

A simple proof on the number of $(3 \times n)$ -Latin rectangles based on a set of λ elements

Pantaree Thengarnanchai^{a,b,*}, Pawaton Kaemawichanurat^{a,b,†},
 Watcharintorn Ruksasakchai^c, Natawat Klamsakul^{a,b}

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,
 King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi,
 Bangkok, Thailand

^bMathematics and Statistics with Applications (MaSA)

^cDepartment of Computational Science and Digital Technology,
 Faculty of Liberal Arts and Science,
 Kasetsart University, Kamphaeng Saen Campus
 pantaree.theng@gmail.com, pawaton.kae@kmutt.ac.th,
 watcharintorn1@hotmail.com, natawat.kla@kmutt.ac.th

Abstract

In 1980, Athreya, Pranesachar and Singhi established the chromatic polynomial of $(3 \times n)$ -Latin rectangles whose entries based on a set $\{1, 2, \dots, \lambda\}$ in which $\lambda \geq n$. Their proof requires Möbius inversion formula and lattice partitions. In this paper, we present a simpler proof by using the idea of mathematical induction and appropriate coloring.

Keywords: Latin rectangle

AMS subject classification: 05A05

1 Introduction and Motivation

Throughout this paper, we let a graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be finite and simple, no loops or multiple edges. For a vertex $x \in V(G)$, a *neighbour* of x in G is a vertex y such that $xy \in E(G)$. The *neighbour set* of x in G is the set of all neighbours of x in G and is denoted by $N_G(x)$. For a graph G , the *line graph* H_G of G is the graph whose vertices correspond to the edges of G and any two vertices of H are adjacent if and only if the corresponding edges of G are incident. Let u, v be a pair of distinct vertices of G . The *identified graph* G_{uv} is

*Petchra Pra Jom Klao Master Scholarship from King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi (10/2566)

†National Research Council of Thailand (NRCT) and King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi (N42A660926)

obtained by removing the vertices u and v and adding the new vertex x_{uv} , and join x_{uv} to all vertices in $(N_G(u) \cup N_G(v)) \setminus \{u, v\}$. For graphs H and G , the *lexicographic product* between G and H is the graph whose vertex set is the cartesian product $V(G) \times V(H)$ in which two vertices (u, v) and (u', v') are adjacent if (i) $u = u'$ and $vv' \in E(H)$ or (ii) $uu' \in E(G)$ and $v = v'$. For a given graph G and a natural number λ , the *chromatic polynomial* of G , denoted by $P(G, \lambda)$, is the function which gives the number of proper coloring of G by using λ colors. For a coloring function $f : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $col(v) = i$ if a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is given the color $i \in \mathbb{N}$ by f .

For natural numbers $n \geq m$, a *Latin rectangle* is an array of dimension $m \times n$ whose entries are $1, 2, \dots, n$, each of which appears exactly once in each row and at most once in each column. The problem of interest is to count all the possible Latin rectangles when one dimension of the array $m \times n$ is fixed. We let $\mathcal{L}_{m,n}$ be the family of Latin rectangles whose entries of the first row are $1, \dots, n$, respectively. We let $L_{m,n} = |\mathcal{L}_{m,n}|$. Interestingly, $L_{2,n} = n! \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k}{k!}$, which is the derangement formula of n objects. When $m = 3$, Riordan [4] proved in 1944 that:

$$L_{3,n} = (n!) \sum_{k+j \leq n} \frac{2^j}{j!} k! \binom{-3(k+1)}{n-k-j}. \quad (1)$$

Further, Arthreya et. al. [1] applied the concept of Möbius inversion formula and Lattice partition to establish the chromatic polynomial $g(n, \lambda)$ of $(3 \times n)$ -Latin rectangle whose entries are members in the set $\{1, 2, \dots, \lambda\}$ in which $\lambda \geq n$, each of the entries appears at most once in each column and row. They proved that:

$$g(n, \lambda) = \frac{\lambda! n!}{((\lambda - n)!)^3} \sum_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma=n} (-1)^{\beta+2\gamma} \frac{((\lambda - n + \alpha)!)^2}{\alpha! \gamma!} \binom{3\lambda - 3n + 3\alpha + \beta + 2}{\beta}. \quad (2)$$

In this paper, we present a simpler proof to establish $g(n, \lambda)$, requiring only the ideas of mathematics induction and appropriate coloring. Our proof might last several pages as it is clearly explained.

2 The chromatic polynomials of the line graphs of $K_{3,n}$ with λ colors

We begin with a well-known tool, the so called *reduction formula*, which is employed to establish the chromatic polynomial of graph.

Theorem 1 *Let G be a graph with $uv \in E(G)$ and λ be a natural number. We have that*

$$P(G, \lambda) = P(G - uv, \lambda) - P(G_{uv}, \lambda).$$

First of all we let $G(n)$ be the line graph of complete bipartite $K_{3,n}$. Thus $G(n) = K_3 \square K_n$ consists of 3 cliques K_n^1, K_n^2, K_n^3 of order 3. We let $K_n^i = \{x_1^i, x_2^i, x_3^i, \dots, x_n^i\}$. Since $G(n) =$

$K_3 \square K_n$, we let without loss of generality that the set $\{x_j^1, x_j^2, x_j^3\}$ form a triangle for each $1 \leq j \leq n$.

We construct the following graph from $G(n)$ for non-negative integers n, m, p and q such that $n \geq m = p + q \geq 0$, we let the graph $G(n, p, q)$ be obtained from $G(n)$ by removing the edges $x_1^1 x_1^2, x_2^1 x_2^2, \dots, x_p^1 x_p^2$ and identifying the edges $x_{p+1}^1 x_{p+1}^2, \dots, x_m^1 x_m^2$ with the new vertices y_{p+1}, \dots, y_m respectively. The graph $G(n, p, q)$ is illustrated in Figure 1.




Figure 1: The graph $G(n, p, q)$.

Theorem 2 For natural numbers λ and n such that $\lambda \geq n$, we let $g(n, \lambda)$ be the chromatic polynomial of $G(n)$. Further, for non negative integers m, p, q such that $n \geq m = p + q \geq 1$, we let $g(n, p, q, \lambda)$ be the chromatic polynomial of coloring the graph $G(n, p, q)$ with λ colors. Then

$$g(n, \lambda) = \sum_{q=0}^m \binom{m}{q} (-1)^q g(n, p, q, \lambda). \quad (3)$$

Proof. We will prove by induction on m for all $1 \leq m \leq n$. When $m = 1$, Equation (3) is proved by the reduction formula, establishing basic step. Hence, we may assume that

Equation (3) holds for the case m' when $m' = m - 1$. Therefore,

$$g(n, \lambda) = \sum_{q'=0}^{m-1} \binom{m-1}{q'} (-1)^{q'} g(n, p', q', \lambda) \quad (4)$$

where $p' = m - 1 - q'$. That is, for each $0 \leq q' \leq m - 1$, the graph $G(n, p', q')$ has the chromatic polynomial $g(n, p', q', \lambda)$ with the coefficient $\binom{m-1}{q'} (-1)^{q'}$

By applying the reduction formula to $G(n, p', q')$ for each $0 \leq q' \leq m - 1$ with the edge $x_m^1 x_m^2$ removing and identifying, we have

$$g(n, m - 1, 0, \lambda) = g(n, m, 0, \lambda) - g(n, m - 1, 1, \lambda) \quad (5)$$

when $q' = 0$ and we have

$$g(n, p', q', \lambda) = g(n, p' + 1, q', \lambda) - g(n, p', q' + 1, \lambda) \quad (6)$$

when $1 \leq q' \leq m - 1$. By plugging Equations (5) and (6) to (4), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} g(n, \lambda) &= \binom{m-1}{0} (-1)^0 g(n, m-1, 0, \lambda) + \binom{m-1}{1} (-1)^1 g(n, m-2, 1, \lambda) \cdots \\ &\quad + \binom{m-1}{m-1} (-1)^{m-1} g(n, 0, m-1, \lambda) \\ &= \binom{m-1}{0} (-1)^0 (g(n, m, 0, \lambda) - g(n, m-1, 1, \lambda)) \\ &\quad + \binom{m-1}{1} (-1)^1 (g(n, m-1, 1, \lambda) - g(n, m-2, 2, \lambda)) \\ &\quad + \binom{m-1}{2} (-1)^2 (g(n, m-2, 2, \lambda) - g(n, m-3, 3, \lambda)) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad + \binom{m-1}{m-1} (-1)^{m-1} (g(n, 1, m-1, \lambda) - g(n, 0, m, \lambda)) \\ &= \binom{m-1}{0} (-1)^0 g(n, m, 0, \lambda) \\ &\quad + \left(\binom{m-1}{0} + \binom{m-1}{1} \right) (-1)^1 g(n, m-1, 1, \lambda) \\ &\quad + \left(\binom{m-1}{1} + \binom{m-1}{2} \right) (-1)^2 g(n, m-2, 2, \lambda) \\ &\quad + \left(\binom{m-1}{2} + \binom{m-1}{3} \right) (-1)^3 g(n, m-3, 3, \lambda) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad + \binom{m-1}{m-1} (-1)^m g(n, 0, m, \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$g(n, \lambda) = \sum_{q=0}^m \binom{m}{q} (-1)^q g(n, p, q, \lambda)$$

because $\binom{m-1}{0} = \binom{m}{0}$, $\binom{m-1}{m-1} = \binom{m}{m}$ and $\binom{r-1}{s-1} + \binom{r-1}{s} = \binom{r}{s}$ for all natural numbers r, s . This proves Equation (3). \square

Now, we are ready to establish the chromatic polynomial of $(3 \times n)$ -Latin rectangles which the entries are $1, 2, \dots, \lambda$ so that $\lambda \geq n$. In the following, we let $G(n, k, l)$ be the graph $G(n, p, q)$ such that $k = p, l = q$ but $k + l = n$. Further, we may define some generalization of derangement. For a non-negative integer t such that $0 \leq t \leq n$, we let $D_{\lambda, n, t}$ to denote the number of derangement of elements in a given set $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, \lambda\}$ to the positions $1, 2, \dots, n$ such that $|S \cap \{1, 2, \dots, n\}| = t$. By the inclusion-exclusion principle, it can be showed that

$$D_{\lambda, n, t} = \sum_{i=0}^t (-1)^i \frac{(\lambda - i)!}{(\lambda - n)!} \binom{t}{i}. \quad (7)$$

We prove that:

Theorem 3 For a natural numbers $\lambda \geq n \geq 1$, we let $g(n, \lambda)$ be the chromatic polynomial of $G(n)$, the line of $K_{3, n}$. Then

$$g(n, \lambda) = \frac{\lambda!}{(\lambda - n)!} \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l \binom{n}{l} \sum_b \sum_{l-t_1}^{t_1=0, t_2=0} (A(\lambda, k, l, t_1, t_2) (B(\lambda, k, l, t_1))^2) \quad (8)$$

where $k = n - l$ and

$$A(\lambda, k, l, t_1, t_2) = \binom{k}{t_1} \binom{l}{t_2} \binom{\lambda - n}{l - t_1 - t_2} \sum_{i=0}^{t_2} (-1)^i (l - i)! \binom{t_2}{i},$$

$$B(\lambda, k, l, t_1) = \sum_{t_3=0}^{k-t_1} \binom{k-t_1}{t_3} \binom{\lambda - n + t_1}{k - t_3} \sum_{j=0}^{t_3} (-1)^j (k - j)! \binom{t_3}{j}.$$

Proof. By applying Theorem 2 when $k = p, l = q$ and $m = n$, it suffices to show that

$$g(n, k, l, \lambda) = \frac{\lambda!}{(\lambda - n)!} \sum_b \sum_{l-t_1}^{t_1=0, t_2=0} (A(\lambda, k, l, t_1, t_2) (B(\lambda, k, l, t_1))^2)$$

for all $k + l = n$.

For the sake of convenient, we partition the graph $G(n, k, l)$ as follows :

- $A = \{x_1^3, x_2^3, \dots, x_k^3\}$

of choosing T_1, T_2 and S . Further, there are D_{l,l,t_2} possibilities to color the vertices in C by using the colors in $T_1 \cup T_2 \cup S$. Therefore, by Equation (7), we can color the vertices in C by

$$\begin{aligned} A(\lambda, k, l, t_1, t_2) &= \binom{k}{t_1} \binom{l}{t_2} \binom{\lambda - n}{l - t_1 - t_2} D_{l,l,t_2} \\ &= \binom{k}{t_1} \binom{l}{t_2} \binom{\lambda - n}{l - t_1 - t_2} \sum_{i=0}^{t_2} (-1)^i (l - i)! \binom{t_2}{i} \end{aligned}$$

possibilities.

It can be checked that we can color independently between D and E , each of which depending on the derangement of some color in $\{l + 1, l + 2, \dots, l + k\}$. For $0 \leq t_3 \leq k - t_1$, we let T_3 be a subset of $\{l + 1, l + 2, \dots, l + k\} \setminus T_1$ of t_3 colors that are given to vertices in D and U be a subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, \lambda\} \setminus (\{l + 1, l + 2, \dots, l + k\} \cup T_2 \cup S)$ of $k - t_3$ colors that are used to color vertices in D . Further, we can color the vertices in D with the elements in $T_3 \cup U$ in D_{k,k,t_3} ways. Hence, we can color D in $\binom{k-t_1}{t_3} \binom{\lambda-k-(l-t_1)}{k-t_3} D_{k,k,t_3}$ ways. Similarly, we can color E in $\binom{k-t_1}{t_3} \binom{\lambda-k-(l-t_1)}{k-t_3} D_{k,k,t_3}$ ways. Hence, by Equation (7), we can color the vertices in each of D and E by

$$\begin{aligned} B(\lambda, k, l, t_1) &= \sum_{t_3=0}^{k-t_1} \left(\binom{k-t_1}{t_3} \binom{\lambda-n+t_1}{k-t_3} D_{k,k,t_3} \right) \\ &= \sum_{t_3=0}^{k-t_1} \left(\binom{k-t_1}{t_3} \binom{\lambda-n+t_1}{k-t_3} \sum_{j=0}^{t_3} (-1)^j (k-j)! \binom{t_3}{j} \right) \end{aligned}$$

possibilities.

Therefore, we have

$$g(n, k, l) = \frac{\lambda!}{(\lambda - n)!} \sum_{l=0}^n (-1)^l \binom{n}{l} \sum_b \sum_{l-t_1}^{t_1=0, t_2=0} (A(\lambda, k, l, t_1, t_2) (B(\lambda, k, l, t_1))^2). \quad (9)$$

This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgment: We would like to express our sincere thank to Ian Wanless from Monash University for his valuable suggestion, using the idea of chromatic polynomial to establish the formula of $g(n, \lambda)$.

References

- [1] K. B. Athreya, C. R. Pranesachar, N. M. Singhi, On the number of Latin rectangles and chromatic polynomial of $L(K_{r,s})$, *Europ. J. Combinatorics* **1** (1980).
- [2] F. Harary, E. M. Palmer, *Graphical Enumeration*, Academic Press, New York, 1973.

- [3] R. S. Irving, *Integers, polynomials, and rings*, Springer-Verlag, New York, US, 2004.
- [4] J. Riordan, Three-line latin rectangles, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **51** (1944).