

# THE FUNCTORS $\mathcal{F}_P^G$ OVER LOCAL FIELDS OF POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

GEORG LINDEN

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a split connected reductive group over a non-archimedean local field. In the  $p$ -adic setting, Orlik–Strauch constructed functors from the BGG category  $\mathcal{O}$  associated to the Lie algebra of  $G$  to the category of locally analytic representation of  $G$ . We generalize these functors to such groups over non-archimedean local fields of arbitrary characteristic. To this end, we introduce the hyperalgebra of a non-archimedean Lie group  $G$ , which generalizes its Lie algebra, and consider topological modules over the algebra of locally analytic distributions on  $G$  and subalgebras related to this hyperalgebra.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
3. Locally Analytic Representation Theory	8
4. The Hyperalgebra of a Non-Archimedean Lie Group	16
5. The Functors $\mathcal{F}_P^G$	22
6. Comparison with the $p$ -adic Orlik–Strauch Functors	27
References	33

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $L$  be a non-archimedean local field and let  $K$  be a finite extension of  $L$ , which serves as our coefficient field. Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a split connected reductive algebraic group over  $L$  and let  $\mathbf{P} \supset \mathbf{B} \supset \mathbf{T}$  be a parabolic subgroup, a Borel subgroup and a maximal split torus of  $\mathbf{G}$  respectively. Moreover, let  $G, P, \text{etc.}$  denote the respective groups of  $L$ -valued points considered as locally  $L$ -analytic Lie groups.

When  $L$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , Orlik and Strauch [OS15] defined and studied bi-functors

$$\mathcal{F}_P^G: \mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^{\mathfrak{p}} \times \text{Rep}_K^{\infty, \text{adm}}(L_{\mathbf{P}}) \longrightarrow \text{Rep}_K^{\text{la}, \text{adm}}(G),$$

which give rise to admissible locally analytic  $G$ -representations via a procedure reminiscent of parabolic induction. Here  $L_{\mathbf{P}}$  is the standard Levi subgroup of  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}, \text{etc.}$  denote the Lie algebras of the respective groups. Moreover,  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the subcategory of the Bernstein–Gelfand–Gelfand category  $\mathcal{O}$  consisting of those finitely generated  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules which are locally  $\mathfrak{p}$ -finite and on which  $\mathfrak{t}$  acts semisimply with algebraic weights. In *loc. cit.* Orlik and Strauch showed that the functors  $\mathcal{F}_P^G$  are exact and gave a criterion for when a representation in their image is irreducible.

One may also consider locally analytic  $G$ -representations defined in the same way when  $L$  is of positive characteristic<sup>1</sup>. For instance, (the strong dual of) representations in the holomorphic discrete series of  $\text{GL}_n(L)$  are locally analytic, for any non-archimedean local field

---

*Date:* July 9, 2024.

<sup>1</sup>The coefficient field  $K$  continues to be a finite extension of  $L$ .

$L^2$ . In this generality, Gräf [Grä20] studied the connection between a certain family of holomorphic discrete series representations and harmonic cocycles on the Bruhat–Tits building for  $\mathrm{GL}_3(L)$ . Moreover, in [Lin23] we identified certain subquotients of holomorphic discrete series representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(L)$  and described them using a construction similar to the functors  $\mathcal{F}_P^G$ . This extended work of Orlik [Orl08] for the  $p$ -adic case.

The goal of the present paper is to systematically study a generalization of the functors  $\mathcal{F}_P^G$  to non-archimedean local fields of arbitrary characteristic. Our approach is based on topological modules over the topological algebra  $D(G)$  of locally analytic distributions on  $G$  and subalgebras of  $D(G)$ .

More precisely, let  $\mathcal{M}_{D(G)}$  be the category of *separately continuous*  $D(G)$ -modules on locally convex  $K$ -vector spaces (Definition 2.4), and let  $\mathcal{M}_{D(G)}^{\mathrm{nf}}$  denote its full subcategory consisting of modules on nuclear  $K$ -Fréchet spaces. Then there is an anti-equivalence between  $\mathcal{M}_{D(G)}^{\mathrm{nf}}$  and the category  $\mathrm{Rep}_K^{\mathrm{la}, \mathrm{ct}}(G)$  of locally analytic  $G$ -representations whose underlying locally convex  $K$ -vector space is of compact type. This anti-equivalence is realized by passing from a locally analytic representation  $V$  to its strong dual  $V'_b$  and vice versa, and it can be proven exactly as in the  $p$ -adic setting pioneered by Schneider and Teitelbaum [ST02b].

We define the *hyperalgebra*  $\mathrm{hy}(G)$  of a non-archimedean Lie group  $G$  as the subalgebra of  $D(G)$  consisting of those distributions supported in the identity element  $1 \in G$  which are of finite order (see Definition 4.5). When  $L$  is  $p$ -adic,  $\mathrm{hy}(G)$  coincides with the universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  of the Lie algebra of  $G$ . However, for  $\mathrm{char}(L) > 0$  this hyperalgebra is better suited than  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ . For example, the pairing between  $\mathrm{hy}(G)$  and germs of locally analytic functions at 1 is non-degenerate (Corollary 4.9) – a property that fails for  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  in positive characteristic.

With  $\mathrm{hy}(G)$  at our disposal we generalize a definition of Orlik–Strauch and consider the subalgebra  $D(\mathfrak{g}, P)$  of  $D(G)$  generated by  $\mathrm{hy}(G)$  and  $D(P)$ . It can also be expressed as the inductive tensor product

$$D(\mathfrak{g}, P) \cong \mathrm{hy}(G) \otimes_{\mathrm{hy}(P), \iota} D(P).$$

Here again, we have the categories  $\mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P)}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P)}^{\mathrm{nf}}$ ) of separately continuous  $D(\mathfrak{g}, P)$ -modules (with underlying nuclear  $K$ -Fréchet space). We show that  $\mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P)}^{\mathrm{nf}}$  is quasi-abelian and closed under taking completed tensor products (Proposition 2.5, Corollary 5.6). We note that the aforementioned subquotients of holomorphic discrete series representations occurring in [Lin23] lie in the category  $\mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P)}^{\mathrm{nf}}$ , for certain parabolic subgroups  $P$  of  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(L)$ .

**Theorem A** (Proposition 5.13, Theorem 5.15). (i) *For  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P)}$  whose underlying locally convex  $K$ -vector space is pseudo-metrizable and nuclear,*

$$\dot{\mathcal{F}}_P^G(M) := (D(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P), \iota} M)'_b$$

*has a canonical structure of a locally analytic  $G$ -representation of compact type.*

(ii) *This association yields a left exact contravariant functor between quasi-abelian categories*

$$\dot{\mathcal{F}}_P^G: \mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P)}^{\mathrm{nf}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Rep}_K^{\mathrm{la}, \mathrm{ct}}(G), \quad M \longmapsto \dot{\mathcal{F}}_P^G(M).$$

We observe that for a locally analytic  $P$ -representation  $V$  of compact type we naturally obtain a  $D(\mathfrak{g}, P)$ -module structure on  $\mathrm{hy}(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathrm{hy}(P)} V'_b$  so that the latter lies in  $\mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P)}^{\mathrm{nf}}$  (Corollary 5.10). In this way, the functor  $\dot{\mathcal{F}}_P^G$  recovers locally analytic induction:

$$\dot{\mathcal{F}}_P^G(\mathrm{hy}(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathrm{hy}(P)} V'_b) \cong \mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{la}, G}(V).$$

Our construction generalizes the Orlik–Strauch functors  $\mathcal{F}_P^G$  for  $p$ -adic  $L$  in the following sense. We equip each  $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{alg}}^{\mathrm{p}}$  with a locally convex topology so that  $M$  and its Hausdorff completion  $\widehat{M}$  naturally become separately continuous  $D(\mathfrak{g}, P)$ -modules. This yields a

---

<sup>2</sup>See [Sch92] for the definition of and [ST02a; Poh02; Orl08] for results on holomorphic discrete series representations in the  $p$ -adic case.

strongly exact functor (Corollary 6.4)

$$\mathcal{C}: \mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^p \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{D(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, P)}^{\text{nf}}, \quad M \longmapsto \widehat{M}.$$

Furthermore, let  $\text{Rep}_K^{\infty, s\text{-adm}}(P)$  denote the category of strongly admissible smooth  $P$ -representations<sup>3</sup>. For  $V \in \text{Rep}_K^{\infty, s\text{-adm}}(P)$  we may form the inductive tensor product

$$D(G) \otimes_{D(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, P), \iota} (M \otimes_K V'_b), \quad (*)$$

which is a separately continuous  $D(G)$ -module. Agrawal and Strauch [AS22, Thm. 4.2.3] showed that the abstract  $D(G)$ -module underlying  $(*)$  is coadmissible, and thus carries a canonical Fréchet topology. We prove that this canonical Fréchet topology coincides with the above inductive tensor product topology (Theorem 6.6). This serves as an intermediate step to showing:

**Theorem B** (Theorem 6.8). *For  $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^p$  and  $V \in \text{Rep}_K^{\infty, s\text{-adm}}(P)$ , there is a canonical topological isomorphism of  $D(G)$ -modules*

$$\mathcal{F}_P^G(M, V)_b' \cong D(G) \otimes_{D(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, P), \iota} (M \otimes_K V'_b).$$

In particular, the functor  $\mathcal{F}_P^G$  factors over  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_P^G$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^p \times \text{Rep}_K^{\infty, s\text{-adm}}(P) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_P^G} & \text{Rep}_K^{\text{la}, \text{adm}}(G) \\ & \searrow \mathcal{C} \times (-)'_b & \nearrow \hat{\mathcal{F}}_P^G \\ & \mathcal{M}_{D(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, P)}^{\text{nf}} \times \mathcal{M}_{D(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, P)}^{\text{nf}} & \xrightarrow{-\widehat{\otimes}_K -} \mathcal{M}_{D(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, P)}^{\text{nf}} \end{array}.$$

In [AS22], Agrawal and Strauch also adopt a strategy based on modules over locally analytic distribution algebras to extend the functors  $\mathcal{F}_P^G$  to the extension closure of the category  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^p$  in the  $p$ -adic setting. For them it often suffices to consider such modules entirely in algebraic terms thanks to Schneider–Teitelbaum’s theory of coadmissible modules over Fréchet–Stein algebras [ST03]. We are not aware of an analogue of this theory applicable over local fields of positive characteristic<sup>4</sup>, and thus take the topologies of the modules more into consideration.

**1.1. Structure of the Paper.** In Section 2, we collect some generalities about topological algebras and modules on locally convex  $K$ -vector spaces whose (scalar) multiplication is separately continuous. In particular, for a continuous homomorphism  $A \rightarrow B$  of such algebras and a separately continuous  $A$ -module  $M$ , we consider the extension of scalars  $B \otimes_{A, \iota} M$  and its completion  $B \widehat{\otimes}_{A, \iota} M$  in the context of separately continuous  $B$ -modules (Lemma 2.8, Lemma 2.9).

Section 3 is a recapitulation of foundational topics of locally analytic representation theory which are valid independently of the characteristic of  $L$ . This includes the definition and properties of locally analytic functions and distributions on locally  $L$ -analytic manifolds (Proposition 3.2, Proposition 3.4), the convolution product of  $D(G)$  and the anti-equivalence between  $\text{Rep}_K^{\text{la}, \text{ct}}(G)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{D(G)}^{\text{nf}}$  (Proposition 3.19). Finally, we consider locally analytically induced representations and, for  $V \in \text{Rep}_K^{\text{la}, \text{ct}}(P)$ , prove in Theorem 3.24 that

$$(\text{Ind}_P^{\text{la}, G}(V))_b' \cong D(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{D(P), \iota} V'_b.$$

In Section 4, after recalling the notion of germs of locally analytic functions (resp. locally analytic distributions) supported at a point, we introduce the hyperalgebra  $\text{hy}(G)$  of

<sup>3</sup>Here  $V \in \text{Rep}_K^{\infty}(P)$  is *strongly admissible* if  $V$  embeds as a subrepresentation into  $C^\infty(P_0, K)^{\oplus n}$ , for some compact open subgroup  $P_0 \subset P$  and some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , when viewed as a representation of  $P_0$ , see [STP01, Sect. 2], [AS22, Sect. 4.1.2].

<sup>4</sup>For example, in general a compact non-archimedean Lie group  $G$  over a local field of positive characteristic is not topologically finitely generated and thus in particular does not admit an open uniform pro- $p$  subgroup. Hence a direct transfer of the reasoning in [ST03] for  $D(G)$  to be a Fréchet–Stein algebra fails.

a locally  $L$ -analytic Lie group  $G$  as a subalgebra of  $D(G)$  (Definition 4.10). We also consider the locally analytic adjoint representation of  $G$  on  $\mathrm{hy}(G)$  (Proposition 4.12). Furthermore, we show that if  $G$  arises as the group of  $L$ -valued points of a linear algebraic group  $\mathbf{G}$ , then  $\mathrm{hy}(\mathbf{G}(L))$  coincides with the (algebraic) distribution algebra of  $\mathbf{G}$  as treated for example in [Jan03, Ch. I.7] (Corollary 4.16).

In Section 5 we first study the subalgebra  $D(\mathfrak{g}, P) \subset D(G)$  and separately continuous modules over it. In particular, we show that a separately continuous  $D(\mathfrak{g}, P)$ -module structure is equivalent to separately continuous  $\mathrm{hy}(G)$ - and  $D(P)$ -module structures which satisfy compatibility conditions involving the adjoint representation of  $P$  on  $\mathrm{hy}(G)$  (Proposition 5.9). Then we define the functors  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P^G$  and prove the assertions of Theorem A.

Finally, in Section 6 we first recall the definition of the category  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{alg}}^p$  in the  $p$ -adic setting and show how  $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{alg}}^p$  naturally becomes a separately continuous  $D(\mathfrak{g}, P)$ -module (Proposition 6.3). We then recapitulate the definition of the Orlik–Strauch functors  $\mathcal{F}_P^G$  and prove the comparison result of Theorem B.

**1.2. Acknowledgements.** This work originates from my doctoral thesis. I want to thank my advisor Sascha Orlik for his valuable advise and strong support. Moreover, I want to thank Matthias Strauch and Yingying Wang for helpful comments and discussions.

This work was done while the author was a member of the Research Training Group *Algebra-Geometric Methods in Algebra, Arithmetic and Topology* and subsequently of the Research Training Group *Symmetries and Classifying Spaces – Analytic, Arithmetic and Derived* both funded by the DFG (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft).

**1.3. Notation and Conventions.** Let  $L$  be a non-archimedean local field and  $K$  an extension field of  $L$  which we assume to be spherically complete. From Section 5 on we demand the extension  $K/L$  to be finite.

We let  $\mathrm{LCS}_K$  denote the category of locally convex  $K$ -vector spaces; its morphisms are continuous homomorphisms of  $K$ -vector spaces. We refer to [Sch02] for an introduction to the theory of such locally convex vector spaces. The category  $\mathrm{LCS}_K$  is quasi-abelian in the sense of [Sch99], see [Pro00, Prop. 2.1.11]. The strict morphisms are those  $f: V \rightarrow W$  for which the induced  $V/\mathrm{Ker}(f) \rightarrow \mathrm{Im}(f)$  is a topological isomorphism.

For  $V, W \in \mathrm{LCS}_K$  we let  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  denote the  $K$ -vector space of continuous homomorphisms from  $V$  to  $W$ . We write  $\mathcal{L}_b(V, W)$  and  $\mathcal{L}_s(V, W)$  for this space endowed with the strong respectively weak topology. Moreover, we denote the dual space of a  $K$ -vector space  $V$  by  $V^*$ . If  $V$  is locally convex, we write  $V' \subset V^*$  for the subspace of continuous linear forms, as well as  $V'_b$  and  $V'_s$  for the strong and weak dual spaces accordingly. However, if  $V$  is a  $K$ -Banach space, we sometimes simplify the notation by writing  $V'$  instead of  $V'_b$ .

By a locally  $L$ -analytic manifold we mean an analytic manifold in the sense of [Bou07, §5] which in addition is Hausdorff, second-countable and finite-dimensional. Since  $L$  is locally compact, it follows that such a locally  $L$ -analytic manifold is strictly paracompact, i.e. any open covering of it can be refined into a disjoint open covering [Sch11, Prop. 8.7].

We fix the convention that all modules are assumed to be left modules and all algebras are assumed to be associative.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Preliminaries from Functional Analysis.** Recall that a locally convex  $K$ -vector space  $V$  is *pseudo-metrizable* (or a *pseudo-metrizable space* here for short) if its topology can be defined by a pseudo-metric. Analogously to [Sch02, Prop. 8.1] one shows that  $V$  is pseudo-metrizable if and only if its topology can be defined by a countable family of seminorms. One readily deduces the following properties.

**Lemma 2.1.** (i) *Subspaces, quotients, countable products and the projective tensor product of pseudo-metrizable spaces are pseudo-metrizable.*

- (ii) A homomorphism from a pseudo-metrizable space to a locally convex  $K$ -vector space is continuous if and only if it is sequentially continuous. A pseudo-metrizable space is complete if and only if it is sequentially complete.
- (iii) A pseudo-metrizable space is metrizable if and only if it is Hausdorff.
- (iv) The Hausdorff completion of a pseudo-metrizable space is a  $K$ -Fréchet space.

On the tensor product of  $V, W \in \text{LCS}_K$ , we denote the projective (resp. inductive) tensor product topology by  $V \otimes_{K,\pi} W$  (resp.  $V \otimes_{K,\iota} W$ ). We write  $V \widehat{\otimes}_{K,\pi} W$  and  $V \widehat{\otimes}_{K,\iota} W$  for the respective Hausdorff completions. When the projective and inductive tensor product topology coincide, we will unambiguously write  $V \otimes_K W$  and  $V \widehat{\otimes}_K W$ . For instance, this is the case if both  $V$  and  $W$  are semi-complete LB-spaces (e.g. spaces of compact type) [Eme17, Prop. 1.1.31] or under the following conditions.

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $V, W \in \text{LCS}_K$  are pseudo-metrizable and  $V$  is barrelled, then*

$$V \otimes_{K,\iota} W = V \otimes_{K,\pi} W.$$

*Proof.* We slightly generalize the proof of [Sch02, Prop. 17.6] where this statement is shown when  $V$  and  $W$  are  $K$ -Fréchet spaces. As remarked in *loc. cit.*, it suffices to show that any separately continuous bilinear map  $\beta: V \times W \rightarrow U$  into a locally convex  $K$ -vector space  $U$  is continuous already. But  $V \times W$  is pseudo-metrizable and therefore  $\beta$  is continuous if and only if it is sequentially continuous. Moreover, the assumption that  $V$  is barrelled ensures that the Banach–Steinhaus theorem [Sch02, Prop. 6.15] is applicable to  $\mathcal{L}_s(V, U)$ . Now the claim follows in the same way as in the proof of [Sch02, Prop. 17.6].  $\square$

We will also need the following technical lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $f: V \rightarrow W$  be a continuous homomorphism between locally convex  $K$ -vector spaces, and assume that  $V$  is Hausdorff. Then in  $V'$  we have the equality*

$$\overline{\text{Im}(f^t)^s} = \text{Ker}(f)^\perp := \{\ell \in V' \mid \forall v \in \text{Ker}(f) : \ell(v) = 0\}$$

where  $\overline{\text{Im}(f^t)^s}$  denotes the closure of the image of the transpose  $f^t: W' \rightarrow V'$  in  $V'_s$ . Moreover, if in addition  $V$  is semi-reflexive, then  $\text{Ker}(f)^\perp \subset \overline{\text{Im}(f^t)}$  in  $V'_b$ .

*Proof.* Taking the transpose twice,  $f$  still defines a continuous homomorphism when  $V$  and  $W$  carry the respective weak topologies  $V_s := (V'_s)'_s$  and  $W_s := (W'_s)'_s$ , see [PS10, Thm. 7.3.3]. Then the statement  $\text{Ker}(f)^\perp = \overline{\text{Im}(f^t)^s}$  is part of [Bou87, p.II.47 Cor. 2].

It is a consequence of the Hahn–Banach theorem that the closed subspace  $\overline{\text{Im}(f^t)} \subset V'_b$  is closed for the weak topology on  $V'_b$  as well [PS10, Thm. 5.2.1]. If we assume that  $V$  is semi-reflexive, then this weak topology on the strong dual of  $V$  coincides with the topology of the weak dual:  $(V'_b)_s = V'_s$  [PS10, Thm. 7.4.9]. Since  $\overline{\text{Im}(f^t)}$  now is a closed subset of  $V'_s$  which contains  $\text{Im}(f^t)$ , it follows that  $\overline{\text{Im}(f^t)^s} \subset \overline{\text{Im}(f^t)}$ .  $\square$

**2.2. Locally Convex Algebras.** We now recall and define some notions concerning topological  $K$ -algebras.

**Definition 2.4** (cf. [Eme17, Def. 1.2.1]). (i) By a *separately continuous locally convex  $K$ -algebra* we mean a locally convex  $K$ -vector space  $A$  which carries the structure of a  $K$ -algebra such that the multiplication map  $A \times A \rightarrow A$  is separately continuous. If the multiplication map even is jointly continuous, we call  $A$  a *jointly continuous locally convex  $K$ -algebra*.

(ii) Let  $A$  be a separately continuous  $K$ -algebra. We define a *separately continuous locally convex  $A$ -module* to be a locally convex  $K$ -vector space  $M$  together with a compatible left  $A$ -module structure such that the scalar multiplication map  $A \times M \rightarrow M$  is separately continuous. If  $A$  is a jointly continuous locally convex  $K$ -algebra and the scalar multiplication map is jointly continuous, we call such  $M$  a *jointly continuous locally convex  $A$ -module*.

In these contexts, we usually omit the adjective “locally convex” in the following.

For a separately continuous  $K$ -algebra  $A$ , we let  $\mathcal{M}_A$  denote the category of separately continuous  $A$ -modules with morphisms given by continuous  $A$ -linear maps. Moreover, we let  $\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{nF}}$  denote the full additive subcategory of  $\mathcal{M}_A$  consisting of separately continuous  $A$ -modules whose underlying locally convex  $K$ -vector space is a nuclear  $K$ -Fréchet space.

**Proposition 2.5.** *The category  $\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{nF}}$  is quasi-abelian. More precisely, for a morphism  $f: M \rightarrow N$  in  $\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{nF}}$ , its kernel (resp. cokernel) is given by  $\text{Ker}(f)$  (resp.  $N/\overline{\text{Im}(f)}$ ) with the induced subspace topology (resp. quotient topology). Moreover, the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $f$  is strict in  $\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{nF}}$ ,
- (2)  $f$  considered as a morphism in  $\text{LCS}_K$  is strict,
- (3)  $\text{Im}(f) \subset N$  is a closed subspace.

*Proof.* First note that, for  $N \in \mathcal{M}_A$  and an  $A$ -submodule  $N' \subset N$ , continuity implies that  $\overline{N'} \subset N$  is an  $A$ -submodule as well. Furthermore, restricting the separately continuous scalar multiplication on  $N$  yields  $N', N/N' \in \mathcal{M}_A$ .

For a morphism  $f: M \rightarrow N$  in  $\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{nF}}$ , it follows from [Sch02, Prop. 8.3] and [Sch02, Prop. 19.4] that  $\text{Ker}(f)$  and  $N/\overline{\text{Im}(f)}$  are nuclear  $K$ -Fréchet spaces. Analogously to [Pro00, Prop. 4.4.2] for the category of  $K$ -Fréchet spaces one verifies that  $\text{Ker}(f)$  and  $N/\overline{\text{Im}(f)}$  constitute the kernel and cokernel of  $f$  in  $\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{nF}}$  respectively. Furthermore, the forgetful functor from  $\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{nF}}$  to the category of  $K$ -Fréchet spaces is continuous and cocontinuous.

The equivalence of the characterizations of strictness of  $f$  follows from the closed graph theorem [Sch02, Cor. 8.7]. Since the category of  $K$ -Fréchet spaces is quasi-abelian [Pro00, Prop. 3.4.5], we conclude that  $\mathcal{M}_A^{\text{nF}}$  is quasi-abelian.  $\square$

Let  $A$  be a separately continuous  $K$ -algebra. We can endow any finitely generated (abstract)  $A$ -module  $M$  with the *quotient topology* induced by some epimorphism  $A^{\oplus n} \twoheadrightarrow M$  of  $A$ -modules. This topology is locally convex. It is independent of the choice of the  $A$ -module epimorphism as the following proposition shows when applied to  $\text{id}_M: M \rightarrow M$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** *Endowed with the quotient topology,  $M$  becomes a separately continuous  $A$ -module. Furthermore, any homomorphism  $f: M \rightarrow M'$  of  $A$ -modules from a finitely generated  $A$ -module  $M$  to  $M' \in \mathcal{M}_A$  is continuous when  $M$  carries the quotient topology.*

*Proof.* For the first assertion it suffices to show that the multiplication map  $A \times M \rightarrow M$  is separately continuous. To this end, we consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times A^{\oplus n} & \longrightarrow & A^{\oplus n} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A \times M & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are open by our choice of topology on  $M$ . But the multiplication map of the finite free  $A$ -module  $A^{\oplus n}$  is separately continuous, which implies the claim for the multiplication map of  $M$ .

Concerning a homomorphism  $f$  as in the second assertion, we argue similarly to [BGR84, 3.7.3 Prop. 2]. Consider an epimorphism  $\varphi: A^{\oplus n} \twoheadrightarrow M$  of  $A$ -modules which endows  $M$  with its topology. Then the homomorphism  $\varphi' := f \circ \varphi$  is continuous because the addition and multiplication maps of  $M' \in \mathcal{M}_A$  are continuous and separately continuous respectively. As  $\varphi$  is open by definition, it follows that  $f$  is continuous.  $\square$

**Remark 2.7.** When  $A$  is a jointly continuous  $K$ -algebra, one analogously shows that every finitely generate  $A$ -module becomes a jointly continuous  $A$ -module with its quotient topology.

It is natural to ask if a separately or jointly continuous  $A$ -module structure on  $M$  extends to the Hausdorff completion  $\widehat{M}$ . For jointly continuous  $A$ -modules this is true without further conditions [Eme17, Lemma 1.2.2]. Concerning separately continuous algebras and modules, we will need the following result.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $A$  be a separately continuous  $K$ -algebra and  $M \in \mathcal{M}_A$ . If  $A$  is barrelled and  $M$  is pseudo-metrizable, then the  $A$ -module structure on  $M$  extends uniquely to a separately continuous  $A$ -module structure on  $\widehat{M}$ .*

*Proof.* By replacing  $M$  with  $M/\overline{\{0\}}$  we may assume that  $M$  is Hausdorff and hence metrizable. Since  $A$  is barrelled, it follows from [Bou87, p.III.31 Prop. 6] that the multiplication map  $A \times M \rightarrow M$  is  $\mathfrak{S}$ -hypocontinuous with respect to the set  $\mathfrak{S}$  of all bounded subsets of  $M$ . Moreover, the assumption that  $M$  is metrizable implies that every element of  $\widehat{M}$  is the limit of a converging sequence in  $M$ . Such a sequence is bounded. Arguing like in the proof of [Bou87, p.III.32 Prop. 8] shows that the above map extends uniquely to the separately continuous multiplication map  $A \times \widehat{M} \rightarrow \widehat{M}$ . It follows from density of  $M$  in  $\widehat{M}$  that  $\widehat{M}$  is an  $A$ -module.  $\square$

Now consider a continuous homomorphism  $A \rightarrow B$  of separately continuous  $K$ -algebras and  $M \in \mathcal{M}_A$ . There is an isomorphism of (abstract)  $B$ -modules

$$B \otimes_A M \cong (B \otimes_K M) / M', \quad (2.1)$$

where  $M'$  is the  $B$ -submodule generated by  $ba \otimes m - b \otimes am$ , for  $b \in B$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $m \in M$ .

**Lemma 2.9.** (i) *The  $B$ -module  $B \otimes_A M$  becomes a separately continuous  $B$ -module when endowed with the quotient topology induced from  $B \otimes_{K,\iota} M$  via (2.1).*

(ii) ([Eme17, Lemma 1.2.3]) *The analogous assertion holds in the setting with “separately continuous” replaced by “jointly continuous” and  $B \otimes_{K,\iota} M$  by  $B \otimes_{K,\pi} M$ .*

*Proof.* For (i), it suffices to show that the multiplication map of  $B \otimes_A M$  is separately continuous. Continuity in  $B$  is immediate. Since the quotient map  $B \otimes_{K,\iota} M \rightarrow B \otimes_A M$  is open, continuity in  $B \otimes_A M$ , for fixed  $b' \in B$ , follows once we show that  $B \otimes_{K,\iota} M \rightarrow B \otimes_{K,\iota} M$ ,  $b \otimes m \mapsto b'b \otimes m$ , is continuous. But this is the composite

$$\begin{aligned} B \otimes_{K,\iota} M &\xrightarrow{b' \otimes -} B \otimes_{K,\iota} (B \otimes_{K,\iota} M) \cong (B \otimes_{K,\iota} B) \otimes_{K,\iota} M \xrightarrow{m_B \otimes \text{id}_M} B \otimes_{K,\iota} M, \\ b \otimes m &\longmapsto b' \otimes b \otimes m, \end{aligned}$$

where  $m_B$  denotes the separately continuous homomorphism induced by multiplication in  $B$ . Moreover, it follows from the definition of the inductive tensor product topology [Sch02, §17.A] that the canonical isomorphism in the middle of the above composite is a topological isomorphism.  $\square$

**Definition 2.10.** In the above situation, we let  $B \otimes_{A,\iota} M$  (resp.  $B \otimes_{A,\pi} M$ ) denote the separately continuous (resp. jointly continuous)  $B$ -module  $B \otimes_A M$  endowed with the respective quotient topology. Moreover, we write  $B \widehat{\otimes}_{A,\iota} M$  (resp.  $B \widehat{\otimes}_{A,\pi} M$ ) for its Hausdorff completion.

If  $B$  and  $M$  are pseudo-metrizable and at least one of them is barrelled, Lemma 2.2 implies that the projective and inductive tensor product topologies on  $B \otimes_K M$  coincide. Consequently we simply write  $B \otimes_A M$  and  $B \widehat{\otimes}_A M$  in such a case.

Recall that a locally convex  $K$ -vector space is *hereditarily complete* if all its Hausdorff quotients are complete [Eme17, Def. 1.1.39].

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a continuous homomorphisms of jointly continuous  $K$ -algebras and  $M$  a jointly continuous  $A$ -module. If  $B \widehat{\otimes}_{K,\pi} M$  is hereditarily complete, then*

$$B \widehat{\otimes}_{A,\pi} M \cong (B \widehat{\otimes}_{K,\pi} M) / \overline{M'}$$

where  $\overline{M'}$  denotes the closure in  $B \widehat{\otimes}_{K,\pi} M$  of the  $B$ -submodule  $M'$  generated by  $ba \otimes m - b \otimes am$ , for  $b \in B$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $m \in M$ .

*Proof.* By [BH20, Cor. 2.2] completing preserves the strict exactness of

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow B \otimes_{K,\pi} M \rightarrow B \otimes_{A,\pi} M \rightarrow 0.$$

$\square$

The above condition on  $B \widehat{\otimes}_{K,\pi} M$  is fulfilled for example when  $B$  and  $M$  both are  $K$ -Fréchet spaces (see discussion after [Sch02, Prop. 17.6]) or both are of compact type (see [Eme17, Prop. 1.1.32 (i)]) by the comment after [Eme17, Def. 1.1.39].

**Lemma 2.12.** (i) *For a separately continuous, unital  $K$ -algebra  $A$  and  $M \in \mathcal{M}_A$ , there is a topological isomorphism of separately continuous  $A$ -modules*

$$A \otimes_{A,\iota} M \xrightarrow{\cong} M, \quad a \otimes m \mapsto am,$$

(ii) *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be separately continuous  $K$ -algebras, and let  $L$ ,  $M$  and  $N$  be a separately continuous right  $A$ -module,  $A$ - $B$ -bi-module and left  $B$ -module respectively. Then there is a canonical topological isomorphism*

$$(L \otimes_{A,\iota} M) \otimes_{B,\iota} N \cong L \otimes_{A,\iota} (M \otimes_{B,\iota} N).$$

*Proof.* In (i), it follows from the definition of the inductive tensor product topology that the homomorphism

$$M \longrightarrow A \otimes_{K,\iota} M \twoheadrightarrow A \otimes_{A,\iota} M, \quad m \mapsto 1 \otimes m,$$

is continuous. Thus it constitutes an inverse to the claimed topological isomorphism.

For (ii), we have a topological isomorphism of locally convex  $K$ -vector spaces

$$\varphi: (L \otimes_{K,\iota} M) \otimes_{K,\iota} N \xrightarrow{\cong} L \otimes_{K,\iota} (M \otimes_{K,\iota} N)$$

like in the proof of Lemma 2.9 (i). We pass to the quotients

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_l: (L \otimes_{K,\iota} M) \otimes_{K,\iota} N &\longrightarrow (L \otimes_{A,\iota} M) \otimes_{K,\iota} N \longrightarrow (L \otimes_{A,\iota} M) \otimes_{B,\iota} N, \\ \pi_r: L \otimes_{K,\iota} (M \otimes_{K,\iota} N) &\longrightarrow L \otimes_{K,\iota} (M \otimes_{B,\iota} N) \longrightarrow L \otimes_{A,\iota} (M \otimes_{B,\iota} N). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\pi_r \circ \varphi$  factors over  $\pi_l$ , and  $\pi_l \circ \varphi^{-1}$  factors over  $\pi_r$  yielding the sought topological isomorphism.  $\square$

### 3. LOCALLY ANALYTIC REPRESENTATION THEORY

In this section we recapitulate some aspects of the theory of locally analytic representations. Such representations were systematically introduced and studied by Féaux de Lacroix [Féa99], and Schneider and Teitelbaum [STP01; ST02b; ST03; ST05] originally over  $p$ -adic fields. However, many foundational definitions and results readily generalize to non-archimedean local fields of arbitrary characteristic, which was already remarked and employed by Gräf [Grä20, App. A]. For propositions first stated in the  $p$ -adic setting, we will not include proofs if their original proofs remain valid in positive characteristic.

**3.1. Locally Analytic Functions.** Let  $X$  be a locally  $L$ -analytic manifold (see the convention in Section 1.3) and let  $V$  be a Hausdorff locally convex  $K$ -vector space.

Recall that a *BH-subspace* of  $V$  is an algebraic subspace  $E \subset V$  which admits a compatible structure of a  $K$ -Banach space such that the associated topology is finer than its subspace topology [Féa99, Def. 1.2.1]. We let  $\overline{E}$  denote  $E$  carrying such a Banach topology, which is necessarily unique.

**Definition 3.1** (cf. [ST02b, §2]). (i) A  $V$ -*index*  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $X$  is a family of triples  $(U_i, \varphi_i, E_i)_{i \in I}$  where

- (1)  $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$  is a disjoint open covering,
- (2)  $\varphi_i: U_i \rightarrow L^{d_i}$  is a chart of  $X$  whose image is an affinoid polydisc,
- (3) and  $E_i \subset V$  is a BH-subspace.

The  $V$ -indices on  $X$  form a directed set [Féa99, Bem. 2.1.9]. Moreover,  $C^{\text{an}}(U_i, E_i)$  is defined to be the space of functions  $f: U_i \rightarrow E_i$  such that  $f \circ \varphi_i^{-1}$  is given by an  $\overline{E_i}$ -valued power series strictly convergent on  $\varphi_i(U_i)$ , and topologized via

$$C^{\text{an}}(U_i, E_i) \cong \mathcal{O}(\varphi_i(U_i)) \widehat{\otimes}_{K,\pi} \overline{E_i}.$$

Here  $\mathcal{O}(\varphi_i(U_i))$  denotes the  $K$ -Banach algebra of power series with coefficients in  $K$  which converge on all points of  $\varphi_i(U_i)$  defined over an algebraic closure of  $K$ .



(ii) The locally convex  $K$ -vector space of *locally analytic functions on  $X$  with values in  $V$*  is defined to be the locally convex inductive limit

$$C^{\text{la}}(X, V) := \varinjlim_{\mathcal{I}} C_{\mathcal{I}}^{\text{la}}(X, V)$$

over all  $V$ -indices on  $X$  where  $C_{\mathcal{I}}^{\text{la}}(X, V) := \prod_{i \in I} C^{\text{an}}(U_i, E_i)$ .

An element  $f \in C^{\text{la}}(X, V)$  defines a function from  $X$  to  $V$ , which by the identity theorem for power series determines  $f$  uniquely. Thus we may identify  $f$  with its induced function  $f: X \rightarrow V$ . We call functions arising this way *locally analytic*. They are continuous in particular.

**Proposition 3.2.** (i) *The formation of  $C^{\text{la}}(X, V)$  is contravariantly functorial in  $X$  and covariantly functorial in  $V$  [Féa99, Bem. 2.1.11], [Eme17, Prop. 1.1.7].*

(ii) *The evaluation homomorphisms  $\text{ev}_x: C^{\text{la}}(X, V) \rightarrow V$ ,  $f \mapsto f(x)$ , for  $x \in X$ , are continuous, and  $C^{\text{la}}(X, V)$  is Hausdorff and barrelled [Féa99, Satz 2.1.10].*

(iii) *Any disjoint covering  $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} X_i$  by open subsets yields a topological isomorphism [Féa99, Kor. 2.2.4]*

$$C^{\text{la}}(X, V) \xrightarrow{\cong} \prod_{i \in I} C^{\text{la}}(X_i, V), \quad f \mapsto (f|_{X_i})_{i \in I}.$$

(iv) *If  $V$  is of compact type,  $C^{\text{la}}(X, V)$  is reflexive and complete, cf. [Eme17, p. 40]. If in addition  $X$  is compact, then  $C^{\text{la}}(X, V)$  is of compact type with  $C^{\text{la}}(X, V) \cong C^{\text{la}}(X, K) \widehat{\otimes}_K V$  [Féa99, Satz 2.3.2].*

(v) *For compact locally  $L$ -analytic manifolds  $X$  and  $Y$ , there are topological isomorphisms [ST05, Lemma A.1]*

$$\begin{aligned} C^{\text{la}}(X, K) \widehat{\otimes}_K C^{\text{la}}(Y, K) &\longrightarrow C^{\text{la}}(X, C^{\text{la}}(Y, K)) \longrightarrow C^{\text{la}}(X \times Y, K), \\ f \otimes g &\longmapsto f(-)g, \quad F \longmapsto [(x, y) \mapsto F(x)(y)]. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 3.3.** The space of *locally analytic distributions on  $X$*  is defined as the strong dual space

$$D(X, K) := C^{\text{la}}(X, K)'_b.$$

When the coefficient field  $K$  is apparent from the context, we simply write  $D(X)$ . The element  $\delta_x := \text{ev}_x \in D(X)$ , for  $x \in X$ , is called *Dirac distribution supported in  $x$* .

**Proposition 3.4.** (i) *The locally convex  $K$ -vector space  $D(X)$  is reflexive and barrelled. If  $X$  is compact,  $D(X)$  is a nuclear  $K$ -Fréchet space [ST02b, Thm. 1.1, Thm. 1.3].*

(ii) *Any disjoint covering  $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} X_i$  by open subsets yields a topological isomorphism [ST02b, p. 447]*

$$D(X) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} D(X_i).$$

(iii) *For locally  $L$ -analytic manifolds  $X$  and  $Y$ , there is a canonical topological isomorphism [ST05, Prop. A.3]*

$$D(X \times Y) \cong D(X) \widehat{\otimes}_{K, \iota} D(Y).$$

(iv) *The subspace of  $D(X)$  generated by all Dirac distributions  $\delta_x$ , for  $x \in X$ , is dense [Koh05, Lemma 1.1.1].*

In particular, every  $\delta \in D(X)$  is supported in some compact open subset  $C$  of  $X$ , i.e. contained in  $D(C) \hookrightarrow D(X)$ . Indeed, there exists a disjoint covering of  $X$  by compact open subsets, to which we may apply (ii).

**Lemma 3.5.** *If  $V$  is of compact type, there is a topological isomorphism*

$$D(X) \widehat{\otimes}_{K, \iota} V'_b \xrightarrow{\cong} (C^{\text{la}}(X, K) \widehat{\otimes}_{K, \pi} V)'_b, \quad \delta \otimes \ell \mapsto [f \otimes v \mapsto \delta(f)\ell(v)].$$

*Proof.* When  $X$  is compact, the statement follows from [Eme17, Prop. 1.1.32 (ii)]. In the general case one considers a disjoint covering by compact open subsets. The claim then follows from the compact case by distributivity of  $\widehat{\otimes}_{K,\iota}$  over direct sums [Koh05, Cor. 1.2.14], resp. of  $\widehat{\otimes}_{K,\pi}$  over direct products [BH20, Lemma 2.1 (iii)], and the duality between direct sums and products [Sch02, Prop. 9.11].  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6** ([ST02b, Thm. 2.2]). *There exists a continuous  $K$ -linear integration map*

$$I: C^{\text{la}}(X, V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_b(D(X), V)$$

*uniquely defined by  $I(f)(\delta_x) = f(x)$ , for all  $f \in C^{\text{la}}(X, V)$ ,  $x \in X$ . Moreover, this map is natural in  $X$  and  $V$ , and injective. If  $V$  is of LB-type<sup>5</sup>, then  $I$  is an isomorphism of (abstract)  $K$ -vector spaces with inverse*

$$I^{-1}: \mathcal{L}(D(X), V) \longrightarrow C^{\text{la}}(X, V), \quad T \longmapsto [x \mapsto T(\delta_x)].$$

**Corollary 3.7.** *There is a natural, separately continuous, non-degenerate  $K$ -bilinear pairing*

$$D(X) \times C^{\text{la}}(X, V) \longrightarrow V, \quad (\delta, f) \longmapsto \delta(f) := I(f)(\delta).$$

*This pairing is compatible with the duality between  $D(X)$  and  $C^{\text{la}}(X, K)$  in the sense that, for compact open  $U \subset X$  and a BH-subspace  $E \subset V$ , its restriction to  $D(U) \times C^{\text{la}}(U, E)$  is given by tensoring the duality pairing  $D(U) \times C^{\text{la}}(U, K) \rightarrow K$  with  $E$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that the above pairing defined by  $I$  is natural,  $K$ -bilinear, and separately continuous. On a compact subset  $U \subset X$  and for a  $K$ -Banach space  $E$ , the map  $I$  is defined as the continuous linear bijection

$$\begin{aligned} I: C^{\text{la}}(X, E) &\longrightarrow C^{\text{la}}(X, K) \widehat{\otimes}_K E \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{L}_b(D(X), E), \\ f(\cdot)v &\longleftarrow f \otimes v \longmapsto [\delta \mapsto \delta(f)v], \end{aligned}$$

see the proof of [ST02b, Thm. 2.2]. This shows the last statement.

To show the non-degeneracy of the pairing, we first fix a distribution  $\delta \in D(X)$  and assume that  $\delta(f) = 0$ , for all  $f \in C^{\text{la}}(X, V)$ . Let  $\delta$  be supported on a compact open subset  $U \subset X$ . If  $V \neq \{0\}$ , then  $\delta = 0$  follows from the non-degeneracy of the duality pairing  $D(U) \times C^{\text{la}}(U, K) \rightarrow K$  and the compatibility already shown. On the other hand, consider  $f \in C^{\text{la}}(X, V)$  such that  $\delta(f) = 0$ , for all  $\delta \in D(X)$ . Then we have  $f(x) = I(f)(\delta_x) = 0$ , for all Dirac distributions  $\delta_x$ , and hence  $f = 0$ .  $\square$

**3.2. Locally Analytic Representations.** Let  $G$  be a *locally  $L$ -analytic Lie group*, i.e. locally  $L$ -analytic manifold which carries the structure of a group such that the multiplication and inversion maps

$$m: G \times G \longrightarrow G, \quad \text{inv}: G \longrightarrow G$$

are locally  $L$ -analytic. As a topological group  $G$  is Hausdorff, totally disconnected and locally compact. This implies that each neighbourhood of the identity element 1 in  $G$  contains a compact open subgroup.

**Definition 3.8** ([ST02b, Def. p.451]). A *(left) locally analytic  $G$ -representation* is a barrelled, Hausdorff locally convex  $K$ -vector space  $V$  with a  $G$ -action by continuous endomorphisms such that the orbit maps  $G \rightarrow V$ ,  $g \mapsto g.v$ , are locally analytic in the sense of Definition 3.1, for all  $v \in V$ .

We let  $\text{Rep}_K^{\text{la}}(G)$  denote the category of locally analytic  $G$ -representations with morphism given by  $G$ -equivariant continuous homomorphism of  $K$ -vector spaces. We write  $\text{Rep}_K^{\text{la,ct}}(G)$  for the full subcategory of locally analytic  $G$ -representations whose underlying locally convex  $K$ -vector space is of compact type.

<sup>5</sup>Recall that  $V$  is of LB-type if it can be written as the increasing union of countably many BH-subspaces [Eme17, Def. 1.1.9].

**Example 3.9** (cf. [Féa99, Bsp. 3.1.6]). Let  $G$  be compact and let  $V \in \text{LCS}_K$  be Hausdorff. Then

$$G \times C^{\text{la}}(G, V) \longrightarrow C^{\text{la}}(G, V), \quad (g, f) \longmapsto f(g^{-1} \cdot) := [h \mapsto f(g^{-1}h)],$$

defines the *left regular  $G$ -representation*, which is locally analytic. Similarly, the *right regular  $G$ -representation* on  $C^{\text{la}}(G, V)$  and the  *$G$ -representation by conjugation*

$$G \times C^{\text{la}}(G, V) \longrightarrow C^{\text{la}}(G, V), \quad (g, f) \longmapsto f(g^{-1} \cdot g),$$

are locally analytic  $G$ -representations.

**Example 3.10** (cf. [STP01, Sect. 2]). Let  $V$  be an admissible smooth  $G$ -representation on a  $K$ -vector space. The unit element of  $G$  has a countable neighbourhood basis consisting of compact open subgroups  $(H_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Since  $V = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V^{H_n}$  and the  $V^{H_n}$  are finite-dimensional by assumption, it follows that  $V$  endowed with the finest locally convex topology is of compact type. We obtain  $V \in \text{Rep}_K^{\text{la,ct}}(G)$  with this topology because the orbit maps  $g \mapsto g.v$ , for  $v \in V$ , are locally constant.

**Proposition 3.11** ([Eme17, Prop. 3.6.14]). *Let  $V$  be a locally analytic  $G$ -representation and  $W \subset V$  a  $G$ -invariant closed subspace.*

- (i) *Then  $V/W$  is a locally analytic  $G$ -representation with respect to the induced  $G$ -action.*
- (ii) *If  $W$  is barrelled, then  $W$  is a locally analytic  $G$ -representation with respect to the induced  $G$ -action.*

**Lemma 3.12** (cf. discussion after [Eme17, Thm. 3.6.12]). *Let  $V \in \text{Rep}_K^{\text{la}}(G)$  with orbit maps  $\rho_v$ ,  $v \in V$ . If  $V$  is an LB-space<sup>6</sup> then the orbit homomorphism*

$$o_V: V \longrightarrow C^{\text{la}}(G, V), \quad v \longmapsto \rho_v,$$

*is continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $H \subset G$  be a compact open subgroup and  $g_i \in G$  such that  $G = \bigcup_{i \in I} Hg_i$  is a disjoint covering. Under the topological isomorphism from Proposition 3.2 (iii), the homomorphism  $o_V$  coincides with the product of the maps  $o_i: V \rightarrow C^{\text{la}}(Hg_i, V)$ ,  $v \mapsto \rho_v|_{Hg_i}$ . Hence it suffices to show that all  $o_i$  are continuous.

We fix  $i \in I$  and consider the graph  $\Gamma_{o'} \subset V \times C^{\text{la}}(H', V)$  of  $o' := o_i$ , for  $H' := Hg_i$ . But  $\Gamma_{o'}$  is precisely the kernel of the continuous homomorphism

$$V \times C^{\text{la}}(H', V) \longrightarrow \prod_{h \in H} V, \quad (v, f) \longmapsto (\rho_v(hg_i) - f(hg_i))_{h \in H}.$$

Therefore  $\Gamma_{o'} \subset V \times C^{\text{la}}(H', V)$  is closed, and we conclude by a version of the closed graph theorem [Bou87, p.II.34 Prop. 10] that  $o'$  is continuous. Concerning this last step we remark that in particular  $V$  is of LB-type so that  $C^{\text{la}}(H', V)$  is an LB-space by [Eme17, Prop. 2.1.30].  $\square$

**Proposition 3.13.** *Let  $V$  be a locally analytic  $G$ -representation on a  $K$ -Banach space and let  $W$  be a  $K$ -Banach space. Then the  $G$ -representation*

$$\eta: G \times \mathcal{L}_b(V, W) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_b(V, W), \quad (g, \varphi) \longmapsto [v \mapsto \varphi(g^{-1}.v)],$$

*is locally analytic.*

*Proof.* Each fixed  $g \in G$  acts on  $\mathcal{L}_b(V, W)$  by composition with a continuous automorphism of  $V$ . Hence this is an action via continuous automorphisms [Sch02, p.113]. It remains to show that the orbit maps of  $\eta$  are locally analytic. Consider

$$\mathcal{L}(V, W) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(C^{\text{la}}(G, V), C^{\text{la}}(G, W)) \xrightarrow{\circ_V^*} \mathcal{L}(V, C^{\text{la}}(G, W)) \xrightarrow{(I_W)_*} \mathcal{L}(V, \mathcal{L}_b(D(G), W))$$

<sup>6</sup>Recall that  $V$  is an LB-space if it is topologically isomorphic to the locally convex inductive limit of a sequence of  $K$ -Banach spaces [Eme17, Def. 1.1.16 (i)].

where the first map is induced by functoriality from Proposition 3.2 (i), the second by the orbit homomorphism  $o_V: V \rightarrow C^{\text{la}}(G, V)$  from Lemma 3.12, and the third by the integration homomorphism  $I_W: C^{\text{la}}(G, W) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_b(D(G), W)$  from Theorem 3.6. Moreover, we have

$$\mathcal{L}(V, \mathcal{L}_b(D(G), W)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(D(G), \mathcal{L}_b(V, W)) \xrightarrow{I_{\mathcal{L}_b(V, W)}^{-1}} C^{\text{la}}(G, \mathcal{L}_b(V, W))$$

where the first map is via [Eme17, Prop. 1.1.35]. One verifies that the composition of all of these maps sends  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  to  $\eta_\varphi \circ \text{inv}$ . Therefore the orbit map  $\eta_\varphi$  is locally analytic.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.14** ([Eme17, Prop. 5.1.11]). *Let  $V$  be a locally analytic  $G$ -representation on a  $K$ -Banach space. Then the contragredient  $G$ -representation on  $V'_b$  is locally analytic.*

**Corollary 3.15** (For  $\text{char}(L) = 0$ , cf. [Féa99, Kor. 3.1.9]). *A  $G$ -representation on a  $K$ -Banach space  $V$  is locally analytic if and only if it is given by a locally  $L$ -analytic homomorphism  $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  of Lie groups<sup>7</sup> where we consider  $\text{GL}(V) \subset \mathcal{L}_b(V, V)$  as a locally  $L$ -analytic Lie group via restriction of scalars.*

*Proof.* If the representation  $V$  is a locally analytic, we may apply Proposition 3.13 with  $W := V$ . Then the orbit map  $\eta_{\text{id}_V}$  is locally analytic and the  $G$ -action on  $V$  is given by  $\rho = \eta_{\text{id}_V} \circ \text{inv}: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ . For the converse implication, note that the orbit map  $\rho_v$ , for  $v \in V$ , is the composition of  $\rho$  with the evaluation homomorphism  $\text{ev}_v: \text{GL}(V) \rightarrow V$ , which is continuous.  $\square$

**3.3. Locally Analytic Distribution Algebras.** Locally analytic representations of  $G$  can be understood in terms of modules over the locally analytic distribution algebra  $D(G)$ . Here the algebra structure on  $D(G)$  is given by the convolution product

$$*: D(G) \times D(G) \longrightarrow D(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{K, \iota} D(G) \cong D(G \times G) \xrightarrow{m^*} D(G)$$

induced by the multiplication map of  $G$  and Proposition 3.4 (iii).

**Proposition 3.16** ([ST02b, Prop. 2.3], [ST05, Rmk. A.4]). *The convolution product  $*$  endows  $D(G)$  with the structure of a unital, separately continuous  $K$ -algebra. Its unit element is  $\delta_1$  where  $1$  is the identity element of  $G$ , and we have  $\delta_g * \delta_{g'} = \delta_{gg'}$ , for  $g, g' \in G$ . If  $G$  is compact then  $*$  is jointly continuous.*

**Lemma 3.17** (cf. [OS15, Proof of Prop. 3.5]). *For  $f \in C^{\text{la}}(G, K)$  and  $\mu, \nu \in D(G)$ , the functions on  $G$  defined by*

$$g \longmapsto \nu[g' \mapsto f(gg')] \quad \text{and} \quad g' \longmapsto \mu[g \mapsto f(gg')]$$

*are locally analytic, and we have the following identities reminiscent of Fubini's theorem:*

$$(\mu * \nu)(f) = \mu[g \mapsto \nu[g' \mapsto f(gg')]] = \nu[g' \mapsto \mu[g \mapsto f(gg')]].$$

*Proof.* We may assume that  $\nu$  is supported in the compact open subset  $H' \subset G$ . Then, restricted to some compact open subset  $H \subset G$ , the first function is the image of  $f|_{m(H \times H')}$  under

$$C^{\text{la}}(m(H \times H'), K) \xrightarrow{m^*} C^{\text{la}}(H \times H', K) \cong C^{\text{la}}(H, C^{\text{la}}(H', K)) \xrightarrow{\nu_*} C^{\text{la}}(H, K)$$

using Proposition 3.2 (v). Analogously, one shows that the second function is locally analytic.

Now let  $\mu$  be supported in the compact open subset  $H \subset G$ . By the definition of  $*$  the distribution  $\mu * \nu$  is supported in  $m(H \times H')$  and given by

$$C^{\text{la}}(m(H \times H'), K) \xrightarrow{m^*} C^{\text{la}}(H \times H', K) \cong C^{\text{la}}(H, K) \widehat{\otimes}_K C^{\text{la}}(H', K) \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes \nu} K. \quad (3.1)$$

<sup>7</sup>The latter is called an analytic linear representation by Bourbaki [Bou89, III. §1.2 Expl. (3)]. Concerning the definition of locally analytic manifolds whose charts take values in Banach spaces, see [Bou07, §5.1].

The claimed identities then follow from the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & \cong & \rightarrow & C^{\text{la}}(H, K) \widehat{\otimes}_K C^{\text{la}}(H', K) & \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes \nu} & K \\
C^{\text{la}}(H \times H', K) & & & & & & \\
& \cong & \searrow & & & & \\
& & & \rightarrow & C^{\text{la}}(H, C^{\text{la}}(H', K)) & \xrightarrow{\nu_*} & C^{\text{la}}(H, K) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & K
\end{array}$$

and the analogous diagram for  $\nu \circ \mu_*$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.18.** The locally  $L$ -analytic anti-automorphism  $\text{inv}: G \rightarrow G$ ,  $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ , induces by functoriality a topological automorphism

$$D(G) \longrightarrow D(G), \quad \delta \longmapsto \dot{\delta} := \delta \circ \text{inv}^* = [f \mapsto \delta(f \circ \text{inv})].$$

For  $\gamma, \delta \in D(G)$ , one computes that  $(\gamma * \delta)' = \dot{\delta} * \dot{\gamma}$ .

**Proposition 3.19** ([ST02b, Prop. 3.2, Cor. 3.3, Cor. 3.4]). *Let  $V$  be a locally analytic  $G$ -representation with orbit maps  $\rho_v: G \rightarrow V$ , for  $v \in V$ .*

(i) *Then  $V$  becomes a separately continuous  $D(G)$ -module via  $\delta * v := I(\rho_v)(\delta)$ , for  $\delta \in D(G)$ ,  $v \in V$ . In particular, we have  $\delta_g * v = g.v$ . This yields an equivalence of categories*

$$\left( \begin{array}{l} \text{locally analytic } G\text{-representations} \\ \text{of LB-type with continuous} \\ G\text{-equivariant homomorphisms} \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{separately continuous } D(G)\text{-modules} \\ \text{of LB-type with continuous} \\ D(G)\text{-module maps} \end{array} \right).$$

(ii) *Moreover,  $V'_b$  becomes a separately continuous  $D(G)$ -module via  $\delta * \ell := [v \mapsto \ell(\delta * v)]$ , for  $\delta \in D(G)$ ,  $\ell \in V'_b$ . In particular, we have  $\delta_g * \ell = g.\ell$  with respect to the contragredient  $G$ -action on  $V'_b$ . This induces an anti-equivalence of categories*

$$\text{Rep}_K^{\text{la, ct}}(G) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{M}_{D(G)}^{\text{nF}}, \quad [f: V \rightarrow W] \longmapsto [f^t: W'_b \rightarrow V'_b].$$

If  $G$  is compact, then the multiplication for  $D(G)$ -modules belonging to the right hand side category is jointly continuous automatically.

**Remark 3.20.** It follows from this anti-equivalence of categories that  $\text{Rep}_K^{\text{la, ct}}(G)$  is quasi-abelian. The kernel (resp. cokernel) of a morphism  $f: M \rightarrow N$  in  $\text{Rep}_K^{\text{la, ct}}(G)$  is given by  $\text{Ker}(f)$  (resp.  $N/\overline{\text{Im}(f)}$ ) with the subspace (resp. quotient) topology. Indeed, there are canonical identifications  $\text{Ker}(f) \cong (M'_b/\overline{\text{Im}(f^t)})'_b$  and  $N/\overline{\text{Im}(f)} \cong \text{Ker}(f^t)'_b$ , see p.II.47 Corollary 2, p.IV.8 Proposition 9 (ii) and p.IV.10 Remark in [Bou87].

**3.4. Locally Analytic Induction.** Here let  $G$  be a locally  $L$ -analytic Lie group and let  $H \subset G$  be a locally  $L$ -analytic Lie subgroup, i.e. a subgroup which is a locally  $L$ -analytic submanifold. The latter means that, for every  $h \in H$ , there exist a chart  $\varphi: U \rightarrow L^d$  around  $h$  and a linear subspace  $F \subset L^d$  such that  $\varphi$  induces a homeomorphism

$$\varphi|_{U \cap H}: U \cap H \longrightarrow \varphi(U) \cap F,$$

see [Bou07, 5.8.1,3]. Such a subgroup acquires the structure of a locally  $L$ -analytic Lie group itself and is closed in  $G$  necessarily [Bou07, 5.12.3].

**Definition 3.21** ([Féa99, Kap. 4.1]). For a locally analytic  $H$ -representation  $V$  we define the locally analytically induced representation

$$\text{Ind}_H^{\text{la}, G}(V) := \{f \in C^{\text{la}}(G, V) \mid \forall g \in G, h \in H: f(gh) = h^{-1}.f(g)\} \subset C^{\text{la}}(G, V)$$

and consider it with the left regular  $G$ -action.

**Proposition 3.22.** *Let  $V$  be a locally analytic  $H$ -representation of compact type and assume that there exists a compact open subgroup  $G_0 \subset G$  such that  $G = G_0H$ . Then  $\text{Ind}_H^{\text{la}, G}(V)$  is a locally analytic  $G$ -representation of compact type.*

*Proof.* It is the content of [Féa99, Satz 4.1.5] that  $G$  acts on  $\text{Ind}_H^{\text{la},G}(V)$  by continuous automorphisms and with locally analytic orbit maps. To see that  $\text{Ind}_H^{\text{la},G}(V)$  is of compact type one argues analogously to [Eme, Sect. 2.1]: We fix  $G_0$  as above and set  $H_0 := G_0 \cap H$ . We may view  $V$  as a locally analytic  $H_0$ -representation and have a continuous homomorphism

$$\text{Ind}_H^{\text{la},G}(V) \longrightarrow \text{Ind}_{H_0}^{\text{la},G_0}(V), \quad f \longmapsto f|_{G_0}.$$

Let  $h_i$ , for  $i \in I$ , be representatives of  $H_0 \backslash H$  so that we have  $C^{\text{la}}(G, V) \cong \prod_{i \in I} C^{\text{la}}(G_0 h_i, V)$  by the assumption  $G = G_0 H$  and Proposition 3.2 (iii). One verifies that the product of the continuous homomorphisms

$$\text{Ind}_{H_0}^{\text{la},G_0}(V) \longrightarrow C^{\text{la}}(G_0 h_i, V), \quad f \longmapsto [g \mapsto h_i^{-1} \cdot f(gh_i^{-1})],$$

yields an inverse to the above homomorphism. It follows from [ST02b, Prop. 1.2 (i)] that the closed subspace  $\text{Ind}_H^{\text{la},G}(V) \cong \text{Ind}_{H_0}^{\text{la},G_0}(V)$  of  $C^{\text{la}}(G_0, V)$  (recall Proposition 3.2 (iv)) is of compact type as well.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.23.** *Assume that there exists a compact open subgroup  $G_0 \subset G$  such that  $G = G_0 H$  and set  $H_0 := G_0 \cap H$ .*

(i) *There is a topological isomorphism of  $D(G_0)$ - $D(H)$ -bi-modules*

$$D(G_0) \otimes_{D(H_0), \iota} D(H) \xrightarrow{\cong} D(G), \quad \mu \otimes \nu \longmapsto \mu * \nu.$$

(ii) *For  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{D(H)}$ , the natural inclusion  $D(G_0) \hookrightarrow D(G)$  induces a topological isomorphism of  $D(G_0)$ -modules*

$$D(G_0) \otimes_{D(H_0), \iota} M \xrightarrow{\cong} D(G) \otimes_{D(H), \iota} M.$$

*Proof.* On the level of abstract modules the statement of (i) is the content of [ST05, Lemma 6.1 (i)]. But the reasoning there together with [Koh05, Lemma 1.2.13] also shows the claimed topological isomorphism.

For (ii), we obtain a continuous inverse as follows: Using (i) and Lemma 2.12 (ii), there is a continuous homomorphism

$$D(G) \otimes_{K, \iota} M \cong D(G_0) \otimes_{D(H_0), \iota} (D(H) \otimes_{K, \iota} M) \longrightarrow D(G_0) \otimes_{D(H_0), \iota} M, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\delta \otimes \lambda \otimes m \longmapsto \delta \otimes \lambda * m.$$

It factors over the quotient  $D(G) \otimes_{D(H), \iota} M$  and this yields the sought inverse.  $\square$

One can express the locally analytically induced representation  $\text{Ind}_H^{\text{la},G}(V)$  in terms of modules over  $D(G)$ . For compact,  $p$ -adic  $G$  and one-dimensional  $V$  this is discussed before [ST05, Lemma 6.1]. Our proof of the following theorem is inspired by *loc. cit.*

**Theorem 3.24.** *Let  $V$  be a locally analytic  $H$ -representation of compact type and assume that there exists a compact open subgroup  $G_0 \subset G$  such that  $G = G_0 H$ . Then using the bilinear pairing of Corollary 3.7 there is a canonical topological isomorphism of  $D(G)$ -modules*

$$D(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{D(H), \iota} V'_b \xrightarrow{\cong} (\text{Ind}_H^{\text{la},G}(V))'_b, \quad \delta \otimes \ell \longmapsto [f \mapsto \ell(\delta(f))].$$

*Proof.* We fix an compact open subgroup  $G_0 \subset G$  with  $G = G_0 H$  and set  $H_0 := G_0 \cap H$ . Using the bijective continuous integration homomorphism  $I$  from Theorem 3.6 together with [Sch02, Cor. 18.8] and the reflexivity of  $C^{\text{la}}(G, K)$  we obtain an injective continuous homomorphism

$$\iota: \text{Ind}_H^{\text{la},G}(V) \hookrightarrow C^{\text{la}}(G, V) \xrightarrow{I} \mathcal{L}_b(D(G), V) \cong C^{\text{la}}(G, K) \widehat{\otimes}_{K, \pi} V.$$

This homomorphism is  $G$ -equivariant with respect to the left regular  $G$ -action on the source and the first factor of the target, and the trivial  $G$ -action on  $V$ . Analogously, we obtain  $\iota_0: \text{Ind}_{H_0}^{\text{la},G_0}(V) \hookrightarrow C^{\text{la}}(G_0, K) \widehat{\otimes}_K V$ , where the map  $C^{\text{la}}(G_0, V) \rightarrow C^{\text{la}}(G_0, K) \widehat{\otimes}_K V$  in fact is the topological isomorphism from Proposition 3.2 (iv). Furthermore, recall from the proof of Proposition 3.22 that restriction of functions yields a topological isomorphism  $\text{Ind}_H^{\text{la},G}(V) \cong$

$\text{Ind}_{H_0}^{\text{la}, G_0}(V)$ . Restricting also induces a continuous homomorphism  $C^{\text{la}}(G, K) \widehat{\otimes}_{K, \pi} V \rightarrow C^{\text{la}}(G_0, K) \widehat{\otimes}_K V$ . All of these maps fit into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Ind}_{H_0}^{\text{la}, G_0}(V) & \xrightarrow{\iota_0} & C^{\text{la}}(G_0, K) \widehat{\otimes}_K V & \xrightarrow{\psi_0} & \prod_{g \in G_0, h \in H_0} V \\ \cong \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{Ind}_H^{\text{la}, G}(V) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & C^{\text{la}}(G, K) \widehat{\otimes}_{K, \pi} V & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \prod_{g \in G, h \in H} V. \end{array} \quad (3.3)$$

Here  $\psi$  is the product of the homomorphisms

$$C^{\text{la}}(G, K) \widehat{\otimes}_{K, \pi} V \longrightarrow V, \quad f \otimes v \longmapsto f(gh)v - f(g)h^{-1}.v,$$

for  $g \in G, h \in H$ , and  $\psi_0$  is defined analogously. The rows of (3.3) are algebraically exact, and  $\iota_0$  is a closed embedding.

We want to consider the strong dual of the diagram (3.3). Note that by [Sch02, Rmk. 16.1 (ii)], the homomorphisms of this dualized diagram are continuous again. Recall the topological isomorphism  $(\prod_{i \in I} V_i)'_b \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} (V_i)'_b$  from [Sch02, Prop. 9.11], for a family  $(V_i)_{i \in I}$  of locally convex  $K$ -vector spaces. Under this identification and the one from Lemma 3.5, the transpose of  $\psi$  is given by

$$\psi^t: \bigoplus_{g \in G, h \in H} V'_b \longrightarrow D(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{K, \iota} V'_b, \quad \sum \ell_{g, h} \longmapsto \sum \delta_g * \delta_h \otimes \ell_{g, h} - \delta_g \otimes h. \ell_{g, h}.$$

We obtain an analogous description for  $\psi_0$ . The strong dual of (3.3) becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \bigoplus_{g \in G_0, h \in H_0} V & \xrightarrow{\psi_0^t} & D(G_0) \widehat{\otimes}_K V'_b & \xrightarrow{\iota_0^t} & (\text{Ind}_{H_0}^{\text{la}, G_0}(V))'_b \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \bigoplus_{g \in G, h \in H} V & \xrightarrow{\psi^t} & D(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{K, \iota} V'_b & \xrightarrow{\iota^t} & (\text{Ind}_H^{\text{la}, G}(V))'_b. \end{array} \quad (3.4)$$

Note that here both rows are complexes because the rows in (3.3) are. The Hahn–Banach Theorem [Sch02, Cor. 9.4] implies that  $\iota_0^t$  is surjective because  $\iota_0$  is a closed embedding. It follows from the open mapping theorem [Sch02, Prop. 8.6] that  $\iota_0^t$  is strict. Moreover,  $\iota^t$  and  $\iota_0^t$  are  $D(G)$ - resp.  $D(G_0)$ -linear.

Since  $\text{Im}(\psi^t) \subset \text{Ker}(\iota^t)$  and the latter is closed, it follows from the density of Dirac distributions in  $D(H)$  that all elements of  $D(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{K, \iota} V'_b$  which are of the form

$$\delta * \lambda \otimes \ell - \delta \otimes \lambda * \ell, \quad \text{for } \delta \in D(G), \lambda \in D(H), \ell \in V'_b,$$

are contained in  $\text{Ker}(\iota^t)$ . Therefore the natural map  $D(G) \otimes_{D(H), \iota} V'_b \rightarrow D(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{K, \iota} V'_b$  induces a continuous  $D(G)$ -module homomorphism

$$\phi: D(G) \otimes_{D(H), \iota} V'_b \longrightarrow (D(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{K, \iota} V'_b) / \text{Ker}(\iota^t).$$

The analogous statement also holds for  $G_0$ , and we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} D(G_0) \otimes_{D(H_0)} V'_b & \xrightarrow{\phi_0} & (D(G_0) \widehat{\otimes}_K V'_b) / \text{Ker}(\iota_0^t) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (\text{Ind}_{H_0}^{\text{la}, G_0}(V))'_b \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ D(G) \otimes_{D(H), \iota} V'_b & \xrightarrow{\phi} & (D(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{K, \iota} V'_b) / \text{Ker}(\iota^t) & \xrightarrow{\iota^t} & (\text{Ind}_H^{\text{la}, G}(V))'_b. \end{array}$$

Here the left vertical map is a topological isomorphism by Lemma 3.23 (ii).

We claim that after completing,  $\iota^t \circ \phi$  gives the sought topological isomorphism of  $D(G)$ -modules. For this it suffices to show that the completion of  $\phi_0$  is a topological isomorphism. Using Lemma 2.11 the completion of  $D(G_0) \otimes_{D(H_0)} V'_b$  is topologically isomorphic to the quotient of  $D(G_0) \widehat{\otimes}_K V'_b$  by the closure of the  $D(G_0)$ -submodule generated by the elements

$$\delta * \lambda \otimes \ell - \delta \otimes \lambda * \ell, \quad \text{for } \delta \in D(G_0), \lambda \in D(H_0), \ell \in V'_b.$$

By the density of Dirac distributions in  $D(H_0)$  this closure is equal to  $\overline{\text{Im}(\psi_0^\dagger)}$ . Thus it suffices to show that  $\overline{\text{Im}(\psi_0^\dagger)} = \text{Ker}(\iota_0^\dagger)$ . But we have  $\text{Ker}(\iota_0^\dagger) = \text{Im}(\iota_0)^\perp$  where

$$\text{Im}(\iota_0)^\perp := \{\ell \in D(G_0) \widehat{\otimes}_K V_b' \mid \forall v \in \text{Im}(\iota_0) : \ell(v) = 0\}$$

by [Bou87, p.IV.27 Prop. 2]. The algebraic exactness of the first row of (3.3) implies  $\text{Im}(\iota_0)^\perp = \overline{\text{Ker}(\psi_0)^\perp}$ . Moreover, we have  $\text{Ker}(\psi_0)^\perp \subset \overline{\text{Im}(\psi_0^\dagger)}$  by Lemma 2.3 and thus  $\text{Ker}(\iota_0^\dagger) \subset \overline{\text{Im}(\psi_0^\dagger)}$ . As  $\text{Im}(\psi_0^\dagger) \subset \text{Ker}(\iota_0^\dagger)$  and  $\text{Ker}(\iota_0^\dagger)$  is closed, we conclude that  $\text{Ker}(\iota_0^\dagger) = \overline{\text{Im}(\psi_0^\dagger)}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.25** (cf. [Koh11, Prop. 5.1]). *Assume that there exists a compact open subgroup  $G_0 \subset G$  such that  $G = G_0H$ . The functor*

$$\text{Ind}_H^{\text{la},G} : \text{Rep}_K^{\text{la,ct}}(H) \longrightarrow \text{Rep}_K^{\text{la,ct}}(G), \quad V \longmapsto \text{Ind}_H^{\text{la},G}(V),$$

*between quasi-abelian categories is exact*<sup>8</sup>.

*Proof.* Because of the anti-equivalence from Proposition 3.19 (ii) and Theorem 3.24 the assertion is equivalent to the exactness of

$$\mathcal{M}_{D(H)}^{\text{nF}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{D(G)}^{\text{nF}}, \quad M \longmapsto D(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{D(H),\iota} M.$$

The projection  $G \rightarrow G/H$  splits on the level of locally  $L$ -analytic manifolds and this yields an isomorphism of locally  $L$ -analytic manifolds  $G \cong G/H \times H$ , see [Féa99, Satz 4.1.1]. Hence it follows from Proposition 3.4 (iii) that  $D(G) \cong D(G/H) \widehat{\otimes}_{K,\iota} D(H)$ . For  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{D(H)}^{\text{nF}}$ , Lemma 2.12 yields a topological isomorphism

$$D(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{D(H),\iota} M \cong D(G/H) \widehat{\otimes}_{K,\iota} M.$$

Since  $G/H$  is compact, the latter inductive tensor product agrees with the projective one. The exactness now follows from the exactness of the completed projective tensor product on  $K$ -Fréchet spaces, see [BH20, Cor. 2.2].  $\square$

#### 4. THE HYPERALGEBRA OF A NON-ARCHIMEDEAN LIE GROUP

**4.1. Germs of Locally Analytic Functions.** We recapitulate the concept of germs of locally analytic functions following Féaux de Lacroix [Féa99, Abschn. 2.3]. However, we will only need the case where the support consists of a single point. In the following,  $X$  is a locally  $L$ -analytic manifold and  $V$  a Hausdorff locally convex  $K$ -vector space.

**Definition 4.1.** For  $x \in X$ , the *space of germs of locally analytic functions on  $X$  with values in  $V$  at  $x$*  is defined as the locally convex inductive limit over all open neighbourhoods  $U \subset X$  of  $x$

$$C_x^{\text{la}}(X, V) := \varinjlim_{x \in U \subset X} C^{\text{la}}(U, V)$$

with respect to the canonical restriction homomorphisms and endowed with the locally convex inductive limit topology.

**Lemma 4.2** ([Féa99, Abschn. 2.3.1]). *For every open subset  $U \subset X$  with  $x \in U$ , the canonical map  $C^{\text{la}}(U, V) \rightarrow C_x^{\text{la}}(X, V)$  is a strict epimorphism. Moreover, there is a canonical topological isomorphism*

$$C_x^{\text{la}}(X, V) \cong \varinjlim_{(U,E)} C^{\text{an}}(U, E)$$

where the latter locally convex inductive limit is taken over all pairs of charts  $\varphi: U \rightarrow L^d$  with  $x \in U$  and  $BH$ -subspaces  $E \subset V$ , and  $C^{\text{an}}(U, E)$  is defined as in Definition 3.1 (i).

**Proposition 4.3.** (i) *The formation of  $C_x^{\text{la}}(X, V)$  is contravariantly functorial in  $(X, x)$  and covariantly functorial in  $V$ .*

<sup>8</sup>In the sense of [Sch99, Def. 1.1.18], i.e. it sends short strictly exact sequences to short strictly exact sequences.



- (ii) For  $x \in X$ , the locally convex  $K$ -vector space  $C_x^{\text{la}}(X, V)$  is Hausdorff and barrelled. If  $V$  is of compact type, then  $C_x^{\text{la}}(X, V)$  is of compact type and there is a natural topological isomorphism  $C_x^{\text{la}}(X, V) \cong C_x^{\text{la}}(X, K) \widehat{\otimes}_K V$  [Féa99, Satz 2.3.1, Satz 2.3.2].
- (iii) For locally  $L$ -analytic manifolds  $X$  and  $Y$  with  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ , there is a topological isomorphism

$$C_x^{\text{la}}(X, K) \widehat{\otimes}_K C_y^{\text{la}}(Y, K) \xrightarrow{\cong} C_{(x,y)}^{\text{la}}(X \times Y, K), \quad f \otimes g \mapsto [(z, w) \mapsto f(z)g(w)].$$

*Proof.* Using the functoriality of  $C^{\text{la}}(X, V)$  the statement of (i) is obvious. Concerning (iii), we may find a neighbourhood basis  $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $x \in X$  resp.  $(W_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $y \in Y$ . Then Lemma 4.2 shows that  $C_x^{\text{la}}(X, K) \cong \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C^{\text{an}}(U_n, K)$ , similarly for  $C_y^{\text{la}}(Y, K)$ , and

$$C_{(x,y)}^{\text{la}}(X \times Y, K) \cong \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C^{\text{an}}(U_n \times W_n, K) \cong \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( C^{\text{an}}(U_n, K) \widehat{\otimes}_K C^{\text{an}}(W_n, K) \right).$$

The claim now follows from [Eme17, Prop. 1.1.32 (i)].  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4.** For  $x \in X$ ,  $C_x^{\text{la}}(X, K)$  is a local, noetherian, jointly continuous locally convex  $K$ -algebra with respect to pointwise addition and multiplication. Its maximal ideal is

$$\mathfrak{m}_x := \text{Ker}(\text{ev}_x) \subset C_x^{\text{la}}(X, K).$$

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the subspace  $\mathfrak{m}_x^{n+1} \subset C_x^{\text{la}}(X, K)$  is closed and of finite codimension equal to  $\binom{d+n}{n}$  where  $d$  is the dimension of  $X$  at  $x$ .

*Proof.* It follows from [Féa99, Kor. 2.4.4] that  $C_x^{\text{la}}(X, K)$  is a jointly continuous  $K$ -algebra. Clearly  $\mathfrak{m}_x$  is a maximal ideal, and via the inverse function theorem for power series, one shows that every  $f \in C_x^{\text{la}}(X, K) \setminus \mathfrak{m}_x$  has a multiplicative inverse, i.e. that  $C_x^{\text{la}}(X, K)$  is local.

Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  be the dimension of  $X$  at  $x$ , and let  $B_{\underline{r}}^d(0) \subset L^d$  denote the closed polydisc around 0 of multiradius  $\underline{r} := (r, \dots, r) \in |K^\times|^d$ . Then the choice of a chart around  $x$  yields a topological isomorphism of  $K$ -algebras

$$C_x^{\text{la}}(X, K) \cong C_0^{\text{la}}(L^d, K) \cong \mathcal{A}_0^d := \varinjlim_{\underline{r} \in |K^\times|^d} \mathcal{O}(B_{\underline{r}}^d(0)).$$

Here  $\mathcal{A}_0^d$  is noetherian by [BGR84, 7.3.2 Prop. 7], and the above isomorphism sends  $\mathfrak{m}_x$  to the ideal  $(T_1, \dots, T_d)$  where we view  $\mathcal{A}_0^d$  as a subalgebra of  $K[[T_1, \dots, T_d]]$ . Moreover, we have the strict epimorphism

$$\mathcal{A}_0^d \longrightarrow K^{\binom{d+n}{n}}, \quad \sum_{\underline{i} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} a_{\underline{i}} T_1^{i_1} \cdots T_d^{i_d} \mapsto (a_{\underline{i}})_{\sum_{j=1}^d i_j \leq n},$$

whose kernel is  $(T_1, \dots, T_d)^{n+1}$ .  $\square$

**4.2. Distributions with Support in a Point.** Next we consider the strong dual space of  $C_x^{\text{la}}(X, K)$ , i.e. distributions on  $X$  with support in  $x$  as treated by Kohlhaase in [Koh05, Sect. 1.2].

**Definition 4.5.** (i) For  $x \in X$ , we define the *space of locally analytic distributions with support in  $x$*  as

$$D_x(X, K) := C_x^{\text{la}}(X, K)'_b.$$

Via the strict epimorphism  $C^{\text{la}}(X, K) \rightarrow C_x^{\text{la}}(X, K)$  from Lemma 4.2, it is naturally a closed subspace of  $D(X, K)$  (cf. [Koh05, Lemma 1.2.5]).

(ii) For  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we say that  $\mu \in D_x(X, K)$  has *order*  $\leq n$  if  $\mu(\mathfrak{m}_x^{n+1}) = 0$ . We denote the subspace of such distributions by  $D_x(X, K)_n$ . The *subspace of distributions with support in  $x$  of finite order* is defined as

$$D_x(X, K)_{\text{fin}} := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} D_x(X, K)_n \subset D_x(X, K).$$

We usually omit the coefficient field  $K$  from the notation for these objects.

The definition of  $D_x(X)_{\text{fin}}$  has similarities with the space of distributions on an  $L$ -scheme with support in a rational point treated for example in [Jan03, Ch. I.7]. We will discuss the precise relation of the two notions in Section 4.4.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a locally  $L$ -analytic map of locally  $L$ -analytic manifolds, and  $x \in X$ . Then, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , there is a continuous homomorphism*

$$f_*: D_x(X)_n \longrightarrow D_{f(x)}(Y)_n, \quad \mu \longmapsto \mu(- \circ f).$$

*Proof.* The homomorphism  $f^*: C_{f(x)}^{\text{la}}(Y, K) \rightarrow C_x^{\text{la}}(X, K)$  induces the continuous homomorphism  $f_*: D_x(X) \rightarrow D_{f(x)}(Y)$ ,  $\mu \mapsto \mu(- \circ f)$ . Because  $f^*$  is a homomorphism of local  $K$ -algebras, the claim follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.7.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be locally  $L$ -analytic manifolds with  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ . Then there is a canonical topological isomorphism*

$$D_{(x,y)}(X \times Y)_{\text{fin}} \cong D_x(X)_{\text{fin}} \otimes_K D_y(Y)_{\text{fin}}$$

*which is compatible with the topological isomorphism  $D(X \times Y) \cong D(X) \widehat{\otimes}_{K,t} D(Y)$  from Proposition 3.4 (iii).*

*Proof.* We begin by showing that under the topological isomorphism

$$\phi: C_{(x,y)}^{\text{la}}(X \times Y, K) \xrightarrow{\cong} C_x^{\text{la}}(X, K) \widehat{\otimes}_K C_y^{\text{la}}(Y, K)$$

of Proposition 4.3 (iii) we have  $\phi(\mathfrak{m}_{(x,y)}^k) = \sum_{j=0}^k \mathfrak{m}_x^j \widehat{\otimes}_K \mathfrak{m}_y^{k-j}$ , for  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . For this purpose first note that  $\text{ev}_{(x,y)} = (\text{ev}_x \otimes \text{ev}_y) \circ \phi$ . As the short strictly exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}_y \longrightarrow C_y^{\text{la}}(Y, K) \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 0$$

consists of locally convex  $K$ -vector spaces of compact type, its completed tensor product with  $C_x^{\text{la}}(X, K)$  remains strictly exact [BH20, Cor. 2.2]:

$$0 \longrightarrow C_x^{\text{la}}(X, K) \widehat{\otimes}_K \mathfrak{m}_y \longrightarrow C_x^{\text{la}}(X, K) \widehat{\otimes}_K C_y^{\text{la}}(Y, K) \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \text{ev}_y} C_x^{\text{la}}(X, K) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Similarly,  $\text{id} \otimes \text{ev}_y$  restricts to a strict epimorphism  $\mathfrak{m}_x \widehat{\otimes}_K C_y^{\text{la}}(Y, K) \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_x$ . Since  $\text{ev}_x \otimes \text{ev}_y = \text{ev}_x \circ (\text{id} \otimes \text{ev}_y)$ , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}(\text{ev}_x \otimes \text{ev}_y) &= (\text{id} \otimes \text{ev}_y)^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}_x) = \mathfrak{m}_x \widehat{\otimes}_K C_y^{\text{la}}(Y, K) + \text{Ker}(\text{id} \otimes \text{ev}_y) \\ &= \mathfrak{m}_x \widehat{\otimes}_K C_y^{\text{la}}(Y, K) + C_x^{\text{la}}(X, K) \widehat{\otimes}_K \mathfrak{m}_y. \end{aligned}$$

The claim now follows since  $\phi$  is an isomorphism of  $K$ -algebras.

By [Eme17, Prop. 1.1.32 (ii)] the transpose of  $\phi$  gives a topological isomorphism

$$D_x(X) \widehat{\otimes}_K D_y(Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} D_{(x,y)}(X \times Y).$$

The above claim implies that under this isomorphism  $D_x(X)_m \otimes_K D_y(Y)_n$  is mapped into  $D_{(x,y)}(X \times Y)_{n+m}$ , for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Hence we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_x(X)_{\text{fin}} \otimes_K D_y(Y)_{\text{fin}} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & D_{(x,y)}(X \times Y)_{\text{fin}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D_x(X) \widehat{\otimes}_K D_y(Y) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & D_{(x,y)}(X \times Y) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are strict monomorphisms. Then [KK00, Lemma 2] implies that  $\psi$  is a strict monomorphism as well. Thus it remains to prove that  $\psi$  is surjective. To this end it suffices to show that its restriction

$$\sum_{j=0}^k D_x(X)_j \otimes_K D_y(Y)_{k-j} \longrightarrow D_{(x,y)}(X \times Y)_k$$

is surjective for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We do so by computing the dimension of both sides. Let  $d$  and  $e$  be the dimension of  $X$  at  $x$  resp. of  $Y$  at  $y$ . Writing  $D_x(X)_{=j}$  for some complementary subspace

of  $D_x(X)_{j-1}$  in  $D_x(X)_j$ , the left hand side is the direct sum of  $D_x(X)_{=j} \otimes_K D_y(Y)_{k-j}$ , for  $j = 0, \dots, k$ . By Lemma 4.2 these direct summands are of dimension  $\binom{d+j-1}{j} \binom{e+k-j}{k-j}$ . Their dimensions add up to  $\binom{d+e+k}{k}$ , which agrees with the dimension of the right hand side.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.8.** *For  $x \in X$ , the pairing of Corollary 3.7 induces a natural, separately continuous, non-degenerate  $K$ -bilinear pairing*

$$D_x(X) \times C_x^{\text{la}}(X, V) \longrightarrow V, \quad (\delta, f) \longmapsto \delta(f) := \delta(\tilde{f}),$$

where  $\tilde{f} \in C^{\text{la}}(X, V)$  denotes some lift of  $f$ . This pairing is compatible with the duality between  $D_x(X)$  and  $C_x^{\text{la}}(X, K)$  in the sense that, for a BH-subspace  $E \subset V$ , its restriction to  $D_x(X) \times C_x^{\text{la}}(X, E)$  is given by the duality pairing  $D_x(X) \times C_x^{\text{la}}(X, K) \rightarrow K$  tensored with  $E$ .

*Proof.* To see that the above pairing is well defined, let  $\tilde{f} \in \text{Ker}(C^{\text{la}}(X, V) \rightarrow C_x^{\text{la}}(X, V))$ . Let  $\mathcal{I} = (U_i, \varphi_i, E_i)_{i \in I}$  be some  $V$ -index on  $X$  such that  $\tilde{f} \in C_{\mathcal{I}}^{\text{la}}(X, V)$ . The homomorphism  $C_{\mathcal{I}}^{\text{la}}(X, V) \rightarrow C_x^{\text{la}}(X, V)$  factors over the inclusion  $C^{\text{an}}(U_{i_0}, E_{i_0}) \hookrightarrow C_x^{\text{la}}(X, V)$  where  $i_0 \in I$  such that  $x \in U_{i_0}$ . It follows that  $\tilde{f}|_{U_{i_0}} = 0$ , and hence  $\delta(\tilde{f}) = 0$  for all  $\delta \in D(U_{i_0})$ . Since  $D_x(X) \subset D(U_{i_0})$ , this shows the well-definedness.

The separate continuity, the non-degeneracy in  $D_x(X)$  and the compatibility statement of the above pairing follow from the respective statements of Corollary 3.7.

It remains to show the non-degeneracy in  $C_x^{\text{la}}(X, V)$ . To this end, let  $f \in C_x^{\text{la}}(X, V)$  such that  $\delta(f) = 0$  for all  $\delta \in D_x(X)$ . We have to show that  $f = 0$ . Let  $U$  be a compact open chart around  $x$  and  $E \subset V$  a BH-subspace such that  $f \in C^{\text{an}}(U, E) \cong C^{\text{an}}(U, K) \widehat{\otimes}_K \overline{E}$ . We find bounded sequences  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C^{\text{an}}(U, K)$  and  $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \overline{E}$  such that  $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} f_n \otimes v_n$ . Since the homomorphism  $D_x(X) \rightarrow C^{\text{an}}(U, K)'_b$  induced by the duality between  $C_x^{\text{la}}(X, K)$  and  $D_x(X)$  via Lemma 4.2 is surjective, the assumption  $\delta(f) = 0$  implies that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda(f_n) v_n = 0 \quad , \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in C^{\text{an}}(U, K)'_b. \quad (4.1)$$

But by [Sch02, Prop. 18.4] the  $K$ -Banach space  $C^{\text{an}}(U, K)$  satisfies the approximation property. Therefore [Rya02, Prop. 4.6] shows that (4.1) implies  $f = 0$ .  $\square$

For  $\text{char}(L) = 0$  and a locally  $L$ -analytic Lie group  $G$  with unit element 1, Féaux de Lacroix shows that the pairing  $U(\mathfrak{g})_K \times C_1^{\text{la}}(G, V) \rightarrow V$  is non-degenerate [Féa99, Kor. 4.7.4]. In view of Proposition 4.17 below the following corollary generalizes this result. Féaux de Lacroix's proof relies on differentiation with respect to elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We pursue a different approach here which is more suitable for the case  $\text{char}(L) > 0$ .

**Corollary 4.9.** *For  $x \in X$ ,  $D_x(X)_{\text{fin}} \subset D_x(X)$  is a dense subspace. In particular, the  $K$ -bilinear pairing*

$$D_x(X)_{\text{fin}} \times C_x^{\text{la}}(X, V) \longrightarrow V, \quad (\mu, f) \longmapsto \mu(f),$$

*induced from the one of Proposition 4.8 is non-degenerate.*

*Proof.* As the pairing of Proposition 4.8 is separately continuous, the second statement follows once we show that  $D_x(X)_{\text{fin}} \subset D_x(X)$  is a dense subspace. Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that there exists  $\delta \in D_x(X) \setminus \overline{D_x(X)_{\text{fin}}}$ . By the Hahn–Banach theorem [Sch02, Cor. 9.3] we find  $f \in C_x^{\text{la}}(X, K)$  such that  $\mu(f) = 0$ , for all  $\mu \in \overline{D_x(X)_{\text{fin}}}$ , and  $\delta(f) = 1$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , it follows that  $f \in D_x(X)_n^\perp$  where we consider the orthogonal under the pairing of Proposition 4.8. But we have  $D_x(X)_n = (\mathfrak{m}_x^{n+1})^\perp$  by definition, and  $((\mathfrak{m}_x^{n+1})^\perp)^\perp = \mathfrak{m}_x^{n+1}$  by [Bou87, p.II.45 Cor. 3]. Hence  $f \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathfrak{m}_x^{n+1}$ , and we apply Krull's intersection theorem to the local, noetherian  $K$ -algebra  $C_x^{\text{la}}(X, K)$  to conclude that  $f = 0$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**4.3. The Hyperalgebra of a Locally Analytic Lie Group.** Let  $G$  again be a locally  $L$ -analytic Lie group with multiplication map  $m$  and identity element  $1$ .

**Definition 4.10.** We define the *hyperalgebra*<sup>9</sup> of  $G$  (over  $K$ ) as

$$\mathrm{hy}(G, K) := D_1(G, K)_{\mathrm{fin}}.$$

It is the union of the finite-dimensional subspaces  $\mathrm{hy}(G, K)_n := D_1(G, K)_n$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We omit mention of the coefficient field  $K$  from the notation when it does not cause confusion.

As the next lemma demonstrates,  $\mathrm{hy}(G)$  is a jointly continuous locally convex subalgebra of  $D(G)$  with respect to the convolution product. Note that the multiplication map of  $\mathrm{hy}(G)$  is jointly continuous since  $\mathrm{hy}(G) \subset D(G_0)$ , for any compact open subgroup  $G_0$  of  $G$ . By Lemma 4.6,  $\mathrm{hy}(G)$  is preserved under the involution  $\mu \mapsto \dot{\mu}$ .

**Lemma 4.11.** For  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we have  $\mathrm{hy}(G)_n * \mathrm{hy}(G)_m \subset \mathrm{hy}(G)_{n+m}$ .

*Proof.* In the proof of Proposition 4.7 we have seen that under the topological isomorphism  $D(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{K, \iota} D(G) \cong D(G \times G)$  the subspace  $\mathrm{hy}(G)_n \otimes_K \mathrm{hy}(G)_m$  is mapped into the subspace  $D_1(G \times G)_{n+m}$ . By Lemma 4.6 the image of the latter under  $m_*: D(G \times G) \rightarrow D(G)$  is contained in  $\mathrm{hy}(G)_{n+m}$ .  $\square$

We obtain an analogue of the *adjoint representation* of  $G$  on  $\mathrm{hy}(G)$  where  $g \in G$  acts by the algebra homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ad}(g): \mathrm{hy}(G) \longrightarrow \mathrm{hy}(G), \quad \mu \longmapsto \mathrm{Ad}(g)(\mu) := [f \mapsto \mu(f(g-g^{-1}))].$$

**Proposition 4.12.** The adjoint representation  $\mathrm{Ad}$  of  $G$  on  $\mathrm{hy}(G)$  is locally analytic (or equivalently extends to a separately continuous  $D(G)$ -module structure on  $\mathrm{hy}(G)$ ). For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , it restricts to a locally analytic  $G$ -subrepresentation  $\mathrm{Ad}_n$  on  $\mathrm{hy}(G)_n \subset \mathrm{hy}(G)$ .

*Proof.* We first want to show that the  $G$ -representation

$$G \times C_1^{\mathrm{la}}(G, K) \longrightarrow C_1^{\mathrm{la}}(G, K), \quad (g, f) \longmapsto f(g^{-1} \cdot g), \quad (4.2)$$

is locally analytic. It follows from functoriality (Proposition 4.3 (i)) that this is an action of  $G$  on  $C_1^{\mathrm{la}}(G, V)$  by topological automorphisms. Moreover, for some compact open subgroup  $G_0$  of  $G$ , the  $G_0$ -representation on  $C_1^{\mathrm{la}}(G_0, V)$  by conjugation is locally analytic, see Example 3.9. Since the quotient map  $C_1^{\mathrm{la}}(G_0, V) \rightarrow C_1^{\mathrm{la}}(G, V)$  is  $G_0$ -equivariant, the latter is a locally analytic  $G_0$ -representation by Proposition 3.11 (i). Therefore the  $G$ -representation (4.2) is locally analytic by [Eme17, Prop. 3.6.11].

Note that  $C_1^{\mathrm{la}}(G, K)$  is of compact type (Proposition 4.3 (ii)). Via the anti-equivalence of Proposition 3.19 (ii),  $D_1(G)$  becomes a separately continuous  $D(G)$ -module. The action of  $\delta_g$ , for  $g \in G$ , is given by

$$\delta_g * \mu = [f \mapsto \mu(f(g-g^{-1}))], \quad \text{for } \mu \in D_1(G).$$

Moreover,  $\mathfrak{m}_1^{n+1} \subset C_1^{\mathrm{la}}(G, K)$  is a closed subspace which is invariant under the  $G$ -action of (4.2). It follows that  $\mathrm{hy}(G)_n = (C_1^{\mathrm{la}}(G, K) / \mathfrak{m}_1^{n+1})^\perp$  and consequently also  $\mathrm{hy}(G)$  are  $D(G)$ -submodules of  $D_1(G)$ . Finally Proposition 3.19 (i) implies that  $\mathrm{Ad}$  and  $\mathrm{Ad}_n$  are locally analytic  $G$ -representation because  $\mathrm{hy}(G)$  is of LB-type.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.13.** For  $\delta \in D(G)$  and  $\mu \in \mathrm{hy}(G)$ , we have  $\mathrm{Ad}(\delta)(\mu) * \delta = \delta * \mu$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to consider the case of a Dirac distribution  $\delta = \delta_g$ , for  $g \in G$ . Using Lemma 3.17 we compute, for  $f \in C_1^{\mathrm{la}}(G, K)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{Ad}(g)(\mu) * \delta_g)(f) &= \mathrm{Ad}(g)(\mu)[h \mapsto f(hg)] = \mu(f(g-g^{-1}g)) \\ &= \mu[h' \mapsto f(gh')] = (\delta_g * \mu)(f). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

---

<sup>9</sup>Despite the analogy of  $\mathrm{hy}(G)$  with the distribution algebra of an algebraic group (cf. Section 4.4) we prefer the name ‘‘hyperalgebra’’ here to distinguish it from the algebra of locally analytic distributions on  $G$ .

**4.4. The Cases of Schemes and  $p$ -adic Lie Groups.** As mentioned before, we want to compare  $\mathrm{hy}(G)$  to a certain distribution algebra when the non-archimedean Lie group  $G$  arises from a smooth algebraic group. To recall this notion, let  $\mathbf{X}$  be an  $L$ -scheme with an  $L$ -valued point  $x \in \mathbf{X}(L)$ , and let  $\mathfrak{M}_x \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X},x}$  denote the maximal ideal of the stalk of the structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}$  at  $x$ .

**Definition 4.14** ([Jan03, Sect. I.7.1]). For  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the  $L$ -vector space of *distributions on  $\mathbf{X}$  of order  $\leq n$  with support in  $x$*  is defined to be

$$\mathrm{Dist}(\mathbf{X}, x)_n := \{ \mu \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X},x})^* \mid \mu(\mathfrak{M}_x^{n+1}) = 0 \}.$$

The space  $\mathrm{Dist}(\mathbf{X}, x)$  of *distributions on  $\mathbf{X}$  with support in  $x$*  is the union of all  $\mathrm{Dist}(\mathbf{X}, x)_n$ .

Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be of finite type over  $L$  and let  $\mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{rig}}$  denote the rigid analytic space over  $L$  associated to  $\mathbf{X}$ , cf. [BGR84, 9.3.4 Expl. 2]. We write  $\mathfrak{m}_x \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{rig}},x}$  for the maximal ideal in the rigid analytic stalk, cf. [BGR84, Sect. 7.3.2]. Then one has a canonical inclusion  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X},x} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{rig}},x}$  of local  $L$ -algebras. It induces an isomorphism between the completions with respect to the respective maximal ideals as stated in [Bos14, p.113]. Passing to the algebraic duals then yields

$$\mathrm{Dist}(\mathbf{X}, x)_n \cong (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X},x}/\mathfrak{M}_x^{n+1})^* \cong (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{rig}},x}/\mathfrak{m}_x^{n+1})^*.$$

On the other hand, if in addition  $\mathbf{X}$  is smooth and separated, the set of  $L$ -valued points  $\mathbf{X}(L) = \mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{rig}}(L)$  naturally carries the structure of a locally  $L$ -analytic manifold. The description of Lemma 4.2 then yields an isomorphism of local  $K$ -algebras  $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{rig}},x})_K \cong C_x^{\mathrm{la}}(\mathbf{X}(L), K)$ . For the dual spaces we obtain

$$D_x(\mathbf{X}(L), K)_n \cong (C_x^{\mathrm{la}}(\mathbf{X}(L), K)/\mathfrak{m}_x^{n+1})^* \cong ((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{rig}},x})_K/(\mathfrak{m}_x^{n+1})_K)^* \cong (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{rig}},x}/\mathfrak{m}_x^{n+1})_K^*.$$

Note that every  $\mu \in C_x^{\mathrm{la}}(\mathbf{X}(L), K)^*$  with  $\mu(\mathfrak{m}_x^{n+1}) = 0$  here is continuous automatically. In total we obtain:

**Proposition 4.15.** *Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a smooth, separated  $L$ -scheme of finite type and  $x \in \mathbf{X}(L)$ . Then the inclusion  $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X},x})_K \hookrightarrow C_x^{\mathrm{la}}(\mathbf{X}(L), K)$  induces a canonical isomorphism of  $K$ -vector spaces*

$$D_x(\mathbf{X}(L), K)_{\mathrm{fin}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Dist}(\mathbf{X}, x)_K.$$

Now let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a (smooth) linear algebraic group over  $L$  with multiplication morphism  $m$  and identity element  $1 \in \mathbf{G}(L)$ . Then  $\mathbf{G}(L)$  has the structure of a locally  $L$ -analytic Lie group. The space  $\mathrm{Dist}(\mathbf{G}, 1)$  carries an algebra structure where the product of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  is given by [Jan03, Sect. I.7.7]

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G},1} \xrightarrow{m^*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G},1} \otimes_L \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G},1} \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes \nu} L.$$

Since the above map is compatible with (3.1) under the isomorphism of Proposition 4.15, we can strengthen the latter.

**Corollary 4.16.** *For a smooth linear algebraic group  $\mathbf{G}$  over  $L$ , there is a canonical isomorphism of  $K$ -algebras*

$$\mathrm{hy}(\mathbf{G}(L), K) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Dist}(\mathbf{G}, 1)_K.$$

Now assume that  $L$  is a  $p$ -adic field. To a locally  $L$ -analytic Lie group  $G$ , one can associate its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , see [Bou89, III. §3.7] or [Sch11, Sect. 13]. As  $\mathrm{char}(L) = 0$ , there exists an exponential map  $\exp: \mathfrak{g} \dashrightarrow G$  defined locally around  $0 \in \mathfrak{g}$  [Bou89, III.4.3 Def. 1]. Schneider and Teitelbaum use this to embed  $\mathfrak{g}$  into  $D(G, K)$  via the rule

$$\mathfrak{r}(f) := \frac{d}{dt} f(\exp(t\mathfrak{r}))|_{t=0}, \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{r} \in \mathfrak{g}, f \in C^{\mathrm{la}}(G, K). \quad (4.3)$$

In fact this embedding extends to an algebra homomorphism [ST02b, Sect. 2].

$$\iota: U(\mathfrak{g})_K \hookrightarrow D(G, K).$$

The following proposition allows us to identify  $U(\mathfrak{g})_K$  with  $\mathrm{hy}(G, K)$ .

**Proposition 4.17.** *For a  $p$ -adic Lie group  $G$ , we have  $\text{Im}(\iota) = \text{hy}(G, K)$ .*

*Proof.* The expression of (4.3) vanishes if  $f$  is the zero function in a neighbourhood of 1. Therefore the image of  $\iota$  is contained in  $D_1(G, K)$ . Furthermore, using the product rule one verifies that  $\iota(\mathfrak{x})(\mathfrak{m}_1^2) = 0$ , for all  $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathfrak{g}$ , and hence  $\iota(\mathfrak{g}_K) \subset \text{hy}(G, K)_1$ . Let  $U_n(\mathfrak{g})_K \subset U(\mathfrak{g})_K$  be the subspace spanned by all products  $\mathfrak{x}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{x}_r$ , for  $r \leq n$ ,  $\mathfrak{x}_i \in \mathfrak{g}$ . It follows that  $U_n(\mathfrak{g})_K$  is mapped into  $\text{hy}(G, K)_n$  under  $\iota$ , and hence  $\iota(U(\mathfrak{g})_K) \subset \text{hy}(G, K)$ . We deduce equality from  $\dim_K(U_n(\mathfrak{g})_K) = \dim_K(\text{hy}(G, K)_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .  $\square$

## 5. THE FUNCTORS $\mathcal{F}_P^G$

Throughout this section, let  $K$  be a finite extension of the non-archimedean local field  $L$ . Let  $G$  denote a locally  $L$ -analytic Lie group with identity element 1 and  $H \subset G$  a locally  $L$ -analytic Lie subgroup. Furthermore, we assume there exists a compact open subgroup  $G_0$  of  $G$  such that  $G = G_0H$ , and write  $H_0 := G_0 \cap H$ .

An important instance of this situation is  $G = \mathbf{G}(L)$  and  $H = \mathbf{P}(L)$  for a connected reductive algebraic group  $\mathbf{G}$  over  $L$  and a parabolic subgroup  $\mathbf{P}$  of  $\mathbf{G}$ . For  $G_0$  one may take a special, good, maximal compact subgroup of  $G$  from Bruhat–Tits theory so that  $\mathbf{G}(L) = G_0\mathbf{P}(L)$  is a consequence of the Iwasawa decomposition, see [Car79, Sect. 3.5].

**5.1. The Subalgebras  $D(\mathfrak{g}, H)$ .** In analogy to the definition of Orlik and Strauch [OS15, Sect. 3.4] we consider the separately continuous  $K$ -subalgebra

$$D(\mathfrak{g}, H, K) := \text{hy}(G, K) \cdot D(H, K) \subset D(G, K)$$

generated by  $\text{hy}(G, K)$  and  $D(H, K)$ . Again, we usually omit the coefficient field  $K$  in this context. The description of [OS15, Prop. 3.5] and its proof generalize:

**Proposition 5.1.** *Every element of  $D(\mathfrak{g}, H)$  is a finite sum of elements of the form  $\mu * \delta$ , for  $\mu \in \text{hy}(G)$ ,  $\delta \in D(H)$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to consider elements of the form  $\delta * \mu$ , for  $\mu \in \text{hy}(G)$ ,  $\delta \in D(H)$ . Fix such an element and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $\mu \in \text{hy}(G)_n$ . The adjoint representation  $\text{Ad}_n$  on  $\text{hy}(G)_n$  is given by a locally  $L$ -analytic map of locally  $L$ -analytic Lie groups  $G \rightarrow \text{GL}(\text{hy}(G)_n)$  by Corollary 3.15. Hence, for an  $L$ -Basis  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r$  of  $\text{hy}(G)_n$ , there exist  $c_1, \dots, c_r \in C^{\text{la}}(G, K)$  such that

$$\text{Ad}_n(g)(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i(g) \mu_i \quad , \text{ for all } g \in G.$$

We define  $\delta_i \in D(H)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , by the rule

$$\delta_i(f) := \delta[h \mapsto c_i(h) f(h)] \quad , \text{ for } f \in C^{\text{la}}(H, K).$$

Analogously to the proof of [OS15, Prop. 3.5] (and using the respective ‘‘Fubini’s theorem’’ Lemma 3.17)) one computes that  $\delta * \mu = \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i * \delta_i$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.2.** *For any locally analytic  $G$ -representation  $V$ , we have*

$$\delta * (\mu * v) = \text{Ad}(\delta)(\mu) * (\delta * v) \quad , \text{ for all } \delta \in D(G), \mu \in \text{hy}(G), v \in V.$$

*Proof.* By density it suffices to consider a Dirac distribution  $\delta = \delta_g$ , for  $g \in G$ . Let  $\mu \in \text{hy}(G)_n$ , for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We find  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r \in \text{hy}(G)_n$  and  $c_1, \dots, c_r \in C^{\text{la}}(G, K)$  as in the proof of the above proposition. For  $H := G$  and  $\delta := \delta_g$  in that proof, we have  $\delta_i = c_i(g) \delta_g$  and consequently  $\delta_g * \mu = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i(g) \mu_i * \delta_g$ . We then compute, for  $v \in V$ , that

$$\text{Ad}_n(g)(\mu) * (\delta_g * v) = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i(g) \mu_i * (\delta_g * v) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^r c_i(g) \mu_i * \delta_g \right) * v = (\delta_g * \mu) * v.$$

$\square$

The following statement for  $p$ -adic  $L$  and on the level of abstract  $K$ -algebras is part of [SS16, Lemma 4.1].

**Corollary 5.3.** *The multiplication in  $D(G)$  induces a topological isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{hy}(G) \otimes_{\mathrm{hy}(H), \iota} D(H) \xrightarrow{\cong} D(\mathfrak{g}, H), \quad \mu \otimes \delta \mapsto \mu * \delta.$$

*Proof.* The multiplication homomorphism of  $D(G)$  induces the continuous homomorphism

$$\mathrm{hy}(G) \otimes_{\mathrm{hy}(H), \iota} D(H) \longrightarrow D(G).$$

By Proposition 5.1 the image of this homomorphism equals  $D(\mathfrak{g}, H)$ . On the other hand, the canonical projection  $G \rightarrow G/H$  splits on the level of locally  $L$ -analytic manifolds and such a splitting yields an isomorphism of locally  $L$ -analytic manifolds  $G \cong G/H \times H$ , see [Féa99, Satz 4.1.1]. From Proposition 4.7 we thus obtain a topological isomorphism  $\mathrm{hy}(G) \cong D_{1H}(G/H)_{\mathrm{fin}} \otimes_{K, \iota} \mathrm{hy}(H)$ . Using Lemma 2.12 we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{hy}(G) \otimes_{\mathrm{hy}(H), \iota} D(H) & \longrightarrow & D(G) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ D_{1H}(G/H)_{\mathrm{fin}} \otimes_{K, \iota} D(H) & \longrightarrow & D(G/H) \widehat{\otimes}_{K, \iota} D(H) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are topological isomorphisms. Once we show that the bottom map is an embedding, it follows from [KK00, Lemma 2] that the top map is a topological isomorphism onto its image  $D(\mathfrak{g}, H)$ . But the bottom map is the composite

$$D_{1H}(G/H)_{\mathrm{fin}} \otimes_{K, \iota} D(H) \longrightarrow D(G/H) \otimes_{K, \iota} D(H) \hookrightarrow D(G/H) \widehat{\otimes}_{K, \iota} D(H).$$

Using [Koh05, Lemma 1.2.13] the first morphism is the direct sum of

$$D_{1H}(G/H)_{\mathrm{fin}} \otimes_{K, \iota} D(U_i) \longrightarrow D(G/H) \otimes_{K, \iota} D(U_i),$$

for some disjoint covering  $H = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$  by open compact subsets. The claim now follows from Lemma 2.2 and the exactness of the projective tensor product [BH20, Lemma 2.1 (ii)].  $\square$

**5.2. Separately Continuous  $D(\mathfrak{g}, H)$ -Modules.** We will now study the category  $\mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, H)}$  of separately continuous  $D(\mathfrak{g}, H)$ -modules as well as its full additive subcategory  $\mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, H)}^{\mathrm{nf}}$  whose  $D(\mathfrak{g}, H)$ -modules are nuclear  $K$ -Fréchet spaces.

**Lemma 5.4.** *For  $M, N \in \mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, H)}$ , the inductive tensor product  $M \otimes_{K, \iota} N$  naturally becomes a separately continuous  $D(\mathfrak{g}, H)$ -module via*

$$D(\mathfrak{g}, H) \times M \otimes_{K, \iota} N \longrightarrow M \otimes_{K, \iota} N, \quad (\delta, m \otimes n) \mapsto \delta * m \otimes \delta * n.$$

*The analogous assertion for separately continuous  $D(G)$ -modules holds as well.*

*Proof.* We first consider the case of separately continuous  $D(G)$ -modules. The only assertion which is not verified immediately is the separate continuity of the multiplication map. To this end, it suffices to prove that

$$D(G) \longrightarrow D(G) \otimes_{K, \iota} D(G), \quad \delta \mapsto \delta \otimes \delta, \tag{5.1}$$

is continuous. Let  $G = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$  be some disjoint covering by compact open subsets. Then Proposition 3.4 (iii) and [Koh05, Lemma 1.2.13] yield topological isomorphisms

$$D(G) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} D(U_i) \quad \text{and} \quad D(G) \otimes_{K, \iota} D(G) \cong \bigoplus_{i, j \in I} D(U_i) \otimes_{K, \iota} D(U_j),$$

and  $D(U_i)$  maps into  $D(U_i) \otimes_{K, \iota} D(U_i)$  under (5.1). But  $D(U_i)$  is a  $K$ -Fréchet space so that  $D(U_i) \otimes_{K, \iota} D(U_i) = D(U_i) \otimes_{K, \pi} D(U_i)$ . Since (5.1) is the composition of the diagonal embedding and the canonical continuous map  $D(U_i) \times D(U_i) \rightarrow D(U_i) \otimes_{K, \pi} D(U_i)$  (cf. [Sch02, Sect. 17.B]), its continuity follows.

Furthermore, then the homomorphism  $D(\mathfrak{g}, H) \rightarrow D(\mathfrak{g}, H) \otimes_{K, \iota} D(\mathfrak{g}, H)$  induced by (5.1) is continuous. This implies the statement for separately continuous  $D(\mathfrak{g}, H)$ -modules.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.5.** *Let  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, H)}$  and assume that the underlying locally convex  $K$ -vector space of  $M$  is pseudo-metrizable and barrelled. Then the module structure on  $M$  extends uniquely to a separately continuous  $D(\mathfrak{g}, H)$ -module structure on the Hausdorff completion  $\widehat{M}$ . In particular, if in addition  $M$  is nuclear, then  $\widehat{M} \in \mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, H)}^{\text{nF}}$ .*

*Proof.* We first consider the compact open subgroup  $H_0 \subset H$ . Since  $D(H_0)$  is a  $K$ -Fréchet space and  $\text{hy}(G)$  a subspace of the  $K$ -Fréchet space  $D(G_0)$ , it follows from Lemma 2.1 (i) that  $D(\mathfrak{g}, H_0) \cong \text{hy}(G) \otimes_{\text{hy}(H)} D(H_0)$  is pseudo-metrizable. Hence Lemma 2.2 implies that the scalar multiplication of  $D(\mathfrak{g}, H_0)$  with  $M$  is jointly continuous. Therefore it extends to a continuous homomorphism

$$D(\mathfrak{g}, H_0) \otimes_K \widehat{M} \longrightarrow \widehat{M} \quad (5.2)$$

by [Eme17, Lemma 1.2.2]. Using  $D(H) \cong \bigoplus_{h \in H_0 \setminus H} D(H_0) * \delta_h$  and [Koh05, Lemma 1.2.13], we find that  $D(\mathfrak{g}, H) \cong \bigoplus_{h \in H_0 \setminus H} D(\mathfrak{g}, H_0) * \delta_h$ . Hence the direct sum

$$D(\mathfrak{g}, H) \otimes_{K, \iota} \widehat{M} \cong \bigoplus_{h \in H_0 \setminus H} D(\mathfrak{g}, H_0) * \delta_h \otimes_K \widehat{M} \longrightarrow \widehat{M}$$

of the “translates” of the homomorphism (5.2) yields the sought extension to a separately continuous  $D(\mathfrak{g}, H)$ -module structure on  $\widehat{M}$ .

Finally note that the Hausdorff completion of a nuclear pseudo-metrizable locally convex  $K$ -vector space is a nuclear  $K$ -Fréchet space, see Lemma 2.1 (iv) and [Sch02, Prop. 20.4].  $\square$

**Corollary 5.6.** *The quasi-abelian categories  $\mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, H)}^{\text{nF}}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{D(G)}^{\text{nF}}$  (see Proposition 2.5) are closed under taking the completed tensor product over  $K$ .*

*Proof.* Note that, for nuclear  $K$ -Fréchet spaces  $M$  and  $N$ ,  $M \otimes_K N$  is pseudo-metrizable by Lemma 2.1 (i) and nuclear by [Sch02, Prop. 19.11]. Furthermore, since  $M \otimes_{K, \pi} N = M \otimes_{K, \iota} N$  carries the locally convex final topology with respect to a family of maps from barrelled spaces, it is barrelled itself [Sch02, p.35, Expl. 3]. The claim then follows from Lemma 5.4 and Proposition 5.5.  $\square$

We can also characterize separately continuous  $D(\mathfrak{g}, H)$ -modules analogously to the  $p$ -adic situation considered by Agrawal and Strauch in [AS22, Sect. 7.4].

**Definition 5.7.** A *locally analytic*  $(\text{hy}(G), H)$ -module is a locally analytic  $H$ -representation  $V$  which simultaneously is a separately continuous  $\text{hy}(G)$ -module such that the following two compatibly conditions hold:

- (1) The action of  $\text{hy}(H)$  as a  $K$ -subalgebra of  $\text{hy}(G)$  agrees with the action induced from Proposition 3.19 (i) of  $\text{hy}(H)$  as a  $K$ -subalgebra of  $D(H)$ .
- (2) For all  $h \in H$ ,  $\mu \in \text{hy}(G)$  and  $v \in V$ , we have

$$h.(\mu * v) = \text{Ad}(h)(\mu) * (h.v).$$

**Remark 5.8.** It follows from Corollary 5.2 that every locally analytic  $G$ -representation canonically carries the structure of a locally analytic  $(\text{hy}(G), H)$ -module for any locally  $L$ -analytic subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ .

**Proposition 5.9** (cf. [AS22, Lemma 7.3.7, Lemma 7.4.2]). *Let  $V$  be a separately continuous  $D(H)$ -modules which simultaneously is a separately continuous  $\text{hy}(G)$ -module such that the two induced  $\text{hy}(H)$ -actions agree. Then these two actions extend to a separately continuous  $D(\mathfrak{g}, H)$ -module structure on  $V$  if and only if*

$$\delta_h * (\mu * v) = \text{Ad}(h)(\mu) * (\delta_h * v) \quad , \text{ for all } h \in H, \mu \in \text{hy}(G), v \in V.$$

*In particular, any  $(\text{hy}(G), H)$ -module naturally carries the structure of a separately continuous  $D(\mathfrak{g}, H)$ -module.*



*Proof.* Given separately continuous  $D(H)$ - and  $\text{hy}(G)$ -module structures on  $V$  which agree on  $\text{hy}(H)$ , the topological isomorphism of Corollary 5.3 yields a well defined separately continuous homomorphism  $D(\mathfrak{g}, H) \times V \rightarrow V$ . This defines a separately continuous  $D(\mathfrak{g}, H)$ -module structure on  $V$  if and only if the scalar multiplication satisfies associativity. By the associativity of the  $\text{hy}(G)$ - and  $D(H)$ -actions the latter is equivalent to

$$(\delta_h * \mu) * v = \delta_h * (\mu * v) \quad , \text{ for all } h \in H, \mu \in \text{hy}(G), v \in V.$$

But like in the proof of Corollary 5.2, we find that  $(\delta_h * \mu) * v = \text{Ad}(h)(\mu) * (\delta_h * v)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.10.** *For  $W \in \mathcal{M}_{D(H)}^{\text{nF}}$ , we set, for  $\nu \otimes w \in \text{hy}(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{\text{hy}(H)} W$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu * (\nu \otimes w) &:= \mu * \nu \otimes w & , \text{ for } \mu \in \text{hy}(G), \\ \delta * (\nu \otimes w) &:= \text{Ad}(\delta)(\nu) \otimes \delta * w & , \text{ for } \delta \in D(H). \end{aligned}$$

*This defines a separately continuous  $D(\mathfrak{g}, H)$ -module structure such that  $\text{hy}(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{\text{hy}(H)} W$  is contained in  $\mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, H)}^{\text{nF}}$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that the above defines a jointly continuous  $\text{hy}(G)$ -module structure on  $\text{hy}(G) \otimes_{\text{hy}(H)} W$ . It extends to  $\text{hy}(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{\text{hy}(H)} W$  by [Eme17, Lemma 1.2.2].

Concerning the  $D(H)$ -action, we have seen in the proof of Lemma 5.4 that the homomorphism  $D(H) \rightarrow D(H) \otimes_{K, \iota} D(H)$ ,  $\delta \mapsto \delta \otimes \delta$ , is continuous. Since  $\text{Ad}$  is separately continuous, it follows that

$$D(H) \otimes_{K, \iota} \text{hy}(G) \otimes_{K, \iota} W \longrightarrow \text{hy}(G) \otimes_{K, \iota} W, \quad \delta \otimes \nu \otimes w \longmapsto \text{Ad}(\delta)(\nu) \otimes \delta * w,$$

is continuous as well. In this way  $\delta \in D(H)$  induces a well-defined endomorphism of  $\text{hy}(G) \otimes_{\text{hy}(H)} W$ . Indeed, we compute that in the latter, for  $\nu \in \text{hy}(G)$ ,  $\lambda \in \text{hy}(H)$  and  $w \in W$ ,

$$\text{Ad}(\delta)(\nu * \lambda) \otimes \delta * w = \text{Ad}(\delta)(\nu) \otimes \text{Ad}(\delta)(\lambda) * (\delta * w) = \text{Ad}(\delta)(\nu) \otimes \delta * (\lambda * w)$$

because  $\text{Ad}(\delta)$  is an algebra homomorphism and by applying Corollary 5.2. Moreover, using that  $\text{hy}(G)$  is a  $D(H)$ -module under  $\text{Ad}$  one also verifies that the above defines a  $D(H)$ -module structure on  $\text{hy}(G) \otimes_{\text{hy}(H)} W$ . Lemma 2.8 then shows that it extends to a separately continuous  $D(H)$ -module structure on  $\text{hy}(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{\text{hy}(H)} W$ .

By [Sch02, Lemma 19.10 (i)], we have  $\text{hy}(G) \widehat{\otimes}_K W \cong D_1(G) \widehat{\otimes}_K W$ , which is a nuclear  $K$ -Fréchet space by the comment after [Sch02, Prop. 20.4]. Since by Lemma 2.11  $\text{hy}(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{\text{hy}(H)} W$  is a quotient of  $\text{hy}(G) \widehat{\otimes}_K W$ , it follows that the former is a nuclear  $K$ -Fréchet space.

To obtain  $\text{hy}(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{\text{hy}(H)} W \in \mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, H)}^{\text{nF}}$ , it remains to prove that the two induced  $\text{hy}(H)$ -actions agree and that the compatibility condition of Proposition 5.9 is satisfied. For both it suffices to do so in  $\text{hy}(G) \otimes_{\text{hy}(H)} W$ , and one verifies the latter compatibility condition there directly. On the other hand let again  $\lambda \in \text{hy}(H)$ ,  $\nu \in \text{hy}(G)$  and  $w \in W$ . Using Lemma 4.13 we have in  $\text{hy}(G) \otimes_{\text{hy}(H)} W$ :

$$\text{Ad}(\lambda)(\nu) \otimes \lambda * w = \text{Ad}(\lambda)(\nu) * \lambda \otimes w = \lambda * \nu \otimes w,$$

which shows that the two  $\text{hy}(H)$ -actions agree.  $\square$

**5.3. Definition and Left Exactness of the Functors  $\mathcal{F}_P^G$ .** Let  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, H)}$  and consider the inclusion  $D(\mathfrak{g}, H) \hookrightarrow D(G)$  of separately continuous  $K$ -algebras. By Lemma 2.9 (i) the induced  $D(G)$ -module  $D(G) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, H), \iota} M$  is separately continuous.

**Lemma 5.11.** *For  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, H)}$ , the embedding  $D(G_0) \hookrightarrow D(G)$  induces a topological isomorphism of separately continuous  $D(G_0)$ -modules*

$$D(G_0) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, H_0), \iota} M \xrightarrow{\cong} D(G) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, H), \iota} M.$$

*Proof.* We can prolong the map (3.2) from the proof of Lemma 3.23 (ii) to obtain a continuous homomorphism

$$D(G) \otimes_{K,\iota} M \longrightarrow D(G_0) \otimes_{D(H_0),\iota} M \longrightarrow D(G_0) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g},H_0),\iota} M.$$

It factors over the quotient  $D(G) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g},H),\iota} M$  to give a continuous inverse to the claimed isomorphism.  $\square$

**Definition 5.12.** For  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g},H)}$  we define

$$\dot{\mathcal{F}}_H^G(M) := (D(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{D(\mathfrak{g},H),\iota} M)'_b.$$

Note that in the situation of  $G = \mathbf{G}(L)$ ,  $H = \mathbf{P}(L)$ , for a connected reductive algebraic group  $\mathbf{G}$  over  $L$  with a parabolic subgroup  $\mathbf{P}$ , we recover the definition of  $\dot{\mathcal{F}}_P^G(M)$  from the introduction.

**Proposition 5.13.** *If  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g},H)}$  is pseudo-metrizable and nuclear, then  $\dot{\mathcal{F}}_H^G(M)$  has a canonical structure of a locally analytic  $G$ -representation of compact type.*

*Proof.* As we have seen in Lemma 5.11,  $D(G) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g},H),\iota} M$  is topologically isomorphic to  $D(G_0) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g},H_0),\iota} M$  as a locally convex  $K$ -vector space. Since  $D(G_0)$  is a nuclear  $K$ -Fréchet space, Lemma 2.1 (i) and [Sch02, Prop. 19.11] thus imply that  $D(G) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g},H),\iota} M$  is pseudo-metrizable and nuclear.

Because  $D(G)$  is barrelled (Proposition 3.4 (i)), it follows from Lemma 2.8 that the  $D(G)$ -module structure on  $D(G) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g},H),\iota} M$  extends to a separately continuous  $D(G)$ -module structure on the Hausdorff completion  $D(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{D(\mathfrak{g},H),\iota} M$ . By [Sch02, Prop. 20.4] this completion is nuclear again, so that  $D(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{D(\mathfrak{g},H),\iota} M \in \mathcal{M}_{D(G)}^{\text{nF}}$ . Via the anti-equivalence of Proposition 3.19 (ii), the strong dual space  $\dot{\mathcal{F}}_H^G(M)$  is a locally analytic  $G$ -representation of compact type.  $\square$

**Remark 5.14.** For  $V \in \text{Rep}_K^{\text{la,ct}}(H)$ , we have seen in Corollary 5.10 that  $\text{hy}(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{\text{hy}(H)} V'_b$  is contained in  $\mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g},H)}^{\text{nF}}$ . Lemma 2.12 and Corollary 5.3 then yield a topological isomorphism of separately continuous  $D(G)$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} D(G) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g},H),\iota} (\text{hy}(G) \otimes_{\text{hy}(H),\iota} V'_b) &\cong D(G) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g},H),\iota} \left( (\text{hy}(G) \otimes_{\text{hy}(H),\iota} D(H)) \otimes_{D(H),\iota} V'_b \right) \\ &\cong D(G) \otimes_{D(H),\iota} V'_b. \end{aligned}$$

Combined with Theorem 3.24 we obtain an isomorphism of locally analytic  $G$ -representations

$$\dot{\mathcal{F}}_H^G(\text{hy}(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{\text{hy}(H)} V'_b) \cong \text{Ind}_H^G(V).$$

**Theorem 5.15.** *Definition 5.12 yields a left exact<sup>10</sup> contravariant functor*

$$\dot{\mathcal{F}}_H^G: \mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g},H)}^{\text{nF}} \longrightarrow \text{Rep}_K^{\text{la,ct}}(G), \quad M \longmapsto \dot{\mathcal{F}}_H^G(M),$$

*between quasi-abelian categories.*

*Proof.* The functoriality of  $\dot{\mathcal{F}}_H^G: \mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g},H)}^{\text{nF}} \rightarrow \text{Rep}_K^{\text{la,ct}}(G)$  is clear. It remains to show that  $\dot{\mathcal{F}}_H^G$  is left exact. To this end, let

$$0 \longrightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} M_2 \xrightarrow{\hat{g}} M_3 \longrightarrow 0$$

be a short strictly exact sequence in  $\mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g},H)}^{\text{nF}}$ . Under the anti-equivalence of Proposition 3.19 (ii) and using Lemma 5.11, it suffices to show that

$$D(G_0) \widehat{\otimes}_{D(\mathfrak{g},H_0)} M_1 \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} D(G_0) \widehat{\otimes}_{D(\mathfrak{g},H_0)} M_2 \xrightarrow{\hat{g}} D(G_0) \widehat{\otimes}_{D(\mathfrak{g},H_0)} M_3 \longrightarrow 0 \quad (5.3)$$

is strictly coexact, i.e. that  $\overline{\text{Im}(\hat{f})} = \text{Ker}(\hat{g})$  and that  $\hat{g}$  is a strict epimorphism.

<sup>10</sup>In the sense of [Sch99, 1.1.5], i.e. for a short strictly exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} M_2 \xrightarrow{\hat{g}} M_3 \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g},H)}^{\text{nF}}$ , the induced  $\dot{\mathcal{F}}_H^G(g)$  is a strict monomorphism in  $\text{Rep}_K^{\text{la,ct}}(G)$  and  $\text{Im}(\dot{\mathcal{F}}_H^G(g)) = \text{Ker}(\dot{\mathcal{F}}_H^G(f))$ .

Concerning the latter, by Lemma 2.11 we have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(G_0) \widehat{\otimes}_K M_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \widehat{\otimes} g} & D(G_0) \widehat{\otimes}_K M_3 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D(G_0) \widehat{\otimes}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, H_0)} M_2 & \xrightarrow{\widehat{g}} & D(G_0) \widehat{\otimes}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, H_0)} M_3 \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are strict epimorphisms. Moreover,  $\text{id} \widehat{\otimes} g$  is a strict epimorphism by [BH20, Cor. 2.2]. It follows from from [KK00, Lemma 2] that  $\widehat{g}$  is a strict epimorphism as well.

Functoriality implies that  $\text{Im}(\widehat{f}) \subset \text{Ker}(\widehat{g})$ . Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & D(G_0) \otimes_K M_1 & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes f} & D(G_0) \otimes_K M_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes g} & D(G_0) \otimes_K M_3 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & D(G_0) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, H_0)} M_1 & \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} & D(G_0) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, H_0)} M_2 & \xrightarrow{\overline{g}} & D(G_0) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, H_0)} M_3 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} \quad (5.4)$$

whose bottom row yields (5.3) via taking the Hausdorff completion. In particular, since  $D(G_0) \widehat{\otimes}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, H_0)} M_2$  is hereditarily complete, it follows from [BH20, Cor. 2.2] that  $\text{Ker}(\widehat{g})$  is the completion of  $\text{Ker}(\overline{g})$ . Therefore it suffices to show that  $\text{Ker}(\overline{g}) \subset \text{Im}(\overline{f})$ .

For  $i = 2, 3$ , let  $M'_i$  denote the subspace of  $D(G_0) \otimes_K M_i$  generated by

$$\delta * \lambda \otimes m - \delta \otimes \lambda * m \quad , \text{ for } \delta \in D(G_0), \lambda \in D(\mathfrak{g}, H_0), m \in M_i.$$

Since  $g$  is surjective, for every element of  $M'_3$ , we find a preimage under  $\text{id} \otimes g$  which is contained in  $M'_2$ . Using that  $\text{Im}(\text{id} \otimes f) = \text{Ker}(\text{id} \otimes g)$ , a diagram chase then yields  $\text{Ker}(\overline{g}) \subset \text{Im}(\overline{f})$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.16.** For  $\text{char}(L) = 0$ , the Orlik–Strauch functors  $\mathcal{F}_P^G$  are exact [OS15, Prop. 4.9]. In view of the compatibility between  $\mathcal{F}_P^G$  and the above  $\dot{\mathcal{F}}_P^G$  (see Section 6.2) we expect  $\dot{\mathcal{F}}_P^G$  to be an exact functor between quasi-abelian categories for  $L$  of arbitrary characteristic. As additional evidence, recall that for  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, H)}^{\text{nf}}$  of the form  $M = \text{hy}(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{\text{hy}(H)} W$ , for  $W \in \mathcal{M}_{D(H)}^{\text{nf}}$ , we have  $\dot{\mathcal{F}}_H^G(M) \cong \text{Ind}_H^{\text{la}, G}(W'_b)$  and this locally analytic induction functor is exact (see Corollary 3.25).

## 6. COMPARISON WITH THE $p$ -ADIC ORLIK–STRAUCH FUNCTORS

In this section we show that the functors  $\dot{\mathcal{F}}_P^G$  from Definition 5.12 generalize the Orlik–Strauch functors  $\mathcal{F}_P^G$  when  $L$  is  $p$ -adic. As in the introduction, let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a connected split reductive group over  $L$ , and let  $\mathbf{T} \subset \mathbf{B}$  be a split maximal torus and a Borel subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$ , respectively. Let  $\mathbf{P}$  be a standard parabolic subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$  with Levi decomposition  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{P}}$  where  $\mathbf{T} \subset \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{P}}$ . We let  $G = \mathbf{G}(L)$ ,  $P = \mathbf{P}(L)$ , etc. denote the associated locally  $L$ -analytic Lie groups. Moreover, let  $G_0 \subset G$  be a maximal compact open subgroup (cf. the beginning of Section 5), and  $P_0 := G_0 \cap P$ .

Finally, let  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}$ , etc. denote the corresponding Lie algebras. We use the convention to write  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  in place of  $U(\mathfrak{g}_K)$  and analogously for the other universal enveloping algebras. In view of Proposition 4.17 we make the identifications  $\text{hy}(G) = U(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $\text{hy}(P) = U(\mathfrak{p})$ . Consequently the subalgebra  $D(\mathfrak{g}, P)$  of  $D(G)$  agrees with the subalgebra  $D(\mathfrak{g}, P)$  defined in [OS15, Sect. 3.4].

Note that the  $K$ -Fréchet algebra  $D(G_0)$  is a Fréchet–Stein algebra. Thus one may consider coadmissible  $D(G)$ -modules or equivalently the abelian category  $\text{Rep}_K^{\text{la}, \text{adm}}(G)$  of admissible locally analytic  $G$ -representations, see [ST03, Sect. 5, 6].

**6.1. The Category  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^{\text{p}}$ .** The following variants of the Bernstein–Gelfand–Gelfand category  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules play a key role.

**Definition 6.1** ([OS15, Sect. 2.5]). (i) Let  $\mathcal{O}^{\mathfrak{p}}$  be the full subcategory of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules  $M$  which satisfy

- (1)  $M$  is finitely generated as a  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module,
- (2) viewed as an  $\mathfrak{lp}_{\mathfrak{P},K}$ -module,  $M$  is the direct sum of finite-dimensional simple modules,
- (3) the action of  $\mathfrak{up}_{\mathfrak{P},K}$  on  $M$  is locally finite, i.e. for every  $m \in M$ , the  $K$ -vector subspace  $U(\mathfrak{up}_{\mathfrak{P}})m \subset M$  is finite-dimensional.

(ii) Let  $\text{Irr}(\mathfrak{lp}_{\mathfrak{P},K})^{\text{fd}}$  be the set of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional irreducible  $\mathfrak{lp}_{\mathfrak{P},K}$ -representations. We define  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^{\mathfrak{p}}$  to be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}^{\mathfrak{p}}$  of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules  $M$  such that for a decomposition

$$M = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Irr}(\mathfrak{lp}_{\mathfrak{P},K})^{\text{fd}}} M_{\mathfrak{a}}$$

into the  $\mathfrak{a}$ -isotypic components as in (2) of (i), we have: If  $M_{\mathfrak{a}} \neq (0)$  then  $\mathfrak{a}$  is the Lie algebra representation induced by some finite-dimensional algebraic  $\mathfrak{LP}_{\mathfrak{P},K}$ -representation.

Every  $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the union of finite-dimensional  $U(\mathfrak{p})$ -submodules. Hence one obtains an (abstract)  $D(P)$ -module structure on  $M$  via lifting each of those to an algebraic  $\mathfrak{PK}$ -representation, see [OS15, §3.4]. Moreover, Orlik and Strauch show:

**Lemma 6.2** ([OS15, Cor. 3.6], cf. [AS22, Prop. 3.3.2]). *On any  $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^{\mathfrak{p}}$ , there exists a unique (abstract)  $D(\mathfrak{g}, P)$ -module structure which extends the given  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module structure and such that the Dirac distributions  $\delta_p \in D(P)$  act like the group elements  $p \in P$  under the above  $P$ -action on  $M$ . In particular*

$$\text{Ad}(p)(\mu) * (p.m) = p.(\mu * m) \quad , \text{ for all } p \in P, \mu \in U(\mathfrak{g}), m \in M.$$

In the following we consider  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $U(\mathfrak{p})$  as jointly continuous subalgebras of  $D(G)$ . As every  $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^{\mathfrak{p}}$  is finitely generated over  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ , we may endow  $M$  with the quotient topology induced by some epimorphism  $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\oplus n} \rightarrow M$  of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules, cf. Proposition 2.6.

**Proposition 6.3.** *For any  $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^{\mathfrak{p}}$  endowed with the quotient topology, the  $D(\mathfrak{g}, P)$ -module structure from Lemma 6.2 is separately continuous.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.9 and Lemma 6.2 it suffices to show that the  $D(P)$ -action on  $M$  is a separately continuous with respect to the quotient topology. Since  $M$  is the union of finite-dimensional locally analytic  $P$ -representations, for each  $m \in M$ , the homomorphism  $D(P) \rightarrow M, \delta \mapsto \delta * m$ , is continuous.

We fix  $\delta \in D(P)$  and want to show that  $f_{\delta}: M \rightarrow M, m \mapsto \delta * m$ , is continuous. Let  $\varphi: U(\mathfrak{g})^{\oplus n} \rightarrow M$  be a strict epimorphism endowing  $M$  with its topology. Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  denote the standard basis elements of  $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\oplus n}$ . Then by Lemma 6.2 we have for the composite  $\varphi' := f_{\delta} \circ \varphi$ :

$$\varphi'(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta * \mu_i * \varphi(e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Ad}(\delta)(\mu_i) * (\delta * \varphi(e_i)),$$

for all  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ . Because  $\text{Ad}(\delta)$  is continuous (see Proposition 4.12), this shows that  $\varphi'$  is continuous. Since  $\varphi$  is open, it follows that  $f_{\delta}$  is continuous.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.4.** *For  $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^{\mathfrak{p}}$  the above separately continuous  $D(\mathfrak{g}, P)$ -module structure extends to a separately continuous  $D(\mathfrak{g}, P)$ -module structure on  $\widehat{M}$ . This yields a strongly exact functor*

$$\mathcal{C}: \mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P)}^{\text{nF}}, \quad M \longmapsto \widehat{M},$$

*i.e. it sends short exact sequences in  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^{\mathfrak{p}}$  to short strictly exact sequences in  $\mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P)}^{\text{nF}}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  is pseudo-metrizable and nuclear as the subspace of the nuclear  $K$ -Fréchet space  $D(G_0)$ , also  $M$  with the quotient topology is pseudo-metrizable and nuclear, see Lemma 2.1 and [Sch02, Prop. 19.4]. Thus  $\widehat{M}$  is a nuclear  $K$ -Fréchet space by [Sch02, Prop. 20.4].

It follows from Lemma 2.8 that the induced separately continuous  $D(P)$ -module structure on  $M$  extends to a separately continuous  $D(P)$ -module structure on  $\widehat{M}$ . Moreover, the jointly continuous  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module structure on  $M$  extends to one on  $\widehat{M}$  by [Eme17, Lemma 1.2.2]. These two extended actions still satisfy the compatibility conditions of Proposition 5.9 because of continuity in  $\widehat{M}$ . Therefore the former proposition shows that  $\widehat{M} \in \mathcal{M}_{D(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, P)}^{\text{nf}}$ .

Proposition 2.6 shows that every short exact sequence in  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a short strictly exact sequence in  $\text{LCS}_K$  when the occurring  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules carry the quotient topology. It follows from [BH20, Cor. 2.2] that the completion of such a short strictly exact sequence is strictly exact again.  $\square$

**6.2. The Dual of the Orlik–Strauch Functors  $\mathcal{F}_P^G$ .** We first recapitulate the construction of the functors  $\mathcal{F}_P^G$  from [OS15].

Let  $V$  be an admissible smooth  $P$ -representation<sup>11</sup> on a  $K$ -vector space. It becomes an admissible locally analytic  $P$ -representation when endowed with the finest locally convex topology [ST03, Thm. 6.6 (i)] and is of compact type. We will consider such admissible smooth representations always in this way.

For any  $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^{\mathfrak{p}}$ , there exists a finite-dimensional  $U(\mathfrak{p})$ -submodule  $W \subset M$  which generates  $M$  as a  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module, i.e. there is a short exact sequence of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{d} \longrightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} W \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then the  $\mathfrak{p}_K$ -representation  $W$  uniquely lifts to the structure of an algebraic  $\mathbf{P}_K$ -representation on  $W$  [OS15, Lemma 3.2].

Recall the identification  $W' \otimes_K V \cong \mathcal{L}_b(W, V)$  from [Sch02, Cor. 18.8] and let

$$\text{ev}_w : \mathcal{L}_b(W, V) \longrightarrow V, \quad h \longmapsto h(w),$$

denote the evaluation homomorphism. Then there exists a pairing (cf. [OS15, (3.2.2)])

$$\begin{aligned} \langle -, - \rangle_{C^{\text{la}}(G, V)} : D(G) \otimes_K W \times C^{\text{la}}(G, W' \otimes_K V) &\longrightarrow C^{\text{la}}(G, V), \\ (\delta \otimes w, f) &\longmapsto \left[ g \mapsto \delta[x \mapsto \text{ev}_w(f(gx))] \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\delta$  is applied to the function  $[x \mapsto \text{ev}_w(f(gx))] \in C^{\text{la}}(G, V)$  via the pairing from Corollary 3.7. We can embed  $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} W \hookrightarrow D(G) \otimes_{D(P)} W$  and, for  $\mathfrak{z} \in U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} W$  and  $f \in \text{Ind}_P^{\text{la}, G}(W' \otimes_K V)$ , one finds that  $\langle \mathfrak{z}, f \rangle_{C^{\text{la}}(G, V)}$  is well-defined, cf. [Bre16, Lemme 2.1]. This allows the definition [OS15, (4.4.1)]

$$\mathcal{F}_P^G(M, V) := \text{Ind}_P^{\text{la}, G}(W' \otimes_K V)^{\mathfrak{d}} = \left\{ f \in \text{Ind}_P^{\text{la}, G}(W' \otimes_K V) \mid \forall \mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{d} : \langle \mathfrak{z}, f \rangle_{C^{\text{la}}(G, V)} = 0 \right\}.$$

The resulting  $\mathcal{F}_P^G(M, V)$  is an admissible<sup>12</sup> locally analytic  $G$ -representation which does not depend on the choice of  $W$  [OS15, Prop. 4.5]. This construction yields an exact bi-functor

$$\mathcal{F}_P^G : \mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^{\mathfrak{p}} \times \text{Rep}_K^{\infty, \text{adm}}(L_{\mathbf{P}}) \longrightarrow \text{Rep}_K^{\text{la}, \text{adm}}(G), \quad (M, V) \longmapsto \mathcal{F}_P^G(M, V),$$

which is contravariant in  $M$  and covariant in  $V$ , see [OS15, Prop. 4.7].

We want to explore another description of these functors in terms of  $D(G)$ -modules. For the smooth  $P$ -representations we restrict ourselves to those which are strongly admissible in the sense of [STP01, Sect. 2 Def.]. We recall an equivalent definition, cf. [STP01, Prop. 2.1], [AS22, Sect. 4.1.2].

**Definition 6.5.** A smooth representation  $V$  of a locally  $L$ -analytic Lie group  $H$  on a  $K$ -vector space is called *strongly admissible* if  $V$  embeds as a subrepresentation into  $C^\infty(H_0, K)^{\oplus n}$ , for some compact open subgroup  $H_0 \subset H$  and some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , when viewed as a representation of  $H_0$ . Note that smooth representations of finite length are strongly admissible [AS22, Sect.

<sup>11</sup>In [OS15] only those representations arising via inflation from an admissible smooth representation of  $L_{\mathbf{P}}$  are considered, but the following construction works in the generality as stated.

<sup>12</sup>In the sense of [ST03, §6].

4.1], and strongly admissible smooth representations are admissible. We denote the category of strongly admissible  $H$ -representations on  $K$ -vector spaces by  $\text{Rep}_K^{\infty, s\text{-adm}}(H)$ .

For  $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^{\mathfrak{p}}$  and a strongly admissible smooth  $P$ -representation  $V$  it is a special case of a result of Agrawal and Strauch [AS22, Thm. 4.2.3] that the (abstract)  $D(G)$ -module

$$D(G) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P)} (M \otimes_K V')$$

is coadmissible. In particular, this  $D(G)$ -module carries a canonical Fréchet topology [ST03, Sect. 3].

On the other hand, we have seen in Proposition 6.3 that  $M$  with its quotient topology is a separately continuous  $D(\mathfrak{g}, P)$ -module. Moreover,  $V'_b$  is a separately continuous  $D(P)$ -module with trivial  $U(\mathfrak{p})$ -action because the representation  $V$  is smooth. It follows from Proposition 5.9 that  $V'_b$  endowed with the trivial  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -action is a separately continuous  $D(\mathfrak{g}, P)$ -module. Then the projective and inductive tensor product topologies agree on  $M \otimes_K V'_b$  by Lemma 2.2 and  $M \otimes_K V'_b \in \mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P)}$  by Lemma 5.4. Thus the  $D(G)$ -module structure on  $D(G) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P), \iota} (M \otimes_K V'_b)$  is separately continuous by Lemma 2.9 (i).

**Theorem 6.6.** *Let  $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^{\mathfrak{p}}$  be endowed with the quotient topology, and let  $V$  be a strongly admissible smooth  $P$ -representation. Then the topology on the separately continuous  $D(G)$ -module*

$$D(G) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P), \iota} (M \otimes_K V'_b)$$

*agrees with the canonical Fréchet topology induced from its underlying abstract  $D(G)$ -module being coadmissible.*

*Proof.* By the assumptions on  $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^{\mathfrak{p}}$ , we may find a finite-dimensional  $U(\mathfrak{p})$ -module  $W$  and an epimorphism  $\varphi: U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} W \twoheadrightarrow M$  of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. The composite

$$U(\mathfrak{g})^{\oplus \dim_K(W)} \cong U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_K W \longrightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} W \xrightarrow{\varphi} M$$

then induces the quotient topology on  $M$ . It follows from [KK00, Lemma 2] that  $\varphi$  is a strict epimorphism with respect to this choice of topology on  $M$ . Using Corollary 5.3 and Lemma 2.12 there is a topological isomorphism

$$D(\mathfrak{g}, P_0) \otimes_{D(P_0)} W \cong (U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} D(P_0)) \otimes_{D(P_0)} W \cong U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} W,$$

which maps  $\mu * \delta \otimes w$  to  $\mu \otimes \delta * w$ , for  $\mu \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $\delta \in D(P_0)$ ,  $w \in W$ . Therefore  $\varphi$  induces a strict epimorphism that we continue to denote by

$$\varphi: D(\mathfrak{g}, P_0) \otimes_{D(P_0)} W \longrightarrow M.$$

Expressing  $\delta * \mu$ , for  $\delta \in D(P_0)$ ,  $\mu \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ , as  $\delta * \mu = \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i * \delta_i$ , for  $\mu_i \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $\delta_i \in D(P_0)$ , as in the proof of Proposition 5.1, one verifies that  $\varphi$  is  $D(\mathfrak{g}, P_0)$ -linear.

Since the projective tensor product is exact (see [BH20, Lemma 2.1 (ii)]), we obtain a strict epimorphism

$$D(\mathfrak{g}, P_0) \otimes_{D(P_0)} (W \otimes_K V'_b) \cong (D(\mathfrak{g}, P_0) \otimes_{D(P_0)} W) \otimes_K V'_b \xrightarrow{\varphi \otimes \text{id}} M \otimes_K V'_b. \quad (6.1)$$

We extend the trivial  $U(\mathfrak{p})$ -action on  $V'_b$  to the trivial  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -action. Then (6.1) is  $D(\mathfrak{g}, P_0)$ -linear with respect to  $D(\mathfrak{g}, P_0)$  acting on the first factor of the source and the diagonal action on the target. Moreover, the jointly continuous  $D(P_0)$ -module  $W \otimes_K V'_b$  is finitely generated as an abstract  $D(P_0)$ -module, see [AS22, Prop. 6.4.1]. Such an epimorphism  $D(P_0)^{\oplus n} \twoheadrightarrow W \otimes_K V'_b$ , for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is continuous and necessarily strict by the open mapping theorem [Sch02, Prop. 8.6] since  $W \otimes_K V'_b$  is a  $K$ -Fréchet space. Therefore we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(\mathfrak{g}, P_0) \otimes_K D(P_0)^{\oplus n} & \twoheadrightarrow & D(\mathfrak{g}, P_0) \otimes_K (W \otimes_K V'_b) \\ \downarrow \Downarrow & & \downarrow \Downarrow \\ D(\mathfrak{g}, P_0)^{\oplus n} & \longrightarrow & D(\mathfrak{g}, P_0) \otimes_{D(P_0)} (W \otimes_K V'_b) \end{array}$$

where the top homomorphism is a strict epimorphism by [BH20, Lemma 2.1 (ii)]. It follows from [KK00, Lemma 2] that the bottom epimorphism is strict as well. Taking the composition of this bottom epimorphism and  $\varphi$  we thus arrive at a strict epimorphism of jointly continuous  $D(\mathfrak{g}, P_0)$ -modules

$$\psi: D(\mathfrak{g}, P_0)^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow M \otimes_K V'_b.$$

Via the exactness of the projective tensor product, we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(G_0) \otimes_K D(\mathfrak{g}, P_0)^{\oplus n} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \psi} & D(G_0) \otimes_K (M \otimes_K V'_b) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D(G_0)^{\oplus n} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}} & D(G_0) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P_0)} (M \otimes_K V'_b) \end{array}$$

where all maps are strict epimorphisms – for  $\bar{\psi}$  one deduces this as before. On the other hand, the (abstract)  $D(G_0)$ -module  $D(G_0) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P_0)} (M \otimes_K V'_b)$  is coadmissible by [AS22, Thm. 4.2.3]. Therefore  $\bar{\psi}$  also is strict when  $D(G_0) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P_0)} (M \otimes_K V'_b)$  carries its canonical Fréchet topology (see [ST03, Sect. 3]). By the uniqueness of the quotient we conclude that this Fréchet topology agrees with the topology on  $D(G_0) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P_0)} (M \otimes_K V'_b)$ . The theorem now follows from the topological isomorphism of  $D(G_0)$ -modules from Lemma 5.11

$$D(G) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P), \iota} (M \otimes_K V') \cong D(G_0) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P_0)} (M \otimes_K V').$$

□

**Lemma 6.7.** *Let  $W$  be a finite-dimensional algebraic  $\mathbf{P}_K$ -representation and  $V$  a strongly admissible smooth  $P$ -representation. Then there exists a  $G$ -equivariant duality pairing*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle -, - \rangle: D(G) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P), \iota} \left( (U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} W) \otimes_K V'_b \right) \times \text{Ind}_P^{\text{la}, G}(W' \otimes_K V) &\longrightarrow K, \\ (\delta \otimes \mu \otimes w \otimes \ell, f) &\longmapsto (w \otimes \ell)((\delta * \mu)(f)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta * \mu$  is applied to  $f \in C^{\text{la}}(G, W' \otimes_K V)$  via the pairing of Corollary 3.7.

*Proof.* We have  $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} W \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^{\mathfrak{p}}$ , and with the induced separately continuous  $D(\mathfrak{g}, P)$ -module structure Corollary 5.3 and Lemma 2.12 yield a topological isomorphism of  $D(G)$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} D(G) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P), \iota} \left( (U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} W) \otimes_K V'_b \right) &\xrightarrow{\cong} D(G) \otimes_{D(P), \iota} (W \otimes_K V'_b), \\ \delta \otimes \mu \otimes w \otimes \ell &\longmapsto \delta * \mu \otimes w \otimes \ell. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

It follows from Theorem 6.6 applied to  $M := U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} W$  and  $V$  that the locally convex  $K$ -vector space underlying

$$D(G) \otimes_{D(P), \iota} (W \otimes_K V'_b)$$

is Fréchet and thus in particular complete. Consequently, we obtain the sought pairing from (6.2) and the  $G$ -equivariant duality pairing of Theorem 3.24

$$\begin{aligned} \langle -, - \rangle: D(G) \otimes_{D(P), \iota} (W \otimes_K V'_b) \times \text{Ind}_P^{\text{la}, G}(W' \otimes_K V) &\longrightarrow K, \\ (\delta \otimes w \otimes \ell, f) &\longmapsto (w \otimes \ell)(\delta(f)). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 6.8.** *Let  $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^{\mathfrak{p}}$  and let  $V$  be a strongly admissible smooth  $P$ -representation. Then there is a natural topological isomorphism of  $D(G)$ -modules*

$$\mathcal{F}_P^G(M, V)'_b \cong D(G) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P), \iota} (M \otimes_K V').$$

When  $V$  is the trivial representation, this description of the dual of  $\mathcal{F}_P^G(M, K)$  already is the content of [OS15, Prop. 3.7]. The above theorem implies that the  $p$ -adic Orlik–Strauch functor  $\mathcal{F}_P^G$  factors over  $\dot{\mathcal{F}}_P^G$  as follows

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\text{alg}}^p \times \text{Rep}_K^{\infty, \text{s-adm}}(P) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_P^G} & \text{Rep}_K^{\text{la, adm}}(G) \\ & \searrow c \times (-)_b & \nearrow \dot{\mathcal{F}}_P^G \\ & \mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P)}^{\text{nF}} \times \mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P)}^{\text{nF}} & \xrightarrow{- \widehat{\otimes}_K -} \mathcal{M}_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P)}^{\text{nF}} \end{array} .$$

*Proof of Theorem 6.8.* We may find a finite-dimensional algebraic  $\mathbf{P}_K$ -representation  $W$  and a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{d} \longrightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} W \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. Prescribing the trivial  $\mathfrak{g}$ -action on  $V'$  and taking the tensor product of this sequence with  $V'$  over  $K$ , we arrive at a short exact sequence of  $D(\mathfrak{g}, P)$ -modules. Its constituents are finitely presented over  $D(\mathfrak{g}, P_0)$  [AS22, Prop. 4.1.5]. Hence it follows from [AS22, Cor. 7.8.7] that the resulting

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow D(G_0) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P_0)} (\mathfrak{d} \otimes_K V') &\longrightarrow D(G_0) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P_0)} \left( (U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} W) \otimes_K V' \right) \\ &\longrightarrow D(G_0) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P_0)} (M \otimes_K V') \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is a short exact sequence of coadmissible  $D(G_0)$ -modules. By Theorem 6.6 it is a short strictly exact sequence of jointly continuous  $D(G_0)$ -modules. In view of Lemma 5.11 it thus yields a topological isomorphism of separately continuous  $D(G)$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} D(G) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P), \iota} (M \otimes_K V'_b) \\ \cong D(G) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P), \iota} \left( (U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} W) \otimes_K V'_b \right) / D(G) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P), \iota} (\mathfrak{d} \otimes_K V'_b) \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

**Lemma 6.9.** *For  $\mathfrak{z} \in U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} W$ ,  $g \in G$ ,  $\ell \in V'_b$  and  $f \in \text{Ind}_P^{\text{la}, G}(W' \otimes_K V)$ , we have for the duality pairing of Lemma 6.7*

$$\langle \delta_g \otimes \mathfrak{z} \otimes \ell, f \rangle = \ell(\langle \mathfrak{z}, f \rangle_{C^{\text{la}}(G, V)}(g)).$$

*Proof.* The statement follows once we show that, for all  $w \in W$ ,  $\ell \in V'_b$ ,  $g \in G$ ,  $\mu \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ ,

$$(w \otimes \ell)(I(f)(\delta_g * \mu)) = \ell\left(I(x \mapsto \text{ev}_w(f(gx)))(\mu)\right), \text{ for all } f \in C^{\text{la}}(G, W' \otimes_K V).$$

But this is a consequence of the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C^{\text{la}}(G, W' \otimes_K V) & \xrightarrow{I_{W' \otimes_K V}} & \mathcal{L}_b(D(G), W' \otimes_K V) & & \\ \downarrow \rho_l(g^{-1}) & & \downarrow \eta_g & \searrow \text{ev}_{\delta_g * \mu} & \\ C^{\text{la}}(G, W' \otimes_K V) & \xrightarrow{I_{W' \otimes_K V}} & \mathcal{L}_b(D(G), W' \otimes_K V) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_\mu} & W' \otimes_K V \xrightarrow{w \otimes \ell} K \\ \downarrow (\text{ev}_w)_* & & \downarrow (\text{ev}_w)_* & & \downarrow \text{ev}_w \nearrow \ell \\ C^{\text{la}}(G, V) & \xrightarrow{I_V} & \mathcal{L}_b(D(G), V) & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_\mu} & V. \end{array}$$

Here  $\rho_l$  denotes the left regular  $G$ -action on  $C^{\text{la}}(G, W' \otimes_K V)$ , and  $\eta_g$  the map that sends  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_b(D(G), W' \otimes_K V)$  to the homomorphism  $\varphi(\delta_g * \_)$ .  $\square$

Using this lemma, we now adapt the proof of [OS15, Prop. 3.3]. By [ST03, Thm. 6.3, Lemma 3.6] the admissible subrepresentations of  $\text{Ind}_P^{\text{la}, G}(W' \otimes_K V)$  correspond to closed  $D(G)$ -submodules of its continuous dual (6.2). Explicitly, such a submodule  $\mathfrak{D}$  corresponds to the subrepresentation

$$\left\{ f \in \text{Ind}_P^{\text{la}, G}(W' \otimes_K V) \mid \forall \mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{D} : \langle \mathfrak{z}, f \rangle = 0 \right\},$$



and  $\langle -, - \rangle$  puts this subrepresentation into duality with the quotient

$$D(G) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P), \iota} \left( (U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} W) \otimes_K V'_b \right) / \mathfrak{D}.$$

We claim that, for  $f \in \text{Ind}_P^{\text{la}, G}(W' \otimes_K V)$ , the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\forall \mathfrak{z} \in D(G) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P), \iota} (\mathfrak{d} \otimes_K V'_b) : \langle \mathfrak{z}, f \rangle = 0$ ,
- (2)  $\forall \mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{d} : \langle \mathfrak{z}, f \rangle_{C^{\text{la}}(G, V)} = 0$ .

Indeed, assume (1) and let  $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathfrak{d}$ ,  $g \in G$  and  $\ell \in V'_b$ . Then it follows from Lemma 6.9 that

$$\ell(\langle \mathfrak{z}, f \rangle_{C^{\text{la}}(G, V)}(g)) = \langle \delta_g \otimes \mathfrak{z} \otimes \ell, f \rangle = 0.$$

Since  $\ell \in V'_b$  and  $g \in G$  were arbitrary, we conclude that  $\langle \mathfrak{z}, f \rangle_{C^{\text{la}}(G, V)}$  is the zero function. The other implication follows directly from Lemma 6.9 and density of Dirac distributions in  $D(G)$ . The above claim implies that the subrepresentation  $\text{Ind}_P^{\text{la}, G}(W' \otimes_K V)^{\mathfrak{d}}$  corresponds to the submodule  $\mathfrak{D} := D(G) \otimes_{D(\mathfrak{g}, P), \iota} (\mathfrak{d} \otimes_K V'_b)$ . Hence the theorem follows from (6.3).  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [AS22] S. Agrawal and M. Strauch. *From category  $\mathcal{O}^\infty$  to locally analytic representations*. In: *J. Algebra* **592** (2022), pp. 169–232. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2021.09.032.
- [BGR84] S. Bosch, U. Güntzer, and R. Remmert. *Non-Archimedean analysis*. Vol. 261. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. A systematic approach to rigid analytic geometry. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-52229-1.
- [BH20] C. Breuil and F. Herzig. *Towards the finite slope part for  $GL_n$* . In: *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **24** (2020), pp. 10495–10552. DOI: 10.1093/imrn/rnz053.
- [Bos14] S. Bosch. *Lectures on formal and rigid geometry*. Vol. 2105. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2014. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-04417-0.
- [Bou07] N. Bourbaki. *Variétés différentielles et analytiques. Fascicule de résultats*. Edition originale publié par Masson, Paris, 1967. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2007. ISBN: 978-3-540-34396-7.
- [Bou87] N. Bourbaki. *Topological vector spaces. Chapters 1–5*. Elements of Mathematics (Berlin). Translated from the French by H. G. Eggleston and S. Madan. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-61715-7.
- [Bou89] N. Bourbaki. *Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 1–3*. Elements of Mathematics (Berlin). Translated from the French, Reprint of the 1975 edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989. ISBN: 3-540-50218-1.
- [Bre16] C. Breuil. *Socle localement analytique I*. In: *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **66.2** (2016), pp. 633–685. ISSN: 0373-0956, 1777-5310. URL: [http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF\\_2016\\_\\_66\\_2\\_633\\_0](http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF_2016__66_2_633_0).
- [Car79] P. Cartier. *Representations of  $p$ -adic groups: a survey*. In: *Automorphic forms, representations and  $L$ -functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1*. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979, pp. 111–155.
- [Eme] M. Emerton. *Jacquet modules of locally analytic representations of  $p$ -adic reductive groups II. The relation to parabolic induction*. Draft October 2007. To appear in J. Institut Math. Jussieu. URL: <http://www.math.uchicago.edu/~emerton/pdffiles/jacquet-two.pdf>.
- [Eme17] M. Emerton. *Locally analytic vectors in representations of locally  $p$ -adic analytic groups*. In: *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **248.1175** (2017). DOI: 10.1090/memo/1175.
- [Féa99] C. T. Féaux de Lacroix. *Einige Resultate über die topologischen Darstellungen  $p$ -adischer Liegruppen auf unendlich dimensionalen Vektorräumen über einem  $p$ -adischen Körper*. In: **23**. Schriftenreihe Math. Inst. Univ. Münster 3. Ser. Univ. Münster, Math. Inst., Münster, 1999.
- [Grä20] P. Gräf. *Boundary Distributions for  $GL_3$  over a Local Field and Symmetric Power Coefficients*. Doktorarbeit. Universität Heidelberg, 2020.
- [Jan03] J. C. Jantzen. *Representations of algebraic groups*. 2nd ed.. Vol. 107. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. ISBN: 0-8218-3527-0.
- [KK00] Y. Kopylov and V. I. Kuz'minov. *On the Ker-Coker-sequence in a semiabelian category*. In: *Siberian Mathematical Journal* **41** (2000), pp. 509–517.

- [Koh05] J. Kohlhaase. *Invariant distributions on  $p$ -adic analytic groups*. Doktorarbeit. Universität Münster, 2005.
- [Koh11] J. Kohlhaase. *The cohomology of locally analytic representations*. In: *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **651** (2011), pp. 187–240. DOI: 10.1515/CRELLE.2011.013.
- [Lin23] G. Linden. *Equivariant Vector Bundles on the Drinfeld Upper Half Space over a Local Field of Positive Characteristic*. Doktorarbeit. Universität Wuppertal, 2023.
- [Orl08] S. Orlik. *Equivariant vector bundles on Drinfeld’s upper half space*. In: *Invent. Math.* **172.3** (2008), pp. 585–656. DOI: 10.1007/s00222-008-0112-3.
- [OS15] S. Orlik and M. Strauch. *On Jordan–Hölder series of some locally analytic representations*. In: *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **28.1** (2015), pp. 99–157. DOI: 10.1090/S0894-0347-2014-00803-1.
- [Poh02] K. Pohlkamp. *Randwerte holomorpher Funktionen auf  $p$ -adischen symmetrischen Räumen*. Diplomarbeit. Universität Münster, 2002.
- [Pro00] F. Prosmans. *Derived categories for functional analysis*. In: *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **36.1** (2000), pp. 19–83. DOI: 10.2977/prims/1195143226.
- [PS10] C. Perez-Garcia and W. H. Schikhof. *Locally convex spaces over non-Archimedean valued fields*. Vol. 119. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010. DOI: 10.1017/CB09780511729959.
- [Rya02] R. A. Ryan. *Introduction to tensor products of Banach spaces*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2002. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4471-3903-4.
- [Sch02] P. Schneider. *Nonarchimedean functional analysis*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-04728-6.
- [Sch11] P. Schneider.  *$p$ -adic Lie groups*. Vol. 344. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-21147-8.
- [Sch92] P. Schneider. *The cohomology of local systems on  $p$ -adically uniformized varieties*. In: *Math. Ann.* **293.4** (1992), pp. 623–650. DOI: 10.1007/BF01444738.
- [Sch99] J.-P. Schneiders. *Quasi-abelian categories and sheaves*. In: *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.)* 76 (1999). ISSN: 0249-633X.
- [SS16] T. Schmidt and M. Strauch. *Dimensions of some locally analytic representations*. In: *Represent. Theory* **20** (2016), pp. 14–38. DOI: 10.1090/ert/475.
- [ST02a] P. Schneider and J. Teitelbaum.  *$p$ -adic boundary values*. In: *Astérisque* **278** (2002). Cohomologies  $p$ -adiques et applications arithmétiques, I, pp. 51–125. ISSN: 0303-1179.
- [ST02b] P. Schneider and J. Teitelbaum. *Locally analytic distributions and  $p$ -adic representation theory, with applications to  $GL_2$* . In: *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15.2** (2002), pp. 443–468. DOI: 10.1090/S0894-0347-01-00377-0.
- [ST03] P. Schneider and J. Teitelbaum. *Algebras of  $p$ -adic distributions and admissible representations*. In: *Invent. Math.* **153.1** (2003), pp. 145–196. DOI: 10.1007/s00222-002-0284-1.
- [ST05] P. Schneider and J. Teitelbaum. *Duality for admissible locally analytic representations*. In: *Represent. Theory* **9** (2005), pp. 297–326. DOI: 10.1090/S1088-4165-05-00277-3.
- [STP01] P. Schneider, J. Teitelbaum, and D. Prasad.  *$U(\mathfrak{g})$ -finite locally analytic representations*. In: *Represent. Theory* **5** (2001). With an appendix by Dipendra Prasad, pp. 111–128. DOI: 10.1090/S1088-4165-01-00109-1.