D(-b) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\lambda_n^2(-b) - \mu_n^2(-b)) \right) t^2 \\
&- \frac{1}{4} (2b + b^2) t^3 \log t + \mathcal{O}(|b|t^3). \quad (6.35)
\end{aligned}$$



Thus, thanks to (6.27), (6.34) and (6.35), we have obtained:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}(e^{-t\Lambda(b)} - e^{-t\Lambda(0)}) &= \left( C(b) + C(-b) - \lambda_0(b) - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\lambda_n(b) - \mu_n(b)) - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\lambda_n(-b) - \mu_n(-b)) \right) t \\
&+ \left( D(b) + D(-b) + \frac{\lambda_0^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\lambda_n^2(b) - \mu_n^2(b)) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\lambda_n^2(-b) - \mu_n^2(-b)) \right) t^2 \\
&- \frac{b^2}{2} t^3 \log t + \mathcal{O}(|b|t^3). \tag{6.36}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the expressions of  $C(b)$  and  $D(b)$ , we have proved the following result:

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $L$  be a fixed positive real and  $b \in [-L, L]$ . When  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ , we get the asymptotic expansion of the relative Steklov heat trace operator, (and uniformly with respect to small  $b$ ),*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}(e^{-t\Lambda(b)} - e^{-t\Lambda(0)}) &= \left( \left(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{3} + 7\zeta(3)\right) b^2 - \lambda_0(b) - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\lambda_n(b) - \mu_n(b)) - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\lambda_n(-b) - \mu_n(-b)) \right) t \\
&+ \left( \frac{1}{3780} ((5670 - 2520\pi^2 + 126\pi^4 + 4\pi^6 - 15120\zeta(3) - 7560\zeta(5)) b^2 \right. \\
&+ (126\pi^4 + 57\pi^6 - 7560\zeta(3) - 34020\zeta(5)) b^4 + 4\pi^6 b^6) \\
&+ \left. \frac{\lambda_0^2(b)}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\lambda_n^2(b) - \mu_n^2(b)) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\lambda_n^2(-b) - \mu_n^2(-b)) \right) t^2 \\
&- \frac{b^2}{2} t^3 \log t + \mathcal{O}(|b|t^3). \tag{6.37}
\end{aligned}$$

**Remark 6.3.** *Using Mathematica, we are able to compute the asymptotics of  $\mathrm{Tr}(e^{-t\Lambda(b)} - e^{-t\Lambda(0)})$  up to the order  $\mathcal{O}(t^4)$ . The coefficient of  $t^3$  is too complicated to be written here, but essentially, this term is in the same form as the coefficient of  $t^2$  in Theorem 6.2, (it is even with respect to  $b$ ), and we can see that the error term in Theorem 6.2 is actually equal to  $\mathcal{O}(b^2t^3)$ . In contrast, the next logarithmic term is very simple and is given by  $-b^2t^4 \log t$ .*

As a by-product, recalling that  $\mathrm{Tr}(e^{-t\Lambda(0)}) = \coth(\frac{t}{2})$ , we get :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}(e^{-t\Lambda(b)}) &= \frac{2}{t} + \left( \frac{1}{6} + \left(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{3} + 7\zeta(3)\right) b^2 - \lambda_0(b) - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\lambda_n(b) - \mu_n(b)) - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\lambda_n(-b) - \mu_n(-b)) \right) t \\
&+ \left( \frac{1}{3780} ((5670 - 2520\pi^2 + 126\pi^4 + 4\pi^6 - 15120\zeta(3) - 7560\zeta(5)) b^2 \right. \\
&+ (126\pi^4 + 57\pi^6 - 7560\zeta(3) - 34020\zeta(5)) b^4 + 4\pi^6 b^6) \\
&+ \left. \frac{\lambda_0^2(b)}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\lambda_n^2(b) - \mu_n^2(b)) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\lambda_n^2(-b) - \mu_n^2(-b)) \right) t^2 \\
&- \frac{b^2}{2} t^3 \log t + \mathcal{O}(t^3). \tag{6.38}
\end{aligned}$$

According to us, it is the first time that an explicit logarithmic term is computed for the magnetic Steklov heat trace. For a general pseudo-differential operator of degree 1, it is known that the presence of logarithmic terms is "generic", (see Gilkey-Grubb [18]). We do not know if such a generic result holds inside the class of D-to-N operators.

### 6.3 Some remarks in the regime $b \rightarrow 0$ .

The coefficients of order  $\mathcal{O}(t)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(t^2)$  appearing in Theorem 6.2 are rather cumbersome to study. So, in this subsection, we give the asymptotics of these coefficients when the constant magnetic field  $b \rightarrow 0$ .

We set :

$$E(b) = \left(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{3} + 7\zeta(3)\right)b^2 - \lambda_0(b) - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\lambda_n(b) - \mu_n(b)) - \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\lambda_n(-b) - \mu_n(-b)). \quad (6.39)$$

Using (6.15), we get for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\lambda_n(b) - \mu_n(b) = -\frac{1}{n^3(n+1)}b + \frac{18n^2 + 31n + 14}{2n^3(n+1)^2(n+2)}b^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{|b|^3}{n^4}\right). \quad (6.40)$$

Thus, we obtain:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\lambda_n(b) - \mu_n(b)) = \left(1 - \frac{\pi^2}{6} + \zeta(3)\right)b + \frac{1}{4}(5 - \pi^2 + 14\zeta(3))b^2 + \mathcal{O}(|b|^3). \quad (6.41)$$

Then, we deduce easily from (6.39) that:

$$E(b) = \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{7}{4}\right)b^2 + \mathcal{O}(|b|^3). \quad (6.42)$$

**Remark 6.4.** Numerically,  $\frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{7}{4} \simeq -0.105$ . Thus, for small enough  $b$ , we see that  $E(b) \leq 0$ , and in particular the diamagnetic property holds (in a weak sense).

In the same way, if we set

$$\begin{aligned} F(b) = & \frac{1}{3780} \left( (5670 - 2520\pi^2 + 126\pi^4 + 4\pi^6 - 15120\zeta(3) - 7560\zeta(5))b^2 \right. \\ & \left. + (126\pi^4 + 57\pi^6 - 7560\zeta(3) - 34020\zeta(5))b^4 + 4\pi^6 b^6 \right) \\ & + \frac{\lambda_0^2}{2}(b) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\lambda_n^2(b) - \mu_n^2(b)) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (\lambda_n^2(-b) - \mu_n^2(-b)), \end{aligned} \quad (6.43)$$

we find with Mathematica that

$$F(b) = \frac{2\pi^2 - 21}{6} b^2 + \mathcal{O}(|b|^3). \quad (6.44)$$

As previously, since  $\frac{2\pi^2 - 21}{6} \simeq -0.210$ , we see that the diamagnetic property also holds for this coefficient.

Laboratoire de Mathématiques Jean Leray, UMR CNRS 6629. Nantes Université, 2 Rue de la Houssinière, BP 92208, F-44322 Nantes Cedex 03  
Email adress: Bernard.Helffer@univ-nantes.fr

Laboratoire de Mathématiques Jean Leray, UMR CNRS 6629. Nantes Université, 2 Rue de la Houssinière, BP 92208, F-44322 Nantes Cedex 03  
Email adress: francois.nicoleau@univ-nantes.fr

## References

- [1] AHARONOV, Y., AND BOHM, D. Significance of electromagnetic potentials in the quantum theory. *Phys. Rev., II. Ser.* 115 (1959), 485–491.
- [2] AVRON, J., HERBST, I., AND SIMON, B. Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields. I: General interactions. *Duke Math. J.* 45 (1978), 847–883.
- [3] BESSON, G., COLBOIS, B., AND COURTOIS, G. Sur la multiplicité de la première valeur propre de l’opérateur de Schrödinger avec champ magnétique sur la sphère  $S^2$ . (on the multiplicity of the first eigenvalue of the schrödinger operator with magnetic field on the sphere  $S^2$ ). *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 350, 1 (1998), 331–345.
- [4] BOUTET DE MONVEL, L. Boundary problems for pseudo-differential operators. *Acta Math.* 126 (1971), 11–51.
- [5] BRASCHE, J. F., AND MELGAARD, M. The Friedrichs extension of the Aharonov-Bohm Hamiltonian on a disc. *Integral Equations Oper. Theory* 52, 3 (2005), 419–436.
- [6] BRINGMANN, K., AND KIM, B. On the asymptotic behavior of unimodal rank generating functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 435, 1 (2016), 627–645.
- [7] BROWN, R. M., AND SALO, M. Identifiability at the boundary for first-order terms. *Appl. Anal.* 85, 6-7 (2006), 735–749.
- [8] CALDERÓN, A.-P. On an inverse boundary value problem. In *Seminar on Numerical Analysis and its Applications to Continuum Physics (Rio de Janeiro, 1980)*. Soc. Brasil. Mat., Rio de Janeiro, 1980, pp. 65–73.
- [9] COLBOIS, B., LÉNA, C., PROVENZANO, L., AND SAVO, A. Geometric bounds for the magnetic Neumann eigenvalues in the plane. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* 179 (2023), 454–497.
- [10] COLBOIS, B., PROVENZANO, L., AND SAVO, A. Isoperimetric inequalities for the magnetic Neumann and Steklov problems with Aharonov-Bohm magnetic potential. *J. Geom. Anal.* 32, 11 (2022), 38. Id/No 285.
- [11] COLIN DE VERDIÈRE, Y., AND TORKI, N. Schrödinger operator with magnetic field. In *Séminaire de théorie spectrale et géométrie. Année 1992–1993*. Chambéry: Univ. de Savoie, Fac. des Sciences, Service de Math.; St. Martin d’Hères: Univ. de Grenoble I, Inst. Fourier, 1993, pp. 9–18.
- [12] COMBES JEAN-MICHEL, SCHRADER ROBERT, S. R. Classical bounds and limits for energy distributions of hamilton operators in electromagnetic fields. *Annals of Physics* 111 (1978), 1–18.
- [13] DOS SANTOS FERREIRA, D., KENIG, C. E., SJÖSTRAND, J., AND UHLMANN, G. Determining a magnetic Schrödinger operator from partial Cauchy data. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 271, 2 (2007), 467–488.
- [14] FOURNAIS, S., AND HELFFER, B. *Spectral methods in surface superconductivity*, vol. 77 of *Prog. Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* Basel: Birkhäuser, 2010.
- [15] FRANK, R. L., AND HANSSON, A. M. Eigenvalue estimates for the Aharonov-Bohm operator in a domain. In *Methods of spectral analysis in mathematical physics. Proceedings of the conference on operator theory, analysis and mathematical physics (OTAMP), Lund, Sweden, June 15–22, 2006*. Basel: Birkhäuser, 2009, pp. 115–137.
- [16] FRASER, A., AND SCHOEN, R. The first Steklov eigenvalue, conformal geometry, and minimal surfaces. *Adv. Math.* 226, 5 (2011), 4011–4030.
- [17] GILKEY, P. B. The spectral geometry of a Riemannian manifold. *J. Differ. Geom.* 10 (1975), 601–618.
- [18] GILKEY, P. B., AND GRUBB, G. Logarithmic terms in asymptotic expansions of heat operator traces. *Commun. Partial Differ. Equations* 23, 5-6 (1998), 777–792.
- [19] GIROUARD, A., KARPUKHIN, M., LEVITIN, M., AND POLTEROVICH, I. The Dirichlet-to-Neumann map, the boundary Laplacian, and Hörmander’s rediscovered manuscript. *J. Spectr. Theory* 12, 1 (2022), 195–225.
- [20] GIROUARD, A., AND POLTEROVICH, I. Spectral geometry of the Steklov problem (survey article). *J. Spectr. Theory* 7, 2 (2017), 321–359.

- [21] HANSSON, A. M. On the spectrum and eigenfunctions of the Schrödinger operator with Aharonov–Bohm magnetic field. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 2005, 23 (2005), 3751–3766.
- [22] HASSELL, A., AND IVRII, V. Spectral asymptotics for the semiclassical Dirichlet to Neumann operator. *J. Spectr. Theory* 7, 3 (2017), 881–905.
- [23] HELFFER, B., AND PURICE, R. Magnetic calculus and semiclassical trace formulas. *J. Phys. A, Math. Theor.* 43, 47 (2010), 21. Id/No 474028.
- [24] HÖRMANDER, L. The spectral function of an elliptic operator. *Acta Math.* 121 (1968), 193–218.
- [25] HUNDERTMARK, D., AND SIMON, B. A diamagnetic inequality for semigroup differences. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 571 (2004), 107–130.
- [26] KORDYUKOV, Y. A., AND TAIMANOV, I. A. Trace formula for the magnetic Laplacian. *Russ. Math. Surv.* 74, 2 (2019), 325–361.
- [27] KUWABARA, R. On spectra of the Laplacian on vector bundles. *J. Math., Tokushima Univ.* 16 (1982), 1–23.
- [28] LEE, J. M., AND UHLMANN, G. Determining anisotropic real-analytic conductivities by boundary measurements. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 42, 8 (1989), 1097–1112.
- [29] LEINFELDER, H. Gauge invariance of Schrödinger operators and related spectral properties. *J. Oper. Theory* 9 (1983), 163–179.
- [30] LEINFELDER, H., AND SIMADER, C. G. Schrödinger operators with singular magnetic vector potentials. *Math. Z.* 176 (1981), 1–19.
- [31] LÉNA, C. Eigenvalues variations for Aharonov-Bohm operators. *J. Math. Phys.* 56, 1 (2015), 011502, 18.
- [32] LIU, G., AND TAN, X. Spectral invariants of the magnetic Dirichlet-to-Neumann map on Riemannian manifolds. *J. Math. Phys.* 64, 4 (2023), 47. Id/No 041501.
- [33] MAGNUS, W., OBERHETTINGER, F., AND SONI, R. P. *Formulas and theorems for the special functions of mathematical physics. 3rd enlarged ed.*, vol. 52 of *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.* Springer, Cham, 1966.
- [34] MĂNTOIU, M., AND PURICE, R. The magnetic Weyl calculus. *J. Math. Phys.* 45, 4 (2004), 1394–1417.
- [35] MELGAARD, M., OUHABAZ, E.-M., AND ROZENBLUM, G. Negative discrete spectrum of perturbed multivortex Aharonov–Bohm Hamiltonians. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 5, 5 (2005), 979–1012, , Erratum *ibid.* 6, No. 2, 397–398 (2005).
- [36] NAKAMURA, G., SUN, Z., AND UHLMANN, G. Global identifiability for an inverse problem for the Schrödinger equation in a magnetic field. *Math. Ann.* 303, 3 (1995), 377–388.
- [37] ODENCRANTZ, K. The effects of a magnetic field on asymptotics of the trace of the heat kernel. *J. Funct. Anal.* 79, 2 (1988), 398–422.
- [38] OUHABAZ, E.-M. Invariance of closed convex sets and domination criteria for semigroups. *Potential Anal.* 5, 6 (1996), 611–625.
- [39] POLTEROVICH, I., AND SHER, D. A. Heat invariants of the Steklov problem. *J. Geom. Anal.* 25, 2 (2015), 924–950.
- [40] PROVENZANO, L., AND SAVO, A. Geometry of the magnetic Steklov problem on Riemannian annuli, arxiv 2310.08203, (2023).
- [41] SALO, M. *Inverse problems for nonsmooth first order perturbations of the Laplacian*, vol. 139 of *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Math., Diss.* Helsinki: Suomalainen Tiedeakatemia. Helsinki: Univ. of Helsinki, Department of Mathematics and Statistics (Thesis), 2004.
- [42] SEELEY, R. T. Complex powers of an elliptic operator. *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* 10, 288–307 (1967)., 1967.
- [43] SIMON, B. *Functional integration and quantum physics*, vol. 86 of *Pure Appl. Math., Academic Press.* Academic Press, New York, NY, 1979.
- [44] SIMON, B. Kato’s inequality and the comparison of semigroups. *J. Funct. Anal.* 32 (1979), 97–101.
- [45] SIMON, B. Schrödinger semigroups. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc., New Ser.* 7 (1982), 447–526.
- [46] TER ELST, A. F. M., AND OUHABAZ, E. M. The diamagnetic inequality for the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 54, 5 (2022), 1978–1997.
- [47] TOLMASKY, C. F. Exponentially growing solutions for nonsmooth first-order perturbations of the Laplacian. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 29, 1 (1998), 116–133.
- [48] TZOU, L. Stability estimates for coefficients of magnetic Schrödinger equation from full and partial boundary measurements. *Commun. Partial Differ. Equations* 33, 11 (2008), 1911–1952.