Geometric inequalities for CR-submanifolds

Mirjana Djorić * and Vladimir Rovenski [†]

Abstract

We study two kinds of curvature invariants of Riemannian manifold equipped with a distribution (for example, a CR-submanifold of an almost Hermitian manifold) related to sets of pairwise orthogonal subspaces of the distribution: one is similar to Chen's δ -invariants and another kind of invariants is based on the mutual curvature of the subspaces. We compare Chen-type invariants with the mutual curvature invariants and prove geometric inequalities with intermediate mean curvature squared for CR-submanifolds in almost Hermitian spaces. In the case of a set of complex planes, we study curvature invariants based on the concept of holomorphic bisectional curvature. As applications, we give consequences of the absence of some *D*-minimal CR-submanifolds in almost Hermitian manifolds.

Keywords: almost Hermitian manifold; CR-submanifold; curvature invariant

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2010) 53C12; 53C15; 53C42

1 Introduction

The development of the extrinsic geometry of submanifolds and foliations (see [10]) led to the following problem (see, for example, [3]): find a simple optimal connection between the intrinsic and extrinsic invariants of a submanifold in a Riemannian manifold; in particular, in space forms.

B.Y. Chen introduced the concept of δ -curvature invariants for Riemannian manifolds in the 1990s and proved an optimal inequality for a submanifold, including δ -curvature invariants and the square of mean curvature, e.g., [3]. The case of equality led to the notion of "ideal immersions" in Euclidean space, that is isometric immersions with the smallest possible tension. Chen invariants are obtained from the scalar curvature by discarding some of the sectional curvatures.

In [8, 9], we introduced invariants of a Riemannian manifold, which are related to the mutual curvature of noncomplementary pairwise orthogonal subspaces of the tangent bundle, and proved geometrical inequalities for Riemannian submanifolds with applications to foliations.

In the article, we study two kinds of curvature invariants of a Riemannian manifold equipped with a complex distribution (for example, a CR-submanifold of an almost Hermitian manifold) related to sets of pairwise orthogonal subspaces of the distribution: one is similar to Chen's δ -invariants and another kind of invariants is based on the mutual curvature of the subspaces.

In this paper, we compare Chen-type invariants with the mutual curvature invariants and prove geometric inequalities with intermediate mean curvature squared for CR-submanifolds in almost Hermitian spaces. In the case of a set of complex planes, we study curvature invariants based on the holomorphic bisectional curvature of two planes. As applications, we give consequences of the absence of some *D*-minimal CR-submanifolds in almost Hermitian manifolds.

The paper is organized as follows. After reporting in Section 2 some basic information about the curvature invariants of a manifold with a distribution, in Section 3, we study geometric inequalities for CR-submanifolds in almost Hermitian manifolds.

^{*}University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mathematics, Studentski trg 16, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia e-mail: mdjoric@matf.bg.ac.rs

[†]University of Haifa, Department of Mathematics, Mount Carmel, 3498838, Haifa, Israel e-mail: vrovenski@univ.haifa.ac.il

2 Curvature invariants of a manifold with a distribution

In this section, we recall two kinds of curvature invariants of a manifold with a distribution (Chen-type invariants and invariants based on the mutual curvature, see [8, 9]), and for the complex distribution we define invariants based on the holomorphic bisectional curvature.

Let (M^{d+m}, g) (d, m > 0) be a Riemannian manifold with a d-dimensional distribution D. Denote by ∇ the Levi-Civita connection of g and $R_{X,Y} = \nabla_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_Y \nabla_X - \nabla_{[X,Y]}$ the curvature tensor, where X, Y are any vector fields on the tangent bundle TM.

The scalar curvature τ (function on M) is the trace of the Ricci tensor $\operatorname{Ric}_{X,Y} = \operatorname{trace}(Z \mapsto$ $R_{Z,X}Y$). Some authors, for example, [3, 4], define the scalar curvature as half of "trace Ricci".

Example 1. Let g be an admissible metric for an almost contact structure (φ, ξ, η) on M^{2n+1} ,

$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X) \eta(Y), \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M,$$

see [2], where φ is a (1,1)-tensor, ξ is a unit vector field (called Reeb vector field) and η is a 1-form. Then d = 2n and $D = \ker \eta$ is a 2n-dimensional contact distribution on M^{2n+1} .

Next, we define curvature invariants related with D, which for D = TM are reduced to Chen's δ -invariants, for example, [3, Section 13.2].

Definition 1 ([9]). Define Chen's type curvature invariants δ_D and δ_D by

$$2 \,\delta_D(n_1, \dots, n_k)(x) = \tau_D(x) - \min\{\tau(V_1) + \dots + \tau(V_k)\},\\ 2 \,\hat{\delta}_D(n_1, \dots, n_k)(x) = \tau_D(x) - \max\{\tau(V_1) + \dots + \tau(V_k)\},\tag{1}$$

where $\tau(V_i) = \operatorname{trace}_g \operatorname{Ric}|_{V_i}, \tau_D(x) = \operatorname{trace}_g \operatorname{Ric}|_{D_x}$, and V_1, \ldots, V_k run over all $k \ge 0$ mutually orthogonal subspaces of D_x at a point $x \in M$ such that dim $V_i = n_i \ (0 \le i \le k)$.

For example, $2\delta_D = \tau_D$ when k = 0, and $2\delta_D(n_1)(x) = \tau_D(x) - \min \tau(V_1)$ when k = 1.

Remark 1. In (1), we can use max and min (instead of sup and inf, see [3]) because the set "all mutually orthogonal subspaces V_1, \ldots, V_k at a point $x \in M$ such that ..." is compact.

Let $\{e_i\}$ be an orthonormal frame of a subspace $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k V_i$ of $T_x M$ such that

$$\{e_1, \ldots, e_{n_1}\} \subset V_1, \quad \ldots \quad \{e_{n_{k-1}+1}, \ldots, e_{n_k}\} \subset V_k.$$

For $k \geq 2$, the *mutual curvature of a set* $\{V_1, \ldots, V_k\}$ is defined by

$$S_{\mathrm{m}}(V_1, \dots, V_k) = \sum_{i < j} S_{\mathrm{m}}(V_i, V_j), \qquad (2)$$

where $S_m(V_i, V_j) = \sum_{\substack{n_{i-1} < a \leq n_i, \ n_{j-1} < b \leq n_j}} g(R_{e_a, e_b} e_b, e_a)$ is the mutual curvature of a pair (V_i, V_j) . Note that $S_m(V_1, \ldots, V_k)$ does not depend on the choice of frames. We obtain

$$\tau(V) = 2 S_{\rm m}(V_1, \dots, V_k) + \sum_{i=1}^k \tau(V_i),$$
(3)

where $\tau(V) = \operatorname{trace}_{q} \operatorname{Ric}|_{V}$ is the trace of the Ricci tensor on a subspace $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} V_{i}$.

For example, if all subspaces V_i are one-dimensional, then $2S_m(V_1, \ldots, V_k) = \tau(V)$.

We also introduce the curvature invariants based on the concept of mutual curvature, see [9].

Definition 2 ([9]). Define the *mutual curvature invariants* of a Riemannian manifold (M^{d+m}, g) equipped with a d-dimensional distribution D by

$$\delta_{m,D}^{+}(n_1,\dots,n_k)(x) = \max S_m(V_1,\dots,V_k), \quad \delta_{m,D}^{-}(n_1,\dots,n_k)(x) = \min S_m(V_1,\dots,V_k), \quad (4)$$

where V_1, \ldots, V_k run over all $k \ge 2$ mutually orthogonal subspaces of D_x such that dim $V_i = n_i$ $(2 \le i \le k)$. For D = TM, we get the mutual curvature invariants $\delta_{\rm m}^{\pm} = \delta_{{\rm m},TM}^{\pm}$.

The invariants in (1) and (4) are related by the following inequalities.

Proposition 1. For $k \ge 2$ and $n_1 + \ldots + n_k < d$, the following inequalities are true:

$$\delta_{\mathrm{m},D}^+(n_1,\ldots,n_k) \ge \delta_D(n_1,\ldots,n_k) - \delta_D(n_1+\ldots+n_k)$$

$$\delta_{\mathrm{m},D}^-(n_1,\ldots,n_k) \le \hat{\delta}_D(n_1,\ldots,n_k) - \hat{\delta}_D(n_1+\ldots+n_k)$$

and if $n_1 + \ldots + n_k = d$, then

$$\hat{\delta}_D(n_1,\ldots,n_k) = \delta^-_{\mathbf{m},D}(n_1,\ldots,n_k) \le \delta^+_{\mathbf{m},D}(n_1,\ldots,n_k) = \delta_D(n_1,\ldots,n_k).$$

If the sectional curvature K along D satisfies $c \leq K \leq C$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i = s \leq d$, then

$$\frac{c}{2}(s^2 - \sum_i n_i^2) = c \sum_{i < j} n_i n_j \le \delta_{\mathrm{m},D}^-(n_1, \dots n_k)$$
$$\le \delta_{\mathrm{m},D}^+(n_1, \dots n_k) \le C \sum_{i < j} n_i n_j = \frac{C}{2}(s^2 - \sum_i n_i^2).$$
(5)
to the proof of Proposition 2 in [9].

Proof. This is similar to the proof of Proposition 2 in [9].

Corollary 1. If (M^{d+m}, D, g) has non-negative sectional curvature of planes tangent to D, then $\hat{\delta}_D(n_1, \ldots, n_k) \leq \delta_{\mathrm{m}, D}^-(n_1, \ldots, n_k) \leq \delta_{\mathrm{m}, D}^+(n_1, \ldots, n_k) \leq \delta_D(n_1, \ldots, n_k),$

and if this sectional curvature is nonpositive, then the above inequalities are opposite.

Given two *J*-invariant planes σ and σ' (2-dimensional subspaces) in $T_x M$ of an almost Hermitian manifold (M, J, g), and unit vectors $X \in \sigma$ and $Y \in \sigma'$, Goldberg and Kobayashi [6] defined the holomorphic bisectional curvature $K_H(\sigma, \sigma')$ by

$$K_H(\sigma, \sigma') = R(X, JX, Y, JY).$$
(6)

This definition depends on σ and σ' only, and for $\sigma = \sigma'$ gives the holomorphic sectional curvature. For a set of J-invariant planes in a complex distribution D of real dimension $d \ge 4$, we introduce curvature invariants based on the holomorphic bisectional curvature.

Definition 3. Let D be a d-dimensional complex distribution of a Riemannian manifold (M, g), i.e., there is a skew-symmetric (1,1)-tensor $J: D \to D$ such that

$$J^2X = -X, \quad g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y), \quad X, Y \in D.$$

The holomorphic mutual curvature invariants $\delta^{\pm}_{H,D}(k)$ $(1 < k \leq d/2)$ are defined by

$$\delta_{H,D}^+(k)(x) = \max \mathcal{S}_H(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k), \quad \delta_{H,D}^-(k)(x) = \min \mathcal{S}_H(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k),$$

where $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k$ run over all k mutually orthogonal J-invariant planes of D_x at a point $x \in M$, and $S_H(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k)$ is defined using (6) by

$$S_H(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) = \sum_{i < j} K_H(\sigma_i, \sigma_j).$$
(7)

For D = TM, i.e., for an almost Hermitian manifold, we get the holomorphic mutual curvature invariants $\delta^{\pm}_{H}(k) := \delta^{\pm}_{H,TM}(k)$.

Lemma 1. Let $\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k\}$ $(2 \le k \le d/2)$ be mutually orthogonal J-invariant planes of a complex distribution D_x at a point $x \in M$. Then

$$2S_H(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_k) = S_m(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_k).$$
(8)

Proof. By the Bianchi identity, they get

$$K_H(\sigma, \sigma') = R(X, Y, X, Y) + R(X, JY, X, JY).$$
(9)

Replacing X by JX in (9), we get $K_H(\sigma, \sigma') = R(JX, Y, JX, Y) + R(JX, JY, JX, JY)$. Thus, $S_m(\sigma, \sigma') = 2 K_H(\sigma, \sigma')$. From this, (2) and (7), the equality (8) follows.

Corollary 2. The following inequalities are true for $2 \le k \le d/2$:

$$2\,\delta^+_{H,D}(k) \le \delta^+_{\mathrm{m},D}(\underbrace{2,\ldots,2}_{k}), \quad 2\,\delta^-_{H,D}(k) \ge \delta^-_{\mathrm{m},D}(\underbrace{2,\ldots,2}_{k}).$$

3 CR-submanifolds in almost Hermitian manifolds

In this section, we prove geometric inequalities for CR-submanifolds.

An even-dimensional Riemannian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ equipped with a skew-symmetric (1,1)tensor \overline{J} such that $\overline{J}^2 X = -X$ and $\overline{g}(\overline{J}X, \overline{J}Y) = \overline{g}(X, Y)$ for all $X, Y \in TM$, is called an almost Hermitian manifold, see [7]. We will put a top "bar" for objects related to \overline{M} .

A submanifold M^{d+m} (d, m > 0) of an almost Hermitian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{J}, \overline{g})$ is called a *CR*submanifold if $D = \overline{J}(TM) \cap TM$ is a complex distribution (the maximal \overline{J} -invariant subbundle) of constant real dimension d, see [5, Definition 7.2].

A more rigid definition is given in [1]: a real submanifold M^{d+m} (d, m > 0) of an almost Hermitian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{J}, \overline{g})$ is called a CR-submanifold if there exists on M a totally real distribution D^{\perp} (i.e., $\overline{J}(D^{\perp}) \subset T^{\perp}M$) whose orthogonal complement D (i.e., $TM = D \oplus D^{\perp}$) is a complex distribution (i.e., $\overline{J}(D) = D$) of constant real dimension d. Both definitions above give the same thing when the dimension of D is maximum, that is, D^{\perp} is one-dimensional. The main examples are real hypersurfaces, although there are others.

Example 2. Let (M^{d+1}, g) be a CR-submanifold of an almost Hermitian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{J}, \overline{g})$ with a *d*-dimensional complex distribution $D = \overline{J}(TM) \cap TM$. Then M^{d+1} admits an almost contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g) , where $\varphi = \overline{J}|_D$ and ξ is a unit vector field orthogonal to D.

Let $h: TM \times TM \to TM^{\perp}$ be the second fundamental form of the submanifold (M, g) of the Riemannian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$. Recall the Gauss equation for $M \subset \overline{M}$, e.g., [3]:

$$\bar{g}(\bar{R}_{Y,Z}U,X) = g(R_{Y,Z}U,X) + g(h(Y,U),h(Z,X)) - g(h(Z,U),h(Y,X)),$$
(10)

where $U, X, Y, Z \in TM$ and \overline{R} and R are the curvature tensors of $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ and (M, g), respectively. The mean curvature vector field of a subspace $V \subset T_x M$ is given by $H_V = \sum_i h(e_i, e_i)$, where e_i is an orthonormal basis of V. In short form, we will write H_i instead of H_{V_i} , and H when $V = T_x M$. For a CR-submanifold (M^{d+m}, g) , set

$$\mathcal{H}_{D_x}(s) = \max\{ \|H_V\| : V \subset D_x, \dim V = s > 0 \}.$$

If s = d, then $\mathcal{H}_{D_x}(d) = ||\mathcal{H}_{D_x}||$, where \mathcal{H}_{D_x} is the mean curvature vector of D_x . Note that for s < d, the equality $\mathcal{H}_{D_x}(s) = 0$ implies $h|_{D_x} = 0$.

A CR-submanifold (M, g) in an almost Hermitian space $(\overline{M}, \overline{J}, \overline{g})$ is called *D-minimal* (where $D = \overline{J}(TM) \cap TM$) if $H_D \equiv 0$. A CR-submanifold (M^{d+m}, g) is called *mixed totally geodesic* on $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} V_i \subset D$ if h(X, Y) = 0 for all $X \in V_i, Y \in V_j$ and $i \neq j$. Note that

$$\delta_{\mathrm{m},D}^+(n_1,\ldots,n_k) \le \delta_{\mathrm{m}}^+(n_1,\ldots,n_k),$$

and, for s < d, by the condition $\mathcal{H}_D(s) = 0$, M is totally geodesic on D, i.e., $h|_{D_x} = 0$.

Theorem 1. Let (M^{d+m}, g) be a CR-submanifold of an almost Hermitian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{J}, \overline{g})$, and $D = \overline{J}(TM) \cap TM$. For any natural numbers n_1, \ldots, n_k such that $\sum_i n_i = s \leq d$, we obtain

$$\delta_{\mathrm{m},D}^{+}(n_{1},\ldots,n_{k}) \leq \bar{\delta}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}(n_{1},\ldots,n_{k}) + \frac{k-1}{2\,k} \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_{D}(s)^{2}, & \text{if } s < d, \\ \|H_{D}\|^{2}, & \text{if } s = d, \end{cases}$$
(11)

where $\bar{\delta}_{m}^{+}(n_{1},\ldots,n_{k})$ are defined for (\bar{M},\bar{g}) similarly to $\delta_{m}^{+}(n_{1},\ldots,n_{k})$ for (M,g), see Definition 2. The equality in (11) holds at a point $x \in M^{d+m}$ if and only if there exist mutually orthogonal subspaces V_{1},\ldots,V_{k} of D_{x} with $\sum_{i} n_{i} = s$ such that M^{d+m} is mixed totally geodesic on $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} V_{i}, H_{1} = \ldots = H_{k}, \|H_{V}\| = \mathcal{H}_{D_{x}}(s)$ and $\bar{S}_{m}(V_{1},\ldots,V_{k}) = \bar{\delta}_{m}^{+}(n_{1},\ldots,n_{k})(x)$.

Proof. Taking a trace of the Gauss equation (10) for the submanifold M^{d+m} along V and V_i yields the equalities

$$\bar{\tau}(V) - \tau(V) = \|h_V\|^2 - \|H_V\|^2, \quad \bar{\tau}(V_i) - \tau(V_i) = \|h_i\|^2 - \|H_i\|^2, \tag{12}$$

where $\bar{\tau}(V)$, $\bar{\tau}(V_i)$ and $\tau(V)$, $\tau(V_i)$ are the scalar curvatures of subspaces $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k V_i$ and V_i for the curvature tensors \bar{R} and R, respectively, at the point $x \in M$.

Assume that $H_V \neq 0$ is valid on an open set $U \subset M$. We complement an adapted local orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \ldots, e_d\}$ of D over U with a vector field e_{d+1} parallel to H_V . Using $H_V = \sum_{i=1}^k H_i$ and the inequality $a_1^2 + \ldots + a_k^2 \geq \frac{1}{k} (a_1 + \ldots + a_k)^2$ for $a_i = \bar{g}(H_i, e_{d+1})$, we find

$$\sum_{i} \|H_{i}\|^{2} \ge \sum_{i} \bar{g}(H_{i}, e_{d+1})^{2} \ge \frac{1}{k} \|H_{V}\|^{2},$$
(13)

and the equality holds if and only if $H_1 = \ldots = H_k$. The inequality (13) is trivially satisfied for $H_V = 0$; hence, it is valid on M. Set $\|h_{ij}^{\min}\|^2 = \sum_{e_a \in V_i, e_b \in V_j} \|h(e_a, e_b)\|^2$ for $i \neq j$. Note that

$$\|h_V\|^2 = \sum_i \|h_i\|^2 + \sum_{i < j} \|h_{ij}^{\min}\|^2 \ge \sum_i \|h_i\|^2,$$
(14)

and the equality holds if and only if $||h_{ij}^{\min}||^2 = 0$ ($\forall i < j$), i.e., M^{d+m} is mixed totally geodesic along V. By (12)–(14) and the following equalities, see (3):

$$\bar{\tau}(V) = 2\bar{S}_{m}(V_{1}, \dots, V_{k}) + \sum_{i} \bar{\tau}(V_{i}), \quad \tau(V) = 2S_{m}(V_{1}, \dots, V_{k}) + \sum_{i} \tau(V_{i}),$$

we obtain

$$2 S_{m}(V_{1}, \dots, V_{k}) = 2 \bar{S}_{m}(V_{1}, \dots, V_{k}) + \sum_{i} (\bar{\tau}(V_{i}) - \tau(V_{i})) + ||H_{V}||^{2} - ||h_{V}||^{2} \leq 2 \bar{\delta}_{m,D}^{+}(n_{1}, \dots, n_{k}) - (||h_{V}||^{2} - \sum_{i} ||h_{i}||^{2}) + (||H_{V}||^{2} - \sum_{i} ||H_{i}||^{2}) \leq 2 \bar{\delta}_{m,D}^{+}(n_{1}, \dots, n_{k}) + \frac{k-1}{k} \mathcal{H}_{D}(s)^{2},$$

and the equality holds in the second line if and only if $\bar{S}_m(V_1, \ldots, V_k) = \bar{\delta}^+_{m,D}(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ and $||H_V|| = \mathcal{H}_x(s)$ at each point $x \in M$. This proves (11) for s < d. The case $\sum_i n_i = d$ of (11) can be proved similarly.

Remark 2. For a CR-submanifold (M^{d+m}, g) in an almost Hermitian space $(\overline{M}, \overline{J}, \overline{g})$ with sectional curvature bounded above by $c \in \mathbb{R}$, for $\sum_{i} n_i = s \leq d$ from (5) and (11), we obtain

$$\delta_{\mathrm{m},D}^{+}(n_{1},\ldots,n_{k}) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{c}{2} \left(s^{2} - \sum_{i} n_{i}^{2}\right) + \frac{k-1}{2k} \mathcal{H}_{D}(s)^{2}, & \text{if } s < d, \\ \frac{c}{2} \left(d^{2} - \sum_{i} n_{i}^{2}\right) + \frac{k-1}{2k} \|\mathcal{H}_{D}\|^{2}, & \text{if } s = d. \end{cases}$$
(15)

For s = d, the RHS of (15) coincides with the RHS of Eqn. (13.43) in [3] for $\sum_{i} n_i = d$.

As real hypersurfaces of almost Hermitian manifolds are the main examples of CR-submanifolds and important objects in the study of geometrical inequalities, we reformulate Theorem 1 especially for this case.

Corollary 3. Let (M^{2n+1}, g) be a hypersurface with a contact distribution $D = \overline{J}(TM) \cap TM$ of an almost Hermitian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{J}, \overline{g})$. For any natural numbers n_1, \ldots, n_k such that $\sum_i n_i = s \leq 2n$, we obtain the inequality

$$\delta_{\mathrm{m},D}^{+}(n_{1},\ldots,n_{k}) \leq \bar{\delta}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}(n_{1},\ldots,n_{k}) + \frac{k-1}{2k} \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_{D}(s)^{2}, & \text{if } s < 2n, \\ \|\mathcal{H}_{D}\|^{2}, & \text{if } s = 2n, \end{cases}$$
(16)

where $\bar{\delta}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}(n_{1},\ldots,n_{k})$ are defined for (\bar{M},\bar{g}) similarly to $\delta_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}(n_{1},\ldots,n_{k})$ for (M,g). The equality in (16) holds at a point $x \in M^{2n+1}$ if and only if there exist mutually orthogonal subspaces V_{1},\ldots,V_{k} of D_{x} with $\sum_{i} n_{i} = s$ such that M^{2n+1} is mixed totally geodesic on $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} V_{i}$, $H_{1} = \ldots = H_{k}, \ \|H_{V}\| = \mathcal{H}_{D_{x}}(s)$ and $\bar{S}_{\mathrm{m}}(V_{1},\ldots,V_{k}) = \bar{\delta}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}(n_{1},\ldots,n_{k})(x)$. For any k-tuple (n_1, \ldots, n_k) with $\sum_i n_i = s \leq d$, define the normalized $\delta_{m,D}$ -curvature by

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{m},D}(n_1,\ldots,n_k) = \frac{2k}{k-1} \,\delta^+_{\mathbf{m},D}(n_1,\ldots,n_k),$$

and put $\overline{\Delta}_{m,D} := \max \Delta_{m,D}(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$, where $\sum_i n_i = s$.

Theorem 1 provides the following (compare with the maximum principle in [3, p. 268]).

Proposition 2. If a CR-submanifold (M^{d+m}, g) of \mathbb{C}^l satisfies $\mathcal{H}_D(s)^2 = \Delta_{m,D}(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ for some k-tuple (n_1, \ldots, n_k) with $\sum_i n_i = s \leq d$, then for all (m_1, \ldots, m_k) with $\sum_i m_i = s$, we get

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{m},D}(n_1,\ldots,n_k) \ge \Delta_{\mathbf{m},D}(m_1,\ldots,m_k).$$

Proof. By the conditions, $\Delta_{m,D}(n_1, \ldots, n_k) = \overline{\Delta}_{m,D}(s)$. Since $\Delta_{m,D}(m_1, \ldots, m_k) \leq \mathcal{H}_D(s)^2$, we obtain the inequality $\Delta_{m,D}(m_1, \ldots, m_k) \leq \Delta_{m,D}(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$, which completes the proof. \square

Corollary 4. For every CR-submanifold (M^{d+m}, g) in \mathbb{C}^l , we have $\mathcal{H}_D(s)^2 \geq \bar{\Delta}_{m,D}(s)$ for any s < d, and $\|H_D\|^2 \geq \bar{\Delta}_{m,D}$.

Remark 3. The case of equality in Corollary 4 is of special interest. Such extremal CRimmersions in \mathbb{C}^l can be compared to "ideal immersions" introduced by Chen's for real space forms in terms of δ -invariants, e.g., [3, Definition 13.3].

The theory of δ_D -invariants (1) of CR-submanifolds can be developed similarly to the theory of Chen's δ -invariants of a Riemannian submanifolds. Similarly to [3, Theorem 13.5], we get

Theorem 2. Let (M^{d+m}, g) be a CR-submanifold of an almost Hermitian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{J}, \overline{g})$ with sectional curvature bounded above by $c \in \mathbb{R}$. For each k-tuple (n_1, \ldots, n_k) such that $\sum_i n_i \leq d$, we obtain

$$\delta_D(n_1, \dots, n_k) \le \frac{d^2(d+k-1-\sum_i n_i)}{2(d+k-\sum_i n_i)} \|H_D\|^2 + \frac{c}{2} \left[d(d-1) - \sum_i n_i(n_i-1)\right].$$
(17)

The case of equality in (17) is of special interest: extremal CR-submanifolds in almost Hermitian spaces in terms of δ_D -invariants are an analogue of Chen's "ideal immersions".

Set $\delta_{\mathrm{m},D}^+(k) = \max \delta_{\mathrm{m},D}^+(n_1,\ldots,n_k)$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{m},D}^-(k) = \min \delta_{\mathrm{m},D}^-(n_1,\ldots,n_k)$, where $\sum_i n_i \leq d$. The $\bar{\delta}_{\mathrm{m}}^+(k+1)$ are defined for (\bar{M},\bar{g}) similarly to $\delta_{\mathrm{m}}^+(k+1)$ for (M,g).

The following geometric inequality supplements (16).

Theorem 3. Let (M^{d+m}, g) be a CR-submanifold of an almost Hermitian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{J}, \overline{g})$. For any $k \geq 2$, we obtain

$$\delta_{\mathrm{m},D}^{-}(k) \le \frac{k-1}{2\,k(k+1)} \, \|H_D\,\|^2 + \bar{\delta}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}(k+1) \,. \tag{18}$$

The equality in (18) holds at a point $x \in M^{d+m}$ if and only if there exist mutually orthogonal subspaces V_1, \ldots, V_{k+1} of D_x with $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} n_i = d$ such that M^{d+m} is mixed totally geodesic, $H_1 = \ldots = H_{k+1}, \, \bar{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathrm{m}}(V_1, \ldots, V_{k+1}) = \bar{\delta}_{\mathrm{m}}^+(n_1, \ldots, n_{k+1})$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}(V_1, \ldots, V_{k+1}) = \delta_{\mathrm{m},D}^-(k)$ for any $i = 1, \ldots, k+1$, where \hat{V}_i means removing the space V_i from the set $\{V_1, \ldots, V_{k+1}\}$.

Proof. Let V_{k+1} be the orthogonal complement to $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} V_i$ in D_x . Note that

$$\sum_{i} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{m}}(V_1, \dots, \widehat{V}_i, \dots, V_{k+1}) = (k+1) \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{m}}(V_1, \dots, V_{k+1})$$

We also obtain $\delta_{m,D}^{-}(k) \leq \delta_{m,D}^{-}(n_1, \dots, \hat{n}_i, \dots, n_{k+1}) \leq S_{m,D}(V_1, \dots, \hat{V}_i, \dots, V_{k+1})$ for any $i = 1, \dots, k+1$. Thus, $\delta_{m,D}^{-}(k) \leq S_m(V_1, \dots, V_{k+1})$, and using (16) for $\sum_i n_i = d$ gives (18).

From Theorems 1 and 3, we obtain the assertions on the absence of some CR-submanifolds.

Corollary 5. There are no D-minimal CR-submanifolds in \mathbb{C}^l with any of the following properties:

(a) $\delta^+_{\mathbf{m},D}(n_1,\ldots,n_k) > 0$ for some (n_1,\ldots,n_k) with $\sum_i n_i = d$,

(b) $\delta_{m,D}^{-}(k) > 0$ for some $k \geq 2$.

Next, we use the fact that the tangent distribution TM is the sum $TM = D \oplus D^{\perp}$ of two mutually orthogonal distributions D and D^{\perp} of ranks d and m. Let $x \in M$ and $\{e_i\}$ on (M,g) be an adapted orthonormal frame, i.e., $\{e_1,\ldots,e_d\} \subset D(x), \{e_{d+1},\ldots,e_{d+m}\} \subset D^{\perp}(x).$ The mutual curvature of (D, D^{\perp}) is a function $S_m(D, D^{\perp})$ on M, given at $x \in M$ by

$$S_{\mathrm{m}}(D(x), D^{\perp}(x)) = \sum_{1 \le a \le d, \ d < b \le d+m} K(e_a, e_b)$$

In this case, $S_m(D, D^{\perp})$ is the mixed scalar curvature; see [8]. A CR-submanifold (M, g) is called mixed totally geodesic on a pair (D, D^{\perp}) if h(X, Y) = 0 for all $X \in D, Y \in D^{\perp}$.

Theorem 4. Let $(M^{d+m}, g; D, D^{\perp})$ be a CR-submanifold of an almost Hermitian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{J}, \overline{g})$. Then the following inequality holds:

$$S_{\rm m}(D, D^{\perp}) \le \frac{1}{2} \|H\|^2 + \bar{\delta}_{\rm m}^+(d, m).$$
 (19)

The equality in (19) holds at a point $x \in M$ if and only if M^{d+m} is mixed totally geodesic, $H_D(x) = H_{D^{\perp}}(x) \text{ and } \bar{S}_m(D(x), D^{\perp}(x)) = \bar{\delta}_m^+(d, m)(x).$

Proof. The proof of the first claim is similar to the proof of Theorem 1. The proof of the second assertion follows directly from the cases of equality, as in the proof of Theorem 1.

Example 3 (see [8]). Consider distributions D, D^{\perp} on a domain M on a unit sphere $S^{d+m}(1)$ in \mathbb{C}^l ; thus, $\bar{\delta}_m^+(d,m) = 0$. Using coordinate charts, we can take integrable distributions D, D^{\perp} , and M is diffeomorphic to the product of two manifolds.

Let d = 2 and m = 1; then, $||H||^2 = 9$ and $S_m(D, D^{\perp}) = 2$. Hence, (19) reduces to the inequality 2 < 9/4. Note that $H_D = \frac{1}{3}H \neq \frac{2}{3}H = H_{D^{\perp}}$. Let d = m = 2 and locally $M \subset S^4(1)$ be diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$. Then, $||H||^2 = 16$,

 $H_D = H_{D^{\perp}}$, $S_m(D, D^{\perp}) = 4$ and (19) reduces to the equality 4 = 16/4.

Corollary 6. A CR-submanifold (M, q) in \mathbb{C}^l with $S_m(D, D^{\perp}) > 0$ cannot be D-minimal.

The following theorem states an inequality with holomorphic bisectional curvature invariants. **Theorem 5.** Let (M^{d+m}, g) be a CR-submanifold of an almost Hermitian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{J}, \overline{g})$. For any natural number $k \in [2, d/2]$, we obtain

$$\delta_{H,D}^{+}(k) \leq \bar{\delta}_{H}^{+}(k) + \frac{k-1}{4k} \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_{D}(2k)^{2}, & \text{if } 2k < d, \\ \|H_{D}\|^{2}, & \text{if } 2k = d, \end{cases}$$
(20)

where $\bar{\delta}^+_H(k)$ are defined for (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) similarly to $\delta^+_H(k)$ for (M, g), see Definition 3. The equality in (20) holds at a point $x \in M^{d+m}$ if and only if there exist mutually orthogonal J-invariant planes $\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k\}$ of D_x such that M^{d+m} is mixed totally geodesic on $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \sigma_i$, $H_1 = \ldots = H_k$, $\|H_V\| = \mathcal{H}_{D_x}(2k)$ and $\bar{S}_H(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) = \bar{\delta}_H^+(k)(x).$

Proof. This is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.

Corollary 7. Let (M^{d+1}, q) be a hypersurface of an almost Hermitian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{J}, \overline{q})$. Then (20) is true for any natural number $k \in [2, d/2]$.

Using $\delta(2, \ldots, 2)$ -invariants, Chen classified in [3, Section 15.7] extremal real hypersurfaces of Kählerian space forms. Similarly, we would like to study the extreme case of Corollary 7 when (M, J, \bar{q}) is a Kählerian space form.

From Theorem 5 we obtain the assertion on the absence of some CR-submanifolds.

Corollary 8. A CR-submanifold (M,g) in \mathbb{C}^l satisfying $\delta^+_{H,D}(d/2) > 0$ cannot be D-minimal.

4 Conclusions

We studied the question of finding a simple optimal connection between the intrinsic and extrinsic invariants of a manifold equipped with a distribution, which are CR-submanifolds of almost Hermitian manifolds. The main contribution of the paper are the concepts of curvature invariants of a manifold equipped with a distribution, e.g., a CR-submanifold, based on the mutual curvature of several pairwise orthogonal subspaces of a contact distribution D. We used these curvature invariants and Chen-type curvature invariants to prove new geometric inequalities involving the squared intermediate mean curvature for hypersurfaces of almost Hermitian manifolds. In the case of a set of complex planes, we study curvature invariants based on the concept of holomorphic bisectional curvature. Consequences of the absence of some D-minimal hypersurfaces in almost Hermitian manifolds were given.

References

- Bejancu, A. Geometry of CR-Submanifolds, D. Reidel Publishing Co.: Dordrecht, Netherlands, 1986.
- [2] Blair, D.E. Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds, Second edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2010.
- [3] Chen, B.-Y. Pseudo-Reimannian Geometry, δ-Invariants and Applications; World Scientific: Singapore, 2011.
- [4] Chen, B.-Y., Blaga, A.M., Vilcu, G.-T. Differential geometry of submanifolds in complex space forms involving δ-invariants. Mathematics 2022, 10, 591.
- [5] Djoric, M.; Okumura, M. CR submanifolds of complex projective space. Developments in Mathematics 19. Berlin: Springer 176 p. (2010).
- [6] Goldberg, S.I., Kobayashi, S. Holomorphic bisectional curvature. J. Differ. Geom. 1 (1967), 225–233.
- [7] Gray, A. Some examples of almost Hermitian manifolds. Illinois J. Math., 10 (1969), 353– 366.
- [8] Rovenski, V., Walczak, P. On isometric immersions of almost k-product manifolds. J. of Geometry and Physics, 186 (2023) 104764. doi.org/10.1016/j.geomphys.2023.104764.
- [9] Rovenski, V. Geometric inequalities for a submanifold equipped with distributions. Mathematics 2022, 10, 4741. https://doi.org/10.3390/math10244741.
- [10] Rovenski, V.; Walczak, P. *Extrinsic Geometry of Foliations*; Progress in Mathematics; Birkhäuser: Cham, Switzerland, 2021; Volume 339.