

# Characterization of SiPM Performance in a Small Satellite in Low Earth Orbit using LabOSat-01

Lucas Finazzi\*<sup>1</sup>, Federico Izraelevitch<sup>1</sup>, Mariano Barella<sup>1,2</sup>, Fernando Gomez Marlasca<sup>3</sup>, Gabriel Sanca<sup>†4</sup>, and Federico Golmar<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Instituto de Ciencias Físicas, Universidad de San Martín, CONICET, Buenos Aires, Argentina

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup>Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica, Buenos Aires, Argentina

<sup>4</sup>Escuela de Ciencia y Tecnología, Universidad de San Martín, Buenos Aires, Argentina

July 11, 2024

## Abstract

In this work, the performance of SensL MicroFC-60035 SiPM devices was studied during a 1460-day mission in Low Earth Orbit (LEO) using the LabOSat-01 characterization payload. Two of these platforms, carrying two SiPMs each, were integrated into the ÑuSat-7 satellite (COSPAR-ID: 2020-003B). Analysis revealed that these SiPMs experienced an increase in dark current over time due to damage from trapped and solar proton radiation. The total ionizing dose received by the payload and the SiPMs was measured using p-MOSFET dosimeters, with a resulting value of 5 Gy, or a 1 MeV neutron equivalent fluence of  $\phi_n = 5 \cdot 10^9$  n/cm<sup>2</sup>. The dark current was observed to increase up to 500 times. Parameters such as Gain and Photon Detection Efficiency remained unchanged throughout the mission. These findings align with previous performance reports involving different SiPMs irradiated with various particles and energies.

## 1 Introduction

Silicon photomultipliers (SiPMs) are advanced solid-state optoelectronic devices that offer numerous advantages over traditional photomultiplier tubes. These advantages include higher photon detection efficiency and improved temporal resolution, among others [1]. SiPMs are compact, durable, immune to magnetic fields, and require relatively low bias voltage, making them highly attractive for space applications that require particle detection. Recently, SiPMs have been used in various space applications, including measuring transient gamma rays [2], detecting high-energy cosmic rays [3], and detecting coincident gamma-ray bursts with gravitational wave events [4]. Additionally, SiPMs are used in terrestrial applications, such as communications [5], astrophysics [6], and quantum optics [7].

---

\*Lead author: lfinazzi@unsam.edu.ar

†Corresponding author: gsanca@unsam.edu.ar

The use of these sensors in space, in particular in Low Earth Orbit (LEO), requires a thorough understanding on radiation in the satellite’s environment. These sensors can suffer major degradation in radiation-prone orbits. Nevertheless, SiPMs can continue to work (albeit at reduced performance) even after degradation from ionizing or non-ionizing radiation [8, 9, 10]. SiPM damage with medium fluences ( $\sim 10^{10}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup> for 1 MeV equivalent neutrons) results mainly in an increase in their Dark Count Rate and their dark current, while maintaining other intrinsic characteristics (like Gain, Photon Detection Efficiency, and others) intact [8].

Four SiPMs were integrated into the ÑuSat-7 satellite (COSPAR-ID 2020-003B) on January 2020 and put in orbit using a LabOSat-01 (LS-01) payload, along with a dedicated daughter board (DB) specifically designed for this mission (See [11, 12] and the references therein). The integration of various SiPMs allowed us to have redundancies in case of electronics or SiPM malfunction. We aimed to measure the degradation of these devices after almost 4 years of mission time and show that they continue to operate, albeit with reduced performance, to controlled light stimuli.

In Section 2, a description of the electronics of the LS-01 platform is presented and the tests performed on the devices of interest are also detailed. In Section 3, particle radiation in the satellite’s orbit is discussed. In Section 4, results from 1460 days in LEO are presented. In Section 5, the conclusions of the work are outlined.

## 2 The LabOSat Payload

### 2.1 Electronics

The LS-01 platform and a dedicated DB were used to perform experiments on SiPMs under controlled light stimuli. SensL MicroFC-60035 SiPMs were bundled together with an LED and placed together inside a light-tight housing (SiLH, for SiPM Light-tight Housing). This allowed for the characterization of SiPMs under controlled illumination during the mission. A schematic drawing of the SiLHs, which represent the DUTs of the present study, is shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Schematic diagram of the SiLH design, in a cutout view (not to scale). Image taken from [11].

The SiPM was attached to the LED using a transparent epoxy, placing the active sides of each component facing each other. The assembly was then soldered to cables, and submerged into a black opaque epoxy inside an aluminum housing.

Each DB contains two SiLHs in two redundant blocks in parallel, one for each SiLH. The AD590 temperature sensor was used in the DB near the SiLHs to measure the temperature of the SiPMs. Each SiPM has its own independent power supply, based on a DC-DC boost converter chip (LT3571). The SiPMs were biased at fixed bias voltage of  $(29.1 \pm 0.1)$  V. Typically, this bias value gives an overvoltage of 4.5 V at 21 °C. The SiPM current was measured using the Monitor output pin of the DC-DC chip, which is a current mirror of the output pin, sourcing a 20% of the output current onto a 10 k $\Omega$  resistor. In addition, the payload contains a circuit for p-MOS dosimeter readout for a measurement on the Total Ionizing Dose (TID) on the board and SiPM sensors. All logic signals controlling LED biasing, SiPM, dosimeter and temperature readout were controlled by the microcontroller unit of LS-01. A more detailed description of the electronics can be found in [11].

## 2.2 Test descriptions

Two distinct tests were executed in the reported mission: The standard test and the long test. The first one was designed to test SiPM sensors under 21 LED illumination levels. For each LED current level, the LS-01 measures:

1. Temperature on the DB
2. LED voltage
3. SiPM bias voltage
4. SiPM current

For more details into this test, see Ref. [11].

The long test was designed to test the SiPM sensors during a whole satellite orbit (which has a period of approximately 90 minutes). In a long test, the LED is kept off and measurements are performed once every 15 seconds for a duration of 100 minutes. Every 15 seconds, LS-01 measures

1. Temperature on the DB
2. SiPM bias voltage
3. SiPM current

This test allows to measure SiPM current fluctuations due to instantaneous changes in temperature. The long test was only executed from February 2023 until the mission ended on January 2024.

## 3 Particle Radiation and Satellite Shielding

The ÑuSat-7 satellite was launched on January 15th 2020 (COSPAR-ID 2020-003B). The original orbit has 476 km/490 km Perigee/Apogee altitude and an inclination of 97.34 degrees. SPENVIS [13] simulations were used to estimate the solar proton and the trapped electron and proton differential fluences in the aforementioned orbit for a duration of 1300 days.

SAPPHIRE (total fluence) model was used for solar protons and AP-8 and AE-8 (solar minimum) trapped particle radiation models were used for trapped protons and electrons.

The shielding provided by the satellite and by the electronics surrounding our payload was estimated to be the equivalent of 4 mm thickness aluminum [12]. A TRIM [14] simulation was run for this shielding and it was observed that only protons with an energy higher than  $E_{min} = 28$  MeV pass through it. The trapped electron energy is too small to penetrate this equivalent shielding [15]. This suggests that the SiPM observed damage will come mainly from protons.

It is often useful to calculate the 1 MeV neutron equivalent fluence for a differential proton distribution  $\frac{d\phi}{dE}(E)$  such as the one observed in LEO orbits. To perform this calculation, the NIEL (Non-Ionizing Energy Loss) scaling hypothesis is assumed [16, 17]. The 1 MeV neutron equivalent fluence  $\phi_n$  can be calculated with the following equation [18]

$$\phi_n(1 \text{ MeV}) = \int_{E_{min}}^{E_{max}} K(E) \frac{d\phi}{dE}(E) dE , \quad (1)$$

where  $K(E)$  is the hardness factor for protons in Silicon. These factors are tabulated and can be found in many reference documents like [19]. The 1 MeV neutron equivalent fluence for the whole mission can then be obtained from the SPENVIS and TRIM simulations and they are  $\phi_n^{(solar)} = 1.6 \cdot 10^{10}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup> and  $\phi_n^{(trapped)} = 8 \cdot 10^9$  n/cm<sup>2</sup>, which gives  $\phi_n^{(total)} = 2.4 \cdot 10^{10}$  n/cm<sup>2</sup>.

The mission TID was measured to be approximately  $D = 5$  Gy. To convert this value to 1 MeV neutron equivalent fluence, the following relationship was used [20]

$$\phi_n = R_p D , \quad (2)$$

where  $R_p$  is the neutron-proton Damage Equivalence Factor [20]. This factor is roughly constant in Silicon for a wide range of energies (20 MeV to 200 MeV) and has a value of  $R_p \simeq 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ Gy}^{-1}$ . This means that the measured 1 MeV neutron equivalent fluence is  $\phi_n = 5 \cdot 10^9$  n/cm<sup>2</sup> in 1460 days or  $\phi_n = 3.4 \cdot 10^6$  n/cm<sup>2</sup> per day on average. While it is approximately of the same order, the measured value is 4 times smaller than the previously estimated total fluence value using SPENVIS. The discrepancy could be due to uncertainty in the estimated thickness of the equivalent shielding (and thus, uncertainty for the estimated value of  $E_{min}$ ). It could also be due to the fact that the dosimeter's aluminum packaging, which was not considered in the SPENVIS calculation, provides more effective shielding.

## 4 Results

### 4.1 Standard Test

The first parameter that was measured in each standard test was the DB temperature, which is close to the SiPM sensors. These measurements can be seen vs. days since launch in Figure 2. The last datapoints of 2023 show an increasing temperature tendency when compared to datapoints in the previous years. The increase in temperature is due to the decreasing altitude of the satellite during the end of its lifespan. The vast majority of datapoints are contained in a temperature range of  $(-3 \pm 3)$  °C.

Secondly, LED voltage was measured to monitor deviations from typical operation (for example, due to radiation damage). Figure 3 shows LED voltage as a function of temperature




Figure 2: DB temperature of one payload vs. days since launch for the whole mission duration. Satellite altitude is also shown as a solid line. It can be observed that 2020 and the last datapoints of 2023 have a higher temperature than the other data points. The increase in temperature at the end of the mission is due to the decreasing altitude of the satellite at the end of its lifespan.

for the four SiLH components. A linear trend is observed for each device, which is compatible to Earth measurements. In addition, the slope observed for each operation point of the LEDs remained unchanged. This seems to indicate that there was no noticeable damage to the LEDs.

The next parameter studied was the SiPM bias voltage. This value is measured during every experiment for each SiPM and all these values are shown on a violin plot in Figure 4. The set bias for all SiPMs was  $(29.1 \pm 0.1)$  V and it can be observed that this value didn't change for 4 years of mission time. The LT3571 was found to be insensitive to temperature fluctuations and to radiation damage, making it ideal for space applications inside small satellites. The dose received by the electronics was measured to be approximately 5 Gy [12].

Finally, SiPM current was measured for several incident light intensities. Plots of SiPM current as a function of LED current and as a function of days since launch can be seen in Figure 5 for one particular SiPM in orbit. From now on, results presented are limited to this particular SiPM. The behaviour of the other three was observed to be similar. For all the datapoints in these figures, only experiments in the temperature range of  $(-3 \pm 3)$  °C were considered, so as to avoid temperature dependent effects (like dark current increase) when comparing measurements performed during the mission lifespan.

A clear increase in SiPM dark current can be seen, which can be attributed to radiation damage caused by protons. As observed in the bottom plot of Figure 5, the increase in dark current is steeper at the beginning and becomes less pronounced with time. In addition, if we observe the difference between the dark current (LED off) and maximum illumination curves, we can see that it remains unchanged for the entire duration of the mission. This may indicate that, while the dark current increased with increasing time, the SiPM Gain




Figure 3: **Top plot:** LED voltage vs. temperature for the minimum LED current (LED off). **Bottom plot:** Maximum LED illumination (below) for all 4 LEDs in each SiLH. LEO and Earth measurements were compared to validate the correct operation of the LEDs inside the satellite. It can be observed that the behaviour of the LEDs remains unchanged when compared to Earth measurements.

remained almost constant for the entire mission. It is a known fact that SiPM Gain and Photon Detection Efficiency is not degraded by medium-fluence irradiations [8], which is the case of this work.

In addition, the operation performance of SiPMs could be assessed for a given LED illumination by observing the ratio between the SiPM current at that illumination and the SiPM dark current. This performance parameter can be calculated for any LED light intensity in a standard test. When this parameter approaches a value of 1, it means that no signal can be detected from the SiPMs for a given LED illumination (flat I-I curve). The performance parameter vs. days since launch for various LED illuminations can be seen in Figure 6.

The decrease in the performance parameter is mainly due to the increase of SiPM dark




Figure 4: Violin plot of SiPM bias voltage for all 4 SiPMs used in every payload. The SiPM bias voltage for all devices never changed from the set value of  $(29.1 \pm 0.1)$  V. This is a good validation for the use of LT3571 for space applications.

current, which turns comparable with generated photocurrent for those LED illuminations. For the maximum illumination, the SiPM retains a performance of 1.6. For 85 % illumination, performance is very close to 1. Nevertheless, a performance parameter of 1.6 for maximum illumination is much lower than the performance of 323 measured (at  $-3$  °C) for the same LED illumination on Earth.

Similar SiPM devices have been tested in radiation facilities by other authors. Table 1 shows SiPM model and radiation source for each work.

SiPM Model	Source	Ref.
SensL MicroFC-60035	8 MeV electrons	[21]
SensL MicroFC-60035	64 MeV protons	[21]
SensL MicroFC-10050	White neutrons	[22, 23]
FBKVUV-HD 2019	75 MeV protons	[18]

Table 1: SiPM models and radiation sources of several references that can be used to compare to this work.

From each of these articles, measurements of dark current vs. 1 MeV equivalent fluence were extracted and can be compared to the measurements in this work. This comparison plot can be seen in Figure 7.

It is interesting to note that all SiPMs present the same (or very similar) increase in dark current vs. dose, irrespective of their initial (or non-irradiated) dark current. SiPM models such as SensL MicroFC-10050 ( $1 \text{ mm}^2$ ) present a smaller dark current because of their reduced active area when compared to SensL MicroFC-60035 ( $36 \text{ mm}^2$ ). Our measurements seem to validate the 1 MeV equivalence estimation and the NIEL scaling hypothesis holds true for the studied SiPMs as well. This means that damage from LEO environments such as the one




Figure 5: **Top plot:** SiPM current vs. LED current for different mission days and their comparison to Earth measurements for a particular SiPM in orbit. A clear rise in dark current can be seen and is due to radiation damage in the satellite’s orbit. **Bottom plot:** SiPM current vs. days since launch for LED off (dark current) and LED at maximum illumination. The increase in dark current can clearly be seen again in this plot. What is more interesting is that the SiPM responsivity remains almost unchanged even after 4 years of mission time. For the same amount of LED current, the photocurrent generated by the SiPMs over the dark counts remained almost constant during the whole mission.

presented in this work can be correctly estimated by SiPM irradiation on Earth with different particles and different energies, so as long as the neutron equivalent fluence expected in orbit is the same.

In addition, the dark current comparison between our measurements and MicroFC-60035 irradiated with protons and electrons [21] is strikingly similar. This is beside the fact that the LEO irradiation conditions differ greatly from a mono energetic proton or electron beam. In space, SiPMs are continuously being irradiated by a distribution of protons and electrons of different energies while, most of the time, they are at sub 0 °C temperatures. Moreover, they receive radiation in small doses over a prolonged time, as opposed to a large dose received at






Figure 6: SiPM performance parameter vs. days since launch for different LED illuminations. The performance parameter is the ratio between SiPM current at a given LED illumination and the SiPM dark current. It can be seen that lowest illumination currents on the LED result in a current performance parameter of one, which means the SiPM no longer operates as a photo-detector for those illuminations. However, for maximum illumination, the SiPM retains a performance of 1.6. Nevertheless, this performance parameter is much lower than the performance value of 323 measured for the same LED illumination on Earth (at  $-3\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ ).

once.

## 4.2 Long Test

As previously stated, this test allowed for the measurement of SiPM dark current along a full satellite orbit. An example of a long test measurement for a particular SiPM can be seen in the top plot of Figure 8.

An increase in SiPM temperature is observed in the first 40 minutes of the measurement, which is due to payload self heating at the start of the long test. Afterwards, the temperature oscillates due to the satellite being in Eclipse or in-Sun during its orbit. The correlation between SiPM dark current and temperature is also shown in the bottom plot of Figure 8. This correlation shows a positive offset with increasing time. This tendency was observed up to 1315 mission days, which is around the date of the last report before the satellite’s pronounced loss in altitude. After that point, the tendency is reversed. To our knowledge, the only experimental parameter that changed after that date was the satellite altitude, which is highly correlated to DB/SiPM temperature, as shown in Figure 2. Due to this, a very likely hypothesis is that the SiPM radiation damage was partially recovered due to annealing caused by the higher temperatures the SiPMs were subjected to. This behaviour is consistent with previous works [24], where it is shown that the characteristic time of SiPM recovery due to annealing changes noticeably even for small temperature differences. Nevertheless, it is important to note that the recovery observed is very small and in no way approached SiPM dark currents before launch.




Figure 7: Comparison of dark current vs. 1 MeV neutron equivalent fluence between our measurements and cited references in Table 1. SiPMs from those references are from different SiPM models and technologies and were irradiated with various radiation sources. It is interesting to observe that the increase in dark current with dose is the same, or very similar, for every case, irrespective of the initial (or non-irradiated) dark current. One of the cited references irradiates the same SiPM sensor we used (SensL MicroFC-60035) at similar over-voltage conditions and the dark current comparison is similar for a wide range of fluences.

Another interesting result seen in the bottom plot of Figure 2 is the reduction in SiPM dark current increase with temperature. Before launch, dark current doubled every 10 °C and this is no longer true after 1460 mission days. At the end of the mission, dark current was estimated to double every 20 °C instead. This reduction in SiPM dark current increase with temperature was studied in [24], where SiPMs were irradiated with neutrons, and measurements obtained there are consistent with this work.

## 5 Conclusions and Outlook

In this work, the performance of SensL MicroFC-60035 SiPM devices was studied in Low Earth Orbit using the LabOSat-01 characterization payload. Four SiPMs were integrated into the *ÑuSat-7* satellite (COSPAR-ID: 2020-003B) and the mission had a total duration of 1460 days. Throughout the mission, the SiPMs received damage from trapped and solar protons in the satellite’s orbit. Different experiments were performed on the SiPMs to gain further insight on this damage and the SiPMs’ operation characteristics.

The dark current was observed to increase by a factor of 500 during the mission, while other characteristics, like Gain and Photon Detection Efficiency remained unchanged. It was also observed that SiPMs remained operational for the brighter LED light intensities under study, albeit with a reduced performance. The 1 MeV neutron equivalent fluence that the electronics and SiPMs received was measured to be  $\phi_n = 5 \cdot 10^9$  n/cm<sup>2</sup> with p-MOSFET




Figure 8: **Top plot:** Plot of SiPM current vs. time along with a plot of Temperature vs. orbit time. This measurement corresponds to 1180 days after launch (August 2023). **Bottom plot:** SiPM current vs. Temperature for several long tests performed in different days. A positive offset is observed in the curves with increasing time. This tendency was observed up to 1315 mission days, which is around the date of the last report before the satellite’s pronounced loss in altitude. After that point, the tendency is reversed and one hypothesis is that the SiPMs are slightly recovering due to annealing from the increase in temperature.

dosimeters integrated near our payload. Dark current increase for a given measured dose was compared with other works, with compatible results. Our measurements seem to validate the 1 MeV equivalence estimation and the NIEL scaling hypothesis holds true for the studied SiPMs as well. This means that damage from LEO environments such as the one presented in this work can be correctly estimated by SiPM irradiation on Earth with different particles and different energies, so as long as the neutron equivalent fluence expected in orbit is the same.

In addition, SiPMs were studied along full satellite orbits and interesting results were obtained. It was observed that the rate of increase of dark current with temperature is smaller after SiPMs are damaged by radiation.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Satellogic for their help during the AIT, mission commissioning and operations. The authors acknowledge financial support from ANPCyT, PICT 2017-0984 “Componentes Electrónicos para Aplicaciones Satelitales (CEpAS)”, PICT-2018-0365 “LabOSat: Plataforma de caracterización de dispositivos electrónicos en ambientes hostiles”, PICT-2019-2019-02993 “LabOSat: desarrollo de un Instrumento detector de fotones individuales para aplicaciones espaciales” and UNSAM-ECyT FP-001.

## References

- [1] ONSEMI. *C-Series SiPM Sensors*. URL: <https://www.onsemi.com/download/datasheet/pdf/microc-series-d.pdf>.
- [2] Xutao Zheng et al. “In-orbit radiation damage characterization of SiPMs in the GRID-02 CubeSat detector”. In: *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* 1044 (2022), p. 167510. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2022.167510>.
- [3] R. Bencardino et al. “Response of the LAZIO-SiRad detector to low energy electrons”. In: *Proc. of the 29th International Cosmic Ray Conference*. Pune, India, Jan. 2005, p. 449.
- [4] Dali Zhang et al. “Energy response of GECAM gamma-ray detector based on LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce and SiPM array”. In: *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* 921 (2019), pp. 8–13. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2018.12.032>.
- [5] Z. Deng et al. “A Novel Visible Light Communication System Based on a SiPM Receiver”. In: *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Telecommunications and Communication Engineering*. Singapore, Dec. 2022, pp. 98–111.
- [6] A. Aab et al. “Calibration of the underground muon detector of the Pierre Auger Observatory”. In: *Journal of Instrumentation* 16.04 (2021), P04003. DOI: [10.1088/1748-0221/16/04/P04003](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/16/04/P04003).
- [7] G. Chesi et al. “Optimizing Silicon photomultipliers for Quantum Optics”. In: *Sci Rep* 9.7433 (2019), P04003. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-43742-1>.
- [8] E. Garutti and Y. Musienko. “Radiation damage of SiPMs”. In: *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* 926 (2019), pp. 69–84. DOI: [10.1016/j.nima.2018.10.191](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2018.10.191).
- [9] S. Mianowski et al. “Proton irradiation of SiPM arrays for POLAR-2”. In: *Experimental Astronomy* (2022). DOI: [10.1007/s10686-022-09873-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10686-022-09873-6).

- [10] Thomas Tsang. “Silicon photomultipliers radiation damage and recovery via high temperature annealing”. In: *Journal of Instrumentation* 13.10 (2018). DOI: 10.1088/1748-0221/13/10/P10019.
- [11] Mariano Barella et al. “Silicon photomultiplier characterization on board a satellite in Low Earth Orbit”. In: *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* 979 (2020), p. 164490. DOI: 10.1016/j.nima.2020.164490.
- [12] Lucas Finazzi et al. “Total ionizing dose measurements in small satellites in LEO using LabOSat-01”. In: *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* 1064 (2024), p. 169344. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2024.169344>.
- [13] ESA, SPace ENVironment Information System (SPENVIS). URL: <https://www.spennis.oma.be/>.
- [14] J. F. Ziegler. *Interactions of Ions with Matter*. URL: <http://www.srim.org/>.
- [15] M. J. Berger and S. M. Seltzer. *Penetration of Electrons and Associated Bremsstrahlung through Aluminum Targets*. 1968. URL: <https://ntrs.nasa.gov/citations/19680016667>.
- [16] T. Nuns et al. “Experimental Study of the NIEL Scaling for Silicon Devices”. In: *2018 18th European Conference on Radiation and Its Effects on Components and Systems (RADECS)*. 2018, pp. 1–8. DOI: 10.1109/RADECS45761.2018.9328677.
- [17] Angela Vasilescu and Gunnar Lindström. “Notes on the fluence normalisation based on the NIEL scaling hypothesis”. In: *ROSE/TN 2* (2000).
- [18] Anna Rita Altamura et al. “Radiation damage on SiPMs for space applications”. In: *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* 1045 (2023), p. 167488. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2022.167488>.
- [19] M. Huhtinen and P.A. Aarnio. “Pion induced displacement damage in silicon devices”. In: *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* 335.3 (1993), pp. 580–582. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-9002\(93\)91246-J](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-9002(93)91246-J).
- [20] J. P. Raymond and E. L. Petersen. “Comparison of Neutron, Proton and Gamma Ray Effects in Semiconductor Devices”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science* 34.6 (1987), pp. 1621–1628. DOI: 10.1109/TNS.1987.4337526.
- [21] Lee Mitchell et al. “Radiation damage assessment of SensL SiPMs”. In: *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* 988 (2021), p. 164798. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2020.164798>.
- [22] M. Andreotti et al. “Silicon photo-multiplier radiation hardness tests with a white neutron beam”. In: *2013 3rd International Conference on Advancements in Nuclear Instrumentation, Measurement Methods and their Applications (ANIMMA)*. 2013, pp. 1–4. DOI: 10.1109/ANIMMA.2013.6728033.

- [23] M. Angelone et al. “Silicon photo-multiplier radiation hardness tests with a beam controlled neutron source”. In: *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* 623.3 (2010), pp. 921–926. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2010.07.057>.
- [24] Yi Qiang et al. “Radiation hardness tests of SiPMs for the JLab Hall D Barrelcalorimeter”. In: *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* 698 (2013), pp. 234–241. ISSN: 0168-9002. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2012.10.015>.