# Peculiarities of measured dependencies of strength of spin-orbit interaction and anisotropy field on current density in FeCoB nanomagnet

Vadym Zayets<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Platform Photonics Research Center, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, Umezono 1-1-4, Tsukuba, 305-8568, Ibaraki, Japan email: v.zayets@gmail.com

We present a novel method for measuring the modulation of magnetic anisotropy and the strength of spin-orbit interaction by an electrical current in nanomagnets. Our systematic study explores the current dependencies of these properties across a variety of nanomagnets with different structures, compositions, and sizes, providing unprecedented insights into the complex physical origins of this effect. We identified two distinct contributions to the observed current modulation: one proportional to the current and the other to the square of the current. The squared-current contribution, originating from the Spin Hall effect, uniquely accumulates strength with an increasing number of interfaces, resulting in exceptionally large current modulation of magnetic anisotropy and spin-orbit interaction in multi-layer nanomagnets. Conversely, the linear-current contribution stems from the Ordinary and Anomalous Hall effects and exhibits opposite polarity at different interfaces, making it significant only in asymmetrical singlelayer nanomagnets. The squared-current contribution induces substantial anisotropy field changes, up to 30-50% at typical MRAM recording currents, leading to thermally-activated magnetization reversal and data recording. The linear-current contribution, while smaller, is effective for parametric magnetization reversal, providing sufficient modulation for efficient data recording through resonance mechanisms. This finding highlights the complex nature of spin accumulation and spin dynamics at the nanoscale, presenting an opportunity for further optimization of data recording in MRAM technology.

Keywords: spin- orbit interaction, magnetic anisotropy, magnetization reversal by current, Magnetic Random Access Memory (MRAM), spin accumulation

# 1. Introduction. Two types of MRAM recording

The primary challenge in the development of current Magnetic Random Access Memory (MRAM) technology is reducing the energy required for data recording. In MRAM technology, data is recorded in a memory cell through the application of an electrical pulse, which reverses the magnetization direction of a nanomagnet [1, 2, 3]. This reversal allows the nanomagnet to switch between two stable magnetization states, effectively storing a bit of data [4]. The reduction of recording current is crucial for the efficient and reliable functioning of

There are two main types of MRAM, each with a different recording mechanism: Spin-Transfer Torque MRAM (STT-MRAM) and Spin-Orbit Torque MRAM (SOT-MRAM).

In STT-MRAM [1, 2, 3, 5], the magnetization reversal occurs when spin-polarized conduction electrons are driven by an electrical current from another ferromagnetic electrode to the nanomagnet. For reliable magnetization reversal, the number of injected spin-polarized electrons must exceed a certain threshold, making it challenging to reduce the recording current for this mechanism.

Conversely, in SOT-MRAM [6, 7, 8], an electrical current creates a spin accumulation and spin depletion [9, 10] at the opposite boundaries of the nanomagnet, leading to magnetization reversal and data recording. Unlike STT-MRAM, the

spin-polarized electrons in SOT-MRAM are generated within the same nanomagnet rather than being injected from an external source. This intrinsic generation allows for optimization of the recording mechanism, enabling a significant reduction in the recording current compared to STT-MRAM. For instance, highly efficient resonance-type magnetization reversal mechanisms, such as parametric reversal induced by the magnetic field created by spin accumulation, can be employed in SOT-MRAM.

Additionally, spin-polarized electrons influence the strength of the spin-orbit interaction and, consequently, the magnetic anisotropy of the nanomagnet. Magnetic anisotropy is responsible for maintaining two stable magnetization directions, which are essential for data storage. When the recording electrical pulse reduces magnetic anisotropy, it facilitates the magnetization reversal process, thereby enhancing the efficiency and reliability of data recording.

#### 2. Measurement of spin- orbit interaction strength

Recently, a novel method for measuring the strength of spinorbit interaction has been introduced [11], offering valuable insights into this complex fundamental phenomenon. This measurement technique provides profound experimental insights of the physical processes that influence spin-orbit interaction



Figure 1: Anisotropy field  $H_{ani}$  as a function of external perpendicularto-plane magnetic field  $H_z$  measured at a different current density. Sample Ta(2.5)/FeB(1.1)/MgO(6). Number in blankets describes layer thickness in nanometers.

and, consequently, govern magnetic anisotropy. The modulation of spin-orbit interaction strength by gate voltage [12] and the dependence of spin-orbit interaction on interface polarity [13] were experimentally observed. The current paper presents a systematic investigation into the modulation of spinorbit strength by an electrical current, alongside an exploration of the mechanisms through which the electrical current affects strength of the spin-orbit interaction. The purpose of this study is to elucidate how the modulation of magnetic anisotropy and spin-orbit interaction can facilitate the magnetization reversal process for MRAM recording.

The measurement technique is based on a measurement of the strength of magnetic anisotropy under an external magnetic field applied along the magnetic easy axis. The external magnetic field amplifies the spin-orbit (SO) interaction, thereby enhancing magnetic anisotropy. Since magnetic anisotropy arises from SO interaction, the increased anisotropy measured under an increased external magnetic field allows for the evaluation of the strength of SO interaction.

The parameter that quantifies magnetic anisotropy is the anisotropy field  $H_{ani}$ . Both theoretical evaluations and experimental measurements have demonstrated that  $H_{ani}$  increases linearly with the external magnetic field  $H_z$  applied along the magnetic easy axis. This relationship can be expressed [11] as:

$$H_{ani} - H_z = H_{ani}^0 + k_{so}H_z \tag{1}$$

where  $k_{so}$  is the coefficient of spin- orbit interaction, which defines the strength of spin-orbit interaction, and  $H_{ani}^0$  is the anisotropy field in absence of  $H_z$ .

#### 3. Experimental details

To measure the current-dependency of the strength of spinorbit interaction, a nanomagnet was fabricated atop a Ta or W nanowire, with an attached Hall probe aligned to the nanomagnet. Two types of nanomagnets were investigated: a



Figure 2: Anisotropy field  $H_{ani}^0$  and coefficient of the spin orbit interaction  $k_{so}$  as a function of the current density measured in single-layer nanomagnet: Ta(2.5)/FeB(1.1)/MgO(6)

single-layer nanomagnet composed of FeB or FeCoB, and a multi-layer nanomagnet consisting of alternating FeB and nonmagnetic Ta layers. Each nanomagnet was coated with a MgO layer. Nanomagnets of varying sizes, ranging from 50 nm x 50 nm to 2000 nm x 2000 nm, were fabricated at different locations on a single wafer.

Experiments were conducted at room temperature, well below the Curie temperature of FeB and FeCoB. The measurement procedure involved measuring the Hall angle while sweeping an in-plane external magnetic field  $H_x$ . A perpendicular-to-plane magnetic field  $H_z$  was used as a parameter and maintained constant during the  $H_x$  sweep. The magnetic field  $H_x$  tilts the magnetization of the nanomagnet. The tilt angle was determined by measuring the reduction in Hall voltage. The anisotropy field  $H_{ani}$  was evaluated by fitting the linear relationship between the measured in-plane magnetization component  $M_x$  and and  $H_x$ . Using the evaluated value of  $H_{ani}$ , the coefficient of spin-orbit interaction  $k_{so}$  was determined from the slope of the linear dependency between  $H_{ani}$  and  $H_z$ . All details of the measurement procedure are described in Ref. [11].

### 4. Disparity in Current Dependency of Spin- Orbit interaction : Single-Layer versus Multi-Layer Nanomagnets

Figure 1 shows the measured relationship between  $H_{ani} - H_z$ and the external magnetic field  $H_z$  in a single-layer nanomagnet measured for different current densities. The data shows an approximately linear trend with minor oscillations superimposed, which are a known characteristic of the spin-orbit interaction in the interfacial layer. Two key features are evident from the measurements. The first feature is that the offset of each line consistently decreases as the current density increases. The second feature is that the gap between the lines narrows at higher  $H_z$ , indicating that the slope of the lines increases with increasing current density. As seen in Eq. (1), the slope determines  $k_{so}$  and the offset determines  $H_{ani}^0$ . These parameters exhibit opposite dependencies on the current density.

Figure 2 illustrates the measured dependence of  $k_{so}$  and  $H^0_{ani}$  on the current density j for the same single-layer nanomagnet. In both cases, there are two nearly equal contributions: one linearly proportional to the current density (j) and the other proportional to the square of the current density ( $j^2$ ). For both



Figure 3: Anisotropy field  $H^0_{ani}$  and coefficient of the spin orbit interaction  $k_{so}$  as a function of the current density measured in multi-layer nanomagnet:  $W(3)/[FeB(0.55)/W(0.5)]_5/FeB(0.55)/MgO(5.5)$ 

 $k_{so}$  and  $H_{ani}^0$ , the linear and square contributions have opposite polarities.

## 5. General Trends in Distribution Across Different Nanomagnets

Figure 3 shows a similar current dependence of  $k_{so}$  and  $H_{ani}^{0}$ , but for a multilayer nanomagnet. There are striking differences between the current dependencies for single-layer and multilayer nanomagnets. Firstly, the current-induced changes in both  $k_{so}$  and  $H_{ani}^{0}$  are an order of magnitude larger for the multilayer nanomagnet compared to the single-layer nanomagnet. At the same current density, the changes in  $H_{ani}^{0}$  and  $k_{so}$  are about 0.1 kGauss and 0.01 for the single-layer nanomagnet, respectively, but approximately 1 kGauss and 0.4 for the multilayer nanomagnet. Secondly, the square- current contribution is substantially larger than the linear- current contribution for the multilayer nanomagnet, in contrast to their nearly equal contributions in the single-layer nanomagnet. This trend is systematic and was observed consistently across all studied nanomagnets.

In Figure 4, the current- induced change of  $H_{ani}^0$  are plotted against the current- induced changed of  $k_{so}$  as current density is switched to 100  $mA/\mu m^2$ , measured in single-layer nanomagnets of various sizes and structures. Only the components linearly proportional to the current are shown. There is some variation in the data even for nanomagnets fabricated on the same wafer, due to slight differences in surface roughness and thickness across different areas of the wafer.

The typical recording current density in present MRAM ranges between 20 and 60  $mA/\mu m^2$ . Consequently, the currentinduced change of  $H_{ani}$  shown in Figure 4 represents the largest expected variation of anisotropy field at realistic MRAM recording currents, which is not substantial. The measured anisotropy field for the same nanomagnets ranged from 2 to 6 kGauss, indicating that the current-induced change in the anisotropy field does not exceed 10% and is insufficient to cause magnetization reversal. This suggests that the current-induced change in anisotropy field alone cannot function as a recording mechanism. However, it is well-suited as a driving mechanism for parametric magnetization reversal. As a resonance-based method, parametric reversal is efficient and does not require a large change in the anisotropy field. The primary requirement



Figure 4: The change of anisotropy field  $\Delta H_{ani}^{(linear)}$  versus the change of spinorbit interaction coefficient  $\Delta k_{so}^{(linear)}$  as current density changes from 0 to 100  $mA/\mu m^2$ , measured in single-layer nanomagnets. Only the components linearly proportional to the current are shown. Each dot represents an individual nanomagnet measurement. Dots of the same color and shape correspond to nanomagnets fabricated at different locations on the same wafer. The number in brackets indicates the layer thickness in nanometers.

for the driving mechanism of parametric reversal is that the polarity of the current-induced change should reverse when the current is reversed. Since the component shown in Figure 4 is linearly proportional to the current, it perfectly meets this requirement.

As shown in Fig. 4, for the majority of nanomagnets, a positive current-induced change in  $k_{so}$  corresponds to a negative change in  $H_{ani}$ . Given that  $H_{ani}^0$  and  $k_{so}$  are not independent parameters, this observation is both unexpected and intriguing.  $H_{ani}$  and  $k_{so}$  are related through the internal magnetic field  $H_{int}$ . In equilibrium, the magnetization is aligned along the magnetic easy axis by the internal magnetic field. Therefore, in the absence of an external field, the anisotropy field can be calculated from Eq. (1) as:

$$H_{ani} = k_{so} \cdot H_{int} \tag{2}$$

where in Eq. (1)

$$H_{ani}^{0} = (1 + k_{so})H_{int}$$
(3)

As indicated in Eq. 3,  $H_{ani}$  is linearly proportional to  $k_{so}$ , suggesting that their changes should have the same polarity. However, an exception occurs when another parameter, in addition to  $k_{so}$ , influences  $H_{ani}$  with a current dependency opposite to that of  $k_{so}$ 

An additional intriguing feature of Fig. 4 is that all data points appear to align along a straight line, even for nanomagnets with different structures. This alignment can only be explained if this additional parameter influencing  $H_{ani}$  is linearly proportional to  $k_{so}$ . This suggests that this additional parameter and  $k_{so}$  are either directly or indirectly related to each other.

As shown in Figures 2 and 3, the linear proportionality of  $H_{ani}$  to the current is a feature exclusive to single-layer nanomagnets. In multi-layer nanomagnets, the primary component



Figure 5: The change of anisotropy field  $\Delta H_{ani}^{(square)}$  versus the change of spinorbit interaction coefficient  $\Delta k_{so}^{(square)}$  as the current density increases from from 0 to 100  $mA/\mu m^2$  in multi-layer nanomagnets with varying numbers of layers. Only the components proportional to the square of the current are shown. Each dot represents an individual nanomagnet measurement. Dots of the same color and shape correspond to nanomagnets fabricated at different locations on the same wafer.

of current dependency is proportional to the square of the current (see Figure 3). Figure 5 illustrates the square-current component of the current- induced change in  $H_{ani}$  versus the square-current component of the current- induced change in  $k_{so}$  at the same current levels shown in Figure 4.

The first noticeable feature is that the current-induced change in this case is an order of magnitude larger than that in a single-layer nanomagnet. Since in a multi-layer nanomagnet the current-induced change of the anisotropy field is proportional to the square of the current, it is independent of the current polarity. Therefore, the parametric mechanism of magnetization reversal cannot be used in multi-layer nanomagnets. However, the current-induced change of  $H_{ani}$  is so large in this case, reaching 20-50%, that it may itself trigger magnetization reversal through a thermo-activated mechanism [4].

The probability of thermo-activated switching is exponentially proportional to the ratio of the magnetic energy of the nucleation domain to the thermal energy [4]. The magnetic energy equals the product of the magnetization and the internal magnetic field  $H_{int}$ . As follows from Eq. (3), this means that the thermo-activated switching probability exponentially increases with a decrease in the anisotropy field. Therefore, the larger current- induced reduction in anisotropy shown in Figure 5 may lead to thermo-activated switching and, consequently, to data recording.

In contrast to Figure 4, there is no polarity anomaly between the current-induced changes in  $H_{ani}$  and  $k_{so}$  in Figure 5. Nearly all nanomagnets show a negative  $\Delta k_{so}^{(square)}$ , which expectedly corresponds to a negative value of  $\Delta H_{ani}^{(square)}$ . Additionally, unlike in Figure 4, the data do not align along a single straight line. However, data from nanomagnets fabricated on the same wafer do align along individual lines. Similar to Figure 4, the slope of these lines is negative and consistent across all wafers.

## 6. Small Effect of Heating on $j^2$ -Contribution

The component proportional to the square of the current can be associated with the heating of the nanomagnet. The heating energy is proportional to  $j^2$ , and thus, the increase in temperature should also be proportional to  $j^2$ . This results in a corresponding decrease in the strength of the spin-orbit interaction and the anisotropy field. One might assume that the currentsquare contribution originates solely from heating, but this is not the case due to several observed features.

First, there is a difference in the polarity of  $\Delta k_{so}^{(square)}$ , In the case of the multilayer nanomagnet shown in Fig. 3(b),  $\Delta k_{so}^{(square)}$  is negative, indicating that spin-orbit strength decreases with heating. Conversely, in the single-layer nanomagnet shown in Fig. 2(b),  $\Delta k_{so}^{(square)}$  is positive, indicating that spin-orbit strength increases with heating. If  $k_{so}$  were solely affected by heating, the polarity of its change should be consistent across all nanomagnets.

Second, there is a substantial difference in the magnitude of change in  $H_{ani}$ , At the same current density, and thus nearly the same heating temperature, the change in  $H_{ani}$  for a multilayer nanomagnet is an order of magnitude larger than for a single-layer nanomagnet. This suggests that the major contribution to the current-square components of  $k_{so}$  and  $H_{ani}$  originates from a mechanism other than heating.

The change in temperature was roughly estimated from the measured change in the resistance of the nanowire with the nanomagnet and the known thermo-resistance coefficients of the metals. The estimated heating does not exceed 100°C, which is still far below the Curie temperature of the studied nanomagnets, which is above 500°C. This further indicates that heating alone cannot account for the substantial changes observed in  $k_{so}$  and  $H_{ani}$ .

The primary factor determining the temperature dependence of  $k_{so}$  and  $H_{ani}$  is the nanomagnet's magnetization. It has been observed that modulating the magnetization via gate voltage results in a modulation of  $H_{ani}$  [12]. However, in our case, the reduction of modulation due to heating should be minimal since the temperature remains significantly below the Curie temperature. There is another temperature dependent parameter, which affects spin- orbit interaction. The strength of the spin-orbit interaction is proportional to the intrinsic magnetic field in a nanomagnet and the orbital deformation at the interface. If the latter is independent of temperature, the intrinsic magnetic field should be reduced with a reduction in magnetization and, therefore, with an increase in temperature. Consequently,  $k_{so}$  should decrease with an increase in temperature. According to Eq. 2, the change in  $H_{ani}$  with increased temperature should also be negative.

As Figure 5 shows,  $\Delta H_{ani}^{(square)}$  is mostly negative, but  $\Delta k_{so}^{(square)}$  can be both negative and positive. This indicates that there is a temperature-independent mechanism contributing to the observed current-square changes in  $k_{so}$  and  $H_{ani}$ .

# 7. Influence of Spin Accumulation from Spin Hall, Anomalous Hall, and Ordinary Hall Effects on Magnetic Anisotropy and Spin-Orbit Interaction

The mechanisms by which electrical current modulates spinorbit interaction and anisotropy are not entirely clear, but it is evident that spin accumulations created by the current play a crucial role. A magnetic field exists along the spin direction of spin-polarized electrons [14], influencing both  $k_{so}$  and  $H_{ani}$ . Only the magnetic field component aligned with the easy magnetic axis affects the anisotropy and spin-orbit interaction. This is because, even at equilibrium, an internal magnetic field  $H_{int}$  directed along the magnetic easy axis is responsible for the existence of anisotropy and strong spin-orbit interaction. Any magnetic field aligned with  $H_{int}$  enhances anisotropy and spin-orbit interaction, whereas a perpendicular magnetic field only tilts the magnetization away from the easy axis without influencing anisotropy. Since all studied nanomagnets exhibit perpendicular-to-plane anisotropy, only spin accumulation with a spin component along the interface normal impacts anisotropy and spin-orbit interaction.

The first effect leading to spin accumulation at the nanomagnet interfaces is the Spin Hall effect [15, 16, 17]. Initially, spins accumulated due to the Spin Hall effect are in-plane and do not contribute to changes in anisotropy and spin-orbit interaction. However, spin precession and alignment along the internal magnetic field occur, causing these spins to influence anisotropy. This spin precession and alignment have been experimentally observed [14], showing that the alignment is relatively rapid. This demonstrates that a substantial amount of spin accumulation from the Spin Hall effect does indeed modulate anisotropy and spin-orbit interaction.

The second effect contributing to spin accumulation is the ordinary and anomalous Hall effects. The Hall effect is relatively weak in metals compared to semiconductors; for example, Hall voltage in metals is about three orders of magnitude smaller than in semiconductors. This is because metals have roughly equal numbers of electrons and holes, whose opposite contributions to the Hall effect nearly cancel each other out [18]. However, a slight imbalance creates in the numbers of electrons and holes some Hall voltage. The accumulation of large numbers of negatively-charged electrons and positively-charged holes on the same side of the nanomagnet due to the Hall effect results in minimal net charge accumulation. However, this phenomenon generates significant spin accumulation in ferromagnetic metals for the following reason.

In ferromagnetic metals, conduction electrons are spinpolarized with spin directed along the magnetization. Despite their opposite charges, spin-polarized electrons and holes share the same spin direction, aligning with the magnetization. Consequently, when spin-polarized electrons and holes accumulate on one side of the nanomagnet, they are collectively adding their spins to enlarge the spin accumulation.

It is important to emphasize two key differences in these spin accumulation mechanisms. Firstly, while spin accumulation can occur in both ferromagnetic and non-magnetic metals via the Spin Hall effect, spin accumulation due to the ordinary and anomalous Hall effects only occurs in ferromagnetic metals. Secondly, the Spin Hall effect generates spins with an inplane direction perpendicular to the current, whereas the spin accumulated due to the ordinary and anomalous Hall effects are aligned along the magnetization and, therefore, along already existing spins, because those Hall effects do not create new spins but redistribute existing ones.

The contributions of each mechanism to the components linearly or quadratically proportional to the current can be understood as follows. All effects—Spin Hall, Ordinary Hall, and Anomalous Hall—are linearly proportional to the current and change polarity when the current is reversed. Based on this alone, one might incorrectly conclude that both mechanisms contribute only to the component linearly proportional to the current. However, several important factors primarily define the contributions of each mechanism.

The first factor is the existence of two or more interfaces in a nanomagnet. The second factor is the possible existence of different types of interfaces. For example, in the studied singlelayer nanomagnets, one interface is metal-insulator (FeB-MgO) and the second is metal-metal (FeB-Ta).

In a fully symmetrical nanomagnet, there is no contribution to the change of anisotropy from the second mechanism. The spin accumulation at one interface is exactly equal to the spin depletion at the other interface. Consequently, any currentinduced change in the strength of the spin-orbit interaction at one interface is fully canceled by the opposite change at the other interface. However, when the two interfaces are different, there is no cancellation due to different spin relaxation at each interface and different influences of spin accumulation on the strength of the spin-orbit interaction for each interface.

The behavior of spin accumulation varies depending on whether the material at the interface is an insulator or a metal. When one part of the interface is an insulator, the region of spin accumulation is small and localized precisely at the interface. In contrast, at a metal-metal interface, charge and spin can flow through the interface, causing spins to diffuse from the interface deep into the non-magnetic metal. This results in substantial spin relaxation and significantly reduces the spin accumulation. Therefore, at a metal-metal interface, the current alters the equilibrium spin accumulation only slightly.

For the studied nanomagnets, the spin accumulation at the FeB-MgO interface is the major contributor to the currentinduced anisotropy change by the second mechanism, while the influence of the FeB-Ta interface is weak. When the current changes polarity, the spin accumulation reverses to spin depletion, which in turn changes the enhancement of the spinorbit interaction to a reduction at the FeB-MgO interface. This leads to the conclusion that the second mechanism contributes only to the anisotropy change component linearly proportional to the current.

In contrast, the first mechanism contributes only to the component proportional to the current squared for the following reason. The spins generated by the Spin Hall effect are in-plane, perpendicular to both the magnetization and the spins of the existing spin-polarized conduction electrons. Immediately after the spins are accumulated, the internal magnetic field aligns these spins along the magnetization. Although the initial inplane spin direction is reversed when the current is reversed, it remains in-plane, and the final spin direction is always aligned with the magnetization regardless of the initial in-plane spin direction. This means that the spin accumulation created by the Spin Hall effect is always ultimately aligned along the magnetization, independent of the current polarity. This is why the first mechanism contributes only to the component proportional to the current squared.

An important feature of the first mechanism is that it has the same polarity at every interface. As a result, it accumulates and increases with the number of interfaces. This explains why the current-squared component of anisotropy change is very large in a multi-layer nanomagnet (see Figs. 3,5).

#### 8. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have identified and measured the modulation of magnetic anisotropy and the strength of spin-orbit interaction in a nanomagnet by an electrical current flowing through it. Our systematic experimental study revealed two distinct contributions to this modulation: one proportional to the current and the other proportional to the square of the current. The squared-current contribution originates from the Spin Hall effect, which uniquely accumulates in strength with an increasing number of interfaces. Consequently, the current modulation of magnetic anisotropy and spin-orbit interaction is exceptionally large in multi-layer nanomagnets. For current densities typically used for MRAM recording, the change in the anisotropy field can reach 30-50%, leading to thermally-activated magnetization reversal and data recording.

The ordinary and anomalous Hall effects are responsible for the contribution linearly proportional to the current. This contribution has opposite polarity at opposite interfaces of the nanomagnet and thus exists only in asymmetrical nanomagnets with different interfaces. It does not accumulate with an increased number of interfaces, making it small and significant only in single-layer nanomagnets. This small change is insufficient to cause thermally-activated magnetization reversal. However, since the polarity of the current modulation of anisotropy follows the polarity of the current, it can be utilized in parametric magnetization reversal [14]. As a resonancebased reversal method, it does not require large modulation of magnetic anisotropy, and the measured modulation is sufficient for this type of magnetization reversal.

These findings offer critical insights into the diverse behaviors of current-induced spin accumulation and its impact on spin-orbit interaction and magnetic anisotropy at the nanoscale. This knowledge paves the way for optimizing recording methods, reducing recording currents, and developing innovative data recording schemes for magnetic storage and spintronic devices. Since all types of magnetic memory rely on magnetic anisotropy, comprehending how it is influenced by electrical current can significantly enhance and advance various magnetic memory technologies.

#### References

- S. Ikegawa, F. B. Mancoff, J. Janesky, S. Aggarwal, Magnetoresistive random access memory: Present and future, IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices 67 (4) (2020) 1407–1419. doi:10.1109/TED.2020.2965403.
- [2] S. Bhatti, R. Sbiaa, A. Hirohata, H. Ohno, S. Fukami, S. Piramanayagam, Spintronics based random access memory: a review, Materials Today 20 (9) (2017) 530–548. doi:10.1016/j.mattod.2017.07.007.
- [3] M. Cubukcu, O. Boulle, N. Mikuszeit, C. Hamelin, T. Brächer, N. Lamard, M.-C. Cyrille, L. Buda-Prejbeanu, K. Garello, I. M. Miron, O. Klein, G. de Loubens, V. V. Naletov, J. Langer, B. Ocker, P. Gambardella, G. Gaudin, Ultra-fast perpendicular spin-orbit torque mram, IEEE Transactions on Magnetics 54 (4) (2018) 1–4. doi:10.1109/ TMAG.2017.2772185.
- [4] V. Zayets, Thermally activated magnetization reversal in a fecob nanomagnet. high-precision measurement method of coercive field, delta, retention time and size of nucleation domain, arXiv 1908 (08435) (2019). doi:10.48550/ARXIV.1908.08435.
- [5] J. Slonczewski, Current-driven excitation of magnetic multilayers, Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 159 (1) (1996) L1–L7. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-8853(96)00062-5.
- [6] I. Miron, K. Garello, G. Gaudin, P.-J. Zermatten, M. V. Costache, S. Auffret, S. Bandiera, B. Rodmacq, A. Schuhl, P. Gambardella, Perpendicular switching of a single ferromagnetic layer induced by in-plane current injection, Nature 476 (2011) 189–193. doi:10.1038/nature10309.
- [7] O. J. Lee, D. C. Ralph, R. A. Buhrman, Spin-torque-driven ballistic precessional switching with 50 ps impulses, Applied Physics Letters 99 (10) (2011) 102507. doi:10.1063/1.3635782.
- [8] L. Liu, J. Yu, R. Gonzandez, C. Li, J. Deng, W. Lin, C. Zhou, T. Zhou, J. Zhou, H. Wang, R. Guo, H. Y. Yoong, G. M. Chow, X. Han, B. Dupe, J. Zelezny, J. Sinova, J. Chen, Electrical switching of perpendicular magnetization in a single ferromagnetic layer, Phys. Rev. B 101 (2020) 220402. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.101.220402.
- [9] S. A. Crooker, D. L. Smith, Imaging spin flows in semiconductors subject to electric, magnetic, and strain fields, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005) 236601. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.236601.
- [10] V. Zayets, A. S. Mishchenko, Hall effect in ferromagnetic nanomagnets: Magnetic field dependence as evidence of inverse spin hall effect contribution, Phys. Rev. B 102 (2020) 100404. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB. 102.100404.
- [11] V. Zayets, Peculiarities of spin-orbit interaction systematically measured in fecob nanomagnets, AIP Advances 14 (7) (2024) 075309. doi:10. 1063/5.0216644.
- [12] V. Zayets, Features and peculiarities of gate-voltage modulation of spinorbit interaction in fecob nanomagnets: Insights into the physical origins of the vcma effect, arXiv 2404 (15695) (2024). doi:10.48550/arXiv. 2404.15695.
- [13] V. Zayets, Dependence of strength of spin- orbit interaction on polarity of interface, arXiv 2407 (06574) (2024). doi:arXiv:2407.06574.
- [14] V. Zayets, Parametric mechanism of the magnetization reversal as a low- power recording mechanism for mram. measurement of spinaccumulation- induced in-plane magnetic field in a feb nanomagnet, Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials (2023) 171631doi:10.1016/ j.jmmm.2023.171631.
- [15] Y. K. Kato, R. C. Myers, A. C. Gossard, D. D. Awschalom, Observation of the Spin Hall Effect in Semiconductors, Science 306 (5703) (2004) 1910–1913. doi:10.1126/science.1105514.
- [16] M. Dyakonov, V. Perel, Current-induced spin orientation of electrons in semiconductors, Physics Letters A 35 (6) (1971) 459–460. doi:https: //doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(71)90196-4.
- [17] J. Wunderlich, B. Kaestner, J. Sinova, T. Jungwirth, Experimental observation of the spin-hall effect in a two-dimensional spin-orbit coupled semiconductor system, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005) 047204. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.047204.
- [18] V. Zayets, Spin transport of electrons and holes in a metal and in a semiconductor, Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 445 (2018) 53– 65. doi:10.1016/j.jmmm.2017.08.072.