(ω, α, n) -SENSITIVITY AND LIMIT SETS OF ZERO ENTROPY HOMEOMORPHISMS ON THE SQUARE

JIEHUA MAI, ENHUI SHI, KESONG YAN*, AND FANPING ZENG

ABSTRACT. For a homeomorphism f of a compact metric space X and a positive integer $n \ge 2$, we introduce the notion of (ω, α, n) -sensitivity of f, which describes such a kind of chaos: there is some c > 0 such that for any $x \in X$ and any open neighborhood U of x, there are points $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^n$ in U such that both the collection of ω -limit sets $\omega(x_i, f)$ and that of the α -limit sets $\alpha(y_i, f)$ are pairwise c-separated. Then we construct a class of homeomorphisms of the square $[-1, 1]^2$ which are (ω, α, n) -sensitive for any $n \ge 2$ and have zero topological entropies. To investigate further the complexity of zero entropy homeomorphisms by using limit sets, we analyze in depth the limit sets of square homeomorphisms by the boundary permeating technique. Specially, we prove that for any given set of points $Y \equiv \{y_{n1}, y_{n2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in $(-1, 1)^2$ which satisfies some loosely technical conditions, and for any given family of pairwise disjoint countable dense subsets $\{W_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of $(-1, 1)^2 - Y$, there is a zero entropy homeomorphism f on the square $[-1, 1]^2$ such that $\omega(x, f) = \{y_{n1}\}$ and $\alpha(x, f) = \{y_{n2}\}$ for any n and any $x \in W_n$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Positive topological entropy is commonly regarded as a chaotic property. This is reasonable as a map of positive entropy really exhibits many complex dynamical behaviors. It is known that positive entropy implies Li-Yorke chaos [6, 23], Chaos DC2 (a variant of distributional chaos) [10, 17], and the existence of a weak horseshoe [19]. However, a map of zero entropy can also be chaotic if we take a different view, even if the phase space is only a closed interval (see [42, 45]). Using topological sequence entropy introduced by Goodman [9], people studied the complexity and structure of some special systems of zero entropy [11, 18, 30]. In 2010, Sarnak [40] formulated the famous Möbius disjointness conjecture, which establishes a deep connection between zero entropy systems and number theories. Huang-Wang-Ye [20] proved the conjecture for any dynamical

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 37E30.

Key words and phrases. topological entropy, sensitivity, chaos, square, ω -limit set, α -limit set.

^{*}Corresponding author.

system of sub-polynomial measure complexity. These greatly stimulates the study of zero entropy systems. For instance, Huang-Xu-Ye [21] gave a surprising construction of a minimal distal maps on the torus with sub-exponential measure complexity. One may consult [16, 26, 27] for some related work very recently.

Sensitivity on initial conditions (or sensitivity for short) was defined by Guckenheimer [13], the phrase of which was used by Ruelle in [38]. In fact, the idea of sensitivity can date back to the earlier work of Poincaré and Lorenz. Nowadays, sensitivity has been widely understood as a key feature of a chaotic system. In the definitions of Devaney chaos [8] and Auslander-Yorke chaos [3], sensitivity appears directly as an ingredient. It is worth mentioning that a transitive non-periodic system having a dense set of periodic points is sensitive [4], which has been extended to transitive non-minimal systems with dense minimal points [12, 1]. One may consult [36] for a further generalization. Some extended definitions of sensitivity, such as Li-Yorke sensitivity, *n*-sensitivity, mean-sensitivity, multi-sensitivity, and thick sensitivity are introduced and intensively explored (see e.g. [2, 14, 15, 28, 41, 44]).

We formulate the following extension of sensitivity using limit sets. The idea of using ω -limit sets to define chaos already appeared in [29].

Definition 1.1. Let (X,d) be a compact metric space, and $f: X \to X$ be a continuous map. For $n \ge 2$, f is said to be (ω, n) -sensitive if there exists s > 0 such that, for any $x \in X$ and any neighborhood U of x, there exist $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\} \subset U$ such that $d(\omega(y_i, f), \omega(y_j, f)) > s$ for any $i \ne j$; f is said to be ω -sensitive if f is $(\omega, 2)$ -sensitive. If f is a homeomorphism, then f is said to be (α, n) -sensitive (resp. α -sensitive) if f^{-1} is (ω, n) -sensitive (resp. ω -sensitive); f is said to be (ω, α, n) -sensitive if f is both (ω, n) -sensitive and (α, n) sensitive; f is said to be (ω, α) -sensitive if f is $(\omega, \alpha, 2)$ -sensitive.

For brevity of statement, we call *f* is (ω, ∞) -sensitive (resp. (ω, α, ∞) -sensitive) if *f* is (ω, n) -sensitive (resp. (ω, α, n) -sensitive) for any $n \ge 2$.

Remark 1.2. (1) There are several facts followed immediately from the definition. ω -sensitivity is stronger than sensitivity, and (ω, n) -sensitivity is stronger than *n*-sensitivity defined by Xiong in [44]. If *f* is (ω, n) -sensitive, then it has at least *n* minimal sets; so no minimal system is ω -sensitive.

(2) It is easy to show that if f is transitive, has infinitely many minimal sets, and has the pseudo-orbit-tracing property, then it is (ω, ∞) -sensitive. Thus, the maps such as transitive Anosov diffeomorphisms on compact manifolds, shifts on symbolic spaces $\{1, \ldots, k\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with $k \ge 2$, and covering maps on the circle with degree ≥ 2 are all (ω, ∞) -sensitive. It is well known that all these maps have positive entropy.

(3) Following the same lines as in the proof of Proposition 2.40 in [39], we can show that if f is a sensitive graph map, then there is a nondegenerate f^n -invariant subgraph for some n > 0 the restriction of f^n to which is transitive. Then by results in [7] and [31], we see that no graph map of zero entropy is sensitive. Specially, no homeomorphism on a graph is sensitive; this also holds for homeomorphisms on a locally connected continuum with a free arc [33] and the locally connectedness condition is necessary [32, Theorem 3.9].

(4) Let $A = \mathbb{S}^1 \times [0,1]$ be the annulus, where \mathbb{S}^1 is the unit circle in the complex plane. Let $f : A \to A$ be defined by

$$f(e^{2\pi i x}, y) = (e^{2\pi i (x+y)}, y), \text{ for all } (x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1].$$

Then f is n-sensitive for any $n \ge 2$, but is not ω -sensitive. Clearly, f is a distal homeomorphism and so it is of zero entropy.

From (2) of Remark 1.2, we see that many important positive entropy maps have (ω, ∞) -sensitivity; (3) of the remark indicates that sensitivity does not occur for zero entropy maps on compact 1-manifolds; and (4) shows that there exists a zero entropy home-omorphism on a 2-dimensional compact manifold which is *n*-sensitive for any *n*, but is not ω -sensitive. So, one may doubt whether there exists an (ω, ∞) -sensitive homeomorphism of zero entropy on a compact 2-dimensional manifold. We obtain the following result, the proof of which relies on analyzing in depth the limit sets of normally rising homeomorphisms on the square (see Section 3).

Theorem 1.3. There is a zero entropy homeomorphism on the square $[-1,1]^2$ which is (ω, α, ∞) -sensitive.

In order to further investigate the complexity of zero entropy homeomorphisms by using limit sets, we develop the boundary permeating technique in Section 4 and introduce the notion of permeable hanging set. We show that a permeable hanging set must be a dendrite as a topological space, and determine the conditions under which any element of a given family of permeable hanging sets is the limit set of a countable dense subsets coming from a pre-described family of sets (see Theorem 4.7 for the details). Applying the results in Section 4, we obtain the following theorem (Theorem 5.1).

Theorem 1.4. Let $Y_{\mathbb{N}} = \{y_{n1}, y_{n2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable infinite set in the open square $(-1, 1)^2$, and $\{W_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a family of pairwise disjoint countable sets in $(-1, 1)^2 - Y_{\mathbb{N}}$, in which each W_n is dense in $[-1, 1]^2$. Suppose that

- (1) $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(y_{n1},J_1) = \lim_{n\to\infty} d(y_{n2},J_{-1}) = 0$, where $J_i = [-1,1] \times \{i\}$ for $i \in \{-1,1\}$;
- (2) For any $\beta \in p(Y_{\mathbb{N}})$, there exists $\varepsilon_{\beta} > 0$ such that $Y_{\mathbb{N}} \cap p^{-1}([\beta \varepsilon_{\beta}, \beta + \varepsilon_{\beta}])$ is a finite set, where $p : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is the projection to the first coordinate.

Then there exists a homeomorphism $\psi : [-1,1]^2 \to [-1,1]^2$ of zero entropy such that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $w \in W_n$, one has $\omega(w, \psi) = \{y_{n1}\}$ and $\alpha(w, \psi) = \{y_{n2}\}$.

Theorem 1.4 indicates that a square homeomorphism of zero entropy can have very complex dynamical behaviors if we take the view of limit sets. One may compare this result with other interesting results around limits sets of triangular maps and spiral maps [5, 22, 24, 25]. The construction process to prove the Theorem is a mechanism that produces homeomorphisms of all kinds of complex limit sets, which has been used in dealing with other problems around plane homeomorphisms [34, 35].

2. Definitions and notations

Throughout the paper, we use \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Z}_+ , \mathbb{Z}_- , and \mathbb{N} to denote the sets of integers, nonnegative integers, nonpositive integers, and positive integers, respectively. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, write $\mathbb{N}_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$. For $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$, we use (r, s) to denote a point in \mathbb{R}^2 . If r < s, we also use (r, s) to denote an open interval in \mathbb{R} . These will not lead to confusion. For example, if we write $(r, s) \in X$, then (r, s) will be a point; if we write $t \in (r, s)$, then (r, s) will be a set, and hence is an open interval.

Let *d* be the Euclidean metric on \mathbb{R}^2 . For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $X, Y \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, and $r \ge 0$, write $B(x,r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^2 : d(y,x) \le r\}$, $B(X,r) = \bigcup\{B(x,r) : x \in X\}$, and $d(X,Y) = \inf\{d(x,y) : x \in X, y \in Y\}$. Denote by diam $(X) \equiv \sup\{d(y,z) : y, z \in X\}$ the *diameter* of *X*. A space *Y* is called a *disc* (resp. a *circle*, resp. an *arc*) if *Y* is homeomorphic to the unit disc $\mathbb{D} \equiv B(0,1)$ (resp. to the unit circle $\mathbb{S}^1 \equiv \partial \mathbb{D}$, resp. to the unit interval [0,1]). If *I* is an arc and $h: I \to [0,1]$ is a homeomorphism, then $\partial I \equiv \{h^{-1}(0), h^{-1}(1)\}$ is called the *endpoint set* of *I*; we use $\stackrel{\circ}{I}$ to

denote $I - \partial I$. Notice that we also use $\stackrel{\circ}{Y}$ to denote the interior Int *Y* of *Y* for any $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. For any circle *C* in \mathbb{R}^2 , denote by Dsc(C) the disc in \mathbb{R}^2 such that $\partial Dsc(C) = C$.

A *continuum* is a connected compact metric space and a *Peano continuum* is a locally connected continuum. A *graph* is a continuum which can be written as the union of finitely many arcs, any two of which are either disjoint or intersect only in one or both of their end points. A *tree* is a graph containing no circle. A *dendrite* is a Peano continuum containing no circle. Clearly, a tree is a dendrite.

Let *X* be a metric space and $f: X \to X$ be a homeomorphism. Let f^0 be the identity map of *X*, and let $f^n = f \circ f^{n-1}$ be the composition map of *f* and f^{n-1} . For $x \in X$, the sets $O(x, f) \equiv \{f^n(x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}, O_+(x, f) \equiv \{f^n(x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$, and $O_-(x, f) \equiv \{f^{-n}(x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}_-\}$ are called the *orbit*, *positive orbit*, and *negative orbit* of *x*, respectively. For $x, y \in X$, if there is a sequence of positive integers $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots$ such that $f^{n_i}(x) \to y$ then we call *y* an ω -limit point of *x*. We denote by $\omega(x, f)$ or $\omega_f(x)$ the set of all ω -limit points of *x* and call it the ω -limit set of *x*. If $y \in \omega(x, f^{-1})$, then we call *y* an α -limit point of *x*. We

3. Limit sets of normally rising homeomorphisms on the square J^2

We always write J = [-1, 1]. Define the homeomorphism $f_{01} : J \to J$ by, for any $s \in J$,

$$f_{01}(s) = \begin{cases} (s+1)/2, & \text{if } 0 \le s \le 1; \\ s+1/2, & \text{if } -1/2 \le s \le 0; \\ 2s+1, & \text{if } -1 \le s \le -1/2. \end{cases}$$

Let the homeomorphism $f_{02}:J^2 \to J^2$ be defined by , for any $(r,s) \in J^2$,

$$f_{02}(r,s) = (r, f_{01}(s)).$$

For any compact connected manifold M, denote by ∂M the boundary, and by $\stackrel{\circ}{M}$ the interior of M. Specially, we have $\partial J = \{-1, 1\}$, and $\stackrel{\circ}{J} = (-1, 1)$. Note that ∂J^2 is $\partial (J^2)$, not $(\partial J)^2$.

Definition 3.1. For any $s \in J$, write $J_s = J \times \{s\}$. A homeomorphism $f: J^2 \to J^2$ is said to be *normally rising* if

$$f \mid \partial J^2 = f_{02} \mid \partial J^2$$
, and $f(J_s) = f_{02}(J_s)$ for any $s \in J$.

For $s \in J$, let $J_s = J \times \{s\}$ and \mathscr{C}_s be the collection of all nonempty connected closed subsets of J_s . A map $\phi : J \to \mathscr{C}_s$ is *increasing* if the abscissae and ordinates of the endpoints of $\phi(r)$ are increasing functions of r and is *endpoint preserving* if $\phi(-1) = (-1,s)$ and $\phi(1) = (1,s)$. If f is a normally rising homeomorphism on J^2 and $s \in J$, then $\omega_{sf}(r) \equiv \omega_f(r,s)$ defines an increasing function ω_{sf} from J to \mathscr{C}_1 . Similarly, we also have an increasing function α_{sf} from J to \mathscr{C}_{-1} .

Write $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{C}_1$ and $\mathscr{A}' = \mathscr{C}_{-1}$. In [35], we obtained the following result.

Theorem 3.2. Let \mathbb{N}' and \mathbb{N}'' be two nonempty subsets of \mathbb{N} , and let $\mathscr{V} = \{V_n : n \in \mathbb{N}'\}$ and $\mathscr{W} = \{W_j : j \in \mathbb{N}''\}$ be two families of pairwise disjoint nonempty connected subsets of the semi-open interval (0, 1/2]. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}'$ and each $j \in \mathbb{N}''$, let $\omega_n : J \to \mathscr{A}$ and $\alpha_j : J \to \mathscr{A}'$ be given increasing and endpoint preserving maps. Then there exists a normally rising homeomorphism $f : J^2 \to J^2$ such that $\omega_{sf} = \omega_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}'$ and any $s \in V_n$, and $\alpha_{tf} = \alpha_j$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}''$ and any $t \in W_j$.

Specially, we have

Corollary 3.3. Let \mathbb{N}^* be a nonempty subset of \mathbb{N} . Then for any given maps $\omega : \mathbb{N}^* \to \mathscr{A}$ and $\alpha : \mathbb{N}^* \to \mathscr{A}'$, there exist a family $\{S_n : n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$ of pairwise disjoint countable subsets of $\overset{\circ}{J}$ and a normally rising homeomorphism $f : J^2 \to J^2$ such that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, S_n is dense in J, and $\omega_f(r,s) = \omega(n)$ and $\alpha_f(r,s) = \alpha(n)$ for any $(r,s) \in \overset{\circ}{J} \times S_n$.

Proof. We may consider only the case that $\mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N}$. Take a family $\{S_{n1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of pairwise disjoint countable subsets of the semi-open interval $I_1 = (0, 1/2]$ such that every S_{n1} is dense in I_1 . Let $\mathbb{N}' = \mathbb{N}'' = \mathbb{N}$, $\mathscr{V} = \mathscr{W} = \{S_{n1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $s \in S_{n1}$, let the maps $\omega_s : J \to \mathscr{A}$ and $\alpha_s : J \to \mathscr{A}'$ be defined by $\omega_s(r) = \omega(n)$ and $\alpha_s(r) = \alpha(n)$ for any $r \in \mathring{J}$. Then from Theorem 3.2, there exists a normally rising homeomorphism $f : J^2 \to J^2$ such that

$$\omega_f(r,s) = \omega_s(r) = \omega(n)$$
 and $\alpha_f(r,s) = \alpha_s(r) = \alpha(n)$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $(r,s) \in \overset{\circ}{J} \times S_{n1}$. Let

$$S_n = \bigcup \{ f_{01}^k(S_{n1}) : k \in \mathbb{Z} \}.$$

Then $\{S_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ is a family of pairwise disjoint countable subsets of $\overset{\circ}{J}$, each S_n is dense in J, and $\omega_f(r,s) = \omega(n)$ and $\alpha_f(r,s) = \alpha(n)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $(r,s) \in \overset{\circ}{J} \times S_n$. Corollary 3.3 is proved.

Let (X,d) and (Y,ρ) be two metric spaces, and let $\lambda \ge 1$ be given. A bijection $h: X \to Y$ is called a λ -homeomorphism if $d(x,y)/\lambda \le \rho(h(x),h(y)) \le \lambda d(x,y)$ for any $x, y \in X$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\{V_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a family of pairwise disjoint countable subset of $\overset{\circ}{J}^2$, and $\{W_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a family of pairwise disjoint subsets of $\overset{\circ}{J}^2$. Suppose that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, both V_n and W_n are dense in J^2 . Then for any $\lambda > 1$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a λ -homeomorphism $h : J^2 \to J^2$ such that $h|\partial J^2 = id$, $d(h(x), x) < \varepsilon$ for any $x \in J^2$, and $h(V_n) \subset W_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{V} = \bigcup \{V_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then \mathbf{V} is also countable. Take a bijection $\boldsymbol{\chi} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbf{V}$ and let $x_k = \boldsymbol{\chi}(k)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Define a map $\boldsymbol{\gamma} : \mathbf{V} \to \mathbb{N}$ by $\boldsymbol{\gamma}(x) = n$ for any $x \in V_n$ and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Take $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \lambda_3 > \cdots > 1$ and $\varepsilon_1 > \varepsilon_2 > \varepsilon_3 > \cdots > 0$ such that $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j < \lambda$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j < \varepsilon$. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, write

$$\mu_k = \prod_{j=1}^k \lambda_j$$
 and $\delta_k = \sum_{j=1}^k \varepsilon_j.$

Claim 1. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a μ_k -homeomorphism $h_k : J^2 \to J^2$ such that

(C.k.1) $h_k |\partial J^2 = id;$

(C.k.2) $d(h_k(x), x) < \delta_k$ for any $x \in J^2$;

(C.k.3) $h_k(x_k) \in W_{\gamma(x_k)}$ and $h_k(x_j) = h_j(x_j)$ for $k > j \ge 1$.

Proof of Claim 1. Let $\tau_1 = d(x_1, \partial J^2)$. Take a point $y_1 \in W_{\gamma(x_1)}$ such that

$$d(y_1, x_1) < \min\{\varepsilon_1, (\lambda_1 - 1)\tau_1/\lambda_1\}.$$

Clearly, there exists a μ_1 (= λ_1)-homeomorphism $h_1 : J^2 \to J^2$ such that $h_1(x_1) = y_1$, $h_1|(J^2 - \text{Int } B(x_1, \tau_1)) = id$, and $d(h_1(x), x) < \varepsilon_1 = \delta_1$ for any $x \in J^2$.

We now assume that, for some m > 1, there exist μ_k -homeomorphisms $h_k : J^2 \to J^2$ $(k = 1, 2, \dots, m-1)$ such that, for $m > k \ge 1$, the conditions (C.k.1)–(C.k.3) hold. Let $y_k = h_{m-1}(x_k) = h_k(x_k)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_{m-1}$, let $z_m = h_{m-1}(x_m)$, and let

$$\tau_m = d(z_m, \partial J^2 \cup \{y_k : k \in \mathbb{N}_{m-1}\}).$$

Then $\tau_m > 0$. Take a point $y_m \in W_{\gamma(x_m)}$ such that

$$d(y_m, z_m) < \min\{\varepsilon_m, (\lambda_m - 1)\tau_m/\lambda_m\}.$$

Clearly, there exists a λ_m -homeomorphism $g_m : J^2 \to J^2$ such that $g_m(z_m) = y_m$, $g_m | (J^2 - Int B^2(z_m, \tau_m)) = id$, and $d(g_m(x), x) < \varepsilon_m$ for any $x \in J^2$. Take

$$h_m = g_m h_{m-1} : J^2 \to J^2.$$

Then h_m is a μ_m (= $\lambda_m \mu_{m-1}$)-homeomorphism, and the conditions (C.k.1)–(C.k.3) for k = m also hold. By induction, Claim 1 is proved.

The sequence of homeomorphisms h_1, h_2, h_3, \cdots is uniformly convergent. Let

$$h = \lim_{k \to \infty} h_k : J^2 \to J^2.$$

 \square

Then h satisfies the conditions in Lemma 3.4, and the proof is completed.

From Corollary 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, we get

Theorem 3.5. Let $\{V_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a given family of pairwise disjoint countable subsets of J^2 , in which each V_n is dense in J^2 . Then for any given maps $\omega : \mathbb{N} \to \mathscr{A}$ and $\alpha : \mathbb{N} \to \mathscr{A}'$, there exists a homeomorphism $\varphi : J^2 \to J^2$ such that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $x \in V_n$, one has $\omega_{\varphi}(x) = \omega(n)$ and $\alpha_{\varphi}(x) = \alpha(n)$.

Proof. Let $\{S_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $f : J^2 \to J^2$ be the same as in Corollary 3.3. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, write $W_n = \overset{\circ}{J} \times S_n$. Then W_n is an uncountable subsets of $\overset{\circ}{J}^2$, which is dense in J^2 . Let $h: J^2 \to J^2$ be the same as in Lemma 3.4. Take $\varphi = h^{-1}fh: J^2 \to J^2$. Then φ satisfies the conditions in Theorem 3.5.

Remark 3.6. Clearly, if the families \mathscr{A} and \mathscr{A}' consist of infinitely many pairwise disjoint closed sets, then *f* appearing in Corollary 3.3 and φ appearing in Theorem 3.5 are (ω, α, ∞) -sensitive. Since the restrictions of *f* and φ to their nonwandering sets are identities, the topological entropies $h_{top}(f) = h_{top}(\varphi) = 0$ (see [43, Corollary 8.6.1]). Thus Theorem 1.3 is proved.

4. Permeating from the boundary of J^2 to its interior

Definition 4.1. Let **X** be the family of all nonempty compact connected sets in J^2 , and let $s \in \{-1, 1\}$.

(1) A set $X \in \mathbf{X}$ is called a *hanging set* on J_s if $X \cap \partial J^2$ is a nonempty connected set contained in J_s° . Write

 $\mathbf{X}(s) = \{X \in \mathbf{X} : X \text{ is a hanging set on } J_s\},$ $\mathbf{X}(s,0) = \{X \in \mathbf{X}(s) : X \subset \overset{\circ}{J_s}\},$ $\mathbf{X}(s,Pt) = \{X \in \mathbf{X}(s) : X \cap \partial J^2 \text{ has only one point}\},$ $\mathbf{X}(s,Ac) = \{X \in \mathbf{X}(s) : X \cap \partial J^2 \text{ is an arc}\}.$

(2) A set $Y \in \mathbf{X}$ is said to be *floating* if $Y \cap \partial J^2 = \emptyset$. Write

$$\mathbf{Y} = \{ Y \in \mathbf{X} : Y \text{ is a floating set} \}.$$

For any $Y \in \mathbf{Y}$, an arc $L \subset J^2$ is called a *cable from* Y *to* J_s if L has an end point lying on $\overset{\circ}{J_s}$, the other end point of L lies on Y, and $\overset{\circ}{L} \cap (\partial J^2 \cup Y) = \emptyset$. Write

 $\mathbf{L}(Y,s) = \{L : L \text{ is a cable from } Y \text{ to } J_s\},\$ $\mathbf{X}(Y,s) = \{Y \cup L : L \in \mathbf{L}(Y,s)\},\$ $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{Y},s) = \bigcup\{\mathbf{X}(Y,s) : Y \in \mathbf{Y}\}.$

Then $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{Y}, s) \subset \mathbf{X}(s, Pt) - \mathbf{X}(s, 0)$.

(3) For any $X \in \mathbf{X}(s)$, a disc $D \in \mathbf{X}(s, Ac)$ is called a *hutch* of X if $X \cap J_s \subset \text{Int}(D \cap J_s)$ and $X - J_s \subset \overset{\circ}{D}$.

Definition 4.2. Let $X \in \mathbf{X}(s)$ where s = -1 or 1, and let $D \in \mathbf{X}(s, Ac)$ be a hutch of X. Write $A = D \cap J_s$. If there exists a continuous map $\eta : D \to D$ such that

(C.1) $\eta | (\partial D - \overset{\circ}{A}) = id, \ \eta(A) = A \cup X$, and there is an arc $A' \subset \overset{\circ}{A}$ such that $X \cap J_s \subset$ Int $A', \ \eta(A - A') = A - (X \cap J_s), \ \eta(A') = X$, and $\eta | (A - A')$ is injective;

(C.2) $\eta(\overset{\circ}{D}) = \overset{\circ}{D} - X$, and $\eta \mid \overset{\circ}{D}$ is injective,

then η is called a *permeating* from A to X, and X is called a *permeable hanging set*.

As an example of permeable hanging sets, we have the following result.

Lemma 4.3. Let X be a hanging set on J_s where s = -1 or 1, and let D be a hutch of X. If X = T is a tree, then there exists a continuous map $\eta : D \to D$ satisfying the conditions (C.1) and (C.2) in Definition 4.2. *Outline of proof.* The proof of Lemma 4.3 is not hard, and we give only the following Figure 4.1 to illustrate the idea of the proof. Notice that the map η_1 is a homeomorphism from *D* to *D'* and η_2 is a continuous map defined by identifying appropriately the points in the boundary of *U*. Then $\eta = \eta_2 \eta_1 : D \to D$ is a permeating.





Lemma 4.4. Let X be a permeable hanging set on J_s where s = -1 or 1, and let D be a hutch of X. Let $A = D \cap J_s$, $A' \subset \stackrel{\circ}{A}$ and $\eta : D \to D$ be as in Definition 4.2. If $X \in \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{Y}, s)$, that is, there is a floating set $Y \in \mathbf{Y}$ and a cable L from Y to J_s such that $X = Y \cup L$, then there exists an arc $A'' \subset \text{Int } A'$ such that $\eta(A'') = Y$.

The proof of Lemma 4.4 is not hard, and is omitted.

Proposition 4.5. Let X be a hanging set on J_s , where s = -1 or 1. If X is permeable, then X is a dendrite.

Proof. Let D, A, A' and $\eta : D \to D$ be the same as in Definition 4.2. Since $X = \eta(A')$ is the continuous image of arc A', X is a Peano continuum by [37, Theorem 8.18]. For any point $x \in X$, take a point $y \in A'$ such that $\eta(y) = x$. Then for any neighborhood U of x in D, there is a neighborhood V of y in D such that $\eta(V) \subset U$. Since $V \cap \overset{\circ}{D} \neq \emptyset$ and $\eta(V \cap \overset{\circ}{D}) \subset \eta(\overset{\circ}{D}) = \overset{\circ}{D} - X$, we have

$$U-X\supset \eta(V)-X\supset \eta\left(V\cap \overset{\circ}{D}\right)-X=\eta\left(V\cap \overset{\circ}{D}\right)\neq \emptyset.$$

Thus any neighborhood U of any $x \in X$ is not contained in X, and hence we get

Claim 1. X contains no disc.

If X contains a circle C, then

(4.1)
$$C \cap \eta \left(\stackrel{\circ}{D} \right) = C \cap \left(\stackrel{\circ}{D} - X \right) \subset X - \left(\stackrel{\circ}{D} - X \right) = \emptyset.$$

By Claim 1, we get

(4.2) Int
$$\operatorname{Dsc}(C) \cap \eta(\stackrel{\circ}{D}) = \operatorname{Int} \operatorname{Dsc}(C) \cap (\stackrel{\circ}{D} - X) \neq \emptyset.$$

For any arc $A^* \subset \partial D - A$, we have

$$d(A^*, Dsc(C)) = d(A^*, C) \ge d(A^*, X) > 0,$$

which with $\eta | (\partial D - A) = id$ implies

(4.3)
$$\eta\left(\stackrel{\circ}{D}\right) - \operatorname{Dsc}(C) \neq \emptyset.$$

From (4.1)–(4.3) we see that $\eta(\overset{\circ}{D})$ is not connected. On the other hand, $\overset{\circ}{D}$ is connected, and hence $\eta(\overset{\circ}{D})$ is also connected. This leads to a contradiction. Thus *X* cannot contain a circle, and hence *X* is a dendrite. Proposition 4.5 is proved.

As an inverse of Proposition 4.5, we leave the following question.

Question 4.6. Let X be a dendrite hanging on J_s , where s = -1 or 1. Must X be permeable?

In Theorem 3.5, the ω -limit sets and the α -limit sets of points $x \in \overset{\circ}{J}^2$ under the homeomorphism $\varphi: J^2 \to J^2$ are always in J_1 and J_{-1} , respectively. By means of the permeating $\xi: J^2 \to J^2$ we can obtain a homeomorphism $\psi: J^2 \to J^2$ such that the ω -limit sets and the α -limit sets of $x \in \overset{\circ}{J}^2$ under ψ need not be confined in J_1 or J_{-1} .

Theorem 4.7. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $X_{n1} \in \mathbf{X}(1)$ be a permeable hanging set on $J_1, X_{n2} \in \mathbf{X}(-1)$ be a permeable hanging set on J_{-1} , and D_{n1} be a hutch of X_{n1} , D_{n2} be a hutch of X_{n2} . Suppose that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{diam}(D_{n1}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{diam}(D_{n2}) = 0,$$

and the discs in the family $\{D_{n1}, D_{n2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are pairwise disjoint. Write

$$X_{\mathbb{N}} = \bigcup \{X_{n1} \cup X_{n2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Let \mathbb{N}' and \mathbb{N}'' be two subsets of \mathbb{N} such that $\{X_{n1} : n \in \mathbb{N}'\} \subset \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{Y}, 1)$ and $\{X_{n2} : n \in \mathbb{N}''\} \subset \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{Y}, -1)$, that is, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}'$ there is a floating set $Y_{n1} \in \mathbf{Y}$ and a cable L_{n1} from Y_{n1} to J_1 such that $X_{n1} = Y_{n1} \cup L_{n1}$; for each $n \in \mathbb{N}''$ there is a floating set $Y_{n2} \in \mathbf{Y}$ and a cable L_{n2} from Y_{n2} to J_{-1} such that $X_{n2} = Y_{n2} \cup L_{n2}$.

Let $\{W_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a family of pairwise disjoint countable set in $\overset{\circ}{J}^2 - X_{\mathbb{N}}$, in which each W_n is dense in J^2 . Then there exists a homeomorphism $\psi : J^2 \to J^2$ such that

J. Mai, E. Shi, K. Yan and F. Zeng

- (1) For any $n \in \mathbb{N} \mathbb{N}'$ and any $w \in W_n$, one has $\omega_{\psi}(w) = X_{n1}$;
- (2) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}'$ and any $w \in W_n$, one has $\omega_{\psi}(w) = Y_{n1}$;
- (3) For any $n \in \mathbb{N} \mathbb{N}''$ and any $w \in W_n$, one has $\alpha_{\Psi}(w) = X_{n2}$;
- (4) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}''$ and any $w \in W_n$, one has $\alpha_{\Psi}(w) = Y_{n2}$.

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, write $A_{n1} = D_{n1} \cap J_1$, $A_{n2} = D_{n2} \cap J_{-1}$, and for $i \in \mathbb{N}_2$, let $\eta_{ni} : D_{ni} \to D_{ni}$ be a permeating from A_{ni} to X_{ni} . Define a map $\xi : J^2 \to J^2$ by

$$\xi | D_{n1} = \eta_{n1}$$
 and $\xi | D_{n2} = \eta_{n2}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

and

$$\xi | (J^2 - \bigcup \{D_{n1} \cup D_{n2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) = id.$$

Then ξ is continuous, called a *compositive permeating* from $J_1 \cup J_{-1}$ to $X_{\mathbb{N}}$.

Note that $W_n \subset \overset{\circ}{J}^2 - X_{\mathbb{N}} \subset J^2 - (J_1 \cup J_{-1} \cup X_{\mathbb{N}})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $V_n = \xi^{-1}(W_n)$. Then $\{V_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a family of pairwise disjoint countable sets in $\overset{\circ}{J}^2 = \xi^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{J}^2 - X_{\mathbb{N}})$, and each V_n is dense in J^2 . Using Lemma 4.4, we take maps $\omega : \mathbb{N} \to \mathscr{A}$ and $\alpha : \mathbb{N} \to \mathscr{A}'$ as follows:

(a) For any $n \in \mathbb{N} - \mathbb{N}'$, let A'_{n1} be an arc in A_{n1}° such that $\xi(A'_{n1}) = X_{n1}$ and we take $\omega(n) = A'_{n1}$;

(b) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}'$, let A''_{n1} be an arc in A_{n1}° such that $\xi(A''_{n1}) = Y_{n1}$ and we take $\omega(n) = A''_{n1}$;

(c) For any $n \in \mathbb{N} - \mathbb{N}''$, let A'_{n2} be an arc in A_{n2}° such that $\xi(A'_{n2}) = X_{n2}$ and we take $\alpha(n) = A'_{n2}$;

(d) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}''$, let A''_{n2} be an arc in A_{n2}° such that $\xi(A''_{n2}) = Y_{n2}$ and we take $\alpha(n) = A''_{n2}$.

By Theorem 3.5, there exists a normally rising homeomorphism $\varphi : J^2 \to J^2$ such that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $v \in V_n$, one has $\omega_{\varphi}(v) = \omega(n)$ and $\alpha_{\varphi}(v) = \alpha(n)$.

Let $\psi = \xi \phi \xi^{-1}$.

Strictly speaking, both ξ^{-1} and $\xi \varphi \xi^{-1}$ are maps from J^2 to the family of all subsets of J^2 , not maps from J^2 to J^2 . However, for some $y \in Y \subset J^2$, if $\xi^{-1}(y)$ contains just one point *x*, then we may write $\xi^{-1}(y) = x$ for $\xi^{-1}(y) = \{x\}$. If for each $z \in Y$, $\xi^{-1}(z)$ contains just one point, then we may regard $\xi^{-1}|Y$ as a map from Y to J^2 or a bijection from Y to $\xi^{-1}(Y)$. Under this definition, from Definition 4.2 we get

Claim 1. $\xi^{-1}|(J^2 - (J_1 \cup J_{-1} \cup X_N))$ is a bijection from $J^2 - (J_1 \cup J_{-1} \cup X_N)$ to $J^2 - J_1 - J_{-1}$.

Handing $\psi = \xi \phi \xi^{-1}$ similarly, we have

Claim 2. ψ is a homeomorphism from J^2 to J^2 .

Proof of Claim 2. Consider any $x \in J^2$. If $x \in J^2 - (J_1 \cup J_{-1} \cup X_{\mathbb{N}})$, then $\xi^{-1}(x)$ contains only one point, and hence $\xi \varphi \xi^{-1}(x)$ also contains only one point. If $x \in J_1 \cup J_{-1} \cup X_{\mathbb{N}}$, then $\xi^{-1}(x)$ may contain more that one point, but in this case $\xi^{-1}(x) \subset J_1 \cup J_{-1} \cup \text{Fix}(\varphi)$, which implies that $\xi \varphi \xi^{-1}(x)$ still contains only one point, and this point is *x* itself. Thus $\psi = \xi \varphi \xi^{-1}$ is a map from J^2 to J^2 , and we have

(4.4)
$$\psi|(J_1\cup J_{-1}\cup X_{\mathbb{N}})=id.$$

Since $\varphi|(J^2 - J_1 - J_{-1})$ is also a bijection from $J^2 - J_1 - J_{-1}$ to itself, it follows from Claim 1 that $\psi|(J^2 - (J_1 \cup J_{-1} \cup X_{\mathbb{N}}))$ is a bijection from $J^2 - (J_1 \cup J_{-1} \cup X_{\mathbb{N}})$ to itself, which with (4.4) implies that $\psi = \xi \varphi \xi^{-1} : J^2 \to J^2$ is a bijection.

For any closed set Z in J^2 , $\xi^{-1}(Z)$, $\varphi^{-1}\xi^{-1}(Z)$ and $\psi^{-1}(Z) = \xi \varphi^{-1}\xi^{-1}(Z)$ are all closed sets in J^2 . Thus ψ is continuous, and hence ψ is a homeomorphism. Claim 2 is proved.

Note that, for any $x \in J^2$ and $y = \xi(x)$, if $\xi^{-1}(y)$ contains more than one point, then $x = \xi^{-1}\xi(x)$ does not hold, and we have only $x \in \xi^{-1}\xi(x)$. However, even if $\xi^{-1}(y)$ contains more than one point, since $\psi(y) = \xi\varphi\xi^{-1}(y)$ contains only one point, $\xi\varphi\xi^{-1}\xi(x) = \xi\varphi(x)$ still holds. Hence we have $\psi^2 = \xi\varphi\xi^{-1}\xi\varphi\xi^{-1} = \xi\varphi^2\xi^{-1}$, and in general, we have

(4.5)
$$\psi^n = \xi \varphi^n \xi^{-1} \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Similarly, let $\psi^* = \xi \varphi^{-1} \xi^{-1} : J^2 \to J^2$. Then ψ^* is also a homeomorphism. For any $x \in J^2$, since

$$\psi^*\psi(x) = \xi \, \varphi^{-1} \xi^{-1} \xi \, \varphi \xi^{-1}(x) = \xi \, \xi^{-1}(x) = x$$

and $\psi \psi^* \psi(x) = \psi(x)$, we have $\psi^{-1} = \psi^* = \xi \varphi^{-1} \xi^{-1}$.

Claim 3. $\omega_{\psi}(\xi(x)) = \xi(\omega_{\varphi}(x))$ for any $x \in J^2$.

Proof of Claim 3. For any $y \in \omega_{\varphi}(x)$, there exist integers $0 < n(1) < n(2) < n(3) < \cdots$ such that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \varphi^{n(k)}(x) = y$, which with (4.5) implies

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\psi^{n(k)}(\xi(x))=\lim_{k\to\infty}\xi\varphi^{n(k)}(x)=\xi(y).$$

This means that $\xi(y) \in \omega_{\psi}(\xi(x))$ and hence $\xi(\omega_{\varphi}(x)) \subset \omega_{\psi}(\xi(x))$.

Conversely, for any $y \in \omega_{\psi}(\xi(x))$, there exist integers $0 < n(1) < n(2) < n(3) < \cdots$ such that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \psi^{n(k)}(\xi(x)) = y$, which with (4.5) implies

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\xi\varphi^{n(k)}(x)=\lim_{k\to\infty}\xi\varphi^{n(k)}\xi^{-1}(\xi(x))=\lim_{k\to\infty}\psi^{n(k)}(\xi(x))=y.$$

Take integers $0 < k(1) < k(2) < k(3) < \cdots$ and $z \in \omega_{\varphi}(x)$ such that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\varphi^{n(k(j))}(x)=z,$$

we get $\xi(z) = y$. Thus $y \in \xi(\omega_{\varphi}(x))$ and hence $\omega_{\psi}(\xi(x)) \subset \xi(\omega_{\varphi}(x))$. Claim 3 is proved.

Similarly, we have

Claim 4. $\alpha_{\psi}(\xi(x)) = \xi(\alpha_{\varphi}(x))$ for any $x \in J^2$.

We now verify that the homeomorphism $\psi: J^2 \to J^2$ has the properties (1)–(4):

(1) For any $n \in \mathbb{N} - \mathbb{N}'$ and any $w \in W_n$, from the definition of $\omega : \mathbb{N} \to \mathscr{A}$ with case (a) we have $\omega_{\varphi}(\xi^{-1}(w)) = \omega(n) = A'_{n1}$. By Claim 3 we get

$$\omega_{\psi}(w) = \xi(\omega_{\varphi}(\xi^{-1}(w))) = \xi(A'_{n1}) = X_{n1}.$$

(2) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}'$ and any $w \in W_n$, from the definition of $\omega : \mathbb{N} \to \mathscr{A}$ with case (b) we have $\omega_{\varphi}(\xi^{-1}(w)) = \omega(n) = A''_{n1}$. By Claim 3 we get

$$\omega_{\psi}(w) = \xi(\omega_{\varphi}(\xi^{-1}(w))) = \xi(A''_{n1}) = Y_{n1}$$

By Claim 4, the verifying of the properties (3) and (4) is similar, and is omitted. Theorem 4.7 is proved. \Box

Remark 4.8. In Corollary 3.3, the index set \mathbb{N}^* can be an infinite subset of \mathbb{N} , and can also be a finite subset of \mathbb{N} . Similarly, in Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 4.7, the index set \mathbb{N} can be replaced by a finite subset of \mathbb{N} or of $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Of course, in Theorem 4.7, if the index set \mathbb{N} is replaced by a finite subset of $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, then the condition

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{diam}(D_{n1}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{diam}(D_{n2}) = 0$$

will automatically vanish. In addition, in Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 4.7, the countable sets V_n and W_n need not be dense in J^2 or even infinite sets.

5. Limit sets in the open square $\overset{\circ}{J}^2$

Define the maps $p, q : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ by p(x) = r and q(x) = s for any $x = (r, s) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, that is, we denote by p(x) and q(x) the abscissa and the ordinate of x, respectively.

Theorem 5.1. Let $Y_{\mathbb{N}} = \{y_{n1}, y_{n2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable infinite set in $\overset{\circ}{J}^2$, and $\{W_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a family of pairwise disjoint countable sets in $\overset{\circ}{J}^2 - Y_{\mathbb{N}}$, in which each W_n is dense in J^2 . Suppose that

- (1) $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(y_{n1}, J_1) = \lim_{n\to\infty} d(y_{n2}, J_{-1}) = 0;$
- (2) For any $\beta \in p(Y_{\mathbb{N}})$, there exists $\varepsilon_{\beta} > 0$ such that $Y_{\mathbb{N}} \cap p^{-1}([\beta \varepsilon_{\beta}, \beta + \varepsilon_{\beta}])$ is a finite set.

Then there exists a zero entropy homeomorphism $\Psi : J^2 \to J^2$ such that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $w \in W_n$, one has $\omega_{\Psi}(w) = \{y_{n1}\}$ and $\alpha_{\Psi}(w) = \{y_{n2}\}$.

Proof. We regard the real plane \mathbb{R}^2 and the complex plane \mathbb{C} as the same, that is, any point $(r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and the point $re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{C}$ are regarded as the same. Write $W_{\mathbb{N}} = \bigcup \{W_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and

$$Z = \{(w - y) / |w - y| : w \in W_{\mathbb{N}}, \text{ and } y \in Y_{\mathbb{N}}\},\$$

where |z| denote the modulus of the complex number $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then Z is a countably infinite set in the unit circle \mathbb{S}^1 .

From the condition (2) we see that, for any $\beta \in p(Y_{\mathbb{N}})$, there exists $\delta_{\beta} > 0$ such that $p(Y_{\mathbb{N}}) \cap [\beta - 5\delta_{\beta}, \beta + 5\delta_{\beta}] = \{\beta\}$, and $[\beta - 5\delta_{\beta}, \beta + 5\delta_{\beta}] \subset \overset{\circ}{J}$.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}_2$, write $Y_{ni} = \{y_{ni}\}$. Then $Y_{ni} \in \mathbf{Y}$. For any $\beta \in p(Y_{\mathbb{N}})$, write $Y_{\beta} = Y_{\mathbb{N}} \cap p^{-1}(\beta)$. Then Y_{β} is a nonempty finite set. Take $\mu_{\beta} \in (0, \delta_{\beta}]$ such that

$$(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}+\mathbf{i})/|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}+\mathbf{i}| \in \mathbb{S}^{1}-Z.$$

For any $y \in Y_{\beta}$, if $y = y_{n1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then there is a unique point $x_y = x_{n1} \in J_1$ such that

$$(x_y - y)/|x_y - y| = (\mu_\beta + i)/|\mu_\beta + i|,$$

and we take $[y, x_y]$ as the cable from $\{y\} = Y_{n1}$ to J_1 . If $y = y_{n2}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then there is a unique point $x_y = x_{n2} \in J_{-1}$ such that

$$(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{y}})/|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{y}}| = (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \mathbf{i})/|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \mathbf{i}|,$$

and we take $[y, x_y]$ as the cable from $\{y\} = Y_{n2}$ to J_{-1} . Noting that $\{[y, x_y] : y \in Y_\beta\}$ is a family of pairwise disjoint straight line segments, which are all contained in

$$(\beta - 2\mu_{\beta}, \beta + 2\mu_{\beta}) \times J \subset (\beta - 2\delta_{\beta}, \beta + 2\delta_{\beta}) \times J$$

and do not intersect $Y_{\mathbb{N}}$, and of which the slopes are all $1/\mu_{\beta}$, we can take a family $\mathbf{D}_{\beta} = \{D_y : y \in Y_{\beta}\}$ of pairwise disjoint discs such that, for each $y \in Y_{\beta}$, D_y is a hutch of $[y, x_y]$ contained in $(\beta - 2\delta_{\beta}, \beta + 2\delta_{\beta}) \times J$, and diam $(D_y) < 3|x_y - y|/2$. Let $\mathbf{D} = \bigcup \{\mathbf{D}_{\beta} : \beta \in p(Y_{\mathbb{N}})\}$. For any $\beta \in p(Y_{\mathbb{N}}), \gamma \in p(Y_{\mathbb{N}}) - \{\beta\}$ and any $y \in Y_{\beta}, z \in Y_{\gamma}$, we have

$$d(D_{y}, D_{z}) > d([\beta - 2\delta_{\beta}, \beta + 2\delta_{\beta}], [\beta - 2\delta_{\gamma}, \beta + 2\delta_{\gamma}]) > \max\{\delta_{\beta}, \delta_{\gamma}\} > 0.$$

Thus **D** is a family of pairwise disjoint discs.

For any $y \in Y_N$, if $y = y_{n1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then we write D_{n1} for D_y , and if $y = y_{n2}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then we write D_{n2} for D_y . From the condition (1) of the theorem, we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\operatorname{diam}(D_{n1})=\lim_{n\to\infty}\operatorname{diam}(D_{n2})=0.$$

By Theorem 4.7, there exists a homeomorphism $\psi : J^2 \to J^2$ having the properties described in Theorem 5.1. Clearly, the topological entropy of ψ is zero, since its restriction to the nonwandering set is identity.

In Theorem 5.1, the homeomorphisms $\psi: J^2 \to J^2$ satisfy

$$\psi(\overset{\circ}{J}^2) = \overset{\circ}{J}^2.$$

Thus we obtain the homeomorphisms $\psi | \stackrel{\circ}{J}{}^2 : \stackrel{\circ}{J}{}^2 \to \stackrel{\circ}{J}{}^2$. Define a homeomorphism $H : \stackrel{\circ}{J}{}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$H(r,s) = \left(\tan(r\pi/2), \tan(s\pi/2)\right) \text{ for any } (r,s) \in \tilde{J}^2.$$

Then, by means of *H* and $\psi \mid \overset{\circ}{J}^2$, we get some homeomorphisms of \mathbb{R}^2 , which have properties similar to $\psi : J^2 \to J^2$. For example, we have

Corollary 5.2. Let $X_{\mathbb{N}} = \{x_{n1}, x_{n2} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable infinite set in \mathbb{R}^2 , and $\{V_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a family of pairwise disjoint countable sets in $\mathbb{R}^2 - X_{\mathbb{N}}$, in which each V_n is dense in \mathbb{R}^2 . Suppose that

- (1) $\lim_{n\to\infty} q(x_{n1}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} q(x_{n2}) = 0;$
- (2) For any $\beta \in p(X_{\mathbb{N}})$, there exists $\delta_{\beta} > 0$ such that $X_{\mathbb{N}} \cap p^{-1}([\beta \delta_{\beta}, \beta + \delta_{\beta}])$ is a finite set.

Then there exists a homeomorphism $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ such that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $v \in V_n$, one has $\omega_F(v) = \{x_{n1}\}$ and $\alpha_F(v) = \{x_{n2}\}$.

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $Y_{\mathbb{N}} = H^{-1}(X_N)$, $y_{n1} = H^{-1}(x_{n1})$, $y_{n2} = H^{-1}(x_{n2})$, and $W = H^{-1}(V_n)$. Then the conditions (1) and (2) in Theorem 5.1 hold, and there exists a homeomorphism $\psi : J^2 \to J^2$ having the properties described in this theorem. Define $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ by $F = H\psi H^{-1}$. Then F meets the requirement.

Theorem 5.3. For any given $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\{T_{n1}, T_{n2} : n \in \mathbb{N}_m\}$ be a family of pairwise disjoint trees in \hat{J}^2 , and let T_{01} be an arc in $\hat{J_1}$, T_{02} be an arc in $\hat{J_{-1}}$. Let $\{W_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_m \cup \{0\}\}$ be a family of pairwise disjoint countable sets in $\hat{J}^2 - \bigcup \{T_{n1} \cup T_{n2} : n \in \mathbb{N}_m\}$, in which each W_n is dense in J^2 . Then there exists a homeomorphism $\Psi : J^2 \to J^2$ such that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_m \cup \{0\}$ and any $w \in W_n$, one has $\omega_{\Psi}(w) = T_{n1}$ and $\alpha_{\Psi}(\omega) = T_{n2}$.

Proof. Write $\mathbf{W} = \bigcup \{W_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_m \cup \{0\}\}$. It is easy to show that there exist a family $\{D_{n1}, D_{n2} : n \in \mathbb{N}_m \cup \{0\}\}$ of pairwise disjoint disc and a family $\{L_{n1}, L_{n2} : n \in \mathbb{N}_m\}$ of pairwise disjoint piecewise linear arcs such that

- (1) For $n \in \mathbb{N}_m \cup \{0\}$, $D_{n1} \in \mathbf{X}(1, Ac)$, and $D_{n2} \in \mathbf{X}(-1, Ac)$;
- (2) $T_{01} \subset \operatorname{Int}(D_{01} \cup J_1)$, and $T_{02} \subset \operatorname{Int}(D_{02} \cup J_{-1})$;
- (3) For $n \in \mathbb{N}_m$, $T_{n1} \subset \overset{\circ}{D_{n1}}$, and $T_{n2} \subset \overset{\circ}{D_{n2}}$;

(4) For $n \in \mathbb{N}_m$, L_{n1} is a cable from T_{n1} to J_1 , D_{n1} is a hutch of $L_{n1} \cup T_{n1}$, and $L_{n1} \cap \mathbf{W} = \emptyset$;

(5) For $n \in \mathbb{N}_m$, L_{n2} is a cable from T_{n2} to J_{-1} , D_{n2} is a hutch of $L_{n2} \cup T_{n2}$, and $L_{n2} \cap \mathbf{W} = \emptyset$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}_m$, from Lemma 4.3 we see that $L_{n1} \cup T_{n1}$ and $L_{n2} \cup T_{n2}$ are permeable hanging sets. Of course, T_{01} and T_{02} are also permeable hanging sets. By Theorem 4.7, there exists a homeomorphism $\psi: J^2 \to J^2$ having the properties described in Theorem 5.3.

Acknowledgements. Jiehua Mai and Fanping Zeng are supported by NNSF of China (Grant No. 12261006) and Project of Guangxi First Class Disciplines of Statistics (No. GJKY-2022-01); Enhui Shi is supported by NNSF of China (Grant No. 12271388); Kesong Yan is supported by NNSF of China (Grant No. 12171175).

REFERENCES

- E. Akin, J. Auslander, K. Berg, *When is a transitive map chaotic?* in: Convergence in Ergodic Theory and Probability, Columbus, OH, 1993, in: Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 5, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996, pp. 25-40.
- [2] E. Akin, S. Kolyada, *Li-Yorke sensitivity*, Nonlinearity 16 (2003), 1421-1433.
- [3] J. Auslander, J. A. Yorke, *Interval maps, factors of maps and chaos*, Tohoku Math. J. 32 (1980) 177-188.
- [4] J. Banks, J. Brooks, G. Cairns, G. Davis, P. Stacey, On Devaney's definition of chaos, Am. Math. Mon. 99 (1992) 332-334.
- [5] K. Barański, M. Misiurewicz, *Omega-limit sets for the Stein-Ulam spiral map*, Topology Proc. 36 (2010), 145-172.
- [6] F. Blanchard, E. Glasner, S. Kolyada, A. Maass, On Li-Yorke pairs, J. Reine Angew. Math. 547 (2002), 51-68.
- [7] A. M. Blokh, *The connection between entropy and transitivity for one-dimensional mappings*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 42 (1987), 209-210.
- [8] R. L. Devaney, *An introduction to chaotic dynamical systems*, Second edition, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Redwood City, 1989.
- [9] T. N. T. Goodman, *Topological sequence entropy*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 29 (1974), 331-350.
- [10] T. Downarowicz, *Positive topological entropy implies chaos DC2*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 142 (2014), 137-149.
- [11] N. Franzová, J. Smítal, Positive sequence topological entropy characterizes chaotic maps, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 112 (1991), 1083-1086.
- [12] E. Glasner, B. Weiss, Sensitive dependence on initial conditions, Nonlinearity 6 (1993), 1067-1075.
- [13] J. Guckenheimer, Sensitive dependence to initial conditions for one dimensional maps, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 70 (1979), 133-160.
- [14] W. Huang, D. Khilko, S. Kolyada, G. Zhang, *Dynamical compactness and sensitivity*, J. Differ. Equ. 260 (2016), 6800-6827.
- [15] W. Huang, S. Kolyada, G. Zhang, Analogues of Auslander-Yorke theorems for multisensitivity, Ergod. Theory Dyn. Syst. 38 (2018), 651-665.
- [16] W. Huang, J. Li, J. Thouvenot, L. Xu, X. Ye, Bounded complexity, mean equicontinuity and discrete spectrum, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 41 (2021), 494-533.

- [17] W. Huang, J. Li, X. Ye, *Stable sets and mean Li-Yorke chaos in positive entropy systems*, Journal of Functional Analysis 266 (2014), 3377-3394.
- [18] W. Huang, S. Li, S. Shao, and X. Ye, *Null systems and sequence entropy pairs*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 23 (2003), 1505-1523.
- [19] W. Huang, K. Lu, Entropy, chaos, and weak horseshoe for infinite-dimensional random dynamical systems, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 70 (2017), 1987-2036.
- [20] W. Huang, Z. Wang, X. Ye, Measure complexity and Möbius disjointness, Adv. Math. 347 (2019), 827-858.
- [21] W. Huang, L. Xu, X. Ye, A minimal distal map on the torus with sub-exponential measure complexity, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 40 (2020), 953-974.
- [22] L. Jiménez López, J. Smítal, ω-limit sets for triangular mappings, Fund. Math. 167 (2001), 1–15.
- [23] D. Kerr, H. Li, Independence in topological and C*-dynamics, Math. Ann. 338 (2007), 869-926.
- [24] B. Kitchens, M. Misiurewicz, Omega-limit sets for spiral maps, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 27 (2010), 787–798.
- [25] S. F. Kolyada, L. Snoha, On ω-limit sets of triangular maps, Real Anal. Exchange 18 (1992/93), 115-130.
- [26] J. Li, P. Oprocha, Y. Yang, T. Zeng, *On dynamics of graph maps with zero topological entropy*, Nonlinearity 30 (2017), 4260-4276.
- [27] J. Li, P. Oprocha, and G. Zhang, *Quasi-graphs, zero entropy and measures with discrete spectrum*, Nonlinearity 35 (2022), 1360-1379.
- [28] J. Li, S. Tu, X. Ye, *Mean equicontinuity and mean sensitivity*, Ergod. Theory Dyn. Syst. 35 (2015), 2587-2612.
- [29] S. Li, ω-chaos and topological entropy, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 339 (1993), 243-249.
- [30] A. Maass, S. Shao, Structure of bounded topological-sequence-entropy minimal systems, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 76 (2007), 702-718.
- [31] J. Mai, S. Shao, *The structure of graph maps without periodic points* Topology Appl. 154 (2007), 2714-2728.
- [32] J. Mai, E. Shi, *The nonexistence of sensitive commutative group actions on graphs*, Sci. China Ser. A 50 (2007), 1197-1204.
- [33] J. Mai, E. Shi, A class of spaces that admit no sensitive commutative group actions, Fund. Math. 217 (2012), 1-12.
- [34] J. Mai, E. Shi, K. Yan, F. Zeng, A new construction of counterexamples to the bounded orbit conjecture. arXiv:2406.17243.
- [35] J. Mai, E. Shi, K. Yan, F. Zeng, Can points of bounded orbits surround points of unbounded orbits? arXiv: 2404.13642.

- [36] J. Mai, K. Yan, F. Zeng, Asymptotically almost periodic points and sensitivity of continuous maps, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 534 (2024), 128057.
- [37] Sam B. Nadler Jr., *Continuum theory: An introduction*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 158, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1992.
- [38] D. Ruelle, Dynamical systems with turbulent behavior, Lecture Notes in Phys., 80 (1978), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 341-360.
- [39] S. Ruette, *Chaos for continuous interval maps*, arXiv:1504.03001v5.
- [40] P. Sarnak, *Mobius randomness and dynamics*, Not. S. Afr. Math. Soc., 43 (2012), 89-97.
- [41] S. Shao, X. Ye, R. Zhang, *Sensitivity and regionally proximal relation in minimal systems*, Sci. China Ser. A 51 (2008), 987-994.
- [42] J. Smítal, *Chaotic functions with zero topological entropy*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 297 (1986), 269-282.
- [43] P. Walters, An introduction to ergodic theory, Springer, 1982.
- [44] J. Xiong, *Chaos in a topologically transitive system*, Sci. China Ser. A 48 (2005), 929-939.
- [45] J. Xiong, A chaotic map with topological entropy [zero], Acta Math. Sci. (English Ed.) 6 (1986), 439-443.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND QUANTITATIVE ECONOMICS, GUANGXI UNIVERSITY OF FINANCE AND ECONOMICS, NANNING, GUANGXI, 530003, P. R. CHINA & INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, SHANTOU UNIVERSITY, SHANTOU, GUANGDONG, 515063, P. R. CHINA

Email address: jiehuamai@163.com; jhmai@stu.edu.cn

School of Mathematics and Sciences, Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu 215006, China

Email address: ehshi@suda.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HAINAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, HAIKOU, HAINAN,

571158, P. R. CHINA

Email address: ksyan@mail.ustc.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND QUANTITATIVE ECONOMICS, GUANGXI UNIVERSITY OF FINANCE AND ECONOMICS, NANNING, GUANGXI, 530003, P. R. CHINA

Email address: fpzeng@gxu.edu.cn