

Fine-grained large-scale content recommendations for MSX sellers

Manpreet Singh¹ Ravdeep Pasricha¹ Ravi Prasad Kondapalli¹ Kiran R¹ Nitish Singh¹ Akshita Agarwalla¹
Manoj R¹ Manish Prabhakar¹ Laurent Boué¹

Abstract

One of the most critical tasks of Microsoft sellers is to meticulously track and nurture potential business opportunities through proactive engagement and tailored solutions. Recommender systems play a central role to help sellers achieve their goals. In this paper, we present a content recommendation model which surfaces various types of content (technical documentation, comparison with competitor products, customer success stories etc.) that sellers can share with their customers or use for their own self-learning. The model operates at the opportunity level which is the lowest possible granularity and the most relevant one for sellers. It is based on semantic matching between metadata from the contents and carefully selected attributes of the opportunities. Considering the volume of seller-managed opportunities in organizations such as Microsoft, we show how to perform efficient semantic matching over a very large number of opportunity-content combinations. The main challenge is to ensure that the top-5 relevant contents for each opportunity are recommended out of a total of $\approx 40,000$ published contents. We achieve this target through an extensive comparison of different model architectures and feature selection. Finally, we further examine the quality of the recommendations in a quantitative manner using a combination of human domain experts as well as by using the recently proposed “LLM as a judge” framework.

Keywords: MSX Opportunity, Seismic Contents, Semantic matching, Content Recommendation, BERT models

1. Introduction

In large software organizations, sellers have to nurture, cultivate and maintain relationships with a large ecosystem of partners, customers and dependent stakeholders. At Microsoft, sellers use the Microsoft Sellers Experience - MSX tool to navigate this intricate landscape. Recently, more and more Copilot-inspired systems have been integrated into MSX to help guide sellers and improve their productivity. However, there had not yet been solutions that operate at the lowest level of granularity that sellers work at on a daily basis: the opportunity level.

In a CRM system, an “opportunity” refers to a potential revenue-generating event or transaction that arises during

the course of managing customer relationships. It represents a chance for a business to convert a lead into a customer, close a deal, or expand its services to an existing customer. Opportunities are pivotal moments in the sales process that require careful nurturing and management to maximize the likelihood of success. Sharing the right content with the customer at each sales stage is one of the key factors that helps in moving the opportunity to the next stage. It is important to share only a few but relevant documents (pitch decks, customer success stories, battle cards to show the comparison with competitors...) with the customer to help them quickly understand the value of Microsoft products.

In this paper, we show how we have built an opportunity-level recommender system whose purpose is to present the sellers with the top-5 technical documents drawn from the Seismic content repository [1] to increase the sale velocity. Comprising of a very large catalog of technical documentation, product descriptions, customer success stories and more, Seismic is a leading content management sys-

¹Microsoft, CX Data Cloud + AI. Correspondence to: Laurent Boué <laboue@microsoft.com>.

tem widely used across businesses to manage their digital content. In our case, we consider a catalog of approximately $\approx 40,000$ unique documents. Since those documents are decided upon within the context of a specific opportunity, the goal is that they can be shared by the sellers to their customers in order to move the opportunity towards closure in a more targeted manner. Other than a simple rule-based engine which surfaces too many contents, sellers do not currently have any automated guidance as to which Seismic documents would be good candidates to share with their clients.

We start in Section 2 by discussing how we have formulated the recommender system as a form of semantic matching between opportunities and Seismic documents. Due to the large volume of opportunities, we discuss how we have designed our solution for large-scale semantic matching. Next, we discuss in Section 3 how we evaluate the quality of the recommendations using a mixture of different techniques to alleviate the fact that there is no ground-truth data. Finally, we illustrate in Section 4 how our solution has been integrated into MSX and is currently being used by real-world Microsoft sellers.

2. Large scale semantic matching for content recommendations

As mentioned in the introduction, the objective of this work is to recommend relevant Seismic documents given the context of a specific MSX opportunity.

With the democratization of large language models, semantic search has become a well-established technique and we describe in this section how the recommender model can be formulated in these terms. Generally, this formulation differs from traditional recommender systems [2] that rely on user-item interactions to make predictions. Collaborative filtering and nearest-neighbor methods analyze users' behavior and preferences to find similarities and identify recommendations. In contrast, our formulation as a semantic matching method relies on natural language understanding of the description of the Seismic documents and of the sellers.

2.1. Prompt engineering

To achieve this we follow the approach initiated in [3] where it was shown that it is possible to produce high-quality recommendations between Seismic documents and the end user of the recommendations. In this previous study, the end user was a real time chatbot conversation agent whereas here it is the context associated with specific opportunity. We use the same technique for metadata prompt engineering based on metadata of the Seismic documents and metadata of the opportunities. Essentially, the idea boils down to

summarizing the Seismic documents and the opportunities into a short text-based description that contains the most important attributes.

In case of Seismic documents we use features like “name”, “description”, “solution area”, “product” etc. Similarly for opportunities we look those features which may match those of the Seismic documents as much as possible. By using features which are common on both sides of the semantic matching, we maximize the chance of successful recommendations. The idea is to capture the most common features on both sides so that the language model based embeddings provide relevant documents for opportunities. More details about the architecture can be found in Section 2.2.

2.2. Model architecture

The architecture of the model itself is inspired by the one designed for the real-time Copilot recommender system previously developed and already deployed in MSX production [3].

The idea consists of leveraging a 2-stage system for fast (but slightly inaccurate) retrieval of the top-50 relevant documents (for each opportunity) followed by a much slower step of re-ranking using a cross-encoder model. Generally, both language models are pre-trained on the MS MARCO dataset [6] which is known to produce good embeddings for these types of question-answering systems. For more technical details regarding the data flow, we refer the reader to Fig. 1 and to [3] for the choice of parameters. One important difference from the Copilot model of [3] is that the current model is delivered in a daily-refreshed batch mode instead of real-time.

Because of the volume of opportunities for which the recommender system is making predictions, we have decomposed the operations of the model into three distinct parts:

- A one-time pre-population of the recommendations for the entire dataset of $\approx 700,000$ opportunities representing the last 6 quarters of open opportunities.
- A daily batch-mode refresh of the δ -opportunities. Opportunities are classified as δ -opportunities if they are net-new opportunities or if some critical properties have changed in the last 24 hours since the last refresh¹. In practice, we deal with $\approx 10,000$ such opportunities on a daily basis.
- Furthermore, in order to keep up with changes in the Seismic catalog, we refresh the content embeddings on a weekly cadence. The embeddings are stored in ADLS

¹In our case, those properties are opportunityId, opportunity-name, salesplay, salesstagename, primaryproduct, segment, areaname.




Figure 1. **Top**) Seismic documents are summarized into textual descriptions, referred to as “content prompts” based on their metadata. These prompts are then run through a DistillBERT language model [4] (pre-trained on MSMARCO dataset). Those embeddings are refreshed on a weekly basis. **Bottom**) The δ -opportunities (defined in the main part of the text) are gathered from Nebula [5], which is an in-house ETL system developed by the SPS team. Next, the opportunity prompt (summarized attributes of the opportunity into a textual prompt in a manner similar to content prompts) is run through the same DistillBERT language model and compared to content embeddings to generate a list of top-50 candidate documents. Those candidates are re-ranked using the MSMARCO MiniLM pre-trained cross-encoder. Finally, the top-5 results for each opportunity are stored in ADLS from where the Nebula insights pipeline pushes the results to a Cosmos database. The .NET API then pulls the recommended Seismic documents per opportunity from Cosmos and displays it in the UI (see Section 4).

but brought up to memory in an Azure Databricks Spark cluster for the daily opportunity refresh. This activity is a separate module and its frequency can be increased as required.

2.3. Orders of magnitude

Let us now turn our attention to the another aspect related to the scale of the semantic search at play here.

On one hand, the total number of opportunities with “open status” in FY23 and FY24 (i.e. last rolling 6 quarters) is $\approx 700,000$. On the other hand, the total number of published documents is $\approx 40,000$. Naïvely, this means that one should consider all $\approx 700,000 \times 40,000$ opportunity-content pairs. This would result in $\approx 28 \times 10^9$ combinations. Clearly, one must introduce some filters in order to reduce this large number of combinations.

In the following, we use three features (“sales stage”, “area” and “solution area”) as filters. This allows us to reduce the content search space to roughly $\approx 7,000$ Seismic documents. Now we have $\approx 5 \times 10^9$ combinations reducing the overall computational effort required by $\approx 80\%$. Nonetheless, this still leaves us with multiple billions of combinations to perform so this still remains a very large scale

semantic matching problem.

2.4. Run-time performance optimization

In order to reduce the computational complexity, an assumption is to consider opportunities as all independent from each other. (We discuss the limitations associated with this assumption in the conclusion.) Under this assumption, generating recommendations for all the opportunities becomes a fundamentally “embarrassingly-parallel” task.

Simple profiling reveals that it is the cross-encoder re-ranking stage which is overwhelmingly the most time-consuming part of the architecture described in Fig. 1. The total number of records that needs to go through this re-ranking is $50 \times$ the number of opportunities since we retrieve 50 candidates for each opportunity. Each record is a pair of prompts: a content prompt shortlisted by the bi-encoder retriever and an original opportunity prompt.

Pandas User Defined Functions - UDFs [7] in PySpark are a popular technique to combine complex data transformation pipelines leveraging Python libraries that may not be natively available in Spark with the convenient parallelism offered by workloads on Spark cluster infrastructures. Accordingly, we utilize Pandas UDFs to distribute the compu-

-
- `Doc[1]`, `Doc[2]`, `Doc[3]`, `Doc[4]`, `Doc[5]`

Please perform the following tasks:

- Calculate the similarity score between the query and each document. The similarity score should reflect how relevant each document is to the information contained in the query. Use a scale from 0 to 5, where 5 indicates a perfect match and 0 indicates no relevance.
- Provide a brief justification for the ranking based on the similarity scores.

where **Opportunity Prompt** is replaced by the actual opportunity prompt and the **Doc[i]** are replaced with the recommended content for this opportunity as returned by the model.

As can be seen in Fig 5, we do indeed observe a weak correlation between the human expert scores and the scores returned by GPT-4 with a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.42.

One challenge we have noticed is that GPT-4 seems “hesitant” to give very low scores even if its textual justification for the scores indicates a poor match between query and documents (this can be detected by seeing words such as “however”, “despite”...). This behavior has been observed anecdotally by others though we have not been able to find a dedicated study to this form of “politeness” bias.

We have also tried different versions of the prompt by putting more emphasis on different aspects (such as rewarding more correct answers or enforcing strict constraints...). Eventually, we converged a prompt design that generated fair scores and refined it even more using GPT-4 to converge to the prompt shown above.

4. Integration in MSX

This new recommender model is fully integrated with a pre-existing Copilot interface previously developed in [3]. The end result, as it is seen by Microsoft sellers, is illustrated in Fig. 6 in the appendix.

Whenever a seller opens an opportunity, he/she gets the option to see the recommended contents which can be shared (customer ready) or used by sellers for their private knowledge (i.e. confidential documents that cannot be shared with

customers but may still be of value to the sellers). Customer ready contents can be sent to the customer from this window directly. The seller’s email will be composed and “livesendLink” links for the selected contents will be generated automatically. Sellers also get the option to see their own past history with the Seismic documents for an opportunity. They are also offered the possibility to provide feedback about the quality of the recommendations. The “search from all contents” feature allows the sellers to search from all the content space in case they want to search for different/more contents than the recommended ones. This search functionality is powered by the real-time Copilot model [3].

5. Conclusion

The recommender model described in this paper is now undergoing its pilot phase where the purpose is to gather feedback from real-world sellers before it is released to the entire MSX community. This phase is expected to last for the next 2 quarters during which we plan to gather telemetry about the documents shared by the sellers.

Meanwhile, there are a number of points we are planning to investigate for further model improvements. For example, it would be interesting to fine-tune the sentence transformer models using different loss functions such as multiple negative ranking loss. In the future, we also plan to incorporate feedback from the sellers to improve the quality of the recommendations. Additionally, programmatic access to the actual content of the Seismic documents is still not available. It is our intention to take this data source into consideration as soon as it is available. This will require to modify the prompt engineering strategy discussed in Section 2.1 into a more elaborate multi-modal system. Finally, we discussed in Section 2.4 how we have treated each opportunity as independent of each other. Although this simplification was critical for computational efficiency reasons, it potentially limits the quality of the recommendations. For example, when different opportunities are managed by the same seller, it would be interesting to incorporate seller-specific features and dependencies between the related opportunities. We plan to study this personalization aspect in a future iteration of the model.

6. Acknowledgements

We thank our colleagues in CX Data for their feedback and support. In particular, we thank the CX Data ML Review board (Vijay Agneeswaran, Ivan Barrientos, Jane Huang and Hunny Mehrotra) for reviewing the model and giving us useful inputs. We thank Binh “Binnie” Tran from the SPS product management team who helped us with domain knowledge on the Seismic platform and the content space.

References

- [1] Seismic, “Seismic.” <https://seismic.com/>.
- [2] F. Ricci, L. Rokach, and B. Shapira, “Recommender systems: Techniques, applications, and challenges,” in *Recommender Systems Handbook - Springer*, 2021.
- [3] M. Singh, R. Pasricha, N. Singh, R. P. Kondapalli, M. R. K. R., and L. Boué, “A case study of generative ai in msx sales copilot: Improving seller productivity with a real-time question-answering system for content recommendation,” in *Microsoft Journal of Applied Research*, vol. 20, 2024.
- [4] V. Sanh, L. Debut, J. Chaumond, and T. Wolf, “Distilbert, a distilled version of bert: smaller, faster, cheaper and lighter,” in *NeurIPS*, 2019.
- [5] Microsoft, “Nebula.” <https://nebula.microsoft.com/Home> [Last accessed: July 10, 2024].
- [6] P. Bajaj, D. Campos, N. Craswell, L. Deng, J. Gao, X. Liu, R. Majumder, A. McNamara, B. Mitra, T. Nguyen, *et al.*, “Ms marco: A human generated machine reading comprehension dataset,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.09268*, 2016.
- [7] A. Spark, “Pyspark pandas udf.” https://spark.apache.org/docs/3.1.2/api/python/reference/api/pyspark.sql.functions.pandas_udf.html [Last accessed: July 10, 2024].
- [8] L. Zheng, W.-L. Chiang, Y. Sheng, S. Zhuang, Z. Wu, Y. Zhuang, Z. Lin, Z. Li, D. Li, E. P. H. Z. Xing, J. E. Gonzalez, and I. Stoica, “Judging llm-as-a-judge with mt-bench and chatbot arena,” in *NeurIPS*, 2023.
- [9] Y. Dubois, X. Li, R. Taori, T. Zhang, I. Gulrajani, J. Ba, C. Guestrin, P. Liang, and T. B. Hashimoto, “Alpacafarm: A simulation framework for methods that learn from human feedback,” in *NeurIPS*, 2023.
- [10] H. Huang, Y. Qu, J. Liu, M. Yang, and T. Zhao, “An empirical study of llm-as-a-judge for llm evaluation: Fine-tuned judge models are task-specific classifiers,” *arXiv:2403.02839*, 2024.

A. Appendix on MSX integration

We show in Fig. 6 an illustration of how the recommender system is integrated into the MSX UI.





Figure 6. Integration into the Microsoft Seller Experience (MSX) UI. The **top** figure shows a general view of the interface sellers are interacting with to manage opportunities. Notice in the bottom right a link highlighted by a dark-green ellipse. By clicking on this link, sellers can view and browse the recommended Seismic content specific to this opportunity as illustrated in the **bottom** figure and the highlighted blue rectangle. Immediately to the right, one can see the “search for all contents” tab which invokes the copilot model developed in [3] for a more general interactive question-answering experience.