Identifying feedback directions in the mechanisms driving self-sustained thermoacoustic instability in a single-element rocket combustor

Somnath De,^{1,2} Praveen Kasthuri,^{1,2} Matthew E. Harvazinski,³ Rohan Gejji,⁴ William Anderson,⁴ and R. I. Sujith^{1,2}

¹⁾Department of Aerospace Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, 600036, India

²⁾Center of Excellence for studying Critical Transition in Complex Systems, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, 600036, India

³⁾Air Force Research Laboratory, Edwards AFB, CA, 93524

⁴⁾School of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Purdue University, West Lafayette,

Indiana 47907, USA

(*Electronic mail: sujith@iitm.ac.in)

(*Electronic mail: somnathde.mec@gmail.com)

(Dated: 11 July 2024)

The occurrence of high frequency (> 1000 Hz) thermoacoustic instability (TAI) sustained by mutual feedback among the acoustic field, heat release rate oscillations, and hydrodynamic oscillations poses severe challenges to the operation and structural integrity of rocket engines. Hence, quantifying the differing levels of feedback between these variables can help uncover the underlying mechanisms behind such high frequency TAI, enabling redesign of combustors to mitigate TAI. However, so far, no concrete method exists to decipher the varying levels of mutual feedback during high-frequency TAI. In the present study, we holistically investigate the mutual influence based on the spatiotemporal directionality among acoustic pressure, heat release rate, hydrodynamic and thermal oscillations during TAI of a single-element rocket engine combustor. Using symbolic transfer entropy (STE), we identify the spatiotemporal direction of feedback interactions between those primary variables when acoustic waves significantly emerge during TAI. We unveil the influence of vorticity dynamics at the fuel collar (or the propellant splitter plate) as the primary stimulant over the heat release rate fluctuations to rapidly amplify the amplitude of the acoustic field. Further, depending on the quantification of the degree of the mutual information (i.e., the net direction of information), we identify the switches in dominating the thermoacoustic driving between the variables during TAI, each representing a distinct mechanism of a thermoacoustic state. Additionally, from this quantification, we analyze the relative dominance of the variables and rank-order the mutual feedback according to their impact on driving TAI.

Keywords: Single-element rocket combustor, Thermoacoustic instability, Longitudinal mode oscillations, Symbolic transfer entropy, Mutual influence, Spatiotemporal directionality.

CVRC	Continuously variable resonance combustor		
FFT	Fast Fourier transform		
HALCO	High amplitude limit cycle oscillations		
HAMSTER	Hydrodynamic and mixing study of a thermoacoustically excited rocket		
LES	Large Eddy Simulation		
SDF	Symbolic dynamic filtering		
STE	Symbolic transfer entropy		
TAI	Thermoacoustic instability		

TABLE I. List of acronyms

I. INTRODUCTION

Rockets are indispensable for placing satellites in the desired orbit for various essential applications ranging from weather forecasting, GPS tracking, telecommunications, environmental monitoring, and military applications to tracking the movement of space objects¹. They also enable the innate human desire for space exploration. Hence, there is no doubt that rockets are one of the greatest innovations of scientists.

The occurrence of thermoacoustic instability (TAI)^{2,3} offers considerable hurdles to engineers in design and safe operation of rocket engine combustors across the mission envelope. TAI arises in the rocket engine combustor when a two-way feedback between the acoustic field developed in the combustor, heat release rate caused by combustion and hydrodynamic field^{4,5} is established at different temporal and spatial scales. Under certain conditions which are not fully understood, these mutual interactions lead to ruinously large amplitude oscillations of acoustic pressure in rocket engine combustor^{6–8}. The self-sustaining nature of TAI can create excessive vibration, resulting in structural damage to the engine and catastrophic failure of electrical components in the launch vehicle and its payload ⁹. TAI can also induce excessive heat transfer and overwhelm the thermal protection system, resulting in thermal distress and eventual failure¹⁰. In gas turbine combustors, TAI often precedes flame blowout^{11–13} and flashback ¹⁴. In the case of a rocket, the situation can often be serious as mission failures can occur⁴. A well-known instance is the multiple challenges faced in the development of the well-known F-1 engine used for Apollo space

Physical variables	Parameter	
p': acoustic pressure oscillations (in	Φ : Equivalence ratio	
kPa)		
ω : Vorticity (in 1/s)	Measures	
\dot{Q}' : Heat release rate oscillations (in	$T^{S}_{p' \to \theta'}$: Symbolic transfer entropy from	
W/m ³)	p' to $ heta'$	
<i>u</i> ': flow velocity oscillations (in m/s)	$\Delta S_{p' \rightarrow I'_{OH*}}$: Directionality index calcu-	
	lated in the direction of $p' \rightarrow I'_{OH*}$	
θ' : combustion temperature oscillations	$\Delta S_{p' \rightarrow \dot{Q}'}$: Directionality index calculated	
(in Kelvin)	in the direction of $p' \rightarrow \dot{Q}'$	
I'_{OH*} : OH* chemiluminescence oscilla-	$\Delta S_{p' \to \omega}$: Directionality index calculated	
tions (a.u.)	in the direction of $p' \rightarrow \omega$	
Measures		
$T^{S}_{p' \to I'_{OH*}}$: Symbolic transfer entropy	$\Delta S_{p' \rightarrow u'}$: Directionality index calculated	
from p' to I'_{OH*}	in the direction of $p' \rightarrow u'$	
$T^{S}_{p' \rightarrow \dot{Q}'}$: Symbolic transfer entropy from	$\Delta S_{p' \rightarrow \theta'}$: Directionality index calculated	
p' to \dot{Q}'	in the direction of $p' \rightarrow \theta'$	
$T^{S}_{p' \to \omega}$: Symbolic transfer entropy from	$\Delta S_{\dot{Q}' \to \omega}$: Directionality index calculated	
p' to ω	in the direction of $\dot{Q}'{ ightarrow}\omega$	
$T^{S}_{\omega \to \dot{Q}}$: Symbolic transfer entropy from	$\langle \Delta S_{p' \rightarrow \dot{Q}'} \rangle$: Area averaged directionality	
ω to \dot{Q}'	index between p' and \dot{Q}'	
$T^{S}_{p' \to u'}$: Symbolic transfer entropy from	$\langle \Delta S_{p' \rightarrow \omega} \rangle$: Area averaged directionality	
p' to u'	index between p' and ω	
$\langle \Delta S_{p' \rightarrow u'} \rangle$: Area averaged directionality	$\langle \Delta S_{p' \rightarrow \theta'} \rangle$: Area averaged directionality	
index between p' and u'	index between p' and θ'	
$\langle \Delta S_{\dot{Q}' \rightarrow \omega} \rangle$: Area averaged directionality		
index between \dot{Q}' and ω		

TABLE II. Nomenclature

missions⁸. The program was delayed to understand and fix the repetitive failures due to TAI during testing and caused considerable financial loss.

Despite decades of research, TAI still poses significant challenges to the development of flight worthy rocket engines. Consequently, understanding the mechanism of TAI in rocket engines remains one of the focal research interests to the community^{15–21}. With this background, an in-

depth understanding of the varying level of feedback interaction between the primary variables can be of the utmost importance to designing and potentially developing predictive and mitigation strategies for TAI in rocket engine combustors.

Conventionally, rocket engines are designed with several flow injectors connected to a common dump volume, where vortex shedding occurs behind a backward-facing step at the injector face^{22,23}. If the velocity of the flow upstream of the vortex shedding point changes, the vortex shedding frequency also can change. Further, the difference in temporal and spatial scales between the vortex shedding from fuel and oxidizer can regulate the heat release rate oscillations downstream. The flow mechanism behind the backward-facing step (i.e., a flame holder) can modify the shear layer developed from the injector. On the other hand, the acoustic wave established in the combustor can modify the flow dynamics both upstream and downstream of the backwardfacing step. Earlier, Culick and co-workers^{24,25} theoretically explained that acoustic oscillations grow in a combustion chamber until limited by the nonlinear process. Different factors, such as the injection and combustion of propellants at near-critical and supercritical thermodynamic conditions, turbulent flow, flow separation at sharp edges, rapid flow expansions, shock discontinuities, extreme rate of heat addition, etc., yield these nonlinearities¹⁵. Hence, flame dynamics are greatly affected by the nonlinear interaction process among physical variables, such as acoustic, combustion, and hydrodynamic processes, and the thermodynamic properties of the reacting flow 5,26. Due to the complex interaction between the variables and the presence of nonlinearities, a linear theory is often inadequate to explain the temporal and spatial dynamics of TAI occurring inside rocket combustors^{27,28}. Consequently, theories that account for the nonlinearities of the reacting flow inside the rocket engine combustor and the mechanisms of TAI have since been devised.

Several studies explored the behavior of TAI using different nonlinear methods. In the earlier stage, Priem & Guentert²⁹ attempted to investigate the behavior and stability of finite amplitude waves in a liquid-propelled rocket engine (LPRE) combustors assuming the wave oscillations to be one dimensional. In a subsequent study, Sirignano³⁰ extended that study using various theoretical, numerical and analytical solution methods considering the wave oscillations in two dimensions. After that, scientists extensively investigated the nonlinear stability characteristics of LPRE combustors by using the concept of time lag (τ) defined by the period required for processes to occur before the final combustion⁶, an index (*n*) measuring the interaction between different processes in the combustion zone³¹, and a modified Galerkin method³². Using the Galerkin approach³², it was discovered that a state of TAI exhibits shock-like behavior, as determined by the charac-

terization of the unsteady combustion process. The predicted TAI behavior using the nonlinear equations agreed well with the experimental results. However, these analytical models are limited to studying the unsteady combustion of moderate amplitude and low Mach number flows. Also, the Galerkin methods necessitate prior knowledge of the relevant oscillation modes^{33,34}. These reduced-basis techniques have been shown to be inadequate if the chosen fundamental functions do not include natural modes, their harmonics, and specific sub-harmonics³³.

With the progress of high-efficiency computing, numerical simulation has been discovered to be a valuable addition to the analytical solution and experimental data. Scientists provided notable efforts in understanding TAI in liquid rocket engine combustors by modeling the computational flow domain using large eddy simulation (LES)^{16,17,35,36}. Selle et al.³⁵ performed a rigorous analysis on the prediction of the occurrence of TAI using an LES solver for better mimicking the flame dynamics and a Helmholtz solver for solving the acoustic field. They recommended LES as a promising approach for future developments in numerical simulations of rocket engine combustors. Using the LES dataset, Urbano et al.¹⁶ found that flames from the injectors drive the acoustic field, while the coupling between acoustic and hydrodynamic field acts as the damping mechanism of TAI in the transverse mode dominated rocket engine combustor. Nguyen et al.¹⁷ conducted an investigation on TAI in LPRE by modeling the turbulence using a hybrid Reynoldsaveraged Navier-Stokes/LES approach, while the interaction between combustion and turbulence was modeled using the flamelet/progress-variable approach. Further, a group from the German Aerospace Center (Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt, abbreviated as DLR) attempted to understand the coupling between acoustic and combustion fields in tangential mode-dominated rocket engine combustors using modal decomposition methods³⁷.

Additionally, several experimental and numerical studies on gas turbine^{14,38–41} and liquid rocket engine combustors^{19,42–45} provided significant efforts to understand the complex behavior of TAI under the framework of dynamical systems theory. Dynamical systems theory helps to gain new insights into the development of flame dynamics due to the interaction between the physical variables. Kabiraj and Sujith¹⁴ found that a subcritical Hopf bifurcation resulting from a nonlinear interaction between the acoustic field and a confined laminar flame can lead to TAI. In that study, subcritical Hopf bifurcation was observed when a sudden jump resulting in a single point in the bifurcation plot occurred at a particular flame location.

Further, the recurrence approach from dynamics theory is found to be promising in demarcating different dynamical states prior to the onset of TAI^{13,14,40,46,47}. Recently, Unni and Sujith¹³ revealed using recurrence plots that turbulent combustors undergo a state of intermittency before the onset of TAI. They also noticed that the Shannon entropy that measures the complexity becomes low during TAI since a regular structure in the temporal behavior of the combustor emerges during that time. Kasthuri et al.⁴³ found that a ratio between two recurrence measures, determinism, and recurrence rate, becomes high during aperiodic oscillations in a liquid rocket engine combustor, while it decays to almost zero for the periodic oscillations (TAI). Further, recurrence theory combined with the synchronization theory⁴⁰ can be useful in understanding the coupling behavior between acoustic pressure and heat release rate oscillations for a combustion system approaching TAI at which the physical variables show a generalized synchronization index⁴¹ based on joint and inter-system recurrence can be promising measures to detect the onset of GS. Nevertheless, the characterization of the coupling between acoustic field and heat release rate fluctuation was the main emphasis of those earlier studies^{40,41}, with the direction of the feedback interaction between the variables receiving only relatively minor attention.

Besides recurrence theory, the analysis of the transitions to an unstable state using complex network approaches gained considerable interest in the study of TAI⁴⁸. The interactions between the components constitute a complex network in which the components are represented as nodes, and the pairwise interaction is considered as links. In this framework of complex networks, Murugesan and Sujith³⁹ found that the low amplitude, aperiodic pressure fluctuations observed during the occurrence of combustion noise exhibit scale-free behavior. On the other hand, the scale-free behavior disappears and order emerges, leading to the formation of a regular network, during the transition to TAI³⁹. Recent studies^{19,49} tried to understand the type of formation of thermoacoustic power production by constructing a network based on the product of acoustic and heat release rate fluctuations. Krishnan et al.⁴⁹ reported that the generation of acoustic power happens in an incoherent manner during a stable state, while that formation is seen to occur coherently during a formation of large-scale vorticity prior to TAI. Further, the study was extended to understand the vorticity interaction in turbulent flow field during TAI using time-varying weighted spatial networks ^{42,50,51} in both gas turbine and liquid rocket engine combustors. Recently, Kasthuri et al.²¹ found a significant difference in the coherence structure of the flame fluctuations between an intermittent state and TAI using positive and negatively correlated weighted networks. They found that the highly correlated flame regions tend to connect with other areas, leading to a highly coherent flame oscillation during TAI.

Although several studies^{42,43,47,52} focused on the transition to TAI, the understanding of the driving mechanism, especially during TAI is still one of the main concerns in liquid rocket engine combustors. Additionally, the control of TAI becomes more difficult in the liquid engine rocket combustors due to unmixed propellants operating at near-stoichiometry with no diluents and high chamber pressure. A recent study⁴² employed the concept of transfer entropy to understand the direction of influence between the heat release rate and the acoustic field during a transition to a fully developed TAI in a liquid rocket engine combustor dominated by the first tangential mode. During TAI, many subsystems participate in the coupling process⁵ and the directional nature of the coupling is not fully understood. Therefore, a holistic study is necessary to analyze the directional coupling amongst the physical variables.

Over the last two decades, research shows that symbolic dynamic filtering, which is a branch of nonlinear dynamics, has gained substantial recognition in various fields, including engineering^{53,54}, astrophysics⁵⁵, finance⁵⁶, medicine^{57,58}, climate science⁵⁹ etc. However, a recent trend in this line of research shows that the concept of symbolic analysis combined with a variety of dynamical approaches becomes robust for understanding a variety of complex systems^{19,51,60}. Within a few years, transfer entropy combined with the hypothesis of symbolic dynamics filtering has attracted significant attention in several disciplines, such as physics^{61,62}, engineering^{63,64}, medical science^{61,65,66}, and transmission of diseases⁶⁷. Compared to the well-established techniques such as Granger causality⁶⁸ and permutation entropy⁶⁹, symbolic transfer entropy (STE) can be used to reveal asymmetric information flows in stochastic environments with minimal observational noise. Recently, Shima et al.¹⁹ used this concept to examine the effect of upstream flow velocity fluctuations on the heat release rate oscillations and synchronized behavior between the acoustic and the heat release rate oscillations during a transition to TAI in a liquid rocket engine combustor with an off-center installed coaxial injector. However, this study primarily focused on the transition to TAI, with the aim of developing a prediction technique for determining the onset of TAI.

In light of the advancement of STE, we use this concept to understand the mutual influence between the fluctuations in heat release rate, acoustic, flow velocity, vorticity, and thermal behavior for a single-element liquid rocket engine combustor^{70,71}. Unlike the earlier works performed on liquid rocket engine combustors dominated by transverse and tangential modes^{8,16,19,42,51,72}, we work on simulation data corresponding to an operating condition when the first longitudinal mode (1L) is found to be dominant during TAI in the liquid rocket engine combustor. The high-fidelity spatiotemporal simulation data was generated at Purdue University using General Equation and

Mesh Solver (GEMS) that can solve the coupled Navier-Stokes equations along with a single energy equation and the coupled species equations⁷³. The CFD dataset was further validated with experimental results in an earlier work⁷⁰. Such a comparison is helpful for gaining confidence in the simulation results.

In the present study, the usage of CFD data, specifically LES, over experimental data allows us to examine a wide range of flow and reaction variables as opposed to just point measurements of acoustic pressure and, in some cases, chemiluminescence intensity of OH*/CH* radicals. Analyzing this dataset, we quantify the level of mutual influence between the physical variables and identify the direction of the dominant influence between them on spatiotemporal scales. Based on an extensive understanding of the mechanism undergoing the mutual influences among the variables, we further calculate the degree of mutual influence (i.e., the net mutual influence between the variables), which helps to identify the relatively stronger influencing (or primary driving) variable and measure the influence of the stronger variable on the other variables. We find the vorticity to be the stronger influencing variable during amplification (reduction) of the acoustic pressure fluctuations to a local maxima (minima) and find seven distinct stages of the feedback interactions based on the variation in the ranking order of the variables during one cycle of TAI. In this study, we unveil that the variables with the dominant influence change, indicating a shift in the functioning of the liquid rocket engine combustors during TAI.

The rest of the paper is arranged as follows. We provide the details of a liquid rocket engine combustor that is known as HAMSTER combustor and discuss the operating conditions adopted to obtain TAI in Sec. II. Then, we describe the method of STE used to understand the mutual influence that elucidates the feedback interaction between the variables in detail in Sec. III. Next, we analyze this method on the temporal and spatiotemporal data to understand the mechanism of TAI in a 1L-dominated HAMSTER combustor in Sec. IV. Finally, we summarize the significant findings in Sec. V.

II. DETAILS OF THE LIQUID ROCKET ENGINE COMBUSTOR:

We represent the schematic of the combustor used in an investigation of HAMSTER (hydrodynamic and mixing study of thermoacoustically excited rocket) experiments conducted at Purdue University⁷¹ in Fig. 1. The design and conduction of the investigations of HAMSTER are influenced by the study of Continuously-Variable Resonance Combustor (CVRC)⁷⁴. The limitations



FIG. 1. A schematic diagram of the HAMSTER combustor^{70,71} is illustrated. This single-element combustor consists of preburner chamber (a), oxidizer manifold (b), oxidizer post (c), fuel injector (d), combustion chamber (e) and nozzle (f). The labeled subsections of the zoomed view of g are: fuel manifold (g1), fuel collar or propellant splitter plate (g2), inner/outer manifold choke point (g3), fuel manifold choke (g4), converging portion of fuel annulus (g5), and injector recess (g6). The locations of the pressure transducers used in this study are indicated by pt1-pt6.

and learning acquired from the CVRC simulation and experiments served as a foundation for the new design's advancement and improvement in HAMSTER. The configuration of HAMSTER uses a single element shear coaxial injector similar to those found in modern high-performance rocket engines. As shown in Fig. 1, the methane-oxygen rocket combustor rig primarily comprises a pre-burner tube (a), oxidizer manifold (b), oxidizer post (c), fuel injector (d), combustion chamber (e) and nozzle (f). The H_2/O_2 preburner is used to warm the oxidizer (composition: 94.8% O₂ and 5.2% H₂). In the present study, the oxidizer is preheated to 705 K.

The oxidizer post inlet is designed to produce a smooth flow towards the fuel injector. It features a moderate curvature to prevent the injection of oxidizer from being hydrodynamically perturbed. A schematic of the fuel injector (g) is shown in Fig. 1 through different subsections, namely: fuel manifold (g1), fuel collar or fuel-oxidizer splitter plate (g2), inner/outer manifold choke point (g3), and fuel manifold choke (g4). The fuel flow is accelerated for the area reduction caused by the converging portion of the fuel annulus (see g5), which also aids in settling the flow. The length of the annulus is adequate for the fuel flow to develop before it enters the injector recess (g6). The flow of the propellants before entering into the recess is shown in the g5 section of Fig. 1. The propellant flow distribution plays a crucial role in each manifold.

In this analysis, gaseous propellants, methane (CH_4) and oxygen (O_2) , are used to avoid the requirement of model atomization. Further, one can accurately model the chemical kinetics of CH_4 and O_2 in the simulation of the combustor. Therefore, a previous study⁷⁰ showed a good agreement between experimental and simulated results using these propellants. In the simulation of the combustor, GRI Mech 1.2⁷⁵ is used to model chemical kinetics. The current study treats the propellants and combustion products as ideal gasses.

The simulations are conducted using GEMS, an in-house Purdue code. The code involves an intricately coupled Navier-Stokes solver with second order precision in time and space. The method for modelling turbulence is detached eddy simulations, which collect large-scale motions up to the grid length scale, while the sub-grid turbulence is modeled with a $k - \omega$ model⁷⁶. NASA polynomial coefficients⁷⁷ are used to derive the thermal and transport properties. For the discretization, the source terms, fluxes, and boundary conditions are handled implicitly. The dual time stepping approach is used to minimise the estimated factorization errors and acquire the solution of the linearized equations by the use of the line Gauss-Seidel algorithm.

Table III provides a detailed description of the operating conditions of the propellants chosen for simulation. In the current study, we use higher-fidelity simulation data obtained by using a high spatially and temporally resolved three-dimensional flow domain at a chamber pressure of 12 bars during the state of thermoacoustic instability (TAI). This LES dataset has been benchmarked with the corresponding experimental data by comparing the average and RMS pressure at different sections of the rocket combustor and dominant frequencies at the head end (i.e., dump region) of the combustor⁷⁰. A previous study of the Purdue group²² found that the amplitude of the first longitudinal mode can be captured by two-dimensional axisymmetric simulations utilizing both coarse and fine grid to within an order of magnitude of the experimental measurement. Following this, we consider a two-dimensional (*x*, *y*) flow domain by considering *z* = 0 in our analysis. In Sec. IV, we shift the *x* – *y* coordinate at the dump plane. Hence, in our analysis, *x* shows positive values towards the downstream or right side of the combustion chamber, while that becomes negative to-

TABLE III. Operating conditions taken for investigating HAMSTER in experiment and simulation at Purdue University^{70,71} are shown in the table.

Opening conditions	Values
Oxidizer temperature	705 K
Equivalence ratio (Φ)	0.8
Fuel temperature	296 K
Oxidizer flow rate (\dot{Q}_{Ox})	0.425 kg/s
Fuel flow rate (\dot{Q}_F)	0.0884 kg/s

wards the upstream or left side of the combustion chamber. y shows the positive (negative) values towards the upward (downward) direction from the centerline of the combustor. Further details of the LES model, combustor hardware, and operating conditions are mentioned in Harvazinski et al.⁷⁰.

III. METHODOLOGY:

In order to extract and rank-order the various feedback directions among the primary variables governing TAI, we use the concept of symbolic transfer entropy⁶¹ (STE) that integrates information theory⁷⁸ and symbolic dynamic filtering (SDF)⁷⁹. Symbolic transfer entropy is helpful for examining the directional information flow between two physical variables.

A. Concept of transfer entropy

The concept of transfer entropy⁶¹ (TE) from information theory pertains to the information flow between two subsystems of a system. For instance, we compute the following expression to determine how much *X* has an impact on *Y* $(T_{X \to Y})^{69}$:

$$T_{X \to Y} = \sum_{n} P(Y(t_{n+1}), Y(t_n), X(t_n)) \log_2 \frac{P(Y(t_{n+1}) | Y(t_n), X(t_n))}{P(Y(t_{n+1}) | Y(t_n))}$$
(1)

Here, $Y(t_{n+1})$ and $X(t_n)$ are the values of Y and X at time steps t_{n+1} and t_n respectively. Besides, $P(Y(t_{n+1}), Y(t_n), X(t_n))$ is the joint probability of $Y(t_{n+1})$, $Y(t_n)$ and $X(t_n)$. $P(Y(t_{n+1})|Y(t_n))$ is the conditional probability of $Y(t_{n+1})$ given $Y(t_n)$. The traditional transfer entropy calculation



FIG. 2. The concept of symbolic transfer entropy is illustrated here. Based on the rank order patterns of the time series, we obtain transfer entropy of one variable (X) to another variable (Y).

deals with a issue related to the type of dataset as the inputs from the various operating fields are not identical ⁴². However, combining with symbolic dynamic filtering addresses that problem by performing the ranking order patterns of the data from various fields on a temporal scale.

B. Concept of symbolic transfer entropy

We illustrate the concept of STE, which is currently more widely accepted than TE⁶⁷, in Fig. 2. For instance, we consider $(X_t)_{t=1}^n$ to be a *D* dimensional time series of *X* as follows, $X_t = (X_t, X_{t+1}, X_{t+2}, ..., X_{t+(D-1)})$. We can consider a group $S_X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_D)$ to be $\Pi = (l_1, l_2, ..., l_D)$ type symbol if and only if following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) $X_{l_1} \le X_{l_2} \le X_{l_3} \le \dots \le X_D$,
- (b) $l_{k-1} \leq l_k$ if $X_{l_{k-1}} = X_{l_k}$.

Thus, based on a ranking order of the time series patterns (condition (a)) and uniqueness of the symbols (condition (b)), we can form a symmetric group of D! for $D \ge 2$ when all the symbols of length D will be formed. Using the concept of symbolic dynamics flitering, Eq. (1) can be written

as⁶¹,

$$T_{X \to Y}^{S} = \sum_{n} P(\Pi_{Y(t_{n+1})}, \Pi_{Y(t_{n})}, \Pi_{X(t_{n})}) log_{2} \frac{P(\Pi_{Y(t_{n+1})} | \Pi_{Y(t_{n})}, \Pi_{X(t_{n})})}{P(\Pi_{Y(t_{n+1})} | \Pi_{Y(t_{n})})},$$
(2)

where, $\Pi_{Y(t_{n+1})}$ and $\Pi_{Y(t_n)}$ are the ranking orders or symbol types of *Y* at time steps t_{n+1} and t_n respectively. Similarly, $\Pi_{X(t_n)}$ is the symbol type of variable *X* at time step t_n . The joint and conditional probabilities of Eq. (2) represent the same as that stated in Eq. (1). The conditional probability in STE calculations aids us in extracting the asymmetric flow information between the variables. Further, introducing a time delay in calculating those probabilities helps better to understand the correlation between the variables⁶¹. Thus, by calculating STE between two variables, we can extract the information on the flow of influences that one variable has on the other.

Following Eq. (2), we measure the spatiotemporal evolutions of STE to both qualitatively and quantitatively understand the mutual influences between the reacting flow variables in a liquid rocket engine combustion system during TAI in Sec. IV. We also measure the net degree of mutual influence or directionality between two system variables *X* and *Y*, as $\Delta S_{X \to Y} = T_{X \to Y}^S - T_{Y \to X}^S$, to identify the dominant feedback pathways during TAI. Thus, by estimating the directionality between two variables, we can identify the variable that has a stronger influence and plays a crucial role in sustaining the limit cycle oscillations during the state of TAI.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

To understand the mechanism underlying the sustenance of thermoacoustic instability (TAI) in the HAMSTER combustor, we analyze the temporal and spatial variations of the primary variables in this study. First, we discuss the time series of acoustic pressure oscillations in Sec. IV A to understand the dynamics of self-sustained thermoacoustic oscillations at the different locations of interest. Then, we present the power spectral densities obtained using Fast Fourier transform to find the dominant modes of thermoacoustic oscillations in HAMSTER combustor. Next, in Sec IV B, we present an illustration of the concept of symbolic transfer entropy using the time series data of acoustic pressure and OH* data obtained from experiments at the wall portion that is closer to the dump region (x = 7.62 mm). Following this, we try to understand the mutual spatiotemporal influences among the primary variables during a rise in acoustic pressure fluctuations above zero to its local maxima in Sec. IV C. We also quantify the degree of influences between the variables using the directionality measure to determine the driving mechanism during one cy-



FIG. 3. (a) presents the fluctuations in the acoustic pressure (noted as p') at the oxidizer post (x = -65.86 mm), the recess injector (x = -8.89 mm) and various downstream locations of the dump plane (x = 7.62 mm, 33.02 mm and 58.42 mm) obtained from experiments during TAI at the preheated oxidizer temperature of 705 K using the pressure transducers installed at those targeted locations. (b) presents the time series of p' that are obtained from CFD simulation during TAI at the same operating condition. The first 10 ms of simulation data that includes initial transients after ignition is not considered in (b). Although the lower amplitude pressure oscillations are visible in simulation data at 25 ms, the overall trend of the oscillations of simulation data is similar to that of experimental data.

cle of acoustic pressure oscillations (when approaching towards the local minima and maxima) in Sec. IV D. In these subsequent sections, we use notation ()' to show the fluctuations of the variable () in the discussion.

A. Time series and amplitude spectrum of acoustic pressure

Figures 3 (a) and (b) display the temporal evolutions of acoustic pressure fluctuations (p') at the locations of interest. Based on the previous investigations^{22,70,71}, the locations of interest in the present study are the oxidizer post (position of pressure transducer pt1, x = -65.86 mm), the injector recess (position of pressure transducer pt2, x = -8.89 mm), the head end of the combustor or closer to the dump region (position of pressure transducer pt3, x = 7.62 mm), locations down-



FIG. 4. (a) presents the FFT of time series of acoustic pressure oscillations at the injector recess (x = -8.89 mm) and at different downstream positions of the dump plane, which are 7.62 mm, 33.02 mm, and 58.42 mm, respectively. As pointed out by the previous literature⁷⁰, the first longitudinal mode (1L) dominates at 1587 Hz. Besides, we notice another mode at 213 Hz from experimental data. From an earlier experimental study on the same combustor⁷¹, a bulk mode instability at a low-frequency mode below 260 Hz was observed for preheated oxidizer at 700 K and $\Phi = 0.8$. Hence, the 213 Hz tone is attributed to the same bulk mode. (b) shows the FFT of the time series of acoustic pressure oscillations obtained from CFD simulation data at the same locations said in (a). The dominant longitudinal frequency mode obtained from CFD simulation data exactly matches that obtained from the experiments. However, based on CFD simulation data, the range of bulk mode frequency varies between 144 Hz and 268 Hz.

stream of the combustor dump plane (positions of pressure transducers pt4 and pt5, where, x = 33.02 mm and 58.42 mm respectively). The locations of the transducers are marked in Fig. 1. We find from both experiment (Fig. 3 (a)) and CFD simulation (Fig. 3 (b)) that p' exhibits large amplitude near the oxidizer post (see the red time trace) which is a consequence of the vortex shedding at the propellant separator⁷¹ (also called as fuel collar). We find almost similar temporal behavior of p' at the injector recess and the subsequent downstream locations in the combustor.

Next, we perform a Fast Fourier transform (FFT) analysis to find out the dominant modes of frequencies of thermoacoustic oscillations in HAMSTER combustor using data obtained from both experiments and CFD acoustic pressure data in Figs. 4 (a) and (b), respectively. We ob-

serve the presence of two modes, one having a low frequency (< 300 Hz), and another is seen at 1587 Hz⁷¹. Previous works^{70,71} performed by the Purdue group mentioned that the low frequency mode is a hydrodynamic or bulk mode instability resulting from the fluctuations in the propellant feed system that can be brought on by abrupt changes in the fluid properties within the system, and a delayed combustion response. However, the dominant mode is the first longitudinal mode (1L) at 1587 Hz at 705 K preheated oxidizer temperature. Previous investigation⁷¹ found that the effect of bulk instability becomes less pronounced as the oxidizer temperature increases. At higher preheated oxidizer temperatures, p' rises and consequently, the amplitude of thermoacoustic mode becomes higher than that of bulk instability. However, although one mode between the bulk and the longitudinal (1L) modes often dominates in liquid rocket engine combustors, both modes coexist. The system may temporarily and intermittently switch between them that confirms the interdependence between those low and high frequency modes, as demonstrated in the previous literature⁷¹ at 700 K preheated oxidizer temperature. On the other hand, the harmonics of 1L mode are observed (2L - 3174 Hz and 3L - 4761 Hz, see Fig. 4). The amplitudes of the 2L and 3L modes are found to be relatively low in the amplitude spectrum of the CFD data compared to the experimental data.

Further, in Figs. 5 (a1)-(b1) and (a2)-(b2), we try to observe the temporal evaluations of the power spectrum in the downstream direction of the combustor dump plane. We find that 1L mode is strong closer to the dump region or the head end of the combustor (x = 7.62 mm) throughout the time period of 30 ms (Figs. 5 (a1) and (a2)) since pressure anti-nodes for acoustic standing waves are noticed there. However, 1L mode becomes weaker at downstream locations dump plane (at x = 58.42 mm) (Figs. 5 (a2) and (b2)) and almost diminishes at x = 180.34 mm (see Sec. A in Appendix) since pressure nodes for this 1L mode are situated near x = 180.34 mm. Therefore, we understand that the near dump region in the combustion chamber can be the zone of interest towards understanding the mechanism of thermoacoustic oscillations. However, the bulk modes do not diminish at the downstream locations of the combustor (Figs. 5(a2)-(b2)).

In the next section (Sec. IV B), we try to understand the mutual influence between acoustic pressure and OH^{*} chemiluminescence oscillations based on the temporal data found experimentally near the dump plane of the HAMSTER combustor (location of pressure transducer pt3).



FIG. 5. (a1-b1) and (a2-b2) show the scalograms (frequency vs time) of experimentally and numerically (CFD) obtained p' during combustion instability at two locations of the HAMSTER combustion chamber: 7.62 mm (a1 & a2) and 58.42 mm (b1 & b2) downstream from the dump plane or head end of the combustor. A dominant 1L mode is clearly observed during the oscillations near the dump plane region. Due to the presence of a pressure anti-node at 7.62 mm, the amplitude of p' (both positive and negative) becomes very high. Therefore, the amplitude of 1L mode becomes high at that location in the combustion chamber. However, the amplitude of the 1L mode gradually becomes low downstream of the combustion chamber.

B. STE analysis on temporal data of HAMSTER combustor

Based on the prior research^{19,40,42,43}, we use the temporal evolution of acoustic pressure fluctuations p' and OH* chemiluminescence fluctuations I'_{OH*} of HAMSTER combustor close to the wall at x = 7.62 mm to gain a basic understanding of the mechanism underlying the mutual influence between these two variables. We show p' of the combustor obtained from the experiment when the propellants are throttled to the steady operation condition to obtain the state of thermoacoustic oscillations following the ignition of the main chamber (engine start) at 3.25 s in Fig. 6 (b). Subsequently, p' grows from low to high amplitudes. During this growth in amplitude, we observe from earlier literature⁷¹ that both the bulk mode and 1L mode oscillations co-exist. However, the 1L mode primarily dominates from 5.8 to 6.9 s (time series and FFT of p' are already shown in Figs. 3a and 4a during 6.4 to 6.43 s), when the combustion oscillations gain sufficient acoustic power to exhibit saturated high amplitude limit cycle oscillations (HALCO). In Fig. 6 (a),



FIG. 6. The amplitude of acoustic pressure (i.e., $p = \overline{p} + p'$) measured from experiments in HAMSTER and its mean subtracted local fluctuations (noted as p') are presented on the left vertical axis in the plots (a) and (b) respectively. The variation of symbolic transfer entropy based on p' and I'_{OH^*} obtained at x = 7.62 mm near the combustor wall is shown on the right vertical axis. We consider the time duration when a growth of low amplitude oscillations saturates to high amplitude limit cycle oscillations. During the growth when the bulk mode and the 1L mode oscillations co-exist, we find that the influence of I'_{OH^*} on p' gradually reduces where as the influence of p' on I'_{OH^*} remains nearly constant (a). We find a drop in directionality ($\Delta S_{p' \to I'_{OH^*}}$) in (b) due to the continuous reduction in the influence of I'_{OH^*} on p'. The root mean square of p' is shown in (c).

we estimate the STE (T^S) between p' and I'_{OH^*} to quantitatively understand their mutual transfer of information. Note that STE calculated using the fluctuations of the variables (i.e., p' and I'_{OH^*}) and actual values of the variables (i.e., p and I_{OH^*}) are the same as the method relies on ranking order of the patterns of time series. To determine T^S at the time instants, we employ moving overlapping windows, each with 0.03 s data (i.e., 30000 data points that correspond to around 48 cycles during TAI). In the calculation of T^S , we consider the embedding dimension (D) of the high dimensional system as 7, as obtained from the method of false nearest neighbors (FNN) ^{80,81} on both experimental and CFD acoustic pressure data.

From the estimation of T^S in Fig. 6 (a), we find that the influence of p' on I'_{OH^*} $(T^S_{p' \to I'_{OH^*}})$ increases when low amplitude pressure oscillations are seen after the engine starts up. However, during the growth to saturated HALCO, $T^S_{p' \to I'_{OH^*}}$ consistently has higher value over $T^S_{I'_{OH^*} \to p'}$. This signifies that the influence of p' on I'_{OH^*} $(T^S_{p' \to I'_{OH^*}})$ is seen to be higher than that of I'_{OH^*} on p' $(T^S_{I'_{OH^*} \to p'})$ at the wall near dump plane during this phase. This observation corroborates with the assertion made in earlier works from Gotoda's group^{19,42,51,82}. On the other hand, $T^S_{I'_{OH^*} \to p'}$ significantly reduces until HALCO is observed. Hence, the feedback interaction from heat release rate (\propto OH* chemiluminescence) to acoustic pressure fluctuations plays a vital role during the growth of low amplitude oscillations to HALCO.

In Figs. 6 (b)-(c), we estimate the directionality index between p' and I'_{OH^*} (i.e., $\Delta S_{p' \to I'_{OH^*}} = T^S_{p' \to I'_{OH^*}} - T^S_{I'_{OH^*} \to p'}$). We find that $\Delta S_{p' \to I'_{OH^*}}$ acquires a value greater than zero at t = 3.3 s due to an asymmetric bidirectional coupling between p' and the heat release rate with a stronger influence of p' on the heat release rate than vice versa before p'_{rms} (see in Fig. 6 (c)) starts to increase. After that, $\Delta S_{p' \to I'_{OH^*}}$ gradually increases during the growth to HALCO. Earlier studies^{19,42,51,82} reported that the direction of the feedback interaction starts to dominate from acoustic pressure fluctuations to the heat release rate fluctuations in combustor when TAI is formed with strong periodicity. We unveil that $\Delta S_{p' \to I'_{OH^*}}$ increases close to the wall at x = 7.62 mm during a transition to HALCO as $T^S_{I'_{OH^*} \to p'}$ decreases, while a nearly constant $T^S_{p' \to I'_{OH^*}}$ is observed.

We further compare the result with that obtained using CFD data (see Table IV) for verification. We calculate temporally averaged directionality index between p' and the intensity of *OH* chemiluminescence emission from both experimental and CFD data at the three locations of the pressure transducers (pt3, pt4 and pt5) in the combustor during fully developed TAI. The estimation of the index is done over a time duration of 30 ms as the CFD simulations are limited to about 30 ms of run time due to the computational cost. We find the positive sign of temporally averaged direction-

TABLE IV. We calculate $\Delta S_{p' \to I'_{OH*}}$ (from experimental data using photo multiplier tube) or $\Delta S_{p' \to I'_{OH}}$ (from CFD simulation data) over a time duration of 30 ms. Note that the only difference between OH^* and OH is that OH^* is the line of sight integrated measure using photo multiplier tube during experiment close to the wall at x = 7.62 mm and OH is measured from the computational domain using CFD at the same location. We check the sign convention of the directionality measure (> 0 or < 0) during TAI at the following locations: (pt3) position of 1st pressure transducer downstream of dump plane (result is shown in Fig. 6) : x = 7.62 mm, 10 mm $\leq y \leq 12.5$ mm, (pt4) position of 2nd pressure transducer downstream of dump plane: x = 33.02 mm, 10 mm $\leq y \leq 12.5$ mm, (pt5) position of 3rd pressure transducer downstream of dump plane: x = 58.42 mm, 10 mm $\leq y \leq 12.5$ mm.

Serial No	Location	Data type	temporally averaged $\Delta S_{p' \to I'_{OH^*}}$ or $\Delta S_{p' \to I'_{OH}}$
1	Location pt3	Experimental	0.462
2	Location pt4	Experimental	0.357
3	Location pt5	Experimental	0.665
4	Location pt3	CFD	0.028
5	Location pt4	CFD	0.046
6	Location pt5	CFD	0.048

ality index between p' and the intensity of OH chemiluminescence emission for both experimental and CFD data at the prescribed locations during fully developed TAI. It is important to note that the predominant direction of feedback between these two variables is found from acoustic pressure to OH chemiluminescence emission using both experimental and simulation databases, although the calculated values are not exactly the same.

Nevertheless, to understand the mechanism or evolution of the complex states in a system, a conclusion drawn solely from temporal data may provide only partial information while the understanding on spatiotemporal scale can offer a deeper inference about a system's dynamics based on which one can identify the "critical region"^{50,83} or epicenter of TAI at which the bidirectional coupled interactions between the variables are seen to be more promising during the sustenance the combustion instability in the liquid rocket engine combustors. Therefore, in the subsequent



FIG. 7. We consider four time instants when the acoustic pressure approaches a local maxima during thermoacoustic oscillations for the spatiotemporal analysis: 22.75 ms (a), 22.80 ms (b), 22.83 ms (c) and 22.85 ms (d). Also, we take four time instants (e-h) for understanding the mutual interaction between p' and \dot{Q}' when acoustic pressure approaches a local minima in Appendix B: 22.44 ms (e), 22.47 ms (f), 22.50 ms (g) and 22.53 ms (h).

sections (Secs. IV C and IV D), we try to understand the mechanism associated with TAI through the direction of mutual information between the primary variables of the HAMSTER combustor on spatiotemporal scale.

C. STE analysis on spatiotemporal behavior of HAMSTER combustor

In the previous section (Sec. IV B), we use the acoustic pressure and heat release rate (chemiluminescence) fluctuations obtained experimentally during the growth of pressure oscillations to TAI. However, in this section, we focus on the acoustic, flow and combustion variables obtained using CFD simulation on spatiotemporal scales during TAI. We take two flow variables: vorticity and velocity. Besides, two combustion variables, the heat release rate and the temperature of combustion field, are considered in the study. First, we describe the observations obtained by analyzing the spatiotemporal fluctuations of these variables. Following this, we obtain a qualitative understanding of the direction of mutual interaction between these variables by performing an analysis based on the concept of STE on their oscillations. Hereon, vorticity and the fluctuations in heat release rate, flow velocity and temperature are denoted by the notations ω , \dot{Q}' , u', and θ' respectively in the discussion of their spatiotemporal evolutions. For the spatiotemporal analysis of the variables (Figs. 8 to 12), we consider the time stamps when p' significantly grows and approaches the local maxima during t = 22.75 to 22.85 ms as marked in Fig 7. Note that this time scale is different than the experiment, and this period is obtained after a steady state has been achieved. However, the inlet conditions and operating parameters are all kept exactly the same in the simulation as in the experiments, as mentioned previously, to replicate similar dynamics during TAI.

1. Mutual influence between the acoustic and heat release rate fields

In Fig. 8, we present the spatial distributions of p' and \dot{Q}' (considering $-0.1 \text{ m} \le x \le 0.15 \text{ m}$, z = 0 and $-0.025 \text{ m} \le y \le 0.025 \text{ m}$) during t = 22.75 to 22.85 ms near the dump region. As p' rises, \dot{Q}' also rises in the shear layer and is seen to be higher from the wall to the centerline. The consumption of large amount of fuel and the movement of the burning fuel trapped in the recirculation zone (near the dump plane) to the centerline coincide with a rise in overall heat release rate fluctuations as mentioned in the previous study⁷⁰. In addition, \dot{Q}' increases in the vicinity of the fuel collar where flame stabilizes during the period. These observations raise the following questions:

- 1) Does the increase in \dot{Q}' along the shear layer influence rise in p'?
- 2) Does p' have influence on \dot{Q}' at the fuel collar?

In this section, we try to answer the questions through the variation in mutual influence between p' and \dot{Q}' using STE method (III to IV rows of Fig. 8).

From the analysis, at t = 22.75 ms, we find a few pockets having high $T_{p' \to \dot{Q}'}^{S}$ near the wall of the dump region and at the downstream direction of the dump plane (see $T_{p' \to \dot{Q}'}^{S}$ in Fig. 8 III (a)), which signifies that p' influences \dot{Q}' at those zones. The influence from p' induces the fluctuations in heat release rate field, causing the wrinkling in the flame. On the other hand, the influence of $p' \to \dot{Q}'$ almost diminishes at the wall of the dump regime during t = 22.80 ms to 22.85 ms (see $T_{p' \to \dot{Q}'}^{S}$ in Fig. 8 III [(b)-(d)]), while a gradually thickening of the line of $T_{p' \to \dot{Q}'}^{S}$ in the vicinity of fuel collar indicates an influence of p' on \dot{Q}' .

In contrast to the spatial distributions of $T^S_{p' \to \dot{Q}'}$, a rapid expansion of the zones having higher



and $-0.025 \text{ m} \le y \le 0.025 \text{ m}$) between t = 22.75 ms and t = 22.85 ms. Simultaneously, STE from p' to \dot{Q}' ($T^S_{p' \to \hat{Q}'}$), STE from \dot{Q}' to p' ($T^S_{\dot{Q}' \to p'}$) and the directionality index $(\Delta S_{p' \to Q'})$ (from top to bottom) are analyzed at (a) t = 22.75 ms, (b) t = 22.80 ms, (c) t = 22.83 ms and (d) t = 22.85 ms. From the STE analysis, we find $p' \rightarrow \dot{Q}'$ influence near the wall at the dump region (see $T^S_{p' \rightarrow \dot{Q}'}$ at III (a)). However, the influence $\dot{Q}' \rightarrow p'$ becomes significant when the acoustic field emerges near the dump plane during (b)-(d) (see $T^{S}_{\hat{Q}' \rightarrow p'}$). The examination of $\Delta S_{p' \rightarrow \hat{Q}'}$ allows us to precisely pinpoint the predominant direction between p' and \dot{Q}' . We detect the switching in the direction of predominant influence from $p' \rightarrow \dot{Q}'$ (green regions) into $\dot{Q}' \rightarrow p'$ (red colored FIG. 8. p' (row-I) and \dot{Q}' (row-II) are shown at different time instants when p' grows rapidly near the dump plane area (-0.1 m $\leq x \leq 0.15$ m, z = 0, area) at the wall near the dump plane (V[(b)-(d)]). Note that the dimension of the combustor is indicated in meter (m).

 $T_{Q'\to p'}^S$ near dump regime after t = 22.80 ms indicates the increment of influence of \dot{Q}' on p'(see $T_{Q'\to p'}^S$ in Fig. 8 IV[(b)-(d)]). In other words, the increase in $T_{\dot{Q}\to p'}^S$ indicates the evolution of the interaction zones for heat release rate where it significantly influences the acoustic field. Interestingly, the influence of $\dot{Q}'\to p'$ becomes almost symmetric about the centerline after t =22.80 ms, signifying that the influence from \dot{Q}' to p' occurs throughout the dump plane. Godavarthi et al.⁴⁰ also found the predominance of \dot{Q}' over p' during a phase synchronization state (where phases of p' and \dot{Q}' appear to be locked in time) and a general synchronization state (where both amplitudes and phases of p' and \dot{Q}' appear to be locked in time) in a bluff body stabilized gas turbine combustor based on the temporal data.

Further, we can identify the change of the dominant direction of the feedback interaction between p' and \dot{Q}' in both space and time from the analysis of $\Delta S_{p' \to \dot{Q}'}$ (see Fig. 8 V). Comparing the mutual influences between p' and \dot{Q}' during t = 22.75 to 22.85 ms, we detect a sharp transition in the directionality between these two variables by observing the switching of the regime where influence of p' on \dot{Q}' is dominant (green colored regions having positive values) into the regime where influence of \dot{Q}' on p' is dominant (red colored regions having negative values). The change in directionality from p' to \dot{Q}' signifies that the influence of \dot{Q}' on p' is stronger than that of p' on \dot{Q}' . Interestingly, along with the dump region, the top of the fuel collar or fuel-oxidizer splitter plate also shows the same shift, indicating that the flame produced from the fuel side plays a crucial role in the oscillatory behavior of the acoustic field. Thus, we obtain a clear perception about the direction and strength of mutual interaction between acoustic and heat release rate fields from the information of their spatiotemporal behavior during a local maxima of thermoacoustic oscillations. We also try to understand the mutual influence between these two variables when p'becomes locally minimum (corresponding to Fig. 7) during TAI (see Sec. B in Appendix). Significantly, we find an emergence of the influence in the direction of \dot{Q}' to p' during this period (see Fig. 15 in Appendix). Hence, the relatively stronger influence of heat release rate on the acoustic field has an important role in triggering the large oscillations of the acoustic field and consequently, TAI can sustain in the combustor.

2. Mutual influence between acoustic pressure and vorticity fields

For a non-reacting cold flow, p' and flow dynamics characterized by vorticity field (ω) and flow velocity oscillations (u') become correlated with each other when a flow passes through a



(b) t = 22.80 ms, (c) t = 22.83 ms and (d) t = 22.85 ms. We find that influence of ω on $p'(T^{S}_{\omega \to p'})$ spreads near the dump plane and recess injector when the acoustic pressure amplifies spatially at the dump plane during t = 22.75 - 22.85 ms. Further, from $\Delta S_{p' \to \omega}$, we identify the rapid emergence of the FIG. 9. p', ω , STE from p' to ω ($T_{p'\to\omega}^S$), STE from ω to p' ($T_{\omega\to p'}^S$) and the directionality ($\Delta S_{p'\to\omega}$) are shown (from top to bottom) at (a) t = 22.75 ms, relatively stronger influencing regions of ω over p' near the dump plane. The dimension of the combustor is shown in meter.

duct⁸⁴. Vorticity (curl of velocity field) is the intrinsic hydrodynamic characteristic of a flow system. In case of the reacting flows, for high temperature, baroclinic generation of vorticity increases⁸⁵ due to an uneven torque acting on fluid components, such as when pressure and density gradients are not aligned. Therefore, vorticity generated in the liquid rocket engine combustor can be hydrodynamic as well as baroclinic. Harvazinski et al.⁸⁶ showed that baroclinic vortex generation can be one of the crucial factors in self-excited instabilities in CVRC experiments. Also, the acoustic-flow interaction becomes more complex in such system since an additional effect comes as both the fields alter according to the variation in the feedback from \dot{Q}' . Therefore, the mutual influence between p' and flow variables during their variations in the thermo-fluid systems differ from non-reactive cold flows. However, so far, we do not get a clear understanding of the mutual influence indicative of feedback interactions between p', ω and u' of the model liquid rocket engine combustors, including the present experimental setup.

In the present study, we investigate the direction of the influence between the fluctuations in the acoustic and hydrodynamic flow fields by performing STE on p', ω and u' (results of $T_{u' \rightarrow p'}^{S}$ and $T_{p' \rightarrow u'}^{S}$ is discussed in Sec. IV C 4) during t = 22.75 to 22.80 ms. In the HAMSTER combustor, vortices are shed at the fuel collar from the oxidizer side⁷¹. Further, behind the fuel collar at the dump plane (backward facing step), we find the simultaneous rolling of positive (correspond to anti-clockwise vorticity) and negative (correspond to clockwise vorticity) vortices at t = 22.75 ms (see spatial distribution of ω in Fig. 9 II (a)), signifying a development of low velocity regime (discussed in Sec. IV C 4), we see that the vortices eventually cease to revolve.

Now, let us examine the influences of $p' \rightarrow \omega$ and $\omega \rightarrow p'$ (Figs. 9 III and IV). We find that the influence of $p' \rightarrow \omega$ mainly dominates in the downstream direction of the dump plane and the injector recess when p' reaches local maxima at t = 22.85 ms. On the other hand, we notice that the influence of $\omega \rightarrow p'$ spreads more rapidly near the dump plane than $\dot{Q}' \rightarrow p'$ (comparing Figs. 8 IV and 9 IV). In addition, the direction of influence $\omega \rightarrow p'$ observed at the upstream direction of the dump plane through the injector recess and fuel collar implies that ω has a significant impact on p' that in turn influences both 1L mode and the bulk instability over there.

From the distributions of $\Delta S_{p'\to\omega}$ in Fig. 9, we notice a switching in the predominant direction of feedback interaction between p' and ω at the dump plane, and upstream and downstream of the combustor. At t = 22.80 ms, we find that the switching of positive to negative values of $\Delta S_{p'\to\omega}$ begins to occur near the fuel collar region, identifying the epicenter of the emergence of driving



FIG. 10. The mutual influence between \dot{Q}' and ω during the amplification of the acoustic pressure near the dump plane is investigated. The distributions \dot{Q}' at larger area near the entrance and the injector recess, while \dot{Q}' strongly influences ω near the wall of combustor. The dimension of combustor is of $T_{\dot{O}\to \omega}^S$ and $T_{\omega\to\dot{O}'}^S$ are shown at row-III and row-IV, respectively, during t = 22.75 to 22.85 ms. We find \dot{Q}' influencing zones near the wall of the combustor and also along the boundary of the flame where flame-vorticity interaction occurs (see $T_{Q\to\omega}^{S}$). On the other side, we find the influencing zones of ω' (see $T^{S}_{\omega \to Q'}$) around the center of the combustor at the dump regime. From $\Delta S_{\dot{Q}' \to \omega}$, we witness the relatively stronger influence of ω over considered in meter.

influences of vorticity field on the acoustic pressure field. After that, the rapid replacement of the positive values of $\Delta S_{p'\to\omega}$ (red colored regions) by its negative values (green colored areas) at the dump region and the upstream of the fuel collar, indicating the dominant direction of interaction from ω to p'. On the other hand, the negative values of $\Delta S_{p'\to\omega}$ are replaced by its positive values downstream of the combustor when p' reaches local maxima, signifying the relatively stronger influence of p' over ω . Thus, the impact of the vortex shedding near the fuel collar on the amplification of p' is clearly captured through the directionality index. In literature, there is evidence of high-pressure oscillations sustained by vortex shedding in a dump combustor⁸⁷. However, through the present study, understanding of a rapid switching in the driving direction of bidirectional coupling between p' and ω on spatiotemporal scales can help engineers in the control of TAI and redesign of the combustors.

3. Mutual influence between heat release rate and vorticity fields

Following the discussions about the mutual influences between p' and \dot{Q}' (Sec. IV C 1), and p' and ω (Sec. IV C 2), we try to find the direction of mutual influence (feedback interaction) between \dot{Q}' and ω in the current section. We present $T_{Q'\to\omega}^S$ and $T_{\omega\to\dot{Q}'}^S$ in the third and fourth rows respectively in Fig. 10 to identify the zones where the direction of influence is seen along $\dot{Q}'\to\omega$ and $\omega\to\dot{Q}'$. We find the direction of influence along $\dot{Q}'\to\omega$ near the wall and at the dump region of the combustion chamber. Consequently, we notice a significant variation in the distribution of ω at those areas. The previous study⁷⁰ also showed that the increase in \dot{Q}' coincides with the timing of the impingement of the vortices at the wall. On the other hand, we can see the influence between ω and \dot{Q}' grows along $\omega\to\dot{Q}'$ direction towards the injector recess (where fuel and oxidizes mix prior to the inlet of the combustion chamber) that coincides with the amplification in \dot{Q}' (see in Fig. 10) at the the injector recess. The vortex shedding from the fuel collar couples with the accelerated flow of hot gases at the injector recess, establishing a thin region of \dot{Q}' before near-complete combustion.

Finally, we demarcate the regions of dominant direction of interaction in $\omega \rightarrow \dot{Q}'$ (red colored region) and $\dot{Q}' \rightarrow \omega$ (green colored region) from $\Delta S_{\dot{Q}' \rightarrow \omega'}$ (Fig. 10 V). Notably, comparing the distribution of $\Delta S_{\dot{Q}' \rightarrow \omega}$ with the distribution of $\Delta S_{\dot{Q}' \rightarrow p'}$ (Fig. 8), we can infer that the mechanism of TAI near the entrance to the combustion chamber is primarily driven by ω than \dot{Q}' , whereas, \dot{Q}' strongly drives TAI than ω at the expanded area (i.e., backward step or dump plane) near the



FIG. 11. The spatial distributions of p', u', influence of $p' \rightarrow u'$ $(T_{p' \rightarrow u'}^S)$, influence of $u' \rightarrow p'$ $(T_{u' \rightarrow p'}^S)$ and the directionality $(\Delta S_{p' \rightarrow u'})$ are presented in separate rows at different time stamps: (a) t = 22.75 ms, (b) t = 22.80 ms, (c) t = 22.83 ms and (d) t = 22.85 ms. We notice a strong influence of $p' \rightarrow u'$ $(T_{p' \rightarrow u'}^S)$ near dump region which gradually dies down as the low velocity region diminishes near the wall. on the other hand, we find a gradual increase in the influence of $u' \rightarrow p'$ $(T_{p' \rightarrow u'}^S)$ in the combustor. From $\Delta S_{p' \rightarrow u'}$ (corresponding to the fifth row), we identify the switching in the direction of predominant interaction between two fields. The combustor's dimension is shown in meter.

entrance. In addition, we understand that \dot{Q}' has stronger influence on ω' near the wall than p'. Understanding of the predominant influences of \dot{p}' , \dot{Q}' and ω on spatiotemporal scales thus can help us in exploring the genesis of the combustion oscillations during TAI in the liquid rocket engine combustor. In addition, a comparative study in the relative influence of such variables, which is discussed later, can be helpful in taking preventive strategy for mitigating these oscillations.

4. Mutual influence between acoustic pressure and flow velocity fields

As a part of understanding the mutual influence between p' and hydrodynamic oscillations in the HAMSTER combustor, we perform STE analysis using spatiotemporal data of p' and u' in Fig 11. From the spatiotemporal distributions of u' (Fig. 11 II), we notice that the regions of high amplitude negative u' (reverse flow) regions, indicating the formation of the recirculation zones, are located close to the wall region of the combustor. However, when p' amplifies close to the dump plane, those negative velocity patches (blue-colored) that arise during the acoustic damping (when p' reaches local minima) begin to vanish. The positive u' (flow in the forward direction) regions (yellow and red-colored) progressively takes over the wall section during this period.

In Figs. 11 III-IV, we try to identify the direction of influences between p' and u' on both spatial and temporal scales during the emergence of positive u' regions from the centerline to the wall (t= 22.75 to 22.85 ms). We discover the influence of $p' \rightarrow u'$ (see $T_{p' \rightarrow u'}^S$ in Fig. 11 III) at the inlet of and at the dump region at t = 22.75 ms and gradually declines after that, as the reverse flow events almost diminishes closer to the wall. On the other hand, we find that $T_{p' \rightarrow u'}^S$ persists with a little reduction in amplitude at the downstream of the dump plane where positive u' is continuously observed during the period. This retention of $T_{p' \rightarrow u'}^S$ contributes to the expansion of the positive u'regions. In contrast, we see a gradual increase in the influence of $u' \rightarrow p'$ (i.e., $T_{u' \rightarrow p'}^S$) in Fig. 11 IV.

Further, from $\Delta S_{p' \to u'}$ (Fig. 11 V[(a)-(b)]), we clearly detect that the direction of dominant interaction between p' and u' switches from $p' \to u'$ to $u' \to p'$ near the fuel collar at t = 22.80 ms. After that, the driving influence of u' on p' can be progressively seen stronger near the dump region. The predominance direction of the interaction in $u' \to p'$ indicates that the formation of a positive velocity region is one of the driving phenomena for the amplification in p'. Thus, in general, observing the switching of the driving direction in $\omega \to p'$ (Sec. IV C 2) and $u' \to p'$, we can mention that the switching of the predominance direction of hydrodynamic-acoustic interaction in hydrodynamic \to acoustic near the dump region is one of the key factors in the rise of p'.

5. Mutual influence between acoustic pressure and temperature of combustion fields

Like other variables discussed in the previous sections, the knowledge of the influence of the burning rate of propellants on the acoustic pressure waves is also required to suppress combustion instabilities in the rocket engine combustors⁸⁸. The temperature of the combustion field is primarily linked with the density of unburnt reactants and products, the perturbations in the burning area due to equivalence ratio disturbances⁵, and proportional to the burning rate of the propellants⁸⁹.

To understand the mutual interaction between p' and θ' (fluctuations in temperature of the combustion field) during the approach towards a local maxima of p' during TAI, we need to identify





the direction of influences between these two variables on both spatial and temporal scales. Towards that, we first present the spatio-temporal distributions of these two variables (Fig. 12 I-II) at the first two rows followed by the STE analysis (Fig. 12 III-V). We notice the lower temperature zones at the wall close to the dump region where the heat exchange between unburnt propellants and products gradually occurs. The heat transfer between the unburnt and burnt mixtures takes place when high temperature burnt gases move to the recirculation zone (i.e., negative velocity region) and consequently, fuel is rapidly consumed there. On the other hand, the high temperature zones are confined in the shear layer near the dump region. Further, we observe that a slow expansion of high temperature zones at the downstream of the dump plane promotes the rapid combustion of the propellants when p' approaches a local maxima.

From $T_{p'\to\theta'}^S$ (see Fig. 12 III), we explore that p' influences θ' downstream of the combustor since the islands of $T_{p'\to\theta'}^S$ can be constantly seen there. On the other hand, we unveil that $T_{\theta'\to p'}^S$ increases near the dump plane similar to $T_{\dot{Q}'\to p'}^S$ when the high temperature zone expands to the dump plane and enhances the heat transfer with the unburnt mixture. Observing the negative and positive values of $\Delta S_{p'\to\theta'}$ (Fig. 12 V), we can also demarcate the areas where $p'-\theta'$ feedback interaction is driven in $\theta'\to p'$ and $p'\to\theta'$ directions. We observe that the switching of $p'\to\theta'$ to $\theta'\to p'$ occurs at dump region, while the dominant direction of the interaction is seen in $p'\to\theta'$ downstream of the combustor near the local maxima of p'.

Thus, we find a clear perception of the dominant direction of influences between the acoustic, flame and flow variables on spatiotemporal scales during an amplification of acoustic pressure oscillations in the HAMSTER combustor, while knowledge based on temporal data may be insufficient for understanding the sustenance mechanism of TAI. In the study using spatiotemporal variations of the variables employing STE, identifying the dominating feedback direction makes it simpler to find the relatively stronger influencing variable in a bidirectional interaction. A recent industrial case study⁶⁴ using the concept of directionality ascertained the impact of a temperature controller on the downstream temperature readings and identified a regularity in the flow of influences for consecutive industrial processes. This work illustrated how the directionality measure can provide a precise representation of the dependencies within a process.

In the present study, even though the qualitative analysis (Sec. IV C) based on STE yields some conclusive results about the dominance between acoustic and other variables, we still need to find a robust way to identify the most influencing variables among all the variables for each time instant. In the following section (Sec. IV D), we perform a quantification analysis based on STE

to understand the switching in the driving mechanism at each time instant and determine the order of variables according to their impact of influences during a limit cycle of TAI.

D. Quantification of the influences between the variables during TAI

Following the spatiotemporal variations of the directionality between the variables, here, we attempt to provide a quantitative estimation of the mutual influences between them during a cycle of TAI. We can understand that these variables influence each other autonomously during a combustion process. Hence, a linear correlation is insufficient to quantify the mutual information between the variables. The proposed STE enables one to consider the information flowing from one variable to another variable, while multiple variables (≥ 2) take part in the process. Thus, the approach provides an easier way to distinguish the mutual influences imposed by any variable on another variable in a nonlinear system. For understanding the temporal variation of the acoustic field and the heat release rate, we present p' and \dot{Q}' in Fig. 13. During TAI, we observe that \dot{Q}' intermittently exhibits the local maxima and minima that correspond to the attachment and detachment of the flame edge to the injector rim.

Further, we select an area considering $0 \le x \le 0.05$ m, -0.0125 m $\le y \le 0.0125$ m, and z = 0 to calculate the spatially averaged directionalities ($\langle \Delta S \rangle$) in Figs. 13 (b)-(f). The region of interest (ROI) is selected such that it coincides with the switching in the direction of the feedback interactions between the variables in the combustion chamber near the dump region. By calculating $\langle \Delta S \rangle$ between two variables and comparing all $\langle \Delta S \rangle$ with each other, we can identify the driving or most influencing variables during one cycle of oscillation. For easier understanding of the impact of relative influences between two variables, we use separate plots, (b)-(f) in the figure.

Based on the trend of $\langle \Delta S \rangle$ in Figs. 13 (b)-(e), we identify seven distinct stages of feedback interactions between the variables, each of them exhibits different mechanism. When p' drops near dump region during t = 22.44 - 22.5 ms, we find that $\langle \Delta S \rangle$ in all cases, shown in the Figs. 13 (b)-(e), has the same trend below zero, although those are different in the amplitude. The negative values of $\langle \Delta S \rangle$ for those cases suggest that the relative influences of \dot{Q}' , ω , u' and θ' on p' is stronger during this period. We consider this period as stage-I. At this stage, we find that $\langle \Delta S_{p' \to \omega} \rangle \simeq \langle \Delta S_{p' \to u'} \rangle < \langle \Delta S_{p' \to \dot{Q}'} \rangle < \langle \Delta S_{p' \to \theta'} \rangle$ (Figs. 13 (b)-(e)). $\langle \Delta S_{p' \to \omega} \rangle \simeq \langle \Delta S_{p' \to u'} \rangle$ signifies that the impact of influence of ω and u' on p' is nearly the same. In addition, from $\langle \Delta S_{p' \to \omega} \rangle < \langle \Delta S_{p' \to \dot{Q}'} \rangle$ (Figs. 13 (b)-(c)) and $\langle \Delta S_{\dot{Q}' \to \omega'} \rangle < 0$ (Fig. 13 (f)), we understand that im-



FIG. 13. A quantitative estimation of the degree of mutual influences among the fluctuations in acoustic field, hydrodynamic flow, vorticity, heat release rate and thermodynamics property such as temperature is provided in (b)-(f). From the quantification, we are interested in finding an order of the primary variables according to their impact of influence. In this analysis, we choose a location close to the dump plane considering $0 \le x \le 0.05$ m, -0.0125 m $\le y \le 0.0125$ m, and z = 0 to calculate the spatially averaged directionalities ($\langle \Delta S \rangle$) in (b)-(f). Based on the variation of $\langle \Delta S \rangle$, we find seven distinct stages. In each stage, we find out the dominant direction of feedback interactions and the driving variable.

pact of influence of ω is higher than that of \dot{Q}' . During this period, a previous study⁷⁰ found that the overall heat release rate in the shear layer becomes thin and low overall. On the other hand, the shed vortex is headed straight towards the combustor wall at this time since the shear layer expands outward. We can make an order of the variables based on the impact of the relative influences: $\omega \simeq u' > \dot{Q}' > \theta' > p'$. Note that the order is found based on the influences of the acoustic pressure on other variables and vice versa.

During t = 22.5 - 22.74 ms, we find that $\langle \Delta S_{p' \rightarrow u'} \rangle$ gradually increases and obtains the highest

positive value followed by $\langle \Delta S_{p' \to \theta'} \rangle$ and $\langle \Delta S_{p' \to \dot{Q}'} \rangle$. We consider this time period as stage-II. We also notice that $\langle \Delta S_{p' \to \theta'} \rangle \simeq \langle \Delta S_{p' \to \omega} \rangle$ at the end of stage-II. From this investigation, we unravel that p' is strongly influencing the other variables and has highest (lowest) driving influences on u' (\dot{Q}'). Previous study⁷⁰ found an overall thickening of the flame region in the shear layer for which the relative influence of \dot{Q}' on ω is found to be higher during this period. The dominant influencing order of the variables is arranged during stage-II as follows: $p' > \dot{Q}' > \omega \simeq \theta' > u'$.

During t = 22.74 - 22.85 ms, we find a decreasing trend of $\langle \Delta S \rangle$ that exhibits large negative values after crossing zero. We regard this period as stage-III. During this stage, we find the largest negative value of $\langle \Delta S_{p'\to\omega} \rangle$ followed by $\langle \Delta S_{p'\to\dot{Q}'} \rangle$, $\langle \Delta S_{p'\to u'} \rangle$ and $\langle \Delta S_{p'\to\theta'} \rangle$. From this estimation, we unravel that the most influencing variable is ω , while the relative influence of p' on the other variables is lower. From $\langle \Delta S_{p'\to\omega} \rangle < \langle \Delta S_{p'\to\dot{Q}'} \rangle$, we understand that the vortex-shedding events between the wall and shear layer mainly dominate the dynamics of the combustor, although the heat release rate becomes high. During stage-III, we make the following order of variables according to their influencing ability: $\omega > \dot{Q}' > u' > \theta' > p'$.

We find that the trend of $\langle \Delta S \rangle$ in Figs. 13 (b)-(e) increases rapidly than stage-II during t = 22.85 - 22.94 ms and exhibits positive value at the end of this period. Finding such alteration in $\langle \Delta S \rangle$, we consider this time period as stage-IV. During this period, we also observe that $\langle \Delta S_{p' \to \dot{Q}'} \rangle \simeq 0$. Hence, the mutual influence between p' and \dot{Q}' becomes almost equal, while the relative influence of p' on the other variables is stronger. Additionally, we notice that $\langle \Delta S_{p' \to \omega} \rangle$ becomes equal with $\langle \Delta S_{p' \to \theta'} \rangle$, signifying that the driving influences of both ω and θ' on p' are equal. Further, we find that $\langle \Delta S_{\dot{Q}' \to \omega} \rangle > 0$ at the end of stage-IV, indicating a relatively stronger influence of \dot{Q}' on ω . Based on those observations, we find the order of the variables according to their impact of relative influences at the end of stage-IV: $p' \simeq \dot{Q}' > \theta' \simeq \omega > u'$.

During t = 22.94 - 23 ms, we notice that $\langle \Delta S \rangle$ becomes negative in all cases (see Figs. 13 (b)-(e)). During this period, $\langle \Delta S_{p' \to \dot{Q}'} \rangle$ obtains the largest negative value, signifying that \dot{Q}' strongly influences the dynamics of the combustor. We also find a local maxima in \dot{Q}' at t = 23 ms (see in Fig. 13 a). We consider the time period during 22.94-23 ms as stage-V. At the end of this stage, $\langle \Delta S_{p' \to \theta'} \rangle$ is found to be equal with $\langle \Delta S_{p' \to \omega} \rangle$, indicating that the driving influences of thermal oscillations from combustion zone and vortex perturbations are the same during this stage. Besides, we observe that the driving influence of u' on p' is relatively lower than other variables as $\langle \Delta S_{p' \to u'} \rangle > (\langle \Delta S_{p' \to \dot{Q}'} \rangle, \langle \Delta S_{p' \to \omega} \rangle, \langle \Delta S_{p' \to \theta'} \rangle)$. We note a order during this phase as follows: $\dot{Q}' > \theta' \simeq \omega > u' > p'$. After stage-V, we find an almost constant behavior of $\langle \Delta S \rangle$ till t = 23.16 ms. We regard this time period as stage-VI. During this stage, we find that $\langle \Delta S_{p' \to u'} \rangle > 0$, signifying that the relative influence of p' on u' is stronger. On the other hand, $(\langle \Delta S_{p' \to u'} \rangle, \langle \Delta S_{p' \to \theta'} \rangle, \langle \Delta S_{p' \to \omega} \rangle) < 0$, signifying that the relative influences of \dot{Q}' , ω and θ' on p' is stronger. Further, we understand that \dot{Q}' strongly influences ω from $\langle \Delta S_{\dot{Q}' \to \omega} \rangle > 0$ (in Fig. 13 f) and $\langle \Delta S_{p' \to \omega} \rangle > \langle \Delta S_{p' \to \dot{Q}'} \rangle$ (in Figs. 13 (b)-(c)). Besides, we notice that $\langle \Delta S_{p' \to \omega} \rangle \simeq \langle \Delta S_{p' \to \theta'} \rangle < 0$, signifying that the driving influences from both ω and θ' becomes same at the end of stage-VI. Thus, we can find the driving order of the variables during stage-VI as follows: $\dot{Q}' > \theta' \simeq \omega > p' > u'$.

Prior to the end of one cycle during TAI, we find a change in trend of $\langle \Delta S \rangle$ during t = 23.16 - 23.24 ms. We regard this period as stage-VII. Interestingly, we find the difference between values of $\langle \Delta S \rangle$ of the variables (Figs. 13 (b)-(e)) is small towards t = 23.24 ms. This signifies that the driving influences of \dot{Q}' , ω , u', θ' on p' is more or less same.

In a nutshell, we unravel the variation in the predominant direction of the feedback interactions among the primary variables of a liquid rocket engine combustor during one cycle of thermoacoustic oscillation based on the variation of the directionality index. Further, by quantifying the different levels of feedback interactions between the variables, we find the driving order of the variables according to the impact of their influence or feedback and witness the switching of the driving order, causing the change in the dynamics of the combustor. From the spatiotemporal behavior and the quantification analysis, we unveil that the vorticity emerging from the fuel collar is the most influencing variable for the acoustic pressure fluctuation approaching a local minima (stage-I) or maxima (stage-III). The identification of the fuel collar as the epicenter of TAI can be helpful in mitigation of the instability by adopting appropriate strategy such as the suppression of vortex shedding using flow modification methods 90,91 . Also, the understanding the behavior of stage-II and stage-III (also see $\langle \Delta S \rangle$ between p' and \dot{Q}' for considering different cycle of oscillation during TAI in Appendix C) is crucial since that period is close to the local maxima of p'. Thus, the symbolic transfer entropy is useful approach to examine the relative influence of the bidirectional coupling between the variables in understanding the physics underlying the sustenance of thermoacoustic instability. Nevertheless, the importance of symbolic transfer entropy is not limited to the HAMSTER combustor and can be useful to understand the feedback interaction between the system variables at different dynamical states for the turbulent combustors.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We utilize a dataset derived from a high-fidelity large eddy simulation (LES) of a first longitudinal (1L) mode dominated liquid rocket engine combustor to investigate the feedback interaction between combustion, acoustic field, and hydrodynamic variables during the occurrence of thermoacoustic instability. In this study, we employ an approach that integrates transfer entropy with symbolic dynamic filtering to analyze the influence of one variable on the others in a liquid rocket engine combustor known as HAMSTER. Within this framework, we measure the influence between the variables in both directions and identify the dominant route of influence between them. Using experimental data of OH* chemiluminescence and acoustic pressure oscillations at the combustor wall near the dump regime, we observe a continuous decrease in the influence of OH* on the acoustic field, leading to a notably stronger acoustic influence on the reaction rate. Upon confirming that our temporal data observations align with previous literature, we proceed with a comprehensive analysis of the spatiotemporal behavior of the variables related to the combustion and hydrodynamic fields.

In our spatiotemporal study, we examine the mutual influences and the directionality (i.e., net influence between the variables) among key factors: acoustic pressure, heat release rate, vorticity, flow velocity, and temperature, specifically when the fluctuation of acoustic pressure reaches the local maximum within a thermoacoustic oscillation cycle. We observe a significant increase in the influence of all variables on the acoustic field during this period. Through spatiotemporal analysis using symbolic transfer entropy, we are able to clearly identify the influencing "islands" of the variables at each time stamp. Our findings reveal that heat release rate oscillations exert influence on acoustic pressure oscillations on both sides of the shear layer at the dump plane, with the influence of acoustic pressure oscillations on heat release rate oscillations in the dump region gradually diminishing. Furthermore, we detect that the influence of vorticity fluctuations on the acoustic field commences near the fuel collar as the acoustic pressure approaches a local maximum. This influence extends throughout the dump region and towards the upstream region of the dump plane during this time. The relatively stronger influence of vorticity in the upstream direction contributes to the rise in acoustic pressure there. We also understand the influence between heat release rate oscillations and vorticity and demarcate the most influencing zones of those variables on the acoustic pressure oscillations. The influence of heat release rate oscillations is found to be predominant than that of vorticity on the acoustic field at the expanded area of the dump plane close to the fuel injector and the combustor wall. On the other hand, vorticity has a stronger influence than heat release rate oscillations in the injector recess and around the center of the combustor. The influence of velocity on the acoustic field increases near the dump region and the fuel collar, especially when a positive velocity zone gradually replaces a negative velocity zone at the combustor wall. Additionally, we observed that the effect of temperature oscillations in the combustion field on the acoustic field intensifies as the high-temperature zone moves toward the dump region.

Further, we compute the spatially averaged directionalities between the acoustic pressure oscillations and the other variables to quantify and compare their level of influence. We observe that the driving mechanism changes at specific points in the thermoacoustic oscillation cycle due to the varying influence of these variables. The analysis quantifies that the vorticity is the stronger influencing variable compared to others during an amplification (a reduction) of acoustic pressure to a local maxima (minima). The influence of velocity field is more or less equal to the vorticity toward the local minima. This way, the study explicitly provides the insights into the relative influences of all possible physical variables in sustaining thermoacoustic instability for the first time. The perception of the switching in the predominance of the direction of feedback interactions during local minima to the local maxima of acoustic pressure oscillations based on CFD simulation data will help the researchers during the design stage of the combustors. Further, the strategy can be adopted in the design to target the epicenter of the emergence of thermoacoustic instability in the rocket engine combustor. Finally, this symbolic transfer entropy-based analysis can be a suitable approach for exploring the driving mechanism of various reacting flow systems extending beyond the axial mode dynamics of the model rocket combustor.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

R. I. S acknowledges the funding provided by the Office of Naval Research Global (Grant No. N62909-22-1-2011, contract monitor: Dr. Derrick Marcus Tepaske). S.D. would also like to acknowledge the Post Doctoral Researcher fellowship under the same grant supported by the Office of Naval Research Global at Indian Institute of Technology Madras. We thank Dr. Tristan Fuller for performing the experimental campaign at Purdue University. Distribution Statement A. Approved for public release: distribution is unlimited AFRL-2024-2875. R.I.S. thanks Dr. Venkateswaran Sankaran (AFRL) for his numerous productive discussions. S.D. thanks to Dr.

Ankit Sahay and Dr. Ankan Banerjee for stimulating discussion on the analysis. R.I.S, S.D. and P.K. thank to Mr. Vivek J and Mr. Chavaly from kfourmetrics for helping in obtaining the simulation data in the required format.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

DECLARATIONS: CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

APPENDIX



A. Power spectrum at 180.34 mm downstream of the dump plane

FIG. 14. (a) and (b) show the scalograms (frequency vs. time) of experimentally and numerically (CFD) obtained p' at 180.34 mm (c1 & c2) downstream from the dump plane or head end of the combustor. A dominant 1L mode, which is clearly observed during thermoacoustic oscillations near the dump plane region, almost diminishes in the downstream direction of the combustor.

We perform the power spectrum of the acoustic pressure time series obtained at 180.34 mm downstream of the dump plane near the wall region (location of pt6 pressure transducer). We find

that the 1L mode almost diminishes there. However, the bulk modes are present in that region (Fig. 14 (b)).

B. Mutual influence between acoustic pressure and heat release rate oscillations towards a local minima of acoustic pressure fluctuation

In Fig. 15 (B), we try to investigate $T_{p' \to \hat{Q}'}^{S}$ (row-III), $T_{\hat{Q}' \to p'}^{S}$ (row-IV) and $\Delta S_{p' \to \hat{Q}'}$ (row-V) during the time period (time stamps are marked in Fig. 15 (A)) when p' becomes locally minimum. Here, we consider a small regime of the combustion chamber near the dump plane i.e., $0 \le x \le 0.15$ m, -0.25 m $\le y \le 0.25$ m and z = 0. To correlate our understanding from STE approach with the variation in acoustic pressure and heat release rate fields during acoustic damping of a TAI cycle, we represent the spatiotemporal behavior of those fields in the first and second rows of Fig. 15 (B). From the distributions of heat release rate oscillations, we notice that the intensity of the flame becomes low compared to that seen during the increase in p' towards a local maxima. Also, the shape of the flame close to inlet of the chamber changes at t = 22.50 ms as the flow field changes (not shown here). However, from the spatial distributions of $T_{p' \to \hat{Q}'}^{S}$ during t = 22.44 to 22.53 ms, we find that p' influences \hat{Q}' along the center of the combustor. The spatial distribution suggests that acoustic pressure to heat release rate interaction effectively happens from the center of combustor to flame, although p' interacts with \hat{Q}' at many points in the combustion chamber at that time. In addition, we observe a very few $p' \to \hat{Q}'$ influencing zones at the dump region at t = 22.53 ms.

On the other hand, the influence of \dot{Q}' on p' gradually spreads over the dump regime and also extends towards the downstream direction during the period (see $T_{\dot{Q}' \rightarrow p'}^{S}$ in Fig. 15 (B) IV). Therefore, although the flame intensity reduces during the period, we find an emergence of influence in the direction of \dot{Q}' to p' in the combustor. Further, from Fig. 15 (B) V, we can demarcate the regions where the predominate direction of feedback interactions is found either along $p' \rightarrow \dot{Q}'$ or $\dot{Q}' \rightarrow p'$ using $\Delta S_{p' \rightarrow \dot{Q}'}$. Thus, similar to the positive p' of TAI cycle (Sec. IV C 1), \dot{Q}' has an important role in influencing the acoustic damping (p' < 0) during TAI since $\Delta S_{p' \rightarrow \dot{Q}'}$ exhibits negative values across a large area (see red colored regions of $\Delta S_{p' \rightarrow \dot{Q}'}$ during t = 22.44 ms to 22.53 ms in Fig. 15 (B)). However, it is noteworthy that $\dot{Q}' \rightarrow p'$ influencing regimes are more spread and strong over the dump plane during positive p' than acoustic damping.



FIG. 15. A. Temporal evolution of p' is shown during 22 to 24 ms. we perform the analysis at four time stamps marked by red circles. B. The spatiotemporal distributions of p' (row-I) and \dot{Q}' (row-II) at $0 \le x \le 0.15$ m, $-0.25 \text{ m} \le y \le 0.25$ m and z = 0 are presented in the first two rows. During t = 22.44 - 22.53 ms, we find that p' goes below zero and thus, reaches at local minima, representing the acousting damping during thermoacoustic instability. In this analysis, we interest to calculate the mutual influence between acoustic pressure and heat release rate fields when p' approach to the minima (negative peak). We can identify a few acoustic influencing zones along the center of the combustion chamber (see row-III, $T_{p' \rightarrow Q'}^{S}$). In contrast, the combustion influencing zones can be identified to be more spread over the dump regime at t = 22.50 ms (see row-IV, $T_{Q' \rightarrow p'}^{S}$). Further, the directionality index ($\Delta S_{p' \rightarrow Q'}$) is used to identify a distinct boundary between the direction of dominant interaction between heat release rate and acoustic fields prior to acoustic damping at row-V of the figure. The dimension of the combustor is shown in meter (m).



FIG. 16. We plot p' and \dot{Q}' in (a) during 21.9 to 22.43 ms. In (b), we estimate $\langle \Delta S_{p' \to \dot{Q}'} \rangle$ for the same time period. During this period, similar to the earlier observation, we find that $\langle \Delta S_{p' \to \dot{Q}'} \rangle$ becomes negative when p' rises at the dump region, confirming a stronger influence of \dot{Q}' on p' than p' on \dot{Q}' .

C. Quantification of mutual influence between acoustic pressure and heat relase rate oscillations during 21.9 to 22.43 ms

We consider a cycle of TAI during 21.9 to 22.43 ms to check the variation of $\langle \Delta S_{p' \to \dot{Q}'} \rangle$ when p' approaches a local maxima (Fig. 16). Similar to Fig. 13, we find that the directionality measure drops to a large negative value during this period (see the shaded region in Fig. 16 (b)).

REFERENCES

- ¹T. S. Taylor, *Introduction to rocket science and engineering* (CRC Press, 2017).
- ²M. P. Juniper and R. I. Sujith, Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics **50**, 661 (2018).

- ³S. A. Pawar, A. Seshadri, V. R. Unni, and R. I. Sujith, Journal of Fluid Mechanics **827**, 664 (2017).
- ⁴R. I. Sujith and S. A. Pawar, *Thermoacoustic instability: A complex systems perspective* (Springer, 2021).
- ⁵T. C. Lieuwen, *Unsteady combustor physics* (Cambridge University Press, 2021).
- ⁶L. Crocco and S.-I. Cheng, *Theory of combustion instability in liquid propellant rocket motors*, Tech. Rep. (Princeton Univ Nj, 1956).
- ⁷F. E. Culick and V. Yang, Progress in Astronautics and Aeronautics **169**, 3 (1995).
- ⁸W. Anderson and V. Yang, *Liquid rocket engine combustion instability* (American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Reston, VA, 1995).
- ⁹F. Culick and P. Kuentzmann, NATO, Research and Technology Organization Neuilly-sur-Seine Cedex (2006).
- ¹⁰W. J. Schob, I. Glassman, and M. Webb, *An experimental investigation of heat transfer and pressure effects on longitudinal combustion instability in a rocket motor using premixed gaseous propellants.*, Master's thesis, Princeton University. (1963).
- ¹¹S. De, A. Bhattacharya, S. Mondal, A. Mukhopadhyay, and S. Sen, Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science **30**, 043115 (2020).
- ¹²S. De, A. Bhattacharya, A. Mukhopadhyay, and S. Sen, Measurement **186**, 110113 (2021).
- ¹³V. R. Unni and R. I. Sujith, in 52nd AIAA/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference (2016) p. 4649.
- ¹⁴L. Kabiraj and R. I. Sujith, Journal of Fluid Mechanics **713**, 376 (2012).
- ¹⁵W. A. Sirignano, Combustion Science and Technology **187**, 162 (2015).
- ¹⁶A. Urbano and L. Selle, Journal of Fluid Mechanics **820**, R2 (2017).
- ¹⁷T. M. Nguyen, P. P. Popov, and W. A. Sirignano, Journal of Propulsion and Power **34**, 354 (2018).
- ¹⁸W. Armbruster, J. S. Hardi, D. Suslov, and M. Oschwald, Journal of Propulsion and Power **35**, 632 (2019).
- ¹⁹S. Shima, K. Nakamura, H. Gotoda, Y. Ohmichi, and S. Matsuyama, Physics of Fluids **33**, 064108 (2021).
- ²⁰P. Kasthuri, S. A. Pawar, R. Gejji, W. Anderson, and R. I. Sujith, Combustion and Flame 240, 112047 (2022).

- ²¹P. Kasthuri, A. Krishnan, R. Gejji, W. Anderson, N. Marwan, J. Kurths, and R. I. Sujith, Physics of Fluids **34**, 034107 (2022).
- ²²M. E. Harvazinski, W. E. Anderson, and C. L. Merkle, Journal of Propulsion and Power **29**, 396 (2013).
- ²³C. Huang, W. E. Anderson, M. E. Harvazinski, and V. Sankaran, AIAA Journal **54**, 2791 (2016).
- ²⁴F. Culick, AIAA journal **32**, 146 (1994).
- ²⁵C. C. Jahnke and F. E. Culick, Journal of propulsion and power **10**, 508 (1994).
- ²⁶F. Culick, Combustion Science and Technology **2**, 179 (1970).
- ²⁷J. O'Connor, V. Acharya, and T. Lieuwen, Progress in Energy and Combustion Science 49, 1 (2015).
- ²⁸V. S. Acharya, M. R. Bothien, and T. C. Lieuwen, Combustion and Flame **194**, 309 (2018).
- ²⁹R. J. Priem and D. C. Guentert, *Combustion Instability Limits Determined by a Nonlinear The*ory and a One-dimensional Model: By Richard J. Priem and Donald C. Guentert (National Aeronautics and Space Administration, 1962).
- ³⁰W. A. Sirignano, *A theoretical study of nonlinear combustion instability: longitudinal mode* (Princeton University, 1964).
- ³¹B. T. Zinn, AIAA journal **6**, 1966 (1968).
- ³²B. T. Zinn and M. E. Lores, Combustion Science and Technology **4**, 269 (1971).
- ³³W. A. Sirignano and P. P. Popov, AIAA journal **51**, 2919 (2013).
- ³⁴P. P. Popov, A. Sideris, and W. A. Sirignano, Journal of Fluid Mechanics **745**, 62 (2014).
- ³⁵L. Selle, R. Blouquin, M. Théron, L.-H. Dorey, M. Schmid, and W. Anderson, Journal of Propulsion and Power **30**, 978 (2014).
- ³⁶A. Urbano, L. Selle, G. Staffelbach, B. Cuenot, T. Schmitt, S. Ducruix, and S. Candel, Combustion and Flame **169**, 129 (2016).
- ³⁷J. S. Hardi, W. Zach Hallum, C. Huang, and W. E. Anderson, Journal of Propulsion and Power **32**, 279 (2016).
- ³⁸V. Nair and R. I. Sujith, Journal of Fluid Mechanics **747**, 635 (2014).
- ³⁹M. Murugesan and R. I. Sujith, Journal of Fluid Mechanics **772**, 225 (2015).
- ⁴⁰V. Godavarthi, S. A. Pawar, V. R. Unni, R. I. Sujith, N. Marwan, and J. Kurths, Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science 28, 113111 (2018).
- ⁴¹S. Murayama and H. Gotoda, Physical Review E **99**, 052222 (2019).

- ⁴²T. Hashimoto, H. Shibuya, H. Gotoda, Y. Ohmichi, and S. Matsuyama, Physical Review E 99, 032208 (2019).
- ⁴³P. Kasthuri, I. Pavithran, S. A. Pawar, R. I. Sujith, R. Gejji, and W. Anderson, Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science **29**, 103115 (2019).
- ⁴⁴W. Kobayashi, H. Gotoda, S. Kandani, Y. Ohmichi, and S. Matsuyama, Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science **29**, 123110 (2019).
- ⁴⁵K. Kawano, H. Gotoda, Y. Nabae, Y. Ohmichi, and S. Matsuyama, Journal of Fluid Mechanics 959, A1 (2023).
- ⁴⁶R. Hernandez-Rivera, G. Troiani, T. Pagliaroli, and A. Hernandez-Guerrero, Physics of Fluids **31**, 124105 (2019).
- ⁴⁷P. Kasthuri, I. Pavithran, A. Krishnan, S. A. Pawar, R. I. Sujith, R. Gejji, W. Anderson, N. Marwan, and J. Kurths, Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science **30**, 063152 (2020).
- ⁴⁸R. I. Sujith and V. R. Unni, Physics of Fluids **32**, 061401 (2020).
- ⁴⁹A. Krishnan, R. I. Sujith, N. Marwan, and J. Kurths, Journal of Fluid Mechanics **874**, 455 (2019).
- ⁵⁰A. Krishnan, R. I. Sujith, N. Marwan, and J. Kurths, Journal of Fluid Mechanics **916**, A20 (2021).
- ⁵¹Y. Mori, S. Kishiya, T. Kurosaka, and H. Gotoda, Physical Review Applied **19**, 034097 (2023).
- ⁵²S. Matsuyama, D. Hori, T. Shimizu, S. Tachibana, S. Yoshida, and Y. Mizobuchi, Journal of Propulsion and Power **32**, 628 (2016).
- ⁵³S. Gupta and A. Ray, Pattern recognition: theory and application , 17 (2007).
- ⁵⁴V. R. Unni, A. Mukhopadhyay, and R. I. Sujith, International Journal of Spray and Combustion Dynamics 7, 243 (2015).
- ⁵⁵U. Schwarz, A. Benz, J. Kurths, and A. Witt, Astronomy and Astrophysics **277**, 215 (1993).
- ⁵⁶D. Xu and C. Beck, Europhysics Letters **118**, 30001 (2017).
- ⁵⁷J. Kurths, A. Voss, P. Saparin, A. Witt, H. Kleiner, and N. Wessel, Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science 5, 88 (1995).
- ⁵⁸P. beim Graben, J. D. Saddy, M. Schlesewsky, and J. Kurths, Physical Review E **62**, 5518 (2000).
- ⁵⁹Y. Du, X. Deng, L. Xu, J. Ding, and H. Liu, in 2011 International Conference on Electronics, Communications and Control (ICECC) (IEEE, 2011) pp. 519–522.
- ⁶⁰K. Asami, T. Kawada, S. Kishiya, and H. Gotoda, Europhysics Letters 139, 13001 (2022).

- ⁶¹M. Staniek and K. Lehnertz, Physical review letters **100**, 158101 (2008).
- ⁶²M. Martini, T. A. Kranz, T. Wagner, and K. Lehnertz, Physical review E 83, 011919 (2011).
- ⁶³J. Xie, J. Gao, Z. Gao, X. Lv, and R. Wang, Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science **29**, 093114 (2019).
- ⁶⁴M. Bauer, N. F. Thornhill, and A. Meaburn, IFAC Proceedings Volumes **37**, 203 (2004).
- ⁶⁵R. Chang, L. Yang, Q. Chen, and J. Wang, in *Proceedings of the 2013 International Conference on Information, Business and Education Technology (ICIBET 2013)* (Atlantis Press, 2013) pp. 1303–1307.
- ⁶⁶Y. Gao, L. Ren, X. Zhou, Q. Zhang, and Y. Zhang, Chinese Journal of Biomedical Engineering 37, 8 (2018).
- ⁶⁷S. M. Kissler, C. Viboud, B. T. Grenfell, and J. R. Gog, Journal of the Royal Society Interface **17**, 20190628 (2020).
- ⁶⁸C. W. Granger, Econometrica: journal of the Econometric Society , 424 (1969).
- ⁶⁹C. Bandt and B. Pompe, Physical review letters **88**, 174102 (2002).
- ⁷⁰M. E. Harvazinski, T. L. Fuller, W. E. Anderson, N. A. Arnold-Medabalimi, and C. Huang, in AIAA Scitech 2020 Forum (2020) p. 0421.
- ⁷¹T. L. Fuller, *Dynamic Coupling in a Model Rocket Combustor*, Ph.D. thesis, Purdue University Graduate School (2019).
- ⁷²L. Crocco, D. T. Harrje, W. A. Sirignano, F. V. Bracco, C. E. Mitchell, P. K. Tang, R. M. Williams, G. R. Black, and W. A. Stinger, Princeton University, NASA CR 7227 (1967).
- ⁷³C. Lian, G. Xia, and C. L. Merkle, Journal of Computational Physics **228**, 4836 (2009).
- ⁷⁴Y. C. Yu, J. C. Sisco, S. Rosen, A. Madhav, and W. E. Anderson, Journal of Propulsion and Power **28**, 876 (2012).
- ⁷⁵G. P. Smith, D. M. Golden, M. Frenklach, N. M. Moriarity, B. Eiteneer, M. Goldenberg, C. T. Bowman, R. K. Hanson, S. Song, W. Gardiner Jr, V. V. Lissianski, and Z. Qin, http://www.me. berkeley. edu/gri_mech/ (2018).
- ⁷⁶D. C. Wilcox, AIAA journal **46**, 2823 (2008).
- ⁷⁷B. J. McBride, *Coefficients for calculating thermodynamic and transport properties of individual species*, Vol. 4513 (National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Office of Management ..., 1993).
- ⁷⁸A. Kaiser and T. Schreiber, Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena **166**, 43 (2002).
- ⁷⁹C. Rao, A. Ray, S. Sarkar, and M. Yasar, Signal, Image and Video Processing **3**, 101 (2009).

- ⁸⁰H. D. Abarbanel, R. Brown, J. J. Sidorowich, and L. S. Tsimring, Reviews of modern physics **65**, 1331 (1993).
- ⁸¹M. B. Kennel, R. Brown, and H. D. Abarbanel, Physical review A **45**, 3403 (1992).
- ⁸²Y. Mori, T. Kawada, S. Fukuda, and H. Gotoda, Proceedings of the Combustion Institute (2022).
- ⁸³M. Raghunathan, N. B. George, V. R. Unni, R. Sujith, J. Kurths, and E. Surovyatkina, New Journal of Physics 24, 063008 (2022).
- ⁸⁴R. Pedersen and M. Norton, Applied Acoustics **50**, 205 (1997).
- ⁸⁵E. Eftekharian, F. Salehi, Y. He, and K. C. Kwok, International Journal of Thermal Sciences **162**, 106775 (2021).
- ⁸⁶M. E. Harvazinski, C. Huang, V. Sankaran, T. W. Feldman, W. E. Anderson, C. L. Merkle, and D. G. Talley, Physics of Fluids **27** (2015).
- ⁸⁷P. Knoop, F. Culick, and E. Zukoski, Combustion science and technology **123**, 363 (1997).
- ⁸⁸B. Kathiravan, C. Senthilkumar, R. Rajak, and K. Jayaraman, Combustion and Flame **226**, 69 (2021).
- ⁸⁹G. Lengellé, J. Duterque, and J. Trubert, "Combustion of solid propellants," (2002).
- ⁹⁰L. Krishnappa, A. Sander, and K.-D. Thoben, in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, Vol. 2265 (IOP Publishing, 2022) p. 032053.
- ⁹¹S. Rashidi, M. Hayatdavoodi, and J. A. Esfahani, Ocean Engineering 126, 57 (2016).