

The Game of Band or Bump

Bruce Levin

BL6@COLUMBIA.EDU

*Department of Biostatistics
Mailman School of Public Health
Columbia University
New York, NY 10032, USA*

Abstract

In this report we generalize the game of Book or Band introduced in Levin (2024) to an arbitrary playing deck with m ranks and s cards in each rank, for a total of $t=ms$ cards. Two events—a *band* or a *bump*—are defined in terms of given integers l , u , and s with $0 \leq l \leq u \leq s$, not necessarily with $l+u=s$. We derive expressions for the joint stopping time distribution and outcome (band or bump) in terms of rectangular event probabilities for central multiple hypergeometric random variables.

Keywords: Interval censoring; log-concavity; multiple hypergeometric distribution; rectangular event probabilities.

Subject Classifications: 60C05; 62E10; 62L10.

1. Introduction and joint stopping time and outcome distributions.

Suppose a generalized deck of playing cards has m ranks (or *types*) labeled $1, \dots, m$, with s cards in each rank, for a total of $t = ms$ cards. Let $X^{(n)} = (X_1^{(n)}, \dots, X_m^{(n)})$ denote the tallies of the m ranks after n cards are drawn. Given integers $0 \leq l \leq u \leq s$, not necessarily with $l+u=s$, we define a *band* as the event $[l \leq X_j^{(n)} \leq u, j=1, \dots, m]$ and we define a *bump* as the event $[\max_j \{X_j^{(n)}\} \geq u+1]$ (which obviously can occur only if $u < s$). Here we consider what we call the “Band or Bump” game, where cards are drawn sequentially from the well-shuffled deck until either a band or a bump occurs for the first time. We derive expressions for the joint stopping time and outcome (band or bump) distribution in terms of *rectangular event probabilities* for central multiple hypergeometric random variables. The new game specializes to the original game of Book or Band introduced in Levin (2024) when $l=1$ and $u=s-1$ (with $m=13$ and $s=4$), wherein a bump was called a “book” as it corresponds to having drawn all four cards in some rank. Levin (2024) shows that if a player wins \$2 when the game ends with a book but loses \$3 when the game ends in a band, then the game is approximately fair, with an expected gain of just under a nickel per game. Another pleasant version of Band or Bump employs $m=4$, $s=13$, $l=5$, $u=8$, which can be played with a standard deck of cards using suits as the $m=4$ types. In this version, though, a win of \$2 for a *band* and a loss of \$3 for a *bump* is close to fair, with an average gain of about three cents per game. Equations (5) and (10) below furnish these and other results.

Let $R_m(l, u)$ be the discrete hypercube $R_m(l, u) = \{l, \dots, u\}^m$ in m dimensions. Define the stopping times

$$N_1 = N_1(m, s, l, u) = \inf\{n \geq ml : X^{(n)} \in R_m(l, u)\},$$

$$N_2 = N_2(m, s, l, u) = \inf\{n \geq u + 1 : \max_j \{X^{(n)}\} = u + 1\},$$

and the *band or bump stopping time*,

$$N = N(m, s, l, m) = N_1 \wedge N_2.$$

Below we obtain explicit expressions for the joint probabilities $P[N = n, \text{band}] = P[N = N_1 = n]$ and $P[N = n, \text{bump}] = P[N = N_2 = n]$ in terms of general rectangular event probabilities $P_{m'}[X^{(n')} \in R']$ for suitable choices of m', n' , and R' . The generic $X^{(n')}$ has the multiple hypergeometric distribution, $X^{(n')} \sim H_{m'}(n'; s, \dots, s)$, with probability function $P[X^{(n')} = x'] = \binom{s}{x'_1} \cdots \binom{s}{x'_{m'}} / \binom{t}{n'}$ for x' in the support $\mathcal{H}_{m'}(n'; s, \dots, s) = \{x' \in \{0, \dots, s\}^{m'} : x'_1 + \cdots + x'_{m'} = n'\}$. We begin by assuming $0 < l < u < s$, after which we cover the boundary cases $l = u = 0$, $0 = l < u < s$, $u = s$, and $0 < l = u - s$.

It is clear that a band cannot occur before $n = ml$ draws and must occur no later than $n_{\max} = l + (m-1)u$ draws (by the pidgeonhole principle). Consider the tally vector $X^{(n-1)}$ just prior to the event $[N = n, \text{band}]$. All tallies must be no larger than u ; exactly one tally, say the j^{th} , must equal $l-1$, for which there are m possibilities; the remaining tallies must be at least l ; and the n^{th} card must then be of type j , for which there are $s - (l-1)$ possibilities among the $t - (n-1)$ remaining cards. Let x' denote an arbitrary vector in the discrete simplex $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(n-l; s, \dots, s)$ and let

$$X' \sim H_{m-1}(n-l; s, \dots, s). \tag{1}$$

The probabilities that $X' = (X_1^{(n-1)}, \dots, \hat{X}_j^{(n-1)}, \dots, X_m^{(n-1)}) \in R' = R_{m-1}(l, u)$ don't depend on j , so we have

$$\begin{aligned} P[N = n, \text{band}] &= m \binom{s+1-l}{t+1-n} \sum_{x' \in R'} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \binom{s}{l-1} \binom{s}{x'_j} / \binom{t}{n-1} \\ &= \left\{ m \binom{s+1-l}{t+1-n} \binom{s}{l-1} \right\} \left\{ \binom{(m-1)s}{n-l} P_{m-1, n-l}[X' \in R'] \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

But the leading term of (2) in braces is equal to

$$m \binom{s+1-l}{t+1-n} \frac{s!}{(l-1)!(s+1-l)!} / \frac{t!}{(n-1)!(t+1-n)!} = \frac{ms(s-1)!}{(l-1)!(s-l)!} / \frac{t!}{(n-1)!(t-n)!} = \binom{s-1}{l-1} / \binom{t-1}{n-1}$$

since $t = ms$, so we have

$$P[N = n, \text{band}] = \left\{ \binom{s-1}{l-1} \binom{t-s}{n-l} / \binom{t-1}{n-1} \right\} P_{m-1, n-l}[X' \in R^l]. \quad (3)$$

We recognize the leading term of (3) in braces as a univariate hypergeometric point probability,

$$P[Y_{n-1} = l-1] = \binom{s-1}{l-1} \binom{t-s}{n-l} / \binom{t-1}{n-1} = \binom{n-1}{l-1} \binom{t-n}{s-l} / \binom{t-1}{s-1}, \quad (4)$$

where $(Y_{n-1}, n-1 - Y_{n-1}) \sim H_2(n-1; s-1, t-s)$ or, equivalently, $(Y_{n-1}, s-1 - Y_{n-1}) \sim H_2(s-1; n-1, t-n)$.

Thus we may write

$$P[N = n, \text{band}] = P[Y_{n-1} = l-1] P_{m-1, n-l}[X' \in R_{m-1}(l, u)] \quad (5)$$

with X' distributed as in (1). The latter expression in (4) together with (5) yields expression (1.2) of Levin (2024) in the case $m=13$, $s=4$, $l=1$, and $u=3$.

The hypercube event probability $P_{m-1, n-l}[X' \in R_{m-1}(l, u)]$ equals zero for $n-l$ outside the set $\{(m-1)l, \dots, (m-1)u\}$, in agreement with the range for n stated above, $ml \leq n \leq l + (m-1)u = n_{\max}$. As $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(n-l; s, \dots, s)$ is non-empty for n in this range, the hypercube event probability is also positive. This range also ensures $P[Y_{n-1} = l-1] > 0$ since for that we would need $l-1 \leq \min(s-1, n-1)$, which is true since $l \leq s$ and $l < ml < mu \leq n$; and $l-1 \geq \max\{0, y_{\min}\}$ with $y_{\min} = (s-1) + (n-1) - (t-1) = n-1 - (m-1)s$, which is also true since $n-1 - (m-1)s \leq l + (m-1)u - 1 - (m-1)s = l-1 - (m-1)(s-u) \leq l-1$. It follows that $P[N = n, \text{band}] > 0$ for each $ml \leq n \leq n_{\max}$. Summing (5) over this range yields the marginal probability that the game terminates with a band,

$$P[\text{band}] = \sum_{n=ml}^{l+(m-1)u} P[Y_{n-1} = l-1] P_{m-1, n-l}[X' \in R_{m-1}(l, u)], \quad (6)$$

with X' and Y_{n-1} distributed as in (1) and (4), respectively.

Turning now to $P[N = n, \text{bump}]$, it is clear that the only observable bump events are $[\max_j \{X_j^{(n)}\} = u+1]$ and that a bump cannot occur before $n = u+1$ and must occur no later than $n_{\max} = l + (m-1)u$ just as before, this time because if $N > l + (m-1)u$, the next card must result in either a band or a bump. Consider the tally vector $X^{(n-1)}$ just prior to stopping with a bump. All tallies must be no larger than u ; at least one must be no larger than $l-1$ (else a band has occurred); and at least one must equal u . For any n and any tally-vector X , let $k(X) = \sum_{j=1}^m I[X_j = u]$ denote the number of maximum within-quota tallies, let $k'(X) = \sum_{j=1}^m I[X_j < l]$ denote the number of below-quota tallies, and

let $k''(X) = \sum_{j=1}^m I[l \leq X_j < u]$ denote the remaining within-quota tallies with $k(X) + k'(X) + k''(X) = m$.

Then just prior to $[N = n, \text{bump}]$ we must have $k = k(X^{(n-1)}) \geq 1$ and $k' = k'(X^{(n-1)}) \geq 1$, and with $k'' = m - k - k'$, there are $\binom{13}{k, k', k''}$ ways to choose the corresponding subsets of tallies. Furthermore, the rank of the n^{th} card drawn must be among the k tallies equal to u to complete the bump, for which there are $k(s-u)$ possibilities among the $t - (n-1)$ remaining cards. Now let (x', x'') denote an arbitrary vector in $\mathcal{H}_{m-k}(n_k; s, \dots, s)$ and let

$$(X', X'') \sim H_{m-k}(n_k; s, \dots, s), \text{ where} \quad (7)$$

$$n_k = n - 1 - ku. \quad (8)$$

The probability that the tallies not equal to u after $n-1$ draws, which sum to n_k , all lie in $R(k', k'') = R_{k'}(0, l-1) \times R_{k''}(l, u-1)$ doesn't depend on the particular subsets of tallies, it depends only on the *configuration* (k, k', k'') . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & P[N = n \text{ with a bump from configuration } (k, k', k'') \text{ after } n-1 \text{ draws}] \\ &= \binom{m}{k, k', k''} \binom{k(s-u)}{t+1-n} \binom{s}{u} \sum_{(x', x'') \in R(k', k'')} \prod_{j'} \binom{s}{x'_{j'}} \prod_{j''} \binom{s}{x''_{j''}} \bigg/ \binom{t}{n-1} \\ &= \left\{ \binom{m}{k, k', k''} \binom{k(s-u)}{t+1-n} \bigg/ \binom{t}{n-1} \right\} \binom{s}{u}^k \binom{t-ks}{n_k} P_{n_k} [(X', X'') \in R(k', k'')]. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The leading term of (9) in braces is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{m!}{k!k'!k''!} \binom{k(s-u)}{t+1-n} \right) \bigg/ \left\{ \frac{t!}{(n-1)!(t+1-n)!} \right\} = \left(\frac{m!}{k!(m-k)!} \frac{(m-k)!}{k'!k''!} \binom{k(s-u)}{n} \right) \bigg/ \left\{ \frac{t!}{n!(t-n)!} \right\} \\ &= \binom{m}{k} \frac{k(s-u)}{n \binom{t}{n}} \binom{m-k}{k''}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, summing over all configurations (k, k', k'') , we find

$$P[N = n, \text{bump}] = \sum_k \left\{ \binom{m}{k} \frac{k(s-u)}{n \binom{t}{n}} \right\} \binom{s}{u}^k \binom{t-ks}{n_k} \sum_{k''} \binom{m-k}{k''} P_{m-k, n_k} [(X', X'') \in R(k', k'')] \quad (10)$$

with (X', X'') distributed as in (7). We specify the ranges of n , k , and k'' that are necessary to yield positive summands in (10) as follows.

As mentioned above, n must satisfy

$$u + 1 \leq n \leq n_{\max} = l + (m-1)u \quad (11)$$

For the outer summation, k must satisfy three constraints. First, $k \geq 1$. Second, no matter what values k' or k'' may take, we must have $n_k \leq k'(l-1) + k''(u-1)$, else no $(X'^{(n-1)}, X''^{(n-1)})$ could satisfy

$$\sum_{j'} X_j'^{(n-1)} + \sum_{j''} X_j''^{(n-1)} = n_k. \text{ But since } k' = m - k - k'' \text{ must be no less than 1, we have } k'' \leq m - k - 1, \text{ so}$$

$$n - 1 - ku = n_k \leq (m - k - k'')(l - 1) + k''(u - 1) = (m - k)(l - 1) + k''(u - l) \leq (m - k)(l - 1) + (m - k - 1)(u - l),$$

which implies $k \geq n - \{l + (m-1)(u-1)\} = n - \{n_{\max} - (m-1)\}$. Third, we must have $n-1 \geq ku$, so that

$$k \leq \lfloor (n-1)/u \rfloor. \text{ Therefore, we may restrict the range of } k \text{ in the outer summation of (10) to}$$

$$\max\{1, n - \{l + (m-1)(u-1)\}\} \leq k \leq \lfloor (n-1)/u \rfloor. \quad (12)$$

For the inner summation, for any given n and k satisfying (11) and (12), respectively, k'' must satisfy two constraints in addition to $k'' \geq 0$ and $k'' \leq m - k - 1$ as already indicated. Note that we must

have $n-1 \leq ku + k'(l-1) + k''(u-1)$, else no $X^{(n-1)}$ could satisfy $\sum_{j=1}^m X_j^{(n-1)} = n-1$. This requires that

$$n_k = n - 1 - ku \leq (m - k - k'')(l - 1) + k''(u - 1) = (m - k)(l - 1) + k''(u - l). \text{ Also, because } x_{j''}'' \geq l \text{ for}$$

$(x', x'') \in R(k', k'')$, we must have $n_k \geq \sum_{j''} X_j''^{(n-1)} \geq k''l$, so that $k'' \leq \lfloor n_k / l \rfloor$. Therefore, we may restrict

the range of k'' in the inner summation of (10) to

$$\max\left\{0, \left\lceil \frac{n_k - (m-k)(l-1)}{u-l} \right\rceil\right\} \leq k'' \leq \min\{\lfloor n_k / l \rfloor, m - k - 1\}. \quad (13)$$

In the case $m=13$, $s=4$, $l=1$, $u=3$ of the Book or Band game, $R(k', k'') = R_{k'}(0,0) \times R_{k''}(1,2) = \{0\}^{k'} \times \{1,2\}^{k''}$, $\prod_{j'} \binom{4}{0} = 1$ in the first line of (9), and we may renormalize

the sum of terms over only k'' by $\binom{4k''}{n_k}$ instead of over both k' and k'' by $\binom{t-ks}{n_k}$, in which case (10)

becomes

$$P[N = n, \text{bump}] = \sum_k \binom{13}{k} \left\{ \frac{k4^k}{n \binom{52}{n}} \right\} \sum_{k''} \binom{13-k}{k''} \binom{4k''}{n_k} P_{k'', n_k} [X'' \in \{1,2\}^{k''}],$$

which is equivalent to equation (1.3) of Levin (2024). From (12), k ranges from $\max\{1, n-25\}$ to $\lfloor (n-1)/3 \rfloor$ and from (13), k'' ranges from $\lceil n_k / 2 \rceil$ to $\min\{n_k, 12 - k\}$. When $k'' = 0$, we have $n_k = 0$

and (1.3) simplifies to the sum over k of terms $\binom{13}{k} \frac{k4^k}{n \binom{52}{n}}$. When $k''=1$, we have $n_k=1$ or 2 and

(1.3) simplifies to a sum over k of terms $\binom{13}{k, 12-k, 1} \frac{k4^k \binom{4}{n_k}}{n \binom{52}{n}} = \frac{13 \binom{12}{k} k4^k \binom{4}{n_k}}{n \binom{52}{n}}$ since

$$P_{1,n_k}[X'' \in \{1,2\}] = 1.$$

Remark 1. We derived the constraints in (13) on k'' as necessary for the summands in (10) to be positive. This leaves open the non-obvious question of whether (11) and (12) are also sufficient to guarantee that (13) produces a *non-vacuous* range for k'' with positive summands in (10). We show this is the case in the Appendix. \square

We conclude the derivation by providing expressions for $P[N=n, \text{band}]$ and $P[N=n, \text{bump}]$ in the boundary cases of l and u not previously considered.

(a) $l=u=0$. Only a bump can occur, at $N=1$ with probability 1.

(b) $0=l < u$. Only a band can occur, at $N=1$ with probability 1.

(c) $u=s$. Only a band can occur, at time $N_l = \inf\{n \geq ml : \min_j \{X_j^{(n)}\} = l\}$. This is a coupon collector's problem with a uniform but finite population of coupons and a minimum demand of l coupons per type. Because $P[N_l \leq n] = P[X^{(n)} \in R_m(l, s)]$, we have, with $X^{(n)} \sim H_m(n; s, \dots, s)$,

$$P[N=n, \text{band}] = P[N_l = n] = P[X^{(n)} \in R_m(l, s)] - P[X^{(n-1)} \in R_m(l, s)].$$

(d) $0 < l = u < s$. A band can only occur at time $n_{\max} = l + (m-1)u = mu$, though bumps can occur sooner. Let $N_u = \inf\{n \geq u+1 : \max_j \{X_j^{(n)}\} > u\}$, for which $P[N_u > n] = P[X^{(n)} \in R_m(0, u)]$. For any $n \leq mu$, $X^{(n-1)} \in [N_u > n-1]$ means no bump has occurred by time $n-1$, so $X_j^{(n)} \leq u$ for each j , and if $X^{(n)} \notin [N_u > n]$ as well, then $X_j^{(n)} = u+1$ for some j for the first time. Thus

$$P[N=n, \text{bump}] = P[X^{(n-1)} \in R_m(0, u)] - P[X^{(n)} \in R_m(0, u)]$$

(and $P[N=n, \text{band}] = 0$ for $n < mu$). For $n = n_{\max}$, $X^{(n)} \in [N_u > n-1]$ implies $X^{(n-1)}$ is some permutation of $(u, \dots, u, u-1)$, so the next card must result in either a band or a bump. Thus $P[N_u > n_{\max} - 1] = P[N = n_{\max}] = P[N = n_{\max}, \text{band}] + P[N = n_{\max}, \text{bump}]$, whence

$$P[N = n_{\max}, \text{band}] = P[N_u > n_{\max} - 1] - P[N = n_{\max}, \text{bump}] = P[X^{(n_{\max})} \in R_m(0, u)].$$

Remark 2. A non-negative sequence $\{p_n : n = 0, \dots, t\}$ is called (discrete) *log-concave* if $p_{n+1}p_{n-1} \leq p_n^2$ for $0 < n < t$ and the support $\{n : p_n > 0\}$ consists of consecutive integers. Levin (2024) proves that for any m -vector $s = (s_1, \dots, s_m)$ of positive integers, any integer vectors $l = (l_1, \dots, l_m)$ and $u = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$ with $0 \leq l_j \leq u_j \leq s_j$, and multiple hypergeometric $X^{(n)} \sim H_m(n; s_1, \dots, s_m)$, the sequence $\{P[X^{(n)} \in R_m(l, u)] : n = 0, \dots, t\}$ is *log-concave* in n , where $R_m(l, u) = \{l_1, \dots, u_1\} \times \dots \times \{l_m, \dots, u_m\}$ are arbitrary m -dimensional rectangles. It follows that the sequence $\{P[N = n, \text{band}] : n = ml, \dots, n_{\max}\}$ in (5) is log-concave, since $P[Y_{n-1} = l-1] = \binom{s-1}{l-1} \binom{t-s}{n-l} / \binom{t-1}{n-1}$ is log-concave in n and the product of two log-concave sequences is log-concave (Saumard and Wellner, 2014). It is not clear how to give a similar argument for $\{P[N = n, \text{bump}] : n = ml, \dots, n_{\max}\}$ in (10), though we conjecture that (10) is also log-concave based on extensive numerical evidence. \square

Appendix

Here we show that constraints (11) and (12) on n and k , respectively, imply non-vacuous ranges for k'' in (13). The approach will be to identify successive domains of m, l, u, n , and k leading to non-vacuous ranges in (13) until no possible combinations remain that could render (13) vacuous. We do this in four steps. Let

$$k_L = k_L(n) = n - \{l + (m-1)(u-1)\},$$

so we may rewrite (12) as

$$\max\{1, k_L\} \leq k \leq \lfloor (n-1)/u \rfloor; \quad (\text{A.1})$$

and let

$$k_L'' = k_L''(n, k) = \frac{n_k - (m-k)(l-1)}{u-l},$$

so that we may rewrite (13) as

$$\max\{0, \lceil k_L'' \rceil\} \leq k'' \leq \min\{\lfloor n_k / l \rfloor, m-k-1\}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Step 1. (A.2) is never vacuous if $k_L'' \leq 0$, for in that case its left-hand side is 0 while its right-hand side is never less than zero, since $m-k-1 = k' + k'' - 1 \geq 0$. So we assume henceforth that $k_L'' > 0$, which is equivalent to

$$k < \frac{n-1-m(l-1)}{u-l+1} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

or equivalently,

$$k \leq \left\lceil \frac{n-1-m(l-1)}{u-l+1} \right\rceil - 1. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

In particular, if $k = m-1$, then $n-1 \leq l-1 + (m-1)u$, since k' must equal 1 with $k'' = 0$, so that $n_k = n-1 - (m-1)u \leq l-1$, in which case $k_L'' \leq 0$. So we may assume henceforth that

$$k \leq m - 2. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Step 2. We claim that

$$m - k - 1 \geq \lceil k_L'' \rceil. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

This is because the following equivalent statements show that

$$\begin{aligned} k \geq k_L & \text{ holds if and only if } m - k - 1 \geq k_L'' \\ & m - k - 1 \geq k_L'' \\ & (m - k - 1)(u - l) \geq n_k - (m - k)(l - 1) \\ & (m - 1)(u - l) - k(u - l) \geq n - 1 - ku - (m - k)(l - 1) \\ & (m - 1)(u - l) \geq n - 1 - (m - k)(l - 1) - ku + k(u - l) = n - 1 - m(l - 1) - k, \text{ or} \\ & k \geq n - 1 - m(l - 1) - (m - 1)(u - l) \\ & = n - 1 - m(l - 1) - (m - 1)\{(u - 1) - (l - 1)\} \\ & = n - 1 - m(l - 1) + (m - 1)(l - 1) - (m - 1)(u - 1) \\ & = n - \{l + (m - 1)(u - 1)\} = k_L. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $m - k - 1 \geq k_L''$ since $k \geq k_L$ under (A.1) and because $m - k - 1$ is an integer, $m - k - 1 \geq \lceil k_L'' \rceil$. \square

A consequence of (A.6) is that whenever $\lfloor n_k / l \rfloor \geq m - k - 1$, the right-hand side of (A.2) equals $m - k - 1 \geq \lceil k_L'' \rceil$, which is the left-hand side of (A.2), so (A.2) is non-vacuous in such cases. So we assume henceforth that

$$\lfloor n_k / l \rfloor < m - k - 1. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

(A.7) is equivalent to

$$n_k / l < m - k - 1 \quad (\text{A.8})$$

because (A.8) implies (A.7) and conversely, if (A.7) holds but not (A.8), then $n_k / l \geq m - k - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ implies $\lfloor n_k / l \rfloor \geq m - k - 1$, contradiction. Rearranging terms shows that (A.8) is equivalent to

$$k > \frac{n - 1 - (m - 1)l}{u - l} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

or equivalently,

$$k \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n - 1 - (m - 1)l}{u - l} \right\rfloor + 1. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Under (A.7), the right-hand side of (A.2) equals $\lfloor n_k / l \rfloor$. In particular, when $l = 1$, we have $u \geq 2$ and $k_L'' = n_k / (u - 1) = (n - 1 - ku) / (u - 1) \leq n - 1 - ku = \lfloor n_k / l \rfloor$, in which case $\lceil k_L'' \rceil \leq \lfloor n_k / l \rfloor$ and (A.2) is non-vacuous. So we assume henceforth that

$$l \geq 2. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Step 3. We note that not every $n \leq n_{\max} = l + (m-1)u$ admits of k satisfying (A.4) and (A.10), but that only means such k satisfying (A.1) have already been shown to imply non-vacuous (A.2). In fact, it is clear from (A.3) and (A.9) that if $\frac{n-1-(m-1)l}{u-l} \geq \frac{n-1-m(l-1)}{u-l+1}$ then no k can satisfy (A.4) and (A.10). This is because for any x , $\lfloor x \rfloor + 1 > x$ and $\lceil x \rceil - 1 < x$ and so

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n-1-(m-1)l}{u-l} \right\rfloor + 1 > \frac{n-1-(m-1)l}{u-l} \geq \frac{n-1-m(l-1)}{u-l+1} > \left\lceil \frac{n-1-m(l-1)}{u-l+1} \right\rceil - 1.$$

But $\frac{n-1-(m-1)l}{u-l} \geq \frac{n-1-m(l-1)}{u-l+1}$ holds if and only if $n-1 \geq (m-l)u + l(l-1)$, so we need only consider values of $n-1 < (m-l)u + l(l-1)$, i.e.,

$$n \leq n_{HI} = (m-l)u + l(l-1) = n_{\max} - (u-l)(l-1) - l. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Henceforth we assume (A.12). Smaller values of n may also not admit of k satisfying (A.4) and (A.10), but no $n > n_{HI}$ admits of such k .

Step 4. We now claim that under assumptions (A.1), (A.5), (A.11), and (A.12), for any n which admits of k satisfying (A.4) and (A.10), we have

$$\frac{n_k}{l} - k_L'' \geq 1. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

(A.13) implies (A.2) is non-vacuous, because $n_k/l = \lfloor n_k/l \rfloor + \varepsilon_1$ and $k_L'' = \lceil k_L'' \rceil - \varepsilon_2$, with $0 \leq \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 < 1$, so $\lfloor n_k/l \rfloor - \lceil k_L'' \rceil = (n_k/l) - k_L'' \geq 1 - (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2) \geq 0$. To prove the claim, first note that (A.13) holds if and only if the following equivalent statements hold.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n_k}{l} - \frac{n_k - (m-k)(l-1)}{u-l} &\geq 1 \\ n_k(u-l) - l\{n_k - (m-k)(l-1)\} &\geq l(u-l) \\ n_k(u-2l) + (m-k)l(l-1) &\geq l(u-l) \\ (n-1-ku)(u-2l) + (m-k)l(l-1) &\geq l(u-l) \\ k\{u(u-2l) + l(l-1)\} &\leq (n-1)(u-2l) + ml(l-1) - l(u-l). \end{aligned}$$

This yields the necessary and sufficient condition for (A.13), namely,

$$k\{(u-l)^2 - l\} \leq (n-1)(u-2l) + l\{m(l-1) - (u-l)\}. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

So we must demonstrate that any k satisfying (A.4) and (A.10) also satisfies (A.14). There are three cases to consider: (a) $(u-l)^2 \geq l$, $u \geq 2l$; (b) $(u-l)^2 \geq l$, $u < 2l$; and (c) $(u-l)^2 < l$, $u < 2l$. These are the only cases since $(u-l)^2 < l$ implies $u = u-l+l \leq (u-l)^2 + l < 2l$.

In case (a), for any given k satisfying (A.4), the right-hand side of (A.14) is least when n is the least possible value such that the right-hand side of (A.4) equals k , i.e., when $\left\lceil \frac{n-1-m(l-1)}{u-l+1} \right\rceil - 1 = k$, or equivalently, when n is one more than the greatest possible value such that $\left\lfloor \frac{n-1-m(l-1)}{u-l+1} \right\rfloor - 1 = k-1$, which occurs when $n-1 = m(l-1) + k(u-l+1)$. Thus (A.14) is least for $n-1 = 1 + m(l-1) + k(u-l+1)$, in which case (A.14) becomes

$$k\{(u-l)^2 - l\} \leq \{1 + m(l-1) + k(u-l+1)\}(u-2l) + l\{m(l-1) - (u-l)\}. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Collecting terms in k on the left, the coefficient of k is $(u-l)^2 - l - (u-l+1)(u-2l)$

$$= (u-l)^2 - l - (u-l+1)(u-l-l) = (u-l)^2 - l - (u-l)^2 + l(u-l) - (u-l) + l = (u-l)(l-1).$$

On the right, we have $\{1 + m(l-1)\}(u-2l) + l\{m(l-1) - (u-l)\}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= m\{(l-1)(u-2l) + l(l-1)\} + (u-2l) - l(u-l) = m\{(l-1)(u-l-l) + l(l-1)\} + (u-l-l) - l(u-l) \\ &= m(u-l)(l-1) - (u-l)(l-1) - l. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, with $l > 1$ under (A.11), the condition on k in (A.14) becomes $k \leq m-1 - \frac{l}{(u-l)(l-1)}$ and any k satisfying that condition would also satisfy (A.14) for any larger value of n yielding the same value of k on the right-hand side of (A.4). But since $u-l \geq l$ in case (a), we have $0 < l/\{(u-l)(l-1)\} < 1$, so the condition becomes $k \leq m-2$, which holds by (A.5).

In case (b), $(u-l)^2 \geq l$, $u < 2l$, for any given k satisfying (A.4), the right-hand side of (A.14) is least when n is the greatest possible value such that the right-hand side of (A.4) equals k . This occurs when $n-1$ is $u-l$ more than the previously identified least value. Then the coefficient of k on the left-hand side (A.15) remains the same while the right-hand side has the additional term $(u-l)(u-2l)$, so the condition on k in (A.14) becomes $k \leq m-1 - \left\{ \frac{l + (u-l)(2l-u)}{(u-l)(l-1)} \right\}$. Now the term in braces is no greater than 2, since that is true if and only if $l \leq 2(u-l)(l-1) - (u-l)(2l-u) = (u-l)(u-2)$, while $l \geq 2$ implies $(u-l)(u-2) \geq (u-l)^2 \geq l$. Therefore it will suffice to show in this case that $k \leq m-3$ for any k satisfying (A.4). But under (A.12), the greatest possible value on the right-hand side of (A.4) occurs at $n = n_{HI}$ with value $m-l-1$. This is because at $n = n_{HI} = u(m-l) + l(l-1)$,

$$\frac{n_{HI} - 1 - m(l-1)}{u-l+1} = \frac{u(m-l) + l(l-1) - 1 - m(l-1)}{u-l+1} = \frac{u(m-l) - (l-1)(m-l) - 1}{u-l+1} = m-l - \frac{1}{u-l+1},$$

so $\left\lceil \frac{n_{HI} - 1 - m(l-1)}{u-l+1} \right\rceil - 1 = m-l-1$. Thus, since $l \geq 2$, k in (A.4) can be no greater than $m-3$.

In case (c), $(u-l)^2 < l$, $u < 2l$, necessary and sufficient condition (A.14) becomes

$$k \geq \frac{(n-1)(2l-u) - l\{m(l-1) - (u-l)\}}{l - (u-l)^2}.$$

Letting $d = u-l \geq 1$ with $2l-u = l-d > 0$ and $l - (u-l)^2 = l-d^2 > 0$, the condition becomes

$$k \geq \frac{(n-1)(l-d) - l\{m(l-1) - d\}}{l-d^2}. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

But any k satisfying (A.10) exceeds $\{n-1-l(m-1)\}/(u-l) = \{n-1-l(m-1)\}/d$, so it suffices to show for such k that

$$\frac{n-1-l(m-1)}{d} \geq \frac{(n-1)(l-d) - l\{m(l-1) - d\}}{l-d^2}.$$

Rearranging terms, we are to show the equivalent inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} (n-1)\left\{\frac{l-d}{l-d^2} - \frac{1}{d}\right\} &\geq \frac{ml(l-1)(n-1) - ld - l(m-1)}{l-d^2} \\ (n-1)\{d(l-d) - l + d^2\} &\leq d\{ml(l-1) - ld\} - (m-1)l(l-d^2) \\ (n-1)(d-1) &\leq d\{m(l-1) - d\} - (m-1)(l-d^2) \\ &= m(d^2 + dl - d - l) + l - 2d^2 \\ &= m(d+l)(d-1) + l - 2d^2 = mu(d-1) + l - 2d^2. \end{aligned}$$

When $d=1$, this is obvious as $l \geq 2$ by (A.11). When $d > 1$ we are to show that

$$n-1 \leq mu + \frac{l-2d^2}{d-1}.$$

Now by (A.12), $n \leq n_{HI} = (m-l)u + l(l-1) = mu - l(u-l+1) = mu - l(d+1)$, so it suffices to show that

$$\frac{l-2d^2}{d-1} + l(d+1) + 1 \geq 0,$$

or equivalently,

$$l - 2d^2 + l(d^2 - 1) + d - 1 = d^2(l-2) + d - 1 \geq 0,$$

which is true by (A.12) and $d \geq 1$.

This concludes the proof of (A.13) and thus (A.2) is non-vacuous for all n satisfying (11) and k satisfying (A.1)=(12). \square

References

- Levin, B. (2024). Stochastic Ordering of Multiple Hypergeometric Laws: Peakedness of Category Counts About Half the Population Category Sizes Is Symmetric Unimodal in the Sample Size. *Sequential Analysis* (in press).
- Saumard, A. and Wellner, J.A. (2014). Log-Concavity and Strong Log-Concavity: a review. *Statistics Surveys* 8:45-114.