

# On $e^*\theta$ -regular and $e^*\theta$ -normal Spaces

Burcu Sünbül Ayhan

**Abstract** The purpose of this study is to introduce a new class of regular spaces called  $e^*\theta$ -regular spaces which is a generalization of the class of  $\beta\theta$ -regular spaces [5]. Also, we investigate some basic properties and several characterizations of  $e^*\theta$ -regular and  $e^*\theta$ -normal [3] spaces. Moreover, some functions such as  $e^*\theta$ -closed function, generalized  $e^*\theta$ -closed function, generalized  $e^*\theta$ -closed function have been defined and studied. Furthermore, we obtain some preservation theorems.

## 1 Introduction

Functional analysis is the starting point for many different fields of mathematics. One of them abstracted the concept of open ball to open set and thus a topology. Hence, many of the results were generalized to a much larger setting.

Regular and normal space concepts, which has an important role in the classification of topological spaces, was introduced and studied respectively by Vietoris [25] and Tietze [23].  $e^*\theta$ -regular spaces which is a generalization of regular spaces and  $e^*\theta$ -normal spaces which has defined by Ayhan and Özkoç [3] as a generalization of regular spaces has defined via  $e^*\theta$ -open sets [10]. In recent years, many authors have studied several forms of regularity; such as  $\beta\theta$ -regularity [5], semiregularity [13], preregularity [9],  $b$ -regularity [20],  $e$ -regularity [18],  $\beta$ -regularity [17] and normality; such as  $\beta\theta$ -normality [5], seminormality [14], prenormality [21],  $e$ -normality [8],  $e^*$ -normality [8],  $e^*\theta$ -normality [3].

The main goal of this book chapter is to study the notions of  $e^*\theta$ -regular and  $e^*\theta$ -normal spaces and obtain some of their characterizations.

---

Burcu Sünbül Ayhan,  
Rectorate, Turkish-German University, Beykoz 34820, İstanbul, Turkey.  
e-mail: brcyhn@gmail.com&burcu.ayhan@tau.edu.tr

## 2 $e^*\theta$ -regular and $e^*\theta$ -normal Spaces

Regular and normal spaces are two of the most important topological properties in topological spaces. In this chapter, the concepts of  $e^*\theta$ -regular and  $e^*\theta$ -normal [3] space will be discussed.

### 2.1 Preliminaries

Throughout the manuscript,  $X$  and  $Y$  represent topological spaces. For a subset  $A$  of a space  $X$ ,  $cl(A)$  and  $int(A)$  denote the closure of  $A$  and the interior of  $A$ , respectively. The family of all closed (resp. open) sets of  $X$  is denoted by  $C(X)$  (resp.  $O(X)$ ). A subset  $A$  is said to be regular open [22] (resp. regular closed [22]) if  $A = int(cl(A))$  (resp.  $A = cl(int(A))$ ). A point  $x \in X$  is said to be  $\delta$ -cluster point [24] of  $A$  if  $int(cl(U)) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for each open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$ . The set of all  $\delta$ -cluster points of  $A$  is called the  $\delta$ -closure [24] of  $A$  and is denoted by  $cl_\delta(A)$ . If  $A = cl_\delta(A)$ , then  $A$  is called  $\delta$ -closed [24] and the complement of a  $\delta$ -closed set is called  $\delta$ -open [24]. The set  $\{x | (\exists U \in O(X, x))(int(cl(U)) \subseteq A)\}$  is called the  $\delta$ -interior of  $A$  and is denoted by  $int_\delta(A)$ .

A subset  $A$  is called semiopen [12] (resp. preopen [15],  $b$ -open [2],  $\beta$ -open [1],  $e$ -open [6],  $e^*$ -open [7]) if  $A \subseteq cl(int(A))$  (resp.  $A \subseteq int(cl(A))$ ,  $A \subseteq cl(int(A)) \cup int(cl(A))$ ,  $A \subseteq cl(int(cl(A)))$ ,  $A \subseteq cl(int_\delta(A)) \cup int(cl_\delta(A))$ ,  $A \subseteq cl(int(cl_\delta(A)))$ ). The complement of a semiopen (resp. preopen,  $b$ -open,  $\beta$ -open,  $e$ -open,  $e^*$ -open) set is called semiclosed [12] (resp. preclosed [15],  $b$ -closed [2],  $\beta$ -closed [1],  $e$ -closed [6],  $e^*$ -closed [7]). The intersection of all semiclosed (resp. preclosed,  $b$ -closed,  $\beta$ -closed,  $e$ -closed,  $e^*$ -closed) sets of  $X$  containing  $A$  is called the semi-closure [12] (resp. pre-closure [15],  $b$ -closure [2],  $\beta$ -closure [1],  $e$ -closure [6],  $e^*$ -closure [7]) of  $A$  and is denoted by  $scl(A)$  (resp.  $pcl(A)$ ,  $bcl(A)$ ,  $\beta cl(A)$ ,  $e-cl(A)$ ,  $e^*-cl(A)$ ). The union of all semiopen (resp. preopen,  $b$ -open,  $\beta$ -open,  $e$ -open,  $e^*$ -open) sets of  $X$  contained in  $A$  is called the semi-interior [12] (resp. pre-interior [15],  $b$ -interior [2],  $\beta$ -interior [1],  $e$ -interior [6],  $e^*$ -interior [7]) of  $A$  and is denoted by  $sint(A)$  (resp.  $pint(A)$ ,  $bint(A)$ ,  $\beta int(A)$ ,  $e-int(A)$ ,  $e^*-int(A)$ ).

A point  $x$  of  $X$  is called an  $e^*\theta$ -cluster ( $\beta$ - $\theta$ -cluster) point of  $A$  if  $e^*-cl(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  ( $\beta cl(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ ) for every  $e^*$ -open ( $\beta$ -open) set  $U$  containing  $x$ . The set of all  $e^*\theta$ -cluster ( $\beta$ - $\theta$ -cluster) points of  $A$  is called the  $e^*\theta$ -closure [10] ( $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closure [17]) of  $A$  and is denoted by  $e^*-cl_\theta(A)$  ( $\beta cl_\theta(A)$ ). A subset  $A$  is said to be  $e^*\theta$ -closed ( $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed) if  $A = e^*-cl_\theta(A)$  ( $A = \beta cl_\theta(A)$ ). The complement of an  $e^*\theta$ -closed ( $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed) set is called an  $e^*\theta$ -open [10] ( $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open [17]). A point  $x$  of  $X$  said to be an  $e^*\theta$ -interior [10] ( $\beta$ - $\theta$ -interior [17]) point of a subset  $A$ , denoted by  $e^*-int_\theta(A)$  ( $\beta int_\theta(A)$ ), if there exists an  $e^*$ -open ( $\beta$ -open) set  $U$  of  $X$  containing  $x$  such that  $e^*-cl(U) \subseteq A$  ( $\beta cl(U) \subseteq A$ ). A subset  $A$  is said to be  $e^*\theta$ -regular [10] (resp.  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -regular [17],  $e^*$ -regular [10]) set if it is  $e^*\theta$ -open (resp.  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open,  $e^*$ -open) and  $e^*\theta$ -closed (resp.  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed,  $e^*$ -closed).

Also it is noted in [10] that

$$e^*\text{-regular} \Rightarrow e^*\text{-}\theta\text{-open} \Rightarrow e^*\text{-open.}$$

The family of all  $e^*\text{-}\theta$ -open (resp.  $e^*\text{-}\theta$ -closed,  $e^*\text{-}\theta$ -regular,  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open,  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed,  $e^*$ -open,  $e^*$ -closed,  $e^*$ -regular, regular open, regular closed,  $\delta$ -open,  $\delta$ -closed, semi open, semiclosed, preopen, preclosed,  $b$ -open,  $b$ -closed,  $\beta$ -open,  $\beta$ -closed,  $e$ -open,  $e$ -closed) subsets of  $X$  is denoted by  $e^*\theta O(X)$  (resp.  $e^*\theta C(X)$ ,  $e^*\theta R(X)$ ,  $\beta\theta O(X)$ ,  $\beta\theta C(X)$ ,  $e^*O(X)$ ,  $e^*C(X)$ ,  $e^*R(X)$ ,  $RO(X)$ ,  $RC(X)$ ,  $\delta O(X)$ ,  $\delta C(X)$ ,  $SO(X)$ ,  $SC(X)$ ,  $PO(X)$ ,  $PC(X)$ ,  $BO(X)$ ,  $BC(X)$ ,  $\beta O(X)$ ,  $\beta C(X)$ ,  $eO(X)$ ,  $eC(X)$ ). The family of all open (resp. closed,  $e^*\text{-}\theta$ -open,  $e^*\text{-}\theta$ -closed,  $e^*\text{-}\theta$ -regular,  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open,  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed,  $e^*$ -open,  $e^*$ -closed,  $e^*$ -regular, regular open, regular closed,  $\delta$ -open,  $\delta$ -closed, semiopen, semiclosed, preopen, preclosed,  $b$ -open,  $b$ -closed,  $\beta$ -open,  $\beta$ -closed,  $e$ -open,  $e$ -closed) sets of  $X$  containing a point  $x$  of  $X$  is denoted by  $O(X, x)$  (resp.  $C(X, x)$ ,  $e^*\theta O(X, x)$ ,  $e^*\theta C(X, x)$ ,  $e^*\theta R(X, x)$ ,  $\beta\theta O(X, x)$ ,  $\beta\theta C(X, x)$ ,  $e^*O(X, x)$ ,  $e^*C(X, x)$ ,  $e^*R(X, x)$ ,  $RO(X, x)$ ,  $RC(X, x)$ ,  $\delta O(X, x)$ ,  $\delta C(X, x)$ ,  $SO(X, x)$ ,  $SC(X, x)$ ,  $PO(X, x)$ ,  $PC(X, x)$ ,  $BO(X, x)$ ,  $BC(X, x)$ ,  $\beta O(X, x)$ ,  $\beta C(X, x)$ ,  $eO(X, x)$ ,  $eC(X, x)$ ).

We shall use the well-known accepted language almost in the whole of the proofs of the theorems in this book chapter. The following basic properties of  $e^*\text{-}\theta$ -closure is useful in the sequel:

**Lemma 1** [10, 11] Let  $A$  be a subset of a space  $X$ , then the following properties hold:

- (1)  $A \subseteq e^*\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq e^*\text{-cl}_\theta(A)$ ;
- (2) If  $A \in e^*O(X)$ , then  $e^*\text{-cl}_\theta(A) = e^*\text{-cl}(A)$ ;
- (3) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $e^*\text{-cl}_\theta(A) \subseteq e^*\text{-cl}_\theta(B)$ ;
- (4)  $e^*\text{-cl}_\theta(A) \in e^*\theta C(X)$  and  $e^*\text{-cl}_\theta(e^*\text{-cl}_\theta(A)) = e^*\text{-cl}_\theta(A)$ ;
- (5) If  $A \in e^*\theta C(X)$ , then  $e^*\text{-cl}_\theta(A) = A$ ;
- (6) If  $A \in e^*\theta C(X)$ , then  $\setminus A \in e^*\theta O(X)$ ;
- (7) If  $A_\alpha \in e^*\theta C(X)$  for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , then  $\bigcap \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\} \in e^*\theta C(X)$ ;
- (8) If  $A_\alpha \in e^*\theta O(X)$  for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , then  $\bigcup \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\} \in e^*\theta O(X)$ ;
- (9)  $e^*\text{-cl}_\theta(A) = \bigcap \{U \mid (A \subseteq U)(U \in e^*\theta C(X))\}$ ;
- (10)  $e^*\text{-cl}_\theta(\setminus A) = \setminus e^*\text{-int}_\theta(A)$  and  $e^*\text{-int}_\theta(\setminus A) = \setminus e^*\text{-cl}_\theta(A)$ .

**Lemma 2** [16] For a topological space  $X$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $X$  is regular;
- (2)  $(\forall x \in X)[U \in O(X, x) \Rightarrow (\exists V \in O(X, x))(cl(V) \subseteq U)]$ .

**Definition 1** A topological space is said to be:

- a)  $p$ -regular [9] if for each closed set  $F$  of  $X$  and each point  $x \notin F$ , there exist disjoint preopen sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $F \subseteq U$  and  $x \in V$ .
- b)  $s$ -regular [13] if for each closed set  $F$  of  $X$  and each point  $x \notin F$ , there exist disjoint semiopen sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $F \subseteq U$  and  $x \in V$ .
- c)  $b$ -regular [20] if for each closed set  $F$  of  $X$  and each point  $x \notin F$ , there exist disjoint  $b$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $F \subseteq U$  and  $x \in V$ .
- d)  $\beta$ -regular [17] if for each closed set  $F$  of  $X$  and each point  $x \notin F$ , there exist disjoint  $\beta$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $F \subseteq U$  and  $x \in V$ .
- e)  $\beta\theta$ -regular [5] if for each closed set  $F$  of  $X$  and each point  $x \notin F$ , there exist disjoint  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $F \subseteq U$  and  $x \in V$ .

f)  $e$ -regular [18] if for each closed set  $F$  of  $X$  and each point  $x \notin F$ , there exist disjoint  $e$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $F \subseteq U$  and  $x \in V$ .

g)  $e^*$ -regular if for each closed set  $F$  of  $X$  and each point  $x \notin F$ , there exist disjoint  $e^*$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $F \subseteq U$  and  $x \in V$ .

## 2.2 $e^*\theta$ -regular and $(e^*, \theta)$ -regular Spaces

In this section, two new classes of regular spaces called  $e^*\theta$ -regular and  $(e^*, \theta)$ -regular spaces are introduced and studied through the concept of  $e^*\theta$ -open set defined by Farhan and Yang [10]. In addition, not only some of their characterizations, but also their relations with other existing generalized regular space types are investigated.

**Definition 2** A topological space is said to be  $e^*\theta$ -regular if for each closed set  $F$  of  $X$  and each point  $x \notin F$ , there exist disjoint  $e^*\theta$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $F \subseteq U$  and  $x \in V$ .

*Remark 1* From Definitions 1 and 2, we have following the diagram. However, none of the below implications is reversible as shown in the relevant articles and the following example.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} p\text{-regular} & \longrightarrow & e\text{-regular} & \longrightarrow & e^*\text{-regular} & \longleftarrow & e^*\theta\text{-regular} \\ & & \searrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ s\text{-regular} & \longrightarrow & b\text{-regular} & \longrightarrow & \beta\text{-regular} & \longleftarrow & \beta\theta\text{-regular} \end{array}$$

*Example 1* Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3, 4\}\}$ . It is not difficult to see  $e^*\theta O(X) = 2^X$  and  $\beta\theta O(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{2\}, \{1, 3, 4\}\}$ . Then,  $X$  is  $e^*\theta$ -regular but it is not  $\beta\theta$ -regular.

**Question:** Is there any  $e^*$ -regular topological space which is not  $e^*\theta$ -regular space?

**Theorem 1** For a topological space  $X$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $X$  is  $e^*\theta$ -regular;
- (2) For each point  $x$  in  $X$  and each open set  $U$  of  $X$  containing  $x$ , there exists  $V \in e^*\theta O(X, x)$  such that  $e^*\text{-cl}_\theta(V) \subseteq U$ ;
- (3) For each closed set  $F$  of  $X$ ,  $F = \bigcap \{e^*\text{-cl}_\theta(V) \mid (F \subseteq V)(V \in e^*\theta O(X))\}$ ;
- (4) For each subset  $A$  of  $X$  and each open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ , there exists  $V \in e^*\theta O(X)$  such that  $A \cap V \neq \emptyset$  and  $e^*\text{-cl}_\theta(V) \subseteq U$ ;
- (5) For each nonempty subset  $A$  of  $X$  and each closed set  $F$  of  $X$  such that  $A \cap F = \emptyset$ , there exist  $V, W \in e^*\theta O(X)$  such that  $A \cap V \neq \emptyset$ ,  $F \subseteq W$  and  $V \cap W = \emptyset$ .

**Proof** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $U \in O(X, x)$ .

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} U \in O(X, x) \Rightarrow (\setminus U \in C(X))(x \in U) \\ \text{Hypothesis} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow (\exists V \in e^*\theta O(X, x))(\exists W \in e^*\theta O(X))(\setminus U \subseteq W)(V \cap W = \emptyset)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow (\exists V \in e^*\theta O(X, x))(\exists W \in e^*\theta O(X))(\setminus U \subseteq W)(e^*-cl_\theta(V) \cap W = \emptyset) \\ &\Rightarrow (\exists V \in e^*\theta O(X, x))(e^*-cl_\theta(V) \subseteq U). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(2) \Rightarrow (3) : \text{Let } F \in C(X) \text{ and } x \notin F. \\ &F \in C(X) \Rightarrow F \subseteq \bigcap \{e^*-cl_\theta(V) \mid (F \subseteq V)(V \in e^*\theta O(X))\} \dots (1) \\ &(F \in C(X))(x \notin F) \Rightarrow \setminus F \in O(X, x) \left. \vphantom{(F \in C(X))} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ &\quad \text{Hypothesis} \\ &\Rightarrow (\exists U \in e^*\theta O(X, x))(U \subseteq e^*-cl_\theta(U) \subseteq \setminus F) \left. \vphantom{(\exists U \in e^*\theta O(X, x))} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 1}} \\ &\quad V := \setminus e^*-cl_\theta(U) \\ &\Rightarrow (F \subseteq V)(V \in e^*\theta O(X))(x \notin e^*-cl_\theta(V)) \\ &\Rightarrow x \notin \bigcap \{e^*-cl_\theta(V) \mid (F \subseteq V)(V \in e^*\theta O(X))\} \\ &\Rightarrow \bigcap \{e^*-cl_\theta(V) \mid (F \subseteq V)(V \in e^*\theta O(X))\} \subseteq F \dots (2) \\ &(1), (2) \Rightarrow F = \bigcap \{e^*-cl_\theta(V) \mid (F \subseteq V)(V \in e^*\theta O(X))\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(3) \Rightarrow (4) : \text{Let } A \subseteq X, U \in O(X) \text{ and } A \cap U \neq \emptyset. \\ &\quad U \in O(X) \Rightarrow \setminus U \in C(X) \left. \vphantom{U \in O(X)} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{Hypothesis}} \\ &A \cap U \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow (\exists x \in X)(x \in A \cap U) \Rightarrow x \in U \left. \vphantom{A \cap U \neq \emptyset} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow (\exists W \in e^*\theta O(X))(\setminus U \subseteq W)(x \notin e^*-cl_\theta(W)) \left. \vphantom{(\exists W \in e^*\theta O(X))} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 1}} \\ &\quad V := \setminus e^*-cl_\theta(W) \\ &\Rightarrow (V \in e^*\theta O(X))(x \in V \cap A \neq \emptyset)(e^*-cl_\theta(V) \subseteq e^*-cl_\theta(\setminus W) = \setminus W \subseteq U). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(4) \Rightarrow (5) : \text{Let } F \in C(X), A \neq \emptyset \text{ and } A \cap F = \emptyset. \\ &\quad F \in C(X) \Rightarrow \setminus F \in O(X) \left. \vphantom{F \in C(X)} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{Hypothesis}} \\ &(A \neq \emptyset)(A \cap F = \emptyset) \Rightarrow A \cap (\setminus F) \neq \emptyset \left. \vphantom{(A \neq \emptyset)} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow (\exists V \in e^*\theta O(X))(A \cap V \neq \emptyset)(e^*-cl_\theta(V) \subseteq \setminus F) \left. \vphantom{(\exists V \in e^*\theta O(X))} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ &\quad W := \setminus e^*-cl_\theta(V) \\ &\Rightarrow (V, W \in e^*\theta O(X))(A \cap V \neq \emptyset)(F \subseteq W)(V \cap W = \emptyset). \end{aligned}$$

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) : It can be easily proved. □

**Definition 3** A topological space is said to be:

- a)  $(e^*, \theta)$ -regular if for each  $e^*\theta$ -regular set  $F$  of  $X$  and each point  $x$  in  $X \setminus F$ , there exist disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $F \subseteq U$  and  $x \in V$ .
- b) Extremely  $e^*\theta$ -disconnected (briefly,  $ED^{e^*\theta}$ ) if  $e^*-cl_\theta(U)$  is  $e^*\theta$ -open in  $X$  for every  $e^*\theta$ -open set  $U$  of  $X$ .

*Remark 2* From Definitions 2 and 3, every regular and  $(e^*, \theta)$ -regular spaces are  $e^*\theta$ -regular space. The converses of these implications are not true in general as shown by the following example.

*Example 2* Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ . It is not difficult to see  $e^*\theta O(X) = e^*O(X) = 2^X \setminus \{\{c\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}\}$ . Then  $X$  is  $e^*\theta$ -regular but it is not regular and  $(e^*, \theta)$ -regular.

**Theorem 2** For a topological space  $X$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $X$  is  $(e^*, \theta)$ -regular;

(2) For each  $x$  in  $X$  and any  $e^*$ - $\theta$ -regular set  $U$  of  $X$  containing  $x$ , there exists  $V \in O(X, x)$  such that  $cl(V) \subseteq U$ .

**Proof** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) : Let  $x \in X$  and  $U \in e^*\theta R(X, x)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. \begin{aligned} (x \in X)(U \in e^*\theta R(X, x)) &\Rightarrow (\setminus U \in e^*\theta R(X))(x \in U) \\ &X \text{ is } (e^*, \theta)\text{-regular} \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \Rightarrow (\exists W \in O(X))(\exists V \in O(X, x))(\setminus U \subseteq W)(V \cap W = \emptyset) \\ & \Rightarrow (\exists W \in O(X))(\exists V \in O(X, x))(\setminus U \subseteq W)(cl(V) \cap W = \emptyset) \\ & \Rightarrow (\exists W \in O(X))(\exists V \in O(X, x))(cl(V) \subseteq \setminus W \subseteq U). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (2) \Rightarrow (1) : \text{Let } F \in e^*\theta R(X) \text{ and } x \notin F. \\ & \left. \begin{aligned} x \notin F \in e^*\theta R(X) &\Rightarrow U := \setminus F \in e^*\theta R(X, x) \\ &\text{Hypothesis} \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow (\exists V \in O(X, x))(cl(V) \subseteq U) \\ & \Rightarrow (\exists V \in O(X, x))(F = \setminus U \subseteq \setminus cl(V)) \\ & \quad \left. \begin{aligned} &W := \setminus cl(V) \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \Rightarrow (\exists V \in O(X, x))(W \in O(X))(F \subseteq W)(V \cap W = \emptyset). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3** If  $X$  is  $e^*$ - $\theta$ -regular,  $(e^*, \theta)$ -regular and  $ED^{e^*\theta}$ , then it is regular.

**Proof** Let  $x \in O(X)$  and  $x \in U$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. \begin{aligned} U \in O(X, x) \\ X \text{ is } e^*\theta\text{-regular} \end{aligned} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{Theorem 1}} (\exists V \in e^*\theta O(X, x))(e^*\text{-}cl_\theta(V) \subseteq U) \\ & \quad \left. \begin{aligned} &X \text{ is } ED^{e^*\theta} \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \Rightarrow e^*\text{-}cl_\theta(V) \in e^*\theta R(X) \\ & \quad \left. \begin{aligned} &X \text{ is } (e^*, \theta)\text{-regular} \end{aligned} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{Theorem 2}} \\ & \Rightarrow (\exists W \in O(X, x))(cl(W) \subseteq e^*\text{-}cl_\theta(V) \subseteq U) \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $X$  is regular from Lemma 2.  $\square$

**Definition 4** A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be:

- a)  $e^*$ - $\theta$ -closed (resp. pre  $e^*$ - $\theta$ -closed [4]), if the image of each closed (resp.  $e^*$ - $\theta$ -closed) set  $F$  of  $X$  is  $e^*$ - $\theta$ -closed in  $Y$ .
- b)  $e^*$ - $\theta$ -open (resp. pre  $e^*$ - $\theta$ -open [4]), if the image of each open (resp.  $e^*$ - $\theta$ -open) set  $U$  of  $X$  is  $e^*$ - $\theta$ -open in  $Y$ .

**Lemma 3** A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $e^*$ - $\theta$ -closed (resp. pre  $e^*$ - $\theta$ -closed) if and only if for each subset  $B$  of  $Y$  and each open (resp.  $e^*$ - $\theta$ -open) set  $U$  containing  $f^{-1}[B]$ , there exists an  $e^*$ - $\theta$ -open set  $V$  of  $Y$  containing  $B$  such that  $f^{-1}[V] \subseteq U$ .

**Proof** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) : Let  $B \subseteq Y$ ,  $U \in O(X)$  and  $f^{-1}[B] \subseteq U$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & (U \in O(X))(f^{-1}[B] \subseteq U) \Rightarrow (\setminus U \in C(X))(\setminus U \subseteq \setminus f^{-1}[B] = f^{-1}[\setminus B]) \\ & \quad \left. \begin{aligned} &f \text{ is } e^*\theta\text{-closed} \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \Rightarrow (f[\setminus U] \in e^*\theta C(Y))(f[\setminus U] \subseteq f[f^{-1}[\setminus B]] \subseteq \setminus B) \\ & \quad \left. \begin{aligned} &V := \setminus f[\setminus U] \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \Rightarrow (V \in e^*\theta O(Y))(B \subseteq \setminus f[f^{-1}[\setminus B]] \subseteq V)(f^{-1}[V] \subseteq U). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\Leftarrow) : \text{Let } F \in C(X). \\
& F \in C(X) \Rightarrow (f[F] \subseteq Y)(\setminus F \in O(X))(F \subseteq f^{-1}[f[F]]) \\
& \Rightarrow (\setminus f[F] \subseteq Y)(\setminus F \in O(X))(f^{-1}[\setminus f[F]] \subseteq \setminus F) \left. \vphantom{\Rightarrow} \right\} \Rightarrow \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{Hypothesis} \\
& \Rightarrow (\exists V \in e^*\theta O(Y))(\setminus f[F] \subseteq V)(f^{-1}[V] \subseteq \setminus F) \\
& \Rightarrow (\exists V \in e^*\theta O(Y))(\setminus V \subseteq f[F])(F \subseteq \setminus f^{-1}[V] = f^{-1}[\setminus V]) \\
& \Rightarrow (\exists V \in e^*\theta O(Y))(\setminus V \subseteq f[F])(f[F] \subseteq f[f^{-1}[\setminus V]] \subseteq \setminus V) \\
& \Rightarrow (\setminus V \in e^*\theta C(Y))(\setminus V \subseteq f[F] \subseteq \setminus V) \\
& \Rightarrow f[F] \in e^*\theta C(Y).
\end{aligned}$$

The other case can be proved similarly.  $\square$

**Definition 5** A subset  $A$  of a space  $X$  is called generalized  $e^*\theta$ -closed (briefly,  $ge^*\theta$ -closed) if  $e^*-cl_\theta(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is open in  $X$ . A subset  $A$  of a space  $X$  is said to be generalized  $e^*\theta$ -open (briefly,  $ge^*\theta$ -open) if  $X \setminus A$  is  $ge^*\theta$ -closed. The family of all  $ge^*\theta$ -closed (resp.  $ge^*\theta$ -open) subsets of  $X$  is denoted by  $ge^*\theta C(X)$  (resp.  $ge^*\theta O(X)$ ).

**Lemma 4** A subset  $A$  of a space  $X$  is  $ge^*\theta$ -open if and only if  $F \subseteq e^*-int_\theta(A)$  whenever  $F \subseteq A$  and  $F$  is closed in  $X$ .

**Proof** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) : Let  $F \subseteq A$ ,  $F \in C(X)$  and  $A \in ge^*\theta O(X)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& A \supseteq F \in C(X) \Rightarrow \setminus A \subseteq \setminus F \in O(X) \left. \vphantom{\Rightarrow} \right\} \Rightarrow \\
& A \in ge^*\theta O(X) \Rightarrow \setminus A \in ge^*\theta C(X) \\
& \Rightarrow \setminus e^*-int_\theta(A) = e^*-cl_\theta(\setminus A) \subseteq \setminus F \\
& \Rightarrow F \subseteq e^*-int_\theta(A).
\end{aligned}$$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) : Let  $\setminus F \in O(X)$  and  $\setminus A \subseteq \setminus F$ .

$$(\setminus F \in O(X))(\setminus A \subseteq \setminus F) \Rightarrow (F \in C(X))(F \subseteq A) \left. \vphantom{\Rightarrow} \right\} \Rightarrow \\
\qquad \qquad \qquad \text{Hypothesis}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow F \subseteq e^*-int_\theta(A) \\
& \Rightarrow e^*-cl_\theta(\setminus A) = \setminus e^*-int_\theta(A) \subseteq \setminus F
\end{aligned}$$

Then,  $\setminus A \in ge^*\theta C(X)$ . Therefore,  $A \in ge^*\theta O(X)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 6** A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be:

- generalized  $e^*\theta$ -closed (briefly,  $ge^*\theta$ -closed), if the image of each closed set  $F$  of  $X$  is  $ge^*\theta$ -closed in  $Y$ ;
- generalized  $e^*\theta$ -open (briefly,  $ge^*\theta$ -open), if the image of each open set  $U$  of  $X$  is  $ge^*\theta$ -open in  $Y$ ;
- pre generalized  $e^*\theta$ -closed (briefly, pre  $ge^*\theta$ -closed), if the image of each  $ge^*\theta$ -closed set  $F$  of  $X$  is  $ge^*\theta$ -closed in  $Y$ ;
- pre generalized  $e^*\theta$ -open (briefly, pre  $ge^*\theta$ -open), if the image of each  $ge^*\theta$ -open set  $U$  of  $X$  is  $ge^*\theta$ -open in  $Y$ .

**Lemma 5** For a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- $f$  is  $ge^*\theta$ -closed (resp. pre  $ge^*\theta$ -closed);
- For each subset  $B$  of  $Y$  and each open (resp.  $ge^*\theta$ -open) set  $U$  of  $X$  containing  $f^{-1}[B]$ , there exists a  $ge^*\theta$ -open set  $V$  of  $Y$  containing  $B$  such that  $f^{-1}[V] \subseteq U$ .

**Proof** It is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.  $\square$

**Theorem 4** *If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous  $e^*\theta$ -open and  $ge^*\theta$ -closed surjection from a regular space  $X$  onto a space  $Y$ , then  $Y$  is  $e^*\theta$ -regular.*

**Proof** Let  $x \in X$ ,  $y = f(x)$  and  $U \in O(Y, y)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. \begin{array}{l} (y = f(x))(U \in O(Y, y)) \\ f \text{ is continuous} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} f^{-1}[U] \in O(X, x) \\ X \text{ is regular} \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 2}} \\ & \Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} (\exists V \in O(X, x))(cl(V) \subseteq f^{-1}[U]) \\ f \text{ is an } e^*\theta\text{-open surjection} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} (f[V] \in e^*\theta O(Y, y))(f[cl(V)] \subseteq f[f^{-1}[U]] = U) \\ f \text{ is } ge^*\theta\text{-closed} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \Rightarrow (f[cl(V)] \in ge^*\theta C(Y, y))(e^*cl_\theta(f[V]) \subseteq e^*cl_\theta(f[cl(V)]) = f[cl(V)] \subseteq U) \\ & \text{Then, } Y \text{ is } e^*\theta\text{-regular from Theorem 1(2).} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

### 2.3 $e^*\theta$ -normal and $(e^*, \theta)^*$ -normal Spaces

In this section, the fundamental properties of  $e^*\theta$ -normal spaces [3] and  $(e^*, \theta)^*$ -normal spaces are investigated. Additionally, several characterizations of both spaces have been obtained.

**Definition 7** A topological space is said to be  $e^*\theta$ -normal [3] if for any pair of disjoint closed sets  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  of  $X$ , there exist disjoint  $e^*$ - $\theta$ -open sets  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  such that  $F_1 \subseteq U_1$  and  $F_2 \subseteq U_2$ .

*Remark 3* From Definition 7, every normal space is an  $e^*\theta$ -normal space. The converse of this implication is not true in general as shown by the following example.

*Example 3* Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, X\}$ . It is not difficult to see that  $e^*\theta O(X) = e^*O(X) = 2^X \setminus \{\{d\}\}$ . Then,  $X$  is  $e^*\theta$ -normal but it is not normal.

**Theorem 5** *For a topological space  $X$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $X$  is  $e^*\theta$ -normal;
- (2) For every pair of open sets  $U$  and  $V$  whose  $U \cup V = X$ , there exist  $e^*\theta$ -closed sets  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $A \subseteq U$ ,  $B \subseteq V$  and  $A \cup B = X$ ;
- (3) For each closed set  $F$  and every open set  $G$  containing  $F$ , there exists  $U \in e^*\theta O(X)$  such that  $F \subseteq U \subseteq e^*cl_\theta(U) \subseteq G$ .

**Proof** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) : Let  $U, V \in O(X)$  and  $U \cup V = X$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & (U, V \in O(X))(U \cup V = X) \Rightarrow (\setminus U, \setminus V \in C(X))((\setminus U) \cap (\setminus V) = \emptyset) \left. \vphantom{(U, V \in O(X))} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \hspace{15em} X \text{ is } e^*\theta\text{-normal} \\ & \Rightarrow (\exists U_1 \in e^*\theta O(X))(\exists V_1 \in e^*\theta O(X))(\setminus U \subseteq U_1)(\setminus V \subseteq V_1)(U_1 \cap V_1 = \emptyset) \left. \vphantom{(\exists U_1 \in e^*\theta O(X))} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \hspace{15em} (A := \setminus U_1)(B := \setminus V_1) \end{aligned}$$



$$\Rightarrow (A, B \in e^*\theta C(X))(A \subseteq U)(B \subseteq V)(A \cup B = X).$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (2) \Rightarrow (3) : \text{Let } F \in C(X), G \in O(X) \text{ and } F \subseteq G. \\ & (F \in C(X))(G \in O(X))(F \subseteq G) \Rightarrow (\setminus F \in O(X))(G \in O(X))((\setminus F) \cup G = X) \left. \vphantom{(F \in C(X))} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{Hypothesis} \\ & \Rightarrow (\exists W_1, W_2 \in e^*\theta C(X))(W_1 \subseteq \setminus F)(W_2 \subseteq G)(W_1 \cup W_2 = X) \\ & \Rightarrow (\setminus W_1, \setminus W_2 \in e^*\theta O(X))(F \subseteq \setminus W_1)(\setminus G \subseteq \setminus W_2)((\setminus W_1) \cap (\setminus W_2) = \emptyset) \left. \vphantom{(\setminus W_1, \setminus W_2 \in e^*\theta O(X))} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad (U := \setminus W_1)(V := \setminus W_2) \\ & \Rightarrow (U \in e^*\theta O(X))(\setminus V \in e^*\theta C(X))(F \subseteq U \subseteq \setminus V \subseteq G) \\ & \Rightarrow (U \in e^*\theta O(X))(F \subseteq U \subseteq e^*\text{-cl}_\theta(U) \subseteq e^*\text{-cl}_\theta(\setminus V) = \setminus V \subseteq G). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (3) \Rightarrow (1) : \text{Let } F_1, F_2 \in C(X) \text{ and } F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset. \\ & (F_1, F_2 \in C(X))(F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset) \Rightarrow (\setminus F_1, \setminus F_2 \in O(X))(F_1 \subseteq \setminus F_2) \left. \vphantom{(F_1, F_2 \in C(X))} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{Hypothesis} \\ & \Rightarrow (\exists U \in e^*\theta O(X))(F_1 \subseteq U \subseteq e^*\text{-cl}_\theta(U) \subseteq \setminus F_2) \left. \vphantom{(\exists U \in e^*\theta O(X))} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad V := \setminus e^*\text{-cl}_\theta(U) \\ & \Rightarrow (U, V \in e^*\theta O(X))(F_1 \subseteq U)(F_2 \subseteq V)(U \cap V = \emptyset). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 6** For a topological space  $X$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $X$  is  $e^*\theta$ -normal;
- (2) For every pair of open sets  $U$  and  $V$  whose  $U \cup V = X$ , there exist  $ge^*\theta$ -closed sets  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $A \subseteq U$ ,  $B \subseteq V$  and  $A \cup B = X$ ;
- (3) For each closed set  $F$  and every open set  $G$  containing  $F$ , there exists  $U \in ge^*\theta O(X)$  such that  $F \subseteq U \subseteq e^*\text{-cl}_\theta(U) \subseteq G$ ;
- (4) For each pair of disjoint closed sets  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  of  $X$ , there exist disjoint  $ge^*\theta$ -open sets  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  such that  $F_1 \subseteq U_1$ ,  $F_2 \subseteq U_2$ .

**Proof** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) : The proof is clear from Theorem 5 since every  $e^*\theta$ -closed set is  $ge^*\theta$ -closed.

$$\begin{aligned} & (2) \Rightarrow (3) : \text{Let } F \in C(X), G \in O(X) \text{ and } F \subseteq G. \\ & (F \in C(X))(G \in O(X))(F \subseteq G) \Rightarrow (\setminus F, G \in O(X))((\setminus F) \cup G = X) \left. \vphantom{(F \in C(X))} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{Hypothesis} \\ & \Rightarrow (\exists A, B \in ge^*\theta C(X))(A \subseteq \setminus F)(B \subseteq G)(A \cup B = X) \\ & \Rightarrow (\setminus A, \setminus B \in ge^*\theta O(X))(F \subseteq \setminus A)(\setminus G \subseteq \setminus B)((\setminus A) \cap (\setminus B) = \emptyset) \left. \vphantom{(\setminus A, \setminus B \in ge^*\theta O(X))} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad (U := e^*\text{-int}_\theta(\setminus A))(V := e^*\text{-int}_\theta(\setminus B)) \\ & \Rightarrow (U, V \in e^*\theta O(X))(F \subseteq U)(\setminus G \subseteq V)(U \cap V = \emptyset) \\ & \Rightarrow (U \in ge^*\theta O(X))(F \subseteq U \subseteq e^*\text{-cl}_\theta(U) \subseteq \setminus V \subseteq G). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (3) \Rightarrow (4) : \text{Let } F_1, F_2 \in C(X) \text{ and } F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset. \\ & (F_1, F_2 \in C(X))(F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset) \Rightarrow (\setminus F_1, \setminus F_2 \in O(X))(F_1 \subseteq \setminus F_2) \left. \vphantom{(F_1, F_2 \in C(X))} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{Hypothesis} \\ & \Rightarrow (\exists U \in ge^*\theta O(X))(F_1 \subseteq U \subseteq e^*\text{-cl}_\theta(U) \subseteq \setminus F_2) \left. \vphantom{(\exists U \in ge^*\theta O(X))} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad V := \setminus e^*\text{-cl}_\theta(U) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow (U, V \in ge^*\theta O(X))(F_1 \subseteq U)(F_2 \subseteq V)(U \cap V = \emptyset).$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (4) \Rightarrow (1) : \text{Let } F_1, F_2 \in C(X) \text{ and } F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset. \\ & \left. \begin{array}{l} (F_1, F_2 \in C(X))(F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset) \\ \text{Hypothesis} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} (\exists U_1, U_2 \in ge^*\theta O(X))(F_1 \subseteq U_1)(F_2 \subseteq U_2)(U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset) \\ \text{Lemma 4} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} (F_1 \subseteq e^*-int_\theta(U_1))(F_2 \subseteq e^*-int_\theta(U_2))(U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset) \\ (V_1 := e^*-int_\theta(U_1))(V_2 := e^*-int_\theta(U_2)) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \Rightarrow (V_1, V_2 \in e^*\theta O(X))(F_1 \subseteq V_1)(F_2 \subseteq V_2)(V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 8** A topological space is said to be  $e^*\theta$ - $R_0$  if every open set of the space contains the  $e^*$ - $\theta$ -closure of each of its singletons.

**Theorem 7** If  $X$  is  $e^*\theta$ -normal and  $e^*\theta$ - $R_0$ , then  $X$  is  $e^*\theta$ -regular.

**Proof** Let  $F \in C(X)$  and  $x \notin F$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. \begin{array}{l} x \notin F \in C(X) \Rightarrow \setminus F \in O(X, x) \\ X \text{ is } e^*\theta\text{-}R_0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} K := e^*\text{-}cl_\theta(\{x\}) \subseteq \setminus F \\ X \text{ is } e^*\theta\text{-normal} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \Rightarrow (\exists U \in e^*\theta O(X, x))(\exists V \in e^*\theta O(X))(K \subseteq U)(F \subseteq V)(U \cap V = \emptyset). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 9** A topological space is said to be almost  $e^*\theta$ -irresolute if  $f[e^*\text{-}cl_\theta(U)] = e^*\text{-}cl_\theta(f[U])$  for every  $U \in e^*\theta O(X)$ .

**Theorem 8** If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a pre  $e^*\theta$ -open continuous almost  $e^*\theta$ -irresolute surjection from an  $e^*\theta$ -normal space  $X$  onto a space  $Y$ , then  $Y$  is  $e^*\theta$ -normal.

**Proof** Let  $A \in C(Y)$ ,  $B \in O(Y)$  and  $A \subseteq B$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. \begin{array}{l} (A \in C(Y))(B \in O(Y))(A \subseteq B) \\ f \text{ is continuous} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} (f^{-1}[A] \in C(X))(f^{-1}[B] \in O(X))(f^{-1}[A] \subseteq f^{-1}[B]) \\ X \text{ is } e^*\theta\text{-normal} \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{Theorem 5(3)}} \\ & \Rightarrow (\exists U \in e^*\theta O(X))(f^{-1}[A] \subseteq U \subseteq e^*\text{-}cl_\theta(U) \subseteq f^{-1}[B]) \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ f \text{ is pre } e^*\theta\text{-open} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \Rightarrow (f[U] \in e^*\theta O(Y))(f[f^{-1}[A]] \subseteq f[U] \subseteq f[e^*\text{-}cl_\theta(U)] \subseteq f[f^{-1}[B]]) \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ f \text{ is surjective} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \Rightarrow (f[U] \in e^*\theta O(Y))(A \subseteq f[U] \subseteq f[e^*\text{-}cl_\theta(U)] \subseteq B) \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ f \text{ is almost } e^*\theta\text{-irresolute} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \Rightarrow (f[U] \in e^*\theta O(Y))(A \subseteq f[U] \subseteq e^*\text{-}cl_\theta(f[U]) \subseteq B) \\ & \text{Then, } Y \text{ is } e^*\theta\text{-normal from Theorem 5(3). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 9** If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $ge^*\theta$ -closed (pre  $ge^*\theta$ -closed) continuous function from a normal ( $e^*\theta$ -normal) space  $X$  onto a space  $Y$ , then  $Y$  is  $e^*\theta$ -normal.

**Proof** Let  $A, B \in C(Y)$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} (A, B \in C(Y))(A \cap B = \emptyset) \\ f \text{ is continuous} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow (f^{-1}[A], f^{-1}[B] \in C(X)) \left. \begin{aligned} &(f^{-1}[A] \cap f^{-1}[B] = f^{-1}[A \cap B] = \emptyset) \\ &X \text{ is normal} \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow (\exists U, V \in O(X)) \left. \begin{aligned} &(f^{-1}[A] \subseteq U)(f^{-1}[B] \subseteq V)(U \cap V = \emptyset) \\ &f \text{ is } ge^*\theta\text{-closed} \end{aligned} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 5}} \\ &\Rightarrow (\exists G, H \in ge^*\theta O(Y)) (A \subseteq G)(B \subseteq H)(f^{-1}[G] \subseteq U)(f^{-1}[H] \subseteq V)(G \cap H = \emptyset) \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $Y$  is  $e^*\theta$ -normal from Theorem 6(4).  
The other case can be proved similarly.  $\square$

**Definition 10** A topological space  $X$  is said to be:

- a)  $e^*\theta$ - $T_0$  [4] if for any distinct pair of points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ , there is an  $e^*\theta$ -open set  $U$  in  $X$  containing  $x$  but not  $y$  or an  $e^*\theta$ -open set  $V$  in  $X$  containing  $y$  but not  $x$ .
- b)  $e^*\theta$ - $T_1$  [4] if for any distinct pair of points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ , there is an  $e^*\theta$ -open set  $U$  in  $X$  containing  $x$  but not  $y$  and an  $e^*\theta$ -open set  $V$  in  $X$  containing  $y$  but not  $x$ .
- c)  $e^*\theta$ - $T_2$  [4] (resp.  $e^*$ - $T_2$  [8]) if for every pair of distinct points  $x$  and  $y$ , there exist two  $e^*\theta$ -open (resp.  $e^*$ -open) sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 10** [4] For a topological space  $X$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $X$  is  $e^*\theta$ - $T_0$ ;
- (2)  $X$  is  $e^*\theta$ - $T_1$ ;
- (3)  $X$  is  $e^*\theta$ - $T_2$ ;
- (4)  $X$  is  $e^*$ - $T_2$ ;
- (5) For every pair of distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , there exist  $U \in e^*O(X, x)$  and  $V \in e^*O(X, y)$  such that  $e^*\text{-cl}(U) \cap e^*\text{-cl}(V) = \emptyset$ ;
- (6) For every pair of distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , there exist  $U \in e^*R(X, x)$  and  $V \in e^*R(X, y)$  such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ ;
- (7) For every pair of distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , there exist  $U \in e^*\theta O(X, x)$  and  $V \in e^*\theta O(X, y)$  such that  $e^*\text{-cl}_\theta(U) \cap e^*\text{-cl}_\theta(V) = \emptyset$ .

**Definition 11** A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be:

- a)  $e^*\theta$ -continuous [4] if  $f^{-1}[V]$  is  $e^*\theta$ -closed in  $X$  for every closed set  $V$  in  $Y$ , equivalently if  $f^{-1}[V]$  is  $e^*\theta$ -open in  $X$  for every open set  $V$  in  $Y$ .
- b) strongly  $e^*$ -irresolute [19] if for each point  $x \in X$  and each  $V \in e^*O(Y, f(x))$ , there exists  $U \in e^*O(X, x)$  such that  $f[e^*\text{-cl}(U)] \subseteq V$ .

**Theorem 11** A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is strongly  $e^*$ -irresolute if and only if  $f^{-1}[V]$  is  $e^*\theta$ -open in  $X$  for every  $e^*\theta$ -open set  $V$  in  $Y$ .

**Proof** It is obvious from Theorem 5.1(e) [19].  $\square$

**Theorem 12** If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a strongly  $e^*$ -irresolute closed injection from a space  $X$  to an  $e^*\theta$ -normal space  $Y$ , then  $X$  is  $e^*\theta$ -normal.

**Proof** Let  $A, B \in C(X)$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .

$$\begin{aligned} &(A, B \in C(X)) \left. \begin{aligned} &(A \cap B = \emptyset) \\ &f \text{ is closed injection} \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow (f[A], f[B] \in C(Y)) \left. \begin{aligned} &(f[A] \cap f[B] = f[A \cap B] = \emptyset) \\ &Y \text{ is } e^*\theta\text{-normal} \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \end{aligned}$$



**Proof** Let  $K, L \in e^*\theta C(Y)$  and  $K \cap L = \emptyset$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. \begin{array}{l} (K, L \in e^*\theta C(Y))(K \cap L = \emptyset) \\ f \text{ is strongly } e^*\text{-irresolute} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} (f^{-1}[K], f^{-1}[L] \in e^*\theta C(X))(f^{-1}[K] \cap f^{-1}[L] = f^{-1}[K \cap L] = \emptyset) \\ X \text{ is } (e^*, \theta)^*\text{-normal} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} (\exists U, V \in e^*\theta O(X))(f^{-1}[K] \subseteq U)(f^{-1}[L] \subseteq V)(U \cap V = \emptyset) \\ f \text{ is } (e^*, \theta)^*\text{-closed} \end{array} \right\} \xRightarrow{\text{Lemma 7}} \\ & \Rightarrow (\exists G, H \in (e^*, \theta)O(Y))(K \subseteq G)(L \subseteq H)(f^{-1}[G] \subseteq U)(f^{-1}[H] \subseteq V)(G \cap H = \emptyset) \\ & \text{Then, } Y \text{ is } (e^*, \theta)^*\text{-normal from Theorem 14(6).} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 16** *If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is pre  $e^*\theta$ -closed and strongly  $e^*$ -irresolute injection and  $Y$  is  $(e^*, \theta)^*$ -normal, then  $X$  is  $(e^*, \theta)^*$ -normal.*

**Proof** Let  $K, L \in e^*\theta C(X)$  and  $K \cap L = \emptyset$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. \begin{array}{l} (K, L \in e^*\theta C(X))(K \cap L = \emptyset) \\ f \text{ is pre } e^*\theta\text{-closed injection} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} (f[K], f[L] \in e^*\theta C(Y))(f[K] \cap f[L] = f[K \cap L] = \emptyset) \\ Y \text{ is } (e^*, \theta)^*\text{-normal} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} (\exists U, V \in e^*\theta O(Y))(f[K] \subseteq U)(f[L] \subseteq V)(U \cap V = \emptyset) \\ f \text{ is strongly } e^*\text{-irresolute} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & \Rightarrow (f^{-1}[U], f^{-1}[V] \in e^*\theta O(X))(A \subseteq f^{-1}[U])(B \subseteq f^{-1}[V])(f^{-1}[U] \cap f^{-1}[V] = \emptyset). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

### 3 Conclusion

Many forms of regular and normal spaces have been studied by many authors in recent years. This paper is concerned with the notion of  $e^*\theta$ -regular and  $e^*\theta$ -normal spaces which are defined by utilizing the concept of  $e^*$ - $\theta$ -open set. We have seen that these concept is weaker and stronger than many generalized regular and normal space forms in the literature, as will be seen in Remark 1 and Remark 3. We believe that this work will shed light on further studies related to continuity and convergence etc. known from functional analysis.

### 4 Acknowledgements

This study has been supported by Turkish–German University Scientific Research Projects Commission under the grant no: 2021BR01. In addition, I would like to thank to Professor Dr. Murad ÖZKOÇ for his valuable suggestions and comments that improved the study.

## References

1. M.E. Abd. El Monsef, S.N. El-Deeb and R.A. Mahmoud,  $\beta$ -open sets and  $\beta$ -continuous mappings, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ. **12**(1) (1983) 77–90.
2. D. Andrijević, On  $b$ -open sets, Mat. Vesnik **48** (1996) 59–64.
3. B.S. Ayhan and M. Özkoç, On contra  $e^*$ - $\theta$ -continuous functions, Divulg. Mat. **19**(2) (2018) 1–13.
4. B.S. Ayhan and M. Özkoç, On almost contra  $e^*$ - $\theta$ -continuous functions, Jordan J. Math. Stat. **11**(4) (2018) 383–408.
5. M. Caldas and S. Jafari, Regularity and normality via  $\beta\theta$ -open sets, Int. Conference Topol. Appl. (2015), 37–48.
6. ———, On  $e$ -open sets,  $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{P}^*$ -sets and  $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}^*$ -sets and decompositions of continuity, Arabian J. Sci. Eng. **33**(2A) (2008) 269–282.
7. ———, On  $e^*$ -open sets and  $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S})^*$ -sets, Math. Morav. **13**(1) (2009) 29–36.
8. ———, New forms of contra-continuity, Carpathian J. Math. **24**(1) (2008) 37–45.
9. N. El Deeb, I.A. Hasanein, A.S. Mashhour and T. Noiri, On  $p$ -regular spaces, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. R. S. Roumanie **27**(75) (1983) 311–315.
10. A.M. Farhan and X.S. Yang, New types of strongly continuous functions in topological spaces via  $\delta$ - $\beta$ -open sets, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math. **8**(2) (2015), 185–200.
11. A.M.F.A. Jumaili, M.M. Abed and F.G.A. Sharqi, Other new types of mappings with strongly closed graphs in topological spaces via  $e$ - $\theta$  and  $\delta$ - $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open sets, The 1st Int. Sci. Conf. P. Sci. (2019) 1–10.
12. N. Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly **70** (1963) 36–41.
13. S.N. Maheshwari and R. Prasad, On  $s$ -regular spaces, Glasnik Mat. **30**(10) (1975) 347–350.
14. S.N. Maheshwari and R. Prasad, On  $s$ -normal spaces, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. R. S. Roumanie **22**(70) (1978) 27–29.
15. A.S. Mashhour, M.E. Abd El-Monsef and S.N. El-Deeb, On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt **53** (1982) 47–53.
16. J. R. Munkres, *Topology (Second Edition)* Prentice-Hall Inc., 2000.
17. T. Noiri, Weak and strong forms of  $\beta$ -irresolute functions, Acta Math. Hungar. **99**(4) (2003) 315–328.
18. M. Özkoç and G. Aslım, On strongly  $\theta$ - $e$ -continuous functions, Bull. Korean Math. **47**(5) (2010) 1025–1036.
19. M. Özkoç and K.S. Atasever, On some forms of  $e^*$ -irresoluteness, J. Linear Topol. Algebra **8**(1) (2019) 25–39.
20. J.H. Park, Strongly  $\theta$ - $b$ -continuous functions, Acta Math. Hungar. **110**(4) (2006) 347–359.
21. R. Paul and P. Bhattacharyya, On  $p$ -normal spaces, Soochow Jour. Math. **21**(3) (1995) 273–289.
22. M.H. Stone, Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **41** (1937) 375–381.
23. H. Tietze, *Beitrage Zur Allgemeinen Topologie I*, Math. Ann. **88** (1923) 290–312.
24. N.V. Veličko,  $H$ -closed topological spaces, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **78**(2) (1968) 103–118.
25. L. Vietoris, *Stetige Mengen*, Monatsh. Math. **31** (1921) 173–204.