arXiv:2407.08115v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 11 Jul 2024

Dirac Electrons in AC-Magnetic Fields: π -Landau Levels and Chiral Anomaly-Induced Homodyne Effect

Sota Kitamura¹ and Takashi Oka^{2,3}

¹Department of Applied Physics, The University of Tokyo, Hongo, Tokyo, 113-8656, Japan

²Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa 277-8581, Japan

³Trans-scale Quantum Science Institute, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

(Dated: July 12, 2024)

Floquet engineering, which involves controlling systems through time-periodic driving, is a method for coherently manipulating quantum materials and realizing dynamical states with novel functionalities. Most research in solid-state systems has focused on the use of AC-electric fields as the controlling drive. In this study, we investigate the effects of AC-magnetic fields on two-dimensional (2D) Dirac electrons and report the emergence of new states and new transport phenomena. In a magnetic field that temporarily changes its direction, an electron nor a hole can sorely complete a cyclotron orbit. However, their resonant state alternating between electron and hole can do so; This leads to a new localized state that forms a flat band dubbed as a π -Landau level. Then, what would be the counterpart of the Hall effect in AC-magnetic fields? We find that a DC-current in the transverse direction, *i.e.* a homodyne Hall current, is generated when an additional AC-electric field is applied. In the case of Dirac electrons, several electronic states contribute to this phenomenon including the π -Landau level. However, when the chemical potential μ is near the Dirac point, the dominant contribution comes from the lowenergy electrons and we numerically find the homodyne Hall current to behave as $I_y = -\frac{e}{b}\mu$ per valley and spin. We explain this phenomenon through the high-frequency effective Floquet Hamiltonian which resembles the chiral Landau level Hamiltonian of three-dimensional Weyl Hamiltonian exhibiting chiral anomaly. We discuss the experimental feasibility and conclude that it is possible to realize this new exotic state using techniques such as THz metamaterial enhancement of magnetic fields.

I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

Electrons in static and homogeneous magnetic fields exhibit exotic transport properties, such as the quantum Hall effect. Classically, the dynamics of these electrons are described by a circular motion known as cyclotron motion, while in quantum systems, they are characterized by Landau orbits. When a static electric field is applied to a quantum Hall state, a current perpendicular to the field is induced, with their linear relation $j_x = \sigma_{xy}E_y$ defined by the Hall conductivity $\sigma_H = \frac{e^2}{h}v$. In the integer quantum Hall effect (IQHE), the factor v is strictly an integer and is related to a topological index, the first Chern number, as shown by Thouless, Kohmoto, Nightingale, and den Nijs [1].

The quantum Hall effect has been extensively studied in Dirac electrons in both two-dimensional (2D) [2–5] and threedimensional (3D) [6–10] materials. Quantum anomalies play a crucial role in understanding the exotic transport phenomena observed in Dirac and Weyl fermions in various quantum materials. One such manifestation of anomaly is the chiral magnetic effect, which occurs in the presence of magnetic fields and results in an imbalance in the number of left- and righthanded fermions, leading to a charge current parallel to the applied field. The origin of these exotic phenomena can be traced to the structure of the fermion spectrum in magnetic fields.

In static and homogeneous magnetic fields, the eigenstates of electrons form Landau levels, which are macroscopically degenerate in energy. Within the Landau levels of Weyl fermions, a special band known as the chiral Landau level appears as the zeroth Landau level [11]. This chiral Landau level carries a nonzero current in the field direction, detectable in the presence of imbalance, such as negative magnetoresistance and heat transport [12]. Furthermore, the zeroth Landau level is known for its robustness against disorder and serves as a platform for various strongly-correlated phenomena, including flatband ferromagnetism, the fractional quantum Hall effect, and magnetic catalysis [13].

Studies on charged particles in magnetic fields have explored scenarios beyond homogeneous and static conditions. For example, when the magnetic field oscillates spatially with sign changes, a new conducting mode known as the snake state emerges [14]. This mode propagates along a wiggling orbit and is strongly localized along the contours where the magnetic field vanishes. Snake states can be realized in materials such as carbon nanotubes under a perpendicular magnetic field, leading to peculiar anisotropic behavior in their magnetoresistance, especially in rolled-up geometries [15].

Temporal oscillations of the magnetic field, *i.e.*, ACmagnetic fields, can induce significant changes in electron motion. Experimentally, it is possible to generate strong AC-magnetic fields using metamaterial enhancement techniques [16–19] and the created magnetic fields can be as strong as several Teslas, and the field oscillating in the THz regime [20–23]. Previously, the AC-magnetic field-induced dynamics has been studied for non-relativistic electrons with quadratic dispersion [24] using Floquet theory, which describes quantum systems under periodic driving [25–27]. In such conditions, electrons exhibit a snake-like wiggling motion due to sign flips of the curvature of their cyclotron motion following the magnetic field changes.

At specific "magic" frequencies, a new feature akin to Landau quantization emerges where the electron trajectories form closed orbits resembling a figure of eight. In this regime, the effective mass of the electrons' center of mass coordinate diverges, rendering them immobile against static electric fields. When the quantum version of this problem is considered, these closed classical orbits result in macroscopically degenerate flat bands in the Floquet quasienergy spectrum. These Floquet Landau levels are characterized by a dissipationless frequency-converting Hall response.

The concept of Floquet Landau levels and frequencyconverting quantum Hall effect in AC-magnetic fields motivates us to study its interplay with the physics of quantum anomaly in Dirac and Weyl materials. In this paper, we study the energy spectrum and transport phenomena of 2D Dirac electrons and electrons on the honeycomb lattice under AC magnetic fields. We report the rich physics closely connected to the chiral anomaly of three dimensional (3D) Weyl fermions. In particular, we focus on a system described by the massless 2D Dirac Hamiltonian

$$H = \tau v_F (\sigma_x \hat{\pi}_x + \sigma_y \hat{\pi}_y), \tag{1}$$

and its lattice realization. Here, $\hat{\pi}_i = -i\hbar\partial_i + eA_i$ (i = x, y) denotes the kinetic momentum, $\tau = \pm 1$ is the chirality index, v_F is the Fermi velocity, and σ_i represents the Pauli matrices. The AC-magnetic field in *z*-direction is induced by the gauge field

$$A_{y} = B\cos(\Omega t)x \tag{2}$$

which also adds a spatially varying electric field in the *y*-direction $-\dot{A}_y$. In addition to the *B* field, we add an ac-electric field in the *x*-direction described by

$$A_x = \frac{eE}{\Omega}\sin(\Omega t). \tag{3}$$

The configuration of the AC-fields are depicted in Fig. 1(a). To realize the 2D Dirac electron in an AC magnetic field, one can place a single-layer graphene flake between the two wires [depicted as yellow lines with $\pm J(t)$] or construct a meta-material structure on the surface of a 3D topological insulator that hosts surface 2D Dirac electrons. Then, the AC-magnetic field Eq. (2) can be approximately generated as a radiation from the antiparallel current on two wires $J_y(x, t) = I_0 \cos(\Omega t) [\delta(x - L) - \delta(x + L)]$ at $|x|/L \ll 1$, as $B = \mu_0 I_0 / \pi L$.

An intriguing structure of the present system is evident in the numerically-computed energy spectrum $\overline{A}(\omega)$ [see Eq. (25)] of 2D Dirac fermion in an AC-magnetic field, shown in Fig. 1 (details are given later). The highlight features are the following.

- *π***-Landau levels at** $\omega = \pm \frac{\Omega}{2}$: When *B* is non-zero but *E* is zero, a flat band appears at $\omega = \pm \frac{\Omega}{2}$ that is surrounded by a series of arc-like bands that merges at $p_y = \pm \hbar \Omega/2v_F$ [Fig. 1(c)]. Each states are doubly degenerate (in terms of Floquet states). When the AC-electric field *E* is also switched on, the flat band at $\omega = \frac{\Omega}{2}$ tilts with a slope given by $eEv_F^2/\hbar\Omega^2$ turning it into a doubly degenerate chiral band [Fig. 1(d)].
- **Chiral band at** $\omega = 0$: When both *B* and *E* are non-zero, a chiral band with slope v_F is formed at zero energy $(\omega = 0)$. This state is nondegenerate. We will show

later that the energy dispersion obtained here is quite similar to that seen in the chiral magnetic effect of the 3D Weyl fermion in a static magnetic field. An interesting point in this regard is that the corresponding chiral anomaly here emerges for the vector U(1) symmetry, and the chiral fermions are *both* right movers for two chiralities $\tau = \pm 1$.

As we see in this paper, these spectral features can be captured by the Floquet effective Hamiltonian combined with a time-periodic unitary transformation. This also allows us to obtain analytically the approximate wave function of the π -Landau level. Schematically depicted in Fig. 1(e), the π -Landau level is a state that resonates between electron and hole; It is electron-like when the magnetic field is positive and turns hole-like when the sign flips. Thus, it can realize the circular motion akin to the usual cyclotron motion since its charge flips at the same time as the magnetic field. We also show that the flat band is stable against perturbations, thanks to the dynamical chiral symmetry of the present system.

The existence of the chiral band at $\omega = 0$ leads us to anticipate the transport analogous to the chiral magnetic effect. Namely, assuming an equilibrium distribution, the presence of the anomalous chiral band leads to the electric current expressed by

$$I_y = -\frac{e}{h}\mu \tag{4}$$

with μ being the chemical potential measured from the charge neutral point. A homodyne effect refers to a generation of DC response arising from two AC inputs, in the present case *B* and *E*, with the same frequencies ω . Thus, a DC current described by Eq. (4) is a homodyne current. Since it is not linearly dependent on *B* and *E*, in fact it is independent of them which we numerically confirm later, it is implied that this homodyne response has a purely nonperturbative mechanism. Since the origin of this current can be traced back to the chiral Landau level at $\omega = 0$ that has a deep relation with the chiral anomaly in 3D Weyl fermions, we refer to this effect as the *chiral anomaly-induced homodyne effect* in this work.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. After a brief review of the basic concepts in Sec. II, we show the energy spectrum of the 2D Dirac fermion and its lattice realization in the presence of the AC-magnetic field, in Sec. III. We then construct the Floquet effective Hamiltonian for the chiral band and the π -Landau levels using a perturbative method, with which we reveal the chiral nature of the present system. In Sec. IV, we discuss the robustness of the flat band from the viewpoint of the dynamical symmetry, and its breakdown in a strong field. Finally, we discuss the DC Hall current against the AC electric field arising from the anomalous property in Sec. V. We give a conclusion in Sec. VI.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Here, we give a brief review of several concepts that will be used in this work.



FIG. 1. (a) Schematic plot of the chiral band and π -Landau level that emerges at the center of the system. (b) Time average of the density of states. (c,d) The quasienergy spectrum of the 2D Dirac fermions for $B = 2\hbar/el_0^2$, E = 0 (c) and $B = 2\hbar/el_0^2$, $E = 0.8\hbar\Omega/el_0$ (d). The intensity is normalized by the peak value for the undriven case. (e) Schematic image of the states in the π -Landau levels.

A. Chiral Landau level in static magnetic fields

Here we review the physics of Landau levels in 2D Dirac and 3D Weyl fermions.

1. Two-dimensional case

For 2D case, the Hamiltonian in the presence of the static magnetic field *B* is given by

$$H_{2D} = \tau v_F[\sigma_x(-i\hbar\partial_x) + \sigma_y(p_y + eBx)]$$
(5)

in the Landau gauge. Let us define an annihilation operator of harmonic oscillator by

$$\hat{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar e|B|}} [-si\hbar\partial_x - i(p_y + eBx)]$$
(6)

with s = sgnB, which satisfies the canonical commutation relation $[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^{\dagger}] = 1$. For B > 0, the Hamiltonian in the matrix representation is written as

$$H_{2\mathrm{D}} = \tau v_F \sqrt{2\hbar e|B|} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{a} \\ \hat{a}^{\dagger} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (7)

Since $\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a} + \sigma_z/2$ is a conserved quantity, we can obtain the eigenstate of the Hamiltonian $H_{2D}|v_{n,\pm}\rangle = E_{n,\pm}|v_{n,\pm}\rangle$ as

$$E_{n,\pm} = \pm \tau v_F \sqrt{2\hbar e |B|n}, \quad |v_{n,\pm}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \binom{\pm |n-1\rangle}{|n\rangle} \tag{8}$$

with n = 1, 2, ..., where $|n\rangle$ is the eigenstate of the number operator $\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}$ given by

$$|0\rangle = \left(\frac{e|B|}{\pi\hbar}\right)^{1/4} \int dx e^{-(p_y + eBx)^2/2\hbar e|B|} |x\rangle, \quad |n\rangle = \frac{(\hat{a}^{\dagger})^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |0\rangle.$$
(9)

These eigenstates satisfy the relation $\Gamma |v_{n,\pm}\rangle = -|v_{n,\mp}\rangle$ with $\Gamma = \sigma_z$ being the chiral operator, which is a consequence of the chiral symmetry $\{H, \Gamma\} = 0$.

Along with the above eigenstates, there is a special eigenstate with zero energy

$$E_0 = 0, \quad |v_0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ |0\rangle \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

This zero energy state is the simultaneous eigenstate of the chiral operator Γ (with the eigenvalue $\Gamma = -1$) and has no partner state. The case of B < 0 can be obtained by applying time reversal operation, with which the eigenenergies are unchanged while the eigenstates are modified as

$$|v_0\rangle = \binom{|0\rangle}{0}, \quad |v_{n,\pm}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \binom{|n\rangle}{\mp |n-1\rangle} \tag{11}$$

with $\Gamma |v_0\rangle = |v_0\rangle$, $\Gamma |v_{n,\pm}\rangle = |v_{n,\mp}\rangle$.

The eigenenergy is independent of p_y , *i.e.*, the energy eigenvalues have macroscopic degeneracy. The canonical momentum p_y appears as the central position of the wave function as $x = -p_y/eB$. The degeneracy of the Landau level D is given by $D = e|B|L^2/h$ with L^2 being the two-dimensional system size. Let us consider the response of the Landau levels against DC electric fields, $H_E = eEx$. In terms of the harmonic oscillator, this perturbation is represented as

$$H_E = \frac{E}{B} \left[i \sqrt{\frac{\hbar e |B|}{2}} (\hat{a} - \hat{a}^{\dagger}) - p_y \right].$$
(12)

The first-order correction to the eigenenergy is obtained as $\langle H_E \rangle = -p_y E/B$ for all the Landau levels, with which the energy dispersion becomes tilted with the universal group velocity -E/B. Taking account of the degeneracy *D*, we obtain the DC Hall conductivity σ_{yx} at the zero temperature as

$$\sigma_{yx} = s \frac{e^2}{h} \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \tag{13}$$

when the Landau levels are occupied up to *n*-th one. Here we have assumed that the Hall current vanishes when the zeroth Landau level is half-filled as it corresponds to the charge neutrality. Due to the Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem, we always have the Dirac fermion with $\tau = \pm 1$ in a pairwise manner in crystalline systems, so that the above half-integer quantized value is doubled in the net current.

2. Three-dimensional case

Let us turn to the 3D Weyl fermion described by the Hamiltonian

$$H_{\rm 3D} = \tau v_F [\sigma_x(-i\hbar\partial_x) + \sigma_y(p_y + eBx) + \sigma_z p_z]. \tag{14}$$

As the $p_z = 0$ plane corresponds to the 2D Dirac case, let us take the 2D eigenstates $|v_{n,\pm}\rangle_{2D}$ as a basis set. Since $\Gamma = \sigma_z$ is the chiral operator in the 2D case, the matrix element in this basis can be calculated as

$$H_{\rm 3D}|v_0\rangle_{\rm 2D} = -s\tau v_F p_z |v_0\rangle_{\rm 2D},\tag{15}$$

$$H_{\rm 3D}|v_{n,\pm}\rangle_{\rm 2D} = \pm \tau v_F \sqrt{2\hbar e|B|n}|v_{n,\pm}\rangle_{\rm 2D} - s\tau v_F p_z|v_{n,\mp}\rangle_{\rm 2D}. \tag{16}$$

Namely, the zeroth Landau level remains to be the eigenstate of the 3D Hamiltonian, $|v_0\rangle_{3D} = |v_0\rangle_{2D}$, but with the linear chiral dispersion

$$E_0 = -s\tau v_F p_z. \tag{17}$$

The remaining states constitute 2×2 block Hamiltonians, whose eigenvalues are given by

$$E_{n,\pm} = \pm \tau v_F \sqrt{2\hbar e |B|n + p_z^2}$$
(18)

with n = 1, 2, ...

Due to the zeroth Landau level with the linear dispersion, the 3D Dirac fermion can carry nonzero current, while the higher Landau levels $n \neq 0$ have vanishing current due to the even dispersion relation $E_{n,\pm}(p_z) = E_{n,\pm}(-p_z)$. Assuming the vanishing current at the charge neutrality $\mu = 0$, the electric current density is calculated as

$$J_{z} = s\tau ev_{F} \frac{D}{L^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dp_{z}}{2\pi\hbar} [f(-s\tau v_{F}p_{z}) - f(-s\tau v_{F}p_{z})|_{\mu=0}]$$
$$= \tau \frac{e^{2}}{h^{2}} \mu B$$
(19)

where μ is the chemical potential of the system, and $f(\varepsilon) = (1 + e^{\beta(\varepsilon-\mu)})^{-1}$ is the Fermi-Dirac distribution function. The result is independent of the Fermi velocity v_F , as well as the temperature β^{-1} . This is known as the chiral magnetic effect. This electric current due to the chiral dispersion cancels out when we add up the contribution of $\tau = \pm 1$. A nonzero net current may appear when the chemical potential for the pair of Weyl fermions $\tau = \pm 1$ are different, which can be induced by applying DC electric field parallel to the magnetic field.

B. Floquet formalism

1. Floquet quasi-energy spectrum

To discuss the properties of the present model, we employ the Floquet theorem for time-periodic problems [25–27]. The Floquet theorem assures that the solution of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation $i\hbar\partial_t |\psi(t)\rangle = H(t)|\psi(t)\rangle$ can be written in the form of the Floquet states,

$$|\psi_{\alpha}(t)\rangle = |u_{\alpha}(t)\rangle e^{-i\varepsilon_{\alpha}t/\hbar}, \quad |u_{\alpha}(t)\rangle = |u_{\alpha}(t+T)\rangle,$$
(20)

with $T = 2\pi/\Omega$ being the period of the Hamiltonian, H(t) = H(t+T).

Since the periodic part of the wave function $|u_{\alpha}(t)\rangle$ can be expanded in the Fourier series,

$$|u_{\alpha}(t)\rangle = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} |u_{\alpha,m}\rangle e^{-im\Omega t},$$
(21)

we can rewrite the time-dependent Schrödinger equation as an eigenvalue problem in the extended Hilbert space (Sambe space) as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ \ddots & H_{0} + \hbar\Omega & H_{-1} & H_{-2} \\ \ddots & H_{+1} & H_{0} & H_{-1} & \ddots \\ & H_{+2} & H_{+1} & H_{0} - \hbar\Omega & \ddots \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ |u_{\alpha,0}\rangle \\ |u_{\alpha,0}\rangle \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \varepsilon_{\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ |u_{\alpha,-1}\rangle \\ |u_{\alpha,0}\rangle \\ |u_{\alpha,1}\rangle \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(22)$$

where H_n is the *n*-th Fourier component of the time-periodic Hamiltonian H(t). Note that this eigenvalue problem has redundant solutions. Namely, $(\ldots, |u_{\alpha,-1}\rangle, |u_{\alpha,0}\rangle, |u_{\alpha,+1}\rangle, \ldots)^{\mathrm{T}}$

and $(..., |u_{\alpha,m-1}\rangle, |u_{\alpha,m}\rangle, |u_{\alpha,m+1}\rangle, ...)^{T}$ respectively have the eigenvalue of ε_{α} and $\varepsilon_{\alpha} + m\hbar\Omega$, but represent the same wave function $|\psi_{\alpha}(t)\rangle$. A set of inequivalent solutions can be obtained by restricting the eigenvalue (called quasienergy) ε_{α} to the first Floquet Brillouin zone (BZ) $\varepsilon_{\alpha} \in (-\hbar\Omega/2, \hbar\Omega/2]$.

2. Time-averaged energy spectrum

The quasienergy corresponds to the energy defined for the coarse-grained dynamics averaged over the time period T. Let us relate the quasienergy eigenvalue to the time average of the spectral function. The spectral function can be calculated from the retarded Green function in the frequency domain. Its time average can be computed as

$$\overline{A}(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_{0}^{T} \frac{d\tau}{T} \operatorname{Tr} G_{0}^{R} \left(\tau + \frac{t}{2}, \tau - \frac{t}{2}\right) e^{i\omega t}, \quad (23)$$

where the retarded Green function is given by $[G_0^R(t, t')]_{ij} = -i\langle \{c_i(t), c_j^{\dagger}(t')\}\rangle \theta(t - t')/\hbar$ for noninteracting systems, with c_i being the annihilation operator of *i*-th electron.

Using the Floquet states that solves the eigenvalue problem in the Sambe space, G_0^R can be written as

$$[G_0^R(t,t')]_{ij} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{\alpha} \langle i | u_{\alpha}(t) \rangle \langle u_{\alpha}(t') | j \rangle \theta(t-t') e^{-i\varepsilon_{\alpha}(t-t')/\hbar},$$
(24)

where $|i\rangle = c_i^{\dagger}|0\rangle$ spans the one-particle Hilbert space, and α runs over the states in the first Floquet BZ. Accordingly, the time-averaged spectral function $\overline{A}(\omega)$ can be written with the Floquet states as

$$\overline{A}(\omega) = \sum_{\alpha m} \langle u_{\alpha,m} | u_{\alpha,m} \rangle \delta(\hbar \omega - \varepsilon_{\alpha} - m\hbar \Omega).$$
(25)

This expression tells us how to unfold the quasienergy spectrum defined on $(-\hbar\Omega/2, \hbar\Omega/2]$ to the entire frequency domain. We call this scheme to plot the quasienergy in the entire frequency domain the open Floquet BZ scheme. The above expression can also be interpreted that the spectral weight is composed of the amplitude of the static component $\langle u_{\alpha,0}|u_{\alpha,0}\rangle$ for all the eigenvectors in the Sambe space, when the sum over the Fourier index *m* is reinterpreted as that over the redundant solutions.

3. Occupation function for a system coupled with a fermion bath

The electrons' distribution of these bands is generically nonequilibrium and depends on how the system is coupled to dissipation sources. We here introduce an ideal fermionic reservoir, with which the system relaxes to a steady state with occupation

$$f_{\alpha} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle u_{\alpha m} | u_{\alpha m} \rangle f(\varepsilon_{\alpha} + m\hbar\Omega)$$
(26)

for the α -th Floquet state [28–30]. We give a brief derivation of this expression using the nonequilibrium Green function below.

The self energy of the reservoir in terms of the nonequilibrium Green function is given by

$$\Sigma^{R}(t,t') = -\Sigma^{A}(t,t') = -i\Gamma\delta(t-t'), \qquad (27)$$

$$\Sigma^{<}(t,t') = i2\Gamma \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} f(\omega)e^{-i\omega(t-t')},$$
(28)

where *f* is the Fermi-Dirac distribution function (at the zero temperature). The retarded and advanced Green functions are then obtained as $G^R(t, t') = G^R_0(t, t')e^{-\Gamma(t-t')} = [G^A(t', t)]^{\dagger}$. The lesser Green function $[G^{<}(t, t')]_{ij} = i\langle c_j^{\dagger}(t')c_i(t)\rangle/\hbar$ can be obtained via

$$G^{<}(t,t') = \int d\tau \int d\tau' G^{R}(t,\tau) \Sigma^{<}(\tau,\tau') G^{A}(\tau',t'), \quad (29)$$

which can be computed as

$$[G^{<}(t,t')]_{ij} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{\alpha\beta} f_{\alpha\beta} \langle i | u_{\alpha}(t) \rangle \langle u_{\beta}(t') | j \rangle e^{-i\varepsilon_{\alpha}t/\hbar + i\varepsilon_{\beta}t'/\hbar}$$
(30)

with the occupation given by

$$f_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{mn} \int \frac{\Gamma \langle u_{\alpha m} | u_{\beta n} \rangle e^{i(\omega - \varepsilon_{\beta}/\hbar - n\Omega)t' - i(\omega - \varepsilon_{\alpha}/\hbar - m\Omega)t} f(\omega) d\omega}{\pi(\omega - \varepsilon_{\alpha}/\hbar - m\Omega + i\Gamma)(\omega - \varepsilon_{\beta}/\hbar - n\Omega - i\Gamma)} \rightarrow \delta_{\alpha\beta} \sum_{m} \langle u_{\alpha m} | u_{\alpha m} \rangle f(\varepsilon_{\alpha} + m\hbar\Omega) \quad (\Gamma \to +0),$$
(31)

which is nothing but Eq. (26). Here, we have assumed that the Floquet states are nondegenerate. The same expression can be used for degenerate states when $\sum_m \langle u_{\alpha m} | u_{\beta m} \rangle f(\varepsilon_{\alpha} + m\Omega) \propto \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ is satisfied for $\varepsilon_{\alpha} = \varepsilon_{\beta}$.

III. FLOQUET QUASI-ENERGY SPECTRUM

A. Numerical computation of the energy spectrum

In this section, we introduce the Hamiltonian of the present study, and show the numerical result for the quasienergy spectrum based on the formalism introduced in the previous section.

As we have introduced in Introduction, we consider the 2D Dirac fermion coupled to the AC-electromagnetic field

$$H(t) = \tau v_F \left[\sigma_x \left(-i\hbar \partial_x + \frac{eE}{\Omega} \sin \Omega t \right) + \sigma_y (p_y + eBx \cos \Omega t) \right],$$
(32)

where v_F is the Fermi velocity, and τ is the chirality index. The canonical momentum along *y* is a good quantum number, and is denoted as p_y here. In this model, we have two characteristic length scales, $l_B = \sqrt{\hbar/eB}$ and $l_0 = v_F T$. We take l_0 as the unit of length. We use the matrix representation using the harmonic oscillator basis, given by $|n\rangle = (\hat{b}^{\dagger})^n |0\rangle / \sqrt{n!}$ with¹

$$\hat{b} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hbar eB}} \left(-i\hbar \partial_x - i\frac{eB}{2}x \right).$$
(33)

We diagonalize the Hamiltonian in the Sambe space [Eq. (22)] and plot the quasienergy spectrum using the open Floquet BZ scheme introduced above [*i.e.*, calculate the time-averaged spectral function $\overline{A}(\omega)$ given by Eq. (25)].

Figures 1(c),(d) depict the Floquet quasi-energy spectrum for several values of the AC-magnetic field B and the AC-electric field E. The spectrum with no external fields [Fig. 1(c)] has a Dirac point at $\varepsilon = 0$, $p_v = 0$ with continuous excitation. With an applied AC-magnetic field $B = 2\hbar/el_0^2$, as shown in Fig. 1(c), the spectrum becomes discrete while keeping the dispersion around the Dirac point gapless. Here a remarkable point is the flat bands pinned at $\omega = \pm \Omega/2$, which we call π -Landau levels here. The emergence of the π -Landau levels at the boundary of the Floquet Brillioin zone is rather surprising. This is because we expect absence of states at the zone boundary due to the level repulsion between the original band and a Floquet replica band that leads to a gap opening. In fact, this is how the anomalous Floquet topological insulator is realized where π -edge states emerges within the gap [31]. In the present case, the electron and hole bands are hybridized by the field and form a flat band that is pinned at the Floquet BZ boundary.

By further applying an AC-electric field $E = 0.8\hbar\Omega/el_0$, we obtain the energy spectrum shown in Fig. 1(d). The π -Landau levels now have a slope proportional to the field amplitude E, which implies the emergence of the DC Hall current. Another remarkable feature here is the formation of the chiral band at zero energy. The slope of the chiral band is v_F , and independent of the field amplitude. An interesting point is that the slope is also independent of the chirality index $\tau = \pm 1$, which apparently violates the Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem in one-dimensional static systems.

In order to further understand the nature of the 2D Dirac electrons in AC-magnetic fields, we consider its realization on the honeycomb lattice tight-binding model described by the Hamiltonian

$$H(t) = t_0 \sum_{ij}^{\text{N.N.}} e^{-i\boldsymbol{A}_i(t)\cdot(\boldsymbol{R}_i - \boldsymbol{R}_j)} c_{iA}^{\dagger} c_{jB} + \text{H.c.}$$
(34)

Here, t_0 is the nearest-neighbor hopping amplitude, and c_{jX} denotes the annihilation operator of the electron at the site *j* on the sublattice $X \in \{A, B\}$. A sites are located at $\mathbf{R}_i = (3n/2, \sqrt{3}(n/2 + m))$ with $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the site summation is taken for the nearest-neighbor bonds, $\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_j =$

 $(\cos 2\pi l/3, \sin 2\pi l/3)$ with l = 0, 1, 2. In the lattice model, we introduce the external fields by the Peierls substitution with the site-dependent vector potential $A_i(t)$, which is dimensionless in the present notation. The fields are explicitly given by

$$\boldsymbol{A}_{i}(t) = \left(\frac{eEa}{\hbar\Omega}\sin(\Omega t), \frac{eBa^{2}}{\hbar}x_{i}\cos(\Omega t)\right)$$
(35)

where *a* is the length of the nearest-neighbor bond ($\sqrt{3}a$ is the lattice constant), and $x_i = \mathbf{R}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_x$ is the dimensionless coordinate along *x*. The low-energy limit of this lattice Hamiltonian coincides with Eq. (32) via the relation $v_F = 3t_0a/2\hbar$, which can also be written as $l_0/a = 3\pi t_0/\hbar\Omega$.

We numerically calculate the Floquet quasienergy spectrum, as shown in Fig. 2. Here we choose the driving frequency as $\hbar\Omega = 0.6t_0$, and the amplitude of the applied magnetic field as $B = 2\hbar/el_0^2 = 0.008\hbar/ea^2$. We adopt the open boundary condition, with which we have zig-zag edges along y direction. The number of sites along x direction is set to 200 [100 unitcells along $(3/2, \sqrt{3}/2)$], where the corresponding sample width is much larger than the magnetic length $l_B = \sqrt{\hbar/eB} = 11a$. Note that the electric field $E_y \propto x_i$ at the edges becomes larger as we increase the sample width, so that the agreement between the continuous and lattice model is expected only for finite-width cases.

We show the quasienergy spectrum under the AC-magnetic field in Fig. 2(a), with a magnified view of the low-energy part in Fig. 2(b). The spectrum under an additional AC electric field $E = 0.8\hbar\Omega/el_0 = 0.05\hbar\Omega/ea$ is also shown in Fig. 2(c). We can see that the spectral features in the continuous Hamiltonian, *i.e.*, the emergence of the π -Landau levels and the zero-energy chiral bands is well reproduced. Indeed, the slope of the chiral modes is the same for the two valleys, as we have mentioned. This spectral structure is allowed as the present system is periodically-driven, where the spectral weight is not necessarily constant and allowed to vanish.

Hereafter, we set $\hbar = e = 1$. We also set $v_F = 1$, while we keep the energy unit Ω as it is convenient for keeping track of the order of the perturbative expansion.

B. Effective Hamiltonian for the chiral band at $\varepsilon = 0$

Let us first explore the origin of the chiral fermion at the zero quasienergy, which emerges when an additional AC electric field *E* is applied [Fig. 1(d), Fig. 2(c)]. To this end, we here derive an effective static Hamiltonian and show that the chiral fermion is related to the chiral Landau level of 3D Weyl fermion. A standard method to obtain a perturbative expression for the effective Hamiltonian is known as the high-frequency expansion [32–35], which can be obtained by applying the van Vleck quasi-degenerated perturbation theory to Eq. (22), with regarding Ω 's in the diagonal entities as the unperturbed Hamiltonian. The Flqouet effective Hamiltonian is given by [32]

$$H_{\rm F} = H_0 + \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{[H_{-m}, H_m]}{2m\Omega} + O(\Omega^{-2}), \tag{36}$$

¹ Throughout the numerical calculations in this paper, we truncate the number of modes at $N_b = 400$. We have to be careful on the artifact due to this cutoff, because it leads to the unphysical vacuum of \hat{b}^{\dagger} as a spurious low-energy state, although it has vanishing hybridization with the genuine low-energy states. We eliminate the contribution of $N_b \ge 200$ states after the diagonalization.



FIG. 2. Energy spectrum of the honeycomb lattice model driven by a time-periodic magnetic field, plotted as a function of the canonical momentum p_y and the energy $\hbar\omega$. The spectral function is averaged over a driving period, and the intensity is normalized by the peak value for the undriven case. (a) $B = 2\hbar/el_0^2$. (b) magnified view of (a). (c) $B = 2\hbar/el_0^2$ but with an additional AC electric field $E = 0.8\hbar\Omega/el_0$.

which has the dimension same as the original time-dependent Hamiltonian, and does not contain any redundant solutions. This gives a good approximation when the matrix elements of H_m are sufficiently smaller than $\hbar\Omega$ between the eigenstates of H_0 we are interested in.

As depicted in Fig. 1(c) and Fig. 2(b), when the additional electric field is absent ($B \neq 0$, E = 0), the high-frequency expansion of Eq. (32) leads to *no* significant modification. The first-order correction $[H_{-1}, H_{+1}]/\Omega$ vanish identically, while the time average (zeroth-order term H_0) coincides with the undriven Hamiltonian. Thus the spectrum around $\omega = 0$ remains linear in the numerically-obtained spectrum.

A nontrivial correction emerges when the AC electric field is switched on $(B \neq 0, E \neq 0)$. The AC electric field term $H_E(t)$ in terms of the linearlized model (32) is written as

$$H_E(t) = \tau \sigma_x \frac{E}{\Omega} \sin(\Omega t), \qquad (37)$$

with which the high-frequency expansion (36) up to $1/\Omega$ correction results in

$$H_{\rm F} = \tau \left[\sigma_x(-i\partial_x) + \sigma_y p_y + \sigma_z \tau \frac{BE}{\Omega^2} x \right]$$
(38)

This Hamiltonian can be seen as a Hamiltonian of a 3D Weyl fermion projected to $p_z = 0$ under an applied static magnetic field along *y*-axis [See Eq. (14)]. An important point here is that the field strength B_{eff} is given by

$$B_{\rm eff} = -\tau \frac{BE}{\Omega^2},\tag{39}$$

and depends on the chirality of the Weyl fermion τ , as opposed to the usual case. The Floquet spectrum around $\omega = 0$ is obtained as the eigenvalues of Eq. (38) and become

$$\varepsilon_0 = p_y, \qquad \varepsilon_{n,\pm} = \pm \sqrt{|B_{\text{eff}}|n + p_y^2} \quad (n = 1, 2, ...), \quad (40)$$

which is similar to the Landau levels in 3D Weyl fermions.

There are a few comments to be noted. First, although the bands near $\omega = 0$ are analogous to the Landau levels of 3D Weyl fermions, their degeneracy is different. In the case of the bands of Eq. (38) they are non-degenerate due to the restriction $p_z = 0$, which is in contrast to the degeneracy of $\mathcal{N} = eBL^2/h$ for the Landau level degeneracy in 3D Weyl fermions. Second, the slope of the chiral band ε_0 is common for both chiralities $\tau = \pm 1$. Thus, in the case of the honeycomb lattice, the *K* and *K'*-points show a similar chiral band structure as we can see in Fig. 2(c). The direction of the chiral bands can be changed by flipping the sign of a product *BE*.

C. Effective Hamiltonian for the π Landau level at $\varepsilon = \pm \Omega/2$

Next, we move on to investigate the origin of the flat bands at the Floquet Brillouin zone boundary $\omega = \pm \frac{\Omega}{2}$. As we have seen in the previous subsection, the flat band states are not described by the effective Floquet Hamiltonian within the high-frequency expansion [see Eq. (38)]. This is because the high-frequency expansion describes the physics of small quasi-energy, and not the states with quasi-energy of the order of Ω . The kinetic energy operator $-i\partial_x$ takes a huge expectation value even when the field amplitude *B* is small, which leads to the failure of the expansion. This implies that we need to subtract contribution divergent in the high-frequency limit $\Omega \to \infty$ before performing the high-frequency expansion. Indeed, we can carry out this subtraction using a timeperiodic unitary transformation U(t) = U(t + T) given by

$$U(t) = e^{i(\Omega/2)x\cos\theta} e^{-i\sigma_z\theta/2} e^{-i\tau(\Omega/2)(1+\sigma_x)t},$$
(41)

where θ satisfies $p_y = (\Omega/2) \sin \theta$. The transformed Hamiltonian for E = 0 is obtained as

$$H_{\text{rot}}(t) = U^{\dagger}(t)(H(t) - i\partial_{t})U(t)$$

$$= -\tau \frac{\Omega}{2} + \tau \cos\theta \left[\sigma_{x}(-i\partial_{x}) + \sigma_{y}\frac{B}{2}x\right]$$

$$-\tau \sigma_{x}^{+} \sin\theta e^{i\tau\Omega t}(-i\partial_{x}) + \text{H.c.}$$

$$+\tau(\sigma_{x}\sin\theta e^{i\Omega t} + \sigma_{x}^{+}\cos\theta e^{i\tau2\Omega t})\frac{B}{2}x + \text{H.c.}, \quad (42)$$

where $\sigma_x^+ = (\sigma_y + i\sigma_z)/2$. In particular, the time average of the Hamiltonian $\overline{H_{rot}(t)}$ reads

$$\overline{H_{\rm rot}(t)} = -\tau \frac{\Omega}{2} + \tau \cos \theta \left[\sigma_x (-i\partial_x) + \sigma_y \frac{B}{2} x \right], \quad (43)$$

which is the leading-order term of the high-frequency expansion.

The unitary transformation introduced above can be decomposed into two steps, $U(t) = V_1 V_2(t)$ with $V_1 = e^{i(\Omega/2)x\cos\theta}e^{-i\sigma_z\theta/2}$ and $V_2(t) = e^{-i\tau(\Omega/2)(1+\sigma_x)t}$. At the first step V_1 , we extract the $O(\Omega)$ contribution from the kinetic term; In the absence of the external field, the quasienergy eigenstate with $\varepsilon_{\alpha} = \Omega/2$ is realized when $\langle -i\partial_x \rangle^2 + p_y^2 = (\Omega/2)^2$. This implies that it is convenient to shift the origin of the momentum $-i\partial_x$ by $(\Omega/2)\cos\theta = \pm [(\Omega/2)^2 - p_y^2]^{1/2}$. While there are two choices of such θ , we assume that the hybridization between two plane waves is not relevant even when the external field is switched on. Then the transformed Hamiltonian is expressed as

$$V_{1}^{\dagger}H(t)V_{1} = \tau \frac{\Omega}{2}\sigma_{x} + \tau(\sigma_{x}\cos\theta - \sigma_{y}\sin\theta)(-i\partial_{x}) + \tau(\sigma_{x}\sin\theta + \sigma_{y}\cos\theta)Bx\cos\Omega t, \qquad (44)$$

where we have used $p_y = (\Omega/2) \sin \theta$. Now the kinetic term represents the residual fluctuation, so that only the first term of Eq. (44) is expected to have a divergent contribution of $O(\Omega)$ in the weak-field case. Then, at the second step $V_2(t)$, we remove the first term by moving to the co-rotating frame, with which we obtain Eq. (42).

The first term of $\overline{H_{rot}(t)}$ gives the energy shift to the zone edge of the Floquet Brillouin zone. The remaining part is equivalent to the Hamiltonian for the static Landau levels at $p_y = 0$ with a renormalization factor $\tau \cos \theta$ [See Eq. (5)]. Thus, we regard $\overline{H_{rot}(t)}$ as the effective Hamiltonian for the π -Landau levels that appeared in Figs. 1(c), and 2.

The time-averaged Hamiltonian $H_{rot}(t)$ can be diagonalized in terms of the harmonic oscillator Eq. (33), as in the Dirac electrons in a static magnetic field. The eigenstates are given by

$$|v_0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\|0\rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad |v_{n,\pm}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \pm |n-1\rangle\\|n\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$
 (45)



FIG. 3. The wave function of the flat band state at the boundary of the Floquet Brillouin zone for $B = 2\hbar/el_0^2$, $p_y = 0$, compared between the numerical result and the ansatz obtained in the rotating frame. We show snapshots at $t = 0.1T, 0.2T, \dots, 1.0T$ here.

with n = 1, 2, ..., where the ground state of the harmonic oscillator $|0\rangle$ is given by $\langle x|0\rangle = (B/2\pi)^{1/4} \exp(-Bx^2/4)$. The eigenenergies of these states are given by

$$\varepsilon_0 = -\tau \frac{\Omega}{2}, \quad \varepsilon_{n,\pm} = -\tau \frac{\Omega}{2} \pm \tau \sqrt{Bn} \cos \theta.$$
 (46)

The zeroth Landau level indeed gives a flat band, while the remaining Landau levels form elliptic bands via

$$\cos\theta = \pm \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{2p_y}{\Omega}\right)^2}.$$
(47)

Since there are two choices for θ , there are two Landau level solutions for given p_y . This is consistent with the fact that the numerically-obtained flat and elliptic bands are all doubly-degenerate. With these results, the time-averaged density of states for the Landau levels is obtained as

$$D(\varepsilon) = D_0 \left(\varepsilon + \frac{\Omega}{2} \right) + D_0 \left(\varepsilon - \frac{\Omega}{2} \right), \tag{48}$$

$$D_0(\varepsilon) = \frac{\Omega L_y}{2\pi} \left[\delta(\varepsilon) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\varepsilon|}{\sqrt{Bn}\sqrt{Bn-\varepsilon^2}} \right]$$
(49)

with L_y being the system size along y direction. Along with the peak structure due to the flat band, elliptic bands also leads to van Hove singularities with ~ $\varepsilon^{-1/2}$, which is consistent with the numerically-obtained result shown in Fig. 1(b).

Let us then inspect the influence of the electric field (37) to the flat bands. In the rotating frame Eq. (41), the time average of Eq. (37) is given by

$$\overline{U^{\dagger}(t)H_E(t)U(t)} = \frac{E}{2\Omega}\sin\theta\sigma_z = \frac{E}{\Omega^2}p_y\sigma_z.$$
 (50)

Again, in the same way as the 3D Weyl fermion in a static magnetic field, the flat band acquires a linear dispersion

$$\varepsilon_0 = -\tau \frac{\Omega}{2} - \frac{E}{\Omega^2} p_y. \tag{51}$$

Let us show an intuitive picture for these Landau level states. For simplicity, we consider $\theta = 0$. The periodic part of the Floquet state in the original frame $|u_{n,\pm}(t)\rangle$ is given by

$$|u_{n,\pm}(t)\rangle = U(t)|v_{n,\pm}\rangle$$

= $e^{i(\Omega/2)(x-\tau t)} \left[\cos\frac{\Omega t}{2}|v_{n,\pm}(+B)\rangle - i\tau\sin\frac{\Omega t}{2}|v_{n,\mp}(-B)\rangle\right],$
(52)

where $|v_{n,\pm}(+B)\rangle = (\pm |n-1\rangle, |n\rangle)^T / \sqrt{2}$ and $|v_{n,\pm}(-B)\rangle = (|n\rangle, \mp |n-1\rangle)^T / \sqrt{2}$ are the static Landau level states for positive and negative magnetic fields, respectively [See Eqs. (8) and (10)]. This expression indicates that the Floquet state periodically switches between electron and hole branches, as illustrated in Fig. 1(e). Around t = 0 with a positive magnetic field, $|u_{n,+}(t)\rangle$ stays at the electron branch $|v_{n,+}(+B)\rangle$, while $|u_{n,+}(t)\rangle$ stays at the hole branch $|v_{n,-}(-B)\rangle$ around t = T/2. By doing so, the Floquet state can maintain a chiral motion even in the oscillating field profile, which leads to the formation of Landau levels without any fine-tuning. This is in sharp contrast to the case of nonrelativistic electrons [24], where the cyclotron frequency must be fine-tuned in order to close the classical orbit of the wave packet.

Figure 3 compares the approximate solution Eq. (45) denoted as "Ansatz" with the numerically obtained solution for $B = 2/l_0^2$, $p_y = 0$. Here, for simplicity, we take a linear combination as

$$|\tilde{\psi}_{0}(t)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[U(t)|v_{0}\rangle|_{\theta=0} - iU(t)|v_{0}\rangle|_{\theta=\pi} \right] e^{i\tau\Omega t/2}$$
(53)

$$= \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} \tau \sin \frac{\Omega x}{2} \sin \frac{\Omega t}{2} |0\rangle \\ \cos \frac{\Omega x}{2} \cos \frac{\Omega t}{2} |0\rangle \end{pmatrix}, \tag{54}$$

with which the wave function becomes real. The good agreement implies that the intuitive picture discussed above is justified in the present choice of parameters.

We remark on the validity of the high-frequency expansion in the rotating frame Eq. (42). The matrix element of oscillating terms in Eq. (42) acting on $|n\rangle$ is ~ \sqrt{Bn} , which implies that the high-frequency expansion is expected to give a good approximation for the Landau levels with small *n* when the field amplitude *B* is small compared with $B_0 = \Omega^2 = 4\pi^2/l_0^2$, as numerically demonstrated above. We discuss the breakdown of the high-frequency expansion in more detail in Sec. IV C.

IV. SYMMETRY AND ROBUSTNESS

While the time-averaged Hamiltonian in the rotating frame $\overline{H_{rot}(t)}$ captures the main feature around the Floquet Brillouin zone boundary $\varepsilon = \pm \Omega/2$, its robustness is a highly-nontrivial issue. In usual cases, the flat band state in the time-averaged Hamiltonian should be spoiled, when the perturbation (*i.e.*, the higher-order terms in the high-frequency expansion) is taken into account. Why do the doubly-degenerate zeroth Landau levels remain flat and pinned to $\varepsilon = \Omega/2$ even in the presence of perturbation? In this section, we discuss the robustness of the flat band states in terms of the symmetry.

A. Degeneracy of the Landau levels

Let us discuss the double degeneracy of the flat band in terms of symmetry. This degeneracy is actually related to a dynamical symmetry peculiar to periodically-driven systems, the time-glide symmetry. The time-glide symmetry is a symmetry under a crystallographic reflection combined with temporal translation, dictated for the present model as

$$\sigma_{y}H\left(-x,t+\frac{T}{2}\right)\sigma_{y} = H(x,t).$$
(55)

This relation represents the symmetry, in the sense that, when the Floquet state $|\psi_{\alpha}(x,t)\rangle = |u_{\alpha}(x,t)\rangle e^{-i\varepsilon_{\alpha}t}$ satisfies the timedependent Schrödinger equation, $|G_x\psi_{\alpha}(x,t)\rangle = \sigma_y |\psi_{\alpha}(-x,t + T/2)\rangle$ is also the solution with the same quasienergy ε_{α} .

The two choices of θ for the rotating frame Eq. (41), which we have identified as an origin of the degeneracy in the previous section, turns out to be closely related to the time-glide symmetry defined here. One can check that the ansatz in the rotating frame $|v_{n,\pm}\rangle$ for a given θ is mapped to $|v_{n,\mp}\rangle$ for $\pi - \theta$ under the time-glide operation.

Once the time-glide partner turns out to be linearlyindependent, which is indeed the case for the present Landau levels, the quasienergy must have a degeneracy since the partner is also the Floquet state. Note that the degeneracy here does not necessarily apply to all the Floquet states, and there may be non-degenerate Floquet states as simultaneous eigenstates of the time-glide operation.

B. Robustness of the flat band

Let us move on to the robustness of the flat band state. The robust nature turns out to be inherent to the chiral symmetry in a dynamical sense, expressed as

$$\sigma_z H(x, -t)\sigma_z = -H(x, t), \tag{56}$$

for E = 0, with which $|\Gamma \psi_{\alpha}(x,t)\rangle = \sigma_z |\psi_{\alpha}(x,-t)\rangle$ is the Floquet state with quasienergy $-\varepsilon_{\alpha}$ when $|\psi_{\alpha}(x,t)\rangle$ is the Floquet state with quasienergy ε_{α} .

While the chiral symmetry makes the quasienergy spectrum symmetric around $\varepsilon = 0$, due to the periodicity in quasienergy it must also be symmetric around $\varepsilon = \Omega/2$. $\varepsilon = \Omega/2$ as well as $\varepsilon = 0$ are the invariant quasienergy under the chiral operation when the system is periodically driven, which implies that the quasienergy must take either of these values when the Floquet state is the simultaneous eigenstate of the chiral operation Γ . Indeed, the approximate flat band state in the original frame

$$U(t)|v_0\rangle e^{i\tau\Omega t/2} = e^{i(\Omega/2)x\cos\theta} \begin{pmatrix} -i\tau\sin\frac{\Omega t}{2}e^{-i\theta/2}|0\rangle\\\cos\frac{\Omega t}{2}e^{i\theta/2}|0\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$
(57)

is the chiral eigenstate with the eigenvalue of $\Gamma = -1$. An important observation here is that the both of the doublydegenerate states with different θ have the same chirality. As any linear combination of these states is also the chiral eigenstate, the quasienergy is expected to be pinned at $\varepsilon = \Omega/2$. We can show that the chiral eigenstates are indeed stable against any perturbation V respecting the chiral symmetry Γ , as long as matrix elements of V between $\Gamma = +1$ and $\Gamma = -1$ states are negligible. We provide an elementary derivation using the Sambe space formalism [Eq. (22)] in Appendix A. Because there are no $\Gamma = +1$ state in the present energy scale of interest, the doubly-degenerate flat band with $\Gamma = -1$ is quite stable.



FIG. 4. Energy spectrum at $p_y = 0$ as a function of magnetic field amplitude *B*.

We examine the robustness of the flat band numerically in Fig. 4. Here we plot the energy spectrum at $p_y = 0$ against the field amplitude *B*. We can see that the flat band state survives up to $B \simeq 10/l_0^2$, while it finally splits in the strong field regime. This observation implies that there are hidden $\Gamma = +1$ states in the higher energy scale, which we identify in the next subsection.

C. Flat bands at higher harmonics

In this subsection, we reveal the presence of the chiral states whose spectral weight is peaked at $\omega = n\Omega/2$ with n = 3, 5, ..., by generalizing the rotating frame Eq. (41) to higher harmonics. We introduce $U_n(t)$ here based on U(t), as

$$U_n = e^{i(n\Omega/2)x\cos\theta_n} e^{-i\sigma_z\theta_n/2} e^{-i\tau(n\Omega/2)(1+\sigma_x)t},$$
(58)

with $\theta_n = \sin^{-1}[p_y/(n\Omega/2)]$. Then the Hamiltonian in the rotating frame $H_n(t) = U_n^{\dagger}(t)(H(t) - i\partial_t)U_n(t)$ is obtained as

$$H_n(t) = -\tau \frac{n\Omega}{2} + \tau [\sigma_x \cos \theta_n - (\sigma_x^+ e^{i\tau n\Omega t} + \text{H.c.}) \sin \theta_n] (-i\partial_x) + \tau [\sigma_x \sin \theta_n + (\sigma_x^+ e^{i\tau n\Omega t} + \text{H.c.}) \cos \theta_n] Bx \cos \Omega t.$$
(59)

Unlike the previous one with n = 1, the time average of the Hamiltonian for n > 1 is given just by the momentum operator,

$$\overline{H_n(t)} = -\tau \frac{n\Omega}{2} + \tau \sigma_x \cos \theta_n (-i\partial_x), \tag{60}$$

and has no magnetic field term.

As we show in Appendix B, the high-frequency expansion of Eq. (59) yields a nonuniform static magnetic field term in higher orders. Using the Brillouin-Wigner expansion [35], we obtain the effective Hamiltonian for n = 3 as

$$H_{\rm eff} = -\tau \frac{3\Omega}{2} + \tau \cos \theta_3 \left[\sigma_x (-i\partial_x) - \sigma_y B_3 x^3 \right]$$
(61)

with

$$B_3 = \frac{B^3}{32\Omega^2} (1 - 9\sin^2\theta_3) = \frac{B^3}{32\Omega^2} \left[1 - \left(\frac{2p_y}{\Omega}\right)^2 \right].$$
 (62)

Let us focus on the case $|p_y| < \Omega/2$ with $B_3 > 0$ for simplicity. We can obtain the zero-energy eigenstate as a kernel of the matrix element, as

$$|v_{3;0}\rangle \propto \int dx e^{-B_3 x^4/4} {|x\rangle \choose 0}.$$
 (63)

This is nothing but a chiral eigenstate with $\Gamma = +1$, which lead to the level splitting when hybridized with the π -Landau level $|v_0\rangle$.

In summary, the flat band state obtained as an eigenstate of $\overline{H_{rot}(t)}$ is shown to be robust due to the chiral symmetry. The robustness is assured when the perturbation mixing the chiral eigenstates with $\Gamma = +1$ and $\Gamma = -1$ is vanishingly small. We identify the hidden $\Gamma = +1$ states in the higher energy scale, which are decoupled from the flat band states with $\Gamma = -1$ in the high-frequency (weak-field) limit, but are hybridized in the strong field regime.

V. HOMODYNE HALL CURRENT

In this section, we study dynamical transport properties of the 2D Dirac electrons in two AC-fields. Generically, when two fields with frequencies Ω_1 and Ω_2 are applied to an electronic system, we expect generation of a heterodyne current with a frequency given by their difference $|\Omega_1 - \Omega_2|$. When the frequencies are equal, the output can be a DC current referred to as a homodyne current. While the standard mechanisms known for the generation of heterodyne and homodyne currents are perturbative, here we find a DC homodyne current as a nonperturbative effect. To be specific, we consider the current generated by the two fields

$$A_y = B\cos(\Omega t)x$$
 and $A_x = \frac{eE}{\Omega}\sin(\Omega t),$ (64)

where their effects on the spectral properties have been discussed in the previous sections. The combination of the two fields breaks time-reversal symmetry, and we guess that there is a current generated by the fields. In particular, we expect a DC-current flowing perpendicular to the *E*-field which we refer to as the homodyne Hall current I_y in 2D Dirac electron.

Here, we evaluate the DC-current flowing in the system assuming that the system is weakly coupled to a local fermion



FIG. 5. (a,b) DC Hall current as a function of AC electric field amplitude E_0 for $B = 2\hbar/el_0^2$ (a) and $\mu = 0.05\hbar\Omega$ (b). (c) DC Hall current as a function of chemical potential for $E = 0.94\hbar\Omega/el_0$.

bath. In this case, the DC-current of the α -th Floquet eigenstate is given as the group velocity $j_y^{\alpha} = -\partial_{p_y} \varepsilon_{\alpha}$, and their occupation f_{α} is described in Eq. (31). Thus the total DC-current is given by $I_y = \int \frac{dp_y}{2\pi} \sum_{\alpha} j_y^{\alpha} f_{\alpha}$ (See details for Appendix C). We show the numerical result of the homodyne Hall cur-

We show the numerical result of the homodyne Hall current for the 2D Dirac fermion in Fig. 5 for several values of chemical potential μ and magnetic field parameter *B*. Here, we have assumed that the system is charge-neutral and has vanishing current at $\mu = 0$. We integrate the current contribution in $p_y \in [-\Omega/2, \Omega/2]$. As the field amplitude is increased, the Hall current is initially proportional to the ac-electric field strength *E* and then saturates to a value that is dependent on the chemical potential μ but not on the ac-magnetic field *B* [See Figs. 5(a,b)]. In fact, as plotted in Fig. 5(c), we observe that the saturated value of the homodyne Hall current is well represented by

$$I_y = -\frac{e}{h}\mu C \tag{65}$$

with $C \sim 1$, per valley and spin (in the current calculation the spin degeneracy is unity). This result is very different from nonrelativistic electrons with parabolic bands [24] where the homodyne Hall effect is proportional to the strength of the applied ac-field E. How can we understand this exotic behavior? Why is the current generated by the two fields no longer depend on B nor E? This anomalous behavior of the homodyne Hall current can be related to the current in 3D Weyl fermions in magnetic fields [Eq. (19)]. Indeed, the Floquet effective Hamiltonian that governs the coarse-grained dynamics given in Eq. (38) is analogous to the 3D Weyl fermion in magnetic fields projected to zero transverse momentum $p_z = 0$ and the tilted Floquet spectrum [see Figs. 1(d) and 2(c)] corresponds to the chiral Landau level. There are two chiral bands at zero energy for the two valleys and are both nondegenerate. Since the chiral current (per valley and spin) in the 3D Weyl fermion is given by $I = L^2 B \mu e^2 / h^2$ and carried by the chiral band with degeneracy $D = L^2 Be/h$, we can deduce the anomalous current for nondegenerate chiral band as $I/D = \mu e/h$, which agrees with Eq. (65).

An interesting point here is that the chiral bands for two valleys have the same chirality and are both right movers, with which we expect that the cancellation of the current known in the 3D Weyl fermion can be circumvented in the present case. In order to confirm this, we numerically computed the DC Hall current for the lattice model Eq. (34) with two valleys. We plot the chemical potential dependence of the Hall current for $E = 0.05\Omega/a$ in Fig. 6(a). Although the slope of the current *C* is slightly degraded, the DC Hall current survives even if we add up the contribution of two valleys as well as that of other bands and edges. We further investigate the origin of the Hall current in Figs. 6(b) and (c), where we compute the Hall current resolved in position *x* and momentum p_y . For clarity, here we show the difference between current at $\mu = 0.048\Omega$ and that at $\mu = 0$. As shown in Figs. 6(b), the current profile has sharp peaks at the momentum corresponding to K and K', where the chiral bands are located. These peaks are spatially localized and centered at x = 0, and has dominant contribution, as seen in the momentum-integrated value displayed in Figs. 6(c).

Finally, let us explain how to convert the parameters used in the calculation to experimental values. In this paper, the field strength are scaled using the parameter $l_0 = 2\pi v_F/\Omega$ that has the dimension of length. For example, the AC-magnetic field *B* and AC-electric field *E* uses the unit of (\hbar/el_0^2) and $(\hbar\Omega/el_0)$ respectively. The calculation for the lattice model in Fig. 2 employs $\hbar\Omega = 0.6t_0$, which results in preparing *B* in units of $\hbar/el_0^2 \sim 100$ T and *E* in units of $\hbar\Omega/el_0 \sim 8$ MV/cm obtained by $l_0 \sim 2$ nm with $t_0 \sim 3$ eV and $v_F \sim c/300$ for graphene. If we are to scale down the frequency of the AC-fields, then the field strengths *B* and *E* scale down quadratically $\propto \Omega^2$. For example, if we go down to the THz regime $\hbar\Omega \sim 4$ meV which is 500 times smaller than the above parameter sets, the fields become 500^2 times smaller, *i.e.* $B \sim 6 \times 10^{-4}$ T and $E \sim 40$ V/cm with $l_0 \sim 1$ µm.

If we are interested in observing the chiral anomalyinduced homodyne current, the sample should be larger than the magnetic length of the chiral band. The effective magnetic field for the chiral band is given by $B_{\text{eff}} = (ev_F/\hbar)BE/\Omega^2 \sim$ $(2\pi)^{-1}\hbar/el_0^2$ resulting in the magnetic length $l_B = \sqrt{\hbar/eB_{\text{eff}}} \sim$ $\sqrt{2\pi}l_0$ using the above unit field strengths B, E. For the THz case, the magnetic length becomes $l_B \sim 3 \,\mu\text{m}$. The magnitude of the homodyne current with $\mu \sim 0.05\hbar\Omega$ computed in Fig. 5 in the THz case is translated into $I_y \sim 8 \,\text{nA}$, which may be experimentally detectable.



FIG. 6. (a) Hall current in honeycomb lattice model for $E = 0.05\hbar\Omega/ea$, as a function of chemical potential μ . (b) Current resolved in spatial position *x* and momentum p_y , for $\mu = 0.048\hbar\Omega$. (c) Spatial profile of the Hall current for $\mu = 0.048\hbar\Omega$ [*i.e.*, momentum integration of (b)].

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have investigated the spectral and transport properties of the 2D Dirac electrons in the presence of the AC-magnetic field. We find that the doubly-degenerate flat bands (π -Landau levels) appear at $\omega = \pm \Omega/2$ under the AC-magnetic field, and the nondegenerate chiral band appears when we additionally apply an AC-electric field with the same frequency.

To describe these characteristic eigenstates, we construct the effective Floquet Hamiltonian. After an appropriate unitary transformation, the π -Landau level turns out to be described as the chiral Landau level. The obtained wave function can be viewed as a resonant state between the electron and hole branches of the Landau levels, where the transition between two branches is synchronized with the sign change of the magnetic field. Due to the chiral nature of the π -Landau level, the flat dispersion at $\omega = \Omega/2$ is shown to be robust against perturbation, although the flatness is broken down when the applied magnetic field becomes strong and the π -Landau levels hybridize with the high-energy states.

The chiral band under the additional AC electric field is obtained by the high-frequency expansion, where the resultant effective Hamiltonian can be viewed as the 3D Weyl fermion in a static magnetic field, projected onto the $p_z = 0$ plane. An interesting point here is that the static magnetic field in the effective Hamiltonian is chirality-dependent, and the group velocity of the chiral bands have the same sign for two valleys. This implies that, unlike the 3D Weyl fermions, the anomalous current associated with the chiral bands does not cancel out. We demonstrate that the homodyne Hall current under the AC magnetic and electric fields (*B* and *E*) is proportional to the chemical potential, and saturates to a value independent of *B* and *E*. The prefactor to the Hall current is consistent with the anomalous contribution estimated with the equilibrium distribution function.

Recently, the role of quantum geometry in flat band systems is intensively studied in fractional quantum Hall effect [36, 37] and superconductivity [38]. The geometric characterization of the present system which hosts a new type of flat bands is an interesting future problem. To do so, we need to extend the concept of quantum geometry to dynamical processes, and there has already been an interesting work [39] in this direction. We hope our findings will provide another solid example that will guide us to understand the dynamical extension of quantum geometry.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors appreciate the fruitful discussions with K. Saha, T. Nag, A. Mitra, K. Richter, A. Cavalleri, and H. Hirori. This work is supported by JSPS KAKENHI (No. 23H04865, No.23K22487, No.23K25837, No. 23K25816), MEXT, Japan, and JST CREST Grant No. JPMJCR19T3, Japan.

Appendix A: Robustness of chiral eigenstates

In this appendix, we show that the eigenstates of the chiral operator Γ is robust against perturbation that mixes them with other eigenstates. First, let us consider a static system with chiral symmetry, $\{H, \Gamma\} = 0$, $\Gamma^2 = 1$. We define the energy eigenstates as $|\chi_i^{\sigma}\rangle$ and $|\xi_i^{\sigma}\rangle$ with $\sigma = \pm 1$, where $|\chi_i^{\sigma}\rangle$ denotes chiral eigenstates satisfying

$$H|\chi_i^{\sigma}\rangle = 0, \quad \Gamma|\chi_i^{\sigma}\rangle = \sigma|\chi_i^{\sigma}\rangle, \tag{A1}$$

while $|\xi_i^{\sigma}\rangle$ denotes usual eigenstates satisfying

$$H|\xi_i^{\sigma}\rangle = \sigma E_i|\xi_i^{\sigma}\rangle, \quad \Gamma|\xi_i^{\sigma}\rangle = |\xi_i^{-\sigma}\rangle. \tag{A2}$$

Let us consider a perturbation respecting the chiral symmetry, denoted as V. The symmetry relation $\{V, \Gamma\} = 0$ leads to constraints on the matrix elements,

$$\langle \chi_i^{\sigma} | V | \chi_i^{\sigma} \rangle = 0, \tag{A3}$$

$$\langle \xi_i^{-\sigma} | V | \chi_i^{\sigma} \rangle = -\sigma \langle \xi_i^{\sigma} | V | \chi_i^{\sigma} \rangle, \tag{A4}$$

$$\langle \xi_i^{\sigma} | V | \xi_i^{\sigma'} \rangle = -\langle \xi_i^{-\sigma} | V | \xi_i^{-\sigma'} \rangle. \tag{A5}$$

Under these constraint, we can decompose the perturbation V into

$$V = V_{\chi} + V_{\xi} + \sum_{j\sigma} V_{j\sigma}, \qquad (A6)$$

where V_{χ} has nonzero matrix elements only for $\langle \chi_i^{\sigma} | V_{\chi} | \chi_j^{-\sigma} \rangle$, and V_{ξ} does only for $\langle \xi_i^{\sigma} | V | \xi_j^{\sigma'} \rangle$. The last term mixing χ and ξ is composed of

$$V_{j\sigma} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{ij\sigma} (|\xi_{j}^{\sigma}\rangle - \sigma|\xi_{j}^{-\sigma}\rangle) \langle \chi_{i}^{\sigma}| + \text{H.c.}$$
(A7)

Evidently, V_{χ} leads to a gap opening for the chiral eigenstates (thus washes out the robustness), while V_{ξ} does not affect the chiral eigenstates. A nontrivial consequence of the chiral symmetry is the robustness of the chiral eigenstate against mixing perturbation $V_{j\sigma}$. Indeed, for a fixed j and σ , we can explicitly constract a (non-orthogonal) set of new chiral eigenstates as

$$|\chi_i^{\sigma}\rangle' = |\chi_i^{\sigma}\rangle - \frac{\alpha_{ij\sigma}}{E_j}(\sigma|\xi_j^{\sigma}\rangle + |\xi_j^{-\sigma}\rangle), \tag{A8}$$

which satisfies $\Gamma |\chi_i^{\sigma}\rangle' = \sigma |\chi_i^{\sigma}\rangle'$. We can check that this state is zero-energy eigenstate of $H + V_{j\sigma}$, using the relation

$$V_{j\sigma}(\sigma|\xi_i^{\sigma}\rangle + |\xi_i^{-\sigma}\rangle) = 0, \tag{A9}$$

as

$$(H+V_{j\sigma})|\chi_i^{\sigma}\rangle' = V_{j\sigma}|\chi_i^{\sigma}\rangle - \alpha_{ij\sigma}(|\xi_j^{\sigma}\rangle - \sigma|\xi_j^{-\sigma}\rangle) = 0.$$
(A10)

Repeating this construction (with orthonormalization) for all j, σ yields the set of the chiral eigenstates for $H + \sum_{j\sigma} V_{j\sigma}$. Therefore, when V_{χ} vanishes (or negligibly small) for some reason, the existence of the chiral eigenstates are unchanged even in the presence of a perturbation.

Let us then extend the argument above to periodicallydriven systems, by formulating the symmetry relation in the Sambe space representation [see Eq. (22)]. When the system has the chiral symmetry dictated as Eq. (56), we can constract the chiral operator in the Sambe space as

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} & & & \ddots \\ & \sigma_z & & \\ & \sigma_z & & \\ & \sigma_z & O & \\ & \ddots & & & \end{pmatrix},$$
(A11)

where each block stands for the Fourier components of realtime operators (tensor product with the identity operator in the *x* space is omitted here). The nonlocal transformation from *t* to -t is easily handled and expressed with the antidiagonal structure here, which is an advantage of the Sambe space formalism. This operator obeys the chiral symmetry relation { \mathcal{H}, Γ } = 0, Γ^2 = 1, as in usual static systems, where \mathcal{H} is the matrix in the left-hand side of Eq. (22).

We can apply the argument above to Eq. (22), and show that zero-energy eigenstates in the Sambe space is robust against the perturbation of the form Eq. (A7). Here, note that the eigenstates with eigenenergy $\pm \Omega/2, \pm \Omega, \pm 3\Omega/2, \ldots$ are not described as the eigenstates of Γ in the Sambe space, while they should be invariant under the chiral operation in the realtime representation. We can capture the chiral nature of such eigenstates by additional symmetry relations dictated as

$$\left\{\mathcal{H} - \frac{N\Omega}{2}, (M^{-})^{N}\Gamma\right\} = 0, \quad \left[(M^{-})^{N}\Gamma\right]^{2} = 1, \qquad (A12)$$

where M^- is the Sambe space representation of $e^{i\Omega t}$, expressed as

$$M^{-} = \begin{pmatrix} \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & O & I & & \\ & & O & I & \\ & & & O & \ddots \\ & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$
(A13)

with *I* being the identity operator in *x* and σ . Since a general time-periodic perturbation satisfies $[V, M^-] = 0$, $\{V, (M^-)^N \Gamma\} = 0$ always holds when $\{V, \Gamma\} = 0$. Namely, we can apply the argument above to the additional symmetries $(M^-)^N \Gamma$ as well, and the eigenstates of \mathcal{H} with $\varepsilon = N\Omega/2$ (*i.e.*, the zero-energy eigenstates of $\mathcal{H} - N\Omega/2$) are also shown to be robust against perturbation. In particular, N = 1 describes nontrivial chiral eigenstates with $\varepsilon \neq 0 \mod \Omega$, which corresponds to the π -Landau level in the present study.

Appendix B: Derivation of the effective Hamiltonian for higher harmonic flat bands

In this appendix, we perform the high-frequency expansion of Eq. (59). For the present case with general *n*, the Brillouin-Wigner theory is suitable for deriving the static effective Hamiltonian. In the multi-root formalism of the Brillouin-Wigner expansion [35], the *N*-th order term with respect to $1/\Omega$ is given by [see Eq. (14) of Ref. 35]

$$H_{\rm BW}^{(N)} = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N \neq 0} \frac{H_{0, n_1}(\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} H_{n_j, n_{j+1}}) H_{n_N, 0}}{\prod_{j=1}^N (\varepsilon + n_j \Omega)}, \qquad (B1)$$

where $H_{m,n} = H_{m-n}$ is the Fourier component of the Hamiltonian written as a matrix element in the Sambe space, and ε is the eigenvalue of H_{BW} that should be determined selfconsistently. Note that the constant energy shift of $O(\Omega)$ in Eq. (59) should be dropped in order to obtain $1/\Omega$ series, and then $\varepsilon = O(\Omega^0)$.

Among the terms of Eq. (B1), that with the numerator composed of even numbers of σ_x^{\pm} results in the σ_x component, which can be absorbed by an *x*-dependent phase factor [as in the momentum shift performed with V_1 in Eq. (44)] and thus irrelevant here. The static magnetic field term as a σ_y component should consist of odd numbers of σ_x^{\pm} perturbations. Since $(\sigma_x^{\pm})^2 = 0$, such the term turns out to appear first at N = n - 1, and the numerator of Eq. (B1) must be composed of $H_{\pm \tau n - \tau} \propto \sigma_x^{\mp}$ and $H_{-\tau} \propto \sigma_x$ for $(H_{BW}^{(n-1)})_{\downarrow,\uparrow}$. In this situation, the value of n_j is restricted to τj or $\tau(j - n)$, depending on the spin configuration. By rewriting the n_j summation in a 2 × 2 matrix product form, we obtain an explicit expression for the spin-flipping term as

$$H_{\rm BW}^{(n-1)} = \left(\frac{\tau Bx}{2}\right)^n \left\{ \left(\sin \theta_n & \cos \theta_n \\ \cos \theta_n & -\sin \theta_n \right) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left[\left(\varepsilon + \tau (j-n)\Omega & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon + \tau j\Omega \right)^{-1} \left(\sin \theta_n & \cos \theta_n \\ \cos \theta_n & -\sin \theta_n \right) \right] \right\}_{\downarrow,\uparrow} \sigma_x^- + \text{H.c.}$$
(B2)

In the leading-order evaluation with respect to $1/\Omega$, we can drop ε in the energy denominator. Then we obtain Eq. (62) for n = 3. For general n, we can simplify the matrix product above when $\theta_n = 0$ ($p_y = 0$). For this case, we can show that the above term vanishes for even n, while for odd n with n = 2k + 1 we obtain

$$H_{\rm BW} \simeq -\tau \frac{n\Omega}{2} + \tau \sigma_x (-i\partial_x) + (-1)^k \tau \sigma_y \frac{2\Omega}{(k!)^2} \left(\frac{Bx}{4\Omega}\right)^n.$$
(B3)

as an effective Hamiltonian of Eq. (59). The zero-energy state can be obtained as a kernel of $(H_{BW})_{\uparrow,\downarrow}$ for even $k [(H_{BW})_{\downarrow,\uparrow}$ for odd k], which implies that it is a chiral eigenstate with $\Gamma = (-1)^{k+1}$.

Appendix C: Derivation of DC current in the steady state

Here we derive the expression for the DC current flowing in the steady state described by Eq. (30). The current expectation value I_y in terms of the nonequilibrium Green function is computed as

$$I_{y}(t) = -e \int \frac{dp_{y}}{2\pi} \operatorname{Tr}\left[-iG^{<}(t,t)\frac{\partial H(t)}{\partial p_{y}}\right]$$
(C1)

$$= -\frac{e}{\hbar} \int \frac{dp_y}{2\pi} \sum_{\alpha\beta} f_{\alpha\beta} \langle u_{\beta}(t) | \frac{\partial H(t)}{\partial p_y} | u_{\alpha}(t) \rangle e^{-i(\varepsilon_{\alpha} - \varepsilon_{\beta})t/\hbar}.$$
(C2)

- D. J. Thouless, M. Kohmoto, M. P. Nightingale, and M. den Nijs, "Quantized Hall Conductance in a Two-Dimensional Periodic Potential," Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 405 (1982).
- [2] A. H. Castro Neto, F. Guinea, N. M. R. Peres, K. S. Novoselov, and A. K. Geim, "The electronic properties of graphene," Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 109 (2009).
- [3] K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. V. Dubonos, I. V. Grigorieva, and A. A. Firsov, "Electric Field Effect in Atomically Thin Carbon Films," Science **306**, 666 (2004).
- [4] K. S. Novoselov, D. Jiang, F. Schedin, T. J. Booth, V. V. Khotkevich, S. V. Morozov, and A. K. Geim, "Two-dimensional atomic crystals," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **102**, 10451 (2005).
- [5] Y. Zhang, Y.-W. Tan, H. L. Stormer, and P. Kim, "Experimental observation of the quantum Hall effect and Berry's phase in graphene," Nature 438, 201 (2005).
- [6] S. Murakami, "Phase transition between the quantum spin Hall and insulator phases in 3D: emergence of a topological gapless phase," New J. Phys. 9, 356 (2007).
- [7] A. A. Burkov and L. Balents, "Weyl Semimetal in a Topological Insulator Multilayer," Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 127205 (2011).

Since the expression is expanded in terms of Floquet states satisfying $i\hbar\partial_t[|u_\alpha(t)\rangle e^{-i\varepsilon_\alpha t/\hbar}] = H(t)|u_\alpha(t)\rangle e^{-i\varepsilon_\alpha t/\hbar}$, we can rewrite the above expression as

$$I_{y}(t) = -\frac{e}{\hbar} \int \frac{dp_{y}}{2\pi} \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha\alpha} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\alpha}}{\partial p_{y}} - ie \int \frac{dp_{y}}{2\pi} \sum_{\alpha\beta} f_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\langle u_{\beta}(t) | \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{y}} | u_{\alpha}(t) \rangle e^{-i(\varepsilon_{\alpha} - \varepsilon_{\beta})t/\hbar} \right].$$
(C3)

Since $f_{\alpha\beta}$ given in Eq. (31) is time-independent in the $\Gamma \rightarrow 0^+$ limit, the second term vanishes in time average. Thus the total DC current is given by

$$\overline{I_y(t)} = -\frac{e}{\hbar} \int \frac{dp_y}{2\pi} \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha\alpha} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\alpha}}{\partial p_y}.$$
 (C4)

- [8] N. P. Armitage, E. J. Mele, and A. Vishwanath, "Weyl and Dirac semimetals in three-dimensional solids," Rev. Mod. Phys. 90, 015001 (2018).
- [9] B. Yan and C. Felser, "Topological Materials: Weyl Semimetals," Ann. Rev. Cond. Mat. Phys. 8, 337 (2017).
- [10] A. Burkov, "Weyl Metals," Ann. Rev. Cond. Mat. Phys. 9, 359 (2018).
- [11] H. Nielsen and M. Ninomiya, "Absence of neutrinos on a lattice: (I). Proof by homotopy theory," Nucl. Phys. B 185, 20 (1981).
- [12] M. N. Chernodub, Y. Ferreiros, A. G. Grushin, K. Landsteiner, and M. A. Vozmediano, "Thermal transport, geometry, and anomalies," Phys. Rep. 977, 1 (2022).
- [13] V. P. Gusynin, V. A. Miransky, and I. A. Shovkovy, "Catalysis of Dynamical Flavor Symmetry Breaking by a Magnetic Field in 2 + 1 Dimensions," Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 3499 (1994).
- [14] J. E. Müller, "Effect of a nonuniform magnetic field on a twodimensional electron gas in the ballistic regime," Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 385 (1992).
- [15] C.-H. Chang, J. van den Brink, and C. Ortix, "Strongly Anisotropic Ballistic Magnetoresistance in Compact Three-

Dimensional Semiconducting Nanoarchitectures," Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 227205 (2014).

- [16] M. Liu, H. Y. Hwang, H. Tao, A. C. Strikwerda, K. Fan, G. R. Keiser, A. J. Sternbach, K. G. West, S. Kittiwatanakul, J. Lu, S. A. Wolf, F. G. Omenetto, X. Zhang, K. A. Nelson, and R. D. Averitt, "Terahertz-field-induced insulator-to-metal transition in vanadium dioxide metamaterial," Nature 487, 345 (2012).
- [17] K. Iwaszczuk, A. Andryieuski, A. Lavrinenko, X.-C. Zhang, and P. U. Jepsen, "Terahertz field enhancement to the MV/cm regime in a tapered parallel plate waveguide," Opt. Express 20, 8344 (2012).
- [18] M. Kozina, M. Pancaldi, C. Bernhard, T. van Driel, J. M. Glownia, P. Marsik, M. Radovic, C. A. F. Vaz, D. Zhu, S. Bonetti, U. Staub, and M. C. Hoffmann, "Local terahertz field enhancement for time-resolved x-ray diffraction," Appl. Phys. Lett. 110, 081106 (2017).
- [19] Y.-M. Bahk, S. Han, J. Rhie, J. Park, H. Jeon, N. Park, and D.-S. Kim, "Ultimate terahertz field enhancement of single nanoslits," Phys. Rev. B 95, 075424 (2017).
- [20] Y. Mukai, H. Hirori, T. Yamamoto, H. Kageyama, and K. Tanaka, "Antiferromagnetic resonance excitation by terahertz magnetic field resonantly enhanced with split ring resonator," Appl. Phys. Lett. **105**, 022410 (2014).
- [21] Y. Mukai, H. Hirori, T. Yamamoto, H. Kageyama, and K. Tanaka, "Nonlinear magnetization dynamics of antiferromagnetic spin resonance induced by intense terahertz magnetic field," New J. Phys. 18, 013045 (2016).
- [22] D. Polley, M. Pancaldi, M. Hudl, P. Vavassori, S. Urazhdin, and S. Bonetti, "THz-driven demagnetization with perpendicular magnetic anisotropy: towards ultrafast ballistic switching," J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **51**, 084001 (2018).
- [23] H. Qiu, T. Kurihara, H. Harada, K. Kato, K. Takano, T. Suemoto, M. Tani, N. Sarukura, M. Yoshimura, and M. Nakajima, "Enhancing terahertz magnetic near field induced by a microsplit-ring resonator with a tapered waveguide," Opt. Lett. 43, 1658 (2018).
- [24] T. Oka and L. Bucciantini, "Heterodyne Hall effect in a twodimensional electron gas," Phys. Rev. B 94, 155133 (2016).
- [25] A. Eckardt, "Colloquium: Atomic quantum gases in periodically driven optical lattices," Rev. Mod. Phys. 89, 011004 (2017).
- [26] T. Oka and S. Kitamura, "Floquet engineering of quantum materials," Ann. Rev. Cond. Mat. Phys. 10, 387 (2019).

- [27] M. S. Rudner and N. H. Lindner, "Band structure engineering and non-equilibrium dynamics in Floquet topological insulators," Nat. Rev. Phys. 2, 229 (2020).
- [28] K. I. Seetharam, C.-E. Bardyn, N. H. Lindner, M. S. Rudner, and G. Refael, "Controlled Population of Floquet-Bloch States via Coupling to Bose and Fermi Baths," Phys. Rev. X 5, 041050 (2015).
- [29] T. Morimoto and N. Nagaosa, "Topological nature of nonlinear optical effects in solids," Sci. Adv. 2, e1501524 (2016).
- [30] O. Matsyshyn, J. C. W. Song, I. S. Villadiego, and L.-k. Shi, "Fermi-Dirac staircase occupation of Floquet bands and current rectification inside the optical gap of metals: An exact approach," Phys. Rev. B 107, 195135 (2023).
- [31] F. Nathan, D. Abanin, E. Berg, N. H. Lindner, and M. S. Rudner, "Anomalous Floquet insulators," Phys. Rev. B 99, 195133 (2019).
- [32] T. Kitagawa, T. Oka, A. Brataas, L. Fu, and E. Demler, "Transport properties of nonequilibrium systems under the application of light: Photoinduced quantum Hall insulators without Landau levels," Phys. Rev. B 84, 235108 (2011).
- [33] A. Eckardt and E. Anisimovas, "High-frequency approximation for periodically driven quantum systems from a Floquet-space perspective," New J. Phys. 17, 093039 (2015).
- [34] M. Bukov, L. D'Alessio, and A. Polkovnikov, "Universal highfrequency behavior of periodically driven systems: from dynamical stabilization to Floquet engineering," Adv. Phys. 64, 139 (2015).
- [35] T. Mikami, S. Kitamura, K. Yasuda, N. Tsuji, T. Oka, and H. Aoki, "Brillouin-Wigner theory for high-frequency expansion in periodically driven systems: Application to Floquet topological insulators," Phys. Rev. B 93, 144307 (2016), Erratum: 99, 019902(E) (2019).
- [36] J. Wang, J. Cano, A. J. Millis, Z. Liu, and B. Yang, "Exact Landau Level Description of Geometry and Interaction in a Flatband," Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 246403 (2021).
- [37] P. J. Ledwith, A. Vishwanath, and D. E. Parker, "Vortexability: A unifying criterion for ideal fractional Chern insulators," Phys. Rev. B 108, 205144 (2023).
- [38] S. Peotta and P. Törmä, "Superfluidity in topologically nontrivial flat bands," Nat. Commun. 6, 8944 (2015).
- [39] I. Martin, G. Refael, and B. Halperin, "Topological Frequency Conversion in Strongly Driven Quantum Systems," Phys. Rev. X 7, 041008 (2017).