

# QUADRATIC CONES ON WHICH FEW HARMONIC FUNCTIONS VANISH

JOSEF E. GREILHUBER

ABSTRACT. We show that, in dimension three and higher, the space of harmonic functions vanishing on the cone defined by a generically chosen harmonic quadratic polynomial is two-dimensional. This phenomenon is surprisingly robust, generalizing to arbitrary elliptic differential operators of second order, with the cone replaced by the level set of a solution at a nondegenerate critical value. As long as the tangent cone to the level set at the critical point satisfies a certain genericity condition, the space of solutions vanishing on the level set is at most two-dimensional.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Given a subset  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  and a point  $p \in \mathcal{C}$ , one may ask for the dimension of the space of (real valued) harmonic functions defined near  $p$  which vanish on  $\mathcal{C}$ . Despite the apparent simplicity of this question, its answer seems not to be known in all but a few cases where the answer is either zero or infinite.

In two dimensions, it is well known and easy to see that this is always the case.<sup>1</sup> For  $d \geq 3$ , the picture is less clear-cut. The dimension in question can still be infinite, e.g. if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a real-analytic hypersurface [14, Example 4.1], a Coxeter system of hyperplanes through  $p$  [2, Lemma 4.4] or the cone  $\{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 3w^2 = 0\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  through  $p = 0$  [14, Example 4.3].

On the other hand, Agranovsky and Krasnov [3, Section 6.1] conjectured that there exists a quadratic cone on which a nonzero, but finite number of linearly independent harmonic functions vanish. In fact, Agranovsky [2] conjectured this is already the case for the cone  $\{x^2 + y^2 - 2z^2 = 0\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and that any harmonic function which vanishes on this cone is of the form  $(x^2 + y^2 - 2z^2)(A + Bxyz + Cz(x^2 - y^2))$  for  $A, B, C \in \mathbb{R}$ . Mangoubi and Weller Weiser [15] provided strong evidence in support of this conjecture, but it remains open. The aim of this paper is to prove Agranovsky–Krasnov’s conjecture is true for *generic* cones.

---

*Date:* July 10, 2024.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 31B05, Secondary: 35J05, 35J15, 33C55, 33C50.

<sup>1</sup>Any harmonic function  $u$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is the imaginary part of a holomorphic function  $h$ . If  $u$  vanishes on  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $h$  is real valued there. Thus,  $\{\operatorname{Im} h^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  yields an infinite number of linearly independent harmonic functions which likewise vanish on  $\mathcal{C}$ , unless  $u \equiv 0$ .

Given  $d$  nonzero real numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_d$ , we consider the quadratic cone

$$\mathcal{C}_a = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{x_1^2}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{x_d^2}{a_d} = 0 \right\}.$$

Since we are interested in the set of harmonic functions vanishing on  $\mathcal{C}_a$ , it is natural to consider those  $a$  with  $\frac{1}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{a_d} = 0$ . In this case,  $\frac{x_1^2}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{x_d^2}{a_d}$  is a nontrivial harmonic function vanishing on  $\mathcal{C}_a$ . It turns out that there is always another linearly independent harmonic function vanishing on  $\mathcal{C}_a$ , namely  $x_1 \dots x_d (\frac{x_1^2}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{x_d^2}{a_d})$ . We will show that, in a certain sense, *almost all* such cones admit no further harmonic functions vanishing on them. To state our result, let  $\mathcal{A}^d = \{a \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})^d : \frac{1}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{a_d} = 0\}$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $d \geq 3$ . There exists a countable intersection  $\mathcal{S}$  of nonempty, Zariski-open subsets of  $\mathcal{A}^d$  such that for all  $a \in \mathcal{S}$ , the following holds:*

*Any real valued harmonic function defined on a neighborhood of the origin and vanishing on the cone  $\mathcal{C}_a$  must be of the form*

$$u(x) = \left( \frac{x_1^2}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{x_d^2}{a_d} \right) (A + B x_1 \dots x_d)$$

*for some constants  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Remark 1.* In Theorem 1, *Zariski-open* means open in the subset topology on  $\mathcal{A}^d$  induced by the Zariski topology on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . A countable intersection of non-empty, Zariski-open subsets is generic in a very strong sense. In particular, the set  $\mathcal{S}$  is both of full Lebesgue measure and comeagre in  $\mathcal{A}^d$ .

*Remark 2.* Since Laplace eigenfunctions on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^d$  are in one-to-one correspondence with homogeneous harmonic polynomials, Theorem 1 immediately implies the assertion that for all  $a \in \mathcal{S}$ , exactly two eigenfunctions vanish on the spherical ellipsoid  $\mathcal{C}_a \cap \mathbb{S}^d$ .

This should be compared with a result of Bourgain and Rudnick, who showed that a hypersurface in  $\mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d$  with nowhere vanishing Gauss-Kronecker curvature is contained in the nodal set of at most finitely many eigenfunctions [6, Theorem 1.2]. The corresponding problem on spheres seems more intricate, as is indicated by the fact that on  $\mathbb{S}^3$  infinitely many eigenfunctions vanish on any “sphere of latitude” whose angle to the equator is a rational multiple of  $\pi$  (this follows from [14, Example 4.3]).

If one replaces the Laplace operator by a general elliptic differential operator of second order with smooth coefficients, the “good” cones from Theorem 1 still play an important role:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $L = \sum_{j,k=1}^d a_{jk}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} + \sum_{j=1}^d b_j(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + c(x)$  be an elliptic partial differential operator with smooth coefficients. Let  $u$  be a germ at 0 of a solution to the equation  $Lu = 0$  for which  $u(0) = 0$  and  $\nabla u(0) = 0$ , but  $\text{Hess}_u(0)$  is nondegenerate.*

Let  $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_d$  denote the eigenvalues of the bilinear form  $\text{Hess}_u(0)$  with respect to the bilinear form  $a(0)$ . If  $(\frac{1}{\lambda_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{\lambda_d})$  is contained in the set  $\mathcal{S}$  introduced in Theorem 1, then the space of germs at 0 of solutions to  $L$  which vanish on  $u^{-1}(\{0\})$  is at most two-dimensional.

The structure of this paper is as follows: Section 2 reduces the problem to one about homogeneous harmonic polynomials through a series of simple and well-known observations. Section 3 contains a discussion of the geometric picture behind the proof of Theorem 1, and introduces the main tool used to solve this problem, a theorem by Agranovsky and Krasnov relating quadratic divisors of homogeneous harmonic polynomials to the so-called *Niven equations* [3, Theorem 1.2]. Section 4 establishes Theorem 1 by analyzing the parametric behaviour of solutions to Niven’s equations. The proof uses an approximation formula for associated Legendre polynomials due to Landauer [13]. Section 5 is dedicated to establishing the necessary error bounds for this approximation, which turn out to be quite convenient. Section 6 contains the proof of Theorem 2.

## 2. PRELIMINARY OBSERVATIONS

Given a set  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ , let  $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{C})$  denote the space of harmonic polynomials vanishing on  $\mathcal{C}$ , and for  $N \geq 0$ , let  $\mathcal{V}_N(\mathcal{C})$  denote the subspace of  $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{C})$  consisting of harmonic homogeneous polynomials of degree  $N$ .

The following observation, due to D.H. Armitage [4, Lemma 1], is elementary, but extremely useful: If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a *cone*, i.e.  $t\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}$  for all  $t > 0$ , then  $\dim \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \dim \mathcal{V}_N(\mathcal{C})$ . Of course,  $\dim \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{C}) \geq \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \dim \mathcal{V}_N(\mathcal{C})$ . On the other hand, we can write  $u \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{C})$  as a sum of harmonic homogeneous polynomials,  $u = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} u_N$ . An inductive argument, starting at the first nonvanishing term of this sum, then shows  $u_N \in \mathcal{V}_N(\mathcal{C})$  for all  $N \geq 0$ .

One can furthermore consider the spaces  $\mathcal{V}^{\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $\mathcal{V}^R(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathcal{V}^0(\mathcal{C})$  of entire harmonic functions, harmonic functions defined on  $\mathbb{B}_R(0)$ , and germs of harmonic functions at 0, respectively, which vanish on  $\mathcal{C}$  whenever they are defined. Clearly,  $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{C})$  injects into all these spaces, and these maps are surjective if and only if  $\dim \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{C}) < \infty$ .

Restricting our attention to the quadratic cones  $\mathcal{C}_a$  defined above, we observe that  $\mathcal{V}_2(\mathcal{C}_a) \geq 1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{d+2}(\mathcal{C}_a) \geq 1$ , since the homogeneous harmonic polynomials  $\frac{x_1^2}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{x_d^2}{a_d}$  and  $x_1 \dots x_n (\frac{x_1^2}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{x_d^2}{a_d})$  always vanish on  $\mathcal{C}_a$ . Theorem 1 will follow once we establish that for each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_N(\mathcal{C}_a)$  attains its minimum on a Zariski open subset of  $\mathcal{A}^d$ , and that  $\min_{a \in \mathcal{A}^d} \mathcal{V}_N(\mathcal{C}_a) = 0$  unless  $N \in \{2, 2 + d\}$ , where  $\min_{a \in \mathcal{A}^d} \mathcal{V}_N(\mathcal{C}_a) = 1$ .

As noted by Logunov–Malinnikova [14, Lemma 2.1] (synthesizing Theorem 2 and Lemma 4 of [16]), any harmonic polynomial vanishing on the zero set of a given homogeneous harmonic polynomial is in fact divisible by the latter. A straightforward generalization of [3, Proposition 2.2] then shows that sublevel sets of  $\dim \mathcal{V}_N(\mathcal{C}_a)$  are Zariski-open subsets of  $\mathcal{A}^d$ , establishing the first of the two claims. Indeed, since  $\dim \mathcal{V}_N(\mathcal{C}_a)$  is the corank of

the linear map  $r(x) \mapsto \Delta \left( \left( \frac{x_1^2}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{x_d^2}{a_d} \right) r(x) \right)$  on the space of homogeneous polynomials of order  $N - 2$ , its superlevel sets are determined by the vanishing of certain minors of the corresponding matrix, i.e. by polynomial equations in  $\frac{1}{a_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{a_d}$ . Multiplying through by the common denominator shows the superlevel sets are Zariski closed in  $\mathcal{A}^d$ .

### 3. CONICAL COORDINATES AND NIVEN'S EQUATIONS

The proper starting point of our proof is the characterization of quadratic divisors of harmonic polynomials, as obtained by Agranovsky and Krasnov in [3]. We will need the following, slightly modified, version of [3, Theorem 1.2].

**Theorem A.** *Consider the quadratic cone  $\mathcal{C}_a$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  defined by*

$$\frac{x_1^2}{a_1} + \frac{x_2^2}{a_2} + \dots + \frac{x_d^2}{a_d} = 0,$$

where  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_d$  are distinct, nonzero real numbers.

Suppose a homogeneous harmonic polynomial of degree  $N$  vanishes on  $\mathcal{C}_a$ . Then there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^d$  with  $2n + |\epsilon| = N$  such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1 + 2\epsilon_j}{\xi_k - a_j} + \sum_{\ell \neq k} \frac{4}{\xi_k - \xi_\ell} = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n \quad (1)$$

has a solution  $(\xi_k)_{k=1}^n$  with  $\xi_k = 0$  for some  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

In fact,  $\dim \mathcal{V}_N(\mathcal{C}_a)$  is given by the number of solutions to (1) with some  $\xi_k = 0$ , as  $n$  and  $\epsilon$  range over all combinations where  $2n + |\epsilon| = N$ .

This slight extension of [3, Theorem 1.2] shifts the attention from classifying quadratic divisors of harmonic polynomials to the harmonic polynomials themselves, and follows directly from the arguments in [3]. Both to fully justify this reformulation and to provide the geometric picture behind the rather technical calculations of Section 4, we will provide a short discussion of the setup, culminating in an alternative argument for Theorem A.

**3.1. Niven's equations.** It was observed by W. D. Niven in 1891 [17] that the product of linear factors and confocal quadratic forms

$$Q(x) = x_1^{\epsilon_1} x_2^{\epsilon_2} \dots x_d^{\epsilon_d} \prod_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{x_1^2}{\xi_k - a_1} + \frac{x_2^2}{\xi_k - a_2} + \dots + \frac{x_d^2}{\xi_k - a_d} \right) \quad (2)$$

is harmonic if and only if  $(\xi_k)_{k=1}^n$  satisfies (1), where  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_d$  are real numbers,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(\epsilon_j)_{j=1}^d \in \{0, 1\}^d$ .

Indeed, if we denote  $K_\xi(x) = \frac{x_1^2}{\xi - a_1} + \frac{x_2^2}{\xi - a_2} + \dots + \frac{x_d^2}{\xi - a_d}$ , an application of the product rule and a partial fraction decomposition show that

$$\Delta Q(x) = Q(x) \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1 + 2\epsilon_j}{\xi_k - a_j} + \sum_{\ell \neq k} \frac{4}{\xi_k - \xi_\ell} \right) \frac{1}{K_{\xi_k}(x)}. \quad (3)$$

The rational functions  $\frac{1}{K_{\xi_k}}$  attain their singularities on distinct quadrics, and so are linearly independent. Therefore,  $\Delta Q(x) = 0$  if and only if the coefficients of  $\frac{1}{K_{\xi_k}}$  in (3) vanish for all  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , i.e. if (1) is satisfied.

3.1.1. *Number of solutions and orthogonality.* The solutions to (1) are precisely the critical points of the function

$$\varphi(\xi) := - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^d (1 + 2\epsilon_j) \log |\xi_k - a_j| - 4 \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\ell \neq k} \log |\xi_k - \xi_\ell|.$$

This function is defined on the complement of the hyperplanes  $\{\xi_k = \xi_\ell\}$ ,  $k \neq \ell$ , and  $\{\xi_k = a_j\}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq d$ , which cut  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into a finite number of convex regions, on each of which  $\varphi$  is convex. Thus,  $\varphi$  attains at most one critical point on each of these regions — namely it's minimum, if it is achieved. Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$  be a multi-index with  $|m| = m_1 + \dots + m_{d-1} = n$ . To it we associate the domain  $D_m \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  defined by the linear constraints

$$\begin{aligned} a_j < \xi_k < a_{j+1}, & \quad 1 \leq j < d, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} m_i < k \leq \sum_{i=1}^j m_i, \\ \xi_{k'} < \xi_k, & \quad 1 \leq k' < k \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

On this domain,  $\varphi$  attains its minimum, since it approaches  $+\infty$  as  $\xi$  approaches  $\partial D_m$ . Up to permutation of the entries of  $\xi$ , all bounded components of the domain of definition of  $\varphi$  arise in this way. On the unbounded components, which are characterized by having at least one entry of  $\xi$  outside the interval  $[a_1, a_d]$ , the function  $\varphi$  is unbounded below, and so cannot attain its minimum. Up to permutation of their entries, solutions to Niven's equations (1) are thus enumerated by multi-indices  $m \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$  with  $|m| = n$ .

For fixed  $a_1 < \dots < a_d$ , the homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  of the form (2) are therefore in one-to-one correspondence with choices of  $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^d$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$  satisfying  $2|m| + |\epsilon| = N$ . We will denote the corresponding functions of the form (2) by  $Q_{\epsilon, m}$ , or sometimes  $Q_{\epsilon, m}^a$  to emphasize the dependence on  $a$ .

As  $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^d$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$  range over all possible choices which satisfy  $2|m| + |\epsilon| = N$ , the restricted functions  $Q_{\epsilon, m}|_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}$  are orthogonal with respect to the standard  $L^2$  inner product on  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  [19, Theorem 3.3]. Thus, by a dimension count, they form an orthogonal basis of the space of spherical harmonics of degree  $N$ . For a crisp and detailed proof of the orthogonality of  $Q_{\epsilon, m}|_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}$ , see Volkmer's paper [19], which also derives the properties above in a different way, hinging on integral calculations in conical coordinates.

3.1.2. *Conical Coordinates.* Fix  $d$  real numbers  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_d$ . The conical coordinates of a point  $x \in (0, \infty)^d$  are given by  $r = (x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and the  $d - 1$  solutions of the equation

$$\frac{x_1^2}{s - a_1} + \dots + \frac{x_d^2}{s - a_d} = 0,$$

listed in ascending order. It is easy verified that these always exist, satisfy  $a_1 < s_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{d-1} < s_{d-1} < a_d$ , and that conical coordinates fashion a diffeomorphism from  $(0, \infty)^d$  to the coordinate domain  $(0, \infty) \times (a_1, a_2) \times \dots \times (a_{d-1}, a_d)$ . The coordinate hypersurfaces  $\{s_j = \text{const.}\}$  are mutually orthogonal, confocal cones of signature  $d - j$ . By evaluating the defining relation

$$\sum_{j=1}^d x_j^2 \prod_{i \neq j} (s - a_i) = r^2 \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} (s - s_j),$$

at  $s = a_1, \dots, a_d$ , one obtains formulae for  $x_1, \dots, x_d$ :

$$x_j^2 = r^2 \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{d-1} (a_j - s_i)}{\prod_{i \neq j} (a_j - a_i)} \quad (4)$$

It follows that, in conical coordinates, a homogeneous even polynomial of degree  $2n$  pulls back to  $r^{2n}P(s)$ , where  $P(s)$  is a *symmetric* polynomial of degree  $(d - 1)n$ . In particular,  $K_\xi(x) = \frac{x_1^2}{\xi - a_1} + \frac{x_2^2}{\xi - a_2} + \dots + \frac{x_d^2}{\xi - a_d}$  becomes

$$K_\xi(r, s) = r^2 \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{d-1} (\xi - s_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^d (\xi - a_j)}.$$

To see this, fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and consider the rational function  $\xi \mapsto K_\xi(x)$ . It is of degree  $d$ , has poles at  $a_1, \dots, a_d$ , and by the construction of the ellipsoidal coordinates has its zeroes precisely at  $s_1, \dots, s_d$ . The leading constant  $r^2$  is determined by letting  $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ , as  $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \xi K_\xi(x) = r^2$ .

Thus, conical coordinates are well adapted to the functions  $Q_{\epsilon, m}$ , which take the form

$$Q_{\epsilon, m}(r, s) = x_1^{\epsilon_1} x_2^{\epsilon_2} \dots x_d^{\epsilon_d} r^{2|m|} C_{\epsilon, m} \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} P_{\epsilon, m}(s_j),$$

where  $x_j$  should be thought of as shorthand for the algebraic function of  $r$  and  $s$  given by (4),  $C_{\epsilon, m} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $P_{\epsilon, m}$  is the monic polynomial with zeros at the solutions  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$  of Niven's equations (1) with parameters  $m$  and  $\epsilon$ . To be precise,

$$C_{\epsilon, m} = (-1)^{n(d-1)} \prod_{k=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^d (\xi_k - a_j)^{-1}, \quad P_{\epsilon, m}(\tau) = \prod_{k=1}^n (\tau - \xi_k).$$

3.1.3. *Proof of Theorem A.* Following [3], let us call a function  $f$  of  $d$  real variables  $\epsilon$ -odd, for  $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^d$ , if  $f(x_1, \dots, -x_j, \dots, x_d) = (-1)^{\epsilon_j} f(x)$ . Fix a quadratic cone  $\mathcal{C} = \{\sum_{j=1}^d x_j^2/a_j = 0\}$ , and denote the space of harmonic homogeneous polynomials of degree  $N$  vanishing on  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $\mathcal{V}_N(\mathcal{C})$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is symmetric along coordinate hyperplanes,  $\mathcal{V}_N(\mathcal{C})$  splits into a direct sum of spaces of  $\epsilon$ -odd functions,  $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^d$ , which we shall denote by  $\mathcal{V}_{N,\epsilon}(\mathcal{C})$ .

Now fix  $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^d$ , and consider  $u \in \mathcal{V}_{N,\epsilon}(\mathcal{C})$ , which we can expand as

$$u(x) = \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ 2|m|+|\epsilon|=N}} \mu_m Q_{\epsilon,m}(x),$$

since  $\{Q_{\epsilon,m}(x)\}_{2|m|+|\epsilon|=N}$  provides a basis of the  $\epsilon$ -odd homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree  $N$ . In conical coordinates, the cone  $\mathcal{C}$  is given by  $\{s_\sigma = 0\}$ , where  $\sigma \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$  is such that  $a_\sigma < 0 < a_{\sigma+1}$ , and so the condition  $u|_{\mathcal{C}} = 0$  reads

$$x_1^{\epsilon_1} x_2^{\epsilon_2} \dots x_d^{\epsilon_d} r^{2|m|} \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ 2|m|+|\epsilon|=N}} \mu_m C_{\epsilon,m} P_{\epsilon,m}(0) \prod_{j \neq \sigma} P_{\epsilon,m}(s_j) = 0$$

Once we show that the polynomials  $\prod_{j \neq \sigma} P_{\epsilon,m}(s_j)$  of  $d-2$  variables occurring in this expression are all linearly independent, Theorem A is established. After all, this would imply that  $\mu_m = 0$  unless  $P_{\epsilon,m}(0) = 0$ , which is the case if and only one of the components of the solution  $(\xi_k)_{k=1}^n$  of Niven's equations (1) with parameters  $\epsilon$  and  $m$  is zero.

To this end, let  $\nu_m$  be coefficients such that  $\sum_m \nu_m \prod_{j \neq \sigma} P_{\epsilon,m}(s_j) = 0$ . For any  $t \in (a_d, \infty)$ ,  $P_{\epsilon,m}(t) \neq 0$ , which allows us to define

$$F_t(r, s) := x_1^{\epsilon_1} x_2^{\epsilon_2} \dots x_d^{\epsilon_d} r^{2|m|} \sum_m \nu_m P_{\epsilon,m}(t)^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} P_{\epsilon,m}(s_j).$$

By construction, this polynomial vanishes on the hyperplane  $\{s_\sigma = t\}$ . Since it is also symmetric in  $s_1, \dots, s_{d-1}$ , it thus vanishes on  $\{s_j = t\}$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , and hence is divisible by  $r^2 \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} (s_j - t)$ . Translating back into Euclidean coordinates, we find that

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \frac{x_j^2}{t - a_j} \mid \sum_m \nu_m C_{\epsilon,m}^{-1} P_{\epsilon,m}(t)^{-1} Q_{\epsilon,m}(x).$$

As shown by BreLOT and Choquet [7], no nonnegative polynomial can divide a nonzero harmonic polynomial, so  $\sum_m \nu_m C_{\epsilon,m}^{-1} P_{\epsilon,m}(t)^{-1} Q_{\epsilon,m}(x) = 0$ . Since the polynomials  $Q_{\epsilon,m}$  are linearly independent,  $\nu_m = 0$  for all  $m$ .  $\square$

#### 4. PARAMETRIC BEHAVIOUR OF NIVEN'S EQUATIONS

To treat parameters  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$  where not all components are distinct, it is convenient to introduce the following notation: For a multi-index  $m \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$ , write  $m_j^\# = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} m_i$ , where  $j = 1, \dots, d$ . Given  $a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_d$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$

and  $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^d$ , a convexity argument analogous to that in Section 3.1.1 implies there exists a unique  $|m|$ -tuple  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{|m|}$  such that

- (1) if  $a_j = a_{j+1}$ , then  $a_j = \xi_{m_j^\# + 1} = \dots = \xi_{m_j^\# + m_j} = a_{j+1}$ , while
- (2) if  $a_j < a_{j+1}$ , then  $a_j < \xi_{m_j^\# + 1} < \dots < \xi_{m_j^\# + m_j} < a_{j+1}$ , and all such components  $\xi_k$  satisfy Niven's equation,

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1 + 2\epsilon_j}{\xi_k - a_j} + \sum_{\ell \neq k} \frac{4}{\xi_k - \xi_\ell} = 0. \quad (5)$$

Denote this  $|m|$ -tuple by  $\xi_{\epsilon, m}^a$ , or just  $\xi_{\epsilon, m}$  if the dependence on  $a$  is clear.

Suppose  $a(t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  depends continuously on a parameter  $t \in [0, \delta)$ , and  $a_1(t) < \dots < a_d(t)$  for all  $t \in (0, \delta)$ . Write  $\xi_{\epsilon, m}(t)$  for  $\xi_{\epsilon, m}^{a(t)}$  as introduced above. It is easy to see that  $\xi_{\epsilon, m}(t) \rightarrow \xi_{\epsilon, m}(0)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , i.e. that  $\xi_{\epsilon, m}(t)$  is continuous. The next lemma, inspired by Rellich's theorem on eigenvectors of analytic families of self-adjoint operators [18], shows that if the dependence on  $t$  is analytic, then  $\xi_{\epsilon, m}(t)$  can be continued analytically across 0.

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose that  $a(t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is analytic in  $t \in (\alpha, \beta)$ ,  $\alpha < 0 < \beta$ , and that for  $t \in (0, \beta)$  we have  $a_1(t) < \dots < a_d(t)$ . Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}^d$  and  $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^d$ , and consider  $\xi_{\epsilon, m}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{|m|}$  as introduced above. Then  $\xi_{\epsilon, m} : (0, \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{|m|}$  extends analytically to a function  $\tilde{\xi}_{\epsilon, m} : (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{|m|}$ .*

*Proof.* We begin by showing that for real  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with pairwise distinct components, any complex solution  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^N$  of Niven's equations (1) is in fact real. If  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^N$  is such a solution,  $\bar{\xi} \in \mathbb{C}^N$  must also solve (1), since  $a$  is real. Subtracting these equations and dividing by  $2i$ , we obtain the system

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1 + 2\epsilon_j}{|\xi_k - a_j|^2} \operatorname{Im} \xi_k + \sum_{\ell \neq k} \frac{4}{|\xi_k - \xi_\ell|^2} \operatorname{Im} \xi_k - \sum_{\ell \neq k} \frac{4}{|\xi_k - \xi_\ell|^2} \operatorname{Im} \xi_\ell = 0, \quad (6)$$

where  $k = 1, \dots, N$ . The matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$  given by

$$A_{k, \ell} = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1 + 2\epsilon_j}{|\xi_k - a_j|^2} + \sum_{\ell' \neq k} \frac{4}{|\xi_k - \xi_{\ell'}|^2}, & k = \ell \\ -\frac{4}{|\xi_k - \xi_\ell|^2}, & k \neq \ell \end{cases}$$

is positive definite, because it is a sum of a diagonal matrix with positive entries and the matrices  $\frac{4}{|\xi_k - \xi_\ell|^2} (e_k - e_\ell)(e_k - e_\ell)^T$  (where  $e_k \in \mathbb{R}^N$  denotes the  $k^{\text{th}}$  unit vector), which are positive semidefinite. Therefore (6) implies  $\operatorname{Im} \xi = 0$ , i.e.  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .

Consider a small disk  $\mathbb{D}_\delta(0) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , with  $\delta \in (0, \beta]$ , such that  $a(t) \in \mathbb{C}^d$  has pairwise distinct components for all  $t \in \mathbb{D}_\delta(0) \setminus \{0\}$ . After multiplying through by the denominators in (1), we obtain an analytic system of equations in  $\mathbb{D}_\delta(0) \times \mathbb{C}^N$ . Its solutions form an analytic set in  $\mathbb{D}_\delta(0) \times \mathbb{C}^N$ , which may have unwanted irreducible components contained in the zero set of a denominator, i.e. in one of the planes  $\{\xi_k = a_j\}$  or  $\{\xi_k = \xi_\ell\}$ ,  $k \neq \ell$ . However, the local irreducible component  $\mathcal{X}$  containing the smooth real curve



$(t, \xi_{\epsilon, m}(t))$ ,  $t \in (0, \delta)$ , is one-dimensional. After all, near all  $t \in (0, \delta)$  it is a smooth complex curve, i.e. one-dimensional, and irreducible analytic sets are pure-dimensional (cf. [10, Chapter 5, §4]).

After possibly shrinking  $\delta$  to avoid any singularities of  $\mathcal{X}$  other than the one at  $t = 0$ , we can thus analytically continue  $\xi_{\epsilon, m}(t)$  to a bounded holomorphic curve over the open half-disk  $\mathbb{D}_\delta(0) \cap \{t \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } z > 0\}$ . However, since (1) admits no purely complex solutions for  $a(t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , the boundary value of  $\xi_{\epsilon, m}(t)$  on  $(-\delta, \delta)$  must be real. Thus, Schwarz' reflection principle implies  $\xi_{\epsilon, m}(t)$  in fact extends to the whole disk  $\mathbb{D}_\delta(0)$ , and so  $\xi_{\epsilon, m} : (0, \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  extends analytically to a function  $\tilde{\xi}_{\epsilon, m} : (-\delta, \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Note that  $\tilde{\xi}_{\epsilon, m}(t)$  still solves Niven's equations for all  $t \in (-\delta, 0)$ , although its components are no longer necessarily ordered. The preceding argument however only required  $\xi(t)$  to be a solution of Niven's equations, and so may be repeated at all  $t \in (\alpha, \beta)$  where  $a(t)$  does not have pairwise distinct components (a discrete subset of  $(\alpha, \beta)$  since  $a(t)$  is analytic in  $t$ ). This yields an analytic continuation  $\tilde{\xi}_{\epsilon, m}(t)$  of  $\xi_{\epsilon, m}(t)$  to the whole interval  $(\alpha, \beta)$ .  $\square$

**4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.** From now on, we assume  $d \geq 3$ , and pick up the argument begun in Section 2. Recall that the dimension of the space  $\mathcal{V}_N(\mathcal{C}_a)$  of harmonic homogeneous polynomials of a fixed degree  $N$  which vanish on  $\mathcal{C}_a$  achieves its minimum on a Zariski open subset of  $\mathcal{A}^d$ . It remains to show  $\min_{a \in \mathcal{A}^d} \dim \mathcal{V}_N(\mathcal{C}_a) = 0$  unless  $N \in \{2, 2 + d\}$ , in which case we must show  $\min_{a \in \mathcal{A}^d} \dim \mathcal{V}_N(\mathcal{C}_a) = 1$ . This will be achieved in five steps.

- (1) According to Theorem A, the number of linearly independent harmonic functions vanishing on  $\mathcal{C}_a$  for a fixed  $a \in \mathcal{A}^d$  is given by the number of solutions of Niven's equations with a zero component. As discussed in Section 3, the solutions of Niven's equations are enumerated by  $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^d$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$ . On the Zariski open subset of  $\mathcal{A}^d$  where all components of  $a \in \mathcal{A}^d$  are distinct, each solution  $\xi_{\epsilon, m}^a$  depends analytically on  $a$ . It follows that, at a generic point  $a \in \mathcal{A}^d$ , the only values of  $\epsilon, m$  for which a component of  $\xi_{\epsilon, m}^a$  vanishes are those for which this component vanishes identically.
- (2) Next, we specialize to three dimensions. We consider the analytic curve  $a(t) = (-1, \frac{2}{1+t}, \frac{2}{1-t})$ ,  $t \in [0, 1)$ , in  $\mathcal{A}^3$  which connects the round cone  $\{2x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2 = 0\}$  to the "degenerate cone"  $\{x_1^2 - x_2^2 = 0\}$ . With the goal of determining all  $k_0, \epsilon$  and  $m$  such that  $(\xi_{\epsilon, m}^{a(t)})_{k_0} \equiv 0$ , we obtain asymptotic expressions for  $\xi_{\epsilon, m}^{a(t)}$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  and as  $t \rightarrow 1$ .
- (3) We first use the conditions  $(\xi_{\epsilon, m}^{a(1)})_{k_0} = 0$  and  $\frac{d}{dt} |_{t=1} (\xi_{\epsilon, m}^{a(t)})_{k_0} = 0$  to obtain a Pell-type equation which drastically restricts the possible values of  $k_0, m$  and  $\epsilon$ .

- (4) Finally, we show that for these values of  $k_0$ ,  $m$  and  $\epsilon$ ,  $(\xi_{\epsilon, m}^{a(0)})_{k_0} \neq 0$ , except in two special cases which correspond to the different behaviour for  $N = 2$  and  $N = d + 2$ . This step uses an asymptotic expression for zeroes of associated Legendre polynomials, whose proof is deferred to Section 5.
- (5) In the last step of the proof, we establish Theorem 1 via an induction on the dimension.




FIGURE 1. Plot of  $\xi_{\epsilon, m}^{a(t)}$  with  $a(t) = (-1, \frac{2}{2+t}, \frac{2}{2-t})$ ,  $m = (31, 13)$  and  $\epsilon = (1, 1, 0)$ ,  $t \in [0, 1)$

Steps (2), (3) and (4) are illustrated well by Figure 1, which plots  $\xi_{\epsilon, m}^{a(t)}$  for  $t \in [0, 1)$ ,  $a(t)$  as defined in Step (2),  $\epsilon = (1, 1, 0)$  and  $m = (31, 13)$ . As we will derive in Step (3), these are in fact the next smallest values of  $\epsilon$  and  $m$  for which  $(\xi_{\epsilon, m}^{a(1)})_{k_0} = 0$  and  $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=1}(\xi_{\epsilon, m}^{a(t)})_{k_0} = 0$ , after the “trivial” solutions  $\epsilon = (0, 0, 0)$  and  $m = (1, 0)$  and  $\epsilon = (1, 1, 1)$  and  $m = (1, 0)$ . Step (4) is concerned with establishing that  $(\xi_{\epsilon, m}^{a(0)})_{k_0} \neq 0$  for all such  $\epsilon$  and  $m$ . As one can see in the figure, the true value of  $(\xi_{\epsilon, m}^{a(0)})_{k_0}$  is slightly below zero. This is highlighted in Figure 2.

4.1.1. *Step 1: Further reduction of the problem.* Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^d$  denote the set of  $a \in \mathcal{A}^d$  (as defined in Section 2) with pairwise distinct components, and let  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0^d$  be an arbitrary one of its connected components. For  $a \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0^d$ , Theorem A says that  $\dim \mathcal{V}_N(\mathcal{C}_a)$  is given by the number of  $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^d$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$  with




FIGURE 2. Lower components of  $\xi_{\epsilon,m}^{a(t)}$  as in Figure 1, highlighting  $(\xi_{\epsilon,m}^{a(t)})_{k_0}$  (bold) and the line  $\xi = 0$  (bold, dashed).

$|\epsilon| + 2|m| = N$  such that one of the components of  $\xi_{\epsilon,m}^a$  vanishes. For fixed  $\epsilon$  and  $m$ , the set of  $a \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0^d$  such that  $\xi_{\epsilon,m}^a$  has a zero component is either of measure zero or all of  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0^d$ , since  $\xi_{\epsilon,m}^a$  depends analytically on  $a \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0^d$ . In the latter case, as all components of  $\xi_{\epsilon,m}^a$  are distinct, it must be the same component that vanishes for all  $a \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0^d$ , i.e.  $(\xi_{\epsilon,m}^a)_{k_0} \equiv 0$  for some  $k_0 \in \{1, \dots, |m|\}$ . Thus,  $\min_{a \in \mathcal{A}_0^d} \dim \mathcal{V}_N(\mathcal{C}_a)$  is in fact given by the number of  $\epsilon, m$  with  $|\epsilon| + 2|m| = N$  such that there exists  $k_0 \in \{1, \dots, |m|\}$  with  $(\xi_{\epsilon,m}^a)_{k_0} \equiv 0$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}_0^d$ . We will show that, unless  $d = 2$ , this can only occur for  $|m| = 1$ ,  $\epsilon = \{0, \dots, 0\}$  and  $|m| = 1$ ,  $\epsilon = \{1, \dots, 1\}$ . We already showed in Section 2 that  $\min_{a \in \mathcal{A}^d} \dim \mathcal{V}_N(\mathcal{C}_a)$  is attained on a Zariski-open subset of  $\mathcal{A}^d$ , which in particular intersects  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0^d$ . Therefore, showing the above implies our claim that  $\min_{a \in \mathcal{A}^d} \dim \mathcal{V}_N(\mathcal{C}_a) = 0$  unless  $N \in \{2, 2+d\}$ , in which case  $\min_{a \in \mathcal{A}^d} \dim \mathcal{V}_N(\mathcal{C}_a) = 1$ .

4.1.2. *Three dimensions: Initial observations.* For the case  $d = 3$ , consider  $a(t) = (-1, \frac{2}{1+t}, \frac{2}{1-t})$ ,  $t \in [0, 1)$ . At  $t = 0$ , the corresponding cone  $\mathcal{C}_t := \mathcal{C}_{a(t)}$  is the round cone  $\{2x_1^2 = x_2^2 + x_3^2\}$ . As  $t \rightarrow 1$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_t$  degenerates to a union of two orthogonal planes,  $\{x_1 = x_2\}$  and  $\{-x_1 = x_2\}$ . Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}^2$  and  $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}^3$  and write  $\xi(t) = \xi_{\epsilon,m}^{a(t)}$ . Our first task is to obtain asymptotic formulas for  $\xi_k(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  and as  $t \rightarrow 1$ . The underlying idea behind these

is the observation that the homogeneous harmonic polynomial

$$Q_{\epsilon, m}^{a(t)}(x) = x_1^{\epsilon_1} x_2^{\epsilon_2} x_3^{\epsilon_3} \prod_{k=1}^{|m|} \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{x_j^2}{\xi_k(t) - a_j(t)} \quad (7)$$

converges to a standard spherical harmonic as  $t \rightarrow 0$  and as  $t \rightarrow 1$ , when properly normalized. This observation was already made by Felix Klein in 1881 [12, §3]. Since Klein appeals to the reader's geometric intuition (“*Geometrische Anschauung*”) for proof, we will provide a rigorous argument, via Niven's equations, as part of the proof of the following lemma. In its statement, the notation  $P_\mu^\nu$  stands for the associated Legendre polynomial of degree  $\mu$  with angular parameter  $\nu$ , as defined e.g. in [1]. Note that, for integers  $0 \leq \nu \leq \mu$ ,  $P_\mu^\nu$  has exactly  $\mu - \nu$  zeros in the interval  $(-1, 1)$ , which furthermore lie symmetric around 0. Hence,  $\lfloor \frac{\mu - \nu}{2} \rfloor$  zeros lie in  $(0, 1)$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Consider  $\xi(t)$  as introduced above. As  $t \rightarrow 0$ ,*

$$\xi_k(t) = -1 + 3\zeta_k^2 + \mathcal{O}(|t|), \quad 1 \leq k \leq m_1, \quad (8)$$

$$\xi_k(t) = 2 - 2t \cos\left(\frac{2(k-m_1)-1+\epsilon_2}{2m_2+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}\pi\right) + \mathcal{O}(|t|^2), \quad m_1 + 1 \leq k \leq |m|, \quad (9)$$

where  $\zeta_k$  denotes the  $k^{\text{th}}$  zero of  $P_{2|m|+\epsilon|}^{2m_2+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}$  in  $(0, 1)$ . As  $t \rightarrow 1$ ,

$$\xi_k(t) = -\cos\left(\frac{2k-1+\epsilon_1}{2m_1+\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2}\pi\right) + \mathcal{O}(|1-t|) \quad 1 \leq k \leq m_1 \quad (10)$$

$$\xi_k(t) = \frac{2}{1-t} \left(1 - \tilde{\zeta}_{|m|-k+1}^2\right) + \mathcal{O}(1), \quad m_1 + 1 \leq k \leq |m|, \quad (11)$$

where  $\tilde{\zeta}_\ell$  denotes the  $\ell^{\text{th}}$  zero of  $P_{|m|+\epsilon|}^{2m_1+\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2}$  in  $(0, 1)$ .

*Proof.* We first show, using the scaling and translation invariance of Niven's equations, that there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1}$  and  $\alpha', \beta' \in \mathbb{R}^{m_2}$  such that

$$\xi_k(t) = \alpha_k + \mathcal{O}(|t|), \quad 1 \leq k \leq m_1, \quad (12)$$

$$\xi_k(t) = 2 + 2t\alpha'_k + \mathcal{O}(|t|^2), \quad 1 \leq k \leq m_2 \quad (13)$$

as  $t \rightarrow 0$  and

$$\xi_k(t) = \beta_k + \mathcal{O}(|1-t|) \quad 1 \leq k \leq m_1, \quad (14)$$

$$\xi_k(t) = \frac{2}{1-t}\beta'_k + \mathcal{O}(1), \quad 1 \leq k \leq m_2 \quad (15)$$

as  $t \rightarrow 1$ . We then use these expressions to normalize  $Q_{\epsilon, m}^{a(t)}$  so it has a nonzero limit as  $t \rightarrow 0$  and  $t \rightarrow 1$ . Finally, we identify both limits as “standard” spherical harmonics, defined via associated Legendre polynomials, and obtain the desired asymptotics by comparing the linear and quadratic factors which occur.

Since  $a(t)$  is analytic at  $t = 0$ , Lemma 1 implies that  $\xi(t)$  extends analytically across 0 as well, immediately establishing (12). The components  $\xi_{m_1+1}(t), \dots, \xi_{|m|}(t)$  are constrained in the interval  $(a_2(t), a_3(t))$ , and

$a_2(0) = a_3(0) = 2$ . Thus,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \xi_k(t) = 2$  for all  $k \in \{m_1 + 1, \dots, m_2\}$ , which establishes (13) since  $\xi(t)$  is differentiable.

To obtain (14) and (15), introduce

$$\tilde{a}(t) = \frac{1-t}{2}a(t) = \left( \frac{t-1}{2}, \frac{1-t}{1+t}, 1 \right),$$

which extends analytically across  $t = 1$ . The scaling invariance of Niven's equations implies  $\xi(t) = \frac{2}{1-t}\xi_{\epsilon, m}^{\tilde{a}(t)}$ . By Lemma 1,  $\xi_{\epsilon, m}^{\tilde{a}(t)}$  extends analytically across  $t = 1$  since  $\tilde{a}(t)$  does. Because  $\tilde{a}_1(1) = \tilde{a}_2(1) = 0$ , we have  $(\xi_{\epsilon, m}^{\tilde{a}(t)})_k = 0$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m_1$ , so  $\xi_k(t)$  in fact stays bounded for these values of  $k$ . This establishes (14) and (15).

We now normalize  $Q_{\epsilon, m}^{a(t)}(x)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}_{\epsilon, m}^{a(t)}(x) &:= (a_3(t) - a_2(t))^{m_2} Q_{\epsilon, m}^{a(t)}(x) \\ &= x_1^{\epsilon_1} x_2^{\epsilon_2} x_3^{\epsilon_3} \left( \prod_{k=1}^{m_1} \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{x_j^2}{\xi_k(t) - a_j(t)} \right) \left( \prod_{k=m_1+1}^{|m|} \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{x_j^2}{\frac{\xi_k(t) - a_j(t)}{a_3(t) - a_2(t)}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $a_3(t) - a_2(t) = 4t + \mathcal{O}(|t|)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  and  $a_3(t) - a_2(t) = \frac{2}{1-t} + \mathcal{O}(1)$  as  $t \rightarrow 1$ . Combining this with (12), (13), (14) and (15) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{Q}_{\epsilon, m}^{a(t)}(x) &= x_1^{\epsilon_1} \prod_{k=1}^{m_1} \left( \frac{x_1^2}{\alpha_k + 1} + \frac{x_2^2 + x_3^2}{\alpha_k - 2} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot x_2^{\epsilon_2} x_3^{\epsilon_3} \prod_{k=m_1+1}^{|m|} \left( \frac{2x_2^2}{\alpha'_k + 1} - \frac{2x_3^2}{1 - \alpha'_k} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \tilde{Q}_{\epsilon, m}^{a(t)}(x) &= x_1^{\epsilon_1} x_2^{\epsilon_2} \prod_{k=1}^{m_1} \left( \frac{x_1^2}{\beta_k + 1} - \frac{x_2^2}{1 - \beta_k} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot x_3^{\epsilon_3} \prod_{k=m_1+1}^{|m|} \left( \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{\beta'_k} + \frac{x_3^2}{\beta'_k - 1} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

assuming that  $\alpha_k \notin \{-1, 2\}$ ,  $\alpha'_k \notin \{-1, 1\}$ ,  $\beta_k \notin \{-1, 1\}$  and  $\beta'_k \notin \{0, 1\}$ . That this is indeed not the case can be seen as follows: Assume, for example, that  $\alpha'_1 = -1$  (and so  $\xi_{m_1+1}(t) = 2 - 2t + \mathcal{O}(|t|^2) = a_2(t) + \mathcal{O}(|t|^2)$ ). Then the corresponding factor  $\sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{x_j^2}{\xi_k(t) - a_j(t)}$  should be normalized by multiplying it with  $(\xi_k(t) - a_2(t))$  instead of  $(a_3(t) - a_2(t))$ , so it converges to  $x_2^2$ . After proceeding analogously with the remaining factors, we consider  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{Q}_{\epsilon, m}(t)$ , which is now a nonzero harmonic homogeneous polynomial divisible by  $x_2^2$  – a contradiction against Brelot and Choquet's theorem that a harmonic polynomial cannot have nonnegative divisors.

Returning to (16), we observe that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{Q}_{\epsilon, m}^{a(t)}(x)$  is a harmonic homogeneous polynomial of the form  $|x|^{2m_1 + \epsilon_1} f(|x|^{-1} x_1) g(x_2, x_3)$ , with  $f$  a polynomial of degree  $2m_1 + \epsilon_1$  and  $g$  a product of  $2m_2 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3$  homogeneous linear factors. It is well known, and easy to see e.g. by separating variables on the sphere  $\{|x| = 1\}$ , that this means  $f$  is a multiple of the associated Legendre polynomial  $P_{2|m|+|\epsilon|}^{2m_2 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3}$ , and  $g(x_2, x_3) = \operatorname{Re} \omega (x_2 + ix_3)^{2m_2 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3}$  for some  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . Taking into account that  $g$  is divisible by  $x_2^{\epsilon_2} x_3^{\epsilon_3}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{Q}_{\epsilon, m}^{a(t)}(x) &= c_{\epsilon, m} |x|^{2m_1 + \epsilon_1} P_{2|m|+|\epsilon|}^{2m_2 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3} (|x|^{-1} x_1) \\ &\quad \cdot \operatorname{Re} (i^{\epsilon_3} (x_2 + ix_3)^{2m_2 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3}), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

for an (irrelevant) constant  $c_{\epsilon, m} \in \mathbb{R}$ . Comparing linear and quadratic factors occurring in the expression (18) with those in (16) yields (8) and (9). Indeed, comparing the zeros of

$$\begin{aligned} |x|^{2m_1 + \epsilon_1} P_{2|m|+|\epsilon|}^{2m_2 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3} \left( \frac{x_1}{|x|} \right) &= x_1^{\epsilon_1} \prod_{k=1}^{m_1} (x_1^2 - \zeta_k^2 |x|^2) \text{ and} \\ x_1^{\epsilon_1} \prod_{k=1}^{m_1} \left( \frac{x_1^2}{\alpha_k + 1} + \frac{x_2^2 + x_3^2}{\alpha_k - 2} \right) &= x_1^{\epsilon_1} \prod_{k=1}^{m_1} \frac{(\alpha_k + 1)|x|^2 - 3x_1^2}{(\alpha_k + 1)(\alpha_k - 2)} \end{aligned}$$

shows  $\alpha_k = -1 + 3\zeta_k^2$  (since both  $\alpha_k$  and  $\zeta_k$  are ordered increasingly). By comparing linear factors in  $\operatorname{Re} (i^{\epsilon_3} (x_2 + ix_3)^{2m_2 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3})$ , which agrees with

$$x_2^{\epsilon_2} x_3^{\epsilon_3} \prod_{k=1}^{m_2} \left( \cos \left( \frac{2k-1+\epsilon_2}{2m_2+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3} \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2 x_2^2 - \sin \left( \frac{2k-1+\epsilon_2}{2m_2+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3} \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2 x_3^2 \right)$$

up to a constant, and  $x_2^{\epsilon_2} x_3^{\epsilon_3} \prod_{k=m_1+1}^{|m|} \left( \frac{2x_2^2}{\alpha'_k + 1} - \frac{2x_3^2}{1 - \alpha'_k} \right)$ , we find that

$$\frac{\alpha'_k + 1}{1 - \alpha'_k} = \frac{\sin \left( \frac{2k-1+\epsilon_2}{2m_2+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3} \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2}{\cos \left( \frac{2k-1+\epsilon_2}{2m_2+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3} \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2}.$$

After some simplification we obtain  $\alpha'_k = -\cos \left( \frac{2k-1+\epsilon_3}{2m_2+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3} \pi \right)$  as claimed.

Arguing analogously in the case of the limit  $t \rightarrow 1$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \tilde{Q}_{\epsilon, m}^{a(t)}(x) &= c'_{\epsilon, m} \operatorname{Re} (i^{\epsilon_2} (x_1 + ix_2)^{2m_1 + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}) \\ &\quad \cdot |x|^{2m_2 + \epsilon_3} P_{2|m|+|\epsilon|}^{2m_1 + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2} (|x|^{-1} x_3), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

for some  $c'_{\epsilon, m} \in \mathbb{R}$ . The comparison of coefficients yielding  $\beta$  works exactly as in the case of  $\alpha'$ , except with  $m_2$ ,  $\epsilon_2$  and  $\epsilon_3$  replaced by  $m_1$ ,  $\epsilon_1$  and  $\epsilon_2$ .

For  $\beta'$ , we must compare the factors of

$$|x|^{2m_2+\epsilon_3} P_{2|m|+|\epsilon|}^{2m_1+\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2} \left( \frac{x_3}{|x|} \right) = x_3^{\epsilon_3} \prod_{k=1}^{m_2} (x_3^2 - \tilde{\zeta}_k^2 |x|^2) \text{ and}$$

$$x_3^{\epsilon_3} \prod_{k=1}^{m_2} \left( \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{\beta'_k} + \frac{x_3^2}{\beta'_k - 1} \right) = x_3^{\epsilon_3} \prod_{k=1}^{m_2} \frac{(\beta'_k - 1)|x|^2 + x_3^2}{\beta'_k(\beta'_k - 1)},$$

which immediately leads to  $\beta'_k = 1 - \tilde{\zeta}_{m_2-k+1}^2$  (since  $(1 - \tilde{\zeta}_k^2)_{k=1}^{m_2}$  and  $(\beta'_k)_{k=1}^{m_2}$  are in opposite order).  $\square$

Suppose now that there exists  $k_0$  such that  $\xi_{k_0}(t) = 0$  for all  $t \in [0, 1)$ . The asymptotics at  $t = 1$  from Lemma 2 then imply  $\cos(\frac{2k_0-1+\epsilon_1}{2m_1+\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2}\pi) = 0$ . This is only possible if  $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2$ ,  $2 \nmid m_1$  and  $k_0 = \frac{m_1+1}{2}$ .

On the other hand,  $\xi_{k_0}(0) = -1 + 3\zeta_{k_0}^2 = 0$  means that the  $k_0^{\text{th}}$  positive zero of  $P_{2|m|+|\epsilon|}^{2m_2+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}$  falls on  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ . As already mentioned in the introduction, Mangoubi and Weller Weiser [15] conjectured that this never occurs for *any* zero of this polynomial, except in three cases which in our setup correspond to  $m = (1, 0)$ ,  $\epsilon = (0, 0, 0)$ ,  $m = (1, 0)$ ,  $\epsilon = (1, 1, 1)$  and  $m = (1, 1)$ ,  $\epsilon = (1, 0, 0)$ . This claim boils down to a difficult number-theoretic problem, which is substantially reduced, but not solved, in [15]. A proof of this conjecture, together with the preceding discussion, would immediately imply Theorem 1.

We will instead use the assumption that  $\xi_{k_0}(t) = 0$  for all  $t \in [0, 1)$  to restrict the range of possible  $m$  and  $\epsilon$ , by taking a derivative at  $t = 1$ , and then show that for these parameters,  $\xi_{k_0}(0) = -1 + 3\zeta_{k_0}^2 \neq 0$ , unless  $|m| = 1$ .

**4.1.3. Three dimensions: Endpoint calculations at  $t = 1$ .** As  $t \rightarrow 1$ , the parameter  $a(t)$  becomes unbounded, and so does  $\xi(t)$ . However,  $(1-t)a(t)$  is analytic across  $t = 1$ , hence Lemma 1 and the scaling invariance of Niven's equations imply that  $\xi(t)$  merely has a simple pole at  $t = 1$ . Since  $\xi_k(t)$  stays bounded for  $k = 1, \dots, m_1$ , it must analytically continue across  $t = 1$ .

For  $k = m_1 + 1, \dots, |m|$ , let  $\tilde{\xi}_k(t) = (1-t)\xi_k(t)$ , which is analytic across  $t = 1$  as well. Define

$$F : \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m_1},$$

$$F(y)_k = \frac{1 + 2\epsilon_1}{y_k + 1} + \frac{1 + 2\epsilon_2}{y_k - \frac{2}{1+t}} + \frac{(1-t)(1 + 2\epsilon_3)}{(1-t)y_k - 2}$$

$$+ \sum_{\ell=m_1+1}^{|m|} \frac{4(1-t)}{y_k(1-t) - \tilde{\xi}_\ell(t)} + \sum_{\ell \neq k} \frac{4}{y_k - y_\ell}.$$
(20)

The point of this definition is that  $y(t) := (\xi_k(t))_{k=1}^{m_1}$  solves  $F(y(t), t) = 0$ , and  $F$  is analytic on a neighborhood of  $(y(1), 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{R}$ , unlike the original system of equations (1). This allows us to calculate  $y'(1)$  by the

chain rule, via  $y'(1) = -D_y F(y(1), 1)^{-1} D_t F(y(1), 1)$ . We first compute

$$D_t F(y, 1)_k = -\frac{1 + 2\epsilon_2}{2(y_k - 1)^2} + \frac{1 + 2\epsilon_3}{2} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{m_2} \frac{4}{\tilde{\xi}_{m_1+\ell}(1)}$$

$$D_y F(y, 1)_{k\ell} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1+2\epsilon_1}{(y_k+1)^2} - \frac{1+2\epsilon_2}{(y_k-1)^2} - \sum_{\ell \neq k} \frac{4}{(y_k - y_\ell)^2}, & k = \ell \\ \frac{4}{(y_k - y_\ell)^2}, & k \neq \ell \end{cases}$$

The matrix  $D_y F(y, 1)_{k\ell}$  is negative definite by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 1, and hence invertible.

Recall that according to (11),  $\tilde{\xi}_{m_1+\ell}(1) = 2 - 2\tilde{\zeta}_{m_2-\ell+1}^2$  is the  $(m_2 - \ell + 1)^{th}$  positive zero of  $P_{2|m|+|\epsilon|}^{2m_1+\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2}$ . Since  $P_{2|m|+|\epsilon|}^{2m_1+\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2}$  has a zero at 0 if and only if  $\epsilon_3$  is odd, and since its zeroes lie symmetric with respect to the origin,

$$\frac{\epsilon_3}{2} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{m_2} \frac{2}{\tilde{\xi}_{m_1+\ell}(1)} = \sum_{\zeta} \frac{1}{2 - 2\zeta^2},$$

where the latter sum ranges over all zeroes of  $P_{2|m|+|\epsilon|}^{2m_1+\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2}$  not equal to  $\pm 1$ . Let us calculate this sum for an arbitrary associated Legendre polynomial  $P_\mu^\nu$ , using Rodrigues' formula  $P_\mu^\nu(x) = \frac{1}{2^\mu \mu!} (1-x^2)^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{\mu+\nu} (x^2-1)^\mu$  (see e.g. [1, Equations 8.6.6 and 8.6.18]). The zeroes of interest are those of the polynomial  $p(x) := \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{\mu+\nu} (x^2-1)^\mu$ . It follows by Vieta's formula that

$$\sum_{\zeta} \frac{1}{2 - 2\zeta^2} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\zeta} \frac{1}{1 + \zeta} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\zeta} \frac{1}{1 - \zeta} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\zeta} \frac{1}{1 - \zeta} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{p'(1)}{p(1)},$$

since the zeros of  $p$  lie symmetric around 0, and  $1 - \zeta$  ranges over the zeroes of  $p(1 - x)$ . As  $p(1) = \binom{\mu+\nu}{\nu} \frac{\mu! \mu!}{(\mu-\nu)!} 2^{\mu-\nu}$  and  $p'(1) = \binom{\mu+\nu+1}{\nu+1} \frac{\mu! \mu!}{(\mu-\nu-1)!} 2^{\mu-\nu-1}$  by the product rule, we obtain  $\sum \frac{1}{2-2\zeta^2} = \frac{(\mu-\nu)(\mu+\nu+1)}{4(\nu+1)}$ . Hence,

$$D_t F(y, 1)_k = -\frac{1 + 2\epsilon_2}{2(y_k - 1)^2} + K,$$

$$K := \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(2m_2 + \epsilon_3)(4m_1 + 2m_2 + 2\epsilon_1 + 2\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 + 1)}{2(2m_1 + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + 1)}.$$

The explicit calculation of  $y'(1)$  is enabled by two arithmetic miracles, which we shall isolate in a lemma.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , and suppose  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  solves*

$$\frac{\delta}{\xi_k - 1} + \frac{\delta}{\xi_k + 1} + 4 \sum_{\ell \neq k} \frac{1}{\xi_k - \xi_\ell} = 0.$$

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be given by

$$A_{k\ell} = \begin{cases} 4 \sum_{\ell' \neq k} (\xi_k - \xi_{\ell'})^{-2} + \delta (\xi_k - 1)^{-2} + \delta (\xi_k + 1)^{-2} & k = \ell, \\ -4 (\xi_k - \xi_\ell)^{-2} & k \neq \ell, \end{cases}$$



and  $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$  by  $v = \left(\frac{1+\xi_k}{2\delta}\right)_{k=1}^n$  and  $w = \left(\frac{1-\xi_k^2}{4(n-1)+2\delta}\right)_{k=1}^n$ . Then

$$Av = ((\xi_k - 1)^{-2})_{k=1}^n, \quad Aw = (1)_{k=1}^n.$$

*Proof.* Both identities follow from direct calculation. In these computations, we first plug in the given expressions for  $A$  and  $v$  (resp.  $w$ ), and then simplify them using the equation we assumed is satisfied by  $\xi$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (Av)_k &= \frac{1}{2\delta} \left( 4 \sum_{\ell \neq k} (\xi_k - \xi_\ell)^{-1} + \delta(\xi_k + 1)(\xi_k - 1)^{-2} + \delta(\xi_k + 1)^{-1} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} ((\xi_k + 1)(\xi_k - 1)^{-2} - (\xi_k - 1)^{-1}) = (\xi_k - 1)^{-2}, \\ (Aw)_k &= \frac{1}{4(n-1) + 2\delta} \left( 4 \sum_{\ell \neq k} \frac{\xi_\ell + \xi_k}{\xi_\ell - \xi_k} + \delta \frac{1 + \xi_k}{1 - \xi_k} + \delta \frac{1 - \xi_k}{1 + \xi_k} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4(n-1) + 2\delta} \left( 4(n-1) + \sum_{\ell \neq k} \frac{8\xi_k}{\xi_\ell - \xi_k} + 2\delta + \frac{2\xi_k\delta}{1 - \xi_k} - \frac{2\xi_k\delta}{1 + \xi_k} \right) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Perhaps surprisingly, the explicit expressions for  $\xi_k$  derived in Section 4.1.2 played no role in our calculations.  $\square$

Because the assumption  $\xi_{k_0}(1) = 0$  implied  $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2$  (Section 4.1.2), we can apply Lemma 3 to obtain

$$\xi'_k(1) = -\frac{1 + \xi_k(1)}{4} + K \frac{1 - \xi_k(1)^2}{4m_1 + 4\epsilon_1 - 2}.$$

Plugging the expression for  $K$  (and recalling that  $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2$ ), as well as our assumption that  $\xi_{k_0}(1) = \xi'_{k_0}(1) = 0$ , yields the equation

$$\begin{aligned} (2m_1 + 2\epsilon_1 - 1)(2m_1 + 2\epsilon_1 + 1) &= 2K(2m_1 + 2\epsilon_1 + 1) \\ &= 2m_1 + 2\epsilon_1 + 1 + (2m_2 + \epsilon_3)(4m_1 + 2m_2 + 4\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_3 + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

After simplifying, we obtain the Diophantine equation

$$(2|m| + |\epsilon|)^2 + (2|m| + |\epsilon|) - 8(m_1 + \epsilon_1)^2 + 2 = 0. \quad (22)$$

Its solutions are given by  $2|m| + |\epsilon| = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{32(m_1 + \epsilon_1)^2 - 7} - 1)$ , whenever  $32(m_1 + \epsilon_1)^2 - 7$  is a square. Since  $\xi_{k_0}(1) = 0$  implied  $m_1$  was odd,  $m_1 + \epsilon_1$  determines both  $m_1$  and  $\epsilon_1$ . The Pell-type equation  $p^2 - 32q^2 = -7$  admits infinitely many solutions, of which the smallest one,  $(p, q) = (5, 1)$ , leads to  $m = (1, 0)$ ,  $\epsilon = (0, 0, 0)$ . The remaining non-negative solutions of this equation (obtained via [8, Theorem 3.3]) lead to the following formula for

$m$  and  $\epsilon$ , where  $\psi = 17 + 12\sqrt{2}$  and  $(\alpha, \sigma)$  ranges over  $\mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \times \{-1, 1\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 + \epsilon_1 &= \frac{(4\sqrt{2} + \sigma 5)\psi^\alpha + (4\sqrt{2} - \sigma 5)\psi^{-\alpha}}{8\sqrt{2}} \\ 2|m| + |\epsilon| &= \frac{(4\sqrt{2} + \sigma 5)\psi^\alpha - (4\sqrt{2} - \sigma 5)\psi^{-\alpha} - 2}{4} \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

One more ‘‘small’’ solution, namely  $m = (1, 0)$ ,  $\epsilon = (1, 1, 1)$ , arises from  $(\alpha, \sigma) = (1, -1)$ . All remaining solutions satisfy  $m_1 + \epsilon_1 \geq 32$  and  $2|m| + |\epsilon| \geq 90$ , which will be important in the next step.

Later, we will need an upper bound for the quotient  $\frac{m_1 + \epsilon_1 + 1}{4|m| + 2|\epsilon|}$  valid for all solutions of (22) with  $|m| > 1$ . For fixed  $\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}$ ,  $\psi^{-\alpha}(m_1 + \epsilon_1 + 1)$  decreases with  $\alpha$ , while  $\psi^{-\alpha}(4|m| + 2|\epsilon|)$  increases. Thus,  $\frac{m_1 + \epsilon_1 + 1}{4|m| + 2|\epsilon|}$  decreases in  $\alpha$  as well, and so is bounded above by the value taken at the smallest solutions with  $|m| > 1$  corresponding to  $\sigma = 1$  and  $\sigma = -1$ , respectively. These are  $(m_1 + \epsilon_1, 2|m| + |\epsilon|) = (32, 90)$  and  $(67, 189)$ . Hence,

$$\frac{m_1 + \epsilon_1 + 1}{4|m| + 2|\epsilon|} \leq \max\left(\frac{33}{180}, \frac{68}{378}\right) = \frac{33}{180}. \quad (24)$$

4.1.4. *Three dimensions: Endpoint calculations at  $t = 0$ .* It remains to close the argument by showing that the  $k_0^{\text{th}}$  positive zero of  $P_{2|m|+|\epsilon|}^{2m_2+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}$ , denoted by  $\zeta_{k_0}$ , does not fall on  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$  when  $\xi_{k_0}(1) = \xi'_{k_0}(1) = 0$ . As derived in the previous subsection, the latter conditions imply  $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2$ ,  $k_0 = \frac{m_1+1}{2}$ , and that  $m, \epsilon$  are given by (23), which we shall therefore assume for the remainder of this subsection. After excluding the two trivial solutions with  $m = (1, 0)$  we may assume  $2|m| + |\epsilon| \geq 90$ .

We will use an approximation formula (Corollary 2) for zeros of associated Legendre polynomials proven in Section 5 below. Let us introduce the auxiliary quantities

$$h = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2|m| + |\epsilon|)(2|m| + |\epsilon| + 1)}}, \quad \nu = \frac{2m_2 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3}{\sqrt{(2|m| + |\epsilon|)(2|m| + |\epsilon| + 1)}}$$

and  $\eta = \sqrt{1 - \nu^2}$ . Under our assumptions,  $h \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{8190}} \sim 0.011$ . Equation (22) suggests  $\nu \sim 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ . In fact, we can use (22) to bound  $\nu$  from above unconditionally (recall that  $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &\leq \frac{2m_2 + \epsilon_3 + 1}{\sqrt{(2|m| + |\epsilon|)(2|m| + |\epsilon| + 1)}} = \frac{2|m| + |\epsilon| + 1 - 2m_1 - \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2}{\sqrt{(2|m| + |\epsilon|)(2|m| + |\epsilon| + 1)}} \\ &< 1 + h - \frac{2(m_1 + \epsilon_1)}{\sqrt{(2|m| + |\epsilon|)(2|m| + |\epsilon| + 1)}} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + h \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\nu \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{8190}} < 0.304$ . By Corollary 2, proven in Section 5, the  $k^{\text{th}}$  zero  $\zeta_k$  of  $P_{2|m|+|\epsilon|}^{2m_2+\epsilon_2+\epsilon_3}$  is well approximated by the (unique) solution

$x \in (0, 1)$  of the equation

$$\tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\frac{x}{\eta}}{\sqrt{1 - (\frac{x}{\eta})^2}} \right) - \nu \tan^{-1} \left( \nu \frac{\frac{x}{\eta}}{\sqrt{1 - (\frac{x}{\eta})^2}} \right) = h \left( k - \frac{1 + (-1)^{\epsilon_1}}{4} \right) \pi. \quad (25)$$

Denote the expression on the left hand side by  $\vartheta(x)$ . By the error estimate provided in Corollary 2, Section 5,  $\vartheta(\zeta_k)$  differs from  $h \left( k - \frac{1 + (-1)^{\ell - m}}{4} \right) \pi$  by at most  $\frac{h}{2}(2m_1 + \epsilon_1 - 2k)^{-1}$ . For fixed  $x$ ,  $\vartheta(x)$  is decreasing in  $\nu$ , hence

$$\vartheta \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \geq 0.5818 \dots,$$

the value obtained by plugging in the upper bound 0.304 for  $\nu$ . On the other hand, the upper bound (24) for  $\frac{m_1 + \epsilon_1 + 1}{4|m| + 2|\epsilon|}$  implies

$$h \left( k_0 - \frac{1 + (-1)^{\epsilon_1}}{4} \right) \pi < \frac{m_1 + \epsilon_1 + 1}{4|m| + 2|\epsilon|} \pi \leq \frac{33}{180} \pi = 0.5759 \dots$$

The difference between these numbers is around 0.0059, which is small but significant: It is greater than  $\frac{h}{2}(2m_1 + \epsilon_1 - 2k_0)^{-1}$ , which does not exceed 0.002. Thus, the  $k_0^{\text{th}}$  positive zero of  $P_{2|m|+|\epsilon|}^{2m_2 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3}$  does *not* fall on  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ , but on a slightly smaller number. This completes the proof of Theorem 1 in three dimensions, contingent on the error bound for the approximation formula (25), which will be proved in Section 5.

4.1.5. *Higher dimensions.* The higher dimensional case follows by induction on  $d$ , with the base case  $d = 3$  established above. Fix a connected component  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0^d \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^d$ , and suppose  $m, \epsilon$  and  $k_0$  are such that  $(\xi_{\epsilon, m}^a)_{k_0} \equiv 0$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0^d$ . Let  $j_0 \in 1, \dots, d - 1$  be the index such that  $a_{j_0} < 0 < a_{j_0+1}$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0^d$ . Since  $d \geq 4$ , we may assume  $j_0 \notin \{1, d - 1\}$ .

Consider  $m' = (m_1, \dots, m_{d-2})$ ,  $\epsilon' = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{d-1})$ . Suppose it is not the case that  $|m'| = 1$  and  $\epsilon' = \{0, \dots, 0\}$  or  $\{1, \dots, 1\}$ . The induction hypothesis implies that there exists  $a' \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{d-1}$ , which can be assumed to lie on the boundary of  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0^d$  in the sense that  $a'_{j_0-1} < 0 < a'_{j_0}$ , for which no component of  $\xi_{m', \epsilon'}^{a'}$  vanishes. Since both  $a_{d-1}$  and  $a_d$  are positive, we can find an analytic curve  $a : [0, 1) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0^d$  which has a simple pole at  $t = 1$  where

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} a_j(t) = \begin{cases} a'_j & j \neq d \\ \infty & j = d \end{cases}$$

It follows that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} (\xi_{m, \epsilon}^a(t))_k = \xi_{m', \epsilon'}^{a'} \neq 0$  for  $k \in \{1, \dots, m_{d-2}^\#\}$ , and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} (\xi_{m, \epsilon}^a(t))_k = \infty$  for  $k \in \{m_{d-2}^\# + 1, \dots, |m|\}$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $|m'| = 1$  and  $\epsilon' = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{d-1})$ . In particular,  $m_{j_0} = 1$  and  $m_j = 0$  for all  $j \neq j_0$ .

Completely analogously, we can also send  $a_1$  to  $-\infty$  and thereby establish that  $|(m_2, \dots, m_{d-1})| = 1$  and  $(\epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_d) = \{0, \dots, 0\}$  or  $\{1, \dots, 1\}$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

## 5. WKB APPROXIMATION OF THE ASSOCIATED LEGENDRE POLYNOMIALS

The proof of Theorem 1 required some knowledge of the approximate location of specific nontrivial zeros of associated Legendre functions, together with precise and *absolute* error bounds, the latter in order to avoid missing counterexamples of small degree. In this section, we derive an approximation formula for associated Legendre polynomials, which seems to have been first discovered by Landauer in 1951 [13]. The derivation presented here differs from that in [13] and will come with explicit error bounds (Corollary 1 and Corollary 2), which are absent in [13]. These bounds are valid for all parameters, contain no implicit constants and have a very simple form.

Let us introduce normalized associated Legendre polynomials  $\overline{P}_\ell^m(x)$  as follows: If  $\ell - m$  is even, let  $\overline{P}_\ell^m(x) = P_\ell^m(x)/P_\ell^m(0)$ , while for  $\ell - m$  odd,  $\overline{P}_\ell^m(x) = \sqrt{l(l+1) - m^2} P_\ell^m(x)/(P_\ell^m)'(0)$ . This normalization has the effect that the local extrema of  $\overline{P}_\ell^m(x)$  nearest to the origin take values close to  $\pm 1$ . In fact,  $\overline{P}_\ell^m(x)$  is almost perfectly enveloped by  $\pm \sqrt[4]{\frac{\ell(\ell+1) - m^2}{\ell(\ell+1)(1-x^2) - m^2}}$ , as we will show in Proposition 1 below.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $0 \leq m \leq \ell$  be integers, and introduce  $h = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell(\ell+1)}}$ ,  $\nu = \frac{m}{\sqrt{l(l+1)}}$ ,  $\eta = \sqrt{1 - \nu^2}$ . Let*

$$\vartheta(x) = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\frac{x}{\eta}}{\sqrt{1 - (\frac{x}{\eta})^2}} \right) - \nu \tan^{-1} \left( \nu \frac{\frac{x}{\eta}}{\sqrt{1 - (\frac{x}{\eta})^2}} \right). \quad (26)$$

*On the interval  $(-\eta, \eta)$ , the normalized associated Legendre function  $\overline{P}_\ell^m(x)$  is approximated well by*

$$W_\ell^m(x) = \begin{cases} \left(1 - (\frac{x}{\eta})^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \cos\left(\frac{1}{h}\vartheta(x)\right) & \text{if } \ell - m \text{ is even, and} \\ \left(1 - (\frac{x}{\eta})^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sin\left(\frac{1}{h}\vartheta(x)\right) & \text{if } \ell - m \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

*with error at most  $\frac{1}{2}h\eta^{-3}|x|(1-x^2)(1 - (\frac{x}{\eta})^2)^{-\frac{7}{4}}$  in both cases.*

*Proof.* Up to normalization, the associated Legendre polynomial  $P_\ell^m(x)$  is the unique even (if  $\ell - m$  is even) or odd (if  $\ell - m$  is odd) solution on the interval  $(-1, 1)$  to the *associated Legendre equation* [1, Equation 8.8.1],

$$(1 - x^2) u''(x) - 2x u'(x) + \left(\ell(\ell + 1) - \frac{m^2}{1 - x^2}\right) u(x) = 0. \quad (28)$$

After a simple change of variables, we will obtain the desired asymptotic expression by Wenzel-Kramers-Brillouin approximation.

If  $u$  solves (28), then  $v(t) = u(\tanh(t))$  solves the ODE

$$v''(t) + (\ell(\ell + 1) \cosh(t)^{-2} - m)v(t) = 0. \quad (29)$$




FIGURE 3. Plot of  $\overline{P_{42}^{17}}$  (grey) and  $W_{42}^{17}$  (red, dashed) as in Proposition 1, with vertical lines at  $\pm\eta$ .

Denote  $h = 1/\sqrt{l(l+1)}$ ,  $\nu = m/\sqrt{l(l+1)}$  and  $\eta = \sqrt{1-\nu^2}$  as above. Following the procedure detailed in [11], we now obtain the WKB approximation of the solution in the classically allowed region  $\cosh(t) < \nu^{-1}$ , i.e. the interval  $(-\tanh^{-1}(\eta), \tanh^{-1}(\eta))$ . Introduce  $p(t) = \sqrt{\cosh(t)^{-2} - \nu^2}$ , the classical momentum of a particle at position  $t$ , so that (29) can be written as

$$v''(t) + \frac{p(t)^2}{h^2}v(t) = 0. \quad (30)$$

For  $0 \leq s \leq t < \tanh^{-1}(\eta)$ , let  $\Phi_0(s, t)$  and  $\Phi_1(s, t)$  be the solution in  $t$  of (30) with initial conditions  $v(s) = 1, v'(s) = 0$  and  $v(s) = 0, v'(s) = 1$ , respectively. The WKB approximations to  $\Phi_0(s, t)$  and  $\Phi_1(s, t)$  are

$$W_0(s, t) = \frac{A(t)}{A(s)} \cos\left(\frac{1}{h} \int_s^t p(\tau) d\tau\right) \text{ and}$$

$$W_1(s, t) = hA(t)A(s) \sin\left(\frac{1}{h} \int_s^t p(\tau) d\tau\right),$$

respectively, where  $A(t) = p(t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  is another auxiliary function, chosen so that  $2A'(t)p(t) + A(t)p'(t) = 0$ . This leads to cancellations when applying  $\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \frac{p(t)^2}{h^2}$  to  $W_0(s, t)$  and  $W_1(s, t)$ . In fact,

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \frac{p(t)^2}{h^2}\right)W_0(s, t) = \frac{A''(t)}{A(s)} \cos\left(\frac{1}{h} \int_s^t p(\tau) d\tau\right),$$

which stays bounded as  $h \rightarrow \infty$ , and the analogous term for  $W_1$  is  $\mathcal{O}(h)$ .

We will now obtain a precise error bound on the approximation  $W_0$ . The error term  $E_0(s, t) := \Phi_0(s, t) - W_0(s, t)$  satisfies  $E(0) = E'(0) = 0$ ,

$$\left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \frac{p(t)^2}{h^2} \right) E_0(s, t) = \frac{A''(t)}{A(s)} \cos \left( \frac{1}{h} \int_s^t p(\tau) d\tau \right),$$

and hence can be expressed via Duhamel's formula,

$$E_0(s, t) = \frac{1}{A(s)} \int_s^t \Phi_1(\tau, t) A''(\tau) \cos \left( \frac{1}{h} \int_s^\tau p(\tau') d\tau' \right) d\tau.$$

A naive bound on  $\Phi_1(s, t)$  can be obtained by an energy argument as follows. For any solution  $v$  of  $v'' + \frac{p^2}{h^2}v = 0$ , and any  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( v'(t)^2 + \frac{p(t)^2}{h^2} v(t)^2 \right) = 2 \frac{p'(t)p(t)}{h^2} v(t)^2 \leq 0.$$

Thus,  $|\Phi_1(s, t)| \leq \frac{h}{p(t)}$ . It is easily checked that  $A(t)$  is convex. Thus,

$$|E_0(s, t)| \leq \frac{h}{p(t)A(s)} \int_s^t A''(\tau) d\tau = h \frac{A'(t) - A'(s)}{p(t)A(s)}.$$

The analogous computation for  $E_1(s, t) := \Phi_1(s, t) - W_1(s, t)$  yields

$$|E_1(s, t)| \leq h^2 A(s) \frac{A'(t) - A'(s)}{p(t)}.$$

Substituting  $t = \tanh^{-1}(x)$ , and noting that

$$p(t) = \sqrt{\eta^2 - x^2}, \quad A(t) = (\eta^2 - x^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \quad A'(t) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{x(1-x^2)}{(\eta^2 - x^2)^{\frac{5}{4}}},$$

our WKB approximations take the form

$$W_0(0, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{1 - (\frac{x}{\eta})^2}} \cos \left( \frac{1}{h} \int_0^x \frac{\sqrt{\eta^2 - y^2}}{1 - y^2} dy \right) \text{ and}$$

$$W_1(0, x) = \frac{\frac{h}{\eta}}{\sqrt[4]{1 - (\frac{x}{\eta})^2}} \sin \left( \frac{1}{h} \int_0^x \frac{\sqrt{\eta^2 - y^2}}{1 - y^2} dy \right),$$

while the error bounds become

$$|E_0(0, x)| \leq \frac{1}{2} h \eta^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{|x|(1-x^2)}{(\eta^2 - x^2)^{\frac{7}{4}}}, \quad |E_1(0, x)| \leq \frac{1}{2} h^2 \eta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{|x|(1-x^2)}{(\eta^2 - x^2)^{\frac{7}{4}}}.$$

The integral  $\int_0^x \frac{\sqrt{\eta^2 - y^2}}{1 - y^2} dy$  can be expressed in elementary functions, yielding the expression (26).  $\square$

*Remark 3.* The change of variables  $x = \tanh(t)$  arises from the observation that  $(1-x^2)^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - (1-x^2) 2x \frac{d}{dx}$  is the Laplace operator on the interval

$(-1, 1)$  equipped with the metric  $\frac{1}{(1-x^2)^2} dx^2$ . Its arc-length parametrization is given by  $x = \tanh(t)$ . The equation arising after the change of variables,

$$v''(t) + (\ell(\ell + 1) \cosh(t)^{-2} - m)v(t) = 0,$$

can be written most suggestively as  $-h^2 \Delta v - \cosh(t)^{-2} v = -\nu^2 v$ , using  $h$  and  $\nu$  as defined above. Thus,  $-\nu^2 \sim -\frac{m^2}{\ell^2}$  is a negative energy level of the Schrödinger operator  $-h^2 \Delta - \cosh(t)^{-2}$ , while increasing  $\ell$  (and hence decreasing  $h \sim \frac{1}{\ell}$ ) corresponds to semi-classical approximation.

The quality of the approximation given Proposition 1 is demonstrated by the following corollary, which estimates the accompanying error in terms of the phase function  $\vartheta(x)$ .

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $0 \leq m \leq \ell$  be integers, and consider  $\vartheta(x)$  and  $W_\ell^m(x)$  as given in Proposition 1. Then, for all  $x \in (-\eta, \eta)$ , we have the estimate*

$$|W_\ell^m(x) - \overline{P}_\ell^m(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2} h \left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{\eta}\right)^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} (\vartheta(\eta) - \vartheta(x))^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $e(x) = \frac{1}{2} h |x| (1 - x^2)(\eta^2 - x^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}}$  denote the quotient of the error term given in Proposition 1 and the amplitude  $(1 - (\frac{x}{\eta})^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ .

The integrand in the expression  $\vartheta(\eta) - \vartheta(x) = \int_x^\eta \frac{\sqrt{\eta^2 - y^2}}{1 - y^2} dy$  is increasing while  $0 \leq y^2 \leq 2\eta^2 - 1$ , and decreasing if  $2\eta^2 - 1 \leq y^2 \leq \eta^2$ . This suggests the following case distinction:

*Case 1.*  $x^2 \geq 2\eta^2 - 1$ . In this case, the integrand is decreasing, so

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(\eta) - \vartheta(x) &= \int_x^\eta \frac{\sqrt{\eta^2 - y^2}}{1 - y^2} dy \leq (2x)^{-1} \int_x^\eta \frac{\sqrt{\eta^2 - y^2}}{1 - y^2} 2y dy \\ &= (2x)^{-1} \int_{x^2}^{\eta^2} \frac{\sqrt{\eta^2 - t}}{1 - t} dt \leq (2x)^{-1} (\eta^2 - x^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} (1 - x^2)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $e(x) \leq \frac{1}{4} h (\vartheta(\eta) - \vartheta(x))^{-1}$ .

*Case 2.*  $x < \sqrt{2\eta^2 - 1}$ . Here we estimate  $\eta^2 - y^2 \leq 1 - y^2$  and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(\eta) - \vartheta(x) &\leq \int_x^\eta (1 - y^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dy \leq (2x)^{-1} \int_x^\eta (1 - y^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2y dy \\ &= (2x)^{-1} \int_x^\eta (1 - t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt \leq x^{-1} \left( (1 - x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - (1 - \eta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The case assumption is equivalent to  $1 - x^2 \geq 2(1 - \eta^2)$ . It is elementary to check that  $(1 - x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - (1 - \eta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (\eta^2 - x^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} (1 - x^2)^{-1}$  under this condition. Thus,  $e(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} h (\vartheta(\eta) - \vartheta(x))^{-1}$ . Multiplying by the amplitude  $(1 - (\frac{x}{\eta})^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}}$  yields the claimed bound on the error.  $\square$

The associated Legendre polynomial  $P_\ell^m$  has  $\ell - m$  simple zeros in the interval  $(-1, 1)$ , which are arranged symmetrically with respect to the origin. Thus, the interval  $(0, 1)$  contains  $\lfloor \frac{\ell - m}{2} \rfloor$  of these zeroes. In fact, all nontrivial

zeros of  $P_\ell^m$  lie within the interval  $(-\eta, \eta)$ , since the Legendre differential equation implies  $P_\ell^m$  cannot have local extrema in  $(-1, -\eta) \cup (\eta, 1)$ .

**Corollary 2.** *The  $k^{\text{th}}$  zero of  $P_\ell^m$  in the interval  $(0, 1)$ , which we shall denote by  $\xi_\ell^m(k)$ , is approximated well by the unique solution to the equation*

$$\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\frac{x}{\eta}}{\sqrt{1-(\frac{x}{\eta})^2}}\right) - \nu \tan^{-1}\left(\nu \frac{\frac{x}{\eta}}{\sqrt{1-(\frac{x}{\eta})^2}}\right) = h\left(k - \frac{1+(-1)^{\ell-m}}{4}\right)\pi. \quad (31)$$

More precisely,  $|\vartheta(\xi_\ell^m(k)) - h\left(k - \frac{1+(-1)^{\ell-m}}{4}\right)\pi| \leq \frac{h}{2}(\ell - m - 2k + 0.42)^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* Let us show first that (31) indeed has a unique positive solution if and only if  $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{\ell-m}{2} \rfloor$ . This follows from the fact that  $\frac{x}{\eta}(1 - (\frac{x}{\eta})^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\tan^{-1}(y) - \nu \tan^{-1}(\nu y)$  are bijections from  $(0, \eta)$  to  $(0, \infty)$  and from  $(0, \infty)$  to  $(0, (1 - \nu)\frac{\pi}{2})$ , respectively. Thus, (31) has a unique solution in  $(0, \eta)$  if and only if  $2k - \frac{1+(-1)^{\ell-m}}{2} \leq h^{-1}(1 - \nu) = \sqrt{\ell(\ell+1)} - m$ . Since  $\ell \leq \sqrt{\ell(\ell+1)} < \ell + \frac{1}{2}$ , the claim follows.

Let  $\zeta(k)$  denote the number given by (31). It is of course just the  $k^{\text{th}}$  zero of the approximation  $W_\ell^m$  for  $\overline{P}_\ell^m$  given in Proposition 1. Once we show, via the intermediate value theorem, that  $\overline{P}_\ell^m$  has a zero near  $\zeta(k)$  for every  $k \in \{1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{\ell-m}{2} \rfloor\}$ , the corollary is established, since all zeros of  $\overline{P}_\ell^m$  are then accounted for by symmetry.

Let  $a(x) = \frac{1}{2}h\eta^{-3}|x|(1-x^2)(1-(\frac{x}{\eta})^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}}$  denote the ratio of the error term given in Proposition 1 and the amplitude of  $W_\ell^m(x)$ . Assume  $\ell - m$  is even for simplicity; the odd case is analogous. Let  $\zeta^\pm(k)$  denote the positive solutions to the equation  $\cos(\frac{1}{h}\vartheta(x)) = \pm a(x)$  in ascending order. By construction,  $\overline{P}_\ell^m(\zeta^+(k)) > 0$  and  $\overline{P}_\ell^m(\zeta^-(k)) < 0$ , so the interval  $(\zeta^+(k), \zeta^-(k))$  contains some zero of  $\overline{P}_\ell^m$ .

Our first goal is to establish that all zeros  $\zeta(k)$  of  $\cos(\frac{1}{h}\vartheta(x))$  are in fact contained in an interval of this kind. Since  $\sqrt{\ell(\ell+1)} - \ell > 0.42$  for all  $\ell \geq 1$ , at the  $k^{\text{th}}$  local extremum of the function  $\cos(\frac{1}{h}\vartheta(x))$  in the interval  $(0, \eta)$  the inequality  $\frac{1}{h}(\vartheta(\eta) - \vartheta(x)) \geq 0.21\pi + (\lfloor \frac{\ell-m}{2} \rfloor - k)\pi$  holds. Corollary 1 says  $a(x) < \frac{h}{2}(\vartheta(\eta) - \vartheta(x))^{-1}$ , so  $a(x) < \frac{1}{0.42\pi} < 1 = |\cos(\frac{1}{h}\vartheta(x))|$  at any such point. Between two consecutive local extremas of  $\cos(\frac{1}{h}\vartheta(x))$ , the graph of this function thus intersects the graphs of  $\pm a(x)$  at least once. We conclude that for *any* choice of integers  $\ell \geq 1$ ,  $0 \leq m \leq \ell$  and  $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{\ell-m}{2} \rfloor$  the interval  $(\zeta^+(k), \zeta^-(k))$  exists and contains both  $\zeta(k)$  and  $\xi_\ell^m(k)$ .

For the precise error estimate, assume first that  $\zeta(k) < \xi_\ell^m(k) < \zeta^+(k)$ . Because  $\zeta^+(k)$  comes before the next critical point of  $\cos(\frac{1}{h}\vartheta(x))$ , we can estimate  $\cos(\frac{1}{h}\vartheta(\zeta^+(k)))$  from below by  $\frac{2}{\pi}(\zeta^+(k) - \zeta(k))$ , and obtain

$$\vartheta(\xi_\ell^m(k)) - \vartheta(\zeta(k)) \leq \vartheta(\zeta^+(k)) - \vartheta(\zeta(k)) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}ha(\zeta^+(k)).$$



From Corollary 1, we know  $a(\zeta^+(k)) < \frac{h}{2}(\vartheta(\eta) - \vartheta(\zeta^+(k)))^{-1}$ , and since  $\frac{1}{h}\vartheta(\zeta^+(k)) < k\pi$  and  $\frac{1}{h}\vartheta(\eta) > (\ell - m + 0.42)\frac{\pi}{2}$ , it follows that

$$\vartheta(\xi_\ell^m(k)) - \vartheta(\zeta(k)) \leq \frac{h}{2}(\ell - m - 2k + 0.42)^{-1}.$$

The estimates in the case  $\zeta^-(k) < \xi_\ell^m(k) < \zeta(k)$  are exactly analogous.  $\square$

## 6. ZERO SETS OF SOLUTIONS TO ELLIPTIC PDES: PROOF OF THEOREM 2

It remains to prove Theorem 2, which we will achieve by a coordinate change, an application of Mather's division theorem and finally a straightforward power series argument.

After a linear coordinate change which simultaneously diagonalizes  $a(0)$  and  $\text{Hess}_u(0)$ , we may assume  $a(0)_{jk} = \delta_{jk}$  (the Kronecker delta) and  $u(x) = \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j x_j^2 + \mathcal{O}(|x|^3)$ . A second coordinate change, guaranteed by the Morse lemma, achieves  $u(x) = \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j x_j^2$  while preserving  $a(0)_{jk} = \delta_{jk}$ .

Any germ  $v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; 0)$  vanishing on the zero set of  $u$  is in fact divisible by  $u$ , i.e. there exists  $q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; 0)$  with  $v = qu$ . This follows from Mather's division theorem (see e.g. [9, Chapter IV, Theorem 2.1]): We can write

$$v(x) = u(x)q(x) + r_0(x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}) + r_1(x_1, \dots, x_{d-1})x_d,$$

for  $q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; 0)$  and  $r_0, r_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d-1}; 0)$ . As  $Lu = 0$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j = 0$ , implying  $\lambda_d > 0$  and that the set  $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} : \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \lambda_j y_j^2 < 0\}$  is non-empty. For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{d-1})$  lies in this non-empty open cone,  $v(x', x_d)$  vanishes for two values of  $x_d$ , hence  $r_0(x') = r_1(x') = 0$ . It follows that,  $r_0(x') + r_1(x')x_d$  vanishes to infinite order on  $u^{-1}(\{0\})$ , so  $r_0(x') + r_1(x')x_d$  can be absorbed into  $q(x)$ .

Let  $A_{jk}, B_j, C$  and  $Q$  denote the infinite Taylor series of  $a_{jk}, b_j, c$  and  $q$  at the origin, and decompose them into their homogeneous parts,

$$A_{jk} = \delta_{jk} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} a_{jk;\ell}, \quad B_j = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} b_{j;\ell}, \quad C = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} c_\ell, \quad Q = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} q_\ell.$$

After subtracting a multiple of  $u$  from  $v$ , we may assume  $q_0 = 0$ . On the level of formal power series, the equation  $Lv = 0$  means that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(uq_\ell) = & - \sum_{\ell'=1}^{\ell} \sum_{j,k=1}^d a_{jk;\ell'} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} (uq_{\ell-\ell'}) \\ & - \sum_{\ell'=1}^{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^d b_{j;\ell'-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (uq_{\ell-\ell'}) - \sum_{\ell'=2}^{\ell} c_{\ell'-2} uq_{\ell-\ell'}, \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $\ell \notin \{0, d\}$ , the map  $q_\ell \rightarrow \Delta(uq_\ell)$  is an invertible linear map by Theorem 1. We therefore recursively obtain  $q_0 = q_1 = \dots = q_{d-1} = 0$ . The term  $q_d$  may be any multiple of  $x_1 \dots x_d$ . The remaining terms are then uniquely determined again by the recursion (32). We conclude that

the space of formal power series solutions  $q$  to  $L(uq) = 0$  is spanned by the constant function and the unique solution to (32) with  $q_d = x_1 \dots x_d$ .

The space of germs of smooth functions  $q$  which solve  $L(uq) = 0$  is thus at most two-dimensional, since, due to Aronszajn [5], no nontrivial solution to  $Lv = 0$  can vanish to infinite order at 0. It could be, of course, that the second solution on the level of power series does not correspond to a smooth solution at all — not even in the case of analytic coefficients, since the construction involves repeatedly inverting the presumably often ill-conditioned linear map  $q_\ell \rightarrow \Delta(uq_\ell)$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Milton Abramowitz and Irene A. Stegun. *Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables*, volume No. 55 of *National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series*. U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1964. For sale by the Superintendent of Documents.
- [2] Mark Agranovsky. On a problem of injectivity for the Radon transform on a paraboloid. In *Analysis, geometry, number theory: the mathematics of Leon Ehrenpreis (Philadelphia, PA, 1998)*, volume 251 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 1–14. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [3] Mark Agranovsky and Yakov Krasnov. Quadratic divisors of harmonic polynomials in  $R^n$ . *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique*, 82:379–395, 2000.
- [4] D. H. Armitage. Cones on which entire harmonic functions can vanish. *Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A*, 92(1):107–110, 1992.
- [5] N. Aronszajn. A unique continuation theorem for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations or inequalities of second order. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 36, 1957.
- [6] Jean Bourgain and Zeév Rudnick. Restriction of toral eigenfunctions to hypersurfaces and nodal sets. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 22(4):878–937, 2012.
- [7] Marcel Brelot and Gustave Choquet. Polynômes harmoniques et polyharmoniques. In *Second colloque sur les équations aux dérivées partielles, Bruxelles, 1954*, pages 45–66. Georges Thone, Liège, 1955.
- [8] Keith Conrad. Pell's Equation, II. <https://kconrad.math.uconn.edu/blurbs/ugradnumthy/pelleqn2.pdf>. Accessed 04/14/2024.
- [9] M. Golubitsky and V. Guillemin. *Stable mappings and their singularities*, volume Vol. 14 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.
- [10] Hans Grauert and Reinhold Remmert. *Coherent analytic sheaves*, volume 265 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [11] Brian C. Hall. *Quantum theory for mathematicians*, volume 267 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, 2013.
- [12] Felix Klein. Ueber Lamé'sche Functionen. *Math. Ann.*, 18(2):237–246, 1881.
- [13] R. Landauer. Associated Legendre polynomial approximations. *J. Appl. Phys.*, 22:87–89, 1951.
- [14] Alexander Logunov and Eugenia Malinnikova. Ratios of harmonic functions with the same zero set. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 26(3):909–925, 2016.
- [15] Dan Mangoubi and Adi Weller Weiser. Harmonic functions vanishing on a cone. *Israel J. Math.*, 230(2):563–581, 2019.
- [16] B. H. Murdoch. A theorem on harmonic functions. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 39:581–588, 1964.
- [17] William Davidson Niven. On ellipsoidal harmonics. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. (A.)*, 182:231–278, 1891.

- [18] Franz Rellich. Störungstheorie der Spektralzerlegung. *Math. Ann.*, 113(1):600–619, 1937.
- [19] Hans Volkmer. Expansions in products of Heine—Stieltjes polynomials. *Constructive Approximation*, 15:467–480, 1999.