

Force–dependence of the rigid–body motion for an arbitrarily shaped particle in a forced, incompressible Stokes flow

Alvaro Domínguez^{1,2,*} and Mihail N. Popescu^{1,†}

¹*Física Teórica, Universidad de Sevilla, Apdo. 1065, 41080 Sevilla, Spain*

²*Instituto Carlos I de Física Teórica y Computacional, 18071 Granada, Spain*

When a particle moves in a Newtonian flow at low Reynolds number, inertia is irrelevant and a linear relationship exists between velocities and forces. For incompressible flows, any force distribution $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r})$ acting in the fluid bulk induces flow and motion only through its solenoidal component. For force distributions that are spatially localized (i.e., vanish sufficiently fast at infinity), we derive the representation of the rigid body motion as an explicit linear functional of $\nabla \times \mathbf{f}$, which complements the usual representation in terms of \mathbf{f} . We illustrate the utility of this alternative representation, which has the advantage of having the incompressibility constraint built-in, in avoiding certain ambiguities that arise, e.g., when implementing approximations for swimmers.

When dealing with the motion of rigid particles within a Newtonian fluid flow at low Reynolds number, the Lorentz reciprocal theorem [1–5] for the unforced Stokes equations is a useful tool for extracting relevant information about the motion of the particle while sidestepping the explicit calculation of the flow. This theorem can be generalized [4, 6] to account for a bulk force field $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r})$ acting in the fluid: notable applications are the derivation of Faxén laws for particles of arbitrary shape, see, e.g., [4, Ch. 3], and the calculation of the translational and rotational velocities of a self-phoretic particle, see, e.g., Refs. [7–13]. The incompressibility constraint is enforced through the hydrodynamic pressure, that also adsorbs any potential (longitudinal) component of the bulk force field; therefore only the solenoidal (transversal) component may induce flow and motion of the particle. We revisit here the generalized reciprocal theorem and formulate it in terms of $\nabla \times \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r})$, which renders a motion–force relationship with the incompressibility constraint explicitly accounted for. We show, as an example, that this formulation is more advantageous to use in the configuration, often occurring in phoresis and self-phoresis, that the effect of the force is relevant only within a thin layer region near the particle.

Consider an arbitrarily shaped, rigid, and impermeable particle immersed in a Newtonian fluid. The particle translates with velocity \mathbf{V} and rotates with angular velocity $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$, while the fluid flows with the velocity field $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r})$ in the domain \mathcal{D} , see Figure 1. This flow (i) is incompressible, (ii) obeys the Stokes equations with forcing in the bulk of the fluid, (iii) satisfies a no–slip condition on the surface of the particle \mathcal{S}_p , which we take oriented into the fluid, and (iv) vanishes at infinity, which sets the rest frame with respect to which the particle velocity is measured. In terms of the stress tensor

$$\Pi = \eta [\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^\dagger] - \mathbf{I} p, \quad (1)$$

that involves the viscosity η and the hydrodynamic pressure p , this physical problem is phrased as the following boundary–value problem for the fluid flow $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r})$:

$$\nabla \cdot \Pi(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}) = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (2a)$$

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{V} + \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}, \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{S}_p, \quad (2b)$$

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } |\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2c)$$

Here, the field $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r})$ represents a given force density acting on the fluid; this force is sourced either by external fields or by interactions of the fluid constituents with the particle (e.g., an adsorption potential extending into the fluid), so that one writes

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{f}_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{f}_{\text{part}}(\mathbf{r}). \quad (3)$$

We assume that each of these two components has a compact support or, more generally, that it vanishes at infinity as fast as needed. The particle immersed in the fluid is thus accordingly acted by the reaction to the force field \mathbf{f}_{part}

* dominguez@us.es

† mpopescu@us.es




FIG. 1. (*Left*) Schematic description of the system under consideration: a rigid, impermeable particle moves with translational and rotational velocities \mathbf{V} and $\mathbf{\Omega}$, respectively, in a Stokes flow $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r})$ defined in the fluid domain \mathcal{D} bounded by the surface of the particle, \mathcal{S}_p , and a surface at infinity, \mathcal{S}_∞ (both oriented into the fluid domain, as indicated by the unit normal \mathbf{n}). There is a force, $\mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}}^{(p)}$, and a torque, $\mathbf{T}_{\text{ext}}^{(p)}$, of external origin acting directly on the particle, and a force field $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r})$ acting in the bulk of the fluid. (*Right*) Definition of a local system of coordinates near the surface of the particle. Here, \mathbf{r}_p is an arbitrary point of the particle's surface \mathcal{S}_p , and $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}_p)$ is the unit normal at that point. Near the surface of the particle, any point in the fluid can be parametrized as $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_p + z\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}_p)$ with $z \geq 0$ being the distance from the surface along the normal, and any vector $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_p + z\mathbf{n})$ can be decomposed locally into its normal and tangential components as $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{n}Q_z + \mathbf{Q}_\parallel$.

and by the hydrodynamic stresses due to the motion relative to the fluid;¹ in addition, there can be a force $\mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}}^{(p)}$ and a torque $\mathbf{T}_{\text{ext}}^{(p)}$ due to external fields acting directly on the particle. (In general, the external fields acting on the particle and those responsible of \mathbf{f}_{ext} are different.)

In the limit of negligible inertia (overdamped particle motion and Stokes flow), forces and torques are balanced, so that mechanical balance (equilibrium) of the composed system “particle + fluid” is expressed in terms of the hydrodynamic stresses transmitted by the fluid through a surface \mathcal{S}_∞ at infinity (also taken to be oriented into the fluid):

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}}^{(p)} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}}^{(f)} - \oint_{\mathcal{S}_\infty} d\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathbf{\Pi} = 0, \quad \mathbf{T}_{\text{ext}}^{(p)} + \mathbf{T}_{\text{ext}}^{(f)} - \oint_{\mathcal{S}_\infty} \mathbf{r} \times (d\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathbf{\Pi}) = 0, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}}^{(f)} := \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} \mathbf{f}_{\text{ext}}, \quad \mathbf{T}_{\text{ext}}^{(f)} := \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{f}_{\text{ext}} \quad (5)$$

denote the total force and torque, respectively, acting on the fluid due to the force density \mathbf{f}_{ext} . When these expressions are combined with the integrated version of the force balance on the fluid derived from Eq. (2a), one obtains the force and torque balance on the particle:

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}}^{(p)} - \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} \mathbf{f}_{\text{part}} + \oint_{\mathcal{S}_p} d\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathbf{\Pi} = 0, \quad \mathbf{T}_{\text{ext}}^{(p)} - \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{f}_{\text{part}} + \oint_{\mathcal{S}_p} \mathbf{r} \times (d\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathbf{\Pi}) = 0. \quad (6)$$

These expressions will provide the (linear) relationship between the forces and torques ($\mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}}^{(p,f)}$, $\mathbf{T}_{\text{ext}}^{(p,f)}$, \mathbf{f}) and the velocities ($\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{\Omega}$) (see, e.g., Refs. [3, 4, 6, 9] and Eqs. (21) below). The pressure p in Eq. (1) plays the role of a

¹ The particle does not react to \mathbf{f}_{ext} by the very definition of the latter.

constraining force that enforces the incompressibility condition $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$. Any additive gradient in the bulk force \mathbf{f} is thus irrelevant for the flow and for the motion of the particle, as it can be absorbed in the definition of the pressure. That is, only the solenoidal component of \mathbf{f} is relevant, and therefore it must be possible to find a result that involves just the curl of this field. The goal in the following is to find such expressions for \mathbf{V} and $\mathbf{\Omega}$, which complement the framework discussed above and could present advantages in numerical studies, as the irrelevance of the potential component of \mathbf{f} will be explicitly accounted for by construction.

In the absence of any force density acting in the bulk of the fluid, i.e., $\mathbf{f}_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv 0$ and $\mathbf{f}_{\text{part}}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv 0$, one recovers the standard problem of flow and particle motion driven by the direct action of an external field on the particle [3, 4]. We denote the solution of this problem with primed symbols:

$$\nabla \cdot \Pi'(\mathbf{r}) = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}'(\mathbf{r}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (7a)$$

$$\mathbf{u}'(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{V}' + \mathbf{\Omega}' \times \mathbf{r}, \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{S}_p, \quad (7b)$$

$$\mathbf{u}'(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } |\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (7c)$$

with the additional relations (Eqs. (4) and (6) with $\mathbf{f}_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv 0$ and $\mathbf{f}_{\text{part}}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv 0$)

$$\mathbf{F}'_{\text{ext}} - \oint_{\mathcal{S}_{\infty}} d\mathcal{S} \cdot \Pi' = 0, \quad \mathbf{T}'_{\text{ext}} - \oint_{\mathcal{S}_{\infty}} \mathbf{r} \times (d\mathcal{S} \cdot \Pi') = 0, \quad (8a)$$

$$\mathbf{F}'_{\text{ext}} + \oint_{\mathcal{S}_p} d\mathcal{S} \cdot \Pi' = 0, \quad \mathbf{T}'_{\text{ext}} + \oint_{\mathcal{S}_p} \mathbf{r} \times (d\mathcal{S} \cdot \Pi') = 0. \quad (8b)$$

In this case the velocities of rigid body motion can be expressed as the following linear combination of the external forces and torques acting on the particle [3, 4]:

$$\mathbf{V}' = \frac{1}{\eta} \mathbf{M}_t \cdot \mathbf{F}'_{\text{ext}} + \frac{1}{\eta} \mathbf{M}_c \cdot \mathbf{T}'_{\text{ext}}, \quad (9a)$$

$$\mathbf{\Omega}' = \frac{1}{\eta} \mathbf{M}_c^+ \cdot \mathbf{F}'_{\text{ext}} + \frac{1}{\eta} \mathbf{M}_r \cdot \mathbf{T}'_{\text{ext}}, \quad (9b)$$

in terms of the 2nd-rank mobility tensors for translation, \mathbf{M}_t , rotation, \mathbf{M}_r , and cross-coupling, \mathbf{M}_c . These tensors are determined solely by the shape of the particle; the first two are symmetric, the third one is not, in general:

$$\mathbf{M}_t^+ = \mathbf{M}_t, \quad \mathbf{M}_r^+ = \mathbf{M}_r. \quad (10)$$

Furthermore, in view of the linearity of the boundary-value problem, the flow field in this case can be written formally as

$$\mathbf{u}'(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{V}' \cdot [\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r})] + \mathbf{\Omega}' \times [\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r})], \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (11)$$

where \mathbf{I} is the identity 2nd-rank tensor, while the vector field $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r})$ and the 2nd-rank tensor field $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r})$ also depend — similarly to the mobility tensors — only on the shape of the particle [3]. (Notice that the tensor \mathbf{K} is not symmetric in general, so that the order in which contractions with it are written is important.²) From the boundary conditions, Eqs. (7b, 7c), obeyed by $\mathbf{u}'(\mathbf{r})$ it follows that the vector fields $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r})$ satisfy

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{S}_p, \quad (12a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}) &\rightarrow -\mathbf{I} + \text{multipolar expansion}, & \text{as } |\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty \\ \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}) &\rightarrow -\mathbf{r} + \text{multipolar expansion}, & \text{as } |\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (12b)$$

² We note on passing that the difference between covariant and contravariant vectors is neglected in view that one can work in a global Cartesian coordinate system.

where the multipolar expansion accounts for all the fundamental singularities that describe the induced flow. Meanwhile, the incompressibility constraint $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}' = 0$ leads straightforwardly to

$$\nabla \cdot [\mathbf{e}_j \cdot \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r})] = 0, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}) = 0, \quad (13)$$

where the unit constant vectors \mathbf{e}_j (with $j = 1, 2, 3$) form a Cartesian coordinate system. Consequently, one can write the fields $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r})$ in terms of scalar and vector potentials, respectively, as³

$$\mathbf{e}_j \cdot \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}) = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \quad (14a)$$

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}) = -\nabla \Phi(\mathbf{r}). \quad (14b)$$

Additionally, the potentials must satisfy boundary conditions, following from Eqs. (12), at the surface of the particle and at infinity; the details are provided in the Supplementary Material [14], and here we only note that, on the surface of the particle, the scalar potential must be constant and the vector potentials must be perfect gradients. Furthermore, one notes that Eqs. (14) define the potentials up to a gauge transform,

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}) \mapsto \Phi(\mathbf{r}) + \phi, \quad \mathbf{A}^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}) \mapsto \mathbf{A}^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}) + \nabla \alpha^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}). \quad (15)$$

The constant ϕ and the scalar field $\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ are not completely arbitrary because they must also comply with the boundary conditions; it turns out that by providing these fields as boundary conditions at the surface of the particle, i.e.,

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \phi, \quad \mathbf{A}^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}) = \nabla \alpha^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}), \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{S}_p, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \quad (16)$$

and requiring that $\nabla^2 \alpha^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}) = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}^{(j)}$ fixes the gauge [14]. A specific example, which will be particularly useful in the following, is the ‘‘Coulomb gauge’’, defined by the choice

$$\phi = 0, \quad \alpha^{(j)}(\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{D}) \equiv 0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (17)$$

Accordingly, Eqs. (15) and (16) can be understood as the rule for constructing the potentials in any gauge by starting from the ones in the Coulomb gauge. In other words, the gauge freedom is exhausted by providing the surface fields and the values of the divergence for the vector potentials.

Returning to the general case $\mathbf{f} \neq 0$, the dependence of the velocities on forces and torques can be derived (while sidestepping the explicit calculation of the velocity field $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r})$) by applying the Lorentz reciprocal theorem [1, 2] to the two hydrodynamic problems introduced in the previous sections, namely the Stokes flows with (unprimed quantities) and without (primed quantities) the bulk force $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r})$. The following relationship holds (the presence of the bulk force \mathbf{f} in Eq. (2a) does not change the standard reasoning presented in, e.g., Ref. [3]; see also Refs. [4, 6] and [9, Supp. Mat.]):

$$\oint_{\mathcal{S}_p \cup \mathcal{S}_\infty} d\mathcal{S} \cdot \Pi \cdot \mathbf{u}' - \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u}' = \oint_{\mathcal{S}_p \cup \mathcal{S}_\infty} d\mathcal{S} \cdot \Pi' \cdot \mathbf{u}, \quad (18)$$

after proper account of the orientation chosen for the surfaces \mathcal{S}_p and \mathcal{S}_∞ . Now, the integrals over \mathcal{S}_∞ vanish due to the boundary conditions (2c, 7c) and the fact that the force field \mathbf{f} vanishes fast at infinity (so that the flows and the stress tensors decay at least as $1/r$ and $1/r^2$, respectively). The integrals over \mathcal{S}_p can be simplified by applying the boundary conditions (2b, 7b) and the force balances (6, 8b), so that Eq. (18) takes the form:

$$\mathbf{V}' \cdot \left(-\mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}}^{(p)} + \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} \mathbf{f}_{\text{part}} \right) + \boldsymbol{\Omega}' \cdot \left(-\mathbf{T}_{\text{ext}}^{(p)} + \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{f}_{\text{part}} \right) - \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} \mathbf{u}' \cdot \mathbf{f} = -\mathbf{F}'_{\text{ext}} \cdot \mathbf{V} - \mathbf{T}'_{\text{ext}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Omega}. \quad (19)$$

By inserting the representation (11) for the velocity field $\mathbf{u}'(\mathbf{r})$ in the equation above, one gets

$$\mathbf{V}' \cdot \left(\mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}}^{(p)} + \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} \mathbf{f}_{\text{ext}} + \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{f} \right) + \boldsymbol{\Omega}' \cdot \left(\mathbf{T}_{\text{ext}}^{(p)} + \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{f}_{\text{ext}} + \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{f} \right) = \mathbf{F}'_{\text{ext}} \cdot \mathbf{V} + \mathbf{T}'_{\text{ext}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Omega}. \quad (20)$$

³ One could introduce a 2nd-rank tensor field $\mathbf{A} := \sum_j \mathbf{A}^{(j)} \mathbf{e}_j$ and write $\mathbf{K}^\dagger = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ more compactly, but we prefer to work with the three vector fields $\mathbf{A}^{(j)}$ for reasons of clarity.

Finally, by using the definitions (5), inserting the transposed version of relations (9) simplified by Eq. (10), and noting that $\mathbf{F}'_{\text{ext}}^{(p)}$, $\mathbf{T}'_{\text{ext}}^{(p)}$ are independent and arbitrary, one arrives at the following result:

$$\mathbf{V} = \frac{1}{\eta} \mathbf{M}_t \cdot \left(\mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}}^{(p)} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}}^{(f)} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{mot}} \right) + \frac{1}{\eta} \mathbf{M}_c \cdot \left(\mathbf{T}_{\text{ext}}^{(p)} + \mathbf{T}_{\text{ext}}^{(f)} + \mathbf{T}_{\text{mot}} \right), \quad (21a)$$

$$\mathbf{\Omega} = \frac{1}{\eta} \mathbf{M}_c^+ \cdot \left(\mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}}^{(p)} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}}^{(f)} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{mot}} \right) + \frac{1}{\eta} \mathbf{M}_r \cdot \left(\mathbf{T}_{\text{ext}}^{(p)} + \mathbf{T}_{\text{ext}}^{(f)} + \mathbf{T}_{\text{mot}} \right), \quad (21b)$$

where we have defined

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{mot}} := \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}), \quad \mathbf{T}_{\text{mot}} := \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}) \times \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}). \quad (22)$$

These latter quantities encode the flow-mediated effect of the force density acting in the bulk fluid and we term them “motility force and torque”, as they are (minus) the force and torque, respectively, that should be applied externally on the system “particle + fluid” to keep the particle at rest against its tendency to move. Equivalently, \mathbf{F}_{mot} and \mathbf{T}_{mot} are responsible for particle motion when the system is mechanically isolated, i.e., under vanishing external force and torque on the system: $\mathbf{F}'_{\text{ext}}^{(p)} + \mathbf{F}'_{\text{ext}}^{(f)} = 0$, $\mathbf{T}'_{\text{ext}}^{(p)} + \mathbf{T}'_{\text{ext}}^{(f)} = 0$ (an example is the case of electrophoresis, in which an external electric field acts both on the charged particle and on the ionic double-layer in the fluid, while the ensemble “particle + double-layer” remains force- and torque-free). When $\mathbf{f}_{\text{ext}} \equiv 0$ but $\mathbf{f}_{\text{part}} \neq 0$, \mathbf{F}_{mot} and \mathbf{T}_{mot} recover the expressions of the “swimming” force and torque introduced by Ref. [15] (when at least one of them is non-zero, the particle becomes a “swimmer”, i.e., it exhibits “self-motility” solely through its interaction with the fluid). The other limit case, $\mathbf{f}_{\text{ext}} \neq 0$ but $\mathbf{f}_{\text{part}} \equiv 0$, also presents interesting aspects, in that it corresponds to particle drift by the ambient flow induced by a force distribution \mathbf{f}_{ext} with no net force and torque on the fluid center of mass ($\mathbf{F}'_{\text{ext}}^{(f)} = 0$, $\mathbf{T}'_{\text{ext}}^{(f)} = 0$).

As noted, the physical results should be sensitive only to the solenoidal component of the force field $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r})$, i.e., Eqs. (21a) and (21b) should be invariant with respect to the transformation $\mathbf{f} \mapsto \mathbf{f} + \nabla\chi$ for any well behaved and sufficiently fast decaying scalar potential $\chi(\mathbf{r})$. The motility force and torque are invariant because any gradient added to \mathbf{f} drops from Eqs. (22) upon integration by parts and use of the incompressibility constraints (13) and of the boundary conditions (12a). (We note in passing that this argument also rules out self-propulsion based solely on the osmotic pressure of a solute, in agreement with Refs. [16–18].) On the other hand, the irrelevance of the potential (longitudinal) component of \mathbf{f} can be made explicit by expressing the motility force and torque in terms solely of $\nabla \times \mathbf{f}$, which renders a formulation with the incompressibility constraint explicitly accounted for. This can be achieved with the use of the hydrodynamic potentials: starting from Eqs. (14, 16), one can write the motility torque as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_{\text{mot}} &= \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{f} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} (-\nabla\Phi) \times \mathbf{f} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} [\Phi \nabla \times \mathbf{f} - \nabla \times (\Phi \mathbf{f})] \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} \Phi \nabla \times \mathbf{f} - \oint_{S_p \cup S_\infty} d\mathcal{S} \times \Phi \mathbf{f} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} \Phi \nabla \times \mathbf{f} - \oint_{S_p} d\mathcal{S} \times \mathbf{f} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} [\Phi - \phi] \nabla \times \mathbf{f}, \end{aligned} \quad (23a)$$

where the surface integral over S_∞ drops due to the assumption that the field \mathbf{f} vanishes fast enough at infinity. Likewise, one gets for the motility force the following alternative expression for each $j = 1, 2, 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}_j \cdot \mathbf{F}_{\text{mot}} &= \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} \mathbf{e}_j \cdot \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{f} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}^{(j)}) \cdot \mathbf{f} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} \mathbf{A}^{(j)} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{f}) + \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{A}^{(j)} \times \mathbf{f}) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} \mathbf{A}^{(j)} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{f}) + \oint_{S_p \cup S_\infty} d\mathcal{S} \cdot (\mathbf{A}^{(j)} \times \mathbf{f}) = \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} \mathbf{A}^{(j)} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{f}) + \oint_{S_p} d\mathcal{S} \cdot (\mathbf{A}^{(j)} \times \mathbf{f}) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} \mathbf{A}^{(j)} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{f}) + \oint_{S_p} d\mathcal{S} \cdot [\nabla \times (\alpha^{(j)} \mathbf{f}) - \alpha^{(j)} \nabla \times \mathbf{f}] \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V} \mathbf{A}^{(j)} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{f}) - \oint_{S_p} d\mathcal{S} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{f}) \alpha^{(j)}. \end{aligned} \quad (23b)$$

In this derivation we have dropped the integral over \mathcal{S}_∞ again, used the boundary condition (16), and, in the last step, taken into account that the surface \mathcal{S}_p is closed (it has no boundary). Now any gradient added to \mathbf{f} is obviously irrelevant in these expressions for \mathbf{F}_{mot} and \mathbf{T}_{mot} ; that arbitrariness has been replaced by the gauge freedom in the hydrodynamic potentials and, consistently with the discussion around Eq. (16), the above expressions are overtly invariant under a gauge transformation (15). One can alternatively state that Eqs. (23) must be evaluated in the Coulomb gauge, i.e., with those potentials $\hat{\Phi}$, $\hat{\mathbf{A}}^{(j)}$ that verify Eqs. (16, 17). With this choice for the gauge, one arrives at the simple expressions

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{mot}} := \sum_{j=1}^3 \mathbf{e}_j \int_{\mathcal{D}} dV \hat{\mathbf{A}}^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot [\nabla \times \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r})], \quad \mathbf{T}_{\text{mot}} := \int_{\mathcal{D}} dV \hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{r}) \nabla \times \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}). \quad (24)$$

While the two expressions for the motility force and torque, namely, Eqs. (22) and (24), are equivalent as long as the exact expressions are employed, when approximations are required, e.g., for analytical tractability or for numerical solutions, the use of the explicitly incompressible formulation can be more advantageous (see, e.g., Ref. [19]). As an illustration of this point, we consider the case of self-chemophoresis, where a particle swims due to the interaction with self-generated gradients in the chemical composition of the ambient fluid while in the absence of forces of external origin (i.e., $\mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}}^{(p)} = 0$, $\mathbf{T}_{\text{ext}}^{(p)} = 0$, $\mathbf{f}_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv 0$), see, e.g., Refs. [7–13, 19–21]. The volume force is modeled as [9]

$$\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}_{\text{part}} = -n \nabla \mu, \quad (25)$$

where n is the concentration of a chemical in the fluid, and μ is the corresponding chemical potential, which already incorporates the interaction with the particle. A usual configuration in experiments occurs when this interaction does not extend much far apart from the particle, so that its effect is spatially limited to a layer, which is very thin when compared with the geometrical length scales associated to the shape of \mathcal{S}_p , at the surface of the particle. This feature can be implemented by approximating the hydrodynamic kernels in Eqs. (22, 24) by their behavior near this surface, given that they will only depend on those large geometrical scales. Before considering a particle of arbitrary shape, for reasons of physically insightful simplicity we address first the case of a spherical particle of radius R . In this case, analytical expressions for the hydrodynamic kernels \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{K} are available [3, 4]:

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}) = \left[\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^3 + \frac{3R}{4r} - 1 \right] \mathbf{1} + \frac{3R}{4r} \left[1 - \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^2 \right] \mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{e}_r, \quad \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}) = \left[\left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^3 - 1 \right] r \mathbf{e}_r, \quad (26)$$

in spherical coordinates with origin at the sphere center, where \mathbf{e}_r is the unit radial vector. The potentials that satisfy the Coulomb gauge (17) are given as

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}}^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{3}{4} R \left[1 - \frac{2}{3} \frac{r}{R} - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^2 \right] \mathbf{e}_j \times \mathbf{e}_r, \quad \hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{3}{2} R^2 \left[1 - \frac{2}{3} \frac{R}{r} - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (27)$$

In the thin-layer approximation, these functions are approximated by their Taylor expansions in the radial distance r around the particle's surface ($r = R$), accounting that the fast decay of the force field $\mathbf{f}(r \mathbf{e}_r)$ at infinity will serve as an effective cutoff ($r - R \ll R$) in the volume integrals appearing in Eqs. (22, 24):

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}) \approx -\frac{3(r-R)}{2R} (\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{e}_r), \quad \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}) \approx -3(r-R) \mathbf{e}_r, \quad (28)$$

and

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}}^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}) \approx -\frac{3}{4R} (r-R)^2 \mathbf{e}_j \times \mathbf{e}_r, \quad \hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{r}) \approx \frac{3}{2} (r-R)^2. \quad (29)$$

Accordingly, one defines auxiliary fields on the particle's surface as

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}_p) := \int_0^\infty dz z \mathbf{f}_{\parallel}(\mathbf{r}_p + z \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}_p)), \quad \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{r}_p) := \int_0^\infty dz z^2 \nabla \times \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}_p + z \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}_p)), \quad (30)$$

using the notation introduced in Fig. 1(right) with $z := r - R$, $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{e}_r$, $\mathbf{r}_p = R \mathbf{e}_r$, so that Eqs. (22) become (here, $d\Omega$ is the element of spherical solid angle and \mathbb{S}_2 is the unit sphere)

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{mot}} \approx -\frac{3R}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} d\Omega \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{e}_r), \quad \mathbf{T}_{\text{mot}} \approx -3R^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} d\Omega \mathbf{e}_r \times \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{e}_r), \quad (31)$$

while Eqs. (24) take the form

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{mot}} \approx -\frac{3R}{4} \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} d\Omega \mathbf{e}_r \times \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{e}_r), \quad \mathbf{T}_{\text{mot}} \approx \frac{3R^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} d\Omega \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{e}_r). \quad (32)$$

(The vectorial identity $\sum_{j=1}^3 \mathbf{e}_j (\mathbf{e}_j \times \mathbf{e}_r) \cdot \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{e}_r \times \mathbf{s}$, which holds for any vector \mathbf{s} , has been used in the derivation of \mathbf{F}_{mot} in this last equation.) The significant difference between these two results is that, unlike Eqs. (32), the expressions appearing in (31) are no longer invariant under the replacement $\mathbf{f} \rightarrow \mathbf{f} + \nabla\chi$, with $\chi(\mathbf{r})$ an arbitrary smooth function that vanishes sufficiently fast at infinity; for instance, one would get $\mathbf{F}_{\text{mot}} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{\text{mot}} + \delta\mathbf{F}_{\text{mot}}$ with a spurious contribution

$$\delta\mathbf{F}_{\text{mot}} := -\frac{3R}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} d\Omega \nabla_{\parallel} \underbrace{\left[\int_0^{\infty} dz z \chi((R+z)\mathbf{e}_r) \right]}_{\hat{\chi}(\mathbf{e}_r)} \neq 0 \text{ if } \hat{\chi} \text{ has a non-vanishing dipolar component.} \quad (33)$$

The same conclusion holds in the case of a generic particle shape. The near-particle behavior of the hydrodynamic kernels can be derived easily [14]:

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}) = z\partial_z \mathbf{K}_{\parallel}(\mathbf{r}_p) + o(z^2), \quad \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}) = z\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}_p)\partial_z K_z(\mathbf{r}_p) + o(z^2), \quad (34)$$

and

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}}^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} z^2 \partial_z^2 \hat{\mathbf{A}}^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}_p) + o(z^3), \quad \hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} z^2 \partial_z^2 \hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{r}_p) + o(z^3). \quad (35)$$

Correspondingly, in terms of the auxiliary fields (30), the Eqs. (22) and (24) are approximated as the following surface integrals, respectively:

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{mot}} \approx \oint_{\mathcal{S}_p} d\mathcal{S} \mathbf{K}_{\parallel}(\mathbf{r}_p) \cdot \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}_p), \quad \mathbf{T}_{\text{mot}} \approx \oint_{\mathcal{S}_p} d\mathcal{S} \partial_z K_z(\mathbf{r}_p) \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}_p) \times \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}_p), \quad (36)$$

and⁴

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{mot}} \approx \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \oint_{\mathcal{S}_p} d\mathcal{S} \partial_z^2 \hat{\mathbf{A}}^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}_p) \mathbf{e}_j \cdot \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{r}_p), \quad \mathbf{T}_{\text{mot}} \approx \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\mathcal{S}_p} d\mathcal{S} \partial_z^2 \hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{r}_p) \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{r}_p). \quad (37)$$

The expressions (36) are not invariant under the change $\mathbf{f} \rightarrow \mathbf{f} + \nabla\chi$ because the approximate hydrodynamic kernels (34) describe a shear flow along the particle's surface which is compressible on \mathcal{S}_p (see Eq. (11)):

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_p + z\mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{V} + \mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r} + z\gamma(\mathbf{r}_p) + o(z^2), \quad (38)$$

with

$$\gamma(\mathbf{r}_p) := \mathbf{V} \cdot \partial_z \mathbf{K}_{\parallel}(\mathbf{r}_p) + \mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}_p) \partial_z K_z(\mathbf{r}_p), \quad \nabla_{\parallel} \cdot \gamma \neq 0 \text{ in general.} \quad (39)$$

This non-invariance with respect to potential contributions in the force field \mathbf{f} is a significant inconvenience in that it prevents carrying out useful approximations, such as replacing the force \mathbf{f} in Eq. (25) by expressions like $\mu\nabla n$ (advantageous for numerical simulations or comparison with experiments, where the density $n(\mathbf{r})$ is the field more easily accessible) or $(\mu\nabla n - n\nabla\mu)/2$, which differ from the physical force (25) by a dynamically irrelevant additive gradient. Moreover, it leaves open the possibility of accidentally carrying osmotic pressure terms into force contributions to motility, which are obviously spurious (as also pointed out previously [17, 18]). Therefore, Eqs. (36) are prone to ambiguities in dealing with thin-film approximations, and it is preferable to avoid them in favor of Eqs. (37).

In conclusion, the rigid body representation in terms of the curl of the force field, see Eqs. (21, 24), provides a description which is complementary to the previously derived one in terms of the force field [6]. It has the advantage

⁴ According to footnote 3, one could also write, in a more compact fashion, $\mathbf{F}_{\text{mot}} = (1/2) \oint d\mathcal{S} (\partial_z^2 \hat{\mathbf{A}}) \cdot \mathbf{g}$.

of explicitly accounting for the incompressibility of the flow. For particles of sufficiently simple shapes, one can get approximate analytical expressions for the kernels \mathbf{K} , \mathbf{K} , and their potentials $\mathbf{A}^{(j)}$, Φ , respectively: then Eqs. (21, 24) provide closed form integral representations, which are well suited for straightforward, insightful analytical approaches, without the concern that approximations might violate incompressibility and lead to ambiguities in the final expressions. For particles of generic shapes lacking any special symmetries, the potentials or the kernels would have to be computed numerically, as it is usually the case in hydrodynamics. But then, the representation given by Eqs. (24) has the advantage of a calculation without constraints, while the calculation of the kernels \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{K} is subject to the stringent constraints shown in Eqs. (13), without which spurious contributions from the potential (longitudinal) components of \mathbf{f} would appear in the rigid body motion. This is particularly challenging in the case when the force field \mathbf{f} is significant only in a thin layer near the particle (like, e.g., in many instances of chemophoresis), where the magnitude of the kernels \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{K} is intrinsically small because they vanish at the surface of the particle but vary over length scales much larger than the layer thickness. In this case, discriminating numerically any small spurious components in \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{K} becomes technically challenging. On the other hand, the representation in terms of the potentials Φ and $\mathbf{A}^{(j)}$ is immune to such issues and solely requires accurate numerical computations of the curl of \mathbf{f} , which is a rather standard task.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A.D. and M.N.P. acknowledge financial support through grants ProyExcel_00505 funded by Junta de Andalucía, and PID2021-126348NB-I00 funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and “ERDF A way of making Europe”. M.N.P. also acknowledges support from Ministerio de Universidades through a María Zambrano grant.

-
- [1] H. A. Lorentz, Eene algemeene stelling omtrent de beweging eener vloeistof met wrijving en eenige daaruit afgeleide gevolgen, Zittingsverslag van de Koninklijke Akademie van Wetenschappen te Amsterdam **5**, 168 (1896).
 - [2] H. K. Kuiken, H.A. Lorentz: A general theorem on the motion of a fluid with friction and a few results derived from it (translated from Dutch by H.K. Kuiken), J. Eng. Math. **30**, 19 (1996).
 - [3] J. Happel and H. Brenner, *Low Reynolds number hydrodynamics* (Noordhoff Int. Pub., Leyden, 1973).
 - [4] S. Kim and S. J. Karrila, *Microhydrodynamics: Principles and Selected Applications* (Butterworth–Heinemann, 1991).
 - [5] H. Masoud and H. A. Stone, The reciprocal theorem in fluid dynamics and transport phenomena, J. Fluid Mech. **879**, P1 (2019).
 - [6] M. Teubner, The motion of charged colloidal particles in electric fields, J. Chem. Phys. **76**, 5564 (1982).
 - [7] B. Sabass and U. Seifert, Dynamics and efficiency of a self-propelled, diffusiophoretic swimmer, J. Chem. Phys. **136**, 064508 (2012).
 - [8] A. T. Brown, W. C. K. Poon, C. Holm, and J. de Graaf, Ionic screening and dissociation are crucial for understanding chemical self-propulsion in polar solvents, Soft Matter **13**, 1200 (2017).
 - [9] A. Domínguez, M. N. Popescu, C. M. Rohwer, and S. Dietrich, Self-motility of an active particle induced by correlations in the surrounding solution, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 268002 (2020).
 - [10] M. De Corato, X. Arqué, T. Patiño, M. Arroyo, S. Sánchez, and I. Pagonabarraga, Self-propulsion of active colloids via ion release: Theory and experiments, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 108001 (2020).
 - [11] E. S. Asmolov, T. V. Nizkaya, and O. I. Vinogradova, Self-diffusiophoresis of Janus particles that release ions, Phys. Fluids **34**, 032011 (2022).
 - [12] A. Shrestha and M. Olvera de la Cruz, Enhanced phoretic self-propulsion of active colloids through surface charge asymmetry, Phys. Rev. E **109**, 014613 (2024).
 - [13] A. Domínguez and M. N. Popescu, Ionic self-phoresis maps onto correlation-induced self-phoresis, arXiv:2404.16435 (2024).
 - [14] For complete details see the Supplemental Material at [URL link](#).
 - [15] S. C. Takatori, W. Yan, and J. F. Brady, Swim pressure: Stress generation in active matter, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 028103 (2014).
 - [16] F. Jülicher and J. Prost, Generic theory of colloidal transport, Eur. Phys. J. E **29**, 27 (2009).
 - [17] F. Jülicher and J. Prost, Comment on “Osmotic Propulsion: The Osmotic Motor”, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 079801 (2009).
 - [18] T. M. Fischer and P. Dhar, Comment on “Osmotic Propulsion: The Osmotic Motor”, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 159801 (2009).
 - [19] A. Domínguez and M. N. Popescu, Self-chemophoresis in the thin diffuse interface approximation, arXiv:2407.03767 (2024).
 - [20] B. V. Derjaguin, G. Sidorenkov, E. Zubashchenko, and E. Kiselev, Kinetic phenomena in the boundary films of liquids, Kolloidn. Zh. **9**, 335 (1947).
 - [21] J. L. Anderson, Colloid transport by interfacial forces, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. **21**, 61 (1989).

Appendix A: Hydrodynamic fields near the particle

It is always possible to introduce a local coordinate system near the particle's surface by translating each point of the surface along its normal, see Fig. 1(right). Any point \mathbf{r} can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_p + z\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}_p), \quad z \geq 0, \quad \mathbf{r}_p \in \mathcal{S}_p, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}_p)$ is the unit normal to the particle's surface pointing into the fluid, and the values of the coordinate z are never taken too large (i.e., compared to the characteristic curvature radius of the surface), so that this representation remains well defined. Any vector field $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{r})$ can be decomposed into normal and tangential components,

$$\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}_p)Q_z(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{Q}_{\parallel}(\mathbf{r}), \quad \mathbf{Q}_{\parallel} := \mathbf{P}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{Q}, \quad (\text{A2})$$

in terms of the tensor performing the tangential projection,

$$\mathbf{P}_{\parallel}(\mathbf{r}_p) := \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}_p)\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}_p). \quad (\text{A3})$$

One can split the differential operator likewise:

$$\nabla = \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}_p)\partial_z + \nabla_{\parallel}, \quad \nabla_{\parallel} := \mathbf{P}_{\parallel}(\mathbf{r}_p) \cdot \nabla. \quad (\text{A4})$$

The unit normal verifies

$$\partial_z \mathbf{n} = \partial_z \mathbf{P}_{\parallel} = 0, \quad (\text{A5a})$$

$$\nabla |\mathbf{n}|^2 = (\nabla_{\parallel} \mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \quad (\text{A5b})$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{n} = \nabla_{\parallel} \times \mathbf{n} = 0, \quad (\text{A5c})$$

which represent, respectively, that \mathbf{n} is transported parallel to itself, that its modulus is unchanged, and that the normal does not twist or bend.⁵

We first extract the behavior of the fields \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{K} near the surface, i.e., as an expansion in integer powers of the coordinate z (here we use the simplified notation $\mathbf{k} := \mathbf{e}_j \cdot \mathbf{K}$ for each $j = 1, 2, 3$). One writes

$$\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}_p) + z \partial_z \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}_p) + o(z^2), \quad (\text{A6a})$$

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}_p) + z \partial_z \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}_p) + o(z^2). \quad (\text{A6b})$$

Due to the no-slip boundary condition (12a), one concludes that the first term in these expansions vanishes. All the tangential derivatives at the surface also vanish (as derivatives of a constant),

$$\nabla_{\parallel} \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}_p) = 0, \quad \nabla_{\parallel} \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}_p) = 0. \quad (\text{A7})$$

The latter are employed to evaluate the incompressibility constraints (13) at the surface by application of the identities (A5):

$$0 = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{k} = \nabla_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{k} + \partial_z (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{k}) \stackrel{z=0}{\Rightarrow} \partial_z k_z(\mathbf{r}_p) = 0, \quad (\text{A8})$$

$$0 = \nabla \times \mathbf{K} = \nabla_{\parallel} \times \mathbf{K} + \partial_z (\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{K}) \stackrel{z=0}{\Rightarrow} \partial_z \mathbf{K}_{\parallel}(\mathbf{r}_p) = 0. \quad (\text{A9})$$

Therefore, the expansions (A6) simplify to

$$\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}_p + z\mathbf{n}) = z \partial_z \mathbf{k}_{\parallel}(\mathbf{r}_p) + o(z^2), \quad (\text{A10a})$$

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}_p + z\mathbf{n}) = z \partial_z K_z(\mathbf{r}_p) \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}_p) + o(z^2). \quad (\text{A10b})$$

⁵ This last equality is derived by applying Stokes theorem to the field $\nabla \times \mathbf{n}$ and noting that $d\ell \cdot \mathbf{n} = dz$ along any path element $d\ell = d\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{r}_p, z) = d\mathbf{r}_p + z(d\mathbf{r}_p \cdot \nabla_{\parallel})\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n} dz$.

Appendix B: The scalar potential

Consider the scalar potential defined by Eq. (14b), for which Eq. (A10b) implies the constraint

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}) = -\nabla\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{S}_p. \quad (\text{B1})$$

This has two consequences: the normal derivative vanishes at the surface, $\partial_z\Phi(\mathbf{r}_p) = K_z = o(z)$, and \mathcal{S}_p is an equipotential,

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}_p) = \phi. \quad (\text{B2})$$

This boundary condition exhausts the gauge freedom in Φ , and allows one to determine it uniquely by integrating Eq. (14b) along any path connecting the point \mathbf{r} with the particle's surface,

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \phi + \int_{\mathbf{r}_p}^{\mathbf{r}} d\ell' \cdot \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}'). \quad (\text{B3})$$

There is an alternative representation of the scalar potential, paralleling the representation of the vector potential introduced in App. C. The velocity field (11) can be expressed asymptotically as a multipolar expansion [4],

$$\mathbf{u}'(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{u}_\infty(\mathbf{r}) + o\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right), \quad (\text{B4a})$$

where the field $\mathbf{u}_\infty(\mathbf{r})$ accounts just for the leading terms in the asymptotic behavior:

$$\mathbf{u}_\infty(\mathbf{r}) := \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{T} \cdot [\nabla \times \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r})] + (\mathbf{S} \cdot \nabla) \cdot \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (\text{B4b})$$

in terms of the Oseen tensor,

$$\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}) := \frac{1}{8\pi\eta r} \left[\mathbf{I} + \frac{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}}{r^2} \right], \quad (\text{B4c})$$

and the Stokeslet \mathbf{F} , the rotlet \mathbf{T} , and the stresslet \mathbf{S} , which depend linearly on \mathbf{V}' , $\mathbf{\Omega}'$ through Eqs. (9). This translates into a specific asymptotic behavior of $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r})$: by considering a purely rotational motion ($\mathbf{V}' = 0$ in Eq. (11)), one can write

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{K}_\infty(\mathbf{r}) + \delta\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (\text{B5})$$

where $\mathbf{K}_\infty(\mathbf{r})$ is defined through the equality

$$\mathbf{u}_\infty(\mathbf{r}) =: \mathbf{\Omega} \times [\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{K}_\infty(\mathbf{r})], \quad (\text{B6})$$

so that

$$\delta\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}) = o\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right) \text{ as } |\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{B7})$$

One can decompose the scalar potential likewise as

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \Phi_\infty(\mathbf{r}) + \delta\Phi(\mathbf{r}), \quad (\text{B8})$$

with

$$\mathbf{K}_\infty = -\nabla\Phi_\infty, \quad \delta\mathbf{K} = -\nabla\delta\Phi. \quad (\text{B9})$$

At this stage, $\mathbf{K}_\infty(\mathbf{r})$ and Φ_∞ are known while, by Eq. (B7), the scalar potential $\delta\Phi$ vanishes sufficiently fast at infinity that one can apply Green's identity in order to represent it as (recalling that \mathcal{S}_p is oriented inwards to \mathcal{D})

$$\delta\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V}' \frac{\nabla' \cdot \delta\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\mathcal{S}_p} d\mathcal{S}' \cdot \left[\delta\Phi(\mathbf{r}') \nabla' \left(\frac{1}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \right) - \frac{\nabla' \delta\Phi(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \right], \quad (\text{B10})$$

in terms of the boundary conditions (B1, B2):

$$\delta\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \phi - \Phi_\infty(\mathbf{r}), \quad \nabla\delta\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = -\nabla\Phi_\infty(\mathbf{r}), \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{S}_p. \quad (\text{B11})$$

Yet another alternative to determine the scalar potential exploits that it is the (unique) solution of the following (electrostatic) boundary-value problem:

$$\nabla^2\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}), \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (\text{B12a})$$

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \phi, \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{S}_p, \quad (\text{B12b})$$

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}) \sim \Phi_\infty(\mathbf{r}), \quad \text{as } |\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{B12c})$$

The solution of this problem for the value $\phi = 0$ in the case of a spherical particle leads to the expression for $\hat{\Phi}$ shown in (27).

Finally, we note that the conditions (B1, B2) lead straightforwardly to the following near-particle behavior for the scalar potential in the Coulomb gauge given by Eq. (17):

$$\hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{r}_p + z\mathbf{n}) = \frac{1}{2}z^2\partial_z^2\hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{r}_p) + o(z^3). \quad (\text{B13})$$

Appendix C: The vector potentials

The vector potentials are defined by Eq. (14a). As in App. A, we use the simplified notation $\mathbf{A} := \mathbf{A}^{(j)}$ for each $j = 1, 2, 3$, with the definition

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}) \quad (:= \mathbf{e}_j \cdot \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r})). \quad (\text{C1})$$

In view of Eq. (A10a), the field \mathbf{A} is conservative up to $o(z)$ near the surface of the particle. More specifically, with the general ansatz

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_p + z\mathbf{n}) = \nabla\alpha(\mathbf{r}_p + z\mathbf{n}) + z\boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{r}_p) + o(z^2) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_p + z\mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}_p) \times \boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{r}_p) + o(z), \quad (\text{C2})$$

one concludes, by comparison with Eq. (A10a), that $\boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{r}_p)$ is an arbitrary normal vector field, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_p + z\mathbf{n}) = \nabla\alpha(\mathbf{r}_p + z\mathbf{n}) + z\mathbf{n}\beta(\mathbf{r}_p) + o(z^2). \quad (\text{C3})$$

One can recognize in $\alpha(\mathbf{r}_p + z\mathbf{n})$ an arbitrary scalar field that changes the gauge, i.e., the value $\Theta(\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{D}) := \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r})$ of the divergence of the vector potential. Turning the argument around, one can impose that the field α is determined by the bulk field $\Theta(\mathbf{r})$, by the surface field $\alpha_p(\mathbf{r}_p) := \alpha(\mathbf{r}_p)$, and by vanishing at infinity, that is, the field α is the unique solution of the following boundary-value problem:

$$\nabla^2\alpha(\mathbf{r}) = \Theta(\mathbf{r}), \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (\text{C4a})$$

$$\alpha(\mathbf{r}) = \alpha_p(\mathbf{r}), \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{S}_p, \quad (\text{C4b})$$

$$\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } |\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{C4c})$$

(This latter behavior at infinity, together with a similar constraint on the behavior of $\Theta(\mathbf{r})$, excludes gauges fixed by external sources foreign to specific features of the particle itself, and yield a well-posed problem.) An immediate consequence is that the field $\boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{r}_p)$ must vanish: by using the decomposition (C3), one gets

$$\Theta(\mathbf{r}) := \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = \nabla^2\alpha(\mathbf{r}_p + z\mathbf{n}) + \beta(\mathbf{r}_p) + o(z) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \beta(\mathbf{r}_p) = 0. \quad (\text{C5})$$

Thus, the vector potential at the particle's surface takes a simple form

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = \nabla\alpha(\mathbf{r}), \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{S}_p, \quad (\text{C6})$$

and the boundary condition (C4b) is equivalent to specifying the tangential component $\mathbf{A}_{\parallel}(\mathbf{r}_p)$ of the vector potential on the surface of the particle. (But one could have instead specified the normal component $A_z(\mathbf{r}_p)$ on the surface, which would correspond to a different gauge, namely, the solution of the above boundary-value problem with a prescribed surface field $\partial_z \alpha(\mathbf{r}_p)$.) Finally, we notice that $\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \equiv 0$ is a valid gauge, which we call ‘‘Coulomb gauge’’ because $\Theta(\mathbf{r}) \equiv 0$, and in which \mathbf{A} vanishes altogether at the particle’s surface.

In order to obtain a well-posed problem for $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r})$, one has to address also the boundary conditions at infinity, which are determined by the multipolar expansion (B4). We thus proceed as with the scalar potential but with the Stokeslet, rotlet, and stresslet determined by a purely translational motion ($\boldsymbol{\Omega}' = 0$ in Eq. (11)): one thus writes

$$\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{k}_{\infty}(\mathbf{r}) + \delta\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (\text{C7})$$

with

$$\delta\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}) = o\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right) \text{ as } |\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty, \quad (\text{C8})$$

and the field

$$\mathbf{k}_{\infty}(\mathbf{r}) := -\mathbf{e}_j - \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{T} \cdot [\nabla \times \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r})] + (\mathbf{S} \cdot \nabla) \cdot \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}). \quad (\text{C9})$$

Accordingly, one also decomposes the vector potential as

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathbf{r}) + \delta\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (\text{C10})$$

with the field

$$\mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathbf{r}) := \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{e}_j + \frac{1}{8\pi\eta r} \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{F} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{T} + \sum_{n,m=1}^3 \mathbf{G}_{nm}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{e}_n \times (\mathbf{e}_m \cdot \mathbf{S}), \quad (\text{C11})$$

that obeys

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{k}_{\infty}(\mathbf{r}), \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathbf{r}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (\text{C12})$$

Therefore, the field $\delta\mathbf{A}$ satisfies

$$\nabla \times \delta\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = \delta\mathbf{k}, \quad \nabla \cdot \delta\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = \Theta(\mathbf{r}) (= \nabla^2 \alpha(\mathbf{r})), \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (\text{C13a})$$

$$\delta\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = \nabla \alpha(\mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathbf{r}), \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{S}_p. \quad (\text{C13b})$$

We further impose the condition that $\delta\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r})$ vanishes at infinity sufficiently fast for the same reason as argued regarding Eq. (C4c). This constraint together with the fast decay at infinity of $\delta\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r})$, see Eq. (C8), warrants that a Helmholtz decomposition holds for the field $\delta\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r})$; that is, one can write

$$\delta\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = -\nabla \Psi(\mathbf{r}) + \nabla \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}), \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) = 0, \quad (\text{C14a})$$

with the auxiliary fields (recall that \mathcal{S}_p is oriented inwards to \mathcal{D})

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V}' \frac{\Theta(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\mathcal{S}_p} d\mathcal{S}' \cdot \frac{\delta\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}, \quad (\text{C14b})$$

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V}' \frac{\delta\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\mathcal{S}_p} d\mathcal{S}' \times \frac{\delta\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}, \quad (\text{C14c})$$

the gauge freedom being contained in the scalar field $\alpha(\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{D})$ via the bulk field $\Theta(\mathbf{r})$ and the surface field $\delta\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}')$. In particular, in the Coulomb gauge ($\alpha(\mathbf{r}) = 0$), the vector potential can then be represented as

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{A}_{\infty}(\mathbf{r}) - \nabla \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}) + \nabla \times \hat{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (\text{C15a})$$

with the fields

$$\hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\mathcal{S}_p} d\mathcal{S}' \cdot \frac{\mathbf{A}_\infty(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}, \quad (\text{C15b})$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mathcal{V}' \frac{\delta \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\mathcal{S}_p} d\mathcal{S}' \times \frac{\mathbf{A}_\infty(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}; \quad (\text{C15c})$$

alternatively (but still in the Coulomb gauge), the vector potential can be obtained as the (unique) solution of the following (magnetostatic) boundary-value problem:

$$\nabla \times \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}), \quad \nabla \cdot \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (\text{C16a})$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{\parallel}(\mathbf{r}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{S}_p, \quad (\text{C16b})$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}) \sim \mathbf{A}_\infty(\mathbf{r}), \quad \text{as } |\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{C16c})$$

In the case of a generic shape, one can extract the near-particle behavior of the vector potential in the Coulomb gauge easily: since $\mathbf{A} = o(z^2)$ by Eqs. (C3, C5), then

$$\hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}_p + z\mathbf{n}) = \frac{1}{2} z^2 \partial_z^2 \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}_p) + o(z^3). \quad (\text{C17})$$