

ON A CASSELMAN–SHALIKA TYPE FORMULA FOR UNRAMIFIED SPEH REPRESENTATIONS

ELAD ZELINGHER

ABSTRACT. We give a Casselman–Shalika type formula for unramified Speh representations. Our formula computes values of the normalized spherical element of the (k, c) ψ -Whittaker model of a Speh representation at elements of the form $\text{diag}(t, I_{(k-1)c})$, where t is a diagonal element. The formula expresses these values in terms of modified Hall–Littlewood polynomials evaluated at the Satake parameter attached to the representation. Our proof is combinatorial and very simple. It utilizes Macdonald’s formula and the unramified computation of the Ginzburg–Kaplan integral. This addresses a question of Lapid–Mao [15].

1. INTRODUCTION

The Whittaker model is an important concept in the representation theory of p -adic groups. It dates back to Jacquet–Langlands, Gelfand–Graev, Gelfand–Kazhdan, Piatetski-Shapiro, Shalika and Rodier. It was used successfully in many cases to define local factors attached to generic representations. We mention the pioneering works of Jacquet–Piatetski-Shapiro–Shalika [12] and of Shahidi [21, 22] as examples. Whittaker models (or more precisely, generic representations) also play an important role for the purpose of indexing L -packets in the local Langlands correspondence (see for example [9, Section 9, item (2)]).

Let us recall briefly the notion of Whittaker models for general linear groups. Let F be a non-archimedean local field with ring of integers \mathfrak{o} , a uniformizer $\varpi \in \mathfrak{o}$, and residue field of size q . Let $\psi: F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a non-trivial smooth character. Let $U_n \subset \text{GL}_n(F)$ be the upper unipotent subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(F)$. Define a character $\psi: U_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ by the formula

$$\psi \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & * & * & * \\ & 1 & x_2 & * & * \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & * \\ & & & 1 & x_{n-1} \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \psi \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_j \right).$$

Then the induced representation $\text{Ind}_{U_n}^{\text{GL}_n(F)}(\psi)$ is multiplicity free. Therefore, for any smooth irreducible representation π of $\text{GL}_n(F)$, we have that there exists at most one subspace of $\text{Ind}_{U_n}^{\text{GL}_n(F)}(\psi)$ that is isomorphic to π . The representation π is called *generic* if such subspace exists, in which case this corresponding subspace is called the ψ -Whittaker model of π and denoted $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$.

Given an irreducible generic representation π that admits a distinguished vector v , it is an interesting question to understand which Whittaker function in $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ corresponds to v under a certain normalization. This question is particularly important for unramified computations of integral representations of L -factors, in which case π is an unramified generic

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 11F70. Secondary: 05E05, 11F66, 22E50, 33D52.

Key words and phrases. Whittaker models, Casselman–Shalika, Speh representations.

representation and $v = v_\pi^\circ \in \pi$ is a spherical vector, that is, a non-zero vector invariant under the action of the maximal compact subgroup $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o})$. It is known that if such vector exists, then it is unique up to scalar multiplication. When ψ has conductor \mathfrak{o} , a formula for the Whittaker function $W_{\pi, \psi}^\circ \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ corresponding to v_π° , normalized such that $W_{\pi, \psi}^\circ(I_n) = 1$ was given by Shintani [23]. It was later generalized to arbitrary connected reductive groups by Casselman–Shalika [8].

In the past recent years, new integral representations for various L -functions appeared in the literature [2, 4, 14, 15]. These integral representations, which we call constructions of doubling type, utilize generalized Whittaker models. Let us explain these models now. Let k and c be positive integers, and let $N_{(c^k)}$ be the unipotent radical of the standard parabolic subgroup $P_{(c^k)}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{kc}(F)$ corresponding to the composition (c^k) . We define a character $\psi_{(c^k)}: N_{(c^k)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ by the formula

$$\psi_{(c^k)} \left(\begin{pmatrix} I_c & X_1 & * & * & * \\ & I_c & X_2 & * & * \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & * \\ & & & I_c & X_{k-1} \\ & & & & I_c \end{pmatrix} \right) = \psi \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathrm{tr} X_j \right).$$

It is tempting to consider the representation $\mathrm{Ind}_{N_{(c^k)}}^{\mathrm{GL}_{kc}(F)} \left(\psi_{(c^k)} \right)$ and use it to define the notion of a (k, c) generic representation. However, the representation $\mathrm{Ind}_{N_{(c^k)}}^{\mathrm{GL}_{kc}(F)} \left(\psi_{(c^k)} \right)$ is not multiplicity free anymore when $c > 1$. When $k = 2$, one may consider replacing $N_{(c^2)}$ with the Shalika subgroup S_{2c} of $\mathrm{GL}_{2c}(F)$, which is the stabilizer of the character $\psi_{(c^2)}$. This group is given by

$$S_{2c} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} g & X \\ & g \end{pmatrix} \mid g \in \mathrm{GL}_c(F), X \in \mathrm{Mat}_c(F) \right\}.$$

The character $\Psi = \psi_{(c^2)}$ extends to a character of S_{2c} , given by the formula

$$\Psi \begin{pmatrix} g & X \\ & g \end{pmatrix} = \psi(\mathrm{tr}(g^{-1}X)).$$

It turns out the induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}_{S_{2c}}^{\mathrm{GL}_{2c}(F)}(\Psi)$ is multiplicity free. Given a smooth irreducible representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_{2c}(F)$, we say it is a *Shalika representation* if there exists a subspace of $\mathrm{Ind}_{S_{2c}}^{\mathrm{GL}_{2c}(F)}(\Psi)$ that is isomorphic to π , in which case we denote this subspace by $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_{(c^2)})$, and call it the $(2, c)$ ψ -Whittaker model of π , or the ψ -Shalika model of π . This model appears in the integral representation of the exterior square L -function of $\mathrm{GL}_{2c}(F)$ [13]. As before, when π is an unramified Shalika representation, it is useful to have an explicit formula for a normalized spherical element in $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_{(c^2)})$. This was done by Sato [20] for Speh representations and by Sakellaridis [19] in his doctoral thesis for the general case.

When $k > 2$, repeating this process for the generalized Shalika subgroup does not produce a multiplicity free representation. However, certain special representations, called (generalized) Speh representations, enjoy the property that there exists a unique subspace of $\mathrm{Ind}_{N_{(c^k)}}^{\mathrm{GL}_{kc}(F)} \left(\psi_{(c^k)} \right)$ which is isomorphic to them. Speh representations are parameterized by

tuples (τ, c) , where τ is an irreducible generic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$ and c is a positive integer (see [3] for details). We denote by $\Delta(\tau, c)$ the corresponding representation, which is a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{kc}(F)$. For every such τ and c , there exists a unique subspace of $\mathrm{Ind}_{N_{(c^k)}^{\mathrm{GL}_{kc}(F)}}(\psi_{(c^k)})$ that is isomorphic to $\Delta(\tau, c)$. We call this subspace the (k, c) ψ -Whittaker model of $\Delta(\tau, c)$ and denote it $\mathcal{W}(\Delta(\tau, c), \psi_{(c^k)})$.

The aforementioned constructions of doubling type make use of (k, c) ψ -Whittaker models of Speh representations attached to an irreducible generic representation τ of $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$. An important feature of most of these constructions is that they only require the representation τ to be generic, and do not assume anything about the other representation in question. This allowed Cai–Friedberg–Kaplan to give a proof based on L -functions and converse theorems for the existence of the standard functorial transfer of cuspidal automorphic representations of split classical groups, without assuming that the representations in question are generic [5].

As before, it is useful to have an explicit formula for a normalized spherical element of $\mathcal{W}(\Delta(\tau, c), \psi_{(c^k)})$, where τ is an irreducible generic unramified representation of $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$. This question was raised by Lapid–Mao [15, Section 7]. In this work, we give a formula that generalizes the formula by Sato [20]. We express special values of a normalized spherical element in terms of modified Hall–Littlewood polynomials.

Our result is very similar to our recent results with Oded Carmon regarding Bessel–Speh functions for Speh representations over finite fields [6], and our method of proof is similar to [24]. This suggests that there is a deep connection between Speh representations and modified Hall–Littlewood polynomials.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\underline{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_k)$ be a tuple of complex numbers with $\mathrm{Re} z_i \neq \mathrm{Re} z_j + 1$ for every i, j . Let $\tau = \mathrm{PS}(\underline{z})$ be the principal series representation $\mathrm{Ind}_{B_k}^{\mathrm{GL}_k(F)}(|\cdot|^{z_1} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes |\cdot|^{z_k})$ and let $S_{\underline{z}, c, \psi}^\circ \in \mathcal{W}(\Delta(\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z}), c), \psi_{(c^k)})$ be the unique spherical element satisfying $S_{\underline{z}, c, \psi}^\circ(I_{kc}) = 1$. Then*

(1) *The assignment $\mathrm{GL}_c(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by*

$$g \mapsto S_{\underline{z}, c, \psi}^\circ \begin{pmatrix} g & \\ & I_{(k-1)c} \end{pmatrix}$$

is constant on double cosets in $\mathrm{GL}_c(\mathfrak{o}) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_c(F) / \mathrm{GL}_c(\mathfrak{o})$, and hence by the Cartan decomposition, it suffices to know its values on elements of the form $g = \mathrm{diag}(\varpi^{m_1}, \dots, \varpi^{m_c})$, where $m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_c$.

(2) *For $g = \mathrm{diag}(\varpi^{m_1}, \dots, \varpi^{m_c})$ as above, this assignment is zero if $m_c < 0$.*

(3) *For any partition $\underline{\mu}$ of length $\ell \leq c$, we have*

$$S_{\underline{z}, c, \psi}^\circ \begin{pmatrix} \varpi^{\underline{\mu}} & \\ & I_{kc-\ell} \end{pmatrix} = \delta_{P_{(c^k)}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \varpi^{\underline{\mu}} & \\ & I_{kc-\ell} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{\underline{\mu}}(q^{-z_1}, \dots, q^{-z_k}; q),$$

where for $\underline{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_\ell) \vdash m$ with $\ell \leq c$, $\varpi^{\underline{\mu}} = \mathrm{diag}(\varpi^{\mu_1}, \dots, \varpi^{\mu_\ell})$, and $\tilde{\mathrm{H}}_{\underline{\mu}}(x_1, \dots, x_k; q)$ is the modified Hall–Littlewood polynomial, also given by the formula

$$\sum_{\underline{\lambda} \vdash m} \#\{\mathcal{F} \text{ flag in } \mathbb{F}_q^m \text{ of type } \underline{\lambda} \mid J_{\underline{\mu}} \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}\} \cdot m_{\underline{\lambda}}(x_1, \dots, x_k).$$

Here $J_{\underline{\mu}}$ is the nilpotent Jordan matrix of type $\underline{\mu}$ and $m_{\underline{\lambda}}$ is the monomial symmetric polynomial corresponding to the partition $\underline{\lambda}$.

The first two parts of the theorem are not hard and follow immediately from the equivariance properties of functions in the (k, c) ψ -Whittaker model of $\Delta(\tau, c)$, proved in [3]. We explain these in Section 2.4.1. Our proof for the third part (Theorem 3.1) is very short. The reason for this is because we rely on difficult computations done previously. These computations are Macdonald’s formula [7] for spherical matrix coefficients and the unramified computation of the Ginzburg–Kaplan integral done by Ginzburg [10], which in turn uses Shintani’s formula [23]. It would be interesting to give a more direct proof of this statement by showing that these special values of the spherical (k, c) ψ -Whittaker function satisfy certain recurrence relations satisfied by modified Hall–Littlewood polynomials. We plan to return to this in the future.

1.1. Acknowledgments. In June 2024, I attended the conference “Solvable Lattice Models, Number Theory and Combinatorics” at Trinity College, Dublin (NSF Grant DMS-2401464). The conference inspired me to write this work. I was especially inspired by the talk given by Valentin Buciumas. I would like to thank the organizers for a wonderful event, and would especially like to thank Solomon Friedberg for the invitation to participate and Daniel Bump, Fan Gao, Nadya Gurevich and Edmund Karasiewicz for engaging conversations. I would also like to thank Eyal Kaplan for his encouragement and for updating me about the current status of this question.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Hall–Littlewood polynomials. The purpose of this section is to recall the definitions of several variants of Hall–Littlewood polynomials.

Given a non-negative integer m , a *partition* $\underline{\lambda}$ of m is a weakly decreasing sequence of positive integers $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ such that $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\ell = m$. The integer ℓ is called *the length of the partition* $\underline{\lambda}$. We write $\underline{\lambda} \vdash m$ to indicate that $\underline{\lambda}$ is a partition of m , and denote $|\underline{\lambda}| = m$.

Let n be a positive integer, and let \mathfrak{S}_n be the symmetric group on n elements. The group \mathfrak{S}_n acts on the space of polynomials in complex coefficients $\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}] = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ in the variables $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ by permuting the variables. Consider the subspace $\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ consisting of symmetric polynomials.

Let $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell) \vdash m$ be a partition such that $\ell \leq n$. The *Hall–Littlewood polynomial* $P_{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{x}; t)$ defined by the formula

$$P_{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{x}; t) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} [\alpha_i]_t!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sigma \left(\frac{x^{\underline{\lambda}} \prod_{i < j} \left(1 - t \frac{x_j}{x_i}\right)}{\prod_{i < j} \left(1 - \frac{x_j}{x_i}\right)} \right).$$

Here, for $j \geq 1$, $\alpha_j = \underline{\lambda}(j)$ is the number of times that j appears in $\underline{\lambda}$, and α_0 is defined so that $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j = n$. The symbol $x^{\underline{\lambda}}$ means $x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \dots x_\ell^{\lambda_\ell}$ and

$$[n]_t! = \prod_{j=1}^n [j]_t \quad \text{where} \quad [k]_t = \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1-t^j}{1-t}.$$

We also denote

$$Q_{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{x}; t) = \left((1-t)^\ell \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} [\underline{\lambda}(i)]_t! \right) P_{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{x}; t).$$

For any partition $\underline{\lambda}$, we may associate a symmetric polynomial $s_{\underline{\lambda}}$ called the *Schur polynomial* (see [17, Page 40]). It is given by $s_{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{x}) = P_{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{x}; 0)$, where if $\underline{\lambda}$ is a partition of length greater than n , then $s_{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{x}) = 0$. Schur polynomials form a basis for the space $\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$. We equip this space with the bilinear pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ defined so that the Schur polynomials $s_{\underline{\lambda}}$ are orthonormal with respect to this pairing, i.e., for any two partitions $\underline{\lambda}$ and $\underline{\mu}$,

$$\langle s_{\underline{\lambda}}, s_{\underline{\mu}} \rangle = \delta_{\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu}},$$

where

$$\delta_{\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \underline{\lambda} \neq \underline{\mu}, \\ 1 & \text{if } \underline{\lambda} = \underline{\mu} \end{cases}$$

is Kronecker's delta function.

We will need the *transformed Hall–Littlewood polynomial* $H_{\underline{\mu}}(\underline{x}; t)$ defined for a partition $\underline{\mu}$ (see also [11, Section 3.4.2]). For any $j \geq 1$ let p_j be j -th power sum polynomial, i.e.,

$$p_j(\underline{x}) = x_1^j + \cdots + x_k^j.$$

The ring $\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ is a free \mathbb{C} -algebra with generators $(p_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$. The transformed Hall–Littlewood polynomial $H_{\underline{\mu}}(\underline{x}; t)$ is defined as the image of $Q_{\underline{\mu}}(\underline{x}; t)$ under the homomorphism of rings that maps p_j to $(1-t^j)^{-1} p_j$ for every j . In plethystic notation,

$$H_{\underline{\mu}}(\underline{x}; t) = Q_{\underline{\mu}} \left[\frac{X}{1-t}; t \right].$$

By [11, Corollary 3.4.12], the expansion of the transformed Hall–Littlewood polynomial with respect to the basis of Schur polynomials is given by

$$H_{\underline{\mu}}(\underline{x}; t) = \sum_{\underline{\lambda}} K_{\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu}}(t) s_{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{x}), \quad (1)$$

where $\underline{\lambda}$ runs over all partitions of $m = |\underline{\mu}|$, and $K_{\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu}}(t)$ is the Kostka–Foulkes polynomial (see [17, Page 242] and [11, Section 3.4.1]). By [11, Corollary 3.4.10], we have that with respect to the pairing defined above, the bases $P_{\underline{\lambda}}$ and $H_{\underline{\mu}}$ are dual, that is,

$$\langle P_{\underline{\lambda}}, H_{\underline{\mu}} \rangle = \delta_{\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu}}.$$

We will also need the *modified Hall–Littlewood polynomial* (see also [11, Section 3.4.7]). It is defined by the formula

$$\tilde{H}_{\underline{\mu}}(\underline{x}; t) = t^{n(\underline{\mu})} H_{\underline{\mu}}(\underline{x}; t^{-1}),$$

where for a partition $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$,

$$n(\underline{\lambda}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (j-1) \lambda_j.$$

2.1.1. *Formula for modified Hall–Littlewood polynomials in terms of flags fixed by Jordan matrices.* Let q be a prime power. When $t = q$, we have a formula expressing $\tilde{H}_{\underline{\mu}}(\underline{x}; q)$ in terms of flags fixed by Jordan matrices. We explain it now.

Given a positive integer b , let $J_{(b)}$ be the Jordan block

$$J_{(b)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_b(\mathbb{F}_q).$$

If $\underline{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_\ell) \vdash m$ is a partition, denote

$$J_{\underline{\mu}} = \mathrm{diag}(J_{(\mu_1)}, \dots, J_{(\mu_\ell)}).$$

Let $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) \vdash m$ be a partition. A flag \mathcal{F} of type $\underline{\lambda}$ in \mathbb{F}_q^m is a sequence of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^m

$$\mathcal{F}: 0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_r = \mathbb{F}_q^m, \quad (2)$$

such that for every $1 \leq j \leq r$,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} V_j = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_j.$$

The group $\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{F}_q)$ acts on the set of flags in \mathbb{F}_q^m as follows. If $g \in \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and \mathcal{F} is as in (2), then $g\mathcal{F}$ is the flag

$$g\mathcal{F}: 0 \subset gV_1 \subset gV_2 \subset \dots \subset gV_r = \mathbb{F}_q^m.$$

Given a partition $\underline{\mu} \vdash m$, we have the following formula for the modified Hall–Littlewood polynomial (see [18, Lemma 2.8]):

$$\tilde{H}_{\underline{\mu}}(\underline{x}; q) = \sum_{\underline{\lambda} \vdash m} \# \left\{ \mathcal{F} \text{ flag in } \mathbb{F}_q^m \text{ of type } \underline{\lambda} \mid J_{\underline{\mu}}\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F} \right\} \cdot m_{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{x}),$$

where $m_{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{x})$ is the monomial symmetric polynomial corresponding to $\underline{\lambda}$.

2.2. Unramified representations and spherical vectors. Let F be a non-archimedean local field with ring of integers \mathfrak{o} , maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{o}$, and residue field of cardinality $q = |\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}|$. Let $\varpi \in \mathfrak{o} \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ be a uniformizer, and normalize the absolute value on F such that $|\varpi| = \frac{1}{q}$. Let $B_n \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ be the upper triangular Borel subgroup.

Given complex numbers z_1, \dots, z_n , denote $\underline{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$. Consider the (normalized) parabolically induced representation

$$\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z}) = \mathrm{Ind}_{B_n}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} (|\cdot|^{z_1} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes |\cdot|^{z_n}).$$

We call $\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z})$ an *unramified principal series representation* with parameter \underline{z} , or just an *unramified representation* with parameter \underline{z} .

By [1, Section 4.2], the representation $\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z})$ is irreducible if and only if $\mathrm{Re} z_i \neq \mathrm{Re} z_j + 1$ for every i, j . The contragredient representation of $\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z})$ is $\mathrm{PS}(-\underline{z})$. The pairing $\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z}) \times \mathrm{PS}(-\underline{z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is realized via the formula

$$\langle f_{\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z})}, f_{\mathrm{PS}(-\underline{z})} \rangle = \int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o})} f_{\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z})}(k_0) f_{\mathrm{PS}(-\underline{z})}(k_0) d^\times k_0,$$

where we normalize the Haar measure so that $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o})$ has volume 1.

A smooth irreducible representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ is called *spherical* if it admits a non-zero $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o})$ -fixed vector, i.e., if there exists $0 \neq v \in \pi$ such that $\pi(k_0)v = v$ for every $k_0 \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o})$. Such vector is called a *spherical vector*. It is well-known that if π is spherical, then its spherical vector is unique, up to scalar multiplication. It is also well-known that if π is spherical, then π can be realized as a subrepresentation of $\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z})$ for some \underline{z} .

Notice that by the Iwasawa decomposition

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(F) = B_n \cdot \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o}),$$

and by the left B_n -equivariance property, functions in the space $\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z})$ are determined by their restriction to the subgroup $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o})$, and the unique spherical vector $f_{\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z})}^\circ$ in $\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z})$ with $f_{\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z})}^\circ(I_n) = 1$ is the function given by the formula

$$f_{\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z})}^\circ \left(\left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1 & * & * & * \\ & t_2 & * & * \\ & & \ddots & * \\ & & & t_n \end{pmatrix} k_0 \right) \right) = \prod_{j=1}^n |t_j|^{z_j + \frac{n+1}{2} - j},$$

where $t_1, \dots, t_n \in F^\times$ and $k_0 \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o})$.

2.2.1. Macdonald's formula for spherical matrix coefficients. Given a choice of \underline{z} , we define the *normalized spherical matrix coefficient* by the formula

$$\varphi_{\underline{z}}^\circ(g) = \langle \mathrm{PS}(\underline{z})(g) f_{\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z})}^\circ, f_{\mathrm{PS}(-\underline{z})}^\circ \rangle,$$

where $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. It follows from the definitions that $\varphi_{\underline{z}}^\circ(I_n) = 1$ and that $\varphi_{\underline{z}}^\circ(k_1 g k_2) = \varphi_{\underline{z}}^\circ(g)$ for any $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ and any $k_1, k_2 \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o})$. We also have that

$$\varphi_{\underline{z}}^\circ(ag) = |a|^{\sum_{j=1}^n z_j} \varphi_{\underline{z}}^\circ(g),$$

for any $a \in F^\times$ and any $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. By the Cartan decomposition, we have that

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(F) = F^\times \cdot \bigcup_{i_1 \geq i_2 \geq \dots \geq i_n \geq 0} \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o}) \mathrm{diag}(\varpi^{i_1}, \dots, \varpi^{i_n}) \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o}).$$

It follows that it suffices to know the values of $\varphi_{\underline{z}}^\circ$ on elements of the form $\varpi^{(i_1, \dots, i_n)} := \mathrm{diag}(\varpi^{i_1}, \dots, \varpi^{i_n})$, where $i_1 \geq \dots \geq i_n \geq 0$. For a partition $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ of length $\ell \leq n$, we define $\varpi^{\underline{\lambda}} = \varpi^{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)}$. The following formula for the value $\varphi_{\underline{z}}^\circ \left(\varpi^{\underline{\lambda}} \begin{smallmatrix} \varpi^{\underline{\lambda}} \\ I_{n-\ell} \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ is due to Macdonald [17, Page 299], [7]. Recall that we normalized the Haar measure so that $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o})$ has volume 1.

Theorem 2.1. *For any partition $\underline{\lambda}$ of length $\ell \leq n$ and any choice of $\underline{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Vol} \left(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o}) \left(\varpi^{\underline{\lambda}} \begin{smallmatrix} \varpi^{\underline{\lambda}} \\ I_{n-\ell} \end{smallmatrix} \right) \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o}) \right) \cdot \varphi_{\underline{z}}^\circ(\varpi^{\underline{\lambda}}) = q^{\frac{(n-1) \cdot |\underline{\lambda}|}{2} - n(\underline{\lambda})} \cdot \mathrm{P}_{\underline{\lambda}}(q^{-z_1}, \dots, q^{-z_n}; q^{-1}).$$

Remark 2.2. The volume term has the following explicit formula (see [17, Page 297])

$$\mathrm{Vol} \left(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o}) \left(\varpi^{\underline{\lambda}} \begin{smallmatrix} \varpi^{\underline{\lambda}} \\ I_{n-\ell} \end{smallmatrix} \right) \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o}) \right) = q^{-n(\underline{\lambda})} \cdot \mathrm{P}_{\underline{\lambda}}(q^{n-1}, q^{n-2}, \dots, q, 1; q^{-1}).$$

However, we will not need this.

2.3. (k, c) Whittaker functionals. Let $\psi: F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a non-trivial character with conductor \mathfrak{o} , i.e., ψ is trivial on \mathfrak{o} but not on $\varpi^{-1}\mathfrak{o}$. Let k and c be positive integers. Let $N_{(c^k)}$ be the unipotent radical of the standard parabolic subgroup $P_{(c^k)}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{kc}(F)$ corresponding to the composition (c^k) . We define a character $\psi_{(c^k)}: N_{(c^k)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ by the formula

$$\psi_{(c^k)} \left(\begin{pmatrix} I_c & X_1 & * & * & * \\ & I_c & X_2 & * & * \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & * \\ & & & I_c & X_{k-1} \\ & & & & I_c \end{pmatrix} \right) = \psi \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathrm{tr} X_j \right).$$

Let π be a smooth irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{kc}(F)$. A (k, c) ψ -Whittaker functional of π is a non-zero functional Λ on π such that for any $u \in N_{(c^k)}$ and any $v \in \pi$,

$$\langle \pi(u)v, \Lambda \rangle = \psi_{(c^k)}(u) \langle v, \Lambda \rangle.$$

2.3.1. Generic representations. When $c = 1$, we denote $U_k = N_{(1^k)}$ and $\psi = \psi_{(1^k)}$. In this case, we drop the (k, c) from the notion, and simply say that Λ is a ψ -Whittaker functional, instead of saying that Λ is a $(k, 1)$ ψ -Whittaker functional. A smooth irreducible representation π is called *generic* if it admits a ψ -Whittaker functional. This notion is independent of the choice of the additive character $\psi: F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$.

It is well-known that if π is irreducible generic, then it admits a unique (up to scalar multiplication) ψ -Whittaker functional. By Frobenius reciprocity, this implies that if π is irreducible generic, then there exists a unique subspace of $\mathrm{Ind}_{U_k}^{\mathrm{GL}_k(\psi)}(\psi)$ that is isomorphic to π . We denote this space by $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$, and call it the ψ -Whittaker model of π .

2.3.2. Shintani and Casselman–Shalika formula. By [1, Theorem 4.11], for any $\underline{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_k)$ such that the representation $\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z})$ is irreducible, $\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z})$ is generic. Given such \underline{z} , let $\Lambda_{\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z}), \psi}$ be a ψ -Whittaker functional. It turns out that $\langle f_{\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z})}^\circ, \Lambda_{\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z}), \psi} \rangle \neq 0$. We denote

$$W_{\underline{z}, \psi}^\circ(g) = \frac{\langle \mathrm{PS}(\underline{z})(g) f_{\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z})}^\circ, \Lambda_{\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z}), \psi} \rangle}{\langle f_{\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z})}^\circ, \Lambda_{\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z}), \psi} \rangle},$$

where $g \in \mathrm{GL}_k(F)$. Notice that $W_{\underline{z}, \psi}^\circ \in \mathcal{W}(\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z}), \psi)$. We have that $W_{\underline{z}, \psi}^\circ(I_k) = 1$ and that $W_{\underline{z}, \psi}^\circ(ugk_0) = \psi(u) W_{\underline{z}, \psi}^\circ(g)$ for any $u \in U_k$, any $k_0 \in \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathfrak{o})$, and any $g \in \mathrm{GL}_k(F)$. We also have, as before, that for any $a \in F^\times$ and $g \in \mathrm{GL}_k(F)$,

$$W_{\underline{z}, \psi}^\circ(ag) = |a|^{\sum_{j=1}^k z_j} W_{\underline{z}, \psi}^\circ(g).$$

By the Iwasawa decomposition, we have that

$$\mathrm{GL}_k(F) = F^\times \cdot \bigcup_{i_1, \dots, i_k \geq 0} U_k \cdot \mathrm{diag}(\varpi^{i_1}, \dots, \varpi^{i_k}) \cdot \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathfrak{o}).$$

Hence, it suffices to know the values of $W_{\underline{z}, \psi}^\circ$ on elements of the form $\varpi^{(i_1, \dots, i_k)} = \mathrm{diag}(\varpi^{i_1}, \dots, \varpi^{i_k})$. It turns out that $W_{\underline{z}, \psi}^\circ(\varpi^{(i_1, \dots, i_k)})$ is zero unless (i_1, \dots, i_k) is dominant, that is, $i_1 \geq i_2 \geq \dots \geq i_k$. Therefore, it suffices to know the values of $W_{\underline{z}, \psi}^\circ$ on elements

of the form $\left(\varpi^\lambda \begin{smallmatrix} I_{k-\ell} \end{smallmatrix} \right)$, where λ is a partition of length $\ell \leq k$. Such a formula was given by Shintani [23] and then generalized by Casselman–Shalika [8] for arbitrary connected reductive groups.

Theorem 2.3. *For any partition λ of length $\ell \leq k$ and for any \underline{z} such that $\operatorname{Re} z_i \neq \operatorname{Re} z_j + 1$,*

$$W_{\underline{z}, \psi}^\circ \left(\varpi^\lambda \begin{smallmatrix} I_{k-\ell} \end{smallmatrix} \right) = \delta_{B_k}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\varpi^\lambda \begin{smallmatrix} I_{k-\ell} \end{smallmatrix} \right) \cdot s_\lambda (q^{-z_1}, \dots, q^{-z_k}).$$

2.4. Speh representations of unramified principal series representations. Let τ be an irreducible generic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$. In [3], Cai–Friedberg–Gourevitch–Kaplan defined the notion of the generalized Speh representation $\Delta(\tau, c)$, for any $c \geq 1$. They proved that the representation $\Delta(\tau, c)$ is a (k, c) representation, which in particular means that it admits a unique (up to scalar multiplication) (k, c) ψ -Whittaker functional. They proved that if $\Lambda_{\Delta(\tau, c), \psi_{(c^k)}}$ is a (k, c) ψ -Whittaker functional of $\Delta(\tau, c)$, then for any $g \in \mathrm{GL}_c(F)$ and any $f \in \Delta(\tau, c)$, we have

$$\left\langle \Delta(\tau, c) (\operatorname{diag}^k(g)) f, \Lambda_{\Delta(\tau, c), \psi_{(c^k)}} \right\rangle = \omega_\tau(\det g) \cdot \left\langle f, \Lambda_{\Delta(\tau, c), \psi_{(c^k)}} \right\rangle,$$

where ω_τ is the central character of τ and

$$\operatorname{diag}^k(g) = \operatorname{diag}(g, \dots, g) \in \mathrm{GL}_{kc}(F).$$

Similarly to before, it follows from Frobenius reciprocity that there exists a unique subspace of $\operatorname{Ind}_{N_{(c^k)}}^{\mathrm{GL}_{kc}(F)} \left(\psi_{(c^k)} \right)$ that is isomorphic to $\Delta(\tau, c)$. We denote this subspace $\mathcal{W} \left(\Delta(\tau, c), \psi_{(c^k)} \right)$, and call it the (k, c) ψ -Whittaker model of $\Delta(\tau, c)$.

We will focus on the case that τ is an unramified principal series representation. Let $\tau = \operatorname{PS}(\underline{z})$, where $\operatorname{Re} z_i \neq \operatorname{Re} z_j + 1$ for every i, j . In this case, the Speh representation $\Delta(\operatorname{PS}(\underline{z}), c)$ is the parabolically induced representation

$$\Delta(\operatorname{PS}(\underline{z}), c) = \operatorname{Ind}_{P_{(c^k)}}^{\mathrm{GL}_{kc}(F)} (|\det_{\mathrm{GL}_c}|^{z_1} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes |\det_{\mathrm{GL}_c}|^{z_k}).$$

The representation $\Delta(\operatorname{PS}(\underline{z}), c)$ admits a unique spherical vector $f_{\Delta(\operatorname{PS}(\underline{z}), c)}^\circ$, satisfying $f_{\Delta(\operatorname{PS}(\underline{z}), c)}^\circ(I_{kc}) = 1$. This vector is given by the formula

$$f_{\Delta(\operatorname{PS}(\underline{z}), c)}^\circ \left(\left(\begin{pmatrix} g_1 & * & * & * \\ & g_2 & * & * \\ & & \ddots & * \\ & & & g_k \end{pmatrix} k_0 \right) \right) = \prod_{j=1}^k |\det g_j|^{z_j + c(\frac{k+1}{2} - j)},$$

where $g_1, \dots, g_k \in \mathrm{GL}_c(F)$ and $k_0 \in \mathrm{GL}_{kc}(\mathfrak{o})$.

2.4.1. Special values of the spherical (k, c) Whittaker function. Let $\Lambda_{\Delta(\operatorname{PS}(\underline{z}), c), \psi_{(c^k)}}$ be a (k, c) ψ -Whittaker functional. It turns out that the value $\left\langle f_{\Delta(\operatorname{PS}(\underline{z}), c)}^\circ, \Lambda_{\Delta(\operatorname{PS}(\underline{z}), c), \psi_{(c^k)}} \right\rangle$ is non-zero (see [2, Corollary 23]). Consider the function $S_{\underline{z}, c, \psi}^\circ : \mathrm{GL}_{kc}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, given by

$$S_{\underline{z}, c, \psi}^\circ(h) = \frac{\left\langle \Delta(\operatorname{PS}(\underline{z}), c)(h) f_{\Delta(\operatorname{PS}(\underline{z}), c)}^\circ, \Lambda_{\Delta(\operatorname{PS}(\underline{z}), c), \psi_{(c^k)}} \right\rangle}{\left\langle f_{\Delta(\operatorname{PS}(\underline{z}), c)}^\circ, \Lambda_{\Delta(\operatorname{PS}(\underline{z}), c), \psi_{(c^k)}} \right\rangle},$$

where $h \in \mathrm{GL}_{kc}(F)$. Notice that $S_{\underline{z},c,\psi}^\circ \in \mathcal{W}\left(\Delta(\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z}),c),\psi_{(c^k)}\right)$.

As before, we have that $S_{\underline{z},c,\psi}^\circ(I_{kc}) = 1$, and that for any $h \in \mathrm{GL}_{kc}(F)$, any $g \in \mathrm{GL}_c(F)$, any $u \in N_{(c^k)}$ and any $k_0 \in \mathrm{GL}_{kc}(\mathfrak{o})$,

$$S_{\underline{z},c,\psi}^\circ(\mathrm{diag}^k(g)uhk_0) = |\det g|^{z_1+\dots+z_k} \cdot \psi_{(c^k)}(u) \cdot S_{\underline{z},c,\psi}^\circ(h).$$

Unlike the previous cases where we reduced the evaluation of the special functions to their values on diagonal matrices, this case is more difficult. Thus, we will instead concentrate on matrices of a special form. Let $S_{\underline{z},c,\psi}: \mathrm{GL}_c(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the function

$$S_{\underline{z},c,\psi}(g) = S_{\underline{z},c,\psi}^\circ(\mathrm{diag}(g, I_{(k-1)c})),$$

where $g \in \mathrm{GL}_c(F)$. If $k_1, k_2 \in \mathrm{GL}_c(\mathfrak{o})$ and $g \in \mathrm{GL}_c(\mathfrak{o})$, then

$$S_{\underline{z},c,\psi}(k_1 g k_2) = |\det k_1|^{z_1+\dots+z_k} S_{\underline{z},c,\psi}^\circ(\mathrm{diag}(g, I_{(k-1)c}) \mathrm{diag}(k_2, \mathrm{diag}^{k-1}(k_1^{-1}))) = S_{\underline{z},c,\psi}(g).$$

Hence, by the Cartan decomposition, it suffices to know the values of $S_{\underline{z},c,\psi}$ on matrices of the form $\varpi^{(i_1, \dots, i_c)}$, where $i_1 \geq \dots \geq i_c$. We claim that we may assume that $i_c \geq 0$.

Proposition 2.4. *If $i_c < 0$, then $S_{\underline{z},c,\psi}(\varpi^{(i_1, \dots, i_c)}) = 0$.*

Proof. We have that

$$\mathrm{diag}(\varpi^{(i_1, \dots, i_c)}, I_{(k-1)c}) \cdot \mathrm{diag}\left(\begin{pmatrix} I_c & X \\ & I_c \end{pmatrix}, I_{(k-2)c}\right)$$

equals

$$\mathrm{diag}\left(\begin{pmatrix} I_c & \varpi^{(i_1, \dots, i_c)} X \\ & I_c \end{pmatrix}, I_{(k-2)c}\right) \cdot \mathrm{diag}(\varpi^{(i_1, \dots, i_c)}, I_{(k-1)c}).$$

Applying $S_{\underline{z},c,\psi}^\circ$ to both expressions, we get that for any $X \in \mathrm{Mat}_c(\mathfrak{o})$,

$$S_{\underline{z},c,\psi}(\varpi^{(i_1, \dots, i_c)}) = \psi(\mathrm{tr}(\varpi^{(i_1, \dots, i_c)} X)) S_{\underline{z},c,\psi}(\varpi^{(i_1, \dots, i_c)}).$$

Since ψ is non-trivial on $\varpi^{-1}\mathfrak{o}$, if $i_c < 0$, there exists an element $y \in \mathfrak{o}$ such that $\psi(\varpi^{i_c} y) \neq 1$. Choose X to be a matrix having zero entries everywhere except of its (c, c) entry, which we choose to be y . We get that

$$S_{\underline{z},c,\psi}(\varpi^{(i_1, \dots, i_c)}) = \psi(\varpi^{i_c} y) S_{\underline{z},c,\psi}(\varpi^{(i_1, \dots, i_c)}),$$

and since $\psi(\varpi^{i_c} y) \neq 0$, the result follows. \square

Although the restriction to matrices of the form $\mathrm{diag}(g, I_{(k-1)c})$ might seem limiting, the knowledge of these values is sufficient for many applications. See for example [4, Proposition 2.5], [5, Proposition 3.17] and [16]. As explained in [19, Page 1100], when $k = 2$, it suffices to restrict to matrices of these form. For general k , if one wishes to compute $S_{\underline{z},c,\psi}^\circ$ for general matrices, it is not sufficient to restrict to diagonal matrices. One would have to consider matrices of the form $\mathrm{diag}(g_1, \dots, g_k)$, where $g_1, \dots, g_k \in B_c$ are upper triangular, where it suffices to assume that g_1 is a diagonal matrix and $g_k = I_c$. This adds much complexity.

2.5. Ginzburg–Kaplan integrals. We now describe a recent integral construction of doubling type for the tensor product L -function. It is due to Ginzburg [10] in the global case, and due to Kaplan [5, Appendix A] in the local case (see also [14]).

Assume from now and on that $k > 1$. Let π and τ be irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_c(F)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_k(F)$, respectively. Assume that τ is generic.

For any $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $v \in \pi$, $v^\vee \in \pi^\vee$ and $W \in \mathcal{W}(\Delta(\tau, c), \psi_{(c^k)})$, define the zeta integral

$$Z(s, v, v^\vee, W; \psi) = \int_{\mathrm{GL}_c(F)} W(\mathrm{diag}(g, I_{(k-1)c})) \langle \pi(g)v, v^\vee \rangle |\det g|^{s - \frac{1+(k-2)c}{2}} d^\times g.$$

This integral converges for $\mathrm{Re} s$ large (depending only on the representations π and τ). For any choice of v, v^\vee and W , the integral $Z(s, v, v^\vee, W; \psi)$ converges in its convergence domain to a rational function in q^{-s} (an element of $\mathbb{C}(q^{-s})$), and therefore admits a meromorphic continuation to the entire plane. We continue denoting the meromorphic continuation by the same symbol.

As before, we focus on the case where π and τ are unramified principal series representations. Let $\underline{z}' = (z'_1, \dots, z'_c)$ and let $\underline{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_k)$ be tuples of complex numbers, and let $\pi = \mathrm{PS}(\underline{z}')$ and $\tau = \mathrm{PS}(\underline{z})$. Assume that $\mathrm{Re} z_i \neq \mathrm{Re} z_j + 1$ and $\mathrm{Re} z'_i \neq \mathrm{Re} z'_j + 1$ for any i and j . In [10, Section 3], Ginzburg showed the following result.

Theorem 2.5. *Normalize the Haar measure so that $\mathrm{GL}_c(\mathfrak{o})$ has volume 1. Then*

$$Z(s, f_{\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z}')}^\circ, f_{\mathrm{PS}(-\underline{z}')}^\circ, S_{\underline{z}, c, \psi}^\circ; \psi) = \prod_{i=1}^c \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{1 - q^{-s} \cdot q^{-z'_i} \cdot q^{-z_j}}.$$

3. MAIN RESULT

We use a combinatorial argument to compute the values of $S_{\underline{z}, c, \psi}$ on diagonal matrices.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\underline{\mu}$ be a partition with of length $\ell \leq c$. Then*

$$S_{\underline{z}, c, \psi} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \varpi^{\underline{\mu}} & \\ & I_{c-\ell} \end{pmatrix} \right) = \delta_{P(c^k)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \varpi^{\underline{\mu}} & \\ & I_{kc-\ell} \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot \tilde{H}_{\underline{\mu}}(q^{-z_1}, \dots, q^{-z_k}; q).$$

Proof. Using the Cartan decomposition and Proposition 2.4, we rewrite the Ginzburg–Kaplan zeta integral $Z(s, f_{\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z}')}^\circ, f_{\mathrm{PS}(-\underline{z}')}^\circ, S_{\underline{z}, c, \psi}^\circ; \psi)$ as the sum

$$\sum_{i_1 \geq i_2 \geq \dots \geq i_c \geq 0} \int_{\mathrm{GL}_c(\mathfrak{o}) \varpi^{(i_1, \dots, i_c)} \mathrm{GL}_c(\mathfrak{o})} \varphi_{\underline{z}'}^\circ(g) S_{\underline{z}, c, \psi}(g) q^{(-s + \frac{1+(k-2)c}{2})(i_1 + \dots + i_c)} d^\times g. \quad (3)$$

For a partition $\underline{\lambda}$ of length ℓ ($|\underline{\lambda}| \leq c$), let us denote $\varpi^{\underline{\lambda}, c} = \mathrm{diag}(\varpi, I_{c-\ell(\underline{\lambda})})$. Since both $\varphi_{\underline{z}'}^\circ$ and $S_{\underline{z}, c, \psi}$ are constant on the double coset $\mathrm{GL}_c(\mathfrak{o}) \varpi^{(i_1, \dots, i_c)} \mathrm{GL}_c(\mathfrak{o})$, we may rewrite (3) as

$$\sum_{\underline{\lambda}} \mathrm{Vol}(\mathrm{GL}_c(\mathfrak{o}) \varpi^{\underline{\lambda}, c} \mathrm{GL}_c(\mathfrak{o})) \varphi_{\underline{z}'}^\circ(\varpi^{\underline{\lambda}, c}) S_{\underline{z}, c, \psi}(\varpi^{\underline{\lambda}, c}) q^{(-s + \frac{1+(k-2)c}{2})|\underline{\lambda}|}, \quad (4)$$

where $\underline{\lambda}$ runs over all the partitions of length $\leq c$. Denote $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_c) = (q^{-z'_1}, \dots, q^{-z'_c})$, $\underline{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_k) = (q^{-z_1}, \dots, q^{-z_k})$ and $T = q^{-s}$. By Macdonald's formula (Theorem 2.1) and by (4), we have that

$$Z(s, f_{\mathrm{PS}(\underline{z}')}^\circ, f_{\mathrm{PS}(-\underline{z}')}^\circ, S_{\underline{z}, c, \psi}^\circ; \psi) = \sum_{\underline{\lambda}} q^{\frac{c(k-1) \cdot |\underline{\lambda}|}{2} - n(\underline{\lambda})} P_{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{x}; q) S_{\underline{z}, c, \psi}(\varpi^{\underline{\lambda}, c}) T^{|\underline{\lambda}|}.$$

On the other hand, by the unramified computation of Ginzburg (Theorem 2.5), we have

$$Z(s, f_{\text{PS}(\underline{z}')}^\circ, f_{\text{PS}(-\underline{z}')}^\circ, S_{\underline{z}, c, \psi}^\circ; \psi) = \prod_{i=1}^c \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{1 - T x_i y_j}.$$

By the Cauchy identity for Schur polynomials (see [17, Page 63]),

$$\prod_{i=1}^c \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{1 - T x_i y_j} = \sum_{\underline{\lambda}} s_{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{x}) s_{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{y}) T^{|\underline{\lambda}|},$$

where $\underline{\lambda}$ goes over all partitions.

Hence, for any non-negative integer m , we have the equality

$$\sum_{\underline{\lambda} \vdash m} s_{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{x}) s_{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{y}) = \sum_{\underline{\lambda} \vdash m} q^{\frac{c(k-1) \cdot |\underline{\lambda}|}{2} - n(\underline{\lambda})} \cdot P_{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{x}; q) S_{\underline{z}, c, \psi}(\varpi^{\underline{\lambda}, c}),$$

where on the right hand side we sum only over partitions of length $\leq c$. Let $m = |\underline{\mu}|$. Since the basis $(H_{\underline{\mu}}(\underline{x}; q^{-1}))_{\underline{\mu}}$ of the space $\mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]^{\text{Sc}}$ is dual to the basis $(P_{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{x}; q^{-1}))_{\underline{\lambda}}$, we have that

$$S_{\underline{z}, c, \psi}(\varpi^{\underline{\mu}, c}) = q^{-\frac{c(k-1) \cdot m}{2}} \cdot q^{n(\underline{\mu})} \sum_{\underline{\lambda} \vdash m} \langle s_{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{x}), H_{\underline{\mu}}(\underline{x}; q^{-1}) \rangle \cdot s_{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{y}),$$

where we regard y_1, \dots, y_k as constants. Notice that by (1), we have

$$\langle s_{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{x}), H_{\underline{\mu}}(\underline{x}; q^{-1}) \rangle = K_{\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu}}(q^{-1}).$$

Thus,

$$S_{\underline{z}, c, \psi}(\varpi^{\underline{\mu}, c}) = q^{-\frac{c(k-1) \cdot m}{2}} \cdot q^{n(\underline{\mu})} \sum_{\underline{\lambda} \vdash m} K_{\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu}}(q^{-1}) s_{\underline{\lambda}}(\underline{y}). \quad (5)$$

The right-hand side of (5) is

$$q^{-\frac{c(k-1) \cdot m}{2}} \cdot q^{n(\underline{\mu})} \cdot H_{\underline{\mu}}(\underline{y}; q^{-1}) = q^{-\frac{c(k-1) \cdot |\underline{\mu}|}{2}} \cdot \tilde{H}_{\underline{\mu}}(\underline{y}; q),$$

as required. \square

As a corollary of this theorem and of the Shintani formula (Theorem 2.3), we get the following formula that expresses values of $S_{\underline{z}, c, \psi}$ as linear combinations of values of $W_{\underline{z}, \psi}^\circ$. It can be seen as a generalization of [20, Theorem 5].

Corollary 3.2. *For any partition $\underline{\mu} \vdash m$ of length $\ell \leq c$, we have*

$$S_{\underline{z}, c, \psi} \left(\begin{array}{c} \varpi^{\underline{\mu}} \\ I_{c-\ell} \end{array} \right) = q^{-\frac{m(c-1)(k-1)}{2}} \sum_{\underline{\lambda} \vdash m} q^{-n(\underline{\lambda})} \cdot \tilde{K}_{\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu}}(q) \cdot W_{\underline{z}, \psi}^\circ \left(\begin{array}{c} \varpi^{\underline{\lambda}} \\ I_{k-\ell(\underline{\lambda})} \end{array} \right),$$

where the sum on the right hand side is over all partitions $\underline{\lambda}$ of length ℓ ($|\underline{\lambda}| \leq k$ and $\tilde{K}_{\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu}}(q) = q^{n(\underline{\mu})} K_{\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu}}(q^{-1})$ is the cocharge Kostka–Foulkes polynomial (see [11, Definition 3.4.13]).

Remark 3.3. By [11, Proposition 3.4.14], the value $\tilde{K}_{\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu}}(q)$ is the value of the character of the unipotent representation of $\text{GL}_m(\mathbb{F}_q)$ corresponding to the partition λ , evaluated at the unipotent conjugacy class of type μ .

REFERENCES

- [1] I. N. Bernstein and A. V. Zelevinsky. Induced representations of reductive p -adic groups. I. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 10(4):441–472, 1977. 6, 8
- [2] Y. Cai, S. Friedberg, D. Ginzburg, and E. Kaplan. Doubling constructions and tensor product L -functions: the linear case. *Invent. Math.*, 217(3):985–1068, 2019. 2, 9
- [3] Y. Cai, S. Friedberg, D. Gourevitch, and E. Kaplan. The generalized doubling method: (k, c) models. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 151(7):2831–2845, 2023. 3, 4, 9
- [4] Y. Cai, S. Friedberg, and E. Kaplan. The generalized doubling method: local theory. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 32(6):1233–1333, 2022. 2, 10
- [5] Y. Cai, S. Friedberg, and E. Kaplan. Doubling constructions: Global functoriality for non-generic cuspidal representations. *Annals of Mathematics*, 2024. 3, 10, 11
- [6] O. Carmon and E. Zelingher. On Ginzburg-Kaplan gamma factors and Bessel-Speh functions for finite general linear groups. 2024. [arXiv:2406.14262](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.14262). 3
- [7] W. Casselman. The unramified principal series of p -adic groups. I. The spherical function. *Compositio Math.*, 40(3):387–406, 1980. 4, 7
- [8] W. Casselman and J. Shalika. The unramified principal series of p -adic groups. II. The Whittaker function. *Compositio Math.*, 41(2):207–231, 1980. 2, 9
- [9] W. T. Gan, B. H. Gross, and D. Prasad. Symplectic local root numbers, central critical L values, and restriction problems in the representation theory of classical groups. *Astérisque*, (346):1–109, 2012. Sur les conjectures de Gross et Prasad. I. 1
- [10] D. Ginzburg. Tensor Product L -Functions On Metaplectic Covering Groups of GL_r . 2019. [arXiv:1908.07720](https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.07720). 4, 11
- [11] M. Haiman. Combinatorics, symmetric functions, and Hilbert schemes. In *Current developments in mathematics, 2002*, pages 39–111. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2003. 5, 12
- [12] H. Jacquet, I. I. Piatetskii-Shapiro, and J. A. Shalika. Rankin-Selberg convolutions. *Amer. J. Math.*, 105(2):367–464, 1983. 1
- [13] H. Jacquet and J. Shalika. Exterior square L -functions. In *Automorphic forms, Shimura varieties, and L -functions, Vol. II (Ann Arbor, MI, 1988)*, volume 11 of *Perspect. Math.*, pages 143–226. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990. 2
- [14] E. Kaplan. Rankin-Selberg integrals and L -functions for covering groups of general linear groups. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (15):13332–13386, 2023. 2, 11
- [15] E. M. Lapid and Z. Mao. Local Rankin-Selberg integrals for Speh representations. *Compos. Math.*, 156(5):908–945, 2020. 1, 2, 3
- [16] C. Luo. An asymptotic expansion of (k, c) -functions for $GL_n(F)$. *Math. Z.*, 306(2):Paper No. 35, 26, 2024. 10
- [17] I. G. Macdonald. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. Oxford university press, 1998. 5, 7, 12
- [18] S. Ram. Subspace Profiles over Finite Fields and q -Whittaker Expansions of Symmetric Functions. 2023. [arXiv:2309.16607](https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.16607). 6
- [19] Y. Sakellaridis. A Casselman-Shalika formula for the Shalika model of GL_n . *Canad. J. Math.*, 58(5):1095–1120, 2006. 2, 10
- [20] F. Sato. Fourier coefficients of Eisenstein series of GL_n , local densities of square matrices and subgroups of finite abelian groups. *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli*, 54(1):33–48, 2005. 2, 3, 12
- [21] F. Shahidi. Fourier transforms of intertwining operators and Plancherel measures for $GL(n)$. *Amer. J. Math.*, 106(1):67–111, 1984. 1
- [22] F. Shahidi. A proof of Langlands’ conjecture on Plancherel measures; complementary series for p -adic groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 132(2):273–330, 1990. 1
- [23] T. Shintani. On an explicit formula for class-1 “Whittaker functions” on GL_n over P -adic fields. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 52(4):180–182, 1976. 2, 4, 9
- [24] E. Zelingher. On matrix Kloosterman sums and Hall–Littlewood polynomials. 2023. [arXiv:2312.13121](https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.13121).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, 1844 EAST HALL, 530 CHURCH STREET,
ANN ARBOR, MI 48109-1043 USA
Email address: `eladz@umich.edu`