
Graphical Abstract Physical-chemical Ap

Physical-chemical Approach for the Impact of Modifying Molecular Bridges of TPA-Based Systems to Improve the Photovoltaic Properties of Organic Solar Cells

D. Madrid-Úsuga, O. J. Suárez



Highlights

Physical-chemical Approach for the Impact of Modifying Molecular Bridges of TPA-Based Systems to Improve the Photovoltaic Properties of Organic Solar Cells

D. Madrid-Úsuga, O. J. Suárez

- Triphenylamine derivatives were characterized using density functional theory (DFT) and time-dependent (TD-DFT) calculations.
- We have studied four A- π -D- π -A molecular system that contain triphenylamine, thiazole and thiophene fragment in their structure.
- The estimation of the energy of the HOMO-LUMO boundary molecular orbitals showed an important correlation with the available experimental data.
- The calculated molecular systems present an important potential for use as photovoltaic materials in BHJ solar cell.

Physical-chemical Approach for the Impact of Modifying Molecular Bridges of TPA-Based Systems to Improve the Photovoltaic Properties of Organic Solar Cells

D. Madrid-Úsuga^{*a*,*}, O. J. Suárez^{*a*}

^aDepartamento de Física, Universidad de Sucre, A.A. 406, Sincelejo, Colombia. Grupo de Investigación Teoría de la Materia Condensada

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Molecular systems Reorganization energy DFT UV-Vis absorption PCE.

ABSTRACT

The theoretical design of donor chromophores based on triphenylamine and 2-(1,1-dicyanomethy lene)rhodanine (DCRD-DCRD-2) is proposed through structural adaptation with several molecular bridges derived from thiophene that can be used as new organic materials for organic solar cells (OSC). The optoelectronics properties and geometries of the DCRD-DCRD-2 organic molecules are characterized using the B3LYP and CAM-B3LYP functional, with the basis set 6-31G(d,p). Consequently, the UV-Visible results revealed that a good relationship was found between the experimental values and the calculated using the DFT and TD-DFT level of theory. The study involved the prediction of photo-physical descriptors such as frontier molecular orbitals, ionization potential, electron affinity, molecular electrostatic potential, reorganization energy, open circuit voltage (V_{oc}) , fill factor (FF), and short-circuit current (J_{sc}) in the ground state geometry, using the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) basis set. Structural tailoring with various molecular bridges resulted in a narrowing of the energy gap (2.130 - 1.96 eV) with broader absorption spectra (525.55 - 417.69 nm). An effective charge transfer toward the lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (LUMO) from the highest occupied molecular orbitals (HOMO) was studied, which played a crucial role in conducting materials. **DCRD-2** exhibited λ_{max} at 417.69 nm in EtOH (ethanol) solvent with the lowest band gap (1.96 eV) and the lowest excitation energy of 2.968 eV. The highest mobility of holes and electrons is determined in all the designed molecules due to their low reorganization energy values that validated preferable photovoltaic properties in the **DCRD-1** molecular system. **DCRD-1** showed the lowest electron mobility ($\lambda_{\rho} = 0.317 \text{ eV}$) and **DCRD-2** demonstrated the lowest hole mobility ($\lambda_h = 0.198 \text{ eV}$), it is found that the **DCRD-1** molecular system presents better equilibrium properties for the transport of holes and electrons. Our comparative results are proposed as possible options for designing efficient OSCs. Finally, it was found that by using the molecular systems in the active layer of a bulk heterojunction (BHJ) organic solar cells as electron-donor materials, the DCRD-1 compound shows more efficient results for V_{ac} , J_{sc} and FF and, therefore, it has a slightly higher energy conversion power compared to DCRD and DCRD-2 compounds.

1. Introduction

Currently, the excessive exploitation of natural resources, the growth of global energy demand, and the environmental crisis, associated with the excessive increase in fossil fuels, generate concern for immediate attention and show the need for alternative sources of renewable energy to stop further impacts. In this sense, organic solar cells (OSCs) are potential candidates to help in this task, due to the large amount of sunlight available. Silicon with high thermal stability, low toxicity and high efficiency of about 47.6% has been used as a semiconductor material in solar cells for decades [1]. However, there are certain drawbacks associated with silicon-based solar cells, including non-tunable energy levels, hardness, and high cost with manufacturing difficulty. Therefore, OSCs have been the subject of intense research due to their potential for the generation of renewable energy [2, 3, 4]. These photovoltaic cells, mainly composed of organic materials, have demonstrated a good ability to convert sunlight into electricity efficiently [5, 6, 7]. Despite their advantages in flexibility [8], lightness and potential low production cost [9], CSOs still face significant challenges in terms of energy efficiency. In the quest to improve the efficiency of OSCs, the creation and design of new


[😫] duvalier.madridQunisucrevirtual.edu.co (D. Madrid-Úsuga)

ORCID(s): https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4916-0211 (D. Madrid-Úsuga)

organic materials play a fundamental role [10]. The design and synthesis of new molecular compounds seek to overcome current limitations by optimizing photo-physical and electrochemical properties, thus improving the absorption of sunlight, the mobility of electrons and the reduction of energy losses [11]. The design of new molecular materials for organic solar cells involves exploring a wide range of chemical structures and configurations to maximize light absorption, improve electron mobility and reduce energy losses. In this sense, triphenylamine (TPA) is a good candidate for this purpose [12, 13], since the use of flat or bulky groups is crucial for the aggregation of the system, which disfavors the charge recombination processes and, therefore, increases the efficiency of the device; which together with pushpull systems covalently linked to 2-(1,1-dicyanomethylene)rhodanine [14], allows efficient anchoring to TiO, since it prevents dissociation on the TiO surface after long irradiation time, increasing the stability of the molecules [15].

In this paper, we have designed three new $A-\pi$ -D- π -A type chromophores, namely **DCRD-DCRD-2**, derived from rhodanine. All of the new compounds have been designed by changing the molecular bridging unit of the chromophores, which contain two (1,1-dicyanomethylene)rhodanine units at the terminal part linked at the terminal with an electron-donating nucleus, triphenylamine. We studied the impact of various molecular bridging units on the electronic, optical, and charge transfer behavior of the newly designed chromophores to evaluate them as efficient OSC-based composites for future manufacturing of high-performance electronic devices.

This article is organized as follows: In section 2, se muestran los sistemas moleculares diseñados para este estudio y el metodo computacional implementado, section 3, the results associated with the study systems are presented, such as: Frontier molecular orbital (FMO); Absorption spectral, ionization potential and electron affinity, reorganization energy and molecular electrostatic potential, in section 4 the photovoltaic properties as well as the energy conversion capacity (PCE) parameter of the study systems are presented. as hole transporting material. Finally, in section 5, we present our conclusions.



2. Chromophores and Computational Methods

Figure 1: Molecular Chromophores under study. For simplicity reasons we labeled the complexes as DCRD: made up of a fragment of 4–(Hexyloxy)triphenylamine (Donor) + 2,5–Dimethylthiophene (Bridge 1) +2–(1,1-Dicyanomethylene)–1,3–thiazolene–4 (Acceptor); the DCRD-1: is made up of 4–(Hexyloxy)triphenylamine (Donor) + 4,4"–Dihexyl–2,2':5',2"–terthiophene (Bridge 2) + 2–(1,1-Dicyanomethylene)–1,3–thiazolene–4 (Acceptor); and the DCRD-2: is 4–(Hexyloxy)triphenylamine (Donor) + 2–(9,9-Dihexil–9H–fluoren–2–yL)hexylthiophene (Bridge 3) + 2–(1,1-Dicyanomethylene)–1,3–thiazolene–4 (Acceptor).

In this work, we consider an organic molecular system as presented in Fig. 1. They classify each molecule into three fragments, that is to say, a structure that acts as an electron-donor (central unit), molecular bridges to facilitate




Figure 2: Energy level diagram of the Kohn-Sham orbitals of the dyes DCRD, DCRD-1, DCRD-2 calculated using density functional theory (DFT) for the EtOH solvent.

intermolecular charge transfer, followed by electron-acceptors (terminal groups). In the systems, we use the structure of 4-Hexylocy-Triphenylamine (TPA) as an electron-donor fragment consisting of a Triphenylamine nucleus, connected by a structure of molecular bridges (**B**) to the fragment electron-acceptor 2-(1,1-Dicyanomethylene)-1,3thiazolene-4 (DCR). We use different molecular bridges for the final design of the new push-pull chromophores, such as 2,5-Dimethylthiophene (B1), 4,4"-Dihexyl-2,2':5',2"-Terthiophene (B2) and 2-(9,9-Dihexyl-9H-Fluoren-2yl)hexylthiophene (B3); to design the systems, (DCRD), (DCRD-1) and (DCRD-2), respectively. To obtain the geometry of the most stable conformation of the molecular systems, they were geometrically optimized to give an image of the molecular structures in the ground state (S_0) using density functional theory (DFT) through the hybrid functional B3LYP [16], with the basis set 6-31+G(d,p), using Gaussian 09 [17]. The energies of the molecular system are calculated with the optimized structures. The excited states of the molecules, as well as the calculation of the UV-Vis spectra reported here, were simulated using of time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) and the CAM-B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) basis set. We considered the systems in the gas phase and the presence of an ethanol environment (EtOH) as a solvent by means of the conductor-like polarization continuum model (C-PCM) [18, 19]. It was found that the functional showed a reasonable affinity with the experimentally reported maximum absorption wavelength λ_{max} for reference **DCRD** systems. Additionally, we derive different properties of molecular systems, such as higher occupied molecular orbitals (HOMOs), lower unoccupied molecular orbitals (LUMOs), energy gap, reorganization energy, and excitation energy, from the computational results.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Frontier Molecular Orbital (FMO) Analysis.

Starting from the optimized geometries of the molecular systems **DCRD**, **DCRD-1** and **DCRD-2**, we implemented TD-DFT to determine the electronic transitions that best favor charge transfer processes (CT) in molecular systems, as well as analyzing the FMO frontier molecular orbitals (i.e., HOMOs and LUMOs) in order to quantify the relationship between structural and electronic geometry, FMOs are crucial variables in quantum physics and chemistry where they are used to characterize charge transfer, molecular correlation, kinetic stability, and chemical reactivity [20]. The distribution pattern of FMOs provides valuable images and numbers for characterizing the photovoltaic and optoelectronics behavior of chromophores in OSCs [21, 22]. The energy gap (E_g^{el}) of FMO indicates the requirement for exciton dissociation; therefore, it is a characteristic quantity of solar cells and other photovoltaic devices [23]. Molecular systems with broader E_g^{el} are considered more stable, less reactive and harder molecules, while molecules with reduced E_g^{el} are

Table 1

Energies of the HOMO (E_H) and LUMO (E_L) calculated, maximum absorption wavelength λ_{max} and Band Gap (E_g^{el}) of all researched molecular systems in Gas Phase and EtOH.

System	λ_{max} (nm)	E_H (eV)	E_L (eV)	E_{g}^{el} (eV)	
		Gas Phase			
DCRD	462.40	-5.58	-3.28	2.30	
DCRD-1	494.83	-5.28	-3.18	2.10	
DCRD-2	407.60	-5.07	-3.10	1.97	
EtOH					
DCRD	449.62	-5.30	-3.17	2.13	
DCRD-1	525.55	-5.12	-3.15	1.97	
DCRD-2	417.69	-5.01	-3.05	1.96	

more reactive, softer molecules and that have impressive heat transfer. Intermolecular charge (TIC) [24]. To examine the impact of molecular bridges on the optoelectronics properties of the investigated chromophores **DCRD**, **DCRD-1** and **DCRD-2**, the energy levels of HOMO, LUMO and their band gaps E_g^{el} are analyzed. Therefore, the energies and contour diagrams of the selected Kohn-Sham molecular orbitals, including HOMO and LUMO, are illustrated in Fig. 2 for the reference **DCRD** and **DCRD-1**, **DCRD-2** in the environment of an ethanol solvent.

For the HOMO in all systems, one can observe that the electrons are significantly localized in the electron-donor fragment of triphenylamine both in the gas phase and in the ethanol solvent (Fig. 2), while HOMO-1 is mainly composed of fragments of the molecular bridge and the electron-acceptor fragment for the reference system **DCRD**, and for **DCRD-1** and **DCRD-2** focuses mainly on the molecular bridge and a weak contribution from the electron-acceptor fragment, both in the gas phase and in EtOH. However, in the case of the LUMO and LUMO+1 orbitals, these are formed mainly through the participation of the electron-acceptor unit. Therefore, the transitions of molecular systems from HOMO to LUMO, together with the transitions from HOMO to LUMO+1, represent charge transfers from the electron-acceptor fragment; however, transitions from HOMO-1 to LUMO or LUMO+1 represent CT between the molecular bridge and the electron-acceptor fragment. The HOMO and LUMO energy values of the reference **DCRD** in EtOH are -5.30 eV and -3.17 eV, which are in agreement with the experimental reported values (-5.40 eV and -3.11 eV) [14], and also present a band gap of 2.13 eV which is considered the highest E_g^{el} among all molecular architectures. The estimated HOMO and LUMO energy values for **DCRD-1** and **DCRD-2** molecules are shown in Table 1.

On the other hand, it is observed that **DCRD-2** exhibits a narrow band gap of 1.96 eV in EtOH and 1.97 eV in the gas phase due to the molecular bridge of 2-(9,9-Dihexyl-9H-Fluoren-2-yl)hexylthiophene and increase in the π conjugation system that shows the maximum charge transfer from the HOMO to the LUMO, being the lowest among the other systems that present E_g^{el} of 2.13 eV for the reference system **DCRD** and 1.97 eV for **DCRD-1**, respectively. Generally, molecules possessing a electron-withdrawing group have a reduced band gap and higher absorption in the UV-Vis region, thus promoting charge transfer [25]. The decrease in the E_g^{el} of the dyes **DCRD-1** and **DCRD-2** compared to the reference **DCRD** is attributed to the incorporation of thiophene and fluoren units as molecular bridges that enhance the injection of electrons to the electron-acceptor fragment. Furthermore, the **DCRD-2** system has a strong electron-tracting group, which will have greater absorption in the UV-Vis region, thus promoting charge transfer with greater efficiency than the compound reference **DCRD** and the compound **DCRD-1**. Therefore, E_g^{el} increases in the order **DCRD> DCRD-1> DCRD** both in the gas phase and in EtOH. In other words, the modification of the molecular bridges creates a relatively smaller band gap between the orbitals and efficient CT towards the electron-acceptor fragment from the electron-donor fragment, demonstrating that the systems **DCRD-1** and **DCRD-2** are efficient materials for OSC, compared to the reference dye **DCRD**.

3.2. Electronic Absorption Spectral

To elucidate the effect of molecular bridges on the optical properties of new systems, molecules with fascinating light-harvesting capacity are useful to improve the productivity of photovoltaic devices. The electronic transition energies (E_{xc}) , the oscillator strength (f_{osc}) and the maximum absorption lengths (λ_{max}) of the molecular systems




Figure 3: UV-Vis absorption spectra of molecular systems based on triphenylamine and rhodanine obtained using TD-DFT with the CAM-B3LYB/6-31g(d,p) basis set in the gaseous phase and ethanol solvent.

were studied (DCRD–DCRD-2) in the gas phase. In the solvent phase at the TD-DFT/CAM-B3LYP/6-31+(d,p) level of theory, the λ_{max} and other parameters are illustrated in Table 1. Our model and the molecular systems designed in the EtOH solvent present a broad and intense absorption peak ranging between 417.69 and 525.55 nm, while for the gas phase it occurs between 407.60 and 494.83 nm as shown in Fig. 3. It is also observed that the reference DCRD system has $\lambda_{max} = 499.62$ nm in the presence of EtOH, which is in accordance with the value recorded experimentally (489 nm), as well as a peak absorption at 347.78 nm, corresponding to transitions of $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$, and $S_0 \rightarrow S_4$, respectively. The high energy transition at 499.62 nm (HOMO \rightarrow LUMO) can be assigned to an intramolecular charge transfer between the **TPA** donor unit and the **DCR** unit, while the low energy transition energy is given at 347.78 nm (H- $1 \rightarrow L$) corresponds to a charge reorganization between the molecular bridging unit **B1** and the unit **DCRD**. In the case of the system **DCRD-1**, three absorption peaks are observed, where the maximum absorption occurs at 525.55 nm (for $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$) corresponding to the HOMO \rightarrow LUMO electronic transition of greatest contribution, the following two peaks appear around 369.69 nm (for $S_0 \rightarrow S_4$) and 326.14 nm (for $S_0 \rightarrow S_7$), corresponding to the electronic transitions of H-3 \rightarrow L+1. The first and second absorption bands (525.55 nm and 369.69 nm) can be assigned to an intramolecular charge transfer from the 4-Hexylocy-Triphenylamine fragment to the 2-(1,1-Dicyanomethylene)-1,3thiazolene-4 fragment, while for the third energy band, it corresponds to a charge reorganization inside the fragment composed of the molecular bridge and the acceptor unit. The compound **DCRD-2**, on the contrary, only presents two absorption peaks, the first around 417.69 nm and the second around 347.79 nm, corresponding to electronic transitions of HOMO \rightarrow LUMO and HOMO \rightarrow L+1, respectively. It can also be observed that the compound **DCRD-1** presents a bathochromic shift. In contrast, the molecular system **DCRD-2** presents a hypsochromic change in the absorption spectrum, compared to the spectrum of **DCRD** as shown in Fig. 3, both in the EtOH solvent and in the gas phase.

For all molecular compounds, the highest λ_{max} of 525.55 nm and the lowest excitation energy of 2.3591 eV were observed in **DCRD-2** when the employed bridge molecular of 2-(9,9-Dihexyl-9H-Fluoren-2-yl)hexylthiophene. This improvement is due to the introduction of fluorene, which enhances the system's absorption capacity and charge transfer compared to systems with thiophene fragments. The combined electron-attracting nature of the halo and -CN groups in the **DCRD** system reduced the inter-orbital E_g^{el} and excitation energy with a broader absorption band. The general descending order of λ_{max} of the compounds studied both in EtOH, and in the gas phase, **DCRD-1>DCRD>DCRD-2**.

On the other hand, the strength of the oscillator (f_{osc}) [26] is the probability of electronic transition from the HOMO levels of the ground to the LUMO levels excited in the photovoltaic cell. Higher absorption in the UV-visible zone means higher f_{osc} , which shows a higher charge transfer rate and, therefore, a higher light harvesting efficiency (LHE) since LHE measures a molecule's ability to absorb photons. Furthermore, it is a vital component directly correlated with OSCs efficiency under incident light [27]. Molecules expressing high LHE exhibit high short-circuit current (J_{sc})

Physical-chemical Approach for the Impact of Modifying Molecular Bridges of ...

System	States	λ (nm)	ΔE_{ex} (eV)	f_{osc}	LHE
	Gas Phase				
DCRD	$S_0 \to S_1 \\ S_0 \to S_4$	462.40 335.04	2.681 3.701	2.549 0.292	0.9972
DCRD-1	$S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ $S_0 \rightarrow S_4$ $S_0 \rightarrow S_7$	494.83 355.31 318.93	2.506 3.489 3.888	3.368 0.159 0.243	0.9995
DCRD-2	$S_0 \to S_1 \\ S_0 \to S_5$	407.60 337.73	3.042 3.671	3.238 0.569	0.9994
	EtOH				
DCRD	$S_0 \to S_1 \\ S_0 \to S_4$	499.62 347.78	2.482 3.565	2.725 0.320	0.9981
DCRD-1	$S_0 \to S_1$ $S_0 \to S_4$ $S_0 \to S_7$	525.55 369.69 326.14	2.359 3.354 3.802	3.509 0.233 0.397	0.9996
DCRD-2	$S_0 \to S_1 \\ S_0 \to S_4$	417.69 347.79	2.968 3.565	3.447 0.404	0.9996

Table 2 Wavelengths of the most important simulated transition states λ , oscillator strengths f_{os} , excitation energy E_{ex} , and light harvesting efficiency LHE.

and are more efficient. The f_{osc} and LHE are related by Equation (1) [27].

$$LHE = 1 - 10^{-f_{osc}}$$
(1)

Table 1 shows the LHE for the studied molecules, in which it is observed that **DCRD**, **DCRD-1**, and **DCRD-2** have oscillator intensities in the range of 2.549 to 3.368 in the gas phase and 2.725 to 3.509 in the EtOH solvent (Table 2). The molecularly bridged structure **DCRD-1** that facilitates photon absorption has higher f_{osc} and, as a result, higher absorption and charge transformations. Furthermore, in Table 2, it is observed that **DCRD** has shown relatively lower oscillator strength values due to the poor overlap of the orbitals involved in the electronic transitions. Also, the similar symmetry of the orbitals contributing to the electronic transitions causes lower $\pi \to \pi^*$ transitions, resulting results in lower oscillator strength. **DCRD-1** is expected to show high photocurrent among all the designed molecules because it exhibits higher oscillator strength.

3.3. Ionization Potential and Electron Affinity.

Ionization potential (IP) and electron affinity (EA) are useful tools to analyze the charge transfer efficiency in solar cells [28]. Molecules having electron donating groups reveal low IP values because they destabilize the HOMO energy level by facilitating electron transfer [29]. On the contrary, those possessing electron-withdrawing groups exhibit higher IP values due to the stabilization of the HOMO level, and it is challenging to remove electrons [29]. The IP and EA of all the molecules considered can be determined from Equation [30],

$$IP = (E_0^+ - E_0), EA = (E_0 - E_0^-),$$
(2)

Table 3

Estimated values for the electron reorganization energy (λ_e) along with the hole reorganization energy (λ_h), ionization potential (IP), electron affinity (EA), and Dipole Moment (μ) of all Researched Molecular dyes in EtOH and phase gas.

System	λ_e (eV)	λ_h (eV)	IP (eV)	EA (eV)	μ(D)
		EtOH			
DCRD	0.316	0.201	5.379	3.284	13.504
DCRD-1	0.142	0.233	5.302	3.325	16.762
DCRD-2	0.317	0.198	5.198	3.374	18.258
		Gas Phase			
DCRD	0.261	0.220	6.768	2.084	9.063
DCRD-1	0.164	0.285	6.502	2.121	11.672
DCRD-2	0.219	0.209	6.309	1.937	13.042

Here, the neutral molecule has a cation characterized by energy E_0^+ and an anion characterized by energy E_0^- . The energy of a neutral molecule is denoted by the symbol E_0 [31, 32]. Table 3 displays the calculated IP and EA values for the studied compounds. The optimized **DCRD-1** and **DCRD-2** molecules were found to have higher charge transfer efficiency than **DCRD**, which was determined by the findings that the IP values for the optimized molecules were lower than those of **DCRD**. **DCRD-2** has the lowest IP (5.198 eV) and the highest charge extraction from the HOMO. The IP value of the **DCRD** molecule is high, indicating poor charge transfer. Each synthesized molecule, **DCRD-1** and **DCRD-2**, has higher electron affinity than the standard reference **DCRD**.

3.4. Reorganization Energy.

On a microscopic scale, two key parameters determine charge transport efficiency in organic systems: the electronic coupling (V_{ij}) between electron-acceptor and electron-donor fragments and the reorganization energy (λ) , which influences the operational efficiency of solar cells. Maximizing V_{ij} and minimizing λ increases charge carrier mobility. Reorganization energy generally divides into internal reorganization energy (λ_{int}) or intramolecular reorganization energy and external reorganization energy (λ_{ext}) [33]. External deformations in the molecule relate to λ_{ext} , which is excluded due to the external solvent environment. In contrast, λ_{int} is related to changes in the internal environment, such as variations in geometry/structure [34]. The intramolecular reorganization energy λ_{int} can be estimated for electrons (reorganization energy of electrons λ_e) and for holes (reorganization energy of holes λ_h) and can be expressed by the following equation: [35]

$$\lambda_e = \left(E_0^- - E_-\right) + \left(E_-^0 - E_0\right) \tag{3}$$

$$\lambda_h = (E_0^+ - E_+) + (E_+^0 - E_0), \qquad (4)$$

where E_0^- and E_0^+ are anionic and cationic energies used in these equations to describe the ground state energy of a neutral compound. At a single-point ground state, E_0 represents the energy of a neutral molecule, whereas E_- and E_+ reflect the anion and cation single-point ground states energies after optimization. E_-^0 and E_+^0 are the ground-level energies for anions and cations after optimization, respectively.

The results for the reorganization energy of both electrons (λ_e) and holes (λ_h) are shown in Table 3. Understanding the relationship between a material molecular structure and charge transport properties is critical in designing promising candidates for solar cell devices. Furthermore, it is well known that the lower the values of λ , the higher the charge transport rate [36]. The data in Table 3 shows that the values of λ_h for **DCRD** and **DCRD-2** are all smaller than their respective λ_e ; this suggests that the hole transfer rate is greater than the electron transfer rate. The hole reorganization energies λ_h calculated for the systems **DCRD** and **DCRD-2** are lower than those of N,N'-diphenyl-N,N'-bis(3methylphenyl)-(1,1'-biphenyl)-4,4'-diamine (TPD) which is a typical hole transport material $\lambda_h = 0.290$ eV [37]. This implies that the hole transfer rates of **DCRD** and **DCRD-2** could be higher than those of TPD. Therefore, **DCRD** and **DCRD-2** could be hole transport materials (HTM) from the point of view of the lowest reorganization energy. Furthermore, it can be observed that when comparing the reorganization energy both in the gas phase and in the EtOH solvent, it is found that the λ are lower in EtOH, which implies that EtOH favors the transport processes. On the other hand, the λ_e for the compound **DCRD-1** is lower than the λ_h , so the electron transfer rate will be greater than the hole transfer rate. Furthermore, the value of λ_e of the molecular system **DCRD-1** is smaller than that of tris(8-hydroxyquinolinato)aluminum(III) (Alq3), which is a typical electron transport material $\lambda_e = 0.276$ eV [38]. This indicates that its electron transfer rate could be higher than that of Alq3. Therefore, **DCRD-1** can be used as promising electron transport materials in organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs) from the point of view of the minor rearrangement energy. Likewise, electronic transfer processes are more favorable in the presence of EtOH than in the gas phase. These results indicate that the values of λ_h and λ_e are affected by introducing different molecular bridges and substitution groups in these molecules. The introduction of a molecular bridge of 2,5–Dimethylthiophene and 2–(9,9–Dihexyl–9H-Fluoren–2–yl)hexylthiophene leads to an increase in hole transfer rates, while the molecular bridge 4,4"–Dihexyl–2,2':5',2"–Terthiophene decrease hole transfer rates, favoring electronic transfer processes. On the other hand, the differences between the values λ_e and λ_h are lower for the molecular system **DCRD-1**. It implies that **DCRD-1** have better equilibrium properties for hole and electron transport. Therefore, it can be used as a good candidate for ambipolar charge transport materials under appropriate operating conditions.

3.5. Molecular electrostatic potential

To better explain how intramolecular charge distribution occurs three-dimensionally and explain electron-rich and electron-poor sites, molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) measurements were performed computationally. The MEP has proven to be effective in evaluating the degree of charge transfer between the charge donor and acceptor fragments [39]. The MEP is the three-dimensional visualization of the charge density at different positions of a molecule of interest. The MEP can predict the presence of electrons, lone pairs, and electron-withdrawing species on the surface of molecules. These MEP plots show several colors, each representing an additional molecule feature. Here, the red color indicates the negative value of the electrostatic potential and an abundance of electrons in that region, which is undoubtedly located above the acceptor region where electronegative atoms may be present; the green color shows an electrically neutral region. In contrast, the blue color illustrates the positive value of the electrostatic potential accompanied by the absence of electrons found mainly on the electron-donor fragment (central nucleus) and adjusts to the nucleophilic reactivity [40, 41].




Figure 4: Molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) maps of the molecular compounds DCRD, DCRD-1 and DCRD-2.

Fig. 4 illustrates that the π -bridge and the central core have fewer electrons than the terminal parts revealed by the green color (best seen in **DCRD-1** and **DCRD-2**). The red terminal acceptor groups indicate a higher negative charge due to unsaturated electronegative atoms: oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur, suggesting a high electron density appropriate for electrophilic attacks. The compound **DCRD** presents a bluer color on the acceptor and the triphenylamine core of

the donor part, which reveals that these parts have a more positive IP. Because the electronegative atoms are located at a peripheral site, the proposed compound **DCRD-1** showed a redder color (negative charge) than any of the others, demonstrating that this molecule has a higher negative IP. The presence of different regions based on electron density implies that the designed molecules are ideal candidates for efficient OSCs.

4. Photovoltaic Properties

For OPV technology, the most efficient cells are bulk heterojunction (BHJ) devices, as BHJ-based organic solar cells (OSCs) have a promising future to convert solar energy into electricity more economically than conventional solar cells of silicon [42, 43], due to their low-temperature manufacturing process, light weight and flexibility [44, 45], and its conversion efficiency energy reaching more than 19% [6]. Although the PCE of OPV cells has been improved to some extent, there is still much work to be done to achieve their commercial application compared to the 25.2% PCE of perovskite-based thin film solar cells [46]. There is a need to continue improving the performance of the IP. The power conversion efficiency, PCE, depends directly on the open circuit voltage (V_{oc}), the density of short-circuit current (J_{sc}), the fill factor (FF) and the energy solar incident. None of these four components can be ignored in material design to achieve better PCE. Generally, Voc is related to the energy difference between the HOMO of the donor material and the LUMO of the acceptor material. It is also affected by carrier generation and recombination rates as well as tail energy states or trap states [47].

4.1. Open Circuit Voltage (V_{oc}) Investigation.

The open circuit voltage (V_{OC}) significantly contributes to determining the photovoltaic characteristics of organic photovoltaic devices, since the performance of OSCs is highlighted by the open circuit voltage (Voc) [48]. It accounts for the most significant amount of current of any optical material. The highest voltage drawn from a photovoltaic device occurs at zero current level [49]. The V_{oc} is influenced by several factors, such as device temperature, energy levels of the molecules, external fluorescence, light source, and charge carrier recombination [50]. The open circuit voltage is directly associated with the energy difference of HOMO and LUMO of the Acceptor and Donor molecules, i.e., $E_H^D - E_L^A$. In the current research, a renowned acceptor, PC₆₁BM, is used, which allows the design of three BHJ OSCs with active mixing layer: (1) DCRD:PC₆₁BM, (2) DCRD-1:PC₆₁BM, (3) DCRD-2:PC₆₁BM. Energy offsets at D-A interfaces within the active layer of an OSC affect both V_{oc} and J_{sc} and, therefore, the PCE [51, 52]. Theoretically, the examined CSO Voc results are determined using the equation (5), reported by Scharber et al [44], and the results are shown in Table 3.

$$V_{oc} = \frac{1}{e} \left| E^{A}_{LUMO} - E^{D}_{HOMO} \right| - 0.3 V$$
(5)

Where e is the elementary charge, the value of 0.3 V is a typical loss in bulk heterojunction solar cells. And using $E_L = -3.68 \ eV$ simulated in this work for of PC₆₁BM lowest occupied molecular orbital (LUMO) energy.

At the same time, however, for efficient dissociation of charge transfer (CT) excitons formed at D/A interfaces, the energy difference in HOMO and LUMO of the donor molecule and the acceptor molecule at the interface, $\Delta E = E_{LUMO}^D - E_{LUMO}^A$, must be greater than or equal to the binding energy of the CT excitons ($\Delta E_{LUMO} \ge E_B$) [53]. In operational solar cells, additional recombination paths decrease the value of V_{oc} and affect the PCE of the OPVs

In operational solar cells, additional recombination paths decrease the value of V_{oc} and affect the PCE of the OPVs because they must operate at a voltage lower than V_{oc} . Based on these considerations, the ideal parameters for a π -conjugated-system:PC₆₁BM device are shown in Table 3. The V_{oc} values of the *DCRDs* systems are in the range of 1.06 – 1.32 V, indicating values greater than 1.0 V efficiently increasing the value of V_{oc} ; furthermore, since ΔE shows values higher than 0.3 eV, this suggests that the transfer of photoexcited electrons is accessible from the hole transport material to the acceptor PC₆₁BM. As stated above, the value of V_{oc} depends mainly on the level of E_{HOMO}^D and E_{LUMO}^A . A lower acceptor origin LUMO results in a higher V_{oc} value and improved optoelectronic parameters. The HOMO electron movement of donor molecules is increased by low acceptor LUMO, which directly improves the optoelectronic characteristics. Furthermore, the HOMO-LUMO bandgap between the donor and acceptor units amplifies the PCE values. As a result, Fig. 5 represents the orbital energy diagram of the chromophores mentioned above relative to PC₆₁BM. Fig. 5 shows that the LUMO levels of the donor molecular compounds **DCRD**, **DCRD-1** and **DCRD-2** are higher than the level of LUMO of the acceptor chromophore. This facilitates the transfer of electrons from the donor polymers to the acceptor segment, which enhances the molecular optoelectronic parameters studied.




Figure 5: Variation of V_{OC} in all developed compounds using acceptor PC₆₁BM

Table 4

Parameter values of the studied molecular compounds: Energy of the exciton driving force ΔE , open circuit voltage (V_{oc}), short-circuit current density (J_{sc}), fill factor (FF), and power conversion efficiency (PCE) in the solvent EtOH.

System	ΔE (eV)	V_{oc} (V)	$J_{sc} \ ({ m mA/cm^2})$	FF	η (%)
DCRD	0.51	1.32	9.52	0.810	10.18
DCRD-1	0.53	1.14	12.21	0.786	10.95
DCRD-2	0.63	1.06	11.85	0.774	9.72

Furthermore, among the structures developed, it has been shown that **DCRD** (1.32 V) and **DCRD-1** (1.14 V) are the molecules with the highest V_{OC} due to the participation of the thiophene entity in the molecular bridges **B1** and **B2**, from which it is concluded that **DCRD** and **DCRD-1** exhibit more charge delocalization, conjugation and, therefore, Therefore, they will have higher PCE than the **DCRD-2** molecule. It is found that the Voc results of the molecular compounds are in the decreasing order of **DCRD > DCRD-1 > DCRD-2**.

4.2. Fill Factor (FF)

The PCE and other photovoltaic properties of OSCs can also be estimated by utilizing a compelling tool, the Fill Factor (FF). In practice, many physical mechanisms contribute to this, and consequently, many models have been suggested to estimate the FF [54, 55]. Generally, the suggested models are based on the relationship between current and voltage; but with different assumptions about the causes and values of shunt and series resistances. The FF is usually represented as a function of V_{oc} . The filling factor of molecular compounds can be measured with the application of Equation (6) [56]:

$$FF = \frac{V_{oc}}{V_{oc} + \alpha k_B T} \tag{6}$$

where α is a factor that can be adjusted for other solar cell technologies, for example, $\alpha = 6$ and $\alpha = 12$ best fit the upper limits of the FF measured for excitonic solar cells and non-excitonic, respectively, as shown by Alharbi et al. [56]. In this equation, V_{oc} represents open circuit voltage, T characterizes the temperature of the system that was 300 K, Boltzmann constant is symbolized by k_B . The calculated fill factor values for the reference molecule and the designed chromophores (**DCRD-1** and **DCRD-2**) are summarized in Table 4, which are 0.810, 0.786, and 0.774, respectively. The measured results displayed that the molecules **DCRD**, and **DCRD-1** have almost similar fill factor values, which is why they exhibit admirable photovoltaic properties compared with **DCRD-2** having lower FF value. All compounds descending pattern of FF follows the sequence: **DCRD > DCRD-1 > DCRD-2**. Higher FF values of **DCRD** and **DCRD-1** caused an increase in PCE compared to the **DCRD-2** system. Furthermore, it should be noted that the increasing trend of FF is similar to that of V_{oc} , and their results showed that the designed composites are of better quality than **DCRD-2** with remarkably increasing PCE.

4.3. Short-Circuit Current Density (J_{sc})

Current-voltage density characteristics (J-V) are necessary for the context of voltage loss analyses, which are based on values of the open circuit voltage (V_{oc}) and the short circuit current density (J_{sc}) . The most accurate way to determine J_{sc} is to consider the spectral shape of sunlight since the intensity and spectral shape depend on various circumstances, as well as the spectral responses of the calibration cell, the OSC medium, the angle above the horizon, by which a standard power spectrum $\phi_{AM.1.5}(\lambda)$ [57, 58] is defined. Therefore, J_{sc} can be determined by the following expression [59, 60, 61],

$$J_{sc} = \frac{q}{hc} \int_{0}^{E_{g}^{D}} EQE(\lambda) P_{AM1.5G}(\lambda) \lambda d\lambda$$
⁽⁷⁾

where *h* is Plank's constant, *c* is the speed of light in vacuum, E_g^D is the band gap of the hole transporting material in the active layer of the device, and $EQE(\lambda)$ is the external quantum efficiency, which for this work is assumed with a value of 80% [44, 33]. The values of J_{sc} and PCE for the systems **DCRD-DCRD-2** are shown in Table 4, in which it is observed that the values of J_{sc} are in a range of 9.52–12.21 mAcm², with the highest value found for the molecular system **DCRD-1**, which also has the highest value of PCE around 10.95%. This study shows, concerning the PCE of the systems considered and taking the system **DCRD** as a reference, that the inclusion of thiophene rings as molecular bridges to generate the system **DCRD-1**, favors the increase of the PCE. However, it is observed that by including dibenzothiophene (**DCRD-2**) as a molecular bridge, a significant PCE reduction of around 1.23% is obtained; this shows that both the molecular system **DCRD** and **DCRD-1**, the latter showing better results, present good results for use as photovoltaic materials in BHJ organic solar cells compared to **DCRD-2**.

5. Conclusions

We have studied three molecular systems, A- π -D- π -A, that contain triphenylamine and 2-(1,1-dicyanomethylene)rhodanine in their structure. The geometric, electronic, optical and photovoltaic properties of the designed systems were investigated using DFT calculations with the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and TD-DFT/CAM-B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) levels of theory. The energy estimation of the HOMO-LUMO limiting molecular orbitals correlated significantly with the the available experimental data [14]. The exciton driving force energy (ΔE) of all molecular systems (**DCRD-DCRD-2**) has a value greater than 0.3 eV, which can ensure efficient exciton splitting. The simulated UV/VIS absorption spectra show a similar profile for all systems, presenting a robust main band between 525.55 nm and 417.69 nm. In general, the **DCRD** and **DCRD-1** molecular systems have the best photovoltaic properties compared to the **DCRD-2** derivative, where low values in the gap energy (E_g^{el}) were observed (2.13 – 1.97 eV for **DCRD** and **DCRD-1**, respectively) and higher value in short-circuit photo-current density (J_{sc}) for **DCRD-1**, as well as better photoelectric conversion efficiency (PCE) (10.95%), so these derivatives can potentially be used in volumetric heterojunction (BHJ) organic solar cells (OSCs).

Acknowledgment

The authors acknowledge the University of Sucre, Colombia, for financial support during the conduct of this study.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

D. Madrid-Úsuga: Conceptualization, Numerical simulations and writing of the manuscript. **O. J. Suárez:** Conceptualization, and writing of the manuscript.

References

- P. Schygulla, P. Beutel, S. Heckelmann, O. Höhn, M. Klitzke, J. Schön, E. Oliva, F. Predan, M. Schachtner, G. Siefer, et al., Quadruple junction solar cell with 47.6% conversion efficiency under concentration (2022).
- [2] Q. Ma, Z. Jia, L. Meng, J. Zhang, H. Zhang, W. Huang, J. Yuan, F. Gao, Y. Wan, Z. Zhang, et al., Promoting charge separation resulting in ternary organic solar cells efficiency over 17.5%, Nano Energy 78 (2020) 105272.
- [3] Z. Zheng, J. Wang, P. Bi, J. Ren, Y. Wang, Y. Yang, X. Liu, S. Zhang, J. Hou, Tandem organic solar cell with 20.2% efficiency, Joule 6 (2022) 171–184.
- [4] X. Yuan, Y. Zhao, D. Xie, L. Pan, X. Liu, C. Duan, F. Huang, Y. Cao, Polythiophenes for organic solar cells with efficiency surpassing 17%, Joule 6 (2022) 647–661.
- [5] G. Liu, J. Jia, K. Zhang, X. Jia, Q. Yin, W. Zhong, L. Li, F. Huang, Y. Cao, 15% efficiency tandem organic solar cell based on a novel highly efficient wide-bandgap nonfullerene acceptor with low energy loss, Advanced Energy Materials 9 (2019) 1803657.
- [6] L. Zhu, M. Zhang, J. Xu, C. Li, J. Yan, G. Zhou, W. Zhong, T. Hao, J. Song, X. Xue, et al., Single-junction organic solar cells with over 19% efficiency enabled by a refined double-fibril network morphology, Nat. Mater. 21 (2022) 656–663.
- [7] Z. Jia, Q. Ma, Z. Chen, L. Meng, N. Jain, I. Angunawela, S. Qin, X. Kong, X. Li, Y. Yang, et al., Near-infrared absorbing acceptor with suppressed triplet exciton generation enabling high performance tandem organic solar cells, Nature Communications 14 (2023) 1236.
- [8] K. Fukuda, K. Yu, T. Someya, The future of flexible organic solar cells, Advanced Energy Materials 10 (2020) 2000765.
- [9] W. Yang, W. Wang, Y. Wang, R. Sun, J. Guo, H. Li, M. Shi, J. Guo, Y. Wu, T. Wang, et al., Balancing the efficiency, stability, and cost potential for organic solar cells via a new figure of merit, Joule 5 (2021) 1209–1230.
- [10] R. Hussain, M. Y. Mehboob, M. U. Khan, M. Khalid, Z. Irshad, R. Fatima, A. Anwar, S. Nawab, M. Adnan, Efficient designing of triphenylamine-based hole transport materials with outstanding photovoltaic characteristics for organic solar cells, Journal of Materials Science 56 (2021) 5113–5131.
- [11] M. M. Nuhash, I. Alam, A. Islam, Manufacturing processes of solution-processed organic solar cells and recent advances, in: Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Industrial & Mechanical Engineering and Operations Management (IMEOM), Dhaka, Bangladesh, 2020, pp. 26–27.
- [12] L. M. Nhari, R. M. El-Shishtawy, Q. Lu, Y. Li, A. M. Asiri, Novel triarylamine-based hole transport materials: Synthesis, characterization and computational investigation, Materials 14 (2021) 3128.
- [13] V. Joseph, J. Xia, A. A. Sutanto, V. Jankauskas, C. Momblona, B. Ding, K. Rakstys, R. Balasaravanan, C.-H. Pan, J.-S. Ni, et al., Triarylaminefunctionalized imidazolyl-capped bithiophene hole transporting material for cost-effective perovskite solar cells, ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces 14 (2022) 22053–22060.
- [14] C. A. Echeverry, A. Insuasty, M. Á. Herranz, A. Ortíz, R. Cotta, V. Dhas, L. Echegoyen, B. Insuasty, N. Martín, Organic dyes containing 2-(1,1-dicyanomethylene)rhodanine as an efficient electron acceptor and anchoring unit for dye-sensitized solar cells, Dyes Pigm. 107 (2014) 9–14.
- [15] A. Tigreros, M. Macias, J. Portilla, Photophysical and crystallographic study of three integrated pyrazolo [1, 5-a] pyrimidine–triphenylamine systems, Dyes and Pigments 184 (2021) 108730.
- [16] B. Civalleri, C. M. Zicovich-Wilson, L. Valenzano, P. Ugliengo, B3LYP augmented with an empirical dispersion term (B3LYP-D*) as applied to molecular crystals, Cryst. Eng. Comm 10 (2008) 405–410.
- [17] M. Frisch, G. Trucks, H. Schlegel, G. Scuseria, M. Robb, J. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, et al., Gaussian 16, gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, USA (2016).
- [18] Y. Takano, K. Houk, Benchmarking the conductor-like polarizable continuum model (CPCM) for aqueous solvation free energies of neutral and ionic organic molecules, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 1 (2005) 70–77.
- [19] B. Mennucci, Polarizable continuum model, Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Comput. Mol. Sci. 2 (2012) 386-404.
- [20] M. R. S. A. Janjua, Quantum mechanical design of efficient second-order nonlinear optical materials based on heteroaromatic imido-substituted hexamolybdates: First theoretical framework of pom-based heterocyclic aromatic rings, Inorg. Chem. 51 (2012) 11306–11314.
- [21] Y. Zhang, Z. Liu, T. Shan, Y. Wang, L. Zhu, T. Li, F. Liu, H. Zhong, Tuning the molecular geometry and packing mode of non-fullerene acceptors by altering the bridge atoms towards efficient organic solar cells, Mater. Chem. Front. 4 (2020) 2462–2471.
- [22] S. Zahid, A. Rasool, M. Ans, M. Yaseen, J. Iqbal, Quantum chemical approach of donor-π-acceptor based arylborane-arylamine macrocycles with outstanding photovoltaic properties toward high-performance organic solar cells, Energy Fuels 35 (2021) 15018–15032.
- [23] M. Ans, J. Iqbal, Z. Ahmad, S. Muhammad, R. Hussain, B. Eliasson, K. Ayub, Designing three-dimensional (3D) non-fullerene small molecule acceptors with efficient photovoltaic parameters, ChemistrySelect 3 (2018) 12797–12804.
- [24] H.-B. Li, J.-Z. Zhang, J. Zhang, Y. Wu, Y.-A. Duan, Z.-M. Su, Y. Geng, Theoretical studies on organic D- π -A sensitizers with planar triphenylamine donor and different π -linkers for dyes-sensitized solar cells, J. Mol. Model. 20 (2014) 1–12.
- [25] M. Haroon, M. R. S. A. Janjua, High-throughput designing and investigation of D–A- π–A-type donor materials for potential application in greenhouse-integrated solar cells, Energy Fuels 35 (2021) 12461–12472.
- [26] E. U. Rashid, J. Iqbal, M. I. Khan, Y. A. El-Badry, K. Ayub, R. A. Khera, Synergistic end-capped engineering on non-fused thiophene ring-based acceptors to enhance the photovoltaic properties of organic solar cells, RSC advances 12 (2022) 12321–12334.
- [27] M. Rani, J. Iqbal, R. F. Mehmood, E. U. Rashid, S. Rani, M. Raheel, R. A. Khera, et al., Strategies toward the end-group modifications of indacenodithiophene based non-fullerene small molecule acceptor to improve the efficiency of organic solar cells; a DFT study, Comput. Theor. Chem. 1213 (2022) 113747.
- [28] E. Louis, E. San-Fabián, M. A. Díaz-García, G. Chiappe, J. A. Vergés, Are electron affinity and ionization potential intrinsic parameters to predict the electron or hole acceptor character of amorphous molecular materials?, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 8 (2017) 2445–2449.
- [29] S. Ahmed, R. Dutta, D. J. Kalita, Strategical designing of diketopyrrolopyrrole-thiophene based donor-acceptor type organic oligomers and study their transport properties: A DFT/TD-DFT perspective, Chem. Phys. Lett. 730 (2019) 14–25.
- [30] M. Waqas, J. Iqbal, R. F. Mehmood, S. J. Akram, A. M. Shawky, M. Raheel, E. U. Rashid, R. A. Khera, Impact of end-capped modification

of mo-idt based non-fullerene small molecule acceptors to improve the photovoltaic properties of organic solar cells, J. Mol. Graph. Model. 116 (2022) 108255.

- [31] M. Amati, S. Stoia, E. Baerends, The electron affinity as the highest occupied anion orbital energy with a sufficiently accurate approximation of the exact kohn-sham potential, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 16 (2019) 443–452.
- [32] F. Pereira, Machine learning for the prediction of ionization potential and electron affinity energies obtained by density functional theory, ChemistrySelect 8 (2023) e202300036.
- [33] Y. Li, D. Qi, C. Sun, M. Zhao, et al., Spectra and charge transport of polar molecular photoactive layers used for solar cells, J. Chem. 2015 (2015).
- [34] S. J. Akram, N. Hadia, J. Iqbal, R. F. Mehmood, S. Iqbal, A. M. Shawky, A. Asif, H. Somaily, M. Raheel, R. A. Khera, Impact of various heterocyclic π -linkers and their substitution position on the opto-electronic attributes of the A $-\pi$ –D $-\pi$ –A type iecio-4f molecule: a comparative analysis, RSC advances 12 (2022) 20792–20806.
- [35] M. Rafiq, M. Salim, S. Noreen, R. A. Khera, S. Noor, U. Yaqoob, J. Iqbal, End-capped modification of dithienosilole based small donor molecules for high performance organic solar cells using DFT approach, J. Mol. Liq. 345 (2022) 118138.
- [36] F. Sun, R. Jin, Dft and TD-DFT study on the optical and electronic properties of derivatives of 1,4-bis(2-substituted-1,3,4-oxadiazole)benzene, Arab. J. Chem. 10 (2017) S2988–S2993.
- [37] N. E. Gruhn, D. A. da Silva Filho, T. G. Bill, M. Malagoli, V. Coropceanu, A. Kahn, J.-L. Brédas, The vibrational reorganization energy in pentacene: molecular influences on charge transport, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124 (2002) 7918–7919.
- [38] A. Irfan, R. Cui, J. Zhang, Fluorinated derivatives of mer-Alq3: energy decomposition analysis, optical properties, and charge transfer study, Theor. Chem. Acc. 122 (2009) 275–281.
- [39] W. Zhang, Q.-S. Li, Z.-S. Li, Molecular engineering in perovskite solar cells: A computational study on 2-mercaptopyridine derivatives as surface passivators against water, Adv. Mater. Interfaces 9 (2022) 2101881.
- [40] T. Hassan, R. Hussain, M. U. Khan, U. Habiba, Z. Irshad, M. Adnan, J. Lim, Development of non-fused acceptor materials with 3dinterpenetrated structure for stable and efficient organic solar cells, Mater. Sci. Semicond. 151 (2022) 107010.
- [41] R. Hussain, M. Adnan, K. Atiq, M. U. Khan, Z. H. Farooqi, J. Iqbal, R. Begum, Designing of silolothiophene-linked triphenylamine-based hole transporting materials for perovskites and donors for organic solar cells-A DFT study, Solar Energy 253 (2023) 187–198.
- [42] R. S. Gurney, D. G. Lidzey, T. Wang, A review of non-fullerene polymer solar cells: from device physics to morphology control, Rep. Prog. Phys. 82 (2019) 036601.
- [43] L. Ma, Z. Yu, W. Ma, S. Qing, J. Wu, Assessment and study on the impact on environment by multi-crystalline silicon preparation by metallurgical route, Silicon 11 (2019) 1383–1391.
- [44] M. C. Scharber, N. S. Sariciftci, Efficiency of bulk-heterojunction organic solar cells, Prog. Polym. Sci. 38 (2013) 1929–1940.
- [45] J. Yu, Y. Zheng, J. Huang, Towards high performance organic photovoltaic cells: A review of recent development in organic photovoltaics, Polymers 6 (2014) 2473–2509.
- [46] Z. Liang, Y. Zhang, H. Xu, W. Chen, B. Liu, J. Zhang, H. Zhang, Z. Wang, D.-H. Kang, J. Zeng, et al., Out-of-plane cations homogenise perovskite composition for solar cells, Nature (2023) 1–3.
- [47] S. Sweetnam, K. R. Graham, G. O. Ngongang Ndjawa, T. Heumuller, J. A. Bartelt, T. M. Burke, W. Li, W. You, A. Amassian, M. D. McGehee, Characterization of the polymer energy landscape in polymer: fullerene bulk heterojunctions with pure and mixed phases, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136 (2014) 14078–14088.
- [48] A. Tang, Z. Xiao, L. Ding, E. Zhou, et al., ~ 1.2 V open-circuit voltage from organic solar cells, J. Semicond. 42 (2021) 070202–070202.
- [49] Z. Wang, X. Liu, H. Jiang, X. Zhou, L. Zhang, F. Pan, X. Qiao, D. Ma, W. Ma, L. Ding, et al., Organic solar cells based on high hole mobility conjugated polymer and nonfullerene acceptor with comparable bandgaps and suitable energy level offsets showing significant suppression of J_{sc}-V_{ac} trade-off, Solar RRL 3 (2019) 1900079.
- [50] M. Azzouzi, T. Kirchartz, J. Nelson, Factors controlling open-circuit voltage losses in organic solar cells, Trends Chem. 1 (2019) 49-62.
- [51] Z. Afzal, R. Hussain, M. U. Khan, M. Khalid, J. Iqbal, M. U. Alvi, M. Adnan, M. Ahmed, M. Y. Mehboob, M. Hussain, et al., Designing indenothiophene-based acceptor materials with efficient photovoltaic parameters for fullerene-free organic solar cells, J. Mol. Model. 26 (2020) 1–17.
- [52] R. Hussain, M. U. Khan, M. Y. Mehboob, M. Khalid, J. Iqbal, K. Ayub, M. Adnan, M. Ahmed, K. Atiq, K. Mahmood, Enhancement in photovoltaic properties of N,N-diethylaniline based donor materials by bridging core modifications for efficient solar cells, Chemistry Select 5 (2020) 5022–5034.
- [53] D. D. Y. Setsoafia, K. S. Ram, H. Mehdizadeh-Rad, D. Ompong, J. Singh, et al., Density functional theory simulation of optical and photovoltaic properties of DRTB-T donor-based organic solar cells, Int. J. Energy Res. 2023 (2023).
- [54] M.-H. Jao, H.-C. Liao, W.-F. Su, Achieving a high fill factor for organic solar cells, J. Mater. Chem. A 4 (2016) 5784–5801.
- [55] B. Qi, J. Wang, Fill factor in organic solar cells, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 15 (2013) 8972-8982.
- [56] F. H. Alharbi, S. N. Rashkeev, F. El-Mellouhi, H. P. Lüthi, N. Tabet, S. Kais, An efficient descriptor model for designing materials for solar cells, Npj Comput. Mater. 1 (2015) 1–9.
- [57] American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Standard G159, West Conshoken, PA, USA. Source: http://rredc.nrel.gov/solar/spectra/am1.5/., http://rredc.nrel.gov/solar/spectra/am1.5/., ????
- [58] M. A. Green, E. D. Dunlop, J. Hohl-Ebinger, M. Yoshita, N. Kopidakis, X. Hao, Solar cell efficiency tables (version 56), Prog. Phot. Res. Appl. 28 (2020) 629–638.
- [59] A. El Assyry, R. Jdaa, B. Benali, M. Addou, A. Zarrouk, Optical and photovoltaic properties of new quinoxalin-2 (1H)-one-based da organic dyes for efficient dye-sensitized solar cell using DFT, J. Mater. Environ. Sci 6 (2015) 2612–2623.
- [60] D. Madrid-Úsuga, A. G. Mora-Leon, A. M. Cabrera-Espinoza, B. Insuasty, A. Ortiz, Theoretical characterization of photoactive molecular systems based on BODIPY-derivatives for the design of organic solar cells, Comput. Theor. Chem. 1197 (2021) 113165.
- [61] A. Jungbluth, P. Kaienburg, M. Riede, Charge transfer state characterization and voltage losses of organic solar cells, J. Phys Materials 5

(2022) 024002.