

Indeterminate Stieltjes moment problems revisited

Christian Berg

11.7.2024

Dedicated to Mourad Ismail at the occasion of his 80'th birthday

Abstract

We consider a normalized indeterminate Hamburger moment sequence s which is supposed to be Stieltjes. We revisit old results about determinacy/indeterminacy in the sense of Stieltjes for s and we prove some new results about the concepts involved.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 44A60; Secondary 30B50

Keywords. Stieltjes and Hamburger moment problems. Indeterminacy. Friedrichs solution to a Stieltjes problem.

1 Introduction

In a recent paper Sokal and Walrad [13] gave a continued fraction characterization of Stieltjes moment sequences for which there exists a representing measure with support in $[\xi, \infty)$. In Remark 3 of their paper the authors referred to a private communication by the present author. The purpose of the present paper is to make this communication available.

For a normalized Stieltjes moment sequence s which is indeterminate as a Hamburger moment sequence, there is a quantity $\alpha = \alpha(s) \leq 0$, which can be used to characterize Stieltjes indeterminacy of s . In fact there is exactly one measure (resp. several measures) supported by $[0, \infty)$ with moment sequence s if $\alpha(s) = 0$ (resp. $\alpha(s) < 0$). Chihara [7, Lemma 1] proved an equivalent of

$$\alpha(s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_n(0)}{Q_n(0)} \quad (1)$$

in terms of chain sequences, where P_n and Q_n are the orthonormal polynomials and those of the second kind affiliated with s . In [5] there was given another characterization of $\alpha(s)$ as

$$\alpha(s) = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{D(x)}{B(x)}, \quad (2)$$

where B, D are two of the Nevanlinna functions for the indeterminate Hamburger problem, see Section 2 for definitions in connection with the Nevanlinna parametrization (21) of the solutions to the indeterminate Hamburger moment problem. The formula (2) for $\alpha(s)$ together with the graph of the function $j(x) = D(x)/B(x)$ in Section 3 makes it transparent that the zeros of $B(z)t - D(z)$ are bounded below, hence of the form $x_1(t) < x_2(t) < \dots$ for a sequence $(x_n(t))$ tending to infinity. When $t = \infty$ then $B(z)t - D(z)$ shall be interpreted as $B(z)$. Therefore, the N-extremal solutions $\nu_t, t \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ of the Hamburger moment problem are of the form

$$\nu_t = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho(x_n(t)) \varepsilon_{x_n(t)}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\rho(x) = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n^2(x) \right)^{-1}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4)$$

By ε_a we denote the measure with mass 1 concentrated in the point $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

For any solution μ of the Hamburger moment problem we define

$$\xi(\mu) := \inf \text{supp}(\mu). \quad (5)$$

We therefore get

$$\text{supp}(\nu_t) = \{x_n(t), n \geq 1\}, \quad \xi(\nu_t) = x_1(t).$$

In particular, the graph of $j(x)$ shows that $\text{supp}(\nu_t)$ is contained in $[0, \infty)$ if and only if $\alpha(s) \leq t \leq 0$. The last result can also be deduced from [8, p. 340]. Notice that there are solutions μ with $\text{supp}(\mu) = \mathbb{R}$ and hence $\xi(\mu) = -\infty$. This is true for the solution

$$\mu = \frac{1}{\pi} (B^2(x) + D^2(x))^{-1} dx,$$

cf. formula (2.15) in [5].

The standard orthonormal basis of ℓ^2 is denoted e_0, e_1, \dots . We consider the Jacobi matrix (14) as an operator in ℓ^2 with the domain \mathcal{F} of sequences with only finitely many non-zero elements. It is a symmetric operator of deficiency indices $(1, 1)$. Its self-adjoint extensions in ℓ^2 can be parametrized as $(T_t, D(T_t)), t \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that

$$\nu_t = \langle E_t e_0, e_0 \rangle, t \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}, \quad (6)$$

where E_t is the spectral measure of T_t . The operators T_t are bounded below with the lower bound

$$\xi(\nu_t) = x_1(t) = \inf\{\langle T_t e, e \rangle \mid e \in D(T_t), \|e\| = 1\}. \quad (7)$$

Later the two N-extremal solutions ν_0 and $\nu_{\alpha(s)}$ were identified with respectively the Krein and the Friedrichs self-adjoint extensions of the Jacobi matrix. As far as we know the measure $\nu_{\alpha(s)}$ was first associated with the Friedrichs extension in Pedersen's paper [11].

For information about the Friedrichs extension in general see [9]. It has the same lower bound as the Jacobi matrix, viz.

$$x_1(\alpha(s)) = \inf\{\langle J e, e \rangle \mid e \in \mathcal{F}, \|e\| = 1\}.$$

Remark 1.1. The self-adjoint operators $(T_t, D(T_t))$ are parametrized differently in [14, Theorem 6.23] because of a different form of the Nevanlinna parametrization. See Remark 7.14 in [14].

We prove that $\alpha(s)$ can also be calculated as

$$\alpha(s) = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{C(x)}{A(x)},$$

where A, C are the two other Nevanlinna functions.

Let $x_{n,k}, k = 1, \dots, n$ be the zeros of P_n in increasing order. By the interlacing of the zeros of P_n and P_{n+1} we have that $(x_{n,k})_{n \geq k}$ is decreasing in n for each $k \geq 1$, and therefore the following limit exists for each $k \geq 1$:

$$\xi_k := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n,k}, \quad (8)$$

and clearly $0 \leq \xi_1 \leq \xi_2 \leq \dots$. These numbers appear on the graph in Section 3.

In [7, Lemma 2] Chihara proved the existence of an N-extremal measure ψ_* , which is concentrated on the sequence (ξ_k) . For the benefit of the reader we give a complete proof in Theorem 3.4. Chihara also proved that ψ_* has the extremality property, that any other solution $\mu \neq \psi_*$ of the indeterminate Hamburger moment problem satisfies

$$\xi(\mu) < \xi(\psi_*) = \xi_1. \quad (9)$$

Chihara does not mention the Friedrichs extension, but (9) is essential in Pedersen's proof that ψ_* is the Friedrichs extension, because it has the greatest lower bound among the self-adjoint extensions of the Jacobi matrix.

Chihara's proofs are largely based on chain sequences. The result is given in Theorem 3.5 with a proof independent of chain sequences. The measure ψ_* equals $\nu_{\alpha(s)}$, so $\xi_k = x_k(\alpha(s)), k = 1, 2, \dots$ with the notation of (3).

In Section 4 we consider the moment problem corresponding to the shifted Jacobi matrix J^\dagger formed by the shifted Jacobi parameters $(a_{k+1}), (b_{k+1})$. This

means that J^\dagger is obtained from J by deleting the first row and column of J . The corresponding normalized Stieltjes moment sequence s^\dagger is always indeterminate as Stieltjes moment sequence because of (37). This has also been obtained in [2, Theorem 4.3] by another proof. In Theorem 4.1 we finally prove the interlacing of the sequences (ξ_k) and (ξ_k^\dagger) associated with s and s^\dagger via (8).

2 Preliminaries about indeterminate Hamburger moment problems

The starting point is a normalized indeterminate Hamburger moment sequence $s = (s_n)_{n \geq 0}$ as in Section 2.1 of [5], i.e.,

$$s_n = \int x^n d\mu(x), \quad \mu \in V, n = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (10)$$

where V is the infinite convex set of probability measures on \mathbb{R} with moments of any order and having the moments s_n . As in [5] we denote by $P_n(x)$ and $Q_n(x)$ the orthonormal polynomials and the corresponding polynomials of the second kind. Both polynomial sequences satisfy the difference equation

$$xy_n = b_n y_{n+1} + a_n y_n + b_{n-1} y_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1 \quad (11)$$

together with the initial conditions

$$P_0(x) = 1, P_1(x) = \frac{1}{b_0}(x - a_0), \quad Q_0(x) = 0, Q_1(x) = \frac{1}{b_0}. \quad (12)$$

Here

$$a_n = \int x P_n^2(x) d\mu(x), \quad b_n = \int x P_n(x) P_{n+1}(x) d\mu(x), \quad \mu \in V. \quad (13)$$

The infinite matrix

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & b_0 & 0 & \dots \\ b_0 & a_1 & b_1 & \dots \\ 0 & b_1 & a_2 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad (14)$$

is called the Jacobi matrix of the moment problem. The matrix J defines an operator in ℓ^2 with the domain \mathcal{F} of sequences with only finitely many non-zero elements. The closure of J is a symmetric operator $(T, D(T))$ in ℓ^2 with deficiency indices $(1, 1)$ called the Jacobi operator of s . For results about the domain $D(T)$ of the Jacobi operator, and the domains $D(T_t)$ of its self-adjoint extensions see [4].

We combine the polynomials P_n, Q_n to form polynomials of two variables, and the following formulas hold:

Proposition 2.1. [14, Proposition 5.24] For $u, v \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_n(u, v) &:= (u - v) \sum_{k=0}^n Q_k(u)Q_k(v) = b_n \begin{vmatrix} Q_{n+1}(u) & Q_{n+1}(v) \\ Q_n(u) & Q_n(v) \end{vmatrix} \\
B_n(u, v) &:= -1 + (u - v) \sum_{k=0}^n P_k(u)Q_k(v) = b_n \begin{vmatrix} P_{n+1}(u) & Q_{n+1}(v) \\ P_n(u) & Q_n(v) \end{vmatrix} \\
C_n(u, v) &:= 1 + (u - v) \sum_{k=0}^n Q_k(u)P_k(v) = b_n \begin{vmatrix} Q_{n+1}(u) & P_{n+1}(v) \\ Q_n(u) & P_n(v) \end{vmatrix} \\
D_n(u, v) &:= (u - v) \sum_{k=0}^n P_k(u)P_k(v) = b_n \begin{vmatrix} P_{n+1}(u) & P_{n+1}(v) \\ P_n(u) & P_n(v) \end{vmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is important to notice that

$$\begin{vmatrix} A_n(u, v) & B_n(u, v) \\ C_n(u, v) & D_n(u, v) \end{vmatrix} = 1 \text{ for } (u, v) \in \mathbb{C}^2,$$

cf. [14, Equation(5.57)]. These polynomials were also introduced in [1, p. 123].

Since we assume (s_n) to be indeterminate, we know that $(P_n(u)), (Q_n(u)) \in \ell^2$ for all $u \in \mathbb{C}$, so we can let n tend to infinity in the expressions in the middle of Proposition 2.1, and we get four entire functions of two complex variables called the Nevanlinna functions of the indeterminate Hamburger moment problem:

$$A(u, v) = (u - v) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k(u)Q_k(v) \tag{15}$$

$$B(u, v) = -1 + (u - v) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(u)Q_k(v) \tag{16}$$

$$C(u, v) = 1 + (u - v) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k(u)P_k(v) \tag{17}$$

$$D(u, v) = (u - v) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(u)P_k(v), \tag{18}$$

see Section 7.1 in [14]. The two-variable functions were introduced in [6] in a slightly different form, which was subsequently used in [3], [10]. If the functions of [6] are marked with a *, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A^*(u, v) &= -A(u, v), \quad B^*(u, v) = -C(u, v), \\
C^*(u, v) &= -B(u, v), \quad D^*(u, v) = -D(u, v).
\end{aligned}$$

We clearly have the determinant equation

$$\begin{vmatrix} A(u, v) & B(u, v) \\ C(u, v) & D(u, v) \end{vmatrix} = 1 \text{ for } (u, v) \in \mathbb{C}^2.$$

We define the following polynomials and entire functions of one variable

$$A_n(u) = A_n(u, 0), \quad B_n(u) = B_n(u, 0), \quad C_n(u) = C_n(u, 0), \quad D_n(u) = D_n(u, 0), \quad (19)$$

$$A(u) = A(u, 0), \quad B(u) = B(u, 0), \quad C(u) = C(u, 0), \quad D(u) = D(u, 0). \quad (20)$$

The latter are also called the Nevanlinna functions of the moment problem, and they enter in the Nevanlinna parametrization of the indeterminate Hamburger moment problem, $V = \{\nu_\varphi \mid \varphi \in \mathcal{N} \cup \{\infty\}\}$:

$$\int \frac{d\nu_\varphi(x)}{x-z} = -\frac{A(z)\varphi(z) - C(z)}{B(z)\varphi(z) - D(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}, \quad (21)$$

where \mathcal{N} is the set of Pick functions, i.e., the holomorphic functions $\varphi : \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\Im(\varphi(z))/\Im(z) \geq 0$. See [1] or [5] for details. When the Pick function $\varphi \in \mathcal{N}$ is a constant $t \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, the solution ν_t is called N-extremal or a von Neumann solution. It is well-known that the entire functions A, B, C, D have infinitely many zeros which are all real and simple.

The two-variable polynomials of Proposition 2.1 can be expressed in terms of the one variable polynomials (19) as follows, see [1, p. 123], or [4]:

Proposition 2.2. *For $u, v \in \mathbb{C}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(u, v) &= \begin{vmatrix} A_n(u) & A_n(v) \\ C_n(u) & C_n(v) \end{vmatrix} \\ B_n(u, v) &= \begin{vmatrix} B_n(u) & A_n(v) \\ D_n(u) & C_n(v) \end{vmatrix} \\ C_n(u, v) &= \begin{vmatrix} A_n(u) & B_n(v) \\ C_n(u) & D_n(v) \end{vmatrix} \\ D_n(u, v) &= \begin{vmatrix} B_n(u) & B_n(v) \\ D_n(u) & D_n(v) \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

There are similar expressions for the Nevanlinna functions of one and two variables just by letting n tend to infinity.

Differentiating the formula for $A(u, v)$ with respect to u and setting $u = v = x$ we get

$$A'(x)C(x) - C'(x)A(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k^2(x), \quad (22)$$

and the same procedure for $D(u, v)$ leads to

$$B'(x)D(x) - D'(x)B(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k^2(x). \quad (23)$$

For zeros α of A and γ of C we get from (22)

$$A'(\alpha)C(\alpha) > 0, \quad C'(\gamma)A(\gamma) < 0,$$

which shows that C has a zero between any two consecutive zeros of A , and that A has a zero between any two consecutive zeros of C . In other words the zeros of A and C are interlacing. In the same way (23) shows that the zeros of B and D are interlacing.

3 The Stieltjes case

From now on we assume that $s = (s_n)$ in addition to the previous assumptions is a Stieltjes moment sequence, so there exists at least one measure $\mu \in V$ supported by the half-line $[0, \infty)$.

There are two possibilities for a Stieltjes moment problem which is indeterminate as Hamburger moment problem. There can be precisely one solution $\mu \in V$ supported by $[0, \infty)$, or there can be more than one such solution and then infinitely many, since they form a convex set. We say that the Stieltjes moment problem is determinate, respectively indeterminate in the sense of Stieltjes in the two cases. In short the problem is called $\det(S)$ or $\text{indet}(S)$.

In [5, Section 4] there is a detailed study of the Al-Salam–Carlitz polynomials $V_n^{(a)}(x; q)$, which depends on parameters $a > 0$ and $0 < q < 1$. There is given a complete classification of when the corresponding Hamburger moment problem is determinate or indeterminate, and when the indeterminate Hamburger problem is $\det(S)$ or $\text{indet}(S)$.

Since the zeros of P_n and Q_n are located in $(0, \infty)$ and the leading coefficients of P_n and Q_n are positive, we see that for $k \geq 1, x \leq 0$

$$P_k(x)P_k(0) > 0, \quad Q_k(x)Q_k(0) > 0, \quad P_k(x)Q_k(0) < 0, \quad Q_k(x)P_k(0) < 0, \quad (24)$$

while for $k = 0$ the first quantity equals 1 and the three others equal 0. It follows that $B_n(x) < B_{n+1}(x) < B(x), D_n(x) > D_{n+1}(x) > D(x)$ for $x < 0$, and in particular for $x \leq 0$:

$$B(x) \geq B_1(x) = -1 + xP_1(x)Q_1(0) = \frac{1}{b_0^2}x(x - a_0) - 1, \quad D(x) \leq D_1(x) = x. \quad (25)$$

From this equation we see that B has a smallest zero $\beta_1 < 0$ and that D has a smallest zero $\delta_1 = 0$. This means that we can write the zeros $\beta_n, \delta_n, n \geq 1$ of B and D in increasing order, and by the interlacing property mentioned at the end of Section 2 we have

$$\beta_1 < \delta_1 = 0 < \beta_2 < \delta_2 < \beta_3 < \dots,$$

and β_n, δ_n tend to infinity.

From (24) we similarly get $A_n(x) > A_{n+1}(x) > A(x)$, $C_n(x) < C_{n+1}(x) < C(x)$ for $x < 0$, and in particular for $x \leq 0$:

$$A(x) \leq A_1(x) = \frac{x}{b_0^2}, \quad C(x) \geq C_1(x) = 1 - \frac{a_0}{b_0^2}x \geq 1. \quad (26)$$

From this equation we see that A has a smallest zero $\alpha_1 = 0$ and that C has a smallest zero $\gamma_1 > 0$. This means that we can write the zeros $\alpha_n, \gamma_n, n \geq 1$ of A and C in increasing order, and by the interlacing property we have

$$\alpha_1 = 0 < \gamma_1 < \alpha_2 < \gamma_2 < \alpha_3 < \dots,$$

and α_n, γ_n tend to infinity.

Based on previous work of Chihara, see [7],[8], the following result was established in [2] and [5, section 2.2]:

Proposition 3.1. *Let $s = (s_n)$ be a normalized indeterminate Hamburger moment sequence which is Stieltjes. The function $j(x) = D(x)/B(x)$ is strictly decreasing in each of the intervals $(-\infty, \beta_1), (\beta_1, \beta_2), (\beta_2, \beta_3), \dots$ with limits*


$$j(\beta_k-) = -\infty, \quad j(\beta_k+) = \infty, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Furthermore, the quantity $\alpha = \alpha(s)$ given by

$$\alpha = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{D(x)}{B(x)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_n(0)}{Q_n(0)} \quad (27)$$

belongs to $(-\infty, 0]$, and the sequence $P_n(0)/Q_n(0)$ is strictly increasing.

The Stieltjes problem is $\det(S)$ if $\alpha(s) = 0$ and $\text{indet}(S)$ if $\alpha(s) < 0$.



The graph of $j(x) = D(x)/B(x)$

The quantity $\alpha(s)$ can also be determined from the functions A, C :

Proposition 3.2. *Let $s = (s_n)$ be a normalized indeterminate Hamburger moment sequence which is Stieltjes. The function $l(x) = C(x)/A(x)$ is strictly decreasing in each of the intervals $(-\infty, \alpha_1), (\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\alpha_2, \alpha_3), \dots$ with limits*

$$l(\alpha_k-) = -\infty, \quad l(\alpha_k+) = \infty, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

We have

$$\alpha(s) = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{C(x)}{A(x)}. \quad (28)$$

Proof. We know from Berg–Szwarz [4] that $A(z)/C(z)$ is a Pick function so $C(x)/A(x)$ is strictly decreasing in the intervals $(-\infty, \alpha_1), (\alpha_k, \alpha_{k+1}), k = 1, 2, \dots$ (That $A(z)/C(z)$ is a Pick function is also deduced in Section 4 of the present paper). We have $A(x) < 0, B(x) > 0$ for $x < \beta_1$, so for those x we have $D(x)/B(x) - C(x)/A(x) = 1/(A(x)B(x)) \rightarrow 0$ for $x \rightarrow -\infty$ because $A(x) \rightarrow -\infty$ and $B(x) \rightarrow \infty$ by (26) and (25). This shows (28). \square

If the Stieltjes problem is $\det(S)$, i.e., $\alpha(s) = 0$ then ν_0 is the only solution to the Hamburger problem which is concentrated on $[0, \infty[$. For all other solutions μ we have $\xi(\mu) < 0$.

Let us now assume that the Stieltjes problem is $\text{indet}(S)$, i.e., that $\alpha(s) < 0$.

For $t \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ the N-extremal solutions $\nu_t \in V$ are the discrete measures satisfying

$$\int \frac{d\nu_t(x)}{x - z} = -\frac{A(z)t - C(z)}{B(z)t - D(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}, \quad (29)$$

so ν_t is concentrated in the zero-set

$$\Lambda_t := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid B(x)t - D(x) = 0\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid j(x) = t\},$$

which can be described as a strictly increasing sequence $(x_n(t))_{n \geq 1}$. From Proposition 3.1 we clearly have:

(i) For $t > 0$: $x_n(t) \in (\beta_n, \delta_n)$, so ν_t has one negative mass-point $x_1(t)$ and the other mass-points are positive. When t increases from 0 to ∞ , then $x_1(t)$ decreases from $\delta_1 = 0$ to β_1 with $x_1(\infty) = \beta_1$.

(ii) For $t < \alpha(s)$: $x_1(t) \in (-\infty, \beta_1)$, $x_n(t) \in (\delta_{n-1}, \beta_n)$ for $n \geq 2$, so ν_t has one negative mass-point and the other mass-points are positive. When t increases from $-\infty$ to $\alpha(s)$, then $x_1(t)$ decreases from β_1 to $-\infty$.

(iii) For $t = \infty$: $x_n(t) = \beta_n$, so ν_∞ is concentrated in the zero-set of B and has one negative mass-point.

(iv) For $\alpha(s) < t < 0$: $x_n(t) \in (\delta_n, \beta_{n+1})$, so ν_t is supported by a sequence belonging to $(0, \infty)$. When t increases from $\alpha(s)$ to 0, then $x_1(t)$ decreases from ξ_1 to 0.

(v) For $t = 0$: $x_n(t) = \delta_n$, so ν_0 is supported by the zero-set of D . It is called the Krein solution of the moment problem.

(vi) For $t = \alpha(s)$: $x_n(t) > \delta_n$, and $x_1(\alpha(s)) > x_1(t)$ for all $t \neq \alpha(s)$ (including $t = \infty$). The measure $\nu_{\alpha(s)}$ is called the Friedrichs solution of the moment problem.

That the Friedrichs solution $\nu_{\alpha(s)}$ corresponds to the Friedrichs extension of the Jacobi matrix J or the Jacobi operator $(T, D(T))$ was established in [11]. In the paper [12] Pedersen gave a very satisfactory characterization of the solutions $\nu_\varphi \in V$ which are supported by $[0, \infty)$, in terms of the corresponding Pick function φ . For the benefit of the reader we repeat it here.

Theorem 3.3. [12] *The solution $\nu_\varphi \in V$ corresponding to the Pick function φ is supported by $[0, \infty)$ if and only if φ has a holomorphic extension from $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\alpha(s) \leq \varphi(x) \leq 0, \quad x < 0.$$

We shall now describe the Friedrichs solution $\nu_{\alpha(s)}$ in more detail.

Theorem 3.4. *The sequence (ξ_k) defined in (8) satisfies $0 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \dots < \xi_k \rightarrow \infty$ and the ξ_k are the zeros of $B(z)\alpha(s) - D(z)$. The support of the Friedrichs solution is (ξ_k) .*

Proof. It is elementary to see that

$$-\frac{P_n(z)}{Q_n(0)} \rightarrow B(z)\alpha(s) - D(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (30)$$

locally uniformly in z , cf. [11, Equation (8)], and hence also the derivatives $-P'_n(z)/Q_n(0)$ converge to the derivative $B'(z)\alpha(s) - D'(z)$. Define $y_{n,k}$ such that $x_{n,k} < y_{n,k} < x_{n,k+1}$ be such that $P'_n(y_{n,k}) = 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$.

From (30) it is clear that each ξ_k is a zero of $B(z)\alpha(s) - D(z)$. We claim that $\xi_k < \xi_{k+1}$, for if there was equality we would have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n,k} = \xi_k$, and hence $B'(\xi_k)\alpha(s) - D'(\xi_k) = 0$, contradicting that the zeros of $B(z)\alpha(s) - D(z)$ are simple. In addition $\xi_k \rightarrow \infty$ for otherwise the zeros accumulate.

Finally $B(z)\alpha(s) - D(z)$ has no other zeros than ξ_k , for if ξ is a real zero different from all ξ_k , then there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$[\xi - \varepsilon, \xi + \varepsilon] \cap [\xi_k - \varepsilon, \xi_k + \varepsilon] = \emptyset, \quad \forall k. \quad (31)$$

By the Theorem of Rouché P_n must have zeros in $(\xi - \varepsilon, \xi + \varepsilon)$ for n sufficiently large, but this is impossible because of (31).

Clearly $\xi_1 \geq 0$, but if $\xi_1 = 0$ then $D(\xi_1) = 0$, hence $B(\xi_1)\alpha(s) = 0$, which gives the contradiction $B(\xi_1) = 0$ since we assume $\alpha(s) < 0$. \square

We know that the Friedrich measure $\nu_{\alpha(s)}$ satisfies

$$\xi_1 = \xi(\nu_{\alpha(s)}), \quad \alpha(s) = D(\xi_1)/B(\xi_1). \quad (32)$$

We have the following result about ξ_1 , which is the same as [7, Lemma 2], except that there is no mentioning of the Friedrichs extension.

Theorem 3.5. *Let s be a normalized Stieltjes moment sequence which is $\text{indet}(S)$. For any solution $\nu \in V$ to the indeterminate Hamburger problem we have $\xi(\nu) < \xi(\nu_{\alpha(s)})$ unless ν is the Friedrichs solution $\nu_{\alpha(s)}$.*

Proof. Suppose that ν is a solution satisfying $\text{supp}(\nu) \subseteq [\xi_1, \infty)$, and we want to show that $\nu = \nu_{\alpha(s)}$.

Considering the translation $\tau(x) = x - \xi_1$, the image measures $\tau(\nu_{\alpha(s)}), \tau(\nu)$ are supported on $[0, \infty)$ and belong to the Stieltjes moment problem with moments

$$\tilde{s}_n = \int (x - \xi_1)^n d\nu(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (-\xi_1)^j s_{n-j}.$$

It is clearly indeterminate as Hamburger moment problem and the full set of solutions is $\{\tau(\mu) \mid \mu \in V\}$. The orthonormal polynomials and those of the second kind are $P_n(x + \xi_1), Q_n(x + \xi_1)$. The corresponding Nevanlinna functions marked with $\tilde{\cdot}$ are

$$\tilde{A}(u, v) = A(u + \xi_1, v + \xi_1), \dots, \tilde{D}(u, v) = D(u + \xi_1, v + \xi_1), \quad u, v \in \mathbb{C}$$

From the formulas for the Nevanlinna functions of two variables expressed by the Nevanlinna functions of one variable, see Proposition 2.2 (where $n \rightarrow \infty$), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}(z) &= A(z + \xi_1)C(\xi_1) - C(z + \xi_1)A(\xi_1) \\ \tilde{B}(z) &= B(z + \xi_1)C(\xi_1) - D(z + \xi_1)A(\xi_1) \\ \tilde{C}(z) &= A(z + \xi_1)D(\xi_1) - C(z + \xi_1)B(\xi_1) \\ \tilde{D}(z) &= B(z + \xi_1)D(\xi_1) - D(z + \xi_1)B(\xi_1). \end{aligned}$$

These formulas were found in [11, Proposition 3.3]. According to these formulas we get using (32)

$$\frac{\tilde{D}(x)}{\tilde{B}(x)} = \frac{D(\xi_1) - B(\xi_1)\frac{D(x+\xi_1)}{B(x+\xi_1)}}{C(\xi_1) - A(\xi_1)\frac{D(x+\xi_1)}{B(x+\xi_1)}} \rightarrow \frac{D(\xi_1) - B(\xi_1)\alpha(s)}{C(\xi_1) - A(\xi_1)\alpha(s)} = 0$$

for $x \rightarrow -\infty$, and this shows that $\tilde{\alpha} := \alpha(\tilde{s}) = 0$ for the new Stieltjes sequence \tilde{s} , so \tilde{s} is $\text{det}(S)$. We conclude that $\tau(\nu_{\alpha(s)}) = \tau(\nu)$ and hence $\nu_{\alpha(s)} = \nu$. \square

Remark 3.6. Let s be a normalized indeterminate Hamburger moment sequence and assume that there exists a solution μ with $\xi(\mu) \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists a solution μ_* such that $\xi(\mu) < \xi(\mu_*)$ for all solutions $\mu \neq \mu_*$.

This follows by using the translation $\tau(x) = x - \xi(\mu)$ to obtain a Stieltjes moment sequence.

4 The shifted problem

If the first row and column of the Jacobi matrix (14) is deleted, we obtain the matrix

$$J^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & 0 & \dots \\ b_1 & a_2 & b_2 & \dots \\ 0 & b_2 & a_3 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad (33)$$

which by Favard's Theorem, cf. [14, Theorem 5.14], is the Jacobi matrix of a uniquely determined normalized moment sequence, which we denote $s^\dagger = (s_n^\dagger)$ and call the shifted moment sequence. The shifted problem has been studied by many authors, and we shall use results from [2] and [10].

The original moment problem is Stieltjes if and only if J is positive in the sense that $\langle Jc, c \rangle \geq 0$ for all sequences $c = (c_n) \in \mathcal{F}$. Therefore, if s is Stieltjes, then so is s^\dagger .

The orthonormal polynomials and the associated polynomials for s^\dagger are given as

$$P_n^\dagger(x) = b_0 Q_{n+1}(x), \quad Q_n^\dagger(x) = P_1(x)Q_{n+1}(x) - \frac{1}{b_0}P_{n+1}(x), \quad (34)$$

and these formulas show that s is indeterminate as a Hamburger problem if and only if s^\dagger is so. They are in [10] as well as expressions for the Nevanlinna functions for the shifted problem in terms of those for the original problem. In particular Pedersen found the formulas

$$B^\dagger(z) = -C(z) - a_0 A(z), \quad D^\dagger(z) = b_0^2 A(z). \quad (35)$$

(From this we get $b_0^2 B^\dagger(z)/D^\dagger(z) = -a_0 - C(z)/A(z)$, and from this we see that $A(z)/C(z)$ is a Pick function, a property used in Proposition 3.2.)

In the following we assume that s is a Stieltjes sequence for an indeterminate Hamburger problem, and we shall relate $\alpha(s)$ and $\alpha(s^\dagger)$.

First of all we know from Proposition 3.1 that the sequence $P_n(0)/Q_n(0)$ is strictly increasing to $\alpha(s)$. In particular

$$P_1(0)/Q_1(0) = \frac{-a_0/b_0}{1/b_0} = -a_0 < \alpha(s),$$

and hence

$$\alpha(s) + a_0 > 0. \quad (36)$$

Since $P_n^\dagger(x) = b_0 Q_{n+1}(x)$, we know that the zeros $x_{n,k}$ of P_n and the zeros $x_{n,k}^\dagger$ of P_n^\dagger satisfy

$$x_{n,1} < x_{n-1,1}^\dagger < x_{n,2} < x_{n-1,2}^\dagger \cdots < x_{n-1,n-1}^\dagger < x_{n,n},$$

and therefore with the notation from Theorem 3.4

$$\xi_1 \leq \xi_1^\dagger \leq \xi_2 \leq \xi_2^\dagger \leq \dots$$

We claim that there are strict inequalities everywhere.

We notice that

$$\alpha(s^\dagger) = -\frac{b_0^2}{\alpha(s) + a_0} < 0, \quad (37)$$

so the shifted problem is always $\text{indet}(S)$, an observation also done in [2, Remark 4.5].

In fact, by (35) we find

$$\alpha(s^\dagger) = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{D^\dagger(x)}{B^\dagger(x)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{b_0^2 A(x)}{-C(x) - a_0 A(x)},$$

and (37) follows because $C(x)/A(x) \rightarrow \alpha(s)$ for $x \rightarrow -\infty$ by (28).

We know that ξ_k^\dagger are the zeros of

$$B^\dagger(z)\alpha(s^\dagger) - D^\dagger(z) = -\frac{\alpha(s)b_0^2}{\alpha(s) + a_0}A(z) + \frac{b_0^2}{\alpha(s) + a_0}C(z).$$

If $\alpha(s) = 0$ this function is proportional to $C(z)$ so ξ_k are the zeros of D and ξ_k^\dagger are the zeros of C and hence $\xi_k \neq \xi_k^\dagger$. If $\alpha(s) < 0$ then $B^\dagger(z)\alpha(s^\dagger) - D^\dagger(z)$ is proportional to $A(z)\alpha(s) - C(z)$, which then has the zeros ξ_k^\dagger , while $B(z)\alpha(s) - D(z)$ has the zeros ξ_k , and again $\xi_k \neq \xi_k^\dagger$.

Summing up we have proved:

Theorem 4.1. *Let s be a normalized indeterminate Hamburger moment sequence which is Stieltjes. The zeros $x_{n,k}$ of P_n and the zeros $x_{n,k}^\dagger$ of Q_{n+1} have limits*

$$\xi_k := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n,k}, \quad \xi_k^\dagger := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n,k}^\dagger, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

satisfying

$$\xi_1 < \xi_1^\dagger < \xi_2 < \xi_2^\dagger < \dots$$

The zeros of $B(z)\alpha(s) - D(z)$ are (ξ_k) and the zeros of $A(z)\alpha(s) - C(z)$ are (ξ_k^\dagger) .

Remark 4.2. It is a general result about indeterminate Hamburger problems that for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the entire functions $A(z)t - C(z)$ and $B(z)t - D(z)$ have infinitely many zeros which are all real and simple and they interlace.

References

- [1] N. I. Akhiezer, The classical moment problem. Oliver & Boyd, Edinburgh, 1965.
- [2] C. Berg, Markov's Theorem revisited, *J. Approx. Theory* **78** (1994), 260–275.
- [3] C. Berg, Indeterminate moment problems and the theory of entire functions, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **65** (1995), 27–55.
- [4] C. Berg, R. Szwarc, Indeterminate Jacobi operators. To appear in *J. Operator Theory*. arXiv:2301.00586.
- [5] C. Berg, G. Valent, The Nevanlinna parametrization for some indeterminate Stieltjes moment problems associated with birth and death processes. *Methods and Applications of Analysis* **1** (2) (1994), 169–209.
- [6] H. Buchwalter and G. Cassier, La paramétrisation de Nevanlinna dans le problème des moments de Hamburger, *Expo Math.* **2** (1984), 155–178.
- [7] T. S. Chihara, On indeterminate Hamburger moment problems, *Pacific J. Math.* **27** (1968), 475–484.
- [8] T. S. Chihara, Indeterminate symmetric moment problems, *J. Math. Anal. appl.* **85** (1982), 331–346.
- [9] G. K. Pedersen, *Analysis Now*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics Vol. 118. Springer Verlag 1989.
- [10] H. L. Pedersen, The Nevanlinna matrix of entire functions associated with the shifted indeterminate Hamburger moment problem, *Math. Scand.* **74** (1994), 152–160.
- [11] H. L. Pedersen, Stieltjes Moment Problems and the Friedrichs Extension of a Positive Definite Operator, *J. Approx. Theory* **83** (1995), 289–307.
- [12] H. L. Pedersen, La paramétrisation de Nevanlinna et le problème des moments de Stieltjes indéterminé, *Expo Math.* **15** (1997), 273–278.
- [13] A. D. Sokal, J. Walrad, Continued-fraction characterization of Stieltjes moment sequences with support in $[\xi, \infty)$. arXiv:2404.12131.
- [14] K. Schmüdgen, *The Moment Problem*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics Vol. 277. Springer International Publishing AG 2017.

Christian Berg
Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen
Universitetsparken 5, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark
email: berg@math.ku.dk