

# Linear microbundles

Tommaso Boccari

July 11, 2024



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>I</b>	<b>Generalized Calculus</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Integro-differential spaces</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Construction of the integro-differential space of generalized functions</b>	<b>23</b>
3.1	Choice of generating functions . . . . .	23
3.2	Free magma $(X, \diamond)$ . . . . .	24
3.3	Topological magma $(W, \diamond)$ . . . . .	59
3.4	The integro-differential space $\mathcal{W}$ . . . . .	74
3.5	Structure of generalized continuous functions . . . . .	77
<b>4</b>	<b>Localization of generalized functions</b>	<b>81</b>
4.1	Local behavior of generalized functions . . . . .	81
4.2	Germ of generalized functions . . . . .	93
<b>5</b>	<b>Localization of generalized derivations</b>	<b>103</b>
5.1	Pre-derivations of germs of generalized functions . . . . .	103
5.2	Topology of pre-derivations . . . . .	116
5.3	Generalized derivations of germs of generalized functions . . . . .	130
<b>6</b>	<b>Foundations of generalized calculus</b>	<b>151</b>
6.1	Corresponding arrows . . . . .	151
6.2	Higher order generalized differential operators on germs of generalized functions . . . . .	166
6.3	Differential closure of $\mathbb{R}$ . . . . .	186
<b>II</b>	<b>Applications</b>	<b>191</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Generalized tangent bundle on topological manifolds</b>	<b>193</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Combing hairy spheres</b>	<b>201</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Questions</b>	<b>205</b>
9.1	Mathematics . . . . .	205
9.2	Physics . . . . .	205
<b>III</b>	<b>Appendix</b>	<b>207</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Relations in free magma <math>(X, \diamond)</math></b>	<b>209</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>Notation</b>	<b>215</b>



# Chapter 1

## Introduction

The world of manifolds is divided into two parts: the world of smooth manifolds and the world of topological manifolds. The difference originates in the structure of the fibre of the tangent bundle associated to any manifold and in the behavior on the fibre of the differential of a function between manifolds.

More precisely: in case of smooth manifolds the fibre of the tangent bundle is the topological real vector space of all derivation operators of degree 1 and the differential of a smooth function is continuous linear when restricted to the fibre; in case of topological manifolds the fibre of the tangent bundle has no linear structure, neither elements belonging to the fibre somehow represent suitably generalized derivation operators of any degree, and therefore the differential of a continuous function has no linear properties, neither the meaning of differential operator when restricted to the fibre. We refer to [29] Chap12 for a detailed construction of the tangent bundle to smooth manifolds and to [21], [29] Chap14 for a detailed construction of the tangent bundle to topological manifolds.

We denote by  $\mathbf{B}_1^\infty$  the functor associating to any smooth manifold the corresponding tangent bundle and to any smooth function between manifolds the corresponding differential.

Construction of the tangent bundle functor  $\mathbf{B}_1^\infty$  is based on calculus in euclidean vector spaces, and tangent vectors correspond to derivation operators of degree 1. Derivation operators of any degree on smooth manifolds are obtained starting from  $\mathbf{B}_1^\infty$  by applying the functorial construction of symmetric algebra associated to a vector space. Then we obtain the functor  $\mathbf{B}^\infty$  associating to any smooth manifold the bundle whose fibre is the  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra of all derivation operators, and to any smooth function between manifolds the corresponding differential. Algebraic structure of functor  $\mathbf{B}^\infty$  translates in algebraic terms all relations which hold true in calculus among derivation operators.

The main result of this work is the extension of the functor  $\mathbf{B}^\infty$  to the category of topological manifolds and continuous functions, by preserving its algebraic structure and its link with calculus. Namely we build a functor  $\mathbf{B}$  associating to any topological manifold a locally trivial tangent bundle whose fibre is the

topological  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra of all generalized derivation operators, and to any continuous function between topological manifolds a differential which is a function of topological  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras when restricted to the fibre. We also prove that if  $\mathbf{B}$  is restricted to the category of smooth manifolds and smooth functions then there is a sub-functor  $\mathbf{SB}$  of  $\mathbf{B}$  and a natural epimorphism  $\mathbf{SB} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^\infty$  with a not natural right inverse.

Construction of  $\mathbf{B}$  follows the construction of  $\mathbf{B}^\infty$  where the role of classical calculus on smooth function is played by a new type of calculus on continuous function which we develop specifically for this aim and which we refer to as the generalized calculus.

Generalization of calculus is a universal construction extending the category  $\mathbf{Top}$  of topological spaces and continuous functions to a category whose arrows are all smooth in a suitable sense which coincides with the classical smoothness on smooth functions of  $\mathbf{Top}$ , namely the smallest category with such property. The contribution of this work to the research is outlined below:

1) The linear structure of the fibre and the linearity of differentials on fibres is new with respect to other extensions of the notion of tangent bundle to topological manifolds.

2) Linearity allows to extend to topological manifolds those Algebraic Topology techniques which are now available only for smooth manifolds.

For example from the extension of de Raham cohomology and of other deep results, such as [30], we think that new facts are to be expected.

3) Linearity allows to extend tensor calculus to topological manifolds.

4) The structure of the tangent bundle to smooth manifolds turns out to be richer than it was supposed to be up to now. Actually the fibre  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of the smooth tangent bundle to a  $n$ -dimensional smooth manifold  $M$  is a quotient of the fibre of the tangent bundle of  $M$  considered as a topological manifold. Then, even on smooth manifolds, there are tensor fields which are still unknown since they cannot be represented neither in the classical setting ([28]) nor in generalized setting available up to now ([15], [16]). We think that such tensor fields could be of great interest in physics.

This is the latest in a long series of works devoted to extend to geometry and modern analysis the same deep link that differential geometry provides between geometry and classical analysis.

Since Schwartz introduced Distributions in [26] many authors, taking in account [27], have worked on the subject in order to solve non linear problems which continually arise in physics and analysis. Some authors enriched the structure of the space of distributions ([10], [23]) extending to it as many properties of traditional functions as possible. In particular Colombeau Theory of Generalized Functions has proved to be formulated intrinsically on smooth manifolds ([5], [11], [17]), then it provides effective tools to differential geometry ([15], [16]) and physics ([9], [13]). Other authors focused their attention on subspaces contained in the space of distributions, then they showed that such subspaces possess structures richer than that of the whole space of distributions ([1], [2], [3], [25], [31]). This approach has led to an extension of differential geometry to elements belonging to a large class of topological spaces properly containing

smooth manifolds but not all topological manifolds ([4], [8], [12], [14]).

The outline of the work is the following.

Part I contains the construction of generalized calculus which takes Chapter 2-6.

In Chapter 2 we express both classical integro-differential calculus on smooth functions and integral calculus on continuous functions through a formalism which makes easier to understand the extension to continuous functions of the integro-differential calculus. We introduce four notions: integro-differential monoid, integro-differential space, integral monoid, integral space.

The integro-differential monoid  $\mathbf{M}$  is the monoid whose elements are all integro-differential operators obtained by the action of partial derivatives, integral operators along coordinate directions and projections of vector valued functions on their coordinates. Monoid  $\mathbf{M}$  (Definition 2.0.1) is defined through generators and relations: generators are partial derivatives, integral operators along coordinate directions, projections of vector valued functions on their coordinates; relations among generators are relations which hold true among them in the setting of integro-differential calculus on smooth functions.

An integro-differential space (Definition 2.0.3) is a topological space endowed with four continuous internal everywhere defined operations (composition, cartesian product, sum, product) and two continuous everywhere defined external operations (scalar product,  $\mathbf{M}$ -action) fulfilling conditions which hold true for the corresponding operations on smooth functions.

The set of all smooth functions together with classical composition, cartesian product, sum, product, scalar product,  $\mathbf{M}$ -action is an integro-differential space denoted by  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ .

The integral monoid  $\mathbf{O}$  is the sub-monoid of  $\mathbf{M}$  generated by integral operators and projections.

An integral space (Definition 2.0.5) is a topological space endowed with four continuous internal everywhere defined operations (composition, cartesian product, sum, product) and two continuous everywhere defined external operations (scalar product,  $\mathbf{O}$ -action) fulfilling conditions which hold true for the corresponding operations on continuous functions.

The set of all continuous functions together with classical composition, cartesian product, sum, product, scalar product,  $\mathbf{M}$ -action is an integral space denoted by  $\mathcal{C}^0$ .

In Chapter 3 we define the integro-differential space  $\mathcal{W}$  containing both smooth functions and continuous functions, where composition, cartesian product, sum, product, scalar product are defined and where integro-differential calculus extends from smooth functions to continuous functions (Definition 3.4.1). We call generalized functions all elements belonging to  $\mathcal{W}$ . Generalized functions behaves very differently from real smooth functions but any operation in  $\mathcal{W}$  coincide with the corresponding classical operation when performed on smooth functions.

Construction of  $\mathcal{W}$  splits into four steps.

Step 1. For any fixed positive integer  $m$  and any open set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  with not empty interior we choose a set  $\sqsupset(S)$  which can be completed to an algebraic base

for the real vector space  $C^0(S, \mathbb{R})$  by adding elements belonging to  $C^\infty[S, \mathbb{R}]$ . Elements belonging to sets  $\beth(S)$  are called generating functions. Construction of  $\mathcal{W}$  turns out to be independent on the choice of generating functions (Remark 3.1.1-[2]).

Step 2. We define the free magma  $(X, \blacklozenge)$  (Definition 3.2.3) as the direct limit of the family of free magmas  $\{(X_i, \blacklozenge_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  defined inductively. Magma  $(X_0, \blacklozenge_0)$  (Definition 3.2.1) is the base of induction and is defined through elements belonging to sets  $C^\infty[S, \mathbb{R}] \cup \beth(S)$ . The inductive step to obtain magma  $(X_{i+1}, \blacklozenge_{i+1})$  involves both the magma  $(X_i, \blacklozenge_i)$  and the integro-differential monoid  $\mathbf{M}$ .

Related to free magma  $(X, \blacklozenge)$  we define (Propositions 3.2.4, 3.2.21, Definitions 3.2.20, 3.2.24): cartesian products  $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} : X^n \rightarrow X$  for any positive integer  $n$ ;  $\mathbf{M}$ -action  $\blacktriangleright : \mathbf{M} \times X \rightarrow X$ ; domain and co-domain for any element belonging to  $X$ ; the sub-magma  $X_C$  containing any element  $x$  belonging to  $X$  such that no differential operator acts on a continuous function; the sub-magma  $X_S$  containing all elements belonging to  $X$  such that no elements belonging to  $\beth(S)$  occurs in  $x$  for any open set  $S$ ; the set function  $\mathbf{ev}$  associating to any  $x \in X_C$  the continuous function obtained by evaluating  $x$ ; the set function  $\mathbf{li}$  associating to any continuous function the corresponding unique linear combination of generating functions and smooth functions; a class of set functions  $(-1, 1) \rightarrow X$  called paths in  $X$ ; the notion of augmentation of elements belonging to  $X$  (Definition 3.2.43) formalizing in this abstract setting the well known fact that composition of continuous functions is associative wherever defined.

Through paths in  $X$ , the function  $\mathbf{ev}$  and the notion of augmentation we introduce an equivalence relation  $\approx$  on  $X$  (Definitions 3.2.41, 3.2.48) which identifies elements suitably linked through special paths called detecting paths. Equivalence relation  $\approx$  is based on two principles: retention of information (condition (3.31)); invariance of interpretation (condition (3.32)). Invariance of interpretation type principle is common to all theories of generalized functions we know ([6], [23]), on the contrary we never met in literature any use of retention of information principle. Differences between generalized functions and smooth functions origins mainly from the retention of information principle.

We prove that operations  $\blacklozenge$ ,  $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{I}$ ,  $\blacktriangleright$  are compatible with  $\approx$  (Proposition 3.2.49) and that set function  $\mathbf{ev}$  assumes the same value when evaluated on two equivalent elements belonging to  $X_C$  (Proposition 3.2.47-[6]).

Step 3. We define the magma  $(W, \blacklozenge)$  obtained by identifying through  $\approx$  elements belonging to  $X$ .

Then (Proposition 3.3.3, Definition 3.3.5): operations  $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{I}$ ,  $\blacktriangleright$  defined on  $X$  induce corresponding operations  $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{I}$ ,  $\blacktriangleright$  on  $W$ ; domain and co-domain for any element belonging to  $X$  induce the notion of domain and co-domain for any element belonging to  $W$ ; to subsets  $X_C$ ,  $X_S$  of  $X$  correspond subsets  $W_C$ ,  $W_S$  of  $W$ ; set functions  $\mathbf{ev}$ ,  $\mathbf{li}$  induce corresponding set functions  $\mathbf{ev}$ ,  $\mathbf{li}$ ; paths in  $X$  induce paths in  $W$ .

Through paths in  $W$  we introduce topology in  $W$  (Definition 3.3.18-[1]). We prove that  $\blacklozenge$ ,  $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{I}$ ,  $\blacktriangleright$  are continuous operations (Proposition 3.3.19-[1-5]) and that  $\mathbf{ev}$ ,  $\mathbf{li}$  are continuous functions (Proposition 3.3.23-[7, 8]).

Through paths in  $W$  and the function  $\mathbf{ev}$  we introduce the set  $W_\emptyset$  containing



all elements belonging to  $W$  which cannot be evaluated on their whole domain (Definition 3.3.18-[2]).

Step 4. We define the integro-differential space  $\mathcal{W}$  obtained by taking magma  $(W, \diamond)$ , operations  $|$ ,  $\triangleright$  and introducing sum, product, scalar product in  $W$  by exploiting the fact that smooth functions belong to  $W$  by construction (Definitions 3.4.1).

In Chapter 4, for any fixed non negative integer  $m$ , we focus our attention to generalized functions whose domains are open neighborhoods of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , we call them local generalized functions (Definition 4.1.3). We introduce the equivalence relation  $\simeq$  which identifies local generalized functions whenever they coincide locally at 0 (Definition 4.1.10). We examine compatibility of  $\simeq$  with the algebraic structure of  $W$  (Propositions 4.1.11, 4.1.13) and we find that it suffices to repeat here, in the setting of local generalized function, the construction of germs of local functions which is well known in the setting of smooth and of continuous functions (Remark 4.2.3). For any fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we call generalized  $n$ -germ the germ of a local generalized function with fixed co-domain  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $n$ -germ the germ of a local function with fixed co-domain  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we drop the index  $n$  when there is no need of such detail. The space of generalized germs contains the space of germs. The construction of germs, however, cannot be repeated word by word since local generalized functions behaves not exactly as local functions, in particular we have that: the space of generalized 1-germs is a commutative weak  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra (Notation 11.0.4-[3]) which is not regular due to the retention of information principle, hence cannot be naturally embedded into an algebra; the space of generalized  $n$ -germs is a weak  $\mathbb{R}$ -module (Notation 11.0.4-[5]).

In Chapter 5, for any fixed non negative integer  $m$ , we focus our attention to derivation operators of degree 1 acting on generalized 1-germs represented by local generalized functions whose domain is an open neighborhood of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . We are not able to define directly generalized derivations on generalized 1-germs and differentials of generalized germs, we are forced to make an intermediate step: define first pre-derivations and pre-differentials. Pre-derivations on generalized 1-germs are suitable linear combinations of derivation operators belonging to  $\mathbf{M}$  (Definition 5.1.1). To any fixed germ of continuous function we associate a linear function between spaces of pre-derivations which generalizes differential of smooth functions (Proposition 5.1.3-[3]). We organize this material in the functorial language to give a more compact and clear construction of topological real vector spaces of pre-derivations and of pre-differential of germs of continuous functions (Definition 5.1.4).

Unfortunately construction of pre-derivations and pre-differentials does not provide a functor since the pre-differential of the composition of continuous functions does not coincides with the composition of their pre-differentials due to the fact that composition of generalized functions does not coincides with composition of continuous functions.

However this problem can be solved: we define the real vector space of generalized derivation operators of degree 1 and the notion of generalized differential of germs of continuous functions by taking the colimit of a suitable functor con-

structed by employing spaces of pre-derivations and pre-differentials (Definition 5.3.1). We prove that: such construction does not give the trivial vector space (Proposition 5.3.4); by taking suitable generalized derivations we get a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace which is stable by generalized differential of smooth functions and which naturally maps onto  $\mathbb{R}^m$  (Proposition 5.3.7).

Sets of germs of generalized functions, sets of pre-derivations operators of order 1 and sets of generalized derivations operators of order 1 can not be endowed with classical topology (Remark 5.3.14), topology which is suitable for our purposes is a Grothendieck topology. A site  $(\mathbb{V}, \mathcal{T})$  is defined, as an extension of the site **Top** through a suitable universal construction (Definition 5.2.1, Proposition 5.2.2), among whose objects are sets of our interest listed above and among whose arrows are set functions related to them (Definition 5.2.6).

In Chapter 6 we study calculus in  $\mathbb{V}$ . We explicitly construct the smallest  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{R}$ , canonically containing  $\mathbb{R}$  as a subfield, such that any arrow of  $\mathbb{V}$  with co-domain  $\mathcal{R}$  is differentiable infinitely many times in the generalized sense, where differentiation is performed locally with respect to the Grothendieck topology of  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then we say that  $\mathcal{R}$  is the integro-differential closure of  $\mathbb{R}$  and that  $\mathbb{V}$  is the integro-differential closure of **Top**. Calculus on topological manifolds and, more generally, the extension of results achieved in the setting of smooth manifolds strictly depends on the knowledge of the structure of  $\mathcal{R}$ . Construction of  $\mathcal{R}$  reveals that its structure is deeply linked to the local structure of differential equations.

Part II contains some immediate implications of the theory developed in Part I and takes Chapter 7-9.

In Chapter 7 we define the functor **F** associating to any local chart of a manifold the corresponding generalized trivial bundle whose fibre is the topological algebra of all generalized derivation operators and to any continuous function between local charts the corresponding generalized differential. Functor **F** generalizes functor  $\mathbf{F}^\infty$  associating to any local chart of a smooth manifold the corresponding trivial bundle whose fibre is the topological algebra of all derivation operators and to any smooth function between local charts the corresponding differential (Theorem 7.0.2-[1]). We also prove that if **F** is restricted to the category of smooth manifolds and smooth maps then there is a sub-functor **SF** which naturally maps onto  $\mathbf{F}^\infty$  (Theorem 7.0.2-[2, 3, 4]).

Eventually functors **B** and **SB** are defined starting from **F** and **SF** respectively by repeating word by word the argument used to get  $\mathbf{B}^\infty$  starting from  $\mathbf{F}^\infty$ .

In Chapter 8 we deal with the problem of finding nowhere vanishing tangent vector fields on spheres. In the classical setting it is well known (see [22]) that nowhere vanishing tangent vector fields do not exist on even dimensional spheres. On the contrary in the generalized setting we exhibit a nowhere vanishing generalized tangent vector field on spheres (Theorem 8.0.4). By [22] we have that the projection of such generalized tangent vector field on the classical tangent bundle vanishes somewhere in case of even dimensional spheres (Remark 8.0.5). The possibility of using in practice the existence of nowhere vanishing tangent vector fields on spheres depends on the wider problem of understanding the physical meaning of the generalized tangent bundle.

In Chapter 9 we list some questions arising in Mathematics and Physics from this work.

Part III contains material to recall whenever needed in the work and takes Chapter 10, 11.

In Chapter 10 we list those relations among elements belonging to  $X$  which are employed in this work. All relations written here are well known to hold true for smooth functions or for continuous functions.

In Chapter 11 we collect the notation used throughout the work. This section should be consulted only if necessary or whenever it is explicitly mentioned in the work.



**Part I**

**Generalized Calculus**



## Chapter 2

# Integro-differential spaces

In this chapter we define the category of integro-differential space and functions.

We introduce the notion of integro-differential monoid. In spite of its abstract appearance an integro-differential monoid is nothing but a structure synthesizing all algebraic properties of integral and differential operators on  $C^\infty$  functions.

**Definition 2.0.1** We define the integro-differential monoid  $\mathbf{M}$  by setting

**Generators:**  $\{\mathfrak{f}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \cup \{\mathfrak{d}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \cup \{\mathfrak{c}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \cup \{\mathfrak{p}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \cup \{\mathfrak{q}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ ;

**Relations:** for any  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathfrak{f}_i \mathfrak{f}_j = \mathfrak{f}_{j+1} \mathfrak{f}_i \quad \text{if } i < j, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (i) & \mathfrak{d}_i \mathfrak{d}_j = \mathfrak{d}_j \mathfrak{d}_i, \\ (ii) & \mathfrak{d}_i \mathfrak{f}_j = \mathfrak{f}_j \mathfrak{d}_i \quad \text{if } i < j, \\ (iii) & \mathfrak{d}_{i+1} \mathfrak{f}_j = \mathfrak{f}_j \mathfrak{d}_i \quad \text{if } i > j, \end{array} \right. \quad (2.2)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (i) & \mathfrak{c}_i = \mathfrak{c}_1 \mathfrak{c}_i, \\ (ii) & \mathfrak{c}_i \mathfrak{f}_j = \mathfrak{f}_j \mathfrak{c}_i, \\ (iii) & \mathfrak{c}_i \mathfrak{d}_j = \mathfrak{d}_j \mathfrak{c}_i, \end{array} \right. \quad (2.3)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (i) & \mathbf{p}_i = \mathbf{d}_{i+1} \int_i, \\ (ii) & \mathbf{p}_i \mathbf{p}_j = \mathbf{p}_{j+1} \mathbf{p}_i \quad \text{if } i \leq j, \\ (iii) & \mathbf{p}_i \int_j = \int_{j+1} \mathbf{p}_i \quad \text{if } i < j, \\ (iv) & \mathbf{p}_i \int_j = \int_j \mathbf{p}_{i-1} \quad \text{if } i \geq j + 2, \\ (v) & \mathbf{p}_i \mathbf{d}_j = \mathbf{d}_{j+1} \mathbf{p}_i \quad \text{if } i \leq j, \\ (vi) & \mathbf{p}_i \mathbf{d}_j = \mathbf{d}_j \mathbf{p}_i \quad \text{if } i > j, \\ (vii) & \mathbf{p}_i \mathbf{c}_j = \mathbf{c}_j \mathbf{p}_i, \end{array} \right. \quad (2.4)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (i) & \mathbf{q}_i = \mathbf{d}_i \int_i, \\ (ii) & \mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{q}_j = \mathbf{q}_{j+1} \mathbf{q}_i \quad \text{if } i \leq j, \\ (iii) & \mathbf{q}_i \int_j = \int_{j+1} \mathbf{q}_i \quad \text{if } i < j, \\ (iv) & \mathbf{q}_i \int_j = \int_j \mathbf{q}_{i-1} \quad \text{if } i \geq j + 2, \\ (v) & \mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{d}_j = \mathbf{d}_{j+1} \mathbf{q}_i \quad \text{if } i < j, \\ (vi) & \mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{d}_j = \mathbf{d}_j \mathbf{q}_i \quad \text{if } i \geq j, \\ (vii) & \mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{c}_j = \mathbf{c}_j \mathbf{q}_i, \end{array} \right. \quad (2.5)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (i) & \mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{p}_j = \mathbf{p}_{j+1} \mathbf{q}_i \quad \text{if } i < j, \\ (ii) & \mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{p}_j = \mathbf{p}_j \mathbf{q}_{i-1} \quad \text{if } i > j. \end{array} \right. \quad (2.6)$$

We denote: by  $\mathbf{1}$  the unit of  $\mathbf{M}$ ; by  $\mathbf{m}$  the generic element of  $\mathbf{M}$ ; by  $\mathbf{D}$  the sub-monoid of  $\mathbf{M}$  generated by the set  $\{\mathbf{d}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ ; by  $\mathbf{I}$  the sub-monoid of  $\mathbf{M}$  generated by the set  $\{\int_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ ; by  $\mathbf{O}$  the sub-monoid of  $\mathbf{M}$  generated by  $\{\int_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \cup \{\mathbf{c}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \cup \{\mathbf{p}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \cup \{\mathbf{q}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

Monoid  $\mathbf{M}$  and its sub-monoids are endowed with the discrete topology (i.e.  $\{\mathbf{m}\}$  is an open set for any  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M}$ ).

With an abuse of language, whenever needed, symbols  $\int_0, \mathbf{d}_0$  will mean  $\mathbf{1}$ .

### Remark 2.0.2

1. In Definition 2.0.1 generators  $\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{q}_i$  are redundant because of relations (2.4)-[(i)], (2.5)-[(i)]. We choose to give such a redundant definition to make more clear constructions and examples.
2. Relation 2.2-[(i)] entails that  $\mathbf{D}$  is an abelian monoid with generators  $\{\mathbf{d}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

We introduce the notion of integro-differential spaces. In spite of its abstract appearance an integro-differential space is nothing but a structure synthesizing all algebraic properties of  $C^\infty$  functions and their relation with integro-differential operators (Example 2.0.4).

Fix a topological commutative ring  $\mathbb{A}$  with unit.



**Definition 2.0.3**

**Objects.** An  $\mathbb{A}$ -integro-differential space  $\mathcal{X} = (X, X_0, X_\emptyset, \odot, \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D}, \oplus, \ominus, \otimes, \bullet)$  is a nine-tuple where

$X$  is a topological space,  $X_0, X_\emptyset$  are subspaces of  $X$ ,  $X_0 \cap X_\emptyset = \emptyset$ ,

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \odot, \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D}, \oplus, \ominus : X \times X \rightarrow X, \\ \otimes : \mathbb{A} \times X \rightarrow X, \\ \bullet : \mathbf{M} \times X \rightarrow X \end{array} \right\} \text{ are continuous functions,}$$

fulfilling all conditions below  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \forall a, b \in \mathbb{A}, \forall \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{M}, \forall u, v, w, x \in X$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (i) & u \odot v \in X_\emptyset \quad \text{if } v \in X_\emptyset \\ (ii) & u \odot v \in X_0 \quad \text{if } u \in X_0, u \odot v \notin X_\emptyset \end{array} \right. \quad (2.7)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (i) & \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}u, v \mathcal{D}, w \mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{C}u, (\mathcal{C}v, w \mathcal{D}) \mathcal{D} \\ (ii) & \mathcal{C}u, v \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}v, u \mathcal{D} = X_\emptyset \quad \text{if } v \in X_\emptyset \\ (iii) & \mathcal{C}(u \odot x, v \odot y \mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{C}u, v \mathcal{D} \odot \mathcal{C}x, y \mathcal{D} \quad \text{if } u \odot x, v \odot y \notin X_\emptyset \end{array} \right. \quad (2.8)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (i) & (u \oplus v) \oplus w = u \oplus (v \oplus w) \\ (ii) & u \oplus v = v \oplus u \\ (iii) & u \oplus u \in X_\emptyset \quad \text{if and only if } u \in X_\emptyset \\ (iv) & \exists 0_u \in X_0 : v \oplus 0_u = v \quad \text{if } u \oplus v \notin X_\emptyset \\ (v) & (u \oplus v) \odot w = (u \odot w) \oplus (v \odot w) \end{array} \right. \quad (2.9)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (i) & (u \odot v) \odot w = u \odot (v \odot w) \\ (ii) & u \odot v = v \odot u \in X_\emptyset \quad \text{if } v \in X_\emptyset \\ (iii) & u \odot v, v \odot u \in X_0 \quad \text{if } u \in X_0, u \odot v, v \odot u \notin X_\emptyset \\ (iv) & (u \odot v) \odot w = (u \odot w) \odot (v \odot w) \\ (v) & (u \oplus v) \odot w = (u \odot w) \oplus (v \odot w) \\ (vi) & u \odot (v \oplus w) = (u \odot v) \oplus (u \odot w) \end{array} \right. \quad (2.10)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (i) & a \otimes u \in X_\emptyset \quad \text{if and only if } u \in X_\emptyset \\ (ii) & 0 \otimes u \in X_0 \quad \text{if } u \neq X_\emptyset \\ (iii) & 1 \otimes u = u \\ (iv) & a \otimes (b \otimes u) = (ab) \otimes u \\ (v) & (a \otimes u) \odot v = a \otimes (u \odot v) \\ (vi) & a \otimes (\mathcal{C}u, v \mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{C}(a \otimes u, a \otimes v \mathcal{D}) \\ (vii) & (a + a) \otimes u = (a \otimes u) \oplus (a \otimes u) \\ (viii) & a \otimes (u \oplus v) = (a \otimes u) \oplus (a \otimes v) \\ (ix) & (a \otimes u) \odot v = u \odot (a \otimes v) = a \otimes (u \odot v) \end{array} \right. \quad (2.11)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
(i) & \mathbf{m} \bullet (\mathbf{n} \bullet u) = (\mathbf{mn}) \bullet u \\
(ii) & \mathbf{1} \bullet u = u \\
(iii) & \mathbf{m} \bullet u \in X_\emptyset \quad \text{if and only if } u \in X_\emptyset \\
(iv) & \mathbf{m} \bullet (u \oplus v) = (\mathbf{m} \bullet u) \oplus (\mathbf{m} \bullet v) \\
(v) & \mathbf{m} \bullet (a \otimes u) = a \otimes (\mathbf{m} \bullet u) \\
(vi) & (\mathbf{d}_i \mathbf{p}_i) \bullet u, (\mathbf{d}_{i+1} \mathbf{q}_i) \bullet u \in X_\emptyset \quad \text{if } u \notin X_\emptyset \\
(vii) & (\mathbf{c}_i \mathbf{c}_j) \bullet u \in X_\emptyset \quad \text{if } u \notin X_\emptyset, i \neq j \\
(viii) & (\mathbf{d}_i \bullet u) \oplus u \notin X_\emptyset \quad \text{if } u \notin X_\emptyset \\
(ix) & \mathbf{d}_i \bullet (u \odot v) = (\mathbf{d}_i \bullet u) \odot v \oplus u \odot (\mathbf{d}_i \bullet v) \\
(x) & \exists m \in \mathbb{N} : \mathbf{d}_i \bullet (u \odot v) = \bigoplus_{m=1}^m ((\mathbf{d}_m \bullet u) \odot v) \odot ((\mathbf{c}_m \mathbf{d}_i) \bullet v) \\
(xi) & \mathbf{c}_i \bullet (u \odot v) = (\mathbf{c}_i \bullet u) \odot v \\
(xii) & \mathbf{p}_i \bullet u = ((\int_i \mathbf{d}_i) \bullet u) \oplus ((-1) \otimes (\mathbf{q}_i \bullet u)) \\
(xiii) & \mathbf{p}_i \bullet (u \odot v) = u \odot (\mathbf{p}_i \bullet v) \\
(xiv) & \mathbf{p}_i (u \odot v) = (\mathbf{p}_i \bullet u) \odot (\mathbf{p}_i \bullet v) \\
(xv) & \mathbf{q}_i \bullet (u \odot v) \oplus u \odot (\mathbf{q}_i \bullet (-1 \otimes v)) \in X_\emptyset \quad \text{if } u \odot v \notin X_\emptyset \\
(xvi) & \mathbf{q}_i (u \odot v) \oplus (\mathbf{q}_i \bullet u) \odot (\mathbf{q}_i \bullet v) \in X_\emptyset \quad \text{if } u \odot v \notin X_\emptyset \\
(xvii) & \int_i \bullet (u \odot (\mathbf{p}_i \bullet v)) = (\int_i \bullet u) \odot ((\mathbf{p}_i \mathbf{p}_i) \bullet v) \\
(xviii) & \int_{i+1} \bullet (u \odot (\mathbf{q}_i \bullet v)) = \\
& \quad \left( \int_{i+1} \bullet u \right) \odot ((\mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{q}_i) \bullet (-1 \otimes v)) \\
(ixx) & (\mathbf{p}_i \int_i) \bullet u = (\mathbf{p}_{i+1} \int_i) \bullet u \oplus (\mathbf{q}_{i+1} \int_i) \bullet u \\
(xx) & (\mathbf{q}_i \int_i) \bullet u \oplus (\mathbf{p}_{i+1} \int_i) \bullet u \in X_\emptyset \quad \text{if } u \notin X_\emptyset \\
(xxi) & (\mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{p}_i) \bullet u \oplus (\mathbf{p}_i \mathbf{p}_i) \bullet u \in X_\emptyset \quad \text{if } u \notin X_\emptyset.
\end{array} \right. \quad (2.12)$$

We call:  $X_\emptyset$  empty element of  $\mathcal{X}$ ;  $\odot$  composition;  $\mathcal{C} \ \mathcal{D}$  cartesian product;  $\oplus$  sum;  $\odot$  matrix product;  $\otimes$  product by a scalar;  $\bullet$  integro-differential operation. With an abuse of language, whenever no confusion is possible, we use the notation  $u \in \mathcal{X}$  in place of  $u \in X$  to denote an element of the  $\mathbb{A}$ -integro-differential space  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Arrows.** Fix two  $\mathbb{A}$ -integro-differential spaces

$$\mathcal{X} = (X, X_0, X_\emptyset, \odot, \mathcal{C} \ \mathcal{D}, \oplus, \odot, \otimes, \bullet), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{X}} = (Y, Y_0, X_\emptyset, \boxtimes, \llbracket \rrbracket, \boxplus, \boxminus, \boxtimes, \blacksquare).$$

A function between  $\mathbb{A}$ -integro-differential spaces is a continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  fulfilling the system of conditions below

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
f(X_0) \subseteq Y_0, \\
f(X_\emptyset) \subseteq X_\emptyset, \\
f(u \odot v) = f(u) \boxtimes f(v) & \forall u, v \in X, \\
f(\mathcal{C} u, v \ \mathcal{D}) = \llbracket f(u), f(v) \rrbracket & \forall u, v \in X, \\
f(u \oplus v) = f(u) \boxplus f(v) & \forall u, v \in X, \\
f(u \odot v) = f(u) \boxminus f(v) & \forall u, v \in X, \\
f(a \otimes u) = a \boxtimes f(u) & \forall a \in \mathbb{A}, \forall u \in X, \\
f(\mathbf{m} \bullet u) = \mathbf{m} \blacksquare f(u) & \forall \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M}, \forall u, v \in X.
\end{array} \right.$$

**Category.** We denote by  $\mathbf{IDS}_{\mathbb{A}}$  the category of  $\mathbb{A}$ -integro-differential spaces and functions.

Example 2.0.4 below shows that, in spite of its abstract appearance, Definition 2.0.3 is a quite natural one, more precisely: the set of all  $C^\infty$  functions is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -integro-differential space in a very natural way. We refer to Notations 11.0.3-[1, 5, 7], 11.0.5-[5, 7, 8, 9], 11.0.6-[6], 11.0.8-[3(a), 4], 11.0.9-[1, 2, 3].

**Example 2.0.4** We define the eight-tuple  $C^\infty = (C^\infty, C_0^\infty, \{\emptyset\}, \circ, (), +, \cdot, *, \bowtie)$ .

Definition of  $C^\infty, C_0^\infty$ . We set:

$$C^\infty = \left\{ f : f \in C^\infty [S, \mathbb{R}^n] \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad \forall S \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m \right\} \cup \{\emptyset\};$$

$$C_0^\infty = \left\{ c [S, \mathbb{R}^n, 0] \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad \forall S \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m \right\}.$$

Definition of  $\circ$ . Fix  $f, g \in C^\infty$ .

If  $\text{Im}(g) \cap \text{Dom}(f) = \emptyset$ , then we set  $f \circ g = \emptyset$ .

If  $\text{Im}(g) \cap \text{Dom}(f) \neq \emptyset$ , then we set

$$(f \circ g)(s) = f(g(s)) \quad \forall s \in g^{-1}(\text{Dom}(f)).$$

Definition of  $()$ . Fix  $f, g \in C^\infty$ .

If  $f = \emptyset$  or  $g = \emptyset$  then we set  $(f, g) = \emptyset$ .

If  $f \neq \emptyset$  and  $g \neq \emptyset$  then we set

$$(f, g)(s, t) = (f(s), g(t)) \quad \forall (s, t) \in \text{Dom}(f) \times \text{Dom}(g).$$

Definition of  $+$ . Fix  $f, g \in C^\infty$ .

If  $f = \emptyset$  or  $g = \emptyset$  or  $\text{Dom}(f) \neq \text{Dom}(g)$  or  $\text{Cod}(f) \neq \text{Cod}(g)$ , then we set  $f + g = \emptyset$ .

If  $f \neq \emptyset$  and  $g \neq \emptyset$  and  $\text{Dom}(f) = \text{Dom}(g)$  and  $\text{Cod}(f) = \text{Cod}(g) = \mathbb{R}^n$ , then we set  $(f + g)(s) = +[n, 2](f(s), g(s)) \quad \forall s \in \text{Dom}(f)$ .

Definition of  $\cdot$ . Fix  $f, g \in C^\infty$ .

If  $f = \emptyset$  or  $g = \emptyset$  or  $\text{Dom}(f) \neq \text{Dom}(g)$ , then we set  $f \cdot g = \emptyset$ .

If  $f \neq \emptyset$  and  $g \neq \emptyset$  and  $\text{Dom}(f) = \text{Dom}(g)$  and  $\text{Cod}(f) = \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\text{Cod}(g) = \mathbb{R}^n$  then we set  $(f \cdot g)(s) = \cdot[m, n](f(s), g(s)) \quad \forall s \in \text{Dom}(f)$ .

Definition of  $*$ . Fix  $f \in C^\infty, a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

If  $f = \emptyset$  then we set  $a * f = \emptyset$ .

If  $f \neq \emptyset$  then we set  $(a * f)(s) = af(s) \quad \forall s \in \text{Dom}(f)$ .

Definition of  $\bowtie$ . Fix  $f \in C^\infty, i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If  $f = \emptyset$  then we set  $\int_i \bowtie f = \mathbf{d}_i \bowtie f = \mathbf{c}_i \bowtie f = \mathbf{p}_i \bowtie f = \mathbf{q}_i \bowtie f = \emptyset$ .

If  $f \neq \emptyset$  then there are  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $S \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $\text{Dom}(f) = S$  and  $\text{Cod}(f) = \mathbb{R}^n$ , hence we set:

$$f_i \bowtie f = \begin{cases} (f_i(f_1), \dots, f_i(f_{\bar{n}})) \circ \Delta[\mathcal{I}[S, i], \bar{n}] & \text{if } i \leq m \\ (f_i(g_1), \dots, f_i(g_{\bar{n}})) \circ \Delta[\mathcal{I}[S, i], \bar{n}] & \text{if } i > m, \end{cases}$$

$$\text{where } g_n = f_n \circ p_1[S, \mathbb{R}^{i-m}] \quad \forall n \in \{1, \dots, \bar{n}\};$$

$$d_i \bowtie f = \begin{cases} (\partial_i(f_1), \dots, \partial_i(f_{\bar{n}})) \circ \Delta[S, \bar{n}] & \text{if } i \leq m, \\ c[S, \mathbb{R}^n, 0] & \text{if } i > m; \end{cases}$$

$$c_i \bowtie f = \begin{cases} f_i & \text{if } i \leq \bar{n}, \\ c[S, \mathbb{R}, 0] & \text{if } i > \bar{n}; \end{cases}$$

$$p_i \bowtie f = \begin{cases} f \circ pr[m, i] \circ i[\mathcal{I}[S, i], \mathbb{R}^{m+1}] & \text{if } i \leq m, \\ f \circ p_1[S, \mathbb{R}^{i-m+1}] & \text{if } i > m; \end{cases}$$

$$q_i \bowtie f = \begin{cases} -f \circ pr[m, i+1] \circ i[\mathcal{I}[S, i], \mathbb{R}^{m+1}] & \text{if } i \leq m, \\ -f \circ p_1[S, \mathbb{R}^{i-m+1}] & \text{if } i > m. \end{cases}$$

It is a long but not difficult exercise to check that conditions (2.7)-(2.12) are fulfilled.

Topology on  $C^\infty$  is denoted by  $\sigma_{C^\infty}$  and is the smallest topology containing all sets listed below

$$O[A, B, N] = \left\{ f \in C^\infty : A \subseteq (\partial_\alpha(f))^{-1}(B) \quad \forall \alpha \in (\mathbb{N}_0)^m \text{ with } \sum_{m=1}^m \alpha_m \leq N \right\}$$

where  $m, n, N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  is a compact set,  $B \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\partial_\alpha$  is the differential operator given by the composition  $(\partial_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots (\partial_m)^{\alpha_m}$ .

We list below some facts about topology  $\sigma_{C^\infty}$  which will be used in the next sections.

Fix a set  $O[A, B, N]$ ,  $f \in O[A, B, N]$  then  $A \subseteq \text{Ce}(f)$ . This entails that

$$\text{for any } m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, S \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m \text{ the subspace topology induced on } C^\infty[S, \mathbb{R}^n] \text{ coincides with topology recalled in Notation 11.0.9-[2].} \quad (2.13)$$

Fix  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $f \in C^\infty \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ , a compact set  $A \subseteq \text{Ce}(f)$  then straightforwardly is  $f \in O[A, \text{Cod}(f), N]$  and  $\emptyset \notin O[A, \text{Cod}(f), N]$  since  $\emptyset(s) = \emptyset \notin \text{Cod}(f)$ .

This entails that the set  $\{\emptyset\}$  is a closed subset of  $C^\infty$ .

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Set functions} \\ \circ, () , +, \cdot : C^\infty \times C^\infty \rightarrow C^\infty \\ * : \mathbb{R} \times C^\infty \rightarrow C^\infty \quad \bowtie : \mathbf{M} \times C^\infty \rightarrow C^\infty \\ \text{are continuous functions.} \end{array} \right. \quad (2.14)$$

Topology  $\sigma_{C^\infty}$  allows to handle convergence of a sequence of smooth functions  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  to a smooth function  $f$  even if sets  $\text{Ce}(f_n)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\text{Ce}(f)$  do not coincide. Precisely  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f$  means that for any compact set  $A \subseteq \text{Ce}(f)$  there is  $n_A$  such that both conditions below are fulfilled:

$$\begin{array}{l} A \subseteq \text{Ce}(f_n) \quad \forall n \geq n_A; \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{f_n \circ i[A, \text{Ce}(f_n)]\}_{n \geq n_A} \text{ uniformly converges to } f \circ i[A, \text{Ce}(f)] \\ \text{with respect to } \|\cdot\|_k \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

Topology  $\sigma_{C^\infty}$  coincides with the final topology on  $C^\infty$  with respect to the family of all paths in  $C^\infty$ .

The  $\mathbb{R}$ -integro-differential space  $C^\infty$  fulfills many other properties in addition to (2.7)-(2.12). Such additional properties cannot be fulfilled by an  $\mathbb{R}$ -integro-differential space if we pretend that it contains all continuous functions. This fact, which was first proven in [27], turns out to be intrinsic in construction described in Chapter 3.

By restricting our attention to the sub-monoid  $\mathbf{O}$  of  $\mathbf{M}$  and by dropping suitable conditions in Definition 2.0.3 we obtain integral spaces.

### Definition 2.0.5

**Objects.** An  $\mathbb{A}$ -integral space is a eight-tuple  $\mathcal{X} = (X, X_0, X_\emptyset, \odot, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \oplus, \ominus, \otimes, \bullet)$  fulfilling word by word all conditions contained in Definition 2.0.3 where  $\mathbf{M}$  is replaced by  $\mathbf{O}$  and any reference to  $\mathbf{d}_i$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  is dropped.

**Arrows.** Arrows between  $\mathbb{A}$ -integral spaces are defined word by word as in Definition 2.0.3 where  $\mathbf{M}$  is replaced by  $\mathbf{O}$ .

**Category.** We denote by  $\mathbf{IS}_{\mathbb{A}}$  the category of  $\mathbb{A}$ -integral spaces and functions. We denote by  $\dot{\Phi} : \mathbf{IDS}_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow \mathbf{IS}_{\mathbb{A}}$  the forgetful functor.

Example 2.0.6 shows that, in spite of its abstract appearance, Definition 2.0.3 is a quite natural one, more precisely: the set of all  $C^0$  functions is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -integral space in a very natural way. We refer to Notations 11.0.3-[7], 11.0.5-[5, 7, 8, 9], 11.0.9-[1, 2], Example 2.0.4.

**Example 2.0.6** We define the eight-tuple  $\mathcal{C}^0 = (C^0, C_0^0, \{\emptyset\}, \circ, () , +, \cdot, *, \bowtie)$ .

Definition of  $C^0$ ,  $C_0^0$ ,  $\emptyset$ . We set:

$$C^0 = \{f : f \in C^0[S, \mathbb{R}^n] \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad \forall S \subseteq \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{R}}^m\} \cup \{\emptyset\};$$

$$C_0^0 = C_0^\infty.$$

Definition of  $\circ, (), +, *, \cdot, \bowtie$ . Set functions  $\circ, (), +, *, \cdot, \bowtie$  are defined word by word as in Example 2.0.4 where  $f, g \in C^\infty$  is replaced by  $f, g \in C^0$ ,  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M}$  is replaced by  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{O}$ .

It is a long but not difficult exercise to prove that  $C^0$  is an integral space by checking conditions (2.7)-(2.11).

Topology on  $C^0$  is denoted by  $\sigma_{C^0}$  and is given word by word as in Example 2.0.4 where differential operator  $\partial_\alpha$  is replaced by  $1_{C^0}$ .

We list below some facts about topology  $\sigma_{C^0}$  which will be used in the next sections. The proof of these facts is either straightforward or is word by word the same as in Example 2.0.4.

For any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $S \subseteq \overset{\circ}{\mathbb{R}}^m$  the subspace topology induced on  $C^0(S, \mathbb{R}^n)$  coincides with topology recalled in Notation 11.0.9-[2].

The set  $\{\emptyset\}$  is a closed subset of  $C^0$ . (2.15)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Set functions} \\ \quad \quad \quad \circ, (), +, \cdot : C^0 \times C^0 \rightarrow C^0, \\ \quad \quad \quad * : \mathbb{R} \times C^0 \rightarrow C^0, \quad \bowtie : \mathbf{O} \times C^0 \rightarrow C^0 \\ \text{are continuous functions.} \end{array} \right. \quad (2.16)$$

Inclusion  $i[C^\infty, C^0]$  is a continuous function.

Weierstrass approximation theorem entails that

$$C^\infty \text{ is dense in } C^0. \quad (2.17)$$

Inclusion  $i[C^\infty, C^0]$  induces a function  $\iota : \dot{\Phi}(C^\infty) \rightarrow C^0$  between integral spaces. Topology  $\sigma_{C^0}$  allows to handle convergence of a sequence of smooth functions  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  to a smooth function  $f$  even if sets  $\text{Ce}(f_n)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\text{Ce}(f)$  do not coincide.

Topology  $\sigma_{C^0}$  coincides with the final topology on  $C^0$  with respect to the family of all paths in  $C^0$ .

We emphasize that for any  $f \in C^0$  notion of  $\text{Dom}(f)$  and of  $\text{Ce}(f)$  coincide.

## Chapter 3

# Construction of the integro-differential space of generalized functions

In this chapter we explicitly construct an integro-differential space  $\mathcal{W}$  which extend in a suitable way the integro-differential space of  $C^\infty$  and the integral space of  $C^0$ .

Throughout this section we will make an extensive use of magmas. We refer to Notation 11.0.4, Examples 2.0.4, 2.0.6, [7] Chap I §1 N° 1, [7] Chap I §7 N° 1. Construction of integro-differential space  $\mathcal{W}$  splits into five steps.

### 3.1 Choice of generating functions

For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$  we choose:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{an algebraic base } \mathfrak{B}^\infty(S) \text{ for the vector space } C^\infty[S, \mathbb{R}]; \\ \text{a subset } \mathfrak{B}(S) \subseteq C^0(S, \mathbb{R}) \text{ such that } \mathfrak{B}(S) \cup \mathfrak{B}^\infty(S) \text{ is an} \\ \text{algebraic base for the vector space } C^0(S, \mathbb{R}). \end{array} \right. \quad (3.1)$$

We set:

$$\mathfrak{B} = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \bigcup_{S \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m} \mathfrak{B}(S) \right), \quad X_{-1} = (C^\infty \setminus \{\emptyset\}) \cup \mathfrak{B}. \quad (3.2)$$

#### Remark 3.1.1

1. We do not consider vector spaces  $C^0(S, \mathbb{R}^0)$ ,  $C^\infty[S, \mathbb{R}^0]$ ,  $C^0(\mathbb{R}^0, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $C^\infty[\mathbb{R}^0, \mathbb{R}]$  in (3.1) since we have that  $C^0(S, \mathbb{R}^0) = C^\infty[S, \mathbb{R}^0]$  and  $C^0(\mathbb{R}^0, \mathbb{R}) = C^\infty[\mathbb{R}^0, \mathbb{R}]$ .

2. Construction of the integro-differential space  $\mathcal{W}$  does not depend on choice (3.1). Two different choices of generators  $\beth_1, \beth_2$  in (3.1) give two different integro-differential spaces  $\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2$  respectively which are isomorphic in  $\mathbf{IDS}_{\mathbb{R}}$ : any isomorphism of sets  $f : \beth_1 \rightarrow \beth_2$  fulfilling  $f(\beth_1(S)) \subseteq \beth_2(S)$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$  gives an isomorphism  $\mathcal{W}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_2$  of integro-differential spaces. This will be clear from the construction.
3. The unconventional choice of algebraic base for  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector spaces  $C^0(S, \mathbb{R})$  allows to handle a finite number of generators when dealing with a finite number of generalized function. This turns out to be very useful both in handling generalized functions from the algebraic point of view and in defining paths in the space of generalized functions, which are crucial in order to introduce topology.

## 3.2 Free magma $(X, \blacklozenge)$

Free magma  $(X, \blacklozenge)$  is the direct limit of free magmas  $\{(X_n, \blacklozenge_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  which are defined inductively.

In Definition 3.2.1 below we establish the base of the inductive process.

**Definition 3.2.1** We set  $G_0 = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (X_{-1})^n$ . The generic element belonging to  $G_0$  is denoted by  $x$ ; elements belonging to  $X_{-1}$  will be denoted also by  $f$ ; for any fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  elements belonging to  $(X_{-1})^n$  are denoted also by  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .  $(X_0, \blacklozenge_0)$  is the free magma generated by the set  $G_0$ .

**Proposition 3.2.2** Assume that exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that free magmas  $(X_j, \blacklozenge_j)$  with generators  $G_j$  and inclusion of magmas  $\mathbf{i}_j : X_{j-1} \rightarrow X_j$  are defined for any  $j \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ .

Set  $G_k = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathbf{M} \times X_{k-1})^n$ . The generic element belonging to  $G_k$  is denoted by  $x$ ; for any fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  elements belonging to  $(\mathbf{M} \times X_{k-1})^n$  are also denoted by  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  where  $x_i \in \mathbf{M} \times X_{k-1}$  for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Then:

there is one and only one free magma  $(X_k, \blacklozenge_k)$  generated by  $G_k$ ;

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there is one and only one injective function of magmas } \mathbf{i}_k : X_{k-1} \rightarrow X_k \\ \text{defined by setting } \mathbf{i}_k(x) = (\mathbf{1}, x) \quad \forall x \in G_{k-1}. \end{array} \right.$

**Proof.** Construction of a free magma starting from a given set of generators is described in [7] Chap I §1 N° 1. Set function  $\mathbf{i}_k$  is an inclusion of magmas by construction. ■

Motivated by Definition 3.2.1, Proposition 3.2.2 we introduce the free magma  $(X, \blacklozenge)$ .



**Definition 3.2.3** Free magma  $(X, \blacklozenge)$  is the direct limit of the chain

$$(X_0, \blacklozenge_0) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{i}_1} (X_1, \blacklozenge_1) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{i}_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\mathbf{i}_{i-1}} (X_{i-1}, \blacklozenge_{i-1}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{i}_i} (X_i, \blacklozenge_i) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{i}_{i+1}} \dots$$

Fix  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we denote by  $\iota_i : X_i \rightarrow X$  the natural inclusion function of magmas induced by the direct limit. We set  $\mathbf{i}_0 = \mathbf{i}[X_{-1}, X_0]$ ,  $\iota_{-1} = \iota_0 \circ \mathbf{i}_0$ .

The generic element belonging to  $X$  will be denoted by  $x$ .

We define the order of elements belonging to  $X$  by saying that  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{-1\}$  is the order of  $x \in X$  if and only if  $x \in \iota_i(X_i)$ .

In Proposition 3.2.4 below we introduce four set functions which are crucial to prove key results. We refer to Notation 11.0.6-[1].

**Proposition 3.2.4**

1. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there is one and only one set function  $\mathbf{I} : X^n \rightarrow X$  defined by setting

$$\mathbf{I}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \iota_{(\check{k}+1)}((\mathbf{1}, z_1), \dots, (\mathbf{1}, z_n)) \quad \forall (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n,$$

where:

$$\check{k} = \min \{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \forall n \in \{1, \dots, n\} \exists y \in X_k \text{ with } \iota_k(y) = x_n\};$$

$$\begin{cases} (z_1, \dots, z_n) \text{ is the unique element belonging to } (X_{\check{k}})^n \text{ and} \\ \text{fulfilling } (\iota_{\check{k}}(z_1), \dots, \iota_{\check{k}}(z_n)) = (x_1, \dots, x_n). \end{cases}$$

We emphasize that in case  $n = 1$  we have  $\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{1}_X$ .

2. There is one and only one set function  $\mathbf{M} : \mathbf{M} \times X \rightarrow X$  defined by setting

$$\mathbf{M}(m, x) = \iota_{(\check{k}+1)}((\mathbf{m}, z)) \quad \forall (\mathbf{m}, x) \in \mathbf{M} \times X,$$

where:

$$\check{k} = \min \{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \exists y \in X_k \text{ with } \iota_k(y) = x\};$$

$$z \text{ is the unique element belonging to } X_{\check{k}} \text{ and fulfilling } \iota_{\check{k}}(z) = x.$$

3. There is one and only one set function  $\mathbf{C} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$  fulfilling the system of

conditions below

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{C}(f) = \mathbb{R}, \\ \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{1} \blacktriangleright x) = \mathbf{C}(x), \\ \mathbf{C}(\int_i \blacktriangleright x) = \mathbf{C}(x), \\ \mathbf{C}(d_i \blacktriangleright x) = \mathbf{C}(x), \\ \mathbf{C}(c_i \blacktriangleright x) = \mathbb{R}^0 & \text{if } \mathbf{C}(x) = \mathbb{R}^0, \\ \mathbf{C}(c_i \blacktriangleright x) = \mathbb{R} & \text{if } \mathbf{C}(x) \neq \mathbb{R}^0, \\ \mathbf{C}((\int_i \mathbf{m}) \blacktriangleright x) = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x), \\ \mathbf{C}((d_i \mathbf{m}) \blacktriangleright x) = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x), \\ \mathbf{C}((c_i \mathbf{m}) \blacktriangleright x) = \mathbb{R}^0 & \text{if } \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x) = \mathbb{R}^0, \\ \mathbf{C}((c_i \mathbf{m}) \blacktriangleright x) = \mathbb{R} & \text{if } \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x) \neq \mathbb{R}^0, \\ \mathbf{C}(|x_1, \dots, x_n|) = \prod_{n=1}^n \mathbf{C}(x_n), \\ \mathbf{C}((y) \blacklozenge (z)) = \mathbf{C}(y), \end{array} \right.$$

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M}, \quad \forall f \in X_{-1}, \quad \forall x, y, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X.$$

4. There is one and only one set function  $\mathbf{D} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$  fulfilling the system of conditions below

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{D}(f) = \text{Dom}(f), \\ \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{1} \blacktriangleright x) = \mathbf{D}(x), \\ \mathbf{D}(\int_i \blacktriangleright x) = \mathcal{I}[\mathbf{D}(x), i], \\ \mathbf{D}(d_i \blacktriangleright x) = \mathbf{D}(x), \\ \mathbf{D}(c_i \blacktriangleright x) = \mathbf{D}(x), \\ \mathbf{D}((\int_i \mathbf{m}) \blacktriangleright x) = \mathcal{I}[\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x), i], \\ \mathbf{D}((d_i \mathbf{m}) \blacktriangleright x) = \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x), \\ \mathbf{D}((c_i \mathbf{m}) \blacktriangleright x) = \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x), \\ \mathbf{D}(|x_1, \dots, x_n|) = \prod_{n=1}^n \mathbf{D}(x_n), \\ \mathbf{D}((y) \blacklozenge (z)) = \mathbf{D}(z), \end{array} \right.$$

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M}, \quad \forall f \in X_{-1}, \quad \forall x, y, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X.$$

**Proof.**

**Proof of statement 1, 2.** Statements 1, 2 follow by construction of  $X$ .

**Proof of statement 3.** We recursively define set functions:

$\mathbf{C}_0 : X_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$  by setting

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{C}_0(f) = \mathbb{R}, \\ \mathbf{C}_0((x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \prod_{n=1}^n \text{Cod}(x_n), \\ \mathbf{C}_0((y) \blacklozenge_0(z)) = \mathbf{C}_0(y), \end{array} \right.$$

$\forall f \in X_{-1}, \quad \forall (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (X_{-1})^n, \quad \forall y, z \in X_0;$

$\mathbf{C}_k : X_k \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$  by setting

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{C}_k((\mathbf{1}, x)) = \mathbf{C}_{k-1}(x), \\ \mathbf{C}_k((\int_i, x)) = \mathbf{C}_{k-1}(x), \\ \mathbf{C}_k((\mathbf{d}_i, x)) = \mathbf{C}_{k-1}(x), \\ \mathbf{C}_k((\mathbf{c}_i, x)) = 0 \quad \text{if } \mathbf{C}_{k-1}(x) = \mathbb{R}^0, \\ \mathbf{C}_k((\mathbf{c}_i, x)) = \mathbb{R} \quad \text{if } \mathbf{C}_{k-1}(x) \neq \mathbb{R}^0, \\ \mathbf{C}_k((\int_i \mathbf{m}, x)) = \mathbf{C}_k((\mathbf{m}, x)), \\ \mathbf{C}_k((\mathbf{d}_i \mathbf{m}, x)) = \mathbf{C}_k((\mathbf{m}, x)), \\ \mathbf{C}_k((\mathbf{c}_i \mathbf{m}, x)) = \mathbb{R}^0 \quad \text{if } \mathbf{C}_k((\mathbf{m}, x)) = \mathbb{R}^0, \\ \mathbf{C}_k((\mathbf{c}_i \mathbf{m}, x)) = \mathbb{R} \quad \text{if } \mathbf{C}_k((\mathbf{m}, x)) \neq \mathbb{R}^0, \\ \mathbf{C}_k((x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \prod_{n=1}^n \mathbf{C}_k(x_n), \\ \mathbf{C}_k((y) \blacklozenge_k(z)) = \mathbf{C}_k(y), \end{array} \right.$$

$\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M}, \quad \forall x \in X_{k-1}, \quad \forall (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in G_k, \quad \forall y, z \in X_k.$

Set functions  $\mathbf{C}_k$  are well defined for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  since: Remark 2.0.2-[1] holds true;  $\mathbf{C}_k(\mathbf{m}(x)) = \mathbf{C}_k(\mathbf{n}(x))$  for any  $x \in X_{k-1}$  and any relation  $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{n}$  chosen among (2.1)-(2.6).

Eventually statement follows since  $\mathbf{C}_{k-1} = \mathbf{C}_k \circ \mathbf{i}_k \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$

**Proof of statement 4.** Referring to Notation 11.0.6-[6], Remark 11.0.7 we recursively define set functions:

$\mathbf{D}_0 : X_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$  by setting

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{D}_0(f) = \text{Dom}(f), \\ \mathbf{D}_0((x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \prod_{n=1}^n \text{Dom}(x_n), \\ \mathbf{D}_0((y) \blacklozenge_0(z)) = \mathbf{D}_0(z), \end{array} \right.$$

$\forall f \in X_{-1}, \quad \forall (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (X_{-1})^n, \quad \forall y, z \in X_0;$

$\mathbf{D}_k : X_k \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$  by setting

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{D}_k((\mathbf{1}, x)) = \mathbf{D}_{k-1}(x), \\ \mathbf{D}_k((\int_i, x)) = \mathcal{I}[\mathbf{D}_{k-1}(x), i], \\ \mathbf{D}_k((\mathbf{d}_i, x)) = \mathbf{D}_{k-1}(x), \\ \mathbf{D}_k((\mathbf{c}_i, x)) = \mathbf{D}_{k-1}(x), \\ \mathbf{D}_k((\int_i \mathbf{m}, x)) = \mathcal{I}[\mathbf{D}_k((\mathbf{m}, x)), i], \\ \mathbf{D}_k((\mathbf{d}_i \mathbf{m}, x)) = \mathbf{D}_k((\mathbf{m}, x)), \\ \mathbf{D}_k((\mathbf{c}_i \mathbf{m}, x)) = \mathbf{D}_k((\mathbf{m}, x)), \\ \mathbf{D}_k((x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \prod_{n=1}^n \mathbf{D}_k(x_n), \\ \mathbf{D}_k((y) \blacklozenge_k(z)) = \mathbf{D}_k(z), \end{array} \right.$$

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M}, \quad \forall x \in X_{k-1}, \quad \forall (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in G_k, \quad \forall y, z \in X_k.$$

Set functions  $\mathbf{D}_k$  are well defined for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  since: Remark 2.0.2-[1] holds true;  $\mathbf{D}_k((\mathbf{m}, x)) = \mathbf{D}_k((\mathbf{n}, x))$  for any  $x \in X_{k-1}$  and any relation  $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{n}$  chosen among (2.1)-(2.6).

Eventually statement follows since  $\mathbf{D}_{k-1} = \mathbf{D}_k \circ \mathbf{i}_k \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad \blacksquare$

**Remark 3.2.5** *We emphasize that for  $x \in X$  the notion of  $\mathbf{C}e(x)$  cannot be well defined. Precisely it is straightforward to verify that the set  $\mathbf{C}e(x)$  changes in  $\mathbf{D}(x)$  when evaluating  $x$  by replacing elements belonging to  $\sqsupset$  with smooth functions. Similar consideration holds for  $\mathbf{l}m(x)$  with respect to  $\mathbf{C}(x)$ .*

Motivated by Proposition 3.2.4-[3, 4] we introduce set functions giving the dimension of domain and co-domain of elements belonging  $X$ .

**Definition 3.2.6** *We define set functions*

$$\tilde{\mathbf{C}} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0 \quad \text{by setting} \quad \mathbf{C}(x) = \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(x)} \quad \forall x \in X;$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0 \quad \text{by setting} \quad \mathbf{D}(x) \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{\mathbf{D}}(x)} \quad \forall x \in X.$$

In order to prove key results below we need to handle elements belonging to  $X_k$  very accurately. To this aim we consider any fixed  $x \in X_k$  as a finite ordered sequence of characters, then we introduce notation and tools which are needed to handle finite ordered sequences of characters with the required accuracy. We refer to Notation 11.0.3-[2, 5].

**Definition 3.2.7**

1. *Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define the set  $\widehat{G}_k$  by setting:*

$$\begin{array}{l} X_{-1} \subseteq \widehat{G}_k; \quad \mathbf{M} \subseteq \widehat{G}_k; \quad \blacklozenge_k \in \widehat{G}_k \quad \forall k \in \{0, \dots, k\}; \\ (\in \widehat{G}_k; \quad ) \in \widehat{G}_k; \quad , \in \widehat{G}_k. \end{array}$$

2. Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We set  $\widehat{X}_k = \left\{ \widehat{x} : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \widehat{G}_k \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$ .

3. Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define the set function  $\lambda_k : \widehat{X}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by setting

$$\lambda_k(\widehat{x}) = \sharp(\text{Dom}(\widehat{x})) \quad \forall \widehat{x} \in \widehat{X}_k.$$

We say that the positive integer  $\lambda_k(\widehat{x})$  is the length of  $\widehat{x}$ .

4. Fix  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\widehat{x} \in \widehat{X}_k$ . Assume  $i, i+l \in \{1, \dots, \lambda_k(\widehat{x})\}$ . We define the set function  $\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_k[\widehat{x}, i, l] : \{1, \dots, l\} \rightarrow \widehat{G}_k$  by setting

$$\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_k[\widehat{x}, i, l](l) = \widehat{x}(i+l-1) \quad \forall l \in \{1, \dots, l\}.$$

5. Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\widehat{x} \in \widehat{X}_k$ .

Fix  $(\widehat{y}, i) \in \widehat{X}_k \times \mathbb{N}$ . We say that  $(\widehat{y}, i)$  is an occurrence pair for  $\widehat{x}$  if and only if  $\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_k[\widehat{x}, i, \lambda_k(\widehat{y})] = \widehat{y}$ . We also say that:  $(\widehat{y}, i)$  occurs in  $\widehat{x}$ ;  $\widehat{y}$  occurs in  $\widehat{x}$  at  $i$ ;  $\widehat{y}$  occurs in  $\widehat{x}$ , by dropping any reference to  $i$  whenever there is no need to specify where  $\widehat{y}$  occurs in  $\widehat{x}$ ;  $i$  is an occurrence of  $\widehat{y}$  in  $\widehat{x}$ .

Fix a set  $\widehat{O} \subseteq \widehat{X}_k \times \mathbb{N}$ . We say that  $\widehat{O}$  is an occurrence set for  $\widehat{x}$  if and only if either  $\widehat{O} = \emptyset$  or the four conditions below are all fulfilled

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (i) \quad \widehat{O} \text{ is a not empty, finite, totally ordered set,} \\ (ii) \quad i + \lambda_k(\widehat{y}) \leq \lambda_k(\widehat{x}) \quad \forall (\widehat{y}, i) \in \widehat{O}, \\ (iii) \quad i_1 + \lambda_k(\widehat{y}_1) \leq i_2 \\ \quad \quad \quad \forall (\widehat{y}_1, i_1), (\widehat{y}_2, i_2) \in \widehat{O} \text{ with } (\widehat{y}_1, i_1) \prec (\widehat{y}_2, i_2), \\ (iv) \quad \widehat{\mathbf{x}}_k[\widehat{x}, i, \lambda_k(\widehat{y})] = \widehat{y} \quad \forall (\widehat{y}, i) \in \widehat{O}. \end{array} \right.$$

6. Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\widehat{x} \in \widehat{X}_k$ , an occurrence set  $\{(\widehat{x}_m, i_m)\}_{m=1}^m$  for  $\widehat{x}$ , a set function  $\widehat{\eta} : \{(\widehat{x}_m, i_m)\}_{m=1}^m \rightarrow \widehat{X}_k$ . Assume  $\widehat{\eta} \neq \emptyset$ . Set:

$$\lambda[\widehat{x}, \widehat{\eta}] = \lambda_k(\widehat{x}) - \sum_{m=1}^m \lambda_k(\widehat{x}_m) + \sum_{m=1}^m \lambda_k(\widehat{\eta}(\widehat{x}_m, i_m));$$

$$\sigma_m = \begin{cases} i_1 - 1 & \text{if } m = 1, \\ i_m - \sum_{a=1}^{m-1} \lambda_k(\widehat{x}_a) + \sum_{a=1}^{m-1} \lambda_k(\widehat{\eta}(\widehat{x}_a, i_a)) - 1 & \text{if } 2 \leq m \leq m. \end{cases}$$

We define the set function  $\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_k [\widehat{x}, \widehat{\eta}] : \{1, \dots, \lambda [\widehat{x}, \widehat{\eta}]\} \rightarrow \widehat{G}_k$  by setting:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_k [\widehat{x}, \widehat{\eta}] (l) = \widehat{x} (l) \quad \text{if } 1 \leq l < i_1, \\ \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_k [\widehat{x}, \widehat{\eta}] (\sigma_m + l) = (\widehat{\eta} (\widehat{x}_m, i_m)) (l) \quad \text{if } 1 \leq l \leq \lambda_k (\widehat{\eta} (\widehat{x}_m, i_m)), \\ \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_k [\widehat{x}, \widehat{\eta}] (\sigma_m + l) = \widehat{x} (i_m + l + \lambda_k (\widehat{x}_m) - \lambda_k (\widehat{\eta} (\widehat{x}_m, i_m)) - 1) \\ \text{if } \lambda_k (\widehat{\eta} (\widehat{x}_m, i_m)) + 1 \leq l \leq \lambda_k (\widehat{\eta} (\widehat{x}_m, i_m)) + i_{m+1} - i_m - \lambda_k (\widehat{x}_m) \\ \text{and } 1 \leq m \leq m-1, \\ \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_k [\widehat{x}, \widehat{\eta}] (\sigma_m + l) = \widehat{x} (i_m + l + \lambda_k (\widehat{x}_m) - \lambda_k (\widehat{\eta} (\widehat{x}_m, i_m)) - 1) \\ \text{if } \lambda_k (\widehat{\eta} (\widehat{x}_m, i_m)) + 1 \leq l \\ \text{and } l \leq \lambda_k (\widehat{\eta} (\widehat{x}_m, i_m)) + \lambda_k (\widehat{x}) + 1 - i_m - \lambda_k (\widehat{x}_m). \end{array} \right.$$

**Remark 3.2.8** We emphasize that:

1.  $\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_k [\widehat{x}, i, l]$  is the finite ordered sequence obtained from  $\widehat{x}$  by taking all symbols from the  $i$ -th to the  $(i + l - 1)$ -th.
2.  $\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_k [\widehat{x}, \widehat{\eta}]$  is the finite ordered sequence of symbols obtained by replacing any subsequence  $\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_k [\widehat{x}, i_m, \lambda_k (\widehat{x}_m)]$  of  $\widehat{x}$  by the sequence  $\widehat{\eta} (\widehat{x}_m, i_m)$ .
3. Fix  $k \in \{0, \dots, l\}$ . There is an injective set function  $\theta [k, l] : X_k \rightarrow \widehat{X}_l$  which associates to any fixed  $x \in X_k$  the corresponding finite ordered sequence of characters belonging to  $\widehat{X}_l$ . With an abuse of language we denote the set  $\theta [k, l] (X_k) \subseteq \widehat{X}_l$  again by  $X_k$  and we denote  $\theta [k, l] (x)$  again by  $x$  for any  $x \in X_k$ .
4. With an abuse of language, by referring to Notation 11.0.3-[7], we denote  $c [\{1\}, \widehat{G}_k, \mathbf{m}] \in \widehat{X}_k$  again by  $\mathbf{m}$  for any  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M}$ .

**Proposition 3.2.9** Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\widehat{x} \in \widehat{X}_k$ , an occurrence set  $\{(\widehat{x}_m, i_m)\}_{m=1}^m$  for  $\widehat{x}$ , a set function  $\widehat{\eta} : \{(\widehat{x}_m, i_m)\}_{m=1}^m \rightarrow \widehat{X}_k$ . Assume  $\widehat{\eta} \neq \emptyset$ .

Then there are:

one and only one occurrence set  $\{(\widehat{y}_m, j_m)\}_{m=1}^m$  for  $\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_k [\widehat{x}, \widehat{\eta}]$ ,

one and only one set function  $\widehat{\xi} : \{(\widehat{y}_m, j_m)\}_{m=1}^m \rightarrow \widehat{X}_k$ ,

such that  $\widehat{x} = \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_k [\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_k [\widehat{x}, \widehat{\eta}], \widehat{\xi}]$ .

**Proof.** We set:

$$\widehat{y}_m = \widehat{\eta} (\widehat{x}_m, i_m) \quad \forall m \in \{1, \dots, m\};$$

$$j_m = \begin{cases} i_1 & \text{if } m = 1, \\ i_m - \sum_{a=1}^{m-1} \lambda_k (\widehat{x}_a) + \sum_{a=1}^{m-1} \lambda_k (\widehat{\eta} (\widehat{x}_a, i_a)) & \text{if } m \in \{2, \dots, m\}; \end{cases}$$

$$\widehat{\xi}(\widehat{y}_m, j_m) = \widehat{x}_m \quad \forall m \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

Eventually statement follows by computing  $\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_k \left[ \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_k [\widehat{x}, \widehat{\eta}], \widehat{\xi} \right]$ . ■

**Proposition 3.2.10** Fix  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $k \in \{0, \dots, l\}$ ,  $x \in X_k$ , an occurrence set  $\{(x_m, i_m)\}_{m=1}^m$  for  $x$ , a set function  $\widehat{\eta} : \{(x_m, i_m)\}_{m=1}^m \rightarrow \widehat{X}_l$ . Assume

$$\forall m \in \{1, \dots, m\} \quad \exists k_m \in \{0, \dots, k\} \text{ such that } x_m \in X_{k_m} \text{ and } \widehat{\eta}(x_m, i_m) \in X_{k_m}.$$

Then:

1.  $\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_k [x, \widehat{\eta}] \in X_k$ ;

2. there are

$$\text{one and only one occurrence set } \{(y_m, j_m)\}_{m=1}^m \text{ for } \mathbf{i}_{k+1}(x),$$

$$\text{one and only one set function } \widehat{\xi} : \{(y_m, j_m)\}_{m=1}^m \rightarrow \widehat{X}_{l+1},$$

such that:

$$y_m = \begin{cases} \mathbf{i}_{k+1}(x_a) & \forall m \in \{1, \dots, m\} \text{ with } k_m = k, \\ x_m & \forall m \in \{1, \dots, m\} \text{ with } k_m \neq k; \end{cases}$$

$$\widehat{\xi}(y_m, j_m) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{i}_{k+1}(\widehat{\eta}(x_m, i_m)) & \forall m \in \{1, \dots, m\} \text{ with } k_m = k, \\ \widehat{\eta}(x_m, i_m) & \forall m \in \{1, \dots, m\} \text{ with } k_m \neq k; \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{i}_{k+1}(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_l [x, \widehat{\eta}]) = \begin{cases} \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{l+1} \left[ \mathbf{i}_{k+1}(x), \widehat{\xi} \right] & \text{if } k = l, \\ \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_l \left[ \mathbf{i}_{k+1}(x), \widehat{\xi} \right] & \text{if } k \neq l. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Statements follows by exploiting the following two facts occurring for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ :  $\mathbf{i}_{i+1}(G_i) \subseteq G_{i+1}$ ; any  $z \in X_i$  can be uniquely written in terms of elements belonging to  $G_i$ . ■

**Proposition 3.2.11** Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $x \in X_k$ , two occurrence pairs  $(x_1, i_1), (x_2, i_2)$  for  $x$ . Assume  $\forall a \in \{1, 2\} \quad \exists k_a \in \{0, \dots, k\}$  such that  $x_a \in X_{k_a}$ .

Then one among the following mutually exclusive four cases occurs:

$$\begin{aligned} i_1 + \boldsymbol{\lambda}_k(x_1) \leq i_2, & \quad i_2 \leq i_1 < i_1 + \boldsymbol{\lambda}_k(x_1) \leq i_2 + \boldsymbol{\lambda}_k(x_2), \\ i_2 + \boldsymbol{\lambda}_k(x_2) \leq i_1, & \quad i_1 \leq i_2 < i_2 + \boldsymbol{\lambda}_k(x_2) \leq i_1 + \boldsymbol{\lambda}_k(x_1). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Statement follows by exploiting the following two facts occurring for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ :  $\mathbf{i}_{i+1}(G_i) \subseteq G_{i+1}$ ;  $z$  can be uniquely written in terms of elements belonging to  $G_i$  for any  $z \in X_i$ . ■

Motivated by Definition 3.2.7, Proposition 3.2.10, Remark 3.2.8-[3, 4] we extend to  $X$  the approach by sequence of characters developed above for elements belonging to  $X_k$ .

**Definition 3.2.12**

1. Fix  $x \in X$ .

Fix  $(y, i) \in X \times \mathbb{N}$ . We say that  $(y, i)$  is an occurrence pair for  $x$  if and only if exist  $k_x, k_y \in \mathbb{N}_0, z_x \in X_{k_x}, z_y \in X_{k_y}$  fulfilling system below:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \iota_{k_x}(z_x) = x, \\ \iota_{k_y}(z_y) = y, \\ k_y \in \{0, \dots, k_x\}, \\ (z_y, i) \text{ is an occurrence pair for } z_x. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.3)$$

We also say that:  $(y, i)$  occurs in  $x$ ;  $y$  occurs in  $x$  at  $i$ ;  $y$  occurs in  $x$  by dropping any reference to  $i$  whenever there is no need to specify where  $y$  occurs in  $x$ ;  $i$  is an occurrence of  $y$  in  $x$ .

Fix a set  $O \subseteq X \times \mathbb{N}$ , a set function  $\eta : O \rightarrow X$ .

We say that  $O$  is an occurrence set for  $x$  if and only if there are  $k_x \in \mathbb{N}_0, z_x \in X_{k_x}$ , an occurrence set  $\widehat{O}$  for  $z_x$  fulfilling system below

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \iota_{k_x}(z_x) = x, \\ \forall (y, i) \in \widehat{O} \quad \exists k[y, i] \in \{0, \dots, k_x\} \text{ such that } y \in X_{k[y, i]}, \\ O = \left\{ (\iota_{k[y, i]}(y), i), \quad (y, i) \in \widehat{O} \right\}. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.4)$$

We say that  $\eta$  is an occurrence function on  $O$  if and only if there is a set function  $\widehat{\eta} : \widehat{O} \rightarrow \widehat{X}_{k_x}$  fulfilling the system of conditions below

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \widehat{\eta}(y, i) \in X_{k[y, i]} \quad \forall (y, i) \in \widehat{O}, \\ \eta(\iota_{k[y, i]}(y), i) = \iota_{k[y, i]}(\widehat{\eta}(y, i)) \quad \forall (y, i) \in \widehat{O}. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.5)$$

2. Fix  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M}, x \in X$ . We say that  $\mathbf{m}$  occurs in  $x$  if and only if exist  $i, k \in \mathbb{N}, z \in X_k$  such that:

$$\iota_k(z) = x; \quad (\mathbf{m}, i) \text{ is an occurrence pair for } z.$$

3. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}, (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ , an occurrence set  $O_n$  for  $x_n$ , an occurrence function  $\eta_n$  on  $O_n$  for any  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Define

$$N = \{\mathbf{n} \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \eta_n \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$\eta : \prod_{n \in N} O_n \rightarrow X \quad \text{by setting} \quad \eta \circ i \left[ O_{\mathbf{m}}, \prod_{n \in N} O_n \right] = \eta_n \quad \forall \mathbf{m} \in N.$$

We emphasize that if  $N = \emptyset$  then  $\eta = \emptyset$ .

We deal with a finite number of elements belonging to  $X$  (i.e.  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ ), of occurrence sets (i.e.  $O_1, \dots, O_n$ ), of occurrence functions (i.e.  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n$ ), then by Proposition 3.2.10-[2] there is no loss of generality by assuming



that there are  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $z_n \in X_k$  for any  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , an occurrence set  $\widehat{O}_n$  for  $z_n$  for any  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , a set function  $\widehat{\eta}_n : \widehat{O}_n \rightarrow \widehat{X}_k$  for any  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , such that: data  $x_n, O_n, k, z_n, \widehat{O}_n$  fulfill (3.4) for any  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ; data  $x_n, O_n, \eta_n, k, z_n, \widehat{O}_n, \widehat{\eta}_n$  fulfill (3.5) for any  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Hence we define  $\mathbf{u}[(x_1, \dots, x_n), \eta] = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in X^n$  where

$$y_n = \begin{cases} \iota_k(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_k[z_n, \widehat{\eta}_n]) & \text{if } n \in N, \\ x_n & \text{if } n \notin N. \end{cases}$$

4. Fix  $x \in X$ . We set

$$O^x = \{(\iota_{-1}(f), i) \mid \forall (f, i) \in \beth \times \mathbb{N} \text{ such that} \\ (\iota_{-1}(f), i) \text{ is an occurrence pair for } x\};$$

$$O_x = \{f \in \beth : \iota_{-1}(f) \text{ occurs in } x\}.$$

**Proposition 3.2.13** Fix  $x \in X$ , an occurrence set  $O$  for  $x$ , a subset  $P \subseteq O$ , an occurrence function  $\eta$  on  $O$ . Then:  $P$  is an occurrence set for  $x$ ;  $\eta \circ i[P, O]$  is an occurrence function on  $P$ .

**Proof.** Statement follows directly from Definition 3.2.12-[1]. ■

In Construction 3.2.14 below we introduce the notion of deduced occurrence set and deduced occurrence function.

**Construction 3.2.14** Fix  $x \in X$ , an occurrence pair  $(y, i)$  for  $x$ , an occurrence set  $O$  for  $y$ , an occurrence function  $\eta$  on  $O$ .

We denote by

$$O[O, (y, i)] \text{ the occurrence set for } y,$$

$$\eta[\eta, (y, i)] \text{ the occurrence function on } O[(y, i), O]$$

defined through the construction below. We say that:  $O[O, (y, i)]$  is the occurrence set deduced on  $x$  by  $O$ ;  $\eta[\eta, (y, i)]$  is the occurrence function induced on  $O[O, (y, i)]$  by  $\eta$ .

Since we deal with a finite number of elements belonging to  $X$  (i.e.  $x, y$ ), of occurrence sets (i.e.  $O$ ), of occurrence functions (i.e.  $\eta$ ), by Proposition 3.2.10-[2] there is no loss of generality by assuming that there are  $k_x, k_y \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $z_x \in X_{k_x}$ ,  $z_y \in X_{k_y}$ , an occurrence set  $\widehat{O}$  for  $z_y$ , a set function  $\widehat{\eta} : \widehat{O} \rightarrow \widehat{X}_{k_y}$  such that: data  $x, y, i, k_x, k_y, z_x, z_y$  fulfill (3.3); data  $y, O, k_y, z_y, \widehat{O}$  fulfill (3.4); data  $y, O, \eta, k_y, z_y, \widehat{O}, \widehat{\eta}$  fulfill (3.5).

By exploiting Propositions 3.2.11 we define:

$$\widehat{O}[\widehat{O}, (z_y, i)] = \{(z, j + i - 1) : (z, j) \in \widehat{O}\};$$

the set function  $\hat{\eta}[\hat{\eta}, (z_y, i)] : \hat{O}[\hat{O}, (z_y, i)] \rightarrow \hat{X}_{k_x}$  by setting

$$\hat{\eta}[\hat{\eta}, (z_y, i), ](z, j) = \hat{\eta}(z, j - i + 1) \quad \forall (z, j) \in \hat{O}[\hat{O}, (z_y, i)].$$

If  $\hat{O}[\hat{O}, (z_y, i)] = \emptyset$  then we set:  $O[(y, i)] = \emptyset; \eta[(y, i)] = \emptyset$ .  
 If  $\hat{O}[\hat{O}, (z_y, i)] \neq \emptyset$  then we set:

$$O[(y, i)] = \left\{ (\iota_{k[z, j]}(z), j) : (z, j) \in \hat{O}[\hat{O}, (z_y, i)] \right\};$$

$$\eta[(y, i)](\iota_{k[z, j]}(z), j) = \iota_{k[z, j]}(\hat{\eta}[\hat{\eta}, (z_y, i)](z, j)) \quad \forall (z, j) \in \hat{O}[\hat{O}, (z_y, i)].$$

In Construction 3.2.15 below we introduce the notion of induced occurrence set and induced occurrence function.

**Construction 3.2.15** Fix  $x \in X$ , an occurrence pair  $(y, i)$  for  $x$ , an occurrence set  $O$  for  $x$ , an occurrence function  $\eta$  on  $O$ .

We denote by

$O[(y, i), O]$  the occurrence set for  $y$ ,

$\eta[(y, i), \eta]$  the occurrence function on  $O[(y, i), O]$

defined through the construction below. We say that:  $O[(y, i), O]$  is the occurrence set induced on  $y$  by  $O$ ;  $\eta[(y, i), \eta]$  is the occurrence function induced on  $O[(y, i), O]$  by  $\eta$ .

Since we deal with a finite number of elements belonging to  $X$  (i.e.  $x, y$ ), of occurrence sets (i.e.  $O$ ), of occurrence functions (i.e.  $\eta$ ), by Proposition 3.2.10-[2] there is no loss of generality by assuming that there are  $k_x, k_y \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $z_x \in X_{k_x}$ ,  $z_y \in X_{k_y}$ , an occurrence set  $\hat{O}$  for  $z_x$ , a set function  $\hat{\eta} : \hat{O} \rightarrow \hat{X}_{k_x}$  such that: data  $x, y, i, k_x, k_y, z_x, z_y$  fulfill (3.3); data  $x, O, k_x, z_x, \hat{O}$  fulfill (3.4); data  $x, O, \eta, k_x, z_x, \hat{O}, \hat{\eta}$  fulfill (3.5).

By exploiting Propositions 3.2.11 we define:

$$\hat{O}[(z_y, i), \hat{O}] = \left\{ (z, j - i + 1) : (z, j) \in \hat{O}, \quad i \leq j < j + \lambda_{k_x}(z) \leq i + \lambda_{k_x}(z_y) \right\};$$

the set function  $\hat{\eta}[(z_y, i), \hat{\eta}] : \hat{O}[(z_y, i), \hat{O}] \rightarrow \hat{X}_{k_y}$  by setting

$$\hat{\eta}[(z_y, i), \hat{\eta}](z, j) = \hat{\eta}(z, j + i - 1) \quad \forall (z, j) \in \hat{O}[(z_y, i), \hat{O}].$$

If  $\hat{O}[(z_y, i), \hat{O}] = \emptyset$  then we set:  $O[(y, i), O] = \emptyset; \eta[(y, i), \eta] = \emptyset$ .  
 If  $\hat{O}[(z_y, i), \hat{O}] \neq \emptyset$  then we set:

$$O[(y, i), O] = \left\{ (\iota_{k[z, j]}(z), j) : (z, j) \in \hat{O}[(z_y, i), \hat{O}] \right\};$$

$$\eta[(y, i), \eta](\iota_{k[z, j]}(z), j) = \iota_{k[z, j]}(\widehat{\eta}[(z_y, i), \widehat{\eta}](z, j)) \quad \forall (z, j) \in \widehat{O}[(z_y, i), \widehat{O}].$$

In Propositions 3.2.16-3.2.18 below we study how Construction 3.2.15 specializes in case of elementary structure of  $x$ , in such cases we also prove a sort of inversion of Construction 3.2.15 (statements 2).

**Proposition 3.2.16**

1. Fix  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ , an occurrence set  $O$  for  $x_1 \blacklozenge x_2$ , an occurrence function  $\eta$  on  $O$ . Then either  $O = \{(x_1 \blacklozenge x_2, 1)\}$  or there are

$$\begin{aligned} l_1, l_2 &\in \mathbb{N}, \\ \text{an occurrence set } O_j &\text{ for } x_j \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2\}, \\ \text{an occurrence function } \eta_j &\text{ on } O_j \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2\}, \end{aligned}$$

such that all conditions below are fulfilled:

$$\begin{aligned} O &= \{(y, i + l_j), \quad (y, i) \in O_j, \quad j \in \{1, 2\}\}, \\ \eta_j(y, i) &= \eta(y, i + l_j) \quad \forall (y, i) \in O_j \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2\}, \\ \mathbf{u}[x_1 \blacklozenge x_2, \eta] &= \mathbf{u}[x_1, \eta_1] \blacklozenge \mathbf{u}[x_2, \eta_2]. \end{aligned}$$

2. Fix  $x, y_1, y_2 \in X$ , an occurrence set  $O$  for  $x$ , an occurrence function  $\eta$  on  $O$ . Assume  $y_1 \blacklozenge y_2 = \mathbf{u}[x, \eta]$ . Then either  $O = \{(x, 1)\}$  or there are

$$\begin{aligned} x_1, x_2 &\in X, \\ \text{an occurrence set } O_j &\text{ for } x_j \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2\}, \\ \text{an occurrence function } \eta_j &\text{ on } O_j \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{such that:} \quad x = x_1 \blacklozenge x_2; \quad y_j = \mathbf{u}[x_j, \eta_j] \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2\}.$$

**Proof.**

**Proof of statement 1.** Since we deal with a finite number of elements belonging to  $X$  (i.e.  $x_1 \blacklozenge x_2$ ), of occurrence sets (i.e.  $O$ ), of occurrence functions (i.e.  $\eta$ ), by Proposition 3.2.10-[2] there is no loss of generality by assuming that there are  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $z \in X_k$ , an occurrence set  $\widehat{O}$  for  $z$ , a set function  $\widehat{\eta}: \widehat{O} \rightarrow \widehat{X}_k$  such that: data  $x_1 \blacklozenge x_2$ ,  $O$ ,  $k$ ,  $z$ ,  $\widehat{O}$  fulfill (3.4); data  $x_1 \blacklozenge x_2$ ,  $O$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $k$ ,  $z$ ,  $\widehat{O}$ ,  $\widehat{\eta}$  fulfill (3.5);  $\mathbf{u}[x_1 \blacklozenge x_2, \eta] = \iota_k(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}[z, \widehat{\eta}])$ .

We set  $\check{k} = \min \{l \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \forall j \in \{1, 2\} \exists y \in X_l \text{ with } \iota_l(y) = x_j\}$ .

Then:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (i) & \exists! (z_1, z_2) \in (X_{\check{k}})^2 : (\iota_{\check{k}}(z_1), \iota_{\check{k}}(z_2)) = (x_1, x_2), \\ (ii) & k \geq \check{k}, \\ (iii) & z = z_1 \blacklozenge_{\check{k}} z_2 & \text{if } k = \check{k}, \\ (iv) & z = (\mathbf{i}_k \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{i}_{\check{k}+1})(z_1 \blacklozenge_{\check{k}} z_2) & \text{if } k > \check{k}. \end{array} \right.$$

Since

$(\mathbf{i}_k \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{i}_{\check{k}+1})(z_1 \blacklozenge_{\check{k}} z_2) = ((\mathbf{i}_k \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{i}_{\check{k}+1})(z_1)) \blacklozenge_{\check{k}} ((\mathbf{i}_k \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{i}_{\check{k}+1})(z_2))$ ,  
up to renaming  $(\mathbf{i}_k \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{i}_{\check{k}+1})(z_j)$  again by  $z_j$  for any  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ , there is no  
loss of generality by assuming  $k = \check{k}$ .

Eventually statement follows by applying Construction 3.2.15 twice: first with  
data  $x_1 \blacklozenge x_2, (x_1, 1), O, \eta$ , then with data  $x_1 \blacklozenge x_2, (x_2, \lambda_k(z_1) + 2), O, \eta$ .

**Proof of statement 2.** Statement follows by Propositions 3.2.9, 3.2.10 and  
statement 1. ■

### Proposition 3.2.17

1. Fix  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ , an occurrence set  $O$  for  $\lfloor x_1, \dots, x_n \rfloor$ , an occurrence  
function  $\eta$  on  $O$ . Then either  $O = \{(\lfloor x_1, \dots, x_n \rfloor, 1)\}$  or there are

$$l_1, \dots, l_n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$\text{an occurrence set } O_n \text{ for } x_n \quad \forall n \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

$$\text{an occurrence function } \eta_n \text{ on } O_n \quad \forall n \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

such that:

$$O = \{(y, i + l_n), \quad (y, i) \in O_n, \quad n \in \{1, \dots, n\}\},$$

$$\eta_n(y, i) = \eta(y, i + l_n) \quad \forall (y, i) \in O_n \quad \forall n \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

$$\mathbf{u}[\lfloor x_1, \dots, x_n \rfloor, \eta] = \lfloor \mathbf{u}[x_1, \eta_1], \dots, \mathbf{u}[x_n, \eta_n] \rfloor.$$

2. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x, y_1, \dots, y_n \in X$ , an occurrence set  $O$  for  $x$ , an occurrence  
function  $\eta$  on  $O$ . Assume  $\lfloor y_1, \dots, y_n \rfloor = \mathbf{u}[x, \eta]$ .  
Then either  $O = \{(x, 1)\}$  or there are

$$x_1, \dots, x_n \in X,$$

$$\text{an occurrence set } O_n \text{ for } x_n \quad \forall n \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

$$\text{an occurrence function } \eta_n \text{ on } O_n \quad \forall n \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

such that:  $x = \lfloor x_1, \dots, x_n \rfloor$ ;  $y_n = \mathbf{u}[x_n, \eta_n] \quad \forall n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

### Proof.

**Proof of statement 1.** We refer to Proposition 3.2.4-[1] both for the state-  
ment and for the notation.

Since we deal with a finite number of elements belonging to  $X$  (i.e.  $\lfloor x_1, \dots, x_n \rfloor$ ),  
of occurrence sets (i.e.  $O$ ), of occurrence functions (i.e.  $\eta$ ), by Proposition  
3.2.10-[2] there is no loss of generality by assuming that there are  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  
 $z \in X_k$ , an occurrence set  $\widehat{O}$  for  $z$ , a set function  $\widehat{\eta} : \widehat{O} \rightarrow \widehat{X}_k$  such that: data  
 $\lfloor x_1, \dots, x_n \rfloor, O, k, z, \widehat{O}$  fulfill (3.4); data  $\lfloor x_1, \dots, x_n \rfloor, O, \eta, k, z, \widehat{O}, \widehat{\eta}$  fulfill

(3.5);  $k \geq \check{k} + 1$ ;  $\mathbf{u}[\mid x_1, \dots, x_n \mid, \eta] = \iota_k(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}[z, \widehat{\eta}])$ .

By construction we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (i) & z = ((\mathbf{1}, z_1), \dots, (\mathbf{1}, z_n)) \quad \text{if } k = \check{k} + 1, \\ (ii) & z = (\mathbf{i}_k \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{i}_{\check{k}+2})((\mathbf{1}, z_1), \dots, (\mathbf{1}, z_n)) \quad \text{if } k > \check{k} + 1, \\ (iii) & ((\mathbf{1}, z_1), \dots, (\mathbf{1}, z_n)) \in G_{\check{k}+1}, \\ (iv) & (\mathbf{i}_l \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{i}_{\check{k}+2})((\mathbf{1}, z_1), \dots, (\mathbf{1}, z_n)) \in G_l \quad \forall l \in \{\check{k} + 2, \dots, k\}. \end{array} \right.$$

Eventually statement follows by applying Construction 3.2.15 with data

$\mid x_1, \dots, x_n \mid$ ,  $(x_n, l_n)$ ,  $O$ ,  $\eta$   
for any  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , where

$$l_n = \begin{cases} 3(k - \check{k} - 1) + 2 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \lambda_k(z_a) + 5(n-1) + 3(k - \check{k} - 1) + 2 & \text{if } n \in \{2, \dots, n\}. \end{cases}$$

**Proof of statement 2.** Statement follows by Propositions 3.2.9, 3.2.10 and statement 1. ■

**Proposition 3.2.18**

1. Fix  $x \in X$ ,  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M}$ , an occurrence set  $O$  for  $\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x$ , an occurrence function  $\eta$  on  $O$ . Then either  $O = \{(\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x, 1)\}$  or there are

$$l \in \mathbb{N},$$

an occurrence set  $O_1$  for  $x$ ,

an occurrence function  $\eta_1$  on  $O_1$ ,

such that:

$$O = \{(y, i + l), \quad (y, i) \in O_1\},$$

$$\eta_1(y, i) = \eta(y, i + l) \quad \forall (y, i) \in O_1,$$

$$\mathbf{u}[\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x, \eta] = \mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright \mathbf{u}[x, \eta_1].$$

2. Fix  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M}$ ,  $x, y \in X$ , an occurrence set  $O$  for  $x$ , an occurrence function  $\eta$  on  $O$ . Assume  $\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright y = \mathbf{u}[x, \eta]$ .  
Then either  $O = \{(x, 1)\}$  or there are

$$z \in X,$$

an occurrence set  $P$  for  $z$ ,

an occurrence function  $\xi$  on  $P$ ,

$$\text{such that:} \quad x = \mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright z; \quad y = \mathbf{u}[z, \xi].$$

**Proof.**

**Proof of statement 1.** We refer to Proposition 3.2.4-[2] both for the statement and for the notation.

Since we deal with a finite number of elements belonging to  $X$  (i.e.  $\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x$ ), of occurrence sets (i.e.  $O$ ), of occurrence functions (i.e.  $\eta$ ), by Proposition 3.2.10-[2] there is no loss of generality by assuming that there are  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $w \in X_k$ , an occurrence set  $\widehat{O}$  for  $w$ , a set function  $\widehat{\eta} : \widehat{O} \rightarrow \widehat{X}_k$  such that: data  $\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x$ ,  $O$ ,  $k$ ,  $w$ ,  $\widehat{O}$  fulfill (3.4); data  $\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x$ ,  $O$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $k$ ,  $w$ ,  $\widehat{O}$ ,  $\widehat{\eta}$  fulfill (3.5);  $k \geq \check{k} + 1$ ;  $\mathbf{u}[\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x, \eta] = \iota_k(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}[w, \widehat{\eta}])$ .

By construction we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (i) & w = (\mathbf{m}, z) \quad \text{if } k = \check{k} + 1, \\ (ii) & z = (\mathbf{i}_k \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{i}_{\check{k}+2})(\mathbf{m}, z) \quad \text{if } k > \check{k} + 1, \\ (iii) & (\mathbf{m}, z) \in G_{\check{k}+1}, \\ (iv) & (\mathbf{i}_l \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{i}_{\check{k}+2})(\mathbf{m}, z) \in G_l \quad \forall l \in \{\check{k} + 2, \dots, k\}. \end{array} \right.$$

Eventually statement follows by applying Construction 3.2.15 with data  $\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x$ ,  $(x, 3(k - \check{k}))$ ,  $O$ ,  $\eta$  for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

**Proof of statement 2.** Statement follows by Propositions 3.2.9, 3.2.10 and statement 1. ■

In Proposition 3.2.19 below we study the structure of sets  $O_x$  in case of elementary structure of  $x$ .

**Proposition 3.2.19** Fix  $x_1, \dots, x_n, x, y \in X$ ,  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M}$ . Assume that  $y$  occurs in  $x$ . Refer to Definition 3.2.12-[4]. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} O_{x_1 \blacklozenge x_2} &= O_{x_1} \cup O_{x_2}, & O_{|x_1, \dots, x_n|} &= \bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{x_n}, \\ O_{\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x} &= O_x, & O_y &\subseteq O_x. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Statement follows by Propositions 3.2.16, 3.2.17, 3.2.18 applied to occurrence sets  $O^x$  for any  $x \in X$ . ■

In Definition 3.2.20, Proposition 3.2.21 below we introduce and study two sub-magmas of  $X$  which play a central role to establish links between traditional integro-differential calculus on smooth functions and integral calculus on continuous functions. We refer to Definition 2.0.1.

**Definition 3.2.20**

1. We denote by  $X_C$  the sub-magma of  $(X, \blacklozenge)$  fulfilling all conditions below:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \in X_C \text{ for any } x \in X \text{ fulfilling} \\ \quad \text{either } d_j \text{ does not occur in } x \text{ for any } j \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \quad \text{or } f \text{ does not occur in } x \text{ for any } f \in \beth; \end{array} \right. \quad (3.6)$$

$$|x_1, \dots, x_n| \in X_C \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X_C^n; \quad (3.7)$$

$$\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x \in X_C \quad \forall \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{O} \quad \forall x \in X_C. \quad (3.8)$$

2.  $X_S = \{x \in X : f \text{ does not occur in } x \text{ for any } f \in \beth\}$ .

**Proposition 3.2.21**

1.  $X_S$  is a sub-magma of  $X_C$ .
2. There is one and only one set function  $\mathbf{ev} : X_C \rightarrow C^0$  recursively defined by setting:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (i) \quad \mathbf{ev}(x \blacklozenge y) = \mathbf{ev}(x) \circ \mathbf{ev}(y) \quad \forall x, y \in X_C, \\ (ii) \quad \mathbf{ev}(\mid x_1, \dots, x_n \mid) = \\ \quad \quad (\mathbf{ev}(x_1), \dots, \mathbf{ev}(x_n)) \quad \forall (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X_C^n, \\ (iii) \quad \mathbf{ev}(\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x) = \mathbf{m} \bowtie \mathbf{ev}(x) \quad \forall \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{O} \quad \forall x \in X_C, \\ (iv) \quad \mathbf{ev}(\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x) = \mathbf{m} \bowtie \mathbf{ev}(x) \quad \forall \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M} \quad \forall x \in X_S, \\ (v) \quad \mathbf{ev}(\iota_{-1}(f)) = f \quad \forall f \in X_{-1}. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.9)$$

We set:

$$X_{CC} = \{x \in X_C : \mathbf{D}(x) = \mathbf{Ce}(\mathbf{ev}(x))\};$$

$$X_{SS} = \{x \in X_S : \mathbf{D}(x) = \mathbf{Ce}(\mathbf{ev}(x))\}.$$

3. There is a set function  $\mathbf{li} : C^0 \setminus \{\emptyset\} \rightarrow X$  such that:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (i) \quad \mathbf{li}(f) = \iota_{-1}(f) \quad \forall f \in X_{-1}, \\ (ii) \quad \mathbf{li}(C^0 \setminus \{\emptyset\}) \subseteq X_{CC}, \\ (iii) \quad \mathbf{ev} \circ \mathbf{li} = 1_{C^0 \setminus \{\emptyset\}}. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.10)$$

4. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M}$ ,  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{O}$ ,  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ ,  $x \in X$ .

If  $x_1, \dots, x_n, x \in X_{CC}$  then  $\mid x_1, \dots, x_n \mid, \mathbf{n} \blacktriangleright x \in X_{CC}$ .

If  $x_1, \dots, x_n, x \in X_S$  then  $\mid x_1, \dots, x_n \mid, \mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x \in X_S$ .

If  $x_1, \dots, x_n, x \in X_{SS}$  then  $\mid x_1, \dots, x_n \mid, \mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x \in X_{SS}$ .

**Proof.** Statements 1, 2, 4 follow directly by definition and construction. Then we prove statement 3 by giving an explicit construction of  $\mathbf{li}$ .

If  $f \in X_{-1}$  then we define  $\mathbf{li}(f) = \iota_{-1}(f)$ .

If  $f \in C^0 \setminus X_{-1}$  then, by Remark 3.1.1, there are  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $\text{Dom}(f) = S$  and  $\text{Cod}(f) = \mathbb{R}^n$ . Definition of  $\beth(S)$  (see (3.1)) entails that for any  $\mathbf{n} \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  there are one and only one ordered finite sequence of not zero real numbers  $(a_{n,i})_{i=1}^{\mathbf{n}}$ , one and only one finite not empty set of functions

$\{f_{n,i}\}_{i=1}^{\mathbf{n}} \in (C^\infty[S, \mathbb{R}] \cup \beth(S))$  such that  $f_{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{n}} (a_{n,i} f_{n,i})$ . We set:

$$l_0 = 0;$$

$$g \in C^\infty [\mathbb{R}^{l_1+\dots+l_n}, \mathbb{R}^n] \text{ defined by setting}$$

$$g_n(s) = \sum_{l=1}^{l_n} (a_{n,l} s_{l_0+\dots+l_{n-1}+l}) \quad \forall n \in \{1, \dots, n\} \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}^{l_1+\dots+l_n};$$

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in G_0 \text{ defined by setting}$$

$$x_n = \iota_{-1}(g_n) \quad \forall n \in \{1, \dots, n\};$$

$$y = (y_1, \dots, y_{l_1+\dots+l_n}) \in G_0 \text{ defined by setting}$$

$$y_{l_0+\dots+l_{n-1}+l} = f_{n,l} \quad \forall n \in \{1, \dots, n\} \quad \forall l \in \{1, \dots, l_n\}.$$

Eventually we define  $\mathbf{li}(f) = \iota_0((x \blacklozenge_0 y) \blacklozenge_0 \Delta[S, l_1 + \dots + l_n])$ . ■

Motivated by Propositions 3.2.19, 3.2.21 we extend Construction 3.2.15 to sets  $O_x$ .

**Remark 3.2.22**

1. Fix  $x \in X$ , an occurrence pair  $(y, i)$  for  $x$ . We emphasize that  $O[(y, i), O^x] = O^y$ .

2. Fix  $x \in X$ , a set function  $\eta : O_x \rightarrow C^0$ .

Then  $\eta$  defines one and only one occurrence function  $\xi$  on  $O^x$  by setting

$$\xi(y, i) = \mathbf{li}(\eta(f)) \quad \forall (y, i) \in O^x \quad \forall f \in O_x \text{ with } y = \iota_{-1}(f).$$

With an abuse of language we denote  $\mathbf{u}[x, \xi]$  by  $\mathbf{u}[x, \eta]$ .

3. Fix  $x \in X$ , an occurrence pair  $(y, i)$  for  $x$ , a set function  $\eta : O_x \rightarrow C^0$ .

We emphasize that  $O_y = \mathbf{p}_1[X, \mathbb{N}](O[(y, i), O^x])$ .

With an abuse of language we adopt the notation

$$\eta[(y, i), \eta] = \eta \circ \mathbf{i}[O_y, O_x].$$

In Notation 3.2.23, Definition 3.2.24 below we introduce the notion of path in  $X$ . This establish the first appearance of topology in  $X$  which, up to now, was a purely algebraic structure.

**Notation 3.2.23** Fix a set  $A$ , a set function  $\alpha : A \times (-1, 1) \rightarrow C^0$ . Assume that all conditions below are fulfilled:

$$(\alpha = \emptyset) \Leftrightarrow (A = \emptyset);$$

$$\alpha(a, t) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall (a, t) \in A \times (-1, 1);$$

$$\text{Dom}(\alpha(a, t_1)) = \text{Dom}(\alpha(a, t_2)) \quad \forall (a, t_1), (a, t_2) \in A \times (-1, 1);$$

$$\text{Cod}(\alpha(a, t_1)) = \text{Cod}(\alpha(a, t_2)) \quad \forall (a, t_1), (a, t_2) \in A \times (-1, 1).$$

Set  $B = \{f : \text{Dom}(\alpha(a, 0)) \times (-1, 1) \rightarrow \text{Cod}(\alpha(a, 0)) : a \in A\}$ , we emphasize that elements belonging to  $B$  are not necessarily continuous set functions.

We define the set function  $\text{adj}[\alpha] : A \rightarrow B$  by setting

$$(\text{adj}[\alpha](a))(s, t) = (\alpha(a, t))(s) \quad \forall a \in A \quad \forall (s, t) \in \text{Dom}(\alpha(a, 0)) \times (-1, 1).$$

We call  $\text{adj}[\alpha]$  the adjoint of  $\alpha$ .



**Definition 3.2.24**

1. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ , a set function  $\alpha : \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{x_n} \right) \times (-1, 1) \rightarrow C^0$ .

We say that  $\alpha$  is an associating function for  $\bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{x_n}$  if and only if it fulfills the system of conditions below

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (i) & \alpha = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{x_n} = \emptyset, \\ (ii) & \alpha(f, t) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall (f, t) \in \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{x_n} \right) \times (-1, 1), \\ (iii) & \text{Dom}(\alpha(f, t)) = \text{Dom}(f) \quad \forall (f, t) \in \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{x_n} \right) \times (-1, 1), \\ (iv) & \text{Cod}(\alpha(f, t)) = \mathbb{R} \quad \forall (f, t) \in \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{x_n} \right) \times (-1, 1), \\ (v) & \text{adj}[\alpha](f) \in C^0 \quad \forall f \in \bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{x_n}. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.11)$$

We say that  $\alpha$  is a centered associating function for  $\bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{x_n}$  if and only if it fulfills both conditions below:

$$\alpha \text{ is an associating function for } \bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{x_n}; \quad (3.12)$$

$$\alpha(f, 0) = f \quad \forall f \in \bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{x_n}. \quad (3.13)$$

2. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a set function  $\chi : (-1, 1) \rightarrow X^n$ .

We say that  $\chi$  is a path in  $X^n$  if and only if there are  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ , an associating function  $\alpha$  for  $\bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{x_n}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(t) &= \mathbf{u} \left[ (x_1, \dots, x_n), \right. \\ &\left. \left( (\mathbf{li} \circ \alpha) \circ \left( 1_{\bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{x_n}}, c \left[ \bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{x_n}, (-1, 1), t \right] \right) \right) \circ \Delta \left[ \bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{x_n}, 2 \right] \right] \\ &\quad \forall t \in (-1, 1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

We say that:  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a core of  $\chi$ ;  $\alpha$  is an associating function of  $\chi$ ; the pair  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), \alpha)$  is a skeleton of  $\chi$ .

3. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a path  $\chi$  in  $X^n$ , a subset  $S \subseteq (-1, 1)$ .

We say that  $(\chi, \mathbf{S})$  is a smooth path in  $X^n$  if and only if

exists a skeleton  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), \alpha)$  of  $\chi$  such that:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (i) \quad 0 \in \mathbf{S}, \\ (ii) \quad \mathbf{S} \setminus (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \text{ is a finite (maybe empty) set } \forall \varepsilon > 0, \\ (iii) \quad (\text{adj}[\alpha])(f) \circ i[\text{Dom}(f) \times B, \text{Dom}(f) \times (-1, 1)] \in C^\infty \\ \quad \forall f \in \bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{x_n}, \quad \forall \text{ path component } B \text{ of } (-1, 1) \setminus \mathbf{S}. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.15)$$

We say that:  $\mathbf{S}$  is the singular set of  $(\chi, \mathbf{S})$ ;  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), \alpha)$  is a skeleton of  $(\chi, \mathbf{S})$ .

We emphasize that nothing is assumed about  $\chi(t)$  when  $t \in \mathbf{S}$ .

4. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ , a path  $\chi$  in  $X^n$ .

We say that  $\chi$  is a path in  $X^n$  through  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  if and only if we have  $\chi(0) = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

5. Fix  $x \in X$ , a smooth path  $(\chi, \{0\})$  in  $X$  through  $x$ .

We say that  $(\chi, \{0\})$  is a path in  $X$  detecting  $x$  if and only if there is a centered associating function  $\alpha$  for  $O_x$  such that pair  $(x, \alpha)$  is a skeleton of  $\chi$ .

We say that the pair  $(x, \alpha)$  is a detecting skeleton of  $(\chi, \{0\})$ .

With an abuse of language we drop any reference to the pair and we refer to  $(\chi, \{0\})$  simply by  $\chi$ .

**Remark 3.2.25** We emphasize that:

1. Any pair  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), \alpha)$  fulfilling (3.11) defines one and only one path in  $X^n$  by setting (3.14).
2. For any path  $\chi$  in  $X$  there could be more than one pair  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), \alpha)$  fulfilling (3.11) which defines  $\chi$  by setting (3.14).

In Propositions 3.2.26-3.2.28 below we study how and to what extent fixed paths and corresponding skeletons can be modified in order to meet specific needs. We refer to (3.1), (3.2).

**Proposition 3.2.26** Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a path  $\chi$  in  $X^n$ , a finite (possibly empty) subset  $E \subseteq \beth$ .

1. For any skeleton  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), \alpha)$  of  $\chi$  there is an injective set function

$$\theta : \prod_{n=1}^n O^{x_n} \rightarrow \beth, \text{ a skeleton } ((y_1, \dots, y_n), \beta) \text{ of } \chi \text{ such that}$$

$$(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \mathbf{u}[(x_1, \dots, x_n), \iota_{-1} \circ \theta]; \quad (3.16)$$

$$\beta(\theta(f, i), t) = \alpha(f, t) \quad \forall (f, i) \in \prod_{n=1}^n O^{x_n} \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1); \quad (3.17)$$

$$\left( \bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{y_n} \right) \cap E = \emptyset. \quad (3.18)$$

2. Fix  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ . For any skeleton  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), \alpha)$  of  $\chi$  with  $x_n \in X_C$  for any  $n \in I$  then there is an injective set function  $\theta : \prod_{n=1}^n O^{x_n} \rightarrow \beth$ , a skeleton  $((y_1, \dots, y_n), \beta)$  of  $\chi$  fulfilling all conditions below:

$$(3.16); \quad (3.17); \quad (3.18); \quad y_n \in X_C \quad \forall n \in I.$$

**Proof.**

**Proof of statement 1.** We refer to Definition 3.2.12-[4], Proposition 3.2.19, Remark 3.2.22-[2].

We choose a set function  $\theta : \prod_{n=1}^n O^{x_n} \rightarrow \beth$  fulfilling the system of conditions below

$$\begin{cases} \theta \text{ is an injective set function,} \\ \theta(f, i) \in \beth(\text{Dom}(f)) \setminus E \quad \forall (f, i) \in \prod_{n=1}^n O^{x_n}. \end{cases}$$

This choice is possible since:  $\beth(S)$  is an infinite set for any  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  and any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ;  $\prod_{n=1}^n O^{x_n}$  is a finite set by construction of  $X$ ;  $E$  is a finite set by assumption.

Then we define pair  $((y_1, \dots, y_n), \beta)$  by setting (3.16), (3.17). Eventually statement 1 follows by checking that pair  $((y_1, \dots, y_n), \beta)$  fulfills (3.11), (3.14).

**Proof of statement 2.** The proof coincides word by word with the proof of statement 1. Eventually we check that if  $x_n \in X_C$  for any  $n \in I$  then  $y_n \in X_C$  for any  $n \in I$ . ■

**Proposition 3.2.27** Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a path  $\chi_n$  in  $X^{m_n}$  for any  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , a finite (possibly empty) subset  $E \subseteq \beth$ .

1. For any  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  there is a skeleton  $((x_{n,1}, \dots, x_{n,m_n}), \alpha_n)$  of  $\chi_n$  such that

$$\left( \bigcup_{m=1}^{m_i} O_{x_{i,m}} \right) \cap \left( E \cup \bigcup_{m=1}^{m_j} O_{x_{j,m}} \right) = \emptyset \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ with } i \neq j.$$

2. Fix  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $J_n \subseteq \{1, \dots, m_n\}$  for any  $n \in I$ . If there is a core  $(y_{n,1}, \dots, y_{n,m_n})$  of  $\chi_n$  with  $y_{n,m} \in X_C$  for  $n \in I$  and any  $m \in J_n$  then we can choose  $(x_{n,1}, \dots, x_{n,m_n}) \in X^{m_n}$  fulfilling  $x_{n,m} \in X_C$  for any  $n \in I$  and any  $m \in J_n$ .

**Proof.** We argue by induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 1$  then statements 1, 2 follows directly by Proposition 3.2.26. If  $n = l + 1$  with  $l \geq 1$  then, by induction,

statements 1, 2 hold true with data  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , paths  $\chi_l$  in  $X^{m_l}$  for  $l \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ . Eventually statements 1, 2 follow by applying Proposition 3.2.26 with data  $m_{l+1}, \chi_{l+1}, E \cup \bigcup_{l=1}^l \left( \bigcup_{m=1}^{m_l} O_{x_{l,m}} \right)$ . ■

**Proposition 3.2.28** Fix  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ , a path  $\chi_1$  in  $X^{n_1}$ , a path  $\chi_2$  in  $X^{n_2}$ .

1. The set function  $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2) \circ \Delta [(-1, 1), 2]$  is a path in  $X^{n_1+n_2}$ .
2. If there are subsets  $S_1, S_2 \subseteq (-1, 1)$  such that pair  $(\chi_1, S_1)$  is a smooth path in  $X^{n_1}$  and pair  $(\chi_2, S_2)$  is a smooth path in  $X^{n_2}$  then pair  $(\chi, S_1 \cup S_2)$  is a smooth path in  $X^{n_1+n_2}$ .
3. Fix  $I \subseteq \{1, 2\}$ ,  $J_i \subseteq \{1, \dots, n_i\}$  and a skeleton  $((x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,n_i}), \alpha_i)$  of  $\chi_i$  for any  $i \in I$ , a skeleton  $((y_1, \dots, y_{n_1+n_2}), \alpha_y)$  of  $\chi$ . Assume  $x_{i,n} \in X_C \quad \forall i \in I \quad \forall n \in J_i$ . Set  $n_0 = 0$ . Then exists a skeleton  $((x_1, \dots, x_{n_1+n_2}), \alpha)$  of  $\chi$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned} x_k &= y_k & \text{if } k \neq n_{i-1} + n \quad \forall i \in I \quad \forall n \in J_i; \\ x_k &\in X_C & \text{if } \exists i \in I \quad \exists n \in J_i \quad \text{such that } k = n_{i-1} + n. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.**

**Proof of statements 1, 2.**

Choose a skeleton  $((z_{1,1}, \dots, z_{1,n_1}), \gamma_1)$  of  $X_1$ , a skeleton  $((z_{2,1}, \dots, z_{2,n_2}), \gamma_2)$  of  $X_2$  fulfilling  $\left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{n_1} O_{z_{1,n}} \right) \cap \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{n_2} O_{z_{2,n}} \right) = \emptyset$ . This choice is made possible by Proposition 3.2.27. We define:  $(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1+n_2}) \in X^{n_1+n_2}$  by setting

$$x_n = \begin{cases} z_{1,n} & \text{if } n \leq n_1, \\ z_{2,n-n_1} & \text{if } n > n_1; \end{cases}$$

the set function  $\alpha : \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{n_1+n_2} O_{x_n} \right) \times (-1, 1) \rightarrow C^0$  by setting

$$\alpha(f, t) = \begin{cases} \alpha_1(f, t) & \text{if } f \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{n_1} O_{z_{1,n}}, \\ \alpha_2(f, t) & \text{if } f \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{n_2} O_{z_{2,n}}. \end{cases}$$

Eventually statements 1, 2 follow by checking that pair  $((x_1, \dots, x_{n_1+n_2}), \alpha)$  fulfills (3.11) and (3.15) respectively, and defines the set function  $\chi$  through (3.14).

**Proof of statements 3.** For any  $i \in I$  we denote by  $\chi_i$  the path in  $X^{n_i}$  defined by the pair  $((x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,n_i}), \alpha_i)$  (see Remark 3.2.25). For any  $i \notin I$  we choose a path  $\chi_i$  in  $X^{n_i}$ .

By Proposition 3.2.27 applied with data 2, paths  $\chi_1, \chi_2, \bigcup_{n=1}^{n_1+n_2} O_{y_n}$  we get a skeleton  $((z_{i,1}, \dots, z_{i,n_i}), \gamma_i)$  of  $\chi_i$  for any  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  fulfilling

$$\left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{n_i} O_{z_{i,n}} \right) \cap \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{n_1+n_2} O_{y_n} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{n_k} O_{z_{k,n}} \right) = \emptyset \quad \forall i, k \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Then we define:

$(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1+n_2}) \in X^{n_1+n_2}$  by setting

$$x_k = \begin{cases} y_k & \text{if } k \neq n_{i-1} + n \quad \forall i \in I \quad \forall n \in J_i, \\ z_{i,n} \in X_C & \text{if } \exists i \in I \quad \exists n \in J_i \text{ such that } k = n_{i-1} + n; \end{cases}$$

the set function  $\alpha : \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{n_1+n_2} O_{x_n} \right) \times (-1, 1) \rightarrow C^0$  by setting

$$\alpha(f, t) = \begin{cases} \alpha_y(f, t) & \forall (f, t) \in \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{n_1+n_2} O_{y_n} \right) \times (-1, 1), \\ \gamma_i(f, t) & \forall i \in \{1, 2\} \quad \forall (f, t) \in \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{n_i} O_{z_{i,n}} \right) \times (-1, 1). \end{cases}$$

Eventually statement follows by checking axioms and exploiting Proposition 3.2.27-[2]. ■

In Proposition 3.2.29 below we prove that domain and co-domain are constant along a path in  $X$ .

**Proposition 3.2.29** *Fix a path  $\chi$  in  $X$ , a skeleton  $(x, \alpha)$  of  $\chi$ . Then*

$$\mathbf{C}(\chi(t)) = \mathbf{C}(x), \quad \mathbf{D}(\chi(t)) = \mathbf{D}(x) \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1).$$

**Proof.** Statement follows by (3.11)-[(iii), (iv)]. ■

In Proposition 3.2.30 below we prove that associating functions for a set  $O_x$  induce an associating function for any subset  $O_y$  of  $O_x$ .

**Proposition 3.2.30** *Fix  $x, y \in X$ , an associating function  $\alpha$  for  $O_x$ .*

*Assume  $O_y \subseteq O_x$ .*

1. *Set function  $\alpha \circ (i[O_y, O_x], 1_{(-1,1)})$  is an associating function for  $O_y$ .  
If  $\alpha$  is a centered associating function for  $O_x$  then  $\alpha \circ (i[O_y, O_x], 1_{(-1,1)})$  is a centered associating function for  $O_y$ .*
2. *If there is a subset  $S \subseteq (-1, 1)$  such that data 1,  $\alpha$ ,  $S$  fulfill (3.15) then data 1,  $\alpha \circ (i[O_y, O_x], 1_{(-1,1)})$ ,  $S$  fulfill (3.15) as well.*

**Proof.** Statement is a straightforward consequence of Definition 3.2.24. ■

Motivated by Propositions 3.2.19, 3.2.30 we introduce the notion of induced path.

**Definition 3.2.31**

1. Fix a path  $\chi$  in  $X$ , a skeleton  $(x, \alpha)$  of  $\chi$ ,  $y \in X$ . Assume  $O_y \subseteq O_x$ . We denote by  $\chi[y, (x, \alpha)]$  the path in  $X$  defined by  $(y, \alpha \circ (i[O_y, O_x], 1_{(-1,1)}))$  through (3.14). We say that  $\chi[y, (x, \alpha)]$  is the path induced on  $y$  by  $(x, \alpha)$ . By Remark 3.2.25-[2] we say that  $\chi[y, (x, \alpha)]$  is a path induced on  $y$  by  $\chi$ . If  $y$  occurs in  $x$  at some  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  then we set  $\chi[(y, i), (x, \alpha)] = \chi[y, (x, \alpha)]$  and we say that  $\chi[(y, i), (x, \alpha)]$  is the path induced on  $y$  by  $(x, \alpha)$  at  $i$ . By Remark 3.2.25-[2] we say that  $\chi[(y, i), (x, \alpha)]$  is a path induced on  $y$  by  $\chi$  at  $i$ . We drop any reference to the occurrence  $i$  whenever no confusion is possible.
2. Fix a smooth path  $(\chi, S)$  in  $X$ , a skeleton  $(x, \alpha)$  of  $(\chi, S)$ ,  $y \in X$ . Assume  $O_y \subseteq O_x$ . We denote by  $(\chi[y, (x, \alpha)], S)$  the path in  $X$  defined by  $(y, \alpha \circ (i[O_y, O_x], 1_{(-1,1)}))$  through (3.14). We say that  $(\chi[y, (x, \alpha)], S)$  is the smooth path induced on  $y$  by  $(x, \alpha)$ . By Remark 3.2.25-[2] we say that  $(\chi[y, (x, \alpha)], S)$  is a smooth path induced on  $y$  by  $(\chi, S)$ . If  $y$  occurs in  $x$  at some  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  then we set

$$(\chi[(y, i), (x, \alpha)], S) = (\chi[y, (x, \alpha)], S)$$

and we say that  $(\chi[(y, i), (x, \alpha)], S)$  is the path induced on  $y$  by  $(x, \alpha)$  at  $i$ . By Remark 3.2.25-[2] we say that  $(\chi[(y, i), (x, \alpha)], S)$  is a path induced on  $y$  by  $(\chi, S)$  at  $i$ . We drop any reference to the occurrence  $i$  whenever no confusion is possible.

In Proposition 3.2.32 below we study the behavior of iterating the notion of induced paths.

**Proposition 3.2.32**

1. Fix a path  $\chi$  in  $X$ , a skeleton  $(x, \alpha)$  of  $\chi$ , an occurrence pair  $(y, i)$  for  $x$ , an occurrence pair  $(z, j)$  for  $y$ . Then  $\chi[(z, j + i - 1), (x, \alpha)] = \chi[(z, j), (y, \alpha \circ (i[O_y, O_x], 1_{(-1,1)}))]$ .
2. Fix a smooth path  $(\chi, S)$  in  $X$ , a skeleton  $(x, \alpha)$  of  $(\chi, S)$ , an occurrence pair  $(y, i)$  for  $x$ , an occurrence pair  $(z, j)$  for  $y$ . Then

$$(\chi[(z, j + i - 1), (x, \alpha)], S) = (\chi[(z, j), (y, \alpha \circ (i[O_y, O_x], 1_{(-1,1)}))], S).$$

3. Fix  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x, y \in X$ , a path  $\chi$  in  $X$  detecting  $x$ , a detecting skeleton  $(x, \alpha)$  of  $\chi$ . Assume that  $(y, i)$  is an occurrence pair for  $x$ . Then:  $\chi[(y, i), (x, \alpha)]$  is a path in  $X$  detecting  $y$ ;  $(y, \alpha \circ (i[O_y, O_x], 1_{(-1,1)}))$  is a detecting skeleton of  $\chi[(y, i), (x, \alpha)]$ .

**Proof.** Statement is a straightforward consequence of Proposition 3.2.30. ■  
In Proposition 3.2.33 we study how paths can be glued together to get a new path. We refer to Construction 3.2.15, Remark 3.2.22-[3].

**Proposition 3.2.33** Fix  $x \in X$ , an occurrence set  $O$  for  $x$ , a path  $\chi [y, i]$  in  $X$  through  $y$  for any  $(y, i) \in O$ .

1. The set function  $\xi_t : O \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$\xi_t (y, i) = \chi [y, i] (t) \quad \forall (y, i) \in O \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1)$$

is an occurrence function for any  $t \in (-1, 1)$ .

The set function  $\chi \left[ x, \{\chi [y, i]\}_{(y, i) \in O} \right] : (-1, 1) \rightarrow X$  defined by setting

$$\chi \left[ x, \{\chi [y, i]\}_{(y, i) \in O} \right] (t) = \mathbf{u} [x, \xi_t] \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1)$$

is a path in  $X$  through  $x$ .

2. Fix a skeleton  $(\widehat{y} [y, i], \alpha [y, i])$  of  $\chi [y, i]$  for any  $(y, i) \in O$ . Assume:

$$\begin{cases} \text{for any } (\iota_{-1} (f), j) \in O^x \text{ with } f \in \bigcup_{(y, i) \in O} O_{\widehat{y} [y, i]} \\ \text{there is } (y, i) \in O \text{ such that } (\iota_{-1} (f), j - i + 1) \in O_{\widehat{y} [y, i]}; \end{cases} \quad (3.19)$$

$$\begin{cases} \alpha [y_1, i_1] (f, t) = \alpha [y_2, i_2] (f, t) \\ \quad \forall (f, t) \in (O_{\widehat{y} [y_1, i_1]} \cap O_{\widehat{y} [y_2, i_2]}) \times (-1, 1) \\ \quad \forall (y_1, i_1), (y_2, i_2) \in O. \end{cases} \quad (3.20)$$

The set function  $\xi : O \rightarrow X$  defined by setting

$$\xi (y, i) = \widehat{y} [y, i] \quad \forall (y, i) \in O$$

is an occurrence function on  $O$ .

Define:

$\widehat{x} \in X$  by setting  $\widehat{x} = \mathbf{u} [x, \xi]$ ;

the set function  $\alpha : O_{\widehat{x}} \times (-1, 1) \rightarrow C^0$  by setting

$$\alpha (f, t) = \begin{cases} (\alpha [y, i]) (f, t) & \forall (y, i) \in O \quad \forall (f, t) \in O_{\widehat{y} [y, i]} \times (-1, 1), \\ f & \text{if } (f, t) \in \left( O_{\widehat{x}} \setminus \left( \bigcup_{(y, i) \in O} O_{\widehat{y} [y, i]} \right) \right) \times (-1, 1). \end{cases}$$

Then pair  $(\widehat{x}, \alpha)$  is a skeleton of  $\chi \left[ x, \{\chi [y, i]\}_{(y, i) \in O} \right]$ .

If  $\alpha [y, i]$  is a centered associating function for  $O_{\widehat{y} [y, i]}$  for any  $(y, i) \in O$  then  $\alpha$  is a centered associating function for  $O_{\widehat{x}}$ .

3. If  $x \in X_C$  and for any  $(y, i) \in O$  there is a core  $\widehat{y} [y, i] \in X_C$  of  $\chi [y, i]$  then there is a core  $\widehat{x} \in X_C$  of  $\chi \left[ x, \{\chi [y, i]\}_{(y, i) \in O} \right]$ .

**Proof.**

**Proof of statement 1.**

By Propositions 3.2.26 for any  $(y, i) \in O$  there is a skeleton  $(\widehat{y}[y, i], \alpha[y, i])$  of  $\chi[y, i]$  such that conditions below are all fulfilled:

$$O_{\widehat{y}[y, i]} \cap O_x = \emptyset \quad \forall (y, i) \in O; \quad (3.21)$$

$$\begin{cases} O_{\widehat{y}[y_1, i_1]} \cap O_{\widehat{y}[y_2, i_2]} = \emptyset \\ \forall (y_1, i_1), (y_2, i_2) \in O \text{ with } (y_1, i_1) \neq (y_2, i_2). \end{cases} \quad (3.22)$$

Then Propositions 3.2.9, 3.2.10-[1] entail that the set function  $\xi : O \rightarrow X$  defined by setting

$$\xi(y, i) = \widehat{y}[y, i] \quad \forall (y, i) \in O$$

is an occurrence function on  $O$ .

Define  $\widehat{x} \in X$  by setting  $\widehat{x} = \mathbf{u}[x, \xi]$ . Then Proposition 3.2.19, (3.21), (3.22) entail that

$$O_{\widehat{x}} = \left( O_x \setminus \left( \bigcup_{(y, i) \in O} O_y \right) \right) \amalg \left( \prod_{(y, i) \in O} O_{\widehat{y}[y, i]} \right). \quad (3.23)$$

Define the set function  $\alpha : O_{\widehat{x}} \times (-1, 1) \rightarrow C^0$  by setting

$$\alpha(f, t) = \begin{cases} (\alpha[y, i])(f, t) & \forall (y, i) \in O \quad \forall (f, t) \in O_{\widehat{y}[y, i]} \times (-1, 1), \\ f & \text{if } (f, t) \in \left( O_{\widehat{x}} \setminus \left( \bigcup_{(y, i) \in O} O_y \right) \right) \times (-1, 1). \end{cases}$$

Eventually statement 1 follows by checking that pair  $(\widehat{x}, \alpha)$  defines the set function  $\chi \left[ x, \{\chi[y, i]\}_{(y, i) \in O} \right]$  through (3.14).

**Proof of statement 2.**

Propositions 3.2.9, 3.2.10-[1] entail that the set function  $\xi$  is an occurrence function on  $O$  Proposition 3.2.19, (3.19), (3.20) entail that

$$O_{\widehat{x}} = O_x \cup \left( \bigcup_{(y, i) \in O} O_{\widehat{y}[y, i]} \right). \quad (3.24)$$

Since  $\alpha[y, i]$  is an associating function for  $O_y$  for any  $(y, i) \in O$  and (3.24) holds true, we have that set function  $\alpha$  is an associating function for  $O_{\widehat{x}}$ .

Eventually statements 2 follows by exploiting (3.9)-[(v)], (3.10)-[(i)] to check that pair  $(\widehat{x}, \alpha)$  defines  $\chi \left[ x, \{\chi[y, i]\}_{(y, i) \in O} \right]$  through (3.14).

**Proof of statement 3.**

Statement 3 follows by checking that  $\widehat{x}$  defined in statements 1 and 2 belongs to  $X_C$ . ■

In Proposition 3.2.34 below we study how smooth paths can be glued together to get a new smooth path. We refer to Construction 3.2.15, Proposition 3.2.33, Remark 3.2.22-[3].



**Proposition 3.2.34** Fix  $x \in X$ , an occurrence set  $O$  for  $x$ , a smooth path  $(\chi[y, i], S[y, i])$  in  $X$  through  $y$  for any  $(y, i) \in O$ . Assume

$$\forall (z, j) \in O^x \quad \exists (y, i) \in O : (z, j - i + 1) \in O^y.$$

1. The pair

$$\left( \chi \left[ x, \{\chi[y, i]\}_{(y, i) \in O} \right], \bigcup_{(y, i) \in O} S[y, i] \right)$$

is a smooth path in  $X$  through  $x$ .

2. Fix a skeleton  $(\widehat{y}[y, i], \alpha[y, i])$  of  $(\chi[y, i], S[y, i])$  for any  $(y, i) \in O$ . Assume

$$\begin{cases} \alpha[y_1, i_1](f, t) = \alpha[y_2, i_2](f, t) \\ \forall (f, t) \in (O_{\widehat{y}[y_1, i_1]} \cap O_{\widehat{y}[y_2, i_2]}) \times (-1, 1) \\ \forall (y_1, i_1), (y_2, i_2) \in O. \end{cases}$$

The set function  $\xi : O \rightarrow X$  defined by setting

$$\xi(y, i) = \widehat{y}[y, i] \quad \forall (y, i) \in O$$

is an occurrence function on  $O$ .

Define:

$\widehat{x} \in X$  by setting  $\widehat{x} = \mathbf{u}[x, \xi]$ ;

the set function  $\alpha : O_{\widehat{x}} \times (-1, 1) \rightarrow C^0$  by setting

$$\alpha(z, t) = \alpha[y, i](z, t) \quad \forall (y, i) \in O \quad \forall (z, t) \in O_{\widehat{y}[y, i]} \times (-1, 1).$$

Then pair  $(\widehat{x}, \alpha)$  is a skeleton of  $\left( \chi \left[ x, \{\chi[y, i]\}_{(y, i) \in O} \right], \bigcup_{(y, i) \in O} S[y, i] \right)$ .

**Proof.** Statements follow by corresponding statements in Proposition 3.2.33 by checking that (3.14) is fulfilled. ■

In Proposition 3.2.35 below we study the link between induced paths and glued paths.

**Proposition 3.2.35** Fix  $x \in X$ , an associating function  $\alpha$  for  $O_x$ , an occurrence pair  $(y, i)$  for  $x$ , an occurrence set  $O$  for  $y$ .

Assume that  $\forall (w, l) \in O^y \quad \exists (z, j) \in O : (w, l + i - j) \in O^z$ .

Then  $\chi \left[ y, \{\chi[(z, j + i - 1), (x, \alpha)]\}_{(z, j) \in O} \right] = \chi[(y, i), (x, \alpha)]$ .

**Proof.** Statement follows by exploiting Propositions 3.2.32, 3.2.33. ■

In Propositions 3.2.36-3.2.38 below we specialize general situations described in Propositions 3.2.33, 3.2.34 to the particular case of combining paths in  $X$  through operations  $\blacklozenge$ ,  $\blacksquare$ ,  $\blacktriangleright$  to get new paths in  $X$ . Propositions 3.2.36-3.2.38 could be proved by referring to Propositions 3.2.33, but it is easier to give explicit proofs.

**Proposition 3.2.36** Fix two paths  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  in  $X$ .

1. The set function  $\chi_1 \blacklozenge \chi_2 : (-1, 1) \rightarrow X$  defined by setting

$$(\chi_1 \blacklozenge \chi_2)(t) = \chi_1(t) \blacklozenge \chi_2(t) \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1)$$

is a path in  $X$ .

2. If there are subsets  $S_1, S_2 \subseteq (-1, 1)$  such that pairs  $(\chi_1, S_1), (\chi_2, S_2)$  are smooth paths in  $X$  then pair  $(\chi_1 \blacklozenge \chi_2, S_1 \cup S_2)$  is a smooth path in  $X$ .

3. If there is a skeleton  $(x_1, \alpha_1)$  of  $\chi_1$ , a skeleton  $(x_2, \alpha_2)$  of  $\chi_2$  with  $x_1, x_2 \in X_C$  then there is a skeleton  $(x, \alpha)$  of  $\chi_1 \blacklozenge \chi_2$  with  $x \in X_C$ .

**Proof.**

**Proof of statements 1, 2.**

Choose a skeleton  $(z_1, \gamma_1)$  of  $\chi_1$ , a skeleton  $(z_2, \gamma_2)$  of  $\chi_2$  fulfilling  $O_{z_1} \cap O_{z_2} = \emptyset$ . This choice is made possible by Proposition 3.2.27. We define:

$x \in X$  by setting  $x = z_1 \blacklozenge z_2$ ;

the set function  $\alpha : O_x \times (-1, 1) \rightarrow C^0$  by setting

$$\alpha(f, t) = \gamma_i(f, t) \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2\} \quad \forall (f, t) \in O_{z_i} \times (-1, 1).$$

Eventually statements 1, 2 follow by checking that pair  $(x, \alpha)$  fulfills (3.11) and (3.15) respectively, and defines the set function  $\chi_1 \blacklozenge \chi_2$  through (3.14).

**Proof of statement 3.** By Proposition 3.2.27 there is skeleton  $(z_1, \gamma_1)$  of  $\chi_1$ , a skeleton  $(z_2, \gamma_2)$  of  $\chi_2$  fulfilling both conditions:  $O_{z_1} \cap O_{z_2} = \emptyset$ ;  $z_1, z_2 \in X_C$ . Then the proof coincides word by word with the proof of statements 1, 2. Eventually statement follows by checking that  $x \in X_C$ . ■

**Proposition 3.2.37** Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , an ordered  $n$ -tuple  $(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$  of paths in  $X$ .

1. The set function  $|\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n| : (-1, 1) \rightarrow X$  defined by setting

$$|\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n|(t) = |\chi_1(t), \dots, \chi_n(t)| \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1)$$

is a path in  $X$ .

2. If there is a subset  $S_n \subseteq (-1, 1)$  such that the pair  $(\chi_n, S_n)$  is a smooth path in  $X$  for any  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  then pair  $\left( |\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n|, \bigcup_{n=1}^n S_n \right)$  is a smooth path in  $X$ .

3. If there is a skeleton  $(x_n, \alpha_n)$  of  $\chi_n$  with  $x_n \in X_C$  for any  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  then there is a skeleton  $(x, \alpha)$  of  $|\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n|$  with  $x \in X_C$ .

**Proof.**

**Proof of statements 1, 2.** Choose a skeleton  $(z_n, \gamma_n)$  of  $\chi_n$  for any  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  fulfilling  $O_{z_i} \cap O_{z_j} = \emptyset \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

This choice is made possible by Proposition 3.2.27.

We define (see Definition 3.2.12-[4], Proposition 3.2.17-[1]):  
 $x \in X$  by setting  $x = \mathbf{l} z_1, \dots, z_n \mathbf{l}$ ;  
the set function  $\alpha : O_x \times (-1, 1) \rightarrow C^0$  by setting

$$\alpha(f, t) = \begin{cases} \gamma_1(f, t) & \text{if } (f, t) \in O_{z_1} \times (-1, 1), \\ \gamma_2(f, t) & \text{if } (f, t) \in O_{z_2} \times (-1, 1). \end{cases}$$

Eventually statements 1, 2 follow by checking that pair  $(x, \alpha)$  fulfills (3.11) and (3.15) respectively, and defines the set function  $\chi$  through (3.14).

**Proof of statements 3.** The proof is word by word the same of statements 1, 2 by choosing  $(z_n, \gamma_n) = (x_n, \alpha_n)$  for any  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and exploiting Proposition 3.2.27-[2]. ■

**Proposition 3.2.38** *Fix a path  $\chi$  in  $X$ ,  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M}$ .*

1. *The set function  $\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright \chi : (-1, 1) \rightarrow X$  defined by setting*

$$(\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright \chi)(t) = \mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright \chi(t) \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1)$$

*is a path in  $X$ .*

2. *If there is a subset  $S \subseteq (-1, 1)$  such that the pair  $(\chi, S)$  is a smooth path in  $X$  then pair  $(\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright \chi, S)$  is a smooth path in  $X$ .*

3. *If  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{O}$  and there is a skeleton  $(y, \alpha_y)$  of  $\chi$  with  $y \in X_C$  then there is a skeleton  $(x, \alpha)$  of  $\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright \chi$  with  $x \in X_C$ .*

**Proof.**

**Proof of statements 1, 2.** Choose a skeleton  $(z, \gamma)$  of  $\chi$ . Statement follows by checking that pair  $(\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright z, \gamma)$  fulfills (3.11) and (3.15) respectively, and defines the set function  $\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright \chi$  through (3.14).

**Proof of statements 3.** The proof is word by word the same of statements 1, 2 by choosing  $(z, \gamma) = (y, \alpha_y)$ . ■

In Proposition 3.2.39 below we study the behavior of elements  $x \in X$  considered as functions with  $C^\infty$ -valued unknowns. We refer to Notations 11.0.3-[2], 11.0.9-[2], Remark 3.2.22.

**Proposition 3.2.39** *Fix  $x \in X$ . Assume  $\sharp(O_x) \geq 1$ . Fix an order on  $O_x$ .*

*Set:  $k = \sharp(O_x)$ ,  $O_x = \{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$ ,  $C^\infty[x] = \prod_{k=1}^k C^\infty[\text{Dom}(f_k), \mathbb{R}]$ .*

*Define the set function  $\eta[g_1, \dots, g_k] : O_x \rightarrow C^\infty$  for any  $(g_1, \dots, g_k) \in C^\infty[x]$  by setting  $\eta[g_1, \dots, g_k](f_k) = g_k \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ .*

*Then:*

1. *there is a set function  $\mathbf{x}[x] : C^\infty[x] \rightarrow C^\infty$  defined by setting*

$$\mathbf{x}[x](g_1, \dots, g_k) = \mathbf{ev}(\mathbf{u}[x, \mathbf{li} \circ \eta[g_1, \dots, g_k]]) \quad \forall (g_1, \dots, g_k) \in C^\infty[x];$$

2. the set function  $\mathbf{x}[x] \circ \mathbf{i}[A[x], C^\infty[x]]$  is a continuous function where:

$$A[x] = \{(g_1, \dots, g_k) \in C^\infty[x] : \mathbf{x}[x](g_1, \dots, g_k) \neq \emptyset\};$$

$A[x]$  is endowed with the subspace topology with respect to  $(C^\infty)^k$ .

**Proof.**

**Proof of statement 1.** Statement follows directly by definition.

**Proof of statement 2.** We set  $L = \{x \in X : x \text{ fulfills statement 2}\}$ , then we prove that  $L = X$  by a recursive argument.

**Step 1.** Inclusion  $G_0 \subseteq L$  straightforwardly follows by (2.13).

**Step 2.** Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in L^n$ . Proof that  $\mathbf{i}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \in L$  is straightforward.

**Step 3.** Fix  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M}$ ,  $x \in L$ . Proof that  $\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x \in L$  follows since  $\blacktriangleright$  is a continuous function (see (2.14)).

**Step 4.** Fix  $y, z \in L$ . We prove that  $y \blacklozenge z \in L$ .

Denote  $y \blacklozenge z$  by  $x$ . We emphasize that limits of smooth functions are computed in  $C^\infty$  endowed with topology defined in Example 2.0.4.

Fix: an order on  $O_x$ ; a  $k$ -tuple  $(g_1, \dots, g_k) \in A[x]$ ; a sequence of  $k$ -tuples  $\{(ig_1, \dots, ig_k)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq A[x]$ .

Set  $O_x = \{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$ . Assume

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} ig_k = g_k \text{ in } C^\infty[\text{Dom}(f_k), \mathbb{R}] + \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, k\}. \quad (3.25)$$

By Definition 3.2.12-[4], Proposition 3.2.16-[1] the order on  $O_x$  induces order on both  $O_y$  and  $O_z$ .

We set  $k_y = \sharp(O_y)$ . If  $k_y \geq 1$  then inclusion of ordered sets  $\mathbf{i}[O_y, O_x]$  induces a set function  $\varsigma_y : \{1, \dots, k_y\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$  fulfilling

$$\mathbf{i}[O_y, O_x](f_k) = f_{\varsigma_y(k)} \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, k_y\}.$$

We set  $k_z = \sharp(O_z)$ . If  $k_z \geq 1$  then inclusion of ordered sets  $\mathbf{i}[O_z, O_x]$  induces a set function  $\varsigma_z : \{1, \dots, k_z\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$  fulfilling

$$\mathbf{i}[O_z, O_x](f_k) = f_{\varsigma_z(k)} \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, k_z\}.$$

By (3.9)-[(i)] we have

$$\mathbf{x}[x](g_1, \dots, g_k) = \mathbf{x}[y](g_{\varsigma_y(1)}, \dots, g_{\varsigma_y(k_y)}) \circ \mathbf{x}[z](g_{\varsigma_z(1)}, \dots, g_{\varsigma_z(k_z)}),$$

$$\mathbf{x}[x](ig_1, \dots, ig_k) =$$

$$\mathbf{x}[y](ig_{\varsigma_y(1)}, \dots, ig_{\varsigma_y(k_y)}) \circ \mathbf{x}[z](ig_{\varsigma_z(1)}, \dots, ig_{\varsigma_z(k_z)}) \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N},$$

hence

$$\mathbf{x}[y](g_{\varsigma_y(1)}, \dots, g_{\varsigma_y(k_y)}) \neq \emptyset, \quad (3.26)$$

$$\mathbf{x}[y](ig_{\varsigma_y(1)}, \dots, ig_{\varsigma_y(k_y)}) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3.27)$$

$$\mathbf{x}[z](g_{\varsigma_z(1)}, \dots, g_{\varsigma_z(k_z)}) \neq \emptyset, \quad (3.28)$$

$$\mathbf{x}[z](ig_{\varsigma_z(1)}, \dots, ig_{\varsigma_z(k_z)}) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.29)$$

Eventually statement is achieved since continuity of  $\circ$  stated in (2.14), (3.25), (3.26)-(3.29), recursive assumption on  $y, z$  entail that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{x}[x](ig_1, \dots, ig_k) &= \\ \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{x}[y](ig_{\varsigma_y(1)}, \dots, ig_{\varsigma_y(k_y)}) \circ \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{x}[z](ig_{\varsigma_z(1)}, \dots, ig_{\varsigma_z(k_z)}) &= \\ \mathbf{x}[y](g_{\varsigma_y(1)}, \dots, g_{\varsigma_y(k_y)}) \circ \mathbf{x}[z](g_{\varsigma_z(1)}, \dots, g_{\varsigma_z(k_z)}) &= \mathbf{x}[z](g_{\varsigma_z(1)}, \dots, g_{\varsigma_z(k_z)}). \end{aligned}$$

■

In Proposition 3.2.40 below we study the behavior of elements  $x \in X_C$  considered as functions with  $C^0$ -valued unknowns. We refer to Notation 11.0.3-[2], Remark 3.2.22.

**Proposition 3.2.40** *Fix  $x \in X_C$ . Assume  $\#(O_x) \geq 1$ . Fix an order on  $O_x$ .*

*Set:  $k = \#(O_x)$ ,  $O_x = \{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$ ,  $C^0[x] = \prod_{k=1}^k C^0(\text{Dom}(f_k), \mathbb{R})$ .*

*Define the set function  $\eta[g_1, \dots, g_k] : O_x \rightarrow C^0$  for any  $(g_1, \dots, g_k) \in C^0[x]$  by setting  $\eta[g_1, \dots, g_k](f_k) = g_k \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ .*

*Then:*

1. *there is a set function  $\mathbf{x}[x] : C^0[x] \rightarrow C^0$  defined by setting*

$$\mathbf{x}[x](g_1, \dots, g_k) = \mathbf{ev}(\mathbf{u}[x, \mathbf{li} \circ \eta[g_1, \dots, g_k]]) \quad \forall (g_1, \dots, g_k) \in C^0[x];$$

2. *the set function  $\mathbf{x}[x] \circ \mathbf{i}[A[x], C^0[x]]$  is a continuous function where:*

$$A[x] = \{(g_1, \dots, g_k) \in C^0[x] : \mathbf{x}[x](g_1, \dots, g_k) \neq \emptyset\};$$

*$A[x]$  is endowed with the subspace topology with respect to  $(C^0)^k$ .*

**Proof.** The proof coincides word by word with proof of Proposition 3.2.39 by replacing  $\mathbf{M}$  by  $\mathbf{O}$  and  $C^\infty$  by  $C^0$ . ■

In Definition 3.2.41 below we introduce a relation establishing an order among elements belonging to  $X$  based on the behavior of their detecting paths. We refer to Notation 11.0.3-[5].

**Definition 3.2.41**

1. *Fix  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . We say that  $x_1 \prec x_2$  if and only if conditions below are all fulfilled:*

$$\mathbf{D}(x_1) = \mathbf{D}(x_2); \quad (3.30)$$

$$O_{x_1} = O_{x_2}; \quad (3.31)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for any choice of a path } \chi_i \text{ in } X \text{ detecting } x_i, \text{ of a detecting skeleton } (x_i, \alpha_i) \text{ of } \chi_i \text{ for any } i \in \{1, 2\} \text{ fulfilling} \\ \text{(i) } \alpha_1(f, t) = \alpha_2(f, t) \quad \forall (f, t) \in O_{x_1} \times (-1, 1), \\ \text{we have} \\ \text{(ii) } (\mathbf{ev}(\chi_1(t)))(s) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad (\mathbf{ev}(\chi_1(t)))(s) = (\mathbf{ev}(\chi_2(t)))(s) \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \forall s \in \mathbf{D}(x_1) \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.32)$$

2. Fix  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . We say that  $x_1 \succ x_2$  if and only if  $x_1 \prec x_2$  and  $x_2 \prec x_1$ .

In Proposition 3.2.42 below we study the nature of relation  $\prec$  and we examine its compatibility with operations  $\blacklozenge$ ,  $\blacksquare$ ,  $\blacktriangleright$ . We refer to Notation 11.0.4-[2].

**Proposition 3.2.42**

1.  $\prec$  is a reflexive and transitive relation in  $X$ .
2.  $\prec$  is compatible with operations  $\blacklozenge$ ,  $\blacksquare$ ,  $\blacktriangleright$ .
3. Fix  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then  $(x \blacklozenge y) \blacklozenge z \prec x \blacklozenge (y \blacklozenge z)$ .

**Proof.** Proof of statements 1, 2 follow directly by checking axioms and exploiting Proposition 3.2.35.

We prove statement 3. Set  $x_1 = (x \blacklozenge y) \blacklozenge z$ ,  $x_2 = x \blacklozenge (y \blacklozenge z)$ . Then (3.30), (3.31) straightforwardly hold true. We prove (3.32) arguing as follows. Fix a path  $\chi_i$  in  $X$  detecting  $x_i$ , a detecting skeleton  $(x_i, \alpha_i)$  of  $\chi_i$  for any  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  fulfilling  $\alpha_1(f, t) = \alpha_2(f, t) \quad \forall (f, t) \in O_{x_1} \times (-1, 1)$ .

Propositions 3.2.30, 3.2.32, 3.2.35, 3.2.36 entail that there are a path  $\chi_x$  in  $X$  detecting  $x$ , a path  $\chi_y$  in  $X$  detecting  $y$ , a path  $\chi_z$  in  $X$  detecting  $z$  such that  $\chi_1(t) = ((\chi_x \blacklozenge \chi_y) \blacklozenge \chi_z)(t) \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1)$ .

Eventually statement follows by exploiting Proposition 3.2.36 to check that:

$$\chi_x \blacklozenge (\chi_y \blacklozenge \chi_z) \text{ is a path in } X \text{ detecting } x \blacklozenge (y \blacklozenge z);$$

$$\chi_2(t) = (\chi_x \blacklozenge (\chi_y \blacklozenge \chi_z))(t) \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}.$$

■

Motivated by Proposition 3.2.42-[3] we introduce the notion of augmentation of elements belonging to  $X$ . We refer to Definition 3.2.12.

**Definition 3.2.43**

1. Fix  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . We say that  $x_2$  is an elementary augmentation of  $x_1$  if and only if there are  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $y_1, y_2, y_3 \in X$  such that both conditions below are fulfilled:

$$((y_1 \blacklozenge y_2) \blacklozenge y_3, i) \text{ occurs in } x_1;$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_2 = \mathbf{u}[x_1, \eta] \\ \text{where } \eta \text{ is the occurrence function on } \{(y_1 \blacklozenge y_2) \blacklozenge y_3, i\} \\ \text{defined by setting } \eta((y_1 \blacklozenge y_2) \blacklozenge y_3, i) = y_1 \blacklozenge (y_2 \blacklozenge y_3). \end{array} \right.$$

We say that:  $((y_1 \blacklozenge y_2) \blacklozenge y_3, i)$  is the occurrence pair relative to the elementary augmentation  $x_2$  of  $x_1$ ;  $\{(y_1 \blacklozenge y_2) \blacklozenge y_3, i\}$  is the occurrence set relative to the elementary augmentation  $x_2$  of  $x_1$ ;  $\eta$  is the occurrence function relative to the elementary augmentation  $x_2$  of  $x_1$ ;

2. Fix  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . We say that  $x_2$  is an augmentation of  $x_1$  if and only if there are  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $z_1, \dots, z_n \in X$  such that all conditions below are fulfilled

$$z_1 = x_1; \quad (3.33)$$

$$z_n = x_2; \quad (3.34)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} z_{n+1} \text{ is an elementary augmentation of } z_n \text{ for any} \\ n \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.35)$$

We say that the ordered  $n$ -tuple  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  is the elementary chain from  $x_1$  to  $x_2$ .

3. Fix  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . We say that  $x_2$  is a maximal augmentation of  $x_1$  if and only if  $x_2$  is an augmentation of  $x_1$  and there are no elementary augmentations of  $x_2$ .
4. Fix  $x \in X$ . We say that  $x$  is a maximal element if and only if there is no elementary augmentation of  $x$ .

In Propositions 3.2.44-3.2.47 below we study the behavior of augmentation with respect to operations  $\blacklozenge$ ,  $\mathbf{1}$ ,  $\mathbf{1}$ ,  $\blacktriangleright$  and to relation  $\prec$ .

**Proposition 3.2.44** Fix  $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x, x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, y_3, z \in X$ . Assume that all conditions below are fulfilled:  $x = x_1 \blacklozenge \dots \blacklozenge x_n$  up to associativity;  $z$  is an elementary augmentation of  $x$ ;  $((y_1 \blacklozenge y_2) \blacklozenge y_3, i)$  is the occurrence pair relative to the elementary augmentation  $z$  of  $x$ .

Then only two mutually exclusive possibilities occur:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there are } n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ such that} \\ (i) \quad n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < n_4, \\ (ii) \quad y_i = x_{n_i} \blacklozenge \dots \blacklozenge x_{n_{i+1}-1} \text{ up to associativity } \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \\ (iii) \quad x = x_1 \blacklozenge \dots \blacklozenge x_{n_1-1} \blacklozenge y \blacklozenge x_{n_4} \blacklozenge \dots \blacklozenge x_n \text{ up to associativity} \\ \text{where } y = ((y_1 \blacklozenge y_2) \blacklozenge y_3); \end{array} \right. \quad (3.36)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there are } l \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ such that } ((y_1 \blacklozenge y_2) \blacklozenge y_3, i-l) \\ \text{occurs in } x_n. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.37)$$

**Proof.** Statement follows by iterated application of Proposition 3.2.16-[1]. ■

**Proposition 3.2.45** Fix  $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, y_3, z \in X$ . Assume that both conditions below are fulfilled:  $z$  is an elementary augmentation of  $\lfloor x_1, \dots, x_n \rfloor$ ;  $((y_1 \blacklozenge y_2) \blacklozenge y_3, i)$  is the occurrence pair relative to the elementary augmentation  $z$  of  $\lfloor x_1, \dots, x_n \rfloor$ .

Then there are  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that both conditions below are fulfilled:

$$((y_1 \blacklozenge y_2) \blacklozenge y_3, i - l) \text{ occurs in } x_n;$$

$$z = \lfloor x_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}[x_n, \eta], \dots, x_n \rfloor,$$

where  $\eta$  is the occurrence function on  $\{((y_1 \blacklozenge y_2) \blacklozenge y_3, i - l)\}$

defined by setting  $\eta((y_1 \blacklozenge y_2) \blacklozenge y_3, i - l) = y_1 \blacklozenge (y_2 \blacklozenge y_3)$ .

**Proof.** Statement follows by Proposition 3.2.17-[1] since  $((y_1 \blacklozenge y_2) \blacklozenge y_3, i) \neq (\lfloor x_1, \dots, x_n \rfloor, 1)$ . ■

**Proposition 3.2.46** Fix  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M}$ ,  $x, y_1, y_2, y_3, z \in X$ . Assume that both conditions below are fulfilled:  $z$  is an elementary augmentation of  $\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x$ ;  $((y_1 \blacklozenge y_2) \blacklozenge y_3, i)$  is the occurrence pair relative to the elementary augmentation  $z$  of  $\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x$ .

Then there is  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  such that both conditions below are fulfilled:

$$((y_1 \blacklozenge y_2) \blacklozenge y_3, i - l) \text{ occurs in } x;$$

$$z = \mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright \mathbf{u}[x, \eta],$$

where  $\eta$  is the occurrence function on  $\{((y_1 \blacklozenge y_2) \blacklozenge y_3, i - l)\}$

defined by setting  $\eta((y_1 \blacklozenge y_2) \blacklozenge y_3, i - l) = y_1 \blacklozenge (y_2 \blacklozenge y_3)$ .

**Proof.** Statement follows by Proposition 3.2.18-[1] since  $((y_1 \blacklozenge y_2) \blacklozenge y_3, i) \neq (\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x, 1)$ . ■

**Proposition 3.2.47**

1. Fix  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . Assume that  $x_2$  is an augmentation of  $x_1$ . Then:

$$\mathbf{C}(x_1) = \mathbf{C}(x_2);$$

$$\mathbf{D}(x_1) = \mathbf{D}(x_2);$$

$$x_1 \prec x_2. \tag{3.38}$$

2. Fix  $x \in X$ . Then there is one and only one  $z \in X$  such that  $z$  is a maximal augmentation of  $x$ .

If  $x$  has order 0 then  $z = x$ .

If  $x$  has order  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  then there are  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbf{m}_{m,1}, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{m,n_m} \in \mathbf{M}$ ,  $x_{m,1}, \dots, x_{m,n_m} \in \mathfrak{L}_{k-1}(X_{k-1})$ ,  $z_{m,1}, \dots, z_{m,n_m} \in \mathfrak{L}_{k-1}(X_{k-1})$  for any  $m \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that all conditions below are fulfilled:

$$\begin{cases} x = x_1 \blacklozenge \dots \blacklozenge x_m \text{ up to associativity, where} \\ x_m = \lfloor \mathbf{m}_{m,1} \blacktriangleright x_{m,1}, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{m,n_m} \blacktriangleright x_{m,n_m} \rfloor & \forall m \in \{1, \dots, m\}; \end{cases}$$



$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} z_{m,n} \text{ is the maximal augmentation of } x_{m,n} \\ \forall m \in \{1, \dots, m\} \quad \forall n \in \{1, \dots, n_m\}; \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} z = z_1 \blacklozenge (z_2 \blacklozenge (\dots \blacklozenge (z_{m-1} \blacklozenge z_m) \dots)), \text{ where} \\ z_m = \left| \mathbf{m}_{m,1} \blacktriangleright z_{m,1}, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{m,n_m} \blacktriangleright z_{m,n_m} \right| \quad \forall m \in \{1, \dots, m\}. \end{array} \right.$$

3. Fix  $x_1, x_2, z_1, z_2 \in X$ . Assume that all the following conditions are fulfilled:  $x_2$  is an augmentation of  $x_1$ ;  $z_i$  is the maximal augmentation of  $x_i$  for any  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Then  $z_1 = z_2$ .

4. Fix  $x_{1,1}, x_{2,1}, x_{1,2}, x_{2,2}, z_1, z_2 \in X$ . Assume that all the following conditions are fulfilled:

$$x_{i,j} \text{ is a maximal element} \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, 2\}; \quad (3.39)$$

$$x_{i,1} \prec x_{i,2} \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2\}; \quad (3.40)$$

$$z_j \text{ is the maximal augmentation of } x_{1,j} \blacklozenge x_{2,j} \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2\}. \quad (3.41)$$

Then  $z_1 \prec z_2$ .

5. Fix  $m_1, m_2, m_3 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $S_i \subseteq \mathring{\mathbb{R}}^{m_i}$  for any  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . Assume  $S_i \subseteq \mathbf{D}(x_i)$  for any  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Then

$$x_1 \blacklozenge (i[S_1, \mathbf{D}(x_1)] \blacklozenge (x_2 \blacklozenge (i[S_2, \mathbf{D}(x_2)] \blacklozenge (x_3 \blacklozenge i[S_3, \mathbf{D}(x_3)])))) \prec x_1 \blacklozenge (x_2 \blacklozenge (x_3 \blacklozenge i[S_3, \mathbf{D}(x_3)])).$$

6. Fix  $x_1, x_2 \in X_C$ . Assume that  $x_2$  is an augmentation of  $x_1$ . Then  $\mathbf{ev}(x_1) = \mathbf{ev}(x_2)$ .

**Proof.**

**Proof of statement 1.** Statement straightforwardly follows by definition of augmentation and by Proposition 3.2.42-[3].

**Proof of statements 2.** We prove the statement by a three step induction argument based on the order of  $x$  (see Definition 3.2.3).

**Step 1.** If  $-1$  is the order of  $x$  then statement straightforwardly holds true since the maximal augmentation of  $x$  coincides with  $x$  itself.

**Step 2.** If  $k \geq 0$  is the order of  $x$  and  $x \in \mathfrak{u}_k(G_k)$  then there are  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_m \in \mathbf{M}$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathfrak{u}_{k-1}(X_{k-1})$  such that

$$x = \left| \mathbf{m}_1 \blacktriangleright x_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_m \blacktriangleright x_m \right|.$$

Fix a maximal augmentation  $z$  of  $x$ . Iterated application of Propositions 3.2.45, 3.2.46 entail that there are  $z_1, \dots, z_m \in \mathfrak{u}_{k-1}(X_{k-1})$  such that

$$z = \left| \mathbf{m}_1 \blacktriangleright z_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_m \blacktriangleright z_m \right|.$$

Since  $z$  is a maximal augmentation of  $x$  we have that  $z_m$  is a maximal augmentation of  $x_m$  for any  $m \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . In fact, on the contrary, any elementary augmentation of an element  $z_m$  would provide an elementary augmentation of

$z$  by Construction 3.2.14. Then statement follows since the maximal augmentation of  $x_m$  is unique by induction for any  $m \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ .

**Step 3.** If  $k \geq 0$  is the order of  $x$  and  $x \in \mathfrak{L}_k(X_k)$  then there are  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathfrak{L}_k(G_k)$  such that  $x = x_1 \blacklozenge \dots \blacklozenge x_m$  up to associativity. Fix a maximal augmentation  $z$  of  $x$ . Iterated application of Propositions 3.2.44, 3.2.45, 3.2.46 entails that there are  $z_1, \dots, z_m \in \mathfrak{L}_k(G_k)$ , fulfilling both conditions below

$$z_m \text{ is a maximal augmentation of } x_m \quad \forall m \in \{1, \dots, m\};$$

$$z = z_1 \blacklozenge (z_2 \blacklozenge (\dots \blacklozenge (z_{m-1} \blacklozenge z_m) \dots)).$$

In fact, on the contrary, any arrangement of elements  $z_1, \dots, z_m$  in  $z$  of type  $(w_1 \blacklozenge w_2) \blacklozenge w_3$ , or any elementary augmentation of an element  $z_m$  would provide an elementary augmentation of  $z$  by Construction 3.2.14. Then statement follows since the maximal augmentation of  $x_m$  is unique by step 2 for any  $m \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ .

**Proof of statements 3.** Statement follows arguing as in the proof of Statement 2 by induction on the degree of elements belonging to  $X$ .

**Proof of statement 4.** Assumptions straightforwardly entail that data  $z_1, z_2$  fulfill conditions (3.30), (3.31). We prove (3.32) by arguing as follows. Fix a path  $\chi_j$  in  $X$  detecting  $z_j$ , a detecting skeleton  $(z_j, \alpha_j)$  of  $\chi_j$  for any  $j \in \{1, 2\}$  fulfilling (3.32)-[(i)]. Fix  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ , construction of  $X$  entails that there is  $k_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{-1\}$  with  $x_{i,j} \in \mathfrak{L}_{k_{i,j}}(X_{k_{i,j}})$ . Propositions 3.2.45, 3.2.46, statement 2, assumption (3.39) entail that there are  $x_{i,j,1}, \dots, x_{i,j,k_{i,j}} \in \mathfrak{L}_{k_{i,j}-1}(G_{k_{i,j}})$  for any  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  fulfilling both conditions below:

$$x_{i,j,k} \text{ is a maximal element} \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, 2\} \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, k_{i,j}\};$$

$$x_{i,j} = x_{i,j,1} \blacklozenge (x_{i,j,2} \blacklozenge (\dots \blacklozenge (x_{i,j,k_{i,j}-1} \blacklozenge x_{i,j,k_{i,j}}) \dots)) \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Propositions 3.2.30, 3.2.32, 3.2.35, 3.2.36 entail that there are a path  $\chi_{i,j}$  detecting  $x_{i,j}$ , a detecting skeleton  $(x_{i,j}, \alpha_{i,j})$  of  $\chi_{i,j}$ , a path  $\chi_{i,j,k}$  detecting  $x_{i,j,k}$ , a detecting skeleton  $(x_{i,j,k}, \alpha_{i,j,k})$  of  $\chi_{i,j,k}$  for any  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $k \in \{1, \dots, k_{i,j}\}$  fulfilling both conditions below:

$$\chi_{i,j} = \chi_{i,j,1} \blacklozenge (\chi_{i,j,2} \blacklozenge (\dots \blacklozenge (\chi_{i,j,k_{i,j}-1} \blacklozenge \chi_{i,j,k_{i,j}}) \dots)) \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, 2\}; \quad (3.42)$$

$$\chi_j = \chi_{1,j,1} \blacklozenge (\chi_{1,j,2} \blacklozenge (\dots \blacklozenge (\chi_{1,j,k_{i,j}-1} \blacklozenge (\chi_{1,j,k_{i,j}} \blacklozenge \chi_{2,j})) \dots)) \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2\}. \quad (3.43)$$

By construction data  $\chi_{i,1}, (x_{i,1}, \alpha_{i,1}), \chi_{i,2}, (x_{i,2}, \alpha_{i,2})$  fulfill condition (3.32)-[(i)] for any  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .

Then assumption (3.40) entails that data  $\chi_{i,1}, (x_{i,1}, \alpha_{i,1}), \chi_{i,2}, (x_{i,2}, \alpha_{i,2})$  fulfill condition (3.32)-[(ii)] for any  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .

Fix  $s \in \mathbf{D}(z_1)$ ,  $t \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}$  with  $(\mathbf{ev}(\chi_1(t)))(s) \neq \emptyset$ .

Then (3.42), (3.43) entail that both conditions below are fulfilled:

$$(\mathbf{ev}(\chi_{2,1}(t)))(s) \neq \emptyset; \quad (3.44)$$

$$(\mathbf{ev}(\chi_{1,1}(t)))((\mathbf{ev}(\chi_{2,1}(t)))(s)) \neq \emptyset. \quad (3.45)$$

Eventually condition (3.32)-[(ii)], assumption (3.40), identity (3.43), inequalities (3.44), (3.45) entail that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{ev}(\chi_1(t)))(s) &= (\mathbf{ev}(\chi_{1,1}(t)))((\mathbf{ev}(\chi_{2,1}(t)))(s)) = \\ &= (\mathbf{ev}(\chi_{1,2}(t)))((\mathbf{ev}(\chi_{2,2}(t)))(s)) = (\mathbf{ev}(\chi_2(t)))(s). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof of statement 5.** Statement follows straightforwardly by checking axioms.

**Proof of statement 6.** Fix  $x, y, z \in X_C$ . Composition defined in Examples 2.0.4, 2.0.6 and (3.9)-[(i)] together entail that  $\mathbf{ev}((x \blacklozenge y) \blacklozenge z) = \mathbf{ev}(x \blacklozenge (y \blacklozenge z))$ . Then statement follows by Propositions 3.2.44-3.2.46. ■

In Definition 3.2.48 below we introduce an equivalence relation which identifies elements belonging to  $X$  whenever paths detecting their maximal augmentations suitably coincides.

**Definition 3.2.48** Fix  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . We say that  $x_1 \approx x_2$  if and only if  $z_1 \succ z_2$  where  $z_i$  is the maximal augmentation of  $x_i$  for any  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .

In Proposition 3.2.49 below we study the nature of relation  $\approx$  and we examine its compatibility with operations  $\blacklozenge, \blacksquare, \blacktriangleright$ .

**Proposition 3.2.49**  $\approx$  is an equivalence relation in  $X$  and is compatible with operations  $\blacklozenge, \blacksquare, \blacktriangleright$ .

**Proof.** Statement follows straightforwardly by Propositions 3.2.42-[1], 3.2.47-[2, 4]. ■

### 3.3 Topological magma $(W, \blacklozenge)$

First we define magma  $(W, \blacklozenge)$  as an algebraic object and study its basic properties, then we introduce topology on  $(W, \blacklozenge)$  and study its basic properties.

Motivated by (3.31), Definition 3.2.48, Proposition 3.2.49 and referring to Notation 11.0.3-[13], we give Definition 3.3.1 below.

**Definition 3.3.1** Magma  $(W, \blacklozenge)$  is the quotient magma between  $(X, \blacklozenge)$  and equivalence relation  $\approx$ .

We denote by  $\mathbf{q} : (X, \blacklozenge) \rightarrow (W, \blacklozenge)$  the quotient function of magmas.

We set:

$$\mathbf{li} = \mathbf{q} \circ \mathbf{li},$$

$$W_C = \mathbf{q}(X_C), \quad W_{CC} = \mathbf{q}(X_{CC}), \quad W_S = \mathbf{q}(X_S), \quad W_{SS} = \mathbf{q}(X_{SS}).$$

The generic element belonging to  $W$  will be denoted by  $u$ .

For any  $u \in W$  we denote by  $O_u$  any set  $O_x$  with  $u = \mathbf{q}(x)$ .

For any  $u \in W$ ,  $x \in X$  with  $x$  maximal element and  $u = \mathbf{q}(x)$  we say that  $x$  is a maximal representative of  $u$ .

**Remark 3.3.2** We emphasize that  $W$  is not the single point set.  
In fact, referring to (3.2), Definition 3.2.3 we have that condition (3.31) entails

$$\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{i}_0(x)) \neq \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{i}_0(y)) \quad \forall x, y \in X_{-1}.$$

In Proposition 3.3.3 below we state and prove basic algebraic properties of  $W$ .

**Proposition 3.3.3**

1. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . There is one and only one set function  $\mathbf{l} : W^n \rightarrow W$  defined by setting  $\mathbf{l} \circ \mathbf{q}^n = \mathbf{q} \circ \mathbf{l} \circ \mathbf{l}$ .  
We emphasize that in case  $n = 1$  we have  $\mathbf{l} = 1_W$ .

2. There is one and only one set function  $\triangleright : \mathbf{M} \times W \rightarrow W$  defined by setting  $\triangleright \circ (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{M}}, \mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{q} \circ \blacktriangleright$ .  
Set function  $\triangleright$  fulfills the system of conditions below:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (i) & (\mathbf{m}\mathbf{n}) \triangleright u = \mathbf{m} \triangleright (\mathbf{n} \triangleright u) \quad \forall \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{M} \quad \forall u \in W, \\ (ii) & \mathbf{m} \triangleright u \in W_C \quad \forall \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{O} \quad \forall u \in W_C, \\ (iii) & \mathbf{m} \triangleright u \in W_{CC} \quad \forall \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{O} \quad \forall u \in W_{CC}, \\ (iv) & \mathbf{m} \triangleright u \in W_S \quad \forall \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M} \quad \forall u \in W_S, \\ (v) & \mathbf{m} \triangleright u \in W_{SS} \quad \forall \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M} \quad \forall u \in W_{SS}. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.46)$$

3. There is one and only one set function  $\mathbf{D} : W \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  fulfilling  $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D} \circ \mathbf{q}$ .

4. There is one and only one set function  $\mathbf{C} : W \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$  fulfilling  $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C} \circ \mathbf{q}$ .

5.  $W_C$  is a sub-magma of  $W$ ,  $W_S$  is a sub-magma of  $W_C$ .

6. There is one and only one set function  $\text{ev} : W_C \rightarrow C^0$  defined by setting

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{ev}(u) = \mathbf{ev}(z) \quad \forall u \in W_C \quad \forall z \in X_C \quad \text{with} \\ u = \mathbf{q}(z), \quad z \text{ is a maximal element.} \end{array} \right. \quad (3.47)$$

Set function  $\text{ev}$  fulfills the system of conditions below:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (i) & \text{ev}(u \diamond v) = \text{ev}(u) \circ \text{ev}(v) \quad \forall u, v \in W_C, \\ (ii) & \text{ev}(|u_1, \dots, u_n|) = \\ & \quad (\text{ev}(u_1), \dots, \text{ev}(u_n)) \quad \forall (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in W_C^n, \\ (iii) & \text{ev}(\mathbf{m} \triangleright u) = \mathbf{m} \bowtie \text{ev}(u) \quad \forall \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{O} \quad \forall u \in W_C, \\ (iv) & \text{ev}(\mathbf{m} \triangleright u) = \mathbf{m} \bowtie \text{ev}(u) \quad \forall \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M} \quad \forall u \in W_S, \\ (v) & \text{ev}(u) \in C^\infty \quad \forall u \in W_S. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.48)$$

**Proof.**

**Proof of statements 1, 2.** Statements follow by compatibility of  $\approx$  with operations  $\mathbf{l} \circ \mathbf{l}, \blacktriangleright$  (see Proposition 3.2.49).

**Proof of statements 3, 4.** Statements follow since for any  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  with  $x_1 \approx x_2$  we have also  $\mathbf{D}(x_1) = \mathbf{D}(x_2)$ ,  $\mathbf{C}(x_1) = \mathbf{C}(x_2)$ .

**Proof of statement 5.** Statements follow by compatibility of  $\approx$  with the operation  $\blacklozenge$  (see Proposition 3.2.49).

**Proof of statement 6.** Set function  $\text{ev}$  is everywhere defined on  $W_C$  by Proposition 3.2.47-[2] and it is well defined on  $W_C$  since (2.17), Proposition 3.2.40, relation  $\approx$  together entail that  $\text{ev}(x_1) = \text{ev}(x_2)$  for any  $x_1, x_2 \in X_C$  with  $x_1, x_2$  maximal elements and  $\mathbf{q}(x_1) = \mathbf{q}(x_2)$ . Eventually (3.48) follows by (3.9), Proposition 3.2.47-[2, 6].  $\blacksquare$

Motivated by Proposition 3.3.3-[3, 4] we introduce set functions giving the dimension of domain and co-domain of elements belonging  $W$ . We refer to Definition 3.2.6.

**Definition 3.3.4** *We define set functions*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{C}} : W &\rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0 \quad \text{by setting} \quad \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{q}(x)) = \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(x) \quad \forall x \in X; \\ \tilde{\mathbf{D}} : W &\rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0 \quad \text{by setting} \quad \tilde{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{q}(x)) = \tilde{\mathbf{D}}(x) \quad \forall x \in X. \end{aligned}$$

In Definition 3.3.5 below we introduce the notion of path in sets  $W^n$ . We refer to Definition 3.2.24.

**Definition 3.3.5**

1. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a set function  $\omega : (-1, 1) \rightarrow W^n$ .  
We say that  $\omega$  is a path in  $W^n$  if and only if there is a path  $\chi$  in  $X^n$  such that  $\omega = \mathbf{q}^n \circ \chi$ .  
With an abuse of language we say that: any skeleton  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), \alpha)$  of  $\chi$  is a skeleton of  $\omega$ ;  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a core of  $\omega$ ;  $\alpha$  is an associating function of  $\omega$ .
2. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a path  $\omega$  in  $W^n$ , a subset  $\mathbf{S} \subseteq (-1, 1)$ .  
We say that  $(\omega, \mathbf{S})$  is a smooth path in  $W^n$  if and only if there is a smooth path  $(\chi, \mathbf{S})$  in  $X^n$  such that  $\omega = \mathbf{q}^n \circ \chi$ .  
With an abuse of language we say that:  $\mathbf{S}$  is the singular set of  $(\omega, \mathbf{S})$ ; any skeleton  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), \alpha)$  of  $(\chi, \mathbf{S})$  is a skeleton of  $(\omega, \mathbf{S})$ .  
We emphasize that nothing is assumed about  $\omega(t)$  when  $t \in \mathbf{S}$ .
3. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in W^n$ , a path  $\omega$  in  $W^n$ .  
We say that  $\omega$  is a path in  $W^n$  through  $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  if and only if we have  $\omega(0) = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ .
4. Fix  $u \in W$ , a path  $\omega$  in  $W$  through  $u$ . We say that  $\omega$  is a path in  $W$  detecting  $u$  if and only if there is  $x \in X$ , a path  $\chi$  in  $X$  detecting  $x$  such that  $\omega = \mathbf{q} \circ \chi$ .  
With an abuse of language we say that any detecting skeleton  $(x, \alpha)$  of  $\chi$  is a detecting skeleton of  $\omega$ .

In Propositions 3.3.6, 3.3.9, 3.3.10, 3.3.11, 3.3.12, 3.3.14, 3.3.15, 3.3.16 below we study the link between algebraic structure of  $W$  and paths in  $W$ .

**Proposition 3.3.6** *Fix a path  $\omega$  in  $W$ , a skeleton  $(x, \alpha)$  of  $\omega$ . Then*

$$\mathbf{C}(\omega(t)) = \mathbf{C}(x), \quad \mathbf{D}(\omega(t)) = \mathbf{D}(x) \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1).$$

**Proof.** Statement follows by Definition 3.3.5, Propositions 3.2.29, 3.2.47-[1], 3.3.3-[3, 4]. ■

Motivated by Proposition 3.3.6 we extend to continuous functions with path connected domain the notions of domain and co-domain.

**Definition 3.3.7** *Fix a path connected topological space  $X$ , a continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow W$ . We define:*

$$\mathbf{C}(\omega) = \mathbf{C}(\omega(x)) \quad x \in X;$$

$$\mathbf{D}(\omega) = \mathbf{D}(\omega(x)) \quad x \in X;$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\omega) = \mathbf{C}(\omega(x)) \quad x \in X;$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}(\omega) = \mathbf{D}(\omega(x)) \quad x \in X.$$

We say that:  $\mathbf{C}(\omega)$  is the co-domain of  $\omega$ ;  $\mathbf{D}(\omega)$  is the domain of  $\omega$ ;  $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\omega)$  is the dimension of the co-domain of  $\omega$ ;  $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}(\omega)$  is the dimension of the domain of  $\omega$ .

Motivated by (3.31), Proposition 3.2.30, in Definition 3.3.8 we introduce the notion of induced paths.

**Definition 3.3.8**

1. Fix  $u, v \in W$ , a path  $\omega$  in  $W$ , a skeleton  $(x, \alpha)$  of  $\omega$ ,  $y \in X$ .

Assume that all the following conditions hold true:

$$O_v \subseteq O_u; \quad u = \mathbf{q}(x); \quad v = \mathbf{q}(y).$$

We denote by  $\omega[v, (u, \alpha)]$  the path in  $W$  obtained by composing with  $\mathbf{q}$  the path in  $X$  defined by  $(y, \alpha \circ (\mathbf{i}[O_v, O_u], 1_{(-1,1)}))$  through (3.14).

We say that  $\omega[v, (u, \alpha)]$  is the path induced on  $v$  by  $(u, \alpha)$ . By Remark 3.2.25-[2] we say that  $\omega[v, (u, \alpha)]$  is a path induced on  $v$  by  $\omega$ .

2. Fix  $u, v \in W$ , a smooth path  $(\omega, \mathbf{S})$  in  $W$ , a skeleton  $(x, \alpha)$  of  $\omega$ ,  $y \in X$ .

Assume that all the following conditions hold true:

$$O_v \subseteq O_u; \quad u = \mathbf{q}(x); \quad v = \mathbf{q}(y).$$

We denote by  $(\omega[v, (u, \alpha)], \mathbf{S})$  the smooth path in  $W$  obtained by composing with  $\mathbf{q}$  the smooth path in  $X$  defined by  $(y, \alpha \circ (\mathbf{i}[O_v, O_u], 1_{(-1,1)}))$  through (3.14).

We say that  $(\omega[v, (u, \alpha)], \mathbf{S})$  is the smooth path induced on  $v$  by  $(u, \alpha)$ . By Remark 3.2.25-[2] we say that  $(\omega[v, (u, \alpha)], \mathbf{S})$  is a smooth path induced on  $v$  by  $\omega$ .

**Proposition 3.3.9** Fix  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ , a path  $\omega_1$  in  $W^{n_1}$ , a path  $\omega_2$  in  $W^{n_2}$ .

1. The set function  $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2) \circ \Delta [(-1, 1), 2]$  is a path in  $W^{n_1+n_2}$ .
2. If there are subsets  $S_1, S_2 \subseteq (-1, 1)$  such that pair  $(\omega_1, S_1)$  is a smooth path in  $W^{n_1}$  and pair  $(\omega_2, S_2)$  is a smooth path in  $W^{n_2}$  then the pair  $((\omega_1, \omega_2) \circ \Delta [(-1, 1), 2], S_1 \cup S_2)$  is a smooth path in  $W^{n_1+n_2}$ .
3. Fix  $I \subseteq \{1, 2\}$ ,  $J_i \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$  and a skeleton  $((x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,n_i}), \alpha_i)$  of  $\omega_i$  for any  $i \in I$ , a skeleton  $((y_1, \dots, y_{n_1+n_2}), \alpha_y)$  of  $\omega$ .  
Assume  $x_{i,n} \in X_C \quad \forall i \in I \quad \forall n \in J_i$ . Set  $n_0 = 0$ .  
Then exists a skeleton  $((x_1, \dots, x_{n_1+n_2}), \alpha)$  of  $\omega$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned} x_k = y_k & \quad \text{if } k \neq n_{i-1} + n \quad \forall i \in I \quad \forall n \in J_i; \\ x_k \in X_C & \quad \text{if } \exists i \in I \quad \exists n \in J_i \quad \text{such that } k = n_{i-1} + n. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Statements follow by corresponding statements in Proposition 3.2.28.

■

**Proposition 3.3.10** Fix two paths  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  in  $W$ .

1. The set function  $\omega_1 \diamond \omega_2 : (-1, 1) \rightarrow W$  defined by setting

$$(\omega_1 \diamond \omega_2)(t) = \omega_1(t) \diamond \omega_2(t) \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1)$$

is a path in  $W$ .

2. If there are subsets  $S_1, S_2 \subseteq (-1, 1)$  such that pairs  $(\omega_1, S_1), (\omega_2, S_2)$  are smooth paths in  $W$  then pair  $(\omega_1 \diamond \omega_2, S_1 \cup S_2)$  is a smooth path in  $W$ .
3. If there is a skeleton  $(x_1, \alpha_1)$  of  $\omega_1$ , a skeleton  $(x_2, \alpha_2)$  of  $\omega_2$  with  $x_1, x_2 \in X_C$  then there is a skeleton  $(x, \alpha)$  of  $\omega_1 \diamond \omega_2$  with  $x \in X_C$ .

**Proof.** Statements follow by corresponding statements in Proposition 3.2.36.

■

**Proposition 3.3.11** Fix  $u, v \in W$ , a path  $\omega$  in  $W$  detecting  $u \diamond v$ .

Then there are a path  $\omega_u$  in  $W$  detecting  $u$ , a path  $\omega_v$  in  $W$  detecting  $v$  such that  $\omega(t) = (\omega_u \diamond \omega_v)(t) \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}$ .

We emphasize that  $(\text{ev}(\omega(t)))(s) \neq \emptyset$  entails:

$$(\text{ev}(\omega_v(t)))(s) \neq \emptyset; \quad (\text{ev}(\omega_u(t)))(\text{ev}(\omega_v(t)))(s) \neq \emptyset.$$

**Proof.** Choose:  $x, y \in X$  with  $\mathbf{q}(x) = u, \mathbf{q}(y) = v$ ; a detecting skeleton  $(z, \alpha)$  of  $\omega$ . We have  $z \approx x \blacklozenge y$  then by Proposition 3.2.30, relation (10.35) there is a path  $\omega_1$  in  $W$  detecting  $u \diamond v$  fulfilling both conditions below:

$$\begin{aligned} (x \blacklozenge y, \alpha) & \text{ is a detecting skeleton of } \omega_1; \\ \omega(t) & = \omega_1(t) \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.2.19 we set:

$$\alpha_x = \alpha \circ (i[O_x, O_z], 1_{(-1,1)}), \quad \alpha_y = \alpha \circ (i[O_y, O_z], 1_{(-1,1)}).$$

Eventually statement follows by Definition 3.3.5-[4], Propositions 3.2.32-[3], 3.2.35 if we define paths  $\omega_u, \omega_v$  through (3.14) applied with data  $(x, \alpha_x), (y, \alpha_y)$  respectively. ■

**Proposition 3.3.12** Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , an ordered  $n$ -tuple  $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$  of paths in  $W$ .

1. The set function  $|\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n| : (-1, 1) \rightarrow W$  defined by setting

$$|\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n|(t) = |\omega_1(t), \dots, \omega_n(t)| \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1)$$

is a path in  $W$ .

2. If there is a subset  $S_n \subseteq (-1, 1)$  such that the pair  $(\omega_n, S_n)$  is a smooth path in  $W$  for any  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  then pair  $\left(|\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n|, \bigcup_{n=1}^n S_n\right)$  is a smooth path in  $W$ .

3. If there is a skeleton  $(x_n, \alpha_n)$  of  $\omega_n$  with  $x_n \in X_C$  for any  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  then there is a skeleton  $(x, \alpha)$  of  $|\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n|$  with  $x \in X_C$ .

**Proof.** Statements follow by corresponding statements in Proposition 3.2.37. ■

**Proposition 3.3.13** Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in W^n$ , a path  $\omega$  in  $W$  detecting  $|u_1, \dots, u_n|$ .

Then there is a path  $\omega_n$  in  $W$  detecting  $u_n$  for any  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\omega(t) = |\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n|(t) \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}$ .

We emphasize that  $(\text{ev}(\omega(t)))(s) \neq \emptyset$  entails  $(\text{ev}(\omega_n(t)))(s) \neq \emptyset$  for any  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

**Proof.** Choose:  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$  with  $\mathbf{q}(x_n) = u_n$  for any  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ; a detecting skeleton  $(z, \alpha)$  of  $\omega$ . We have  $z \approx |x_1, \dots, x_n|$  then by Proposition 3.2.30, relation (10.35) there is path  $\omega_1$  in  $W$  detecting  $|u_1, \dots, u_n|$  fulfilling both conditions below:

$(|x_1, \dots, x_n|, \alpha)$  is a detecting skeleton of  $\omega_1$ ;

$$\omega(t) = \omega_1(t) \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}.$$

By Proposition 3.2.19 we set  $\alpha_i = \alpha \circ (i[O_{x_n}, O_z], 1_{(-1,1)})$  for any  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Eventually statement follows by Definition 3.3.5-[4], Propositions 3.2.32-[3], 3.2.35 if we define path  $\omega_n$  through (3.14) applied with datum  $(x_n, \alpha_n)$  for any  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . ■

**Proposition 3.3.14** Fix a path  $\omega$  in  $W$ ,  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M}$ .



1. The set function  $\mathbf{m} \triangleright \omega : (-1, 1) \rightarrow W$  defined by setting

$$(\mathbf{m} \triangleright \omega)(t) = \mathbf{m} \triangleright \omega(t) \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1)$$

is a path in  $W$ .

2. If there is a subset  $S \subseteq (-1, 1)$  such that the pair  $(\omega, S)$  is a smooth path in  $W$  then pair  $(\mathbf{m} \triangleright \omega, S)$  is a smooth path in  $W$ .

3. If  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{O}$  and there is a skeleton  $(y, \alpha_y)$  of  $\omega$  with  $y \in X_C$  then there is a skeleton  $(x, \alpha)$  of  $\mathbf{m} \triangleright \omega$  with  $x \in X_C$ .

**Proof.** Statements follow by corresponding statements in Proposition 3.2.38.

■

**Proposition 3.3.15** Fix  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M}$ ,  $u \in W$ , a path  $\omega$  in  $W$  detecting  $\mathbf{m} \triangleright u$ .

Then there is a path  $\omega_u$  in  $W$  detecting  $u$  such that

$$\omega(t) = (\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright \omega_u)(t) \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}.$$

We emphasize that  $\text{ev}(\omega(t)) \neq \emptyset$  entails  $\text{ev}(\omega_u(t)) \neq \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** Choose:  $x_1 \in X$  with  $\mathbf{q}(x_1) = u$ ; a detecting skeleton  $(z, \alpha)$  of  $\omega$ . We have  $z \approx \mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x$  then by Proposition 3.2.30, relation (10.35) there is a path  $\omega_1$  in  $W$  detecting  $\mathbf{m} \triangleright u$  fulfilling both conditions below::

$(\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x, \alpha)$  is a detecting skeleton of  $\omega_1$ ;

$$\omega(t) = \omega_1(t) \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}.$$

By Proposition 3.2.19 we set  $\alpha_x = \alpha \circ (\mathbf{i}[O_x, O_z], 1_{(-1, 1)})$ .

Eventually statement follows by Definition 3.3.5-[4], Propositions 3.2.32-[3], 3.2.35 if we define path  $\omega_u$  through (3.14) applied with datum  $(x, \alpha_x)$ . ■

**Proposition 3.3.16** Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a path  $\omega$  in  $W^n$  and a set  $S \subseteq [0, 1)$ . Assume that all conditions below are fulfilled:

$$0 \in S, \quad S \cap (\varepsilon, 1) \text{ is a finite set for any } \varepsilon > 0. \quad (3.49)$$

Then there is a smooth path  $(\omega_1, S)$  in  $W^n$  fulfilling

$$\omega_1(t) = \omega(t) \quad \forall t \in S. \quad (3.50)$$

**Proof.**

Referring to Definitions 3.2.24, 3.3.5-[1] we choose a skeleton  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), \alpha)$  of  $\omega$ . Then there is a set function  $\alpha_1 : \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{x_n} \right) \times (-1, 1) \rightarrow C^0$  fulfilling all conditions below:

$$(3.11); \quad (3.15)-[(iii)] \text{ with data } \alpha_1, S;$$

$$\alpha_1(f, t) = \alpha(f, t) \quad \forall (f, t) \in \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} O_{x_n} \right) \times S.$$

Existence of  $\alpha_1$  follows by Weierstrass approximation theorem and the path connectivity of  $C^0[S, \mathbb{R}^n]$  (refer to Notation 11.0.9-[2]).

Statement is achieved by setting  $\omega_1 = \mathbf{q}^n \circ \chi_1$  where  $\chi_1$  is the unique path in  $X^n$  defined by the pair  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), \alpha_1)$  through (3.14). ■

**Conjecture 3.3.17** *Fix  $u \in W_{CC}$ . Then there is a path  $\omega$  detecting  $u$  such that  $(\text{ev}(\omega(t)))(s) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\} \quad \forall s \in D(u)$ .*

Conjecture 3.3.17 seems to be quite natural, however we were not able to prove it. Fortunately for our aims (Chapter 7) we do not need to prove Conjecture 3.3.17 but a weaker version of it (Proposition 4.1.2).

In Definition 3.3.18 below we give topology to sets  $W^n$  through paths introduced in Definition 3.3.5. We refer to Notations 11.0.3-[5], 11.0.5-[5].

**Definition 3.3.18**

1. *Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $W^n$  is endowed with the final topology with respect to the family of all paths in  $W^n$ . We say that such topology is the the path topology on  $W^n$ .*
2. *We set*

$$W_\emptyset = \left\{ u \in W : \forall \text{ path } \omega \text{ in } W \text{ detecting } u, \quad \forall \delta > 0 \right. \\ \left. \exists t \in (-\delta, \delta) \setminus \{0\} \quad \exists s \in D(u) : (\text{ev}(\omega(t)))(s) = \emptyset \right\}.$$

In Proposition 3.3.19 below we state and prove basic properties of the path topology introduced in Definition 3.3.18. We refer to Notation 11.0.5-[4, 5], Definitions 2.0.1, 3.2.48.

**Proposition 3.3.19**

1. *Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then path topology on  $W^{m+n}$  coincides with the product of path topologies on  $W^m$  and on  $W^n$ .*
2. *Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then path topology on  $W^n$  coincides with the final topology of  $W^n$  with respect to all smooth paths in  $W^n$ .*
3.  *$\diamond : W \times W \rightarrow W$  is a continuous function.*
4. *Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the set function  $| \quad | : W^n \rightarrow W$  introduced in Proposition 3.3.3-[1] is a continuous function.*

5. The set function  $\triangleright : \mathbf{M} \times W \rightarrow W$  introduced in Proposition 3.3.3-[2] is a continuous function.

6. Fix  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M}$ ,  $u, v \in W$ . Then:

$$u \diamond v \notin W_\emptyset \quad \Rightarrow \quad v \notin W_\emptyset, \quad (3.51)$$

$$|u, v| \notin W_\emptyset \quad \Rightarrow \quad (u \notin W_\emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad v \notin W_\emptyset), \quad (3.52)$$

$$\mathbf{m} \triangleright u \notin W_\emptyset \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad u \notin W_\emptyset.$$

**Proof.**

**Proof of statement 1.** We denote by  $\tau_k$  the path topology on  $W^k$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Inclusion  $\tau_{m+n} \subseteq \tau_m \times \tau_n$  immediately follows by checking that  $p_1[W^m, W^n] \circ \omega$  is a path in  $W^m$  and  $p_2[W^m, W^n] \circ \omega$  is a path in  $W^n$  for any fixed path  $\omega$  in  $W^{m+n}$ .

We are left to prove  $\tau_m \times \tau_n \subseteq \tau_{m+n}$ . Fix a set function  $\omega : (-1, 1) \rightarrow W^{m+n}$ . Set  $\omega_1 = p_1[W^m, W^n] \circ \omega$  and  $\omega_2 = p_2[W^m, W^n] \circ \omega$ . Assume that  $\omega_1$  is a path in  $W^m$  and  $\omega_2$  is a path in  $W^n$  (i.e.  $\omega$  is continuous with respect to Euclidean topology on  $(-1, 1)$  and to  $\tau_m \times \tau_n$ ). Proposition 3.3.9 entails that  $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \circ \Delta[(-1, 1), 2]$  is a path in  $W^{m+n}$ . Eventually statement follows since  $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2) \circ \Delta[(-1, 1), 2]$  by construction.

**Proof of statement 2.** We denote by  $\tau_n$  the path topology on  $W^n$  and by  $\sigma_n$  the final topology on  $W^n$  with respect to the family of all smooth paths in  $W^n$ . Inclusion  $\tau_n \subseteq \sigma_n$  is straightforward. To prove  $\sigma_n \subseteq \tau_n$  fix  $P \in \sigma_n$  and a path  $\omega$  in  $W^n$ . Assume  $\omega(0) \in P$  and  $P \notin \tau_n$  by contradiction, then there would be a sequence  $\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  fulfilling all conditions below:

$$0 < t_{i+1} < t_i < 1 \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} t_i = 0, \quad \omega(t_i) \notin P \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.53)$$

Set  $S = \{0\} \cup \{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , since the pair  $(\omega, S)$  fulfills assumptions (3.49) then there is a smooth path  $(\omega_1, S)$  fulfilling (3.50). Since  $P \in \sigma_n$  then  $\exists \varepsilon > 0 : \omega_1(t) \in P \quad \forall t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ , hence  $\omega(t) = \omega_1(t) \in P \quad \forall t \in S \cap (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  contradicting (3.53).

**Proof of statements 3.** Statement follows since  $\diamond$  sends paths in  $W \times W$  to paths in  $W$  by Propositions 3.3.9, 3.3.10.

**Proof of statements 4.** Statement follows since  $| \cdot | : W^n \rightarrow W$  sends paths in  $W^n$  to paths  $W$  by Proposition 3.3.12.

**Proof of statements 5.** Statement follows since  $\mathbf{m} \triangleright \omega$  is a path in  $W$  for any  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M}$  and any path  $\omega$  in  $W$  by Proposition 3.3.14.

**Proof of statement 6.** Statement (3.51) follow by Proposition 3.3.11. Statement (3.52) follows by Proposition 3.3.13.

Statement  $\mathbf{m} \triangleright u \notin W_\emptyset \quad \Leftarrow \quad u \notin W_\emptyset$  can be straightforwardly verified by direct computation.

Statement  $\mathbf{m} \triangleright u \notin W_\emptyset \quad \Rightarrow \quad u \notin W_\emptyset$  follows by Proposition 3.3.15. ■

**Remark 3.3.20** Assumption (3.15)-[(ii)] is crucial in order to prove Proposition 3.3.19-[2] and cannot be weakened. In fact if we replace (3.15)-[(ii)] by

(ii)'  $S$  is a finite set,

then we are able to prove just that an open set for the path topology is open also for the final topology with respect to all smooth paths in  $W^n$ , but not the converse.

In Definition 3.3.21 below we introduce the notion of path in sets  $W_C^n$ .

**Definition 3.3.21**

1. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a set function  $\omega : (-1, 1) \rightarrow W_C^n$ .  
We say that  $\omega$  is a path in  $W_C^n$  if and only if both conditions below are fulfilled:

$i[W_C^n, W^n] \circ \omega$  is a path in  $W^n$ ;

there is a core  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of  $i[W_C^n, W^n] \circ \omega$  with  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X_C^n$ .

With an abuse of language we say that: any skeleton  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), \alpha)$  of  $i[W_C^n, W^n] \circ \omega$  is a skeleton of  $\omega$ ;  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a core of  $\omega$ ;  $\alpha$  is an associating function of  $\omega$ .

2. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a path  $\omega$  in  $W_C^n$ , a subset  $S \subseteq (-1, 1)$ .  
We say that  $(\omega, S)$  is a smooth path in  $W_C^n$  if and only if the pair defined by  $(i[W_C^n, W^n] \circ \omega, S)$  is a smooth path in  $W^n$ .  
With an abuse of language we say that:  $S$  is the singular set of  $(\omega, S)$ ; any skeleton  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), \alpha)$  of  $(i[W_C^n, W^n] \circ \omega, S)$  is a skeleton of  $(\omega, S)$ .  
We emphasize that nothing is assumed about  $\omega(t)$  when  $t \in S$ .
3. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in W_C^n$ , a path  $\omega$  in  $W_C^n$ .  
We say that  $\omega$  is a path in  $W_C^n$  through  $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  if and only if we have  $\omega(0) = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ .

In Definition 3.3.22 below we give topology to sets  $W_C^n$  through paths introduced in Definition 3.3.21. We refer to Notation 11.0.5-[5].

**Definition 3.3.22** Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $W_C^n$  is endowed with the final topology with respect to the family of all paths in  $W_C^n$ . We say that such topology is the path topology on  $W_C^n$ .

In Proposition 3.3.23 below we state and prove basic properties of the path topology introduced in Definition 3.3.22. We refer to Notation 11.0.5-[4, 5], Definition 2.0.1.

**Proposition 3.3.23**

1. Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then path topology on  $W_C^{m+n}$  coincides with the product of path topologies on  $W_C^m$  and on  $W_C^n$ .
2. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then path topology on  $W_C^n$  coincides with the final topology on  $W_C^n$  with respect to the family of all smooth paths in  $W_C^n$ .

3. Inclusion  $i[W_C, W]$  is a continuous function.
4. There is one and only one continuous function  $\Delta_C : W_C \times W_C \rightarrow W_C$  fulfilling  $\diamond \circ i[W_C, W]^2 = i[W_C, W] \circ \Delta_C$ .  
With an abuse of language, from now on, we denote  $\Delta_C$  by  $\diamond$ .
5. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . There is one and only one continuous function  $|\cdot|_C : W_C^n \rightarrow W_C$  defined by setting  $|\cdot|_C \circ i[W_C, W]^n = i[W_C, W] \circ |\cdot|_C$ .  
With an abuse of language, from now on, we denote  $|\cdot|_C$  by  $|\cdot|$ .
6. There is one and only one continuous function  $\triangleright_C : \mathbf{O} \times W_C \rightarrow W_C$  defined by setting  $\triangleright \circ (1_{\mathbf{O}}, i[W_C, W]) = i[W_C, W] \circ \triangleright_C$ .  
With an abuse of language, from now on, we denote  $\triangleright_C$  by  $\triangleright$ .
7. Fix a path  $\omega$  in  $W_C$ ,  $t_0 \in (-1, 1)$ ,  $T \subseteq (-1, 1)$ .  
If  $\text{ev}(\omega(t_0)) = \emptyset$  then  $\text{ev} \circ \omega$  is a continuous function at  $t = t_0$ .  
If  $\text{ev}(\omega(t)) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall t \in T$  then  $(\text{ev} \circ \omega) \circ i[T, (-1, 1)]$  is a continuous function where  $T$  is endowed with the subspace topology with respect to  $(-1, 1)$ .
8. The set function  $\text{li} : C^0 \setminus \{\emptyset\} \rightarrow W$  fulfills the system of conditions below

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (i) \quad \text{li}(C^0 \setminus \{\emptyset\}) \subseteq W_{CC} \setminus W_\emptyset, \\ (ii) \quad \text{ev} \circ \text{li} = 1_{C^0 \setminus \{\emptyset\}}, \\ (iii) \quad \text{li is a continuous function} \\ \quad \quad (C^0 \setminus \{\emptyset\} \text{ is endowed with the subspace topology} \\ \quad \quad \text{with respect to } C^0). \end{array} \right. \quad (3.54)$$

9. Fix  $u \in W_C$ , a path  $\omega$  in  $W$  detecting  $u$ . Then  $\omega$  is a path in  $W_C$ .

**Proof.**

**Proof of statement 1.** The proof coincides word by word with the proof of Proposition 3.3.19-[1] by replacing  $X$  by  $X_C$ ,  $W$  by  $W_C$  and by choosing skeleta  $((u_1, \dots, u_m), \alpha_1)$  with  $(u_1, \dots, u_m) \in X_C^m$ ,  $((v_1, \dots, v_n), \alpha_2)$  with  $(v_1, \dots, v_n) \in X_C^n$ .

**Proof of statement 2.** The proof coincides word by word with the proof of Proposition 3.3.19-[2] by replacing  $X$  by  $X_C$ ,  $W$  by  $W_C$ .

**Proof of statement 3.** Statement follows since any path in  $W_C$  is also a path in  $W$ .

**Proof of statement 4.** Statement follows by Propositions 3.3.3-[5], 3.3.10-[3].

**Proof of statement 5.** Statement follows by Proposition 3.3.12-[3].

**Proof of statement 6.** Statement follows by Proposition 3.3.14-[3].

**Proof of statement 7.** If  $\text{ev}(\omega(t_0)) = \emptyset$  then continuity of  $\text{ev} \circ \omega$  at  $t_0$  follows since  $C^0$  is the only open set belonging to the topology  $\sigma_{C^0}$  and containing  $\emptyset$ . If  $\text{ev}(\omega(t)) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall t \in T$  then statement is straightforward if there is a core  $x$  of  $\omega$  with  $O_x = \emptyset$ , otherwise statement follows by Proposition 3.2.40.

**Proof of statement 8.** Statement (3.54)-[(i)] is proved by a two steps argument. The first part of the statement, say  $\text{li}(C^0 \setminus \{\emptyset\}) \subseteq W_{CC}$ , follows by

(3.10)-[(ii)]. Then we prove  $\text{li}(C^0 \setminus \{\emptyset\}) \cap W_\emptyset = \emptyset$  by arguing as follows. Fix  $f \in C^0 \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ . By Weierstrass approximation theorem there is at least one associating function  $\alpha$  for  $O_{\mathbf{li}(f)}$  fulfilling both conditions below:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(g, t) &\neq \emptyset & \forall (g, t) \in O_{\mathbf{li}(f)} \times (-1, 1), \\ \alpha(g, 0) &= g & \forall g \in O_{\mathbf{li}(f)}.\end{aligned}$$

Then construction of  $\mathbf{li}$  in Proposition 3.2.21-[3] entails that pair  $(\mathbf{li}(f), \alpha)$  defines through (3.14) a path  $\omega$  in  $W$  detecting  $\mathbf{li}(f)$  with

$$\omega(t) \notin W_\emptyset \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Statement (3.54)-[(ii)] follows by (3.10)-[(iii)].

Statement (3.54)-[(iii)] is proved as follows. Fix a path  $\omega$  in  $C^0$ . By (2.15) the set  $\omega^{-1}(C^0 \setminus \{\emptyset\})$  is an open subset of  $(-1, 1)$  then there is no loss of generality by assuming that  $\omega(t) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1)$ .

Eventually statement follows since  $\text{li} \circ \omega$  is a path in  $W_C$  by definition.

**Proof of statement 9.** Statement follows straightforwardly by (3.31), Definitions 3.3.5-[4], 3.3.21-[1]. ■

In Definition 3.3.24 below we introduce the notion of path in sets  $W_{CC}^n$ .

#### Definition 3.3.24

1. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a set function  $\omega : (-1, 1) \rightarrow W_{CC}^n$ .  
We say that  $\omega$  is a path in  $W_{CC}^n$  if and only if  $i[W_{CC}^n, W_C^n] \circ \omega$  is a path in  $W_C^n$ .  
With an abuse of language we say that: any skeleton  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), \alpha)$  of  $i[W_{CC}^n, W_C^n] \circ \omega$  is a skeleton of  $\omega$ ;  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a core of  $\omega$ ;  $\alpha$  is an associating function of  $\omega$ .
2. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a path  $\omega$  in  $W_{CC}^n$ , a subset  $S \subseteq (-1, 1)$ .  
We say that  $(\omega, S)$  is a smooth path in  $W_{CC}^n$  if and only if the pair defined by  $(i[W_{CC}^n, W_C^n] \circ \omega, S)$  is a smooth path in  $W_C^n$ .  
With an abuse of language we say that:  $S$  is the singular set of  $(\omega, S)$ ; any skeleton  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), \alpha)$  of  $(i[W_{CC}^n, W_C^n] \circ \omega, S)$  is a skeleton of  $(\omega, S)$ .  
We emphasize that nothing is assumed about  $\omega(t)$  when  $t \in S$ .
3. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in W_{CC}^n$ , a path  $\omega$  in  $W_{CC}^n$ .  
We say that  $\omega$  is a path in  $W_{CC}^n$  through  $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  if and only if we have  $\omega(0) = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ .

In Definition 3.3.25 below we give topology to sets  $W_{CC}^n$  through paths introduced in Definition 3.3.24. We refer to Notation 11.0.5-[5].

**Definition 3.3.25** Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $W_{CC}^n$  is endowed with the final topology with respect to the family of all paths in  $W_{CC}^n$ . We say that such topology is the path topology on  $W_{CC}^n$ .

In Proposition 3.3.26 below we state and prove basic properties of the path topology introduced in Definition 3.3.25. We refer to Notation 11.0.5-[4], Definition 2.0.1.

**Proposition 3.3.26**

1. Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then path topology on  $W_{CC}^{m+n}$  coincides with the product of paths topologies on  $W_{CC}^m$  and on  $W_{CC}^n$ .
2. Inclusion  $i[W_{CC}, W_C]$  is a continuous function.
3. Fix paths  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  in  $W_{CC}$ . Assume that

$$\omega_1(t) \diamond \omega_2(t) \notin W_\emptyset \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1).$$

Then the set function  $\omega : (-1, 1) \rightarrow W_{CC}$  defined by setting

$$\omega(t) = \omega_1(t) \diamond \omega_2(t) \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1),$$

is a path in  $W_{CC}$ .

4. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , paths  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$  in  $W_{CC}$ .  
Then the set function  $\omega : (-1, 1) \rightarrow W_{CC}$  defined by setting

$$\omega(t) = |\omega_1(t), \dots, \omega_n(t)| \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1),$$

is a path in  $W_{CC}$ .

5. Fix  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{O}$ , a path  $\omega$  in  $W_{CC}$ .  
Then the set function  $\omega_1 : (-1, 1) \rightarrow W_{CC}$  defined by setting

$$\omega_1(t) = \mathbf{m} \triangleright \omega(t) \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1),$$

is a path in  $W_{CC}$ .

**Proof.**

**Proof of statement 1.** The proof coincides word by word with the proof of Proposition 3.3.19-[1] by replacing  $X$  by  $X_{CC}$ ,  $W$  by  $W_{CC}$  and by choosing skeletons  $((u_1, \dots, u_m), \alpha_1)$  with  $(u_1, \dots, u_m) \in X_C^m$ ,  $((v_1, \dots, v_n), \alpha_2)$  with  $(v_1, \dots, v_n) \in X_C^n$ .

**Proof of statement 2.** Statement follows since any path in  $W_{CC}$  is also a path in  $W_C$ .

**Proof of statement 3.** Statement follows by (3.48)-[(i)], Proposition 3.3.23-[4].

**Proof of statement 4.** Statement follows by (3.48)-[(ii)], Proposition 3.3.23-[5].

**Proof of statement 5.** Statement follows by (3.48)-[(iii)], Proposition 3.3.23-[6]. ■

In Definition 3.3.27 below we introduce the notion of path in sets  $W_S^n$ .

**Definition 3.3.27**

1. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a set function  $\omega : (-1, 1) \rightarrow W_S^n$ .  
 We say that  $\omega$  is a path in  $W_S^n$  if and only if  $i[W_S^n, W^n] \circ \omega$  is a path in  $W^n$ .  
 With an abuse of language we say that: any skeleton  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), \alpha)$  of  $i[W_S^n, W^n] \circ \omega$  is a skeleton of  $\omega$ ;  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a core of  $\omega$ ;  $\alpha$  is an associating function of  $\omega$ .
2. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a path  $\omega$  in  $W_S^n$ , a subset  $S \subseteq (-1, 1)$ .  
 We say that  $(\omega, S)$  is a smooth path in  $W_S^n$  if and only if the pair defined by  $(i[W_S^n, W^n] \circ \omega, S)$  is a smooth path in  $W^n$ .  
 With an abuse of language we say that:  $S$  is the singular set of  $(\omega, S)$ ; any skeleton  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), \alpha)$  of  $(i[W_S^n, W^n] \circ \omega, S)$  is a skeleton of  $(\omega, S)$ .  
 We emphasize that nothing is assumed about  $\omega(t)$  when  $t \in S$ .
3. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in W_S^n$ , a path  $\omega$  in  $W_S^n$ .  
 We say that  $\omega$  is a path in  $W_S^n$  through  $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  if and only if we have  $\omega(0) = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ .

In Proposition 3.3.28 below we prove that any path in  $W_S^n$  is a path in  $W_C^n$ .

**Proposition 3.3.28** Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a path  $\omega$  in  $W_S^n$ .

1. Then  $i[W_S^n, W_C^n] \circ \omega$  is a path in  $W_C^n$ .
2. If there is a subset  $S \subseteq (-1, 1)$  such that the pair  $(\omega, S)$  is a smooth path in  $W_S^n$  then pair  $(i[W_S^n, W_C^n] \circ \omega, S)$  is a smooth path in  $W_C^n$ .

**Proof.**

**Proof of statement 1.** Choose a skeleton  $((z_1, \dots, z_n), \beta)$  of  $\omega$ . Denote by  $\chi$  the path in  $X$  defined by  $((z_1, \dots, z_n), \beta)$  through (3.14). Referring to Definition 2.0.1, relations (2.2), (2.3)-[(*iii*)], (2.4)-[(*i*)], (2.5)-[(*i*)], (10.15), (10.23), (10.24), (10.35), (10.36) entail that there are

an element  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X_C^n$ ,

a set function  $\varphi : \bigcup_{n=1}^n O^{x_n} \rightarrow \mathbf{D} \times \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{z_n} \right)$ ,

a set function  $\vartheta : \bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{x_n} \rightarrow \bigcup_{n=1}^n O^{x_n}$

fulfilling all conditions below:

$\vartheta$  is one-to-one,

$\left( \iota_1 \circ i \left[ \mathbf{D} \times \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{z_n} \right), X_1 \right] \right) \circ \varphi$  is an occurrence function on  $\bigcup_{n=1}^n O^{x_n}$ ,



$$\mathbf{q}^n(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \mathbf{q}^n \left( \mathbf{u} \left[ (x_1, \dots, x_n), \left( \iota_1 \circ \mathbf{i} \left[ \mathbf{D} \times \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{z_n} \right), X_1 \right] \right) \circ \varphi \right] \right).$$

Since (3.11)-(ii) holds true and  $\chi((-1, 1)) \subseteq X_S$ , we have that

$$\beta(f, t) \in C^\infty \setminus \{\emptyset\} \quad \forall (f, t) \in \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{z_n} \right) \times (-1, 1),$$

then we define the set function  $\alpha : \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{x_n} \right) \times (-1, 1) \rightarrow C^\infty$  by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(f, t) = \mathbf{p}_1 \left[ \mathbf{D}, \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{z_n} \right) \right] (\varphi(\vartheta(f))) \blacktriangleright \\ \beta \left( \mathbf{p}_2 \left[ \mathbf{D}, \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{z_n} \right) \right] (\varphi(\vartheta(f))), t \right) \\ \forall (f, t) \in \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^n O_{x_n} \right) \times (-1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Eventually statement follows directly by checking that the pair  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), \alpha)$  is a skeleton of  $\omega$ .

**Proof of statement 2.** The proof of coincides word by word with the proof of statement 1 by replacing path  $\omega$  by the smooth path  $(\omega, \mathbf{S})$ . ■

In Definition 3.3.29 below we give topology to sets  $W_S^n$  through paths introduced in Definition 3.3.27. We refer to Notation 11.0.5-[5].

**Definition 3.3.29** Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $W_S^n$  is endowed with the final topology with respect to the family of all paths in  $W_S^n$ . We say that such topology is the path topology on  $W_S^n$ .

In Proposition 3.3.30 below we state and prove basic properties of the path topology introduced in Definition 3.3.29. We refer to Notation 11.0.5-[4, 5], Definition 2.0.1.

**Proposition 3.3.30**

1. Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then path topology on  $W_S^{m+n}$  coincides with the product of path topologies on  $W_S^m$  and on  $W_S^n$ .
2. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then path topology on  $W_S^n$  coincides with the final topology on  $W_S^n$  with respect to the family of all smooth functions in  $W_S^n$ .
3. Inclusion  $\mathbf{i}[W_S, W_C]$  is a continuous function.
4. There is one and only one continuous function  $\Delta_S : W_S \times W_S \rightarrow W_S$  defined by setting  $\diamond \circ \mathbf{i}[W_S, W_C]^2 = \mathbf{i}[W_S, W_C] \circ \Delta_S$ .  
With an abuse of language, from now on, we denote  $\Delta_S$  by  $\diamond$ .

5. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . There is one and only one continuous function  $| | _S : W_S^n \rightarrow W_S$  defined by setting  $| | \circ i[W_S, W_C]^n = i[W_S, W_C] \circ | | _S$ .  
With an abuse of language, from now on, we denote  $| | _S$  by  $| |$ .
6. There is one and only one continuous function  $\triangleright_S : \mathbf{M} \times W_S \rightarrow W_S$  defined by setting  $\triangleright \circ (1_{\mathbf{M}}, i[W_S, W]) = i[W_S, W] \circ \triangleright_S$ .  
With an abuse of language, from now on, we denote  $\triangleright_S$  by  $\triangleright$ .

**Proof.**

**Proof of statement 1.** The proof coincides word by word with the proof of Proposition 3.3.19-[1] by replacing  $X$  by  $X_S$ ,  $W$  by  $W_S$ .

**Proof of statement 2.** The proof coincides word by word with the proof of Proposition 3.3.19-[2] by replacing  $X$  by  $X_C$ ,  $W$  by  $W_S$ .

**Proof of statement 3.** Statement follows by Proposition 3.3.28 which entails that any path in  $W_S$  is also a path in  $W_C$ .

**Proof of statement 4.** Statement follows by Propositions 3.3.3-[5], 3.3.10, statement 3.

**Proof of statement 5.** Statement follows by Propositions 3.2.21-[4], 3.3.3-[1], 3.3.12, statement 3.

**Proof of statement 6.** Statement follows by Propositions 3.2.21-[4], 3.3.3-[2], 3.3.14-[3], 3.3.28. ■

### 3.4 The integro-differential space $\mathcal{W}$

We define the integro-differential space  $\mathcal{W}$  by contribution of both algebraic structure and topology of magma  $(W, \diamond)$ .

In Definition 3.4.1 we introduce the missing ingredients for the construction of  $\mathcal{W}$ . We refer to Notations 11.0.3-[7, 8], 11.0.8-[3(a), 4].

**Definition 3.4.1** *We define:*

1. The set  $W_0 = \{c[D(u), C(u), 0] \diamond u : u \in W \setminus W_\emptyset\}$ .
2. The set function  $\oplus : W \times W \rightarrow W$  by setting

$$u_1 \oplus u_2 = +[n, 2] \diamond (|u_1, u_2| \diamond \Delta[S, 2])$$

where

$$n = \max\{n_1, n_2\}, \quad S = \begin{cases} D(u_1) & \text{if } m_1 > m_2, \\ D(u_2) & \text{if } m_1 < m_2, \\ D(u_1) \cup D(u_2) & \text{if } m_1 = m_2, \end{cases}$$

for any  $m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $u_1, u_2 \in W$  fulfilling all conditions below:

$$C(u_1) = \mathbb{R}^{n_1}, \quad C(u_2) = \mathbb{R}^{n_2}, \quad D(u_1) \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^{m_1}, \quad D(u_2) \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^{m_2}.$$

3. The set function  $\odot : W \times W \rightarrow W$  by setting

$$u_1 \odot u_2 = \cdot [n_1, n_2] \diamond (|u_1, u_2| \diamond \Delta [S, 2])$$

where

$$S = \begin{cases} D(u_1) & \text{if } m_1 > m_2, \\ D(u_2) & \text{if } m_1 < m_2, \\ D(u_1) \cup D(u_2) & \text{if } m_1 = m_2, \end{cases}$$

for any  $m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $u_1, u_2 \in W$  fulfilling all conditions below:

$$C(u_1) = \mathbb{R}^{n_1}, \quad C(u_2) = \mathbb{R}^{n_2}, \quad D(u_1) \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^{m_1}, \quad D(u_2) \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^{m_2}.$$

4. The set function  $\otimes : \mathbb{R} \times W \rightarrow W$  by setting

$$s \otimes u = \cdot [1, n] \diamond (|c[D(u), \mathbb{R}, s], u| \diamond \Delta [D(u), 2])$$

for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $u \in W$  with  $C(u) = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

### Theorem 3.4.2

1.  $\mathcal{W} = (W, W_0, W_\emptyset, \diamond, ||, \oplus, \odot, \otimes, \triangleright)$  is an integro-differential space.
2.  $\mathcal{W}_C = (W_C, W_0, W_\emptyset, \diamond, ||, \oplus, \odot, \otimes, \triangleright)$  is an integral space.  
Inclusion  $i[W_C, W]$  induces a function  $\mathcal{W}_C \rightarrow \dot{\Phi}(\mathcal{W})$  between integral spaces.
3.  $\mathcal{W}_S = (W_S, W_0, W_\emptyset, \diamond, ||, \oplus, \odot, \otimes, \triangleright)$  is an integro-differential space.  
Inclusion  $i[W_S, W_C]$  induces inclusion  $i[\dot{\Phi}(\mathcal{W}_S), \mathcal{W}_C]$  of integral spaces.  
Inclusion  $i[W_S, W]$  induces inclusion  $i[\mathcal{W}_S, \mathcal{W}]$  of integro-differential spaces.

**Proof.** Statements are achieved by carefully checking axioms in Definitions 2.0.3, 2.0.5. It is a routine, long but not difficult exercise which can be carried out by exploiting relations (10.4)-(10.36), Propositions 3.3.3-[2, 5], 3.3.19-[6], 3.3.23-[3, 4, 5, 6], 3.3.30-[3, 4, 5, 6].

We prove (2.9)-[(iv)], (2.11)-[(iii)] whose proof is not straightforward.

**Proof of (2.9)-[(iv)].** Fix  $u, v \in W$ . By definition of  $\oplus$  in Definition 3.4.1 we have that  $u \oplus v \notin W_\emptyset$  if and only if all the following conditions are fulfilled:  $u, v \in W \setminus W_\emptyset$ ;  $D(u) = D(v)$ ;  $C(u) = C(v)$ . We prove that  $0_u = c[D(u), C(u), 0]$ . Choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $f \in C^\infty(D(u), C(u))$  with  $C(v) = \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then the following chain of equalities holds true

$$\begin{aligned} & v \oplus c[D(u), C(u), 0] \stackrel{(1)}{=} \\ & + [n, 2] \diamond (|v, c[D(u), C(u), 0]| \diamond \Delta [D(v), 2]) \stackrel{(2)}{=} \\ & + [n, 2] \diamond ((|1_{C(v)}, c[C(u), C(u), 0]| \diamond |v, f|) \diamond \Delta [D(v), 2]) \stackrel{(3)}{=} \\ & ((+ [n, 2] \diamond |1_{C(v)}, c[C(u), C(u), 0]|) \diamond |v, f|) \diamond \Delta [D(v), 2] \stackrel{(4)}{=} \\ & (p_1 [C(u), C(f)] \diamond |v, f|) \diamond \Delta [D(v), 2] \stackrel{(5)}{=} v, \end{aligned}$$

where: equality (1) follows by definition of  $\oplus$  in Definition 3.4.1; equality (2) follows by relations (10.3), (10.9), (10.35); equality (3) follows by relation (10.12); equality (4) follows by relation (10.35); equality (5) follows by relations (10.5), (10.35).

**Proof of (2.11)-[(iii)].** Fix  $u \in W$ . Choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $f \in C^\infty [D(u), C(u)]$  with  $C(u) = \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then the following chain of equalities holds true

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \otimes u &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \cdot [1, n] \diamond (|c [D(u), \mathbb{R}, 1], u| \diamond \Delta [D(u), 2]) \stackrel{(2)}{=} \\ &\cdot [1, n] \diamond ((|c [C(u), C(u), 1], 1_{C(u)}| \diamond |f, u|) \diamond \Delta [D(u), 2]) \stackrel{(3)}{=} \\ &((\cdot [1, n] \diamond |c [C(u), C(u), 1], 1_{C(u)}|) \diamond |f, u|) \diamond \Delta [D(u), 2] \stackrel{(4)}{=} \\ &(\mathbb{p}_2 [C(f), C(u)] \diamond |f, u|) \diamond \Delta [D(u), 2] \stackrel{(5)}{=} u, \end{aligned}$$

where: equality (1) follows by definition of  $\otimes$  in Definition 3.4.1; equality (2) follows by relations (10.3), (10.9), (10.35); equality (3) follows by relation (10.12); equality (4) follows by relation (10.35); equality (5) follows by relations (10.5), (10.35).

■

### Notation 3.4.3

1. Elements belonging to  $W$  are called *generalized functions*.  
Elements belonging to  $W_C$  are called *generalized continuous functions*.
2. Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ . We set:

$$\begin{aligned} W(S, \mathbb{R}^n) &= \{u \in W : D(u) = S, C(u) = \mathbb{R}^n\}; \\ W_0(S, \mathbb{R}^n) &= W(S, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap W_0; \\ W_\emptyset(S, \mathbb{R}^n) &= W(S, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap W_\emptyset; \\ W_C(S, \mathbb{R}^n) &= W(S, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap W_C; \\ W_{CC}(S, \mathbb{R}^n) &= W(S, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap W_{CC}; \\ W_S(S, \mathbb{R}^n) &= W(S, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap W_S. \end{aligned}$$

### Remark 3.4.4

1. We have that  $W_{SS}$  gives rise to a copy of  $C^\infty$  in  $W$ . Then we will no longer distinguish between  $W_{SS}$  and  $C^\infty$  in the notation. In particular we will denote elements belonging to  $C^\infty$  and  $W_{SS}$  by the same symbol without making use of functions *ev* or *li* any more.
2. Operation  $\otimes$  defines a bilateral action of  $\mathbb{R}$  on  $W$ .

### 3.5 Structure of generalized continuous functions

In this section we study the structure of generalized continuous function and their behavior with respect to derivatives. We refer to Section 3.2.

In Definition 3.5.1 below we introduce a special class of element belonging to  $X_{CC}$ . We refer to Definition 3.2.43-[4].

**Definition 3.5.1** *We denote by  $L$  the subset of  $X_{CC}$  defined recursively as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{any } x \in L \text{ is a maximal element;} \\
 & \iota_{-1}(f) \in L \quad \forall f \in X_{-1}; \\
 & x_1 \blacklozenge x_2 \in L \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in L \text{ with } x_1 \blacklozenge x_2 \in X_{CC}; \\
 & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |x_1, \dots, x_n| \in L \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n \\ \text{with } x_1 \in \mathbf{li}(C^0 \setminus \{\emptyset\}) \cup \{\int_i \blacktriangleright y : i \in \mathbb{N}, y \in L\}, \\ (x_2, \dots, x_n) \in (\mathbf{li}(C^\infty \setminus \{\emptyset\}))^{n-1}. \end{array} \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

In Proposition 3.5.2 below we prove that any generalized continuous function admits a representative in  $L$ .

**Proposition 3.5.2** *Fix  $u \in W_{CC}$ . Then there is  $x \in L$  with  $u = \mathbf{q}(x)$ .*

**Proof.** Statement follows by relations (10.1)-(10.11), (10.35). ■

In Definition 3.5.3 below we introduce the notion of order which distinguishes elements belonging to  $L$  with respect to the nesting level of integral operators.

**Definition 3.5.3** *We define the order of elements belonging to  $L$  recursively as follows:*

1. We say that 0 is the order of  $x \in L$  if and only if there is an occurrence set  $Q_0 = \{(y_1, j_1), \dots, (y_b, j_b)\}$  for  $x$  fulfilling all conditions below:

$$x = y_1 \blacklozenge (y_2 \blacklozenge (\dots \blacklozenge (y_{b-1} \blacklozenge y_b) \dots)); \quad (3.55)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall (y, j) \in Q_0 \quad \exists c \in \mathbb{N} \quad \exists ({}_1y, \dots, {}_cy) \in X^c \quad \text{such that} \\ (i) \quad y = |{}_1y, \dots, {}_cy|, \\ (ii) \quad {}_1y \in \mathbf{li}(C^0 \setminus \{\emptyset\}), \\ (iii) \quad ({}_2y, \dots, {}_cy) \in (\mathbf{li}(C^\infty \setminus \{\emptyset\}))^{c-1}. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.56)$$

2. Fix  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . We say that  $l$  is the order of  $x \in L$  if and only if there are  $x_0 \in L$  of order 0, an occurrence set  $P = \{(x_1, i_1), \dots, (x_a, i_a)\}$  for  $x$ , two occurrence sets  $P_0 = \{(x_{0,1}, i_{0,1}), \dots, (x_{0,a}, i_{0,a})\}$ ,  $Q_0 = \{(y_1, j_1), \dots, (y_b, j_b)\}$

for  $x_0$ , a set function  $\theta : P \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , an injective set function  $\rho_0 : P_0 \rightarrow Q_0$  fulfilling all conditions below:

$$\text{the order of } x_{\mathbf{a}} \text{ belongs to } \{0, \dots, l-1\} \quad \forall \mathbf{a} \in \{1, \dots, a\}; \quad (3.57)$$

$$\exists \mathbf{a} \in \{1, \dots, a\} : \text{the order of } x_{\mathbf{a}} \text{ is } l-1; \quad (3.58)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x = \mathbf{u}[x_0, \varphi] \quad \text{where } \varphi \text{ is the occurrence function on } P_0 \\ \text{defined by setting} \\ \varphi(x_{0,\mathbf{a}}, i_{0,\mathbf{a}}) = \int_{\theta(x_{\mathbf{a}}, i_{\mathbf{a}})} \blacktriangleright x_{\mathbf{a}} \quad \forall \mathbf{a} \in \{1, \dots, a\}; \end{array} \right. \quad (3.59)$$

$$x_0 = y_1 \blacklozenge (y_2 \blacklozenge (\dots \blacklozenge (y_{b-1} \blacklozenge y_b) \dots)); \quad (3.60)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall (y, j) \in Q_0 \quad \exists c \in \mathbb{N} \quad \exists ({}_1y, \dots, {}_cy) \in X^c \quad \text{such that} \\ (i) \quad y = |{}_1y, \dots, {}_cy|, \\ (ii) \quad {}_1y = x_{0,\mathbf{a}} \quad \text{if } \rho_0(x_{0,\mathbf{a}}, i_{0,\mathbf{a}}) = (y, j), \\ (iii) \quad {}_1y \in \mathbf{li}(C^0 \setminus \{\emptyset\}) \quad \text{if } (y, j) \in Q_0 \setminus \rho_0(P_0), \\ (iv) \quad ({}_2y, \dots, {}_cy) \in (\mathbf{li}(C^\infty \setminus \{\emptyset\}))^{c-1}; \end{array} \right. \quad (3.61)$$

$$x_{0,\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{li} \left( \mathbf{ev} \left( \int_{\theta(x_{\mathbf{a}}, i_{\mathbf{a}})} \blacktriangleright x_{\mathbf{a}} \right) \right) \quad \forall \mathbf{a} \in \{1, \dots, a\}. \quad (3.62)$$

We set:

$$L_l = \{x \in L : l \text{ is the order of } x\} \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{N}_0;$$

$$L_{-1} = \iota_{-1}(\sqsupset).$$

**Remark 3.5.4** Relation (10.4) and choices made in Section 3.1 entail that there is no loss of generality by improving (3.56)-(ii) to  ${}_1y \in \mathbf{li}(X_{-1})$ . Relations (10.4), (10.20) entail that there is no loss of generality by adding the condition  $\mathbf{C}({}_1y) = \mathbb{R}$  to (3.61).

In Proposition 3.5.5 below we prove that any element belonging to  $L$  has an order.

**Proposition 3.5.5** Fix  $x \in L$ . Then there is  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $x$  has order  $l$ .

**Proof.** Statement follows by Proposition 3.2.9, conditions (3.57)-(3.59). ■  
Motivated by Proposition 3.5.2 we give Definition 3.5.6 below where we introduce the notion of order in  $W_{CC}$  which distinguishes its elements with respect to the nesting level of integral operators.

**Definition 3.5.6** Fix  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{-1\}$ ,  $u \in W_{CC}$ . We say that  $l$  is the order of  $u$  if and only if there is  $x \in L_l$  such that  $u = \mathbf{q}(x)$ . We set:

$$W_{CC,l} = \mathbf{q}(L_l) \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{N}_0;$$

$$W_{CC,-1} = \mathbf{q}(L_{-1}).$$

**Remark 3.5.7** *Because of identifications induced by equivalence relation  $\approx$  in Definition 3.3.1 it is possible that set  $W_{CC,l}$  contains elements of order lower than  $l$ .*





## Chapter 4

# Localization of generalized functions

In this chapter we study the behavior of generalized functions in a suitably small neighborhood of a fixed point belonging to their domain.

### 4.1 Local behavior of generalized functions

In Lemma 4.1.1 below we investigate the effect of integral operators in performing composition of generalized functions. We refer to Notations 11.0.5-[7, 8], 11.0.6-[4, 6].

**Lemma 4.1.1** *Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $T_0 \overset{\circ}{\subset} \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x \in X_C$ ,  $S_1 \overset{\circ}{\subset} \mathcal{I}[\mathbf{D}(x), i]$ ,  $R_1 \overset{\circ}{\subset} \mathbf{D}(x)$ , a path  $\chi$  in  $X_C$  detecting  $x$ . Assume that all conditions below are fulfilled:*

$$R_1 \subset\subset \mathbf{D}(x) \overset{\circ}{\subset} \mathbb{R}^m; \quad (4.1)$$

$$\mathcal{I}[R_1, i] \subset\subset S_1 \subset\subset \mathcal{I}[\mathbf{D}(x), i];$$

$$\exists \delta > 0 : (\mathbf{ev}(\chi(t)))(s) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall t \in (-\delta, \delta) \quad \forall s \in \mathbf{D}(x);$$

$$\mathbf{ev}(i[T_0, \mathbb{R}^n] \blacklozenge ((\int_i \blacktriangleright x) \blacklozenge i[S_1, \mathbb{R}^{m+1}])) \neq \emptyset.$$

Then there is  $\delta_1 \in (0, \delta]$  such that

$$(\mathbf{ev}(i[T_0, \mathbb{R}^n] \blacklozenge (\int_i \blacktriangleright ((\chi(t)) \blacklozenge i[R_1, \mathbb{R}^m])))) (s) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall t \in (-\delta_1, \delta_1) \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{I}[R_1, i]. \quad (4.2)$$

**Proof.** Statement follows by continuity of integral operators on  $\mathcal{C}^0$  (see (2.16)) since  $(\mathbf{ev}(\int_i \blacktriangleright x))(S_1) \subset\subset T_0$  and  $T_0$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . ■

In Proposition 4.1.2 below we prove that elements belonging to  $W_{CC}$  are suitably linked, at least locally, with elements not belonging to  $W_\emptyset$ . We refer to Notations 11.0.3-[5], 11.0.5-[7, 8].

**Proposition 4.1.2** *Fix  $u \in W_{CC}$ ,  $s_0 \in \mathbf{D}(u)$ . Then there is  $S \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbf{D}(u)$  fulfilling both conditions below:*

$$s_0 \in S \subset \subset \mathbf{D}(u); \quad (4.3)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for any path } \omega \text{ in } W_C \text{ detecting } u \text{ there is } \delta > 0 \text{ such that, for} \\ \text{any skeleton } (x, \alpha) \text{ of } \omega, \text{ pair } (x \blacklozenge i[S, \mathbf{D}(u)], \alpha) \text{ defines,} \\ \text{through equality (3.14), a skeleton of a path } \omega_S \text{ detecting} \\ u \blacklozenge i[S, \mathbf{D}(u)] \text{ fulfilling} \\ (\mathbf{ev}(\omega_S(t)))(s) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall t \in (-\delta, \delta) \quad \forall s \in S. \end{array} \right. \quad (4.4)$$

**Proof.** Proposition 3.5.2 entails that there are  $x \in L$  with  $u = \mathbf{q}(x)$ . Then statement follows by (3.38), Proposition 3.2.47-[5], (3.47), once we have proven the claim below:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Fix } x \in L, s_0 \in \mathbf{D}(x). \text{ Then there is } S \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbf{D}(x) \text{ with } s_0 \in S, \\ S \subset \subset \mathbf{D}(x) \text{ such that for any } \chi \text{ in } X_C \text{ detecting } x, \text{ any detecting} \\ \text{pair } (x, \alpha) \text{ for } \chi, \text{ there are an occurrence set } O \text{ for } x, \\ \text{an occurrence function } \eta \text{ on } O, \delta > 0 \text{ fulfilling all conditions:} \\ (i) \quad y \in \iota_{-1}(X_{-1}) \quad \forall (y, i) \in O; \\ (ii) \quad \forall (y, i) \in O \quad \exists R \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbf{D}(y) : \\ \quad \quad \quad R \subset \subset \mathbf{D}(y), \quad \eta(y, i) = y \blacklozenge i[R, \mathbf{D}(y)]; \\ (iii) \quad \text{pair } (\mathbf{u}[x, \eta], \alpha) \text{ is a detecting skeleton of a path } \chi[\eta] \\ \quad \quad \quad \text{in } X_C \text{ detecting } \mathbf{u}[x, \eta]; \\ (iv) \quad S \subset \subset \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}[x, \eta]); \\ (v) \quad (\mathbf{ev}(\chi[\eta](t)))(s) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall t \in (-\delta, \delta) \quad \forall s \in S. \end{array} \right. \quad (4.5)$$

We prove claim (4.5) by induction on the nesting level of operators  $\int_i$  in  $x \in L$ . If  $x \in L$  has order 0 then claim 3.59 follows by exploiting the following facts: conditions (3.55), (3.56); the image of a point through a continuous function is a point; the domain of any generalized function is an open set by definition (see Notation 11.0.9).

If  $x \in L$  has order  $l \geq 1$  then we argue as follows. Since  $x_0$  has order 0,  $Q_0$  is a finite set,  $s_0 \in \mathbf{D}(x)$ , the image of a compact set through continuous functions is a compact set, the domain of any generalized function is an open set by

definition (see Notation 11.0.9), then we have that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for any } \mathbf{b} \in \{1, \dots, b\} \text{ there are } S_{\mathbf{b}} \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbf{D}(y_{\mathbf{b}}), T_{\mathbf{b}} \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} S_{\mathbf{b}} \text{ fulfilling} \\ \text{all conditions below:} \\ (i) \quad T_{\mathbf{b}} \subset\subset S_{\mathbf{b}} \subset\subset \mathbf{D}(y_{\mathbf{b}}), \\ (ii) \quad s_0 \in T_{\mathbf{b}}, \\ (iii) \quad (\mathbf{ev}(y_{\mathbf{b}}))(S_{\mathbf{b}}) \subseteq T_{\mathbf{b}-1} \quad \text{if } \mathbf{b} > 1; \end{array} \right. \quad (4.6)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for any } \mathbf{a} \in \{1, \dots, a\}, \mathbf{b} \in \{1, \dots, b\} \text{ with } \rho_0(x_{0,\mathbf{a}}, i_{0,\mathbf{a}}) = (y_{\mathbf{b}}, j_{\mathbf{b}}) \\ \text{there are } {}_1R_{\mathbf{b}} \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbf{D}(x_{\mathbf{a}}), {}_2R_{\mathbf{b}} \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbf{D}(\mid {}_2y_{\mathbf{b}}, \dots, {}_cy_{\mathbf{b}} \mid) \text{ fulfilling all} \\ \text{conditions below:} \\ (i) \quad {}_1R_{\mathbf{b}} \subset\subset \mathbf{D}(x_{\mathbf{a}}), \\ (ii) \quad {}_2R_{\mathbf{b}} \subset\subset \mathbf{D}(\mid {}_2y_{\mathbf{b}}, \dots, {}_cy_{\mathbf{b}} \mid), \\ (iii) \quad T_{\mathbf{b}} \subset\subset \mathcal{I}[{}_1R_{\mathbf{b}}, \theta(x_{\mathbf{a}}, i_{\mathbf{a}})] \times {}_2R_{\mathbf{b}} \subset\subset S_{\mathbf{b}} \subset\subset \mathbf{D}(y_{\mathbf{b}}). \end{array} \right.$$

We set:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x} &= \mid x_0, x_1, \dots, x_a \mid ; \\ \tilde{i}_{\mathbf{a}} &\text{ the occurrence of } x_{\mathbf{a}} \text{ in } \tilde{x} \text{ for any } \mathbf{a} \in \{0, \dots, a\}; \\ A_0 &= T_{\mathbf{b}}; \\ A_{\mathbf{a}} &= {}_1R_{\mathbf{b}} \quad \text{if } \rho_0(x_{0,\mathbf{a}}, i_{0,\mathbf{a}}) = (y_{\mathbf{b}}, j_{\mathbf{b}}). \end{aligned}$$

We choose a path  $\tilde{\chi}$  in  $X_C$  detecting  $\tilde{x}$ , a detecting skeleton  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\alpha})$  of  $\tilde{\chi}$  such that  $\tilde{\alpha}(f, t) = \alpha(f, t) \quad \forall (f, t) \in (O_x \cap O_{\tilde{x}}) \times (-1, 1)$ .

We emphasize that  $O_{\tilde{x}} \setminus O_x \subseteq \bigcup_{\mathbf{a}=1}^a O_{x_{0,\mathbf{a}}}$ .

Fix  $\mathbf{a} \in \{0, \dots, a\}$ . Referring to Definition 3.2.31 we set  $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathbf{a}} = \chi \left[ \left( x_{\mathbf{a}}, \tilde{i}_{\mathbf{a}} \right), (\tilde{x}, \tilde{\alpha}) \right]$  the path in  $X_C$  defined by the pair  $(x_{\mathbf{a}}, \tilde{\alpha} \circ (i[O_{x_{\mathbf{a}}}, O_{\tilde{x}}], 1_{(-1,1)}))$  through (3.14). By construction  $x_{\mathbf{a}} \in L$  and the degree of  $x_{\mathbf{a}}$  belongs to  $\{0, \dots, l-1\}$  then, by induction, claim (4.5) applies with data  $x_{\mathbf{a}}, A_{\mathbf{a}}, \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbf{a}}, \tilde{\alpha} \circ (i[O_{x_{\mathbf{a}}}, O_{\tilde{x}}], 1_{(-1,1)})$  and provides an occurrence set  $O_{\mathbf{a}}$  for  $x_{\mathbf{a}}$ , an occurrence function  $\eta_{\mathbf{a}}$  on  $O_{\mathbf{a}}$ ,  $\delta_{\mathbf{a}} > 0$  fulfilling (4.5)-[(i)-(v)].

If  $\mathbf{a} \in \{1, \dots, a\}$  then, by choosing data  $\mathbf{b} \in \{1, \dots, b\}, m_{\mathbf{a}}, m_{\mathbf{b}-1} \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $\rho_0(x_{0,\mathbf{a}}, i_{0,\mathbf{a}}) = (y_{\mathbf{b}}, j_{\mathbf{b}}), \mathbf{D}(y_{\mathbf{b}-1}) \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^{m_{\mathbf{b}-1}}, \mathbf{D}(x_{\mathbf{a}}) \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^{m_{\mathbf{a}}}$ , by (3.61)-[(ii)], (3.62), (4.6)-[(iii)], referring to Definition 3.2.6 we have that Lemma 4.1.1 applies with data

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\mathbf{a}}, \quad m_{\mathbf{b}-1}, \quad \theta(x_{\mathbf{a}}, i_{\mathbf{a}}), \quad p_1 \left[ \mathbf{C}(x_{\mathbf{a}}), \mathbb{R}^{m_{\mathbf{b}-1} - \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(x_{\mathbf{a}})} \right] (T_{\mathbf{b}-1}), \quad x_{\mathbf{a}}, \\ p_1 \left[ \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{\mathbf{D}}(x_{\mathbf{a}})+1}, \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{D}(y_{\mathbf{b}}) - \tilde{\mathbf{D}}(x_{\mathbf{a}}) - 1} \right] (S_{\mathbf{b}}), \quad {}_1R_{\mathbf{b}}, \quad \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbf{a}}, \end{aligned}$$

and provides  $\delta_{1,a} \in (0, \delta_a]$  fulfilling (4.2).

Since we deal with a finite number of elements belonging to  $X$  (i.e.  $x_0, \dots, x_a, x_{0,1}, \dots, x_{0,a}$ ), of occurrence sets (i.e.  $O_0, \dots, O_a$ ), of occurrence functions (i.e.  $\eta_0, \dots, \eta_a$ ), by Proposition 3.2.10-[2] there is no loss of generality by assuming that for any  $\mathbf{a} \in \{0, \dots, a\}$  there are  $k_{\mathbf{a}}, k_{0,\mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $z_{\mathbf{a}} \in X_{k_{\mathbf{a}}}$ ,  $z_{0,\mathbf{a}} \in X_{k_{0,\mathbf{a}}}$ , an occurrence set  $\widehat{O}_{\mathbf{a}}$  for  $z_{\mathbf{a}}$ , a set function  $\widehat{\eta}_{\mathbf{a}} : \widehat{O}_{\mathbf{a}} \rightarrow \widehat{X}_{k_{\mathbf{a}}}$  such that:  $k_{\mathbf{a}} \leq k_0$ ;  $k_{0,\mathbf{a}} \leq k_0$ ; data  $x_0, x_{0,\mathbf{a}}, i_{0,\mathbf{a}}, k_0, k_{0,\mathbf{a}}, z_0, z_{0,\mathbf{a}}$  fulfill (3.3) if  $\mathbf{a} \geq 1$ ; data  $x_{\mathbf{a}}, O_{\mathbf{a}}, k_{\mathbf{a}}, z_{\mathbf{a}}, \widehat{O}_{\mathbf{a}}$  fulfill (3.4); data  $x_{\mathbf{a}}, O_{\mathbf{a}}, \eta_{\mathbf{a}}, k_{\mathbf{a}}, z_{\mathbf{a}}, \widehat{O}_{\mathbf{a}}, \widehat{\eta}_{\mathbf{a}}$  fulfill (3.5).

Proposition 3.2.11, (4.5)-[(i)] entail that for any  $(z, j) \in \widehat{O}_0$  one and only one among the following mutually exclusive cases occurs:

there is  $\mathbf{a} \in \{1, \dots, a\}$  such that

$$i_{0,\mathbf{a}} \leq j < j + \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k_z}(z) \leq i_{0,\mathbf{a}} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k_{0,\mathbf{a}}}(z_{0,\mathbf{a}}) \text{ where } z \in \widehat{X}_{k_z};$$

for any  $\mathbf{a} \in \{1, \dots, a\}$  either  $i_{0,\mathbf{a}} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k_{0,\mathbf{a}}}(z_{0,\mathbf{a}}) \leq j$

$$\text{or } j + \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k_z}(z) \leq i_{0,\mathbf{a}} \text{ where } z \in \widehat{X}_{k_z}.$$

Then we define:

$${}_{0,0}\widehat{O} = \left\{ (z, j) : (z, j) \in \widehat{O}_0 \text{ with } j < i_{0,1} \right\};$$

$${}_{0,\mathbf{a}}\widehat{O} = \left\{ \left( z, j + \sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{a}} (\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k_i}(z_i) - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k_{0,i}}(z_{0,i})) + 2\mathbf{a} \right) : \right. \\ \left. (z, j) \in \widehat{O}_0 \text{ with } i_{0,\mathbf{a}} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k_{0,\mathbf{a}}}(z_{0,\mathbf{a}}) \leq j < i_{0,\mathbf{a}+1} \right\} \text{ for } \mathbf{a} \in \{1, \dots, a-1\};$$

$${}_{0,a}\widehat{O} = \left\{ \left( z, j + \sum_{i=1}^a (\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k_i}(z_i) - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k_{0,i}}(z_{0,i})) + 2a \right) : \right. \\ \left. (z, j) \in \widehat{O}_0 \text{ with } i_{0,a} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k_{0,a}}(z_{0,a}) \leq j \right\};$$

$${}_1\widehat{O} = \left\{ (z, j + i_{0,1} + 1) : (z, j) \in \widehat{O}_1 \right\};$$

$${}_a\widehat{O} = \left\{ \left( z, j + i_{0,\mathbf{a}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{a}-1} (\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k_i}(z_i) - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k_{0,i}}(z_{0,i})) + 2\mathbf{a} - 1 \right) : \right. \\ \left. (z, j) \in \widehat{O}_{\mathbf{a}} \right\} \text{ for } \mathbf{a} \in \{2, \dots, a\};$$

$$\widehat{O} = \left( \bigcup_{\mathbf{a}=0}^a {}_{0,\mathbf{a}}\widehat{O} \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{\mathbf{a}=1}^a {}_{\mathbf{a}}\widehat{O} \right);$$

the set function  $\widehat{\eta} : \widehat{O} \rightarrow \widehat{X}_{k_0}$  by setting

$$\widehat{\eta}(z, j) = \begin{cases} \widehat{\eta}_0(z, j) & \text{if } (z, j) \in {}_{0,0}\widehat{O}, \\ \widehat{\eta}_0\left(z, j - \sum_{i=1}^a (\lambda_{k_i}(z_i) - \lambda_{k_{0,i}}(z_{0,i})) - 2a\right) & \text{if } (z, j) \in {}_{0,a}\widehat{O}, a \in \{1, \dots, a\}, \\ \widehat{\eta}_1(z, j - i_{0,1} - 1) & \\ \widehat{\eta}_a\left(z, j - i_{0,a} - \sum_{i=1}^{a-1} (\lambda_{k_i}(z_i) - \lambda_{k_{0,i}}(z_{0,i})) - 2a + 1\right) & \text{if } (z, j) \in {}_{0,a}\widehat{O}, a \in \{1, \dots, a\}. \end{cases}$$

If  $\widehat{O} = \emptyset$  then we set:  $S = A_0$ ,  $O = \emptyset$ ;  $\eta = \emptyset$ ;  $\delta = \min\{\delta_0, \delta_{1,1}, \dots, \delta_{1,a}\}$ .

If  $\widehat{O} \neq \emptyset$  then we set:

$$S = A_0;$$

$$O = \left\{ (\iota_{k[z,j]}(z), j) : (z, j) \in \widehat{O} \right\};$$

$$\eta(\iota_{k[z,j]}(z), j) = \iota_{k[z,j]}(\widehat{\eta}(z, j)) \quad \forall (z, j) \in \widehat{O};$$

$$\delta = \min\{\delta_0, \delta_{1,1}, \dots, \delta_{1,a}\}.$$

Eventually claim (4.5) follows directly by checking conditions (i)-(v). ■

In Definition 4.1.3 below we focus our attention to subsets of  $W$  containing elements whose domain is an open neighborhood of 0.

### Definition 4.1.3

1. We set:

$$\dot{W} = \{u \in W : 0 \in D(u)\};$$

$$\dot{W}_{CC} = \{u \in W_{CC} : 0 \in D(u), \quad (\text{ev}(u))(0) = 0\}.$$

Elements belonging to  $\dot{W}$  are called *local generalized functions*.

Elements belonging to  $\dot{W}_{CC}$  are called *local generalized continuous pointed functions*.

2. Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $S \subseteq \mathring{\mathbb{R}}^m$  with  $0 \in S$ . We set:

$$\dot{W}(S, \mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ u \in \dot{W} : D(u) = S, \quad C(u) = \mathbb{R}^n \right\};$$

$$\dot{W}_{CC}(S, \mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ u \in \dot{W}_{CC} : D(u) = S, \quad C(u) = \mathbb{R}^n \right\}.$$

In Remark 4.1.4 below we describe the link between  $\overset{\bullet}{W}$  and the localization process in the setting of smooth and continuous functions. We refer to Examples 2.0.4, 2.0.6.

**Remark 4.1.4** *Construction of  $\overset{\bullet}{W}$  extends to  $\mathcal{W}$  the construction of spaces of local smooth functions and of local continuous functions which is well known in  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  and in  $\mathcal{C}^0$  respectively (see [18]).*

*We denote by  $\overset{\bullet}{C}^\infty$  the space of local smooth functions, by  $\overset{\bullet}{C}_{CC}^\infty$  the space of local smooth pointed functions, by  $\overset{\bullet}{C}^0$  the space of local continuous functions, by  $\overset{\bullet}{C}_{CC}^0$  the space of local continuous pointed functions.*

In Definition 4.1.5, Remark 4.1.6 below we introduce the notion of localization of generalized functions. We refer to Notations 3.4.3, 11.0.8-[6], Definition 3.3.1.

**Definition 4.1.5**

1. Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a path connected topological space  $X$ ,  $S \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$ , a continuous function  $\omega : X \rightarrow W(S, \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

We define the continuous function  $\omega^\Delta : S \times X \rightarrow W(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n)$  by setting

$$\omega^\Delta(s, x) = \omega(x) \diamond \text{tr}_s \quad \forall (s, x) \in S \times X.$$

We say that  $\omega^\Delta$  is the localization of  $\omega$ .

If  $X$  is the single point set then  $\omega$  can be identified with an element  $u$  belonging to  $W$ , hence we write  $u^\Delta$  in place of  $\omega^\Delta$ .

2. Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a path connected topological space  $X$ ,  $S \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$ , a continuous function  $\omega : X \rightarrow W(S, \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

We define the continuous function  $\omega^\blacktriangle : S \times X \rightarrow W(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n)$  by setting

$$\omega^\blacktriangle(s, x) = \omega^\Delta(s, x) \oplus -1 \otimes (\omega^\Delta(s, x) \diamond \text{c}[\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m, 0])$$

$$\forall (s, x) \in S \times X.$$

We say that  $\omega^\blacktriangle$  is the pointed localization of  $\omega$ .

If  $X$  is the single point set then  $\omega$  can be identified with an element  $u$  belonging to  $W$ , hence we write  $u^\blacktriangle$  in place of  $\omega^\blacktriangle$ .

**Remark 4.1.6**

1. If  $X$  is not path connected then  $\omega^\Delta$  and  $\omega^\blacktriangle$  are defined for any path component of  $X$  separately.
2. Localization and pointed localization of a generalized functions extend to  $\mathcal{W}$  the corresponding construction which is well known in  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  and in  $\mathcal{C}^0$ . Localization and pointed localization of continuous functions are denote by symbols used for corresponding operations on generalized functions.

In Propositions 4.1.7 below we study localizing generalized functions behaves with respect to operations  $\diamond$ ,  $||$ ,  $\triangleright$ . We refer to Notation 11.0.6-[6], Definition 2.0.1.

**Proposition 4.1.7**

1. Fix  $m_1, m_2, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , path connected topological spaces  $X_1, X_2$ ,  $S_1 \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}_1^m$ ,  $S_2 \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}_2^m$ , continuous functions  $\omega_1 : X_1 \rightarrow W(S_1, \mathbb{R}^{m_2})$ ,  $\omega_2 : X_2 \rightarrow W(S_2, \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Define the continuous functions  $\omega_3 : S_2 \times X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow W(S_1, \mathbb{R}^n)$  by setting

$$\omega_3(s_2, x_1, x_2) = \omega_2(x_2) \diamond (\text{tr}_{s_2} \diamond \omega_1(x_1))$$

$$\forall (s_2, x_1, x_2) \in S_2 \times X_1 \times X_2.$$

Then

$$\omega_2^\Delta(s_2, x_2) \diamond \omega_1^\Delta(s_1, x_1) = \omega_3^\Delta(s_1, s_2, x_1, x_2)$$

$$\forall (s_1, s_2, x_1, x_2) \in S_1 \times S_2 \times X_1 \times X_2.$$

2. Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m_k, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ , path connected topological space  $X_k$ ,  $S_k \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^{m_k}$ , a continuous functions  $\omega_k : X_k \rightarrow W(S_k, \mathbb{R}^{n_k})$  for any  $k \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ .

Define the continuous functions  $\omega : \prod_{k=1}^k X_k \rightarrow W\left(\prod_{k=1}^k S_k, \prod_{k=1}^k \mathbb{R}^{n_k}\right)$  by setting

$$\omega(x_1, \dots, x_k) = |\omega_1(x_1), \dots, \omega_k(x_k)| \quad \forall (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^k X_k.$$

Then

$$|\omega_1^\Delta(s_1, x_1), \dots, \omega_k^\Delta(s_k, x_k)| = \omega^\Delta(s_1, \dots, s_k, x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

$$\forall (s_1, \dots, s_k, x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \left(\prod_{k=1}^k S_k\right) \times \left(\prod_{k=1}^k X_k\right).$$

3. Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  a path connected topological space  $X$ ,  $S \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$ , a continuous function  $\omega : X \rightarrow W(S, \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\mathbf{m} \in \{\int_i, \mathbf{d}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{q}_i\}$ .

Define :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{the continuous function } \omega_1 : X \rightarrow W \text{ by setting} \\ \omega_1(x) = \mathbf{m} \triangleright (\omega(x)) \quad \forall x \in X; \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{the smooth function } h : S \rightarrow \mathcal{I}[S, i] \text{ by setting} \\ h(s_1, \dots, s_m) = \begin{cases} (s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, s_i, s_i, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_m) & \text{if } i \leq m, \\ (s_1, \dots, s_m, \dots, s_{i-1}, s_i, s_i) & \text{if } i > m. \end{cases} \end{array} \right.$$

Then

$$\mathbf{m} \triangleright (\omega^\Delta(x, s)) = \begin{cases} (\omega_1^\Delta)(s, x) & \forall (s, x) S \times X \quad \text{if } \mathbf{m} \in \{\mathbf{d}_i, \mathbf{c}_i\}; \\ (\omega_1^\Delta)(h(s), x) & \forall (s, x) S \times X \quad \text{if } \mathbf{m} \in \{\int_i, \mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{q}_i\}. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Statements follow straightforwardly by direct computation. ■

**Remark 4.1.8** Propositions 4.1.7 hold true word by word in  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  and in  $\mathcal{C}^0$ .

In Proposition 4.1.9 below we prove that the notion of local vanishing of generalized functions is independent on the choice of detecting paths.

We refer to Notation 3.4.3-[2].

**Proposition 4.1.9** Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , an open neighborhood  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  of 0,  $u \in W(S, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then both statements below are equivalent:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there is an open neighborhood } S_0 \subseteq S \text{ of } 0 \text{ such that for any} \\ \text{path } \omega \text{ in } W \text{ detecting } u, t \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}, s \in S_0 \text{ occurs either} \\ (\omega(t))(s) = \emptyset \text{ or } (\omega(t))(s) = 0; \end{array} \right. \quad (4.7)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for any path } \omega \text{ in } W \text{ detecting } u \text{ there is an open neighborhood} \\ S_0 \subseteq S \text{ of } 0 \text{ such that for any } t \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}, s \in S_0 \text{ occurs} \\ \text{either } (\omega(t))(s) = \emptyset \text{ or } (\omega(t))(s) = 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (4.8)$$

**Proof.**

Implication (4.7)  $\Rightarrow$  (4.8) is straightforward then we prove (4.8)  $\Rightarrow$  (4.7).

Fix a local base  $\{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of open neighborhoods of  $0 \in S$ . Arguing by contradiction and referring to Notation 11.0.3-[5] we have that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for any } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ there are a path } \omega_i \text{ in } W \text{ detecting } u, \text{ a point} \\ (t_i, s_i) \in ((-1, 1) \times A_i) \setminus (\{0\} \times A_i) \text{ such that } (\omega_i(t_i))(s_i) \neq 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (4.9)$$

Referring to Definitions 3.3.1, 3.3.5 fix a maximal representative  $z$  of  $u$ , a centered associating function  $\alpha_i$  of  $\omega_i$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since  $\{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a local base of open neighborhoods of  $0 \in S$  we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} s_i = 0. \quad (4.10)$$

Since  $(-1, 1)$  is contained in the compact subset  $[-1, 1]$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  then there is no loss of generality by assuming that is  $t_0 \in [-1, 1]$  fulfilling

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} t_i = t_0.$$

Fix a sequence  $\{t_{1,i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  fulfilling both conditions below:

$$0 < t_{1,i} < t_{1,i+1} \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N};$$



$$\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} t_{1,i} = 1.$$

Path connectivity of  $C^0[\text{Dom}(f), \mathbb{R}]$  for any  $f \in O_u$  (refer to Notation 11.0.9-[2]) entail that there is a centered associating function  $\alpha$  for  $O_u$  fulfilling

$$\alpha(f, t_{1,i}) = \alpha_i(f, t_i) \quad \forall f \in O_u, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We denote by  $\omega$  the path in  $W$  detecting  $u$  whose detecting skeleton is  $(z, \alpha)$ . Eventually statement follows since (4.8) fails for path  $\omega$  because (4.10) holds true and  $(\omega(t_i))(s_i) = (\omega_i(t_{1,i}))(s_i) \neq 0 \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$ . ■

Motivated by Remark 4.1.9 in Definition 4.1.10 below we introduce a relation which identifies elements belonging to  $\overset{\bullet}{W}$  whenever they coincides locally at 0. We refer to Notation 11.0.6, Definition 3.2.48.

**Definition 4.1.10** Fix  $u_1, u_2 \in \overset{\bullet}{W}$ . We say that  $u_1 \approx u_2$  if and only if there are  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $S \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$  fulfilling all conditions below:

$$0 \in S;$$

$$S \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} D(u_i) \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2\}; \quad (4.11)$$

$$u_1 \diamond i[S, D(u_1)] = u_2 \diamond i[S, D(u_1)]. \quad (4.12)$$

In Proposition 4.1.11 below we prove that  $\approx$  is an equivalence relation and we examine its compatibility with the evaluation  $\text{ev}$ . We refer to Definition 3.3.1.

**Proposition 4.1.11**

1.  $\approx$  is an equivalence relation.
2. Fix  $u_1, u_2 \in \overset{\bullet}{W}$ . Assume  $u_1 \approx u_2$ . Then:

$$O_{u_1} = O_{u_2}; \quad C(u_1) = C(u_2); \quad \tilde{D}(u_1) = \tilde{D}(u_2).$$

3. Fix  $u_1, u_2 \in \overset{\bullet}{W}_{CC}$ . Assume that  $u_1 \approx u_2$ .

Then there is  $S \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} D(u_1) \cap D(u_2)$  fulfilling both conditions below:

$$0 \overset{\circ}{\in} S, \quad \text{ev}(u_1 \diamond i[S, D(u_1)]) = \text{ev}(u_2 \diamond i[S, D(u_2)]).$$

**Proof.** Statement 1 straightforwardly follows by definition of  $\approx$ . Statement 2 follows by conditions (4.11), (4.12). Statement 3 follows by (2.17), definition of  $\approx$ , Proposition 3.2.40. ■

In Definition 4.1.12 below we introduce the set of locally vanishing generalized continuous functions.

**Definition 4.1.12**

1. We set:

$$\dot{W}_{CC0} = \left\{ u \in \dot{W}_{CC} : \exists S \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} D(u) \text{ with} \right. \\ \left. 0 \in S, \quad \text{ev}(u \diamond i[S, D(u)]) = c[S, C(u), 0] \right\}.$$

Elements belonging to  $\dot{W}_{CC0}$  are called locally vanishing generalized continuous functions.

2. Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $S \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $0 \in S$ . We set:

$$\dot{W}_{CC0}(S, \mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ u \in \dot{W}_{CC0} : D(u) = S, \quad C(u) = \mathbb{R}^n \right\}.$$

In Proposition 4.1.13 below we examine compatibility of equivalence relation  $\simeq$  with the algebraic structure induced on  $\dot{W}$  by  $W$ .

**Proposition 4.1.13**

1. Fix  $u_1, u_2 \in \dot{W}$ . Assume that  $u_1 \simeq u_2$ . Then:

$$u_1 \in \dot{W}_{CC} \Leftrightarrow u_2 \in \dot{W}_{CC}, \quad u_1 \in \dot{W}_{CC0} \Leftrightarrow u_2 \in \dot{W}_{CC0}.$$

2. Fix  $u \in \dot{W}$ ,  $v \in \dot{W}_{CC}$ . Assume that both the following conditions are fulfilled:  $u \notin W_\emptyset$ ;  $\text{Im}(\text{ev}(v)) \subseteq D(u)$ .

Then there is  $v_1 \in \dot{W}_{CC}$  fulfilling both conditions:  $v_1 \simeq v$ ;  $u \diamond v_1 \notin W_\emptyset$ .

3. Fix  $u \in W$ ,  $v_1, v_2 \in \dot{W}$ . Assume  $v_1 \simeq v_2$ . Then  $u \diamond v_1 \simeq u \diamond v_2$ .

4. Fix  $u_1, u_2 \in \dot{W}$ ,  $v_1, v_2 \in \dot{W}_{CC}$ . Assume that both the following conditions are fulfilled:  $u_1 \simeq u_2$ ,  $v_1 \simeq v_2$ . Then  $u_1 \diamond v_1 \simeq u_2 \diamond v_2$ .

5. Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , four finite ordered sequences  $(u_1, \dots, u_k), (v_1, \dots, v_k) \subseteq \dot{W}^k$ . Assume  $u_k \simeq v_k$  for any  $k \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Then  $|u_1, \dots, u_k| \simeq |v_1, \dots, v_k|$ .

6. Fix  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M}$ ,  $u_1, u_2 \in \dot{W}$ . Assume  $u_1 \simeq u_2$ . Then  $\mathbf{m} \triangleright u_1 \simeq \mathbf{m} \triangleright u_2$ .

**Proof.**

**Proof of statement 1.** Statement follows by condition (4.12).

**Proof of statement 2.**

First we construct  $v_1$ .

Set  $v_0 = i[D(u), C(v)] \diamond v$ . Then  $v_0 \in W_{CC}$  by assumption  $\text{Im}(\text{ev}(v)) \subseteq D(u)$ . Then we apply Proposition 4.1.2 to data  $v_0, 0 \in D(v_0)$  obtaining a set  $S \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} D(v_0)$  such that data  $v_0, 0, S$  fulfill both (4.3) and (4.4). We set

$v_1 = v \diamond i[S, C(v)]$ . By construction we have  $v_1 \approx v$ .

Then we prove that  $u \diamond v_1 \notin W_\emptyset$ .

Fix a path  $\omega_u$  in  $W$  detecting  $u$ ,  $\delta_u > 0$  such that

$$(\text{ev}(\omega_u(t)))(s) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall t \in (-\delta_u, \delta_u) \setminus \{0\} \quad \forall s \in D(u),$$

we emphasize that such a detecting path exists by assumption  $u \notin W_\emptyset$ .

Fix a detecting skeleton  $(x_u, \alpha_u)$  of  $\omega_u$ , then we are able to choose  $x_{v_1} \in X_{CC}$  with  $v_1 = \mathbf{q}(x_{v_1})$ , a centered associating function  $\alpha_{v_1}$  for  $O_{v_1}$  such that

$$\alpha_u(f, t) = \alpha_{v_1}(f, t) \quad \forall (f, t) \in (O_u \cap O_{v_1}) \times (-1, 1).$$

Pair  $(x_{v_1}, \alpha_{v_1})$  defines, through (3.14), a path  $\omega_{v_1}$  in  $W_C$  detecting  $v_1$ . Then construction of  $v_1$  entails that there is  $\delta_{v_1} > 0$  fulfilling both conditions below:

$$(\text{ev}(\omega_{v_1}(t)))(s) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall t \in (-\delta_{v_1}, \delta_{v_1}) \quad \forall s \in D(v_1);$$

$$\text{Im}(\text{ev}(\omega_{v_1}(t))) \subseteq D(u) \quad \forall t \in (-\delta_{v_1}, \delta_{v_1}).$$

By referring to Proposition 3.3.10 we set  $\omega = \omega_u \diamond \omega_{v_1}$ ,  $\delta = \min\{\delta_u, \delta_{v_1}\}$  then by construction  $\omega$  is a path in  $W$  detecting  $u \diamond v_1$  such that

$$(\text{ev}(\omega(t)))(s) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall t \in (-\delta, \delta) \setminus \{0\} \quad \forall s \in D(u \diamond v_1).$$

**Proof of statements 3, 4, 5, 6.** Statements follows straightforwardly by definition of  $\approx$  and statement 2. ■

In Definition 4.1.14 below we introduce the notion of path in sets  $\overset{\bullet}{W}^n$ .

#### Definition 4.1.14

1. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a set function  $\omega : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \overset{\bullet}{W}^n$ .

We say that  $\omega$  is a path in  $\overset{\bullet}{W}^n$  if and only if  $i\left[\overset{\bullet}{W}^n, W^n\right] \circ \omega$  is a path in  $W^n$ .

With an abuse of language we say that: any skeleton  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), \alpha)$  of  $i\left[\overset{\bullet}{W}^n, W^n\right] \circ \omega$  is a skeleton of  $\omega$ ;  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a core of  $\omega$ ;  $\alpha$  is an associating function of  $\omega$ .

2. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a path  $\omega$  in  $\overset{\bullet}{W}^n$ , a subset  $S \subseteq (-1, 1)$ .

We say that pair  $(\omega, S)$  is a smooth path in  $\overset{\bullet}{W}^n$  if and only if pair defined by  $\left(i\left[\overset{\bullet}{W}^n, W^n\right] \circ \omega, S\right)$  is a smooth path in  $W^n$ .

With an abuse of language we say that:  $S$  is the singular set of  $\omega$ ; any skeleton  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), \alpha)$  of  $\left(i\left[\overset{\bullet}{W}^n, W^n\right] \circ \omega, S\right)$  is a skeleton of  $(\omega, S)$ .

We emphasize that nothing is assumed about  $\omega(t)$  when  $t \in S$ .

3. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \overset{\bullet}{W}^n$ , a path  $\omega$  in  $\overset{\bullet}{W}^n$ .

We say that  $\omega$  is a path in  $\overset{\bullet}{W}^n$  through  $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  if and only if we have  $\omega(0) = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ .

4. Fix  $u \in \dot{W}$ , a path  $\omega$  in  $\dot{W}$  through  $u$ . We say that  $\omega$  is a path in  $\dot{W}$  detecting  $u$  if and only if  $i \left[ \dot{W}, W \right] \circ \omega$  is a path in  $W$  detecting  $u$ . With an abuse of language we say that any detecting skeleton  $(x, \alpha)$  of  $i \left[ \dot{W}, W \right] \circ \omega$  is a detecting skeleton of  $\omega$ .

In Definition 4.1.15 below we give topology to sets  $\dot{W}^n$  through paths introduced in Definition 4.1.14. We refer to Notation 11.0.5-[5].

**Definition 4.1.15** Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $\dot{W}^n$  is endowed with the final topology with respect to the family of all paths in  $\dot{W}^n$ . We say that such topology is the path topology on  $\dot{W}^n$ .

In Definition 4.1.16 below we introduce the notion of path in sets  $\dot{W}_{CC}^n$ .

**Definition 4.1.16**

1. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a set function  $\omega : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \dot{W}_{CC}^n$ .  
We say that  $\omega$  is a path in  $\dot{W}_{CC}^n$  if and only if  $i \left[ \dot{W}_{CC}^n, W_C^n \right] \circ \omega$  is a path in  $W_C^n$ .  
With an abuse of language we say that: any skeleton  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), \alpha)$  of  $i \left[ \dot{W}_{CC}^n, W_C^n \right] \circ \omega$  is a skeleton of  $\omega$ ;  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a core of  $\omega$ ;  $\alpha$  is an associating function of  $\omega$ .
2. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a path  $\omega$  in  $\dot{W}_{CC}^n$ , a subset  $S \subseteq (-1, 1)$ .  
We say that path  $(\omega, S)$  is a smooth path in  $\dot{W}_{CC}^n$  if and only if path  $\left( i \left[ \dot{W}_{CC}^n, W_C^n \right] \circ \omega, S \right)$  is a smooth path in  $W_C^n$ .  
With an abuse of language we say that:  $S$  is the singular set of  $\omega$ ; any skeleton  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), \alpha)$  of  $\left( i \left[ \dot{W}_{CC}^n, W_C^n \right] \circ \omega, S \right)$  is a skeleton of  $(\omega, S)$ .  
We emphasize that nothing is assumed about  $\omega(t)$  when  $t \in S$ .
3. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \dot{W}_{CC}^n$ , a path  $\omega$  in  $\dot{W}_{CC}^n$ .  
We say that  $\omega$  is a path in  $\dot{W}_{CC}^n$  through  $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  if and only if we have  $\omega(0) = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ .

In Definition 4.1.17 below we give topology to sets  $\dot{W}_{CC}^n$  through paths introduced in Definition 4.1.16. We refer to Notation 11.0.5-[5].

**Definition 4.1.17** Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $\dot{W}_{CC}^n$  is endowed with the final topology with respect to the family of all paths in  $\dot{W}_{CC}$ . We say that such topology is the path topology on  $\dot{W}_{CC}^n$ .

In Definition 4.1.18 below we introduce the notion of path in sets  $\dot{W}_{CC0}^n$ .

**Definition 4.1.18**

1. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a set function  $\omega : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \dot{W}_{CC0}^n$ .  
We say that  $\omega$  is a path in  $\dot{W}_{CC0}^n$  if and only if  $i \left[ \dot{W}_{CC0}^n, W_C^n \right] \circ \omega$  is a path in  $W_C^n$ .  
With an abuse of language we say that: any skeleton  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), \alpha)$  of  $i \left[ \dot{W}_{CC0}^n, W_C^n \right] \circ \omega$  is a skeleton of  $\omega$ ;  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a core of  $\omega$ ;  $\alpha$  is an associating function of  $\omega$ .
2. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a path  $\omega$  in  $\dot{W}_{CC0}^n$ , a subset  $S \subseteq (-1, 1)$ .  
We say that path  $(\omega, S)$  is a smooth path in  $\dot{W}_{CC0}^n$  if and only if path  $\left( i \left[ \dot{W}_{CC0}^n, W_C^n \right] \circ \omega, S \right)$  is a smooth path in  $W_C^n$ .  
With an abuse of language we say that:  $S$  is the singular set of  $\omega$ ; any skeleton  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), \alpha)$  of  $\left( i \left[ \dot{W}_{CC0}^n, W_C^n \right] \circ \omega, S \right)$  is a skeleton of  $(\omega, S)$ .  
We emphasize that nothing is assumed about  $\omega(t)$  when  $t \in S$ .
3. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \dot{W}_{CC0}^n$ , a path  $\omega$  in  $\dot{W}_{CC0}^n$ .  
We say that  $\omega$  is a path in  $\dot{W}_{CC0}^n$  through  $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  if and only if we have  $\omega(0) = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ .

In Definition 4.1.17 below we give topology to sets  $\dot{W}_{CC0}^n$  through paths introduced in Definition 4.1.18. We refer to Notation 11.0.5-[5].

**Definition 4.1.19** Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $\dot{W}_{CC0}^n$  is endowed with the final topology with respect to the family of all paths in  $\dot{W}_{CC0}$ . We say that such topology is the path topology on  $\dot{W}_{CC0}^n$ .

## 4.2 Germs of generalized functions

Motivated by condition (4.11), Propositions 4.1.11, 4.1.13 we introduce germs of local generalized functions and domain and co-domain of them. We refer to Notations 11.0.3-[13], 11.0.5-[8], Definition 3.3.1.

**Definition 4.2.1** We set:

$$V = \dot{W} / \approx ;$$

$\check{q} : \dot{W} \rightarrow V$  the quotient function;

$$V_{CC} = \check{q} \left( \dot{W}_{CC} \right);$$

$$V_{CC0} = \check{q} \left( \dot{W}_{CC0} \right).$$

Elements belonging to  $V$  are called germs of local generalized functions, or generalized germs for short.

Elements belonging to  $V_{CC}$  are called germs of local generalized continuous pointed functions, or continuous pointed generalized germs for short.

Elements belonging to  $V_{CC0}$  are called germs of locally vanishing generalized continuous functions, or locally vanishing continuous generalized germs for short.

Generalized germs are equivalence classes. Generalized germs are denoted by  $\mathbf{u}$  or by  $\wr u$  whenever we need to emphasize a representative  $u \in \dot{W}$  of the equivalence class.

With an abuse of language we denote any element  $\wr u$  with  $u \approx 0 \otimes u$  by 0 and we say that  $\wr u$  vanishes.

Motivated by Proposition 4.1.11-[2], we are allowed to denote by  $O_{\mathbf{u}}$  any set  $O_u$  with  $\mathbf{u} = \check{q}(u)$ .

With an abuse of language we say that a path in  $W$  detecting a representative of a generalized germ  $\mathbf{u}$  is a path in  $W$  detecting  $\mathbf{u}$ .

In Proposition 4.2.2 below we prove that set functions  $\bar{C}, \bar{D}$  factors through  $\check{q}$ .

**Proposition 4.2.2** There are set functions:

$$\bar{C} : V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0 \quad \text{by setting} \quad \bar{C}(\wr u) = \bar{C}(u) \quad \forall \wr u \in V;$$

$$\bar{D} : V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0 \quad \text{by setting} \quad \bar{D}(\wr u) = \bar{D}(u) \quad \forall \wr u \in V.$$

With an abuse of language we denote  $\bar{C}$  again by  $\bar{C}$  and  $\bar{D}$  again by  $\bar{D}$ .

**Proof.** Statement follows straightforwardly by Proposition 4.1.11-[2]. ■

**Remark 4.2.3**

1. The quotient topology of  $V$  with respect to  $\check{q}$  is the trivial topology. The proof coincides with the proof of the corresponding result which is well known to hold true for germs of local continuous functions.
2. We refer to Remark 4.1.4, Examples 2.0.4, 2.0.6.  
Construction of  $V$  extends to  $\mathcal{W}$  the construction of spaces of germs of local smooth functions and of local continuous functions which is well known

in  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  and in  $\mathcal{C}^0$  respectively (see [18]).

We denote by  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$  the space of germs of local smooth functions, by  $\mathbf{C}_{CC}^\infty$  the space of germs of local smooth pointed functions, by  $\mathbf{C}^0$  the space of germs of local continuous functions, by  $\mathbf{C}_{CC}^0$  the space of germs of local continuous pointed functions. In both cases germs are equivalence classes. Germs are denoted by  $\mathbf{f}$  or by  $\lambda f \lambda$  whenever we need to emphasize a representative  $f \in \overset{\bullet}{\mathcal{C}}$  of the equivalence class, the equivalence class represented by  $\emptyset$  is denoted simply by  $\emptyset$ . Algebraic, intgro-differential, topological structures existing on  $\mathcal{C}^\infty, \mathcal{C}^0$  induce corresponding well known structures on  $\mathbf{C}^\infty, \mathbf{C}^0$  respectively. With an abuse of language we use symbols denoting operations in  $\mathcal{C}^\infty, \mathcal{C}^0$  to denote the corresponding operations induced on  $\mathbf{C}^\infty, \mathbf{C}^0$  respectively.

Inclusion  $i \left[ \overset{\bullet}{\mathcal{C}}^\infty, \overset{\bullet}{\mathcal{C}}^0 \right]$  induces an inclusion  $i \left[ \mathbf{C}^\infty, \mathbf{C}^0 \right]$ .

In Proposition 4.2.4 below we study the algebraic structure induced on  $V$  by  $\overset{\bullet}{W}$ . We refer to Notation 11.0.8-[3(a), 4].

**Proposition 4.2.4**

1. There is one and only one set function

$\square : W \times V \rightarrow V$  defined by setting

$$u \square \lambda v \lambda = \lambda u \diamond v \lambda \quad \forall (u, \lambda v \lambda) \in W \times V.$$

We emphasize that  $u \square \mathbf{v} \in V_{CC}$  for any  $(u, \mathbf{v}) \in \overset{\bullet}{W}_{CC} \times V_{CC}$ .

2. There is one and only one set function

$\widehat{\odot} : V \times V_{CC} \rightarrow V$  defined by setting

$$\lambda u \lambda \widehat{\odot} \lambda v \lambda = \lambda u \diamond v \lambda \quad \forall (\lambda u \lambda, \lambda v \lambda) \in V \times V_{CC}.$$

We emphasize that:

$$\mathbf{u} \widehat{\odot} \mathbf{v} \in V_{CC} \quad \forall (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in V_{CC} \times V_{CC};$$

$$\mathbf{u} \widehat{\odot} \mathbf{v} \in V_{CC0} \quad \forall (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in V_{CC} \times V_{CC0} \cup V_{CC0} \times V_{CC}.$$

3. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . There is one and only one set function

$\langle \rangle : V^n \rightarrow V$  defined by setting

$$\langle \lambda u_1 \lambda, \dots, \lambda u_n \lambda \rangle = \lambda |u_i, \dots, u_n| \lambda \quad \forall (u_i, \dots, u_n) \in V^n.$$

We emphasize that:

$$\langle \mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n \rangle \in V_{CC} \quad \forall (\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) \in V_{CC}^n;$$

$$\langle \mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n \rangle \in V_{CC0} \quad \forall (\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) \in V_{CC0}^n.$$

4. There is one and only one set function

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\boxplus} : V \times V \rightarrow V \quad \text{by setting} \quad \lambda u \lambda \hat{\boxplus} \lambda v \lambda &= +[n, 2] \square \langle \lambda u \lambda, \lambda v \lambda \rangle \\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad \forall (\lambda u \lambda, \lambda v \lambda) \in V \times V \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{C}(\lambda v \lambda) &= n. \end{aligned}$$

We emphasize that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} \hat{\boxplus} \mathbf{v} \in V_{CC} \quad \text{for any } (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in V_{CC} \times V_{CC} \quad \text{with } \tilde{C}(\mathbf{u}) &= \tilde{C}(\mathbf{v}); \\ \mathbf{u} \hat{\boxplus} \mathbf{v} \in V_{CC0} \quad \text{for any } (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in V_{CC0} \times V_{CC0} \quad \text{with } \tilde{C}(\mathbf{u}) &= \tilde{C}(\mathbf{v}). \end{aligned}$$

5. There is one and only one set function  $\hat{\oplus} : V \times V \rightarrow V$  defined by setting:  
 $\lambda u \lambda \hat{\oplus} \lambda v \lambda = \lambda u \oplus v \lambda$  for any  $(\lambda u \lambda, \lambda v \lambda) \in V \times V$  with  $\tilde{D}(\lambda u \lambda) \neq \tilde{D}(\lambda v \lambda)$ ,  
 $\lambda u \lambda \hat{\oplus} \lambda v \lambda = \lambda(u \diamond i [D(u) \cap D(v), D(u)]) \oplus (v \diamond i [D(u) \cap D(v), D(v)]) \lambda$   
for any  $(\lambda u \lambda, \lambda v \lambda) \in V \times V$  with  $\tilde{D}(\lambda u \lambda) = \tilde{D}(\lambda v \lambda)$ .

We emphasize that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} \hat{\oplus} \mathbf{v} \in V_{CC} \quad \text{for any } (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in V_{CC} \times V_{CC} \quad \text{with } \tilde{D}(\mathbf{u}) &= \tilde{D}(\mathbf{v}), \tilde{C}(\mathbf{u}) = \\ \tilde{C}(\mathbf{v}); \\ \mathbf{u} \hat{\oplus} \mathbf{v} \in V_{CC0} \quad \text{for any } (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in V_{CC0} \times V_{CC0} \quad \text{with } \tilde{D}(\mathbf{u}) &= \tilde{D}(\mathbf{v}), \tilde{C}(\mathbf{u}) = \\ \tilde{C}(\mathbf{v}). \end{aligned}$$

6. There is one and only one set function

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\boxtimes} : V \times V \rightarrow V \quad \text{by setting} \quad \lambda u \lambda \hat{\boxtimes} \lambda v \lambda &= \cdot [m, n] \square \langle \lambda u \lambda, \lambda v \lambda \rangle \\ \forall (\lambda u \lambda, \lambda v \lambda) \in V \times V \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{C}(\lambda u \lambda) &= m, \quad \tilde{C}(\lambda v \lambda) = n. \end{aligned}$$

We emphasize that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} \hat{\boxtimes} \mathbf{v} \in V_{CC} \quad \forall (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in V_{CC} \times V_{CC}; \\ \mathbf{u} \hat{\boxtimes} \mathbf{v} \in V_{CC0} \quad \forall (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in V_{CC} \times V_{CC0} \cup V_{CC0} \times V_{CC}. \end{aligned}$$

7. There is one and only one set function  $\hat{\odot} : V \times V \rightarrow V$  defined by setting:  
 $\lambda u \lambda \hat{\odot} \lambda v \lambda = \lambda u \odot v \lambda$  for any  $(\lambda u \lambda, \lambda v \lambda) \in V \times V$  with  $\tilde{D}(\lambda u \lambda) \neq \tilde{D}(\lambda v \lambda)$ ,  
 $\lambda u \lambda \hat{\odot} \lambda v \lambda = \lambda(u \diamond i [D(u) \cap D(v), D(u)]) \odot (v \diamond i [D(u) \cap D(v), D(v)]) \lambda$   
for any  $(\lambda u \lambda, \lambda v \lambda) \in V \times V$  with  $\tilde{D}(\lambda u \lambda) = \tilde{D}(\lambda v \lambda)$ .

We emphasize that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} \hat{\odot} \mathbf{v} \in V_{CC} \quad \text{for any } (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in V_{CC} \times V_{CC} \quad \text{with } \tilde{D}(\mathbf{u}) &= \tilde{D}(\mathbf{v}); \\ \mathbf{u} \hat{\odot} \mathbf{v} \in V_{CC0} \quad \text{for any } (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in V_{CC} \times V_{CC0} \cup V_{CC0} \times V_{CC} \quad \text{with } \tilde{D}(\mathbf{u}) &= \\ \tilde{D}(\mathbf{v}). \end{aligned}$$

8. There is one and only one set function

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\otimes} : \mathbb{R} \times V \rightarrow V \quad \text{defined by setting} \\ a \hat{\otimes} \lambda u \lambda = \lambda a \otimes u \lambda \quad \forall (a, \lambda u \lambda) \in \mathbb{R} \times V. \end{aligned}$$



We emphasize that:

$$\begin{aligned} a \widehat{\otimes} \mathbf{u} &\in V_{CC} & \forall \mathbf{u} \in V_{CC}; \\ a \widehat{\otimes} \mathbf{u} &\in V_{CC0} & \forall \mathbf{u} \in V_{CC0}. \end{aligned}$$

9. There is one and only one set function

$\widehat{\diamond} : \mathbf{M} \times V \rightarrow V$  defined by setting

$$\mathbf{m} \widehat{\diamond} \lambda u \lambda = \lambda \mathbf{m} \triangleright u \lambda \quad \forall (\mathbf{m}, \lambda u \lambda) \in \mathbf{M} \times V.$$

We emphasize that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m} \widehat{\diamond} \mathbf{u} &\in V_{CC} & \forall (\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{u}) \in \mathbf{O} \times V_{CC}; \\ \mathbf{m} \widehat{\diamond} \mathbf{u} &\in V_{CC0} & \forall (\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{u}) \in \mathbf{O} \times V_{CC0}. \end{aligned}$$

10. There is one and only one set function  $\widehat{\text{li}} : \mathbf{C}^\infty \rightarrow V$  defined by setting  $\widehat{\text{li}}(\lambda f \lambda) = \lambda f \lambda \quad \forall f \in \overset{\bullet}{\mathbf{C}}^\infty$ .

11. There is one and only one set function  $\widehat{\text{ev}} : V_{CC} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{CC}^0$  defined by setting  $\widehat{\text{ev}}(\lambda u \lambda) = \lambda \text{ev}(u) \lambda \quad \forall u \in \overset{\bullet}{W}_{CC}$ .

**Proof.** Statements follow by Definition 3.4.1, Propositions 4.1.11, 4.1.13. ■

#### Remark 4.2.5

1. Propositions 4.1.13, 4.2.4 entail that for any combination of finite ordered sequences of elements  $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ ,  $(\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_l) \in \mathbf{M}^l$ ,  $(u_1, \dots, u_m) \in W^m$ ,  $(v_1 \lambda, \dots, v_n \lambda) \in V^n$  obtained by a finite application of operations  $\square$ ,  $\widehat{\otimes}$ ,  $\langle \ \rangle$ ,  $\widehat{\boxplus}$ ,  $\widehat{\oplus}$ ,  $\widehat{\boxminus}$ ,  $\widehat{\odot}$ ,  $\widehat{\otimes}$ ,  $\widehat{\diamond}$  which admits a representative not belonging to  $W_\emptyset$ , there is no loss of generality by assuming that such representative is obtained by performing the same sequence of operations on data  $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ ,  $(\mathbf{m}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_l) \in \mathbf{M}^l$ ,  $(u_1, \dots, u_m) \in W^m$ ,  $(v_1, \dots, v_n) \in W^n$ .

2. We refer to Notation 11.0.8-[1], Remark 4.2.3-[2].

Proposition 4.2.2 holds true for germs of local smooth functions and for germs of local continuous functions by replacing  $V$  by  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$  and  $\mathbf{C}^0$ ,  $\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}$  by  $\widetilde{\text{Cod}}$ ,  $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}$  by  $\widetilde{\text{Dom}}$ .

Proposition 4.2.4 holds true word by word by replacing  $W$ ,  $V$ ,  $V_{CC}$ , respectively by  $C^\infty$ ,  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ ,  $\mathbf{C}_{CC}^\infty$ , or by  $C^0$ ,  $\mathbf{C}^0$ ,  $\mathbf{C}_{CC}^0$ .

Set functions obtained by specializing Proposition 4.2.4 to germs of smooth and continuous functions will be denoted by same symbols used to denote corresponding set functions constructed in Proposition 4.2.4.

Statement corresponding to Remark 4.2.5-[1] holds true for finite sequences of operations in  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ ,  $\mathbf{C}^0$ .

Topology of germs of local generalized, smooth and continuous functions is matter of Section 5.2.

Motivated by condition (4.11), Proposition 4.1.11-[2], in Definition 4.2.6 below we restrict our attention to generalized functions with the same domain or co-domain.

**Definition 4.2.6** Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We set:

$$V[m, n] = \left\{ \mathbf{u} : \mathbf{u} \in V, \tilde{D}(\mathbf{u}) = m, \tilde{C}(\mathbf{u}) = n \right\};$$

$$V[* , n] = \left\{ \mathbf{u} : \mathbf{u} \in V, \tilde{C}(\mathbf{u}) = n \right\}.$$

In Proposition 4.2.7 below we study algebraic structure induced on  $V[m, n]$  and  $V[* , n]$  by  $V$ .

**Proposition 4.2.7**

1. Fix  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m_1, \dots, m_a, n_1, \dots, n_a \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then there is one and only one set function

$$\begin{aligned} [\ ] : \prod_{a=1}^a V[m_a, n_a] &\rightarrow V\left[\sum_{a=1}^a m_a, \sum_{a=1}^a n_a\right] \quad \text{fulfilling} \\ \langle \rangle \circ i \left[ \prod_{a=1}^a V[m_a, n_a], V^a \right] &= i \left[ V\left[\sum_{a=1}^a m_a, \sum_{a=1}^a n_a\right], V \right] \circ [\ ]. \end{aligned}$$

With an abuse of language, from now on, we denote  $[\ ]$  again by  $\langle \rangle$ .

2. Fix  $m_1, m_2, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . There is one and only one set function

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\boxplus} : V[m_1, n] \times V[m_2, n] &\rightarrow V[m_1 + m_2, n] \quad \text{fulfilling} \\ \widehat{\boxplus} \circ i [V[m_1, n] \times V[m_2, n], V^2] &= i [V[m_1 + m_2, n], V] \circ \overline{\boxplus}. \end{aligned}$$

With an abuse of language, from now on, we denote  $\overline{\boxplus}$  again by  $\widehat{\boxplus}$ .

3. Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then there is one and only one set function

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\oplus} : V[m, n] \times V[m, n] &\rightarrow V[m, n] \quad \text{fulfilling} \\ \widehat{\oplus} \circ i [(V[m, n])^2, V^2] &= i [V[m, n], V] \circ \overline{\oplus}. \end{aligned}$$

With an abuse of language, from now on, we denote  $\overline{\oplus}$  again by  $\widehat{\oplus}$ .

4. Fix  $m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . There is one and only one set function

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\boxtimes} : V[m_1, n_1] \times V[m_2, n_2] &\rightarrow V[m_1 + m_2, n_1 n_2] \quad \text{fulfilling} \\ \widehat{\boxtimes} \circ i [V[m_1, n_1] \times V[m_2, n_2], V^2] &= i [V[m_1 + m_2, n_1 n_2], V] \circ \overline{\boxtimes}. \end{aligned}$$

With an abuse of language, from now on, we denote  $\overline{\boxtimes}$  again by  $\widehat{\boxtimes}$ .

5. Fix  $m, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . There is one and only one set function

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\odot} : V[m, n_1] \times V[m, n_2] &\rightarrow V[m, n_1 n_2] && \text{fulfilling} \\ \widehat{\odot} \circ i[V[m, n_1] \times V[m, n_2], V^2] &= i[V[m, n_1 n_2], V] \circ \overline{\odot}. \end{aligned}$$

With an abuse of language, from now on, we denote  $\overline{\odot}$  again by  $\widehat{\odot}$ .

6. Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . There is one and only one set function

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\otimes} : \mathbb{R} \times V[m, n] &\rightarrow V[m, n] && \text{fulfilling} \\ \widehat{\otimes} \circ (1_{\mathbb{R}}, i[V[m, n], V]) &= i[V[m, n], V] \circ \overline{\otimes}. \end{aligned}$$

With an abuse of language, from now on, we denote  $\overline{\otimes}$  again by  $\widehat{\otimes}$ .

7. Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M}$ . Refer to Remark 2.0.2-[1].

If there is  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{d}_i$  or  $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{c}_i$  then

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{there is a set function } \overline{\diamond}[\mathbf{m}] : V[m, n] \rightarrow V[m, n] \\ &\text{defined by setting } \overline{\diamond}[\mathbf{m}](\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{m} \widehat{\diamond} \mathbf{u} \quad \forall \mathbf{u} \in V[m, n]. \end{aligned}$$

If there is  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{f}_i$  then

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{there is a set function } \overline{\diamond}[\mathbf{m}] : V[m, n] \rightarrow V[m+1, n] \\ &\text{defined by setting } \overline{\diamond}[\mathbf{m}](\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{m} \widehat{\diamond} \mathbf{u} \quad \forall \mathbf{u} \in V[m, n]. \end{aligned}$$

With an abuse of language, from now on, we denote  $\overline{\diamond}[\mathbf{m}]$  by  $\mathbf{m} \widehat{\diamond}$ .

8. The 4-tuple  $(V[*], 1, \widehat{\oplus}, \widehat{\square}, \widehat{\otimes})$  is a graded weak  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra.

9. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The triplet  $(V[*], n, \widehat{\oplus}, \widehat{\square})$  is a graded weak  $V[*], 1$ -module.

10. The 4-tuple  $(V[*], 1, \widehat{\oplus}, \widehat{\odot}, \widehat{\otimes})$  is a graded commutative weak  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra.

11. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The triplet  $(V[*], n, \widehat{\oplus}, \widehat{\odot})$  is a graded weak  $V[*], 1$ -module.

12. Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The 4-tuple  $(V[m], 1, \widehat{\oplus}, \widehat{\odot}, \widehat{\otimes})$  is a commutative weak  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra.

13. Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The triplet  $(V[m], n, \widehat{\oplus}, \widehat{\odot})$  is a weak  $V[m], 1$ -module.

**Proof.** Statements follows directly by Proposition 4.2.4. ■

In Definitions 4.2.8, 4.2.10, Remarks 4.2.9, 4.2.11 below we specialize Propositions 4.2.4, 4.2.7 in case of generalized germs, of continuous pointed generalized germs and of locally vanishing continuous generalized germs.

**Definition 4.2.8**

1. Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We set:

$$V_{CC} [m, n] = V [m, n] \cap V_{CC};$$

$$V_{CC} [* , n] = V [* , n] \cap V_{CC}.$$

2. Fix  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(m_a)_{a=1}^a \in \mathbb{N}_0^a$ ,  $(n_b)_{b=1}^b \in \mathbb{N}_0^b$ . Set  $m = \sum_{a=1}^a m_a$ ,  $n = \sum_{b=1}^b n_b$ .

We write  $V_{CC} \left[ (m_a)_{a=1}^a, (n_b)_{b=1}^b \right]$  in place of  $V_{CC} [m, n]$  whenever identifications  $\mathbb{R}^m = \prod_{a=1}^a \mathbb{R}^{m_a}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^n = \prod_{b=1}^b \mathbb{R}^{n_b}$  are to be considered.

**Remark 4.2.9**

1. Proposition 4.2.4 holds true word by word for sets  $V_{CC} [m, n]$  by replacing  $V_{CC}$  by  $V_{CC} [m, n]$ ,  $V_{CC}^k$  by  $\prod_{k=1}^k V_{CC} [m_i, n_i]$ ,  $V$  by  $V [m, n]$ ,  $V^k$  by  $\prod_{k=1}^k V [m_i, n_i]$ ;

Proposition 4.2.7 hold true word by word by replacing symbol  $V [m, n]$  by  $V_{CC} [m, n]$ ,  $V [* , n]$  by  $V_{CC} [* , n]$ ,  $V$  by  $V_{CC}$ .

2. Definition 4.2.6 extends to spaces  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ ,  $\mathbf{C}_{CC}^\infty$ ,  $\mathbf{C}^0$ ,  $\mathbf{C}_{CC}^0$  by replacing symbol  $V$  by  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ ,  $\mathbf{C}_{CC}^\infty$ ,  $\mathbf{C}^0$ ,  $\mathbf{C}_{CC}^0$  respectively, symbol  $\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}$  by  $\widetilde{\mathbf{Cod}}$ , symbol  $\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}$  by  $\widetilde{\mathbf{Dom}}$ .

Evaluation of a function at a point extends to germs of local smooth functions and to germs of local continuous functions by defining the set function

$$\mathbf{cv}_n : \mathbf{C}^0 [m, n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{by setting} \quad \mathbf{cv}_n (\imath f \imath) = f(0) \quad \forall \imath f \imath \in \mathbf{C}^0 [m, n].$$

**Definition 4.2.10** Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We set  $V_{CC0} [m, n] = V [m, n] \cap V_{CC0}$ .

**Remark 4.2.11** Proposition 4.2.4 holds true word by word for sets  $V_{CC} [m, n]$  by replacing  $V_{CC}$  by  $V_{CC0} [m, n]$ ,  $V_{CC0}^k$  by  $\prod_{k=1}^k V_{CC0} [m_i, n_i]$ ,  $V$  by  $V [m, n]$ ,  $V^k$  by  $\prod_{k=1}^k V [m_i, n_i]$ ;

Proposition 4.2.7 hold true word by word for sets  $V_{CC0} [m, n]$  by replacing symbol  $V [m, n]$  by  $V_{CC0} [m, n]$ ,  $V$  by  $V_{CC0}$ .

In Definition 4.2.12 and Proposition 4.2.13 below we introduce a class of subsets of  $V_{CC} [l, m]$  which will play a central role in Section 5.1.

**Definition 4.2.12** Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a subset  $\Omega \subseteq V_{CC} [l, m]$ . We sat that  $\Omega$  is an admissible subset of  $V_{CC} [l, m]$  if and only if

$$O_{\mathbf{w}_1} = O_{\mathbf{w}_2} \quad \forall \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \in \Omega.$$

**Proposition 4.2.13** Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , an admissible subset  $\Omega$  of  $V_{CC}[l, m]$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \in V_{CC}[m, n]$ . Then set  $\mathbf{u} \hat{\odot} \Omega = \{\mathbf{u} \hat{\odot} \mathbf{w} : \mathbf{w} \in \Omega\}$  is an admissible subset of  $V_{CC}[l, n]$ .

**Proof.** The proof follows by definition of  $\hat{\odot}$  and of admissible subset. ■



## Chapter 5

# Localization of generalized derivations

In this chapter we study invariance of differential operators with respect to local change of coordinates.

### 5.1 Pre-derivations of germs of generalized functions

In Definition 5.1.1 below we introduce the notion of pre-derivation: a suitable continuous  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear  $V[* , 1]$ -valued function on  $V[m, 1]$ . We refer to Definitions 4.2.8, 4.2.12, Remark 3.4.4-[2].

#### Definition 5.1.1

1. Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a set function  $\boldsymbol{\partial} : V[m, 1] \rightarrow V[* , 1]$ .  
We say that  $\boldsymbol{\partial}$  is a pre-derivation if and only if there are  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $\mathbf{w} \in V_{CC}[l, m]$ , such that:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (i) \quad \boldsymbol{\partial}(\mathbf{x}) = \bigoplus_{l=1}^l (\mathbf{d}_l \hat{\diamond} (\mathbf{x} \hat{\odot} \mathbf{w})) \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{v}_l & \forall \mathbf{x} \in V[m, 1] \quad \text{if } l \neq 0, \\ (ii) \quad \boldsymbol{\partial}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x} \hat{\odot} \mathbf{w}) \hat{\otimes} 0 & \forall \mathbf{x} \in V[m, 1] \quad \text{if } l = 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (5.1)$$

We say that:  $\mathbf{w}$  is a core of  $\boldsymbol{\partial}$ ;  $\mathbf{v}$  is an associating direction of  $\boldsymbol{\partial}$ ;  $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v})$  is a skeleton of  $\boldsymbol{\partial}$ .

To emphasize the dependence of a pre-derivation on a specific skeleton we write  $\boldsymbol{\partial}[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}]$  whenever needed.

2. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ , an admissible subset  $\Omega$  of  $V_{CC}[l, m]$ .  
We define the additive abelian group  $(\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{er}[M, \Omega, m], + [M, \Omega, m])$  by setting

**Generators:**

$$\{\partial : \forall \mathbf{w} \in \Omega \exists \mathbf{v} \in M \text{ such that } (\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}) \text{ is a skeleton of } \partial\};$$

**Relations:**

$$\partial[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}_1] + [M, \Omega, m] \partial[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}_2] = \partial[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2]. \quad (5.2)$$

**Remark 5.1.2** Here we describe the geometric meaning of pre-derivations. A pre-derivation  $\partial[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}]$  is the derivation operator with respect to what can be considered a generalized vector of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , that is the image of  $\mathbf{v}$  through  $\mathbf{w}$ .

In Proposition 5.1.3 below we prove algebraic properties of pre-derivations and we associate to any continuous pointed generalized germ a suitable notion of differential. We refer to Definitions 4.2.1, 4.2.12, Proposition 4.2.13.

**Proposition 5.1.3**

1. Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , two continuous pointed generalized germs  $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2$ , a pre-derivation  $\partial : V[m, 1] \rightarrow V[* , 1]$ . If  $\mathbf{w}_1$  and  $\mathbf{w}_2$  are both cores of  $\partial$  then

$$O_{\mathbf{w}_1} = O_{\mathbf{w}_2}, \quad \tilde{D}(\mathbf{w}_1) = \tilde{D}(\mathbf{w}_2).$$

We emphasize that this result justifies Definition 4.2.12 of admissible subset.

2. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ , an admissible subset  $\Omega$  of  $V_{CC}[l, m]$ . Then:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{there is a set function} \\ * [M, \Omega, m] : \mathbb{R} \times \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r} [M, \Omega, m] \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r} [M, \Omega, m] \\ \text{defined by setting} \\ a * [M, \Omega, m] \partial[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}] = \partial[\mathbf{w}, a\mathbf{v}] \\ \forall a \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall \partial[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}] \in \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r} [M, \Omega, m]; \end{array} \right.$$

$(\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r} [M, \Omega, m], + [M, \Omega, m], * [M, \Omega, m])$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space.

With an abuse of notation we denote  $+ [M, \Omega, m]$  simply by  $+$ ,  $* [M, \Omega, m]$  simply by  $*$ , whenever no confusion is possible.

3. Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \in V_{CC}[m, n]$ , an admissible subset  $\Omega$  of  $V_{CC0}[l, m]$ . Then there is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear function

$$\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{d} [M, \Omega, \mathbf{u}] : \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r} [M, \Omega, m] \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r} [M, \mathbf{u}\Omega, n]$$

defined by setting

$$\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{d} [M, \Omega, \mathbf{u}] (\partial[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}]) = \partial[\mathbf{u} \hat{\circ} \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}] \quad \forall \partial[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}] \in \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r} [M, \Omega, m].$$

We say that  $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{d} [M, \Omega, \mathbf{u}]$  is the pre-differential of  $\mathbf{u}$  at  $\Omega$ .



4. Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , two  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspaces  $M_1, M_2$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \in V_{CC} [m, n]$ , two admissible subsets  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  of  $V_{CC0} [l, m]$ . Assume that both the following conditions hold true:  $M_1 \subseteq M_2$ ;  $\Omega_1 \subseteq \Omega_2$ . Then:

$$\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r} [M_1, \Omega_2, m] \subseteq \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r} [M_2, \Omega_1, m];$$

$i [\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r} [M_1, \Omega_2, m], \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r} [M_2, \Omega_1, m]]$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear function;

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{d} [M_2, \Omega_1, \mathbf{u}] \circ i [\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r} [M_1, \Omega_2, m], \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r} [M_2, \Omega_1, m]] = \\ i [\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r} [M_1, \mathbf{u}\Omega_2, n], \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r} [M_2, \mathbf{u}\Omega_1, n]] \circ \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{d} [M_1, \Omega_2, \mathbf{u}]. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Statements 2, 3, 4 follow directly by checking axioms. We prove statement 1 by arguing as follows. Fix vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^l$  such that  $\partial = \partial [\mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{v}_i]$  for any  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Referring to Proposition 4.2.4-[10] statement follows by exploiting equality

$$\partial [\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{v}_1] (\text{li} (\ell f \ell)) = \partial [\mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{v}_2] (\text{li} (\ell f \ell))$$

for  $f$  real valued smooth function defined in an open neighborhood of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and fulfilling  $f(0) = 0$ . ■

Motivated by Proposition 5.1.3, in Definition 5.1.4 below we organize the material introduced above in the functorial language in order to make easier constructions by exploiting co-limits. We refer to Notations 11.0.1-[1, 4], 11.0.4-[10].

#### Definition 5.1.4

- Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{\Omega}_{l,m}$  the category defined as follows.  
Objects of  $\mathbf{\Omega}_{l,m}$  are admissible subsets of  $V_{CC} [l, m]$ .  
Arrows of  $\mathbf{\Omega}_{l,m}$  are inclusions of admissible subsets.
- Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \in V_{CC} [m, n]$ . We denote by  $\omega_{l,\mathbf{u}} : \mathbf{\Omega}_{l,m} \rightarrow \mathbf{\Omega}_{l,n}$  the functor defined by setting

$$\omega_{l,\mathbf{u}} (\Omega) = \mathbf{u} \widehat{\circ} \Omega \text{ for any object } \Omega \text{ of } \mathbf{\Omega}_{l,m};$$

$$\omega_{l,\mathbf{u}} (i [\Omega_1, \Omega_2]) = i [\mathbf{u} \widehat{\circ} \Omega_1, \mathbf{u} \widehat{\circ} \Omega_2] \text{ for any arrow } i [\Omega_1, \Omega_2] \text{ of } \mathbf{\Omega}_{l,m}.$$

- Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ .  
We denote by  $\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}_{M,l,m} : \mathbf{\Omega}_{l,m} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}}$  the contravariant functor defined by setting

$$\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}_{M,l,m} (\Omega) = \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r} [M, \Omega, m] \text{ for any object } \Omega \text{ of } \mathbf{\Omega}_{l,m},$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}_{M,l,m} (i [\Omega_1, \Omega_2]) = i [\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r} [M, \Omega_2, m], \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r} [M, \Omega_1, m]] \\ \text{for any arrow } i [\Omega_1, \Omega_2] \text{ of } \mathbf{\Omega}_{l,m}. \end{array} \right.$$

- Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \in V_{CC} [m, n]$ .  
We denote by  $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{d}_{M,l,\mathbf{u}} : \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}_{M,l,m} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}_{M,l,n} \circ \omega_{l,\mathbf{u}})$  the natural arrow defined by setting

$$\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{d}_{M,l,\mathbf{u}} (\Omega) = \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{d} [M, \Omega, \mathbf{u}] \text{ for any object } \Omega \text{ of } \mathbf{\Omega}_{l,m}.$$

5. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspaces  $M_1, M_2$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ . Assume  $M_1 \subseteq M_2$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{pi}_{M_1, M_2, l, m} : \mathfrak{PrDer}_{M_1, l, m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{PrDer}_{M_2, l, m}$  the natural arrow defined by setting

$$\mathbf{pi}_{M_1, M_2, l, m}(\Omega) = i[\mathfrak{PDer}[M_1, \Omega, m], \mathfrak{PDer}[M_2, \Omega, m]] \text{ for any object } \Omega \text{ of } \mathbf{\Omega}_{l, m}.$$

6. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ . We define the pair  $(\mathfrak{PDer}[M, l, m], \theta_{M, l, m})$  as the co-limit of the functor  $\mathfrak{PrDer}_{M, l, m}$ . We say that  $\mathfrak{PDer}[M, l, m]$  is the space of  $m$ -dimensional  $(M, l)$ -pre-derivations. Elements belonging to  $\mathfrak{PDer}[M, l, m]$  are denoted by  $\mathfrak{D}$  and called  $m$ -dimensional  $(M, l)$ -pre-derivations, with an abuse of language. We drop any reference to  $m, M$  or  $l$  whenever no confusion is possible.

7. Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \in V_{CC}[m, n]$ . We define the arrow  $\mathbf{pd}[M, l, \mathbf{u}] : \mathfrak{PDer}[M, l, m] \rightarrow \mathfrak{PDer}[M, l, n]$  as the unique arrow induced on co-limits by the natural arrow  $\mathbf{pd}_{M, l, \mathbf{u}}$ . We say that  $\mathbf{pd}[M, l, \mathbf{u}]$  is the  $(M, l)$ -pre-differential of  $\mathbf{u}$  at 0.

8. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspaces  $M_1, M_2$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ . Assume  $M_1 \subseteq M_2$ . We define the arrow  $\mathbf{pi}[M_1, M_2, l, m] : \mathfrak{PDer}[M_1, l, m] \rightarrow \mathfrak{PDer}[M_2, l, m]$  as the unique arrow induced on co-limits by the natural arrow  $\mathbf{pi}_{M_1, M_2, l, m}$ .

In Remark 5.1.5 below we explicitly describe the structure of the co-limit pair  $(\mathfrak{PDer}[M, l, m], \theta_{M, l, m})$  introduced in Definition 5.1.4. We emphasize that we are working in the category  $\mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space and linear functions and we refer to Notation 11.0.5-[6], Definition 4.2.8, Proposition 4.2.4.

### Remark 5.1.5

1.  $\mathfrak{PDer}[M, l, m]$  is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space which is not trivial since Proposition 5.1.3-[1] entails that there are at least two different pre-derivations defined through (5.1). Sum and scalar product of  $\mathfrak{PDer}[M, l, m]$  are denoted by  $+ [M, l, m]$  and  $* [M, l, m]$  respectively. With an abuse of notation we denote  $+ [M, l, m]$  simply by  $+$ ,  $* [M, l, m]$  simply by  $*$ , whenever no confusion is possible.

2.  $\mathfrak{PDer}[M, l, m] = \frac{\mathbf{M}[M, l, m]}{\mathcal{M}[M, l, m]}$  where:

$$\mathbf{M}[M, l, m] = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{w} \in V_{CC}[l, m]} \mathfrak{PDer}[M, \{\mathbf{w}\}, m]; \quad (5.3)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M}[M, l, m] \text{ is the } \mathbb{R}\text{-vector subspace of } \mathbf{M}[M, l, m] \text{ generated} \\ \text{by the set} \\ \{ \partial[\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{v}_1] - \partial[\mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{v}_2] : \\ \quad \forall \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \in V_{CC}[l, m], \forall \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in M \text{ with} \\ \quad \partial[\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{v}_1](\mathbf{x}) = \partial[\mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{v}_2](\mathbf{x}) \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in V[m, 1] \}. \end{array} \right. \quad (5.4)$$

We denote by  $\mathbf{q}[M, l, m] : \mathbf{M}[M, l, m] \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r}[M, l, m]$  the quotient function. We drop any reference to  $M, l$  or  $m$  whenever there is no risk of confusion or there is no need of such a detail.

3. Natural arrow  $\theta_{M,l,m} : \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}_{M,l,m} \rightarrow \mathbf{c}[\Omega_{l,m}, \mathbf{V}\mathbf{e}\mathbf{c}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r}[M, l, m]]$  consists of  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear functions  $\theta_{M,l,m}(\Omega) : \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{r}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}_{M,l,m}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r}[M, l, m]$  for any object  $\Omega$  of  $\Omega_{l,m}$ .
4. The  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear function  $\mathbf{p}\mathfrak{d}[M, l, \mathbf{u}]$  is the unique function induced on quotient spaces by the  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear function

$$\mathbf{p}\mathfrak{d}[M, l, \mathbf{u}] = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{w} \in V_{CC}[l, m]} \mathbf{p}\mathfrak{d}[M, \{\mathbf{w}\}, \mathbf{u}].$$

5. The  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear function  $\mathbf{p}\mathfrak{i}[M_1, M_2, l, m]$  is the unique function induced on quotient spaces by the  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear function

$$\mathbf{p}\mathfrak{i}[M_1, M_2, l, m] = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{w} \in V_{CC}[l, m]} \mathfrak{i}[\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r}[M_1, \{\mathbf{w}\}, m], \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r}[M_2, \{\mathbf{w}\}, m]].$$

6. Fix a pre-derivation  $\partial \in \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r}[M, l, m]$ . Then there are a finite set  $\mathbf{J}$ , a pair  $(\mathbf{w}_j, \mathbf{v}_j) \in V_{CC}[l, m] \times M$  for any  $j \in \mathbf{J}$  fulfilling

$$\partial = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{J}} (\theta_{M,l,m}(\{\mathbf{w}_j\})) (\partial[\mathbf{w}_j, \mathbf{v}_j]).$$

We set:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{J}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{J}}) &= \{(\mathbf{w}_j, \mathbf{v}_j)\}_{j \in \mathbf{J}}; \\ \partial[\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{J}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{J}}] &= \{\partial[\mathbf{w}_j, \mathbf{v}_j]\}_{j \in \mathbf{J}}; \\ \partial_j &= (\theta_{M,l,m}(\{\mathbf{w}_j\})) (\partial[\mathbf{w}_j, \mathbf{v}_j]) \quad \forall j \in \mathbf{J}; \\ \partial_{\mathbf{J}} &= \{\partial_j\}_{j \in \mathbf{J}}. \end{aligned}$$

We say that:

- $(\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{J}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{J}})$  is a skeleton of  $\partial$ ;
- $\partial[\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{J}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{J}}]$  is a realization of  $\partial$ ;

$\partial_J$  is a decomposition of  $\partial$ .  
 If  $(\mathbf{w}_J, \mathbf{v}_J)$  fulfills also condition

$$\partial[\mathbf{w}_{j_1}, \mathbf{v}_{j_1}] \neq \partial[\mathbf{w}_{j_2}, \mathbf{v}_{j_2}] \quad \forall j_1, j_2 \in J \text{ with } j_1 \neq j_2$$

then we say that:

$(\mathbf{w}_J, \mathbf{v}_J)$  is a canonical skeleton of  $\partial$ ;  
 $\partial[\mathbf{w}_J, \mathbf{v}_J]$  is a canonical realization of  $\partial$ ;  
 $\partial_J$  is a canonical decomposition of  $\partial$ .

Whenever will be convenient we will choose

$$J = V_{CC}[l, m] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{w}_j = \mathbf{j} \quad \forall j \in J.$$

7. Fix a pre-derivation  $\partial \in \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{er}[M, l, m]$ , a skeleton  $(\mathbf{w}_J, \mathbf{v}_J)$  of  $\partial$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in V[m, 1]$  we set

$$\partial(\mathbf{x}) = \bigoplus_{j \in J} \widehat{\partial}[\mathbf{w}_j, \mathbf{v}_j](\mathbf{x}).$$

We emphasize that  $\partial(\mathbf{x}) \in V[l, 1]$  is independent on the chosen skeleton of  $\partial$ .

In Definition 5.1.6 below we introduce the  $\mathbb{R}$ -subspace of  $\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{er}[M, l, m]$  containing all pre-derivations with a smooth core.

**Definition 5.1.6** Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{er}[M, l, m]$  the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace of  $\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{er}[M, l, m]$  generated by the set

$$\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{er}[M, l, m] = \{ \mathfrak{q}[M, l, m](\partial[\text{li}(\lambda f \lambda), \mathbf{v}]) : \forall \mathbf{v} \in M, \forall \lambda f \lambda \in \mathbf{C}^\infty[l, m] \}.$$

In Proposition 5.1.7 below we prove the existence of a unique  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear function evaluating pre-derivations with smooth core at 0.

**Proposition 5.1.7**

1. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ . There is one and only one  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear function  $\mathfrak{ep}_{M, l, m} : \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{er}[M, l, m] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  defined by setting

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathfrak{ep}_{M, l, m}(\mathfrak{q}(\partial[\text{li}(\lambda f \lambda), \mathbf{v}])) = (\partial_{\mathbf{v}} f)(0) \\ \text{for any } \mathbf{v} \in M, \lambda f \lambda \in \mathbf{C}^\infty[l, m]. \end{array} \right. \quad (5.5)$$

2. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , two  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspaces  $M_1, M_2$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ . Assume  $M_1 \subseteq M_2$ . Then  $\mathfrak{ep}_{M_2, l, m} \circ \mathfrak{pi}[M_1, M_2, l, m] = \mathfrak{ep}_{M_1, l, m}$ .

**Proof.**

Statement 1 follows straightforwardly by proving that  $\mathfrak{ep}_{M, l, m}$  is well defined on generators by (5.5). This holds true since, by definition, any element belonging to  $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{er}[M, l, m]$  defines the same pre-derivation  $V[m, 1] \rightarrow V[*, 1]$  regardless of skeleton.

Statement 2 follows by direct computation. ■

**Remark 5.1.8** If  $M = \mathbb{R}^l$  then symbol  $M$  will be dropped in the notation.

In Proposition 5.1.9 below characterize skeletons defining the same pre-derivation. We refer to Notations 3.4.3-[2], 11.0.9-[3], Definitions 3.3.5-[1], 4.1.3-[2], Proposition 5.1.3-[1].

**Proposition 5.1.9** Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^l$ , an open neighborhood  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^l$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $w_1, w_2 \in \dot{W}_{CC}(S, \mathbb{R}^m)$ , paths  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  in  $W$  detecting  $w_1, w_2$  respectively.

Assume that both conditions below hold true:

$$\partial[\lambda w_1 \lambda, \mathbf{v}_1] = \partial[\lambda w_2 \lambda, \mathbf{v}_2]; \quad (5.6)$$

$$\omega_1, \omega_2 \text{ have the same associating function.} \quad (5.7)$$

Then there are  $\delta > 0$ , an open neighborhood  $U \sqsubseteq S$  of  $0 \in S$  fulfilling all conditions below:

$$(\text{ev}(\omega_1(t)))(s) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall (t, s) \in (-\delta, \delta) \times U \setminus \{0\} \times U; \quad (5.8)$$

$$(\text{ev}(\omega_2(t)))(s) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall (t, s) \in (-\delta, \delta) \times U \setminus \{0\} \times U; \quad (5.9)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\text{ev}(\omega_1(t)))(s) = (\text{ev}(\omega_2(t)))(s) \Rightarrow \\ \quad (\partial_{\mathbf{v}_1}(\text{ev}(\omega_1(t))))(s) = (\partial_{\mathbf{v}_2}(\text{ev}(\omega_2(t))))(s) \\ \forall (t, s) \in (-\delta, \delta) \times U \setminus \{0\} \times U; \end{array} \right. \quad (5.10)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\text{ev}(\omega_1(t)))(s) \neq (\text{ev}(\omega_2(t)))(s) \Rightarrow \\ \quad (\partial_{\mathbf{v}_1}(\text{ev}(\omega_1(t))))(s) = (\partial_{\mathbf{v}_2}(\text{ev}(\omega_2(t))))(s) = 0 \\ \forall (t, s) \in (-\delta, \delta) \times U \setminus \{0\} \times U. \end{array} \right. \quad (5.11)$$

**Proof.** Proposition 4.1.2 entails that there are  $\delta > 0$ , an open neighborhood  $U \sqsubseteq S$  of  $0 \in S$  fulfilling both (5.8) and (5.9).

Arguing by contradiction we prove that there are  $\delta > 0$ , an open neighborhood  $U \sqsubseteq S$  of  $0 \in S$  fulfilling also both (5.10) and (5.11).

**Proof of (5.10).** Arguing by contradiction fix a sequence  $\{(t_i, s_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq (-1, 1) \times S \setminus \{0\} \times S$  fulfilling one and only one among the two systems of conditions below:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (i) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} (t_i, s_i) = (0, 0), \\ (ii) \quad (\text{ev}(\omega_k(t_i)))(s_i) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall k \in \{1, 2\}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \\ (iii) \quad (\text{ev}(\omega_1(t_i)))(s_i) = 0 \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \\ (iv) \quad (\partial_{\mathbf{v}_1}(\text{ev}(\omega_1(t_i))))(s_i) \neq (\partial_{\mathbf{v}_2}(\text{ev}(\omega_2(t_i))))(s_i) \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}; \end{array} \right. \quad (5.12)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (i) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} (t_i, s_i) = (0, 0), \\ (ii) \quad (\text{ev}(\omega_k(t_i)))(s_i) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall k \in \{1, 2\}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \\ (iii) \quad |(\text{ev}(\omega_1(t_i)))(s_{i+1})| < |(\text{ev}(\omega_1(t_i)))(s_i)| \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \\ (iv) \quad (\partial_{\mathbf{v}_1}(\text{ev}(\omega_1(t_i))))(s_i) \neq (\partial_{\mathbf{v}_2}(\text{ev}(\omega_2(t_i))))(s_i) \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}. \end{array} \right. \quad (5.13)$$

If (5.12) occurs then it is possible to choose a function  $f \in C^\infty[\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}]$  fulfilling

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_v(f))(0) \neq (\partial_w(f))(0) \quad \forall v, w \in \{(\partial_{v_1}(\text{ev}(\omega_1(t_i))))(s_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \cup \\ \{(\partial_{v_2}(\text{ev}(\omega_2(t_i))))(s_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ with } v \neq w. \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

Fix representatives  $v_1$  of  $\mathfrak{D}[\omega_1, v_1](\text{li}(\omega_1))$ ,  $v_2$  of  $\mathfrak{D}[\omega_2, v_2](\text{li}(\omega_2))$ . Since  $f$  is smooth it is possible to choose a path  $\omega_{v_1}$  in  $W$  detecting  $v_1$  with the same associating function of  $\omega_1$ , a path  $\omega_{v_2}$  in  $W$  detecting  $v_2$  with the same associating function of  $\omega_2$ , then assumption (5.12)-(i) entails that

$$\exists \bar{i} \in \mathbb{N} : \begin{cases} (\text{ev}(\omega_{v_1}(t_i)))(s_i) = \left( \partial_{(\partial_{v_1}(\text{ev}(\omega_1(t_i))))(s_i)}(f) \right)(0) & \forall i > \bar{i}, \\ (\text{ev}(\omega_{v_2}(t_i)))(s_i) = \left( \partial_{(\partial_{v_2}(\text{ev}(\omega_2(t_i))))(s_i)}(f) \right)(0) & \forall i > \bar{i}. \end{cases} \quad (5.15)$$

Eventually the claimed contradiction with (5.6) follows by Definition 4.1.10, (5.1), (5.7), (5.14), (5.15).

If (5.13) occurs then it is possible to choose a function  $f \in C^\infty[\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}]$  fulfilling

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \partial_{(\partial_{v_1}(\text{ev}(\omega_1(t_i))))(s_i)}(f) \right) \left( (\text{ev}(\omega_1(t_i)))(s_i) \right) \neq \\ \left( \partial_{(\partial_{v_2}(\text{ev}(\omega_2(t_i))))(s_i)}(f) \right) \left( (\text{ev}(\omega_2(t_i)))(s_i) \right) \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

Fix representatives  $v_1$  of  $\mathfrak{D}[\omega_1, v_1](\text{li}(\omega_1))$ ,  $v_2$  of  $\mathfrak{D}[\omega_2, v_2](\text{li}(\omega_2))$ . Since  $f$  is smooth it is possible to choose a path  $\omega_{v_1}$  in  $W$  detecting  $v_1$  with the same associating function of  $\omega_1$ , a path  $\omega_{v_2}$  in  $W$  detecting  $v_2$  with the same associating function of  $\omega_2$ , then assumption (5.12)-(i) entails that

$$\exists \bar{i} \in \mathbb{N} : \begin{cases} (\text{ev}(\omega_{v_1}(t_i)))(s_i) = \\ \left( \partial_{(\partial_{v_1}(\text{ev}(\omega_1(t_i))))(s_i)}(f) \right) \left( (\text{ev}(\omega_1(t_i)))(s_i) \right) & \forall i > \bar{i}, \\ (\text{ev}(\omega_{v_2}(t_i)))(s_i) = \\ \left( \partial_{(\partial_{v_2}(\text{ev}(\omega_2(t_i))))(s_i)}(f) \right) \left( (\text{ev}(\omega_2(t_i)))(s_i) \right) & \forall i > \bar{i}. \end{cases} \quad (5.17)$$

Eventually the claimed contradiction with (5.6) follows by Definition 4.1.10, (5.1), (5.7), (5.16), (5.17).

**Proof of (5.11).** Arguing by contradiction fix a sequence  $\{(t_i, s_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq (-1, 1) \times S \setminus \{0\} \times S$  fulfilling one and only one among the two systems of

conditions below:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
(i) \quad |t_{i+1}| < |t_i|, \quad |s_{i+1}| < |s_i| \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \\
(ii) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} (t_i, s_i) = (0, 0), \\
(iii) \quad (\text{ev}(\omega_k(t_i)))(s_i) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall k \in \{1, 2\}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \\
(iv) \quad (\text{ev}(\omega_k(t_i)))(s_i) \neq 0 \quad \forall k \in \{1, 2\}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \\
(v) \quad (\text{ev}(\omega_1(t_i)))(s_i) \neq (\text{ev}(\omega_2(t_i)))(s_i) \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \\
(vi) \quad \max\{ |(\text{ev}(\omega_1(t_{i+1}))) (s_{i+1})|, |(\text{ev}(\omega_2(t_{i+1}))) (s_{i+1})| \} < \\
\quad \quad \quad \min\{ |(\text{ev}(\omega_1(t_i)))(s_i)|, |(\text{ev}(\omega_2(t_i)))(s_i)| \} \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \\
(vi) \quad (\partial_{v_1}(\text{ev}(\omega_1(t_i))))(s_i) \neq 0 \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N};
\end{array} \right. \quad (5.18)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
(i) \quad |t_{i+1}| < |t_i|, \quad |s_{i+1}| < |s_i| \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \\
(ii) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} (t_i, s_i) = (0, 0), \\
(iii) \quad (\text{ev}(\omega_k(t_i)))(s_i) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall k \in \{1, 2\}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \\
(iv) \quad 0 < |(\text{ev}(\omega_1(t_{i+1}))) (s_{i+1})| < |(\text{ev}(\omega_1(t_i)))(s_i)| \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \\
(v) \quad \text{for any } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ there is an open neighborhood } A_{2,i} \subseteq S \text{ of } \\
\quad \quad \quad s_i \text{ with } \omega_2(t_i) \diamond i[A_{2,i}, S] = c[A_{2,i}, \mathbb{R}^m, 0], \\
(vi) \quad (\partial_{v_1}(\text{ev}(\omega_1(t_i))))(s_i) \neq 0 \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.
\end{array} \right. \quad (5.19)$$

First we prove that it is possible to fix a sequence fulfilling either (5.18) or (5.19). Up to choosing a suitable subsequence of  $\{(t_i, s_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  and relabeling paths  $\omega_1, \omega_2$ , either (5.18) is fulfilled or

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
(i) \quad |t_{i+1}| < |t_i|, \quad |s_{i+1}| < |s_i| \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \\
(ii) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} (t_i, s_i) = (0, 0), \\
(iii) \quad (\text{ev}(\omega_k(t_i)))(s_i) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall k \in \{1, 2\}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \\
(iv) \quad 0 < |(\text{ev}(\omega_1(t_{i+1}))) (s_{i+1})| < |(\text{ev}(\omega_1(t_i)))(s_i)| \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \\
(v) \quad (\text{ev}(\omega_2(t_i)))(s_i) = 0 \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \\
(vi) \quad (\partial_{v_1}(\text{ev}(\omega_1(t_i))))(s_i) \neq 0 \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.
\end{array} \right. \quad (5.20)$$

If (5.20) occurs then, up to choosing a suitable subsequence of  $\{(t_i, s_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , one

and only one among the following two mutually exclusives cases occurs:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for any } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ there is } s_{i,1} \in S \text{ fulfilling all conditions below:} \\ (i) \quad |s_i - s_{i,1}| < \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} |s_2 - s_1| & \text{if } i = 1, \\ \frac{1}{2} \min \{|s_{i+1} - s_i|, |s_i - s_{i-1}|\} & \text{if } i > 1, \end{cases} \\ (ii) \quad (\text{ev}(\omega_k(t_i)))(s_{i,1}) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall k \in \{1, 2\}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \\ (iii) \quad (\text{ev}(\omega_k(t_i)))(s_{i,1}) \neq 0 \quad \forall k \in \{1, 2\}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \\ (iv) \quad (\text{ev}(\omega_1(t_i)))(s_{i,1}) \neq (\text{ev}(\omega_2(t_i)))(s_{i,1}), \\ (v) \quad (\partial_{v_1}(\text{ev}(\omega_1(t_i))))(s_{i,1}) \neq 0; \end{array} \right. \quad (5.21)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for any } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ there is an open neighborhood } A_{2,i} \subseteq S \text{ of } s_i \\ \text{with } \omega_2(t_i) \diamond i[A_{2,i}, S] = c[A_{2,i}, \mathbb{R}^m, 0]. \end{array} \right. \quad (5.22)$$

If (5.21) occurs then by taking a suitable subsequence of  $\{(t_i, s_{i,1})\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  we obtain a sequence fulfilling (5.18).

If (5.22) occurs then we have that sequence  $\{(t_i, s_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  fulfills (5.19).

If (5.18) occurs then we argue as follows. By exploiting Euclidean topology of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and referring to Notation 11.0.5-[7], there are an open neighborhood  $U_{k,i} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  of  $(\text{ev}(\omega_k(t_i)))(s_i)$  for any  $k \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$  fulfilling all conditions below:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cl}[U_{k_1, i_1}, \mathbb{R}^m] \cap \text{Cl}[U_{k_2, i_2}, \mathbb{R}^m] &= \emptyset \quad \forall k_1, k_2 \in \{1, 2\}, \quad \forall i_1, i_2 \in \mathbb{N}; \\ f(s) &= 0 \quad \forall s \in U_{2,i}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}; \\ (\partial_{v_1}(f \circ (\text{ev}(\omega_1(t_i)))))(s_i) &\neq 0 \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (5.6) fails since  $\partial[\lambda w_1 \lambda, v_1](\text{li}(\lambda f \lambda)) \neq \partial[\lambda w_2 \lambda, v_2](\text{li}(\lambda f \lambda))$ .

If (5.19) occurs then we argue as follows. By exploiting Euclidean topology of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and referring to Notation 11.0.5-[7], there are an open neighborhood  $U_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  of  $(\text{ev}(\omega_1(t_i)))(s_i)$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$  fulfilling all conditions below:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cl}[U_{i_1}, \mathbb{R}^m] \cap \text{Cl}[U_{i_2}, \mathbb{R}^m] &= \emptyset \quad \forall i_1, i_2 \in \mathbb{N}; \\ f(0) &= 0; \\ (\partial_{v_1}(f \circ (\omega_1(t_i))))(s_i) &\neq 0 \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Eventually (5.6) fails since  $\partial[\lambda w_1 \lambda, v_1](\text{li}(\lambda f \lambda)) \neq \partial[\lambda w_2 \lambda, v_2](\text{li}(\lambda f \lambda))$ . ■

In Corollary 5.1.10 below we study where pre-derivations of germs of local generalized functions vanish. We Refer to Example 2.0.6.

**Corollary 5.1.10** *Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , vectors  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^l$ , an open neighborhood  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^l$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $C \subset \subset S$ ,  $w_1, w_2 \in \dot{W}_{CC}(S, \mathbb{R}^m)$ , paths  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  in  $W$  detecting*



$w_1, w_2$  respectively. Assume that data fulfill both (5.6) and (5.7).

Define:

$$S_{\neq} = \{s \in S : (\text{ev}(w_1))(s) \neq (\text{ev}(w_2))(s)\}; \quad (5.23)$$

$$\begin{cases} \omega : (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow C^0 \text{ by setting} \\ \omega(t) = (\partial_{v_1}(\text{ev}(\omega_1(t))), \partial_{v_2}(\text{ev}(\omega_2(t)))) \circ \Delta[S, 2] \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}. \end{cases} \quad (5.24)$$

Then there are sequence  $\{\delta_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , an open neighborhood  $U \sqsubseteq S$  of  $0 \in S$  fulfilling all conditions below:

$$0 < \delta_{i+1} < \delta_i \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}; \quad (5.25)$$

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \delta_i = 0; \quad (5.26)$$

$$(\omega(t))(s) \neq \emptyset \quad (t, s) \in ((-\delta_1, \delta_1) \times U) \setminus (\{0\} \times U); \quad (5.27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} U \cap S_{\neq} \cap C \cap \left( \bigcap_{t \in [-\delta_i, \delta_i] \setminus \{0\}} (\omega(t))^{-1}(0) \right) \subseteq \\ U \cap S_{\neq} \cap C \cap \left( \bigcap_{t \in [-\delta_{i+1}, \delta_{i+1}] \setminus \{0\}} (\omega(t))^{-1}(0) \right) \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}; \end{aligned} \quad (5.28)$$

$$U \cap C \cap \left( \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \bigcap_{t \in [-\delta_i, \delta_i] \setminus \{0\}} (\omega(t))^{-1}(0) \right) \right) = U \cap S_{\neq} \cap C. \quad (5.29)$$

**Proof.**

If  $l = 0$  then statement follows straightforwardly by (5.1)-(ii).

If  $l \neq 0$  then we argue as follows.

Statement will be proved by inductively defining the sequence  $\{\delta_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  fulfilling (5.25)-(5.29).

Fix  $\delta$  and  $U$  obtained by applying Proposition 5.1.9.

Since  $C \subset\subset S$  we are able to fix a sequence of sets  $\{C_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  fulfilling both conditions below

$$C_i \subset\subset C_{i+1} \subset\subset U \cap S_{\neq} \cap C \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}; \quad (5.30)$$

$$\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_i = U \cap S_{\neq} \cap C. \quad (5.31)$$

Since  $w_1, w_2 \in \overset{\bullet}{W}_{CC}(S, \mathbb{R}^m)$  there is  $\delta_1 \in (0, \delta)$  fulfilling

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{ev}(\omega_1(t)))(s) \neq (\text{ev}(\omega_2(t)))(s) \\ \forall (t, s) \in ((-\delta_1, \delta_1) \times C_1) \setminus (\{0\} \times C_1), \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

then Proposition 5.1.9, (5.32) entail that

$$(\text{ev}(\omega(t)))(s) = 0 \quad \forall (t, s) \in ((-\delta_1, \delta_1) \times C_1) \setminus (\{0\} \times C_1).$$

By induction assume that there are  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , a finite sequence  $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^i$  of positive real numbers fulfilling all conditions below:

$$\delta_{i+1} < \frac{\delta_i}{2} \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, i-1\}; \quad (5.33)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\text{ev}(\omega_1(t)))(s) \neq (\text{ev}(\omega_2(t)))(s) \\ \text{for any } i \in \{1, \dots, i\}, (t, s) \in ((-\delta_i, \delta_i) \times C_i) \setminus (\{0\} \times C_i); \end{array} \right. \quad (5.34)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\text{ev}(\omega(t)))(s) = 0 \\ \text{for any } i \in \{1, \dots, i\}, (t, s) \in ((-\delta_i, \delta_i) \times C_i) \setminus (\{0\} \times C_i). \end{array} \right. \quad (5.35)$$

Since  $w_1, w_2 \in \dot{W}_{CC}(S, \mathbb{R}^m)$  there is  $\delta_{i+1} \in (0, 1)$  fulfilling

$$\delta_{i+1} < \frac{\delta_i}{2}; \quad (5.36)$$

$$\left( \text{ev}(\omega_1(t)) \right) (s) \neq \left( \text{ev}(\omega_2(t)) \right) (s) \quad \forall (t, s) \in ((-\delta_{i+1}, \delta_{i+1}) \times C_{i+1}) \setminus (\{0\} \times C_{i+1}), \quad (5.37)$$

then Proposition 5.1.9, (5.37) entail that

$$(\text{ev}(\omega(t)))(s) = 0 \quad \forall (t, s) \in ((-\delta_{i+1}, \delta_{i+1}) \times U_{i+1}) \setminus (\{0\} \times U_{i+1}).$$

Eventually statement follows, namely: (5.33) entails both (5.25) and (5.26); (5.30) and (5.35) together entail (5.28); (5.31) entails (5.29). ■

Motivated by Proposition 5.1.9, Corollary 5.1.10 we give Definition 5.1.11 below. We refer to Notation 11.0.6-[2].

### Definition 5.1.11

1. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $u \in \dot{W}_{CC}(l, m)$ . We define sets:

$$Z_u = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^l : \bigoplus_{l=1}^l (\mathbf{d}_l \triangleright u) \otimes \mathbf{v}_l = 0 \widehat{\otimes} u \right\} \quad \text{if } l \neq 0, \\ \{0\} \quad \text{if } l = 0; \end{array} \right.$$

$$Z_u^\perp = \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^l : \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle_m = 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{w} \in Z_u \}.$$

2. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \in V_{CC}[l, m]$ . We define sets:

$$Z_{\mathbf{u}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left\{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^l : \bigoplus_{l=1}^l (\mathbf{d}_l \widehat{\otimes} \mathbf{u}) \widehat{\otimes} \mathbf{v}_l = 0 \widehat{\otimes} \mathbf{u} \right\} \quad \text{if } l \neq 0, \\ \{0\} \quad \text{if } l = 0; \end{array} \right.$$

$$Z_{\mathbf{u}}^\perp = \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^l : \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle_m = 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{w} \in Z_{\mathbf{u}} \}.$$

In Proposition 5.1.12 below we study properties of sets introduced in Definition 5.1.11. We refer to Proposition 4.2.4.

**Proposition 5.1.12**

1. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \in V_{CC} [l, m]$ . Then  $Z_{\mathbf{u}}$  is a vector subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ .
2. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \in V_{CC} [l, m]$ . Then there is  $u \in \dot{W}_{CC}$  fulfilling both conditions below:  

$$\mathbf{u} = \lambda u \lambda ; \quad Z_{\mathbf{u}} = Z_u.$$
3. Fix  $u \in W$ ,  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ . Then  $Z_{\mathbf{v}} \subseteq Z_u \square_{\mathbf{v}}$ .
4. Fix  $\mathbf{v}_1 \in V$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_2 \in V_{CC}$ . Then  $Z_{\mathbf{v}_2} \subseteq Z_{\mathbf{v}_1} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{v}_2}$ .
5. Fix  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in V$ . Then  $Z_{\mathbf{v}_1} \oplus Z_{\mathbf{v}_2} = Z_{\langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle}$ .
6. Fix  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ . Then  $Z_{\mathbf{v}} = Z_a \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{v}}$ .
7. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $\mathbf{w} \in V_{CC} [l, m]$ ,  $\partial \in \mathfrak{PDet} [l, m]$ . Then the orthogonal projection  $\mathbf{w}$  of  $\mathbf{v}$  on  $Z_{\mathbf{w}}$  is the unique vector belonging to  $Z_{\mathbf{w}}$  fulfilling  $\mathfrak{q}(\partial[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}]) = \mathfrak{q}(\partial[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}])$ .

**Proof.**

**Proof of statement 1.** Statement 1 straightforwardly follows by bilinearity of  $\otimes$ .

**Proof of statement 2.** Fix a generating set  $\beth$  of  $Z_{\mathbf{u}}$ . Since  $Z_{\mathbf{w}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^l$  then there is no loss of generality by assuming that  $\beth$  is a finite set, hence there is  $u \in \dot{W}_{CC}$  fulfilling both conditions below:

$$\mathbf{u} = \lambda u \lambda ;$$

$$\bigoplus_{l=1}^l (d_l \triangleright u) \otimes \mathbf{v}_l = 0 \widehat{\otimes} u \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \beth.$$

Then bilinearity of  $\otimes$  entails that  $Z_{\mathbf{u}} \subseteq Z_u$ . Eventually  $Z_u \subseteq Z_{\mathbf{u}}$  follows since any  $\mathbf{v} \in Z_u$  belongs to  $Z_{\mathbf{u}}$  by definition of  $Z_{\mathbf{u}}$ .

**Proof of statements 3 - 6.** Statements follows by properties of operators  $\{d_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$

**Proof of statement 7.** Fix  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^l$  such that  $\mathfrak{q}(\partial[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}_1]) = \mathfrak{q}(\partial[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}_2])$ . By exploiting statement 2 fix an open neighborhood  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^l$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $w \in \dot{W}_{CC}(S, \mathbb{R}^m)$  fulfilling both  $\mathbf{w} = \lambda w \lambda$  and  $Z_{\mathbf{w}} = Z_w$ .

Eventually statement follows since Proposition 5.1.9 applied in case  $w_1 = w_2 = w$  entails that  $\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2 \in Z_{\mathbf{w}}$ . ■

## 5.2 Topology of pre-derivations

In this section we construct a site containing the site **Top** as a subsite and all diagrams involving arrows introduced in Sections 4.2, 5. Later we will prove that any reasonable topology on pre-derivations cannot be given in terms of open sets, but a Grothendieck topology is needed (Remark 5.3.14-[2]).

We refer to Notation 11.0.1 and to [20] Chap. III.

In Definition 5.2.1 we introduce the notion of augmentation of a site by adding selected objects and arrows.

**Definition 5.2.1** *Fix a category  $A$ , a site  $(B, J)$  together with a forgetful functor  $U[B, A]$  forgetting the Grothendieck topology  $J$ , a subcategory  $C$  of  $A$ , a category  $D$  whose objects are categories  $X$  each one equipped with a forgetful functor  $U[X, A]$ , a category  $E$  whose objects are adjunctions  $(X, Y, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \varphi)$  where  $X$  is equipped with a forgetful functor  $U[X, A]$  and  $Y$  is equipped with a forgetful functor  $U[Y, A]$ , a function  $H$  assigning to any object  $c$  of  $C$  a set  $H(c)$  of sets of arrows of  $A$  whose domains are objects of  $B$  and co-domains all coincide with  $c$ .*

*A site  $(B_1, J_1)$  is called augmentation of  $(B, J)$  through  $(A, C, D, E, H)$ , or a  $(A, C, D, E, H)$ -augmentation of  $(B, J)$ , if and only if it fulfills all conditions below:*

*there is a forgetful functor  $U[B_1, A]$ ;*

*$B$  and  $C$  are both subcategories of  $B_1$ ;*

*$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for any object } b \text{ of } B, \text{ sieve } S_1 \text{ on } b \text{ in } B_1 \text{ such that } S \subseteq S_1 \\ \text{for some sieve } S \in J(b), \text{ we have } S_1 \in J_1(b); \end{array} \right.$*

*$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for any object } c \text{ of } C, \text{ sieve } S_1 \text{ on } c \text{ in } B_1 \text{ such that } S \subseteq S_1 \\ \text{for some set } S \in H(c), \text{ we have } S_1 \in J_1(b); \end{array} \right.$*

*$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{any limit diagram } a \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathbf{F} \text{ in an object } X \text{ of } D \text{ is a diagram} \\ \text{in } B_1 \text{ if the functor } U[X, A] \circ \mathbf{F} \text{ factors through } U[B_1, A]; \end{array} \right.$*

*$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{any co-limit diagram } \mathbf{F} \xrightarrow{\tau} a \text{ in an object } X \text{ of } D \text{ is a diagram} \\ \text{in } B_1 \text{ if the functor } U[X, A] \circ \mathbf{F} \text{ factors through } U[B_1, A]; \end{array} \right.$*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\text{for any object } (X, Y, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \varphi) \text{ of } E, \text{ object } x \text{ of } X, \text{ object } y \text{ of } Y, \\
v \in \text{hom}_Y(\mathbf{G}(x), y) \text{ all condition below are fulfilled:} \\
\text{(i) if } x \text{ is an object of } B_1 \text{ then } \mathbf{G}(x) \text{ is an object of } B_1, \\
\text{(ii) if } y \text{ is an object of } B_1 \text{ then } \mathbf{H}(y) \text{ is an object of } B_1, \\
\text{(iii) } v \text{ is an arrow of } B_1 \text{ if and only if } \varphi_{x,y}(v) \text{ is an arrow} \\
\text{of } B_1, \\
\text{(iv) if } y \text{ is an object of } B_1 \text{ then a set } U \text{ of arrows of } B_1 \quad (5.38) \\
\text{fulfilling condition} \\
\text{for any } f \in U \text{ exists an object } x_f \text{ of } X \\
\text{with } f \in \text{hom}_Y(\mathbf{G}(x_f), y) \\
\text{is a base component set for } J_1(y) \text{ if and only if} \\
\{\varphi_{x_f,y}(f) : f \in U\} \text{ is a base component set for} \\
J_1(\mathbf{H}(y));
\end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\text{an arrow } a_1 \xrightarrow{f} a_2 \text{ of } A \text{ is an arrow of } B_1 \text{ if both conditions below} \\
\text{are fulfilled:} \\
\text{(i) } a_1, a_2 \text{ are objects of } B_1, \\
\text{(ii) for any } S_2 \in J_1(a_2) \text{ we have } \{g : f \circ g \in S_2\} \in J_1(a_1).
\end{array} \right.$$

An augmentation  $(B_0, J_0)$  of  $(B, J)$  through  $(A, C, D, E, H)$  is called canonical if and only if for any augmentation  $(B_1, J_1)$  of  $(B, J)$  through  $(A, C, D, E, H)$  there is one and only one functor  $\mathbf{F} : B_0 \rightarrow B_1$  fulfilling all conditions below:

$$\mathbf{F} \circ \mathbf{i}[B, B_0] = \mathbf{i}[B, B_1]; \quad (5.39)$$

$$\mathbf{F} \circ \mathbf{i}[C, B_0] = \mathbf{i}[C, B_1]; \quad (5.40)$$

$$\mathbf{F}(f) = f \text{ for any arrow } f \in H. \quad (5.41)$$

In Proposition 5.2.2 below we prove that the canonical augmentation of a site always exists.

**Proposition 5.2.2** Fix a category  $A$ , a site  $(B, J)$  together with a forgetful functor  $\mathbf{U}[B, A]$  forgetting the Grothendieck topology  $J$ , a subcategory  $C$  of  $A$ , a category  $D$  whose objects are categories  $X$  each one equipped with a forgetful functor  $\mathbf{U}[X, A]$ , a category  $E$  whose objects are adjunctions  $(X, Y, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \varphi)$  where  $X$  is equipped with a forgetful functor  $\mathbf{U}[X, A]$  and  $Y$  is equipped with a forgetful functor  $\mathbf{U}[Y, A]$ , a function  $H$  assigning to any object  $c$  of  $C$  a set  $H(c)$  of sets of arrows of  $A$  whose domains are objects of  $B$  and co-domains all coincide with  $c$ .

Then there is a canonical augmentation  $(B_0, J_0)$  of the site  $(B, J)$  through  $(A, C, D, E, H)$ .

**Proof.** Site  $(B_0, J_0)$  is defined recursively, in particular Grothendieck topology  $J_0$  on  $B_0$  is given by recursively defining a base  $K_0$ . The fact that  $(B_0, J_0)$  is canonical will be evident from the construction. Precisely we set:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for any object } b \text{ of } B_0, \text{ any base component set } U \text{ for } J_0(b) \\ \text{we have } U \in K_0(b); \end{array} \right. \quad (5.42)$$

$$B \text{ and } C \text{ are both subcategories of } B_0; \quad (5.43)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{any limit diagram } a \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathbf{F} \text{ in an object } d \text{ of } D \text{ is a diagram in} \\ B_0 \text{ if the functor } \mathbf{i}[d, A] \circ \mathbf{F} \text{ factors through } \mathbf{i}[B_0, A]; \end{array} \right. \quad (5.44)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{any co-limit diagram } \mathbf{F} \xrightarrow{\tau} a \text{ in an object } d \text{ of } D \text{ is a diagram in} \\ B_0 \text{ if the functor } \mathbf{i}[d, A] \circ \mathbf{F} \text{ factors through } \mathbf{i}[B_0, A]; \end{array} \right. \quad (5.45)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for any object } (X, Y, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \varphi) \text{ of } E, \text{ object } x \text{ of } X, \text{ object } y \text{ of } Y, \\ v \in \text{hom}_Y(\mathbf{G}(x), y) \text{ all condition below are fulfilled:} \\ \quad (i) \quad \text{if } x \text{ is an object of } B_0 \text{ then } \mathbf{G}(x) \text{ is an object of } B_0, \\ \quad (ii) \quad \text{if } y \text{ is an object of } B_0 \text{ then } \mathbf{H}(y) \text{ is an object of } B_0, \\ \quad (iii) \quad v \text{ is an arrow of } B_0 \text{ if and only if } \varphi_{x,y}(v) \text{ is an arrow} \\ \quad \quad \quad \text{of } B_0, \\ \quad (iv) \quad \text{if } y \text{ is an object of } B_0 \text{ then a set } U \text{ of arrows of } B_0 \\ \quad \quad \quad \text{fulfilling condition} \\ \quad \quad \quad \text{for any } f \in U \text{ exists an object } x_f \text{ of } X \\ \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{with } f \in \text{hom}_Y(\mathbf{G}(x_f), y) \\ \quad \quad \quad \text{belongs to } K_0(y) \text{ if and only if set } \{\varphi_{x_f,y}(f) : f \in U\} \\ \quad \quad \quad \text{belongs to } K_0(\mathbf{H}(y)); \end{array} \right. \quad (5.46)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{an arrow } a_1 \xrightarrow{f} a_2 \text{ of } A \text{ is an arrow of } B_0 \text{ if both conditions below} \\ \text{are fulfilled:} \\ \quad (i) \quad a_1, a_2 \text{ are objects of } B_0, \\ \quad (ii) \quad \text{for any } U_2 \in K_0(a_2) \text{ there is } U_1 \in K_0(a_1) \text{ such that} \\ \quad \quad \quad \text{for any } g_1 \in U_1 \text{ there are arrows } g_2 \in U_2, \tilde{f} \text{ of } B_0 \text{ with} \\ \quad \quad \quad f \circ g_1 = g_2 \circ \tilde{f}; \end{array} \right. \quad (5.47)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for any object } b \text{ of } B, \text{ sieve } S_0 \text{ on } b \text{ in } B_0 \text{ such that } S \subseteq S_0 \\ \text{for some sieve } S \in J(b), \text{ we have } S_0 \in K_0(b); \end{array} \right. \quad (5.48)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for any object } c \text{ of } C, \text{ sieve } S_0 \text{ on } c \text{ in } B_0 \text{ such that } U \subseteq S_0 \\ \text{for some set } U \in H(c), \text{ we have } S_0 \in K_0(c); \end{array} \right. \quad (5.49)$$

$$\text{if } b_1 \xrightarrow{g} b_2 \text{ is an isomorphism of } B_0 \text{ then } \{g\} \in K_0(b_2); \quad (5.50)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for any category } I, \text{ functor } I \xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}} B_0, \text{ co-limit diagram } \mathbf{F} \xrightarrow{\tau} b \text{ in an} \\ \text{object of } D, \text{ function } \varphi \text{ associating to any object } i \text{ of } I \text{ one and} \\ \text{only one set in } K_0(\mathbf{F}(i)) \text{ we have} \\ \bigcup_{i \text{ object of } I} \{\tau(i) \circ g : g \in \varphi(i)\} \in K_0(b); \end{array} \right. \quad (5.51)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for any arrow } b_1 \xrightarrow{g} b_2 \text{ of } B_0, U_2 \in K_0(b_2) \text{ we have that} \\ \{g_1 \text{ arrow of } B_0 : \text{there are arrows } g_2 \in U_2, \tilde{g} \text{ of } B_0 \\ \text{with } g \circ g_1 = g_2 \circ \tilde{g}\} \in K_0(b_1); \end{array} \right. \quad (5.52)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for any object } b \text{ of } B_0, \text{ set } \{b_i \xrightarrow{g_i} b : i \in I\} \in K_0(b), \\ \text{set } \{b_{i,j} \xrightarrow{g_{i,j}} b_i : j \in J\} \in K_0(b_i) \text{ for any } i \in I, \\ \text{we have } \{g_i \circ g_{i,j} : i \in I, j \in J\} \in K_0(b). \end{array} \right. \quad (5.53)$$

To prove that  $(B_0, J_0)$  is an augmentation of  $(B, J)$  through  $(A, C, D, E, H)$  we must show that  $B_0$  is a category and that  $K_0$  is a base for the Grothendieck topology  $J$  on  $B_0$ . Proof splits in six steps.

**Step 1.** We prove that  $1_b$  is an arrow of  $B_0$  for any object  $b$  of  $B_0$ . This follows by condition (5.47).

**Step 2.** We prove that  $g_2 \circ g_1$  is an arrow of  $B_0$  for any pair of arrows  $b_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} b_2$ ,  $b_2 \xrightarrow{g_2} b_3$  in  $B_0$ . Arrows  $g_1, g_2$  both fulfill condition (5.52) by assumption, then  $g_2 \circ g_1$  fulfills (5.47)-(i), (ii)], hence  $g_2 \circ g_1$  is an arrow of  $B_0$ .

**Step 3.** Base first axiom. This axiom follows since condition (5.50) entail that  $K_0$  fulfills axiom (i') of [20] Chap III § 2 Definition 2.

**Step 4.** Base second axiom. This axiom follows since condition (5.51) entail that  $K_0$  fulfills axiom (ii'') of [20] Chap III Exercise 3.

**Step 5.** Base third axiom. This axiom follows since condition (5.53) entails that  $K_0$  fulfills axiom (iii') of [20] Chap III § 2 Definition 2.

**Step 6.** We prove that  $(B_0, J_0)$  fulfills (5.38)-[4]. Fix an object  $(X, Y, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \varphi)$  of  $E$ , an object  $y$  of  $Y$ , a set  $U$  of arrows of  $B_0$  fulfilling condition

$$\text{for any } f \in U \text{ exists an object } x_f \text{ of } X \text{ with } f \in \text{hom}_Y(\mathbf{G}(x_f), y).$$

Then condition (5.38)-[4] is fulfilled since:

$U$  is a base component set of  $J_0(y)$  if and only if  $U \in K_0(y)$  by (5.42);

$U \in K_0(y)$  if and only if  $\{\varphi_{x_f, y}(f) : f \in U\} \in K(\mathbf{H}(y))$  by (5.46)-[iv];

$\{\varphi_{x_f, y}(f) : f \in U\}$  is a base component set of  $K(\mathbf{H}(y))$  if and only if we have

$\{\varphi_{x_f, y}(f) : f \in U\} \in K(\mathbf{H}(y))$  by (5.42). ■

In Notation 5.2.3 below we establish a short terminology to denote the behavior of arrows with respect to covering sieves. We refer to Notation 11.0.1-[9].

**Notation 5.2.3** Fix a category  $A$ , a site  $(B, J)$  together with a forgetful functor  $U[B, A]$  forgetting the Grothendieck topology  $J$ , a subcategory  $C$  of  $A$ , a category  $D$  whose objects are categories  $X$  each one equipped with a forgetful functor  $U[X, A]$ , a category  $E$  whose objects are adjunctions  $(X, Y, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \varphi)$  where  $X$

is equipped with a forgetful functor  $U[X, A]$  and  $Y$  is equipped with a forgetful functor  $U[Y, A]$ , a function  $H$  assigning to any object  $c$  of  $C$  a set  $H(c)$  of sets of arrows of  $A$  whose domains are objects of  $B$  and co-domains all coincide with  $c$ , a canonical augmentation  $(B_0, J_0)$  of the site  $(B, J)$  through  $(A, C, D, E, H)$ .

1. We locally characterize arrows of  $B_0$  as follows.  
 Fix a base  $K_0$  for the Grothendieck topology  $J_0$ , two objects  $b_1, b_2$  of  $B_0$ , an arrow  $b_1 \xrightarrow{f} b_2$  of  $A$ , a covering sieve  $S \in J_0(b_2)$ , a set  $U \in K_0(b_2)$ .  
 We say that  $f$  locally belongs to  $S$  if and only if the pull back sieve  $f^*(S)$  is a covering sieve belonging to  $J_0(b_1)$ .  
 We say that  $f$  locally factors through  $U$  if and only if the pull back sieve  $f^*(S_U)$  is a covering sieve belonging to  $J_0(b_1)$ .
2. Fix an object  $c$  of  $C$ , an arrow  $f$  belonging to a set belonging to  $H(c)$ . We say that  $f$  is a special arrow of  $A$ .

In Definition 5.2.4 below we introduce a class of set functions which are crucial to define Grothendieck topology of the site where spaces of generalized derivations of degree 1 live in. We refer to Definition 4.1.5, Proposition 4.2.4, Remark 4.1.6-[1].

**Definition 5.2.4** We define special set functions recursively as follows:

1. Fix  $u \in W$ . The set function  $u^\nabla = \check{q} \circ u^\Delta$  is a special set function.
2. Fix a topological space  $X$ ,  $u \in W$ , a special set function  $\nu : X \rightarrow V$ . Set function  $\nu_1 : X \rightarrow V$  defined by setting

$$\nu_1(x) = u \square \nu(x) \quad \forall x \in X$$

is a special set function.

3. Fix two topological spaces  $X_1, X_2$ , a special set function  $\nu_i : X_i \rightarrow V$  for any  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  with  $\nu_2$  factoring through  $i[V_{CC}, V]$ . Set function  $\nu : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow V$  defined by setting

$$\nu(x_1, x_2) = \nu_1(x_1) \widehat{\circ} \nu_2(x_2) \quad \forall (x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$$

is a special set function.

4. Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , a topological space  $X_k$ , a special set function  $\nu_k : X_k \rightarrow V$  for any  $k \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ .

Set function  $\nu : \prod_{k=1}^k X_k \rightarrow V$  defined by setting

$$\nu(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \langle \nu_1(x_1), \dots, \nu_k(x_k) \rangle \quad \forall (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^k X_k$$

is a special set function.



If a special set function  $\nu$  factors through  $i[V_{CC}, V]$  we say that  $\nu$  is a pointed special set function.

**Remark 5.2.5** Definition 5.2.4 can be repeated word by word in the setting of  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$  and  $\mathbf{C}^0$ , taking in account Remark 4.2.5-[2], to get the notion of special and pointed special set functions in  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$  and  $\mathbf{C}^0$  respectively. Special and pointed special set functions in  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$  and  $\mathbf{C}^0$  are denoted by symbols used for corresponding notions on generalized functions.

In Definition 5.2.6 below we define the site where spaces of generalized derivation of degree 1 live in. We refer to Definitions 4.2.1, 5.2.4, 5.2.11, Remarks 4.2.3, 4.2.5-[2].

**Definition 5.2.6**

1. The category  $\mathcal{C}$  is the category containing all diagrams existing in some abelian category of sets involving objects and arrows listed below:  
*Objects:* all subsets of  $W$ ; all  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $V$ ,  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ ,  $\mathbf{C}^0$ ,  $\mathbf{M}[M, l, m]$  for any  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ .  
*Arrows:* all inclusion functions between objects listed above; all functions between sets  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ ,  $\mathbf{C}^0$ ,  $V$  defined in Proposition 4.2.4, Remark 4.2.5-[2]; sum and scalar product of  $\mathbf{M}[M, l, m]$ ; quotient functions  $\mathbf{q}[M, l, m]$  defined in Remark 5.1.5-[2]; evaluation functions  $\mathbf{ep}_{M, l, m}$  introduced in Proposition 5.1.7; functions  $\mathbf{pd}[M, l, \lambda u]$  introduced in Remark 5.1.5-[4].
2. The category  $\mathcal{D}$  is the category whose objects are categories of sets.
3. The category  $\mathcal{E}$  is the category whose objects are adjunctions between categories of sets.
4. The function  $H$  is defined by setting:

$$H(W) = \{\emptyset\}. \tag{5.54}$$

$$H(\mathbb{R}) \text{ is the set of all sieves induced by Euclidean topology.} \tag{5.55}$$

$$H(V) \text{ contains only the set } SSF(V) \text{ of all special set functions introduced in Definition 5.2.4.} \tag{5.56}$$

$$H(\mathbf{C}^\infty) \text{ contains only the set } SSF(\mathbf{C}^0) \text{ of all special set functions introduced in Remark 5.2.5.} \tag{5.57}$$

$$H(\mathbf{C}^0) \text{ contains only the set } SSF(\mathbf{C}^0) \text{ of all special set functions introduced in Remark 5.2.5.} \tag{5.58}$$

$H(\mathbf{M}[M, l, m])$  contains only the set  $SSF(\mathbf{M}[M, l, m])$  of all set functions  $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathbf{M}[M, l, m]$  such that  $X$  is a topological space and there are a finite set  $J$ , a special set function  $\nu_j : X \rightarrow V_{CC}[l, m]$ , a continuous function  $f_j : X \rightarrow M$  for any  $j \in J$  such that  $\mu(x) = \sum_{j \in J} \partial[\nu_j(x), f_j(x)]$ .

(5.59)

We say that:  $\{\nu_j\}_{j \in J}$  is a core of  $\mu$ ;  $\{f_j\}_{j \in J}$  is an associating direction of  $\mu$ ;  $\{(\nu_j, f_j)\}_{j \in J}$  is a skeleton of  $\mu$ .

With an abuse of language we denote  $\mu$  by  $\partial[\nu, f]$  and say that elements belonging to  $SSF(\mathbf{M}[M, l, m])$  are special set functions.

$H(c) = \emptyset$  for any object  $c$  of  $C$  different from  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ ,  $\mathbf{C}^0$ ,  $V$ ,  $\mathbf{M}[M, l, m]$ .

5. We denote by  $(\mathbb{V}, \mathcal{T})$  the site obtained by augmentation of  $(\mathbf{Top}, T)$  through  $(\mathbf{Set}, C, D, E, H)$  constructed in Proposition 5.2.2.  
We denote by  $\infty_{\mathbb{V}}$  the terminal object of  $\mathbb{V}$ , that is the single point set.

**Conjecture 5.2.7** Grothndieck topology  $\mathcal{T}$  is subcanonical.

If this conjecture is true then the formulation of calculus in  $\mathbb{V}$  (Chapter 6) would be considerably easier.

In Remark 5.2.8 below we explicitly describe some features of the site  $(\mathbb{V}, \mathcal{T})$  which are evident from its construction. We refer to Notations 11.0.5-[7], 11.0.6-[2], 11.0.8-[6].

**Remark 5.2.8**

1. Many objects of  $\mathbb{V}$  share the same underlying set. In case of the set  $W$  there are both the corresponding discrete topological space (see condition (5.54)) and the space whose topology is given in Chapter 3.3.
2. In category  $\mathbb{V}$  exist all diagrams giving limits and co-limits in objects of  $D$ . We adopt the same notation to denote limit and co-limit diagrams existing in objects of  $D$  and the corresponding diagrams in  $\mathbb{V}$ .  
Since all abelian categories of sets are objects of the category  $D$ , then vector spaces and linear functions introduced in Definition 5.1.4, Remark 5.1.5 are all objects and arrows of  $\mathbb{V}$ .  
For any objects  $X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4$  of  $\mathbb{V}$ , arrow  $\perp : X_2 \times X_3 \rightarrow X_4$  of  $\mathbb{V}$  there are uniquely defined arrows:

$$X_2 \times \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(X_1, X_3) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(X_1, X_4);$$

$$\text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(X_1, X_3) \times X_3 \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(X_1, X_4);$$

$$\text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(X_1, X_2) \times \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(X_1, X_3) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(X_1, X_4).$$

With an abuse of language we denote both by the same symbol  $\perp$  being clear, from the unknowns which it acts on, which is the arrows we are dealing with.

3. In category  $\mathbb{V}$  all matches exist between arrows given by adjunctions which are objects of  $E$ . We adopt the same notation to denote adjunctions of  $E$  and their corresponding in  $\mathbb{V}$ .

4. Fix an object  $X$  of  $\mathbb{V}$ .

Conditions (5.47), (5.49), (5.56) together entail that for any arrow  $X \xrightarrow{\nu} V$  of  $\mathbb{V}$ , any covering sieve  $\mathbf{S} \in \mathcal{T}(V)$  we have that  $\nu$  locally belongs to  $\mathbf{S}$ , in particular  $\nu$  locally factors through special set functions (see Definition 5.2.4).

Conditions (5.47), the fact that  $i[V_{CC}, V]$  is required to be an arrow of  $\mathbb{V}$  in Definition 5.2.6-[1] together entail that for any arrow  $X \xrightarrow{\nu} V_{CC}$  of  $\mathbb{V}$ , any covering sieve  $\mathbf{S} \in \mathcal{T}(V_{CC})$  we have that  $\nu$  locally belongs to  $\mathbf{S}$ , in particular  $\nu$  locally factors through pointed special set functions (see Definition 5.2.4).

This entails that set functions defined in Proposition 4.2.4 are all continuous functions.

In particular: function  $\square$  defined in Proposition 4.2.4-[1] is continuous with respect to discrete topology on  $W$  (see 1) and product topology on  $W \times V_{CC}$ ; function  $\diamond$  defined in Proposition 4.2.4-[9] is continuous with respect to discrete topology on  $\mathbf{M}$  (see 1) and product topology on  $\mathbf{M} \times V_{CC}$ . The corresponding statement hold true for  $\mathbf{C}_{CC}^\infty, \mathbf{C}_{CC}^0$ , in particular set functions defined in Proposition 4.2.4-[10, 11] are continuous functions.

5. Fix two objects  $X, Y$  of  $\mathbf{Top}$ , an arrow  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$  of  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then  $f$  is an arrow of  $\mathbf{Top}$ . In fact  $f$  is an arrow of  $\mathbb{V}$  if and only if it locally belongs to any sieve of  $\mathcal{T}(Y)$ , in particular it locally factors through arrows of  $\mathbf{Top}$  by (5.48).

6. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ . We set

$$SSF(\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{Det}[M, l, m]) = \left\{ \mathfrak{q}[M, l, m] \circ \mu : \mu \in SSF(\mathbf{M}[M, l, m]) \right\}. \quad (5.60)$$

We say that elements belonging to set  $SSF(\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{Det}[M, l, m])$  are special set functions.

By (5.51), (5.59) and referring to Notation 11.0.1-[9] we have that set  $SSF(\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{Det}[M, l, m])$  is a base component set for  $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{Det}[M, l, m])$ .

In Proposition 5.2.9 below we study the Grothendieck topology of  $V$ . We refer to Notation 11.0.3-[7], Definitions 4.1.5, 4.2.1, Proposition 4.2.4-[5, 8].

### Proposition 5.2.9

1. Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , an object  $X$  of  $\mathbb{V}$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \in V[m, n]$ .  
Then  $c[X, V[m, n], \mathbf{u}] \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(X, V)$ .
2. Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\nu \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(X, V[m, n])$ .  
Then the set function  $\nu_1 : X \rightarrow V[m, n]$  defined by setting

$$\nu_1(x) = \nu(x) \hat{\oplus} (-1 \hat{\otimes} \nu(x) \hat{\circ} \hat{\text{li}}(\lambda c[\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m, 0] \lambda)) \quad \forall x \in X$$

is an arrow of  $\mathbb{V}$ .

3. Fix  $u \in W$ . Then  $u^{\nabla} = \check{\mathfrak{q}} \circ u^{\blacktriangle}$  is a special set function.  
If  $u \in W_{CC}$  then  $u^{\nabla}$  is a pointed special set function.

**Proof.**

**Proof of statement 1.** Fix an open neighborhood  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  of 0,  $u \in \dot{W}(S, \mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\check{\mathfrak{q}}(u) = \mathbf{u}$ . Statement follows by Definition 5.2.4-[1] since (5.49) entail that the set function  $u^{\nabla} \circ c[X, S, 0]$  is an arrow of  $\mathbb{V}$ .

**Proof of statement 2.** Statement follows by statement 1 since  $\nu_1$  is obtained by suitably assembling special set functions  $\nu$ ,  $c[X, V[m, n], \hat{\text{li}}(\lambda c[\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m, 0] \lambda)]$  and continuous function  $\Delta[X, 2]$  defined in Notation 11.0.3-[8] by exploiting Definition 5.2.4-[2, 3, 4].

**Proof of statement 3.** Statement follows by statement 2. ■

In Proposition 5.2.10 below we study the behavior of arrows of  $\mathbb{V}$  with path connected domain.

**Proposition 5.2.10** Fix a path connected topological space  $X$ ,  $\nu \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(X, V)$ . Then there are  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that

$$\tilde{C}(\nu(x)) = m, \quad \tilde{D}(\nu(x)) = l \quad \forall x \in X.$$

**Proof.** Statement follows by referring to Remark 5.2.8-[4] and exploiting recursive definition of special and pointed special set functions. ■

Motivated by Proposition 5.2.10 we define domain and co-domain of the image of special and pointed special set functions.

**Definition 5.2.11** Fix a path connected topological space  $X$ ,  $\nu \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(X, V)$ . We define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}(\nu) &= \tilde{C}(\nu(x)) \quad x \in X; \\ \tilde{D}(\nu) &= \tilde{D}(\nu(x)) \quad x \in X. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 5.2.12** Proposition 5.2.10 and Definition 5.2.11 can be repeated word by word by replacing  $V$  with  $V_{CC}$ ,  $\mathbf{C}_{CC}^{\infty}$  or  $\mathbf{C}_{CC}^0$ .

In Proposition 5.2.13 below we study the local behavior of pointed special set functions.

We refer to Notation 11.0.5-[8], Definition 4.2.1, Proposition 4.2.2.

**Proposition 5.2.13** Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a special set function  $\nu : X \rightarrow V_{CC} [m, n]$ ,  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . Assume that

$$0 \in \mathbb{R}^m \text{ is adherent to } A; \quad (5.61)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for any } x \in X \text{ there are an open neighborhood } S_x \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \text{ of } 0, \\ \text{a generalized function } v_x \in W_{CC}(S_x, \mathbb{R}^n) \text{ fulfilling both} \\ \text{conditions below:} \\ \nu(x) = \check{\mathfrak{q}}(v_x), \\ (\text{ev}(v_x))(s) \in B \quad \forall s \in S_x \cap A. \end{array} \right. \quad (5.62)$$

Then there is a covering sieve  $\mathfrak{S} \in \mathcal{T}(X)$  such that for any  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  belonging to  $\mathfrak{S}$  there are an open neighborhood  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  of 0,  $\omega \in \text{hom}_V(Y, W_{CC}(S, \mathbb{R}^n))$  fulfilling both conditions below:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu \circ g &= \check{\mathfrak{q}} \circ \omega; \\ (\text{ev}(\omega(y)))(s) &\in B \quad \forall (y, s) \in Y \times S. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** We prove the statement by following one by one all five steps of Definition 5.2.4.

Refer to step 1 of Definition 5.2.4. In this case statement follows straightforwardly.

Refer to step 2 of Definition 5.2.4. Set  $l = \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}(\nu) = \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}(u)$ .

Assumption (5.62) entails that for any  $x \in X$  there are an open neighborhood  $S_{1,x} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  of 0,  $v_{1,x} \in W_{CC}(S_{1,x}, \mathbb{R}^n)$  fulfilling both conditions below:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_1(x) &= \check{\mathfrak{q}}(v_{1,x}); \\ (\text{ev}(v_{1,x}))(s) &\in B \quad \forall s \in S_{1,x} \cap A. \end{aligned} \quad (5.63)$$

For any  $x \in X$  fix an open neighborhood  $S_x \subseteq S_{1,x}$  of 0,  $v_x \in W_{CC}(S_x, \mathbb{R}^l)$  with  $\nu(x) = \check{\mathfrak{q}}(v_x)$ .

Referring to Notation 11.0.3-[5] set  $B_u = (\text{ev}(u))^{-1}(B)$ .

Since

$$\check{\mathfrak{q}}(u \diamond v_x) = \check{\mathfrak{q}}(v_{1,x}) \quad \forall x \in X,$$

condition (5.63) and locality of the argument entail that there is no loss of generality by assuming

$$(\text{ev}(v_x))(s) \in B_u \text{ for any } x \in X, s \in S_x \cap A.$$

Then induction hypothesis entail that for any  $x \in X$  there are an open neighborhood  $Y \subseteq X$  of  $x$ , an open neighborhood  $S \subseteq S_x$  of 0, an arrow  $\omega \in \text{hom}_V(Y, W_{CC}(S, \mathbb{R}^l))$  fulfilling both conditions below:

$$\nu(y) = \check{\mathfrak{q}}(\omega(y)) \quad \forall y \in Y \cap A; \quad (5.64)$$

$$(\text{ev}(\omega(y)))(s) \in B_u \quad \forall (y, s) \in Y \times S. \quad (5.65)$$

Referring to Remark 5.2.8-[2] define  $\omega_1 : Y \rightarrow W_{CC}(S, \mathbb{R}^n)$  by setting  $\omega_1 = u \diamond \omega$ .

Eventually Proposition 4.2.4-[1], (5.64), (5.65) entail that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(y) &= \check{q}(\omega_1(y)) \quad \forall y \in Y; \\ (\text{ev}(\omega_1(y)))(s) &\in B \quad \forall (y, s) \in Y \times S. \end{aligned}$$

Refer to step 3 of Definition 5.2.4. Up to taking its path components one by one there is no loss of generality by assuming that  $X$  is a path connected topological space, then by Proposition 5.2.10 we are able to set  $\check{C}(\nu_2) = \check{D}(\nu_1) = l$ .

Assumption (5.62) entails that for any  $(x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$  there are an open neighborhood  $S_{x_1, x_2} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  of 0,  $v_{x_1, x_2} \in W_{CC}(S_{x_1, x_2}, \mathbb{R}^n)$  fulfilling both conditions below:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(x_1, x_2) &= \check{q}(v_{x_1, x_2}); \\ (\text{ev}(v_{x_1, x_2}))(s) &\in B \quad \forall s \in S_{x_1, x_2} \cap A. \end{aligned} \quad (5.66)$$

For any  $(x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$  fix an open neighborhood  $S_{x_1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^l$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^l$ , an open neighborhood  $S_{x_2} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $v_{x_1} \in W_{CC}(S_{x_1}, \mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\nu_1(x_1) = \check{q}(v_{x_1})$ ,  $v_{x_2} \in W_{CC}(S_{x_2}, \mathbb{R}^l)$  with  $\nu_2(x_2) = \check{q}(v_{x_2})$ .

Since

$$\check{q}(v_{x_1} \diamond v_{x_2}) = \check{q}(v_{x_1, x_2}) \quad (x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2 \quad (5.67)$$

condition (5.66) entail that there is no loss of generality by assuming that for any  $(x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$  there is an open neighborhood  $S_{x_1, x_2, 2} \subseteq S_{x_1, x_2} \cap S_{x_2}$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$  fulfilling

$$(\text{ev}(v_{x_1}))((\text{ev}(v_{x_2}))(s)) \in B \quad \forall s \in S_{x_1, x_2, 2} \cap A. \quad (5.68)$$

Referring to Notation 11.0.3-[5] set

$$A_{x_2} = (\text{ev}(v_{x_2}))(S_{x_2} \cap A) \quad \forall x_2 \in X_2. \quad (5.69)$$

For any  $x_2 \in X_2$  assumption (5.61), continuity of  $\text{ev}(v_{x_2})$  entail that at least one among the two conditions below holds true:

$$A_{x_2} = \{0\}; \quad (5.70)$$

$$0 \in \mathbb{R}^l \text{ is adherent to } A_{x_2}. \quad (5.71)$$

Assume that condition (5.70) holds true for any  $x_2 \in X_2$ .

Fix  $(x_{1,0}, x_{2,0}) \in X_1 \times X_2$ . Then induction hypothesis applied to  $\nu_2$  entails that there are an open neighborhood  $Y_2 \subseteq X_2$  of  $x_{2,0}$ , an open neighborhood  $S_2 \subseteq S_{x_{2,0}}$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $\omega_2 \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(Y_2, W_{CC}(S_2, \mathbb{R}^n))$  fulfilling

$$(\text{ev}(\omega_2(y)))(s) = 0 \quad \forall (y, s) \in Y_2 \times S_2. \quad (5.72)$$

Fix an open neighborhood  $Y_1 \subseteq X_1$  of  $x_{1,0}$ , an open neighborhood  $S_1 \subseteq S_{x_1}$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $\omega_1 \in \text{hom}_V(Y_1, W_{CC}(S_1, \mathbb{R}^n))$  with

$$\nu(y) = \check{q}(\omega_1(y)) \quad \forall y \in Y_1. \quad (5.73)$$

Define  $\omega : Y_1 \times Y_2 \rightarrow W_{CC}(S_2, \mathbb{R}^n)$  by setting

$$\omega(y_1, y_2) = \omega_1(y_1) \diamond \omega_2(y_2) \quad \forall (y_1, y_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2.$$

Eventually Proposition 4.2.4-[2], (5.67), (5.72), (5.73) entail that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(y_1, y_2) &= \check{q}(\omega(y_1, y_2)) \quad \forall (y_1, y_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2; \\ (\text{ev}(\omega(y_1, y_2)))(s) &= 0 \in B \quad \forall (y_1, y_2, s) \in Y_1 \times Y_2 \times S_1. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that there is  $(x_{1,0}, x_{2,0}) \in X_1 \times X_2$  fulfilling condition (5.71). Conditions (5.68), (5.71),  $\text{ev}(v_{x_{2,0}}) \in C^0[S_{x_{2,0}}, \mathbb{R}^l]$  entail that for any  $x_1 \in X_1$  there is an open neighborhood  $S_{x_1, x_{2,0}, 1} \subseteq S_{x_1}$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^l$  such that

$$(\text{ev}(v_{x_1}))(s) \in B \quad \forall s \in S_{x_1, x_{2,0}, 1} \cap A_{x_{2,0}}.$$

Then induction hypothesis applied to  $\nu_1$  entails that there are an open neighborhood  $Y_1 \subseteq X_1$  of  $x_{1,0}$ , an open neighborhood  $S_1 \subseteq S_{x_1}$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $\omega_1 \in \text{hom}_V(Y_1, W_{CC}(S_1, \mathbb{R}^n))$  fulfilling

$$(\text{ev}(\omega_1(y)))(s) \in B \quad \forall (y, s) \in Y_1 \times S_1. \quad (5.74)$$

Since is  $S_1$  an open neighborhood of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^l$  and  $\text{ev}(v_{x_2}) \in C^0[S_{x_2}, \mathbb{R}^l]$  for any  $x_2 \in X_2$  then there is an open neighborhood  $S_{x_2, 2} \subseteq S_{x_2}$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^l$  such that

$$(\text{ev}(v_{x_2}))(s) \in S_1 \quad \forall s \in S_{x_2, 2}.$$

Then induction hypothesis applied to  $\nu_2$  entails that there are an open neighborhood  $Y_2 \subseteq X_2$  of  $x_{2,0}$ , an open neighborhood  $S_2 \subseteq S_{x_{2,0}, 2}$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\omega_2 \in \text{hom}_V(Y_2, W_{CC}(S_2, \mathbb{R}^l))$  fulfilling

$$(\text{ev}(\omega_2(y)))(s) \in S_1 \quad \forall (y, s) \in Y_2 \times S_2. \quad (5.75)$$

Define  $\omega : Y_1 \times Y_2 \rightarrow W_{CC}(S_2, \mathbb{R}^n)$  by setting

$$\omega(y_1, y_2) = \omega_1(y_1) \diamond \omega_2(y_2) \quad \forall (y_1, y_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2.$$

Eventually Proposition 4.2.4-[2], (5.67), (5.74), (5.75) entail that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(y_1, y_2) &= \check{q}(\omega(x_1, x_2)) \quad \forall (y_1, y_2) \in Y_1 \times Y_2; \\ (\text{ev}(\omega(y_1, y_2)))(s) &= B \quad \forall (y_1, y_2, s) \in Y_1 \times Y_2 \times S_1. \end{aligned}$$

Refer to step 4 of Definition 5.2.4. For any  $n \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  there are  $m_k, n_k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $\tilde{D}(\nu_k) = m_k$ ,  $\tilde{C}(\nu_k) = n_k$ .

Assumption (5.62) entails that for any  $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^n X_k$  there are an open neighborhood  $S_{x_1, \dots, x_n} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{l_1 + \dots + l_n}$  of 0,  $v_{x_1, \dots, x_k} \in W_{CC}(S_{x_1, \dots, x_k}, \mathbb{R}^{n_1 + \dots + n_k})$  fulfilling both conditions below:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(x_1, \dots, x_k) &= \check{q}(v_{x_1, \dots, x_k}); \\ (\text{ev}(v_{x_1, \dots, x_k}))(s) &\in B \quad \forall s \in S_{x_1, \dots, x_k} \cap A. \end{aligned} \quad (5.76)$$

For any  $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^k X_k$  fix an open neighborhood  $S_{x_k} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m_k}$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{m_k}$ , a generalized function  $v_{x_k} \in W_{CC}(S_{x_k}, \mathbb{R}^{m_k})$  with  $\nu_k(x_k) = \check{q}(v_{x_k})$  for any  $k \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Since

$$\check{q}(|v_{x_1}, \dots, v_{x_k}|) = \check{q}(v_{x_1, \dots, x_k}) \quad \forall (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^k X_k \quad (5.77)$$

condition (5.76) and locality of the argument entail that there is no loss of generality by assuming that both conditions below:

$$\prod_{k=1}^k S_{x_k} \subseteq S_{x_1, \dots, x_k} \quad \forall (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^k X_k; \quad (5.78)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} ((\text{ev}(v_{x_1}))(s_1), \dots, (\text{ev}(v_{x_k}))(s_k)) \in B \\ \text{for any } (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^k X_k, (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \left( \prod_{k=1}^k S_{x_k} \right) \cap A. \end{array} \right. \quad (5.79)$$

Referring to Notation 11.0.3-[5, 10] set

$$B_k = \bigcup_{x_k \in X_k} (\text{ev}(v_{x_k})) \left( S_{x_k} \cap \text{p}_k \left[ \{\mathbb{R}^{m_k}\}_{k=1}^k \right] (A) \right) \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, k\}.$$

Condition (5.79) entail that

$$\prod_{k=1}^k B_k \subseteq B.$$

Induction hypothesis entail that for any  $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^k X_k$  there are an open neighborhood  $Y_k \subseteq X_k$  of  $x_k$ , an open neighborhood  $S_k \subseteq S_{x_k}$  of  $0 \in S_{x_k}$ , an arrow  $\omega_k \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(Y_k, W_{CC}(S_k, \mathbb{R}^{m_k}))$  for any  $k \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  fulfilling all conditions below:

$$\nu_k(y) = \check{q}(\omega_k(y)) \quad \text{for any } y \in Y_k, k \in \{1, \dots, k\};$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\text{ev}(\omega_k(y)))(s) \in B_k \\ \text{for any } (y, s) \in Y_k \times \left( S_k \cap \text{p}_k \left[ \{\mathbb{R}^{m_k}\}_{k=1}^k \right] (A) \right), k \in \{1, \dots, k\}. \end{array} \right.$$



Referring to Remark 5.2.8-[2] define  $\omega : \prod_{k=1}^k Y_k \rightarrow W_{CC} \left( \prod_{k=1}^k S_k, \mathbb{R}^{n_1+\dots+n_k} \right)$  by setting  $\omega = |\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k|$ .

Eventually Proposition 4.2.4-[3], (5.76), (5.78),(5.79) entail that

$$\nu(y_1, \dots, y_k) = \check{q}(\omega(y_1, \dots, y_k)) \quad \forall (y_1, \dots, y_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^k Y_k;$$

$$(\text{ev}(\omega(y_1, \dots, y_k)))(s_1, \dots, s_k) \in B \quad \forall (y_1, \dots, y_k, s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \prod_{k=1}^k Y_k \times \prod_{k=1}^k S_k.$$

■

In Corollary 5.2.14 below we list a straightforward consequence of Proposition 5.2.13.

**Corollary 5.2.14** Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\nu \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(X, V_{CC}[m, n])$ ,  $x_0 \in X$ .

Assume that

$$\bar{c}(\nu(x_0)) = \iota c[\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n, 0] \iota. \quad (5.80)$$

Then there is a covering sieve  $S \in \mathcal{T}(X)$  such that for any  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  belonging to  $S$  with  $x_0 \in g(Y)$  there are an open neighborhood  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  of 0,  $\omega \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(Y, W_{CC}(S, \mathbb{R}^n))$  fulfilling both conditions below:

$$\nu \circ g = \check{q} \circ \omega; \quad (5.81)$$

$$\text{ev}(\omega(y)) = c[S, \mathbb{R}^n, 0]. \quad (5.82)$$

In Definition 5.2.15, Remark 5.2.16 below we introduce categories of real vector spaces, algebras and related continuous functions existing in the setting of the site  $(\mathbb{V}, \mathcal{T})$ . We refer to Notation 11.0.4-[10-15], Definition 5.2.6-[5], Remarks 5.2.8, 5.2.12.

**Definition 5.2.15**

1. We denote by  $\text{VEC}_{\mathbb{R}}$  the subcategory of  $\mathbb{V}$  whose objects are all  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector spaces in  $\mathbb{V}$  and arrows are  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear arrows in  $\mathbb{V}$ .
2. We denote by  $\text{ALG}_{\mathbb{R}}$  the subcategory of  $\mathbb{V}$  whose objects are all  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras in  $\mathbb{V}$  and arrows are  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra arrows in  $\mathbb{V}$ .

**Remark 5.2.16**

1. Categories  $\text{VEC}_{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $\text{ALG}_{\mathbb{R}}$  admits all limits, co-limits and adjunctions existing in  $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{R}}$  by definition of site  $(\mathbb{V}, \mathcal{T})$  and of categories  $D$ ,  $E$  in Definition 5.2.6. With an abuse of language we denote limits, co-limits and adjunctions in  $\text{VEC}_{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $\text{ALG}_{\mathbb{R}}$  by the same symbols used in categories  $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{R}}$ .
2. Functors  $\mathbf{T}$ ,  $\mathbf{E}$ ,  $\mathbf{S}$ ,  $\mathbf{A}$  introduced in Notation 11.0.4-[12, 13, 14, 16] factor through forgetful functors  $\text{VEC}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $\text{ALG}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . With an abuse of language we denote such factorization again by  $\mathbf{T}$ ,  $\mathbf{E}$ ,  $\mathbf{S}$ ,  $\mathbf{A}$  respectively.

### 5.3 Generalized derivations of germs of generalized functions

In Definition 5.3.1 below we introduce notion of generalized  $(X, M, l)$ -derivation of degree 1. A  $(X, M, l)$ -derivation of degree 1 is an equivalence class of  $(M, l)$ -pre-derivations valued arrows of  $\mathbb{V}$  with domain  $X$ . Construction of  $(X, M, l)$ -derivations will follow by organizing material introduced in Definition 5.1.4-[6, 7] in the functorial language, then taking co-limits. If  $X$  is the terminal object of  $\mathbb{V}$ , i.e. the single point, and  $M = \mathbb{R}^l$  then we obtain the notion of generalized  $l$ -derivation of degree 1 extending the classical notion of derivation of degree 1. We refer to Notation 11.0.1-[1, 4], Definition 4.2.8, Proposition 4.2.4-[11].

#### Definition 5.3.1

1. Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{N}_m$  the category defined as follows.  
 Objects of  $\mathbf{N}_m$  are listed below:  
 symbol  $\infty_m$ ;  
 triplets  $(k, \lambda u_1 \lambda, \lambda u_2 \lambda)$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \lambda u_1 \lambda, \lambda u_2 \lambda \in V_{CC}[k, m]$  with  $\bar{\epsilon}(\lambda u_1 \lambda) = \bar{\epsilon}(\lambda u_2 \lambda)$ .  
 Arrows of  $\mathbf{N}_m$  are listed below:  
 for any triplet  $(k, \lambda u_1 \lambda, \lambda u_2 \lambda)$  there are exactly two arrows from  $(k, \lambda u_1 \lambda, \lambda u_2 \lambda)$  to  $\infty_m$ , we denote such arrows by  $\mathbf{g}[k, \lambda u_1 \lambda, \lambda u_2 \lambda]_i$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ;  
 for any object  $\mathbf{n}$  of  $\mathbf{N}_m$  the identity  $1_{\mathbf{n}}$  is the only arrow from  $\mathbf{n}$  to  $\mathbf{n}$ ;  
 for any pair of objects  $\mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_2$  of  $\mathbf{N}_m$  there is no arrow from  $\mathbf{n}_1$  to  $\mathbf{n}_2$  unless either  $\mathbf{n}_1 = \mathbf{n}_2$  or  $\mathbf{n}_2 = \infty_m$ .
2. Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \lambda u \lambda \in V_{CC}[m, n]$ . We denote by  $\eta_{\lambda u \lambda} : \mathbf{N}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_n$  the functor defined by setting:

$$\begin{cases} \eta_{\lambda u \lambda}(k, \lambda u_1 \lambda, \lambda u_2 \lambda) = (k, \lambda u \lambda \hat{\odot} \lambda u_1 \lambda, \lambda u \lambda \hat{\odot} \lambda u_2 \lambda), \\ \eta_{\lambda u \lambda}(\infty_m) = \infty_n; \\ \eta_{\lambda u \lambda}(\mathbf{g}[k, \lambda u_1 \lambda, \lambda u_2 \lambda]_i) = \mathbf{g}[k, \lambda u \lambda \hat{\odot} \lambda u_1 \lambda, \lambda u \lambda \hat{\odot} \lambda u_2 \lambda]_i, \\ \eta_{\lambda u \lambda}(1_{\mathbf{n}}) = 1_{\eta_{\lambda u \lambda}(\mathbf{n})}. \end{cases}$$

3. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ .  
 We denote by  $\mathbf{F}_{M, l, m} : \mathbf{N}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}}$  the functor defined by setting:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{F}_{M, l, m}(k, \lambda u_1 \lambda, \lambda u_2 \lambda) = \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{er}[M, l, k], \\ \mathbf{F}_{M, l, m}(\infty_m) = \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{er}[M, l, m]; \\ \mathbf{F}_{M, l, m}(\mathbf{g}[k, \lambda u_1 \lambda, \lambda u_2 \lambda]_i) = \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{d}[M, l, \lambda u_i \lambda], \\ \mathbf{F}_{M, l, m}(1_{\mathbf{n}}) = 1_{\mathbf{F}_{M, l, m}(\mathbf{n})}. \end{cases}$$

4. Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $\lambda\mu \in V_{CC}[m, n]$ . We denote by  $\phi_{M,l,\lambda\mu} : \mathbf{F}_{M,l,m} \rightarrow (\mathbf{F}_{M,l,n} \circ \eta_{\lambda\mu})$  the natural arrow defined by setting:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{M,l,\lambda\mu}(k, \lambda u_1 \lambda, \lambda u_2 \lambda) &= \mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{T}[M,l,k]}; \\ \phi_{M,l,\lambda\mu}(\infty_m) &= \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{d}[M, l, \lambda\mu].\end{aligned}$$

5. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , two  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspaces  $M_1, M_2$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ . Assume  $M_1 \subseteq M_2$ . We denote by  $j_{M_1, M_2, l, m} : \mathbf{F}_{M_1, l, m} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{M_2, l, m}$  the natural arrow defined by setting

$$\begin{aligned}j_{M_1, M_2, l, m}(k, \lambda u_1 \lambda, \lambda u_2 \lambda) &= \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{i}[M_1, M_2, l, k]; \\ j_{M_1, M_2, l, m}(\infty_m) &= \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{i}[M_1, M_2, l, m].\end{aligned}$$

6. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ . We define the pair  $(\mathfrak{D}[M, l, m], \mathfrak{q}_{M,l,m})$  as the co-limit of the functor  $\mathbf{F}_{M,l,m}$ . We say that  $\mathfrak{D}[M, l, m]$  is the space of  $m$ -dimensional generalized  $(M, l)$ -derivations of degree 1, or  $m$ -dimensional generalized  $(M, l)$ -tangent vectors. Elements belonging to  $\mathfrak{D}[M, l, m]$  are equivalence classes denoted by  $\mathfrak{D}$  or by  $\langle \mathfrak{D} \rangle$  whenever we need to emphasize a representative  $\mathfrak{D}$  of the equivalence class. We say that  $\mathfrak{D}$  is a  $m$ -dimensional generalized  $(M, l)$ -derivation of degree 1, or a  $m$ -dimensional generalized  $(M, l)$ -tangent vector. We drop any reference to  $m, M$  or  $l$  whenever no confusion is possible.

7. Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \in V_{CC}[m, n]$ . We define the arrow  $\mathbf{d}[M, l, \mathbf{u}] : \mathfrak{D}[M, l, m] \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}[M, l, n]$  as the unique arrow induced on co-limits by the natural arrow  $\phi_{M,l,\mathbf{u}}$ . We say that  $\mathbf{d}[M, l, \mathbf{u}]$  is the generalized  $(M, l)$ -differential of degree 1 of  $\mathbf{u}$  at 0.

8. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , two  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspaces  $M_1, M_2$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ . Assume  $M_1 \subseteq M_2$ . We define the arrow  $\mathbf{i}[M_1, M_2, l, m] : \mathfrak{D}[M_1, l, m] \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}[M_2, l, m]$  as the unique arrow induced on co-limits by the natural arrow  $j_{M_1, M_2, l, m}$ .

In Remark 5.3.2 below we explicitly describe the structure of the co-limit pair  $(\mathfrak{D}[M, l, m], \mathfrak{q}_{M,l,m})$  introduced in Definition 5.3.1. We refer to Notation 11.0.1-[9, 10], Proposition 4.2.4-[11], Remarks 4.2.3, 4.2.5-[2], 4.2.9-[2], 5.1.5, 5.2.8-[2, 6], (5.56).

### Remark 5.3.2

1. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ . Then  $\mathfrak{D}[M, l, m]$  is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space in  $\mathbb{V}$  which is not trivial by Proposition 5.3.4 below. Sum and scalar product of  $\mathfrak{D}[M, l, m]$  are denoted respectively by  $+[M, l, m]$  and  $*[M, l, m]$ . With an abuse of notation we denote operation  $+[M, l, m]$  simply by  $+$ ,  $*[M, l, m]$  simply by  $*$ , whenever no confusion is possible.

2. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ .

Then  $\mathfrak{D}[M, l, m] = \frac{\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{er}[M, l, m]}{\mathcal{N}[M, l, m]}$  where  $\mathcal{N}[M, l, m]$  is the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace of  $\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{er}[M, l, m]$  generated by the set

$$\begin{aligned} G[M, l, m] = \{ & \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{d}[M, l, \lambda u_1](\mathfrak{D}) + -1 * \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{d}[M, l, \lambda u_2](\mathfrak{D}) : \\ & \mathfrak{D} \in \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{er}[M, l, k], \lambda u_1, \lambda u_2 \in V_{CC}[k, m] \text{ with} \\ & \bar{\mathfrak{e}}(\lambda u_1) = \bar{\mathfrak{e}}(\lambda u_2) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.83)$$

We denote by  $\mathfrak{p}[M, l, m] : \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{er}[M, l, m] \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}[M, l, m]$  the quotient function.

We denote by  $\mathcal{O}[M, l, m]$  the kernel of  $\mathfrak{p}[M, l, m] \circ \mathfrak{q}[M, l, m]$ .

We drop any reference to  $M, l$  or  $m$  whenever there is no risk of confusion or there is no need of such a detail.

3. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ . We set

$$\begin{aligned} SSF(\mathfrak{D}[M, l, m]) = \\ \left\{ \mathfrak{p}[M, l, m] \circ f : f \in SSF(\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{er}[M, l, m]) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.84)$$

We say that elements belonging to set  $SSF(\mathfrak{D}[M, l, m])$  are special set functions.

By (5.51), (5.59) and referring to Notation 11.0.1-[9] we have that set  $SSF(\mathfrak{D}[M, l, m])$  is a base component set for  $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{D}[M, l, m])$ .

4. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ .

Natural arrow  $\mathfrak{q}_{M, l, m} : \mathbf{F}_{M, l, m} \rightarrow \mathbf{c}[\mathbf{N}_m, \mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathfrak{D}[M, l, m]]$  consists of linear function  $\mathfrak{q}_{M, l, m}(\mathbf{n}) : \mathbf{F}_{M, l, m}(\mathbf{n}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}[M, l, m]$  for any object  $\mathbf{n}$  of  $\mathbf{N}_m$ .

5. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ .

The  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear function  $\mathbf{d}[M, l, \lambda u]$  is the unique linear function induced on quotient spaces by the  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear function  $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{d}[M, l, \lambda u]$ .

6. Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $\lambda u_1, \lambda u_2 \in V_{CC}[m, n]$ . If  $\bar{\mathfrak{e}}(\lambda u_1) = \bar{\mathfrak{e}}(\lambda u_2)$  then straightforwardly  $\mathbf{d}[M, l, \lambda u_1] = \mathbf{d}[M, l, \lambda u_2]$ .

We emphasize that:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{equality } \mathbf{d}[M, l, \mathbf{u} \hat{\oplus} \mathbf{v}] = \mathbf{d}[M, l, \mathbf{u}] + \mathbf{d}[M, l, \mathbf{v}] \text{ is not} \\ \text{fulfilled for any } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V_{CC}[m, n]; \end{array} \right. \quad (5.85)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{equality } \mathbf{d}[M, l, a \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{u}] = a * \mathbf{d}[M, l, \mathbf{u}] \text{ is not fulfilled} \\ \text{for any } a \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{u} \in V_{CC}[m, n]. \end{array} \right. \quad (5.86)$$

Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $\lambda f \in \mathbf{C}_{CC}^0[m, n]$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{d}[M, l, \lambda f]$  the natural arrow  $\mathbf{d}[M, l, \lambda u]$  where  $\lambda u \in V_{CC}[m, n]$  fulfills  $\bar{\mathfrak{e}}(\lambda u) = \lambda f$ .

7. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ . Then  $\mathbf{i}[M, M, l, m] = 1_{\mathfrak{D}[M, l, m]}$ .  
 Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , three  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspaces  $M_1, M_2, M_3$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$  with  $M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq M_3$ . Then  $\mathbf{i}[M_2, M_3, l, m] \circ \mathbf{i}[M_1, M_2, l, m] = \mathbf{i}[M_1, M_3, l, m]$ .  
 Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , two  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspaces  $M_1, M_2$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $\imath u \in V_{CC}[m, n]$ .  
 Then  $\mathbf{d}[M_2, l, \imath u] \circ \mathbf{i}[M_1, M_2, l, m] = \mathbf{i}[M_1, M_2, l, n] \circ \mathbf{d}[M_1, l, \imath u]$ .
8. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ , an object  $X$  of  $\mathbb{V}$ , an arrow  $\zeta \in \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(X, \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{er}[M, l, m])$ , a covering sieve  $S \in \mathcal{T}(X)$  with  $S \subseteq \zeta^*(S_{SSF}(\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{er}[M, l, m]))$ .  
 Then for any arrow  $Y \xrightarrow{g} X$  belonging to  $S$  there are a finite set  $J_g$ , a pair  $(\nu_j, f_j) \in (\mathbf{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(Y, V_{CC}[l, m]) \cap S_{SSF}(V)) \times \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(Y, M)$  for any  $j \in J_g$  such that  $\mathbf{q}[M, l, m] \circ \sum_{j \in J_g} \mathfrak{d}[\nu_j, f_j] = \zeta \circ g$  for any arrow  $Y \xrightarrow{g} X$  belonging to  $S$ .  
 We set:

$$\begin{aligned} J_S &= \{J_g\}_{g \in S}; \\ \begin{cases} (\nu_{J_g}, f_{J_g}) &= \{(\nu_j, f_j)\}_{j \in J_g} \quad \forall g \in S, \\ (\nu_{J_S}, f_{J_S}) &= \{(\nu_{J_g}, f_{J_g})\}_{g \in S}; \end{cases} \\ \begin{cases} \mathfrak{d}[\nu_{J_g}, f_{J_g}] &= \{\mathfrak{d}[\nu_j, f_j]\}_{j \in J_g} \quad \forall g \in S, \\ \mathfrak{d}[\nu_{J_S}, f_{J_S}] &= \{\mathfrak{d}[\nu_{J_g}, f_{J_g}]\}_{g \in S}; \end{cases} \\ \begin{cases} \zeta_j &= \mathbf{q}[M, l, m] \circ \mathfrak{d}[\nu_j, f_j] \quad \forall j \in J_g, \\ \zeta_g &= \{\zeta_j\}_{j \in J_g} \quad \forall g \in S, \\ \zeta_S &= \{\zeta_g\}_{g \in S}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We say that:

- $(\nu_{J_g}, f_{J_g})$  is a skeleton of  $\zeta \circ g$  for any  $g \in S$ ;
- $(\nu_{J_S}, f_{J_S})$  is a skeleton of  $\zeta$  relative to the covering sieve  $S$ ;
- $\mathfrak{d}[\nu_{J_g}, f_{J_g}]$  is a realization of  $\zeta \circ g$  for any  $g \in S$ ;
- $\mathfrak{d}[\nu_{J_S}, f_{J_S}]$  is a realization of  $\zeta$  relative to the covering sieve  $S$ ;
- $\zeta_g$  is a decomposition of  $\zeta \circ g$  for any  $g \in S$ ;
- $\zeta_S$  is a decomposition of  $\zeta$  relative to the covering sieve  $S$ .

If  $S$  is the maximal sieve then we only consider skeleton, realization and decomposition relative to arrow  $1_X \in S$  and we drop any reference to both  $1_X$  and  $S$  in the notation.

9. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ , an object  $X$  of  $\mathbb{V}$ , an arrow  $\zeta \in \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(X, \mathfrak{D}[M, l, m])$ , a covering sieve  $S \in \mathcal{T}(X)$  with  $S \subseteq \zeta^*(S_{SSF}(\mathfrak{D}[M, l, m]))$ .  
 Then for any arrow  $Y \xrightarrow{g} X$  belonging to  $S$  there are a finite set  $J_g$ , a pair

$(\nu_j, f_j) \in (\text{hom}_V(Y, V_{CC}[l, m]) \cap S_{SSF(V)}) \times M$  for any  $j \in J_g$  such that  $\mathfrak{p}[M, l, m] \circ \left( \mathfrak{q}[M, l, m] \circ \left( \sum_{j \in J_g} \mathfrak{d}[\nu_j, f_j] \right) \right) = \zeta \circ g$  for any arrow  $Y \xrightarrow{g} X$  belonging to  $\mathcal{S}$ .  
We set:

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\mathcal{S}} &= \{J_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{S}}; \\ \begin{cases} (\nu_{J_g}, f_{J_g}) = \{(\nu_j, f_j)\}_{j \in J_g} & \forall g \in \mathcal{S}, \\ (\nu_{J_{\mathcal{S}}}, f_{J_{\mathcal{S}}}) = \{(\nu_{J_g}, f_{J_g})\}_{g \in \mathcal{S}}; \end{cases} \\ \begin{cases} \mathfrak{d}[\nu_{J_g}, f_{J_g}] = \{\mathfrak{d}[\nu_j, f_j]\}_{j \in J_g} & \forall g \in \mathcal{S}, \\ \mathfrak{d}[\nu_{J_{\mathcal{S}}}, f_{J_{\mathcal{S}}}] = \{\mathfrak{d}[\nu_{J_g}, f_{J_g}]\}_{g \in \mathcal{S}}; \end{cases} \\ \begin{cases} \zeta_j = \mathfrak{q}[M, l, m] \circ \mathfrak{d}[\nu_j, f_j] & \forall j \in J_g, \\ \zeta_g = \{\zeta_j\}_{j \in J_g} & \forall g \in \mathcal{S}, \\ \zeta_{J_{\mathcal{S}}} = \{\zeta_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{S}}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We say that:

$(\nu_{J_g}, f_{J_g})$  is a skeleton of  $\zeta \circ g$  for any  $g \in \mathcal{S}$ ;

$(\nu_{J_{\mathcal{S}}}, f_{J_{\mathcal{S}}})$  is a skeleton of  $\zeta$  relative to the covering sieve  $\mathcal{S}$ ;

$\mathfrak{d}[\nu_{J_g}, f_{J_g}]$  is a realization of  $\zeta \circ g$  for any  $g \in \mathcal{S}$ ;

$\mathfrak{d}[\nu_{J_{\mathcal{S}}}, f_{J_{\mathcal{S}}}]$  is a realization of  $\zeta$  relative to the covering sieve  $\mathcal{S}$ ;

$\zeta_{J_g}$  is a decomposition of  $\zeta \circ g$  for any  $g \in \mathcal{S}$ ;

$\zeta_{J_{\mathcal{S}}}$  is a decomposition of  $\zeta$  relative to the covering sieve  $\mathcal{S}$ .

If  $\mathcal{S}$  is the maximal sieve then we only consider skeleton, realization and decomposition relative to arrow  $1_X \in \mathcal{S}$  and we drop any reference to both  $1_X$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  in the notation.

In Proposition 5.3.3 below we give a necessary condition for an element belonging to  $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{er}[M, l, m]$  to belong to the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $\mathcal{N}[M, l, m]$ .

We refer to Notation 11.0.9-[3], Definitions 3.3.7, 4.2.1, Remarks 5.1.5, 5.3.2, Example 2.0.6.

**Proposition 5.3.3** Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ , a pre-derivation  $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{N}[M, l, m]$ .

Then for any  $\lambda x \in V_{CC}[m, 1]$ , path  $\omega$  in  $W$  detecting  $\mathfrak{d}(\lambda x)$  with

$$\exists \delta > 0 : (\text{ev}(\omega(t)))(s) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall t \in (-\delta, \delta) \setminus \{0\} \quad \forall s \in \mathbf{D}(\omega) \quad (5.87)$$

the continuous function  $\omega_f : (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow C^0$  given by setting

$$\omega_f(t) = \int_1 \left( \dots \int_l \omega(t) \right) \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}$$

converges in  $C^0$  to the constant 0 function for  $t \rightarrow 0$ .

**Proof.** Relations (5.2), definition of  $\mathcal{N}[M, l, m]$  in Remark 5.3.2-[2] entail that there is no loss of generality by assuming that there are a finite set  $l, k_i, l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $l_i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda w_i \in V_{CC}[l, k_i]$ ,  $\lambda u_{i,1}, \lambda u_{i,2} \in V_{CC}[k_i, m]$  with  $\bar{\mathbf{e}}(\lambda u_{1,i}) = \bar{\mathbf{e}}(\lambda u_{2,i})$  for any  $i \in l$  such that

$$\partial = \bigoplus_{i \in l} \mathbf{v}_i * (\mathbf{p}\partial[l, \lambda u_{i,1}] (\partial[\lambda w_i, \mathbf{e}_i]) + -1 * \mathbf{p}\partial[l, \lambda u_{i,2}] (\partial[\lambda w_i, \mathbf{e}_i])).$$

Set  $v_{i,0} = (x \diamond (u_{i,1} \diamond w_i)) - (x \diamond (u_{i,2} \diamond w_i)) \quad \forall i \in l$ .

Then

$$\partial(x\lambda) = \widehat{\bigoplus_{i \in l} \mathbf{v}_i} \widehat{\otimes} (\mathbf{d}_i \widehat{\diamond} \lambda v_{i,0}).$$

Propositions 3.3.11, 3.3.13, 3.3.15 entail that there is a path  $\omega_i$  in  $W$  detecting  $v_{i,0}$  for any  $i \in l$  such that

$$\omega = \bigoplus_{i \in l} \mathbf{v}_i \otimes (\mathbf{d}_i \triangleright \omega_i).$$

Proposition 4.1.2, Remark 4.2.5-[1] together entail that there is no loss of generality by assuming that all conditions below hold true:

$$v_{i,0} \notin W_\emptyset \quad \forall i \in l;$$

$$\text{ev}(u_{i,1}) = \text{ev}(u_{i,2}) \quad \forall i \in l;$$

$$\text{ev}(v_{i,0}) = c[\mathbf{D}(v_{i,0}), \mathbb{R}, 0] \quad \forall i \in l;$$

there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\omega_i(t) \notin W_\emptyset$  for any  $i \in l, t \in (-\delta, \delta)$ .

Eventually statement follows by (2.2)-[(ii), (iii)], (2.12)-[(v)], Proposition 3.3.19-[5], relations (10.22), (10.29). ■

In Proposition 5.3.4 below we prove that for any not trivial  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$  the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $\mathfrak{D}[M, l, m]$  is not trivial. We refer to Notations 11.0.2, 11.0.6-[2].

**Proposition 5.3.4** Fix  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, l \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in M$ . Define the smooth function  $h : \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  by setting

$$h(s_1, \dots, s_l) = (s_1, 0, \dots, 0) \quad \forall (s_1, \dots, s_l) \in \mathbb{R}^l.$$

Then  $\langle \partial[\text{fi}(\lambda h), \mathbf{v}_1] \rangle = \langle \partial[\text{fi}(\lambda h), \mathbf{v}_2] \rangle \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{v}_{1,l} = \mathbf{v}_{2,l}$ .

**Proof.** Implication  $\Leftarrow$  is straightforward, then we just prove  $\Rightarrow$ . Relations (5.2) entail that statement follows by proving the claim

$$\partial[\text{fi}(\lambda h), \mathbf{v}] \in \mathcal{N}[M, l, m] \Rightarrow \mathbf{v}_l = 0. \quad (5.88)$$

Referring to Notations 11.0.8-[5(c)], 11.0.9, we have that Proposition 5.3.3 applied to the evaluation of  $\partial[\text{fi}(\lambda h), \mathbf{v}]$  at  $\text{fi}(\lambda c\omega[m, 1]\lambda)$ , assumption  $\partial[\text{fi}(\lambda h), \mathbf{v}] \in$

$\mathcal{N}[M, l, m]$  entail that there is an open neighborhood  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^l$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^l$  such that

$$\left( \int_1 \left( \dots \int_l (\partial_{v_l}(h)) \right) \right) (s_1, \dots, s_{2l}) = 0$$

$$\forall (s_1, \dots, s_{2l}) \in \mathcal{I}[\dots \mathcal{I}[S, l], \dots 1]. \quad (5.89)$$

Eventually statement follows since elementary calculus entails

$$\left( \int_1 \left( \dots \int_l (\partial_{v_l}(h)) \right) \right) (s_1, \dots, s_{2l}) = v_l \cdot \prod_{c=1}^l (s_{2c} - s_{2c-1})$$

$$\forall (s_1, \dots, s_{2l}) \in \mathcal{I}[\dots \mathcal{I}[S, l], \dots 1]$$

then (5.89) entail that  $v_l = 0$ . ■

In Proposition 5.3.5 below we give a sufficient condition for a pair of germs of local continuous pointed functions to ensure that the differential of their sum coincides with the sum of their differentials. We refer to Remark 5.3.2-[6].

**Proposition 5.3.5** *Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathfrak{D}[1, m]$ ,  $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{C}_{CC}^0[m, n]$ . Assume that both conditions below hold true:*

$$\mathfrak{D}[1, m] = M \oplus \ker(\mathbf{d}[1, \mathbf{f}]); \quad (5.90)$$

$$M \subseteq \ker(\mathbf{d}[1, \mathbf{g}]). \quad (5.91)$$

Then  $\mathbf{d}[1, \mathbf{f} \hat{\oplus} \mathbf{g}] = \mathbf{d}[1, \mathbf{f}] \hat{\oplus} \mathbf{d}[1, \mathbf{g}]$ .

**Proof.** Since

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{ \langle \partial[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{e}_1] \rangle : \mathbf{w} \in V_{CC}[1, m] \} \text{ generates } \mathfrak{D}[1, m] \\ \text{as a } \mathbb{R}\text{-vector space} \end{array} \right. \quad (5.92)$$

by construction, then statement will follows by proving claim

$$\mathbf{d}[1, \mathbf{f} \hat{\oplus} \mathbf{g}] (\langle \partial[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{e}_1] \rangle) = \mathbf{d}[1, \mathbf{f}] (\langle \partial[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{e}_1] \rangle) \hat{\oplus} \mathbf{d}[1, \mathbf{g}] (\langle \partial[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{e}_1] \rangle)$$

$$\forall \mathbf{w} \in V_{CC}[1, m]. \quad (5.93)$$

Fix  $\mathbf{w} \in V_{CC}[1, m]$ . Assumptions (5.90), (5.91) together with (5.92) entails that there is no loss of generality by assuming that at least one among the two conditions below holds true:

$$\langle \partial[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{e}_1] \rangle \in \ker(\mathbf{d}[1, \mathbf{f}]); \quad (5.94)$$

$$\langle \partial[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{e}_1] \rangle \in \ker(\mathbf{d}[1, \mathbf{g}]). \quad (5.95)$$



We prove claim (5.93) assuming (5.94), the proof of claim (5.93) in case (5.95) holds true is the same.

Fix  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V_{CC} [1, m]$  with  $\bar{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{f}$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{g}$ . Chain of equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d} [1, \mathbf{f} \hat{\oplus} \mathbf{g}] (\langle \partial [\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{e}_1] \rangle) &= \\ \langle \partial [(\mathbf{u} \hat{\oplus} \mathbf{v}) \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{e}_1] \rangle &= \langle \partial [(\mathbf{u} \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{w}) \hat{\oplus} (\mathbf{v} \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{w}), \mathbf{e}_1] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

entails that claim (5.93) follows by proving that

$$\langle \partial [(\mathbf{u} \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{w}) \hat{\oplus} (\mathbf{v} \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{w}), \mathbf{e}_1] \rangle = \langle \partial [\mathbf{v} \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{e}_1] \rangle. \quad (5.96)$$

By (3.48), Proposition 4.2.4-[11] and Remark 5.3.2-[2], equality (5.96) follows by proving that

$$\bar{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{u} \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{w}) = \imath c [\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n, 0] \imath. \quad (5.97)$$

By assumption (5.94), Proposition 5.3.3 entails that there is  $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\bar{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{u} \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{w}) = \imath c [\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}, s] \imath$ . Eventually (5.97) follows since  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \in V_{CC}$  entails that  $s = 0$ . ■

In Definition 5.3.6 below we focus attention on generalized  $(M, l)$ -derivations of degree 1 represented by pre-derivations with at least one smooth core. We refer to Definition 5.1.6, Proposition 4.2.4-[10], Remarks 4.2.3, 4.2.5-[2], 4.2.9.

**Definition 5.3.6** Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D} [M, l, m]$  the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace of  $\mathfrak{D} [M, l, m]$  defined by setting

$$\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D} [M, l, m] = \{ \langle \partial \rangle : \partial \in \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r} [M, l, m] \}. \quad (5.98)$$

Elements belonging to the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D} [M, l, m]$  are called  $m$ -dimensional generalized smooth  $(M, l)$ -derivations of degree 1, or  $m$ -dimensional generalized smooth  $(M, l)$ -tangent vectors.

We set  $st [M, l, m] = \mathfrak{i} [\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D} [M, l, m], \mathfrak{D} [M, l, m]]$ .

In Proposition 5.3.7 below we study the stability of the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D} [M, l, m]$  of  $\mathfrak{D} [M, l, m]$  with respect to arrows of  $\mathbb{V}$  which are relevant for our aims. We refer to Notations 11.0.6-[2], 11.0.9-[3], Proposition 4.2.4-[10], (5.59), Remarks 4.2.3, 4.2.5-[2], 4.2.9, 5.1.5-[6].

### Proposition 5.3.7

1. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ . Then there is one and only one  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear arrow  $\mathbf{r} [M, l, m] : \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D} [M, l, m] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  of  $\mathbb{V}$  defined by setting

$$\mathbf{r} [M, l, m] (\langle \partial \rangle) = \mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{p}_{M, l, m} (\partial) \quad \forall \partial \in \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{r} [M, l, m]. \quad (5.99)$$

2. Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then there is one and only one  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear arrow

$$\mathbf{s} [m] : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D} [\mathbb{R}^m, m, m]$$

of  $\mathbb{V}$  defined by setting

$$\mathbf{s}[m](\mathbf{v}) = \langle \partial[\lambda 1_{\mathbb{R}^m} \lambda, \mathbf{v}] \rangle \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Arrow  $\mathbf{s}[m]$  fulfills

$$\mathbf{r}[\mathbb{R}^m, m, m] \circ \mathbf{s}[m] = 1_{\mathbb{R}^m}. \quad (5.100)$$

3. Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty[m, n]$ .  
Then there is one and only one  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear arrow

$$\mathbf{sd}[M, l, \mathfrak{li}(\mathbf{f})] : \mathfrak{SD}[M, l, m] \rightarrow \mathfrak{SD}[M, l, n]$$

of  $\mathbb{V}$  defined by setting

$$\mathbf{sd}[M, l, \mathfrak{li}(\mathbf{f})](\langle \partial \rangle) = \langle \mathfrak{pd}[M, l, \mathfrak{li}(\mathbf{f})](\partial) \rangle \quad \forall \partial \in \mathfrak{SPDet}[M, l, m].$$

Arrow  $\mathbf{sd}[M, l, \mathfrak{li}(\mathbf{f})]$  fulfills both conditions below:

$$\mathbf{d}[M, l, \mathfrak{li}(\mathbf{f})] \circ st[M, l, m] = st[M, l, n] \circ \mathbf{sd}[M, l, \mathfrak{li}(\mathbf{f})]; \quad (5.101)$$

$$d_1^\infty[\mathbf{f}] \circ \mathbf{r}[M, l, m] = \mathbf{r}[M, l, n] \circ \mathbf{sd}[M, l, \mathfrak{li}(\mathbf{f})]. \quad (5.102)$$

4. Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , two  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspaces  $M_1, M_2$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ .  
Then there is one and only one  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear arrow

$$\mathbf{is}[M_1, M_2, l, m] : \mathfrak{SD}[M_1, l, m] \rightarrow \mathfrak{SD}[M_2, l, m]$$

of  $\mathbb{V}$  defined by setting

$$\mathbf{is}[M_1, M_2, l, m](\langle \partial \rangle) = \langle \mathfrak{pi}[M_1, M_2, l, m](\partial) \rangle \quad \forall \partial \in \mathfrak{SPDet}[M, l, m].$$

Arrow  $\mathbf{is}[M_1, M_2, l, m]$  fulfills both conditions below:

$$st[M_2, l, m] \circ \mathbf{is}[M_1, M_2, l, m] = \mathbf{i}[M_1, M_2, l, m] \circ st[M_1, l, m]; \quad (5.103)$$

$$\mathbf{r}[M_2, l, m] \circ \mathbf{is}[M_1, M_2, l, m] = \mathbf{r}[M_1, l, m]; \quad (5.104)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{sd}[M_2, l, \mathfrak{li}(\mathbf{f})] \circ \mathbf{is}[M_1, M_2, l, m] = \\ \mathbf{is}[M_1, M_2, l, n] \circ \mathbf{sd}[M_1, l, \mathfrak{li}(\mathbf{f})] \quad \forall \mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty[m, n]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.105)$$

**Proof.**

**Proof of statement 1.**

Statement is achieved by proving that  $\mathbf{r}[M, l, m]$  is well defined. This is done by evaluating right side of (5.99) at different representatives of  $\langle \partial \rangle$ . We refer to Proposition 5.1.7.

Fix  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $\lambda f_1 \lambda, \lambda f_2 \lambda \in \mathbf{C}^\infty[l, m]$ . Referring to Notations 11.0.8-[1], 11.0.9, Proposition 5.3.3 and assumption  $\langle \partial[\mathfrak{li}(\lambda f_1 \lambda), \mathbf{v}_1] \rangle = \langle \partial[\mathfrak{li}(\lambda f_2 \lambda), \mathbf{v}_2] \rangle$ , finiteness of set  $\{1, \dots, l\}$  entail that there is an open neighborhood  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^l$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^l$  such that

$$f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty[S, \mathbb{R}^m];$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\int_1 (\dots \int_l (\partial_{v_1} (f_{1,m}))) (s) = (\int_1 (\dots \int_l (\partial_{v_2} (f_{2,m}))) (s) \\ \text{for any } m \in \{1, \dots, m\}, s \in \mathcal{I}[\dots \mathcal{I}[S, l], \dots 1]. \end{array} \right. \quad (5.106)$$

Define smooth functions

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} h \in C^\infty [\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^{2l}] \text{ by setting} \\ h_c (s_1, \dots, s_l) = \begin{cases} s_{c/2} & \text{if } c \in \{2\mathbf{b} : \mathbf{b} \in \{1, \dots, l\}\}, \\ 0 & \text{if } c \in \{2\mathbf{b} - 1 : \mathbf{b} \in \{1, \dots, l\}\}; \end{cases} \\ \\ g_{i,m} \in C^\infty [S, 1] \text{ by setting} \\ g_{i,m} (s) = (\int_1 (\dots \int_l (\partial_{v_i} (f_{i,m}))) (h (s)) \quad \forall s \in S \\ \text{for any } i \in \{1, 2\}, m \in \{1, \dots, m\}. \end{array} \right.$$

Eventually statement follows since elementary calculus entails

$$\partial_{v_i} (f_{i,m}) = \partial_l (\dots \partial_1 (g_{i,m}) \dots) \text{ for any } i \in \{1, 2\}, m \in \{1, \dots, m\}$$

then (5.106) entails that  $\partial_{v_1} (f_{1,m}) = \partial_{v_1} (f_{1,m})$  for any  $m \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ .

**Proof of statement 2.** Arrow  $\mathbf{s}[m]$  is well defined by (5.59) and definition of Grothendieck topology on  $\mathbb{V}$ . Equality (5.100) follow straightforwardly by performing computations.

**Proof of statement 3.** Arrow  $\mathbf{sd}[M, l, \mathfrak{li}(\mathbf{f})]$  is well defined since arrow  $\mathbf{d}[M, l, \mathfrak{li}(\mathbf{f})]$  is well defined and  $\mathbf{pd}[M, l, \mathfrak{li}(\mathbf{f})]$  carries pre-derivations with a smooth core to pre-derivations with a smooth core.

Equalities (5.101), (5.102) follow straightforwardly by performing computations.

**Proof of statement 4.** Arrow  $\mathbf{is}[M_1, M_2, l, m]$  is straightforwardly well defined. Equalities (5.103)-(5.105) follow straightforwardly by performing computations. ■

In Proposition 5.3.8 below we prove that the material introduced above can be organized in an exact diagram of  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector spaces in  $\mathbb{V}$  which is natural with respect to differential of smooth functions. We refer to Notation 11.0.9-[3], Proposition 4.2.4-[10], Remarks 4.2.3, 4.2.5-[2], 4.2.9.

### Proposition 5.3.8

1. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ . Then there is an exact

diagram of  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector spaces in  $\mathbb{V}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & 0 & & (5.107) \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D} [M, l, m] & \xrightarrow{\rho[M, l, m]} & \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D} [M, l, m] & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{r}[M, l, m]} & \mathbb{R}^m \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow st[M, l, m] & & \\
 & & & & \mathfrak{D} [M, l, m] & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow \epsilon[M, l, m] & & \\
 & & & & \mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{D} [M, l, m] & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & 0 & & 
 \end{array}$$

where:  $\rho [M, l, m]$  is the kernel of  $\mathbf{r} [M, l, m]$ ;  $\epsilon [M, l, m]$  is the co-kernel of  $st [M, l, m]$ .

If  $M = \mathbb{R}^m$  then the exact row in diagram (5.107) splits and splitting is given by  $\mathbf{s} [m]$ .

2. Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty [m, n]$ . Then the

diagram below commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & 0 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D}[M, l, n] & \xrightarrow{\rho[M, l, n]} & \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D}[M, l, n] & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{r}[M, l, n]} & \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow 0 \\
 & \nearrow \mathbf{kd}[M, l, \mathfrak{li}(\mathbf{f})] & & & \nearrow \mathbf{sd}[M, l, \mathfrak{li}(\mathbf{f})] & & \nearrow d_{\mathfrak{r}}^{\infty}[\mathbf{f}] \\
 0 & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D}[M, l, m] & \xrightarrow{\rho[M, l, m]} & \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D}[M, l, m] & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{r}[M, l, m]} & \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow \mathbf{sl}[M, l, n] & & \\
 & & & & \mathfrak{D}[M, l, n] & & \\
 & & & & \nearrow \mathbf{d}[M, l, \mathfrak{li}(\mathbf{f})] & & \\
 & & & & \mathfrak{D}[M, l, m] & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow \mathbf{e}[M, l, n] & & \\
 & & & & \mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{D}[M, l, n] & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & 0 & & \\
 & & & & \nearrow \mathbf{cd}[M, l, \mathfrak{li}(\mathbf{f})] & & \\
 & & & & \mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{D}[M, l, m] & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & 0 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & 0 & & 
 \end{array}$$

(5.108)

where:  $\mathbf{kd}[M, l, \mathfrak{li}(\mathbf{f})]$  is the natural arrow induced on kernels by commutative square A;  $\mathbf{cd}[M, l, \mathfrak{li}(\mathbf{f})]$  is the natural arrow induced on co-kernels by commutative square B.

3. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , two  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspaces  $M_1, M_2$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ . Then the diagram

below commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & 0 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D}[M_2, l, m] & \xrightarrow{\rho[M_2, l, m]} & \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D}[M_2, l, m] & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{r}[M_2, l, m]} & \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow 0 \\
 & \nearrow \mathfrak{tis}[M_1, M_2, l, m] & & & \downarrow \mathfrak{is}[M_1, M_2, l, m] & \nearrow \mathfrak{is}[M_1, M_2, l, m] & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D}[M_1, l, m] & \xrightarrow{\rho[M_1, l, m]} & \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D}[M_1, l, m] & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{r}[M_1, l, m]} & \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow \mathfrak{su}[M_2, l, m] & & \\
 & & & & \mathfrak{D}[M_2, l, m] & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow \mathfrak{i}[M_1, M_2, l, m] & & \\
 & & & & \mathfrak{D}[M_1, l, m] & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow \mathfrak{e}[M_2, l, m] & & \\
 & & & & \mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{D}[M_2, l, m] & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow \mathfrak{cti}[M_1, M_2, l, m] & & \\
 & & & & \mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{D}[M_1, l, m] & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & 0 & & 
 \end{array}
 \tag{5.109}$$

where:  $\mathfrak{tis}[M_1, M_2, l, m]$  is the natural arrow induced on kernels by commutative square A;  $\mathfrak{cti}[M_1, M_2, l, m]$  is the natural arrow induced on cokernels by commutative square B.

**Proof.** Statement follows by Proposition 5.3.7, Remark 5.3.2-[6, 7]. ■  
 In Remark 5.3.9 below we explicitly describe some straightforward consequences of Propositions 5.3.7, 5.3.8.

**Remark 5.3.9** *Splitting of the exact row of diagram (5.107) is not natural since in general we have  $\mathfrak{s}[n] \circ d_1^\infty[\mathfrak{f}] \neq \mathfrak{sd}[\mathbb{R}^l, l, \mathfrak{li}(\mathfrak{f})] \circ \mathfrak{s}[m]$ . This happens because pre-differentials change cores of pre-derivations then generalized differentials of degree 1 change cores of pre-derivations representing generalized derivations on which they acts.*

In Definition 5.3.10, Propositions 5.3.11, 5.3.13 below we study the behavior of generalized derivation operators of degree 1 (i.e. generalized tangent vectors) with respect to the sum of dimensions. We refer to Proposition 4.2.4-[3].

**Definition 5.3.10** *Fix  $l, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ . We define  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear arrows of  $\mathbb{V}$*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tau[M, l, m_1 + m_2, m_i] : \mathfrak{D}[M, l, m_1 + m_2] \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}[M, l, m_i] \text{ by setting:} \\ \tau[M, l, m_1 + m_2, m_i] = \mathfrak{d}[M, l, \mathfrak{li}(\gamma_{\mathfrak{p}_i}[\mathbb{R}^{m_1}, \mathbb{R}^{m_2}]\gamma)]; \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sigma [M, l, m_i, m_1 + m_2] : \mathfrak{D} [M, l, m_i] \rightarrow \mathfrak{D} [M, l, m_1 + m_2] \text{ by setting:} \\ \sigma [M, l, m_i, m_1 + m_2] = \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{d} [M, l, \text{li} (\lambda ((1_{\mathbb{R}^{m_1}}, c [\mathbb{R}^{m_1}, \mathbb{R}^{m_2}, 0]) \circ \Delta [\mathbb{R}^{m_1}, 2]) \lambda)] & \text{if } i = 1, \\ \mathbf{d} [M, l, \text{li} (\lambda ((c [\mathbb{R}^{m_2}, \mathbb{R}^{m_1}, 0], 1_{\mathbb{R}^{m_2}}) \circ \Delta [\mathbb{R}^{m_2}, 2]) \lambda)] & \text{if } i = 2. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.$$

**Proposition 5.3.11**

1. Fix  $l, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ . Then:

$$\tau [M, l, m_1 + m_2, m_i] \circ \sigma [M, l, m_i, m_1 + m_2] = 1_{\mathfrak{D} [M, l, m_i]};$$

$$\tau [M, l, m_1 + m_2, m_i] (\mathfrak{SD} [M, l, m_1 + m_2]) \subseteq \mathfrak{SD} [M, l, m_i];$$

$$\sigma [M, l, m_i, m_1 + m_2] (\mathfrak{SD} [M, l, m_i]) \subseteq \mathfrak{SD} [M, l, m_1 + m_2].$$

2. Fix  $l, m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_1 \in V_{CC} [m_1, n_1]$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_2 \in V_{CC} [m_2, n_2]$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d} [M, l, \mathbf{u}_1] \circ \tau [M, l, m_1 + m_2, m_i] = \\ \tau [M, l, n_1 + n_2, n_i] \circ \mathbf{d} [M, l, \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2 \rangle]; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d} [M, l, \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2 \rangle] \circ \sigma [M, l, m_i, m_1 + m_2] = \\ \sigma [M, l, n_i, n_1 + n_2] \circ \mathbf{d} [M, l, \mathbf{u}_i]. \end{aligned}$$

3. Fix  $l, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , two  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspaces  $M_1, M_2$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau [M_2, l, m_1 + m_2, m_i] \circ \mathbf{i} [M_1, M_2, l, m_1 + m_2] = \\ \mathbf{i} [M_1, M_2, l, m_i] \circ \tau [M_1, l, m_1 + m_2, m_i]; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma [M_2, l, m_i, m_1 + m_2] \circ \mathbf{i} [M_1, M_2, l, m_i] = \\ \mathbf{i} [M_1, M_2, l, m_1 + m_2] \circ \sigma [M_1, l, m_i, m_1 + m_2]. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Statement follows by direct computation. ■

**Remark 5.3.12**

1.  $\tau [M, l, m_1 + m_2, m_i]$  extends to generalized tangent vectors the corresponding natural arrow  $\tau^\infty [m_1 + m_2, m_i]$  which is well known in the smooth setting.  
 $\sigma [M, l, m_i, m_1 + m_2]$  extends to generalized tangent vectors the corresponding natural arrow  $\sigma^\infty [m_i, m_1 + m_2]$  which is well known in the smooth setting.
2.  $\tau^\infty [m_1 + m_2, m_i]$  and  $\sigma^\infty [m_i, m_1 + m_2]$  together define a bi-product diagram where we refer to [19] Chap 8 § 2.

**Proposition 5.3.13** Fix  $l, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ . Then:

$$\mathbf{r}[M, l, m_i] \circ \tau[M, l, m_1 + m_2, m_i] = \tau^\infty[m_1 + m_2, m_i] \circ \mathbf{r}[M, l, m_1 + m_2];$$

$$\mathbf{r}[M, l, m_1 + m_2] \circ \sigma[M, l, m_i, m_1 + m_2] = \sigma^\infty[m_1 + m_2, m_i] \circ \mathbf{r}[M, l, m_i].$$

**Proof.** Statement follows by direct computation. ■

In Remark 5.3.14 below we show the necessity of the Grothendieck topology for spaces defined above.

**Remark 5.3.14** Here we show by an example that spaces of derivations of degree 1 cannot be endowed with a classical topology if we want that Theorem 7.0.2 makes sense. In fact we need that for any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $A \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $B \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^n$ , continuous function  $f : A \rightarrow B$  the set function associating to any  $s \in A$  the generalized differential of  $f$  at  $s$  must be a continuous function. This is equivalent to ask that functions described in Remark 5.2.8-[4] are continuous functions. Any classical topology on spaces of derivations of degree 1 and compatible with continuity condition of functions described in Remark 5.2.8-[4] has the drawback described below.

Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ , a smooth function  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ . Assume that there is an open neighborhood  $A_i \subseteq A$  of  $a_i$  for any  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  such that  $\text{Cl}[A_1, \mathbb{R}^l] \cap \text{Cl}[A_2, \mathbb{R}^l] = \emptyset$  and  $f \circ i[A_1, A] = f \circ (i[A_2, A] \circ \text{tr}_{a_2 - a_1})$ . Fix a path  $\sigma : (-1, 1) \rightarrow A_1$  with  $\sigma(0) = a_1$  and define:

the set function  $\sigma_1 : (-1, 1) \rightarrow A$  by setting

$$\sigma_1(t) = \begin{cases} \sigma(t) & \text{if } t \leq 0, \\ (\text{tr}_{a_2 - a_1} \circ \sigma)(t) & \text{if } t > 0; \end{cases}$$

the set function  $\mu : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}[\mathbb{R}^l, l, m]$  by setting

$$\mu(t) = \partial[\text{li}(\text{tr}_{-f(\sigma(t))} \diamond (f \diamond \text{tr}_{\sigma(t)}) \wr), \mathbf{e}_1] \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1);$$

the set function  $\mu_1 : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}[\mathbb{R}^l, l, m]$  by setting

$$\mu_1(t) = \partial[\text{li}(\text{tr}_{-f(\sigma_1(t))} \diamond (f \diamond \text{tr}_{\sigma_1(t)}) \wr), \mathbf{e}_1] \quad \forall t \in (-1, 1).$$

Since functions  $f \circ \text{tr}_{\sigma(t)}$ ,  $f \circ \text{tr}_{\sigma_1(t)}$  coincides in a suitable neighborhood of 0 for any  $t \in (-1, 1)$  we have that set functions  $\mu$  and  $\mu_1$  coincide, then any classical topology on  $\mathbf{M}[\mathbb{R}^l, l, m]$  making  $\mu$  into a continuous function will make  $\mu_1$  a continuous function too. Hence, by referring to Definition 5.2.6-[5], any classical topology on  $\mathfrak{D}[\mathbb{R}^l, l, m]$  which makes  $\mathbf{p} \circ (\mathbf{q} \circ \mu)$  into a continuous function also makes  $\mathbf{p} \circ (\mathbf{q} \circ \mu_1)$  into a continuous function.

Such a drawback is intrinsic to classical topologies since they are based on subsets and do not allow any control on functions which generate such subsets.

In Notation 5.3.15 below we introduce functors, natural arrows, objects and arrows which will be used in forthcoming sections. We refer to (5.46)-[(iv)], [20] Chap. III. § 5.



**Notation 5.3.15**

1. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , one among symbols  $V, V_{CC}, V_{CC0}$  and denote it by  $\mathfrak{S}$ .  
We denote by  $\mathfrak{S}_{l,m} : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{VEC}_{\mathbb{R}}$  the presheaf defined by setting

$$\mathfrak{S}_{l,m}(X) = \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(X, \mathfrak{S}[l, m]) \text{ for any object } X \text{ of } \mathbb{V};$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_{l,m}(f) = \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(f, 1_{\mathfrak{S}[l,m]}) \text{ for any arrow } f \text{ of } \mathbb{V}.$$

We denote by  $\mathfrak{S}_{l,m}^+$  the presheaf obtained by applying the plus-construction to  $\mathfrak{S}_{l,m}$ .

We denote by  $\mathfrak{S}_{l,m}^{++}$  the sheaf obtained by applying the plus-construction twice to  $\mathfrak{S}_{l,m}$ .

For any fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we denote by  $\mathfrak{S}_{l,m}(n)$  the stalk at  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  of the sheaf  $\mathfrak{S}_{l,m}^{++}$ . We emphasize that  $\mathfrak{S}_{l,m}(n)$  is naturally isomorphic to the stalk of  $\mathfrak{S}_{l,m}^{++}$  at any other point  $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , due to the structure of Euclidean topology of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Any external or internal algebraic operation induced on  $\mathfrak{S}_{l,m}(n)$  by  $\mathfrak{S}_{l,m}$  will be denoted by the same symbol used to denote the corresponding operation on  $\mathfrak{S}_{l,m}$ , with an abuse of language.

For any fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $S \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x \in \mathfrak{S}_{l,m}(S)$  we denote by  $\langle x \rangle$  the arrow belonging to  $\text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S, \mathfrak{S}_{l,m}(n))$  defined by setting  $\langle x \rangle(s)$  to be the germ of  $x$  at  $s$  for any  $s \in S$ .

2. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ , one among symbols  $\mathbf{M}, \mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{PDet}, \mathfrak{SPDet}, \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{D}, \mathfrak{SD}, \mathfrak{KSD}, \mathfrak{CD}$  and denote it by  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

We denote by  $\mathfrak{S}_{M,l,m} : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{VEC}_{\mathbb{R}}$  the presheaf defined by setting

$$\mathfrak{S}_{M,l,m}(X) = \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(X, \mathfrak{S}[M, l, m]) \text{ for any object } X \text{ of } \mathbb{V};$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_{M,l,m}(f) = \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(f, 1_{\mathfrak{S}[M,l,m]}) \text{ for any arrow } f \text{ of } \mathbb{V}.$$

We denote by  $\mathfrak{S}_{M,l,m}^+$  the presheaf obtained by applying the plus-construction to  $\mathfrak{S}_{M,l,m}$ .

We denote by  $\mathfrak{S}_{M,l,m}^{++}$  the sheaf obtained by applying the plus-construction twice to  $\mathfrak{S}_{M,l,m}$ .

For any fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we denote by  $\mathfrak{S}_{M,l,m}(n)$  the stalk at  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  of the sheaf  $\mathfrak{S}_{M,l,m}^{++}$ . We emphasize that  $\mathfrak{S}_{M,l,m}(n)$  is naturally isomorphic to the stalk of  $\mathfrak{S}_{M,l,m}^{++}$  at any other point  $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , due to the structure of Euclidean topology of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Any external or internal algebraic operation induced on  $\mathfrak{S}_{M,l,m}(n)$  by  $\mathfrak{S}_{M,l,m}$  will be denoted by the same symbol used to denote the corresponding operation on  $\mathfrak{S}_{M,l,m}$ , with an abuse of language.

For any fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $S \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x \in \mathfrak{S}_{M,l,m}(S)$  we denote by  $\langle x \rangle$  the arrow belonging to  $\text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S, \mathfrak{S}_{M,l,m}(n))$  defined by setting  $\langle x \rangle(s)$  to be the germ of  $x$  at  $s$  for any  $s \in S$ .

If  $M = \mathbb{R}^l$  then symbol  $M$  will be dropped in the notation.

We set:

$$\mathfrak{S}[m] = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathfrak{S}[l, m];$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{S}_m &= \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathfrak{S}_{l,m}; \\ \mathfrak{S}_m^+ &= \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathfrak{S}_{l,m}^+; \\ \mathfrak{S}_m^{++} &= \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathfrak{S}_{l,m}^{++}; \\ \mathfrak{S}_m(n) &= \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathfrak{S}_{l,m}(n).\end{aligned}$$

3. Fix  $l, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \in V_{CC}[m_1, m_2]$ , one among symbols  $\mathbf{pd}$ ,  $\mathbf{pd}^+$ ,  $\mathbf{d}$  and denote it by  $\varsigma$ .

We denote by  $\varsigma_{M,l,\mathbf{u}}$  the natural arrow defined by setting

$$\varsigma_{M,l,\mathbf{u}}(X) = \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(1_X, \varsigma[M, l, \mathbf{u}]) \text{ for any object } X \text{ of } \mathbb{V}.$$

We denote by  $\varsigma_{M,l,\mathbf{u}}^+$  the natural arrow obtained by applying the plus-construction to  $\varsigma_{M,l,\mathbf{u}}$ .

We denote by  $\varsigma_{M,l,\mathbf{u}}^{++}$  the natural arrow obtained by applying the plus-construction twice to  $\varsigma_{M,l,\mathbf{u}}$ .

For any fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we denote by  $\varsigma_{M,l,\mathbf{u}}(n)$  the arrow induced on stalks at  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  by the natural arrow  $\varsigma_{M,l,\mathbf{u}}^{++}$ .

Definition 5.2.4, Proposition 4.2.4-[1] entail that  $\varsigma_{M,l,\mathbf{u}}(n)$  takes elements represented by at least one special set function to elements represented by at least one special set function.

If  $M = \mathbb{R}^l$  then symbol  $M$  will be dropped in the notation.

We set:

$$\begin{aligned}\varsigma[\mathbf{u}] &= \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \varsigma[l, \mathbf{u}]; \\ \varsigma_{\mathbf{u}} &= \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \varsigma_{l,\mathbf{u}}; \\ \varsigma_{\mathbf{u}}^+ &= \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \varsigma_{l,\mathbf{u}}^+; \\ \varsigma_{\mathbf{u}}^{++} &= \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \varsigma_{l,\mathbf{u}}^{++}; \\ \varsigma_{\mathbf{u}}(n) &= \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \varsigma_{l,\mathbf{u}}(n).\end{aligned}$$

4. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ , one among symbols  $\mathbf{q}$ ,  $\mathbf{p}$ ,  $\mathbf{sl}$ ,  $\rho$ ,  $\epsilon$  and denote it by  $\varsigma$ .

We denote by  $\varsigma_{M,l,m}$  the natural arrow defined by setting

$$\varsigma_{M,l,m}(X) = \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(1_X, \varsigma[M, l, m]) \text{ for any object } X \text{ of } \mathbb{V}.$$

We denote by  $\varsigma_{M,l,m}^+$  the natural arrow obtained by applying the plus-construction to  $\varsigma_{M,l,m}$ .

We denote by  $\varsigma_{M,l,m}^{++}$  the natural arrow obtained by applying the plus-construction twice to  $\varsigma_{M,l,m}$ .

For any fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we denote by  $\varsigma_{M,l,m}(n)$  the arrow induced on stalks at  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  by the natural arrow  $\varsigma_{M,l,m}^{++}$ .

Arrow  $\varsigma_{M,l,m}(n)$  takes elements represented by at least one special set function to elements represented by at least one special set function.

If  $M = \mathbb{R}^l$  then symbol  $M$  will be dropped in the notation.

We set:

$$\varsigma[m] = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \varsigma[l, m];$$

$$\varsigma_m = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \varsigma_{l,m};$$

$$\varsigma_m^+ = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \varsigma_{l,m}^+;$$

$$\varsigma_m^{++} = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \varsigma_{l,m}^{++};$$

$$\varsigma_m(n) = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \varsigma_{l,m}(n).$$

5. Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty[m, n]$ , one among symbols **sd**, **kd**, **cd** and denote it by  $\varsigma$ .

We denote by  $\varsigma_{M,l,\text{li}(\mathbf{f})}$  the natural arrow defined by setting

$$\varsigma_{M,l,\text{li}(\mathbf{f})}(X) = \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(1_X, \varsigma[M, l, \text{li}(\mathbf{f})]) \text{ for any object } X \text{ of } \mathbb{V}.$$

We denote by  $\varsigma_{M,l,\text{li}(\mathbf{f})}^+$  the natural arrow obtained by applying the plus-construction to  $\varsigma_{M,l,\text{li}(\mathbf{f})}$ .

We denote by  $\varsigma_{M,l,\text{li}(\mathbf{f})}^{++}$  the natural arrow obtained by applying the plus-construction twice to  $\varsigma_{M,l,\text{li}(\mathbf{f})}$ .

For any fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we denote by  $\varsigma_{M,l,\text{li}(\mathbf{f})}(n)$  the arrow induced on stalks at  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  by the natural arrow  $\varsigma_{M,l,\text{li}(\mathbf{f})}^{++}$ .

Definition 5.2.4, Proposition 4.2.4-[1] entail that  $\varsigma_{M,l,\text{li}(\mathbf{f})}(n)$  takes elements represented by at least one special set function to elements represented by at least one special set function.

If  $M = \mathbb{R}^l$  then symbol  $M$  will be dropped in the notation.

We set:

$$\varsigma[\text{li}(\mathbf{f})] = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \varsigma[l, \text{li}(\mathbf{f})];$$

$$\varsigma_{\text{li}(\mathbf{f})} = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \varsigma_{l,\text{li}(\mathbf{f})};$$

$$\varsigma_{\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{f})}^+ = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \varsigma_{l, \mathbf{i}(\mathbf{f})}^+;$$

$$\varsigma_{\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{f})}^{++} = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \varsigma_{l, \mathbf{i}(\mathbf{f})}^{++};$$

$$\varsigma_{\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{f})}(n) = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \varsigma_{l, \mathbf{i}(\mathbf{f})}(n).$$

6. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspaces  $M_1, M_2$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ , one among symbols  $\mathbf{i}$ ,  $\mathbf{is}$ ,  $\mathbf{ci}$  and denote it by  $\varsigma$ .

We denote by  $\varsigma_{M_1, M_2, l, m}$  the natural arrow defined by setting

$$\varsigma_{M_1, M_2, l, m}(X) = \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(1_X, \varsigma[M_1, M_2, l, m]) \text{ for any object } X \text{ of } \mathbb{V}.$$

We denote by  $\varsigma_{M_1, M_2, l, m}^+$  the natural arrow obtained by applying the plus-construction to  $\varsigma_{M_1, M_2, l, m}$ .

We denote by  $\varsigma_{M_1, M_2, l, m}^{++}$  the natural arrow obtained by applying the plus-construction twice to  $\varsigma_{M_1, M_2, l, m}$ .

For any fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we denote by  $\varsigma_{M_1, M_2, l, m}(n)$  the arrow induced on stalks at  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  by the natural arrow  $\varsigma_{M_1, M_2, l, m}^{++}$ .

Arrow  $\varsigma_{M_1, M_2, l, m}(n)$  takes elements represented by at least one special set function to elements represented by at least one special set function.

7. Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , an  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^l$ .

We denote by  $\mathbf{r}_{M, l, m}$  the natural arrow defined by setting

$$\mathbf{r}_{M, l, m}(X) = \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(1_X, \mathbf{r}[M, l, m]) \text{ for any object } X \text{ of } \mathbb{V}.$$

We denote by  $\mathbf{r}_{M, l, m}^+$  the natural arrow obtained by applying the plus-construction to  $\mathbf{r}_{M, l, m}$ .

We denote by  $\mathbf{r}_{M, l, m}^{++}$  the natural arrow obtained by applying the plus-construction twice to  $\mathbf{r}_{M, l, m}$ .

For any fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we denote by  $\mathbf{r}_{M, l, m}(n)$  the arrow induced on stalks at  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  by the natural arrow  $\mathbf{r}_{M, l, m}^{++}$ .

If  $M = \mathbb{R}^l$  then symbol  $M$  will be dropped in the notation.

We set:

$$\mathbf{r}[m] = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbf{r}[l, m];$$

$$\mathbf{r}_m = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbf{r}_{l, m};$$

$$\mathbf{r}_m^+ = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbf{r}_{l, m}^+;$$

$$\mathbf{r}_m^{++} = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbf{r}_{l, m}^{++};$$

$$\mathbf{r}_m(n) = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbf{r}_{l,m}(n).$$

**Remark 5.3.16**

1. We emphasize that if Grothendieck topology  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $\mathbb{V}$  is subcanonical then functors  $\mathfrak{S}_{M,l,m}$ ,  $\mathfrak{S}_{M,l,m}^+$ ,  $\mathfrak{S}_{M,l,m}^{++}$  introduced in Notation 5.3.15 all define the same sheaf.
2. Definition 5.2.15, Remark 5.2.16 entail that the well known construction of tensor, exterior and symmetric algebras starting from a given vector space, or a given sheaf of vector spaces, specializes to the case of generalized derivations. More precisely fix one of the functors  $\mathbf{T}$ ,  $\mathbf{E}$ ,  $\mathbf{S}$  and denote it by  $\mathbf{F}$ . By applying  $\mathbf{F}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector spaces, arrows, presheaves and natural arrows introduced up to now in this section we get the corresponding  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras, arrows and related results in  $\mathbb{V}$ . If we fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and we apply functor  $\mathbf{F}_k$  to vector spaces, arrows, presheaves and natural arrows introduced up to now in this section then we get the degree  $k$  homogeneous component of algebras, arrows and related results provided by  $\mathbf{F}$ .  
If  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{S}$  then we get the algebra of higher degree generalized derivations. If we fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and we apply functor  $\mathbf{S}_k$  then we get degree  $k$  generalized derivations. It is well known that  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R}^m)$  is the algebra  $\Delta_*^\infty[m]$  of derivations introduced in Notation 11.0.9, then results of Propositions 5.3.7, 5.3.8, 5.3.13, Remarks 5.3.9, 5.3.12, provide relations among derivations and generalized derivations.
3. Notation 5.3.15-[1] can be repeated word by word both in case of germs of local smooth functions by replacing  $V$ ,  $V_{CC}$  respectively by  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ ,  $\mathbf{C}_{CC}^\infty$  and in case of germs of local continuous functions by replacing  $V$ ,  $V_{CC}$  respectively by  $\mathbf{C}^0$ ,  $\mathbf{C}_{CC}^0$ .



# Chapter 6

## Foundations of generalized calculus

In this chapter we introduce the notion of calculus in category  $\mathbb{V}$ . Calculus is defined locally, as in category **Top**, where locality is to be understood with respect to Grothendieck topology and derivatives are computed with respect to generalized derivations, then any arrow of  $\mathbb{V}$  turns out to be locally smooth.

### 6.1 Corresponding arrows

In Definition 6.1.1 below we introduce the notion of corresponding arrows taking values in spaces of generalized germs.

We refer to Definitions 4.2.1, 4.2.6, Proposition 4.2.4.

#### Definition 6.1.1

1. Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , interval  $S \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $s \in S$ ,  $\nu_1 \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S, V[l, n])$ ,  $\nu_2 \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S, V[l + m, n])$ .

Assume that there are an open neighborhood  $S_s \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} S$  of  $s \in S$ , an open neighborhood  $Y_s$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $v_s \in W(Y \times (\text{tr}_{-s}(S_s)), \mathbb{R}^n)$  fulfilling both conditions below:

$$\nu_1(r) = v_s^\nabla(0, r - s) \widehat{\circ} \text{li}(\text{lb}_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \wr) \quad \forall r \in S_s; \quad (6.1)$$

$$\nu_2(r) = v_s^\nabla(0, r - s) \quad \forall r \in S_s. \quad (6.2)$$

We say that:  $\nu_2$  corresponds to  $\nu_1$  at  $s$  or that  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  are corresponding arrows at  $s$  or that there is a correspondence between  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  at  $s$ ; the triplet  $(Y_s, S_s, v_s)$  is a representation of the correspondence between  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  at  $s$ .

2. Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , interval  $S \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$ , arrows  $\nu_1 \in \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S, V[l, n])$ ,  $\nu_2 \in \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S, V[l + m, n])$ .

We say that  $\nu_2$  corresponds to  $\nu_1$  or that  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  are corresponding arrows or that there is a correspondence between  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  if and only if  $\nu_2$  corresponds to  $\nu_1$  at  $s$  for any  $s \in S$ .

3. Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $S \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S, V[l, n])$ . We define

$$\phi[S, l, n](\nu) = \left\{ \overset{\star}{\nu} \in \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S, V[l, n]) : \overset{\star}{\nu} \text{ corresponds to } \nu \right\}.$$

In Proposition 6.1.2 below we study the structure of corresponding arrows. We refer to Notations 3.4.3-[2], 11.0.3-[1, 11], Definitions 2.0.1, 4.1.5, Propositions 4.2.4, 5.2.9, Remarks 3.4.4, 5.2.8, 5.2.12.

### Proposition 6.1.2

1. Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $S \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S, V[l, n])$ ,  $\overset{\star}{\nu} \in \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S, V[l + m, n])$ . Then both statement below hold true:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overset{\star}{\nu}(s) \widehat{\circ} \widehat{\text{li}}(\text{lb}_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, r)] \iota) = \nu(s + r) \\ \text{for any } s \in S, r \in \mathbb{R}^m; \end{array} \right. \quad (6.3)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overset{\star}{\nu}(s) \widehat{\circ} \widehat{\text{li}}(\text{lb}_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, r_1 + r_2)] \iota) = \\ \overset{\star}{\nu}(s + r_1) \widehat{\circ} \widehat{\text{li}}(\text{lb}_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, r_2)] \iota) \\ \text{for any } s \in S, r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m. \end{array} \right. \quad (6.4)$$

2. Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $S \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $u \in W$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S, V[l, n])$ ,  $\overset{\star}{\nu}$  corresponding to  $\nu$ . Then  $u \square \overset{\star}{\nu}$  corresponds to  $u \square \nu$ .

3. Fix  $l_1, l_2, m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , intervals  $S_1 \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^{m_1}$ ,  $S_2 \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^{m_2}$ ,  $\nu_1 \in \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_1, V[l_1, n_1])$ ,  $\overset{\star}{\nu}_1$  corresponding to  $\nu_1$ ,  $\nu_2 \in \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_2, V[l_2, n_2])$ ,  $\overset{\star}{\nu}_2$  corresponding to  $\nu_2$ .

Define:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} h : \mathbb{R}^{l_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{l_2} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{l_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{l_2} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_2} \text{ by setting} \\ h(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) = (s_1, s_3, s_2, s_3) \\ \forall (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_3) \in \mathbb{R}^{l_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{l_2} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_2}; \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \nu_3 \in \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_1 \times S_2, V[l_1 + l_2, n_1 + n_2]) \text{ by setting} \\ \nu_3(s_1, s_2) = \langle \nu_1(s_1), \nu_2(s_2) \rangle \quad \forall (s_1, s_2) \in S_1 \times S_2; \end{array} \right.$$



$$\begin{cases} \check{\nu}_3 \in \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_1 \times S_2, V[l_1 + l_2 + m_1 + m_2, n_1 + n_2]) \\ \text{by setting} \\ \check{\nu}_3(s_1, s_2) = \langle \check{\nu}_1(s_1), \check{\nu}_2(s_2) \rangle \widehat{\circ} \widehat{\text{li}}(\imath h \imath) \quad \forall (s_1, s_2) \in S_1 \times S_2. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\check{\nu}_3$  corresponds to  $\nu_3$ .

4. Fix  $l, m_1, m_2, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $S_1 \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^{m_1}$ ,  $S_2 \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^{m_2}$ ,  $s_{1,0} \in S_1$ ,  $s_{2,0} \in S_2$ ,  $f \in \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_1, S_2)$  with  $f(s_{1,0}) = s_{2,0}$ ,  $\nu_2 \in \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_2, V_{CC}[l, n])$ , an arrow  $\tilde{\nu}_2$  corresponding to  $\nu_2$ , a representation  $(Y_{s_{2,0}}, S_{s_{2,0}}, v_{s_{2,0}})$  of the correspondence between  $\nu_2$  and  $\tilde{\nu}_2$  at  $s_{2,0}$ , an open neighborhood  $S_{s_{1,0}}$  of  $s_{1,0} \in S_1$  with  $f(S_{s_{1,0}}) \subset\subset S_{s_{2,0}}$ ,  $u \in W_{CC}(S_{s_{1,0}}, \mathbb{R}^{m_2})$  with  $\text{ev}(u) = f \circ i[S_{s_{1,0}}, S_1]$ .

Define:

$$\begin{cases} v_{s_{1,0}} \in W_{CC}(Y_{s_{2,0}} \times \text{tr}_{-s_{1,0}}(S_{s_{1,0}}), \mathbb{R}^n) \text{ by setting} \\ v_{s_{1,0}} = v_{s_{2,0}} \diamond |i[Y_{s_{2,0}}, \mathbb{R}^l], \text{tr}_{-s_{2,0}} \diamond u \diamond \text{tr}_{s_{1,0}}|; \\ \\ \begin{cases} \text{the arrow } \nu_{1,0} \in \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_{1,0}, V[l, n]) \text{ by setting} \\ \nu_{1,0}(s) = v_{s_{1,0}}^\nabla(0, s - s_{1,0}) \widehat{\circ} \widehat{\text{li}}(\imath b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^{m_1}, 0)] \imath) \quad \forall s \in S_{s_{1,0}}; \end{cases} \\ \\ \begin{cases} \text{the arrow } \check{\nu}_{1,0} \in \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_{1,0}, V[l + m_1, n]) \text{ by setting} \\ \check{\nu}_{1,0}(s) = v_{s_{1,0}}^\nabla(0, s - s_{1,0}) \quad \forall s \in S_{s_{1,0}}. \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Then:

$\check{\nu}_{1,0}$  corresponds to  $\nu_{1,0}$ ;

$$\begin{cases} (Y_{s_{2,0}}, S_{s_{1,0}}, v_{s_{1,0}}) \text{ is a representation of the correspondence} \\ \text{between } \nu_{1,0} \text{ and } \check{\nu}_{1,0} \text{ at } s_{1,0}; \end{cases}$$

$$\bar{\tau} \circ \nu_{1,0} = \bar{\tau} \circ (\nu_2 \circ (f \circ i[S_{s_{1,0}}, \mathbb{R}^{m_1}])). \quad (6.5)$$

5. Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{m} \in \{\int_l, \mathbf{d}_l, \mathbf{c}_l, \mathbf{p}_l, \mathbf{q}_l : l \in \{1, \dots, l\}\}$ ,  $S \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S, V[l, n])$ ,  $\check{\nu}$  corresponding to  $\nu$ .

Then  $\mathbf{m} \widehat{\circ} \check{\nu}$  corresponds to  $\mathbf{m} \widehat{\circ} \nu$ .

**Proof.**

**Proof of statement 1.** Fix points  $s_0 \in S$ ,  $r, r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , a representation  $(Y_{s_0}, S_{s_0}, v_{s_0})$  of the correspondence between  $\nu$  and  $\check{\nu}$  at  $s_0$ .

Statement (6.3) follows by the chain of equalities below

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\nu}(s) \widehat{\circ} \widehat{\text{li}}(\imath b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, r)] \imath) &= v_{s_0}^\nabla(0, s - s_0 + r) = \\ v_{s_0}^\nabla(0, s + r - s_0) \widehat{\circ} \widehat{\text{li}}(\imath b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \imath) &= \nu(s + r) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $s \in S$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .

Statement (6.4) follows by the chain of equalities below

$$\begin{aligned}
& \check{\nu}^*(s) \widehat{\circlearrowleft} \mathfrak{fi}(\lambda \mathfrak{b}_1 [(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, r_1 + r_2)] \lambda) = v_{s_0}^\nabla(0, s - s_0 + r_1 + r_2) = \\
& v_{s_0}^\nabla(0, s + r_1 - s_0) \widehat{\circlearrowleft} \mathfrak{fi}(\lambda \mathfrak{b}_1 [(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, r_2)] \lambda) = \\
& \check{\nu}^*(s + r_1) \widehat{\circlearrowleft} \mathfrak{fi}(\lambda \mathfrak{b}_1 [(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, r_2)] \lambda) \\
& \text{for any } s \in S, r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m.
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof of statement 2.** Fix  $s_0 \in S$ , a representation  $(Y_{s_0}, S_{s_0}, v_{s_0})$  of the correspondence between  $\nu$  and  $\check{\nu}^*$  at  $s_0$ . Proposition 4.2.4-[1] entails that

$$(u \square v_{s_0}^\nabla)(\mathbf{v}, s) = (u \diamond v_{s_0})^\nabla(\mathbf{v}, s) \quad \forall (\mathbf{v}, s) \in Y \times (\text{tr}_{-s_0}(S_0)).$$

Eventually statement follows since:

$$\begin{aligned}
u \square \nu(s) &= (u \diamond v_{s_0})^\nabla(0, s - s_0) \widehat{\circlearrowleft} \mathfrak{fi}(\lambda \mathfrak{b}_1 [(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \lambda) \quad \forall s \in S_0; \\
u \square \check{\nu}^*(s) &= (u \diamond v_{s_0})^\nabla(0, s - s_0) \quad \forall s \in S_0.
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof of statement 3.** Fix points  $s_{1,0} \in S_1$ ,  $s_{2,0} \in S_2$ , a representation  $(Y_{s_{1,0}}, S_{s_{1,0}}, v_{s_{1,0}})$  of the correspondence between  $\nu_1$  and  $\check{\nu}_1^*$  at  $s_{1,0}$ , a representation  $(Y_{s_{2,0}}, S_{s_{2,0}}, v_{s_{2,0}})$  of the correspondence between  $\nu_2$  and  $\check{\nu}_2^*$  at  $s_{2,0}$ . Define:

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_3 &= Y_{s_{1,0}} \times Y_{s_{2,0}}; \\
S_3 &= S_{s_{1,0}} \times S_{s_{2,0}}; \\
\left\{ \begin{array}{l} v_3 \in W(Y_3 \times (\text{tr}_{-(s_{1,0}, s_{2,0})}(S_3)), \mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}) \text{ by setting} \\ v_3 = |v_{s_{1,0}}, v_{s_{2,0}}| \diamond (h \diamond \mathfrak{i}[Y_3 \times (\text{tr}_{-(s_{1,0}, s_{2,0})}(S_3)), \mathbb{R}^{l_1+l_2+m_1+m_2}]) \end{array} \right.
\end{aligned}$$

Eventually statement follows by proving that  $(Y_3, S_3, v_3)$  is a representation of the correspondence between  $\nu_3$  and  $\check{\nu}_3^*$  at  $(s_{1,0}, s_{2,0})$ .

This follows by the two chain of equalities below:

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_3(s_1, s_2) &= \langle \nu_1(s_1), \nu_2(s_2) \rangle = \\
&\langle (v_{s_{1,0}})^\nabla(0, s_1 - s_{1,0}) \widehat{\circ} \widehat{\text{li}}(\lambda_{b_1}[(\mathbb{R}^{l_1}, 0), (\mathbb{R}^{m_1}, 0)] \lambda), \\
&\quad (v_{s_{2,0}})^\nabla(0, s_2 - s_{2,0}) \widehat{\circ} \widehat{\text{li}}(\lambda_{b_1}[(\mathbb{R}^{l_2}, 0), (\mathbb{R}^{m_2}, 0)] \lambda) \rangle = \\
&|v_{s_{1,0}}, v_{s_{2,0}}|^\nabla(0, s_1 - s_{1,0}, 0, s_2 - s_{2,0}) \widehat{\circ} \\
&\quad \langle \widehat{\text{li}}(\lambda_{b_1}[(\mathbb{R}^{l_1}, 0), (\mathbb{R}^{m_1}, 0)] \lambda), \widehat{\text{li}}(\lambda_{b_1}[(\mathbb{R}^{l_2}, 0), (\mathbb{R}^{m_2}, 0)] \lambda) \rangle = \\
&v_3^\nabla(0, 0, s_1 - s_{1,0}, s_2 - s_{2,0}) \widehat{\circ} \\
&\quad \widehat{\text{li}}(\lambda_{b_1}[(\mathbb{R}^{l_1+l_2}, 0), (\mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2}, 0)] \lambda) \quad \forall (s_1, s_2) \in S_1 \times S_2; \\
\star \nu_3(s_1, s_2) &= \langle \star \nu_1(s_1), \star \nu_2(s_2) \rangle \widehat{\circ} \widehat{\text{li}}(\lambda h \lambda) = \\
&\langle (v_{s_{1,0}})^\nabla(0, s_1 - s_{1,0}), (v_{s_{2,0}})^\nabla(0, s_2 - s_{2,0}) \rangle \widehat{\circ} \widehat{\text{li}}(\lambda h \lambda) = \\
&|v_{s_{1,0}}, v_{s_{2,0}}|^\nabla(0, s_1 - s_{1,0}, 0, s_2 - s_{2,0}) \widehat{\circ} \widehat{\text{li}}(\lambda h \lambda) = \\
&v_3^\nabla(0, 0, s_1 - s_{1,0}, s_2 - s_{2,0}) \quad \forall (s_1, s_2) \in S_1 \times S_2.
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof of statement 4.** Statement follows straightforwardly by direct checking.

**Proof of statement 5.** Fix  $s_0 \in S$ , a representation  $(Y_{s_0}, S_{s_0}, v_{s_0})$  of the correspondence between  $\nu$  and  $\star \nu$  at  $s_0$ .

Referrign to Notation 11.0.6-[6] define:

$$k = \begin{cases} l & \text{if } \mathbf{m} \in \{\mathbf{d}_l, \mathbf{c}_l\} \text{ for } l \in \{1, \dots, l\}, \\ l + 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{m} \in \{\int_l, \mathbf{p}_l, \mathbf{q}_l\} \text{ for } l \in \{1, \dots, l\}; \end{cases}$$

$$Z_{s_0} = \begin{cases} Y_{s_0} & \text{if } \mathbf{m} \in \{\mathbf{d}_l, \mathbf{c}_l\} \text{ for } l \in \{1, \dots, l\}, \\ \mathcal{I}[Y_{s_0}, l] & \text{if } \mathbf{m} \in \{\int_l, \mathbf{p}_l, \mathbf{q}_l\} \text{ for } l \in \{1, \dots, l\}; \end{cases}$$

$$w_{s_0} \in W(Z_{s_0} \times (\text{tr}_{-s_0}(S_{s_0})), \mathbb{R}^n) \text{ by setting } w_{s_0} = \mathbf{m} \widehat{\circ} v_{s_0}.$$

Then statement follows by proving that  $(Z_{s_0}, S_{s_0}, w_{s_0})$  a representation of the correspondence between  $\mathbf{m} \widehat{\circ} \nu$  and  $\mathbf{m} \widehat{\circ} \star \nu$  at  $s_0$ .

This follows since  $\mathbf{m}$  acts on the first  $l$  unknowns and by the two chain of equalities below:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{m} \widehat{\circ} (\nu(s)) &= \mathbf{m} \widehat{\circ} (v_{s_0}^\nabla(0, s - s_0) \widehat{\circ} \widehat{\text{li}}(\lambda_{b_1}[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \lambda)) = \\
&(\mathbf{m} \widehat{\circ} v_{s_0}^\nabla)(0, s - s_0) \widehat{\circ} \widehat{\text{li}}(\lambda_{b_1}[(\mathbb{R}^k, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \lambda) \quad \forall s \in S_{s_0}; \\
\mathbf{m} \widehat{\circ} (\star \nu(s)) &= \mathbf{m} \widehat{\circ} (v_{s_0}^\nabla(0, s - s_0)) = (\mathbf{m} \widehat{\circ} v_{s_0}^\nabla)(0, s - s_0) \quad \forall s \in S_{s_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

■

In Definition 6.1.3 below we introduce the notion of p-corresponding arrows taking values in spaces of continuous pointed generalized germs.

We refer to Notation 11.0.5-[7], Definitions 4.2.1, 4.2.6, Proposition 4.2.4.

**Definition 6.1.3**

1. Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $S \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $s \in S$ ,  $\nu_1 \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S, V_{CC}[l, n])$ ,  $\nu_2 \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S, V_{CC}[l + m, n])$ .

Assume that there are an open neighborhood  $S_s \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} S$  of  $s \in S$ , an open neighborhood  $Y_s$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $v_s \in W(Y \times (\text{tr}_{-s}(S_s)), \mathbb{R}^n)$  fulfilling both conditions below:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_1(r) &= v_s^{\nabla}(0, r - s) \widehat{\circ} \widehat{\text{li}}(\text{lb}_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \wr) \quad \forall r \in S_s; \\ \nu_2(r) &= v_s^{\nabla}(0, r - s) \quad \forall r \in S_s. \end{aligned}$$

We say:  $\nu_2$  *p*-corresponds to  $\nu_1$  at  $s$  or that  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  are *p*-corresponding arrows at  $s$  or that there is a *p*-correspondence between  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  at  $s$ ; the triplet  $(Y_s, S_s, v_s)$  is a representation of the *p*-correspondence between  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  at  $s$ .

2. Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , interval  $S \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$ , arrows  $\nu_1 \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S, V_{CC}[l, n])$ ,  $\nu_2 \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S, V_{CC}[l + m, n])$ .

We say that  $\nu_2$  *p*-corresponds to  $\nu_1$  or that  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  are *p*-corresponding arrows or that there is a *p*-correspondence between  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  if and only if  $\nu_2$  *p*-corresponds to  $\nu_1$  at  $s$  for any  $s \in S$ .

3. Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $S \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\nu \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S, V_{CC}[l, n])$ . We define

$$\psi[S, l, n](\nu) = \{\tilde{\nu} \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S, V_{CC}[l, n]) : \tilde{\nu} \text{ p-corresponds to } \nu\}.$$

In Proposition 6.1.4 below we study the structure of *p*-corresponding arrows. We refer to Notations 3.4.3-[2], 11.0.3-[1, 11], Definitions 2.0.1, 4.1.5, Propositions 4.2.4, 5.2.9, Remarks 3.4.4, 5.2.8, 5.2.12.

**Proposition 6.1.4**

1. Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , interval  $S \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$ , arrows  $\nu \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S, V_{CC}[l, n])$ ,  $\tilde{\nu} \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S, V_{CC}[l + m, n])$ .

Then both statement below hold true:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\nu}(s) \widehat{\circ} \widehat{\text{li}}(\text{lb}_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, r)] \wr) \widehat{\circ} \\ \quad -1 \widehat{\circ} (\tilde{\nu}(s) \widehat{\circ} \widehat{\text{li}}(\text{lc}[\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, (0, r)] \wr)) = \nu(s + r) \\ \text{for any } s \in S, r \in \mathbb{R}^m; \end{cases} \quad (6.6)$$

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\nu}(s) \widehat{\circ} \widehat{\text{li}}(\text{lb}_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, r_1 + r_2)] \wr) \widehat{\circ} \\ \quad -1 \widehat{\circ} \tilde{\nu}(s) \widehat{\circ} \widehat{\text{li}}(\text{lc}[\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, (0, r_1)] \wr) = \\ \tilde{\nu}(s + r_1) \widehat{\circ} \widehat{\text{li}}(\text{lb}_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, r_2)] \wr) \\ \text{for any } s \in S, r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m. \end{cases} \quad (6.7)$$





$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{the arrow } \nu_{1,0} \in \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_{1,0}, V[l, n]) \text{ by setting} \\ \nu_{1,0}(s) = v_{s_{1,0}}^{\nabla}(0, s - s_{1,0}) \widehat{\circ} \widehat{\mathfrak{li}}(\lambda_{b_1}[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^{m_1}, 0)] \wr) \quad \forall s \in S_{s_{1,0}}; \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{the arrow } \tilde{\nu}_{1,0} \in \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_{1,0}, V[l + m_1, n]) \text{ by setting} \\ \tilde{\nu}_{1,0}(s) = v_{s_{1,0}}^{\nabla}(0, s - s_{1,0}) \quad \forall s \in S_{s_{1,0}}. \end{array} \right.$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\nu}_{1,0} \text{ } p\text{-corresponds to } \nu_{1,0}; \\ & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (Y_{s_{2,0}}, S_{s_{1,0}}, v_{s_{1,0}}) \text{ is a representation of the } p\text{-correspondence} \\ \text{between } \nu_{1,0} \text{ and } \tilde{\nu}_{1,0} \text{ at } s_{1,0}; \end{array} \right. \\ & \bar{\mathfrak{e}} \circ \nu_{1,0} = \bar{\mathfrak{e}} \circ (\nu_2 \circ (f \circ i [S_{s_{1,0}}, \mathbb{R}^{m_1}])). \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

7. Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{m} \in \{c_l, p_l, q_l : l \in \{1, \dots, l\}\}$ , interval  $S \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$ , arrows  $\nu \in \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S, V_{CC}[l, n])$ ,  $\tilde{\nu}$   $p$ -corresponding to  $\nu$ .  
Then  $\mathbf{m} \widehat{\circ} \tilde{\nu}$   $p$ -corresponds to  $\mathbf{m} \widehat{\circ} \nu$ .

8. Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $l \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ ,  $S \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S, V_{CC}[l, n])$ ,  $\tilde{\nu}$   $p$ -corresponding to  $\nu$ .  
Then arrow  $\int_1 \widehat{\circ} (\tilde{\nu} \widehat{\oplus} -1 \otimes (\tilde{\nu} \widehat{\circ} \widehat{\mathfrak{li}}(\lambda \langle c[\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^l, 0], \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^m} \rangle \wr)))$   $p$ -corresponds to  $\int_1 \widehat{\circ} \nu$ .

**Proof.**

**Proof of statement 1.** Fix  $s_0 \in S$ , a representation  $(Y_{s_0}, S_{s_0}, v_{s_0})$  of the  $p$ -correspondence between  $\nu$  and  $\tilde{\nu}$  at  $s_0$ .

Statement (6.6) follows by the chain of equalities below

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\nu}(s) \widehat{\circ} \widehat{\mathfrak{li}}(\lambda_{b_1}[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, r)] \wr) = \\ & \quad v_{s_0}^{\nabla}(0, s - s_0) \widehat{\circ} \widehat{\mathfrak{li}}(\lambda_{b_1}[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, r)] \wr) = \\ & \quad \check{\mathfrak{q}}\left(v_{s_0}^{\Delta}(0, s - s_0) \oplus -1 \otimes (v_{s_0}^{\Delta}(0, s - s_0) \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0])\right) \widehat{\circ} \\ & \quad \quad \quad \widehat{\mathfrak{li}}(\lambda_{b_1}[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, r)] \wr) = \\ & \quad \check{\mathfrak{q}}\left((v_{s_0}^{\Delta}(0, s - s_0) \oplus -1 \otimes (v_{s_0}^{\Delta}(0, s - s_0) \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0])\right) \diamond \\ & \quad \quad \quad b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, r)] = \\ & \quad \check{\mathfrak{q}}\left(v_{s_0}^{\Delta}(0, s + r - s_0) \diamond b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \oplus \right. \\ & \quad \left. -1 \otimes (v_{s_0}^{\Delta}(0, s - s_0) \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0]) \diamond b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)]\right) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \check{q} \left( (v_{s_0}^\Delta(0, s+r-s_0) \oplus \right. \\
& \quad \left. -1 \otimes (v_{s_0}^\Delta(0, s+r-s_0) \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0]) \right) \diamond b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \oplus \\
& \quad (v_{s_0}^\Delta(0, s+r-s_0) \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0] \oplus \\
& \quad \left. -1 \otimes (v_{s_0}^\Delta(0, s-s_0) \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0]) \right) \diamond b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] = \\
& v_{s_0}^\nabla(0, s+r-s_0) \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\text{fi}}(\lambda b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \wr) \widehat{\otimes} \\
& \quad \check{q} \left( (v_{s_0}^\Delta(0, s-s_0) \oplus -1 \otimes (v_{s_0}^\Delta(0, s-s_0) \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0]) \right) \\
& \quad \quad \quad \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, (0, r)] \Big) = \\
& \nu(s+r) \widehat{\otimes} \tilde{\nu}(s) \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\text{fi}}(\wr c[\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, (0, r)] \wr) \quad \text{for any } s \in S, r \in \mathbb{R}^m.
\end{aligned}$$

Statement (6.7) follows by the chain of equalities below

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\nu}(s) \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\text{fi}}(\lambda b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, r_1+r_2)] \wr) = \\
& \quad v_{s_0}^\nabla(0, s-s_0) \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\text{fi}}(\lambda b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, r_1+r_2)] \wr) = \\
& \quad \check{q} \left( (v_{s_0}^\Delta(0, s-s_0) \oplus -1 \otimes (v_{s_0}^\Delta(0, s-s_0) \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0]) \right) \widehat{\otimes} \\
& \quad \quad \quad \widehat{\text{fi}}(\lambda b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, r_1+r_2)] \wr) = \\
& \quad \check{q} \left( (v_{s_0}^\Delta(0, s-s_0) \oplus -1 \otimes (v_{s_0}^\Delta(0, s-s_0) \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0]) \right) \diamond \\
& \quad \quad \quad b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, r_1+r_2)] \Big) =
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \check{q} \left( (v_{s_0}^\Delta(0, s+r_1+r_2-s_0) \diamond b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \oplus \right. \\
& \quad \left. -1 \otimes (v_{s_0}^\Delta(0, s-s_0) \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0]) \right) \diamond b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] = \\
& \quad \check{q} \left( (v_{s_0}^\Delta(0, s+r_1-s_0) \oplus \right. \\
& \quad \left. -1 \otimes (v_{s_0}^\Delta(0, s+r_1-s_0) \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0]) \right) \diamond b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, r_2)] \oplus \\
& \quad (v_{s_0}^\Delta(0, s+r_1-s_0) \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0] \oplus \\
& \quad \left. -1 \otimes (v_{s_0}^\Delta(0, s-s_0) \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0]) \right) \diamond b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, r_2)] = \\
& v_{s_0}^\nabla(0, s+r_1-s_0) \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\text{fi}}(\lambda b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, r_2)] \wr) \widehat{\otimes} \\
& \quad \check{q} \left( (v_{s_0}^\Delta(0, s-s_0) \oplus -1 \otimes (v_{s_0}^\Delta(0, s-s_0) \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0]) \right) \\
& \quad \quad \quad \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, (0, r_1)] \Big) = \\
& v_{s_0}^\nabla(0, s+r_1-s_0) \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\text{fi}}(\lambda b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, r_2)] \wr) \widehat{\otimes} \\
& \quad \tilde{\nu}(s) \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\text{fi}}(\wr c[\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, (0, r_1)] \wr) \quad \text{for any } s \in S, r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m.
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof of statement 2.** Fix  $s_0 \in S$ , a representation  $(Y_{s_0}, S_{s_0}, v_{s_0})$  of the p-correspondence between  $\nu$  and  $\tilde{\nu}$  at  $s_0$ .



Define:

$$\begin{cases} h : Y_{s_0} \times \text{tr}_{-s_0}(S_{s_0}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^m \text{ by setting} \\ h(s_1, s_2) = (0, s_2) \quad \forall (s_1, s_2) \in Y_{s_0} \times \text{tr}_{-s_0}(S_{s_0}); \\ \\ \begin{cases} w_{s_0} \in W(Y_{s_0} \times (\text{tr}_{-s_0}(S_{s_0})), \mathbb{R}^n) \text{ by setting} \\ w_{s_0} = u \square (v_{s_0} \oplus -1 \otimes (v_{s_0} \diamond h)). \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Statement follows by proving that  $(Y_{s_0}, S_{s_0}, w_{s_0})$  is a representation of the correspondence between arrows  $(u \square \nu) \hat{\oplus} (-1 \hat{\otimes} \wr u \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^n, 0] \wr)$  and  $(u \square \tilde{\nu}) \hat{\oplus} (-1 \hat{\otimes} u \square (\tilde{\nu}(s) \hat{\otimes} \text{fi}(\wr c[\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^l, 0], 1_{\mathbb{R}^m} \wr)))$  at  $s_0$ .

This is proven by the two chain of equalities below, where equalities (1) hold true by relation (10.10):

$$\begin{aligned} u \square (\nu(s)) &= u \square (v_{s_0}^\nabla(0, s - s_0) \hat{\otimes} \text{fi}(\wr b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \wr)) = \\ &(u \square (v_{s_0}^\nabla(0, s - s_0))) \hat{\otimes} \text{fi}(\wr b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \wr) = \\ &\left( u \square \check{q}(v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \oplus \right. \\ &\quad \left. -1 \otimes (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0])) \right) \hat{\otimes} \\ &\quad \text{fi}(\wr b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \wr) = \\ &\check{q}(u \diamond (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \oplus \\ &\quad -1 \otimes (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0]))) \hat{\otimes} \\ &\quad \text{fi}(\wr b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \wr) \stackrel{(1)}{=} \\ &\check{q}\left( u \diamond (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \oplus \right. \\ &\quad \left. -1 \otimes (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0])) \oplus \right. \\ &\quad \left. -1 \otimes (w_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0]) \right) \oplus \\ &\quad \left( w_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0] \right) \hat{\otimes} \text{fi}(\wr b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \wr) = \\ &\check{q}\left( u \diamond (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \oplus \right. \\ &\quad -1 \otimes (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0])) \diamond b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \oplus \\ &\quad -1 \otimes (w_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0]) \diamond b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \oplus \\ &\quad \left. (w_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0]) \diamond b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \right) \stackrel{(1)}{=} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \check{q} \left( w_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \diamond b_1 [(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \oplus \right. \\
& -1 \otimes \left( w_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \diamond c [\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0] \right) \diamond b_1 [(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \oplus \\
& \left. \left( w_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \diamond c [\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0] \right) \diamond b_1 [(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \right) = \\
& \check{q} \left( (w_{s_0}^\blacktriangle (0, s-s_0)) \diamond b_1 [(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \oplus u \diamond c [\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^n, 0] \right) = \\
& (w_{s_0}^\blacktriangledown (0, s-s_0)) \widehat{\circlearrowleft} \text{fi} (\lambda b_1 [(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \lambda) \widehat{\circlearrowleft} \\
& \check{q} (u \diamond c [\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^n, 0]) \quad \forall s \in S_{s_0};
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
u \square (\tilde{\nu}(s)) &= u \square v_{s_0}^\blacktriangledown (0, s-s_0) \stackrel{(1)}{=} \\
u \square \check{q} & \left( v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \oplus \right. \\
& -1 \otimes (v_{s_0} \diamond (h \circ \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)})) \oplus (v_{s_0} \diamond (h \circ \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)})) \oplus \\
& \left. -1 \otimes (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \diamond c [\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0]) \right) = \\
u \square & \left( \check{q} (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \oplus -1 \otimes (v_{s_0} \diamond (h \circ \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)}))) \widehat{\circlearrowleft} \right. \\
\check{q} & \left. \left( (v_{s_0} \diamond (h \circ \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)})) \oplus \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. -1 \otimes (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \diamond c [\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0]) \right) \right) = \\
u \square & \left( \check{q} \left( (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \oplus -1 \otimes (v_{s_0} \diamond (h \circ \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)}))) \oplus \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. -1 \otimes ((v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \oplus \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. -1 \otimes (v_{s_0} \diamond (h \circ \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)}))) \diamond (c [\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0]) \right) \right) \widehat{\circlearrowleft} \\
u \square & (\tilde{\nu}(s) \widehat{\circlearrowleft} \text{fi} (\lambda (c [\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^l, 0], 1_{\mathbb{R}^m}) \lambda)) = \\
u \square \check{q} & (w_{s_0}^\blacktriangledown (0, s-s_0)) \widehat{\circlearrowleft} u \square (\tilde{\nu}(s) \widehat{\circlearrowleft} \text{fi} (\lambda (c [\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^l, 0], 1_{\mathbb{R}^m}) \lambda)) \quad \forall s \in S_{s_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof of statement 3.** Statement follows straightforwardly by direct checking.

**Proof of statement 4.** Statement follows straightforwardly by direct checking.

**Proof of statement 5.** Fix points  $s_{1,0} \in S_1$ ,  $s_{2,0} \in S_1$ , a representation  $(Y_{s_{1,0}}, S_{s_{1,0}}, v_{s_{1,0}})$  of the correspondence between  $\nu_1$  and  $\tilde{\nu}_1$  at  $s_{1,0}$ , a representation  $(Y_{s_{2,0}}, S_{s_{2,0}}, v_{s_{2,0}})$  of the correspondence between  $\nu_2$  and  $\tilde{\nu}_2$  at  $s_{2,0}$ . Define:

$$Y_{(s_{1,0}, s_{2,0})} = Y_{s_{1,0}} \times Y_{s_{2,0}};$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{(s_{1,0},s_{2,0})} &= S_{s_{1,0}} \times S_{s_{2,0}}; \\
v_{(s_{1,0},s_{2,0})} &= |v_{s_{1,0}}, v_{s_{2,0}}| \diamond \\
&\quad (h \circ i [Y_{(s_{1,0},s_{2,0})} \times \text{tr}_{-(s_{1,0},s_{2,0})}(S_{(s_{1,0},s_{2,0}))}, \mathbb{R}^{l_1+l_2+m_1+m_2}]).
\end{aligned}$$

Eventually statement follows since Proposition 4.2.4-[3] entails that the triplet  $(Y_{(s_{1,0},s_{2,0})}, S_{(s_{1,0},s_{2,0})}, v_{(s_{1,0},s_{2,0})})$  is a representation of the correspondence between  $\nu_3$  and  $\tilde{\nu}_3$  at  $(s_{1,0}, s_{2,0})$ .

**Proof of statement 6.** Statement follows straightforwardly by direct checking.

**Proof of statement 7.** Fix  $s_0 \in S$ , a representation  $(Y_{s_0}, S_{s_0}, v_{s_0})$  of the correspondence between  $\nu$  and  $\tilde{\nu}$  at  $s_0$ .

Referrign to Notation 11.0.6-[6] define:

$$\begin{aligned}
k &= \begin{cases} l & \text{if } \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{c}_l \text{ for } l \in \{1, \dots, l\}, \\ l+1 & \text{if } \mathbf{m} \in \{\mathbf{p}_l, \mathbf{q}_l\} \text{ for } l \in \{1, \dots, l\}; \end{cases} \\
Z_{s_0} &= \begin{cases} Y_{s_0} & \text{if } \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{c}_l \text{ for } l \in \{1, \dots, l\}, \\ \mathcal{I}[Y_{s_0}, l] & \text{if } \mathbf{m} \in \{\mathbf{p}_l, \mathbf{q}_l\} \text{ for } l \in \{1, \dots, l\}; \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

$$w_{s_0} \in W(Z_{s_0} \times (\text{tr}_{-s_0}(S_{s_0})), \mathbb{R}^n) \text{ by setting } w_{s_0} = \mathbf{m} \triangleright v_{s_0}.$$

Referring to Definition 4.1.5 and performing computations we have

$$\mathbf{m} \triangleright (v_{s_0}^\blacktriangle(0, s - s_0)) = (\mathbf{m} \triangleright w_{s_0})^\blacktriangle(0, s - s_0) \quad \forall s \in S_{s_0}. \quad (6.9)$$

Eventually statement follows since (6.9) entails that  $(Z_{s_0}, S_{s_0}, w_{s_0})$  is a representation of the correspondence between  $\mathbf{m} \hat{\diamond} \nu$  and  $\mathbf{m} \hat{\diamond} \tilde{\nu}$  at  $s_0$ .

**Proof of statement 8.** Fix  $s_0 \in S$ , a representation  $(Y_{s_0}, S_{s_0}, v_{s_0})$  of the correspondence between  $\nu$  and  $\tilde{\nu}$  at  $s_0$ .

Referrign to Notation 11.0.6-[6] define:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\begin{cases} h : Y_{s_0} \times \text{tr}_{-s_0}(S_{s_0}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^m \text{ by setting} \\ h(s_1, s_2) = (0, s_2) \quad \forall (s_1, s_2) \in Y_{s_0} \times \text{tr}_{-s_0}(S_{s_0}); \end{cases} \\
Z_{s_0} &= \mathcal{I}[Y_{s_0}, l]; \\
&\begin{cases} w_{s_0} \in W(Z_{s_0} \times (\text{tr}_{-s_0}(S_{s_0})), \mathbb{R}^n) \text{ by setting} \\ w_{s_0} = \mathbf{f}_1 \triangleright (v_{s_0} \oplus -1 \otimes (v_{s_0} \diamond h)). \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Statement follows by proving that  $(Z_{s_0}, S_{s_0}, w_{s_0})$  is a representation of the correspondence between  $\mathbf{f}_1 \hat{\diamond} \nu$  and  $\mathbf{f}_1 \hat{\diamond} (\tilde{\nu} \hat{\oplus} -1 \otimes (\tilde{\nu} \hat{\otimes} \text{li}(\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^l, 0], 1_{\mathbb{R}^m}))))$  at  $s_0$ .

This is proven by the two chain of equalities below, where equalities (1) hold true by relation (10.19), equalities (2) hold true by relation (10.10), equalities

(3) hold true by relation (10.17):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{f}_1 \hat{\diamond} (\nu(s)) = \mathbf{f}_1 \hat{\diamond} (v_{s_0}^\nabla(0, s-s_0)) \hat{\diamond} \mathfrak{li}(\mathfrak{lb}_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \wr) \stackrel{1}{=} \\
& (\mathbf{f}_1 \hat{\diamond} (v_{s_0}^\nabla(0, s-s_0))) \hat{\diamond} \mathfrak{li}(\mathfrak{lb}_1[(\mathbb{R}^{l+1}, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \wr) = \\
& \left( \mathbf{f}_1 \hat{\diamond} \check{\mathfrak{q}}(v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \oplus \right. \\
& \quad \left. -1 \otimes (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \diamond \mathfrak{c}[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0])) \right) \hat{\diamond} \\
& \quad \mathfrak{li}(\mathfrak{lb}_1[(\mathbb{R}^{l+1}, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \wr) = \\
& \check{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbf{f}_1 \triangleright (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)}) \oplus \\
& \quad -1 \otimes (\mathbf{f}_1 \triangleright (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \diamond \mathfrak{c}[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0]))) \hat{\diamond} \\
& \quad \mathfrak{li}(\mathfrak{lb}_1[(\mathbb{R}^{l+1}, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \wr) \stackrel{2}{=} \\
& \check{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbf{f}_1 \triangleright (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)}) \oplus \\
& \quad -1 \otimes (\mathbf{f}_1 \triangleright (v_{s_0} \diamond (h \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)})))) \oplus \mathbf{f}_1 \triangleright (v_{s_0} \diamond (h \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)})) \oplus \\
& \quad -1 \otimes (\mathbf{f}_1 \triangleright (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \diamond \mathfrak{c}[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0]))) \hat{\diamond} \\
& \quad \mathfrak{li}(\mathfrak{lb}_1[(\mathbb{R}^{l+1}, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \wr) = \\
& \left( \check{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbf{f}_1 \triangleright (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \oplus -1 \otimes (\mathbf{f}_1 \triangleright ((v_{s_0} \diamond h) \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)}))) \right) \hat{\diamond} \\
& \check{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbf{f}_1 \triangleright ((v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)}) \diamond h) \oplus \\
& \quad -1 \otimes (\mathbf{f}_1 \triangleright ((v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \diamond \mathfrak{c}[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0]) \diamond h))) \right) \hat{\diamond} \\
& \quad \mathfrak{li}(\mathfrak{lb}_1[(\mathbb{R}^{l+1}, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \wr) = \\
& \left( \check{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbf{f}_1 \triangleright (v_{s_0} \oplus -1 \otimes (v_{s_0} \diamond h))) \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \right) \hat{\diamond} \\
& \check{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathbf{f}_1 \triangleright ((v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)}) \diamond h \oplus \\
& \quad -1 \otimes ((v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \diamond \mathfrak{c}[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0]) \diamond h))) \hat{\diamond} \\
& \quad \mathfrak{li}(\mathfrak{lb}_1[(\mathbb{R}^{l+1}, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \wr) = \\
& (\check{\mathfrak{q}}(w_{s_0}^\Delta(s-s_0))) \hat{\diamond} \mathfrak{li}(\mathfrak{lb}_1[(\mathbb{R}^{l+1}, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \wr) \hat{\diamond} \\
& \left( \mathbf{f}_1 \hat{\diamond} \check{\mathfrak{q}}(((v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)}) \oplus \right. \\
& \quad \left. -1 \otimes (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \diamond \mathfrak{c}[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0])) \diamond h \right) \hat{\diamond} \\
& \quad \mathfrak{li}(\mathfrak{lb}_1[(\mathbb{R}^{l+1}, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \wr) \stackrel{3}{=}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\check{\mathfrak{q}}(w_{s_0}^\Delta(s-s_0) \oplus -1 \otimes (w_{s_0}^\Delta(s-s_0) \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+1+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+1+m}, 0]))) \widehat{\otimes} \\
& \widehat{\mathfrak{li}}(\lambda b_1[(\mathbb{R}^{l+1}, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \wr) \widehat{\oplus} \int_1 \widehat{\diamond} \check{\mathfrak{q}}((v_{s_0}^\Delta(s-s_0) \diamond h) \diamond b_1[(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)]) = \\
& (w_{s_0}^\nabla(s-s_0)) \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathfrak{li}}(\lambda b_1[(\mathbb{R}^{l+1}, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \wr) \widehat{\oplus} \int_1 \widehat{\diamond} \check{\mathfrak{q}}(0 \otimes (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)})) = \\
& (w_{s_0}^\nabla(s-s_0)) \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathfrak{li}}(\lambda b_1[(\mathbb{R}^{l+1}, 0), (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)] \wr) \quad \forall s \in S_{s_0};
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_1 \widehat{\diamond} (\check{\nu}(s)) = \int_1 \widehat{\diamond} (v_{s_0}^\nabla(0, s-s_0)) = \\
& \int_1 \widehat{\diamond} \check{\mathfrak{q}}(v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \oplus -1 \otimes (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0])) = \\
& \check{\mathfrak{q}}(\int_1 \triangleright (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)}) \oplus -1 \otimes (\int_1 \triangleright (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0]))) \stackrel{2}{=} \\
& \check{\mathfrak{q}}(\int_1 \triangleright (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)}) \oplus \\
& -1 \otimes (\int_1 \triangleright (v_{s_0} \diamond (h \circ \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)})))) \oplus \int_1 \triangleright (v_{s_0} \diamond (h \circ \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)})) \oplus \\
& -1 \otimes (\int_1 \triangleright (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0]))) = \\
& \check{\mathfrak{q}}(\int_1 \triangleright (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \oplus -1 \otimes (\int_1 \triangleright ((v_{s_0} \diamond h) \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)})))) \widehat{\oplus} \\
& \check{\mathfrak{q}}(\int_1 \triangleright ((v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)}) \diamond h) \oplus \\
& -1 \otimes (\int_1 \triangleright ((v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0]) \diamond h))) = \\
& \check{\mathfrak{q}}((\int_1 \triangleright (v_{s_0} \oplus -1 \otimes (v_{s_0} \diamond h))) \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)}) \widehat{\oplus} \\
& \check{\mathfrak{q}}(\int_1 \triangleright ((v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)}) \diamond h \oplus \\
& -1 \otimes ((v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0]) \diamond h))) = \\
& \check{\mathfrak{q}}(w_{s_0}^\Delta(s-s_0)) \widehat{\oplus} \int_1 \widehat{\diamond} \check{\mathfrak{q}}(((v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)}) \oplus \\
& -1 \otimes (v_{s_0} \diamond \text{tr}_{(0, s-s_0)} \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+m}, 0])) \diamond h) \stackrel{3}{=} \\
& \check{\mathfrak{q}}(w_{s_0}^\Delta(s) \oplus -1 \otimes (w_{s_0}^\Delta(s) \diamond c[\mathbb{R}^{l+1+m}, \mathbb{R}^{l+1+m}, 0])) \widehat{\oplus} \\
& \int_1 \widehat{\diamond} \check{\mathfrak{q}}(v_{s_0}^\Delta(s-s_0) \diamond h) = \\
& w_{s_0}^\nabla(s-s_0) \widehat{\oplus} \int_1 \widehat{\diamond} (\check{\nu} \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathfrak{li}}(\wr c[\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^l, 0], 1_{\mathbb{R}^m} \wr)) \quad \forall s \in S_{s_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

■

## 6.2 Higher order generalized differential operators on germs of generalized functions

In this section we study how germs of generalized derivations compose. We refer to Notation 5.3.15, Definition 5.2.6-[5], Remark 5.1.8.

In Definition 6.2.1 below we introduce sets which will be used to index local fields of generalized derivations to be iterated in order to get higher order generalized differential operators. We refer to Notation 11.0.2.

### Definition 6.2.1

1. Fix  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{l} = (l_a)_{a=1}^a \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0^a$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\delta} = (\delta_a)_{a=1}^a \subseteq \{0, 1\}^a$ . Assume that  $l = \sum_{a=1}^a l_a$ .

We say that  $(a, l, m, n, \mathbf{l}, \boldsymbol{\delta})$  is an admissible six-tuple.

2. Fix an admissible six-tuple  $(a, l, m, n, \mathbf{l}, \boldsymbol{\delta})$ ,  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{l+m}$ ,  $s \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{l+m}$ .

We set:

$$S_{a, \mathbf{l}} = p_a [\{\mathbb{R}^{l_1}, \dots, \mathbb{R}^{l_a}, \mathbb{R}^m\}] (S) \quad \forall a \in \{1, \dots, a\};$$

$$S_l = p_1 [\{\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^m\}] (S);$$

$$S_m = p_2 [\{\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^m\}] (S);$$

$$s_{a, \mathbf{l}} = p_a [\{\mathbb{R}^{l_1}, \dots, \mathbb{R}^{l_a}, \mathbb{R}^m\}] (s) \quad \forall a \in \{1, \dots, a\};$$

$$s_l = p_1 [\{\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^m\}] (s);$$

$$s_m = p_2 [\{\mathbb{R}^l, \mathbb{R}^m\}] (s).$$

3. We define

$$\mathfrak{A} = \left\{ \{(a_k, l_k, m_k, n_k, \mathbf{l}_k, \boldsymbol{\delta}_k)\}_{k=1}^k : k \in \mathbb{N}, (a_k, l_k, m_k, n_k, \mathbf{l}_k, \boldsymbol{\delta}_k) \text{ is an admissible six-tuple for any } k \in \{1, \dots, k\} \right\}.$$

Fix  $a, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define

$$\mathfrak{A}_{a, (l, m), n}^k = \left\{ \{(a, l, m, n, \mathbf{l}_k, \boldsymbol{\delta}_k)\}_{k=1}^k : (a, l, m, n, \mathbf{l}_k, \boldsymbol{\delta}_k) \text{ is an admissible six-tuple for any } k \in \{1, \dots, k\} \right\}.$$

Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define  $\mathfrak{A}_{(l,m),n}^k = \bigcup_{a \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{A}_{a,(l,m),n}^k$ .

Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define  $\mathfrak{A}_{(*,m),n}^k = \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathfrak{A}_{(l,m),n}^k$ .

Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define  $\mathfrak{A}_n^k = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathfrak{A}_{(*,m),n}^k$ .

Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define  $\mathfrak{A}^k = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathfrak{A}_n^k$ .

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define  $\mathfrak{A}_n = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{A}_n^k$ .

Fix  $a, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $d, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define

$$\mathfrak{A}_{a,(d),n}^k = \left\{ \{(a, l_k, m_k, n, \mathbf{l}_k, \boldsymbol{\delta}_k)\}_{k=1}^k : (a, l, m, n, \mathbf{l}_k, \boldsymbol{\delta}_k) \text{ is an} \right. \\ \left. \text{admissible six-tuple with } l_k + m_k = d \text{ for any } k \in \{1, \dots, k\} \right\}.$$

Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $d, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define  $\mathfrak{A}_{(d),n}^k = \bigcup_{a \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{A}_{a,(d),n}^k$ .

Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define  $\mathfrak{A}_{(d)}^k = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathfrak{A}_{(d),n}^k$ .

Fix  $d, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define  $\mathfrak{A}_{(d),n} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{A}_{(d),n}^k$ .

Fix  $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define  $\mathfrak{A}_{(d)} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathfrak{A}_{(d),n}$ .

4. Fix  $m_1, m_2, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define the set function

$$m_1 \overset{m_2}{\curvearrowright}_n : \mathfrak{A}_{(*,m_1),m_2+n}^1 \times \mathfrak{A}_{(*,m_2),n}^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{(*,m_1+m_2),n}^1$$

by setting:

$$(a_1, l_1, m_1, n_1, \mathbf{l}_1, \boldsymbol{\delta}_1) \overset{m_1}{\curvearrowright}_n (a_2, l_2, m_2, n_2, \mathbf{l}_2, \boldsymbol{\delta}_2) = (a_3, l_3, m_3, n_3, \mathbf{l}_3, \boldsymbol{\delta}_3) \\ \forall ((a_1, l_1, m_1, n_1, \mathbf{l}_1, \boldsymbol{\delta}_1), (a_2, l_2, m_2, n_2, \mathbf{l}_2, \boldsymbol{\delta}_2)) \in \mathfrak{A}_{(*,m_1),n_1}^1 \times \mathfrak{A}_{(*,m_2),n_2}^1$$

where:

$$a_3 = a_1 + a_2;$$

$$l_3 = l_1 + l_2;$$

$$m_3 = m_1 + m_2;$$

$$n_3 = n_2;$$

$\mathbf{l}_3 = (l_{3,a})_{a=1}^{a_3}$  is given by

$$l_{3,a} = \begin{cases} l_{1,a} & \text{if } a \in \{1, \dots, a_1\}, \\ l_{2,a-a_1} & \text{if } a \in \{a_1 + 1, \dots, a_1 + a_2\}; \end{cases}$$

$\delta_3 = (\delta_{3,a})_{a=1}^{a_3}$  is given by

$$\delta_{3,a} = \begin{cases} \delta_{1,a} & \text{if } a \in \{1, \dots, a_1\}, \\ \delta_{2,a-a_1} & \text{if } a \in \{a_1 + 1, \dots, a_1 + a_2\}. \end{cases}$$

The three-graded family of set functions  $\left\{ m_1 \overset{m_2}{\curvearrowright} n \right\}_{(m_1, m_2, n) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0}$  defines an internal operation  $\curvearrowright$  on the bi-graded set  $\left\{ \mathfrak{A}_{(*,m),n}^1 \right\}_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0}$ .

5. We define the set function

$$\leftrightarrow : \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$$

by setting:

$$\begin{aligned} \{(a_{1,k}, l_{1,k}, m_{1,k}, n_{1,k}, \mathbf{l}_{1,k}, \delta_{1k})\}_{k=1}^{k_1} \leftrightarrow \\ \{(a_{2,k}, l_{2,k}, m_{2,k}, n_{2,k}, \mathbf{l}_{2,k}, \delta_{2k})\}_{k=1}^{k_2} = \\ \{(a_{3,k}, l_{3,k}, m_{3,k}, n_{3,k}, \mathbf{l}_{3,k}, \delta_{3k})\}_{k=1}^{k_1+k_2} \end{aligned}$$

for any

$$\{(a_{1,k}, l_{1,k}, m_{1,k}, n_{1,k}, \mathbf{l}_{1,k}, \delta_{1k})\}_{k=1}^{k_1}, \{(a_{2,k}, l_{2,k}, m_{2,k}, n_{2,k}, \mathbf{l}_{2,k}, \delta_{2k})\}_{k=1}^{k_2} \in \mathfrak{A},$$

where:

$$a_{3,k} = \begin{cases} a_{1,k} & \text{if } k \in \{1, \dots, k_1\}, \\ a_{2,k-k_1} & \text{if } k \in \{k_1 + 1, \dots, k_1 + k_2\}; \end{cases}$$

$$l_{3,k} = \begin{cases} l_{1,k} & \text{if } k \in \{1, \dots, k_1\}, \\ l_{2,k-k_1} & \text{if } k \in \{k_1 + 1, \dots, k_1 + k_2\}; \end{cases}$$

$$m_{3,k} = \begin{cases} m_{1,k} & \text{if } k \in \{1, \dots, k_1\}, \\ m_{2,k-k_1} & \text{if } k \in \{k_1 + 1, \dots, k_1 + k_2\}; \end{cases}$$

$$n_{3,k} = \begin{cases} n_{1,k} & \text{if } k \in \{1, \dots, k_1\}, \\ n_{2,k-k_1} & \text{if } k \in \{k_1 + 1, \dots, k_1 + k_2\}; \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{l}_{3,k} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{l}_{1,k} & \text{if } k \in \{1, \dots, k_1\}, \\ \mathbf{l}_{2,k-k_1} & \text{if } k \in \{k_1 + 1, \dots, k_1 + k_2\}; \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_{3,k} = \begin{cases} \delta_{1,k} & \text{if } k \in \{1, \dots, k_1\}, \\ \delta_{2,k-k_1} & \text{if } k \in \{k_1 + 1, \dots, k_1 + k_2\}; \end{cases}$$

In Definition 6.2.2 below we algebraically formalize the composition of germs of generalized derivations. We refer to Notations 11.0.2, 11.0.3-[10, 11, 12], 11.0.4-[12, 15], Definition 6.2.1, Remarks 5.2.8-[2], 5.2.16, 5.3.2-[9].



**Definition 6.2.2**

1. We define:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \left[ \{(a_k, l_k, m_k, n_k, \mathbf{l}_k, \boldsymbol{\delta}_k)\}_{k=1}^k \right] = \bigotimes_{k=1}^k \left( \bigotimes_{a=1}^{a_k} \mathcal{D}_{l_k, a, m_k + n_k}(m_k) \right) \\ \text{for any } k \in \mathbb{N}, \{(a_k, l_k, m_k, n_k, \mathbf{l}_k, \boldsymbol{\delta}_k)\}_{k=1}^k \in \mathfrak{A}^k; \end{array} \right.$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{(l, m), n}^k = \bigoplus_{x \in \mathfrak{A}_{(l, m), n}^k} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}[x] \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{N}, l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0;$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{(*, m), n}^k = \left\{ \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{(l, m), n}^k \right\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{N}, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0;$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{(*, *) , n}^k = \left\{ \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{(*, m), n}^k \right\}_{(l, m) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0} \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0;$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{(*, *) , * }^k = \left\{ \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{(l, m), n}^k \right\}_{(l, m, n) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0} \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{N};$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{(l, m), n} = \bigoplus_{x \in \mathfrak{A}_{(l, m), n}} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}[x] \text{ for any } l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0;$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{(*, m), n} = \left\{ \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{(l, m), n} \right\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{N}, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0;$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{(*, *) , n} = \left\{ \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{(l, m), n} \right\}_{(l, m) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0} \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0;$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{(*, *) , * } = \left\{ \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{(*, m), n} \right\}_{(l, m, n) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0};$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{(d), n}^k = \bigoplus_{x \in \mathfrak{A}_{(d), n}^k} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}[x] \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{N}, d, n \in \mathbb{N}_0;$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{(d), n} = \bigoplus_{x \in \mathfrak{A}_{(d), n}} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}[x] \text{ for any } d, n \in \mathbb{N}_0;$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{(*), n} = \left\{ \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{(d), n} \right\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}_0} \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N}_0;$$

With an abuse of language, for any  $\{(a, l, m, n, \mathbf{l}, \boldsymbol{\delta})\} \in \mathfrak{A}^1$  we will write  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}[a, l, m, n, \mathbf{l}, \boldsymbol{\delta}]$  in place of  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}[\{(a, l, m, n, \mathbf{l}, \boldsymbol{\delta})\}]$ .

Sum and scalar product of all  $\mathbb{R}$ -modules above are denoted by  $\check{+}$  and  $\check{*}$  respectively.

Elements belonging to  $\mathbb{R}$ -modules above are denoted by  $\check{\mathcal{D}}$ .

Fix  $(a, l, m, n, \mathbf{l}, \boldsymbol{\delta}) \in \mathfrak{A}^1$ ,  $\check{\mathcal{D}}_a \in \mathcal{D}_{l, a, n}(m)$  for any  $a \in \{1, \dots, a\}$ . To emphasize that we consider the element  $\bigotimes_{a=1}^{a_k} \check{\mathcal{D}}_a$  belonging to  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}[a, l, m, n, \mathbf{l}, \boldsymbol{\delta}]$  we

write  $\bigotimes_{a=1}^{a_k} (\check{\mathfrak{D}}_a)_{\delta_a}$ .

2. We define  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear arrows of  $\mathbb{V}$

$$x_1 \check{\boxtimes}_{x_2} : \check{\mathcal{A}}[x_1] \times \check{\mathcal{A}}[x_2] \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{A}}[x_1 \curvearrowright x_2]$$

$$m_1, m_2, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, x_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_{(*, m_1), m_2+n}^1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_{(*, m_2), n}^1$$

on generators as follows.

Fix  $m_1, m_2, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$(a_1, l_1, m_1, m_2 + n, \mathbf{l}_1, \boldsymbol{\delta}_1) \in \mathfrak{A}_{(*, m_1), m_2+n}^1,$$

$$(a_2, l_2, m_2, n, \mathbf{l}_2, \boldsymbol{\delta}_2) \in \mathfrak{A}_{(*, m_2), n}^1,$$

an open neighborhood  $S_1$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{l_1+m_1}$ , an open neighborhood  $S_2$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{l_2+m_2}$ ,

$$\{(\nu_{1,a}, f_{1,a})\}_{a \in \{1, \dots, a_1\}} \in (\text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_{1,m_1}, V_{CC}[l_{1,a}, m_1 + m_2 + n]) \cap S_{SSF(V)}) \times \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_{1,m_1}, \mathbb{R}^{l_{1,a}}),$$

$$\{(\nu_{2,a}, f_{2,a})\}_{a \in \{1, \dots, a_2\}} \in (\text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_{2,m_2}, V_{CC}[l_{2,a}, m_2 + n]) \cap S_{SSF(V)}) \times \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_{2,m_2}, \mathbb{R}^{l_{2,a}}).$$

Define:

$$x_1 = (a_1, l_1, m_1, m_2 + n, \mathbf{l}_1, \boldsymbol{\delta}_1);$$

$$x_2 = (a_2, l_2, m_2, n, \mathbf{l}_2, \boldsymbol{\delta}_2);$$

$$\mu_{1,a} = \nu_{1,a} \circ p_1[S_{1,m_1}, S_{2,m_2}] \quad \forall a \in \{1, \dots, a_1\};$$

$$g_{1,a} = f_{1,a} \circ p_1[S_{1,m_1}, S_{2,m_2}] \quad \forall a \in \{1, \dots, a_1\};$$

$$\mu_{2,a} = \text{li}(b_2[\{(\mathbb{R}^{m_1}, 0), (\mathbb{R}^{m_2+n}, 0)\}]) \hat{\circ} (\nu_{2,a} \circ p_2[S_{1,m_1}, S_{2,m_2}]) \quad \forall a \in \{1, \dots, a_2\};$$

$$g_{2,a} = f_{2,a} \circ p_2[S_{1,m_1}, S_{2,m_2}] \quad \forall a \in \{1, \dots, a_2\};$$

$$\check{\mathfrak{D}}_1 = \bigotimes_{a=1}^{a_1} \langle p \circ (q \circ (\boldsymbol{\partial}[\nu_{1,a}, g_{1,a}])) \rangle (0);$$

$$\check{\mathfrak{D}}_2 = \bigotimes_{a=1}^{a_2} \langle p \circ (q \circ (\boldsymbol{\partial}[\nu_{2,a}, g_{2,a}])) \rangle (0);$$

$$\check{\mathfrak{D}}_3 = \left( \bigotimes_{a=1}^{a_1} \langle p \circ (q \circ (\boldsymbol{\partial}[\mu_{1,a}, g_{1,a}])) \rangle (0) \right) \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{a=1}^{a_2} \langle p \circ (q \circ (\boldsymbol{\partial}[\mu_{2,a}, g_{2,a}])) \rangle (0) \right);$$

$$\check{\partial}_{1x_1} \check{\boxtimes}_{x_2} \check{\partial}_2 = \check{\partial}_3.$$

For any  $m_1, m_2, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  the bi-graded family of arrows

$$\left\{ x_1 \check{\boxtimes}_{x_2} \right\}_{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathfrak{A}_{(*, m_1), m_2+n}^1 \times \mathfrak{A}_{(*, m_2), n}^1}$$

defines a  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear arrow of  $\mathbb{V}$

$${}_{m_1} \check{\boxtimes}_n^{m_2} : \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(*, m_1), m_2+n}^1 \times \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(*, m_2), n}^1 \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(*, m_1+m_2), n}^1.$$

The three-graded family of arrows  $\left\{ {}_{m_1} \check{\boxtimes}_n^{m_2} \right\}_{(m_1, m_2, n) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0}$  defines

a  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear associative graded product  $\check{\boxtimes}$  on the three-graded  $\mathbb{R}$ -module  $\check{\mathcal{A}}_{(*, *, *)}^1$ .

The four-tuple  $\left( \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(*, *, *)}^1, \check{+}, \check{\boxtimes}, \check{*} \right)$  is a three-graded  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra.

3. We define  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear arrows of  $\mathbb{V}$

$${}_{x_1} \check{\boxdot}_{x_2} : \check{\mathcal{A}}[x_1] \times \check{\mathcal{A}}[x_2] \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{A}}[x_1 \leftrightarrow x_2] \quad x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{A}$$

by setting

$$\check{\partial}_{1x_1} \check{\boxdot}_{x_2} \check{\partial}_2 = \check{\partial}_1 \otimes \check{\partial}_2 \quad \forall (\check{\partial}_1, \check{\partial}_2) \in \check{\mathcal{A}}[x_1] \times \check{\mathcal{A}}[x_2].$$

For any  $d, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  the bi-graded family of arrows

$$\left\{ x_1 \check{\boxdot}_{x_2} \right\}_{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathfrak{A}_{(d), n} \times \mathfrak{A}_{(d), n}}$$

defines a  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear arrow of  $\mathbb{V}$

$$\check{\boxdot}_{d, n} : \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(d), n} \times \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(d), n} \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(d), n}.$$

The graded family of arrows  $\left\{ \check{\boxdot}_{d, n} \right\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  defines a  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear associative graded product  $\check{\boxdot}$  on the graded  $\mathbb{R}$ -module  $\check{\mathcal{A}}_n$ .

The four-tuple  $\left( \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(*, *, *)}^1, \check{+}, \check{\boxdot}, \check{*} \right)$  is a graded  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra.

**Remark 6.2.3** Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then  $\check{\mathcal{A}}_{(*, *, *)}^1$  is a proper left ideal of the  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra  $\left( \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(*, *, *)}^1, \check{+}, \check{\boxtimes}, \check{*} \right)$ . Whenever needed we consider  $\check{\mathcal{A}}_{(*, *, *)}^1$  as a left  $\left( \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(*, *, *)}^1, \check{+}, \check{\boxtimes}, \check{*} \right)$ -module.

In Definition 6.2.4 below we introduce a right action of germs of generalized derivations on compositions of germs of generalized derivations.

**Definition 6.2.4** We define bilinear arrows of  $\mathbb{V}$

$$\check{[} : \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(l, m), n}^k \times \mathfrak{D}_{l_0, m}(m_0) \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(l_0+l, m_0+m), n}^k \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, l_0, l, m_0, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

recursively as follows.

1. Fix  $(a, l, m, n, \mathbf{l}, \boldsymbol{\delta}) \in \mathfrak{A}_{(l,m),n}^1$ ,  $\check{\mathfrak{D}} \in \check{\mathcal{A}}[a, l, m, n, \mathbf{l}, \boldsymbol{\delta}]$ ,  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_0 \in \mathfrak{D}_{l_0,m}(m_0)$ .  
Set

$$\mathbf{l}_0 = \{l_{0,a}\}_{a=1}^{a+1} \text{ by } l_{0,a} = \begin{cases} l_0 & \text{if } a = 1, \\ l_{a-1} & \text{if } a \in \{2, \dots, a+1\}; \end{cases}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}_0 = \{\delta_{0,a}\}_{a=1}^{a+1} \text{ by } \delta_{0,a} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = 1, \\ \delta_{a-1} & \text{if } a \in \{2, \dots, a+1\}. \end{cases}$$

Define  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_{\check{\mathfrak{D}}_0} \in \check{\mathcal{A}}[a+1, l_0+l, m_0+m, n, \mathbf{l}_0, \boldsymbol{\delta}_0]$  by setting

$$\check{\mathfrak{D}}_{\check{\mathfrak{D}}_0} = \left( (\mathbf{d}[l_0, \mathfrak{li}(\mathfrak{l}b_1[\{(\mathbb{R}^m, 0), (\mathbb{R}^n, 0)\}])]) (m_0) \right) (\check{\mathfrak{D}}_0) \check{\boxtimes} (\check{\mathfrak{D}}).$$

2. Fix  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k = k_1 + k_2$ ,  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_1 \in \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(l,m),n}^{k_1}$ ,  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_2 \in \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(l,m),n}^{k_2}$ ,  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_0 \in \mathfrak{D}_{l_0,m}(m_0)$ . Define

$$(\check{\mathfrak{D}}_1 \check{\boxtimes} \check{\mathfrak{D}}_2) \check{\mathfrak{D}}_{\check{\mathfrak{D}}_0} = (\check{\mathfrak{D}}_1 \check{\mathfrak{D}}_{\check{\mathfrak{D}}_0}) \check{\boxtimes} (\check{\mathfrak{D}}_2 \check{\mathfrak{D}}_{\check{\mathfrak{D}}_0}).$$

In Definition 6.2.5 below we introduce a left action of germs of generalized derivations on compositions of germs of generalized derivations.

**Definition 6.2.5** We define bilinear arrows of  $\mathbb{V}$

$$\check{\mathfrak{D}} : \mathfrak{D}_{l_0,m}(m_0) \times \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(l,m),n}^k \rightarrow \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(l_0+l, m_0+m),n}^k \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, l_0, l, m_0, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

recursively as follows.

1. Fix  $(a, l, m, n, \mathbf{l}, \boldsymbol{\delta}) \in \mathfrak{A}_{(l,m),n}^1$ ,  $\check{\mathfrak{D}} \in \check{\mathcal{A}}[a, l, m, n, \mathbf{l}, \boldsymbol{\delta}]$ ,  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_0 \in \mathfrak{D}_{l_0,m}(m_0)$ .  
Set

$$\mathbf{l}_0 = \{l_{0,a}\}_{a=1}^{a+1} \text{ by } l_{0,a} = \begin{cases} l_0 & \text{if } a = 1, \\ l_{a-1} & \text{if } a \in \{2, \dots, a+1\}; \end{cases}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}_0 = \{\delta_{0,a}\}_{a=1}^{a+1} \text{ by } \delta_{0,a} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = 1, \\ \delta_{a-1} & \text{if } a \in \{2, \dots, a+1\}. \end{cases}$$

Define  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_{\check{\mathfrak{D}}_0}(\check{\mathfrak{D}}) \in \check{\mathcal{A}}[a+1, l_0+l, m_0+m, n, \mathbf{l}_0, \boldsymbol{\delta}_0]$  by setting

$$\check{\mathfrak{D}}_{\check{\mathfrak{D}}_0}(\check{\mathfrak{D}}) = \left( (\mathbf{d}[l_0, \mathfrak{li}(\mathfrak{l}b_1[\{(\mathbb{R}^m, 0), (\mathbb{R}^n, 0)\}])]) (m_0) \right) (\check{\mathfrak{D}}_0) \check{\boxtimes} (\check{\mathfrak{D}}).$$

2. Fix  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k = k_1 + k_2$ ,  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_1 \in \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(l,m),n}^{k_1}$ ,  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_2 \in \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(l,m),n}^{k_2}$ ,  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_0 \in \mathfrak{D}_{l_0,m}(m_0)$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\mathfrak{D}}_{\check{\mathfrak{D}}_0}(\check{\mathfrak{D}}_1 \check{\boxtimes} \check{\mathfrak{D}}_2) = \\ (\check{\mathfrak{D}}_1 \check{\mathfrak{D}}_{\check{\mathfrak{D}}_0}) \check{\boxtimes} (\check{\mathfrak{D}}_{\check{\mathfrak{D}}_0}(\check{\mathfrak{D}}_2)) \check{\boxplus} (\check{\mathfrak{D}}_{\check{\mathfrak{D}}_0}(\check{\mathfrak{D}}_1)) \check{\boxtimes} (\check{\mathfrak{D}}_2 \check{\mathfrak{D}}_{\check{\mathfrak{D}}_0}). \end{aligned}$$

In Definitions 6.2.6 below we introduce the notion of skeleton for higher order generalized differential operators, this extends to  $\check{\mathcal{A}}$  the notion of skeleton given in Remark 5.3.1-[10].

We refer to Notations 5.3.15, 11.0.3-[10], 11.0.6-[4], Remark 5.3.2-[8, 9].

**Definition 6.2.6** *We define the notion of skeleton of elements belonging to  $\check{\mathcal{A}}$  recursively as follows.*

1. Fix an element  $(a, l, m, n, \mathbf{l}, \boldsymbol{\delta}) \in \mathfrak{A}^1$ , an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{l+m}$ ,  $(\nu_a, f_a) \in (\text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(U_m, V_{CC}[l_a, m+n]) \cap \mathfrak{S}_{SSF(V)}) \times \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(U_m, \mathbb{R}^{l_a})$ ,  $\zeta_a \in \mathfrak{D}_{l_a, m+n}(U_m)$ ,  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_a \in \mathfrak{D}_{l_a, m+n}(m)$  for any  $\mathbf{a} \in \{1, \dots, a\}$ .  
Assume that all conditions below are fulfilled:

$$\psi[U_m, l_a, m+n](\nu_a) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall \mathbf{a} \in \{1, \dots, a\}; \quad (6.10)$$

$$\zeta_a = \mathfrak{p} \circ (\mathfrak{q} \circ \boldsymbol{\theta}[\nu_a, f_a]) \quad \forall \mathbf{a} \in \{1, \dots, a\}; \quad (6.11)$$

$$\check{\mathfrak{D}}_a = \langle \zeta_a \rangle(0) \quad \forall \mathbf{a} \in \{1, \dots, a\}. \quad (6.12)$$

Set:

$$(\nu, f, \boldsymbol{\delta}) = ((\nu_a, f_a), \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathbf{a}=1})^a;$$

$$\check{\mathfrak{D}} = \bigotimes_{\mathbf{a}=1}^a \check{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathbf{a}}.$$

We say that:

$$\left(\check{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathbf{a}}\right)_{\mathbf{a}=1}^a \text{ is a decomposition vector of } \check{\mathfrak{D}};$$

$$U \text{ is a domain of } \check{\mathfrak{D}}; \quad (6.13)$$

$$(\nu, f, \boldsymbol{\delta}) \text{ is a skeleton of } \check{\mathfrak{D}}; \quad (6.14)$$

$$\left(\zeta_{\mathbf{a}}\right)_{\mathbf{a}=1}^a \text{ is a representative vector of } \check{\mathfrak{D}} \text{ related to } (\nu, f, \boldsymbol{\delta});$$

$$\bigotimes_{\mathbf{a}=1}^a \zeta_{\mathbf{a}} \text{ is a representative of } \check{\mathfrak{D}} \text{ related to } (\nu, f, \boldsymbol{\delta}).$$

With an abuse of language we summarize (6.13), (6.14) by saying that  $(\nu, f, \boldsymbol{\delta})$  is a skeleton of  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}$  with domain  $U$ .

2. Fix a finite set  $\mathbf{l}$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $(a_i, l_i, m_i, n_i, \mathbf{l}_i, \boldsymbol{\delta}_i) \in \mathfrak{A}_{(d)}^1$ ,  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_i \in \check{\mathcal{A}}[a_i, l_i, m_i, n_i, \mathbf{l}_i, \boldsymbol{\delta}_i]$ , a skeleton  $(\nu_i, f_i, \boldsymbol{\delta}_i)$  of  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_i$  with domain  $U$ , a representative vector  $\left(\zeta_{i,\mathbf{a}}\right)_{\mathbf{a}=1}^{a_i}$  of  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_i$  related to  $(\nu_i, f_i, \boldsymbol{\delta}_i)$ , a decomposition  $\left(\check{\mathfrak{D}}_{i,\mathbf{a}}\right)_{\mathbf{a}=1}^{a_i}$  of  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_i$  related to  $(\nu_i, f_i, \boldsymbol{\delta}_i)$  for any  $i \in \mathbf{l}$ .

Set  $(\nu, f, \delta) = ((\nu_i, f_i, \delta_i))_{i \in I}$ .

We say that:

$$\left( \left( \check{\delta}_{i,a} \right)_{a=1}^{a_i} \right)_{i \in I} \text{ is a decomposition vector of } \left( \check{\delta}_i \right)_{i \in I};$$

$$U \text{ is a domain of } \left( \check{\delta}_i \right)_{i \in I}; \tag{6.15}$$

$$(\nu, f, \delta) \text{ is a skeleton of } \left( \check{\delta}_i \right)_{i \in I}; \tag{6.16}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \left( \zeta_{i,a} \right)_{a=1}^{a_i} \right)_{i \in I} \text{ is a representative vector of } \left( \check{\delta}_i \right)_{i \in I} \text{ related} \\ \text{to } (\nu, f, \delta). \end{array} \right.$$

With an abuse of language we summarize (6.15), (6.16) by saying that  $(\nu, f, \delta)$  is a skeleton of a  $\left( \check{\delta}_i \right)_{i \in I}$  with domain  $U$ .

3. Fix a finite set  $I$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $w_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\{(a_{i,k}, l_{i,k}, m_{i,k}, n_{i,k}, \mathbf{l}_{i,k}, \delta_{i,k})\}_{k=1}^{k_i} \in \mathfrak{A}_{(d)},$$

$$\check{\delta}_{i,k} \in \check{\mathcal{A}}[a_{i,k}, l_{i,k}, m_{i,k}, n_{i,k}, \mathbf{l}_{i,k}, \delta_{i,k}]$$

for any  $i \in I$ ,  $k \in \{1, \dots, k_i\}$ , a skeleton  $(\nu, f, \delta)$  of  $\left( \left( \check{\delta}_{i,k} \right)_{k=1}^{k_i} \right)_{i \in I}$ .

$$\text{Set } \check{\delta} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} w_i \ast \left( \bigotimes_{k=1}^{k_i} \check{\delta}_{i,k} \right).$$

We say that:

$$\left( \left( \check{\delta}_{i,k} \right)_{k=1}^{k_i} \right)_{i \in I} \text{ is a decomposition vector of } \check{\delta};$$

$$(\nu, f, \delta) \text{ is a skeleton of } \check{\delta} \text{ with domain } U.$$

### Remark 6.2.7

1. Condition (6.10) does not affect generality because of Proposition 6.1.4-[2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8] and Remark 5.3.2-[2] together with (6.8).
2. Existence of skeletons of finite sets of germs follows by conditions (5.51), (5.59) in definition of Grothendieck topology of the site  $\mathbb{V}$ .

In Definition 6.2.8 below we introduce the  $p$ -corresponding skeleton of elements belonging to  $\check{\mathcal{A}}$ .

**Definition 6.2.8** We define the notion of  $p$ -corresponding skeleton of elements belonging to  $\check{\mathcal{A}}$ , recursively as follows:

1. Fix an element  $(a, l, m, n, \mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{\delta}) \in \mathfrak{A}^1$ , a germ  $\check{\mathfrak{A}} \in \check{\mathfrak{A}}[a, l, m, n, \mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{\delta}]$ , a skeleton  $(\nu, f, \boldsymbol{\delta})$  of  $\check{\mathfrak{A}}$  with domain  $U$ , an arrow  $\tilde{\nu}_{a,j}$   $p$ -corresponding to  $\nu_{a,j}$ ,  $u_{a,j} \in W$  with  $\text{ev}(u_{a,j}) = f_{a,j}$  for any  $a \in \{1, \dots, a\}$ ,  $j \in J_a$ .  
Set  $(\tilde{\nu}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta}) = ((\tilde{\nu}_a, u_a), \boldsymbol{\delta}_a)_{a=1}^a$ .  
We say that  $(\tilde{\nu}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta})$  is a  $p$ -corresponding skeleton of  $\check{\mathfrak{A}}$  related to  $(\nu, u, \boldsymbol{\delta})$ .
2. Fix a finite set  $I$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , an open neighborhood  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $(a_i, l_i, m_i, n_i, \mathbf{l}_i, \boldsymbol{\delta}_i) \in \mathfrak{A}_{(d)}^1$ ,  $\check{\mathfrak{A}}_i \in \check{\mathfrak{A}}[a_i, l_i, m_i, n_i, \mathbf{l}_i, \boldsymbol{\delta}_i]$ , a skeleton  $(\nu, f, \boldsymbol{\delta})$  of  $(\check{\mathfrak{A}}_i)_{i \in I}$  with domain  $U$ , a  $p$ -corresponding skeleton  $(\tilde{\nu}_i, u_i, \boldsymbol{\delta}_i)$  of  $\check{\mathfrak{A}}_i$  related to  $(\nu_i, f_i, \boldsymbol{\delta}_i)$  for any  $i \in I$ .  
Set  $(\tilde{\nu}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta}) = ((\tilde{\nu}_i, u_i, \boldsymbol{\delta}_i))_{i \in I}$ .  
We say that  $(\tilde{\nu}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta})$  is a  $p$ -corresponding skeleton of  $(\check{\mathfrak{A}}_i)_{i \in I}$  related to  $(\nu, f, \boldsymbol{\delta})$ .
3. Fix a finite set  $I$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $w_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\{(a_{i,k}, l_{i,k}, m_{i,k}, n_{i,k}, \mathbf{l}_{i,k}, \boldsymbol{\delta}_{i,k})\}_{k=1}^{k_i} \in \mathfrak{A}_{(d)}$ ,  $\check{\mathfrak{A}}_{i,k} \in \check{\mathfrak{A}}[a_{i,k}, l_{i,k}, m_{i,k}, n_{i,k}, \mathbf{l}_{i,k}, \boldsymbol{\delta}_{i,k}]$  for any  $i \in I$ ,  $k \in \{1, \dots, k_i\}$ , a skeleton  $(\nu, f, \boldsymbol{\delta})$  of  $(\check{\mathfrak{A}}_{i,k})_{i \in I, k=1}^{k_i}$ , a  $p$ -corresponding skeleton  $(\tilde{\nu}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta})$  of  $(\check{\mathfrak{A}}_{i,k})_{i \in I, k=1}^{k_i}$  related to  $(\nu, f, \boldsymbol{\delta})$ .  
Set  $\check{\mathfrak{A}} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} w_i \check{\mathfrak{A}}_{i,k=1}^{k_i}$ .  
We say that  $(\tilde{\nu}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta})$  is a  $p$ -corresponding skeleton of  $\check{\mathfrak{A}}$  related to  $(\nu, f, \boldsymbol{\delta})$ .

In Definition 6.2.9 below we introduce evaluation of elements belonging to  $\check{\mathfrak{A}}$ . We refer to Notation 11.0.3-[10, 11], Definitions 4.2.1, 5.1.1, Proposition 4.2.4, Remark 5.2.8-[2].

**Definition 6.2.9** We define the notion of evaluation of elements belonging to  $\check{\mathfrak{A}}$  recursively as follows:

1. Fix  $(a, l, m, n, \mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{\delta}) \in \mathfrak{A}^1$ , a germ  $\check{\mathfrak{A}} \in \check{\mathfrak{A}}[a, l, m, n, \mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{\delta}]$ , a skeleton  $(\nu, f, \boldsymbol{\delta})$  of  $\check{\mathfrak{A}}$  with domain  $U$ , a  $p$ -corresponding skeleton  $(\tilde{\nu}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta})$  of  $\check{\mathfrak{A}}$  related to  $(\nu, f, \boldsymbol{\delta})$ .  
We define the arrow of  $\mathbb{V}$

$$EPF_{(\tilde{\nu}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta})} : U \times V[n, 1] \rightarrow V[l + m + n, 1]$$

recursively as follows.

We define the arrow

$$EPF_{(\tilde{\nu}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta})}^a : U \times V[n, 1] \rightarrow V[l + m + n, 1]$$

of  $\mathbb{V}$  by setting

$$EPF_{(\tilde{v}, u, \delta)}^a(s, \mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{x} \hat{\otimes} (\tilde{v}_{1,a}(s))) \hat{\otimes} \text{li}(\text{lp}_1[\{\mathbb{R}^{l_a+m+n}, \mathbb{R}^m\}] \circ h_a \lambda) & \text{if } \delta_a = 0, \\ \left( \bigoplus_{l=1}^{l_a} (\mathbf{d}_l \hat{\otimes} (\mathbf{x} \hat{\otimes} (\tilde{v}_{1,a}(s)))) \hat{\square} \lambda \text{co}[l_a, l] \diamond u_a \lambda \right) \hat{\otimes} \text{li}(\lambda h_a \lambda) & \text{if } l_a \neq 0 \text{ and } \delta_a = 1, \\ ((\mathbf{x} \hat{\otimes} (\tilde{v}_{1,a}(s))) \hat{\square} \lambda \text{c}[\mathbb{R}^{l_a}, \mathbb{R}, 0] \diamond u_a \lambda) \hat{\otimes} \text{li}(\lambda h_a \lambda) & \text{if } l_a = 0 \text{ and } \delta_a = 1 \end{cases}$$

$\forall (s, \mathbf{x}) \in U \times V[n, 1]$

where

$$\tilde{v}_{1,a} = (\text{li}(\text{lp}_2[\{\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n\}] \lambda) \hat{\otimes} \tilde{v}_a) \hat{\boxplus} \text{li}(\lambda 1_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lambda);$$

$$\begin{cases} h_a : \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{l_a} \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \text{ is given by} \\ h_a(s_1, s_2, s_3) = (\text{p}_a[\{\mathbb{R}^{l_1}, \dots, \mathbb{R}^{l_a}\}](s_1), s_2, s_3, s_2) \\ \forall (s_1, s_2, s_3) \in \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

For any  $\mathbf{a} \in \{1, \dots, a-1\}$  we define the arrow

$$EPF_{(\tilde{v}, u, \delta)}^{\mathbf{a}} : U \times V[n, 1] \rightarrow V[l+m+n, 1]$$

of  $\mathbb{V}$  by setting

$$EPF_{(\tilde{v}, u, \delta)}^{\mathbf{a}}(s, \mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{y}(s) \hat{\otimes} (\tilde{v}_{1,\mathbf{a}}(s))) \hat{\otimes} \text{li}(\text{lp}_1[\{\mathbb{R}^{l_a+m+l+m+n}, \mathbb{R}^m\}] \circ h_a \lambda) & \text{if } \delta_a = 0 \\ \left( \bigoplus_{l=1}^{l_a} (\mathbf{d}_l \hat{\otimes} (\mathbf{y}(s) \hat{\otimes} (\tilde{v}_{1,\mathbf{a}}(s)))) \hat{\square} \lambda \text{co}[l_a, l] \diamond u_a \lambda \right) \hat{\otimes} \text{li}(\lambda h_a \lambda) & \text{if } l_a \neq 0 \text{ and } \delta_a = 1, \\ ((\mathbf{y}(s) \hat{\otimes} (\tilde{v}_{1,\mathbf{a},j}(s))) \hat{\square} \lambda \text{c}[\mathbb{R}^{l_a}, \mathbb{R}, 0] \diamond u_a \lambda) \hat{\otimes} \text{li}(\lambda h_a \lambda) & \text{if } l_a = 0 \text{ and } \delta_a = 1 \end{cases}$$

$\forall (s, \mathbf{x}) \in U \times V[n, 1]$

where

$$\mathbf{y}(s) = EPF_{(\tilde{v}, u, \delta)}^{\mathbf{a}+1}(s, \mathbf{x});$$

$$\tilde{v}_{1,\mathbf{a}} = (\text{li}(\text{lp}_2[\{(\mathbb{R}^l, 0), (\mathbb{R}^{m+n}, 0)\}] \lambda) \hat{\otimes} \tilde{v}_a) \hat{\boxplus} \text{li}(\lambda 1_{\mathbb{R}^{l+m+n}} \lambda);$$

$$\begin{cases} h_a : \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{l_a} \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \text{ is given by} \\ h_a(s_1, s_2, s_3) = (\text{p}_a[\{\mathbb{R}^{l_1}, \dots, \mathbb{R}^{l_a}\}](s_1), s_2, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_2) \\ \forall (s_1, s_2, s_3) \in \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

Eventually we set  $EPF_{(\tilde{v}, u, \delta)} = EPF_{(\tilde{v}, u, \delta)}^1$ .



2. Fix a finite set  $\mathbf{l}$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , an open neighborhood  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{l+m}$ ,  $(a_i, l_i, m_i, n_i, \mathbf{l}_i, \boldsymbol{\delta}_i) \in \mathfrak{A}_{(d)}^1$ ,  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_i \in \check{\mathcal{A}}[a_i, l_i, m_i, n_i, \mathbf{l}_i, \boldsymbol{\delta}_i]$  for any  $i \in \mathbf{l}$ , a skeleton  $(\nu, f, \boldsymbol{\delta})$  of  $\left(\check{\mathfrak{D}}_i\right)_{i \in \mathbf{l}}$  with domain  $U$ , a  $p$ -corresponding skeleton  $(\tilde{\nu}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta})$  of  $\left(\check{\mathfrak{D}}_i\right)_{i \in \mathbf{l}}$  related to  $(\nu, f, \boldsymbol{\delta})$ .

We define the arrow

$$EPF_{(\tilde{\nu}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta})} : U \times \left( \prod_{i \in \mathbf{l}} V[n_i, 1] \right) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in \mathbf{l}} V[d + n_i, 1]$$

of  $\mathbb{V}$  by setting

$$EPF_{(\tilde{\nu}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta})}(s, (\mathbf{x}_i)_{i \in \mathbf{l}}) = \left( EPF_{(\tilde{\nu}, u_i, \boldsymbol{\delta}_i)}(s, \mathbf{x}_i) \right)_{i \in \mathbf{l}}$$

$$\forall (s, (\mathbf{x}_i)_{i \in \mathbf{l}}) \in U \times \left( \prod_{i \in \mathbf{l}} V[n_i, 1] \right).$$

We say that  $EPF_{(\tilde{\nu}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta})}$  is the evaluation of  $\left(\check{\mathfrak{D}}_i\right)_{i \in \mathbf{l}}$  related to  $(\tilde{\nu}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta})$ , or simply an evaluation of  $\left(\check{\mathfrak{D}}_i\right)_{i \in \mathbf{l}}$  whenever there is no risk of confusion.

3. Fix a finite set  $\mathbf{l}$ ,  $d, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{w}_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\{(a_{i,k}, l_{i,k}, m_{i,k}, n, \mathbf{l}_{i,k}, \boldsymbol{\delta}_{i,k})\}_{k=1}^{k_i} \in \mathfrak{A}_{(d)}$ ,  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_{i,k} \in \check{\mathcal{A}}[a_{i,k}, l_{i,k}, m_{i,k}, n, \mathbf{l}_{i,k}, \boldsymbol{\delta}_{i,k}]$  for any  $i \in \mathbf{l}$ ,  $k \in \{1, \dots, k_i\}$ , a skeleton  $(\nu, f, \boldsymbol{\delta})$  of  $\left(\left(\check{\mathfrak{D}}_{i,k}\right)_{k=1}^{k_i}\right)_{i \in \mathbf{l}}$  with domain  $U$ , a  $p$ -corresponding skeleton  $(\tilde{\nu}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta})$  of  $\left(\left(\check{\mathfrak{D}}_{i,k}\right)_{k=1}^{k_i}\right)_{i \in \mathbf{l}}$  related to  $(\nu, f, \boldsymbol{\delta})$ .

$$\text{Set } \check{\mathfrak{D}} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{l}} \mathbf{w}_i \ast \left( \bigotimes_{k=1}^{k_i} \check{\mathfrak{D}}_{i,k} \right).$$

We define the arrow of  $\mathbb{V}$

$$EPF_{(\tilde{\nu}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta})}[\check{\mathfrak{D}}] : U \times V[n, 1] \rightarrow V[d + 1, 1]$$

by setting

$$EPF_{(\tilde{\nu}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta})}[\check{\mathfrak{D}}](s, \mathbf{x}) = \left( \widehat{\bigoplus}_{i \in \mathbf{l}} \mathbf{w}_i \widehat{\ast} \left( \widehat{\bigotimes}_{k=1}^{k_i} EPF_{(\tilde{\nu}_{i,k}, u_{i,k}, \boldsymbol{\delta}_{i,k})}(s, \mathbf{x}) \right) \right)$$

$$\forall (s, \mathbf{x}) \in U \times V[n, 1].$$

We say that  $EPF_{(\tilde{\nu}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta})}[\check{\mathfrak{D}}]$  is the evaluation of  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}$  related to  $(\tilde{\nu}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta})$ , or simply an evaluation of  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}$  whenever there is no risk of confusion.

Fix  $(s, \mathbf{x}) \in U \times V[n, 1]$ , a path  $\omega$  in  $W$  detecting  $EPF_{(\tilde{\nu}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta})}[\check{\mathfrak{D}}](s, \mathbf{x})$ .

We say that  $\omega$  is a path in  $W$  detecting  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}$  at  $(s, \mathbf{x})$ .

In Definition 6.2.10 below we introduce the notion of vanishing elements in  $\check{\mathcal{A}}_{(*)}^1$ . We refer to Remark 5.2.8-[2].

**Definition 6.2.10**

1. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\check{\mathcal{D}} \in \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(*,*)}^1$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in V[n, 1]$ , a skeleton  $(\nu, f, \delta)$  of  $\check{\mathcal{D}}$  with domain  $U$ , a  $p$ -corresponding skeleton  $(\tilde{\nu}, u, \delta)$  of  $\check{\mathcal{D}}$  related to  $(\nu, f, \delta)$  such that

$$EPF_{(\tilde{\nu}, u, \delta)}[\check{\mathcal{D}}](s, \mathbf{x}) = 0 \widehat{\otimes} EPF_{(\tilde{\nu}, u, \delta)}[\check{\mathcal{D}}](s, \mathbf{x}) \quad \forall s \in U.$$

We say:  $\check{\mathcal{D}}$  vanishes at  $\mathbf{x}$  for  $(\tilde{\nu}, u, \delta)$ ;  $(\tilde{\nu}, u, \delta)$  realizes the vanishing of  $\check{\mathcal{D}}$  at  $\mathbf{x}$ . With an abuse of language we say that  $\check{\mathcal{D}}$  is a vanishing element at  $\mathbf{x}$  whenever there is no need to specify the  $p$ -corresponding skeleton realizing the vanishing.

We set:

$$\check{\mathcal{O}}_{(l, m), n, \mathbf{x}}^1 = \left\{ \check{\mathcal{D}} \in \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(l, m), n}^1 : \check{\mathcal{D}} \text{ is a vanishing element at } \mathbf{x} \right\} \\ \text{for any } l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \mathbf{x} \in V[n, 1];$$

$$\check{\mathcal{O}}_{(*, m), n, \mathbf{x}}^1 = \left\{ \check{\mathcal{O}}_{(l, m), n, \mathbf{x}}^1 \right\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \quad \text{for any } m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \mathbf{x} \in V[n, 1];$$

$$\check{\mathcal{O}}_{(*, *) , n, \mathbf{x}}^1 = \left\{ \check{\mathcal{O}}_{(l, m), n, \mathbf{x}}^1 \right\}_{(l, m) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0} \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \mathbf{x} \in V[n, 1];$$

$$\check{\mathcal{O}}_{(*, *) , *, \mathbf{x}}^1 = \left\{ \check{\mathcal{O}}_{(l, m), n, \mathbf{x}}^1 \right\}_{(l, m, n) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0} \quad \text{for any } \mathbf{x} \in V[n, 1].$$

If  $n = 1$  and  $\mathbf{x} = \text{li}(\imath 1_{\mathbb{R}} \imath)$  then we drop  $\text{li}(\imath 1_{\mathbb{R}} \imath)$  from the notation.

2. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\check{\mathcal{D}} \in \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(*)}^1$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in V[n, 1]$ , a skeleton  $(\nu, f, \delta)$  of  $\check{\mathcal{D}}$  with domain  $U$ , a  $p$ -corresponding skeleton  $(\tilde{\nu}, u, \delta)$  of  $\check{\mathcal{D}}$  related to  $(\nu, u, \delta)$  such that

$$EPF_{(\tilde{\nu}, u, \delta)}[\check{\mathcal{D}}](s, \mathbf{x}) = 0 \widehat{\otimes} EPF_{(\tilde{\nu}, u, \delta)}[\check{\mathcal{D}}](s, \mathbf{x}) \quad \forall s \in U.$$

We say:  $\check{\mathcal{D}}$  vanishes at  $\mathbf{x}$  for  $(\tilde{\nu}, u, \delta)$ ;  $(\tilde{\nu}, u, \delta)$  realizes the vanishing of  $\check{\mathcal{D}}$  at  $\mathbf{x}$ . With an abuse of language we say that  $\check{\mathcal{D}}$  is a vanishing element at  $\mathbf{x}$  whenever there is no need to specify the  $p$ -corresponding skeleton realizing the vanishing.

We set:

$$\check{\mathcal{O}}_{(d), n, \mathbf{x}} = \left\{ \check{\mathcal{D}} \in \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(d), n} : \check{\mathcal{D}} \text{ is a vanishing element at } \mathbf{x} \right\} \quad \forall d \in \mathbb{N}_0;$$

$$\check{\mathcal{O}}_{(*) , n, \mathbf{x}} = \left\{ \check{\mathcal{O}}_{(d), n, \mathbf{x}} \right\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}_0}.$$

If  $n = 1$  and  $\mathbf{x} = \text{li}(\imath 1_{\mathbb{R}} \imath)$  then we drop  $\text{li}(\imath 1_{\mathbb{R}} \imath)$  from the notation, whenever there is no risk of confusion.

In Proposition 6.2.11 below we study the behavior of vanishing elements belonging to  $(\check{\mathcal{A}}_{(*,*)}^1, \check{+}, \check{\boxtimes}, \check{*})$ .

**Proposition 6.2.11**

1. Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in V[n, 1]$ ,  $\check{\mathfrak{D}} \in \check{\mathcal{O}}_{(l,m),n,\mathbf{x}}^1$ .  
Then  $\mathbf{w} \check{*} \check{\mathfrak{D}} \in \check{\mathcal{O}}_{(l,m),n,\mathbf{x}}^1$ .
2. Fix  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in V[n, 1]$ ,  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_1, \check{\mathfrak{D}}_2 \in \check{\mathcal{O}}_{(l,m),n,\mathbf{x}}^1$ .  
Then  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_1 \check{+} \check{\mathfrak{D}}_2 \in \check{\mathcal{O}}_{(l,m),n,\mathbf{x}}^1$ .
3. Fix  $l_1, l_2, m_1, m_2, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in V[n, 1]$ ,  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_1 \in \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(l_1, m_1), m_2 + n}^1$ ,  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_2 \in \check{\mathcal{O}}_{(l_2, m_2), n, \mathbf{x}}^1$ .  
Then  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_1 \check{\boxtimes} \check{\mathfrak{D}}_2 \in \check{\mathcal{O}}_{(l_1 + l_2, m_1 + m_2), n, \mathbf{x}}^1$ .
4. Fix  $l_0, l, m_0, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in V[n, 1]$ ,  $\check{\mathfrak{D}} \in \check{\mathcal{O}}_{(l,m),n,\mathbf{x}}^1$ ,  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_0 \in \mathfrak{D}_{l_0, m}(m_0)$ .  
Then  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_{\check{\mathfrak{D}}_0}(\check{\mathfrak{D}}), \check{\mathfrak{D}}_{\check{\mathfrak{D}}_0} \in \check{\mathcal{O}}_{(l_0 + l, m_0 + m), n, \mathbf{x}}^1$ .
5. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in V[n, 1]$ .  
Then  $\check{\mathcal{O}}_{(*,*)}^1$  is a left ideal of the algebra  $(\check{\mathcal{A}}_{(*,*)}^1, \check{+}, \check{\boxtimes}, \check{*})$ .

**Proof.**

**Proof of statement 1.** Fix a skeleton  $(\nu, f, \delta)$  of  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}$  with domain  $U$ , a p-corresponding skeleton  $(\tilde{\nu}, u, \delta)$  of  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}$  related to  $(\nu, f, \delta)$  and realizing the vanishing of  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}$  at  $\mathbf{x}$ .

Then:  $(\nu, f, \delta)$  is a skeleton of  $\mathbf{w} \check{*} \check{\mathfrak{D}}$  with domain  $U$ , by Definition 6.2.6-[3];  $(\tilde{\nu}, u, \delta)$  is a p-corresponding skeleton of  $\mathbf{w} \check{*} \check{\mathfrak{D}}$  related to  $(\nu, f, \delta)$ , by Definition 6.2.8-[3].

Eventually  $(\tilde{\nu}, u, \delta)$  realizes the vanishing of  $\mathbf{w} \check{*} \check{\mathfrak{D}}$  at  $\mathbf{x}$ , by Definition 6.2.9-[3].

**Proof of statement 2.** Fix a decomposition vector  $(\check{\mathfrak{D}}_{c,i})_{i \in I_c}$  of  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_c$ , a skeleton  $(\nu_c, f_c, \delta_c)$  of  $(\check{\mathfrak{D}}_{c,i})_{i \in I_c}$  with domain  $U_c$ , a p-corresponding skeleton  $(\tilde{\nu}_c, u_c, \delta_c)$  of  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_c$  related to  $(\nu_c, f_c, \delta_c)$  and realizing the vanishing of  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_c$  at  $\mathbf{x}$  for any  $c \in \{1, 2\}$ . Locality of the argument entails that there is no loss of generality by assuming that there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{l+m}$  with  $U = U_1 = U_2$ .

Set:

$I$  the disjoint union of sets  $I_1, I_2$ ;

$$(\check{\mathfrak{D}}_i)_{i \in I} \text{ by } \check{\mathfrak{D}}_i = \begin{cases} \check{\mathfrak{D}}_{1,i} & \text{if } i \in I_1, \\ \check{\mathfrak{D}}_{2,i} & \text{if } i \in I_2; \end{cases}$$

$$(\nu, f, \delta) = ((\nu_i, f_i, \delta_i))_{i \in I} \text{ where } (\nu_i, f_i, \delta_i) = \begin{cases} (\nu_{1,i}, f_{1,i}, \delta_{1,i}) & \text{if } i \in I_1, \\ (\nu_{2,i}, f_{2,i}, \delta_{2,i}) & \text{if } i \in I_2; \end{cases}$$

$$(\tilde{\nu}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta}) = ((\tilde{\nu}_i, u_i, \boldsymbol{\delta}_i))_{i \in I} \text{ where } (\tilde{\nu}_i, u_i, \boldsymbol{\delta}_i) = \begin{cases} (\tilde{\nu}_{1,i}, u_{1,i}, \boldsymbol{\delta}_{1,i}) & \text{if } i \in I_1, \\ (\tilde{\nu}_{2,i}, u_{2,i}, \boldsymbol{\delta}_{2,i}) & \text{if } i \in I_2. \end{cases}$$

Then:  $(\check{\delta}_i)_{i \in I}$  is a decomposition vector of  $\check{\delta}_1 \check{+} \check{\delta}_2$ ;  $(\nu, f, \boldsymbol{\delta})$  is a skeleton of  $(\check{\delta}_i)_{i \in I}$  with domain  $U$ ;  $(\tilde{\nu}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta})$  is a p-corresponding skeleton of  $(\check{\delta}_i)_{i \in I}$  related to  $(\nu, f, \boldsymbol{\delta})$ .

Eventually  $(\tilde{\nu}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta})$  realizes the vanishing of  $\check{\delta}_1 \check{+} \check{\delta}_2$  at  $\mathbf{x}$ , by construction.

**Proof of statement 3.** Fix a decomposition vector  $(\check{\delta}_{c,i})_{i \in I_c}$  of  $\check{\delta}_c$ , a skeleton  $(\nu_c, f_c, \boldsymbol{\delta}_c)$  of  $(\check{\delta}_{c,i})_{i \in I_c}$  with domain  $U_c$ , a p-corresponding skeleton  $(\tilde{\nu}_c, u_c, \boldsymbol{\delta}_c)$  of  $\check{\delta}_c$  related to  $(\nu_c, f_c, \boldsymbol{\delta}_c)$  for any  $c \in \{1, 2\}$ . Assume that  $(\tilde{\nu}_2, u_2, \boldsymbol{\delta}_2)$  realizes the vanishing of  $\check{\delta}_2$  at  $\mathbf{x}$ .

Define:

$$I = I_1 \times I_2;$$

$$\left( \check{\delta}_{(i_1, i_2)} \right)_{(i_1, i_2) \in I} \text{ by } \check{\delta}_{(i_1, i_2)} = \check{\delta}_{i_1} \check{\boxtimes} \check{\delta}_{i_2} \quad \forall (i_1, i_2) \in I;$$

$$\nu_{(i_1, i_2), \mathbf{a}} = \nu_{1, i_1, \mathbf{a}} \circ p_1 [U_{1, m_1}, U_{2, m_2}] \text{ for any } (i_1, i_2) \in I, \mathbf{a} \in \{1, \dots, a_{1, i_1}\};$$

$$f_{(i_1, i_2), \mathbf{a}} = f_{1, i_1, \mathbf{a}} \circ p_1 [U_{1, m_1}, U_{2, m_2}] \text{ for any } (i_1, i_2) \in I, \mathbf{a} \in \{1, \dots, a_{1, i_1}\};$$

$$\delta_{(i_1, i_2), \mathbf{a}} = \delta_{1, i_1, \mathbf{a}} \text{ for any } (i_1, i_2) \in I, \mathbf{a} \in \{1, \dots, a_{1, i_1}\};$$

$$\begin{cases} \nu_{(i_1, i_2), \mathbf{a}} = \text{fi} (b_2 [\{(\mathbb{R}^{m_1}, 0), (\mathbb{R}^{m_2+n}, 0)\}]) \widehat{\circ} (\nu_{2, i_2, \mathbf{a} - a_{1, i_1}} \circ p_2 [U_{1, m_1}, U_{2, m_2}]) \\ \text{for any } (i_1, i_2) \in I, \mathbf{a} \in \{a_{1, i_1} + 1, \dots, a_{2, i_2}\}; \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} f_{(i_1, i_2), \mathbf{a}} = f_{2, i_2, \mathbf{a} - a_{1, i_1}} \circ p_2 [U_{1, m_1}, U_{2, m_2}] \\ \text{for any } (i_1, i_2) \in I, \mathbf{a} \in \{a_{1, i_1} + 1, \dots, a_{2, i_2}\}; \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_{(i_1, i_2), \mathbf{a}} = \delta_{2, i_2, \mathbf{a} - a_{1, i_1}} \text{ for any } (i_1, i_2) \in I, \mathbf{a} \in \{a_{1, i_1} + 1, \dots, a_{2, i_2}\};$$

$$(\nu_{(i_1, i_2)}, f_{(i_1, i_2)}, \boldsymbol{\delta}_{(i_1, i_2)}) = ((\nu_{(i_1, i_2), \mathbf{a}}, f_{(i_1, i_2), \mathbf{a}}), \boldsymbol{\delta}_{(i_1, i_2), \mathbf{a}})_{\mathbf{a}=1}^{a_1+a_2} \quad \forall (i_1, i_2) \in I;$$

$$(\nu, f, \boldsymbol{\delta}) = ((\nu_{(i_1, i_2)}, f_{(i_1, i_2)}, \boldsymbol{\delta}_{(i_1, i_2)}))_{(i_1, i_2) \in I};$$

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\nu}_{(i_1, i_2), \mathbf{a}}(s_1, s_2) = \tilde{\nu}_{1, i_1, \mathbf{a}}(s_1) \widehat{\circ} \text{fi} (\text{lp}_{\{1, 2\}} [\{\mathbb{R}^{1, i_1, \mathbf{a}}, \mathbb{R}^{m_1}, \mathbb{R}^{m_2}\}]) \text{ l} \\ \text{for any } (i_1, i_2) \in I, \mathbf{a} \in \{1, \dots, a_{1, i_1}\}, (s_1, s_2) \in U_{1, m_1} \times U_{2, m_2}; \end{cases}$$

$$u_{(i_1, i_2), \mathbf{a}} = u_{1, i_1, \mathbf{a}} \widehat{\circ} p_1 [U_{1, m_1}, U_{2, m_2}] \text{ for any } (i_1, i_2) \in I, \mathbf{a} \in \{1, \dots, a_{1, i_1}\};$$

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{v}_{(i_1, i_2), \mathbf{a}}(s_1, s_2) = \widehat{\text{li}}(\text{lb}_2[\{(\mathbb{R}^{m_1}, 0), (\mathbb{R}^{m_2+n}, 0)\}] \wr) \widehat{\otimes} \\ \quad \left( \tilde{v}_{2, i_2, \mathbf{a}-a_{1, i_1}}(s_2) \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\text{li}}(\text{lp}_{\{1, 3\}}[\{\mathbb{R}^{l_2, i_2, \mathbf{a}}, \mathbb{R}^{m_1}, \mathbb{R}^{m_2}\}] \wr) \right) \\ \text{for any } (i_1, i_2) \in \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{a} \in \{a_{1, i_1} + 1, \dots, a_{2, i_2}\}, \forall (s_1, s_2) \in U_{1, m_1} \times U_{2, m_2}; \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} u_{(i_1, i_2), \mathbf{a}} = u_{2, i_2, \mathbf{a}-a_{1, i_1}} \widehat{\otimes} \text{p}_2[U_{1, m_1}, U_{2, m_2}] \\ \text{for any } (i_1, i_2) \in \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{a} \in \{a_{1, i_1} + 1, \dots, a_{2, i_2}\}; \end{cases}$$

$$(\tilde{v}_{(i_1, i_2)}, u_{(i_1, i_2)}, \boldsymbol{\delta}_{(i_1, i_2)}) = ((\tilde{v}_{(i_1, i_2), \mathbf{a}}, u_{(i_1, i_2), \mathbf{a}})_{\mathbf{a}=1}^{a_1+a_2}, \boldsymbol{\delta}_{(i_1, i_2), \mathbf{a}})_{\mathbf{a}=1}^{a_1+a_2}) \quad \forall (i_1, i_2) \in \mathbf{l};$$

$$(\tilde{v}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta}) = ((\tilde{v}_{(i_1, i_2)}, u_{(i_1, i_2)}, \boldsymbol{\delta}_{(i_1, i_2)})_{(i_1, i_2) \in \mathbf{l}});$$

$$\begin{cases} h : \mathbb{R}^{l_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{l_2} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_2} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{l_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{l_2} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_2} \times \mathbb{R}^n \\ \text{by setting} \\ h(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5) = (s_1, s_3, s_2, s_4, s_5) \\ \quad \forall (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5) \in \mathbb{R}^{l_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{l_2} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_2} \times \mathbb{R}^n; \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} {}_1\tilde{v}_{i, \mathbf{a}} = \widehat{\text{li}}(\text{lb}_{\{1, 3, 4\}}[\{(\mathbb{R}^{m_1}, 0), (\mathbb{R}^{l_2}, 0), (\mathbb{R}^{m_2}, 0), (\mathbb{R}^n, 0)\}] \wr) \widehat{\otimes} \tilde{v}_{1, i, \mathbf{a}} \\ \text{for any } i \in \mathbf{l}_1, \mathbf{a} \in \{1, \dots, a_{1, i}\}; \end{cases}$$

$$({}_1\tilde{v}_i, u_i) = (({}_1\tilde{v}_{i, \mathbf{a}}, u_{i, \mathbf{a}})_{\mathbf{a} \in \{1, \dots, a_{1, i}\}}) \quad \text{for any } i \in \mathbf{l}_1;$$

$$({}_1\tilde{v}, u) = \{({}_1\tilde{v}_i, u_i)\}_{i \in \mathbf{l}_1}.$$

Definition 6.2.9-[3] entails that

$$\begin{aligned} EPF_{(\tilde{v}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta})} \left[ \check{\partial}_1 \check{\boxtimes} \check{\partial}_2 \right] (s_1, s_2, \mathbf{x}) = \\ EPF_{({}_1\tilde{v}, u, \boldsymbol{\delta}_1)} \left[ \check{\partial}_1 \right] \left( s_1, EPF_{(\tilde{v}_2, u_2, \boldsymbol{\delta}_2)} \left[ \check{\partial}_2 \right] (s_2, \mathbf{x}) \right) \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\text{li}}(\wr) \\ \forall (s_1, s_2) \in U_1 \times U_2. \end{aligned}$$

Eventually statement follows since by assumption we have

$$EPF_{(\tilde{v}_2, u_2, \boldsymbol{\delta}_2)} \left[ \check{\partial}_2 \right] (s_2, \mathbf{x}) = 0 \widehat{\otimes} EPF_{(\tilde{v}_2, u_2, \boldsymbol{\delta}_2)} \left[ \check{\partial}_2 \right] (s_2, \mathbf{x}) \quad \forall s_2 \in U_2.$$

**Proof of statement 4.** Statement follows straightforwardly by Definitions 6.2.4, 6.2.5, statements 2, 3.

**Proof of statement 5.** Statement follows by statements 1, 2, 3. ■

In Proposition 6.2.12 below we study the behavior of  $\check{\mathcal{O}}_{(*), 1, \mathbf{x}}^1$  with respect to the algebraic structure of  $(\check{\mathcal{A}}_{(*), n}, \check{+}, \check{\boxtimes}, \check{*})$ . We refer to Notations 11.0.2, 11.0.8-[5(c)]

**Proposition 6.2.12**

1. Fix  $d, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in V[n, 1]$ ,  $\check{\mathfrak{D}} \in \check{\mathcal{O}}_{(d),n,\mathbf{x}}$ . Then  $\mathbf{w} \check{*} \check{\mathfrak{D}} \in \check{\mathcal{O}}_{(d),n,\mathbf{x}}$ .
2. Fix  $d, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in V[n, 1]$ ,  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_1, \check{\mathfrak{D}}_2 \in \check{\mathcal{O}}_{(d),n,\mathbf{x}}$ . Then  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_1 \check{+} \check{\mathfrak{D}}_2 \in \check{\mathcal{O}}_{(d),n,\mathbf{x}}$ .
3. Fix  $d, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in V[n, 1]$ ,  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_1, \check{\mathfrak{D}}_2 \in \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(d),n}$ . Assume that  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_1$  or  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_2$  is a vanishing element at  $\mathbf{x}$ . Then  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}_1 \check{\boxtimes} \check{\mathfrak{D}}_2 \in \check{\mathcal{O}}_{(d),n,\mathbf{x}}$ .
4. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in V[n, 1]$ .  
Then  $\check{\mathcal{O}}_{(*),n,\mathbf{x}}$  is an ideal of the algebra  $(\check{\mathcal{A}}_{(*),n}, \check{+}, \check{\boxtimes}, \check{*})$ .
5. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathfrak{n} \in \{1, \dots, \bar{\mathfrak{n}}\}$ . Then  $\check{\mathcal{O}}_{(*),n,\text{li}(\text{co}[n,n])}$  is a proper ideal of the algebra  $(\check{\mathcal{A}}_{(*),1}, \check{+}, \check{\boxtimes}, \check{*})$ .

**Proof.**

Statements 1, 2, 3, 4 straightforwardly follow by Definitions 6.2.2, 6.2.10-[2]. Statement 5 follows by proving the claim

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Fix } m \in \mathbb{N}_0. \text{ Then there is } \check{\mathfrak{D}} \in \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(n+m),n} \text{ such that for any} \\ \text{skeleton } (\nu, f, \delta) \text{ of } \check{\mathfrak{D}} \text{ any p-corresponding skeleton} \\ (\tilde{\nu}, u, \delta) \text{ related to } (\nu, f, \delta) \text{ does not realize the vanishing of } \check{\mathfrak{D}} \\ \text{at } \text{li}(\text{co}[n,n]). \end{array} \right. \quad (6.17)$$

Referring to Notations 5.3.15-[2], 11.0.6-[2], Remarks 5.1.5-[2], 5.3.2-[2], (5.59) we define  $\check{\mathfrak{D}} = \langle \mathfrak{p} \circ \mathfrak{q} \circ \mathfrak{c} [\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbf{M}[n, n], \mathfrak{D} [\text{li}(\text{li}(\mathbb{R}^n)), \mathbf{e}_n]] \rangle$ .

By Definitions 6.1.1, 6.1.3 of corresponding arrows and of p-corresponding arrows respectively there is one and only one evaluation of  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}$  at  $\text{li}(\text{co}[n, n])$ , namely the arrow  $\mathfrak{c} [\mathbb{R}^{n+m}, V[n+m+n, 1], \text{li}(\text{co}[\mathbb{R}^{n+m+n}, \mathbb{R}, 1])$ .

Eventually  $\check{\mathfrak{D}}$  straightforwardly verifies claim (6.17). ■

In Definition 6.2.13 below we point the attention on a class of elements in  $\check{\mathcal{A}}_{(*),1}$  which will be crucial in development of calculus in  $\mathbb{V}$ .

**Definition 6.2.13** We define sets

$$X_{(d)} \subseteq \check{\mathcal{A}}_{(d),1} \quad d \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

recursively as follows.

1. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^l$ , an open neighborhood  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $f_1, f_2 \in C^0[U, \mathbb{R}]$ ,  $\nu \in V_{CC}[l, m]$ .

Define:

$$\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{D}[\nu, \mathbf{v}]));$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{the arrow } \boldsymbol{\varrho}_a : U \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}[l, m+1] \text{ by setting} \\ \boldsymbol{\varrho}_a(z) = \mathbf{d}[l, \lambda(\mathfrak{b}_2[\{(\mathbb{R}^m, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}] \circ f_a)^\nabla(z) \lambda](\varnothing) \quad \forall z \in U \\ \text{for any } a \in \{1, 2\}; \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{the arrow } \boldsymbol{\varrho}_3 : U \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}[l, m+1] \text{ by setting} \\ \boldsymbol{\varrho}_3(z) = \\ \mathbf{d}[l, \lambda(\mathfrak{b}_2[\{(\mathbb{R}^m, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}] \circ (\mathbf{w}_1 * f_1 + \mathbf{w}_2 * f_2))^\nabla(z) \lambda](\varnothing) \\ \forall z \in U. \end{array} \right.$$

Then:

$$(\langle \boldsymbol{\varrho}_3 \rangle(0))_0 \check{+} - 1 \check{*} (\mathbf{w}_1 \check{*} (\langle \boldsymbol{\varrho}_1 \rangle(0))_0 \check{+} \mathbf{w}_2 \check{*} (\langle \boldsymbol{\varrho}_2 \rangle(0))_0) \in X_{(l+m)};$$

$$(\langle \boldsymbol{\varrho}_3 \rangle(0))_1 \check{+} - 1 \check{*} (\mathbf{w}_1 \check{*} (\langle \boldsymbol{\varrho}_1 \rangle(0))_1 \check{+} \mathbf{w}_2 \check{*} (\langle \boldsymbol{\varrho}_2 \rangle(0))_1) \in X_{(l+m)}.$$

2. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^l$ , an open neighborhood  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $f_1, f_2 \in C^0[U, \mathbb{R}]$ ,  $\nu \in V_{CC}[l, m]$ .

Define:

$$\varnothing = \mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{q}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}[\nu, \mathbf{v}]));$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{the arrow } \boldsymbol{\varrho}_a : U \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}[l, m+1] \text{ by setting} \\ \boldsymbol{\varrho}_a(z) = \mathbf{d}[l, \lambda(\mathfrak{b}_2[\{(\mathbb{R}^m, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}] \circ f_a)^\nabla(z) \lambda](\varnothing) \quad \forall z \in U \\ \text{for any } a \in \{1, 2\}; \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{the arrow } \boldsymbol{\varrho}_3 : U \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}[l, m+1] \text{ by setting} \\ \boldsymbol{\varrho}_3(z) = \mathbf{d}[l, \lambda(\mathfrak{b}_2[\{(\mathbb{R}^m, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}]] \circ (f_1 \cdot f_2)^\nabla(z) \lambda](\varnothing) \quad \forall z \in U. \end{array} \right.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\langle \boldsymbol{\varrho}_3 \rangle(0))_1 \check{+} - 1 \check{*} \left( (\langle \boldsymbol{\varrho}_1 \rangle(0))_0 \check{\boxtimes} (\langle \boldsymbol{\varrho}_2 \rangle(0))_1 \check{+} \right. \\ & \left. (\langle \boldsymbol{\varrho}_1 \rangle(0))_1 \check{\boxtimes} (\langle \boldsymbol{\varrho}_2 \rangle(0))_0 \right) \in X_{(l+m)}. \end{aligned}$$

In Proposition 6.2.14 below we prove that elements introduced in Definition 6.2.13 belongs to  $\check{\mathcal{O}}_{(*),1,\mathfrak{fi}(l1_{\mathbb{R}})}$ . We refer to Remark 5.2.8-[2].

**Proposition 6.2.14** Fix  $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then  $X_{(d)} \subseteq \check{\mathcal{O}}_{(d),1,\mathfrak{fi}(l1_{\mathbb{R}})}$ .

**Proof.** We prove the claim

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Fix a finite set } l, \left\{ \check{\vartheta}_i \right\}_{i \in l} \subseteq X_{(d)}. \text{ Then there is a skeleton} \\ (\nu, \mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\delta}) \text{ of } \left( \check{\vartheta}_i \right)_{i \in l} \text{ realizing the vanishing of } \check{\vartheta}_i \text{ at } \mathfrak{fi}(l1_{\mathbb{R}}) \text{ for} \\ \text{any } i \in l. \end{array} \right. \quad (6.18)$$

Referring to Notation 11.0.3-[14], Remark 5.2.12 by construction of  $X_{(d)}$  locality of the argument entails that there are a partition  $\{l_0, l_1, l_2\}$  of  $l$ ,  $l_i, n_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{l_i}$ ,  $f_{1,i}, f_{2,i} \in C^0[U_{n_i}, \mathbb{R}]$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_i \in V_{CC}[l_i, n_i]$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\varrho}_{1,i}, \boldsymbol{\varrho}_{2,i}, \boldsymbol{\varrho}_{3,i} \in \text{hom}_{\nabla}(U_{n_i}, \mathfrak{D}[l_i, n_i + 1])$ ,  $\partial_i \in \mathfrak{D}[l_i, n_i]$ ,  $\check{\partial}_{1,i}, \check{\partial}_{2,i}, \check{\partial}_{3,i} \in \mathfrak{D}_{l_i,1}(n_i)$ , for any  $i \in l$ ,  $\mathbf{w}_{1,i}, \mathbf{w}_{2,i} \in \mathbb{R}$  for any  $i \in l_0 \cup l_1$  fulfilling all conditions below:

$$\check{\partial}_i = \begin{cases} \left( \check{\partial}_{3,i} \right)_0 \check{\mp} - 1 \check{*} \left( \mathbf{w}_1 \check{*} \left( \check{\partial}_{1,i} \right)_0 \check{\mp} \mathbf{w}_2 \check{*} \left( \check{\partial}_{2,i} \right)_0 \right) & \text{if } i \in l_0, \\ \left( \check{\partial}_{3,i} \right)_1 \check{\mp} - 1 \check{*} \left( \mathbf{w}_1 \check{*} \left( \check{\partial}_{1,i} \right)_1 \check{\mp} \mathbf{w}_2 \check{*} \left( \check{\partial}_{2,i} \right)_1 \right) & \text{if } i \in l_1, \\ \left( \check{\partial}_{3,i} \right)_1 \check{\mp} - 1 \check{*} \left( \left( \check{\partial}_{1,i} \right)_0 \check{\boxminus} \left( \check{\partial}_{2,i} \right)_1 \check{\mp} \left( \check{\partial}_{1,i} \right)_1 \check{\boxminus} \left( \check{\partial}_{2,i} \right)_0 \right) & \text{if } i \in l_2; \end{cases}$$

$$\partial_i = \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{q}(\boldsymbol{\partial}[\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_i])) \quad \forall i \in l;$$

$$\check{\partial}_{c,i} = \langle \boldsymbol{\varrho}_{c,i} \rangle(0) \text{ for any } c \in \{1, 2, 3\}, i \in l;$$

$$\boldsymbol{\varrho}_{c,i}(z) = \mathbf{d}[l_0, \wr(\text{b}_2[\{(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}] \circ f_{c,i})^{\nabla}(z)](\partial_i) \\ \text{for any } c \in \{1, 2\}, i \in l, z \in U_{n_i};$$

$$\boldsymbol{\varrho}_{3,i}(z) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{d}[l_0, \wr(\text{b}_2[\{(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}] \circ (\mathbf{w}_{1,i} * f_{1,i} + \mathbf{w}_{2,i} * f_{2,i}))^{\nabla}(z)](\partial_i) \\ \text{for any } i \in l_0 \cup l_1, z \in U_{n_i}, \\ \mathbf{d}[l_0, \wr(\text{b}_2[\{(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}]) \circ (f_{1,i} \cdot f_{2,i})^{\nabla}(z)](\partial_i) \\ \text{for any } i \in l_2, z \in U_{n_i}. \end{cases}$$

Fix  $u_{1,i}, u_{2,i} \in W_{CC}(U_{n_i}, \mathbb{R})$  for any  $i \in l$  fulfilling condition below:

$$\text{ev}(u_{c,i}) = f_{c,i} \text{ for any } c \in \{1, 2\}, i \in l.$$

Define the arrows belonging to  $\text{hom}_{\nabla}(U_{n_k}, V_{CC}[l_k, n_k + 1])$  by setting:

$$\nu_{c,i}(s) = u_{c,i}^{\nabla}(s) \widehat{\otimes} \mathbf{v}_i \text{ for any } c \in \{1, 2\}, i \in l, s \in U_{n_i};$$

$$\nu_{3,i}(s) = \mathbf{w}_{1,i} \widehat{\otimes} \nu_{1,i}(s) \widehat{\otimes} \mathbf{w}_{2,i} \widehat{\otimes} \nu_{2,i}(s) \text{ for any } i \in l_0 \cup l_1, s \in U_{n_i};$$

$$\nu_{3,i}(s) = \nu_{1,i}(s) \widehat{\otimes} \nu_{2,i}(s) \text{ for any } i \in l_2, s \in U_{n_i}.$$

Then Proposition 6.1.4-[4], locality of the argument together entail that

$$\psi[U_{n_i}, l_i, n_i](\nu_{c,i}) \neq \emptyset \text{ for any } c \in \{1, 2\}, i \in l.$$

Hence:

$$\begin{cases} (\wr(\text{b}_2[\{(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}]) \wr \nu_{c,i}, \mathbf{v}_i, 0) \text{ is a skeleton of } \left( \check{\partial}_{c,i} \right)_0 \\ \text{with domain } U \text{ for any } c \in \{1, 2\}, i \in l_0 \cup l_2; \end{cases}$$



$$\begin{cases}
(\text{fi}(\lambda_{b_2}[\{(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}]) \sqcap \nu_{c,i}, \mathbf{v}_i, 1) \text{ is a skeleton of } (\check{\mathcal{D}}_{c,i})_1 \\
\text{with domain } U \text{ for any } c \in \{1, 2\}, i \in \mathbf{l}_1 \cup \mathbf{l}_2; \\
\\
(\text{fi}(\lambda_{b_2}[\{(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}]) \sqcap \nu_{3,i}, \mathbf{v}_i, 0) \text{ is a skeleton of } (\check{\mathcal{D}}_{3,i})_0 \\
\text{with domain } U \text{ for any } i \in \mathbf{l}_0; \\
\\
(\text{fi}(\lambda_{b_2}[\{(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}]) \sqcap \nu_{3,i}, \mathbf{v}_i, 1) \text{ is a skeleton of } (\check{\mathcal{D}}_{3,i})_1 \\
\text{with domain } U \text{ for any } i \in \mathbf{l}_1; \\
\\
(\text{fi}(\lambda_{b_2}[\{(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}]) \sqcap \nu_{3,i}, \mathbf{v}_i, 1) \text{ is a skeleton of } (\check{\mathcal{D}}_{3,i})_1 \\
\text{with domain } U \text{ for any } i \in \mathbf{l}_2.
\end{cases}$$

Fix  $\tilde{\nu}_{c,i} \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(U_{n_i}, V_{CC}[d, n_i + 1])$  for any  $c \in \{1, 2\}, k \in \mathbf{l}$ .

Define the arrows belonging to  $\text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(U_{n_i}, V_{CC}[d, n_i + 1])$  by setting:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\nu}_{3,i}(s) &= \mathbf{w}_{1,i} \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\nu}_{1,i}(s) \hat{\otimes} \mathbf{w}_{2,i} \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\nu}_{2,i}(s) \text{ for any } i \in \mathbf{l}_0 \cup \mathbf{l}_1, s \in U_{n_i}; \\
\tilde{\nu}_{3,i}(s) &= \tilde{\nu}_{1,i}(s) \hat{\otimes} \tilde{\nu}_{2,i}(s) \text{ for any } i \in \mathbf{l}_2, s \in U_{n_i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, referring to Proposition 4.2.4, we have:

$$\begin{cases}
(\text{fi}(\lambda_{b_2}[\{(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}]) \sqcap \tilde{\nu}_{c,i}, \mathbf{v}_i, 0) \text{ is a p-corresponding skeleton} \\
\text{of } (\check{\mathcal{D}}_{c,i})_0 \text{ related to } (\text{fi}(\lambda_{b_2}[\{(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}]) \sqcap \nu_{c,i}, \mathbf{v}_i, 0) \text{ for any} \\
c \in \{1, 2\}, i \in \mathbf{l}_0 \cup \mathbf{l}_2; \\
\\
(\text{fi}(\lambda_{b_2}[\{(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}]) \sqcap \tilde{\nu}_{c,i}, \mathbf{v}_i, 1) \text{ is a p-corresponding skeleton} \\
\text{of } (\check{\mathcal{D}}_{c,i})_1 \text{ related to } (\text{fi}(\lambda_{b_2}[\{(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}]) \sqcap \nu_{c,i}, \mathbf{v}_i, 1) \text{ for any} \\
c \in \{1, 2\}, i \in \mathbf{l}_1 \cup \mathbf{l}_2; \\
\\
(\text{fi}(\lambda_{b_2}[\{(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}]) \sqcap \tilde{\nu}_{3,i}, \mathbf{v}_i, 0) \text{ is a p-corresponding skeleton} \\
\text{of } (\check{\mathcal{D}}_{3,i})_0 \text{ related to } (\text{fi}(\lambda_{b_2}[\{(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}]) \sqcap \nu_{3,i}, \mathbf{v}_i, 0) \text{ for any} \\
i \in \mathbf{l}_0; \\
\\
(\text{fi}(\lambda_{b_2}[\{(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}]) \sqcap \tilde{\nu}_{3,i}, \mathbf{v}_i, 1) \text{ is a p-corresponding skeleton} \\
\text{of } (\check{\mathcal{D}}_{3,i})_1 \text{ related to } (\text{fi}(\lambda_{b_2}[\{(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}]) \sqcap \nu_{3,i}, \mathbf{v}_i, 1) \text{ for any} \\
i \in \mathbf{l}_1; \\
\\
(\text{fi}(\lambda_{b_2}[\{(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}]) \sqcap \tilde{\nu}_{3,i}, \mathbf{v}_i, 1) \text{ is a p-corresponding skeleton} \\
\text{of } (\check{\mathcal{D}}_{3,i})_1 \text{ related to } (\text{fi}(\lambda_{b_2}[\{(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}]) \sqcap \nu_{3,i}, \mathbf{v}_i, 1) \text{ for any} \\
i \in \mathbf{l}_2.
\end{cases}$$

Define arrows belonging to  $\text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(U, V[d+1, 1])$  by setting:

$$\begin{cases} \mu_{c,i,0}(s) = EPF_{(\text{li}(\text{lb}_2[\{(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}]) \square \tilde{\nu}_{c,i,\nu_i,0})} [\check{\mathcal{D}}_{c,i}] (s, \text{li}(\text{1}_{\mathbb{R}})) \\ \text{for any } c \in \{1, 2\}, i \in \text{I}_0 \cup \text{I}_2, s \in U; \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \mu_{c,i,1}(s) = EPF_{(\text{li}(\text{lb}_2[\{(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}]) \square \tilde{\nu}_{c,i,\nu_i,1})} [\check{\mathcal{D}}_{c,i}] (s, \text{li}(\text{1}_{\mathbb{R}})) \\ \text{for any } c \in \{1, 2\}, i \in \text{I}_1 \cup \text{I}_2, s \in U; \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \mu_{3,i}(s) = EPF_{(\text{li}(\text{lb}_2[\{(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}]) \square \tilde{\nu}_{3,i,\nu_i,0})} [\check{\mathcal{D}}_{3,i}] (s, \text{li}(\text{1}_{\mathbb{R}})) \\ \text{for any } i \in \text{I}_0, s \in U; \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \mu_{3,i}(s) = EPF_{(\text{li}(\text{lb}_2[\{(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}]) \square \tilde{\nu}_{3,i,\nu_i,1})} [\check{\mathcal{D}}_{3,i}] (s, \text{li}(\text{1}_{\mathbb{R}})) \\ \text{for any } i \in \text{I}_1 \cup \text{I}_2, s \in U. \end{cases}$$

Eventually claim (6.18) follows since, by referring Definition 4.2.1 and performing computations, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{3,i} \hat{\oplus} - 1 \hat{\otimes} (\mathbf{w}_{1,i} \hat{\otimes} \mu_{1,i,0} \hat{\oplus} \mathbf{w}_{2,i} \hat{\otimes} \mu_{2,i,0}) &= 0 \quad \forall i \in \text{I}_0; \\ \mu_{3,i} \hat{\oplus} - 1 \hat{\otimes} (\mathbf{w}_{1,i} \hat{\otimes} \mu_{1,i,1} \hat{\oplus} \mathbf{w}_{2,i} \hat{\otimes} \mu_{2,i,1}) &= 0 \quad \forall i \in \text{I}_1; \\ \mu_{3,i} \hat{\oplus} - 1 \hat{\otimes} (\mu_{1,i,0} \hat{\odot} \mu_{2,i,1} \hat{\oplus} \mu_{1,i,1} \hat{\odot} \mu_{2,i,0}) &= 0 \quad \forall i \in \text{I}_2. \end{aligned}$$

■

### 6.3 Differential closure of $\mathbb{R}$

Motivated by Proposition 6.2.14, in this section we explicitly construct the smallest  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra of  $\mathbb{V}$  containing  $\mathbb{R}$  as a subfield, such that any arrow  $f$  of  $\mathbb{V}$  to such algebra is differentiable infinitely many times in the generalized setting, with classical smooth differential if  $f$  itself is classically smooth.

We refer to Notations 3.4.3, 5.3.15, 11.0.3-[1], Definition 5.2.6-[5], Remark 5.1.8, 5.2.5, 5.2.8-[2, 5], 5.3.2-[1, 6].

In Definition 6.3.1 below we focus our attention on suitable admissible six-tuples. We refer to Definition 6.2.1.

**Definition 6.3.1** *We define*

$$\mathfrak{R} = \left\{ \{(a_k, l_k, m_k, n_k, \mathbf{l}_k, \boldsymbol{\delta}_k)\}_{k=1}^k \in \mathfrak{A} \quad : \quad l_k = 1 \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, k\} \right\}.$$

*Fix*  $a, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . *We define*  $\mathfrak{R}_{a,(l,m),n}^k = \mathfrak{A}_{a,(l,m),n}^k$ .

*Fix*  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . *We define*  $\mathfrak{R}_{(l,m),n}^k = \mathfrak{A}_{(l,m),n}^k \cap \mathfrak{R}$ .

Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define  $\mathfrak{R}_{(*,m),n}^k = \mathfrak{A}_{(*,m),n}^k \cap \mathfrak{R}$ .

Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define  $\mathfrak{R}_n^k = \mathfrak{A}_n^k \cap \mathfrak{R}$ .

Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define  $\mathfrak{R}^k = \mathfrak{A}^k \cap \mathfrak{R}$ .

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define  $\mathfrak{R}_n = \mathfrak{A}_n \cap \mathfrak{R}$ .

Fix  $a, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $d, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define  $\mathfrak{R}_{a,(d),n}^k = \mathfrak{A}_{a,(d),n}^k \cap \mathfrak{R}$ .

Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $d, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define  $\mathfrak{R}_{(d),n}^k = \mathfrak{A}_{(d),n}^k \cap \mathfrak{R}$ .

Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define  $\mathfrak{R}_{(d)}^k = \mathfrak{A}_{(d)}^k \cap \mathfrak{R}$ .

Fix  $d, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define  $\mathfrak{R}_{(d),n} = \mathfrak{A}_{(d),n} \cap \mathfrak{R}$ .

Fix  $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We define  $\mathfrak{R}_{(d)} = \mathfrak{A}_{(d)} \cap \mathfrak{R}$ .

**Remark 6.3.2** Operations introduced in Definition 6.2.1-[4, 5] induce operations on sets introduced in Definition 6.3.1. Induced operations will be denoted by the same symbols.

In Definition 6.3.3 below we focus our attention on suitable admissible six-tuples. We refer to Definition 6.2.2.

**Definition 6.3.3** We define:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \check{\mathcal{R}} \left[ \{(a_k, l_k, m_k, n_k, \mathbf{l}_k, \boldsymbol{\delta}_k)\}_{k=1}^k \right] = \bigotimes_{k=1}^k \left( \bigotimes_{a=1}^{a_k} \mathfrak{D}_{l_k, a, m_k + n_k}(m_k) \right) \\ \text{for any } k \in \mathbb{N}, \{(a_k, l_k, m_k, n_k, \mathbf{l}_k, \boldsymbol{\delta}_k)\}_{k=1}^k \in \mathfrak{R}^k; \end{array} \right.$$

$$\check{\mathcal{R}}_{(l,m),n}^k = \bigoplus_{x \in \mathfrak{R}_{(l,m),n}^k} \check{\mathcal{R}}[x] \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{N}, l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0;$$

$$\check{\mathcal{R}}_{(*,m),n}^k = \left\{ \check{\mathcal{R}}_{(l,m),n}^k \right\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{N}, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0;$$

$$\check{\mathcal{R}}_{(*,*,n}^k = \left\{ \check{\mathcal{R}}_{(*,m),n}^k \right\}_{(l,m) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0} \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0;$$

$$\check{\mathcal{R}}_{(*,*,*)}^k = \left\{ \check{\mathcal{R}}_{(l,m),n}^k \right\}_{(l,m,n) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0} \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{N};$$

$$\check{\mathcal{R}}_{(l,m),n} = \bigoplus_{x \in \mathfrak{R}_{(l,m),n}} \check{\mathcal{R}}[x] \text{ for any } l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0;$$

$$\check{\mathcal{R}}_{(*,m),n} = \left\{ \check{\mathcal{R}}_{(l,m),n} \right\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{N}, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0;$$

$$\check{\mathcal{R}}_{(*,*,n} = \left\{ \check{\mathcal{R}}_{(l,m),n} \right\}_{(l,m) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0} \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0;$$

$$\check{\mathcal{R}}_{(*,*,*)} = \left\{ \check{\mathcal{R}}_{(*,m),n} \right\}_{(l,m,n) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0};$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{(d),n}^k = \bigoplus_{x \in \mathfrak{R}_{(d),n}^k} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}[x] \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{N}, d, n \in \mathbb{N}_0;$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{(d),n} = \bigoplus_{x \in \mathfrak{R}_{(d),n}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}[x] \text{ for any } d, n \in \mathbb{N}_0;$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{(*),n} = \left\{ \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{(d),n} \right\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}_0} \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N}_0;$$

With an abuse of language, for any  $\{(a, l, m, n, \mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{\delta})\} \in \mathfrak{R}^1$  we will write  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}[a, l, m, n, \mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{\delta}]$  in place of  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}[\{(a, l, m, n, \mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{\delta})\}]$ .

**Remark 6.3.4** Operations introduced in Definitions 6.2.2-[2, 3], 6.2.4, 6.2.5 where we fix  $l_0 = 1$  induce operations on sets introduced in Definition 6.3.1. Induced operations will be denoted by the same symbols.

In Definition 6.3.5 below we define the differential closure of  $\mathbb{R}$ . We refer to Notation 11.0.4-[16].

**Definition 6.3.5** We define

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{the } \mathbb{R}\text{-algebra } (\mathcal{R}_{(d)}, +, \square, *) \text{ as the quotient of the } \mathbb{R}\text{-algebra} \\ (\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{(d),1}, \check{+}, \check{\square}, \check{*}) \text{ by its ideal } \check{\mathcal{O}}_{(d),1, \text{li}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}})} \cap \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{(d),1}, \text{ for any } d \in \mathbb{N}_0; \end{array} \right.$$

$$q_{(d)} = \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{(d),1} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{(d)} \text{ the quotient function, for any } d \in \mathbb{N}_0;$$

$$(\mathcal{R}, +, \square, *) = \mathbf{A} \left( \left\{ (\mathcal{R}_{(d)}, +, \square, *) \right\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}_0} \right).$$

In Definition 6.3.6 below we describe the localization of  $\mathcal{R}$ -valued arrows of  $\mathbb{V}$  at a given generalized tangent vector.

We refer to Notation 11.0.1-[8], Definition 6.2.2-[1], Remarks 4.2.3-[2], 4.2.5-[2], 5.3.16-[3].

**Definition 6.3.6** We define bilinear arrows of  $\mathbb{V}$

$$[: \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_2, \mathcal{R}) \times \mathfrak{D}_{1,n_2}(S_1) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_1 \times S_2, \mathcal{R})$$

$$n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0, S_1 \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^{n_1}, S_2 \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^{n_2}.$$

recursively as follows.

1. Fix  $\zeta \in \mathfrak{D}_{1,n_2}(S_1)$ ,  $f \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_2, \mathbb{R})$ . Define

$$f|_{\zeta}(s_1, s_2) = \left( (\mathbf{d}[1, \text{li}(\mathbb{b}_2[\{(\mathbb{R}^{n_2}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}]) \circ f)^{\blacktriangledown}(s_2) \text{li} \right) \circ \zeta(s_1)_0$$

$$\forall (s_1, s_2) \in S_1 \times S_2.$$

2. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $(a, l, m, 1, \mathbf{1}, \delta) \in \mathfrak{A}_{(l,m),1}^1$ ,  $\zeta \in \mathfrak{D}_{1,n_2}(S_1)$ , the arrow  $\varrho \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_2, \check{\mathcal{R}}[a, l, m, 1, \mathbf{1}, \delta])$ .

Define the arrow  $(q_{(l+m)} \circ \varrho) \lfloor_{\zeta} \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_1 \times S_2, \mathcal{R})$  by pointwise arguing as follows.

Fix  $(s_1, s_2) \in S_1 \times S_2$ . By construction of  $\check{\mathcal{R}}[a, l, m, 1, \mathbf{1}, \delta]$  and of Grothendieck topology of  $\mathbb{V}$  in Section 5.2 there are open neighborhoods  $S_{s_2} \subseteq S_2$  of  $s_2$ ,  $Z \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{l+m}$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{l+m}$ , an arrow  $\varrho_a \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_{s_2}, \mathfrak{D}_{l_a, m+1}(Z_m))$  for any  $a \in \{1, \dots, a\}$  fulfilling condition below

$$\varrho(s) = \bigotimes_{a=1}^a \langle \varrho_a(s) \rangle(0) \quad \forall s \in S_{s_2}.$$

Define:

$$\check{\vartheta}_{s_1} = \langle \mathbf{d}[1, \wr_{b_2}[\{(\mathbb{R}^m, 0), (\mathbb{R}^{n_2}, 0)\}] \wr] \circ \zeta \rangle(s_1);$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{the arrow } \check{\varrho}_a : Z_m \times S_{s_2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}[l_a, m+1] \text{ to be the adjoint to } \varrho_a \\ \text{for any } a \in \{1, \dots, a\}; \end{array} \right.$$

$$\check{\vartheta}_{a, s_2} = \langle \mathbf{d}[l_a, \wr_{b_{\{1,3\}}}[\{(\mathbb{R}^m, 0), (\mathbb{R}^{n_2}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}] \wr] \circ \check{\varrho}_a \rangle(0, s_2);$$

$$(q_{(l+m)} \circ \varrho) \lfloor_{\zeta}(s_1, s_2) = q_{(l+m)} \left( \left( \bigotimes_{a=1}^a \check{\vartheta}_{a, s_2} \right) \check{\lfloor}_{\check{\vartheta}_{s_1}} \right).$$

3. Fix  $\zeta \in \mathfrak{D}_{1,n_2}(S_1)$ ,  $f_1, f_2 \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_2, \mathcal{R})$ . Define

$$(f_1 \boxplus f_2) \lfloor_{\zeta}(s_1, s_2) = \left( (f_1(s_2)) \lfloor_{(\zeta)(s_1)} \right) \boxplus \left( (f_2(s_2)) \lfloor_{(\zeta)(s_1)} \right) \\ \forall (s_1, s_2) \in S_1 \times S_2.$$

In Definition 6.3.7 below we define the derivation of  $\check{\mathcal{R}}_1$ -valued arrows of  $\mathbb{V}$  with respect to generalized tangent vectors.

We refer to Notation 11.0.1-[8], Definition 6.2.2-[1], Remarks 4.2.3-[2], 4.2.5-[2], 5.3.16-[3].

**Definition 6.3.7** We define bilinear arrows of  $\mathbb{V}$

$$\mathcal{D} : \mathfrak{D}_{1,n_2}(S_1) \times \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_2, \mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_1 \times S_2, \mathcal{R}) \\ n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0, S_1 \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^{n_1}, S_2 \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^{n_2}.$$

recursively as follows.

1. Fix  $\zeta \in \mathfrak{D}_{1,n_2}(S_1)$ ,  $f \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_2, \mathbb{R})$ . Define

$$\mathcal{D}_{\zeta}(f)(s_1, s_2) = \left( \langle \mathbf{d}[1, \wr_{b_2}[\{(\mathbb{R}^{n_2}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}] \circ f \rangle^{\mathbf{V}}(s_2) \wr \right) \circ \zeta \rangle(s_1)_1 \\ \forall (s_1, s_2) \in S_1 \times S_2.$$

2. Fix  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $(a, l, m, 1, \mathbf{1}, \delta) \in \mathfrak{A}_{(l,m),1}^1$ ,  $\zeta \in \mathfrak{D}_{1,n_2}(S_1)$ , the arrow  $\varrho \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_2, \check{\mathcal{R}}[a, l, m, 1, \mathbf{1}, \delta])$ .

Define the arrow  $\mathcal{D}_{\zeta}((q_{(l+m)} \circ \varrho)) \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_1 \times S_2, \mathcal{R})$  by pointwise arguing as follows.

Fix  $(s_1, s_2) \in S_1 \times S_2$ . By construction of  $\check{\mathcal{R}}[a, l, m, 1, \mathbf{1}, \delta]$  and of Grothendieck topology of  $\mathbb{V}$  in Section 5.2 there are open neighborhoods  $S_{s_2} \sqsubseteq S_2$  of  $s_2$ ,  $Z \sqsubseteq \mathbb{R}^{l+m}$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{l+m}$ , an arrow  $\varrho_a \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_{s_2}, \mathfrak{D}_{l_a, m+1}(Z_m))$  for any  $a \in \{1, \dots, a\}$  fulfilling condition below

$$\varrho(s) = \bigotimes_{a=1}^a \langle \varrho_a(s) \rangle (0) \quad \forall s \in S_{s_2}.$$

Define:

$$\check{\mathfrak{D}}_{s_1} = \langle \mathbf{d}[1, \mathfrak{b}_2[\{(\mathbb{R}^m, 0), (\mathbb{R}^{n_2}, 0)\}] \wr] \circ \zeta \rangle (s_1);$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{the arrow } \check{\varrho}_a : Z_m \times S_{s_2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}[l_a, m+1] \text{ to be the adjoint to } \varrho_a \\ \text{for any } a \in \{1, \dots, a\}; \end{array} \right.$$

$$\check{\mathfrak{D}}_{a, s_2} = \langle \mathbf{d}[l_a, \mathfrak{b}_{\{1,3\}}[\{(\mathbb{R}^m, 0), (\mathbb{R}^{n_2}, 0), (\mathbb{R}, 0)\}] \wr] \circ \check{\varrho}_a \rangle (0, s_2);$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\zeta}((q_{(l+m)} \circ \varrho))(s_1, s_2) = q_{(l+m)} \left( \mathcal{D}_{\check{\mathfrak{D}}_{s_1}} \left( \left( \bigotimes_{a=1}^a \check{\mathfrak{D}}_{a, s_2} \right) \right) \right).$$

3. Fix  $\zeta \in \mathfrak{D}_{1,n_2}(S_1)$ ,  $f_1, f_2 \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{V}}(S_2, \mathcal{R})$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \mathcal{D}_{\zeta}(f_1 \boxplus f_2) \right) (s_1, s_2) = \\ & \left( (f_1(s_2)) \wr_{(\zeta)(s_1)} \right) \boxplus \left( \mathcal{D}_{(\zeta)(s_1)}(f_2(s_2)) \right) + \\ & \left( \mathcal{D}_{(\zeta)(s_1)}(f_1(s_2)) \right) \boxplus \left( (f_2(s_2)) \wr_{(\zeta)(s_1)} \right) \\ & \forall (s_1, s_2) \in S_1 \times S_2. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 6.3.8** By construction we have that the field  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers is contained in  $\mathcal{R}$  as a subfield.

Definitions 6.2.13, 6.3.7, Propositions 6.2.11-[4], 6.2.14 entail that any arrow  $f$  of  $\mathbb{V}$  to  $\mathcal{R}$  is differentiable infinitely many times in the generalized setting, moreover if  $f$  is smooth (i.e. factorizes through the natural inclusion  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  by a smooth function) then any derivative of  $f$  with respect a to vector field in spaces introduced in Definition 5.3.6 is still smooth.

**Part II**

**Applications**





## Chapter 7

# Generalized tangent bundle on topological manifolds

Classical differential geometry extends calculus from Euclidean vector spaces to smooth manifolds. This is done by gluing together derivation operators defined locally in calculus on Euclidean vector spaces. More precisely there is a functor  $\mathbf{F}^\infty$  defined on the category of local charts of smooth manifolds and smooth functions which associates to any object the corresponding trivial bundle whose fibre is the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space of derivations of degree 1, and to any smooth function between manifolds the corresponding differential. Algebraic structure of functor  $\mathbf{F}^\infty$  translates in algebraic terms all relations which hold true in calculus among derivation operators.

We extend  $\mathbf{F}^\infty$  to a functor  $\mathbf{F}$  defined on the category local charts of topological manifolds and continuous functions, by preserving algebraic structure. We refer to Notation 5.3.15, 11.0.6-[5].

In Definition 7.0.1 below we introduce categories and functors which are involved in statement and proof of Theorem 7.0.2. We refer to Notations 11.0.1, 11.0.3-[10], 11.0.5-[3], 11.0.6-[3, 5, 7], 11.0.9-[3], Definitions 5.2.6-[5], 5.2.15, Remark 5.2.16.

### Definition 7.0.1

- We denote by  $(LC, J)$  the site of continuous local charts defined as follows. Objects of  $LC$  are pairs  $(S, m)$  where  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $S \models \mathbb{R}^m$ . Arrows of  $LC$  are continuous functions  $f : S \rightarrow T$ , where  $(S, m)$ ,  $(T, n)$  are objects of  $LC$ . Grothendieck topology  $J$  is given by selecting for any object  $(S, m)$  of  $LC$  all sieves containing at least one covering of  $S$  by open sets.*
- We denote by  $M$  the category of topological manifolds and continuous functions.*

3. We denote by  $(LC^\infty, J^\infty)$  the site of smooth local charts defined as follows. Objects of  $LC^\infty$  are pairs  $(S, m)$  where  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $S \models \mathbb{R}^m$ . We emphasize that objects of  $LC^\infty$  and objects of  $LC$  are the same. Arrows of  $LC^\infty$  are smooth functions  $f : S \rightarrow T$  where  $(S, m), (T, n)$  are objects of  $LC^\infty$ .

Grothendieck topology  $J^\infty$  is given by selecting for any object  $(S, m)$  of  $LC^\infty$  all sieves containing at least one covering of  $S$  by open sets.

4. We denote by  $M^\infty$  the category of smooth manifolds and smooth functions.  
 5. We denote by  $\mathbf{0} : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$  the functor defined by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0}(S, m) &= S \times \{0\} && \text{for any object } (S, m) \text{ of } \mathbb{V}, \\ \mathbf{0}(f) &= f \times 1_{\{0\}} && \text{for any arrow } f : (S, m) \rightarrow (T, n) \text{ of } \mathbb{V}. \end{aligned}$$

We say that  $\mathbf{0}$  is the trivial null bundle functor.

With an abuse of language we denote by the same symbol  $\mathbf{0}$  and by the same name both  $\mathbf{0} \circ \mathbf{i}[LC, \mathbb{V}]$  and  $\mathbf{0} \circ \mathbf{i}[LC^\infty, \mathbb{V}]$ .

6. We denote by  $\mathbf{F}^\infty : LC^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$  the functor defined by setting

$$\mathbf{F}^\infty(S, m) = S \times \mathbb{R}^m \quad \text{for any object } (S, m) \text{ of } LC^\infty, \quad (7.1)$$

$$\begin{cases} (\mathbf{F}^\infty(f))(s, \mathbf{v}) = (f(s), d_1^\infty[f, s](\mathbf{v})) & \forall s \in S \ \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^m \\ \text{for any arrow } f : (S, m) \rightarrow (T, n) \text{ of } LC^\infty. \end{cases} \quad (7.2)$$

We say that  $\mathbf{F}^\infty$  is the trivial  $\mathbb{R}^m$ -bundle functor.

7. We denote by  $\mathbf{ps} : \mathbf{F}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{i}[LC^\infty, \mathbb{V}]$  the natural arrow defined by setting  $\mathbf{ps}_{(S, m)} = \mathbf{p}_1[S, \mathbb{R}^m]$ .

Theorem 7.0.2 below is the main result of this work. We refer to Notations 11.0.6-[7], 11.0.8-[6], Definitions 5.2.6-[5], 5.2.15, Proposition 5.2.9, 5.2.16, 5.3.16-[2], Remarks 5.2.12, 5.3.2-[6].

### Theorem 7.0.2

1. There is a functor  $\mathbf{F} : LC \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$  defined as follows.

Fix an object  $(S, m)$  of  $LC$ . We define the object  $\mathbf{F}(S, m)$  by setting

$$\mathbf{F}(S, m) = S \times \mathfrak{D}[1, m].$$

Fix an arrow  $f : (S, m) \rightarrow (T, n)$  of  $LC$ .

We define the arrow  $\mathbf{F}(f) : \mathbf{F}(S, m) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(T, n)$  by setting

$$(\mathbf{F}(f))(s, x) = (f(s), \mathbf{d}[1, \imath(f \circ \vartheta[S])^\blacktriangle(s)](x)) \quad \forall (s, x) \in S \times \mathfrak{D}[1, m].$$

We say that  $\mathbf{F}$  is the trivial generalized vector bundle functor.

2. There is a functor  $\mathbf{SF} : LC^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$  defined as follows.

Fix an object  $(S, m)$  of  $LC^\infty$ . We define the object  $\mathbf{SF}(S, m)$  by setting

$$\mathbf{SF}(S, m) = S \times \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D}[1, m].$$

Fix an arrow  $f : (S, m) \rightarrow (T, n)$  of  $LC^\infty$ .

We define the arrow  $\mathbf{SF}(f) : \mathbf{SF}(S, m) \rightarrow \mathbf{SF}(T, n)$  by setting

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{SF}(f))(s, x) = \\ (f(s), \mathbf{sd}[1, \mathfrak{li}((f \circ \vartheta[S])^\mathbf{A}(s)) \mathfrak{l}](x)) \quad \forall (s, x) \in S \times \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D}[1, m]. \end{aligned}$$

We say that  $\mathbf{SF}$  is the trivial smooth generalized vector bundle functor.

3. There is a functor  $\mathbf{KSF} : LC^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$  defined as follows.

Fix an object  $(S, m)$  of  $LC^\infty$ . We define the object  $\mathbf{KSF}(S, m)$  by setting

$$\mathbf{KSF}(S, m) = S \times \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D}[1, m].$$

Fix an arrow  $f : (S, m) \rightarrow (T, n)$  of  $LC^\infty$ .

We define the arrow  $\mathbf{KSF}(f) : \mathbf{KSF}(S, m) \rightarrow \mathbf{KSF}(T, n)$  by setting

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{KSF}(f))(s, x) = \\ (f(s), \mathbf{kd}[1, \mathfrak{li}((f \circ \vartheta[S])^\mathbf{A}(s)) \mathfrak{l}](x)) \quad \forall (s, x) \in S \times \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D}[1, m]. \end{aligned}$$

4. There is a functor  $\mathbf{CKF} : LC^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$  defined as follows.

Fix an object  $(S, m)$  of  $LC^\infty$ . We define the object  $\mathbf{CKB}(S, m)$  by setting

$$\mathbf{CKF}(S, m) = S \times \mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{D}[1, m].$$

Fix an arrow  $f : (S, m) \rightarrow (T, n)$  of  $LC^\infty$ .

We define the arrow  $\mathbf{CKF}(f) : \mathbf{CKF}(S, m) \rightarrow \mathbf{CKF}(T, n)$  by setting

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{CKF}(f))(s, x) = \\ (f(s), \mathbf{cd}[1, \mathfrak{li}((f \circ \vartheta[S])^\mathbf{A}(s)) \mathfrak{l}](x)) \quad \forall (s, x) \in S \times \mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{D}[1, m]. \end{aligned}$$

5. There are natural arrows

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varpi : \mathbf{KSF} \rightarrow \mathbf{SF} \text{ defined by setting} \\ \varpi(S, m) = 1_S \times \rho[m] \\ \text{for any object } (S, m) \text{ of } LC^\infty; \end{array} \right. \quad (7.3)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mu : \mathbf{SF} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^\infty \text{ defined by setting} \\ \mu(S, m) = 1_S \times \mathbf{r}[m] \\ \text{for any object } (S, m) \text{ of } LC^\infty; \end{array} \right. \quad (7.4)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \nu : \mathbf{SF} \rightarrow \mathbf{F} \circ \mathbf{i}[LC^\infty, LC] \text{ defined by setting} \\ \nu(S, m) = 1_S \times st[m] \\ \text{for any object } (S, m) \text{ of } LC^\infty; \end{array} \right. \quad (7.5)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varsigma : \mathbf{F} \circ \mathbf{i}[LC^\infty, LC] \rightarrow \mathbf{CKF} \text{ defined by setting} \\ \varsigma(S, m) = 1_S \times \epsilon[m] \\ \text{for any object } (S, m) \text{ of } LC^\infty; \end{array} \right. \quad (7.6)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{pr} : \mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{i}[LC, \mathbb{V}] \text{ defined by setting} \\ \text{pr}(S, m) = p_1[S, \mathfrak{D}[m]] \\ \text{for any object } (S, m) \text{ of } LC; \end{array} \right. \quad (7.7)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{cpr} : \mathbf{CKF} \rightarrow \mathbf{i}[LC^\infty, \mathbb{V}] \text{ defined by setting} \\ \text{pr}(S, m) = p_1[S, \mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{D}[m]] \\ \text{for any object } (S, m) \text{ of } LC^\infty; \end{array} \right. \quad (7.8)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{prs} : \mathbf{SF} \rightarrow \mathbf{i}[LC^\infty, \mathbb{V}] \text{ defined by setting} \\ \text{prs}(S, m) = p_1[S, \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D}[m]] \\ \text{for any object } (S, m) \text{ of } LC^\infty. \end{array} \right. \quad (7.9)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{kprs} : \mathbf{KSF} \rightarrow \mathbf{i}[LC^\infty, \mathbb{V}] \text{ defined by setting} \\ \text{kprs}(S, m) = p_1[S, \mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D}[m]] \\ \text{for any object } (S, m) \text{ of } LC^\infty. \end{array} \right. \quad (7.10)$$

6. There is an exact diagram of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & \mathbf{SF} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \mathbf{F}^\infty \longrightarrow 0 \\ & 0 \longrightarrow & \mathbf{KSF} & \xrightarrow{\varpi} & \downarrow \nu & & \\ & & & & \mathbf{F} \circ \mathbf{i}[LC^\infty, LC] & & \\ & & & & \downarrow \varsigma & & \\ & & & & \mathbf{CKF} & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

here exactness is to be understood on fibres.

**Proof.** Statement follow straightforwardly by definition of site  $(\mathbb{V}, \mathcal{T})$  in Definition 5.2.6-[5], Propositions 5.3.7, 5.3.8, Remark 5.3.2-[6]. ■

In Proposition 7.0.3 below we prove that any manifold defines a sub-site of  $(LC, J)$  or of  $(LC^\infty, J^\infty)$  depending on its smoothness.

**Proposition 7.0.3** Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , an  $m$ -dimensional manifold  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ . Assume that both condition below are fulfilled:  $\mathcal{A} = \left\{ U_a \xrightarrow{\varphi_a} S_a : a \in A \right\}$  is the maximal atlas;  $S_a \models \mathbb{R}^m$  for any  $a \in A$ .

Then there is a subsite  $(I[X, \mathcal{A}], Jv[X, \mathcal{A}])$  of  $(LC, J)$  defined as follows.

For any subset  $B \subseteq A$ ,  $b_1, b_2 \in B$ ,  $S \models \varphi_{b_1} \left( \bigcap_{b \in B} U_b \right)$  we have that pair  $(S, m)$  is

an object of  $I[X, \mathcal{A}]$  and  $\varphi_{b_2} \circ (\varphi_{b_1}^{-1} \circ i[S, S_{b_1}])$  is an arrow of  $I[X, \mathcal{A}]$ .

Grothendieck topology  $Jv[X, \mathcal{A}]$  is given by selecting for any object  $(S, m)$  of  $I[X, \mathcal{A}]$  all sieves containing at least one covering of  $S$  by open sets.

If  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is a smooth manifold then  $(I[X, \mathcal{A}], Jv[X, \mathcal{A}])$  is a subsite of  $(LC^\infty, J^\infty)$ .

We say that:

$I[X, \mathcal{A}]$  is the underlying category of the manifold  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ ;

$Jv[X, \mathcal{A}]$  is the underlying Grothendieck topology of the manifold  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ ;

$(I[X, \mathcal{A}], Jv[X, \mathcal{A}])$  is the underlying site of the manifold  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ .

We drop any reference to the manifold  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  from the notation whenever no confusion is possible.

**Proof.** Since the manifold  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is fixed then we drop any reference to it from the notation.

We prove that  $I$  is a category, other parts of the statement follows straightforwardly.

Fix an object  $(S, m)$  of  $I$ . We prove that the arrow  $1_S$  is an arrow of  $I$  by arguing as follows. Choose  $a \in A$  with  $S \models S_a$ . Set  $\varphi = \varphi_a \circ i[\varphi_a^{-1}(S), U_a]$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is a maximal atlas there is  $a_0 \in A$  with  $\varphi_{a_0} = \varphi$ . Statement follows by taking  $B = \{a_0\}$ .

Fix two arrows  $(S_1, m) \xrightarrow{f_1} (S_2, m)$ ,  $(S_2, m) \xrightarrow{f_2} (S_3, m)$  of  $I$ . Assume that for any  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  there are  $B_i \subseteq A$ ,  $b_{i,1}, b_{i,2} \in B_i$  with:

$$S_i \models \varphi_{b_{i,1}} \left( \bigcap_{b \in B_i} U_b \right); \quad \varphi_{b_{i,2}} \circ (\varphi_{b_{i,1}}^{-1} \circ i[S_i, S_{b_{i,1}}]) = f_i.$$

We prove that there are  $B \subseteq A$ ,  $b_1, b_2 \in B$  with:

$$S_1 \models \varphi_{b_1} \left( \bigcap_{b \in B} U_b \right); \quad \varphi_{b_2} \circ (\varphi_{b_1}^{-1} \circ i[S_1, S_{b_1}]) = f_2 \circ f_1.$$

Set:  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_{b_{1,1}} \circ i[\varphi_{b_{1,1}}^{-1}(S_1), U_{b_{1,1}}]$ ;  $\varphi_2 = f_2 \circ \varphi_{b_{1,2}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is a maximal atlas there are  $a_1, a_2 \in A$  with  $\varphi_{a_i} = \varphi_i$  for any  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Statement follows by taking  $B = \{a_1, a_2\}$ . ■

#### Remark 7.0.4

1. We denote by  $\mathbf{B}^\infty$  the functor associating to any smooth manifold the bundle whose fibre is the topological  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space of all derivation of degree

1 (i.e. tangent vectors), and to any smooth function between smooth manifolds the corresponding differential. Functor  $\mathbf{B}^\infty$  is constructed from  $\mathbf{F}^\infty$  as co-limit of the natural arrow  $\mathbf{ps}$  introduced in Definition 7.0.1-[7]. For any fixed smooth manifold the co-limit is computed by taking the restriction of  $\mathbf{ps}$  to the underlying category of the chosen manifold (see Proposition 7.0.3).

We denote by  $\mathbf{B}$  the functor associating to any topological manifold the bundle whose fibre is the topological  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space of generalized derivations of degree 1, and to any continuous function between topological manifolds the corresponding generalized differential. Functor  $\mathbf{B}$  is constructed from  $\mathbf{F}$  as co-limit of the natural arrow  $\mathbf{pr}$  introduced in (7.7). For any fixed manifold the co-limit is computed by taking the restriction of  $\mathbf{pr}$  to the underlying category of the chosen manifold (see Proposition 7.0.3).

We denote by  $\mathbf{SB}$  the functor associating to any smooth manifold the bundle whose fibre is the topological  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space of all generalized smooth derivations of degree 1, and to any smooth function between smooth manifolds the corresponding generalized differential. Functor  $\mathbf{SB}$  is constructed from  $\mathbf{SF}$  as co-limit of natural arrow  $\mathbf{prs}$ . For any fixed smooth manifold the co-limit is computed by taking the restriction of  $\mathbf{prs}$  to the underlying category of the chosen manifold (see Proposition 7.0.3).

We denote by  $\mathbf{KSB}$  the functor constructed from  $\mathbf{KSF}$  as co-limit of natural arrow  $\mathbf{kprs}$ . For any fixed smooth manifold the co-limit is computed by taking the restriction of  $\mathbf{kprs}$  to the underlying category of the chosen manifold (see Proposition 7.0.3).

We denote by  $\mathbf{CKB}$  the functor constructed from  $\mathbf{CKF}$  as co-limit of natural arrow  $\mathbf{cpr}$ . For any fixed smooth manifold the co-limit is computed by taking the restriction of  $\mathbf{cpr}$  to the underlying category of the chosen manifold (see Proposition 7.0.3).

There is an exact diagram of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 0 & & & \\
 & & & \downarrow & & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{KSB} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\omega}} & \mathbf{SB} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}} & \mathbf{B}^\infty \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow \tilde{\nu} & & \\
 & & & & \mathbf{B} \circ \mathbf{i}[M^\infty, M] & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow \tilde{\zeta} & & \\
 & & & & \mathbf{CKB} & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & 0 & & 
 \end{array}$$

where here exactness is to be understood on fibres.

2. Behavior of functors  $\mathbf{B}^\infty$ ,  $\mathbf{B}$ ,  $\mathbf{SB}$ ,  $\mathbf{KSB}$ ,  $\mathbf{CKB}$  with respect to direct sum,

tensor product, hom-functor of bundles can be deduced by general vector bundle theory and Proposition 5.3.11.

Arrows  $\tau^\infty [m_1, m_2]$ ,  $\sigma^\infty [m_1, m_2]$  induce natural arrows of bundles which we denote by  $\tilde{\tau}^\infty$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma}^\infty$  respectively. Arrows  $\tau [m_1, m_2]$ ,  $\sigma [m_1, m_2]$  induce natural arrows of bundles which we denote by  $\tilde{\tau}$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma}$  respectively.

Commutativity of diagrams involving arrows  $\tilde{\mu}$ ,  $\tilde{\nu}$ ,  $\tilde{\omega}$ ,  $\tilde{\zeta}$ ,  $\tilde{\tau}$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma}$ ,  $\tilde{\tau}^\infty$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma}^\infty$  can be deduced by Propositions 5.3.11, 5.3.13.

We emphasize that:  $\tilde{\tau}$  is the left inverse of  $\tilde{\sigma}$ ;  $\tilde{\tau}^\infty$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}^\infty$  are mutually inverse isomorphisms.





## Chapter 8

# Combing hairy spheres

It is well known that nowhere vanishing tangent vector fields exist on odd dimensional spheres and do not exist on even dimensional spheres ([22]). This is true if we consider classical tangent vectors fields, that is sections of the classical tangent bundle. In this chapter we exhibit a nowhere vanishing generalized tangent vector field on spheres by considering a section of the generalized tangent bundle. The image of such generalized tangent vector field through  $\tilde{\mu}_1$  vanishes somewhere whenever  $n$  is an even integer by [22].

We refer to Notation 11.0.6-[2], Chapter 7.

**Definition 8.0.1** Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We set:

$$D[n] = \{s \in \mathbb{R}^n : |s| < 1\};$$

$$\gamma[n] = \{s \in \mathbb{R}^n : |s| = \frac{2}{3}\};$$

$$S[n] = \{(s, s_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : |(s, s_{n+1})| = 1\};$$

$$T_+[n] = \{(s, s_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : |(s, s_{n+1})| = 1 \text{ and } s_{n+1} > -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\};$$

$$T_-[n] = \{(s, s_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : |(s, s_{n+1})| = 1 \text{ and } s_{n+1} < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\};$$

$f_+[n] : D[n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  by setting

$$f_+[n](s) = \left( \frac{\sin(\frac{3}{4}\pi|s|)}{|s|}s, \cos(\frac{3}{4}\pi|s|) \right) \quad \forall s \in D[n];$$

$f_-[n] : D[n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  by setting

$$f_-[n](s) = \left( \frac{\sin(\frac{3}{4}\pi|s|)}{|s|}s, -\cos(\frac{3}{4}\pi|s|) \right) \quad \forall s \in D[n];$$

$h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  by setting  $h(s) = (s, 0) \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_+[n] : D[n] &\rightarrow \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D}[n] \text{ defined by setting} \\ \mathbf{v}_+[n](s) &= \langle \mathfrak{D}[\mathfrak{li}(\mathfrak{h}l), \mathbf{e}_1] \rangle \quad \forall s \in D[n]. \end{aligned}$$

In Remark 8.0.2 below we list some elementary facts about object introduced in Definition 8.0.1. We refer to Notation 11.0.9, Definition 5.3.6, Proposition 5.3.7.

**Remark 8.0.2**

1. Function  $f_+$  fulfills all conditions below:

$$f_+ \text{ is a diffeomorphism between } D[n] \text{ and } T_+[n]; \quad (8.1)$$

$$f_+ \text{ maps } 0 \in D[n] \text{ to } (0, 1);$$

$$f_+ \text{ maps } \gamma[n] \text{ to the equator of } S[n];$$

2. Function  $f_-$  fulfills all conditions below:

$$f_- \text{ is a diffeomorphism between } D[n] \text{ and } T_-[n]; \quad (8.2)$$

$$f_- \text{ maps } 0 \in D[n] \text{ to } (0, -1);$$

$$f_- \text{ maps } \gamma[n] \text{ to the equator of } S[n];$$

3.  $\mathbf{v}_+[n](s) \neq 0 \quad \forall s \in D[n]$ .

In Proposition 8.0.3 below we prove that the nowhere vanishing generalized tangent vector field defined by  $\mathbf{v}_+$  through  $f_+$  to the upper emisphere can be completed to a nowhere vanishing generalized tangent vector field to the lower emisphere.

**Proposition 8.0.3** Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there are  $\varepsilon > 0$ , a continuous function

$$\mathbf{v}_-[n] : D[n] \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D}[n]$$

fulfilling all conditions below:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{d}[\mathfrak{tr}_{-t} \circ (f_-^{-1} \circ (f_+ \circ \mathfrak{tr}_s))] \mathfrak{l}(\mathbf{v}_+[n](s)) = \mathbf{v}_-[n](t) \\ \text{for any } s, t \in D[n] \text{ with } |t| > \frac{2}{3} - \varepsilon, f_+(s) = f_-(t); \end{cases} \quad (8.3)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_-[n](s) \neq 0 \quad \forall s \in D[n]; \quad (8.4)$$

$$\mathbf{r}[n](\mathbf{v}_-(s)) = 0 \text{ entails } |s| = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (8.5)$$

**Proof.** For any fixed  $a, b \in (0, +\infty)$  with  $a < b$  we set:

$$D[n, a] = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\mathbf{v}| < a\};$$

$$S[n, a] = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : |\mathbf{v}| = a\}; \quad (8.6)$$

$$C[n, a, b] = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n : a < |\mathbf{v}| < b\}.$$

Choose  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{6})$ ,  $\psi \in C^\infty[\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}]$  fulfilling all conditions below

$$\psi(t) = t - \frac{4}{3} \quad \forall t \in (-\infty, \frac{1}{3} + \varepsilon); \quad (8.7)$$

$$\psi(\frac{1}{2}) = 0; \quad (8.8)$$

$$\psi(t) = t \quad \forall t \in (\frac{2}{3} - \varepsilon, +\infty); \quad (8.9)$$

$$\psi'(t) > 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (8.10)$$

Define the smooth function  $\varphi : C[n, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  by setting

$$\varphi(\mathbf{v}) = \psi(|\mathbf{v}|) \frac{\mathbf{v}}{|\mathbf{v}|} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in C\left[n, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right].$$

We have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \circ i [C[n, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}], C[n, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}]] \text{ is a diffeomorphism between} \\ C[n, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}] \text{ and } C[n, 0, 1]; \end{aligned} \quad (8.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \circ i [C[n, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}], C[n, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}]] \text{ is a diffeomorphism between} \\ C[n, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}] \text{ and } C[n, 0, \frac{2}{3}]. \end{aligned} \quad (8.12)$$

Fix  $t \in C[n, \frac{1}{3}, 1]$ ,  $\delta[t] \in (0, \min\{|t| - \frac{1}{3}, 1 - |t|\})$ . Define the set function  $g[t] : D[n, \delta[t]] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  by setting

$$g[t](\mathbf{v}) = \varphi((f_-^{-1} \circ f_+)(\mathbf{v} + (f_+^{-1} \circ f_-)(t))) - \varphi(t) \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in D[n, \delta[t]].$$

Define the set function  $\mathbf{v}_-[n]$  by setting

$$\mathbf{v}_-(t) = \begin{cases} (i) & \langle \partial[\text{li}(\lambda g[t] \circ h)], \mathbf{e}_1 \rangle & \forall t \in C[n, \frac{1}{3}, 1]. \\ (ii) & \langle \partial[\text{li}(\lambda - h)], \mathbf{e}_1 \rangle & \forall t \in D[n, \frac{1}{3} + \varepsilon]. \end{cases} \quad (8.13)$$

By direct computation we have

$$(f_-^{-1} \circ f_+)(s) = \left(\frac{4}{3} - |s|\right) \frac{s}{|s|} \quad \forall s \in C\left[n, \frac{1}{3}, 1\right],$$

$$(f_+^{-1} \circ f_-)(t) = \left(\frac{4}{3} - |t|\right) \frac{t}{|t|} \quad \forall t \in C\left[n, \frac{1}{3}, 1\right],$$

then (8.7) entails that  $\mathbf{v}_-$  is well defined since (8.13)-(i) and (8.13)-(ii) coincide on  $C[n, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3} + \varepsilon]$ .

By Definitions 5.2.4, 5.2.6-[5] we have that  $\mathbf{v}_-$  is a continuous function.

By (8.1), (8.2), (8.9) we have that (8.3) holds true.

By (8.1), (8.2) and since  $\varphi$  is a smooth function by construction we have that

$$\mathbf{v}_-(t) \in \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{D}[n] \quad \forall t \in D[n].$$

By (8.1), (8.2), (8.11), (8.12) and since  $S[n-1, \frac{1}{2}]$  has empty interior in  $D[n, 1]$  we have that (8.4) holds true.

By (8.1), (8.2), (8.11), (8.12) we have that (8.5) holds true. ■

We refer to Remark 7.0.4-[1, 3].

**Theorem 8.0.4** *Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . There is at least one nowhere vanishing generalized tangent vector field  $\mathbf{v} : S[n] \rightarrow \mathbf{SB}_1(S[n])$ .*

**Proof.** We define set function  $\mathbf{v}$  by setting

$$\mathbf{v}(s, s_{n+1}) = \begin{cases} ((s, s_{n+1}), \mathbf{v}_+[n](f_+^{-1}[n](s, s_{n+1}))) & \text{if } s_{n+1} > \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{3}{4}\pi\varepsilon\right), \\ ((s, s_{n+1}), \mathbf{v}_-[n](f_-^{-1}[n](s, s_{n+1}))) & \text{if } s_{n+1} < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 8.0.3 entails that  $\mathbf{v}$  is well defined and continuous. By construction  $\mathbf{v}$  is a section of the bundle  $\mathbf{SB}_1(S[n]) \rightarrow S[n]$ . Eventually Remark 8.0.2-[3], (8.4) entail that  $\mathbf{v}$  is a nowhere vanishing vector field. ■

In Remark 8.0.5 below we show how existence of nowhere vanishing generalized tangent vector fields on even dimensional spheres proved in Theorem 8.0.4 is compatible with [22]. We refer to Notation 11.0.9-[3],(8.6).

**Remark 8.0.5** *If  $n$  is an even integer then Theorem 1 of [22] entails that there is at least one  $s \in S[n]$  fulfilling  $(\tilde{\mu}_1(S[n]))(\mathbf{v}(s)) = 0$ .*

*If we consider the special case of the generalized tangent vector field defined in Theorem 8.0.4 then  $(\tilde{\mu}_1(S[n]))(\mathbf{v}(f_-(t))) = 0$  if and only if  $t \in S[n-1, \frac{1}{2}]$  and vector  $d_1^\infty[[f_-^{-1} \circ f_+, f_+^{-1}(f_-(t))](\mathbf{r}[m](\mathbf{v}_+[n](f_+^{-1}(f_-(t))))]$  is tangent to  $S[n-1, \frac{1}{2}]$  at  $t$ .*

# Chapter 9

## Questions

In this chapter we list some questions that the theory developed in this work raises in various areas. These questions are hints for future researches.

### 9.1 Mathematics

**Question 9.1.1** *Do results achieved in this work hold true in a topos with a natural numbers object?*

*We think this is true since we have used only categorical arguments in our constructions and proofs, however a careful exam is needed in order to confirm our hypothesis.*

### 9.2 Physics

**Question 9.2.1** *Which is the physical meaning of the dimensions of the tangent space to the universe exceeding the classical ones?*

*From now on we refer to these dimensions as to exotic dimensions, or exotic directions.*

**Question 9.2.2** *Which is the real meaning of speed?*

*Speed  $V(t)$  is the differential of the function  $P(t)$  representing the trajectory of the point  $P$  moving in a space  $S$  in an interval  $T$  of time. Since  $T$  is a one dimensional smooth manifold then classically we have that  $V(t)$  is representable by a vector belonging to the tangent space to  $S$  at  $P(t)$ . In the generalized setting such representation of  $V(t)$  fails since the tangent space to  $T$  is not a one dimensional  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space. Then in the generalized setting  $V(t)$  must be considered in its entirety as a continuous  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear function.*

*Clearly this question is strictly related to Question 9.2.1.*

**Question 9.2.3** *Are there vector fields which propagates along exotic directions?*

*The same question also arises for tensor fields in general.*

**Question 9.2.4** *Is it possible to build instruments which are able to measure phenomena propagating along exotic directions?*

**Question 9.2.5** *Could some still unexplained phenomena be the manifestations of kinds of interactions occurring along exotic directions?*

*An example could be the dark energy. We present our hypothesis about how dark energy could be hidden in the formula of kinetic energy. We refer to Question 9.2.2 for both notation and physics considerations.*

*Kinetic energy  $E(t) = \frac{1}{2}mV(t)^2$  of a material point  $P$  depends on the mass  $m$  of  $P$  and on speed  $V(t)$  of  $P$ .*

*Classically, for any instant  $t$ , we have that  $V(t)$  is representable by a vector belonging to the tangent space to  $S$  at  $P(t)$  which is a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space.*

*In the new generalized setting, for any instant  $t$ , speed  $V(t)$  is a continuous  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear function. Then classical speed is only a single component of speed when considered in its entirety. This entails that kinetic energy computed taking in account only the classical speed turns out to be only a fraction of the total energy. We conjecture that the remaining part is the dark energy.*

**Question 9.2.6** *Could some measures that underlie physical theories be affected by errors due to the fact that instruments available up to now presumably do not detect phenomena occurring along exotic directions?*

*An example could be the black body radiation which is the base of quantum mechanics.*

**Question 9.2.7** *Could the discretization of the universe suggested by quantum mechanics be a distortion of reality due to the fact that we are observing and measuring only that part of reality which comes to us through that section of the tangent space to the universe corresponding to the classical tangent bundle?*

**Part III**  
**Appendix**





## Chapter 10

# Relations in free magma

$(X, \blacklozenge)$

In this chapter we list those relations in  $X$  which are used to prove results in this work. We refer to Notations 11.0.3, 11.0.6, 11.0.8, 11.0.9, Proposition 3.2.4, Remark 11.0.7, Examples 2.0.4, 2.0.6.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} |x_{0,1}, \dots, x_{0,n}| \approx |x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{1,l_1}, \dots, x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,l_i}, \dots, x_{n,1}, \dots, x_{n,l_n}| \\ \forall \left\{ \{x_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^{l_i} \right\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq X \text{ with} \\ x_{0,i} = |x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,l_i}| \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.1)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} |x_1, \dots, x_n| \approx |y_1, \dots, y_n| \\ \forall x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in X \text{ with} \\ x_i \approx y_i \text{ or } x_i = y_i \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.2)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} |x_1, \dots, x_n| \blacklozenge |y_1, \dots, y_n| \approx |x_1 \blacklozenge y_1, \dots, x_n \blacklozenge y_n| \\ \forall x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in X \text{ with} \\ \mathbf{D}(x_i) \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbf{C}(y_i) \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.3)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \approx |p_1 [\{\mathbb{R}^{l_i}\}_{i=1}^n] \blacklozenge x, \dots, p_n [\{\mathbb{R}^{l_i}\}_{i=1}^n] \blacklozenge x| \blacklozenge \Delta[\mathbf{D}(x), n] \\ \forall l_1, \dots, l_n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \forall x \in X \text{ with } \mathbf{C}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{R}^{l_i}; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.4)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} p_1[\mathbf{C}(x_1), \mathbf{C}(x_2)] \blacklozenge |x_1, x_2| \approx x_1 \blacklozenge p_1[\mathbf{D}(x_1), \mathbf{D}(x_2)] \\ \forall x_1 \in X, \quad \forall x_2 \in X_{SS}; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.5)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} |x_1, \dots, x_n| \blacklozenge \Delta[\mathbf{D}(x_1), n] \approx \Delta[\mathbf{C}(x_1), n] \blacklozenge x_1 \\ \forall (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n \text{ with } x_1 = \dots = x_n; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.6)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} |x_1, x_2| \approx \\ (\text{sw}[\mathbf{C}(x_1), \mathbf{C}(x_2)] \blacklozenge |x_2, x_1|) \blacklozenge \text{sw}[\mathbf{D}(x_1), \mathbf{D}(x_2)] \\ \forall x_1, x_2 \in X; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.7)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} ((\cdot[1, n] \blacklozenge |c[\mathbf{D}(x), \mathbb{R}, a], x|) \blacklozenge \Delta[\mathbf{D}(x), 2]) \blacklozenge y \approx \\ (\cdot[1, n] \blacklozenge |c[\mathbf{D}(y), \mathbb{R}, a], x \blacklozenge y|) \blacklozenge \Delta[\mathbf{D}(y), 2] \\ \forall x, y \in X; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.8)$$

$$x \approx \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{C}(x)} \blacklozenge x \quad \forall x \in X; \quad (10.9)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x \approx +[n, 2] \blacklozenge |y, \cdot[1, n] \blacklozenge |c[\mathbf{D}(x), \mathbb{R}, 0], z| | \blacklozenge \Delta[\mathbf{D}(x), 3] \\ \text{if and only if } x \approx y \\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \forall x, y, z \in X \text{ with } O_x = O_y, \mathbf{D}(x) = \mathbf{D}(y) = \mathbf{D}(z), \\ \mathbf{C}(x) = \mathbf{C}(y) = \mathbf{C}(z) = \mathbb{R}^n; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.10)$$

$$x \approx x \blacklozenge \mathbf{i}[\mathbf{D}(x), \mathbb{R}^m] \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \forall x \in X \text{ with } \mathbf{D}(x) \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m; \quad (10.11)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (x \blacklozenge y) \blacklozenge z \approx x \blacklozenge (y \blacklozenge z) \\ \forall x, z \in X \quad \forall y \in X_{SS} \text{ with } \text{lm}(\text{ev}(y)) \subseteq \mathbf{D}(x); \end{array} \right. \quad (10.12)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (x \blacklozenge y) \blacklozenge z \approx x \blacklozenge (y \blacklozenge z) \\ \forall x, y, z \in X \text{ with } \mathbf{C}(y) = \mathbf{D}(x); \end{array} \right. \quad (10.13)$$

$$x \approx \mathbf{1} \blacktriangleright x \quad \forall x \in X; \quad (10.14)$$

$$\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright (\mathbf{n} \blacktriangleright x) \approx (\mathbf{m} \mathbf{n}) \blacktriangleright x \quad \forall \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{M}, \forall x \in X; \quad (10.15)$$

$$\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x \approx \mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright y \quad \forall \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M} \quad \forall x, y \in X \text{ with } x \approx y; \quad (10.16)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\int_i \blacktriangleright x) \blacklozenge h \approx \cdot[1, n] \blacklozenge |c[\mathbf{D}(x), \mathbb{R}, 0], x| \blacklozenge \Delta[\mathbf{D}(x), 2] \\ \text{where } h : \mathbf{D}(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}[\mathbf{D}(x), i] \text{ is defined by setting} \\ h(s_1, \dots, s_m) = \\ (s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, s_i, s_i, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_m) \quad \forall (s_1, \dots, s_m) \in \mathbf{D}(x) \\ \forall m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \forall x \in X \text{ with } i \leq m, \mathbf{D}(x) \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.17)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_i \blacktriangleright (x \blacklozenge \mathbf{i}[S, \mathbb{R}^m]) \approx (\int_i \blacktriangleright x) \blacklozenge \mathbf{i}[\mathcal{I}[S, i], \mathbb{R}^{m+1}] \\ \forall m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \forall S \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m, \forall x \in X \text{ with } S \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbf{D}(x) \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.18)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_i \blacktriangleright (x \blacklozenge b_1 [(S_1, 0), (S_2, 0)]) \approx \\ \quad (\int_i \blacktriangleright x) \blacklozenge b_1 [(\mathcal{I}[S_1, i], 0), (S_2, 0)] \\ \forall m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \forall S_1 \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^{m_1}, \quad \forall S_2 \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^{m_2}, \quad \forall x \in X \quad \text{with} \\ i \leq m_1, \quad (0, 0) \in S_1 \times S_2 \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbf{D}(x); \end{array} \right. \quad (10.19)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_i \blacktriangleright x \approx |y_1, \dots, y_n| \blacklozenge \Delta[\mathcal{I}[\mathbf{D}(x), i], n] \quad \text{where} \\ \\ y_n = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \cdot [m_n, 1] \blacklozenge (x_n \blacklozenge \text{P}_n [\{S_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^n]), \right. \\ \left. + [1] \blacklozenge (co[L_n, i+1], -[1] \blacklozenge co[L_n, i]) \right) \blacklozenge \\ \quad \Delta[\mathcal{I}[\mathbf{D}(x), i], 2] \\ \quad \text{if } i < L_{n-1} \text{ or } L_n < i \leq L_n, \\ \\ \left( \int_{i-(L_{n-1})} \blacktriangleright x_n \right) \blacklozenge \text{P}_n [\{S_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^n] \\ \quad \text{if } L_{n-1} < i \leq L_n, \end{array} \right. \\ \\ S_{i,j} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{D}(x_j) \quad \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq n \text{ and } i < L_{j-1} \text{ or } L_j < i \leq L_n, \\ \mathcal{I}[\mathbf{D}(x_j), i - (L_{j-1})] \quad \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq n \text{ and } L_{j-1} < i \leq L_j, \\ \mathbb{R}^{i-L_n+1} \quad \text{if } j = n+1, L_n < i, \end{array} \right. \\ \\ L_0 = 0, \quad L_j = \sum_{k=1}^j l_k \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall l_1, \dots, l_n, m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \forall x, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X \quad \text{with} \\ x = |x_1, \dots, x_n|, \quad \mathbf{D}(x_j) \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^{l_j}, \quad \mathbf{C}(x_j) = \mathbb{R}^{m_j} \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.20)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\int_i \blacktriangleright x) \blacklozenge (\text{sw} [\mathbb{R}^{m+1}, \sigma_i^{i+1}] \blacklozenge i [\mathcal{I}[\mathbf{D}(x), i], \mathbb{R}^{m+1}]) \approx \\ \quad -[n] \blacklozenge (\int_i \blacktriangleright x) \\ \text{where } \sigma_i^{i+1} \text{ is the permutation of } \{1, \dots, m+1\} \text{ such that} \\ \sigma_i^{i+1}(j) = \begin{cases} j & \text{if } j \notin \{i, i+1\} \\ i & \text{if } j = i+1 \\ i+1 & \text{if } j = i, \end{cases} \\ \forall m, i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad \text{with } i \leq m, \quad \mathbf{D}(x) \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m, \quad \mathbf{C}(x) = \mathbb{R}^n; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.21)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_i \blacktriangleright ((+ [n, 2] \blacklozenge | x_1, x_2 |) \blacklozenge \Delta [\mathbf{D}(x_1), 2]) \approx \\ \quad (+ [n, 2] \blacklozenge | \int_i \blacktriangleright x_1, \int_i \blacktriangleright x_2 |) \blacklozenge \Delta [\mathcal{I}[\mathbf{D}(x_1), i], 2] \\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in X \quad \text{with} \\ \mathbf{D}(x_1) = \mathbf{D}(x_2), \quad \mathbf{C}(x_1) = \mathbf{C}(x_2) = \mathbb{R}^n; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.22)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{d}_i \blacktriangleright x \approx | y_1, \dots, y_n | \quad \text{where} \\ y_j = \begin{cases} \mathbf{c}[\mathbf{C}(x_j), \mathbf{C}(x_j), 0] \blacklozenge x_j & \text{if } M_j < i \text{ or } i \leq M_{j-1} \\ \mathbf{d}_{(i-M_{j-1})} \blacktriangleright x_j & \text{if } M_{j-1} < i \leq M_j, \end{cases} \\ M_0 = 0, \quad M_j = \sum_{k=1}^j m_k \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ \forall i, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \forall x, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X \quad \text{with} \\ x = | x_1, \dots, x_n |, \quad \mathbf{D}(x_j) \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^{m_j} \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.23)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{d}_i \blacktriangleright (x_1 \blacklozenge x_2) \approx (+ [n, m] \blacklozenge | y_1, \dots, y_m |) \blacklozenge \Delta [\mathbf{D}(x_2), m] \\ \text{where} \\ y_k = (\cdot [n, 1] \blacklozenge | (\mathbf{d}_k \blacktriangleright x_1) \blacklozenge x_2, (\mathbf{c}_k \mathbf{d}_i) \blacktriangleright x_2 |) \blacklozenge \Delta [\mathbf{D}(x_2), 2] \\ \forall l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in X \quad \text{with} \\ \mathbf{D}(x_1) \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m, \quad \mathbf{C}(x_1) = \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \mathbf{D}(x_2) \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^l, \quad \mathbf{C}(x_2) = \mathbb{R}^m; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.24)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{d}_i \blacktriangleright x \approx (+ [n, 2] \blacklozenge | \mathbf{d}_i \blacktriangleright x, \mathbf{c}[\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n, 0] \blacklozenge x |) \blacklozenge \Delta [\mathbf{D}(x), 2] \\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall x \in X \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{C}(x) = \mathbb{R}^n; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.25)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{c}_i \blacktriangleright x \approx \begin{cases} x & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \mathbf{co}[n, i] \blacklozenge x & \text{if } i \leq n \\ \mathbf{c}[\mathbf{C}(x), \mathbb{R}, 0] \blacklozenge x & \text{if } i > n > 0 \end{cases} \\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall x \in X \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{C}(x) = \mathbb{R}^n; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.26)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{p}_i \blacktriangleright x \approx \begin{cases} x \blacklozenge (\mathbf{pr}[m, i+1] \blacklozenge \mathbf{i}[\mathcal{I}[\mathbf{D}(x), i], \mathbb{R}^{m+1}]) & \text{if } i \leq m \\ x \blacklozenge \mathbf{p}_1[\mathbf{D}(x), \mathbb{R}^{i-m+1}] & \text{if } i > m \end{cases} \\ \forall m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall x \in X \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{D}(x) \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.27)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{q}_i \blacktriangleright x \approx \begin{cases} (-[n] \blacklozenge x) \blacklozenge (\mathbf{pr}[m, i] \blacklozenge \mathbf{i}[\mathcal{I}[\mathbf{D}(x), i], \mathbb{R}^{m+1}]) & \text{if } i \leq m \\ (-[n] \blacklozenge x) \blacklozenge \mathbf{p}_1[\mathbf{D}(x), \mathbb{R}^{i-m+1}] & \text{if } i > m \end{cases} \\ \forall m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall x \in X \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{D}(x) \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.28)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{p}_i \blacktriangleright x \approx (+[n, 2] \blacklozenge \mid (\int_i d_i) \blacktriangleright x, -[n] \blacklozenge (\mathbf{q}_i \blacktriangleright x) \mid) \blacklozenge \\ \Delta [\mathcal{I}[\mathbf{D}(x), i], 2] \\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall x \in X \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{C}(x) = \mathbb{R}^n; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.29)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_i \blacktriangleright ((\cdot [m, n] \blacklozenge \mid x_1, \mathbf{p}_i \blacktriangleright x_2 \mid) \blacklozenge \Delta [\mathbf{D}(x_1), 2]) \approx \\ (\cdot [m, n] \blacklozenge \mid \int_i \blacktriangleright x_1, \mathbf{p}_i \blacktriangleright (\mathbf{p}_i \blacktriangleright x_2) \mid) \blacklozenge \Delta [\mathcal{I}[\mathcal{I}[\mathbf{D}(x_2), i], i], 2] \\ \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in X \quad \text{with} \\ \mathbf{D}(x_1) = \mathcal{I}[\mathbf{D}(x_2), i], \quad \mathbf{C}(x_1) = \mathbb{R}^m, \quad \mathbf{C}(x_2) = \mathbb{R}^n; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.30)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_{i+1} \blacktriangleright ((\cdot [m, n] \blacklozenge \mid x_1, \mathbf{q}_i \blacktriangleright x_2 \mid) \blacklozenge \Delta [\mathbf{D}(x_1), 2]) \approx \\ (\cdot [m, n] \blacklozenge \mid \int_{i+} \blacktriangleright x_1, \mathbf{q}_i \blacktriangleright (-[n] \blacklozenge (\mathbf{q}_i \blacktriangleright x_2)) \mid) \blacklozenge \\ \Delta [\mathcal{I}[\mathcal{I}[\mathbf{D}(x_2), i], i], 2] \\ \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in X \quad \text{with} \\ \mathbf{D}(x_1) = \mathcal{I}[\mathbf{D}(x_2), i], \quad \mathbf{C}(x_1) = \mathbb{R}^m, \quad \mathbf{C}(x_2) = \mathbb{R}^n; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.31)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\mathbf{p}_i \int_i) \blacktriangleright x \approx \\ (+[n, 2] \blacklozenge \mid (\mathbf{p}_{i+1} \int_i) \blacktriangleright x, (\mathbf{q}_{i+1} \int_i) \blacktriangleright x \mid) \blacklozenge \Delta [\mathcal{I}[\mathcal{I}[\mathbf{D}(x), i], i], 2] \\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall x \in X \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{C}(x) = \mathbb{R}^n; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.32)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\mathbf{q}_i \int_i) \blacktriangleright x \approx -[n] \blacklozenge ((\mathbf{p}_{i+1} \int_i) \blacktriangleright x) \\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall x \in X \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{C}(x) = \mathbb{R}^n; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.33)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{p}_i) \blacktriangleright x \approx -[n] \blacklozenge ((\mathbf{p}_{i+1} \mathbf{p}_i) \blacktriangleright x) \\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall x \in X \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{C}(x) = \mathbb{R}^n; \end{array} \right. \quad (10.34)$$

$$x \approx \mathbf{li}(\mathbf{ev}(x)) \quad \forall x \in X_{SS}; \quad (10.35)$$

$$\mathbf{m} \blacktriangleright x \approx \mathbf{li}(\mathbf{m} \bowtie x) \quad \forall \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M} \quad \forall x \in C^\infty \setminus \{\emptyset\}. \quad (10.36)$$



# Chapter 11

## Notation

We set up the notation which is used throughout the work.

**Notation 11.0.1** *We establish notation relative to categories and functors, we refer to [19] for details.*

1. We denote by  $1_a$  the identity arrow of and object  $a$  of a category. We denote by  $1_A$  the identity functor of a category. We denote by  $\circ$  the composition of arrows in a category and of functors between categories. There is not abuse of language since categories are object and functors are arrows of the category of categories.
2. Fix a category  $A$ . We denote by  $A^{op}$  the opposite category of  $A$ .
3. Fix a category  $A$ . We denote by  $\text{hom}_A : A^{op} \times A \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  the hom-functor. For any object  $a_0$  of  $A$  we denote by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{hom}_A^{a_0} : A^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set} \text{ the functor defined by setting:} \\ \text{hom}_A^{a_0}(a) = \text{hom}_A(a, a_0) \text{ for any object } a \text{ of } A^{op}, \\ \text{hom}_A^{a_0}(f) = \text{hom}_A(f, 1_{a_0}) \text{ for any arrow } f \text{ of } A^{op}; \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^{a_0}\text{hom}_A : A \rightarrow \mathbf{Set} \text{ the functor defined by setting:} \\ {}^{a_0}\text{hom}_A(a) = \text{hom}_A(a_0, a) \text{ for any object } a \text{ of } A, \\ {}^{a_0}\text{hom}_A(f) = \text{hom}_A(1_{a_0}, f) \text{ for any arrow } f \text{ of } A. \end{array} \right.$$

4. Fix two categories  $A, B$ , an object  $b$  of  $B$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{c}[A, B, b]$  the constant functor defined by setting  $\mathbf{c}[A, B, b](a) = b$  for any object  $a$  of  $A$  and  $\mathbf{c}[A, B, b](f) = 1_b$  for any arrow  $f$  of  $A$ .
5. Fix a category  $A$ . We denote by  $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \times A$  the diagonal functor. We denote by  $\text{Sw} : A \times A \rightarrow A \times A$  the switch functor.

6. Fix two categories  $A, B$ . If  $A$  is a subcategory of  $B$  then we denote by  $\mathbf{i}[A, B]$  the inclusion functor.
7. We denote an adjunction by the 4-tuple  $(X, Y, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \varphi)$  where:  $X, Y$  are categories;  $\mathbf{G} : X \rightarrow Y, \mathbf{H} : Y \rightarrow X$  are functors;  $\varphi$  is the natural isomorphism, explicitly  $\varphi_{x,y} : \mathbf{hom}_Y(\mathbf{G}(x), y) \rightarrow \mathbf{hom}_X(x, \mathbf{H}(y))$  for any object  $x$  of  $X, y$  of  $Y$ .
8. We denote by  $\varphi$  the natural isomorphism realizing the adjunction between functors  $\times$  and  $\mathbf{hom}_{\mathbf{Set}}$ . Explicitly we have

$$\varphi_{X_1, X_2, X_3} : \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbf{Set}}(X_1 \times X_2, X_3) \rightarrow \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbf{Set}}(X_1, \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbf{Set}}(X_2, X_3))$$

for any triplet of objects  $X_1, X_2, X_3$  of  $\mathbf{Set}$ .

Fix objects  $X_1, X_2, X_3$  of  $\mathbf{Set}$ , a set function  $f \in \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbf{Set}}(X_1 \times X_2, X_3)$ .

We set:  $\hat{f} = \varphi_{X_1, X_2, X_3}(f); \quad \hat{f} = \varphi_{X_2, X_1, X_3}(f \circ \text{sw}[X_2, X_1])$ .

Fix objects  $X_1, X_2, X_3$  of  $\mathbf{Set}$ , a set function  $f \in \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbf{Set}}(X_1, \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbf{Set}}(X_2, X_3))$ .

We set:  $\check{f} = \varphi_{X_1, X_2, X_3}^{-1}(f); \quad \check{f} = \varphi_{X_1, X_2, X_3}^{-1}(f) \circ \text{sw}[X_2, X_1]$ .

9. Fix a site  $(A, J)$ , an object  $a$  of  $A$ , a set  $U$  of arrows of  $A$  with co-domain  $a$ .  
We say that  $U$  is a base component set for  $J(a)$  if and only if any sieve  $S$  on  $a$  with  $U \subseteq S$  belongs to  $J(a)$ .  
Set  $S_U = \{f_1 \circ f_2 : a_2 \xrightarrow{f_1} a \text{ belonging to } U, a_3 \xrightarrow{f_2} a_2 \text{ arrow of } A\}$ .  
If  $U$  is a base component set for  $J(a)$  then we say that  $S_U$  is the covering sieve of  $a$  generated by  $U$ .
10. Fix a site  $(A, J)$ , an arrow  $a_1 \xrightarrow{f} a_2$  of  $A$ , a covering sieve  $S \in J(a_2)$ .  
We set denote by  $f^*(S)$  the covering sieve of  $a_1$  obtained by pulling-back  $S$  along  $f$ .
11. Fix a site  $(A, J)$ , two objects  $a_1, a_2$  of  $A, f_1, f_2 \in \mathbf{hom}_A(a_1, a_2)$ .  
Assume that there is covering sieve  $S \in J(a_1)$  such that  $f_1 \circ g = f_2 \circ g$  for any  $g$  belonging to  $S$ .  
Then we say that  $f_1$  is locally equal to  $f_2$  and we write  $f_1 \overset{J}{\bowtie} f_2$ ; we drop symbol  $J$  whenever no confusion is possible.

**Notation 11.0.2** We establish the notation relative to integer numbers.

Let  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}, \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we set  $\bar{n} = \max\{1, n\}$ .

**Notation 11.0.3** We establish the notation relative to sets and set functions.

1. We denote the empty set by  $\emptyset$  and the empty function by  $\emptyset$ .  
Fix two sets  $X, Y$ . The empty function holds between them  $X$  and  $Y$ .  
If  $X = \emptyset$  or  $Y = \emptyset$  then  $\emptyset$  is the only one function between them, in particular  $1_{\emptyset} = \emptyset$ .  
We denote the single point set by  $*$  and its unique element by  $*$  as well, with a abuse of language.



2. Fix a finite set  $X$ . We denote by  $\sharp(X)$  the cardinality of  $X$ .

3. Fix a not empty set  $I$ , a family of sets  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ .

If  $X_i = *$  for any  $i$  belonging to a subset  $I_0$  of  $I$  then  $\prod_{i \in I} X_i = \prod_{i \in I \setminus I_0} X_i$ .

If  $X_i = \emptyset$  for any  $i$  belonging to a not empty subset  $I_0$  of  $I$  then  $\prod_{i \in I} X_i = \emptyset$ .

4. Fix two sets  $A, X$ . We set

$$X^A = \begin{cases} \text{the single point set} & \text{if } A = \emptyset, \\ \prod_{a \in A} X & \text{if } A \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

If  $A$  is a finite set then we write  $X^{\sharp(A)}$  in place of  $X^A$ .

5. Fix a set function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  not necessarily everywhere defined, a set  $Z \subseteq X$ , a set  $V \subseteq Y$ ,  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $y_0 \in Y$ .

We write  $f(x_0) \neq \emptyset$  to mean that  $f$  is well defined for  $x_0$ , we write  $f(x_0) = \emptyset$  to mean that  $f$  is not defined for  $x_0$ , we write  $f(x_0) \neq y_0$  to mean that  $f(x_0) \neq \emptyset$  and  $f(x_0) \in Y \setminus \{y_0\}$ .

We adopt the following notation:

$$\text{Dom}(f) = X,$$

$$\text{Cod}(f) = Y,$$

$$\text{Ce}(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) \neq \emptyset\}, \quad \text{Im}(f) = \{f(x), x \in X\},$$

$$f^{-1}(V) = \{x \in X : f(x) \in V\}, \quad f(Z) = \{f(z), z \in Z \cap \text{Ce}(f)\}.$$

If  $f = \emptyset$  then  $\text{Dom}(f)$  and  $\text{Cod}(f)$  are not well defined since the empty function holds between any pair of sets.

6. Fix a set  $A$ , a family  $\{f_a\}_{a \in A}$  of set functions with  $f_a : X_a \rightarrow Y_a$ . We denote by  $\prod_{a \in A} f_a : \prod_{a \in A} X_a \rightarrow \prod_{a \in A} Y_a$  the set function defined by setting

$$\prod_{a \in A} f_a \left( \prod_{a \in A} x_a \right) = \prod_{a \in A} f_a(x_a) \quad \forall \prod_{a \in A} x_a \in \prod_{a \in A} X_a.$$

If there is a set function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  with  $X = X_a$ ,  $Y = Y_a$ ,  $f_a = f$  for any  $a \in A$  then we denote  $\prod_{a \in A} f_a$  by  $f^A$  if  $A$  is an infinite set, or by  $f^{\sharp(A)}$

if  $A$  is a finite set.

7. Fix two sets  $X, Y$  with  $Y \neq \emptyset$ , an element  $y \in Y$ . We denote by  $c[X, Y, y] : X \rightarrow Y$  the constant function  $c[X, Y, y](x) = y$  for any  $x \in X$ . If  $X = \emptyset$  then  $c[X, Y, y] = \emptyset$ .

8. Fix two sets  $X, Y$ . We denote by  $\Delta[X, Y] : X \rightarrow X^Y$  the diagonal function. If  $Y$  is a finite set then we replace  $Y$  by the integer  $\sharp(Y)$  in the notation. If  $\sharp(Y) = 1$  then  $\Delta[X, 1] = 1_X$ . If  $X = \emptyset$  then  $\Delta[X, Y] = \emptyset$ .

9. Fix two sets  $X, Y$  with  $X \subseteq Y$ . We denote by  $i[X, Y] : X \rightarrow Y$  the inclusion function. If  $X = Y$  then  $i[X, Y] = 1_X$ . If  $X = \emptyset$  or  $Y = \emptyset$  then  $i[X, Y] = \emptyset$ .

10. Fix a not empty set  $I, I_0 \subseteq I$ , a family of sets  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ .

If  $I_0 = \emptyset$  then we set  $p_{I_0} [\{X_i\}_{i \in I}] = c \left[ \prod_{i \in I} X_i, *, * \right]$ .

If  $I_0 \neq \emptyset$  then we denote by  $p_{I_0} [\{X_i\}_{i \in I}] : \prod_{i \in I} X_i \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I_0} X_i$  the function defined by setting

$$p_{I_0} [\{X_i\}_{i \in I}] ((x_i)_{i \in I}) = (x_i)_{i \in I_0} \quad \forall (x_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_i.$$

If  $I = I_0$  then  $p_{I_0} [\{X_i\}_{i \in I}] = 1_{\prod_{i \in I} X_i}$ .

If there is  $i_0 \in I$  with  $I_0 = \{i_0\}$  then we write  $p_{i_0} [\{X_i\}_{i \in I}]$  in place of  $p_{\{i_0\}} [\{X_i\}_{i \in I}]$ , with an abuse of language.

If there is  $i_1 \in I$  with  $X_{i_1} = \emptyset$  then by Notation 11.0.3-[3] we have  $p_{I_0} [\{X_i\}_{i \in I}] = \emptyset$  for any  $I_0 \subseteq I$ .

With an abuse of language we write  $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$  in place of  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$  or we drop any reference to  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$  or to  $I_0$  whenever no confusion is possible.

11. Fix a not empty set  $I, I_0 \subseteq I$ , a family of pairs  $\{(X_i, x_{0,i})\}_{i \in I}$  where  $X_i$  is a set and  $x_i \in I_i$  for any  $i \in I$ .

If  $I_0 = \emptyset$  then we set  $b_{I_0} [\{(X_i, x_{0,i})\}_{i \in I}] = c \left[ *, \prod_{i \in I} X_i, (x_{0,i})_{i \in I} \right]$ .

If  $I_0 \neq \emptyset$  then we denote by  $b_{I_0} [\{(X_i, x_i)\}_{i \in I}] : \prod_{i \in I_0} X_i \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} X_i$  the set function defined by setting

$$b_{I_0} [\{(X_i, x_i)\}_{i \in I}] ((x_i)_{i \in I}) = (y_i)_{i \in I}$$

$$\text{where } y_i = \begin{cases} x_i & \text{if } i \in I_0, \\ x_{0,i} & \text{if } i \notin I_0 \end{cases} \quad \forall x \in X_{I_0}.$$

If  $I = I_0$  then  $b_{I_0} [\{(X_i, x_i)\}_{i \in I}] = 1_{\prod_{i \in I} X_i}$ .

If there is  $i_0 \in I$  with  $I_0 = \{i_0\}$  then we write  $b_{i_0} [\{(X_i, x_i)\}_{i \in I}]$  in place of  $b_{\{i_0\}} [\{(X_i, x_i)\}_{i \in I}]$ , with an abuse of language.

If there is  $i_1 \in I$  with  $X_{i_1} = \emptyset$  then by Notation 11.0.3-[3] we have  $b_{I_0} [\{(X_i, x_i)\}_{i \in I}] = \emptyset$  for any  $I_0 \subseteq I$ .

With an abuse of language we replace pairs  $(X_i, x_i)$  by sets  $X_i$ , or we drop any reference to  $\{(X_i, x_i)\}_{i \in I}$  or to  $I_0$  whenever no confusion is possible.

12. Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , an ordered family of sets  $\{X_1, \dots, X_m\}$  and a permutation  $\sigma$  of the set  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ .

If  $X_m = \emptyset$  for some  $m \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  then we set  $\text{sw}[X_1, \dots, X_m, \sigma] = \emptyset$ .  
 If  $X_m \neq \emptyset$  for any  $m \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  then

$$\text{sw}[X_1, \dots, X_m, \sigma] : \prod_{m=1}^m X_m \rightarrow \prod_{m=1}^m X_{\sigma(m)} \quad (11.1)$$

is the set function defined by setting

$$\text{sw}[X_1, \dots, X_m, \sigma](x_1, \dots, x_m) = (x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(m)}) \quad \forall (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \prod_{m=1}^m X_m.$$

If  $\sigma(m) = m$  for any  $m \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  then  $\text{sw}[X_1, \dots, X_m, \sigma]$  is the identity on  $\prod_{m=1}^m X_m$ .

If  $m = 2$  and  $\sigma(1) = 2$  and  $\sigma(2) = 1$  then we drop  $\sigma$  in writing  $\text{sw}[X_1, X_2, \sigma]$ .  
 If  $X_1 = \dots = X_m$  then the list  $X_1, \dots, X_m$  will be replaced by  $X_1$ .

13. Fix a set  $X$ , an equivalence relation  $\approx$  on  $X$ . We denote by  $\frac{X}{\approx}$  the quotient set.

14. Fix a set  $X$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{P}(X)$  the set of all subsets of  $X$ . We set  $\mathfrak{FP}(X) = \{Y \in \mathfrak{P}(X) : Y \text{ is a finite set}\}$ .

Fix  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}(X)$ .

We say that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a partition of  $X$  if and only if all conditions below are fulfilled:  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{P}$ ;  $Y \cap Z = \emptyset$  for any  $Y, Z \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $Y \neq Z$ ;  $X = \bigcup_{Y \in \mathcal{P}} Y$ .

We say that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a finite partition of  $X$  if  $\mathcal{P}$  is a finite set.

Fix  $A, B \subseteq \mathfrak{P}(X)$ ,  $V \subseteq X$ .

We say that  $B$  is a family of  $A$ -sets if and only if  $B \subseteq A$ .

We say that  $B$  is a  $A$ -cover of  $V$  if and only if both conditions below are fulfilled:  $B$  is a family of  $A$ -sets;  $V = \bigcup_{Y \in B} Y$ .

We say that  $B$  is a sieve-type family of  $A$ -sets if and only if both conditions below are fulfilled:  $B$  is a family of  $A$ -sets; for any  $Y \in B$ ,  $Z \in A$  with  $Z \subseteq Y$  we have that  $Z \in B$ .

15. We denote by **Set** the category of all sets and set functions.

**Notation 11.0.4** We establish notation relative to general algebra, we refer to books of basic algebra for details.

1. We call magma a pair  $(X, \perp)$  where  $X$  is a set and  $\perp : X \times X \rightarrow X$  is a set function.

Consider two magmas  $(X, \perp)$ ,  $(Y, \top)$  and a set function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . We say that  $f$  is a function of magmas if and only if

$$f(\perp(x_1, x_2)) = \top(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in X,$$

we use the compact notation  $f : (X, \perp) \rightarrow (Y, \top)$  to mean that  $f$  is a function of magmas.

2. Fix two sets  $X, Y$ , two set functions  $\perp : Y \times X \rightarrow X$ ,  $\top : X \times X \rightarrow X$ , a relation (of any kind)  $\prec$  on  $X$ .

We say that  $\prec$  is compatible with  $\perp$  if and only if

$$y \perp x_1 \prec y \perp x_2 \quad \forall y \in Y \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in X \quad \text{with } x_1 \prec x_2.$$

We say that  $\prec$  is compatible with  $\top$  if and only if

$$x_1 \top x_2 \prec x_3 \top x_4 \quad \forall x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in X \quad \text{with } x_1 \prec x_3, \quad x_2 \prec x_4.$$

3. Fix a commutative ring  $\mathbb{A}$  with unit. A weak  $\mathbb{A}$ -algebra is a four-tuple  $(X, \oplus, \odot, \otimes)$  where

$$\oplus : X \times X \rightarrow X, \quad \odot : X \times X \rightarrow X, \quad \otimes : \mathbb{A} \times X \rightarrow X$$

are set functions fulfilling all conditions below:

$$\begin{cases} (x \oplus y) \oplus z = x \oplus (y \oplus z) & \forall x, y, z \in X, \\ x \oplus y = y \oplus x & \forall x, y \in X, \\ \exists e \in X : x \oplus e = x & \forall x \in X, \end{cases} \quad (11.2)$$

$$\begin{cases} (x \odot y) \odot z = x \odot (y \odot z) & \forall x, y, z \in X, \\ \exists u \in X : x \odot u = u \odot x = x & \forall x \in X, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} (a + b) \otimes x = (a \otimes x) \oplus (b \otimes x) & \forall a, b \in \mathbb{A} \quad \forall x \in X, \\ a \otimes (b \otimes x) = (ab) \otimes x & \forall a, b \in \mathbb{A} \quad \forall x \in X, \\ 1 \otimes x = x & \forall x \in X, \\ a \otimes (x \oplus y) = (a \otimes x) \oplus (a \otimes y) & \forall a \in \mathbb{A} \quad \forall x, y \in X, \\ a \otimes (x \odot y) = (a \otimes x) \odot y & \forall a \in \mathbb{A} \quad \forall x, y \in X, \\ a \otimes (x \odot y) = x \odot (a \otimes y) & \forall a \in \mathbb{A} \quad \forall x, y \in X. \end{cases} \quad (11.3)$$

We call:  $\oplus$  sum;  $\odot$  product;  $\otimes$  scalar product.

The weak  $\mathbb{A}$ -algebra  $(X, \oplus, \odot, \otimes)$  is commutative if and only if

$$x \odot y = y \odot x \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad (11.4)$$

4. Fix a commutative ring  $\mathbb{A}$  with unit, a weak  $\mathbb{A}$ -algebra  $(X, \oplus, \odot, \otimes)$  and a subset  $Y \subseteq X$ .

We say that the triplet  $(Y, \oplus, \odot, \otimes)$  is a sub weak  $\mathbb{A}$ -algebra of the weak  $\mathbb{A}$ -algebra  $(X, \oplus, \odot, \otimes)$  if and only if

$$\begin{cases} e \in Y, \\ x \oplus y, x \odot y \in Y & \forall x, y \in Y, \\ a \otimes x \in Y & \forall a \in \mathbb{A} \quad \forall x \in Y. \end{cases} \quad (11.5)$$

We say that  $Y$  is an ideal of  $(X, \oplus, \odot, \otimes)$  if and only if

$$\begin{cases} x \oplus y \in Y & \forall x, y \in Y, \\ x \odot y, y \odot x \in Y & \forall x \in X \quad \forall y \in Y, \\ a \otimes y \in Y & \forall a \in \mathbb{A} \quad \forall y \in Y. \end{cases} \quad (11.6)$$

5. Fix a commutative ring  $\mathbb{A}$  with unit, a weak  $\mathbb{A}$ -algebra  $(X, \oplus, \odot, \otimes)$ , a relation  $\prec$  on  $X$ .  
We say that  $\prec$  is compatible with the weak  $\mathbb{A}$ -algebra structure of the weak  $\mathbb{A}$ -algebra  $(X, \oplus, \odot, \otimes)$  if and only if  $\prec$  is compatible with both  $\oplus$  and  $\odot$  and  $\otimes$ .
6. Fix a commutative ring  $\mathbb{A}$  with unit. A weak  $\mathbb{A}$ -module is a triplet  $(X, \oplus, \otimes)$  where  $\oplus : X \times X \rightarrow X$ ,  $\otimes : \mathbb{A} \times X \rightarrow X$  are set functions fulfilling (11.2) and all conditions among (11.3) which don't refer to  $\odot$ .  
The weak  $\mathbb{A}$ -module  $(X, \oplus, \otimes)$  is commutative if and only if (11.4) holds true.
7. Fix a commutative ring  $\mathbb{A}$  with unit, a weak  $\mathbb{A}$ -module  $(X, \oplus, \otimes)$  and a subset  $Y \subseteq X$ .  
We say that the triplet  $(Y, \oplus, \otimes)$  is a sub weak  $\mathbb{A}$ -module of  $(X, \oplus, \otimes)$  if and only if  $(Y, \oplus, \otimes)$  fulfills all conditions among (11.5) which don't refer to  $\odot$ .
8. Fix a commutative ring  $\mathbb{A}$  with unit, a weak  $\mathbb{A}$ -module  $(X, \oplus, \otimes)$ , a relation  $\prec$  on  $X$ .  
We say that  $\prec$  is compatible with the weak  $\mathbb{A}$ -module structure of the weak  $\mathbb{A}$ -module  $(X, \oplus, \otimes)$  if and only if  $\prec$  is compatible with both  $\oplus$  and  $\otimes$ .
9. Fix a set  $I$ , a commutative ring  $\mathbb{A}$ , a weak  $\mathbb{A}$ -module  $X_i$  for any  $i \in I$ .  
Referring to Notation 11.0.3-[11] we set  $b_{i_0} [\{ X_i \}_{i \in I}] = b_{i_0} [\{ (X_i, 0) \}_{i \in I}]$  for any  $i_0 \in I$ .
10. We denote by  $\mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}}$  the category of all  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector spaces and functions between  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector spaces.
11. We denote by  $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbb{R}}$  the category of all  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras and functions between  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras.
12. We denote by  $\mathbf{T} : \mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbb{R}}$  the functor associating to any  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space the corresponding tensor  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra and to any function between  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector spaces the corresponding function of tensor  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras. It is well known that  $\mathbf{T}$  is an exact functor, where exactness follows since  $\mathbb{R}$  is a field.  
For any object  $X$  of  $\mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}}$  we denote by  $u_X$  the unity of  $\mathbf{T}(X)$ .
13. We denote by  $\mathbf{E} : \mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbb{R}}$  the functor associating to any  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space the corresponding exterior  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra and to any function between  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector spaces the corresponding function of exterior  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras. It is well known that  $\mathbf{E}$  is an exact functor, where exactness follows since  $\mathbb{R}$  is a field.
14. We denote by  $\mathbf{S} : \mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbb{R}}$  the functor associating to any  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space the corresponding symmetric  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra and to any function between

$\mathbb{R}$ -vector spaces the corresponding function of symmetric  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras. It is well known that  $\mathbf{S}$  is an exact functor, where exactness follows since  $\mathbb{R}$  is a field.

15. Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , one of the functors  $\mathbf{T}$ ,  $\mathbf{E}$ ,  $\mathbf{S}$  and denote it by  $\mathbf{F}$ .

We denote by  $\mathbf{F}_k : \mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}}$  the functor given by the degree  $k$  homogeneous component of  $\mathbf{F}$ . It is well known that  $\mathbf{F}_k$  is an exact functor, where exactness follows since  $\mathbb{R}$  is a field.

With an abuse of language, whenever no confusion is possible, we denote  $\mathbf{F}_k(X)$  by  $\mathbf{F}(X)_k$  for any  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $X$ .

16. We denote by  $\mathbf{A} : \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbb{R}}$  the functor associating to any  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra the corresponding unitary  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra and to any function between  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras the corresponding function of unitary  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras.

For any object  $X$  of  $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbb{R}}$  we denote by  $e_X$  the unity of  $\mathbf{A}(X)$ , by  $i_X : X \rightarrow \mathbf{A}(X)$  the canonical inclusion.

If  $Y$  is an ideal or a proper ideal of  $X$  then  $i_X(Y)$  is respectively an ideal or a proper ideal of  $\mathbf{A}(X)$ .

For any  $Y \subseteq X$  we denote  $i_X(Y)$  again by  $Y$ , with an abuse of language.

**Notation 11.0.5** We establish notation relative to general topology, we refer to any book of basic topology for details.

1. A topological space is usually denoted by a pair  $(X, \tau)$ , where  $X$  is the underlying set and  $\tau$  is the chosen topology. In this work, if not otherwise specified, we consider only one topology on any fixed set  $X$ , for this reason we drop the symbol  $\tau$  from the notation and we simply refer to the topological space  $X$ .

2. Fix a set  $X$ , a not empty family of topological spaces  $\{(Y_i, \tau_i)\}_{i \in I}$  (we are not assuming  $(Y_i, \tau_i) \neq (Y_j, \tau_j)$  for  $i \neq j$ ), a family  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$  of set functions with  $f_i : X \rightarrow Y_i$  for any  $i \in I$ .

We define topology  $\tau$  on  $X$  by setting

$$P \in \tau \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists \text{ a set } J \quad \exists \{P_{i,j}\}_{i \in I, j \in J} \quad \text{such that}$$

$$P_{i,j} \in \tau_i \quad \forall i \in I \quad \forall j \in J \quad \text{and} \quad P = \bigcup_{j \in J} \left( \bigcap_{i \in I} f_i^{-1}(P_{i,j}) \right).$$

We say that  $\tau$  is the initial topology on  $X$  with respect to  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ .

We say that  $Y$  is endowed with the initial topology with respect to  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ .

3. Fix a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , a set  $Y \subseteq X$ , a set  $Z \subseteq Y$ . We say that the initial topology on  $Y$  with respect to the single function family  $\{i[Y, X]\}$  is the subspace topology of  $Y$  with respect to  $(X, \tau)$ . We say that  $Y$  is endowed with the subspace topology with respect to  $(X, \tau)$ , or simply that  $Y$  is endowed with the subspace topology when no confusion is possible. We say that a set  $Z$  is open in  $Y$  if and only if  $Z$  is open with respect to the subspace topology of  $Y$ .

4. Fix a not empty family of topological spaces  $\{(X_i, \tau_i)\}_{i \in I}$ . We denote by  $\prod_{i \in I} \tau_i$  the product topology on  $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ . If not otherwise stated we always assume that the product of a family of topological spaces is endowed with the product topology.

5. Fix a set  $Y$ , a not empty family of topological spaces  $\{(X_i, \tau_i)\}_{i \in I}$  (we are not assuming  $(X_i, \tau_i) \neq (X_j, \tau_j)$  for  $i \neq j$ ), a family  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$  of set functions with  $f_i : X_i \rightarrow Y$  for any  $i \in I$ . We define topology  $\tau$  on  $Y$  by setting

$$P \in \tau \Leftrightarrow f_i^{-1}(P) \in \tau_i \quad \forall i \in I.$$

We say that  $\tau$  is the final topology on  $Y$  with respect to  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$  with  $f_i : X_i \rightarrow Y$ .

We say that  $Y$  is endowed with the final topology with respect to  $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$  with  $f_i : X_i \rightarrow Y$ .

6. Fix a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , an equivalence relation  $\approx$  in  $X$ . Set  $Y = X / \approx$  the quotient space,  $q : X \rightarrow Y$  the quotient function. We say that the final topology on  $Y$  with respect to  $\{q\}$  is the quotient topology of  $Y$  with respect to  $q$ . We say that  $Y$  is endowed with the quotient topology with respect to  $q$ .

7. Fix a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , a set  $Y \subseteq X$ ,  $x \in Y$ .

We say that  $Y$  is compactly contained in  $X$ , and we write  $Y \subset\subset X$ , to mean that exists a compact subset  $Z$  of  $X$  such that  $Y \subseteq Z$ .

We denote by  $\text{In}[Y, (X, \tau)]$  the interior of  $Y$  in  $(X, \tau)$ . We adopt the simpler notation  $\text{In}[Y, X]$ , whenever no confusion is possible on the topology of  $X$ .

We denote by  $\text{Cl}[Y, (X, \tau)]$  the closure of  $Y$  in  $(X, \tau)$ . We adopt the simpler notation  $\text{Cl}[Y, X]$ , whenever no confusion is possible on the topology of  $X$ .

A set  $Y_1$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  in  $Y$  if and only if there is  $Y_2 \in \tau$  with  $x \in Y \cap Y_2 \subseteq Y_1$ .

8. Fix a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , a set  $Y \subseteq X$ ,  $x \in X$ . We write:  $Y \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} X$  if and only if  $Y \in \tau$ ;  $x \overset{\circ}{\in} Y$  if and only if  $x \in \text{In}[Y, (X, \tau)]$ . We say that  $x$  is adherent to  $Y$ , or that  $x$  is in the adherence of  $Y$ , if and only if for any neighborhood  $Z$  of  $x$  we have  $Y \cap (Z \setminus \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$ .

9. Fix a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , a set function  $f : (-1, 1) \rightarrow X$ . We say that  $f$  is a  $\tau$ -path in  $X$  if and only if  $f$  is continuous with respect to the Euclidean topology on  $(-1, 1)$  and to  $\tau$  on  $X$ . We say simply that  $f$  is a path in  $X$ , by dropping the reference to  $\tau$ , whenever no confusion is possible.

10. Fix a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , a set  $V \subseteq X$ . Referring to Notation 11.0.3-[14] and choosing  $A = \tau$  we define the notion of family of open sets, open cover of  $V$ , sieve-type family of open sets.

11. We denote by **Top** the category of all topological spaces and continuous functions. Category **Top** is naturally endowed with the Grothendieck topology  $T$  induced by the topological structure of its objects.
12. Fix a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{O}(X, \tau)$  the site whose objects are all elements of  $\tau$ , arrows are inclusions of open sets and Grothendieck topology is given by selecting for any  $U \in \tau$  all sieves containing at least one covering of  $U$  by open sets. With an abuse of language we drop the symbol  $\tau$  whenever no confusion is possible.
13. Fix a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . We say that  $(X, \tau)$  is locally compact if for any  $x \in X$  and any  $B \in \tau$  with  $x \in B$  there are a compact set  $K, C \in \tau$  with  $x \in C \subseteq K \subseteq B$ .
14. Fix a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . We say that  $(X, \tau)$  fulfills the first numerability axiom if and only if for any point  $x \in X$  there is a countable set  $Z_x \subseteq \tau$  such that for any  $A \in \tau$  with  $x \in A$  there are  $B_1, B_2 \in Z_x$  with  $B_1 \subseteq A \subseteq B_2$ .

**Notation 11.0.6** Let  $\mathbb{R}$  denotes the field of real numbers, we establish notation relative to vector spaces over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

1. We define  $\mathbb{P} = \{S : \exists m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ with } S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m\}$ .
2. Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .  
 If  $m = 0$  then we set  $\mathbb{R}^0 = \{0\}$ .  
 If  $m \geq 1$  then we denote by  $\mathbb{R}^m$  the real vector space of dimension  $m$  and by  $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_m\}$  the standard orthonormal Euclidean frame.  
 The usual notation is adopted to denote sum and scalar product; inner product is denoted by  $\langle \rangle_m$ , the associated norm is denoted by  $|\cdot|_m$ , in both cases the index  $m$  is omitted whenever there is no risk of confusion.  
 Elements belonging to  $\mathbb{R}^m$  are denoted by  $s$  or  $t$  when we consider them just points belonging to the set  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , or by  $\mathbf{v}$  when we consider them as vectors belonging to  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .  
 We also use the extended notation  $(s_1, \dots, s_m), (t_1, \dots, t_m), (\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m)$  when we want to emphasize components with respect to the Euclidean frame, in particular:  
 if  $m = 0$  then the extended notation becomes  $s_1, t_1$  or  $\mathbf{v}_1$  respectively;  
 if  $m > 0$  then the extended notation becomes  $(s_1, \dots, s_m), (t_1, \dots, t_m)$  or  $(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m)$  respectively.
3. Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0, S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ . Refer to Notation 11.0.5-[1, 3].  
 The real vector space  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is endowed with the Euclidean topology.  
 The subset  $S$  of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is endowed with the subspace topology with respect to  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .
4. Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0, S, T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ .  
 If  $m = 0$  then we write  $T \sqsubseteq S$  if and only if  $T \subseteq S$  and  $T = \mathbb{R}^0$ .



If  $m = 1$  then we write  $T \sqsubseteq S$  if and only if  $T \subseteq S$  and one among the following mutually exclusive cases occurs

$$\begin{aligned}
T &= \mathbb{R}, \\
\exists s \in \mathbb{R} : T &= (-\infty, s], \\
\exists s \in \mathbb{R} : T &= (-\infty, s), \\
\exists s \in \mathbb{R} : T &= [s, +\infty), \\
\exists s \in \mathbb{R} : T &= (s, +\infty), \\
\exists s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R} : s_1 < s_2 \text{ and } T &= (s_1, s_2], \\
\exists s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R} : s_1 < s_2 \text{ and } T &= [s_1, s_2), \\
\exists s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R} : s_1 < s_2 \text{ and } T &= (s_1, s_2).
\end{aligned}$$

If  $m \geq 1$  then we write  $T \sqsubseteq S$  if and only if

$$T \subseteq S \quad \text{and} \quad \exists T_1, \dots, T_m \subseteq \mathbb{R} : T = \prod_{m=1}^m T_m.$$

We write  $T \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} S$  if and only if  $T \sqsubseteq S$  and there is  $A \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $T = S \cap A$ . We say that:  $T$  is an interval if and only if  $T \sqsubseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ ;  $T$  is an open interval if and only if  $T \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$ .

5. Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $S, T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ .

If  $m = 0$  then we write  $T \vDash S$  if and only if  $T \subseteq S$  and  $T = \mathbb{R}^0$ .

If  $m \geq 1$  then we write  $T \vDash S$  if and only if  $T \subseteq S$  and  $T$  is an open set of  $[0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ .

6. Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ .

If  $i \leq m$  then we define

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}[S, i] &= \{s \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} : (s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, t, s_{i+2}, \dots, s_{m+1}) \in S \\
&\quad \forall t \in [\min\{s_i, s_{i+1}\}, \max\{s_i, s_{i+1}\}]\}.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $i > m$  then we define  $\mathcal{I}[S, i] = S \times \mathbb{R}^{i-m+1}$ .

7. Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $S \vDash \mathbb{R}^m$ . We associate to  $S$  a suitable open set  $\mathcal{A}[S] \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$  and a suitable set function  $\vartheta : \mathcal{A}[S] \rightarrow S$ .

If  $S$  is an open set of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  then we define:  $\mathcal{A}[S] = S$ ;  $\vartheta[S] = 1_S$ .

If  $m \geq 1$  and  $S$  is an open set of  $[0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$  and  $S$  is not an open set of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  then we define:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}[S] &= \{(s, s_1, \dots, s_{\overline{m}}) \in \mathbb{R}^m : (|s|, s_1, \dots, s_{\overline{m}}) \in S\}; \\
\vartheta[S](s, s_1, \dots, s_{\overline{m}}) &= (|s|, s_1, \dots, s_{\overline{m}}) \quad \forall (s, s_1, \dots, s_{\overline{m}}) \in \mathcal{A}[S].
\end{aligned}$$

8. Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{m}[m]$  the Lebesgue measure on the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  endowed with euclidean topology. We drop  $m$  from the notation whenever no confusion is possible.

9. Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a set  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ . Referring to Notation 11.0.3-[14] and setting  $A = \left\{ S : S \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m \right\}$  we define the notion of family of open intervals, open intervals cover of  $V$ , sieve-type family of open intervals.

**Remark 11.0.7** We state some basic properties of sets introduced in Notation 11.0.6-[4, 6].

1. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a finite not empty set  $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , subsets  $S_n, T_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m_n}$  for  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Assume  $S_n \subseteq T_n \quad \forall n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Then:  $\prod_{n=1}^n S_n \subseteq \prod_{n=1}^n T_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m_1 + \dots + m_n}$ .

2. Fix  $m \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , subsets  $S_1, \dots, S_n, T_1, \dots, T_n, \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ . Assume that all conditions below are fulfilled:

$$S_n \subseteq T_n \quad \forall n \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad \bigcap_{n=1}^n \ln [S_n, \mathbb{R}^m] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Then  $\bigcap_{n=1}^n S_n \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^n T_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ .

3. Fix  $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a finite not empty set  $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , subsets  $S_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m_n}$  for  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Set  $\lambda_0 = 0$ ,  $\lambda_n = \sum_{k=1}^n m_k$  for any  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

If  $i \leq \lambda_n$  then  $\mathcal{I} \left[ \prod_{n=1}^n S_n, i \right] = \left( \prod_{n=1}^n T_n \right)$  where

$$T_n = \begin{cases} S_n & \text{if } \lambda_n < i \text{ or } i \leq \lambda_{n-1}, \\ \mathcal{I} [S_n, i - \lambda_{n-1}] & \text{if } \lambda_{n-1} < i \leq \lambda_n. \end{cases}$$

If  $i > \lambda_n$  then  $\mathcal{I} \left[ \prod_{n=1}^n S_n, i \right] = \left( \prod_{n=1}^n S_n \right) \times \mathbb{R}^{i - \lambda_n + 1}$ .

4. Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S, T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then:

if  $S \subseteq T$  then  $\mathcal{I} [S, i] \subseteq \mathcal{I} [T, i]$ ;

if  $S \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} T$  then  $\mathcal{I} [S, i] \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathcal{I} [T, i]$ ;

$\mathcal{I} [\mathcal{I} [S, i], j] = \mathcal{I} [\mathcal{I} [S, j-1], i] \quad \text{if } i < j$ ;

$\mathcal{I} [\mathcal{I} [S, i], j] = \mathcal{I} [\mathcal{I} [S, j], i+1] \quad \text{if } i \geq j$ .

**Notation 11.0.8** We fix the notation relative to real functions.

1. Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ .  
 If  $n = 0$  or  $n = 1$  then  $f_1 = f$  is always understood.  
 If  $n \geq 2$  then we denote by  $\widehat{f}_n$  the  $n$ -th component of  $f$  for  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .  
 We set  $\widehat{\text{Cod}}(f) = m$  and  $\widehat{\text{Dom}}(f) = n$ .
2. Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Refer to Notation 11.0.3-[7]. Assume:  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ ;  $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ .  
 Then we set:  $\text{Dom}(c[S, T, t]) = S$ ,  $\text{Cod}(c[S, T, t]) = \mathbb{R}^n$ .  
 Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Refer to Notation 11.0.3-[8]. Assume  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then  
 we set:  $\text{Dom}(\Delta[S, n]) = S$ ,  $\text{Cod}(\Delta[S, n]) = \mathbb{R}^{m \cdot n}$ .  
 Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Refer to Notation 11.0.3-[9]. Assume  $S \subseteq T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then  
 we set:  $\text{Dom}(i[S, T]) = S$ ,  $\text{Cod}(i[S, T]) = \mathbb{R}^m$ .  
 Fix  $m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Refer to Notation 11.0.3-[10]. Assume  $S_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m_n}$   
 for any  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then we set:

$$\text{Dom}(p_n[\{S_n\}_{n=1}^n]) = \prod_{n=1}^n S_n;$$

$$\text{Cod}(p_n[\{S_n\}_{n=1}^n]) = \mathbb{R}^{m_n} \quad \forall n \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Fix  $m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Refer to Notation 11.0.3-[12]. Assume  $S_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m_n}$   
 for any  $n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then we set:

$$\text{Dom}(\text{sw}[S_1, \dots, S_n, \sigma]) = \prod_{n=1}^n S_n;$$

$$\text{Cod}(\text{sw}[S_1, \dots, S_n, \sigma]) = \mathbb{R}^{m_1 + \dots + m_n}.$$

3. Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then:
  - (a)  $+ [m, n] : (\mathbb{R}^m)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  denotes the vector sum of  $n$  vectors of dimension  $m$ ;
  - (b)  $- [m] : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  denotes the vector sign change.

If there is no risk of confusion then we drop both  $m$  and  $n$  from the notation, with an abuse of language.

4. Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . If  $m = n = 0$  then set  $l = 0$ , else set  $l = \overline{m} \overline{n}$ .  
 We denote by  $\cdot [m, n] : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$  the function defined by setting

$$\cdot [m, n](s, t) = (s_1 t_1, \dots, s_1 t_{\overline{n}}, \dots, s_{\overline{m}} t_1, \dots, s_{\overline{m}} t_{\overline{n}}) \quad \forall (s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n.$$

If  $m = 1$  or  $n = 1$  and there is no risk of confusion then we drop both  $m$  and  $n$  from the notation, with an abuse of language.

5. Fix  $i, m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $i \leq m$ . Then:

(a)  $sc[m, i] : \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is the function defined by setting

$$(sc[m, i](s))_{\mathbf{m}} = \begin{cases} s_{\mathbf{m}} & \text{if } \mathbf{m} < i \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{m} = i \\ s_{\mathbf{m}+1} & \text{if } \mathbf{m} > i \end{cases} \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}, \mathbf{m} \in \{1, \dots, m\};$$

(b)  $pr[m, i] : \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is the function defined by setting

$$(pr[m, i](s))_{\mathbf{m}} = \begin{cases} s_{\mathbf{m}} & \text{if } \mathbf{m} < i \\ s_{\mathbf{m}+1} & \text{if } \mathbf{m} \geq i \end{cases} \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}, \mathbf{m} \in \{1, \dots, m\};$$

(c)  $co[m, i] : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the function defined by setting

$$co[m, i](s) = s_i \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}^m,$$

in case  $X_{\mathbf{m}} = \mathbb{R}$  for any  $\mathbf{m} \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  function  $co[m, i]$  coincides with function  $p_i[\{X_{\mathbf{m}}\}_{\mathbf{m}=1}^m]$  introduced in Notation 11.0.3-[10].

6. Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .

Then  $tr_t : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is the function defined by setting

$$tr_t(s) = s + t \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

**Notation 11.0.9** We establish the notation relative to differentiable real functions. We refer to Notation 11.0.5-[8].

1. Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{+\infty\}$ ,  $S \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$ .

If  $S = \emptyset$  then we set  $C^l[S, \mathbb{R}^n] = \{\emptyset\}$ .

If  $m = 0$  and  $S \neq \emptyset$  then we set  $C^l[S, \mathbb{R}^n] = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

If  $m \geq 1$  and  $S \neq \emptyset$  then we denote by  $C^l[S, \mathbb{R}^n]$  the set of all everywhere defined functions  $S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  with continuous differential up to degree  $l$ . We emphasize that  $C^l[S, \mathbb{R}^0] = \{c[S, \mathbb{R}^0, 0]\}$ .

2. Fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{+\infty\}$ ,  $S \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$ .

If  $S = \emptyset$  then  $C^l[S, \mathbb{R}^n] = \{\emptyset\}$  is endowed with the trivial topology.

If  $S \neq \emptyset$  then  $C^l[S, \mathbb{R}^n]$  is endowed with topology defined in [24] 1.44, 1.46.

We emphasize that  $C^l[S, \mathbb{R}^n]$  is path connected and complete.

3. Fix  $i, j, k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{+\infty\}$ ,  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $S \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $f \in C^l[S, \mathbb{R}^n]$ ,  $g \in C^l[S, \mathbb{R}^n]$ . Refer to Notations 11.0.2, 11.0.3-[5], 11.0.6-[2; 6], 11.0.8-[5(a)].

Assume that both the following conditions are fulfilled:  $i \leq \bar{n}$ ;  $j \leq m$ .

We define set function  $\int_j f : \mathcal{I}[S, j] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  by setting:

$$\left( \int_j f \right)_i (s) = \int_{s_j}^{s_{j+1}} f_i (sc [m, j] (s) + \lambda \mathbf{e}_j) d\lambda$$

$$\forall s \in \mathcal{I} [S, j] \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, \bar{n}\}.$$

It is well known that  $\int_j f \in C^{l+1} [\mathcal{I} [S, j], \mathbb{R}^n]$ .  
If  $l \geq 1$  then we define set function  $\partial_{\mathbf{v}} f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  by setting

$$(\partial_{\mathbf{v}} f)_i (s) = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \mathbf{v}} (s) \quad \forall s \in S \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, \bar{n}\}.$$

It is well known that  $\partial_{\mathbf{v}} f \in C^{l-1} [S, \mathbb{R}^n]$ .

If  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{e}_j$  then we denote  $\partial_{\mathbf{v}}$  by  $\partial_j$ .

For any fixed  $s \in S$  we denote by  $d_1^\infty [f, s]$  the jacobian of  $f$  at  $s$ . It is well known that  $d_1^\infty [f, s]$  depends on  $f$  locally at  $s$ , then we write  $\{f\}$  in place of  $f$  where  $\{f\}$  is the germ represented by  $f$  at  $s$ . When  $s$  is fixed we drop it from the notation.

The  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $\Delta_k^\infty [m]$  of all derivations of degree  $k$  of real valued smooth functions with  $m$  unknowns,  $m$ -dimensional derivations of degree  $k$  for short, is identified with the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $\mathbb{R}^{\frac{(k+m-1)!}{k!(m-1)!}}$  by associating monomials  $\prod_{m=1}^m (\partial_m)^{i_m}$  for any  $(i_1, \dots, i_m) \in (\mathbb{N}_0)^m$  with  $\sum_{m=1}^m i_m = k$ , ordered by lexicographical criterion, to vectors belonging to the standard orthonormal Euclidean frame of  $\mathbb{R}^{\frac{(k+m-1)!}{k!(m-1)!}}$ .

We set  $\Delta_0^\infty [m] = \mathbb{R}$  where the identity on smooth functions is identified with  $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The graded topological  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $\Delta_*^\infty [m] = \{\Delta_k^\infty [m]\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  endowed with composition of derivatives becomes a topological commutative  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra with unit. It is the symmetric algebra generated by the topological  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

For any fixed  $s \in S$ , we denote by  $d_k^\infty [f, s]$  the continuous  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map induced by  $f$  at  $s$  on the space of all  $m$ -dimensional derivations of degree  $k$ .

We set  $d_0^\infty [f, s] = 1_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

The graded continuous  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear function  $d_*^\infty [f, s] = \{d_k^\infty [f, s]\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is a continuous function between topological commutative  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras. It is the arrow between symmetric algebras generated by the continuous  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear function  $d_1^\infty [f, s]$ .

Functions  $d_k^\infty [f, s]$  depends on  $f$  locally at  $s$ , then we write  $\{f\}$  in place of  $f$  where  $\{f\}$  is the germ represented by  $f$  at  $s$ . When  $s$  is fixed we drop it from the notation.



# Bibliography

- [1] L. Ambrosio, D. Dal Maso, A General Chain Rule for Distributional Derivatives, Proc. A.M.S. 108, 691-702, 1990.
- [2] L. Ambrosio, C. De Lellis, J. Maly, On the Chain Rule for the Divergence of  $BV$ -like Vector Fields: Applications, Partial Results, Open Problems, Contemp. Math. 446, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 31-67, 2007.
- [3] L. Ambrosio, N. Fusco, D. Pallara, Functions of Bounded Variation and Free Discontinuity Problems, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [4] G. Anzellotti, Functions of Bounded Variation over Non-Smooth Manifolds and Generalized Curvatures, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations 25, 136-142, 1996.
- [5] J. Aragona, H. A. Biagioni, Intrinsic Definition of the Colombeau Algebra of Generalized Functions, Anal. Math. 17, 75-132, 1991.
- [6] H. A. Biagioni, A Nonlinear Theory of Generalized Functions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1421, Springer-Verlag.
- [7] N. Bourbaki, Éléments de Mathématique, Algèbre Chapitres 1 à 3, Hermann, Paris.
- [8] J. Cheeger, Differentiability of Lipschitz Functions on Metric Measure Spaces, Geom. Funct. Anal. 9 (3), 428-517, 1999.
- [9] C. J. S. Clarke, J. A. Vickers, J. P. Wilson, Generalized Functions and Distributional Curvature of Cosmic Strings, Class. Quant. Grav. 13, 2485-2498, 1996.
- [10] J. F. Colombeau, Elementary Introduction to New Generalized Functions, North-Holland Math. Studies 113, 1985 .
- [11] J. F. Colombeau, A. Meril, Generalized Functions and Multiplication of Distributions on  $C^\infty$  manifolds, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 186, 357-364, 1994.
- [12] H. Federer, Geometric Measure Theory, Springer, 1969.

- [13] M. Grosser, M. Kunzinger, M. Oberguggenberger, R. Steinbauer, Geometric Theory of Generalized Functions with Applications to General Relativity, Mathematics and its Applications 537, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht 2001.
- [14] S. Keith, A Differentiable Structure for Metric Measure Spaces, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Michigan, 2002.
- [15] M. Kunzinger, R. Steinbauer, Foundations of a Nonlinear Distributional Geometry, Acta. Appl. Math. 71, 179-206, 2002.
- [16] M. Kunzinger, R. Steinbauer, Generalized Pseudo-Riemannian Geometry, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 354 (10), 4179-4199, 2002.
- [17] M. Kunzinger, R. Steinbauer, J. A. Vickers, Intrinsic Characterization of Manifold-Valued Generalized Functions, Proc. London Math. Soc. 87(2), 451-470, 2003.
- [18] S. T. Hu, Differentiable Manifolds, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., 1969.
- [19] S. MacLane, Categories for the Working Mathematician, Springer-Verlag, NewYork, 1971.
- [20] S. MacLane, I. Moerdijk, Sheaves in Geometry and Logic, Springer-Verlag, NewYork, 1991.
- [21] J. W. Milnor, Microbundles I, Topology 3, suppl 1, 53-81, 1964.
- [22] J. W. Milnor, Analytic proofs of the "hairy ball theorem" and the Brouwer fixed point theorem, Amer. Math. Monthly 85, No. 7 (Aug. - Sep. 1978), pp 521-524.
- [23] E. E. Rosinger, Generalized Solutions of Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations. North-Holland Math. Studies 144, 1987.
- [24] W. Rudin, Functional Analysis, McGraw-Hill, Inc, 1991.
- [25] G. Savaré, F. Tomarelli, Superposition and Chain Rule for Bounded Hessian Functions, Adv. Math. 140, 237-281, 1998.
- [26] L. Schwartz, Théorie des distributions 1-2, Hemann, 1951.
- [27] L. Schwartz, Sur l'impossibilité de la multiplication des distributions, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 239, 847-848, 1954.
- [28] M. Spivak, A Comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry, Publish or Perish, 1979.
- [29] R. M. Switzer, Algebraic Topology-Homotopy and Homology, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg NewYork, 1975.



- [30] R. Thom, Quelques propriétés globales de variétés différentiables, *Comm. Math. Helv.* 28, 17-86, 1954.
- [31] A. I. Vol'pert, The space  $BV$  and quasi-linear equations, *Math. URSS-Sb* 2, 1967.